





DOCUMENTS

CLOSED  
STACKS

5



BOOK NO.

ACCESSION

352 Sa52:7<sup>32</sup>


457076

~~GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE~~  
~~NOT TO BE TAKEN FROM THE LIBRARY~~  
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Form 37 10M 11-3



SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY



3 1223 90119 6833















Vol. 32

No. 1

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Monday, January 4, 1937

838x  
838x  
838x

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.



Monday, January 4, 1925

x352  
 Sa52:7<sup>32</sup>

457076



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JANUARY 4, 1937, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 4, 1937,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:  
Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of December 17, 18  
and 21, 1936, were considered read and approved.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

### Referred.

The following matter on motion of Supervisor Ratto was *referred*  
*to the Streets Committee*:

Hearing of protests against costs and expenses for improvements on  
south side of Lincoln way, between 82 feet 6 inches and 157 feet 6  
inches west of Forty-sixth avenue. Work by M. Bertolino.

## HEARING OF APPEAL—2 P. M.

The following matter was taken up:

**Rezoning of South Side Chestnut Street, 137 Feet 6 Inches East  
of Easterly Line of Webster Street.**

Hearing of appeal from the decision of City Planning Commission,  
by its Resolution No. 1574, dated November 19, 1936, denying appli-  
cation to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial Dis-  
trict, property located at the south side of Chestnut street, 137 feet 6  
inches east of the easterly line of Webster street.

### Privilege of the Floor.

Annunzio Avola, 1861 Chestnut street, applicant for rezoning was  
heard at length.

Mrs. W. J. O'Neill, 1355 Laguna, corner of Chestnut and Mrs. Stamper,  
1795 Chestnut street, apartment house owners, were heard in opposi-  
tion to the rezoning.

Milton Meyer, City Planning Commissioner, was heard in opposition  
to the rezoning.

### Resolution Defeated.

Whereupon the roll was called on the following resolution and the  
same was *defeated* by the following vote:



**Disapproving Decision of City Planning Commission as to South Side Chestnut Street 137 feet 6 inches east of easterly line of Webster Street.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 3016, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1574, dated November 19, 1936, denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the south side of Chestnut street, 137 feet 6 inches east of easterly line of Webster street, is hereby disapproved.

*Refused adoption* by the following vote:

Aye—Supervisor Ratto—1.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisor Mead—1. (Congressman Havenner resigned.)

**SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.**

**Sale of \$2,000,000 of Tax Anticipation Notes.**

Sealed bids for the purchase of Tax Anticipation Notes issued by the City and County of San Francisco, pursuant to Ordinance No. 9.0338, in the amount of two million dollars (\$2,000,000) were received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on this day and were opened by said Board at said time.

The tax anticipation notes are described as follows, to-wit:

Notes in the amount of two million dollars (\$2,000,000) in denominations of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) each to be dated as of the day of delivery thereof and to be payable to bearer on May 15, 1937, and issued under authority of Ordinance No. 9.0338 and payable exclusively out of taxes levied by said City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1936-1937 without preference or priority of any one note over any other note. All of said notes shall constitute a first lien and charge against said taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year 1936-1937, in which said money represented by said notes respectively shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes and before any part thereof is used for any other purpose. Any of said notes not paid at maturity shall nevertheless be paid out of moneys received from the taxes for said fiscal year 1936-1937 irrespective of the date the same shall be so received.

Said notes shall bear interest at the rate or rates not to exceed six (6) per cent per annum as shall be named by the bidder, said interest to be paid at maturity of said notes.

The said notes will be sold and awarded to the bidder or bidders offering to purchase the same at the lowest rate or rates of interest computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to May 15, 1937. If two or more bidders offer to purchase said notes at the same lowest rate or rates of interest, the Board of Supervisors shall determine which bid shall be accepted. Interest shall be computed on the basis of three hundred sixty-five (365) days per year.

The right is reserved by the Board of Supervisors to reject any and all bids.

All proposals for the purchase of said notes shall be accompanied by a deposit of five (5) per cent of the amount of the bid in lawful money of the United States, or by the deposit of a certified check for said five (5) per cent payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, provided that no deposit exceed the sum of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000); which deposit of money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the notes bid for by him if his bid is accepted.

The approval of Messrs. Orrick, Palmer & Dahlquist, attorneys at law, San Francisco, California, as to the legality of the aforesaid notes,



will be furnished to the successful bidder or bidders for said notes without cost.

### Bid.

The following bid was received, opened, read and *referred to the Finance Committee*:

1. American Trust Co., Bankamerica Co., The Anglo California National Bank, By American Trust Co., D. W. Chapman, manager, Investment Department, bids par; notes to bear interest at .45 of one per centum per annum, said interest to be paid at maturity of said notes (May 15, 1937).

### Adopted.

Subsequently, during the proceedings, the Finance Committee reported the following resolution, which was *adopted* by the following vote:

### Sale of \$2,000,000 Tax Anticipation Notes.

(Code No. 9.033)

Resolution No. 3021, as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given as provided by Ordinance No. 9.0338 that sealed proposals for the purchase of Two Million Dollars (\$2,000,000) Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco would be received and considered up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m., on Monday, January 4, 1937; and

Whereas, one bid was received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered; therefore,

Resolved, That the bid of the American Trust Company, Bankamerica Company, The Anglo California National Bank, By American Trust Company, D. W. Chapman, manager, Investment Department, January 4, 1937, is hereby accepted, as follows:

For the Two Million Dollars (\$2,000,000) par value legally issued Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco, as advertised in your regular printed Notice of Sale, we bid you par; said Notes to bear interest at the rate of Forty-Five Hundredths of One Per Centum (.45%) per annum, said interest to be paid at maturity of said Notes (May 15, 1937).

As evidence of our good faith, we hand you herewith a Cashier's Check for Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000) with the understanding that this check is to be applied as part payment if our bid is accepted, otherwise it is to be promptly returned to us.

Respectfully submitted,

American Trust Company,  
Bankamerica Company,  
The Anglo California National Bank,  
By American Trust Company,  
D. W. Chapman,  
Manager, Investment Department.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

### SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Consideration of Funston avenue approach, Golden Gate Bridge.

### Discussion Re Funston Avenue Approach Golden Gate Bridge.

THE PRESIDENT: Now we have a Special Order, three o'clock, Funston avenue approach. This matter was partially heard the other day and a motion was made for continuance of the consideration of it until three o'clock today.



**SUPERVISOR RATTO:** When we adjourned last Monday, after taking up the Funston avenue approach, the principal question to come before the Board was the financial set-up and Mr. Cleary has that. I would like to have him present that. I think that is the principal question in the minds of the members of the Board.

**MR. CLEARY:** If you have no objection I am going to ask Mr. Worden to present that because he has just worked out the detailed figures on it.

**SUPERVISOR RATTO:** That is all right.

**THE PRESIDENT:** Mr. Worden. Mr. Ratto just asked Mr. Cleary to make the presentation on the financial set-up, and Mr. Cleary has requested you to do that, as you worked it out this morning. Proceed, Mr. Worden.

**MR. WORDEN:** As I understand the question, Mr. Chairman, you want the financial set-up on the first quarter cent gas tax?

**THE PRESIDENT:** Well, we are considering the entire matter of the Funston avenue approach, and principally the report of the committee that visited Sacramento, the Highway Commission, and entered into a tentative agreement with the State Highway Commissioners that San Francisco would bear one-third of the expense and the State two-thirds of the expense of the Funston avenue approach. Some of the members of the Board are under the impression that the Golden Gate Bridge District should bear some of the expense and San Francisco none of it; so to convert those members to the real situation, anything connected with the report will be permissible at this time.

**MR. WORDEN:** Well, Mr. Chairman and gentlemen of the Board: It was my privilege to be in Sacramento on a committee of city officials who visited Sacramento to discuss with the Highway Commission the matter of the Funston avenue approach. The culmination of that matter tentatively was the submission on the part of the Highway Commission that the State bear the burden of two-thirds of the cost of the approach and the city bear the burden of one-third of the cost. The estimate for the cost of the Funston avenue approach, as you have already been told, is \$1,650,000. Merely as a suggestion on the part of the Department of Public Works we have proposed that if in the wisdom of the Board of Supervisors the proposal made by the Highway Commission is favorably considered that the financing of that portion which would be the city's portion, or approximately one-half million dollars—slightly in excess of that—be taken from the first one-quarter cent gas tax allocation to the city. The first one-quarter cent produces for the city approximately one-half million dollars per year. The program that is set up by the Department of Public Works over a period of 10 years consumes all of the money that is anticipated to come in as revenue from the first one-quarter cent tax, and it would seem the logical plan to merely carry that program over an additional year and use the amount of that tax to take care of this city's portion of the Funston avenue approach.

**SUPERVISOR UHL:** Will you tell us what is number one on the program?

**MR. WORDEN:** Sloat boulevard, Route 55, from Thirty-ninth to Forty-second avenue.

**SUPERVISOR UHL:** And number two?

**MR. WORDEN:** Number two is—

**SUPERVISOR UHL:** Couldn't that wait nicely, number one? What is the objection to that?

**MR. WORDEN:** That is already finished.

**SUPERVISOR UHL:** Then what is next?

**MR. WORDEN:** Number two is Sloat, Skyline, Route 55, from Forty-first to the Lake Merced road, which is now in the course of construction.

**SUPERVISOR UHL:** So that is out.

**MR. WORDEN:** Yes. This program takes into consideration and



includes widening of Nineteenth, and also widening of Lombard from Lyon to Van Ness.

SUPERVISOR UHL: You don't mind an interruption at this time, do you?

MR. WORDEN: No.

SUPERVISOR UHL: What about Nineteenth? They are buying properties, and setting the line back, but what would be the objection to postponing that? How far have we gone on that?

MR. WORDEN: Property acquisition on Nineteenth avenue is being carried on; and set back lines have been set on Lombard. It is our idea that Lombard would not suffer by deferring it.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Where would Nineteenth avenue suffer?

MR. WORDEN: It wouldn't suffer except that the project has gone ahead, and probably acquisitions have been made there.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I would like to ask Mr. Worden, in connection with Nineteenth avenue, what was your original budget set-up as to the amount for that work?

MR. WORDEN: Nineteenth avenue, widening, \$2,857,000, including property acquisition and construction.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: How much has been spent to date in that construction?

MR. WORDEN: I haven't those figures, but I can get them for you.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Wouldn't it be comparable to Army street where you have completed a couple of blocks and are now carrying on?

MR. WORDEN: Yes, to some extent.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Why couldn't we undertake that with Nineteenth, to buy such property as we can and delay the purchase of half million dollars of that property?

MR. WORDEN: I believe that would be perfectly feasible; however, it would have to be with the consent and approval of the State, and this program could be probably modified in any way to give the city the use of the money.

SUPERVISOR UHL: From my point of view, I think it would be more desirable to favor Lombard than Nineteenth. When the Golden Gate Bridge is open, Lombard, in my judgment, should be widened at the very first opportunity. So I would favor the delay falling on Nineteenth avenue.

MR. WORDEN: Well, as these are all through highway routes, I think it might be advisable to hear from Colonel Skeggs.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: I rise to a point of order. Don't you think we should hear his full report? We are asking a lot of questions that may be answered through his report.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, the Chair is in a peculiar position. There is no legislation before us. We are discussing in a desultory sort of way the lack of the Funston avenue approach. I don't know just what to suggest. I thought there would be some legislation before us today. What is before us, as near as I can determine, is the ratification of the tentative agreement that the committee that went to Sacramento entered into with the Highway Commission; and that is that San Francisco bear one-third of the expense, and the State two-thirds of the expense, and if that happens, to determine what fund it shall come out of; but there is nothing before us, it is just a consideration of the Funston avenue approach.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: I think the principal thing we are interested in is the financial set-up; but I am going to make a motion that we adhere to the request of the Highway Commissioners of the State of California that San Francisco contribute one-third of the expense of the Funston avenue approach, in order to bring it before the Board.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there a second to that motion?

SUPERVISOR MEYER: There is a motion like that before the Board now.



THE PRESIDENT: I just asked the Clerk what was before the Board, and he said there is absolutely nothing before the Board.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: I make that motion, anyway.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: Second the motion.

THE PRESIDENT: It has been moved and regularly seconded that the Board of Supervisors go on record as favoring the city sharing one-third of the expense of the Funston avenue approach. Are you ready for the question? Supervisor Roncovieri?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Until we shall have exhausted every other means of getting this \$500,000, either from the State, in part or in whole, or from the bridge district in part or in whole, I shall vote against that. I am not opposed to it if in the final analysis it can be shown that the State can not keep its word because it lacks the money. It gave its word and said it would do so, and I don't like anybody, man or commission, that plays the baby act and says "I can't do it because I haven't the money." If that is the truth, they should have kept the money intact, because they did promise to do that work. And I shall object to this Board voting \$500,000 or more of the people's money for something that should be done by the State, or between the State and the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District—let them fight it out. I want to know if the bridge and highway district hasn't got the money, and I want to know why the bridge and highway district hasn't got the money. Didn't they consider when they first entered into this project that they would have to get on that bridge? Did they think they were going to build a bridge and leave it hanging in the air? Of course not. I am sure the people that voted the \$35,000,000 never thought so. However I shall not go into any discussion as to whether the State should pay it in whole or in part, or whether the bridge district should pay it in whole or in part. What I do know is I shall never vote for San Francisco to pay it until it is clearly proven that it can not be built out of funds other than San Francisco's funds. Of course, we must have it; I recognize that that is one of the things we have got to have, but if we are to be jockeyed into paying that money, I want to know by whom and why. Why haven't they got the money? They promised it, and surely there was an implied promise when the people voted \$35,000,000 that they would see to it that they could get on the bridge. For those reasons, if this comes up now I shall vote "No." It is purely a matter of financing. Shall we put the burden on the people of San Francisco, who have already contributed over \$400,000 out of tax money—

SUPERVISOR RATTO: That is the gasoline fund, not taxes.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: We paid over \$400,000 out of tax money. That is before you were here. It was a tax levy, commanded by the bridge district. I don't know that the first tax levy amounted to \$400,000, I am in doubt about that, but I do know from the Controller that \$427,000 the city spent, contributed from time to time to the bridge, and that is on top of the \$35,000,000, and that is on top of our 85 per cent obligation of that \$35,000,000. Now if they need the money, why haven't they got it? I want a complete statement brought here: Why haven't they got the money to do it? Why hasn't the State got the money to go ahead with their promise made by resolution two or three years ago that they would build this approach? If they used the money for other purposes then that is bad business on the part of the State, and it is not keeping faith with the people of San Francisco.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Mr. President, and members of the Board: I don't think this is the time for any argument on the part of the Board, the members of the Board. I want to say I am almost entirely in accord with Supervisor Roncovieri. I want to be shown, but I trust the members of the Board will allow the various officials here this afternoon to give us their views, and I trust Mr. Worden will be allowed to finish without any question; and other officials, state and



city, will be allowed the same privilege, and then let's take it up. It means a 6½ cent increase in our tax rate. However, we will take it up after we have heard from our officials.

THE PRESIDENT: If there is no objection, we will proceed.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: What happens to my motion?

THE PRESIDENT: Your motion is before us. We will now hear from the officials, then it will be taken in the hands of the Board. Mr. Worden, if you will proceed with your financial set-up.

MR. WORDEN: There is little more that I could present to you at this time. That is the financial set-up on the first quarter cent tax.

THE PRESIDENT: While we are on that, in connection with the first quarter cent tax, I want to ask Colonel Skeggs about the first quarter cent tax.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I would like to ask Mr. Worden, the first item on the quarter cent tax was the Sloat Boulevard Death Curve alignment, which has been completed?

MR. WORDEN: Yes.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: And the second is to connect up with the Lake Merced road?

MR. WORDEN: Yes.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Number three is the San Jose, Route Two from Alemany Boulevard to South City Limits?

MR. WORDEN: Yes.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: And four is also the San Jose avenue, and number four and a half is the project that was set up in order to take care of the diagonal, the Lombard street diagonal, Richardson avenue; then number five is the Nineteenth Avenue-Golden Gate Park crossing, Route 56.

MR. WORDEN: Yes.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: What is the status of three and four, are they under way?

MR. WORDEN: Property acquisition, they are under way.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: What comes after Nineteenth avenue?

MR. WORDEN: The Presidio Parkway, that is not under way.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: And number seven is what?—Well, how much money for each, as you go along?

MR. WORDEN: Presidio Parkway is set up at \$280,000.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: That is a part of the Funston avenue connection?

MR. WORDEN: Yes. The next one is Lombard, Lyon street, Route 2, Van Ness to Bay street, set up at approximately \$1,319,500, including property purchases; that is all of them.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Your income, you say, is about \$500,000 annually?

MR. WORDEN: Yes. That is a 10-year program.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Has that been materially increased in the past year?

MR. WORDEN: To some extent, but not materially. That totals \$5,342,800, the total of those figures set up. That is approximately a 10-year program and two years of that has gone, leaving approximately eight years remaining to complete all of this work.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: When you stopped talking, you were going to state how much the Nineteenth avenue expenditure had been completed to date.

MR. WORDEN: I haven't that detail here. I can secure it for you.

COLONEL SKEGGS: I can give you that, roughly. Under the agreement signed by this Board there was \$423,000 appropriated from the quarter cent gas tax fund; of that has been spent \$264,287; there remains unexpended \$158,700. That may be changed from day to day, because there are certain negotiations under way for purchase of right of way, but that is the last report I had on it.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: What is the set-up for next year?

COLONEL SKEGGS: It has not been set up. The program terminates June 30th. I might remind you that the estimated cost of that



to complete is \$2,434,000, and if you wait to buy that right of way, you may spend an extra half million dollars on right of way alone.

**SUPERVISOR BROWN:** What will it be on Lombard?

**COLONEL SKEGGS:** I didn't bring the estimate with me. It is \$1,319,000. There is available for spending now \$133,759; that means under last year's estimate, which are not this year's estimates, there will be required \$1,086,000 to complete it. I personally think we will require that much to buy the right of way alone.

**MR. WORDEN:** I made the statement that no purchases had been made on Lombard street as yet. That is correct?

**COLONEL SKEGGS:** Yes, but we diverted \$100,000 from Lombard street to Richardson avenue. The total estimated cost of Richardson avenue now, that was put in as project No. 4½, is \$428,000. I hope that will do the job, that is taking \$100,000 off of Lombard street. Now apparently during the past year the property required on that street out there appreciated \$100,000 within a year's time. Now if that happened in three blocks of property, what is going to happen on Lombard street, and on Nineteenth avenue? You will wind up with a total loss when you think you will be making a sufficient appropriation. I want to emphasize that before the Board.

**THE PRESIDENT:** I didn't get that?

**COLONEL SKEGGS:** I say estimates for the purchase of right of ways on Richardson were made about a year ago. When we wound up and brought the property it cost \$100,000 more than estimated, and that is three blocks. What is going to happen when you have the entire distance on Lombard street? That is a lot of money. The longer you wait the more it is going to cost you, because everybody knows real estate is bouncing up every day.

**THE PRESIDENT:** May I be permitted to ask the Colonel at this time what the prospects are for obtaining this money, this one-third of the cost, if the Board votes it,—what are the prospects of getting that out of the first quarter cent gas tax?

**COLONEL SKEGGS:** I don't think there is any possibility at all. I want to say this, that the State Highway Commission, if they desire to do so, they could allocate their entire first quarter cent to the building of that street. I may say that was done on building this short highway from the Oakland Bay Bridge to Richmond, that they took the quarter cent and applied it to that road which was built primarily for San Francisco. They took it from Berkeley, El Cerrito, Albany, Emeryville, and some from—no, none from Oakland. That was taken out of the quarter cent gas tax.

**THE PRESIDENT:** My question is, what is the possibility, in the event the Board votes favorable for Supervisor Ratto's motion, to take the money out of the first quarter cent gas tax? And I understood the Colonel to say if the State desired they could take the entire amount out of the first quarter cent, is that correct?

**COLONEL SKEGGS:** Yes, they could do that, but the State says this, it has been our policy, and I have heard it discussed—these various highways are state highways, like Nineteenth, and Lombard, and I believe the money ought to be proportioned, and apparently you gentlemen have agreed to that, we certainly have, and I am sure in view of the allocations and programs made heretofore that the California Highway Commission and the Director of Public Works would be unwilling to use any of the first quarter cent gas tax on this project you have under consideration today.

**THE PRESIDENT:** Have you any suggestion as to the best method of apportionment, with your knowledge of the allocations the Board has made, in the event the motion Supervisor Ratto has made is carried?

**COLONEL SKEGGS:** It appears to me that your participation should be taken from your second quarter cent, or your one cent gas tax.

**THE PRESIDENT:** Over a period of five years?



COLONEL SKEGGS: I don't think you can run over that period.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I have been trying to get the floor.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, I realize that. Supervisor Brown.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I would like to ask Colonel Skeggs a question. As I understand this set-up, to review it briefly for the Board, the program, number one, is completed; number two is under way; and number three and four are under way; and number five, Nineteenth avenue widening is under way to the extent of the expenditure of about \$254,000 out of an estimated \$2,857,000. Now as I can see the thing, Nineteenth avenue widening is supposed to be an extension of Route 101, isn't that correct?

COLONEL SKEGGS: Yes.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Supposed to lead through San Francisco, and supposed to lead as Route 101 is projected across the Golden Gate Bridge?

COLONEL SKEGGS: Well, that is the connection.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: And in order to connect with the Golden Gate Bridge?

COLONEL SKEGGS: That is probably the most important road you are working on today in San Francisco.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Exactly. In other words, we propose to spend \$2,857,000 on widening Nineteenth avenue, and leave out Funston avenue approach, so you have the Nineteenth avenue beautifully widened and no connection with the bridge. I think that is plain. At least it should be to all the members here. We spend nearly \$3,000,000 to handle the bridge traffic and the bridge traffic is unable to get on to the bridge from Nineteenth avenue. I can't see any logic in that. Whether it costs us one-third, or what proportion, the actual widening operation is beside the point. The point is Nineteenth avenue now has no connection with the Golden Gate Bridge, and it should be connected with the bridge before we talk about widening it. The money we have spent today has not been wasted. It has been spent in the acquisition of property which can be eventually used for that purpose; but before we spend any more money widening Nineteenth avenue the city should be certain that we have a connection between Nineteenth avenue and the bridge; and that as Colonel Skeggs has stated is the most important road we are working on in San Francisco today.

COLONEL SKEGGS: When the money was set up for Nineteenth avenue the bridge was not taken into consideration, because that was recommended as one of the most important city roads, notwithstanding the Golden Gate Bridge.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Let me correct you on that, Colonel Skeggs: When the money was set up this Board was under the impression that the State Highway Commission had committed itself to the building of the Funston avenue approach, and it would not be necessary to apply any of that money to the building of the Funston avenue approach. Now we find ourselves in a position where the State Highway Commission is not going to do that; and it seems to me the logical source of that money is from this first quarter cent, and it should be taken from the money allocated to the widening of Nineteenth avenue which is the next project that can be undertaken.

THE PRESIDENT: Members, there is a special order on the calendar for four o'clock, the consideration of the McClintock-traffic report which takes precedent over the matter in hand. I would like to ask the members of the board, what is their desire?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Will it be our purpose to temporarily postpone this in order that we may take up the special order of business?

THE PRESIDENT: That is what the Chair wants to know.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I move that we take up the McClintock report. I would like later on to hear from Mr. Worden on the quarter cent gas tax, and on the financial set-up of the Funston avenue approach.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I hope that motion won't prevail. With all due respect to these gentlemen who are here in connection with



rapid transit matters, this is a very vital subject to the city of San Francisco, and if you don't take some action in reference to the Funston avenue approach we will have the bridge built and no connection for it, whereas if we do take some definite step at the present time to complete this agreement with the State, so that they may go ahead with their plans, we can then, we are told, get a temporary connection from the Army which will suffice for the time being. I think it is important that this Board take action on this matter today.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cleary would like to say a few words.

MR. CLEARY: I agree with Supervisor Brown that an expression of policy on your part today is of vital importance because the Highway Commission meets on the 8th of January to settle whether they will give us this two-thirds, or whether we want it. After all, Supervisor Uhl, and all of you gentlemen, whether we take it from the first quarter cent gas tax or the second quarter cent is a matter of detail. If you determine on the policy of providing one-third of the cost of the Funston avenue approach, the financing is a matter of detail. In answer to Supervisor Roncovieri, and Supervisor McSheehy, who suggest that we should take no—that your Honorable Board should take no action until you have exhausted every means of getting this money from the State, or from the bridge and highway district, it is my understanding that the bridge district can not legally spend its money for this purpose. I would like the City Attorney to check me up on that, but I think that is the case, and I think that the negotiations which we have pursued with the State to date have been instrumental in getting as much as we can look for from that source; and while I would like to see somebody else pay the bill, naturally, I think we have gone about as far as we can. If any of you gentlemen doubt it, you might attend the meeting of the Highway Commission in Sacramento on January 8th to see what can be done. However, I really think, gentlemen, that the matter of the detail as to where the money will come from is rather secondary, if you can see your way to determine a policy of providing one-third of the cost, and leave the State to pay two-thirds.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor McSheehy.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: May I ask Mr. Cleary a direct question? You heard Supervisor Brown make a statement a minute ago that the State Highway Commission have promised to erect the Funston avenue approach?

MR. CLEARY: May I correct that statement to this extent, Supervisor McSheehy. I think I remember the exact language of the so-called promise or resolution of 1930, seven years ago approximately. The State Highway committed themselves to provide the money for the Funston avenue approach, if the Golden Gate Bridge were constructed, within the limits of their financial ability so to do. It had a restriction which really vitiated the entire resolution, as some of the Supervisors who are here recall—they protested vigorously against that modifying clause in the agreement to provide the money, and said that that clause would really nullify the whole thing if a new Board of Highway Commissioners decided that we had to pay the entire cost.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Well, Mr. Cleary, when you say within their limits, suppose you were told—and I know you are very well informed—suppose you were told that the financial conditions of our State today are healthier than they have been in the last five years; and in place of a deficit they have a surplus. Then I would ask you, as our City Manager, would you countenance the City undertaking a \$500,000 expenditure that the State promised they would make seven years ago?

MR. CLEARY: Not if I thought there was any hope of getting the State to pay the entire bill; but the State's very able representative is here in Colonel Skeggs, and we have had a pronouncement from the State Highway Commission, and from the Supervisors who attended that meeting, from every public official from San Francisco, who are



of the opinion that we have gotten as much as we could expect at this time. Now I have no objection, if you desire to delay it, to let a delegation of your Honorable Board go to Sacramento and see what it can do; but I feel this way, the City Engineer, the Director of Works, Supervisor Shannon, Supervisor Brown, Supervisor Ratto, and myself have really gotten about the best bargain we can from the State.

**SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY:** Well, I want to say to the membership of this Board that I would feel we were making a great mistake if we assume a \$500,000 burden and place it on the people of this City after the State of California assuming that obligation, and especially in the light of the financial position it occupies today. It is a burden of \$500,000, or 6½ cents in our tax rate. Are we going to countenance it? Yes or no? The responsibility is on the Board. We are the direct representatives of the people, and we should be shown that the State is in no position to meet this obligation before attempting to put it on the people of San Francisco. It is a promise, it is a contract, and to me a contract is something that I look upon as a vital matter, and something one should always keep, and we have made a contract, and we went into a contract with our State, no matter how they happen to modify the language—and even under the modification of the language they are in a position to meet this responsibility. Now if you want to raise the tax rate 6½ cents, go ahead and raise it. You will raise it, because your Mayor will bring in a report showing certain figures where this money could be placed in other years and the tax bears a relation to that. I am not in favor of raising the burden already borne by the property owners of our city 6½ cents to meet an obligation that belongs to the State of California, and especially after the State of California has placed the licenses that it has on the people of this State.

**MR. CLEARY:** May I reply to you, Supervisor, that if your conclusion were based on the proper premise there might be some logic to it; but you are just 100 per cent wrong when you assume——

**SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY:** Show me where I am wrong?

**MR. CLEARY:** —— when you assume this will be an increase in our tax rate. This money does not figure in our tax rate at all.

**SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY:** I beg to differ with you, Mr. City Manager, it does. It is money that comes to our people direct as a license from the gas that is consumed by our people here in San Francisco in proportion to the number of automobiles that we have in our city, and if we don't carry out our street program one way we will carry it out another way, and indirectly it will become a tax on our people. It is money coming to our City on account of the number of automobiles and the amount of gasoline consumed by the automobiles.

(Thereupon Supervisor John M. Ratto acted as Chairman of the meeting.)

**SUPERVISOR SHANNON:** May I at this time try to straighten out or convert Supervisors McSheehy and Roncovieri by going back a little over the history, and the reason for it, and the reason for the committee from this Board, and the committee from the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, going to Sacramento to endeavor to straighten out the entire situation. When I went to Sacramento I had my mind fully made up that I was going to make the State live up to its obligation of 1930, and have them pay 100 per cent. We had in mind the attitude of the Army officials at the Presidio, that they would not agree to the seventh line that was laid out—the State Highway Commission officials laid out seven different lines before they could get the Army officials to even tentatively agree that they would follow this line, and then only on condition that plans and specifications for this line or route would be laid before them. Then the State Highway Commission laid down the edict that they wanted San Francisco to participate in the cost. When we went to Sacramento we had in mind the attitude of the Army officials, and as Golden Gate Bridge directors Mr. Brown and myself were anxious to see that part of the



contract started, to get the plans and specifications that were necessary to reach an agreement. The State Highway Commission agreed to draw the plans and specifications. Then they showed us under the language Mr. Cleary has just referred to in the resolution of 1930, that the State would pay as far as its ability to pay went. They called attention to the fact that since 1930 they had constructed 7000 more miles of highway; that San Francisco had been given an additional quarter cent in two years, in other words we are getting a half cent more from the gas tax than we were getting in 1930; they called attention to the fact that they have 58 counties to handle and San Francisco is only one county. They were anxious to assist in every way possible, but they wanted us as fair-minded officials to go along with the department; they called attention to the fact that we were getting a half cent more, and they were getting a half cent less than they did in 1930. The arbitrary figure of two-thirds and one-third was suggested by Mr. Harry Hopkins, the chairman of the Commission. Mr. Brown suggested, and he had figures to back him up, that he thought one-quarter and three-quarters would be a more equal division; but the tentative agreement arrived at by the City officials, and the State officials, who represent you, Mr. Worden, Mr. Cleary, Mr. Brown, Mr. Ratto and myself, was one-third and two-thirds. Now regarding Supervisor Roncovieri's statement as to why the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District should not participate in the expenditure, that is out of the question for two reasons. First of all, the bond issue called for the building of a bridge and the highways leading to it. The highways specified in the bond issue are being constructed, and the Funston avenue approach was not included. Regarding the statement of Supervisor Roncovieri that the bridges are left up in the air, that is not true. The bridge will be built to Lyon street, and if San Francisco does not participate in the Funston avenue approach, the people can fan out in any way that the San Francisco officials desire to have them fan out. The State officials and the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway officials are coordinating in the Lyon street approach, via Richardson, a new street; but the approach to Funston avenue is something that is entirely out of the hands of the Bridge and Highway District. If San Francisco and the State do not want to build that approach, why the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District are in the unfortunate position of not being able to help. Of course, it is going to reflect itself in the loss of business to the bridge, and San Francisco will suffer, but as far as the Golden Gate Bridge District participating, it is out of the question because they can not spend the money. I feel that it is important that we get busy on this matter at once. I think if you hesitate in taking action today on the motion made by Supervisor Ratto, who attended the meeting in Sacramento, you will have a loss, the Highway Commission will not know how to proceed, the plans and specifications which it is agreed will be paid for by the State to the extent of \$5,000 will be tied up, and the Golden Gate Bridge will be embarrassed pending the decision of this Board of Supervisors and any committee which you may desire to send to Sacramento in an endeavor to convert the Highway Commission to the fact that they were wrong, and the committee representing San Francisco were wrong in entering into a tentative agreement to pay one-third of the cost.

**SUPERVISOR BROWN:** I would like, very heartily, to second everything that Supervisor Shannon has said; and I would like also to point out to the Board this, that 20 per cent of the estimated traffic across the bridge, more or less, is expected to use the Funston avenue approach; that if you reduce the traffic across the bridge by 20 per cent you will correspondingly reduce the income to the bridge by that percentage, if that percentage of people are unable to use the approach, you will cost the City and County of San Francisco 20 per cent of \$150,000 a month, the estimated revenue of the bridge; and the only way that can be made up is by a tax on the district, and San Francisco



will bear 85 per cent of the tax. So a failure to act now will have an effect on San Francisco which can amount to as much as \$25,000 a month. So I can't urge too strenuously on the Board that they follow the course suggested by Mr. Cleary and adopt this in principle, leaving the question of where the money is to come from for later decision. I had in mind holding out for the one to three ratio, as I believed that would be fairer, but I believe the situation is so critical that it is good business on the part of San Francisco to accept the offer of the State as made, and agree to pay one-third of the total cost. I think we can with propriety leave the question of where the money is coming from to a later date, but we should decide the principle now.

**SUPERVISOR RATTO (The Chairman):** I failed to say that Commissioner Gasper called on me last Friday, and up to now there hasn't been any formal action of the Board on this matter. There will be a delegation from Los Angeles at the meeting Friday asking for a million dollars additional to their allotment, and they are going to be there 100 per cent strong. He stated he has probably three votes, two of them he is absolutely positive of, and if we don't act on this today so we can present our case before the Highway Commissioners on Friday—and I have designated 11 o'clock as the time of our appointment, because there will be appointments right along throughout the day, San Rafael on their approach has an appointment, Los Angeles has an appointment, and several others, so I stated our committee should be appointed to appear there at 11 o'clock. As far as the agreement is concerned, we went before the Commissioners, this was only a friendly agreement, and the vote on this two-thirds is coming up next Friday. So I hope that the members will pass on this motion so we will have something to talk about when we go to Sacramento next Friday.

**SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI:** Mr. Chairman, I can't possibly conceive that a Highway Commission of this State would go back on its promise—

**THE CHAIRMAN:** Pardon me. At this point I forgot to say that the Legislature has added 6800 miles of secondary road, that means \$6,000,000 a year for maintenance out of their fund, then an additional half a cent, that makes \$20,000,000 a year that they are losing since they made that agreement, so we are in turn getting a half a cent more than we were when they made the agreement, that is all money that comes to San Francisco and takes care of our highways and our major streets.

**SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI:** What did you mean when you said that he had three votes?

**THE CHAIRMAN:** There are five commissioners, and they are going to vote on this matter.

**SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI:** Two are going to vote against giving us anything?

**THE CHAIRMAN:** The Highway Commission will vote on it.

**SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI:** What do you mean when you say Commissioner Gasper said he had three votes? Does that mean that the other members are going to vote against their word?

**THE CHAIRMAN:** They have not committed themselves.

**SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI:** Well, suppose they had five who said they wouldn't give us anything. Then what would you think of a Highway Commission that obligates itself a few years ago to pay the whole bill?

**THE CHAIRMAN:** I will ask Colonel Skeggs what would happen?

**COLONEL SKEGGS:** I think I can explain what he means when he says that. The Highway Commission is composed of five members, and as you probably all know the gas tax is divided between the north and the south. Los Angeles isn't always particularly interested in what happens up north; they say you can spend the money as you see fit in the north. There are three Highway Commissioners that are northern California men, and they are the ones who dictate how



the money shall be spent in the northern counties, and the ones down there dictate how it shall be spent down there. I think probably he means he knows that three of them have expressed themselves; personally I don't think any of them are going to be unfavorable.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: May I ask one or two questions of Colonel Skeggs? About how much money does the entire gasoline tax amount to in the State of California?

COLONEL SKEGGS: I don't know. I would have to run over that, as it amounts to about, I imagine, \$14,000,000 a year, three times that; then in addition to that there are certain fees, and certain government aid.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Isn't that money allocated by law?

COLONEL SKEGGS: No, sir, the gas tax is allocated to the various counties on the registration of automobiles in the county, the rest is not allocated, it goes to form the secondary highways of the State.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Isn't there an allocation throughout the entire State and there is a set-up of figures for every county in the State?

COLONEL SKEGGS: No, sir, that is not so. There will be some counties in northern California that won't get any of it; they will have their roads maintained, but nothing for new construction.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I understand that, and I understand there is a program set out between the five commissioners, when you say they have this right, or that, they sit down and allocate a program of construction in the entire State.

COLONEL SKEGGS: That is set up by law.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Therefore they have to respect the law.

COLONEL SKEGGS: They have to respect the law so far as the division between the north and the south is concerned. There is no statute limitation as to how they spend the money in these two different territories.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I understand that; but there is an allocation of the money?

COLONEL SKEGGS: Well, the allocation of money is made on road needs. While I am on my feet, and this is by way of substantiation of the statement of Mr. Cleary, and by way of reply to Supervisor McSheehy: You have been told that the highway system has doubled their mileage since this partial commitment of the Highway Commission—because after all that is all it was. Now, in addition to that San Francisco has received a revenue which they had never received before. Up to the present time the City and County of San Francisco has received approximately \$3,000,000 that it had never received before at the time of this resolution of the State Highway Commission.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Over what length of time have we received that money?

COLONEL SKEGGS: Well, you have received the first quarter-cent four years, the second one two years; that is about \$3,000,000.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Over four years' time?

COLONEL SKEGGS: Up to July 1st this year you will have received \$3,000,000. I would like to say to the Board that the estimated revenue to the city from all gas tax during the next two-year period. I will read it by years—during the next year the City and County of San Francisco will receive approximately \$230,000 from the motor vehicle fees; they will receive from their one-cent gas tax approximately \$905,000; they will receive from the first quarter cent \$525,000; and an equal amount from the second quarter cent. Now, for the year beginning July 1st, coming, the city will receive \$2,185,000. Now for the next fiscal year from motor vehicle fees they will receive \$245,000; from the one-cent gas tax which goes by pro ration to the cities and counties, \$953,000; and from the quarter-cent gas tax, \$545,000; and the second quarter-cent gas tax a like amount; or a total of \$2,270,000;

and for the biennial period the next two years, beginning July 1st, the City will receive from all gas tax revenue according to that, \$4,455,000.

**SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY:** These allocations have already been set up by law?

**COLONEL SKEGGS:** Oh, yes, the gas tax is set up by law; but I wanted to point out to you, when you stand on a commitment made four years ago, that the entire gas tax allocation has been changed; that prior to that, of revenue you did not have, you will have collected approximately \$5,000,000 revenue that you did not have at all before.

**THE CHAIRMAN:** Supervisor Roncovieri?

**SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI:** Regardless of how much money we have received over the past years, a commitment was made in writing and to me that commitment was not a scrap of paper, and if I were a member of the State Highway Commission I would stick to my text, to what I promised to do, and would do so regardless of how much money San Francisco is now getting, rather than now to say you are getting plenty of money, let us out of one-third of the expense. That has nothing to do with it. They made their promise, they should keep it. Adverting again to the Golden Gate Bridge, Supervisor Brown says 20 per cent of the traffic will use the Funston avenue approach, and he proceeds to tell you how much money that would amount to. If that is true, is it possible that the engineers when they said they needed \$35,000,000 for the bridge, that they didn't think—didn't know that they would have to build that Funston avenue approach, or else they were going to lose 20 per cent of the traffic? Of course not. They knew very well that they would have to build that approach. They knew then, and estimated probably that the traffic would amount to 20 per cent of the entire bridge traffic. Now they tell us, well, we never considered building the Funston avenue approach. They are mighty bad engineers if they did not consider building an approach which would bring a net revenue of 20 per cent of the traffic. They were poor engineers if they did that.

**SUPERVISOR BROWN:** Mr. Chairman?

**THE CHAIRMAN:** Supervisor Roncovieri has the floor.

**SUPERVISOR BROWN:** I would like to explain that to you.

**SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI:** I will be happy for you to do so now.

**SUPERVISOR BROWN:** If you get the chronology of the thing properly you will appreciate that the engineers did foresee the thing you describe. The history of it is this: That prior to the time the bond issue was submitted to the voters of the district this agreement was entered into with the highway district that they would build both north and south highway approaches; so the engineers did go to Sacramento and get the commitment of the Highway Commission, and that was not included because the State at that time had agreed to build that.

**SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI:** And that is the point involved, that the road had been provided for by the State Highway Commission commitment and I think the commitment made by the Commission was a far reaching commitment. So the road was provided for. I should like to ask you if the bridge district has no money with which to do this work—that is the one-third, the State will put up its two-thirds—has the bridge district any money left at all, have they expended it all?

**SUPERVISOR BROWN:** I made inquiry just the other day of the Chairman of the Finance Committee of the bridge, who told me that they believed that the bridge would be built within the bond issue; but included in the bond issue is a provision for the first six months' interest, which according to law must be provided. Aside from that one item it is my information that the funds made available



by the bond issue will be substantially used up. There will be a slight margin, but it will not be enough to take care of this.

**SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI:** How much money was paid as extras to your engineer, unforeseen, except that he came in and said he needed so much money, so many hundred thousand more—wouldn't that take care of it?

**SUPERVISOR BROWN:** I wouldn't like to state definitely on that without consulting the record, because I wasn't with the bridge district from the start, as you know, but it is my unofficial information that it would amount to about \$200,000. There is a further judgment against the bridge district of about \$250,000 which is still in dispute.

**SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI:** Well, I don't understand what sort of a contract was ever made that a man can come in, and after he gets over a million dollars it is, and get another fee, another juicy piece of about \$500,000 more. I don't understand that contract. However, Mr. Cleary did say something about we were stopped from asking the bridge district for any money according to law. What was that?

**MR. CLEARY:** Well, it is my understanding that the various items that were included in the \$35,000,000 bond issue were each included for a specific purpose, and that there was no item included for the Funston avenue approach, and that therefore it would be illegal to utilize the bond moneys even if there are any in the treasury, for that purpose.

**SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI:** Even if there is money in the treasury. You did say a little while ago it would be illegal to use it. I would like to ask that question of the City Attorney, if Mr. Cleary is right. I would like to have the clerk ask that question of the City Attorney: Would it be illegal for the bridge district to spend any of its money, even if it had plenty of money, would it be illegal to contribute anything to the Funston approach? If it is illegal, why we are through; but I still believe that the State should stand by its signature and not come in now and say we have more obligations put on us, we have so many hundred thousand miles, or we have to do a little log rolling in the southern end of the State. If that is going to be the sort of thing, I am not going to vote in favor of it.

(Thereupon Supervisor Warren Shannon, President of the Board, resumed the Chairmanship of the meeting.)

**SUPERVISOR RATTO:** Mr. President, may I ask Colonel Skaggs what will be the situation should my motion not prevail?

**COLONEL SKEGGS:** I don't think the Commission will take any further action, that they will let it lay as it has for the past two years.

**SUPERVISOR RATTO:** Well, Mr. President, the Funston avenue bridge approach has been before every improvement association in the city, and request has come from all over that street that we take action. I certainly hope that the Board will not vote this down and cause another delay just on account of the Board of Supervisors not acceding with the request of the committee to pass this motion.

**SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI:** I move that this whole matter be postponed until two o'clock tomorrow afternoon so there will be no delay; we can all be here, and we can have the opinion of the City Attorney.

**THE PRESIDENT:** Supervisor McSheehy?

**SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY:** We are sitting here today as a Board of Supervisors of this city. We have the pleasure here this afternoon of the company of a great many directors of some of the mercantile institutes of this city. Our position is similar to theirs. We here in San Francisco occupy a small peninsula of only 42 square miles. We haven't a road in our city, they are all streets, and up to a few years ago we didn't consider those streets as highways, and the money we used to get for the gas tax was used for that purpose. Then a certain member conceived the idea that that money should only be spent for

major highways, and those conditions have continued, we find ourselves through the law, through the law of your State legislature, in which this City receives as its portion of the gas tax \$285,000, and we don't have to thank anybody for that only the people of San Francisco and those who own automobiles that contribute to \$2,085,000, and for what purpose? For certain purposes set up, and we set up a program last year to do major work on our streets to the tune of \$4,000,000. Now this great, large, generous State comes to us and says, "Set aside part of your program and allow us \$500,000 to build this Funston avenue approach to the Golden Gate Bridge." Now we know, and there is no denying, that they positively agreed to furnish this money some six years ago, and they did it with a proviso and even under that proviso it is shown that they are in a healthy financial position to meet that obligation. Their representative, Colonel Skeggs, comes here this afternoon and says this is the condition—I don't blame him, he is doing his work, of course he is just doing the work that the Governor of the State tells him to do, to go to San Francisco and get \$500,000. They say our obligation doesn't mean anything, but it means a lot to me as a member of the Board of Supervisors representing the people of San Francisco, and I think it ought to mean a lot to the Governor of this State, to keep his word. We are a part and parcel of the State of California. Now, Members, it is up to you. The previous speaker, Supervisor Ratto, says some of the improvement clubs have requested this. As a matter of fact I didn't even know until two weeks ago the exact status of this matter, and I daresay if you went around to the improvement clubs you wouldn't find one club out of twenty that knew it was the obligation of the State of California and not of the City and County of San Francisco. We who are living here in San Francisco are confronted with enough obstacles without giving \$500,000 of our money to the State. He says if you don't pass this that work will remain, as my friends Andrew Gallagher used to say, in "statute quo". But it won't remain in status quo, and all of you gentlemen know that. Now, Members of this Board, who are you representing? Are you representing the tax payers of the City and County of San Francisco, or are you representing, you might say, a Governor of this State who is not keeping his obligation? If you are, very well, the record will show, and some day you will have to explain; we all give an accounting of our stewardship, and the record will show; so I say to you Members, vote the motion down and Colonel Skeggs will take the message back, and you will find that Funston avenue will be completed, and we will be able to complete our set-up of the major streets that we agreed to some few years ago, and the State's promise will be kept. As I said before, the State of California is in a financial position today to meet its obligations, and it is in that position because it taxed you, and taxed all of us, under certain conditions to meet a condition that existed some few years ago when there was a deficit. It is well enough for some people to go to Sacramento and agree to certain things, that is their opinion. I have no difference with our worthy president, but I have a criticism for the State of California which is attempting to avoid their contract, and a contract with me is a contract, once given it will not be broken, and I am not going to be a party to a broken contract with the State of California in reference to an obligation of \$500,000 due the City and County of San Francisco.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask Supervisor McSheehy two questions, through the Chair? You do favor the Funston avenue approach being constructed?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I do. It has to be. It is an artery.

SUPERVISOR UHL: So much for that. Now you heard the statement of Mr. Cleary, of Supervisor Shannon, and Supervisors Brown and Ratto, as to having been before that Highway Commission, and that they say they will not build it unless San Francisco contributes one-third. You heard that. Now the question is, then, are you willing to



assume that responsibility if we block the construction of the road, and leave the thing up in the air,—are you willing to assume the responsibility?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: May I ask you a question, Supervisor Uhl: Did you ever hear of the word "bluff"?

SUPERVISOR UHL: I want to say to you, Supervisor McSheehy, that—I will answer your question, you didn't answer mine—but I will answer yours. That these gentlemen went before this Highway Commission, and as far as I am concerned I don't know the word "bluff" on the part of that Highway Commission.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Well, you do know what a contract is.

SUPERVISOR UHL: It is not a contract; and another thing, conditions have changed. It is regrettable that the Highway Commission is not in a position to allow the cost, but—

THE PRESIDENT: Do you consider that your questions have been answered?

SUPERVISOR UHL: No, they have not.

THE PRESIDENT: Do you want Supervisor McSheehy to continue? I am liable to bring this debate to a close.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I will answer his question. "Consistency, thou art a jewel"—consistency, thou art a mule. The previous speaker, if there ever was a member that went around our City broadcasting the statement that he favored low taxation, it was the previous speaker, but the record don't bear out that statement, because if you will go to the record it will show the exact opposite. The word "bluff" I have used, I will use it again, and I will continue to use it, because I consider this is nothing but a pure bluff on the part of the State of California to have the City and County of San Francisco expend \$500,000 of money that the State of California should spend for this purpose after they have made their promise. Members of the Board, vote as you please, but you will answer for it in the record.

SUPERVISOR UHL: It is a long talk, but it don't answer my question.

THE PRESIDENT: Just a minute. I would like to ask if there is any other member of the Board who has not discussed this subject who would like to have the floor? Supervisor Brown.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Supervisor McSheehy used the word "bluff" in connection with this matter, and I presume the Supervisor has played some poker in his day?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: No, I never played, scarcely, in my life.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Well, to enlighten the Supervisor on the game of poker, sometimes a man bluffs with an ace in the hole; and in this case, if this be a bluff, I will assure you they have an ace in the hole—

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I want to call their hand!

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Their ace in the hole is this: That if we do not take action on this matter today and the Highway Commission allows the matter to stand in the status in which it finds itself, the Golden Gate Bridge will open without the Funston avenue approach, or without any temporary substitute. On the other hand, if we take action today we are then practically assured of at least a temporary approach over the Funston avenue approach at the time the bridge is opened, because informally the various parties have agreed that temporary approach is feasible and can be provided. I do not doubt that over a period of years the Highway Commission could by popular sentiment be required to complete the Funston avenue approach without contribution by the City and County of San Francisco, but looking at the matter practically from the standpoint of the City and County of San Francisco, I believe that the money can be well spent to invest \$500,000 or thereabouts as the City's share of this approach road

because the very least delay you would expect would be four years. The Highway Commission tell us they have no funds in the next biennium whatsoever, which means 1937 to 1939; and that if the funds were available in the 1939 biennium the fact that a tunnel forms a part of this road would mean a delay of at least another year or two years, so you have a delay of at least four years and at \$25,000 a month you would lose many times your \$500,000 investment if you don't contribute your share.

THE PRESIDENT: Are you ready for the motion?

SUPERVISOR MEAD: The question?

THE PRESIDENT: Call the roll.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I would like to ask that the question be read.

THE PRESIDENT: The question is the motion of Supervisor Ratto that San Francisco agree to participate in one-third of the cost of the approach of the Golden Gate Bridge—of the Funston avenue approach. I should say.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: May I ask that the Board approve a stenographic transcript of this record to be made a part of this Journal, and published in next week's Journal?

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any objection? (No response.) So ordered.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Well, if you are going to do that, I want to explain my vote. That I do not look upon this as a direct tax upon the people. It is not going to increase the tax rate, but it comes out of the gas tax, and therefore I am going to vote in favor of the matter.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Brown.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Briefly, I would like to explain my vote. I think the one point in the whole thing is this: When the commitment was made by the Highway Commission they had one half cent more funds than they have at the present time, and those additional funds were taken away from them not by their own act but by the act of the Legislature. They are, therefore, hampered in ability to perform as compared with 1930, and that is the only reason why I believe the City and County of San Francisco is required to contribute.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I would like to explain my vote. I differ with the Supervisor as to the financial condition of the State of California. The State of California is healthier today than it has been in the past eight years. They are in a position financially to meet their obligations. All you have to do is to examine their finances and you will find what I have said is accurate. Therefore they are not keeping faith with San Francisco with respect to the obligation they made in 1930. For those reasons I am going to vote "No" in reference to this appropriation.

THE PRESIDENT: Call the roll.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Brown?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Colman?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Mead?

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Meyer?

SUPERVISOR MEYER: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Ratto?

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Roncovieri?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Schmidt?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Shannon?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Aye.



THE CLERK: Supervisor Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Aye.

THE CLERK: Eight "Ayes," two "Noes," one vacancy.

THE PRESIDENT: Carried.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Now may I ask a committee be appointed to attend the meeting of the State Highway Commission at eleven o'clock next Friday?

THE PRESIDENT: I was under the impression that you made the same motion at the last meeting.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: No, that is—that was for the last meeting. This is a committee to meet the Highway Commission next Friday.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, I think the same committee that went up there. I will appoint the same committee.

### SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.

#### Report of Dr. Miller McClintock on Street Connections and Developments.

At 4 p. m. the Board of Supervisors proceeded to consider the report of Dr. Miller McClintock on street connections and developments.

Theodore M. Matson, representing Dr. McClintock, read the report at length, explaining and commenting on the various parts of it in passing. The cutting through of Fifth street across Market on a diagonal to Mason and Eddy streets was recommended as urgent and the first thing that should be done to permit free ingress into the triangle district of vehicular traffic coming off the transbay bridge at Fifth and Harrison streets.

Timothy Healy, attorney for the Bridge Traffic Committee, representing property owners and merchants on both sides of Market street. He opposed the proposed extension of Fifth street across Market and diagonally to Eddy and Mason as being unnecessary and the expense unwarranted for the amount of automobile traffic having the triangle district for its destination. He opposed it also as being detrimental to street-car operation and to pedestrian traffic which, he said, is more dense at this point than anywhere in California.

D. Nicholson, representing the triangle shopping district, and Andrew J. Gallagher, representing the Southern Promotion Association, were also heard.

#### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the discussion was on motion *continued until Thursday, January 7, 1937, at 2 p. m.*

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

#### Appropriation of \$10,500 for Truck Hire for the Department of Public Works.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1193, Ordinance No. 9.051338, as follows:

Appropriating \$10,500 from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00, for truck hire for the Department of Public Works; \$9,500 to the credit of Appropriation No. 643.203.00, Bureau of Sewer Repair, and \$1,000 to the credit of Appropriation No. 642.203.00, Bureau of Street Cleaning.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$10,500 is hereby set aside from Emergency

Reserve Fund Appropriation No. 602.900.00, for truck hire for the Department of Public Works; \$9,500 to the credit of Appropriation No. 643.203.00, Bureau of Sewer Repair, and \$1,000 to the credit of Appropriation No. 642.203.00, Bureau of Street Cleaning.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund, as it is necessary to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Bureaus of Sewer Repair and Street Cleaning, Department of Public Works, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an emergency exists, due to an increase in rates for truck hire established by the California Railroad Commission, which makes the funds heretofore appropriated insufficient for the purpose.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

### **Amending Salary Ordinance, Board of Education.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1194, Ordinance No. 9.05392, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 9.05380, commonly called the Annual Salary Ordinance, by adding Section No. 81½ thereto.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 9.05380 is hereby amended to include Section No. 81½, to read as follows:

#### **Section 81½. BOARD OF EDUCATION NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Monthly Rate
1			Referees and Umpires @ \$1 to \$3 per game, as required.	

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

### **Action Deferred.**

The following matter was, on motion, *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for 2:15 p. m.:*

### **Reducing License Fee on Apartment Houses.**

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 1195, Ordinance No. 3.04166, as follows:

Amending Section 12 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled "Imposing License Taxes on Certain Businesses, Callings, Trades or Employments Within the City and County of San Francisco," in effect July 1, 1920, by reducing the license fee on apartment houses and repealing Section 2 of Bill No. 13, Ordinance No. 3.0412, entitled "Inspection Fee Ordinance," and repealing all ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflict therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 12 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 12. Every person, firm, partnership or corporation maintaining, conducting or operating an apartment house shall pay an annual license fee as follows, to defray the cost of inspection and regulation by the Division of Housing Inspection of the Department of Public Health, Fire Prevention Bureau and Bureau of Licenses, and no permits of occupancy shall be issued by the Division of Housing



Inspection therefor without said license first having been had and obtained.

Apartment houses of less than 20 rooms, \$4 per annum;  
Apartment houses of 20 to 29 rooms, \$6 per annum;  
Apartment houses of 30 to 39 rooms, \$8 per annum;  
Apartment houses of 40 to 49 rooms, \$10 per annum;  
Apartment houses of 50 rooms or over, \$12 per annum.

Said license fee for the fiscal year 1935-1936, shall be due and payable on the effective date of this ordinance and subsequent fiscal years shall be due and payable on the first day of October of each year. No deduction in the amount of said license fee herein provided for shall be allowed although the time for which it is paid is less than a full year.

This inspection and regulation shall be made by the Division of Housing Inspection of the Department of Public Health and the Fire Prevention Bureau at least once a year and as often thereafter as may be deemed necessary.

For the purpose of this ordinance an apartment house shall be deemed to be any building or portion thereof, more than one story in height, which is designed, built, rented, leased, let or hired out to be occupied, or which is occupied as the home or residence of four (4) or more families living independently of each other and doing their cooking in the said building (expressly excluding from the said definition of an apartment house and the operation of this ordinance four (4) to six (6) flat dwellings).

An apartment is a kitchen and one or more contiguous rooms which are occupied or intended or designed to be occupied by one family for living and sleeping purposes in an apartment house.

A kitchen is any room used or intended or designed to be used for cooking and preparation of food.

A room is any legal, habitable space, between two floors, and a floor and a roof, of an apartment house, and divided and separated by proper partitions and doors.

A family is one person living alone or a group of two or more persons living together in an apartment, whether related to each other by birth or not.

Section 2. Section 2 of Bill No. 13, Ordinance No. 3.0412, entitled "Inspection Fee Ordinance," and all ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

#### Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

#### Ordering the Improvement of Meade Avenue, Between Third and Jennings, by Sewering (Protest).

(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1196, Ordinance No. 12.061168, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 25, 1936, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Im-

provement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Meade avenue, between Third and Jennings streets, by the construction of the following items:

Item No.	Item
1.	8-inch V. C. P. Sewer, in place.
2.	8x6-inch "Wye" Branches, in place.
3.	Brick Manholes, complete.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as: Block No. 5017, Lot Nos. 1, 15, 16 and 17, and Block No. 5016, Lot Nos. 5, 6, 7 and 8, all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

### **Ordering the Improvement of Bemis Street, Between Castro and Mateo Streets, by Grading and Sewering (Protest).**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1197, Ordinance No. 12.061169, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 23, 1936, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the



payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Bemis street, between Castro and Mateo streets, by grading to official line and grade and by the construction of the following:

Item No.	Item
1.	Grading (excavation).
2.	Grading (embankment).
3.	Brick manholes, complete.
4.	12-inch V. C. P. Sewer, in place.
5.	8-inch V. C. P. Sewer, in place.
6.	Brick Catchbasins, complete.
7.	10-inch V. C. P. Culvert, in place.
8.	8x6-inch "Y" Branches, in place.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as: Block 6715, Lots 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 13A, 14, 14A, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22 and 23; Block 6716, Lots 1, 19, 19A, 19B, 20, 20A, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25 and 26, and Block 6728, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29 and 30; all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

**Grade Changes, Ortega Street and Thirty-first, Thirty-second, Thirty-third, Thirty-fourth and Thirty-fifth Avenues.**

(Code No. 12.0722)

Also, Bill No. 1198, Ordinance No. 12.072225, as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Ortega street, between the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue and the westerly line of Thirty-fifth avenue, and on Thirty-first, Thirty-second, Thirty-third, Thirty-fourth and Thirty-fifth avenues, between Noriega and Pacheco streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works did on the 3rd day of August, 1936, by Resolution No. 2714, declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Ortega street, between the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue and the westerly line of Thirty-fifth avenue, and on Thirty-first, Thirty-second, Thirty-third, Thirty-fourth and Thirty-fifth avenues, between Noriega and Pacheco streets.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days have elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

<i>Ortega street:</i>	<i>Feet</i>
Thirtieth avenue westerly line .....	274
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Thirty-first avenue easterly line .....	261
Thirty-first avenue westerly line .....	258
Thirty-second avenue easterly line .....	243
Thirty-second avenue westerly line .....	240
Thirty-third avenue easterly line .....	222
Thirty-third avenue westerly line .....	219
Thirty-fourth avenue easterly line .....	203
Thirty-fourth avenue westerly line .....	200
Thirty-fifth avenue easterly line .....	189
Thirty-fifth avenue westerly line .....	186
(The same being the present official grade.)	
<i>Thirty-first avenue:</i>	
Easterly line of, at Noriega street .....	251.93
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Westerly line of, at Noriega street .....	249.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
105 feet southerly from Noriega street .....	252.06
100 feet northerly from Ortega street .....	257.98
Easterly line of, at Ortega street .....	261.00
Westerly line of, at Ortega street .....	258.00
100 feet southerly from Ortega street .....	262.83
100 feet northerly from Pacheco street .....	276.17
Easterly line of, at Pacheco street .....	281.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Westerly line of, at Pacheco street .....	278.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
<i>Thirty-second avenue:</i>	
Easterly line of, at Noriega street .....	238.93
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Westerly line of, at Noriega street .....	236.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
500 feet northerly from Ortega street .....	238.92
100 feet northerly from Ortega street .....	241.68
Easterly line of, at Ortega street .....	243.00
Westerly line of, at Ortega street .....	240.00
100 feet southerly from Ortega street .....	242.66
100 feet northerly from Pacheco street .....	247.33
Easterly line of, at Pacheco street .....	250.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Westerly line of, at Pacheco street .....	247.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
<i>Thirty-third avenue:</i>	
Easterly line of, at Noriega street .....	221.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Westerly line of, at Noriega street .....	218.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
500 feet northerly from Ortega street .....	221.39
300 feet northerly from Ortega street .....	223.61
100 feet northerly from Ortega street .....	222.11
Easterly line of, at Ortega street .....	222.00
Westerly line of, at Ortega street .....	219.00
100 feet southerly from Ortega street .....	222.36
100 feet northerly from Pacheco street .....	226.36
Easterly line of, at Pacheco street .....	228.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Westerly line of, at Pacheco street .....	225.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	



*Thirty-fourth avenue:*

Easterly line of, at Noriega street .....	203.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Westerly line of, at Noriega street .....	200.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
500 feet northerly from Ortega street .....	203.31
300 feet northerly from Ortega street .....	205.36
100 feet northerly from Ortega street .....	203.36
Easterly line of, at Ortega street .....	203.00
Westerly line of, at Ortega street .....	200.00
100 feet southerly from Ortega street .....	203.50
300 feet southerly from Ortega street .....	207.50
100 feet northerly from Pacheco street .....	205.36
Easterly line of, at Pacheco street .....	205.00
(The same being the present official grade,)	
Westerly line of, at Pacheco street .....	202.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*Thirty-fifth avenue:*

Easterly line of, at Noriega street .....	185.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Westerly line of, at Noriega street .....	182.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
500 feet northerly from Ortega street .....	185.00
100 feet northerly from Ortega street .....	187.69
Easterly line of, at Ortega street .....	189.00
Westerly line of, at Ortega street .....	186.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
100 feet southerly from Ortega street .....	187.69
100 feet northerly from Pacheco street .....	185.03
Easterly line of, at Pacheco street .....	185.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Westerly line of, at Pacheco street .....	182.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	

On Ortega street between the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue and the westerly line of Thirty-fifth avenue, and on Thirty-first, Thirty-second, Thirty-third, Thirty-fourth, and Thirty-fifth avenues between Noriega and Pacheco streets be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

**Amending Traffic Ordinance to Prohibit Parking at Certain Hours on the East Side of Battery Street, Between Bush and California Streets, Between 4:30 P. M. and 6 P. M.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Also, Bill No. 1199, Ordinance No. 11.0221, as follows:

Amending Section 37B, Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 37B, Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following words: "From 4:30 p. m. to 6 p. m. on the east side of Battery street, between Bush and California streets, Sundays and holidays excepted."

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

**Amending Traffic Ordinance to Prohibit Parking on the West Side of Presidio Avenue, From Post Street to Geary Boulevard.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Also, Bill No. 1200, Ordinance No. 11.0222, as follows:

Amending Section 37A, Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 37A, Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following words: "West side of Presidio avenue, from Post street to Geary boulevard."

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

**Amending Traffic Ordinance to Make Twenty-fifth Avenue an Arterial Street, Instead of Twenty-fourth Avenue.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Also, Bill No. 1201, Ordinance No. 11.0223, as follows:

Amending Section 24 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith," by deleting, in Section 24 thereof, *Twenty-fourth avenue from the south line of Geary street to the south line of Fulton street, thence on the roadway through Golden Gate Park to the north line of Lincoln way at Nineteenth avenue*, and substituting therefor *Twenty-fifth avenue from the center line of Camino Del Mar to the south line of Fulton street, thence on the roadway through Golden Gate Park to the north line of Lincoln way at Nineteenth avenue*.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 24 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 24. *Arterial Streets*—The following streets and parts of streets are hereby declared to constitute arterial streets for the purpose of this section:

Alemaný boulevard from the west line of Bay Shore boulevard to the midline of San Jose avenue;

Bay Shore boulevard from the west line of San Bruno avenue to the County line of San Francisco;

Bernal avenue southerly from the midline of Randall street to San Jose avenue, thence on San Jose avenue to the north line of Sagamore street, and from the south line of Alemaný boulevard to the City and County line of San Francisco;

California street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Arguello boulevard;

Fell street from the west line of Polk street to the east line of Van Ness avenue, and from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Stanyan street;

Fulton street from the west line of Franklin street to the east line of the Great Highway;

Geary boulevard from the west line of Presidio avenue to Point Lobos avenue, thence along Point Lobos avenue from Geary boulevard to the Great Highway;

Howard street from the north line of Thirteenth street to the north line of Army street;

Junipero Serra boulevard from the west line of Portola drive to the City and County line of San Francisco;



Lincoln way from the east line of the Great Highway to the west line of Third avenue, thence on the Kezar Stadium road in Golden Gate Park to the west line of the intersecting roadway approximately 500 feet westerly of Stanyan street;

Lombard street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Lyon street;

Market street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to Portola drive, then on Portola drive to the east line of Claremont boulevard and on Portola drive from the west line of Claremont boulevard to the main roadway of Junipero Serra boulevard;

Monterey boulevard from the west line of San Jose avenue to Santa Clara avenue, thence on Santa Clara avenue to the south line of Portola drive;

Nineteenth avenue from the north line of Lincoln way to the west line of Junipero Serra boulevard;

Ocean avenue from the east line of the main roadway of Junipero Serra boulevard to the west line of San Jose avenue, from the east line of San Jose avenue to the west line of Alemany boulevard, and from the east line of Alemany boulevard to the west line of Mission street;

Post street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Presidio avenue;

Potrero avenue from the north line of Division street to the west line of San Bruno avenue;

Seventh avenue from the south line of Lincoln way to Laguna Honda boulevard, thence on Laguna Honda boulevard to Dewey boulevard, thence on Dewey boulevard to Claremont boulevard, thence on Claremont boulevard to the center line of Portola drive;

Sloat boulevard from the east line of the Great Highway to the west line of Nineteenth avenue and from the east line of Nineteenth avenue to the west line of Portola drive;

Tenth street from the south line of Market street to the north line of Division street;

Twenty-fifth avenue from the center line of Camino Del Mar to the south line of Fulton street, thence on the roadway through Golden Gate Park to the north line of Lincoln way at Nineteenth avenue;

Twenty-fourth street from the west line of Dolores street to the west line of Hoffman avenue;

Van Ness avenue from the south line of North Point street to the north line of Market street;

Van Ness avenue south from the south line of Market street to the center line of Howard street;

Van Ness avenue south from the north line of Thirteenth street to the north line of Army street.

Every operator of a vehicle or street car, cable car excepted, traversing any street intersecting any arterial street shall bring such vehicle or street car to a full stop at the place where such street meets the prolongation of the nearest property line of such arterial street, or at such other place as may be indicated by a sign erected in accordance with this section, subject, however, to the directions of any stop and go signal or police officer at such intersection.

The Police Department is hereby authorized and required to cause to be placed and maintained, upon each and every street intersecting an arterial street, and at or near the property line of the arterial street, suitable signs bearing the word "STOP".

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

**NEW BUSINESS.****Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Cancellation Tax Sale.**

(Code No. 9.0412)

Resolution No. 3010, as follows:

Whereas, the Tax Collector has reported that taxes on Lot 37, Block 5523, Second Installment, fiscal year 1934-1935, have been paid, but through error payment was not credited on the Assessment Roll, and that the property was sold to the state on June 28, 1935, under Sale No. 3578; that this sale should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Tax Collector be authorized to cancel this sale in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

**Confirming Lease of Property at Portola Drive and Woodside Avenue to Standard Stations, Inc.**

(Code No. 12.1737)

Also, Resolution No. 3011, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17372, Bill No. 1169, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids or offers would be received by him on December 23, 1936, for leasing the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Portola drive with the northeasterly line of Woodside avenue; running thence easterly along said line of Portola drive, 105 feet; thence deflecting 128 degrees 30 minutes to the left and running northwesterly 115 feet; thence running westerly and parallel to the northerly line of Portola drive and the westerly extension thereof, 104 feet; thence deflecting to the left and running southwesterly 42 feet more or less to a point on the northeasterly line of Woodside avenue, distant thereon northwesterly 95 feet from the point of commencement; thence southeasterly along said line of Woodside avenue, 95 feet to the point of commencement.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Standard Stations, Inc., offered to lease said land for a period of ten years, at a rental of one cent per gallon for each and every gallon of gasoline delivered upon the premises with a minimum of \$100 per month, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, Standard Stations, Inc., have paid the sum of \$50 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary lease to Standard Stations, Inc. The lease may be cancelled by either party upon 30 days' notice and shall be subject to such other conditions as may be deemed necessary or desirable by the City Attorney and the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.



**Confirming Sale of 4.9 Acres of Land in San Mateo County.**

(Code No. 12.1727)

Also, Resolution No. 3012, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17275, Bill No. 1140, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids would be received by him on November 17, 1936, for the sale of the following described City-owned land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California:

Beginning at the southwest corner of the Chinese Christian Cemetery, running thence south 1 degree west 567 feet more or less to the southerly line of that certain 25-acre tract of land acquired by the City and County of San Francisco from the estate of Patrick M. Brooks, deceased, by deed dated September 1, 1905, and recorded September 28, 1905, in Liber 101 of Deeds at page 253, San Mateo County Records; thence along said southerly line, north 89 degrees 30 minutes east 250 feet more or less to a corner of said 25-acre tract; thence north 47 degrees east 219.12 feet to the most southerly corner of land conveyed to Edward Kelly by deed from Patrick Brooks dated December 9, 1898, and recorded January 26, 1899, in Liber 81 of Deeds at page 46, San Mateo County Records; thence along the westerly line of said property so conveyed, north 1 degree east 410.52 feet to a point on the southerly line of the Chinese Christian Cemetery; thence along last named line, north 89 degrees west 397.98 feet to the point of beginning.

Containing 4.9 acres, more or less.

Reserving to the City and County of San Francisco, the right to construct, maintain, repair and/or use a road along the easterly 20 feet and the northerly 20 feet of the above described 4.9-acre parcel of land, which road shall extend along the westerly side of land conveyed to Kelly and the southerly side of the Chinese Christian Cemetery.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, The Tung Sen Cemetery Association, a corporation, offered to purchase said land for the sum of \$4,900 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said sum of \$4,900 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being \$4,900; and

Whereas, The Tung Sen Cemetery Association has paid the sum of \$600 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Department of Public Health has recommended the sale of said land; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said land to The Tung Sen Cemetery Association, a corporation. The buyer must pay the balance of the purchase price within thirty days after approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantee upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price.

Resolution No. 2930, adopted by this Board on November 23, 1936, is hereby repealed.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

**Tax Refunds.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 3013, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund to the following: being refunds of amounts paid as erroneous taxes, to-wit:

1. Helen Johnson, Vol. 38, Bill 1094, Lot 31, Block 6403, both installments, fiscal year 1935, erroneous payment.....	\$ 60.76
2. The San Francisco Bank, erroneous payment, Vol. 14, Bill 1305½, Lot 17, Block 1825, 1st installment; Vol. 12, Bill 2441, Lot 13, Block 1673, 1st installment.....	29.14
3. Thorne Baker, erroneous payment, Vol. 39, Bill 1318, Lot 11, Block 6532, 2d installment, fiscal year 1935.....	55.78
4. Title Insurance and Guaranty Co., erroneous payment, Vol. 7, Bill 2450, Lot 35, Block 1176, 1st installment, fiscal year 1936	136.22
5. Cora B. Kruse and The Bank of California N. A., erroneous payment, Vol. 25, Bill 438-B, Lot 4-B, Block 3639, 1st installment (over-payment) .....	175.20
6. Title Insurance and Guaranty Co., erroneous payment, Vol. 22, Bill 2541, Lot 21, Block 3267, 1st Installment, fiscal year 1936 .....	91.20
7. Edna L. Dunn, Unsecured Personal Property Taxes, Vol. 1, page 18, line 13, fiscal year 1936.....	7.95
8. The Hibernia Savings and Loan Society, Vol. 7, Bill 3222, Lot 21, Block 1203, 1st Installment, erroneous payment, fiscal year 1936 .....	69.25
9. Mrs. M. Karp, erroneous payment, Vol. 34, Bill 1306, Block 5691, 1st installment, \$2.84; Vol. 34, Bill 1319, Block 5691, 1st installment, \$2.84.....	5.68
10. Dennis McCarthy, Vol. 35, Bill 1783, Lot 1, Block 5924, erroneous payment, 1st installment, fiscal year 1936.....	52.98
11. Bank of America, N. T. & S. A., erroneous payment, Vol. 42, Bill 1973, Lot 12, Block 6970, 1st Installment, \$29.14; Vol. 3, Bill 1619, Lot 3, Block 1389, 1st Installment, \$69.06; Vol. 13, Bill 2089, Lot 1, Block 1758, 1st installment, \$119.95, fiscal year 1936 .....	28.15
12. American Trust Company, erroneous payment, Vol. 14, Bill 2993, Lot 2, Block 1869, 1st Installment, \$10.41; Vol. 11, Bill 1603, Lot 1-C, Block 1570, 1st installment, fiscal year 1936....	47.11
13. American Trust Company, erroneous payment, Vol. 20, Bill 1005, Lot 15, block 2858, 1st installment, \$15.14; Vol. 20, Bill 1006, Lot 16, Block 2858, 1st installment, \$16.81, fiscal year 1936 .....	31.95
14. California Pacific Title & Trust Co., erroneous payment, Vol. 26, Bill 1206, Lot 56, Block 3777, 1st installment, fiscal year 1936 .....	62.44
15. Acme Lumber Co., erroneous payment, Vol. 31, page 36, Lots 1/26, Block 5202, fiscal year 1936.....	51.27

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

**Fixing Time for Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of South Side Chestnut Street, 162 Feet 6 Inches West of Westerly Line of Laguna Street.**

(Code No. 13.02)

On recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.

Resolution No. 3014, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission denying application to rezone from



Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the south side of Chestnut street, 162 feet 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of Laguna street, is hereby set for Monday, January 11, 1937, at 2 p. m.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

**Leave of Absence, Honorable Mrs. Edwin R. Sheldon, Member of Board of Education.**

(Code No. 4.053)

On recommendation of His Honor the Mayor.

Resolution No. 3015, as follows:

Resolved, That, on the recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, Honorable Mrs. Edwin R. Sheldon, member of the Board of Education, be and is hereby granted a leave of absence from January 15, 1937, to January 30, 1937, with permission to leave the state.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Appointment of War Memorial Trustees.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

January 2, 1937.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: This is to advise you that I have this day, in consonance with provisions of Section 44 of the Charter, and subject to your confirmation, appointed to serve as Trustees on the War Memorial Board for a period of six years, the following:

John Handlin Threlkeld.

Colonel Wm. H. Tobin.

Harold J. Boyd.

Major Charles R. Kendrick.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved that the Mayor's appointees be confirmed.

No objection and it was *so ordered*.

**Endorsing "Chronicle" Plan to Fix Bay Bridge Toll at 25c.**

(Code No. —)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 3018, as follows:

Whereas, it is only fair and equitable that posterity bear some part of the financial burden of the cost of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, and in pursuance of that thought, the San Francisco Chronicle has proposed a refinancing plan whereby the present tolls may be reduced from sixty-five cents to twenty-five cents on each passenger automobile; and

Whereas, the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge is only another great unit connecting up the State system of highways, it is proposed

that it be financed out of gas tax funds in the same manner as those other great highway projects of the State of California, the Redwood Highway and the Feather River Canyon Highway by an allocation of gas tax funds for the amortization of the bonds; and

Whereas, it is deemed practical that this may be done on the basis proposed, to-wit: that the annual \$2,000,000 bond amortization charges be met from gas tax funds and that the maintenance charges be met, as now, from gas tax supplied highway maintenance funds, and that the \$2,000,000 annual interest charges on the bonds be met from the proposed twenty-five-cent toll certain to be sufficient because of the increased traffic anticipated; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco goes on record as heartily in favor of the plan proposed by the San Francisco Chronicle and urges that the State Highway Commission proceed at once to put it into effect.

*Referred to Joint Streets and Finance Committee.*

**President Requested to Recommend to Congress to Continue WPA Appropriations Sufficient to Complete Projects.**

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 3019, as follows:

Whereas, recent reductions in federal WPA allocations to California have made necessary sharp reductions in federal contributions for materials to an average figure of from \$7 to \$5 per man month of labor employed; and

Whereas, these reductions include federal contributions on projects begun but not yet completed; and

Whereas, the original agreements on most of these projects between local government sponsors and WPA called for federal contributions for materials amounting to an average of from \$17 to \$13 per man month of labor employed; and

Whereas, the City and County budgets under which these sponsors operate cannot provide for unanticipated increases in local contributions in the middle of a fiscal year; and

Whereas, the local governments feel that these original agreements under which the projects were begun are a moral if not a legal obligation of the federal government; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, That the President of the United States is requested to recommend to the Congress and/or the Congress is requested to make an appropriation to WPA to become immediately available in an amount sufficient to permit the completion of projects begun but not yet completed on the basis of the original agreements for allocating cost of materials; and be it

Further Resolved, That such a policy should:

1. Be a plan that would provide for federal relief of employable unemployed.

2. Be a program which, although it may change somewhat from year to year, will remain fixed during each successive fiscal period.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

**Memorializing Federal Government to Continue Responsibility for Relief of Employable Unemployed.**

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 3020, as follows:

Whereas, the officials of the cities and counties of California believe it is socially sound and financially imperative that the relief of the employable unemployed be a continuing federal responsibility; and



Whereas, it becomes increasingly apparent that large numbers of employable unemployed, for a number of reasons, will not soon find employment in private industry; and

Whereas, it is essential that local governments be in a position definitely to plan future financial requirements for unemployment relief, at least for one year in advance; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, That the Federal Government should recognize its continuing responsibility for the relief of the employable unemployed by adopting at this time some policy generally to be followed over a period of years; and be it

Further Resolved, That such a policy should:

1. Be a plan that would provide for federal relief of employable unemployed.

2. Be a program which, although it may change somewhat from year to year, will remain fixed during each successive fiscal period.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

**Requesting State Legislature to Investigate Feasibility and Probable Cost of Acquisition of Carquinez Bridge.**

(Code No. —)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 3017, as follows:

Requesting State Legislature to investigate feasibility and probable cost of acquisition of Carquinez Bridge; Legislature to declare privately-owned bridges to be public utilities and to confer power on State Railroad Commission to regulate tolls thereon; also Legislature to authorize State Railroad Commission to determine the value, in any condemnation proceedings instituted for acquisition of a privately-owned toll bridge by the California Toll Bridge Authority.

*Referred to Joint Streets and Finance Committee.*

**Reception Committee to Greet Santa Clara Football Team.**

Supervisor Brown moved that His Honor, the Mayor, appoint a committee of Supervisors and such others as he cares to, for the purpose of meeting the Santa Clara football team on their return from New Orleans.

*Motion carried.*

### **Motions.**

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that the Clerk be instructed to write a letter to the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District asking them why they should not build the Funston avenue approach south of the south bridgehead of the Golden Gate Bridge.

*Motion carried.*

### **Rapid Transit.**

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that the Clerk request from the Manager of Utilities an estimate of the revenues to be derived from the proposed subway to offset the \$53,000,000 cost thereof.

*Motion carried.*

### **Offer of Clock for Civic Center.**

Supervisor Shannon presented to the Board a former resident of San Francisco, James (Fog Horn) Murphy, now living in Los Angeles, who offered to present to the City, for the Civic Center, a clock.

*Offer of Presentation of Clock referred to Finance Committee.*

**Mayor to Appoint Fourth of July Committee.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that His Honor, the Mayor, be requested to appoint a citizens' committee for the proper observance of July 4th.

Supervisor Uhl moved that all unfinished matters pending in committees be set up, and copies be sent to the Supervisors.

**Meetings Announced.**

Fire, Safety and Police Committee, January 7, 10 a. m.

Joint Streets and Finance, January 6, 2:30 p. m.

Utilities Committee, during current week, at call of the Chair.

**Cemetery Removal.**

On motion of Supervisor Uhl, consideration of Cemetery Removal ordinances was made a *Special Order of Business for January 11, at 2:30 p. m.*

**RECESS.**

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors took a recess until Thursday at 2 p. m., for the purpose of giving consideration to the McClintock Report on Traffic.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.


Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 18, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.





Vol. 32

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 2

Thursday, January 7, 1937

Monday, January 11, 1937

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



711  
The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

THURSDAY, JANUARY 7, 1937, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, Thursday, January 7, 1937, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled pursuant to recess of Monday, January 4, 1937, for the purpose of giving further consideration to Dr. Miller McClintock's report on traffic conditions in the central business district.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following members were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt—4.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Brown was noted present at 3:45 p. m.

Supervisor McSheehy was noted present at 3:20 p. m.

Supervisor Roncovieri was noted present at 2:35 p. m.

Supervisor Schmidt was noted present at 3:45 p. m.

President Shannon in the Chair.

President Shannon requested that he be excused at 4 p. m.

*So ordered.*

## Committee of the Whole.

Thereupon, on motion of Supervisor Uhl, the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole for the purpose of the hearing, all members heretofore noted being present and President Shannon presiding.

## Privilege of the Floor.

Russel D. Carpenter, president of the committee of Triangle District Merchants; D. Nicholson, secretary, Triangle District Merchants Association; Robt. P. Tracy, representing the Third Street Improvement Club, and himself as a property owner on Grant avenue; Paul Verdier, president of the "City of Paris"; M. C. Harrison, representing interests south of Market Street; Mr. Clive Shaffer; Marshall Hale, representing Hale Bros.; and Timothy Healey, representing merchants on Market Street; Mr. Robert Graham, citizen; Geo. Heinz, property owner; Mr. Tracy; Andrew J. Gallagher; and Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, were heard on the pending question.

Thereupon, Chief Administrative Officer advised that he would report on the McClintock report within thirty days.

## Committee of the Whole Arises.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Colman, the Committee of the Whole arose, reported progress and reconvened as a Board of Supervisors.

## ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors at 6:45 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.



**MONDAY, JANUARY 11, 1937, 2 P. M.**

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 11, 1937,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

**CALLING THE ROLL.**

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

Supervisor Brown was noted present at 2:25 p. m.

Supervisor Roncovieri was noted present at 2:30 p. m.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

**APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.**

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of December 24, 26 and 28, 1936, were considered read and approved.

**HEARING OF APPEAL—2 P. M.****Rezoning of South Side of Chestnut Street, 162 Feet 6 Inches West of the West Line of Laguna Street.**

Hearing of appeal from decision of City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1576, dated November 19, 1936, denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the south side of Chestnut street, 162 feet 6 inches west of the west line of Laguna street.

A communication from Pietro Ridolfi, appellant requested postponement of hearing, was read and thereupon the following resolution was *refused adoption*:

**Denying Application to Rezone From Second Residential District to Commercial District, Property Located at the South Side of Chestnut Street, 162 Feet 6 Inches West of the West Line of Laguna Street.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 3034, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1576, dated November 19, 1936, denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the south side of Chestnut street, 162 feet 6 inches west of the west line of Laguna street, is hereby disapproved.

*Refused adoption* by the following vote:

Ayes—None.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

**SPECIAL ORDER—2:15 P. M.**

The following matter was taken up:

**Reducing License Fee on Apartment Houses.**

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 1195, Ordinance No. 3.04166, as follows:

Amending Section 12 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled "Imposing License Taxes on Certain Businesses, Callings, Trades or

Employments Within the City and County of San Francisco," in effect July 1, 1920, by reducing the license fee on apartment houses and repealing Section 2 of Bill No. 13, Ordinance No. 3.0412, entitled "Inspection Fee Ordinance," and repealing all ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflict therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 12 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 12. Every person, firm, partnership or corporation maintaining, conducting or operating an apartment house shall pay an annual license fee as follows, to defray the cost of inspection and regulation by the Division of Housing Inspection of the Department of Public Health, Fire Prevention Bureau and Bureau of Licenses, and no permits of occupancy shall be issued by the Division of Housing Inspection therefor without said license first having been had and obtained.

Apartment houses of less than 20 rooms, \$4 per annum;

Apartment houses of 20 to 29 rooms, \$6 per annum;

Apartment houses of 30 to 39 rooms, \$8 per annum;

Apartment houses of 40 to 49 rooms, \$10 per annum;

Apartment houses of 50 rooms or over, \$12 per annum.

Said license fee for the fiscal year 1935-1936 shall be due and payable on the effective date of this ordinance and subsequent fiscal years shall be due and payable on the first day of October of each year. No deduction in the amount of said license fee herein provided for shall be allowed although the time for which it is paid is less than a full year.

This inspection and regulation shall be made by the Division of Housing Inspection of the Department of Public Health and the Fire Prevention Bureau at least once a year and as often thereafter as may be deemed necessary.

For the purpose of this ordinance an apartment house shall be deemed to be any building or portion thereof, more than one story in height, which is designed, built, rented, leased, let or hired out to be occupied, or which is occupied as the home or residence of four (4) or more families living independently of each other and doing their cooking in the said building (expressly excluding from the said definition of an apartment house and the operation of this ordinance four (4) to six (6) flat dwellings).

An apartment is a kitchen and one or more contiguous rooms which are occupied or intended or designed to be occupied by one family for living and sleeping purposes in an apartment house.

A kitchen is any room used or intended or designed to be used for cooking and preparation of food.

A room is any legal, habitable space, between two floors, and a floor and a roof, of an apartment house, and divided and separated by proper partitions and doors.

A family is one person living alone or a group of two or more persons living together in an apartment, whether related to each other by birth or not.

Section 2. Section 2 of Bill No. 13, Ordinance No. 3.0412, entitled "Inspection Fee Ordinance," and all ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

#### Amendment.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved to amend as follows:

Under Section 12, paragraph 4, strike out portion reading "expressly excluding from the said definition of an apartment house and the operation of this ordinance four (4) to six (6) flat dwellings."

And substitute therefor:

"It is expressly provided by this ordinance that no license fee shall



be charged, exacted or collected from any flat dwelling containing four (4) to six (6) flats (inclusive) of one (1) family only to each flat dwelling."

*No objection.*

### Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill as amended and in words and figures following was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

### Reducing License Fee on Apartment Houses.

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 1195, Ordinance No. 3.04166, as follows:

Amending Section 12 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series) as amended by Ordinance No. 3.04154, entitled "Imposing license taxes on certain businesses, callings, trades or employments within the City and County of San Francisco," in effect July 1, 1920, by reducing the license fee on apartment houses and repealing Section 2 of Bill No. 13, Ordinance No. 3.0412 entitled "Inspection Fee Ordinance," and repealing all ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflict therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 12 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 12. Every person, firm, partnership or corporation maintaining, conducting or operating an apartment house shall pay an annual license fee as follows, to defray the cost of inspection and regulation by the Division of Housing Inspection of the Department of Public Health, Fire Prevention Bureau and Bureau of Licenses, and no permits of occupancy shall be issued by the Division of Housing Inspection therefor without said license first having been had and obtained.

Apartment houses of less than 20 rooms, \$4 per annum;

Apartment houses of 20 to 29 rooms, \$6 per annum;

Apartment houses of 30 to 39 rooms, \$8 per annum;

Apartment houses of 40 to 49 rooms, \$10 per annum;

Apartment houses of 50 rooms or over, \$12 per annum.

Said license fee for the fiscal year 1935-1936, shall be due and payable on the effective date of this ordinance and subsequent fiscal years shall be due and payable on the first day of October of each year. No deduction in the amount of said license fee herein provided for shall be allowed although the time for which it is paid is less than a full year.

This inspection and regulation shall be made by the Division of Housing Inspection of the Department of Public Health and the Fire Prevention Bureau at least once a year and as often thereafter as may be deemed necessary.

For the purpose of this ordinance an apartment house shall be deemed to be any building or portion thereof, more than one story in height, which is designed, built, rented, leased, let or hired out to be occupied, or which is occupied as the home or residence of four (4) or more families living independently of each other and doing their cooking in the said building. It is expressly provided by this ordinance that no license fee shall be charged, exacted or collected from any flat dwelling containing four (4) to six (6) flats, (inclusive) of one (1) family only to each flat dwelling.

An apartment is a kitchen and one or more contiguous rooms which are occupied or intended or designed to be occupied by one family for living and sleeping purposes in an apartment house.

A kitchen is any room used or intended or designed to be used for cooking and preparation of food.

A room is any legal, habitable space, between two floors, and a floor and roof, of an apartment house, and divided and separated by proper partitions and doors.

A family is one person living alone or a group of two or more persons

living together in an apartment, whether related to each other by birth or not.

Section 2. Section 2 of Bill No. 13, Ordinance No. 3.0412, entitled "Inspection Fee Ordinance," and all ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

### SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

The following matters were taken up:

#### Calvary Cemetery Removal.

(Code No. 17.19)

Bill No. 938, Ordinance No. 17.195, as follows:

Declaring that the further maintenance of the Calvary Cemetery threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public; ordering and demanding the disinterring and removal of human bodies therefrom and fixing a time within which such disinterring and removal must be performed; declaring certain conditions under which the City and County will itself disinter and remove said bodies; requiring and empowering the Director of Public Health to adopt and promulgate rules and regulations for such disinterring and removal; providing for the reservation of lands for memorial mausoleums or columbariums, grounds, vaults and monuments.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared that the cemetery hereinafter described contains an area of more than five acres and is situate in a city and county having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, and the right of burial in said cemetery has been prohibited by law for a period of more than fifteen years, and that the further maintenance of said cemetery as a burial place for the human dead threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public. The cemetery above referred to is situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and is commonly designated by the following name, and is situate within the following boundaries, to-wit:

Calvary Cemetery, bounded by Geary street, St. Joseph's avenue, Turk street, Parker avenue, St. Rose's avenue and Masonic avenue.

Section 2. It is hereby ordered and demanded that, within three years from the date upon which this ordinance shall become effective, the cemetery corporation, corporation sole, association or other person owning or controlling the cemetery hereinabove described, or the owners or holders of burial lots therein, or the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are interred therein, disinter all human bodies interred in said cemetery and remove the same outside of the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with and in the manner provided for in that certain act of the Legislature of the State of California, entitled "An act authorizing the Board of Supervisors or other governing body of any incorporated city, or city and county, having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, to order the disinterment and removal of all human bodies interred in any cemetery of more than five acres in extent, or from a part thereof, situate within the boundaries of such city, or city and county, and directing the reinterment of such bodies in cemeteries outside of the limits of such city, or city and county, or the depositing of the same in a mausoleum or columbarium, whenever the further maintenance of such cemetery or part thereof as a burial place for the human dead threatens or endangers the health, safety, comfort or welfare of the public, and providing a mode of procedure under and by which such



removals may, when so ordered, be made by the cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person governing or controlling such cemetery lands, or by the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are buried therein, and providing for the sale, mortgage or pledge of cemetery lands from which the human bodies are removed." Approved June 5, 1923.

Section 3. It is hereby declared and ordained that, unless the said bodies are disinterred and removed within the time and in the manner herein in Section 2 of this ordinance provided, the City and County of San Francisco, through its appropriate officers, boards and commissions, will itself proceed, upon the termination of said time, to disinter the bodies remaining in said cemetery and reinter them in another cemetery or cemeteries outside of the limits of the said city and county.

Section 4. It is hereby ordained that the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco shall, within sixty days from the date upon which this ordinance becomes effective, adopt reasonable rules and regulations relative to the manner of disinterring, transporting and removing such bodies, and shall, upon adoption thereof, cause the same to be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of sixty days, and shall forthwith forward by registered mail to the governing body owning or controlling said cemetery, a copy thereof. Said Director of Public Health shall, from time to time, adopt and promulgate, in the same manner, any reasonable and necessary modifications or changes in said rules and regulations. All disinterments, transportation and removal of human remains from said cemetery made under the provisions of this ordinance and under the provisions of the said act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, shall be made and performed subject to the rules and regulations adopted by the Director of Public Health as herein provided.

Section 5. It is hereby ordained that any cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person owning or controlling any such cemetery lands from which the bodies interred therein are to be removed in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance and the provisions of the act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, may reserve sufficient land from such cemetery lands from which the human remains have been removed, to erect a memorial mausoleum or columbarium for the depositing therein of the bodies disinterred from such cemetery lands, and may provide sufficient grounds around the same for the beautification thereof, and may preserve such historical vaults or monuments as the board of directors or other governing body of said corporation or association, or the incumbent of said corporation sole, may determine to be proper or necessary.

Provided, however, that the land thus reserved for such mausoleum, columbarium, grounds, vaults and monuments shall not, in the aggregate for said cemetery, exceed in area 10 per centum of the existing area of said cemetery, and provided, further, that plans for said mausoleums, columbariums and grounds shall first be filed with the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and approved by said Board by resolution thereof.

### Laurel Hill Cemetery Removal.

(Code No. 17.19)

Bill No. 937, Ordinance No. 17.194, as follows:

Declaring that the further maintenance of Laurel Hill Cemetery threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public; ordering and demanding the disinterring and removal of human bodies therefrom and fixing a time within which such disinterring and removal must be performed; declaring certain conditions under which the city and county will itself disinter and remove said bodies; requiring and empowering the Director of Public Health to

adopt and promulgate rules and regulations for such disinterring and removal; providing for the reservation of lands for memorial mausoleums or columbariums, grounds, vaults and monuments.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared that the cemetery hereinafter described contains an area of more than five acres and is situate in a city and county having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons and the right of burial in said cemetery has been prohibited by law for a period of more than fifteen years, and that the further maintenance of said cemetery as a burial place for the human dead threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public. The cemetery above referred to is situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and is commonly designated by the following name and is situate within the following boundaries, to-wit:

Laurel Hill Cemetery, bounded by Presidio avenue, California street, Parker avenue and a line drawn northwesterly from a point formed by the intersection of the north line of Post street, extended, with the west line of Presidio avenue, to a point on the east line of Parker avenue, distant thereon 100 feet southerly from the intersection of the south line of Euclid avenue, produced easterly, and the easterly line of Parker avenue.

Section 2. It is hereby ordered and demanded that, within three years from the date upon which this ordinance shall become effective, the cemetery corporation, corporation sole, association or other person owning or controlling the cemetery hereinabove described, or the owners or holders of burial lots therein, or the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are interred therein, disinter all human bodies interred in said cemetery and remove the same outside of the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with and in the manner provided for in that certain act of the Legislature of the State of California, entitled "An act authorizing the Board of Supervisors or other governing body of any incorporated city, or city and county, having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, to order the disinterment and removal of all human bodies interred in any cemetery of more than five acres in extent, or from a part thereof, situate within the boundaries of such city, or city and county, and directing the reinterment of such bodies in cemeteries outside of the limits of such city, or city and county, or the depositing of the same in a mausoleum or columbarium, whenever the further maintenance of such cemetery or part thereof as a burial place for the human dead threatens or endangers the health, safety, comfort or welfare of the public, and providing a mode of procedure under and by which such removals may, when so ordered, be made by the cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person governing or controlling such cemetery lands, or by the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are buried therein, and providing for the sale, mortgage or pledge of cemetery lands from which the human bodies are removed." Approved June 5, 1923.

Section 3. It is hereby declared and ordained that, unless the said bodies are disinterred and removed within the time and in the manner herein in Section 2 of this ordinance provided, the City and County of San Francisco, through its appropriate officers, boards and commissions, will itself proceed, upon the termination of said time, to disinter the bodies remaining in said cemetery and reinter them in another cemetery or cemeteries outside of the limits of the said city and county.

Section 4. It is hereby ordained that the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco shall, within sixty days from the date upon which this ordinance becomes effective, adopt reasonable rules and regulations relative to the manner of disinterring, transporting and removing such bodies, and shall, upon adoption thereof, cause



the same to be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of sixty days, and shall forthwith forward by registered mail to the governing body owning or controlling such cemetery a copy thereof. Said Director of Public Health shall, from time to time, adopt and promulgate, in the same manner, any reasonable and necessary modifications or changes in said rules and regulations. All disinterments, transportation and removal of human remains from said cemetery made under the provisions of this ordinance and under the provisions of the said act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, shall be made and performed subject to the rules and regulations adopted by the Director of Public Health as herein provided.

Section 5. It is hereby ordained that any cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person owning or controlling any such cemetery lands from which the bodies interred therein are to be removed in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance and the provisions of the act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, may reserve sufficient land from such cemetery lands from which the human remains have been removed to erect a memorial mausoleum or columbarium for the depositing therein of the bodies disinterred from such cemetery lands and may provide sufficient grounds around the same for the beautification thereof, and may preserve such historical vaults or monuments as the board of directors or other governing body of said corporations, or associations, or the incumbent of said corporation sole, may determine to be proper or necessary.

Provided, however, that the land thus reserved for such mausoleum, columbarium, grounds, vaults and monuments shall not, in the aggregate of said cemetery, exceed in area 10 per centum of the existing area of said cemetery, and provided, further, that plans for said mausoleums, columbariums and grounds shall first be filed with the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and approved by said Board by resolution thereof.

#### Committee of the Whole.

On motion of Supervisor Brown, the Board resolved itself into Committee of the Whole for the purpose of hearing all interested parties. All members heretofore noted were present with President Shannon in the Chair.

Milton Meyer, President City Planning Commission, was heard on the pending question. He declared that the Roman Catholic Archbishop's survey of Calvary Cemetery was 75 per cent complete and suggested a postponement for sixty days when the survey will be finished.

City Engineer John Casey confirmed the statement of Mr. Meyer that the survey was 75 per cent complete.

Frank Deering, representing Laurel Hill Cemetery, was also heard. He read a letter that he is sending to all lotholders of Laurel Hill Cemetery with questionnaire relative to cemetery removal.

#### Committee of the Whole Arises.

Whereupon, the Committee of the Whole arose and reported progress.

#### Action Deferred.

Thereupon further consideration of the foregoing bills were, on motion of Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Ratto, continued until March 21, 1937, at 2:30 p. m.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

## Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

**Appropriating \$7,500 for the Extension of the "L" Street Car Line  
From Its Present Terminus to Sloat Boulevard.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1203, Ordinance No. 9.051340, as follows:

Appropriating \$7,500 for the Extension of the "L" Street Car Line from Its Present Terminus to Sloat Boulevard.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Public Utilities Commission having requested the Mayor to recommend that an appropriation of \$7,500 out of the Municipal Railway Operative Fund be made, and the Mayor having concurred in the act of the Public Utilities Commission and recommended the appropriation for the purpose of defraying the cost of acquiring the necessary materials and equipment for the extension of the "L" Street Car Line from its present terminus to a point at or near Sloat boulevard, to be used as an extension and improvement of the existing Municipal Railway, there is hereby appropriated the sum of \$7,500 out of the Municipal Railway Operative Fund for the purposes hereinabove stated.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

**Authorizing Sale of Certain School Land.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

Also, Bill No. 1204, Ordinance No. 12.17228, as follows:

**Authorizing Sale of Certain School Land.**

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Seventh avenue, distant southerly thereon 225 feet from the southerly line of Kirkham street; running thence southerly along the westerly line of Seventh avenue, 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 240 feet to the easterly line of Eighth avenue; thence northerly along last named line, 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 240 feet to the westerly line of Seventh avenue and the point of beginning.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.



**NEW BUSINESS.****Passed for Second Reading.**

The following matters were taken up:

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$12,000 for a Lighting System on Twenty-fifth Avenue.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1210, Ordinance No. 9.051341, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$12,000 to the credit of Appropriation No. 663.509.00 to provide funds for the installation of a lighting system by the City and County of San Francisco on Twenty-fifth avenue from Golden Gate Park to Sea Cliff; \$7,600 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 663.509.03 and \$4,400 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 663.231.63.01.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$12,000 is hereby appropriated to the credit of Appropriation No. 663.509.00 to provide funds for the installation of a lighting system by the City and County of San Francisco on Twenty-fifth avenue from Golden Gate Park to Sea Cliff; \$7,600 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 663.509.03 and \$4,400 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 663.231.63.01.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by Edward G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$260,000 for Constructing a Pipe Line in San Mateo and Santa Clara Counties.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1211, Ordinance No. 9.051342, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$260,000 out of the accrued surplus of the Water Revenue Fund to the credit of the Water Extension Reserve Fund for the purpose of constructing a pipe line in San Mateo and Santa Clara counties.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$260,000 is hereby appropriated out of the accrued surplus existing in the Water Revenue Fund to the credit of the Water Extension Reserve Fund for the purpose of acquiring rights of way and constructing a 36-inch pipe line from the San Francisco Water Department's System at Redwood City Gate House through Menlo Park, Atherton and to California avenue in Palo Alto.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by Edward G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Adopted.**

The following matters were taken up:

**Application to United States Government for Grant to Construct  
Pipe Line in San Mateo and Santa Clara Counties.**

(Code No. 15.02)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 3025, as follows:

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission, by Resolution No. 1729, and His Honor the Mayor approving the resolution requesting that an application be made to the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works to obtain a grant to aid in the building of a 36-inch pipe line from the San Francisco Water Department's System at Redwood City Gate House through Menlo Park, Atherton and Palo Alto to California avenue at Palo Alto to be used as an extension to the Water Department's Distribution System for the purposes of serving water to inhabitants contiguous to the route of the pipe line to be constructed as heretofore described; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That application be made to the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works of the Government of the United States for a grant equal to 45 per cent of the total estimated cost for the construction of a 36-inch pipe line, the necessary materials, equipment and rights of way over the route heretofore described in San Mateo and Santa Clara counties, to be used as part of the Water Distribution System of San Francisco and for the purpose of serving persons living contiguous to the route of said pipe line with water; and be it

Further Resolved, That His Honor the Mayor and the Clerk of this Board are hereby authorized and directed to make the aforesaid application in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco and to execute all necessary documents in behalf of said City and County in connection with said grant.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Authorizing Acquisition of Certain Land for San Francisco Airport by Condemnation Proceedings.**

(Code No. 6.0211)

Resolution No. 3026, as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described real property situated in the City of South San Francisco, County of San Mateo, State of California, and described as follows:

Fractional Tide Lot No. 31 and entire Lot No. 32 in Section 27 and entire Lots Nos. 25, 27, 28, 29 and 30 in Section 26, both sections in Township 3 South, Range 5 West, Mount Diablo Base and Meridian.

Also that portion of Tide Land Survey No. 12, San Mateo County, described as follows:

The north  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the northwest  $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 2, Township 4 South, Range 5 West, Mount Diablo Base and Meridian, lying east of and adjacent to lands of the City and County of San Francisco.

Containing 171.6 acres, more or less.

Be It Further Resolved, That said lands are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for use of the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the construction, operation and maintenance of the San Francisco Airport. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken to said lands.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence pro-



ceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

#### **Authorizing Acceptance of Easement in Twin Peaks Terrace.**

(Code No. 12.1011)

Also, Resolution No. 3027, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain deed dated December 4, 1936, from Fernando Nelson & Sons to a sewer and utility easement in Block 2719, Twin Peaks Terrace, San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

#### **Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land for the Longfellow Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 3028, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Peter Lutzi and Cecile Lutzi, his wife, Lot 6, Assessor's Block 6474, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Longfellow School Playground, for the sum of \$3,100, payable from Appropriation No. 613.600.06. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

#### **Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for Eureka Valley Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 3029, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Eureka Valley Playground, for the sums set forth opposite their names, payable from Appropriation No. 613.600.04:

Emma M. Muhlker, Lot 21, Assessor's Block 2694.....	\$4,350
Mary Coleman, Lot 19, Assessor's Block 2694.....	3,250

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

#### **Approving County Welfare Recommendations.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3030, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Director of the County

Welfare Department, filed with the Board of Supervisors on January 8, 1937, are approved as follows:

Blind Pensions—Cancellations.

Widows' Pensions—Decreases; Cancellations; Other Transactions.

Old Age Security Aid—Cancellations; Other Transactions.

And the Clerk of this Board is directed to indorse the approval of this Board upon the reports of said Director of County Welfare Department and to transmit the same to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

### **Tax Refund.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 3031, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amount be and is hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, 1936-1937, to the following named, being refund of erroneous payment of taxes:

Utica Mine, c/o A. Crawford Greene, Assessor's Receipt

No. 23021. Erroneously assessed by City and County..\$14.04

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

### **Authorizing Confirmation of Sale of City Land at the Corner of Vicksburg and Jersey Streets.**

(Code No. 12.1729)

Also, Resolution No. 3032, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17296, Bill No. 1167, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids would be received by him on January 6, 1937, for the sale of the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Jersey street with the westerly line of Vicksburg street; running thence westerly along the southerly line of Jersey street, 75 feet; thence at right angles southerly 34 feet; thence at right angles easterly 75 feet to the westerly line of Vicksburg street; thence northerly along last named line 34 feet to the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Horner's Addition Block 89.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Timothy Nyhane offered to purchase said land for the sum of \$2,325 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said sum of \$2,325 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being \$2,325; and

Whereas, Timothy Nyhane has paid the sum of \$325 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said land to Timothy Nyhane. The buyer must pay the balance of the purchase price within thirty days after approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver



said deed to the grantee upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following matters were taken up:

**Authorizing Sale of School Land on Thirtieth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1209, Ordinance No. 12.17229, as follows:

Authorizing Sale of School Land on Thirtieth Avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Santiago street; running thence southerly along the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 240 feet to the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue; thence northerly along last named line, 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 240 feet to the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue and the point of beginning.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

**Appropriation of \$63,913 for Relief for February, 1937.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1212, Ordinance No. 9.051343, as follows:

Appropriating \$63,931 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of February, 1937, and authorizing a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$63,931 is hereby appropriated out of such funds as may be available for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of February, 1937.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County of San Francisco and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations are fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved by the Civil Service Commission are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 2519 heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

### Supplemental Appropriation of \$2,100 for the Creation of Position of Chief, Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1213, Ordinance No. 9.051344, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$2,100 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 610.101.01 to the credit of Appropriation No. 610.101.01 for the purpose of creating a position classified and designated as Chief, Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation, at \$350 per month in the Fire Department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,100 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 610.101.01 to the credit of Appropriation No. 610.101.01.

Section 2. There is hereby created in the Fire Department one position designated and classified as Chief, Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation, at a salary of \$350 per month, the compensation of which is provided by funds appropriated in Section 1 hereof.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

### Amending Annual Salary Ordinance of Fire Department.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1214, Ordinance No. 9.05393, as follows:

Amending Section 12 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 (Annual Salary Ordinance) by adding Item 15½ thereto.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 12 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 is hereby amended to read as follows:

#### Section 12. FIRE DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioner .....	\$ 100
2	1		Chief Engineer .....	600
3	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	225
4	1	B68	Chief Clerk, Fire Department.....	400
5	1	B306	Multigraph Operator .....	165
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	150
9	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	150
10	894	H2	Firemen . . . . .	180-200*
11	28	H10	Chief's Operator .....	210
12	12	H15	Engineer of Fire Engines.....	220**
13	114	H20	Lieutenant . . . . .	222.50**
14	74	H30	Captain . . . . .	235**
15	23	H40	Battalion Chief .....	350**
15½	1	H42	Chief, Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation .....	350
16	4	H50	Assistant Chief Engineer.....	400
17	1	L360	Physician . . . . .	235
18	6	O166	Firemen of Stationary Steam Engines..	195
19	1	O166	Fireman of Stationary Steam Engines..	185
20	4	O168	Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines	230
21	2	O168	Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines	220
22	1	O172	Chief Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines . . . . .	280
23	3	J4	Laborer, \$6 per day.....	
24	1	M4	Master Mechanic .....	416.66



Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
25	12	O304	Hydrantman Gateman .....	215
26	1	O304	Hydrantman Gateman .....	175
27	1	O310	Foreman Hydrantman Gateman .....	255
28	1	U112	Pipe Calker, at \$7.50 per day.....	

#### FIRE BOAT CREWS

29	1	H120	Pilot of Fire Boats (Relief).....	255
30	4	H120	Pilot of Fire Boats.....	255
31	9	H110	Marine Engineer (Fire Boats).....	255
32	9	H102	Marine Stoker (Fire Boats).....	205

\*At rates fixed by Charter.

\*\*In event of a vacancy in this rank, the position may be abolished and the number of positions for Firemen correspondingly increased without amendment of this ordinance and the Fireman's position may be filled subject to the provisions of Sections 1 and 2 hereof.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

#### Adopted.

The following matters were taken up:

#### Approving Map Showing the Extension of Dearborn Street.

(Code No. 12.0821)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 3022, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Map showing the extension of Dearborn street southerly to Eighteenth Street" be and is hereby approved and made official and the parcel shown hatched thereon is hereby declared to be an open public street to be known as Dearborn street.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

#### Denying Appeal of Ellen Fuller from Assessment for Sidewalk Work on Tenth Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0613)

Also, Resolution No. 3023, as follows:

Resolved, That the appeal of Ellen Fuller from the assessment for the improvement of Tenth avenue (east one-half) between Ortega street and 100 feet north, be and is hereby denied and said assessment is confirmed.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

#### Referred.

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up and on motion *referred to the Finance Committee:*

#### Policy with Respect to the Extension of La Salle Avenue.

(Code No. 12.063)

Resolution No. 3024, as follows:

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby pledge itself to include in the Appropriation Ordinance for 1937-1938, the sum of

\$15,000, payable out of County Road Funds, for property purchase necessary to the extension of La Salle avenue.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following matters were taken up:

**Amending Traffic Ordinance to Provide Twenty-Minute Parking on North Side of Plum Street.**

(Code No. 11.02)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1205, Ordinance No. 11.0224, as follows:

Amending Section 38a, Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 38a, Article V of Ordinance 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following words: "North side of Plum street between Mission street and Van Ness Avenue South."

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

**Amending Traffic Ordinance to Make Plum Street a "One-Way" Street.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Also, Bill No. 1206, Ordinance No. 11.0225, as follows:

Amending Section 26, Article IV of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 26, Article IV of Ordinance 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following words: "Westerly in Plum street from Mission street to Van Ness Avenue South."

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

**Amending Traffic Ordinance to Prohibit Left Turn from Plum Street Into Mission Street During Certain Hours.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Also, Bill No. 1207, Ordinance No. 11.0226, as follows:

Amending Section 16, Article IV of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 16, Article IV, of Ordinance 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following words: "Plum street into Mission street, from 4:30 p. m. to 6:30 p. m."

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.



**Amending Traffic Ordinance to Prohibit Parking on the South Side of Plum Street Between Mission Street and Van Ness Avenue South.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Also, Bill No. 1208, Ordinance No. 11.0227, as follows:

Amending Section 37, Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 37, Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following words: "South side of Plum street between Mission street and Van Ness Avenue South."

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

**Action Deferred.**

The following recommendations of Judiciary Committee were taken up and *laid over for consideration until Friday, January 15, 1937, at 10 a. m.:*

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —  
PUBLIC WELFARE DEPARTMENT.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 61(a), relating to Public Welfare Department.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County at the special election to be held on the 2nd day of March, 1937, in the City and County of San Francisco, a proposal to amend as herein set forth the Charter by adding thereto a new section to be known as Section 61(a), relating to Public Welfare Department.

**PUBLIC WELFARE DEPARTMENT.**

Section 1. There is hereby created a department of the City and County government to be known as the Public Welfare Department. This department shall consist of a Welfare Commission of five members, a Director of Public Welfare, and such employees and assistants as may be necessary to carry out the work and functions of said department.

Section 2. The members of the Welfare Commission shall be appointed thereto by the Mayor and shall be selected for their respective positions on the basis of their interest in and understanding of the problems of public welfare. The members of said Commission shall serve without compensation and no person shall be eligible to serve on said Commission while holding a salaried public office, position or employment.

Section 3. The term of office of the members of the said Commission, subject to the provisions hereof relative to removal and the terms of the first members of the Commission, shall be four years. Within

thirty days after this amendment shall become effective the Mayor shall appoint five members to said Welfare Commission, one member to be appointed for a term to expire on the 15th day of January, 1938; one for a term to expire on the 15th day of January, 1939; one for a term to expire on the 15th day of January, 1940, and two for terms to expire on the 15th day of January, 1941; and upon the expiration of the terms of each of said members of said Commission so appointed the Mayor shall fill the vacancy arising by reason of the expiration of said term by the appointment of a member to said Commission for a term of four years. Vacancies occurring in the membership of said Commission shall be filled by an appointment to be made by the Mayor for the unexpired term of said person in whose place said appointment is made; and when the term of any member of said Commission shall expire, then said appointment shall be made for the full period of four years from the date of the expiration of the term. All vacancies shall be filled within thirty days of the occurrence thereof.

Section 4. Members of the Commission shall be subject to removal from office by the Mayor for cause, but only upon written charges made and signed by the Mayor, copy of said charges to be served upon the offending commissioner; and said charges shall be heard by the Mayor and on said hearing of said charges the said commissioner so charged shall have the opportunity to appear and to be heard.

Section 5. The Commission shall be a policy-determining and supervisory body and shall have all the powers provided for in Section 19 of the Charter.

Section 6. The Commission shall appoint and, subject to the budgetary provisions of this Charter, fix the salary of a Director of Public Welfare who shall serve at the pleasure of said Commission and shall not be subject to the civil service provisions of the Charter. Said director shall possess qualifications and experience essential to the conduct of a complete program of public welfare. Said director shall be the chief executive of the department and shall have all the powers provided for chief executives as set forth in Section 20 of the Charter. He shall be responsible for the enforcement of the rules and regulations of the Commission and, upon the recommendation of the Commission, shall have the power to establish such divisions and bureaus as may be necessary for the administration of relief and welfare in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 7. All employees in the Department of Public Welfare, with the exception of the director thereof, shall be subject to the civil service provisions of the Charter and, subject to said provisions, the Director of Public Welfare may employ such employees as may be necessary for the carrying out of the work and functions of the department. The functions and duties of the County Welfare Department as established and existing at the time this amendment shall go into effect shall be transferred and shall be included in the Department of Public Welfare and the personnel of said County Welfare Department shall be so transferred and shall hold their respective positions under the same conditions and upon the same tenure as the same were held in the County Welfare Department. The functions of the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee appointed pursuant to Ordinance No. .... shall be



transferred to said Public Welfare Department. Any person who has served under the general supervision of the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee for a period of one year continuously next prior to the date of the approval of this amendment by the Legislature of the State of California, and who shall be actually employed under the supervision of the said Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee on said last mentioned date, shall be confirmed in and appointed to their respective positions and shall thereafter hold said positions under the said Department of Public Welfare subject to the civil service provisions of the Charter and shall be entitled to all of the benefits of said civil service provisions.

Section 8. Said Public Welfare Department shall exercise all of the functions exercised by the County Welfare Department and by the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee as the same exist at the time this amendment is approved by the Legislature of the State of California and shall perform such other duties and have such other functions as may be authorized by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Minority report to be submitted by Supervisor McSheehy.*

## CHARTER AMENDMENT No.—

### HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 172.1, relating to Health Service System.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County at the special election to be held on the 2nd day of March, 1937, in the City and County of San Francisco, a proposal to amend as herein set forth the Charter by adding thereto a new section to be known as Section 172.1, relating to Health Service System.

### HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM.

#### Section 172.1:

Subdivision 1. A health service system for municipal employees is hereby established. Said system shall be administered by a board to be known as the Health Service Board. The members of the system shall consist of all employees of the City and County who are members of the Retirement System and all teachers and employees of the Board of Education who are members of said Retirement System. Any employee who adheres to the faith or teachings of any recognized religious sect, denomination or organization and, in accordance with its creed, tenets or principles, depends for healing upon prayer in the practice of religion shall be exempted from the system upon filing annually with the Health Service Board an affidavit stating such adherence and dependence and disclaiming any benefits under the system. The Board shall have the power to exempt any person whose annual compensation ex-

ceeds forty-five hundred (\$4,500.00) dollars and any person who has otherwise provided for adequate medical care.

Subdivision 2. The Health Service Board shall consist of nine members elected by the members of the System. The first members of the Board shall classify themselves by lot so that three shall serve for one year, three for two years and three for three years from and after May 15, 1937. Thereafter the term of office shall be three years. Each member of the Board shall give bond in the sum of ten thousand (\$10,000.00) dollars, the premium on which shall be paid out of the funds of the system. Vacancies shall be filled for the unexpired term by a majority vote of the remaining members of the Board. Members of the Board shall be nominated by a written nomination of twenty members filed with the Registrar of Voters not earlier than April 1st nor later than April 15th of each year. The Registrar of Voters shall prepare ballots and shall furnish the same to all members of the System between April 15th and April 25th and shall receive the ballots between April 25th and May 7th and canvass and certify the result on May 8th. The Registrar of Voters shall have power to make such regulations respecting the form, distribution and canvassing of the ballots as may be necessary to secure secrecy of the ballot and prevent fraud. The persons equal in number to the number to be elected who receive the greatest number of votes shall be declared elected. Not more than one employee of any one department or office may be a member of the Board. For the purpose of the first election, all employees eligible for membership in the System shall be deemed members.

Subdivision 3. The Board shall have power:

(a) By a two-thirds vote of the entire membership of the Board, to adopt a plan or plans for rendering medical care to the members of the system, or for the indemnification of the costs of said care, or for obtaining and carrying insurance against such costs.

(b) To put said plans into effect and to conduct and administer the same and, for all or any of said purposes, to contract therefor and use the funds of the system.

(c) To make rules and regulations for the transaction of its business, the granting of exemptions and the admission to the system of persons who are hereby made members thereof and such other officers and employees as may voluntarily become members of the system with the approval of the Board.

(d) To appoint a secretary and other employees, who shall hold office at the pleasure of the Board, and to fix their respective compensations.

(e) To make provision for the participation in the benefits of the system by the families of members, retired municipal employees and temporary municipal employees, provided that such participation shall be without cost to the City and County.

Subdivision 4. The Board shall determine and certify to the Controller the amount to be paid monthly by the members of the system to a fund for the purposes of the system hereby created. The Controller shall deduct said sums from the compensation of the members and shall deposit the same with the Treasurer of the City and County to the credit and for the use of the system. Such deductions shall not be



deemed to be a reduction of compensation under any provision of the Charter. The Board shall have control of the administration and investment of the funds, provided that all investments shall be of the character legal for insurance companies in California. Disbursements from the fund shall be made only upon audit by the Controller and the Controller shall have and exercise the accounting and auditing powers over the funds of the system which are vested in him by this Charter with respect to all other municipal boards, officers and commissions.

Subdivision 5. The term "medical care" shall include the services of physicians, surgeons and nurses, hospitalization, medicines and appliances and dental, optical and other medical treatments and services.

Subdivision 6. Members of the system shall have and possess no claim or recourse against any of the funds of the municipality by virtue of the adoption or operation of any plan for rendering medical care, indemnifying costs of said care or carrying insurance against such cost but the claim and recourse of any such member shall be limited solely to the funds of the system. All expenses of the system shall be borne exclusively by the funds of the system and the City and County shall not appropriate or contribute funds in any manner for the purposes of the system hereby established and provided.

## **CHARTER AMENDMENT No.—**

### **POWER OF HEARING, INQUIRY AND SUBPOENA**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend Section 21 of the Charter of the City and County, relating to the power of hearing, inquiry, and subpoena.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the special election to be held on the 2d day of March, 1937, a proposal to amend the Charter of the City and County, as follows:

### **POWER OF HEARING, INQUIRY AND SUBPOENA**

"Section 21. The Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, the Chief Administrative Officer, the Controller, or any board or commission appointed by the Mayor relative solely to the affairs under its control may require such periodic or special reports of departmental cost, operation and expenditures, examine the books, papers, records, and accounts of, and inquire into matters affecting the conduct of any department or office of the City and County, and for that purpose may hold hearings, subpoena witnesses, administer oaths and compel the production of books, papers, testimony and other evidence. It shall be the duty of the Chief of Police to designate a police officer to serve subpoenas. Any person refusing to obey such subpoena and other requirements hereof, or to produce such books, shall be deemed in contempt and subject to proceedings and penalties as provided by general law in such instances.

"Whenever any person subpoenaed to appear and give testimony or produce such books, papers or documents as required by such sub

poena, shall refuse to appear or testify before any of said officers, boards or commissions, or to answer any questions which any of said officers or the majority of any of said boards or commissions shall decide to be proper and pertinent, he shall be deemed in contempt of said officer, board or commission, and it shall be the duty of said officer, or the president of said board or commission to report the fact to the presiding judge of the Superior Court of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, who shall thereupon issue an attachment in the form usual in the Superior Court, directed to the Sheriff of said City and County, commanding said Sheriff to attach such person and forthwith bring him before said presiding judge of said Superior Court. On the return of said attachment and the production of the person attached the said presiding judge shall have jurisdiction of the matter, and the person charged may purge himself of the contempt in the same way, and the same proceedings shall be had, and the same penalties may be imposed and the same punishment inflicted as in the case of a witness subpoenaed to appear and give evidence on the trial of a civil cause before a Superior Court of the State of California. Any of said officers or any member of said boards or commissions shall have power to issue any subpoena herein provided for."

## **CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ———**

### **CASH RESERVE FUND AND TEMPORARY LOANS.**

#### **TAX ANTICIPATION NOTES.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend Section 81 of the Charter of the City and County, relating to cash reserve fund and temporary loans.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the special election to be held on the 2nd day of March, 1937, a proposal to amend the Charter of the City and County, by amending Section 81 thereof, relating to cash reserve fund and temporary loans.

(As submitted by Mr. O'Toole Dec. 8, 1936)

### **CASH RESERVE FUND AND TEMPORARY LOANS.**

#### **TAX ANTICIPATION NOTES.**

Section 81. The Board of Supervisors, by annual tax levy, may gradually build up the cash reserve fund authorized and created by the provisions of Section 80 of this Charter. Said fund shall be used exclusively (1) for the payment in any fiscal year of legally budgeted expenditures for such year in anticipation of the collection, after the close of such fiscal year, of legally collectible taxes and other revenues, as set forth in the budget and the appropriation ordinance for such fiscal year, and (2) for paying that portion of the authorized expenses of the City and County for any fiscal year, which, as certified to said Board by the Controller, becomes due and payable and must be paid prior to the receipt of tax payments for such fiscal year; provided, that such cash



reserve fund shall not at any time exceed the estimated expenditures for the first five months of the then current fiscal year, less the amount of estimated revenues and receipts from sources other than tax rate revenues.

In the event that funds are not available in such a cash reserve fund to meet authorized expenditures of any fiscal year, the Board of Supervisors, on the recommendation of the Controller and the Mayor, and the written approval of the officer, board or commission responsible for the management and control of the fund from which it is proposed that the temporarily idle balances be transferred or loaned may, by ordinance, authorize the Treasurer to make temporary transfers or loans for specified periods of idle unencumbered balances in any fund in his custody, except a pension fund, at not less than the then current rate of interest paid by the banks to the City and County on City and County funds deposited with such banks. Such approval by the officer, board or commission concerned shall specify that the amount proposed to be transferred or loaned from such fund will not be needed for the purpose of such fund prior to the date specified for its return. The fund from which such transfer or loan is made shall be charged or encumbered with the amount of such transfer or loan and such amount shall not be considered as available in such fund for any other appropriation or encumbrance for which any expenditures or payments must be made prior to the date on which the transfer or loan is repaid. Any transfer or loan made as herein authorized during the first half of any fiscal year shall be repaid prior to the first day of January of said year, and any transfer or loan made during the remaining one-half of said fiscal year shall be repaid prior to the 15th day of May of said year. Such loans shall be secured by and made solely in anticipation of the collection of taxes levied or to be levied for the current fiscal year, and such loans shall constitute the first demand on and shall be repaid from the first tax collections for such current fiscal year; provided, however, that tax anticipation loans made as hereinafter in this section authorized, shall constitute a prior lien on said taxes levied or to be levied or collected.

When funds shall be needed for the immediate requirements of the City and County in any fiscal year in accordance with appropriations made as authorized by this Charter for such fiscal year, which payments may be made in advance of the receipt of income from such fiscal year, and when funds therefor cannot be made available as hereinbefore in this section authorized, the Board of Supervisors, on the recommendation of the Controller and the approval of such recommendation by the Mayor, shall have power to borrow money on notes or other evidences of indebtedness on behalf of the City and County. Said power shall be exercised by ordinance or ordinances authorizing the borrowing of said money and the execution of said notes or other evidences of indebtedness. The aggregate amount of such notes or other evidences of indebtedness outstanding and unpaid at any one time during any part of the fiscal year in which said borrowing is made shall not be in excess of 25 per cent of the estimated aggregate amount of all taxes actually levied for such fiscal year. All such notes or other evidences of indebtedness shall be offered at public sale by the Board of Supervisors after not less than two days of advertising, not less than

three days after the last day on which such advertising is published. Each such sale shall be made to the bidder offering the lowest rate of interest or whose bid represents the lowest net cost to the City and County; provided, however, that the rate of interest to be paid shall not exceed the sum of six (6) per centum per annum, and full authority is hereby given to said Board of Supervisors to fix, by resolution, the rate of interest on said notes or other evidences of indebtedness and the times and places where the principal sum of said notes or other evidences of indebtedness shall be paid. The principal amount of said notes or other evidences of indebtedness, together with the interest thereon, issued and delivered under authority of this section shall be payable exclusively out of the taxes levied and collected by said City and County for the fiscal year during which the same are issued, and shall constitute a first lien and charge against the taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year in which said money shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes; and the amount of taxes so levied and collected shall be applied to the payment of said notes or other evidences of indebtedness before any part thereof is used for any other purpose; provided, however, that taxes levied for the payment of principal of, or interest on, any bonded indebtedness of said City and County now outstanding or hereafter created shall be applied to the purpose for which such taxes were levied, unless the money borrowed by such notes or other evidences of indebtedness issued against such tax levies is in fact applied to the payment of the principal and interest of such bonded indebtedness. If at the time said notes or other evidences of indebtedness, or any of them, become due and payable the funds in the City Treasury available for the payment thereof shall be insufficient for the payment in full of all of said notes or other evidences of indebtedness then outstanding such funds shall be applied pro rata to the payment of the principal and interest of all of the notes or other evidences of indebtedness then issued and outstanding without preference or priority of any one note over any other by reason of prior issuance, or otherwise. Any of said notes or other evidences of indebtedness not paid prior to June 30 of the fiscal year during which the same are issued shall, nevertheless, be paid out of moneys received from the taxes of the said fiscal year, irrespective of the date of the receipt thereof, it being the intent and purpose of this section to provide for the payment of all notes or other evidences of indebtedness issued under authority of this section out of the taxes levied for the fiscal year during which said notes or other evidences of indebtedness are issued irrespective of the actual date of the collection of said taxes.

The Board of Supervisors shall have full power and authority to provide for the form of all notes or other evidences of indebtedness issued by authority of this section, as well as to fix the time and place for the payment of both the principal amount of said notes or other evidences of indebtedness and the interest to become due thereon; provided that all notes or other evidences of indebtedness issued for money borrowed during the first half of any fiscal year shall be payable not later than December 31 of said year; and all notes or other evidences of indebtedness issued for money borrowed during the second half of any fiscal year shall be payable not later than May 15 of such year, it being



the intent and purpose of this section that the borrowing of money under authority hereof shall be solely for the purpose of anticipating receipt of income. The Mayor, in preparing the consolidated budget estimate as provided by this Charter, shall include therein a separate amount sufficient to meet the interest to be paid on any moneys borrowed under authority of this section.

### **CHARTER AMENDMENT No.—**

Without recommendation from Judiciary Committee.

### **OPERATING EXPENSES AND RESERVES**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Sections 127 and 128, relating to Operating Expenses and Reserves, and Depreciation and to add a new section known as 128-1, Reconstruction and Replacements.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County of San Francisco at the special election to be held on the 2d day of March 1937, a proposal to amend Sections 127 and 128 and to add a new section known as 128-1 of the Charter of said City and County, as follows:

### **OPERATING EXPENSES AND RESERVES**

Section 127. Receipts from each utility operated by the commission shall be paid into the City and County Treasury and maintained in a separate fund for each such utility. Appropriations from such funds shall be made for the following purposes for each such utility in the order named, viz.: (a) for the payment of operating expenses, pension charges, and proportionate payments to such compensation and other insurance and accident reserve funds as the commission may establish or the Board of Supervisors may require; (b) for repairs and maintenance; (c) for reconstruction and replacements as hereinafter described; (d) for the payment of interest and sinking funds on the bonds issued for acquisition, construction or extensions; (e) for extensions and improvements, and (f) for a surplus fund.

### **DEPRECIATION**

Section 128. For the purpose of computing net income, the commission, on the basis of an appraisal of the estimated life and the then current depreciated value of the several classes of property in each utility, shall determine the amount of reasonable annual depreciation for each utility. At least every five years thereafter, the commission shall make an appraisal or may revise the last preceding appraisal of the value and probable useful life of each of the several classes of property of each utility, and shall, on the basis of said appraisal, redetermine the amount of the reasonable annual depreciation for each utility.

### **RECONSTRUCTION AND REPLACEMENTS**

Section 128-1. For the purpose of providing funds for reconstruction and replacements due to physical and functional depreciation of

each of the utilities under the jurisdiction of the commission, the commission must create and maintain a reconstruction and replacement fund for each such utility, sufficient for the purposes mentioned in this section, and in accordance with an established practice for utilities of similar character, which shall be the basis for the amount necessary to appropriate annually to provide for said reconstruction and replacements.

### **CHARTER AMENDMENT No.——**

Judiciary Committee recommends against.

### **CITY PLANNING COMMISSION.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend Section 115 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, relating to the City Planning Commission.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County at the special election to be held on the 2nd day of March, 1937, a proposal to amend as herein set forth, Section 115 of the Charter, relating to the City Planning Commission.

### **CITY PLANNING COMMISSION.**

Section 115: The City Planning Commission shall consist of five members who shall be elected by the people of the City and County of San Francisco. The terms of the members of the Commission shall be four years from and after 12:00 o'clock, noon, of the first Monday of January succeeding their election. The compensation of the members of said Commission shall be \$15.00 for each meeting of the Commission actually attended by said members provided that the aggregate sum paid all of the members of said Commission shall not exceed \$5,000.00 per year. No member shall engage in or be interested in the real estate business, insurance brokerage, building material or construction business, electrical business, plumbing or heating business during his incumbency in office.

### **Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Public Welfare Committee was taken up:

### **Initiative Ordinance Prohibiting Billboard.**

(Code No. 11.08)

Bill No. 1215, Ordinance No. 11.0820, as follows:

Directing that a proposed ordinance entitled as follows: "An ordinance prohibiting the location, erection or maintenance of any sign or signboard, bill or billboard, or any advertising device or structure, movable or immovable, temporary or permanent, for advertisement sign or billboard business, or designed or intended for use for advertisement sign or billboard purposes within certain distances of any part of the structure of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge and/or bridge ramp or approaches thereto or exits therefrom and specifying said distances, and providing penalties for the violation of this ordinance." Be submitted to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco at a special election to be held on Tuesday, March 2, 1937.



Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A petition for the enactment of a proposed ordinance entitled as follows: "An ordinance prohibiting the location, erection or maintenance of any sign or signboard, bill or billboard, or any advertising device or structure, movable or immovable, temporary or permanent, for advertisement sign or billboard business, or designed or intended for use for advertisement sign or billboard purposes within certain distances of any part of the structure of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge and/or bridge ramp or approaches thereto or exits therefrom and specifying said distances, and providing penalties for the violation of this ordinance.", having been signed by registered voters of the City and County of San Francisco equal in number to five per cent (5%) but less than ten per cent (10%) of the entire vote cast for Mayor at the last general election, and having been filed with the Registrar of Voters, the Board of Supervisors does hereby direct that said proposed ordinance be voted on at a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, March 2, 1937.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

*Adopted.*

The following recommendation of the Judiciary Committee was presented by Supervisor McSheehy and *adopted* by the following vote:

Calling a Special Election to Be Held in the City and County of San Francisco for the Purpose of Voting on Certain Amendments to the Charter of the Said City and County Heretofore Referred to the Electors, as Well as for the Purpose of Voting Upon Such Ordinances as May Hereafter Be Initiated or Referred to the Electors in the Manner Provided by Law.

(Code No. 3.02)

Resolution No. 3033, as follows:

Whereas, certain amendments to the Charter of the City and County have been by this Board of Supervisors referred to the electors of the City and County; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County does hereby call a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the second day of March, 1937, for the purpose of voting upon certain amendments to the Charter of the City and County ordered submitted to the electors thereof, as well as for the purpose of voting upon such ordinances as may be proposed to said electors under the initiative provisions of the Charter or under the referendum powers of the Board of Supervisors or of the electors of said City and County; and be it

Further Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby proclaim the holding of the said election for the above-mentioned purposes, as hereinbefore set forth, and does hereby direct that the Registrar of Voters take all necessary steps and give all necessary notices to the end that said election may be held as hereinbefore set forth.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

**Termite Ordinance.**

(Code No. —)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. —, entitled "Amending Building Law by adding to Part XIII thereof a section to be designated Section 228B, requiring use of preservative to prevent destructive action of termites, etc., on wood or lumber used in building construction."

*Referred to Public Buildings Committee.***National Defense Week.**

Supervisor Shannon moved that the week of February 15 to February 22, 1937, be designated National Defense Week, and that the Mayor be requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee for its observance.

No objection. *So ordered.***Re Appointment of Successor to Former Supervisor Havenner.**

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved that his Honor the Mayor be requested to appoint a Supervisor in the vacancy that now exists by reason of resignation of Congressman Franck R. Havenner for the reason that there are a number of important Charter amendments proposed to be submitted to the electors.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Uhl—2.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon—7.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**Explanation of Vote.**

Supervisor Brown explained his vote by saying that he did not believe that we had any right to interfere with the executive authority of the City.

Supervisor Schmidt explained his vote by saying he voted *no* because he believed we should keep the executive and legislative branches of the City separate and distinct.

**Annual Message From His Honor the Mayor.**

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR,  
San Francisco.

January 11, 1937.

The Honorable the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: As provided in Section 25 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, I attach hereto my annual report of our municipal affairs, together with such comments and suggestions as seem to me timely and advisable.

It was my pleasure to observe, in my last message, that local conditions had shown considerable improvement over the previous year. The same is true for 1936, and the immediate outlook holds much of encouragement.

Doubtless, the most important event in San Francisco's progress since 1869, when we were linked to the world by the completion of the transcontinental railway, was the completion and dedication, November twelfth, of the world's greatest bridge. The second largest, that across the Golden Gate, and having a suspension span of 4200 feet, the longest in the world, will be ready for dedication in May. Since the opening of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, San Francisco has been visited by an enormous stream of traffic from distant parts of the State and from almost every State in the Union. This stupendous mass of steel and stone and its sister structure, across



the Golden Gate, mean the dawning of a new era for our beloved City. Their massive strands of woven wire uplift and hold in midair solid and spacious highways that make of our adjacent Bay counties one great, uninterrupted metropolitan family.

By the possession of these engineering triumphs, which have conquered the deep Bay waters and defied the turbulent currents of the mighty Pacific, may we not truly vision a united municipality—united in resolute purpose and in a common desire to hasten the destiny, to which our superb Bay, harbor and hinterlands entitle us.

These mighty new thoroughfares also demand that we anticipate and prepare to cope with the changes and new competition they will bring about. To maintain our prestige as a dominant community, we must have, and without delay, the best solution modern trends can furnish in means for rapid transit. Hand in hand must go City planning and beautification, so that no community amongst those to which we are now bound so closely shall offer superior, more economical or more beautiful residential advantages and surroundings. Let us strive unitedly, unselfishly and unswervingly toward this goal.

### Rapid Transit.

The most important, urgent and vital problem confronting our citizens is that of providing, within San Francisco, a rapid transit system. Existing traffic congestion, coupled with future congestion which inevitably will result from operation of the two Bay bridges, make it imperative to take immediate steps, providing a transportation system for the great masses of our own people, dependent upon public vehicles to reach business and home.

We have already reached the time when it is impossible to add to the railway service on Market and other streets during evening rush hours. This means that the people of San Francisco can expect no relief from the present intolerable street railway crush which occurs every evening around five o'clock. We have no leeway under present conditions for transporting either those already residents or the expanded population which we invite to settle here.

With the four tracks necessary for surface cars on Market street, we have only two lanes for automobiles. In some sections of the City, the evening rush hour schedule speed for street cars is below four miles an hour and sometimes even this snail's pace cannot be maintained. Since the opening of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge to automobile traffic, there has been an appreciable increase in the number of street cars turned back before completing their run, in order to maintain anything like uniform service. These conditions are working a physical hardship on the people of San Francisco in addition to which they are losing a vast amount of valuable time in their daily travel between their homes and places of business. Under these unbearable conditions and with no promise of future improvement, we may lose many of our residents, particularly after the commencement of transbay train service early in 1938. These trains will not only render it possible to reach desirable residence districts in East Bay cities in less time than to many of our local residence areas of equal desirability, but what is of equal importance, they will also provide a comfortable service.

The Public Utilities Commission has carefully studied this problem and has submitted a definite plan which will give fast and convenient service to all quarters of the City and in addition remove the two outer tracks from the surface of Market street, thereby doubling its present capacity for automobile travel. The estimated cost of these high-speed subway lines is \$52,700,000. While this is a large sum, I sincerely feel that we can better afford to make the expenditure than continue to bear the losses incident to insufficient, uncomfortable and slow transportation for the million people who daily ride on the street cars of our City.

The plan of the Public Utilities Commission has been criticized and many substitutions have been suggested, not one of which has stood

the test of careful examination in the light of our present and future problems. The study of these other plans has only more fully convinced me that the Public Utilities Commission has carefully analyzed our transportation needs and submitted a plan fully meeting our needs and capable of extension to serve the greatest population, likely to live within our boundaries. It bears the approval of two of the foremost consulting engineers of the United States, Messrs. Robert Ridgway and Alfred Brahdly who have submitted a report to this City. Your Honorable Board has already provided \$50,000, with which the Public Utilities Commission is now preparing plans and specifications, to prevent delay, once construction funds are available.

Over \$100,000,000 has been spent in bridges for the convenience of people coming into and leaving San Francisco, yet the number daily using these facilities is but an inconsiderable fraction of those who are absolutely dependent on local public transportation to reach their places of business and the shops in our downtown district, which are second to none in the United States.

Decentralization of business, decrease in real estate values, higher taxes, business and payroll losses may result from lack of adequate and fast transportation between the downtown district and the outlying areas of the City.

Rapid transit has been discussed sufficiently long; it is now time for action and I urge the immediate approval of the Public Utilities Commission's plan and the submission to the people of a proposal to issue the necessary general obligation bonds. Present rates of interest are far below normal and prompt action may make it possible to secure federal aid. Let us therefore, within the next few months, see the beginning of this most important public undertaking, thereby guaranteeing to our people definite improvement in local rail transportation and partial relief from the intolerable congestion now experienced in our downtown streets.

In addition, it is essential that we enter into negotiations with the privately-owned street car systems of San Francisco, toward bringing them into a single unified system of mass transportation, under municipal operation, which will insure the universal transfer privilege to our people.

### Vehicular Traffic.

Another pressing problem is the need for easy and adequate flow of vehicular traffic on the streets of San Francisco.

We have before us the report of Dr. M. S. McClintock, recommending expenditure of several millions of dollars for the immediate extension of two dead-end streets across Market street and many millions more for the eventual construction of eight such additional crossings between Second street and Van Ness avenue.

Considerable controversy has arisen from this report. Study of it is progressing, with marked differences of opinion being expressed as to its efficacy.

I urge your Honorable Board to consider these facts:

First: That the welfare of the entire City be considered in establishing certain downtown areas as zones where automobiles may not park. The experience of many large cities in the United States, notably our neighbor Los Angeles, shows the necessity for and practicability of non-parking zones which have been established and successfully maintained without loss to merchants or inconvenience to the public.

Second: That your Board continue to support a provision in the next budget for widening of certain streets which would lend themselves to the relief of congestion on main arteries.

Third: That your Board give serious study to the designation of certain streets as one-way arteries, which will speed up traffic and reduce the toll of accidents and deaths, which is annually becoming more menacing.



### Power Distribution.

A well-conceived proposal for municipal distribution of electric energy, generally, as a part of the Hetch Hetchy Project, known as Plan 7, has been presented by the Public Utilities Commission. This plan for municipal distribution of power was approved by Honorable Harold L. Ickes, Secretary of the Interior, as in full compliance with the requirements of the Federal Hetch Hetchy Grant, known as the "Raker Act", and has been approved by your Honorable Board of Supervisors for presentation to the voters at the forthcoming municipal election.

I believe it to be in the best interests of San Francisco and its citizens that they approve this proposition, because it will be the means of reducing the rates charged for electricity and will earn a handsome profit for the public treasury.

### Harbor Control.

San Francisco's harbor has been at a disadvantage, in competing with other harbors in California and along the Pacific Coast, because all these major ports have been locally administered. San Francisco's harbor has been under the control of a State board, not necessarily responsive to local requirements. This condition remains an intolerable one for the people of San Francisco.

Under our Charter, machinery for the setting up of local harbor control is provided. It calls for appointment by the Mayor of three members of a Harbor Commission and their appointment of a Manager. We can give as good an account of harbor management, under municipal management, as we do of other public utilities.

I cannot concede that the maritime traffic of San Francisco should be state-controlled, while all other California ports, free to work out their own destinies, are flourishing.

I urge that your Honorable Board actively campaign to establish municipal control of our harbor for the betterment of port conditions and the advancement of San Francisco's trade and commerce.

### Bridge Tolls.

Much discussion prevails as to reducing toll charges on the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge. My view is that both this structure and the Golden Gate Bridge, removing century-old barriers to traffic, and serving, economically, not only the Bay counties, but the people of the whole State, should be toll-free.

Motorists of our City have contributed generously to the improvement of the State's Highway System, affording marvelous road facilities to outside counties. These bridges are essential units in the statewide system. San Francisco, owing to its restricted area, has paid disproportionately to benefits received, to statewide highway construction.

Paying for these two bridges with State gasoline tax funds would merely be allotting to San Francisco its just share of State highway facilities. It is as absurd that our neighbors should be subjected to an admission charge into our City as it is to make our own citizens pay to go out. All California will benefit from making the bridges toll-free.

### Golden Gate Bridge Fiesta.

In keeping with the colorful history of this great State, a Golden Gate Bridge Fiesta is being arranged by the citizenry of this and neighboring counties to commemorate this remarkable engineering achievement as well as to mark the linking of San Francisco with those great communities forming the Redwood Empire and the teeming counties, southward.

I urge upon your Honorable Board your hearty support of this Fiesta celebration and I suggest that you join in inviting the President of the United States to be our guest upon this memorable occasion.

### Labor Difficulties.

For your record, I attach copy of my national broadcast over the Blue Network of NBC, January 5, 1937. (This broadcast is in print and copies may be had upon application.)

I have been in constant touch with the situation confronting our waterfront. Likewise, Mr. Florence M. McAuliffe, whom I appointed when the strike was imminent, to keep in constant touch with representatives of the contending parties, has ably performed this duty, at all times forwarding the aims of this Administration in our endeavor to end this and other industrial strikes.

### Police Survey.

At the request of the Police Commission, I caused to have made during the past four months a thorough survey of the organization of the San Francisco Police Department as a basis for reorganization of the department. This survey included a study of the police departments of four large eastern cities, namely, Chicago, New York, Boston and Detroit, as well as an intensive examination of the organization, functions, procedure, and personnel of the San Francisco Department. The survey was conducted under the general direction of Controller Leavy with the assistance of Mr. W. L. Henderson, Personnel Expert of the Civil Service Commission, and Captain Chas. Skelly, Secretary of the Police Commission. The Bureau of Governmental Research offered its services in connection with the survey and participated in the work through its director, Mr. William H. Nanry. The Bureau also offered to bring to the survey group as a consultant member Mr. Bruce Smith of the Institute of Public Administration of New York City, which offer was accepted.

The report of this survey was formally submitted to me last week but I have been in touch with the work as it progressed and I am in general accord with the conclusions incorporated in the report.

The primary objective of the recommendations is to improve the quality of police service rendered by the department to the citizens of San Francisco. The President of the Board of Police Commissioners has stated his intention to proceed immediately to make the changes recommended in the report, some of which cannot be made until the Charter is amended.

When the changes have been made, the organization structure of the Police Department will be materially strengthened and improved and will make possible more effective supervision and more direct responsibility for police administration in San Francisco. By simplification and improvement of procedure and organization, approximately 125 officers, representing an annual payroll in excess of \$300,000, would then be released from present duty and assigned, in so far as needed, to provide additional protective facilities in the now inadequately protected outlying districts and additional traffic service in the central traffic zone, all to the benefit of the community.

I am convinced that the changes to be made will provide a more effective and efficient police service and will, in addition, permit substantial savings, rendering unnecessary the pending request of the department for 50 additional patrolmen for traffic duty.

### Relief.

During the past year the method of administering relief in San Francisco has not materially changed. The Emergency Relief Committee, under the Chairmanship of F. M. McAuliffe, continued responsible for the unemployable unemployed. The philosophy of the organization has continued to be based on the two principles, first that only those eligible for relief under our State and county laws should receive it, and second that such relief should be adequate and humane. During the year, studies have been made as to methods of administering relief in other communities so that San Francisco might be assured of the most advantageous system of giving relief. Changes in cost



of living have been watched and adjustments made in relief allowances.

The case load of the social workers has been reduced so that adequate investigation and real assistance to the needy in meeting their manifold problems have been possible. The case load was 2488 as of January, 1936, with \$69,922.89 expended. In November, 1936, the load was 1873 with an expenditure of \$54,793.55.

During the year there has been a general statewide movement to return the administration of all direct relief to the counties, with the State contributing part of the cost. This plan would, of course, leave the entire work relief program under the jurisdiction of the Federal Government. The Emergency Relief Committee has played a very active part in these negotiations, attending meetings held throughout the State in order to protect the interest of San Francisco in this vital matter. At the present time bills are being drafted by various groups, including the State Supervisors Association, which will permit the amalgamation of all direct relief activities under one permanent State department which will be responsible for the supervision of State monies spent by the county departments.

In order that San Francisco will be ready for this new set-up the Charter Revision Committee is following my recommendation of a year ago to the end that a Charter Amendment will be submitted which will give San Francisco a permanent Public Welfare Department in conformity with the best modern welfare practice. San Francisco has every reason to be proud of the way in which its relief needs have been met in the past.

The experience which has been gained in the handling of these complex problems leads me to believe that we can look forward to an efficient, sympathetic program covering the field of public welfare in San Francisco.

### Federal Cooperation.

The Works Progress Administration program continued throughout 1936 with all those eligible gainfully employed. The total case load varied from time to time with a total top quota of 22,500 men and women in early February to minimum quota of 14,000 during the last three months of the year.

As stated in my last message, San Francisco received as its quota of the \$4,800,000,000, appropriated for federal works projects, approximately \$37,000,000. This program did not get under way until late in October, 1935, so that for the calendar year 1936 there was available \$27,709,685, plus \$5,996,602, of new projects approved by the Federal Government during the year. These new projects consisted of an addition to the San Francisco Airport, a new Machine Shop, West Portal Library, general repairs to public buildings, miscellaneous playgrounds, extension to the "L" car line, Lyon Street Approach to the Golden Gate Bridge, Marina Seawall, and a general rehabilitation of the meadows in Golden Gate Park. With \$33,706,287 available, we were permitted to carry on a highly constructive program, and we are in a very healthy financial condition, as the New Year begins.

During 1936, we have completely rehabilitated the San Francisco Hospital, Relief Home, Civic Auditorium, Hall of Justice, Coroner's Office, Kezar Stadium, thirty fire houses, Harding and Sharp Park clubhouses, with a permanent water supply for the latter; de Young Museum, Golden Gate Park Stables, High School of Commerce Athletic Field, San Francisco Airport at Mills Field, Kezar Basketball Pavilion and many lesser projects.

New construction, all of which is rapidly nearing completion, consists of the Lake Merced Boulevard, five miles long; Sigmund Stern Recreation Center, what is destined to rank among the country's finest Zoological Gardens, at Fleishhacker Playfield; the Angelo J. Rossi Playfield, the Douglas, Thirty-eighth and Fulton, Thirtieth and California, Gillman, Ninth and Ortega, Ingleside, Twenty-fourth and

Douglas, Julius Kahn, Ocean View, Helen Wills and Margaret Haywards playfields, and a modern clubhouse at Glen Park.

Yacht Harbor, Aquatic Park, and the surfacing of the roads in Golden Gate Park represent large expenditures of money, of lasting benefit to the community. These projects are being finished rapidly. Over twenty modern tennis courts and eight new convenience stations have been completed and added to our Park and Playground resources.

Over twenty miles of widening and reconditioning of our street system such as Oak, Fell, Brannan, Green, Guerrero, Market Street, Shakespeare, Wawona, Golden Gate Park Panhandle and Van Ness avenue for its full length, will be completed soon.

Many of our relief workers have been employed on the Federal Government Reconditioning program in the Presidio and on work connected with the approaches to the Golden Gate Bridge both in San Francisco and Sausalito.

The Sewing projects, located at Mission and Otis streets and Gough and Grove streets, have continuously employed four thousand women for the past year in making garments and bedding for the needy. Many surveys worthwhile to the City are under way, and provide work for the so-called "white collar" group on relief.

We are indeed fortunate to have most of our able-bodied unemployed working in gainful occupations, thus maintaining their morale, and in addition the spending of large sums of money has been a stimulus to all local business interests.

The cordial relationships established in previous years with Federal officials have continued. They have responded helpfully and generously to any reasonable request we have made.

I would, indeed, be remiss in my duty as Mayor if I did not publicly acknowledge the constant assistance of our fellow citizen, George Creel. Because of his familiarity with federal affairs, he has given unsparingly of his time in the furtherance of our program in Washington, voluntarily and without remuneration.

I wish to acknowledge the splendid courtesy and cooperation of Frank Y. McLaughlin, State Administrator, WPA, and his staff of assistants, and I know you will join in these hearty thanks for their constant cooperation.

### 1939 Golden Gate International Exposition.

The past year has seen marked progress in the development and actual construction factors of the Golden Gate International Exposition of 1939 which will celebrate the completion of the San Francisco-Oakland and Golden Gate Bridges and the inauguration of the first trans-Pacific air service.

The City administration has given its full support and cooperation to the citizens of the San Francisco Bay region who comprise the directorate in charge of this first great World's Fair in central California in nearly a quarter-century.

Overcoming practically all obstacles, the 1939 Exposition has been officially launched on a secure basis during the year, and is now a definite part of the vast program of projects now under way in this region.

The Exposition will be a \$40,000,000 project. Reclamation of the 400-acre island, which was started February 11, 1936, with official ceremony, is now one-third completed, under the direction of the Corps of Engineers, U. S. Army. The three-mile long rock seawall, being constructed by Bay district quarry operators, is more than 50 per cent completed. The island will be completed by mid-1937.

This will mean that San Francisco and the Bay communities will have one of the world's premier air transport terminals on the world's largest artificial island, when the Exposition is concluded.

Under the direction of the Pacific Utilities Commission, and with a resident Commission Engineer on permanent duty at the Exposition site, the Department of Works for the Exposition has made important headway on the Fair construction schedule.



Work is proceeding on schedule on the \$800,000 airport terminal, to be Exposition headquarters during the Fair period, and on the two \$400,000 hangars, to be used as exhibit palaces in 1939. Construction of the ferry slips, and other ferry terminal units, is moving rapidly ahead.

Total construction program set under way during the past year aggregates more than \$8,000,000 with major contracts, to be awarded through the Public Utilities Commission, including five major exhibit palaces: driveways, sidewalks and paving and ferry terminal building.

The Exposition company, a non-profit corporation in charge of the World's Fair management, has moved into the new three-story structure at Bush and Stockton streets, which will revert to the Board of Education at the close of the Exposition. Its use was made possible by the Board of Education, leasing the property to the Public Utilities Commission for five years for this purpose.

I am glad to have had the opportunity to share in the initial steps of this great undertaking which will mean so much to the citizens of San Francisco and all California. More than one thousand citizens are directly or indirectly employed in the early stages of building our 1939 World's Fair, and its plans, aspirations and realization will mean a greater San Francisco and a larger and richer metropolitan Bay area, of inestimable value to future generations.

To my fellow citizens I wish to express my appreciation for their whole-hearted support in the formative period of the Exposition, and to give them my pledge that this Administration will work shoulder to shoulder with the officers and directors of the Golden Gate International Exposition of 1939. To the Exposition's official staff, I wish to accord congratulations and sincere thanks for their progressive record of the past year and for their loyalty and adherence to the task of creating another World's Fair on San Francisco Bay.

The quality of the services rendered by our City officials and their efficient and courteous subordinates is of an order so noteworthy, that I deem it an honor, as well as my duty, both as Mayor and in behalf of our citizenry, to tender our sincere, grateful thanks for the manner in which they have discharged their duties to the City and County of San Francisco, during the past year.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,  
Mayor.

#### Controller.

The following information from the reports of Controller Leonard S. Leavy indicates that a sound general financial condition exists in San Francisco, and its fiscal affairs continue to show a marked improvement.

The peak of tax delinquency was reached in 1933, which amounted to 5.37 per cent, while the tax delinquency for the year ended June 30, 1936, was only 2 per cent. The delinquency on the first installment of the current year's taxes which were paid as of December 5th last, shows a reduction from 3.87 per cent to 2.83 per cent, or a 27 per cent decrease in delinquency when compared with the payments for the same period of one year ago.

The Cash Reserve Fund of the City has grown to reach a total of \$2,929,990.

The buyers of City and County bonds and tax notes have expressed their confidence in San Francisco's financial soundness in effective interest rates on securities sold during the year. Tax notes were sold for as low an interest rate as 13/100 of 1 per cent, and bonds were sold for as low an effective interest rate as 2.47 per cent. The average effective rate on bonds for the entire year was 2.68 per cent.

San Francisco's requirements from taxation for bond interest and redemption have decreased from the peak burden of 96.9 cents in the tax rate of 1934-1935 to 86 cents for the current period.

The tax requirements have decreased from the peak amount of \$33,177,550 in 1930-1931 to \$30,964,430 for the current year, despite extraordinary added expenditures for relief, hospitalization, needy aged, blind, widows' pensions, etc., which increase amounts to approximately \$3,000,000 over the period.

The Controller has discussed with me his audit program for the various departments for the ensuing year. Recurrent departmental requests for additional funds have placed an increased burden on this division of the Controller's office for investigation and report to me prior to submission to the Board of Supervisors.

The Board of Supervisors, concurring with the recommendation expressed in the Controller's Report for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1935, authorized, and I subsequently appointed a committee to study Charter and State law provisions for recommendations as to additional requirements and clarification of the existing structure. This committee has been meeting regularly and is analyzing the problems. We believe the products of its efforts will prove of a decided service.

The Tax and Legislative Committee which was created, by Resolution No. 1328 of your Board, has been continued. During the interim period between sessions, it has met and has been in constant contact with the fiscal, legal and legislative representatives of other communities, discussing current legislative problems and planning recommendations in connection with the present session of the Legislature.

#### Radio Broadcasts.

By the courtesy of the National Broadcasting Company, I have, for the past four years, sent weekly messages over Station KGO from my office in the City Hall. These broadcasts have concerned the actual functioning of the several departments of the municipality, and have created great interest among students of municipal government and others in our large radio audience, as hundreds of communications attest.

No doubt, these weekly messages have also been of value to our fellow citizens, explaining, as they do, the uses to which their money is put, the scope and duties of all departments of the municipality and their plans for future betterments.

San Francisco was the first city of importance to seek this means of enlightenment for its people, and I wish again to admit our great obligation and tender our sincere thanks to Mr. Don Gilman, Vice-President, N. B. C., for his constant and cordial courtesies in allowing this series to be carried on weekly and absolutely without cost.

#### San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge.

For eight decades, San Francisco has visioned a bridge to provide a speedy, safe and direct connection with our sister cities of the East Bay.

On November 12th, last, the dream became a reality when the great San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge was opened to automobile and truck traffic.

Since that eventful day, over one million vehicles have sped over its broad decks, 200 feet above the blue waters of San Francisco Bay. Its construction in three and a half years is a matter of amazement, even amongst engineers. Until early in January, 1936, no steel had been placed for the decks of the West Bay crossing, nor was cable spinning completed until late that month. On the East Bay crossing, the cantilever span was yet to be completed. Also under construction were the Yerba Buena Island tunnel and the San Francisco and East Bay approaches.

This meant that, were the bridge to be opened by the designated time, it was necessary in less than eleven months to erect two miles of double deck steel on the West Bay crossing; complete the exacting and ticklish task of closing the longest cantilever in the United States; pave the entire suspension spans and cantilever structure; complete the tunnel construction; give the greater portion of the bridge three



coats of paint, finishing with the aluminum coat; install miles of electric cable and 1117 lamps, complete the Administration Building with its elaborate mechanism, and the toll plaza; erect dozens of convenient roadway directional signs; landscape the Fifth Street Plaza and grade and fill several miles of land bordering the East Bay approaches. All this was accomplished, and the entire structure was completed with the exception of the installation of railway facilities.

Director of Public Works Earl Lee Kelly, who assured football fans almost two years previously that the bridge would be completed in time for the "Big Game" at Berkeley in 1936, kept his word; and thousands motored swiftly to and from the annual gridiron classic.

Since the opening of the bridge, free parking space for motorists using the structure has been provided beneath the San Francisco approaches from First to Third streets, between Bryant and Harrison, a great convenience for shoppers and business men.

Clearing the property between Mission and Clementina and Beale and Second streets is under way, preparatory to the construction of the spacious San Francisco terminal for the electric commuter trains and the train viaducts.

San Francisco has truly much to which to look forward, as we enter this new year and turn our eyes toward that great structure that extends such a friendly gesture toward the East, with which for the first time and for all times we now have direct highway connection. Too much cannot be said in commendation of Chief Engineer Chas. H. Purcell, the engineers and officials, and the thousands of skilled hands which made this dream a realization.

### Golden Gate Bridge.

The Golden Gate Bridge is rapidly approaching completion. This amazing bridge is already attracting world-wide attention because of its length of span, 4200 feet, and on account of its architectural beauty. Its great towers, rising 750 feet above the waters of the Pacific, represent an entirely new departure in the art of bridge building, and it is receiving the acclaim of artists, architects, engineers and laymen alike for the vision and courage of the Chief Engineer in creating these magnificent towers.

Second only to its magnificence as a beautiful structure is its record of safety. With the bridge more than ninety per cent completed, only one life has been lost. Credit for this remarkable record must go to Chief Engineer Joseph B. Strauss, who, with the approval of the Board of Directors, ordered the installation of a giant safety net underneath the bridge for its full length of nearly a mile. Already the net has saved the lives of ten men who would have fallen 250 feet to certain death but for its presence. It has also had the effect of instilling confidence in the workmen and thus speeding up construction. This record-breaking achievement has received the commendation of every agency interested in the saving of human lives, and has established a precedent which will be followed in all major bridges of the future.

The prediction that this magnificent structure would be ready by May first will be realized. A great program for its dedication is planned for May sixth. The cost of the bridge will be about \$27,000,000, not inclusive of roads and approaches.

### Federal Projects.

San Francisco's Federal Office Building was dedicated in March last. This building is of classic design, in the Roman Doric style, and occupies the entire block bounded by Fulton, Leavenworth, McAllister and Hyde streets, the main elevation facing on Fulton street. The street elevations are of gray California granite. The building has a structural steel frame and is of five stories, attic and basement. Mr. Arthur K. Brown, of San Francisco, was the architect.

Construction was started November, 1933, and it saw completion in March, 1936. Cost of completed structure, \$2,600,000. A large group

of Federal activities formerly scattered about the city are now consolidated and housed in this building.

The new San Francisco Mint is strategically located on an elevated solid rock and occupies the entire block bounded by Duboce, Buchanan, Herman and Webster streets. It is constructed of reinforced concrete, with granite exterior, and is of four stories. Plans were prepared by the Treasury Department, Gilbert Stanley Underwood having been the consulting architect. Work was started August, 1935, and the anticipated completion date is February, 1937. Cost of the completed structure will be \$965,000. The Mint activities now carried on in the present old Mint building will be transferred to the new building, where the latest equipment will be available for protection and coinage.

### Municipal Court.

In San Francisco's twelve Municipal Courts, 11,176 civil actions were brought and 23,646 small claims actions—a total of 34,822. In its criminal department, 95,083 proceedings were filed, as against 84,249 in 1935. This report is for the period January 1 to December 1, 1936.

Receipts reached the total of \$187,496.10, with expenditures \$179,333.60, the excess of receipts over expenditures being \$8,162.50—compared with an excess of expenditures over receipts in 1935 of \$18,163.22.

In the Civil Department 459 official actions were filed and in the Small Claims, official and pauper actions, 19,589. For these, no fees are collected.

### Office of the Public Defender.

Although the Department of the Public Defender of the City and County of San Francisco experienced an increase in the volume of work handled, this was accomplished with but little added expense.

The number of cases handled in all courts totaled 1135, with a total of 2384 appearances, 211 more than in the year previous. In the Superior Court, 432 cases were handled; in the Municipal Court, 646; in the Juvenile Court, 49.

Persons unable, financially, to employ counsel, who were given advice in civil matters, numbered 3003, largest since the department was established. The Public Defender attended two coroner's inquests and 11 insanity hearings. The office operates with the Public Defender, two deputies and a stenographer, and the cost of its maintenance for the year past was \$17,614.09.

### Police Department.

San Francisco's 44 square miles of corporate territory is policed by 1297 men, this number including every rank in the Police Department, and men assigned to clerical duties, traffic and detective work.

Despite the tremendous increase during 1936 of tourist visitors, local crime has shown a marked decrease. The record indicates that, in the fiscal year 1935-1936, burglaries numbered 1861, as against 2748 in the preceding year. Thefts fell from 5542 in 1934-1935 to 5080 in 1935-1936; auto thefts from 3690 to 3503. The record of auto recoveries increased from 98.62 per cent to 99.08 per cent. Although property reported lost, through crime, reached the total of \$293,299 in 1935-1936, a slight increase over the year previous, the percentage of recoveries rose 6 per cent last year.

In the realm of traffic safety, we may well take pride in the record made in 1935-1936. In June last, the U. S. Department of Commerce issued a bulletin summarizing deaths through automobile accidents in 86 large cities of the country. This bulletin shows San Francisco as the leading metropolitan city in rate per cent of fatal accidents per 100,000 population, our rate being 5.2 per cent. Our death rate in this classification has always been consistently low, but the significance of the present commendable showing can only be grasped by a perusal of the report referred to. For instance, the typical cities of Milwaukee, Philadelphia, New York, Detroit, Boston, Minneapolis, St. Louis,



Chicago, Seattle and Los Angeles, show an average of 18 per cent per 100,000.

Injuries, due to automobile accidents have been correspondingly low, the number during 1935-1936 having been 3,859.

During the year 1936, a survey of police districts was made to ascertain data to guide the re-locating of station houses, as nearly as possible in the centers of districts.

The entire waterfront, from Jones Street to China Basin Channel, sections of which had previously been divided amongst four different police districts, is to be placed under command of Harbor District, to centralize control, in event of such an emergency as the waterfront strike, and to facilitate patrol. This planned re-alignment of boundaries will promote the efficiency of Radio Patrol in responding to emergency calls.

Modern educational methods in police work aim at crime prevention, as well as detection and apprehension of criminals and the aim of the San Francisco Department is the application and knowledge of laws and ordinances, physical fitness and "extra curricular" activities, designed to nip crime at the source, to bring into closer harmony and cooperation the police and the public. Such activities include the Captains' Conference, consisting of supervised instruction in their duties and responsibilities, and taking in the entire field of police training. Such conferences will be had in every rank in the Department and are under the supervision of the California State Department of Education's Bureau of Trade and Industrial Education.

A Police Traffic School, attended by 130 members of the Department, operating its automobiles, has been conducted during 1936. The course embraces State and City traffic laws, prevention of accidents, correct driving habits, proper collection and presentation of evidence and adequate compilation of accident reports. In First Aid training, 400 members have completed the course and passed American Red Cross examinations.

Training of members in Public Speaking has enabled them to improve vastly their contacts with the public, and most especially through talks to school children. Thus, friendly relations are established and avoidable accidents, due to prankish and careless play, minimized.

Building up the morale of delinquent youth, through the Big Brother Bureau, is an important development in the educational work of the Department. Cooperation with many groups, on the premise that proper guidance and influence will make good citizens of them, is bearing fruit. Two officers are regularly detailed to this work among 600 boys between 12 and 20. San Francisco is a pioneer in this important work.

I cannot speak too highly of the work of our School Traffic Patrol, pioneered by the San Francisco Police Department, and now followed nationwide. Ours is composed of nearly 3,000 boys who direct school traffic for their more than 100,000 schoolmates. Since its inauguration, not one San Francisco school child has been killed or seriously injured in traffic accidents on intersections supervised by these alert youngsters. They not only protect their charges, but build leadership for themselves.

W. P. A., during 1936, appropriated funds for the improvement of quarters occupied by the Police Department in the Hall of Justice which include provision for additional garage accommodations and betterments, a new unit of the Communications Bureau, rendering possible the handling of telephone, teletype, telegraph and radio messages with the strictest privacy and swifter dispatch. Absolutely modern is the new "show-up room", permitting identification of prisoners without the viewers being seen by them. Many other needed improvements have been installed by the aid of W. P. A. funds.

From the beginning of 1936 until November first, San Francisco received more than 1,000,000 out-of-state tourists, as compared with

550,000 for the corresponding period in the year previous. Out-of-state automobiles numbered 166,480, as against 86,800. Tourists entering by automobile numbered 461,400, compared with 227,400 in 1935. San Francisco also welcomed and entertained many national conventions during the period.

The following statistics may prove interesting: prisoners brought to stations, 49,432; fires attended, 3187; sick and injured taken to hospitals, 4824; insane persons cared for, 234; lost children restored to parents, 395; missing persons located, 2069; finger prints taken, 33,846. Unusual demands were made on this Department in 1936, due to the celebration of the completion of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge and its attendant great parades and numerous program features. It is worthy of comment that despite the huge crowds in the City at those and other public gatherings, not a complaint of a pickpocket or buncoman was reported to our police.

I wish to call the attention of your Board to the fact that there is no organized crime in San Francisco; gangsters and racketeers have never operated here. Nor have we, at any time in our history, had any kidnappings for ransom. Forty-eight and sixty-hour periods without the commission of a single major crime are frequent and we have, in fact, gone as long as six days without report of a major crime. This is a record which, to my knowledge, has not been equaled by any other metropolitan city in the country and it is not an accident, nor is it due to apathy of criminals toward operating here. It is rather due to the vigilance of the police and a tribute to the effective crime-preventive work of the San Francisco Police Department.

#### San Francisco Fire Department.

Living up to its record of many years' standing, the San Francisco Fire Department again gave proof of its efficiency throughout the past year. Though the number of fire alarms have shown a decided increase over last year, due unquestionably to increased industrial activities, fire losses have been held to a minimum. Up to the end of November, the department had responded to 8,137 alarms of fire in this year, as against 7,605 in the same period last year, an increase of 532.

Improvement of the fire protection equipment has been accomplished by the completion during this year of extensions of the high pressure water mains in the Hayes Valley district, the Noe Valley district, the Clay Street Hill district and along the Bay Bridge Approach. Completed also were 19 underground fire cisterns of reinforced concrete construction, each holding 75,000 gallons of water, and these are so located that they form an unbroken line across the city beginning at the foot of Van Ness Avenue and ending at Dolores and 20th Streets.

Much needed renovation of some of the older fire stations and the installation of modern heating plants therein, with the aid of W. P. A. labor, has also been progressing throughout the year and this also adds its quota to the maintenance of efficiency in this department.

The routine duties of this important branch of the public service have been carried on with unvarying promptness and to the satisfaction of all.

#### Civil Service.

The Civil Service Commission has completed 50 examinations during the past year, for which approximately 9000 applications were filed. There are now in progress 39, which will be completed and lists adopted within the next few weeks. There are at the present time 190 active lists of eligibles in effect. During the past twelve months 564 permanent certifications and 2024 temporary certifications have been made by the Civil Service Commission from lists of eligibles on requisitions received from various departments.

The Civil Service Commission has enforced without exception the charter provision limiting emergency non-civil service appointments to



not more than 90 days in any fiscal year, and these emergency appointments have been kept at the very minimum through our policy of temporarily appointing civil service eligibles from comparable lists wherever possible.

They have conducted personnel surveys of the following departments: The Public Administrator, The Juvenile Court, The Juvenile Detention Home, The Adult Probation Department, the Sealer of Weights and Measures, The County Clerk, and the Sheriff. Surveys have been completed, but reports have not yet been issued covering the departments of the Assessor and the Recreation Department.

At present and for the past three months, members of the staff have been assigned to the survey of the Police Department, which was undertaken under the general direction of the Controller at the request of the Police Commission, with the approval of the Mayor.

Substantial savings have been effected through my suggestion, under which vacated positions could not be filled or new positions created until the Civil Service Commission had investigated and reported as to the necessity for the service to be performed. They have investigated the necessity for nine proposed new positions recommended by department heads during the past year and, as a result of their reports, I denied the request for the creation of five of these positions, thereby effecting savings of \$8640. Of twelve vacated positions the investigations and reports enabled me to withhold approval for the filling of six of these at a saving of \$14,400 per year.

#### Board of Education.

During the past year the San Francisco Unified School District has progressed not only in the material realization of a building replacement program and improvement in methods of instruction, but also in the betterment of teaching conditions.

It was a matter of regret to the community that Dr. Edwin A. Lee, Superintendent of Schools since December 15, 1933, was called to the post of Director of the National Occupational Conference with offices in New York, necessitating his resignation as Superintendent, effective July 1, 1936. After consultation with the Presidents of Stanford University and the University of California, the Board of Education elected Joseph P. Nourse, Principal of Galileo High School, to succeed to the vacancy created by Dr. Lee's resignation. In the few short months he has been in the Superintendency, Mr. Nourse has demonstrated his fitness for this important duty. He brought to the position a wealth of experience, gained by more than 30 years in the San Francisco schools, together with a breadth of vision and deep understanding of school problems, which presage his success.

Mr. Nourse named as his chief deputy superintendent Mr. John F. Brady, a man recognized as an outstanding leader in the teaching profession throughout the State.

At the beginning of the school year 1936-37, the Board of Education approved a restoration of teachers' salaries to the 1930 level and a return of salary increments in the lower brackets, as a partial step toward the complete return of the increment system, abandoned in 1931 because of depressed conditions.

Within the next year there will be built at Balboa Park the first unit of buildings to house students of the San Francisco Junior College, established in 1934 under the Presidency of A. J. Cloud. The Board of Education is to be commended for the recognition it has given to the imperative needs of this new institution, now inadequately housed in buildings in widely separated parts of the city.

Resumption of classes in August, 1936, saw the opening of two new schools, George Washington High and Marina Junior High, which immediately resulted in reducing congestion and overcrowding in San Francisco's seven high schools.

In addition, there were completed during the calendar year six new fireproof buildings from the proceeds of the bond issue of 1934, together

with additions to George Washington and Marina plants, and buildings to house industrial arts classes. Completed also during the school year was the auditorium at the Daniel Webster Elementary, and a girls' gymnasium at Polytechnic High. Within a few weeks there will be opened the new Sunshine School for crippled children and children inclined toward ill health, and an eight-room addition to Aptos Junior High School. It will be noted that, excepting for a high school and a junior high school, the program is one of replacement. Within two months the new Agassiz Trade School, representing San Francisco's recognition of the need for training apprentices in trades, will open its doors, welcomed by employer and prospective employee alike.

Superintendent Nourse, with the approval of the Board of Education, has addressed himself to the task of improving instruction by reducing the teaching load in classrooms to the elementary level. This move has received the support of parents, pupils and taxpayers, as reflected in the unqualified endorsement of the metropolitan press.

It was a matter of satisfaction to me, as Mayor, to re-nominate as Commissioners of Education, Hon. Charles A. Derry and Mrs. Lloyd W. Dinkelspiel, whose terms expire this month. It was pleasing to note that both nominations were confirmed by the citizens by large majorities, at the general election held in November.

In law, the responsibility for making the school budget resides in the Board of Education. The members of the Board of Education have shown by their acts in the past that they are not unmindful of the children on the one hand nor the taxpayers on the other, in making up the school budget. Certainly, the schools have made tremendous progress under this type of organization and any suggestion to alter this situation to scatter fiscal control should be undertaken only after surveying carefully the benefits which have accrued to our public schools under the present system.

### **Employees' Retirement System.**

The Retirement System included 11,279 employees at June 30, 1936. During the fiscal year ending on that date, 159 members were retired because of age or disability, and 113 active members died. Many of the positions thus vacated were not filled. During 1935-36, 113 retired persons died, and on June 30, 1936, there were 1648 persons receiving allowances under the Retirement System, this number including aged and disabled members retired from the several departments and also retired firemen and policemen, and their widows, where death resulted from performance of duty.

The administration of the State Compensation Insurance Law, as it affects all City employees, is centralized under the Retirement Board in accordance with the new Charter, instead of being handled by the various departments in which injured persons are employed. Reports of all injuries among approximately 11,750 employees are made to the Retirement Office and claims are adjusted and benefits paid in accordance with the State law and Charter, the City and County now acting as self-insurer in relation to all employees. During the fiscal year 1935-36, 904 compensation cases were handled, under which either weekly benefits or medical expenses were paid. Approximately 1498 additional cases were handled which were not of sufficient severity to qualify for compensation benefits of any kind. The investments of the Retirement Fund at June 30, 1936, totaled \$17,768,000, as against \$15,927,000, as of June 30, 1935.

### **Assessor.**

The announced objective of the Assessor's Office during the 1936 assessment season was a more equitable distribution of the tax burden as between real property and personal property.

As a result of that policy, \$10,500,000 was added to the tax base this year.

This partial attainment of the announced objective minimized the



increased burden which owners of real property faced when the 1935 legislature eliminated motor vehicles and securities from local tax levies. The payroll for permanent personnel was, in 1929-30, \$151,381.25; 1936-37, estimated, \$118,833.18, saving \$32,548.07. The payroll for the seasonal staff as budgeted for the fiscal year 1929-30, \$139,500; 1936-37, \$87,000, saving \$52,500, the actual reduction having been \$85,048.07. This represents one cent in the tax levy. In addition to this reduction in operating costs, it has been possible to effect further continuing economies running into thousands of dollars annually by simplification and standardization of practice and procedure.

#### Tabulated Comparison of the 1935 and 1936 Assessment Rolls.

	1936	1935	Increase	Decrease
Land .....	\$325,333,772	\$ 324,693,226	\$ 640,546	
Improvements .....	334,818,024	328,005,341	6,812,683	
Tangible Pers. Prop. other than Mtr. Veh.	73,763,997	62,296,630	11,467,367	
Tangible Pers. Prop. Motor Vehicles*...		10,888,604		\$10,888,604
Solvent Credits .....	122,620,479	107,819,778	14,800,701	
Stocks and Bonds*..		170,658,950		170,658,950
<b>TOTAL .....</b>	<b>\$856,536,272</b>		<b>\$33,721,297</b>	<b>\$181,547,554</b>
Less Veteran Ex.....	6,172,383		6,172,383	
<b>Total Non-Operative.</b>	<b>\$850,363,889</b>	<b>\$1,004,362,529</b>	<b>\$27,548,914</b>	<b>\$181,547,554</b>
Property Assessed by the State Board of Equalization .....	126,712,050	137,453,360		10,741,310
<b>TOTAL.....</b>	<b>\$977,075,939</b>	<b>\$1,141,815,889</b>	<b>\$27,548,914</b>	<b>\$192,288,864</b>

\*Stocks-Bonds, Motor Vehicles taxable in 1935, exempt from local levy in 1936 ..... Loss 164,739,950

Two hundred and fifty thousand people visit the Assessor's Office each year. For the greater convenience of those people—and in the interest of economy and efficiency—the first step toward modernization of the office has been taken. The improved working conditions made possible by the physical re-arrangement of the office, coupled with the program for improvement in procedure made possible by the office re-arrangement, will pay dividends to the taxpayers. It will be possible to serve the needs of the quarter of a million customers at a lower cost and with a higher degree of efficiency than was possible under the working conditions of other years.

The permanent personnel of this office has been reduced 21 per cent since 1929. Reorganization is essentially imperative, but reorganization is impossible in the absence of qualified replacements, and even qualified replacements require training. Continued failure to provide adequate valuation staffs for the Land, Building and personal Property Divisions of this office means, finally, to quote from the letter of Harold J. Boyd, Chief Assistant Assessor, to the Civil Service Commission dated May 4, 1936—"It is within the realm of possibilities that one year an entire assessment roll may be invalidated because of inequalities of valuation".

#### Sheriff.

Sheriff Daniel C. Murphy's report covering the year 1936, to December first, shows: Cash received, \$183,616.95. Process and papers filed and issued, numbered 17,966. Number of personal property sales consummated, 139; amount, \$42,913.75. Writs of possession received or filed, 278; possession to plaintiff, 225; unexecuted, 21; ejected, 32.

The monthly average population of County Jails, numbers 1, 2, 3 and

4, was 695.4. There were 401 male and 27 female Federal prisoners, with a daily average of 60. For maintenance and subsistence of Federal prisoners, during the fiscal year ended July 1, 1936, the total received was \$20,648.53.

Transported from the Detention Hospital to various state correctional institutions, in 1936, to December 1, were 777 male and 355 female prisoners. From our county jails, 148 male and 7 female prisoners were transported to such institutions. Transportation of these persons is under direct supervision of the Sheriff. While State law permits a sheriff to retain surplus moneys received from such sources, the Sheriff has deposited, during the term under review, \$4,775, with the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco.

Under Ordinance 7061, Bill 860, drafted at the request of Sheriff Murphy, and approved January 13, 1936, profits from County Jail stores, sold for convenience of prisoners, amounted to \$4,185.66, which sum was deposited with the Treasurer.

### Public Utilities Commission.

San Francisco and its municipal administration have reason to be pleased at the record of its municipal utilities during 1936. I refer briefly in the following paragraphs to the operations of individual utilities during the past year.

#### Municipal Railway.

The Municipal Railway has completed its twenty-fourth year of operation and continues to furnish excellent service to patrons and residents of San Francisco.

Revenues of the Municipal Railway have continued to increase. Operating revenue for the year 1936 amounted to \$3,130,000—an increase of nearly \$100,000, or approximately 3 per cent over the preceding year. This healthy increase in street car riding for the third successive year indicates that we may be assured it will meet all of its obligations in the future and continue to operate profitably with the 5c fare. It is gratifying to note that our Municipal Railway is probably the only street car system in the United States operating with a five-cent fare and free transfer privileges which shows a profit for the year 1936.

The net income for the year 1936 amounted to \$160,000 as against a net income of \$109,000 for the year 1935. The sum of \$400,000 was expended for maintenance and reconstruction. Two modern buses were purchased to improve service and replace obsolete equipment. Eighteen blocks of track were reconstructed.

Work has been started on the extension of the "L" line from 46th Avenue and Taraval Street to a terminal on Wawona Street near Fleishhacker Playfield, which will add approximately one-half mile to this line.

Completion of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge and the installation of rapid transit for commuters over this bridge will necessitate the rearrangement of transportation systems in San Francisco. The Public Utilities Commission has recommended that a subway system be constructed, designed to furnish transportation for the residents of San Francisco that will be at least equal in comfort and convenience to the transportation offered to the residents of East Bay cities over the new bridge.

On December 1st, there was retired from earnings the sum of \$100,000 in bonds of the Railway, so that there now remains an indebtedness of only \$1,600,000 against Municipal Railway properties, which are conservatively valued at \$10,000,000.

Over 81,000,000 passengers were carried during the year. Street cars and buses traveled a distance of 8,000,000 miles. Car service has been increased during recent months.

#### Water Department.

The San Francisco Water Department, now in its seventh year as a



municipally-owned utility, continues to justify the wisdom of the people in acquiring and operating their water system. Not only has the department continued to show a substantial financial gain, but water rates have been lowered and standards of service improved.

Average water consumption for the system for this year has been 58.37 million gallons daily as compared with 52.52 million gallons daily in the preceding year. The total consumption for this year exceeds the peak consumption of any previous year by more than 2½ million gallons daily, indicating that we may look forward to a normal annual gain hereafter.

Water sales for the first ten months of this year amounted to \$5,641,935, with a net profit of \$2,622,421 as compared with \$2,384,274 last year.

In December, 1934, the Public Utilities Commission put into effect a 10 per cent reduction in water rates and during the past year this has saved the 108,000 consumers nearly \$700,000 in their water bills. The increase in the amount of water sold has practically offset the loss of revenue due to reduction in rates. The Public Utilities Commission has inaugurated, effective January 1, 1937, special rates in the higher brackets to new consumers and for increased usage in order to stimulate sale of the surplus water now available from Hetch Hetchy, with the purpose of increasing revenues and thereby making possible further rate reductions to residential consumers and small industrial users. The Commission indicated that the new lowered rates will be applied to all consumers at an early date.

Today, the Department has in storage in its Alameda and peninsula reservoirs nearly 40 billion gallons of water, more than enough to supply the city's needs for two years. Since water from Hetch Hetchy began flowing into the peninsula reservoirs we have received from that source approximately 33 billion gallons. Without this water from Hetch Hetchy we would again be facing the possibility of an acute water situation and be dependent upon the caprices of this season's rainfall.

Construction work under the \$12,095,000 P. W. A. bond issue of 1933 has progressed satisfactorily. All but three out of a total of forty-three major contracts included in this program have been let. Thirty per cent of the material and labor costs has been contributed by the Government. This has been an important factor in providing employment during the period of depression. Advantage was taken of the Act of 1935 to obtain a grant of 45 per cent of the material and labor costs on improvements contemplated under the regular Water Department budget. A review of the financial results of the Water Department's operations since the properties were acquired by the City shows that up to November 1st of this year the gross income was \$43,389,116 with operating expenses of \$26,607,946. The net income amounting to \$16,781,170 was used as follows: Contribution to General Fund \$4,612,828; for bond redemption, \$6,515,094; for additions and betterments, \$4,046,917; to surplus, \$557,781; miscellaneous, \$53,643.

#### **Hetch Hetchy and Utility Construction.**

Enlargement of O'Shaughnessy Dam to its full height of 430 feet is proceeding rapidly. Completion of this work at a cost of about \$4,000,000 will make the dam the second highest in the world and will give Hetch Hetchy reservoir a capacity of 117 billion gallons, enough water to supply the needs of San Francisco for almost six years. The work is now about 60 per cent complete. It is expected that next winter the dam will be ready to store water.

At Moccasin, a diversion dam has been completed at a cost of \$220,000 to preserve the purity of Hetch Hetchy water by diverting the waters of Moccasin Creek which drain from an area of cattle ranches and may become contaminated.

Work is at present under way on an additional pipe line from Crystal Springs reservoir in San Mateo County to University Mound reservoir in San Francisco to provide greater water flow to the down-

town districts. The first section, from University Mound reservoir to Millbrae, costing \$1,300,000, is now complete and a contract has been awarded on the second section, extending from Millbrae to Crystal Springs Reservoir.

Much of the Exposition construction on Yerba Buena Shoals is being supervised by the engineering department. Work is going ahead rapidly on the ferry slips, both passenger and freight, and the two hangars, the air terminal building and the exhibit palaces are under construction.

At San Francisco Airport the department has supervised construction on the \$3,000,000 W. P. A. development that will provide additional field area and seaplane facilities. Construction is nearing completion on a new Airport administration building to cost \$142,000.

### **Hetch Hetchy Power.**

Last January, four plans for disposing of Hetch Hetchy power were placed before the Secretary of the Interior at Washington. In April, he issued an opinion that none of these plans conformed to his interpretation of the Raker Act. The Public Utilities Commission has since submitted three additional plans for distributing Hetch Hetchy power, in strict accordance with what they now know to be the requirements of the Department of the Interior. Of these plans, that known as No. 7 was submitted to the Secretary by the Board of Supervisors and was approved by him. Submission of this proposal to the voters has been ordered by the Board of Supervisors.

In the meantime, Hetch Hetchy power is being delivered to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company under the contract executed in July, 1925. The past year has been a very excellent one from a power production standpoint as there has been ample water and nothing to cause interruption in operation at full capacity. The outlook for continued operation through the winter is excellent as there is still in storage sufficient water to operate the power house until well into February, which is after the time when additional runoff may be expected.

### **Street and Public Building Lighting.**

The past year has seen a marked improvement in the lighting of many of the city's streets, particularly those adjacent to the San Francisco terminal of the bay bridge and the streets which have been widened and improved with W. P. A. labor. A number of the streets which have been widened in this way have been equipped with conduits and in some cases foundations for street lighting standards. It is to be regretted that the city's funds have been insufficient to provide cables and lighting standards, so that full advantage could be taken of the work already done by the W. P. A. On Market street west of Valencia, it was possible for the City to supply cables and lamps and thereby secure additional W. P. A. labor in making the installation. This resulted in much better lighting, with the latest type of lamps.

During the year ending June 30, 1936, the per capita cost for street lighting was 98 cents, the lowest in many years.

Several new tracts, opened for building construction, are being equipped with complete street lighting systems at the expense of the developer. In every case these lights are being deeded to the City before being taken over for operation at City expense.

### **Rapid Transit.**

Progress made on rapid transit during the past year has been unsatisfactory. In July, 1935 Messrs. Ridgway and Brahdy of New York, the most able authorities on the subject of rapid transit in the United States, submitted preliminary plans. This was followed by a more comprehensive plan prepared under the direction of the Public Utilities Commission and submitted in May of this year.

The bridge to Oakland was opened to automobile traffic on November 12th and will be used for train traffic not more than a year hence. Fast and comfortable service across the Bay will cause the exodus of a large



number of our people unless satisfactory local service is provided between the downtown area and the residential sections. The bridge terminal station will be constructed during the year. Definite determination must be made as to subway construction so that terminal and subway plans may be coordinated.

### **San Francisco Airport.**

San Francisco Airport is rapidly approaching the status of a world's major air base. With completion during 1937 of the seaplane base now under construction, it will be of international importance. Pan American Airways, largest air transport line in the world, will establish its Pacific Coast headquarters at our base, thereby permanently designating San Francisco America's aerial gateway to the Orient.

Work on the seaplane base has been progressing steadily during the year, and I most strongly urge that every effort be bent to secure its uninterrupted completion during the coming year. Recently, the first unit in a new appropriation from the Federal Works Progress Administration was given final approval. This will permit completion of the fill and grading work on the site. Construction of a 5500 foot dyke outside the present boundaries of the Airport, which will add about 45 acres of what is now tideland to the land plane port, is a part of the work to be undertaken immediately. Dredging of the channel at the seaplane base by the United States Army Engineers was given final approval last month after negotiations with the Rivers and Harbors Committee of Congress and the Chief of the Army Engineers in Washington, D. C. The Army Engineers have agreed to provide one-half the cost of dredging work and all future maintenance of the channel.

Extensive plans for future development of the Airport proper have been prepared. The federal funds that will be allotted are dependent upon Congressional appropriations for W. P. A. work throughout the nation.

In March of this year the new \$141,000 administration building will be completed. It will embody every modern convenience for passengers, airline and airport personnel. Other major improvements of the past year included the paving of runways and taxi strips, and the installation of new sewerage, water, drainage and lighting systems.

Transcontinental and Western Air, Inc. and American Airlines have indicated a desire to operate service to San Francisco Airport. A permit for these extensions must be granted by the Interstate Commerce Commission.

Traffic figures at our air terminal for the calendar year 1936 show decided increases over the previous year: passenger arrivals and departures (excluding through passengers) numbered 67,700, an increase of 38 per cent; air mail poundage "out," 503,300, an increase of 23 per cent; air express pounds "out," 392,700, an increase of 17 per cent; transport planes "in" and "out," 20,100, an increase of 23 per cent. At the close of the year 31 transport arrivals and 31 departures were scheduled at the Airport daily, with many "special sections" and itinerant planes.

It is apparent from these figures, together with the steady and rapid increase in air commerce during the past several years, that San Francisco will soon require air terminal facilities in addition to those of San Francisco Airport even though the latter is fully developed. Looking forward to these future requirements the Public Utilities Commission is engaged in the creation of a second airport on the shoal lands immediately north of Yerba Buena Island in San Francisco Bay. With the assistance of federal funds an area of approximately 400 acres is being reclaimed and buildings which will eventually be used for airport administration and hangars are being constructed. The site will be used during 1939 by the Golden Gate International Exposition and thereafter will be available for airport purposes.

A leading airplane sales and service organization, seven airplane

agencies and three flying schools are in operation at the San Francisco Airport.

### Office of the Treasurer.

The Treasurer has no discretion in handling the business of his office. His duties must be performed in strict accordance with law. All demands are promptly paid on presentation. Funds are always available to meet current expenses. That is the fundamental principle of credit. The credit of the City and County of San Francisco is unquestionable.

Cash received during the fiscal year \$75,128,246.53. Cash actually disbursed \$76,341,723.06. Total cash \$151,469,696.59. Interfund and departmental accounts, \$95,288,430.17. Interest earned on the deposit of public funds in bank, \$182,759.97. Cash on hand at the close of business June 30, 1936, \$27,007,920.45. Tax anticipation notes sold \$9,000,000. Some of these were sold at an interest rate as low as 13/100 of one per cent per annum.

Inheritance tax collected for the State of California \$1,358,217.65. Commissions earned and fees received for collecting the tax and deposited in the general fund of the City and County, \$13,589.65. Inheritance tax is not a City and County function, but the State law provides that the County Treasurer must collect the tax. The State allows certain fees and commissions for the collection.

Total office expense including salaries, insurance fiscal agency, stationery, printing, telephone, telegraph, contractual services, and every other item, amounted to \$53,095.61. Office earnings independent of City and County business \$13,589.65. Total cost to the tax payers of Treasurer's Office \$39,505.96. It costs approximately 25c to handle \$1000 of actual cash in the office. Interfund accounting is not included in this cost.

The National City Bank of New York is the designated Fiscal Agency of the City and County of San Francisco to pay bond interest and redemption to eastern bondholders. The bank paid in bond redemption \$2,499,000 and interest \$3,641.425.

The Treasurer is the custodian of the securities of the Retirement System which amounts to \$16,711,925.

The Treasurer is also by virtue of his office the Treasurer of the Islais Creek Reclamation District located in the City and County of San Francisco.

### Parks.

Improvements to the park system of our City have been extensively undertaken during the past year by the Works Progress Administration.

Probably the most notable, to the average San Franciscan, is the paving of Main Drive in Golden Gate Park, from the Panhandle entrance at Baker Street to the Beach.

The alteration and modernization of a crossover road from 19th Avenue in the Sunset district to 25th Avenue in the Richmond district, is also one of the outstanding improvements undertaken by this Federal Department. It is expected that this road will be completed and in operation in time to serve as an auxiliary approach road to the Golden Gate Bridge. It is separated from the Main Drive traffic of the Park by a modern crossover bridge, which our Grand Old Man of the Park, "Uncle John" McLaren, will effectively beautify with appropriate landscaping.

New meadows of many acres in extent are being graded, soiled and planted in order to give athletically-minded San Franciscans more open spaces in which to recreate.

Over eight thousand seats for spectators are being installed at the old polo field in Golden Gate Park in order that this ever-popular spot may accommodate, in comfort, all who desire to witness the sport activities assigned to this recreation center.



Zoo improvements at the Herbert Fleishhacker Playfield, designed during the past year to give San Francisco an up-to-date zoological garden, are progressing favorably. It is hoped that, by summer, they will be completed to the point of housing and exhibiting animals already acquired for our greater zoo.

Many of the old play areas in the smaller parks and squares have been modernized—tennis courts resurfaced and facilities created for safer and better play.

Parking space for automobiles has been almost doubled at Marina Park to meet the needs of the thousands of San Franciscans who daily visit that locality.

Work on the Aquatic Park is progressing satisfactorily and it is hoped that the public bath house will be completed within the coming year.

Construction work at the Palace of Fine Arts has now reached a stage in its restoration, where no concern need be felt for the final and ultimate rehabilitation, in its entirety, of this classic structure—the sole surviving architectural memory of our splendid Exposition of 1915.

In short, our park system has been greatly benefited by the generosity of the Federal Government. While this work has been in the nature of relief to our people, it also created for our citizens of the present and the future wholesome and healthful recreation facilities, which are a lasting and permanent benefit to the community.

### Recreation Department.

Nineteen hundred and thirty-six marked the thirtieth year the Recreation Department has served the people of San Francisco. Personnel under this Department numbers 341. The Commission has jurisdiction over approximately 250 acres in San Francisco, and 200 at Camp Mather, Tuolumne County, and supervises 80 units, as follows: 38 playgrounds and centers; 21 schoolyards; 2 open-air swimming pools; 8 gymnasiums; 1 warehouse; 1 mountain camp; 9 playground sites.

During the year four new play areas were developed for the citizens, as follows: Cabrillo Playground, Clement Tennis Courts, Noe Valley Tennis Courts, and Dolores Horseshoe Links. The Commission continued to purchase land for playgrounds in the following districts: Bay View, Corona Heights, Eureka Valley, Haight-Ashbury, Sunset, and Upper Noe Valley.

The department received, through cooperation of the Mayor's office, invaluable aid from the government under its W. P. A. program. This extensive work included the erection of two community buildings, major improvements and developments at 15 grounds, and the erection of a pier, boat house and swimming facilities on San Francisco Bay. Development work proceeded on the following sites: Bernal, Crocker Amazon, Gilman, Ingleside, Ortega, James D. Phelan Beach, Potrero Hill, Angelo J. Rossi, and St. Mary's.

The year was marked by a decided increase in attendance at playgrounds, and also at the municipal camp. The total increase very nearly approached 300,000. Each of the following departments felt the increasing popularity of activities in its own field: athletics, drama, music, swimming.

Elaborate plans were formulated by the Superintendent, with the Commission's hearty encouragement, for the Pageant of Youth, an Exposition of Recreation at the Golden Gate International Exposition, San Francisco, 1939. The Pageant of Youth will bring together boys and girls from all over the world to vie with each other in friendly rivalry on the field of sport as well as in intellectual and artistic endeavor. It will show the enormous strides made in providing adequate and diversified programs for the youth of all countries.

The department placed emphasis on its director-at-large plan, and expanded its work in bringing about reduction in juvenile delinquency by cooperating in the organization of eight district councils under the Executive Coordinating Council.

The Mountain Camp at Mather was enlarged to take care of 300 guests daily. Additional facilities were absolutely necessary as hundreds of families had been refused reservations owing to lack of space last year. The Department of Public Health inaugurated an annual inspection of the Camp.

The Commission appreciated the continued cooperative relations between various City departments. Mutual relations between the Commission and the Board of Education were shown in May, 1936, when recreation land in the Ingleside Playground site, formerly the County Jail, was transferred for the San Francisco Junior College site.

### Board of Permit Appeals.

Ushered in with the New Charter, the Board of Permit Appeals has functioned for four and a half years. During 1936, the Board heard 102 cases. It has given adequate hearings to all interested parties, besides according thoughtful consideration to all appeals; endeavoring, in each instance, to satisfy to the fullest possible extent, within the bounds of its authority, all who came before it.

Several important building projects have been speeded during the year, by decisions of this Board, thereby furthering the re-employment program of the City, so necessary and beneficial to our return to normal conditions. The Board of Permit Appeals has justified its existence.

### City Planning Commission.

Charter Section 116 makes it the duty of the City Planning Commission to make, maintain and adopt a Master Plan for the physical development of San Francisco, which plan shall make recommendations for all its areas.

It is evident that if San Francisco is to progress along orderly lines and make adequate provision for future growth, a Master Plan must be developed and adopted. Expenditures for municipal improvements could then be accurately budgeted over a period of years, and costly duplications averted.

Charter Section 117 relates to the zoning of properties and Building Set-Back Lines thereon. These provisions are being substantially carried out. A study made this year of the Zoning Ordinance adopted in pursuance to this section of the Charter, indicated the need of several amendments thereto. A new Ordinance has been drafted. It now remains to be checked and transmitted to the Supervisors for action.

During the course of studies of proposed revisions to the Zoning Ordinance, several obsolete zoning ordinances dating back to 1901 were found to be still in effect. In April of this year the Commission recommended to the Board of Supervisors the repeal of these ordinances.

The Commission-sponsored W. P. A. Land Use Survey of San Francisco will be completed within the next six months. It will show the trend of growth during the past fifteen years since the inception of our Zoning Ordinance. This survey will point out the necessity for making wholesale changes in the plan of the zoning structure. Changes will have to be made to conform to the normal growth and provide adequately for that anticipated. Additional industrial areas must be made available.

Seventy-nine petitions proposing changes in the zoning classification of properties were filed this year as compared with fifty-one for the corresponding period of last year, or an increase of over fifty-three per cent.

Four requests for modification or establishment of Building Set-Back Lines were filed during the year. The Commission, on its own motion however, and in compliance with the Charter, established building set-back lines on some two hundred blocks in the Sunset and Parkside districts, thus completing a constructive work in this important residential section of the City. There remain other sections in which set-back lines should be established and it is hoped to do this during the coming fiscal year, if funds allow. The Commission has also established



set-back lines on streets that are to be widened. New buildings are set back to the proposed new street line, thereby saving the City considerable expense in moving the buildings when the actual widening takes place, typical examples being Lombard street, from Lyon street to Van Ness avenue and Nineteenth avenue from Lincoln Way to Sloat boulevard.

Charter, Section 24, provides that no permit or license affected by the zoning or set-back line ordinances shall be issued without the prior approval of the City Planning Commission. The Bureau of Building Inspection and the Fire Department have complied with this requirement. During the present year 7,032 Building Permits have been approved by this Department. This number represents a substantial increase over last year. Many of these permits necessitate investigation before approval. It is planned to confer with other Departments of the City and secure like collaboration as to permits or licenses they issue.

The Charter also requires the Department of Public Works to submit all new subdivisions or replats of land to be laid out in building lots to this Department for recommendation. San Francisco has not adopted any comprehensive legislation regulating the sub-dividing of land and in consequence of this, little can be done to regulate the manner in which new developments of un-subdivided areas can be governed. The result is that property is still being divided into twenty-five foot lots and street layouts in these areas are not in conformity with the connecting street systems.

It is proposed to make an exhaustive study of sub-division regulations in other cities, with the intent of preparing legislation for sub-division control, applicable to the present and future conditions in San Francisco.

#### War Memorial Trustees.

Justification for the investment of the citizens of San Francisco in constructing the War Memorial group of buildings was never more apparent than during 1936. The War Memorial in this City is unique. Monuments commemorating the World War and honoring the Veterans who participated therein have taken various forms throughout the world but perhaps none of these nearly approach the actual usefulness of these buildings. The activities in the Opera House, the Veterans' Building and the San Francisco Art Museum during 1936 reached proportions scarcely anticipated by the founders.

The outstanding achievement in the Opera House naturally is the recently concluded grand opera season, which according to the press and to the vast public which enjoyed the entertainments, has been acclaimed the finest opera season ever given in the West and flatteringly comparable to the seasons in New York and world famous European opera centers. Eleven operas were given in the subscription series. A newly conceived series of Saturday popular operas found much commendation, and four extra performances, all of the same high standard and plane, drew capacity audiences. In fact, the opera season record speaks for itself. There was not one empty seat at any of the seventeen performances presented.

Earlier in the year and equally notable was the season of three weeks of popular priced operas presented by a traveling company of very high merit, and including nineteen standard operas with splendid casts and productions, with a price range from 50 cents to \$1.00 for the best seats. This annual series proves to be greatly educational and is a boon to music lovers who cannot afford the higher prices necessitated in the regular season.

The San Francisco Symphony Orchestra made its reappearance as an Opera House attraction after a year of idleness, and under the gifted baton of the new conductor, Pierre Monteux, a season of ultra successful concerts immediately re-established this organization as one of the most popular symphonic groups in the country. Twenty-four programs were rendered to capacity audiences.

Again outstanding musical stars of world fame appeared in the Opera House, which you are again reminded is the only municipally owned and operated structure of its kind in the United States.

Last year a very important function for the Opera House was added to its activities. Through the courtesy of the Board of Trustees, the building was opened to the public high schools of San Francisco for graduation purposes, and this proved to be one of the most important of the Opera House functions.

The Veterans' Building last year excelled what was deemed a record year in 1935. Statistics compiled by the officials in charge of this building revealed that at least 20 per cent more activities occurred in the Veterans' Building during 1936 than in 1935. It is no uncommon thing to have twenty-five or more major meetings occupying the various lodge and meeting halls in this building in a single night, and it is not an unusual sight to find from 2000 to 5000 citizens availing themselves of the facilities of this building in a single day. During the last year the beautiful library and lounge (Green Room) in the Veterans' Building was permanently opened, and the high dignity and elegant atmosphere in this room have evoked a constantly growing interest among veterans and their friends who find therein the latest magazines, books, a piano, and such other attractions as intrigue many members of veteran organizations to enjoy its quiet simplicity every night.

The fourth floor of the Veterans' Building houses the San Francisco Museum of Art. Planned as an educational center, with important exhibits free to the public, and with free lectures and art courses for the study of art and its sources, it is intended to complete the cultural group in the Civic Center along with the Library and the Opera House; the institution devoted to art with the same high standards in that field as are established in the Opera House for music.

The Museum is a pioneer among American art palaces in that it is open evenings. Over 100,000 visitors availed themselves of the fourteen great galleries during the year, and some 24,000, interested in art, attended lectures and gallery talks. Five hundred and seventy free lectures were presented, and 115 meetings for special study groups. Ten national exhibitions, 52 smaller exhibitions, and 15 exhibitions of solely local art work, were given during the year.

The Board of Trustees of the War Memorial contracted, during the year, for the complete re-planting and re-decoration of the court and gardens surrounding the War Memorial buildings, and even now with the recent completion of this work it is obvious that the "Court of Memory" and the War Memorial grounds will speedily take their place among the most beautiful of San Francisco's notable landscaping achievements.

The War Memorial buildings form an abiding tribute to all American veterans. San Francisco's justly admired Civic Center is greatly enhanced by the graceful architectural lines of these buildings and the beauty of their adjacent grounds. The War Memorial is operated by a Board of eleven Trustees.

### Art Commission.

During the past year the Art Commission has continued to play a most important part in the cultural, esthetic and practical accomplishments of the municipality, giving careful and considerate attention to the numerous problems confronting them.

The average layman does not realize that even a lamp post cannot be erected on City property until its design has been approved by this Commission. Last year, submissions too numerous to mention ranged from a small bronze plaque to the design for the \$150,000 renovation and acoustical improvement of the Civic Auditorium.

The number of submissions does not tell the whole story. Experience led to many improvements in procedure, all in the direction of greater thoroughness and better cooperation between the various



Committees of the Art Commission, especially the Committees on Architecture, Painting and Sculpture, the City Departments, as well as the Works Progress Administration and the public in general.

The year 1936 saw the Music Tax Subsidy established by the Charter Amendment. Indeed, San Franciscans are indebted to this fund, for the reorganization of their famed Symphony Orchestra. Thousands of our fellow-citizens had the benefit of hearing a great series of Municipal Concerts, with the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra and internationally famed soloists. These events were offered to the public at prices within the reach of all. The enthusiastic response of the music loving public of our City to these municipally-subsidized concerts is noteworthy.

The Municipal Chorus, whose number has greatly increased in the last year, participated in several concerts with the Symphony and took active part in musical events in the Opera House. Besides the concert activities of the Chorus, there have been given by the director, free courses in ensemble singing and voice training.

The Municipal Band continued to give outdoor concerts all year in the public squares and parks, as well as concerts for the "Shut Ins" in the City's Hospitals, Laguna Honda Home and Hassler Health Farm.

With the approach of the Golden Gate International Exposition, the judgment, discrimination and creative ability of each member of the Art Commission will be constantly in demand. I am sure San Franciscans will lend full cooperation and support to this Commission.

#### San Francisco Museums.

The year 1936 was a very successful one in the development of the two municipal museums of San Francisco. Over 1,000,000 people visited the California Palace of the Legion of Honor and the M. H. de Young Memorial Museum.

The most conspicuous event was the exhibition of paintings and drawings by the great Dutch 19th century painter, Vincent van Gogh, held in the California Palace of the Legion of Honor in May. The exhibition, which contained some of the foremost works by the master assembled from European collections, had previously been shown in several leading American museums in the East. Wherever it appeared, it met with extraordinary public response. Nowhere, however, did the attendance figure reach the amazing height as in San Francisco. No less than 227,540 people visited the exhibition during the 35 days it was on view, thereby doubling the next largest figures of the New York and Boston showings. The tremendous success of this exhibition in San Francisco is indeed one of the best signs of the growing art interest in our City. Other successful exhibitions likewise held in the California Palace of the Legion of Honor were one of Contemporary German Water-colors and one of California Paintings from 1850 to the present day, as well as some private collections of old and modern masters. The exhibition activity in the M. H. de Young Memorial Museum was somewhat handicapped by the extensive work of reconstruction going on there, which consumed most of the time of the staff and most of the funds available. Nevertheless, a number of smaller loan exhibitions, featuring early East Indian art, Pre-Columbian Art from Central America, old and modern Japanese wood prints, European and American contemporary prints, photographs, etc., provided interesting material throughout the year.

In the California Palace of the Legion of Honor the outstanding collection of French 18th century paintings and decorative arts, donated in 1927 by Mr. Archer M. Huntington, has been newly installed in three galleries of the east wing, with the result that the superb material is now more clearly separated according to period and style and thereby more adequately presented for study and enjoyment. Several important works of art have been added to the permanent collection of the museum. Mr. and Mrs. H. K. S. Williams of Paris, who through numerous donations have shown in the past a very active interest in

the museum, have again generously donated four fine paintings. They are: a man's portrait by Spain's greatest painter, Diego Velasquez; a portrait of the Earl of Pembroke by Anthony Van Dyck; a group portrait of three children by Cornelis de Vos; a large and exceptionally fine still life painting with figures by Frans Snyders—the last three all of the Flemish School of the 17th century. A beautiful bust of a man by Antoine Houdon, the greatest French sculptor of the 18th century, has been acquired for the Archer M. Huntington Collection from funds provided by the donor. Mr. Huntington also gave a fine painting by the renowned American painter, Childe Hassam. Finally, the museum succeeded in acquiring a magnificent painting of the Virgin and Child by the important Florentine Renaissance painter, Fra Bartolommeo. The permanent collection of the M. H. de Young Memorial Museum also was enriched by valuable additions acquired from funds left by the late Mr. M. H. de Young. Among them were two well preserved wings of an altarpiece by the Florentine 14th century painter, Bicci di Lorenzo, several early California portraits, a precious early Chinese bronze vessel, and various other smaller items. Most important for the development of the M. H. de Young Memorial Museum was the work, now nearly finished, of reconstructing and re-decorating the west, northeast and central wings of the museum. This project, carried out by the W. P. A., includes the completion of three new galleries in the central wing, which have since been installed and opened to the public. In the west wing a large section of galleries has been built for the display of Californians, from furniture and dresses to historical engravings and documents. Fine new rooms for ship models and war material have also been provided there. In the northeast wing 16 excellent galleries, each individually decorated in keeping with the objects there to be displayed, have been built for the Far Eastern, South American, Central American and North American Indian departments, the permanent loan exhibition of the Society of Colonial Dames of America, for textiles, plaster reproductions of famous sculpture, etc. The installation in both the west and northeast wings is steadily progressing and their opening to the public is planned for February 1937.

The educational activities of both museums have been advancing most satisfactorily, the response of schools and the general public to lectures, radio talks, etc., by the museum staff having again increased considerably.

In brief, the year 1936 has been a most fortunate one in the development of our two City museums.

### San Francisco Public Library.

This Department reports great activity and accomplishment during the past year. The circulation of books for home use and those used for reference and research purposes at the main library and branches amounted to approximately 9,000,000 volumes.

Public libraries today are entering an ever widening field of activity and may be justly considered one of the most significant agencies of education. Bearing this in mind, I felt it expedient to increase the appropriation for this department from \$424,092 to \$454,360 for the present fiscal year. With this amount the library was able to replace all worn out material and buy new books for the main library, twenty-one branches and six deposit stations. Provision was also made for the adjustment of salaries of a number of librarians who for the last four years were filling positions at a lower salary rate than the positions rated.

With the extra money allocated, binding has been brought up to date and a large collection of pamphlets was bound in permanent form. In this way, a considerable amount of useful material is made durable and readily accessible to the readers.

Many needed improvements and repairs were made on the main library and branch buildings. The Richmond and Mission branches, two of the largest in the system, have been renovated extensively. The



children's rooms have been enlarged, staff quarters made more comfortable, and work rooms improved. These renovations and repairs not only made the branches more attractive but also made it possible to serve more effectively the large number of people who use them in these districts.

Realizing the great need of more branches, it was my pleasure to recommend that four be added to the library system. The one in Visitacion Valley has been in operation for a year. The Parkside, West Portal and Bernal branches were opened during the first part of this year, with a model collection of five thousand volumes in each. These branches were used to such a great extent that the collections soon proved inadequate and it was necessary to supplement them with additions of new books as well as many volumes from the main, duplicate collection. The circulation at each branch shows a steady increase and reference collections are used constantly by the school children as well as the adults.

In order to beautify the Bernal district, as well as to give it a building commensurate with its needs, I recommended that \$42,000 be set aside from Federal funds for a permanent branch building. This branch library is to be built in conjunction with a playground and will make one of the most beautiful district centers of the City.

A similar amount has been set aside for a branch building in the West Portal district. It is to be situated on a lot transferred from the School Department on the west side of the entrance to the tunnel. When completed, this building will also be a model library, both as to beauty and utility. Mr. Frederick Meyer was appointed architect of both buildings. The plans are at Washington, D. C., awaiting approval and as soon as this is given, work will begin.

We have received a number of requests for other extensions to the branch system, but it is impossible to grant any further requests until we are in a position to set aside additional funds for this purpose as it is possible to maintain only the present set-up on current appropriations.

Among the many gifts presented to the library during the year was an extensive collection of music from the George E. Lask estate. This will prove a valuable and useful addition to our music department. The late Mr. J. C. Cebrian left the library a bequest to be used for the purchase of books on Spanish literature. The Pan-American Society presented the library with a number of books relating to Pan-American subjects.

#### Chief Administrative Officer.

The administrative department of the City and County Government, under the direction of Chief Administrative Officer Alfred J. Cleary, has continued to show the progress so characteristic, since the installation of the Charter.

The Street Traffic Advisory Board has had many difficult problems before it, due to unusual traffic congestions resulting from the increased flow of traffic upon the completion of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge. Careful consideration has also been given to the traffic problems which will present themselves upon the completion of the Golden Gate Bridge.

As a result of the continued cooperation among department heads under the jurisdiction of the Chief Administrative Officer, the administrative departments have continued during the past year, to function economically.

#### Department of Finance and Records.

This Department includes the functions and personnel of the offices of the County Clerk, Recorder, Public Administrator, Registrar of Voters, and Tax Collector. The Director acts in a supervisory capacity over the functions and personnel of the five offices as recited above.

### Tax Collector.

Tax Collector Edward F. Bryant reports that real estate and secured personal property taxes collected from January 1st to December 5, 1936 amounted to \$27,831,733.39; unsecured personal property taxes of 1936, July 1st to December 5th, \$959,824.78; Sunset tunnel Assessments, (last installment was due April, 1934), \$556.98.

In the Tax Redemption Bureau, property redeemed, \$851,389.47; installment fund payments, \$88,506.37; total, \$939,895.84.

The Bureau of Delinquent Revenue collected unsecured personal property taxes of 1935 and prior years and various delinquent accounts from other departments, \$130,000.40; for the Sonoma State Home and Spadra, \$9,569.95; for Delinquent License Bureau accounts, \$5,468.46; for partial payments on unsecured personal property taxes of prior years, on deposit in bank, December 5, 1936, \$13,437.90; a total of \$158,476.71.

In the Bureau of Licenses, various licenses and inspection fees were collected, amounting to \$361,071.69. The total collections of the office were \$30,251,559.39; in the same period, 1935, \$29,052,523.19; the increase in collections over 1935 was \$1,199,036.20.

### Registrar of Voters.

The office of Registrar of Voters has been particularly busy during the current calendar year with an entire new registration of voters, checking nomination petitions and certificates of sponsors for candidates for two Primary elections, checking nine state initiative petitions and preparing and conducting three regular elections.

The registration of voters starting January 2, 1936 showed a total registration of eligibles to vote at the Presidential election 314,990, the largest registration ever compiled by this department. In addition to these figures in excess of 22,000 persons were re-registered after changing residence. Also the registration clerks were called upon to issue over 20,000 certificates of registration and to notarize several thousand applications for Civil Service examinations. Also, in the operation of the old age pension act of California several hours of the clerks' time each day are taken in searching old records to establish age and residence in California.

A total of 11,600 names of electors were checked in the petitions of nomination of candidates voted for at the Presidential Primary in May, while for the State Primary in August declarations of candidacy were received from 276 candidates involving a checking of a total of 6,160 names of sponsors for such candidates. Also nine State initiative petitions presented to this office for examination contained in the aggregate 272,452 names to be checked, a truly herculean task.

The elections held during the current year with the registration and vote polled at each follow:

	<i>Precincts</i>	<i>Registration</i>	<i>Vote Polled</i>
Presidential Primary—May 5th..	1055	286,345	192,579
State Primary—August 25th.....	1055	297,362	149,199
General (Presidential) Nov. 3rd..	1055	314,990	269,387

At the general (Presidential) election the total vote polled was the largest in the City's history. Included in such total are 4633 votes cast by Absentee Voters which also is the greatest number of such votes cast in an election in San Francisco. In addition to the total number of absentee votes cast, there were sent 658 ballots to other applicants which could not be counted as they were either not returned in time, or were returned not in proper order, or were not returned at all. Included among the absentee voters are 965 sick or disabled at their homes, or in hospitals or institutions which require considerable time, detail and patience on the part of clerks, to which the sick are entitled.

There were 1295 voting machines used at the Presidential election and the remaining six machines were ready for instant use, should any



emergency arise or congestion warrant. In spite of the unprecedented vote and a long and complicated ballot, no trouble was experienced at any place nor was there any undue delay reported. Full returns were received from each of the 1055 polling places within three hours after closing of the polls at eight o'clock and a little after midnight a complete tabulation of San Francisco's vote was furnished to the public, the press and news agencies.

Contacting approximately 1000 places for rental as polling places for each election, and the selections, appointment and training of approximately 3300 election officers for each election also is a full time source of activity. This department has been most fortunate in the selection of election officers in the past, especially in view of the difficulty in obtaining competent help at the consideration of \$5.00 for an average of fifteen hours work. I consider this remuneration insufficient and trust, as conditions improve, that a proper fee will be allowed.

Before January 1, 1937 this office cancelled the registration and notified each by mail of such cancellation, of approximately 45,000 electors who failed to vote at the Primary in August and General Election. This operation meant checking of rosters of the two elections with the indexes and affidavits of registration for the same elections, which meant checking a total of 1,224,704 names.

The special election to be held in February called for considerable preliminary work, which greatly added to the volume of the Department's activities.

The budget allowance for this office for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1937, is sufficient I believe to carry to that date if nothing unforeseen occurs, although in the event of a special election, a supplemental appropriation must be made.

#### County Clerk.

On March 18th, last, Harry I. Mulcreavy, who had served the City and County of San Francisco ably for many years, as County Clerk, passed away. San Francisco suffers a loss in his demise. Robert Munson took up his duties for 90 days and thereafter, until September 29th, they were undertaken by Walter R. Castagnetto. Herman A. Vander Zee, having passed number one in civil service examinations for a successor to Mr. Mulcreavy, took office on the date named.

In the present budget, allowances were made for desirable changes in the office of County Clerk. These changes, which include an entire re-arrangement of the office, a new filing system and a complete photo-static equipment, are now being completed.

The number of civil suits filed reached 7,387 during the year 1936, to December 15th. In the probate department, 2,841 actions were filed. Marriage licenses issued, numbered 6,380.

Actions filed in the Criminal Department were 1,140. The County Clerk's fees in all departments for the period named, amounted to \$108,850.72. In the Law Library, fees collected reached the total of \$13,886, a total in all, of \$122,736.72.

#### Public Administrator.

The Public Administrator reports that the number of estates wherein he took possession, from January 2, 1936 to November 30, 1936 was 306; number of estates in which final accounts have been settled and allowed, 224; Administrator's fees collected and paid into County Treasury, \$23,665.91; attorney fees collected and paid into County Treasury, \$23,534.02; total fees paid into County Treasury, \$47,199.93; total cost of operation of office from January 2, 1936 to November 30, 1936, \$32,496.24; profit, \$14,703.69.

#### Recorder.

For the calendar year, 1936, Edmond Godchaux, Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco and dean of all County Officials, reports receipts of recording fees amounting to \$138,261.15; paid out for salaries during the year \$92,070; excess of receipts over salaries, \$46,-

191.15; papers, filed and recorded, 76,900. During the year, this department copied, free of charge for veterans and their dependents, for the United States and for this State and its political subdivisions, some 3,442 documents containing 18,034 folios.

The copying department of this office is overwhelmed with work. It is now behind more than 96,000 folios, due to heavy increase in recording during the entire year. The Recorder is doing his best to get abreast of this greatly increased volume of work. The figures for December, 1936, are estimated.

### Department of Electricity.

This Department continues to function under the direction of Chief Ralph W. Wiley. It manufactures, installs and maintains fire alarm boxes, police boxes and traffic control devices.

In 57 Fire and Police Department cars, radio receiving sets have been installed during the past year. There are now 1,505 boxes in the Fire Alarm System, 15 having been installed in 1936. Monthly tests of fire alarm boxes totaled 15,003. Signals Transmitted, 42,276.

In the Inspection Bureau, 15,524 applications were received, 41,101 inspections made. Installations approved, 13,385. Revenues from fees and other sources, \$55,141.59. Inspections of overhead line construction numbered 1,746.

In the machine shop, there were manufactured 26 fire alarm boxes, 22 traffic signals, 5 police boxes, 6 reflector units, 30 register tape reels and 1175 police keys.

Total expenditures amounted to \$160,665.33.

### Real Estate Department.

On August 22, 1936, the City and County of San Francisco entered into a lease with the San Francisco Bay Exposition Company covering the City's Yerba Buena Shoals property for the 1939 Exposition; and the building at the southeast corner of Bush and Stockton streets, San Francisco, as headquarters for the Exposition Company.

The City has awarded a lease to Pan American Airways Company, involving 36.88 acres at the San Francisco Airport, for a period of ten years, with the right of renewal for a further term of ten years.

On September 1, 1936, the District Attorney, Public Defender and Adult Probation Department moved from 333 Kearny street into the 7th and 8th floors of the building at 550 Montgomery street, under lease from the Bank of America at a rental of \$880 per month. About 29 acres of City land at the northeast corner of Ocean and Phelan avenues have been set aside as a Junior College site. The Crystal Springs Country Club has leased the Golf Club property of the San Francisco Water Department in San Mateo County. About 50 lots have been purchased for various playgrounds and for Mission High School at a total cost approximating \$150,000.

Negotiations are being carried on for the acquisition of property required for Richardson avenue in the Marina District and for the widening of 19th avenue. The total estimated cost of land and relocation of buildings in connection with these projects is about \$1,200,000. This expense will be paid from the  $\frac{1}{4}$  Cent Gas Tax Fund. Land is also being purchased for the widening of Army street west of Bryant street.

Purchase of land for Sunset Reservoir and easements for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2 will be completed in the near future. All lands for the McLaren Park Roadway and for the widening of Parker avenue have been acquired. The City Attorney has been requested to prepare a condemnation suit for the acquisition of the remaining lot required for the Castro-Divisadero Divisional Highway.

I am pleased to note that the revenues from the use of the Civic Auditorium for the last fiscal year were in excess of those of any previous year.



### Department of Public Works.

Certainly, no department in the City Government has been confronted with greater or more intricate problems during 1936 than the Department of Public Works. A large program of work has been accomplished, without undue delay.

The High Pressure fire system extension programs under the 1933 Bond Issue of \$2,000,000 has advanced to practical completion, there being a balance of only \$200,000 to be expended, involving four small contracts approved by the Fire Department on electrolysis investigation to determine the destructive effect on underground structures, and the removal of the pipe yard maintained on leased property at Sixth and Hubbell streets during construction to smaller quarters for maintenance and supplies, on City owned property on Jerrold avenue.

Nineteen new modern storage cisterns have been completed under four contracts as well as twelve pipe line contracts which have all been accepted for operation by the Fire Department.

The Bond Issue of 1933 provided \$2,635,000 for new sewers and a sewage disposal plant to abate contamination and pollution on our beaches. In 1936 contracts have been awarded aggregating approximately \$1,000,000 including Marina Pumping Plants A and B, Marina North Point Sewer; Alemany No. 2 and Alemany E, as well as the Richmond Sunset Tunnel now under construction and which will carry sewage from the Richmond District to the Sewage Disposal Plant in Golden Gate Park for treatment.

Plans and specifications for the Golden Gate Park disposal plant are practically complete. This plant will cost approximately \$550,000. It will be equipped with the most modern and efficient facilities available and will be completed and ready for operation in the latter part of 1937 and at which time the entire bond program will simultaneously be completed.

In addition to this bond program new sewers in Anza street from Arguello to Parker avenue, Iowa street and Sea Cliff avenue, have been installed at a cost aggregating \$11,000.

Expenditures on the school program from bond funds during the year aggregate \$506,000, and include auditoriums for the following schools: George Washington, Aptos, Marina Jr. High and Glen Park. Diversified work performed on other schools throughout the City during the year aggregates \$69,500.

Architectural appointment has been made to develop preliminary plans for the new Junior College group of buildings to be located on the old County Jail site at Ocean avenue and Balboa Park.

Street and highway projects, completed and under way have established an outstanding record in the improvement of vehicular areas within our County. This work has been speeded and stimulated by increasing vehicular traffic area demands, increased considerably by the opening of the East Bay Bridge, and to be expanded by the opening of the Golden Gate Bridge in May.

Secondary highway contract expenditures for the year approximate \$314,000, which includes work on Sloat boulevard, Skyline boulevard connection, Richardson avenue and San Jose avenue, from Sickles to Bepler streets. In addition to this amount expended for construction work, expenditures from the first  $\frac{1}{4}$ c gasoline tax for property acquisition on Nineteenth avenue, San Jose avenue and Lombard street, contemplating widening of these thoroughfares, aggregates \$678,000.

The second  $\frac{1}{4}$ c gasoline tax funds for improvement of streets of major importance, augmented by P. W. A. grants have financed street widening projects aggregating \$603,556 on the following streets: Fifth street—Mission to Harrison; Eighth street—Market to Townsend; Harrison street—Embarcadero to Fifth; Anza street—Arguello boulevard to Parker avenue; Sixth street—Market to Townsend; First street—Market to Harrison; Folsom street—Embarcadero to 10th; Steuart street—Mission to Harrison; Spear street—Howard to Embarcadero; Fremont street—Market to Harrison; Beale street—Market to Embarcadero; Bryant street—

2nd to 5th streets; California street—Presidio avenue to Arguello; Seventh avenue—Lincoln Way to Lawton; Eighteenth street—Guerrero to Danvers; Battery street—Market to Embarcadero. Improvement of other streets from 1c gas tax funds under budget appropriations approximates \$125,700 covering important and necessary work in different sections of the City.

The Parker avenue slide area is receiving the necessary preliminary studies prior to needed engineering and construction work at a later date and as field data and information being gathered indicate. Ground borings under contract are under way and the results of same as well as other studies in progress will permit intelligent appropriations for construction in the 1937-38 budget.

The Lyon street approach to the Golden Gate Bridge under joint jurisdiction of this department and the W. P. A. is well in hand. Complete construction schedules have been established, fortified by a fully coordinated procedure in which every phase of the work involved has been considered, and unless unforeseen obstacles occur this approach should be completed by May 1, 1937. All bureaus of the department are functioning smoothly, and within budget appropriations.

The Bureau of Building Repair, in addition to the proper expenditure of funds provided for the maintenance and repair of our public buildings and for specifically budgeted improvements, has also performed work aggregating \$32,163 on work orders from the Board of Education, War Memorial Trustees, Library Trustees and others.

The Bureau of Building Inspection continues to operate efficiently, despite the ever increasing volume of building construction throughout the City requiring the proper inspection service.

To portray the phenomenal growth of building activities during the past year and to indicate the tremendous increase that has taken place, it is sufficient to cite a comparative statement for the first 11 months of 1936 as against a like period in 1935 as follows:

	<i>No. of Permits</i>	<i>Estimated Cost</i>
1935 .....	5,387	\$10,118,709
1936 .....	7,434	19,927,148

A greater number of permits for expensive residences is noted than in any year since 1929.

### Department of Public Health.

General health conditions, on the basis of impressions gained from available vital statistics, are less satisfactory for 1936 than for 1935. The crude death rate for San Francisco is definitely higher than for 1935 (12.8 as compared with 12.3). Notable increases in both heart disease and cancer have occurred. The infant mortality rate, referred to frequently as "the most sensitive single index of the public health," has likewise risen (from 35 to 41). The important increases in this age group of less than one year include enteritis and bronchopneumonia. In one institution alone, of a group of some twenty-eight children affected, six deaths resulted from enteritis. It is unfortunate, in this particular instance, also, that the Department of Public Health was not informed of the situation during the outbreak, a circumstance which denied the official local health authority the opportunity of studying the epidemiology of the outbreak. It is believed that this one incident played a definite role in the increased mortality rate among infants. As to morbidity, the total reported cases of all reportable diseases approximate 20,000, the increase being mainly in measles and scarlet fever. It may be of interest to note that, again, as for the past several years, scarlet fever and infantile paralysis together, considered usually as major or more-to-be-feared communicable diseases, have been responsible for no more deaths than measles and whooping-cough, more frequently thought of as minor or less-to-be-feared communicable diseases, even perhaps "the sooner over with, the better."

Child health activities, including public health nursing, were con-



tinued without interruption, and indeed even with a slight expansion. There is definite need for further augmentation of existing personnel, however, which will permit of the extension of child health activities to meet existing needs in the schools and in certain areas in the City, and it is hoped that this will be possible during the coming year, also. Mere figures express only quantitative values and do not present any other aspect of the true values of the classifications. It may be of interest, however, to set forth a brief statement of the extent of the work carried out in the child health services: 40,000 school children examined by physicians; 6,000 vaccinations against smallpox administered; 4,000 immunizations against diphtheria administered; 7,000 tuberculin tests made; 35,000 children given dental care; and 116,000 home visits by public health nurses, in addition to 3,800 demonstrations by public health nurses and their assistants in 90,000 examinations carried out in health centers and schools by physicians.

In the Technical Section of the Department, improvement of service was permitted, also, through added personnel and new equipment. Required by the State of California Department of Agriculture, Bureau of Animal Industry, for the continuation of a State approved meat inspection service, eight additional abattoir and meat inspectors were employed, in addition to the regular permanent staff. Additional inspectors were put in the field on dairy inspection and in cooling-skimming stations located outside San Francisco, also.

A reorganization of the laboratories of the Department, with the assistance of the Consultant to the Laboratories, has been of material benefit in the work accomplished by this important service. The work of this unit, and of the Department as a whole, will be improved when the laboratories are moved from their present location at the San Francisco Hospital to the Health Center Building, as originally planned.

The effectiveness of the work done by the Division of Industrial Hygiene was enhanced by the purchase of new equipment permitting study of the carbon monoxide problem, the noise nuisance, and the dust hazard. Noise, as a public health and engineering problem, has received no little attention in recent years in the larger cities of the world, notably New York City and London. In San Francisco, also, the importance of noise abatement has been recognized, even to the extent that a permanent group was organized during the year to encourage the reduction and elimination of unnecessary noise.

The municipal housing survey, as conducted by the Works Progress Administration, is being completed, so that the information compiled may be made available for planning for future programs. Condemnation of buildings found to be hazardous to the safety, health and welfare of the occupants and of the community has proceeded through the year at regular intervals.

In the Institutional Section of the Department, the San Francisco Hospital, without additional funds thus far, has provided care for approximately 60 patients per day above the number on which the budget for 1936-1937 was based, and approximately 100 more than during 1935-1936. The moneys required have been secured from intra-departmental transfers, but supplementary appropriations will be necessary, probably before the end of the current fiscal year. The financial needs of the San Francisco Hospital, as in other institutions in the Department, have been appreciably increased because of the rising costs of materials and supplies in addition to the increased average daily census previously mentioned. More than 14,250 persons were admitted to the San Francisco Hospital, and these received more than 370,000 days of hospital care. The City Physicians, in their work of providing domiciliary care, made 13,000 visits to patients in their homes. The Social Service Division, responsible for the determination of eligibility of persons to care in the public institutions, studied more than 30,000 applications.

In the Laguna Honda Home, due probably to the availability of the

old age pension to those eligible and not institutionalized, the average daily census has been lower during the year than during 1935 (1915 as compared with 1975). The migration of patients from the home back into the community has resulted also in a need for additional personnel, since many of those leaving the home have served in various capacities as "inmate help," and this work cannot be carried on by those remaining, as they are usually physically handicapped. A fact not often realized, too, involves the changing character of the institution: While heretofore the home-for-ambulatory-patients has been the predominant type of care provided, the trend during recent years has been toward the hospital-for-chronically-ill-patients as the important service. This, obviously, calls for a more extensive medical-nursing personnel and organization.

The bed capacity of the Hassler Health Home was increased early in the year from 82 to 88 beds. Better coordination of services within the Department has resulted in a marked reduction in a loss of patient days, in this unit, due to unfilled beds. This institution serves one of the most important needs of the Department in providing facilities for the preparation of the tuberculous patient to re-enter the community.

The Emergency Hospital Service, available at all hours and on all days, gave first aid attention to nearly 65,000 persons. More than 32,000 ambulance calls were made, including transfers among the three institutions. It is worthy of note that the Emergency Hospital Service, accustomed to meeting the demands of large celebrations and other gatherings, did a particularly fine piece of work during the three days' Bridge Celebration in November of 1936, when nearly one thousand persons were treated and more than four hundred ambulance calls were made.

Important legislation passed by the Board of Supervisors affecting the activities of the Department includes the ordinance regulating the traffic in salvaged foods and that setting up meat inspection fees as required by Section Twenty-four of the New Freeholders' Charter. The proposed Health Code, before the Board of Supervisors at the present time, after many months of study by the Works Progress Administration, when finally passed in revised form, will meet many needs that have been presented to the Director of Public Health.

Scientific publications during 1936, prepared by the Director of Public Health and certain of his subordinates, have attracted not a little attention in the medical profession. Among the publications by the Department staff were: "A survey of Heart Disease Morbidity in San Francisco"; "Poisoning Due to the Ingestion of a Mixture of Sodium Bicarbonate and Sodium Fluoride"; "Poisonous Substances in Food, Particularly Spray Residue on Fruits and Vegetables," and "Handbook of Accepted Remedies, Symptoms and Treatment of Poisoning, Diagnostic Procedures and Miscellaneous Information." A manual of public health laboratory and procedures has reached its third draft and may be published during 1937.

### Juvenile Probation Department.

Chief Probation Officer R. R. Miller, in his report, shows that during 1935-1936, a total of 1,289 new cases passed through the Juvenile Court. There were 3,364 children in its care during that period. The Detention Home cared for 1,765 children as against 1,742, for the year previous.

From the budget allocated for 1935-1936, \$550,000, the actual expenditure was \$543,951. Reimbursements from the State, parents and other sources totaled \$187,703. Cost of State School commitments was \$16,002, out of \$17,500 allotted to this Department.

### County Welfare Department.

The County Welfare Department has the administration of old age pensions, of aid to the blind, and half-orphan aid to children living



with their widowed mothers, under State laws governing each type. Since the passage of the Federal Security Act and the federal government's acceptance of the California plan, the cost of this assistance is met in part by the federal government, in part by the State and in part by the County. Under the old age pension system and the Blind Aid Act, the County and State share equally that part of the amount granted which is not covered by Federal subsidy. Under the Half-orphan Aid Act the State bears a larger share, the County bearing 45 per cent.

The provisions of the Federal Security Act have reduced the residence period within the State for all three types of aid, thereby increasing the eligible list. The aged and the blind must have resided in the State five years during the last nine, in order to be eligible for aid; under children's aid one year's residence within the State is necessary. The State Legislature, at its emergency session in May, passed a number of very constructive measures concerning old age security, and made mandatory the payment of \$35 in each case providing no other income was available; in other words, each person drawing old age pension must have at least \$35 a month either in cash or kind.

Under old age security, the active case load of the City and County of San Francisco is 4531 cases, for October, 1936, the expenditure being \$148,639.50, and the average allotment being \$32.87 per case. The increase may be seen by comparison with figures of October, 1935, which show 1955 cases with a total expenditure of \$55,884.33 and an average of \$28.58.

The blind on aid in October of this year numbered 287, the expenditure being \$11,553, and the average \$40.18. There were 268 blind cases in October, 1935, the expenditure was \$8,917, and the average was \$33.20.

Aid to dependent minors this year reached 497 families, embracing 1124 children, the expenditure for the month being \$20,892.65. Last year's comparative figures were 461 cases involving 1066 children, at a cost of \$19,699.47.

In the expenditures this year the federal government participates up to \$15 where at least \$30 is being granted, for each old age pensioner and each blind recipient of aid. Of half-orphan aid the federal government pays \$6 for the first child in receipt of aid where the amount granted is at least \$18 and \$4 for each succeeding child where the amount granted is at least \$12.

Federal participation has reacted very favorably on many of those receiving aid. Faith in the whole movement of public maintenance has been considerably increased. Many who hesitated to ask earlier—this especially applies to the aged—felt quite free to ask for help as soon as there was federal participation, though they were eligible long before the federal government had entered into cooperation with the State and County.

Of necessity the staff of the County Welfare Department has been greatly augmented. To the 21 permanent workers of a year ago, 10 new social workers have been added and 4 permanent clerical workers. The City government has allowed the department an additional staff of 29 temporary employees until January 1st.

#### Coroner.

Dr. T. B. W. Leland, Coroner of the City and County of San Francisco, reports that from January 1, 1936, to November 1, 1936, he has had a most busy period.

Number of cases handler, 2197; inquests held, 2197; jurors summoned and serving, 929; autopsies performed, 1525; pathological examinations, 1472; toxicological examinations, 7824. Many recommendations have been made by the juries bearing evidence of constructive criticism and promoting accident prevention. These jurors are carefully selected and are of an exceptionally fine type of San Francisco citizenry. Suicides: 171, as compared with 180 for the similar period in 1935, a

decrease since the end of the depression. Motor vehicles deaths to date show a slight decrease. This is most satisfactory, as conditions conducive to an increase have been present, and there has been a general increase in the rate throughout the United States. An increase is reported this year, over last, in the number of motor vehicle deaths among children under 15 years of age. In this group no death occurred to school children going to or coming from school or in zones patrolled by schoolboy traffic officers. Falls continue to lead in the cause of death—161 this year, as compared to 139 in 1935. Occupational fatalities have decreased—41 last year; 39 this year. Homicides have decreased. Since the last report, the Coroner's Office has undergone alterations, made through the agency of the W. P. A., which will add greatly to the efficiency of the Necropsy, Pathological and Toxicological Departments. The work of bringing our Toxicological Department into our own building, directly adjacent to that of Post Mortems, is about completed, and the offices are being equipped for occupancy. A beautiful mural, the work of Artist Gottardo Piazzoni, has been completed and installed. This mural has been passed upon and accepted by the San Francisco Art Association. When completely finished and furnished, taken in connection with other most excellent features of the Coroner's Office, San Francisco will have one of the most complete and outstanding offices of its kind in the United States.

#### **Purchasing Department.**

In retrospection of the activities of the Purchasing Department for 1936, both in the units of work handled and in the number of requisitions received, the volume was greater than in 1935.

Notwithstanding this increase the Department has been successful in not only maintaining an efficient service in the interests of all departments, but also a service as economical as possible under the market conditions prevailing during the past year. In the twelve months just closed the total purchases amounted to approximately five millions of dollars, on which the savings to the taxpayers are conservatively estimated to have been \$900,000, because of competent and centralized buying. The Central Warehouse, under the jurisdiction of the Purchaser of Supplies, also contributes to the economies which have been effected. Briefly expressed, the business system of sound buying actuated by the efforts of the Purchasing Department achieve results that are measured in terms of large financial savings.

Municipal buying can be made a most effective instrument of political favor. This is not tolerated for one instant upon the highest standards of ethics in our purchasing procedure. In line with our policy for economical government, continued efforts will be made to realize maximum efficiency and savings by adhering to the sound purchasing methods, demanded by the most exacting corporations outside.

#### **Agricultural Commissioner.**

Inspection of all inter and intrastate shipments of plants, bulbs and seeds, is the responsibility of this Department. During 1936, to November 1st, 1512 shipments of incoming nursery stock, making a total of 12,936 packages, were inspected, with 22 rejections. Nurseries inspected, 82; homes visited at request of owners, 101. Nursery stock and cut flowers shipped, interstate, totaling 4501 packages were inspected, as were 224 shipments of nursery stock, with a total of 389 packages, destined to points outside the United States.

Retail store inspection included 2559 visits, for examination of fruits, vegetables, eggs and honey. Packages reconditioned, 921; dumped, 418; eggs dumped, 390 dozen; honey reconditioned, 10,133 packages; re-marked, 1025.

The wholesale fruit and vegetable market was inspected twice daily. Packages dumped, 16,058; reconditioned, 16,660; for export, 7604 shipments, 470,316 packages. All produce for City institutions was inspected, with 30 rejections.



Despite strike interference, one inspector handled the export inspection work entirely, with a revenue of \$7,500. The total revenue of the office, for the term named, was \$8,500.

### San Francisco-San Mateo Livestock Exposition Buildings.

On August last, the cornerstone of the arena for the holding of horse shows and other events, was laid, with appropriate ceremony. Under two W. P. A. grants, the 54-acre site in Visitacion Valley was graded, roads constructed and the foundations for the arena completed at a cost of \$526,596. A contract has been let for \$640,000 to finish Unit No. 2, a building which will be 300 feet by 400 feet. This amount includes appropriations by San Francisco of \$250,000 and that of the State of California in a like amount, is in the custody of the State Division of Architecture, and this sum, together with \$199,000 from the P. W. A., will be expended as the work progresses, under the contract.

While this unit is designed for the purpose of holding livestock and horse shows, it can be utilized also for large conventions, wrestling matches, circuses, indoor athletics, ice hockey, etc. The arena will seat 10,000 persons around a tanbark ring 225 feet by 145 feet. It will be equal to 10 stories in height, and each patron will be seated in a theatre chair, having an unobstructed view of the ring. The span of this building will be 300 feet without a pillar or post in its interior. It will be 40 feet longer than any other building in the world, without post or pillar. It will reach completion during the coming July.

The trustees of the San Francisco-San Mateo District Agricultural Association, of which C. H. Sooy is President, are quite pleased at the progress already made and believe plans at present projected will assure enough money to carry the plant to completion, without undue delay.

It is the expectation of the trustees to have the entire plant ready for the opening of the Golden Gate International Exposition in 1939, as it is the intention to hold there the livestock, horse show and polo events which are so attractive a part of the program of all great expositions.

### District Attorney.

During the year 1936 this office participated in and conducted 16,200 court hearings; issued 14,850 citations; conducted 13,000 citation hearings; conducted 115 Grand Jury sessions, and collected and turned into the City Treasurer's office in bail money the total sum of \$563,930.

In addition to the above, this office participated in all Coroner's inquests wherein suspicion of crime in connection with deaths was present, attended all meetings of the parole board, all meetings of the traffic committee on safety matters, met with groups of merchants and organizations interested in the various phases of the Unfair Practice Act and prepared and gave instructions and lectures on the same to such groups for the purpose of averting law violations and court prosecutions.

The total cost of conducting the office for the year was \$108,780. I am informed this is lower than that of any other District Attorney's office of comparable size in the United States.

During the year of 1936 more Grand Jury sessions were conducted than perhaps ever before in the City's history. Of the cases handled by the office of the District Attorney during the year 1936, of the 16,200 court hearings, approximately 1000 were felony cases that reached the Superior Court, and approximately 15,000 were misdemeanor cases disposed of in the Municipal Court; approximately 200 were crimes involving children, disposed of in the Juvenile Court.

Of the total number of approximately 14,850 citations issued, 8500 were issued by the Bureau of Domestic Relations of this office, dealing with failure to provide for minor children, indigent wives and aged parents and similar domestic cases; 2000 were issued by the Fraud

Bureau of this office, dealing with crimes of frauds, swindles and violations of the Corporate Securities Act, misleading advertising and various rackets; 4000 were issued by the Warrant and Bond Office dealing with miscellaneous offenses, felony and misdemeanor alike, and with violations of City ordinances; 350 were issued by the deputy in charge of the Juvenile Court work relating particularly to sex offenses and juvenile delinquencies.

Hearings on citations issued were approximately as follows: Bureau of Domestic Relations, 8000; Fraud Bureau, 1500; Warrant and Bond Office, 3500; Juvenile Department, 300.

#### City Attorney.

The report of the City Attorney for the calendar year shows that nine hundred and fourteen actions were commenced against the City: Superior Court, City and County of San Francisco, 803; Municipal Court, 97; United States Court, 5; other jurisdiction, 9.

In addition to the cases pending at the close of last year affecting our water rights on the Tuolumne River, eight additional cases were commenced during the current year. The plaintiffs in all of these cases with the exception of two have not seen fit to bring them to trial. The two cases tried were *Meridian Ltd. v. the City and County*, and *Williams et al. v. the City and County*. Judgment in the first-mentioned case was partly against the City and an appeal has been taken to the Supreme Court from the judgment. In the case last named the City was successful and plaintiffs are preparing to appeal. With the two exceptions noted the water litigation remains unchanged, with approximately sixty cases to be tried.

The Pacific Gas and Electric Company was successful in its litigation prosecuted against the Railroad Commission on gas rates, and an appeal from the decision of the Statutory Federal Court is now pending before the United States Supreme Court.

The City Attorney appeared before the Railroad Commission in the proceeding for reduced electric rates. A reduction of approximately \$4,000,000, spread over the system, was obtained.

The one man car case is pending on appeal before the United States Circuit Court of Appeals.

The City Attorney represented the City in the controversy over interurban trains on the Bay bridge. The controversy has been adjusted in accordance with the suggestions of the Board of Supervisors. The matter of the operation of buses over the Golden Gate Bridge also received the attention of the City Attorney. These matters are still pending before the Railroad Commission.

Sidewalk accidents still continue to be a prolific source of litigation against the City. The City Attorney has, however, been signally successful in defending these actions.

The pending condemnation suits to acquire property for public improvements have kept abreast of the needs of the City.

#### Rapid Transit Hearing.

Supervisor Meyer moved that the Board resume its hearing on the question of rapid transit on January 25, 1937.

*So ordered.*

#### RECESS.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the Board at 7:10 p. m. recessed to reconvene Friday, January 15, 1937, at 10 a. m., as a Committee of the Whole for the purpose of considering Charter amendments.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.



MONDAY, JANUARY 11, 1937.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 18, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 32

No. 3

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Friday, January 15, 1937.

Monday, January 18, 1937

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

FRIDAY, JANUARY 15, 1937, 10 A. M.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess of Monday, January 11, 1937, for the purpose of giving consideration to proposed Charter amendments.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following members were noted present:  
Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy—2.

President Shannon presiding.

Supervisor Brown requested that he be excused at 12:15 p. m.

Supervisor Uhl moved that we recess at 12 noon to meet again at 2 p. m.

*So ordered.*

## CONSIDERATION OF PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENTS.

The following was taken up:

### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —

#### HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 172.1, relating to Health Service System.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County at the special election to be held on the 2nd day of March, 1937, in the City and County of San Francisco, a proposal to amend as herein set forth the Charter by adding thereto a new section to be known as Section 172.1, relating to Health Service System.

#### HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM.

##### Section 172.1:

Subdivision 1. A health service system for municipal employees is hereby established. Said system shall be administered by a board to be known as the Health Service Board. The members of the system shall consist of all employees of the city and county who are members of the retirement system and all teachers and employees of the board of education who are members of said retirement system. Any employee who adheres to the faith or teachings of any recognized religious sect, denomination or organization and, in accordance with its creed, tenets



or principles, depends for healing upon prayer in the practice of religion shall be exempted from the system upon filing annually with the Health Service Board an affidavit stating such adherence and dependence and disclaiming any benefits under the system. The board shall have the power to exempt any person whose annual compensation exceeds forty-five hundred (\$4,500.00) dollars and any person who has otherwise provided for adequate medical care.

Subdivision 2. The Health Service Board shall consist of nine members elected by the members of the system. The first members of the board shall classify themselves by lot so that three shall serve for one year, three for two years and three for three years from and after May 15, 1937. Thereafter the term of office shall be three years. Each member of the board shall give bond in the sum of ten thousand (\$10,000.00) dollars, the premium on which shall be paid out of the funds of the system. Vacancies shall be filled for the unexpired term by a majority vote of the remaining members of the board. Members of the board shall be nominated by a written nomination of twenty members filed with the registrar of voters not earlier than April 1st nor later than April 15th of each year. The registrar of voters shall prepare ballots and shall furnish the same to all members of the system between April 15th and April 25th and shall receive the ballots between April 25th and May 7th and canvass and certify the result on May 8th. The registrar of voters shall have power to make such regulations respecting the form, distribution and canvassing of the ballots as may be necessary to secure secrecy of the ballot and prevent fraud. The persons equal in number to the number to be elected who receive the greatest number of votes shall be declared elected. Not more than one employee of any one department or office may be a member of the board. For the purpose of the first election, all employees eligible for membership in the system shall be deemed members.

Subdivision 3. The board shall have power:

(a) By a two-thirds vote of the entire membership of the board, to adopt a plan or plans for rendering medical care to the members of the system, or for the indemnification of the costs of said care, or for obtaining and carrying insurance against such costs.

(b) To put said plans into effect and to conduct and administer the same and, for all or any of said purposes, to contract therefor and use the funds of the system.

(c) To make rules and regulations for the transaction of its business the granting of exemptions and the admission to the system of persons who are hereby made members thereof and such other officers and employees as may voluntarily become members of the system with the approval of the board.

(d) To appoint a secretary and other employees, who shall hold office at the pleasure of the board, and to fix their respective compensations.

(e) To make provision for the participation in the benefits of the system by the families of members, retired municipal employees and temporary municipal employees, provided that such participation shall be without cost to the city and county.

Subdivision 4. The board shall determine and certify to the con-

roller the amount to be paid monthly by the members of the system to fund for the purposes of the system hereby created. The controller shall deduct said sums from the compensation of the members and shall deposit the same with the treasurer of the city and county to the credit and for the use of the system. Such deductions shall not be deemed to be a reduction of compensation under any provision of this charter. The board shall have control of the administration and investment of the funds, provided that all investments shall be of the character legal for insurance companies in California. Disbursements from the fund shall be made only upon audit by the controller and the controller shall have and exercise the accounting and auditing powers over the funds of the system which are vested in him by this charter with respect to all other municipal boards, officers and commissions.

Subdivision 5. The term "medical care" shall include the services of physicians, surgeons and nurses, hospitalization, medicines and appliances and dental, optical and other medical treatments and services.

Subdivision 6. Members of the system shall have and possess no claim or recourse against any of the funds of the municipality by virtue of the adoption or operation of any plan for rendering medical care, indemnifying costs of said care or carrying insurance against such costs, but the claim and recourse of any such member shall be limited solely to the funds of the system. All expenses of the system shall be borne exclusively by the funds of the system and the city and county shall not appropriate or contribute funds in any manner for the purposes of the system hereby established and provided.

#### Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Schmidt presented the following proposed amendment to be inserted at the end of subdivision 3 (a): Provided that every plan adopted shall provide and require that every member of the system shall have the right to select, without prejudice or discrimination, the duly licensed professional persons and the agencies of his own choice to furnish or supply to such member medical care as defined in this section, under such reasonable and uniform rules and regulations and such schedule or schedules of uniform fees and charges to be paid for such medical care, as shall be adopted by the Board; and that such rules and regulations, and such schedule or schedules of fees and charges shall be adopted annually by the Board of the System and by it submitted to the Retirement Board of The San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System not later than the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ of each year, and said rules and regulations, schedule or schedules of fees or charges may, after hearings by said Retirement Board accorded all interested parties, be amended, modified, increased or decreased by said Retirement Board, and as so submitted or as amended, modified, increased or decreased, must, before such rules and regulations, schedule or schedules shall become effective, be ratified and adopted by the said Retirement Board within \_\_\_\_\_ days after such submission. No exclusive contract shall be made by said Board of the System for furnishing or supplying any part of the medical care, as defined in this section, to the members of the System.

Provided, further, that medical care, as defined in this section, shall not be furnished or supplied to any member of the System by or in any of the public health and hospital facilities of the City and County, except that emergency medical and hospital care may be rendered to any member of the System in the usual course of emergency health service.



### Privilege of the Floor.

Milton Marks, attorney representing Federation of Municipal Employees, was heard in opposition to the proposed amendment. He offered the following amendment in lieu thereof:

"No member of the system shall be required to accept the services of any physician, surgeon, drugless practitioner, dentist, nurse or hospital selected by the Board, but, subject to the rules and regulations of the Board, every member shall have the right to select any duly licensed physician, surgeon, drugless practitioner, dentist, nurse or hospital of his own choice who or which will render the required services pursuant to said rules and regulations and the Board shall make provision for the exercise of such choice."

Supervisor McSheehy, as chairman of the Judiciary Committee, accepted Mr. Marks' proposed amendment on behalf of the Judiciary Committee.

Thereupon, Edw. J. Vandeleur, Harry Caulfield, A. J. Gallagher, representing interests opposed to the Health Service Charter Amendment as recommended by the Judiciary Committee and Cameron King, Harold Boyd and Milton Marks, representing the Federation of Municipal Employees, went into conference to consider amendments proposed by Supervisor Schmidt and Milton Marks with a view to harmonizing differences.

### Action Deferred.

Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved that the Committee of the Whole now recess and reconvene at two p. m. and that the other Charter amendments be taken up at that time, and that this matter (Health Service Amendment) be deferred for future consideration.

*So ordered.*

### RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors, at 12 o'clock noon, took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

---

### REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors, sitting in Committee of the Whole, re-assembled at 2 p. m. for the purpose of giving consideration to proposed Charter amendments.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following members were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy—2.

### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —

The following was taken up:

### HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 172.1, relating to Health Service System.

Milton Marks, representing the Federation of Municipal Employees, presented the following amendment to the proposed Health Service Charter Amendment to be inserted at the end of paragraph (a) sub-

division 3, section 172.1, and stated that it had been agreed upon in conference by all concerned, to-wit:

"No member of the system shall be required to accept the services or medical supplies of any physician, surgeon, drugless practitioner, dentist, nurse, pharmacist or hospital selected by the Board, but subject to the rules and regulations of the Board, every member shall have the right to select of his own choice any duly licensed physician, surgeon, drugless practitioner, dentist, nurse, pharmacist, hospital or other agency of medical care as herein defined, who or which will render the required services pursuant to said rules and regulations and the Board shall make provision for the exercise of such choice, and is hereby expressly prohibited from entering into any exclusive contract for the rendering of said services. Any duly-licensed physician, surgeon, drugless practitioner, dentist, nurse, pharmacist, hospital or other agency of medical care shall have the right to furnish such services or medical supplies at the rates of compensation to be fixed by the Board, provided that such rates and any contract respecting the rendering of such services shall be subject to review by the Retirement Board of the City and County and shall not become effective unless and until approved by the Retirement Board. The Retirement Board shall approve or disapprove the same within sixty (60) days after the same is submitted to it, and failure of the Retirement Board to approve or disapprove the same within said period shall constitute an approval.

"The said Retirement Board is hereby authorized and empowered, and it is hereby made its duty, to exercise the powers and to perform the duties prescribed for it by this section."

#### Other Amendments.

Supervisor McSheehy moved: That subdivision 5, second line thereof, be amended by inserting after the word "nurses", the words, "persons licensed to treat human diseases without the use of drugs."

Also, That subdivision 3 (a), second line, the word "families" be stricken out and the word "dependants" be inserted in lieu thereof.

#### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, further consideration was *deferred until Monday session.*

#### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —

##### POWER OF HEARING, INQUIRY AND SUBPOENA.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend Section 21 of the Charter of the City and County, relating to the power of hearing, inquiry, and subpoena.

Leon Morris, representing the Civic League of Improvement Clubs and Associations, protested the approval of the foregoing proposed Charter amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved to amend by striking out the word "member" in the line next to the last and inserting in lieu thereof the word "committee."

*So ordered.*

#### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, further consideration was *deferred pending recommendations of City Attorney.*

#### Action Deferred.

The following proposed amendments were, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, *laid over for action until the Monday session of the Board, to-wit:*



**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —**  
**CASH RESERVE FUND AND TEMPORARY LOANS.**  
**TAX ANTICIPATION NOTES.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend Section 81 of the Charter of the City and County, relating to cash reserve fund and temporary loans.

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —**  
**POLICE DEPARTMENT.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, by amending Sections 35, 146, 148, and 172 thereof, relating to the Police Department and Patrol Special Police Officers.

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —**  
**POSITIONS.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County, by amending Section 142 of the Charter of the City and County, relating to positions.

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —**  
**OPERATING EXPENSES AND RESERVES.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Sections 127 and 128, relating to Operating Expenses and Reserves, and Depreciation, and to add a new section known as 128-1, Reconstruction and Replacements.

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —**  
**LIMITATION ON SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County by amending Section 111 thereof, relating to limitation on special assessments.

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —**  
**PERMITS AND INSPECTIONS.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County by amending Section 24 of the Charter of the City and County, relating to permits and inspections.

Attorney Devoto was heard in the pending question.

Leon Morris, representing the Civic League of Improvement Clubs and Associations, was heard on the pending amendment.

**Referred to the City Attorney.**

Whereupon, the proposed amendment was *referred to the City Attorney for redrafting.*

**Action Deferred.**

Thereupon, further consideration of the amendment was *deferred until a later session.*

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —****FUTURE MEMBERS OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County by amending Section 168 thereof, relating to future members of the Police Department.

**Amendment.**

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that the last paragraph of Subdivision B, Section 168, be stricken out, to-wit:

Provided further, however, no member of the department, retired under the provisions of this subdivision, shall receive a pension to exceed the percentage of the salary of his Civil Service rank held by him at the date of his retirement.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

**Action Deferred.**

Whereupon, further consideration of the foregoing amendment was *deferred until a later session*.

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —****CITY PLANNING COMMISSION.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend Section 115 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, relating to the City Planning Commission.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County at the special election to be held on the 2nd day of March, 1937, a proposal to amend as herein set forth, Section 115 of the Charter, relating to the City Planning Commission.

**CITY PLANNING COMMISSION.**

Section 115: The City Planning Commission shall consist of five members who shall be elected by the people of the City and County of San Francisco. The terms of the members of the Commission shall be four years from and after 12:00 o'clock, noon, of the first Monday of January succeeding their election. The compensation of the members of said Commission shall be \$15.00 for each meeting of the Commission actually attended by said members provided that the aggregate sum paid all of the members of said Commission shall not exceed \$5,000 per year. No member shall engage in or be interested in the real estate business, insurance brokerage, building material or construction business, electrical business, plumbing or heating business during his incumbency in office.

**Disapproved.**

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the foregoing proposed Charter amendment be disapproved.

*So ordered.*

**Action Deferred.**

The following entitled proposed Charter amendment was *laid over for consideration at a later session, to-wit*:

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —****PUBLIC WELFARE DEPARTMENT.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, by adding a new



section thereto to be known as Section 61 (a), relating to Public Welfare Department.

**Action Deferred.**

The following proposed Charter amendments were presented and *laid over for a later session*:

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —  
CONTROL OF HARBOR.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Sections 47 and 48, and adding a new section thereto be known as Section 48.1, relating to control of harbor.

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —  
CONTRACTORS' WORKING CONDITIONS.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend Section 98 of the Charter of the City and County, relating to contractors' working conditions.

The following entitled proposed Charter amendments were presented by Supervisor Shannon and *ordered printed on next Monday's calendar*.

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —  
NUMBER, COMPENSATION AND MEETINGS OF  
SUPERVISORS.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend Section 10 of the Charter of the City and County, relating to number, compensation and meetings of Supervisors.

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —  
ZONING AND SET-BACK LINES.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend Section 117 of the Charter of the City and County, relating to zoning and set-back lines.

**Committee of the Whole Arises.**

Thereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the Committee of the Whole arose, reported progress and agreed to meeting again for consideration of proposed Charter amendments on Monday, January 18, 1937, at 10 a. m.

**RECESS.**

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors, at 6:15 p. m., took a recess until 10 a. m. Monday, January 18, 1937.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

MONDAY, JANUARY 18, 1937, 10 A. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 10 a. m. pursuant to recess of January 15, 1937, for the purpose of continuing consideration of proposed Charter amendments:

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri—2.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Mead appeared and was noted present at 10:30 a. m.

Supervisor Roncovieri appeared and was noted present at 10:30 a. m.

President Shannon in the Chair.

**Appointment of George R. Reilly to Fill the Unexpired Term of Franck R. Havenner, Resigned.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

January 15, 1937.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Please be advised that by virtue of the authority vested in me in Section 25 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, I have this day appointed George R. Reilly as a member of the Board of Supervisors, vice Franck R. Havenner, resigned.

Very truly yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

### Committee of the Whole.

Thereupon, the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into Committee of the Whole for the purpose of continuing consideration of the following entitled proposed Charter amendments.

All members heretofore noted were present and President Shannon was in the Chair.

### PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENTS.

The following entitled proposed Charter amendments were taken up and on motion of Supervisor McSheehy acted upon as follows:

#### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —

#### PUBLIC WELFARE DEPARTMENT.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 61(a), relating to Public Welfare Department.

*Over temporarily. Minority report to be submitted by Supervisor McSheehy.*

#### CHARTER AMENDMENT No.—

#### HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 172.1, relating to Health Service System.

*Over temporarily.*



**CHARTER AMENDMENT No.—****POWER OF HEARING, INQUIRY AND SUBPOENA.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend Section 21 of the Charter of the City and County, relating to the power of hearing, inquiry and subpoena.

*Over temporarily.*

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —****CASH RESERVE FUND AND TEMPORARY LOANS.  
TAX ANTICIPATION NOTES.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend Section 81 of the Charter of the City and County, relating to cash reserve fund and temporary loans.

*Over temporarily.*

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No.—****POLICE DEPARTMENT and  
COMPENSATION INSURANCE PAYMENTS**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County by amending Sections 35 and 172 of the Charter of the City and County, relating to the Police Department and compensation insurance payments.

*Ordered stricken from calendar on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, subject matter being taken care of in No. 16 on this calendar.*

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —****PERMITS AND INSPECTIONS.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County by amending Section 24 of the Charter of the City and County, relating to permits and inspections.

*Over temporarily.*

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —****POSITIONS.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County, by amending Section 142 of the Charter of the City and County, relating to positions (institutional help).

*Over temporarily.*

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —****POLICE PENSIONS.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County by amending Section 168 thereof, relating to future members of the Police Department (pensions).

A. J. Gallagher, representing K. W. Hextrom, was heard on the proposed Charter amendment.

**Amendments.**

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved as an

amendment that subdivision B, paragraph 2, line 2, after the word "received" insert the words "for 3 years".

That subdivision D, paragraph 2, line 3, after the words "at least", strike out the words "one year" and insert the words "five years"; also, in line 8, same paragraph, after the words "at least" strike out the words "one year" and insert the word "five years".

That subdivision F, paragraph 5, line 3, after the word "his" strike out the words "civil service".

No objection and it was *so ordered*.

#### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the amendment was *laid over temporarily*.

### CHARTER AMENDMENT No.-----

#### OPERATING EXPENSES AND RESERVES

The following proposed Charter amendment, presented without recommendation of the Judiciary Committee, was taken up:

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Sections 127 and 128, relating to Operating Expenses and Reserves, and Depreciation, and to add a new section known as 128-1, Reconstruction and Replacements.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County of San Francisco at the special election to be held on the 2nd day of March, 1937, a proposal to amend Sections 127 and 128 and to add a new section known as 128-1 of the Charter of said City and County, as follows:

#### OPERATING EXPENSES AND RESERVES

Section 127. Receipts from each utility operated by the commission shall be paid into the city and county treasury and maintained in a separate fund for each such utility. Appropriations from such funds shall be made for the following purposes for each such utility in the order named, viz.: (a) for the payment of operating expenses, pension charges, and proportionate payments to such compensation and other insurance and accident reserve funds as the commission may establish or the board of supervisors may require; (b) for repairs and maintenance; (c) for reconstruction and replacements as hereinafter described; (d) for the payment of interest and sinking funds on the bonds issued for acquisition, construction or extensions; (e) for extensions and improvements, and (f) for a surplus fund.

#### DEPRECIATION

Section 128. For the purpose of computing net income, the commission, on the basis of an appraisal of the estimated life and the then current depreciated value of the several classes of property in each utility, shall determine the amount of reasonable annual depreciation for each utility. At least every five years thereafter, the commission shall make an appraisal or may revise the last preceding appraisal of the value and probable useful life of each of the several classes of property of each utility, and shall, on the basis of said appraisal, redetermine the amount of the reasonable annual depreciation for each utility.



## RECONSTRUCTION AND REPLACEMENTS

Section 128-1. For the purpose of providing funds for reconstruction and replacements due to physical and functional depreciation of each of the utilities under the jurisdiction of the commission, the commission must create and maintain a reconstruction and replacement fund for each such utility, sufficient for the purposes mentioned in this section, and in accordance with an established practice for utilities of similar character, which shall be the basis for the amount necessary to appropriate annually to provide for said reconstruction and replacements.

Edw. J. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, and Harry Ross, Chief Assistant Controller, were heard in explanation of the proposed amendment.

### Amendment.

Supervisor Uhl moved to amend Section 128-1, fifth line, after the word "utility" insert the words "during the year 1937-38 and at least every five years".

No objection, *so ordered*.

### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, further action was *deferred temporarily*.

## CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ———

### LIMITATION ON SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS

The following proposed Charter amendment, presented without recommendation of the Judiciary Committee, was taken up:

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County by amending Section 111 thereof, relating to limitation on special assessments.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the special election to be held on the 2nd day of March, 1937, a proposal to amend the Charter of the City and County, as follows:

### LIMITATION ON SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS

Section 111. A special assessment shall not exceed fifty per cent of the assessed value, at the time of inception of the proceedings leading to the assessment, of the land on which the assessment is levied, except when it is authorized to be paid in installments over a period not to exceed ten years, upon such terms and conditions and in such manner as may be provided by law; but no such installment shall exceed twenty-five per cent of such assessed value; and not more than two installments shall be payable annually. It may be required by law, as a condition precedent to the right to pay a special assessment in installments, that the owner of the land subject to the assessment execute a bond for the payment of the assessment. A lien on the land subject to the assessment and a method or methods of foreclosing such lien and of selling the land subject to the lien and of collecting the assessment in any other way may

e provided for by ordinance. Every ordinance now in effect, conforming with section 107 of this charter, and containing provisions conforming with this section as amended is hereby confirmed, subject to repeal or amendment.

Grover O'Connor, representing the Associated General Contractors, was heard in explanation. He suggested that the word "annually" in Section 111, eighth line, be stricken out and the words "in any one year" be inserted in lieu thereof.

#### Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Meyer, moved that the Charter amendment be so amended.

#### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, further consideration of the amendment was *deferred temporarily*.

### CHARTER AMENDMENT No.—

#### CITY PLANNING COMMISSION.

The following proposed Charter amendment, presented without recommendation of the Judiciary Committee, was taken up:

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend Section 115 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, relating to the City Planning Commission.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County at the special election to be held on the 2nd day of March, 1937, a proposal to amend as herein set forth, Section 115 of the Charter, relating to the City Planning Commission.

#### CITY PLANNING COMMISSION.

Section 115: The City Planning Commission shall consist of five members who shall be elected by the people of the City and County of San Francisco. The terms of the members of the Commission shall be four years from and after 12:00 o'clock, noon, of the first Monday of January succeeding their election. The compensation of the members of said Commission shall be \$15.00 for each meeting of the Commission actually attended by said members provided that the aggregate sum paid all of the members of said Commission shall not exceed \$5,000.00 per year. No member shall engage in or be interested in the real estate business, insurance brokerage, building material or construction business, electrical business, plumbing or heating business during his incumbency in office.

#### Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the proposed amendment be refused submission.

#### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, further consideration of the proposed Charter amendment was *deferred temporarily*.



**CHARTER AMENDMENT No.———**  
**NUMBER, COMPENSATION AND MEETINGS OF**  
**SUPERVISORS**

The following proposed Charter amendment, presented without recommendation of the Judiciary Committee, was taken up:

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend Section 10 of the Charter of the City and County, relating to number, compensation and meetings of Supervisors.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the special election to be held on the 2nd day of March, 1937, a proposal to amend the Charter of the City and County by amending Section 10 thereof, relating to number, compensation and meetings of Supervisors.

**NUMBER, COMPENSATION AND MEETINGS OF**  
**SUPERVISORS**

Amend Section 10 to read as follows:

Section 10. The board of supervisors shall consist of eleven members elected at large. Each member of the board shall be paid a salary of four thousand (\$4,000) dollars per year, and each shall execute an official bond to the city and county in the sum of five thousand (\$5,000) dollars.

At twelve o'clock noon on the 8th day of January next following their election, the newly elected and continuing members of the board of supervisors shall meet at the legislative chamber in the city hall, and thereafter regular meetings shall be held as fixed by resolution. The supervisors constituting the new board shall, on January 8, 1932, and every second year thereafter, elect one of their number as president of the board for a two-year term. The president shall preside at all meetings, shall appoint all standing and special committees of the board and shall have such other powers and duties as the supervisors may provide.

The meetings of the board shall be held in the city hall, provided that, in case of emergency, the board, by resolution may designate some other appropriate place as its temporary meeting place. The board shall cause a calendar of the business scheduled for each meeting to be published and shall keep and publish a journal of its proceedings. Notice of any special meeting shall be published at least twenty-four hours in advance of such special meeting.

**Motion.**

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Meyer, moved approval of the foregoing Charter amendment.

**Action Deferred.**

Whereupon, further consideration of the foregoing Charter amendment was deferred temporarily.

**Postponed.**

Consideration of the following proposed Charter amendments was *deferred until the afternoon session*:

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —  
CONTRACTORS' WORKING CONDITIONS.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend Section 98 of the Charter of the City and County, relating to contractors' working conditions.

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —  
ZONING AND SET-BACK LINES.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend Section 117 of the Charter of the City and County, relating to zoning and set-back lines.

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —  
CONTROL OF HARBOR.**

The following proposed Charter amendment was taken up:

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Sections 47 and 48, and adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 48.1, relating to control of harbor.

A. J. Gallagher, representing the Southern Promotion Association, suggested that number of members of the commission be reduced from five to three, that the salary of the Harbor Master be increased, and that one of the members on the Board be one who represents the agricultural interests of the State. This, he thought, would be necessary if we hoped to get the support of the out of town legislators for the measure.

**Action Deferred.**

Whereupon, further consideration was *deferred until the afternoon session*.

**Action Deferred.**

The following matter was *laid over until the afternoon session*:

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —  
POLICE DEPARTMENT.**

(This amendment has been prepared by the City Attorney as directed by the Charter Revision Committee. It is not in exact accord with the amendment prepared by the Police Department Survey Committee, which last mentioned amendment will be submitted by the Survey Committee to the Board of Supervisors.)

**Committee Arises.**

Whereupon, the Committee of the Whole arose and reported progress.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

Thereupon, the Board of Supervisors at the hour of 12 noon adjourned to meet again at 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.



**MONDAY, JANUARY 18, 1937, 2 P. M.**

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 18, 1937,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

**CALLING THE ROLL.**

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

Immediately after roll call the Clerk presented letter from Mayor appointing Geo. R. Reilly to the office of Supervisor to fill the unexpired term of Franck R. Havenner, resigned.

**APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.**

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of January 4, 7, and 11, 1937, were considered read and approved.

**Supervisor Reilly Inducted Into Office.**

Upon completion of the roll call, Supervisor George R. Reilly appointed by his Honor the Mayor to fill the unexpired term of Franck R. Havenner, recently elected to Congress, was presented to the Board of Supervisors and the audience in a congratulatory address which expressed the pleasure of President Shannon at the Mayor's happy selection and the hope that the appointee's incumbency as a Supervisor would redound to his own and the City's honor and advantage.

Frank Huedsch, past national president, Laundry Owners' Association, was also heard at length expressing his pleasure at the appointment of Supervisor Reilly. He paid a high tribute to the character, energy and ability of the new appointee.

Supervisor McSheehy, in a congratulatory address, was also heard in praise of the Mayor's appointee.

Supervisor Reilly was surrounded by members of his family, his mother, who was presented to the Board, and a host of friends. Numerous floral offerings from admirers of the new Supervisor filled the Supervisors' enclosure.

Whereupon, Supervisor Reilly was duly declared inducted into office.

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS.**

None.

**NEW BUSINESS.****Passed for Second Reading.**

The following bill was taken up:

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$9,700 for Purchase of Property  
in Marina Boulevard for Golden Gate Bridge Approach.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1216, Ordinance No. 9.051345, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of ninety-seven hundred dollars (\$9,700) from the unappropriated surplus in the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund for the fiscal year 1936-1937 to the credit of Appropriation No. 677.909.00 for the purchase of property in Marina boulevard between Baker and Lyon streets necessary for the Marina approach to the Golden Gate Bridge.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the unappropriated surplus in the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund for the fiscal year 1936-1937 the sum of ninety-seven hundred dollars (\$9,700), to the credit of Appropriation No. 677.909.00, for the purchase of property in Marina boulevard between Baker and Lyon streets necessary for the Marina approach to the Golden Gate Bridge.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following resolutions were taken up:

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Widening of Nineteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 3035, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain parcels of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 648.914.14.1, Project No. 5:

Fred P. Suttman, et ux., portion of Lot 21, Assessor's Block 2347..	\$2,790
Peter Martens, portion of Lot 28, Assessor's Block 2055.....	2,725
Catherine Fisher, portion of Lot 3-C, Assessor's Block 2419....	2,600

The above sums include damages in full to the improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within 30 days after recording the deeds to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for a particular description of said parcels of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Purchase of Lands for San Jose Avenue; Also Otis and McCoppin Streets.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3036, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Market Street Railway Company, the following described parcels of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 648.990.17:

Lot 2, Assessor's Block 6777, San Jose avenue at Diamond street..	\$175
Portion of Lots 29 and 30, Assessor's Block 3504, Otis and McCoppin streets .....	126

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property for particular descriptions of said parcels of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Richardson Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3037, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the below named parties certain parcels of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Richardson avenue, for the following amounts of money, payable from Appropriation No. 648.914.14.1, Project No. 4½:

Carl E. Widing, et ux., portion of Lot 6, Assessor's Block 932, together with improvements on said Lot 6.....\$15,000  
John Allard, Jr., et al., portion of Lot 4, Assessor's Block 934.. 3,000

The above sum of \$3,000 includes damages to the improvements now located on Lot 4, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within thirty days after recording the deed to the City. Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property for particular descriptions of said parcels of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Tax Refunds, Erroneous and Duplicate Payments.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 3038, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, 1936-1937, to the hereinafter named; being refunds of amounts paid in duplicate for taxes, to-wit:

1. Zita McKeon, erroneous payment, Vol. 16, Bill 2827, Lot 32, Block 2188, First Installment, Fiscal Year 1936.....\$ 14.19
2. James A. Mahoney, duplicate payment, Vol. 14, Bill 1385, Lot 25, Block 1827, First Installment, Fiscal Year 1936.... 26.46
3. Styleta Hoffman, erroneous payment, Vol. 6, Bill 1407, Lot 14, Block 949, First Installment, Fiscal Year 1936..... 93.65
4. Milton H. Lees, erroneous payment, Vol. 6, Bills 1402, 1400, Lots 9-B and 9, Block 949, First Installment, Fiscal Year 1936 ..... 139.06
5. Harry A. Roesler, erroneous payment, Vol. 7, Bill 27, Lot 29, Block 1039, First Installment, Fiscal Year 1936..... 98.01
6. Frans W. Johnson, erroneous payment, Vol. 8, Bill 2636, Lot 13, Block 1287, First Installment, Fiscal Year 1936.... 21.57
7. Rudolph Zimmerman, erroneous payment, Vol. 9, Bill 113, Lot 2, Block 1339, Both Installments, Fiscal Year 1936.... 639.88
8. Philip Kolar, erroneous payment, Vol. 25, Bill 620, Lot 21, Block 3642, First Installment, Fiscal Year 1936..... 53.92
9. The San Francisco Bank, erroneous payment, Vol. 5, Bill 1412, Lot 20, Block 773, \$329.78; Vol. 8, Bill 2940, Lot 10, Block 1305, \$461.65; Vol. 11, Bill 1729, Lot 16-I, Block 1572, \$56.76; Vol. 13, Bill 2998, Lot 49, Block 1780, \$33.11; Vol. 14, Bill 1639, Lot 6, Block 1833, \$17.03; Vol. 15, Bill 2618, Lot 4, Block 2028, \$9.46; Vol. 23, Bill 2568, Lot 40, Block 3569, \$363.45; Vol. 42, Bill 1916, Lot 45, Block 6968, \$29.14; all First Installment, Fiscal Year 1936 ..... 1,300.38
10. Victor Perazzo, erroneous payment, Vol. 32, Bill 1027, Lot 15-D, Block 5343, First Installment, Fiscal Year 1936.. 33.49
11. Theresa Herman, erroneous payment, Vol. 32, Bill 476, Lot 7, Block 5320, First Installment, Fiscal Year 1936.... 4.73

12. Mergenthaler Linotype Co., erroneous payment, Vol. 2, Lot 11/12, Block 227, Tangible Personal Property overassessed \$30,000 . . . . . 1,135.20

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land for Upper Noe Valley Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 3039, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from John Cattich and Nancy J. Cattich, his wife, Lot 41, Assessor's Block 6638, San Francisco, State of California, required for the Upper Noe Valley Playground, for the sum of \$3,000, payable from Appropriation No. 613.600.02. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Approving Project Statement, Funston Avenue Bridge Approach.**

(Code No. 12.111)

Also, Resolution No. 3040, as follows:

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby approve Project Statement covering portion of the State Highway System upon which, in its regular order, moneys allocated by the State out of the One-Quarter Cent Gas Tax State Highway Improvement Fund shall be expended in an amount not to exceed one-third of the cost of the construction of the Funston avenue approach road in the Presidio to the Golden Gate Bridge; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Chief Administrative Officer be and he is hereby authorized and requested to execute the foregoing project statement for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco and transmit it to the District Engineer of the State Department of Public Works.

*Supervisor Roncovieri in favor of four-lane highway; opposed to City paying one-third of cost thereof.*

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Motion to Reconsider.**

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced, Supervisor McSheehy changed his vote and moved reconsideration for next meeting.

**Explanation of Vote.**

Supervisor Roncovieri: I desire that the printed record of this Board shall show that I am voting NO on this resolution approving the expenditure of San Francisco funds to pay one-third of the cost of the Funston avenue approach to the Golden Gate Bridge, said one-third amounting approximately to \$600,000 of the moneys belonging to the City and County of San Francisco, for the following reasons:

1. Because a bond issue for \$35,000,000 was approved by a two-thirds' majority of the electors of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway Dis-



strict with the distinct understanding as expressed to the voters of San Francisco on the sample ballot submitted to the people on November 4, 1930, that the \$35,000,000 would cover and include not only the building of the Golden Gate Bridge but also connecting roads, approaches and appurtenances leading to the bridge; and

2. Because no financial statement has yet been rendered by the Golden Gate Bridge Directorate to show that the Directors have completely used, or will find it necessary to use, all of the \$35,000,000 and are now entirely without funds, and, therefore, are or will be, unable to meet the obligation placed upon them by two-thirds of the voters who authorized the issuance of the \$35,000,000, in bonds to build the bridge and connecting roads, approaches, and appurtenances to the bridge; and

3. Because the additional money amounting to nearly \$600,000 proposed to be appropriated (in addition to the \$35,000,000 bond issue), belongs to the people of San Francisco and is earmarked distinctly for San Francisco street improvement purposes; and, therefore, should not be diverted under any pretext whatsoever from its original purpose in order to pay for the errors in judgment and foresight of those whose responsibility is, and has always been, from the beginning, to build the bridge and provide for the connecting roads, approaches and appurtenances thereto, as promised to the people when they were induced to vote a bond issue amounting to \$35,000,000.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was taken up:

#### Authorizing Sale of Certain City Land.

(Code No. 12.1729)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1217, Ordinance No. 12.17297, as follows:

Authorizing sale of City land.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Director of Property, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is not under the administration of any department of said corporation:

PARCEL 1: Lot 8, in Block 44, as shown on map entitled Map of University Mound Survey, recorded May 1, 1863, in Book of Maps 2A and B, at page 43, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

PARCEL 2: Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Colby street, formerly College street, distant thereon 100 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Olmstead street and running thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line 75 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 75 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet to the point of beginning.

Being the southeasterly 75 feet of Lot 6 in Block 61 as said lot and block is shown on Map entitled "Map of University Mound Survey," recorded May 1, 1863, in Book of Maps 2A and B, at page 43, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

PARCEL 3: Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Colby street, formerly College street, distant thereon 100 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Olmstead street and running

thence southeasterly along said northeasterly line 100 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Block 115 as shown on Map entitled, "University Homestead Extension Association," recorded February 28, 1868, in Book of Maps "C and D," page 61, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

PARCEL 4: Beginning at the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of Holyoke street with the northwesterly line of Olmstead street and running thence northeasterly along said northwesterly line 150 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 90 feet to the southwesterly line of Somerset street, formerly Williams street; thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line 37.50 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 37.50 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet to the aforementioned northeasterly line of Holyoke street; thence at a right angle southeasterly along said northeasterly line 100 feet to the point of beginning.

Being the southeasterly 37.50 feet of Lot 3 fronting on Somerset street and the southwesterly 30 feet of Lots 4 and 5 fronting on Olmstead street, and all of Lots 10 and 11 in Block 7 as said lots and block are shown on maps entitled "Maps of the Lands of the Paul Tract Homestead Association," recorded May 16, 1871, in Book of Maps "C and D," at page 49, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

PARCEL 5: Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Holyoke street with the northwesterly line of Olmstead street and running thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line 200 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 60 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 100 feet to the aforesaid line of Olmstead street; thence at a right angle northeasterly along said line of Olmstead street 60 feet to the point of beginning.

Being Lots 3 and 4 and the northeasterly one-half of Lots 5 and 6 of Block 6 as said lots and blocks are shown on Map entitled "Map of the Lands of the Paul Tract Homestead Association," recorded May 16, 1871, in Book of Maps "C and D," page 49, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

PARCEL 6: Lots 1, 2, 8 and the northwesterly 25 feet (facing on Hamilton street) of Lot 9, all in Block 12, as said lots and block are shown on Map entitled "Map of the Lands of the Paul Tract Homestead Association," recorded May 16, 1871, in Book of Maps "C and D," page 49, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

PARCEL 7: Lot 60 feet on Ward street by 100 feet on Goettingen street, being the southwesterly one-half of Lot 12, in Block 25, as said lot and block numbers are shown on Map entitled "Map of the Lands of the Paul Tract Homestead Association," recorded May 16, 1871, in Book of Maps "C and D," page 49, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**Adopted.**

The following resolution was taken up:

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Longfellow Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 3041, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Sam Soutter and Emma Soutter, his wife, Lot 7, Assessor's Block 6474, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Longfellow Playground, for the sum of \$2,500, payable from Appropriation No. 613.600.06. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.****Leave of Absence—Hon. Edgar Walter, Member of the Art  
Commission.**

The following recommendation of his Honor the Mayor was taken up:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3043, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Edgar Walter, member of the Art Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of 60 days, after January 12, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Calling a Special Election to Be Held in the City and County of San Francisco for the Purpose of Voting on Certain Amendments to the Charter of the City and County Heretofore Referred to the Electors as Well as for the Purpose of Voting Upon Such Ordinances as May Hereafter Be Initiated or Referred to the Electors in the Manner Provided by Law.**

(Code No. 3.02)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 3042, as follows:

Whereas, certain amendments to the Charter of the City and County have been by this Board of Supervisors referred to the electors of the City and County; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County does hereby call a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the ninth day of March, 1937, for the purpose of voting upon certain amendments to the Charter of the City and County heretofore submitted to the electors thereof, as well as for the purpose of voting upon such ordinances as may be proposed to said electors under the initiative provisions of the Charter or under the referendum powers of the Board of Supervisors or of the electors of said City and County; and be it

Further Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby proclaim the holding of the said election for the above-mentioned pur-

poses, as hereinbefore set forth, and does hereby direct that the Registrar of Voters take all necessary steps and give all necessary notices to the end that said election may be held as hereinbefore set forth.

Resolution No. 3033 is hereby repealed.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### **Financial Statement of Golden Gate Bridge Bond Expenditures Requested.**

(Code No. 12.111)

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. 3044, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Directors of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District inform the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco how much money they have on hand out of the \$35,000,000 bond issue voted by the people for the purpose of building the Golden Gate Bridge with connecting roads, approaches and appurtenances to the same, and whether or not there will exist any surplus in said sum after the construction of the bridge, together with said roads, approaches and appurtenances, and if it is anticipated that a surplus will exist, what is the estimated amount thereof.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### **Committee of the Whole.**

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole for the purpose of giving consideration to proposed Charter Amendments.

All members heretofore noted being present and President Shannon in the Chair.

### **CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —**

Recommendation of Judiciary Committee.

### **PUBLIC WELFARE DEPARTMENT.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 61 (a), relating to Public Welfare Department.

### **Privilege of the Floor.**

J. Canepa, declared that he represented 75 per cent of the employees involved in the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee set-up in Clerks, Stenographers, Social Workers, etc., and that they had not been given any consideration in the drafting of the proposed amendment.

By direction of the Board, on motion duly made and *carried*, Mr. Canepa was sent to attend conference then in session in the City Attorney's office considering said proposed Charter Amendment re Public Welfare Department.

Florence McAuliffe, Chairman Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, was also heard who urged blanketing in of some ninety employees of the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee who during the past four years had given faithful and efficient service.

### **Proposed Amendment.**

Supervisor McSheehy, in lieu of a minority report, moved to amend



by striking out in section 7, line 14, beginning with the words, "any person who has served, etc." to the end of the paragraph, eliminating employees of the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee from the benefits of the proposed amendment.

#### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the foregoing entitled Charter Amendment was laid over temporarily pending result of conference in City Attorney's office.

### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —

Recommendation of Health Committee.

#### HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 172.1, relating to Health Service System.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County at the special election to be held on the 2nd day of March, 1937, in the City and County of San Francisco, a proposal to amend as herein set forth the Charter by adding thereto a new section to be known as Section 172.1, relating to Health Service System.

#### Proposed Amendments.

The following amendments were presented by Milton Marks, attorney representing municipal employees, which amendments had been agreed upon by himself representing municipal employees and representatives of the County Medical Society, the hospitals and others interested, to-wit:

##### Amendment No. 1.

In Subdivision 3 (a) on page 3 of the Calendar of January 18, 1937, in the sentence beginning "any duly licensed physician" strike out the words "at the rates" and substitute the words "at uniform rates."

##### Amendment No. 2.

In Subdivision 5 after the word "services" at the end of the first sentence, add the following:

"All acts performed and services rendered under the provisions of this section shall be performed in accordance with the provisions as to professional conduct prescribed by the statutes of the State of California, regulating such professional conduct and services."

#### Action Deferred.

Thereupon, further consideration of the foregoing was *deferred temporarily*.

#### Approved.

The following proposed Charter Amendments recommended by the Judiciary Committee were taken up and on motion of Supervisor McSheehy acted upon as follows:

### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —

#### POWER OF HEARING, INQUIRY AND SUBPOENA.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend Section 21 of the Charter of the City and County, relating to the power of hearing, inquiry, and subpoena.

*Approved by submission.*

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —**

As submitted by Mr. O'Toole, December 8, 1936.

**CASH RESERVE FUND AND TEMPORARY LOANS.  
TAX ANTICIPATION NOTES.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend Section 81 of the Charter of the City and County, relating to cash reserve fund and temporary loans.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the special election to be held on the 9th day of March, 1937, a proposal to amend the Charter of the City and County, by amending Section 81 thereof, relating to cash reserve fund and temporary loans.

**CASH RESERVE FUND AND TEMPORARY LOANS.  
TAX ANTICIPATION NOTES.**

Section 81. The board of supervisors, by annual tax levy, may gradually build up the cash reserve fund authorized and created by the provisions of section 80 of this charter. Said fund shall be used exclusively (1) for the payment in any fiscal year of legally budgeted expenditures for such year in anticipation of the collection, after the close of such fiscal year, of legally collectible taxes and other revenues, as set forth in the budget and the appropriation ordinance for such fiscal year, and (2) for paying that portion of the authorized expenses of the city and county for any fiscal year, which, as certified to said board by the controller, becomes due and payable and must be paid prior to the receipt of tax payments for such fiscal year; provided, that such cash reserve fund shall not at any time exceed the estimated expenditures for the first five months of the then current fiscal year, less the amount of estimated revenues and receipts from sources other than tax rate revenues.

In the event that funds are not available in such a cash reserve fund to meet authorized expenditures of any fiscal year, the board of supervisors, on the recommendation of the controller and the mayor, and the written approval of the officer, board or commission responsible for the management and control of the fund from which it is proposed that the temporarily idle balances be transferred or loaned may, by ordinance, authorize the treasurer to make temporary transfers or loans for specified periods of idle unencumbered balances in any fund in his custody, except a pension fund, at not less than the then current rate of interest paid by the banks to the city and county on city and county funds deposited with such banks. Such approval by the officer, board or commission concerned shall specify that the amount proposed to be transferred or loaned from such fund will not be needed for the purpose of such fund prior to the date specified for its return. The fund from which such transfer or loan is made shall be charged or encumbered with the amount of such transfer or loan and such amount shall not be considered as available in such fund for any other appropriation or encumbrance for which any expenditures or payments must be made prior to the date on which the transfer or loan is repaid. Any transfer



or loan made as herein authorized during the first half of any fiscal year shall be repaid prior to the first day of January of said year, and any transfer or loan made during the remaining one-half of said fiscal year shall be repaid prior to the 15th day of May of said year. Such loan shall be secured by and made solely in anticipation of the collection of taxes levied or to be levied for the current fiscal year, and such loan shall constitute the first demand on and shall be repaid from the first tax collections for such current fiscal year; provided, however, that tax anticipation loans made as hereinafter in this section authorized, shall constitute a prior lien on said taxes levied or to be levied or collected.

When funds shall be needed for the immediate requirements of the city and county in any fiscal year in accordance with appropriation made as authorized by this charter for such fiscal year, which payment may be made in advance of the receipt of income from such fiscal year, and when funds therefore cannot be made available as hereinbefore in this section authorized, the board of supervisors, on the recommendation of the controller and the approval of such recommendation by the mayor shall have power to borrow money on notes or other evidences of indebtedness on behalf of the city and county. Said power shall be exercised by ordinance or ordinances authorizing the borrowing of said money and the execution of said notes or other evidences of indebtedness. The aggregate amount of such notes or other evidences of indebtedness outstanding and unpaid at any one time during any part of the fiscal year in which said borrowing is made shall not be in excess of 25 per cent of the estimated aggregate amount of all taxes actually levied for such fiscal year. All such notes or other evidences of indebtedness shall be offered at public sale by the board of supervisors after not less than two days of advertising, not less than three days after the last day on which such advertising is published. Each such sale shall be made to the bidder offering the lowest rate of interest or whose bid represents the lowest net cost to the city and county; provided, however, that the rate of interest to be paid shall not exceed the sum of six (6) per cent per annum, and full authority is hereby given to said board of supervisors to fix, by resolution, the rate of interest on said notes or other evidences of indebtedness and the times and places where the principal sum of said notes or other evidences of indebtedness shall be paid. The principal amount of said notes or other evidences of indebtedness, together with the interest thereon, issued and delivered under authority of this section shall be payable exclusively out of the taxes levied and collected by said city and county for the fiscal year during which the same are issued, and shall constitute a first lien and charge against the taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year in which said money shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes; and the amount of taxes so levied and collected shall be applied to the payment of said notes or other evidences of indebtedness before any part thereof is used for any other purpose; provided, however, that taxes levied for the payment of principal of, or interest on, any bonded indebtedness of said city and county now outstanding or hereafter created shall be applied to the purpose for which such taxes were levied, unless the money borrowed by such notes or other evidences of indebtedness issued against such

tax levies is in fact applied to the payment of the principal and interest of such bonded indebtedness. If at the time said notes or other evidences of indebtedness, or any of them, become due and payable the funds in the city treasury available for the payment thereof shall be insufficient for the payment in full of all of said notes or other evidences of indebtedness then outstanding, such funds shall be applied pro rata to the payment of the principal and interest of all of the notes or other evidences of indebtedness then issued and outstanding without preference or priority of any one note over any other by reason of prior issuance, or otherwise. Any of said notes or other evidences of indebtedness not paid prior to June 30 of the fiscal year during which the same are issued shall, nevertheless, be paid out of moneys received from the taxes of the said fiscal year, irrespective of the date of the receipt thereof, it being the intent and purpose of this section to provide for the payment of all notes or other evidences of indebtedness issued under authority of this section out of the taxes levied for the fiscal year during which said notes or other evidences of indebtedness are issued irrespective of the actual date of the collection of said taxes.

The board of supervisors shall have full power and authority to provide for the for of all notes or other evidences of indebtedness issued by authority of this section, as well as to fix the time and place for the payment of oth the principal amount of said notes or other evidences of indebtedness and the interest to become due thereon; provided that all notes or other evidences of indebtedness issued for oney borrowed during the first half of any fiscal year shall be payable not later than December 31 of said year; and all notes or other evidences of indebtedness issued for money borrowed during the second half of any fiscal year shall be payable not later than May 15 of such year, it being the intent and purpose of this section that the borrowing of money under authority hereof shall be solely for the purpose of anticipating receipt of income. The mayor in preparing the consolidated budget estimate as provided by this charter, shall include therein a separate amount sufficient to meet the interest to be paid on any moneys borrowed under authority of this section.

*Approved for submission.*

#### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —

##### POLICE DEPARTMENT and

##### COMPENSATION INSURANCE PAYMENTS.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County by amending Sections 35 and 172 of the Charter of the City and County, relating to the Police Department and compensation insurance payments.

*Stricken from Calendar—taken care of in Calendar No. 16. Police Department reorganization:*

#### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —

##### PERMITS AND INSPECTIONS.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County by amending Section 24 of the Charter of the City and County, relating to permits and inspections.

*Approved for submission.*



### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. — POSITIONS.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County, by amending Section 142 of the Charter of the City and County, relating to positions (*Institutional help*).

Dr. Geiger was heard in explanation of his position in the matter. He referred to a letter filed with the Judiciary Committee, which was read by the Clerk. He further explained by saying that he had no objection to blanketing into civil service of the "institutional help" of the San Francisco Hospital, provided there is no increase in salary at this time.

Whereupon, the proposed amendment was *approved for submission*.

### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. — FUTURE MEMBERS OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County by amending Section 168 thereof, relating to future members of the Police Department.

Ralph Nelson, actuary for the Retirement System, was heard on motion of Supervisor Colman and declared that he had made no actuarial report as to the cost to the taxpayers of the proposed amendment, that he had been asked for an estimate about three weeks ago, and that it would take at least a month to prepare a proper report. He estimated roughly that it would mean an additional cost for current service of from \$250,000 to \$300,000 for prior service, approximately \$60,000 and possibly \$175,000 to \$200,000.

Whereupon, the proposed Charter Amendment was *laid over temporarily*.

### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —

The following proposed Charter Amendments presented without recommendation from Judiciary Committee were taken up:

#### OPERATING EXPENSES AND RESERVES.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Sections 127 and 128, relating to Operating Expenses and Reserves, and Depreciation, and to add a new section known as 128-1, Reconstruction and Replacements.

*Over temporarily.*

### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. — LIMITATION ON SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County by amending Section 111 thereof, relating to limitation on special assessments.

Wm. Coughlin, representing the Associated General Contractors, was heard in explanation.

Thereupon, the foregoing proposed Charter Amendment was *laid over temporarily*.

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —****CITY PLANNING COMMISSION.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend Section 115 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, relating to the City Planning Commission.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County at the special election to be held on the 2nd day of March, 1937, a proposal to amend as herein set forth, Section 115 of the Charter, relating to the City Planning Commission.

**CITY PLANNING COMMISSION.**

Section 115: The City Planning Commission shall consist of five members who shall be elected by the people of the City and County of San Francisco. The terms of the members of the Commission shall be four years from and after 12:00 o'clock, noon, of the first Monday of January succeeding their election. The compensation of the members of said Commission shall be \$15.00 for each meeting of the Commission actually attended by said members provided that the aggregate sum paid all of the members of said Commission shall not exceed \$5,000.00 per year. No member shall engage in or be interested in the real estate business, insurance brokerage, building material or construction business, electrical business, plumbing or heating business during his incumbency in office.

Supervisor McSheehy declared that the foregoing proposed Charter Amendment was presented by Mr. J. Sweeny, but had been refused recommendation by the Judiciary Committee. He, thereupon, moved that matter be not submitted.

*Over temporarily.*

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —**

The following proposed Charter Amendment, heretofore presented by Supervisor Shannon and recommended by the Judiciary Committee, was taken up:

**NUMBER, COMPENSATION AND MEETINGS OF SUPERVISORS.**

Amend Section 10 to read as follows:

Section 10. The Board of Supervisors shall consist of eleven members elected at large. Each member of the Board shall be paid a salary of four thousand (\$4,000) dollars per year, and each shall execute an official bond to the City and County in the sum of five thousand (\$5,000) dollars.

At twelve o'clock noon on the 8th day of January next following their election, the newly elected and continuing members of the Board of Supervisors shall meet at the legislative chamber in the City Hall, and thereafter regular meetings shall be held as fixed by resolution. The Supervisors constituting the new Board shall, on January 8, 1932, and every second year thereafter, elect one of their number as President of the Board for a two-year term. The President shall preside at all meetings, shall appoint all standing and special committees of the Board and shall have such other powers and duties as the Supervisors may provide.

The meetings of the Board shall be held in the City Hall, provided that, in case of emergency, the Board, by resolution, may designate some other appropriate place as its temporary meeting place. The Board shall cause a calendar of the business scheduled for each meeting to be published and shall keep and publish a journal of its proceedings. Notice of any special meeting shall be published at least twenty-four hours in advance of such special meeting.

*Over temporarily.*



**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —  
CONTRACTORS' WORKING CONDITIONS.**

Describing and setting forth to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend Section 98 of the Charter of the City and County, relating to contractors' working conditions (affording 10 per cent preferential for local manufacturers).

*Approved for submission and laid over temporarily.*

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —  
ZONING AND SET-BACK LINES.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend Section 117 of the Charter of the City and County, relating to zoning and set-back lines.

*Over temporarily.*

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —  
CONTROL OF HARBOR.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Sections 47 and 48, and adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 48.1, relating to control of harbor.

*Over temporarily.*

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —  
RECOMMENDATION OF JUDICIARY COMMITTEE.  
POLICE DEPARTMENT.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, by amending Sections 35, 146, 148, 172, relating to Police Pensions.

A. J. Gallagher suggested amendment of first four lines of last paragraph on page 19 of the Calendar.

Wm. Henderson, representing Police Department Survey and Committee.

Wm. Nanry, representing Municipal Research Bureau, was heard in opposition to the proposed amendment.

Harold Boyd, representing Federation of Municipal Employees, declared that the essential point in his view was the recognition of the merit system regarding the removal of assistant inspectors.

**Proposed Amendments.**

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved to amend the last paragraph, line 13, after the words "captain of traffic" insert the words "and a Superintendent of the Division of Identification"; also, in line 5, after the words, "bureau of special services from the rank of sergeant or higher" insert the words "a superintendent of the division of identification and assistant superintendent of the division of identification"; also, Calendar page 20, second paragraph, line 8, after the words "bureau of special services" insert the words "superintendent of bureau of identification" and after the word "inspectors" at the end of the same line insert the words "and assistant superintendent of the division of identification".

**Communication.**

A letter from the Board of Police Commissioners requesting elimination of salary increases in case it was that it imperiled the approval of the Charter Amendment was read by the Clerk.

Whereupon, further action was *deferred until the evening session.*

**Committee of the Whole Arises.**

Whereupon, the Committee of the Whole arose and reported progress.

**RECESS.**

Thereupon, the Board, at 6:05 p. m., recessed until 8 p. m. this evening.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

---

MONDAY, JANUARY 18, 1937, 8 P. M.

---

The Board of Supervisors reassembled pursuant to recess of this afternoon.

**CALLING THE ROLL.**

The roll was called and the following members were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

**CONSIDERATION OF PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENTS.**

The following proposed Charter Amendments were taken up:

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ———  
HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 172.1, relating to Health Service System.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

Dr. James McGranahan, representing chiropractors and drugless practitioners, was heard as to agreement reached in conference satisfactory to drugless practitioners.

Whereupon, the proposed Charter Amendment as amended and in words and figures following was, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, *ordered submitted* by the following vote:

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ....  
HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 172.1, relating to Health Service System.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco



hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County at the special election to be held on the 9th day of March, 1937, in the City and County of San Francisco, a proposal to amend as herein set forth the Charter by adding thereto a new section to be known as Section 172.1, relating to Health Service System.

### HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM.

#### Section 172.1:

Subdivision 1. A health service system for municipal employees is hereby established. Said system shall be administered by a board to be known as the Health Service Board. The members of the system shall consist of all employees of the city and county who are members of the retirement system and all teachers and employees of the board of education who are members of said retirement system. Any employee who adheres to the faith or teachings of any recognized religious sect, denomination or organization and, in accordance with its creed, tenets or principles, depends for healing upon prayer in the practice of religion shall be exempted from the system upon filing annually with the Health Service Board an affidavit stating such adherence and dependence and disclaiming any benefits under the system. The board shall have the power to exempt any person whose annual compensation exceeds forty-five hundred (\$4,500.00) dollars and any person who has otherwise provided for adequate medical care.

Subdivision 2. The Health Service Board shall consist of nine members elected by the members of the system. The first members of the board shall classify themselves by lot so that three shall serve for one year, three for two years and three for three years from and after May 15, 1937. Thereafter the term of office shall be three years. Each member of the board shall give bond in the sum of ten thousand (\$10,000.00) dollars, the premium on which shall be paid out of the funds of the system. Vacancies shall be filled for the unexpired term by a majority vote of the remaining members of the board. Members of the board shall be nominated by a written nomination of twenty members filed with the registrar of voters not earlier than April 1st nor later than April 15th of each year. The registrar of voters shall prepare ballots and shall furnish the same to all members of the system between April 15th and April 25th and shall receive the ballots between April 25th and May 7th and canvass and certify the result on May 8th. The registrar of voters shall have power to make such regulations respecting the form, distribution and canvassing of the ballots as may be necessary to secure secrecy of the ballot and prevent fraud. The persons equal in number to the number to be elected who receive the greatest number of votes shall be declared elected. Not more than one employee of any one department or office may be a member of the board. For the purpose of the first election, all employees eligible for membership in the system shall be deemed members.

Subdivision 3. The board shall have power:

(a) By a two-thirds vote of the entire membership of the board, to adopt a plan or plans for rendering medical care to the members of the system, or for the indemnification of the costs of said care, or for obtaining and carrying insurance against such costs.

No member of the system shall be required to accept the services or medical supplies of any physician, surgeon, person licensed to treat human diseases without the use of drugs, dentist, nurse, pharmacist or hospital selected by the board, but subject to the rules and regulations of the board, every member shall have the right to select of his own choice any duly licensed physician, surgeon, person licensed to treat human diseases without the use of drugs, dentist, nurse, pharmacist, hospital or other agency of medical care as herein defined, who or which will render the required services pursuant to said rules and regulations and the board shall make provision for the exercise of such choice, and is hereby expressly prohibited from entering into any exclusive contract for the rendering of said services. Any duly licensed physician, surgeon, person licensed to treat human diseases without the use of drugs, dentist, nurse, pharmacist, hospital or other agency of medical care shall have the right to furnish such services or medical supplies at uniform rates of compensation to be fixed by the board, provided that such rates and any contract respecting the rendering of such services shall be subject to review by the retirement board of the city and county and shall not become effective unless and until approved by the retirement board. The retirement board shall approve or disapprove the same within sixty (60) days after the same is submitted to it, and failure of the retirement board to approve or disapprove the same within said period shall constitute an approval.

The said retirement board is hereby authorized and empowered, and it is hereby made its duty, to exercise the powers and to perform the duties prescribed for it by this section.

(b) To put said plans into effect and to conduct and administer the same and, for all or any of said purposes, to contract therefor and use the funds of the system.

(c) To make rules and regulations for the transaction of its business, the granting of exemptions and the admission to the system of persons who are hereby made members thereof and such other officers and employees as may voluntarily become members of the system with the approval of the board.

(d) To appoint a secretary and other employees, who shall hold office at the pleasure of the board, and to fix their respective compensations.

(e) To make provision for the participation in the benefits of the system by the dependents of members, retired municipal employees and temporary municipal employees, provided that such participation shall be without cost to the city and county.

Subdivision 4. The board shall determine and certify to the controller the amount to be paid monthly by the members of the system to a fund for the purposes of the system hereby created. The controller shall deduct said sums from the compensation of the members and shall deposit the same with the treasurer of the city and county to the credit and for the use of the system. Such deductions shall not be deemed to be a reduction of compensation under any provision of this charter. The board shall have control of the administration and invest-



ment of the funds, provided that all investments shall be of the character legal for insurance companies in California. Disbursements from the fund shall be made only upon audit by the controller and the controller shall have and exercise the accounting and auditing powers over the funds of the system which are vested in him by this charter with respect to all other municipal boards, officers and commissions.

Subdivision 5. The term "medical care" shall include the services of physicians, surgeons, nurses, persons licensed to treat human diseases without the use of drugs, hospitalization, medicines and appliances and dental, optical and other medical treatments and services.

All acts performed and services rendered under the provisions of this section shall be performed in accordance with the provisions as to professional conduct prescribed by the statutes of the State of California regulating such professional conduct and services.

Medical care, as defined in this section, shall not be furnished or supplied to any member of the system by or in any of the public health and hospital facilities of the city and county, except that emergency medical and hospital care may be rendered to any member of the system in the usual course of emergency health service.

Subdivision 6. Members of the system shall have and possess no claim or recourse against any of the funds of the municipality by virtue of the adoption or operation of any plan for rendering medical care, indemnifying costs of said care or carrying insurance against such costs, but the claim and recourse of any such member shall be limited solely to the funds of the system. All expenses of the system shall be borne exclusively by the funds of the system and the city and county shall not appropriate or contribute funds in any manner for the purposes of the system hereby established and provided.

Ordered submitted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl.

#### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ———

#### PUBLIC WELFARE DEPARTMENT.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 61.1 establishing a Public Welfare Department.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

J. Canepa, employee of the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, was heard on behalf of the employees. S. A. Lavelle, representing Building Maintenance Division, Local No. 60, protested against blanketing in any group who have not taken a civil service examination.

Charles E. Elkuns and Florence McAuliffe were heard in favor of including the employees of the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, whom they praised highly for faithful and efficient service.

Miss E. Schink, Director of Public Welfare, was also heard on the pending question.

### Amendments Proposed.

Supervisor McSheehy moved to strike out sentence in last ten lines of Section 7, beginning with the words: "Any person who has served, etc."

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly—4.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Supervisor Uhl moved as an amendment to insert in lieu of the last sentence in Section 7, the words: "Within six months after the effective date of this amendment, the Civil Service Commission shall classify the positions transferred from the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee within said period and hold examinations of any persons employed one year from date for appointment to their respective positions."

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Shannon—8.

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Brown, moved to amend Section 7 as suggested by Florence McAuliffe and worded by the City Attorney, to-wit:

"In all cases where there are no civil service lists available from which any position existing under the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, at the effective date of this amendment, can be filled, examinations shall be held by the Civil Service Commission within six months after said date to establish a list or lists of eligibles to fill said positions. Any person who has served under the general supervision of the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee for a period of one year continuously next prior to the effective date of this amendment and who shall actually be employed under the supervision of said Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee on said date shall, upon obtaining a passing mark on any examination held by said Civil Service Commission for his position, be allowed an additional credit of five (5%) per cent in making up the list of eligibles secured by such examination. The Civil Service Commission shall be allowed a period of six months from the effective date of this amendment within which to classify or reclassify, or hold examinations for eligible lists for the positions now existing under said Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, and pending the classification of said positions and the holding of examinations therefor, where the same is necessary, the incumbents in said positions shall be entitled to continue in their positions and receive the compensation provided by law for their services."

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, Subdivision 8 was amended without objection to read as follows:

"Subdivision 8. Said Public Welfare Department shall exercise all of the functions exercised by the County Welfare Department and by the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee as the same exist at the time this amendment is approved by the Legislature of the State of California and shall perform such other duties and have such other functions as may be authorized by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco or required by the Government of the United States or the State of California or any department or agency thereof."

### Ordered Submitted.

Whereupon, the proposed Charter Amendment as amended was on motion of Supervisor McSheehy and in words and figures following *ordered submitted* by the following vote:



**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ....****PUBLIC WELFARE DEPARTMENT.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 61.1 establishing a Public Welfare Department.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County at the special election to be held on the 9th day of March, 1937, in the City and County of San Francisco, a proposal to amend as herein set forth the Charter by adding thereto a new section to be known as Section 61.1 establishing a Public Welfare Department.

**PUBLIC WELFARE DEPARTMENT.****Section 61.1**

Subdivision 1. There is hereby established a Public Welfare Department. This department shall consist of a welfare commission of five members, a director of public welfare, and such employees and assistants as may be necessary to carry out the work and functions of said department.

Subdivision 2. The members of the welfare commission shall be appointed thereto by the mayor and shall be selected for their respective positions on the basis of their interest in and understanding of the problems of public welfare. The members of said commission shall serve without compensation and no person shall be eligible to serve on said commission while holding a salaried public office, position or employment.

Subdivision 3. The term of office of the members of the said commission, subject to the provisions hereof relative to removal and the term of the first members of the commission, shall be four years. Within thirty days after this amendment shall become effective the mayor shall appoint five members to said welfare commission, one member to be appointed for a term to expire on the 15th day of January, 1938; one for a term to expire on the 15th day of January, 1939; one for a term to expire on the 15th day of January, 1940, and two for terms to expire on the 15th day of January, 1941; and upon the expiration of the term of each of said members of said commission so appointed the mayor shall fill the vacancy arising by reason of the expiration of said term by the appointment of a member to said commission for a term of four years. Vacancies occurring in the membership of said commission shall be filled by an appointment to be made by the mayor for the unexpired term of said person in whose place said appointment is made; and when the term of any member of said commission shall expire, then said appointment shall be made for the full period of four years from the date of the expiration of the term. All vacancies shall be filled within thirty days of the occurrence thereof.

Subdivision 4. Members of the commission shall be subject to re

noval from office by the mayor for cause, but only upon written charges made and signed by the mayor, copy of said charges to be served upon the offending commissioner; and said charges shall be heard by the mayor and on said hearing of said charges the said commissioner so charged shall have the opportunity to appear and to be heard.

Subdivision 5. The commission shall be a policy-determining and supervisory body and shall have all the powers provided for in section 9 of the charter.

Subdivision 6. The commission shall appoint and, subject to the budgetary provisions of this charter, fix the salary of a director of public welfare who shall serve at the pleasure of said commission and shall not be subject to the civil service provisions of the charter. Said director shall possess qualifications and experience essential to the conduct of a complete program of public welfare. Said director shall be the chief executive of the department and shall have all the powers provided for chief executives as set forth in section 20 of the charter. He shall be responsible for the enforcement of the rules and regulations of the commission and, upon the recommendation of the commission, shall have the power to establish such divisions and bureaus as may be necessary for the administration of relief and welfare in the City and County of San Francisco.

Subdivision 7. All employees in the Public Welfare Department, with the exception of the director thereof, shall be subject to the civil service provisions of the charter and, subject to said provisions, the director of public welfare may employ such employees as may be necessary for the carrying out of the work and functions of the department. The functions and duties of the County Welfare Department as established and existing at the time this amendment shall go into effect shall be transferred and shall be included in the Public Welfare Department and the personnel of said County Welfare Department shall be so transferred and shall hold their respective positions under the same conditions and upon the same tenure as the same were held in the County Welfare Department. The functions of the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee appointed pursuant to Ordinance No. 19.07120 shall be transferred to said Public Welfare Department.

In all cases where there are no civil service lists available from which any position existing under the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, at the effective date of this amendment, can be filled, examinations shall be held by the civil service commission within six months after said date to establish a list or lists of eligibles to fill said positions. Any person who has served under the general supervision of the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee for a period of one year continuously next prior to the effective date of this amendment and who shall actually be employed under the supervision of said Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee on said date shall, upon obtaining a passing mark on any examination held by said civil service commission for his position, be allowed an additional credit of five (5%) per cent in making up the list of eligibles secured by such examination. The civil service commission shall be allowed a period of six months from the effective date of this amendment within which to classify or reclassify, or hold examinations for eligible lists for the positions now existing under said Citizens'



Emergency Relief Committee, and pending the classification of said positions and the holding of examinations therefor, where the same is necessary, the incumbents in said positions shall be entitled to continue in their positions and receive the compensation provided by law for their services.

Subdivision 8. Said Public Welfare Department shall exercise all of the functions exercised by the County Welfare Department and by the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee as the same exist at the time this amendment is approved by the Legislature of the State of California and shall perform such other duties and have such other functions as may be authorized by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco or required by the Government of the United States or the State of California or any department or agency thereof.

Ordered submitted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratter, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl.

Ordered Submitted.

The following proposed amendments were taken up:

## CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ....

### POWER OF HEARING, INQUIRY AND SUBPOENA

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend Section 21 of the Charter of the City and County, relating to the power of hearing, inquiry, and subpoena.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the special election to be held on the 9th day of March, 1937, a proposal to amend the Charter of the City and County, as follows:

#### POWER OF HEARING, INQUIRY AND SUBPOENA.

"Section 21. The mayor, the board of supervisors, the chief administrative officer, the controller, or any board or commission appointed by the mayor relative solely to the affairs under its control may require such periodic or special reports of departmental cost, operation and expenditures, examine the books, papers, records, and accounts of, and inquire into matters affecting the conduct of any department or office of the city and county, and for that purpose may hold hearings, subpoena witnesses, administer oaths and compel the production of books, papers, testimony and other evidence. It shall be the duty of the chief of police to designate a police officer to serve subpoenas. Any person refusing to obey such subpoena and other requirements hereof, or to produce such books, shall be deemed in contempt and subject to proceedings and penalties as provided by general law in such instances

"Whenever any person subpoenaed to appear and give testimony or produce such books, papers or documents as required by such subpoena, shall refuse to appear or testify before any of said officers, boards or commissions, or to answer any questions which any of said officers or the majority of any of said boards or commissions shall decide to be proper and pertinent, he shall be deemed in contempt of said officer, board or commission, and it shall be the duty of said officer, or the president of said board or commission to report the fact to the presiding judge of the superior court of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, who shall thereupon issue an attachment in the form usual in the superior court, directed to the sheriff of said city and county, commanding said sheriff to attach such person and forthwith bring him before said presiding judge of said superior court. On the return of said attachment and the production of the person attached the said presiding judge shall have jurisdiction of the matter, and the person charged may purge himself of the contempt in the same way, and the same proceedings shall be had, and the same penalties may be imposed and the same punishment inflicted as in the case of a witness subpoenaed to appear and give evidence on the trial of a civil cause before a superior court of the State of California. Any of said officers or any committee of said boards or commissions shall have power to issue any subpoena herein provided for."

Ordered submitted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl.

## CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ....

### CASH RESERVE FUND AND TEMPORARY LOANS. TAX ANTICIPATION NOTES.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend Section 81 of the Charter of the City and County, relating to cash reserve fund and temporary loans.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the special election to be held on the 9th day of March, 1937, a proposal to amend the Charter of the City and County, by amending Section 81 thereof, relating to cash reserve fund and temporary loans.

### CASH RESERVE FUND AND TEMPORARY LOANS. TAX ANTICIPATION NOTES.

Section 81. The board of supervisors, by annual tax levy, may gradually build up the cash reserve fund authorized and created by the provisions of section 80 of this charter. Said fund shall be used exclusively (1) for the payment in any fiscal year of legally budgeted expenditures for such year in anticipation of the collection, after the close of



such fiscal year, of legally collectible taxes and other revenues, as set forth in the budget and the appropriation ordinance for such fiscal year and (2) for paying that portion of the authorized expenses of the city and county for any fiscal year, which, as certified to said board by the controller, becomes due and payable and must be paid prior to the receipt of tax payments for such fiscal year; provided, that such cash reserve fund shall not at any time exceed the estimated expenditure for the first five months of the then current fiscal year, less the amount of estimated revenues and receipts from sources other than tax and other revenues.

In the event that funds are not available in such a cash reserve fund to meet authorized expenditures of any fiscal year, the board of supervisors, on the recommendation of the controller and the mayor, and with the written approval of the officer, board or commission responsible for the management and control of the fund from which it is proposed that the temporarily idle balances be transferred or loaned may, by ordinance, authorize the treasurer to make temporary transfers or loans for specified periods of idle unencumbered balances in any fund in his custody, except a pension fund, at not less than the then current rate of interest paid by the banks to the city and county on city and county funds deposited with such banks. Such approval by the officer, board or commission concerned shall specify that the amount proposed to be transferred or loaned from such fund will not be needed for the purpose of such fund prior to the date specified for its return. The fund from which such transfer or loan is made shall be charged or encumbered with the amount of such transfer or loan and such amount shall not be considered as available in such fund for any other appropriation or encumbrance for which any expenditures or payments must be made prior to the date on which the transfer or loan is repaid. Any transfer or loan made as herein authorized during the first half of any fiscal year shall be repaid prior to the first day of January of said year, and any transfer or loan made during the remaining one-half of said fiscal year shall be repaid prior to the 15th day of May of said year. Such loans shall be secured by and made solely in anticipation of the collection of taxes levied or to be levied for the current fiscal year, and such loans shall constitute the first demand on and shall be repaid from the first tax collections for such current fiscal year; provided, however, that tax anticipation loans made as hereinafter in this section authorized, shall constitute a prior lien on said taxes levied or to be levied or collected.

When funds shall be needed for the immediate requirements of the city and county in any fiscal year in accordance with appropriations made as authorized by this charter for such fiscal year, which payments may be made in advance of the receipt of income from such fiscal year and when funds therefor cannot be made available as hereinbefore in this section authorized, the board of supervisors, on the recommendation of the controller and the approval of such recommendation by the mayor, shall have power to borrow money on notes or other evidences of indebtedness on behalf of the city and county. Said power shall be exercised by ordinance or ordinances authorizing the borrowing of said money and the execution of said notes or other evidences of indebtedness. The aggregate amount of such notes or other evidences

of indebtedness outstanding and unpaid at any one time during any part of the fiscal year in which said borrowing is made shall not be in excess of 25 per cent of the estimated aggregate amount of all taxes actually levied for such fiscal year. All such notes or other evidences of indebtedness shall be offered at public sale by the board of supervisors after not less than two days of advertising, not less than three days after the last day on which such advertising is published. Each such sale shall be made to the bidder offering the lowest rate of interest or whose bid represents the lowest net cost to the city and county; provided, however, that the rate of interest to be paid shall not exceed the sum of six (6) per centum per annum, and full authority is hereby given to said board of supervisors to fix, by resolution, the rate of interest on said notes or other evidences of indebtedness and the times and places where the principal sum of said notes or other evidences of indebtedness shall be paid. The principal amount of said notes or other evidences of indebtedness, together with the interest thereon, issued and delivered under authority of this section shall be payable exclusively out of the taxes levied and collected by said city and county for the fiscal year during which the same are issued, and shall constitute a first lien and charge against the taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year in which said money shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes; and the amount of taxes so levied and collected shall be applied to the payment of said notes or other evidences of indebtedness before any part thereof is used for any other purpose; provided, however, that taxes levied for the payment of principal of, or interest on, any bonded indebtedness of said city and county now outstanding or hereafter created shall be applied to the purpose for which such taxes were levied, unless the money borrowed by such notes or other evidences of indebtedness issued against such tax levies is in fact applied to the payment of the principal and interest of such bonded indebtedness. If at the time said notes or other evidences of indebtedness, or any of them, become due and payable the funds in the city treasury available for the payment thereof shall be insufficient for the payment in full of all of said notes or other evidences of indebtedness then outstanding such funds shall be applied pro rata to the payment of the principal and interest of all of the notes or other evidences of indebtedness then issued and outstanding without preference or priority of any one note over any other by reason of prior issuance, or otherwise. Any of said notes or other evidences of indebtedness not paid prior to June 30 of the fiscal year during which the same are issued shall, nevertheless, be paid out of moneys received from the taxes of the said fiscal year, irrespective of the date of the receipt thereof, it being the intent and purpose of this section to provide for the payment of all notes or other evidences of indebtedness issued under authority of this section out of the taxes levied for the fiscal year during which said notes or other evidences of indebtedness are issued irrespective of the actual date of the collection of said taxes.

The board of supervisors shall have full power and authority to provide for the form of all notes or other evidences of indebtedness issued by authority of this section, as well as to fix the time and place for the payment of both the principal amount of said notes or other evidences of indebtedness and the interest to become due thereon; provided



that all notes or other evidences of indebtedness issued for money borrowed during the first half of any fiscal year shall be payable not later than December 31 of said year; and all notes or other evidences of indebtedness issued for money borrowed during the second half of any fiscal year shall be payable not later than May 15 of such year, it being the intent and purpose of this section that the borrowing of money under authority hereof shall be solely for the purpose of anticipating receipts of income. The mayor, in preparing the consolidated budget estimates as provided by this charter, shall include therein a separate amount sufficient to meet the interest to be paid on any moneys borrowed under authority of this section.

Ordered submitted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl.

## CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ....

### PERMITS AND INSPECTIONS.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County by amending Section 24 of the Charter of the City and County, relating to permits and inspections.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the special election to be held on the 9th day of March, 1937, a proposal to amend the Charter of the City and County, as follows:

### PERMITS AND INSPECTIONS.

Section 24. The board of supervisors shall regulate, by ordinance the issuance and revocation of licenses and permits for the use of, obstruction of or encroachment on public streets and places, exclusive of the granting of franchises governed by other provisions of this charter and for the operation of businesses or privileges which affect the health, fire-prevention, fire-fighting, crime, policing, welfare or zoning conditions of or in the city and county, and for such other matters as the board of supervisors may deem advisable.

Such ordinance shall fix the fees or licenses to be charged, which shall not be less than the cost to the city and county of regulation and inspection; provided, that in so far as the regulation and inspection of foodstuffs or articles of food for human consumption are concerned the fees or licenses to be charged for such regulation and inspection shall be as determined by the board of supervisors, but the same shall not exceed the cost of said regulation and inspection. Said ordinance shall also specify which department shall make the necessary investigations and inspections and issue or deny and may revoke the permits and licenses therefor. The chief of police in the performance of police duties shall have power to examine at any time the books and the premises of pawnbrokers, peddlers, junk and second-hand dealers, auctioneers and other businesses designated by the board of supervisors, and the tax collector shall have power to examine the books of any business for

which a license is issued and a fee charged on the basis of the receipts of such business, and for these purposes such officials shall have the power of inquiry, investigation and subpoena, as provided by this charter.

Permits and licenses shall be issued by the departments as designated by ordinance, only after formal application for such permit or license. No such permit or license that is dependent on or affected by the zoning, set-back or other ordinances of the city and county administered by the city planning commission shall be issued except on the prior approval of the city planning commission. If any application for a permit or license is denied by the department authorized to issue same, the applicant may appeal to the board of permit appeals.

No license tax shall be imposed on any seller or manufacturer of goods, wares or merchandise operating at a fixed place of business in the city and county, except such as require permits or licenses in accordance with or under authority of any local health, sanitary or other ordinance under the police power.

Ordered submitted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl.

## CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ....

### POSITIONS.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County, by amending Section 142 of the Charter of the City and County, relating to positions. (Institutional Help.)

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the special election to be held on the 9th day of March, 1937, a proposal to amend the Charter of the City and County, as follows:

### POSITIONS

Section 142. All positions in all departments and offices of the city and county, including positions created by laws of the State of California, where the compensation is paid by the city and county, shall be included in the classified civil service of the city and county, and shall be filled from lists of eligibles prepared by the civil service commission, excepting (1) positions in which attorneys and physicians are employed in their professional capacity to perform only duties included in their professions, but exclusive of any administrative or executive positions for which such professional status constitutes only part of the qualifications therefor; (2) inmate help or student nurses, or part-time services, where the compensation including the value of any allowances in addition thereto is less than eighty dollars (\$80.00) per month; and (3) persons employed in positions outside the city and county upon construction work being performed by the city and county when such positions



are exempted from said classified civil service by an order of the civil service commission; and (4) persons employed in positions in any department for expert professional temporary services, and when such positions are exempted from said classified civil service for a specific period of said temporary service, by order of the civil service commission; and (5) such positions as, by other provisions in this charter, are specifically exempted from, or where the appointment is designated as exclusive of, the civil service provisions of this charter.

The civil service rights, acquired by persons under the provisions of the charter superseded by this charter, shall continue under this charter.

Where existing positions that have heretofore been exempt from civil service examinations are now made subject to examination by this charter, the incumbents of such positions who have held such position for a period of one year continuously next preceding the time that this charter shall go into effect, shall be continued in their positions as appointed thereto after examination and certification from a list of eligibles and shall be governed thereafter by the provisions of this charter, provided, however, the provisions of this paragraph shall not apply to positions heretofore defined and classified by the civil service commission as "institutional help".

Any person holding a salaried office under the city and county whether by election or appointment, who shall, during his term of office, hold or retain any other salaried office under the government of the United States, or of this state, or who shall hold any other salaried office connected with the government of the city and county, or who shall become a member of the legislature, shall be deemed to have thereby vacated the office held by him under the city and county.

Ordered submitted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Rattigan, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl.

#### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ———

Describing and setting forth to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, a proposition to amend the Charter of the City and County by amending Sections 35 and 172 of the Charter of the City and County, relating to the Police Department and compensation insurance payments.

Stricken from Calendar.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the foregoing be *stricken from the Calendar*. No objection and so ordered.

#### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ———

The following proposed amendment was taken up:

#### POLICE PENSIONS.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County by amending Section 168 thereof, relating to future members of the Police Department.

Supervisor Colman raised the objection to this amendment that there had been no actuarial report as to its effect on the Retirement System, and asked for the City Attorney's opinion as to whether the Board of Supervisors could legally submit it.

**Amendments.**

Supervisor McSheehy moved to amend as follows: Section 168, subdivision b) line 9, after the word "received," insert the words "for three years."

Also, Section 168, Subdivision (d) paragraph two, line three, strike out the words "one year" and insert in lieu thereof the words "five years;" also in line 3) of the same paragraph, strike out "one year" and insert "five years."

Also, in Section 168, subdivision (f), paragraph five, line three, strike out the words "civil service."

*Amendments concurred in without objection.*

**Ordered Submitted.**

Whereupon, the foregoing proposed amendment as amended was *ordered submitted* by the following vote:

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ....****POLICE PENSIONS.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County by amending Section 168 thereof, relating to future members of the Police Department.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the special election to be held on the 9th day of March, 1937, a proposal to amend the Charter of the City and County, as follows:

**POLICE PENSIONS.****Section 168:**

Subdivision a. Persons who are members of the police department on the effective date of this amendment and future members of the department shall, on and after the effective date of this amendment, become members of the retirement system, under the conditions set forth herein. Members of the police department who did not exercise affirmatively the option provided in subdivision (h) of Section 166 of this charter shall, on and after the effective date of this amendment, become members of the retirement system under the provisions of this section.

The retirement board as constituted under Section 159 of the charter of the City and County of San Francisco shall be the sole authority relating to the distribution and investment of such fund or funds as may be established, provided that all investments shall be of the character legal for insurance companies in California, and provided further, that if any section, or part of any section in this charter in so far as it should conflict with this section or any part of this section as amended, shall be superseded by the contents of this section.

Subdivision b. Any member of the police department who shall have served in the department for twenty-five years in the aggregate in any capacity or rank whatever, on his request, or by order of the board of police commissioners, if it be deemed for the good of the department, or any member who has arrived at the age of sixty-three (63) years shall



be retired by the retirement board forthwith, and such member shall thereafter, during his lifetime, be paid in equal monthly installments from the police retirement fund a limited pension as follows:

For twenty-five years' aggregate service, fifty per cent (50%) of the average monthly rate of salary which such member shall have received for three years immediately preceding the date of his retirement; and an additional one and one-half per cent (1½%) of such average salary for each year over twenty-five years, up to and including thirty (30) years' service in the aggregate, served by such member before retirement.

Subdivision c. Whenever any member of the police department shall become so physically or mentally disabled by reason of bodily injury received in, or by reason of sickness caused by the discharge of the duties of such member in the department, as to render necessary his retirement from active service, the board of police commissioners shall recommend to the retirement board that such member be retired from further service in the department, and thereafter such member so retired shall, during his lifetime, be paid from said pension fund a pension in an amount to be determined by the retirement board, but such pension shall be equal to and not less than fifty per cent (50%) of the salary attached to the rank held by such member in the department at the date of such retirement order. Such pension shall be paid in equal monthly installments; provided, however, that any pension granted to any member of the police department for disability or sickness as provided for in this subdivision, shall cease, when the disability or sickness ceases, then such member shall be restored to active duty in the department to the same rank such member held at the time of retirement; provided further, that the retirement board shall have power to hear and determine all matters pertaining to the granting and termination of any pension award as provided for in this subdivision. Said board shall make its findings in writing, based upon the report of at least three regularly licensed practicing physicians, and such other evidence concerning such disability as it may have before it. Said board shall determine the degree of disability and such determination shall govern the amount of pension to be awarded to such disabled member as herein above provided; and provided further, that upon the written request of any such retired member, or upon its own motion, said board shall have the power at any time prior to the restoration of such retired member to active service, to consider new evidence pertaining to the case of such retired member, and to increase the amount of such pension award to be thereafter paid.

Subdivision d. Whenever any member of the police department shall die as the result of any injury received during the performance of his duty, or from sickness caused by the discharge of such duty, or after retirement, or while eligible to retirement from such department on account of years of service; then an annual pension shall be paid in equal monthly installments to his widow, or child or children, or dependent parent or parents, in an amount equal to one-half (½) of the average monthly rate of salary which such deceased member shall have received in the department immediately preceding the time of his death or the date of this retirement from active duty in such department.

Said pension shall be paid to the widow during her lifetime or until she remarries, and thereafter a pension shall be paid in equal monthly installments in an amount equal to one-half ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) of the average monthly rate of salary which such deceased member shall have received in such department, immediately preceding the time of his death or the date of his retirement from active duty in such department to the legally appointed guardian of the child or children of such deceased member until such child or children shall have attained the age of eighteen years, or to his child or children, should there be no widow, until such child or children shall have attained the age of eighteen years, or to his dependent parent or parents during their lifetime or during such dependence, should there be no widow or child.

Provided, further, however, that no widow of a pensioner shall be entitled to a pension unless she shall have been married to such deceased pensioner at least five years prior to the date of his retirement, and provided further, that no widow of a member of the police department eligible for retirement from such department, who dies from causes other than those arising out of or from the performance of his duties, shall be entitled to a pension unless she shall have been married to such deceased member for at least five years prior to the date of his death, and provided, further, that if such widow, child or children shall marry, then the pension paid to the person so marrying, shall cease, and provided further, that should the dependency of such parent or parents terminate, then the pension paid to such dependent parent or parents shall cease.

Subdivision e. All pensions granted in accordance with the provisions of this section shall remain in full force and effect for the period granted and any decrease of salaries of active members of the police department shall not in anywise affect the amount of the pensions to be paid to retired members, or to any other person pensioned pursuant to the provisions of this section.

Subdivision f. For the purpose of providing and maintaining a fund to meet the payments of demands drawn for the payments of pensions, a fund is hereby created to be known as the police pension fund. The retirement board shall annually prepare a budget consisting of the estimated requirements for the next succeeding fiscal year to pay and discharge:

- (a) Disability Pensions;
- (b) Widows' Pensions;
- (c) Minors' Pensions;
- (d) Dependents' Pensions; and
- (e) Administration Expense.

There shall be included in said budget a sum equal to five per centum of the estimated total payroll of the police department for those members of said department included within the pension provisions of this section for the next succeeding fiscal year.

The mayor shall approve and the board of supervisors adopt in the annual appropriation ordinance, the budget as submitted by the retirement board.



In addition, each member of the police department included within the pension provisions of this section shall contribute to said police pension fund in the manner as hereinafter in this section provided.

The administrative head of the police department shall cause to be shown on each and every payroll of said department a deduction of five per cent (5%) of the amount of salary of his rank as shown on each such payroll of each such member whose name appears thereon, and shall certify to the controller on each such payroll the amount to be deducted from the compensations of each such member whose name appears thereon, and shall cause to be drawn a payroll check in favor of the retirement board for the total amount of the deduction shown on each payroll of such department, and said retirement board shall deposit said payroll check to the credit of the police pension fund. It shall be the duty of the administrative head of the police department to cause to be furnished a copy of each and every such payroll hereinbefore mentioned to the said retirement board; each member shall be deemed to consent and agree to each deduction made as provided for herein, and the payment of each payroll check to such member shall be a full and complete discharge and acquittance of all claims and demands whatsoever for the services rendered by such member during the period covered by such payroll, except such claims as such member may have to the benefits provided for in this section.

If subsequent to the fiscal year, it shall be determined that such contribution by the city and county, together with the member's contribution, was not sufficient to meet such liability, then the city and county shall make up such additional contribution as may be necessary to make up the deficit.

Subdivision g. When any member of the department shall die from natural causes and before retirement, there shall be paid to his estate or beneficiary, a death benefit, the amount of which and the condition for the payment of which shall be determined in the manner prescribed by the board of supervisors for the death benefit of other members of the retirement system.

Subdivision h. Should a member be separated from the department through any cause other than death or retirement, then such contributions with interest shall be refunded to him by the retirement board at such time as he terminates his services from the department.

Subdivision i. Upon the completion of the period of service mentioned in subdivision b of this section, entitling such member to retire under its provisions, such member shall be entitled to a certificate showing the aggregate number of years served by such member in the department, which certificate shall be irrevocable and shall serve as evidence of such service; such member shall be entitled to retire at any time thereafter from active service from the department in accordance with subdivision b of this section hereinbefore referred to, which right shall be a fully matured, absolute, vested property right, reserved for such member, as a constituted and granted right to retire and no event or occurrence of whatsoever kind or nature, political or otherwise, whether prior pending or subsequent, shall ever operate as a forfeiture thereof.

provided, however, that if such member shall continue in the service of said department, he shall nevertheless be entitled to all the benefits of the additional percentage as provided for by subdivision b of this section hereinbefore referred to, in computing the aggregate number of years of service in such department up to the time of his actual retirement.

Subdivision j. Any member of the police department, retired under the provisions of subdivision b of this section, shall not be eligible for appointment to any federal, state, or municipal government position. Any violation of the contents of this subdivision by a member shall be good and sufficient cause for forfeiture of all benefits granted under this section during the period of such employment.

Provided, further, this section, or any part of this section, shall not be retroactive.

Ordered submitted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl.

No: Supervisor Colman.

Absent: Supervisor Brown.

*Subsequently, on January 25, 1937, the foregoing Charter Amendment was again amended, and again, as so amended, ordered submitted to the electors.*

#### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ———

The following was taken up:

#### DISPOSITION OF UTILITY BONDS.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Sections 127 and 128, relating to Operating Expenses and Reserves and Depreciation, and to add a new section known as 128-1, relating to Reconstruction and Replacements.

#### Amendments.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy the words: "During the fiscal year 1937-1938 and" was inserted in the fifth line of Section 128 in front of the words "at least every five years," etc.

#### Motion Lost.

Supervisor Uhl moved that caption on Section 128 be amended to read: "Reconstruction, Replacements and Depreciation."

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon—9.

#### Ordered Submitted.

Whereupon, the foregoing amendment in words and figures following was ordered submitted by the following vote:

#### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ....

#### DISPOSITION OF UTILITY FUNDS.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend



the Charter of said City and County by amending Sections 127 and 128, relating to Operating Expenses and Reserves, and Depreciation and to add a new section known as 128-1, relating to Reconstruction and Replacements.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County of San Francisco at the special election to be held on the 9th day of March, 1937, a proposal to amend Sections 127 and 128 and to add a new section known as 128-1 of the Charter of said City and County, as follows:

### **OPERATING EXPENSES AND RESERVES.**

Section 127. Receipts from each utility operated by the commission shall be paid into the city and county treasury and maintained in a separate fund for each such utility. Appropriations from such funds shall be made for the following purposes for each such utility in the order named, viz.: (a) for the payment of operating expenses, pension charges, and proportionate payments to such compensation and other insurance and accident reserve funds as the commission may establish or the board of supervisors may require; (b) for repairs and maintenance; (c) for reconstruction and replacements as hereinafter described; (d) for the payment of interest and sinking funds on the bonds issued for acquisition, construction or extensions; (e) for extensions and improvements, and (f) for a surplus fund.

### **DEPRECIATION.**

Section 128. For the purpose of computing net income, the commission, on the basis of an appraisal of the estimated life and the current depreciated value of the several classes of property in each utility, shall determine the amount of reasonable annual depreciation for each utility. During the fiscal year 1937-1938 and at least every five years thereafter, the commission shall make an appraisal or may revise the last preceding appraisal of the value and probable useful life of each of the several classes of property of each utility, and shall, on the basis of said appraisal, redetermine the amount of the reasonable annual depreciation for each utility.

### **RECONSTRUCTION AND REPLACEMENTS.**

Section 128-1. For the purpose of providing funds for reconstruction and replacements due to physical and functional depreciation of each of the utilities under the jurisdiction of the commission, the commission must create and maintain a reconstruction and replacement fund for each such utility, sufficient for the purposes mentioned in this section, and in accordance with an established practice for utilities of similar character, which shall be the basis for the amount necessary to be appropriated annually to provide for said reconstruction and replacements.

Ordered submitted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl.

Absent: Supervisor Brown.

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ....**

The following was taken up:

**LIMITATION ON SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County by amending Section 111 thereof, relating to limitation on special assessments.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the special election to be held on the 9th day of March, 1937, a proposal to amend the Charter of the City and County, as follows:

**LIMITATION ON SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS.**

Section 111. A special assessment shall not exceed fifty per cent of the assessed value, at the time of inception of the proceedings leading to the assessment, of the land on which the assessment is levied, except when it is authorized to be paid in installments over a period not to exceed ten years, upon such terms and conditions and in such manner as may be provided by law; but no such installment shall exceed twenty-five per cent of such assessed value; and not more than two installments shall be payable in any one year. It may be required by law, as a condition precedent to the right to pay a special assessment in installments, that the owner of the land subject to the assessment execute a bond for the payment of the assessment. A lien on the land subject to the assessment and a method or methods of foreclosing such lien and of selling the land subject to the lien and of collecting the assessment in any other way may be provided for by ordinance. Every ordinance now in effect, conforming with Section 107 of this charter, and containing provisions conforming with this section as amended is hereby confirmed, subject to repeal or amendment.

Ordered submitted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl.

Absent: Supervisor Brown.

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ———**

The following was taken up and on motion of Supervisor McSheehy was *refused submission by unanimous consent.*

**CITY PLANNING COMMISSION.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend Section 115 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, relating to the City Planning Commission.



## CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ....

The following was taken up:

### NUMBER, COMPENSATION AND MEETINGS OF SUPERVISORS.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend Section 10 of the Charter of the City and County, relating to number, compensation and meetings of Supervisors.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the special election to be held on the 9th day of March, 1937, a proposal to amend the Charter of the City and County by amending Section 10 thereof, relating to number, compensation and meetings of Supervisors.

### NUMBER, COMPENSATION AND MEETINGS OF SUPERVISORS.

Section 10. The board of supervisors shall consist of eleven members elected at large. Each member of the board shall be paid a salary of four thousand (\$4,000) dollars per year, and each shall execute an official bond to the city and county in the sum of five thousand (\$5,000) dollars.

At twelve o'clock noon on the 8th day of January next following their election, the newly elected and continuing members of the board of supervisors shall meet at the legislative chamber in the city hall, and thereafter regular meetings shall be held as fixed by resolution. The supervisors constituting the new board shall, on January 8, 1932, and every second year thereafter, elect one of their number as president of the board for a two-year term. The president shall preside at all meetings, shall appoint all standing and special committees of the board and shall have such other powers and duties as the supervisors may provide.

The meetings of the board shall be held in the city hall, provided that, in case of emergency, the board, by resolution may designate some other appropriate place as its temporary meeting place. The board shall cause a calendar of the business scheduled for each meeting to be published and shall keep and publish a journal of its proceedings. Notice of any special meeting shall be published at least twenty-four hours in advance of such special meeting.

Ordered submitted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl.

Noes: Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt.

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ....**

The following was taken up:

**POSITIONS IN TREASURER'S OFFICE.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 142-1, relating to positions in the Treasurer's office.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County at the special election to be held on the 9th day of March, 1937, in the City and County of San Francisco, a proposal to amend as herein set forth the Charter by adding thereto a new section to be known as Section 142-1, relating to positions in the Treasurer's office.

**POSITIONS IN TREASURER'S OFFICE.**

Section 142-1. Any person who has performed the duties of general clerk-stenographer in the office of the treasurer continuously for five years immediately prior to the effective date of this amendment and who on said date shall be performing said duties, is hereby confirmed in said position and thereafter shall hold the same pursuant to the civil service provisions of this charter and shall be entitled to all the benefits and privileges of said provisions.

City Treasurer Matheson explained the necessity for the proposed amendment.

Whereupon, the proposed amendment was *ordered submitted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl.

Absent: Supervisor Brown.

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ....**

The following was taken up:

**CONTROL OF HARBOR.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Sections 47 and 48, and adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 48.1, relating to control of harbor.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the special election to be held on the 9th day of March, 1937, a proposal to amend the Charter of the City and County, by amending Sections 47 and 48, and adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 48.1, relating to control of harbor.



## CONTROL OF HARBOR

Section 47. Whenever the State of California shall grant, or by legislative action agree to grant, to the City and County of San Francisco all or a substantial part of the property now under the jurisdiction, possession, control and management of the board of state harbor commissioners, including the interest of the State of California in that portion of the bay of San Francisco now controlled by the board of state harbor commissioners and used as the harbor of San Francisco, the said city and county shall accept the same, and shall thereafter have authority to manage, govern, administer and control, all and singular the property, rights and privileges granted, assigned or conveyed by the said State of California. Said city and county shall also have power, subject to the bond issue procedure provided for in this charter, to now or hereafter provided for by the laws of the State of California to assume an obligation or obligations to the State of California for the outstanding bonded indebtedness incurred by the State of California for the acquisition, construction, improvement and development of the said harbor or of the properties used in connection therewith, or to issue new and additional bonds therefor; and any and all indebtedness assumed or bonds issued for said purpose shall not be included in the bonded debt limit provided for in section 104 of this charter, and if thereafter any additional bonded indebtedness is incurred to improve, construct, reconstruct or extend said harbor, or to add thereto or to renew, construct or re-construct, operate or extend any service or equipment or utility used in connection with said harbor or in connection with the operation thereof, said bonded indebtedness so incurred shall also be exempt from the limitations contained in section 104 of this charter. All the powers and duties incident to the management, government, control and administration of the said harbor, and all properties and utilities used in connection therewith, shall be vested in the harbor commission of the City and County of San Francisco.

Said harbor commission of the City and County of San Francisco shall consist of five members to be appointed by the mayor, one of whom shall be a representative of the agricultural interests of the State of California and need not be a resident of the city and county. The term of office of each of said members shall be five years, provided that those first appointed to said commission shall so qualify themselves by lot that the terms of office shall expire respectively, one, two, three, four and five years after the 15th day of January next after their respective appointments. Vacancies on said board shall be filled by the mayor for the unexpired term. Each of said persons appointed to said commission shall give a bond in the sum of five thousand (\$5,000) dollars duly conditioned for the faithful performance of his duties and said person so appointed shall be subject to recall, suspension, and removal in the same manner as an elective official. The compensation of each of the members of said harbor commission shall be twelve hundred (\$1200) dollars per year.

Section 48. The said harbor commission shall have possession, control and management of all and singular the properties and equipment granted to the City and County of San Francisco by the State of California for harbor purposes, as well as that portion of the bay of

San Francisco now operated and controlled by the board of state harbor commissioners for harbor purposes, and also all property and equipment hereafter acquired by the city and county for said purposes. Said harbor commission shall have all of the powers and duties given to boards and commissions by section 19 of the charter, and in addition thereto shall have power:

(a) To regulate and control the anchoring, mooring, towing and docking of all vessels and water craft;

(b) To regulate and control the construction, maintenance, operation and use of, and to use and operate any and all railroads, wharves, warehouses, cold storage or refrigeration plants or other structures, improvements or appliances, used in connection with said harbor, or for the accommodation or promotion of commerce, navigation or fishing in or about said harbor;

(c) To regulate and control all dredging and excavation in or about said harbor;

(d) To fix, regulate and collect fees, rates, tolls or charges for the use or service of all wharves, warehouses, water craft, railroads or other facilities, structures or appliances owned, controlled or operated by said harbor commission, in connection with said harbor, or in connection with, or for the promotion or accommodation of commerce, navigation or fishing. All of said fees, rates, tolls and charges shall be sufficient to produce sufficient revenue to pay all expenses of every kind and nature incident to the operation and maintenance of said harbor and the properties and equipment used in connection therewith, together with the interest and sinking fund for any outstanding bonds issued by the State of California or by the City and County of San Francisco for the acquisition, construction or extension of said harbor, or of any of the properties or equipment used in connection therewith; provided that should the commission propose a schedule of rates, fees and charges or rentals for the respective services to be furnished by said commission which shall not provide such revenue, it may do so with the approval of the board of supervisors by a two-thirds vote thereof. Said harbor commission shall, from time to time, report to the board of supervisors a proposed schedule of fees, rates, tolls and charges to be made for the several classes of service to be rendered, and said fees, rates, tolls and charges shall be the legal fees, rates, tolls and charges to be paid to said harbor commission for said services, unless the same are disapproved by a two-thirds vote of said board of supervisors, within thirty (30) days after said report is received. If said board of supervisors by a two-thirds vote rejects said schedule, it may return the same to the said harbor commission for revision;

(e) To enter into leases of lands, buildings, docks and piers, said leases to be approved by the board of supervisors before the same shall be effective; provided that the right to use any building, dock or pier for a period not to exceed one year shall not be deemed a lease under this section and the board shall have power to assign the use of any building, dock or pier for a period not exceeding one year at the regular tolls;

(f) To appoint a harbor master who shall be the chief executive of the harbor commission and who shall have the management of all of the



affairs and activities placed under the jurisdiction of the said board. He shall devote his entire time to the duties of his office and his salary shall be fixed by the commission subject to the annual appropriation and salary ordinance. He shall hold his office at the pleasure of the commission and shall have the management of said harbor and of all of the facilities and equipment thereof and of all bureaus and departments established for the operation of said harbor or for the operation of any equipment or facility thereof. Subject to the approval of the commission he shall appoint and remove any and all heads of departments or bureaus, who may not be subject to the civil service provisions of the charter. He shall possess the necessary administrative, executive and technical qualifications necessary to enable him to perform the duties of his office. His compensation shall not exceed prevailing salaries paid those holding similar positions in comparable private employment. The commission may confer on him such additional powers and authority as it may see fit;

(g) To establish such departments and bureaus as may be necessary or convenient for the conduct of its affairs;

(h) To enter into such agreements, subject to the approval of the board of supervisors with the State of California, the board of state harbor commissioners, or any other state agency or department that may be necessary to obtain and receive, take or hold any properties, privileges or things granted to the city and county by the state;

(i) To institute, defend or prosecute, in the name of the City and County of San Francisco, any and all actions or legal proceedings necessary or proper to acquire, hold or defend the possession of any property granted to the said city and county, or held by said city and county, for harbor purposes, and to institute and prosecute any and all actions or legal proceedings necessary or proper to prevent the obstruction or illegal use or occupation of any of the properties, things or facilities held by the city and county for the purposes of said harbor. The city attorney shall be the legal adviser of the commission, and may, with the approval of the commission compromise, settle or dismiss any litigation or legal proceeding pending for, or on behalf of the commission relative to any matter under its jurisdiction, provided that if such action or proceeding involves the title or right of possession of any real property owned by the city and county, such action shall not be compromised, settled or dismissed without the concurrence of the board of supervisors. The city attorney shall, at the request of the commission detail the necessary attorneys to perform the legal work of the commission, and said commission may, with the consent of the mayor and the approval of the city attorney, appoint special counsel for temporary purposes. The compensation of all attorneys serving the commission shall be paid from the revenues of the harbor, unless otherwise provided in the salary and appropriation ordinance.

The board of supervisors may confer upon said harbor commission such other and additional powers as it shall deem proper and which are not in conflict with this section.

Section 48.1 All persons actually employed in the operating service of the board of state harbor commissioners at the time the City and

County of San Francisco shall take over and assume the management and control of the said harbor and the properties used in connection therewith, and who at said date shall be entitled to benefits of the civil service provisions of the State of California, in so far as the same may be applicable to the employees of the board of state harbor commissioners, shall be continued in their respective positions and shall continue to hold their said positions pursuant to the civil service provisions of this charter, and shall be entitled to all of the rights, benefits and privileges which said persons might have, or might have had, had said persons been originally appointed to their respective positions under certification from the civil service commission of the City and County of San Francisco; and in the matter of seniority, in service of said employees entitled to the benefits of said civil service provisions, as herein provided, the seniority of each employee shall be reckoned from his first permanent appointment to employment under said board of state harbor commissioners, and as to their respective positions, said employees shall have preference over all other employees of the city and county.

All employees of the board of state harbor commissioners at the time this act shall go into effect shall continue to be members of the state employees' retirement system, with all the rights, privileges and benefits of said system until the time of the actual transfer to the City and County of San Francisco of the properties now under the jurisdiction of said board of state harbor commissioners; and upon the acceptance of said transfer by the City and County of San Francisco, said employees shall become members of the San Francisco city and county retirement system and shall be entitled to all the benefits and privileges and subject to the obligations of said system and the Retirement Board of the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System shall make such allowance and provision for the prior service of said employees rendered to the board of state harbor commissioners as may be recommended by said retirement board and approved by ordinance of the board of supervisors.

All employees whose respective employments are transferred from the board of state harbor commissioners to the Harbor Commission of the City and County of San Francisco, pursuant to the provisions of this section or pursuant to the provisions of any act of the Legislature of the State of California transferring the properties now under the jurisdiction of the board of state harbor commissioners to the City and County of San Francisco, and who, at the date of the actual transfer of said properties, reside outside of the City and County of San Francisco, shall not be subject to the residential qualifications of officers and employees of the city and county provided for in section 7 of this charter.

In the matter of the control and operation of the harbor and of the facilities and equipment thereof, including the matter of budgets and appropriations, the harbor commission shall be subject to the budgetary and fiscal procedure elsewhere provided for in this charter.

Full power and authority is hereby vested in the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to enter into such supplemental agreements with the State of California, or with the board of state



harbor commissioners, or with any other agency of the State of California, not in conflict with the provisions of this charter, in order to meet any condition provided for by the Legislature of the State of California in the transfer to the City and County of San Francisco of said properties now under the jurisdiction of the board of state harbor commissioners, and any agreement so entered into will be as binding upon the City and County of San Francisco as if the provisions of said agreement were embodied in this charter.

The revenues of said harbor, and of all the properties incident thereto or used in connection therewith, shall be deposited in a separate fund in the treasury of the city and county, and shall be used for the following purposes, and in the order herein named:

(a) For the payment of operating expenses, including pension charges;

(b) For the payment of the principal and interest of any obligation of the State of California and assumed or agreed to be paid by the City and County of San Francisco;

(c) For repairs and maintenance of the properties of said harbor or used in connection with the operation thereof;

(d) For the payment of the principal and interest on any bond issued by the City and County of San Francisco for the acquisition, construction, repair or extension of said harbor, or of any of the facilities used in connection therewith;

(e) An amount which shall be sufficient to meet the cost of reconstruction and replacement made necessary by the physical and functional depreciation of any of the properties or equipment of said harbor, as the same shall occur;

(f) To pay for extensions and betterments to said harbor or to the equipment and facilities thereof;

(g) To establish a surplus or sinking fund for the improvement or extension of the harbor or for any facility used in connection therewith.

Ordered submitted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl.

Absent: Supervisor Brown.

## CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ....

The following was taken up:

### ZONING AND SET-BACK LINES.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend Section 117 of the Charter of the City and County, relating to zoning and set-back lines.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County a

the special election to be held on the 9th day of March, 1937, a proposal to amend the Charter of the City and County by amending Section 117 thereof, relating to zoning and set-back lines.

### **ZONING AND SET-BACK LINES.**

Section 117. The city planning commission, from time to time, shall consider and hold hearings on proposed changes in the classification of the use to which property in the city and county may be put, in either case, on its own motion or on the application of the owner of the particular property involved in any such proposed change. The city planning commission, from time to time, shall also consider and hold hearings on proposals to establish or change building set-back lines, in either case, on its own motion or on the application of an interested property owner. The board of supervisors, by ordinance, shall establish procedure for action on such matters, which ordinance must provide, among other things, that the commission shall give notice of time, place and date of hearing by posting throughout the area and by publication not less than twenty days prior thereto; that the commission shall notify, in writing, not less than ten days prior to said hearing, applicants for proposed changes, and all persons whose names and addresses are shown on the assessment roll as owners of property within three hundred feet of all exterior boundaries of the property involved in a proposed change and the time and the place of hearing, which names, addresses and other information shall be furnished by the applicant in the form required by the commission; that the commission, after hearing shall, by resolution, approve or disapprove the proposed change which, if approved, shall not become effective for thirty days; that appeal may be taken from the ruling of the commission by filing written protest with the board of supervisors, copy of which shall be filed with the city planning commission, and if such protest is subscribed by the owners of twenty per cent of the area of all property within three hundred feet of the exterior boundaries of the particular property involved in such proposed change, the supervisors shall fix a time and a place for hearing such objections which shall be not less than ten nor more than thirty days after such filing, and must decide thereon within ten days of the start of such hearing; that the board of supervisors, by not less than two-thirds vote, may disapprove the action of the commission, provided, however, that any change in zoning classification or building set-back lines made by the commission on its own motion shall require approval of the board of supervisors by a two-thirds vote; that in case of disapproval by the commission or by the board of supervisors on appeal of such proposed change, no proposed change involving the same property may be resubmitted to or reconsidered by the commission, on its own motion or otherwise, for at least one year subsequent to disapproval by the commission, or the board of supervisors if appeal be taken.

No ordinance shall be considered by the supervisors, the purpose or intent of which is the classification, regulation or control of the height, area, bulk, location or use of any building or buildings, or premise or premises, and classifying any property into any district or zone for such purposes, or establishing a set-back line or lines along any street or portion thereof in the city and county without being first submitted to



the city planning commission for report and recommendation. If the commission disapprove any such ordinance, the supervisors may adopt the same only by an affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of its entire membership. The failure of the commission to act within sixty days from and after the date of official submission of any proposed zoning classification or set-back line by the board of supervisors shall be deemed to be approval of such classification or proposed set-back line by the commission.

Ordered submitted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reil, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl.

Absent: Supervisor Brown.

## CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ....

The following was taken up:

### CONTRACTORS' WORKING CONDITIONS.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend Section 98 of the Charter of the City and County, relating to contractors' working conditions.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the special election to be held on the 9th day of March, 1937, a proposal to amend the Charter of the City and County, by amending Section 98 thereof, relating to contractors' working conditions.

### CONTRACTORS' WORKING CONDITIONS.

Section 98. Every contract for any public work or improvement to be performed at the expense of the city and county, or paid out of moneys deposited in the treasury, whether such work is to be done directly under contract awarded, or indirectly by or under sub-contract or sub-partnership, day labor, station work, piece work, or any other arrangement whatsoever, must provide: (1) That in the performance of the contract and all work thereunder, eight hours shall be the maximum hours of labor on any calendar day; (2) that any person performing labor thereunder shall be paid not less than the highest general prevailing rate of wages in private employment for similar work; (3) that any person performing labor in the execution of the contract shall be a citizen of the United States; (4) that all laborers employed in the execution of any contract within the limits of the city and county shall have been residents of the city and county for a period of one year immediately preceding the date of their engagements to perform labor thereunder; provided, however, that the officer empowered to award and execute such contract may, upon application of the contractor, waive such residence qualifications and issue a permit specifying the extent and terms of such waiver whenever the fact be established that the required number of laborers and mechanics possessing qualifications required by the work to be done cannot be engaged to perform labor thereunder.

The term "public work" or "improvement," as used in this section, shall include the fabrication, manufacturing or assembling of materials in any shop, plant, manufacturing establishment or other place of employment, when the said materials are of unique or special design, or are made according to plans and specifications for the particular work or improvement and any arrangement made for the manufacturing, fabrication or assembling of such materials shall be deemed a contract or a sub-contract subject to the provisions of this section. The word "person" as hereinafter used in this section shall mean a natural person, firm, partnership, corporation or association.

The board of supervisors shall have full power to enact all necessary and proper ordinances to carry out the terms of this section and shall also have power to provide by ordinance that any officer, board or commission now or hereafter charged with the letting or awarding of a contract or contracts, may let or award any contract (1) for public work or improvement, (2) for the purchase of materials which are to be used, made, constructed, manufactured, fabricated, assembled or compounded for any public work or improvement, or (3) for the purchase of materials, supplies or equipment of any kind to be used by the city and county, to a person other than the lowest responsible bidder herefor, provided that the person to whom such contract is let or awarded fulfills and performs the same with materials and/or supplies made, constructed, manufactured, fabricated, assembled or compounded in the city and county and the bid of such person is the lowest bid from a responsible bidder agreeing to fulfill and perform such contract with materials or supplies so made, constructed, manufactured, assembled or compounded, and does not exceed the bid of the lowest responsible bidder making, constructing, manufacturing, fabricating, assembling or compounding the same elsewhere by a percentage thereof to be fixed in such ordinance, which shall not exceed ten per cent of such lowest bid. Nothing contained in this section shall be construed as a limitation on any power the board of supervisors may have by reason of any other provision in this charter.

Ordered submitted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl.

Absent: Supervisor Brown.

## CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ....

Supervisor Meyer moved submission to the electors of the following proposed amendment:

### APPROPRIATIONS TO MEET UTILITY DEFICITS

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County, by amending Section 74 thereof, relating to appropriations to meet utility deficits.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors at the special election to be held



on the 9th day of March, 1937, a proposal to amend the Charter of the City and County by amending Section 74 thereof, relating to appropriations to meet utility deficits.

### APPROPRIATIONS TO MEET UTILITY DEFICITS

Section 74. In the event the public utilities commission shall propose a budget estimate for any utility which will exceed the estimated revenue of such utility, it shall require a vote of two-thirds of all members of the board of supervisors to approve such budget estimate, and to appropriate the funds necessary to provide for the deficiency. Provided that if said proposed deficiency shall include proposed expenditures for additions, extensions or other capital costs, the total annual amount which may be appropriated from tax funds to all municipally owned utilities for additions, extensions and other capital costs shall not exceed \$500,000.00; provided further that there shall be no limitation as to the amount which may be appropriated to any of the existing utilities for additions, extensions or other capital costs when the appropriation therefor is made from the earnings or surplus of said utility.

*Refused submission* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Reilly—3.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

(Subsequently on January 25, 1937, the foregoing amendment was again considered and *ordered submitted*.)

### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ———

The following proposed amendment was taken up:

#### POLICE DEPARTMENT.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, by amending Sections 35, 146, 148, and 150 thereof, relating to the Police Department and Patrol Special Police Officer

#### Amendments.

Supervisor McSheehy moved to amend by striking out the last four lines of the ninth paragraph of Section 35, to-wit: "provided that police officers during an initial formal training period of not to exceed three months, during which period they are not assigned to active police duty, shall be paid at the rate of \$150 per mo."

*Amendment carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

No—Supervisor Colman—1.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Supervisor McSheehy moved to delete the word "lieutenant" and insert the word "captain" in the tenth line of the seventh paragraph of Section 35.

*Amendment carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Roncovieri—2.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Supervisor McSheehy moved to amend in the seventh paragraph, line five, after the word "secretary," insert the words "from the rank of captain."

Amendment carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

No—Supervisor Colman—1.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Ordered Submitted.

Whereupon, the foregoing proposed amendment as amended and in words and figures following was ordered submitted by the following vote:

#### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ———

### POLICE DEPARTMENT.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, by amending Sections 35, 146, 148, and 172 thereof, relating to the Police Department and Patrol Special Officers.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County at the special election to be held on the 9th day of March, 1937, in the City and County of San Francisco, a proposal to amend as herein set forth the Charter by amending Sections 35, 146, 148, and 172 thereof, relating to the Police Department and Patrol Special Officers.

### POLICE DEPARTMENT.

Section 35. The police department shall consist of a police commission, a chief of police, a police force and such clerks and employees as shall be necessary and appointed pursuant to the provisions of this charter, and shall be under the management of a police commission consisting of three members, who shall be appointed by the mayor, and each of whom shall receive an annual compensation of twelve hundred dollars (\$1,200). The term of each commissioner shall be four years, commencing at twelve o'clock noon on the 15th day of January in the years 1938, 1940 and 1941, respectively. The incumbents serving as members of the commission at the effective date of the amendment shall continue to hold their respective positions, subject to the provisions of the charter, for the remainder of the terms for which they have been respectively appointed. The commission shall appoint a chief of police who shall hold office at its pleasure.

The police commissioners shall be the successors in office of the police commissioners holding office in the city and county at the time this amendment shall become effective, and shall have all the powers and duties thereof except as in this charter otherwise provided. They shall have power to regulate traffic, including the location and use of traffic control devices for that purpose.

All positions in the police department legally authorized shall be continued, and incumbents therein legally appointed thereto shall be continued as officers and employees of the department under the conditions governing their respective appointments and except as otherwise provided in this charter.



The rank of corporal is hereby abolished, effective on the last day of the month during which this amendment shall become effective, and members of the department having the rank and pay of corporal shall from the first day of the next ensuing month have the rank and pay of sergeant, and members of the department on a civil service commission list of those eligible for appointment as corporal shall during the life of such list be eligible for appointment as sergeant.

Each member of the department holding the position of inspector at the effective date of this amendment, and who has held such position for one year continuously prior thereto, is hereby declared permanent and appointed thereto. The chief of police may detail from time to time other members of the department for performance of duty in the bureau of inspectors who shall be known as assistant inspectors, and who may be removed from such detail by order of the chief of police. An appointment as inspector shall not be subject to competitive examination, but in case of vacancy in said rank of inspector, the appointment shall be made by the chief of police from among those assistant inspectors who have been detailed to, and who have actually served with the bureau of inspectors for at least five years prior to such appointment. Inspectors and assistant inspectors shall have the same rights as other members of the department to take competitive examinations from their respective civil service ranks. An inspector, guilty of any offense or violation of the rules and regulations of the police department shall be subject to punishment as provided in Section 155 of this charter.

The chief of police, in addition to the inspectors above provided for, shall detail any member of the department to serve as inspector of school traffic patrols who shall have the rank and pay of inspector, subject to the provisions of this section.

Subject to the provisions of Section 20 of this charter governing the appointment and removal of non-civil service appointees, and without competitive examination, the chief of police shall have power to appoint a police surgeon; to designate a deputy chief of police from the rank of captain, a department secretary from the rank of captain, and a director, bureau of special services, from the rank of sergeant or higher rank, a superintendent of the division of identification and an assistant superintendent of the division of identification, from any rank in the department; to designate a director, bureau of criminal information from among the members of the department having the rank of sergeant or higher; to designate a captain of inspectors, a captain of traffic and a director, bureau of personnel, from among the members of the department holding rank of lieutenant or higher, and to designate a supervising captain of districts from among the members of the department holding the rank of captain. Provided, that the captain of inspectors and captain of traffic and the superintendent of the division of identification, who shall be holding said positions at the effective date of this amendment, shall, if they have held their said positions continuously for one year next before said date, shall be continued in their respective positions as if they had been appointed thereto after civil service examination and certification. The department secretary or other suitable member of the department shall be assigned by the chief of police to serve also as secretary to the police commission without extra

ompensation. The officer holding the position of property clerk at the effective date of this amendment and who has held such position for one year prior thereto shall have the rank and pay of captain, as long as he legally holds such position, provided that when a successor to such position is assigned thereto by the chief of police from among the members of the department, such assignment shall be made at the rank and pay of the member so assigned.

When any member of the department, detailed to any of the positions above mentioned, shall be removed from said detail or position he shall be returned to his civil service rank and position, unless removed from the department pursuant to the provisions of Section 155 of the charter.

The police force of the city and county shall not exceed one police officer for each five hundred inhabitants thereof. The annual compensations for the several ranks in the department shall be as follows: chief of police, \$7,200; deputy chief of police, \$5,400; captain of inspectors, \$5,000; department secretary, \$4,800; captain of traffic, director, bureau of criminal information; director, bureau of personnel, and supervising captain of districts, each \$4,000; captains, \$3,600; lieutenants, and director, bureau of special services, the superintendent of the division of identification, each \$3,000; inspectors and assistant superintendent of the division of identification, \$2,760; sergeants, \$2,640; police surgeon, police officers, police patrol drivers and women protective officers, \$2,400; criminologist, \$3,600; photographer, \$2,700.

The chief of police may refuse to issue any permit that is subject to police department investigation and issuance, if it shall appear that the character of the business or the applicant requesting such permit does not warrant the issuance thereof, or he may revoke any such permit as soon as it shall appear that the business or calling of the person to whom it was granted is conducted in a disorderly or improper manner, or that the place in which the business is conducted or maintained is not a proper or suitable place in which to conduct or maintain such business or calling.

In the suppression of any riot, public tumult, disturbance of the public peace or organized resistance against the laws or public authority, the chief of police, in the lawful exercise of his functions, shall have all the powers that are now or that may be conferred on the Sheriff by the laws of this State.

The supervisors shall provide in the annual budget an amount not to exceed in any one fiscal year the sum of \$10,000, to be known as the contingent fund of the chief of police. The chief of police may from time to time disburse such sums for contingent expenses of the department as in his judgment shall be for the best interests of the city and county, and the police commission shall allow and order paid out of such contingent fund, upon orders signed by the chief of police, such amounts as may be required.

At his discretion or upon the petition of any person, firm or corporation, the chief of police may appoint, and at his pleasure remove special police officers. Such officers shall be subject to all the rules and regulations of the department.

The police commission may appoint patrol special officers and for



cause may suspend or dismiss said patrol officers after a hearing on charges duly filed with the commission and after a fair and impartial trial. Each patrol special officer shall be at the time of his appointment not less than twenty-one years of age nor more than forty years of age and must possess such physical qualifications as may be required by the commission. Age qualifications shall not apply to present patrol special officers acting as such at the time of the effective date of the amendment nor to their reappointment. Patrol special officers who are designated by the police commission as the owners of a certain beat or territory as may be fixed from time to time by said commission or their legal heirs or representatives of said owners, may dispose of their interest in said beat or territory to a person of good moral character, approved by the police commission and eligible for appointment as a patrol special officer.

On the recommendation of the chief of police, the commission may reward any member of the department for heroic or meritorious conduct. The form or amount of said reward to be discretionary with the commission, but not to exceed one month's salary in any one instance.

The chief of police shall have power, by regulation, to provide for the care and restitution of property that may come into possession of the department or into the possession of any officer or employee thereof, and the sale at public auction of all such unclaimed property, as well as the disposition of such property as shall consist of weapons or articles used or that may be used in the commission of crime, or the sale or disposition of which is prohibited by law.

### **PROMOTIONS.**

Section 146. Whenever it deems it to be practicable, the civil service commission shall provide for promotion in the service on the basis of such examinations and tests as the commission may deem appropriate and shall, in addition, give consideration to ascertained merit and record of city and county service of applicants. The commission shall announce in the examination scope circular the next lower rank or rank from which the promotion may be made. All promotions in the uniformed forces of the police and fire departments, respectively, shall be made from the next lower rank on the basis of examinations and tests, seniority of service and meritorious public service being considered, provided that in the police department a minimum of two years' service in the lower rank shall be a requirement for promotive appointment to the next higher rank. Not more than 5 per cent of the total credits obtainable under any promotive examination shall be allowed for seniority of service; and the civil service commission shall determine the manner in which said credits shall be distributed.

### **REQUISITION, CERTIFICATION AND APPOINTMENT.**

Section 148. Whenever a position controlled by the civil service provisions of this charter is to be filled, the appointing officer shall make a requisition to the civil service commission for a person to fill it. Thereupon, the commission shall certify to the appointing officer the name and address of the person standing highest on the list of eligible persons for such position. In case the position is promotive, the commission shall certify the name of the person standing highest on such list. In

making such a certification, sex shall be disregarded except when a statute, a rule of the commission or the appointing officer specifies sex.

From the requisition of the appointing officer or otherwise, the commission shall determine whether the position is, in character, temporary, seasonal or permanent, and shall notify the candidate in accordance therewith to the end that the candidate may have knowledge of the probable duration of employment. The commission shall provide for such waiver of temporary or seasonal employment as it may deem just to candidates.

Any appointment to a position declared permanent by the civil service commission shall be on probation for a period of six months, provided that the probationary period for entrance positions in the uniform rank of the police department shall be for one year. At any time during the probationary period the appointing officer may terminate the appointment. The civil service commission shall inquire into the circumstances and may declare such person dismissed, or may return the name to the list of eligibles for certification to another department. Immediately prior to the expiration of the probationary period, the appointing officer shall report to the civil service commission as to the competence of the probationer for the position, and, if competent, shall recommend permanent appointment.

### COMPENSATION, INSURANCE PAYMENTS.

Section 172. The benefit provisions of the workmen's compensation insurance and safety law of the State of California as they affect the benefits provided for or payable to or on account of officers and employees, including teachers of the city and county, shall be administered exclusively by the retirement board, provided that the retirement board shall determine whether the city and county, through the retirement system, shall assume the risks under the said law, in whole or in part, or whether it shall reinsure such risks, in whole or in part, with the state compensation insurance fund. Benefits under such risks as may be assumed by the city and county, and premiums under such risks as may be re-insured shall be paid by the retirement system, and an amount equal to the total of such benefits and premiums, as determined by the actuary for any fiscal year, including the deficit brought forward from previous years, shall be paid during such fiscal year to the retirement system by the city and county.

Every patrol special officer, as referred to in Section 35 of this charter shall be entitled, under this section, to the benefits of such compensation law, if injured while performing regular city and county police duties, which shall include only duties performed while preventing the commission of a crime, or while apprehending the person or persons committing such crime, and shall not include duties of any character performed for private employers either on or off the premises of such employers, provided that no payments shall be made under this paragraph in the event that the patrol officer shall receive the benefits of such compensation law from any other source.

Ordered submitted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl.



**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following bill was presented and *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

**Directing Submission to Electors of Initiative Ordinance on Billboards.**

(Code No. 11.08)

Bill No. 1218, Ordinance No. 11.08241, as follows:

Directing that a proposed ordinance entitled as follows: "An ordinance prohibiting the location, erection or maintenance of any sign or signboard, bill or billboard, or any advertising device or structure, movable or immovable, temporary or permanent, for advertisement sign or billboard business, or designed or intended for use for advertisement sign or billboard purposes within certain distances of any part of the structure of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge and or bridge ramp or approaches thereto or exits therefrom and specifying said distances, and providing penalties for the violation of this ordinance," be submitted to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco at a special election to be held on Tuesday, March 9, 1937.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A petition for the enactment of a proposed ordinance entitled as follows: "An ordinance prohibiting the location, erection or maintenance of any sign or signboard, bill or billboard, or any advertising device or structure, movable or immovable, temporary or permanent, for advertisement sign or billboard business, or designated or intended for use for advertisement sign or billboard purposes within certain distances of any part of the structure of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge and/or bridge ramp or approaches thereto or exits therefrom and specifying said distances, and providing penalties for the violation of this ordinance," having been signed by registered voters of the City and County of San Francisco equal in number to five per cent (5%) but less than ten per cent (10%) of the entire vote cast for Mayor at the last general election, and having been filed with the Registrar of Voters, the Board of Supervisors does hereby direct that said proposed ordinance be voted on at a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, March 9, 1937.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Repealed.**

Whereupon the following bill, heretofore passed for second reading, was repealed:

**Billboard Ordinance.**

(Code No. 11.08)

Bill No. 1215, Ordinance No. 11.0820, as follows:

Directing that a proposed ordinance entitled as follows: "An Ordinance prohibiting the location, erection or maintenance of any sign or signboard, bill or billboard, or any advertising device or structure, movable or immovable, temporary or permanent, for advertisement sign or billboard business, or designed or intended for use for advertisement sign or billboard purposes within certain distances of any part of the structure of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge and or bridge ramp or approaches thereto, or exits therefrom and specifying said distances, and providing penalties for the violation of this ordinance," be submitted to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco at a special election to be held on Tuesday, March 2, 1937.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A petition for the enactment of a proposed ordinance entitled as follows: "An ordinance prohibiting the location, erection or maintenance of any sign or signboard, bill or billboard, or any advertising device or structure, movable or immovable, temporary or permanent, for advertisement sign or billboard business, or designed or intended for use for advertisement sign or billboard purposes within certain distances of any part of the structure of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge and/or bridge ramp or approaches thereto or exits therefrom and specifying said distances, and providing penalties for the violation of this ordinance," having been signed by registered voters of the City and County of San Francisco equal in number to five per cent (5%) but less than ten per cent (10%) of the entire vote cast for Mayor at the last general election, and having been filed with the Registrar of Voters, the Board of Supervisors does hereby direct that said proposed ordinance be voted on at a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, March 2, 1937.

#### Action Deferred.

The following entitled proposed amendments were deferred for consideration on January 25, 1937:

Charter Amendment No. . . —Franchises.

Charter Amendment No. . . —Appropriations to meet Utility Deficits.

Charter Amendment No. . . —Police Department.

#### Charter Amendments Numbered ———

Thereupon, the proposed Charter Amendments, as to title and numbers, were *approved* as follows:

No.

- 1 Revenue Bonds, Electrical System.
- 2 Cash Reserve Fund and Temporary Loans, Tax Anticipation Notes.
- 3 Health Service System.
- 4 Establishing Public Welfare Department.
- 5 Positions, Civil Service (Institutional Help to become Civil Service).
- 6 Control of Harbor.
- 7 Police Pensions.
- 8 Positions in Treasurer's Office.
- 9 Contractors' Working Conditions.
- 10 Permits and Inspections.
- 11 Disposition of Utility Funds.
- 12 Police Department.
- 13 Power of Hearing, Inquiry and Subpoena.
- 14 Limitation on Special Assessments.
- 15 Zoning and Set-Back Lines.
- 16 Number, Compensation and Meetings of Supervisors.
- 17 Franchises.
- 18 Appropriations to Meet Utility Deficits.

#### Red Plague Campaign.

Supervisor Schmidt moved that a committee be appointed to participate in the Anti-Red Plague Campaign, which will be inaugurated at the Palace Hotel luncheon on January 23, 1937.

Motion *carried* and Health Committee appointed.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.



MONDAY, JANUARY 18, 1937.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors February 23, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 32

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 4

Monday, January 25, 1937

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JANUARY 25, 1937, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 25, 1937,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Quorum present.

President Shannon being absent, Supervisor Ratto was elected to preside.

Supervisor Shannon was noted present at 2:20 p. m., and assumed the chair.

## RECONSIDERATION.

Approving Project Statement, Funston Avenue Bridge Approach.

(Code No. 12.111)

Resolution No. 3040, as follows:

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby approve Project Statement covering portion of the State Highway System upon which, in its regular order, moneys allocated by the State out of the One-Quarter Cent Gas Tax State Highway Improvement Fund shall be expended in an amount not to exceed one-third of the cost of the construction of the Funston avenue approach road in the Presidio to the Golden Gate Bridge; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Chief Administrative Officer be and he is hereby authorized and requested to execute the foregoing project statement for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco and transmit it to the District Engineer of the State Department of Public Works.

*Supervisor Roncovieri in favor of four-lane highway; opposed to City paying one-third of cost thereof.*

January 18, 1937—Carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

January 18, 1937—Supervisor McSheehy changed his vote from *No* to *Aye* and moved reconsideration of the following vote at this meeting:

Question: Shall the vote whereby the foregoing resolution was adopted be reconsidered?

## Privilege of the Floor.

At the request of Supervisor Colman, Chief Administrative Officer Alfred J. Cleary was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board, as follows:



MONDAY, JANUARY 25, 1937.

On Saturday Mayor Rossi and I called on General Simonds at his office in the Presidio to see what could be done to expedite the Funston avenue approach. We were thunderstruck at the statement of General Simonds that no permission would be given to connect up the existing roads of the Presidio with the Golden Gate Bridge. I do not wish your Board to act under a misconception today in making this appropriation. I want to have all the facts before you in order that you can determine the policy, so I addressed this letter to the Board:

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
OFFICE OF CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

January 25, 1937.

Subject: Main Southerly (Funston Avenue) Approach,  
Golden Gate Bridge.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I am attaching hereto a copy of a letter addressed to your Honorable Board on December 2, 1936, by the President of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, Mr. William P. Filmer.

To expedite construction of the Funston avenue approach, Mayor Rossi and I called upon General Simonds on Saturday, January 23rd. We were advised by General Simonds as follows:

No permit has been given to the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, nor to the State of California, for construction of the Funston avenue approach. A tentative route has been approved in principle only, but the War Department is awaiting the submission of detailed plans before any permit will be granted.

Pending the submission of these plans, no permission will be granted to connect the existing roads in the Presidio to the bridge structure. Moreover, no assurance could be obtained by Mayor Rossi or by me that the Army authorities would ever consent to connect the existing roads in the Presidio with the bridge proper. Commander James Reed, general manager of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, advises me that he was given the information above noted on Friday, January 22, 1937.

This letter is directed to your Honorable Board to clear up any misconception that may have existed consequent on the receipt of Mr. Filmer's letter of December 2nd, and also to emphasize the fact that the Army authorities do not recognize the City officially in these negotiations, contending that the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District is the party at interest and that all negotiations for the Funston avenue approach must be carried on by the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.

Respectfully yours,

ALFRED J. CLEARY,  
Chief Administrative Officer.

**Motion.**

After discussion of the motion to reconsider made by Supervisor McSheehy at the previous meeting, Supervisor Uhl moved that the matter remain on the calendar and that the President of the Board appoint a committee to attempt to get the entire amount necessary to construct the Funston avenue approach to the Golden Gate Bridge, through the Presidio, from the State.

*No action.*

**Reconsideration Refused.**

Whereupon, the roll was called on the motion to reconsider and *refused* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Reilly, Roncovieri, Uhl—4.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon—7.

**Adopted.**

Thereupon, Resolution No. 3040, Approving Project Statement, Funston Avenue Bridge Approach, was announced *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

No—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS.****Final Passage.**

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

**Reducing License Fee on Apartment Houses.**

(Code No. 3.041)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1195, Ordinance No. 3.04166, as follows:

Amending Section 12 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series) as amended by Ordinance No. 3.04154, entitled "Imposing license taxes on certain businesses, callings, trades or employments within the City and County of San Francisco," in effect July 1, 1920, by reducing the license fee on apartment houses and repealing Section 2 of Bill No. 13, Ordinance No. 3.0412 entitled "Inspection Fee Ordinance," and repealing all ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflict therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 12 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 12. Every person, firm, partnership or corporation maintaining, conducting or operating an apartment house shall pay an annual license fee as follows, to defray the cost of inspection and regulation by the Division of Housing Inspection of the Department of Public Health, Fire Prevention Bureau and Bureau of Licenses, and no permits of occupancy shall be issued by the Division of Housing Inspection therefor without said license first having been had and obtained.

Apartment houses of less than 20 rooms, \$4 per annum;

Apartment houses of 20 to 29 rooms, \$6 per annum;

Apartment houses of 30 to 39 rooms, \$8 per annum;

Apartment houses of 40 to 49 rooms, \$10 per annum;

Apartment houses of 50 rooms or over, \$12 per annum.

Said license fee for the fiscal year 1935-1936, shall be due and payable on the effective date of this ordinance and subsequent fiscal years shall be due and payable on the first day of October of each year. No deduction in the amount of said license fee herein provided for shall be allowed although the time for which it is paid is less than a full year.

This inspection and regulation shall be made by the Division of Housing Inspection of the Department of Public Health and the Fire Prevention Bureau at least once a year and as often thereafter as may be deemed necessary.

For the purpose of this ordinance an apartment house shall be deemed to be any building or portion thereof, more than one story in height, which is designed, built, rented, leased, let or hired out to be occupied, or which is occupied as the home or residence of four (4) or more families living independently of each other and doing their cooking in the said building. It is expressly provided by this ordinance that no license fee shall be charged, exacted or collected from any flat dwelling containing four (4) to six (6) flats, (inclusive) of one (1) family only to each flat dwelling.

An apartment is a kitchen and one or more contiguous rooms which are occupied or intended or designed to be occupied by one family for living and sleeping purposes in an apartment house.



A kitchen is any room used or intended or designed to be used for cooking and preparation of food.

A room is any legal, habitable space, between two floors, and a floor and roof, of an apartment house, and divided and separated by proper partitions and doors.

A family is one person living alone or a group of two or more persons living together in an apartment, whether related to each other by birth or not.

Section 2. Section 2 of Bill No. 13, Ordinance No. 3.0412, entitled "Inspection Fee Ordinance," and all ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

### **Authorizing Sale of School Land on Thirtieth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

Also, Bill No. 1209, Ordinance No. 12.17229, as follows:

#### **Authorizing Sale of School Land on Thirtieth Avenue.**

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Santiago street; running thence southerly along the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 240 feet to the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue; thence northerly along last named line, 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 240 feet to the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue and the point of beginning.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

### **Supplemental Appropriation of \$12,000 for a Lighting System on Twenty-fifth Avenue.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1210, Ordinance No. 9.051341, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$12,000 to the credit of Appropriation No. 663.509.00 to provide funds for the installation of a lighting system by the City and County of San Francisco on Twenty-fifth avenue from Golden Gate Park to Sea Cliff; \$7,600 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 663.509.03 and \$4,400 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 663.231.63.01.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$12,000 is hereby appropriated to the credit of Appropriation No. 663.509.00 to provide funds for the installation of a lighting system by the City and County of San Francisco on Twenty-fifth avenue from Golden Gate Park to Sea Cliff; \$7,600 out of the

surplus existing in Appropriation No. 663.509.03 and \$4,400 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 663.231.63.01.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$260,000 for Constructing a Pipe Line in San Mateo and Santa Clara Counties.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1211, Ordinance No. 9.051342, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$260,000 out of the accrued surplus of the Water Revenue Fund to the credit of the Water Extension Reserve Fund for the purpose of constructing a pipe line in San Mateo and Santa Clara counties.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$260,000 is hereby appropriated out of the accrued surplus existing in the Water Revenue Fund to the credit of the Water Extension Reserve Fund for the purpose of acquiring rights of way and constructing a 36-inch pipe line from the San Francisco Water Department's System at Redwood City Gate House through Menlo Park, Atherton and to California avenue in Palo Alto.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Appropriation of \$63,931 for Relief for February, 1937.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1212, Ordinance No. 9.051343, as follows:

Appropriating \$63,931 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of February, 1937, and authorizing a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$63,931 is hereby appropriated out of such funds as may be available for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of February, 1937.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County of San Francisco and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations are fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved by the Civil Service Commission are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 2519 heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.



**Supplemental Appropriation of \$2,100 for the Creation of Position  
of Chief, Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1213, Ordinance No. 9.051344, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$2,100 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 610.101.01 to the credit of Appropriation No. 610.101.01 for the purpose of creating a position classified and designated as Chief, Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation, at \$350 per month in the Fire Department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,100 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 610.101.01 to the credit of Appropriation No. 610.101.01.

Section 2. There is hereby created in the Fire Department one position designated and classified as Chief, Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation, at a salary of \$350 per month, the compensation of which is provided by funds appropriated in Section 1 hereof.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance of Fire Department.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1214, Ordinance No. 9.05393, as follows:

Amending Section 12 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 (Annual Salary Ordinance) by adding Item 15½ thereto.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 12 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 12. FIRE DEPARTMENT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioner .....	\$ 100
2	1		Chief Engineer .....	600
3	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	225
4	1	B68	Chief Clerk, Fire Department.....	400
5	1	B306	Multigraph Operator .....	165
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	150
9	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	150
10	894	H2	Firemen . . . . .	180-200*
11	28	H10	Chief's Operator .....	210
12	12	H15	Engineer of Fire Engines.....	220**
13	114	H20	Lieutenant . . . . .	222.50**
14	74	H30	Captain . . . . .	235**
15	23	H40	Battalion Chief .....	350**
15½	1	H42	Chief, Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation .....	350
16	4	H50	Assistant Chief Engineer.....	400
17	1	L360	Physician . . . . .	235
18	6	O166	Firemen of Stationary Steam Engines..	195
19	1	O166	Fireman of Stationary Steam Engines..	185
20	4	O168	Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines	230
21	2	O168	Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines	220
22	1	O172	Chief Engineer of Stationary Steam En- gines . . . . .	280

## Section 12. FIRE DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
23	3	J4	Laborer, \$6 per day.....	
24	1	M4	Master Mechanic .....	416.66
25	12	O304	Hydrantman Gateman .....	215
26	1	O304	Hydrantman Gateman .....	175
27	1	O310	Foreman Hydrantman Gateman .....	255
28	1	U112	Pipe Calker, at \$7.50 per day.....	

## FIRE BOAT CREWS

29	1	H120	Pilot of Fire Boats (Relief).....	255
30	4	H120	Pilot of Fire Boats.....	255
31	9	H110	Marine Engineer (Fire Boats).....	255
32	9	H102	Marine Stoker (Fire Boats).....	205

\*At rates fixed by Charter.

\*\*In event of a vacancy in this rank, the position may be abolished and the number of positions for Firemen correspondingly increased without amendment of this ordinance and the Fireman's position may be filled subject to the provisions of Sections 1 and 2 hereof.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

### Amending Traffic Ordinance to Provide Twenty-Minute Parking on North Side of Plum Street.

(Code No. 11.02)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1205, Ordinance No. 11.0224, as follows:

Amending Section 38a, Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 38a, Article V of Ordinance 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following words: "North side of Plum street between Mission street and Van Ness Avenue South."

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

### Amending Traffic Ordinance to Make Plum Street a "One-Way" Street.

(Code No. 11.02)

Also, Bill No. 1206, Ordinance No. 11.0225, as follows:

Amending Section 26, Article IV of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 26, Article IV of Ordinance 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following words: "Westerly in Plum street from Mission street to Van Ness Avenue South."

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.



**Amending Traffic Ordinance to Prohibit Left Turn from Plum Street Into Mission Street During Certain Hours.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Also, Bill No. 1207, Ordinance No. 11.0226, as follows:

Amending Section 16, Article IV of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 16, Article IV, of Ordinance 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following words: "Plum street into Mission street, from 4:30 p. m. to 6:30 p. m."

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Amending Traffic Ordinance to Prohibit Parking on the South Side of Plum Street Between Mission Street and Van Ness Avenue South.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Also, Bill No. 1208, Ordinance No. 11.0227, as follows:

Amending Section 37, Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 37, Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following words: "South side of Plum street between Mission street and Van Ness Avenue South."

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**NEW BUSINESS.**

**Adopted.**

The following matters were taken up:

**Confirming Sale of Henry Street Parcels.**

(Code No. 12.1721)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 3049, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17218, Bill No. 1170, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids would be received by him on January 7, 1937, for the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Parcel 1. A right of way easement to construct, maintain, repair and use a road or driveway over the following described land:

Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Henry street; thence northerly along the westerly termination of Henry street, 18 feet; thence deflecting 111 degrees 13 minutes 30 seconds to the left and running southwesterly 4.620 feet to the northeasterly prolongation of the southeasterly line of Lot 9, Block 8 of Flint Tract Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book 1, at page 148, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting 44 degrees 30 minutes 30 seconds to the left and running southwesterly along

said northeasterly prolongation 17.910 feet to the southerly line of Henry street produced westerly; thence deflecting 114 degrees 16 minutes to the left and running easterly along said line of Henry street so produced, 11.667 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 2. Beginning at the northeasterly corner of Lot 9, Block 8 of Flint Tract Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book 1, at page 148, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of aforesaid Block 8, parallel with and distant 100 feet at right angles northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Fifteenth street, 32 feet to the southeasterly line of Lot 8 of aforesaid Block 8; thence at right angles northeasterly along last named line produced northeasterly 14 feet; thence deflecting 55 degrees 42 minutes 47 seconds to the right and running northeasterly 26.627 feet; thence deflecting 11 degrees 12 minutes 17 seconds to the left and running northeasterly 14.265 feet to the southeasterly line of aforesaid Lot 9 produced northeasterly; thence deflecting 135 degrees 29 minutes 30 seconds to the right and running southwesterly along last named line so produced, 39.173 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 3. Beginning at the northeasterly corner of Lot 8, Block 8 of Flint Tract Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book 1, at page 148, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of aforesaid Block 8, parallel with and distant 100 feet at right angles northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Fifteenth street, 32 feet to the southeasterly line of Lot 7 of aforesaid Block 8; thence at right angles northeasterly along last named line produced northeasterly 7 feet; thence deflecting 77 degrees 39 minutes 39 seconds to the right and running easterly, 32.758 feet to the southeasterly line of aforesaid Lot 8 produced northeasterly; thence deflecting 102 degrees 20 minutes 21 seconds to the right and running southwesterly along last named line so produced 14 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 4. Beginning at the northeasterly corner of Lot 7, Block 8 of Flint Tract Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book 1, at page 148, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of aforesaid Block 8, parallel with and distant 100 feet at right angles northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Fifteenth street, 12 feet; thence deflecting 149 degrees 44 minutes 37 seconds to the right and running northeasterly, 13.892 feet to the southeasterly line of said Lot 7 produced northeasterly; thence deflecting 120 degrees 15 minutes 23 seconds to the right and running southwesterly along last named line so produced, 7 feet to the point of beginning.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Carl L. Voigt, Frances Voigt, C. L. Van Derley and John T. Quigley offered to purchase said property for the sum of \$225 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said sum of \$225 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being \$225; and

Whereas, Carl L. Voigt, et al., have paid the sum of \$51 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Department of Public Works has recommended the sale of said property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary deeds for the conveyance of said property to Carl L. Voigt, Frances Voigt, C. L. Van Derley and John T. Quigley, subject to such reservations and conditions as may be deemed necessary by



the City Attorney and the Director of Property. The buyers must pay the balance of the consideration within thirty days after approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver the deeds to the grantees upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Widening of Nineteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3050, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain parcels of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 648.914.14.1, Project No. 5:

Robert T. Williamson, et ux., portion of Lot 17, Assessor's Block 2347 .....	\$ 2,480.00
Gustave H. Dworzek, portions of Lots 12, 13 and 14, Assessor's Block 2407 .....	1,831.20
St. George Holden, portion of Lot 13, Assessor's Block 2347 .....	13,750.00
Nellie Zechlin, portion of Lot 10, Assessor's Block 1731 .....	909.30
Carlo S. Semonian, et ux., portion of Lot 4, Assessor's Block 1731 .....	1,542.00

The above sums include damages in full to the improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within 30 days after recording the deeds to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for a particular description of said parcels of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land for Longfellow School Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 3051, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Longfellow School Playground, for the sums set forth opposite their names, payable from Appropriation No. 613.600.06.

James C. Purcell, et al., Lot 11, Assessor's Block 6474...\$5,500

Alfred Fellman, et ux., Lot 8, Assessor's Block 6474.... 4,250

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Refund of Taxes.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 3052, as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$42.76 be and is hereby authorized to be paid to Peyton Y. Alverson, in accordance with Section 3804 of the Political Code; being erroneous payment of taxes, Lot 41, Block 1913, in name of Veterans' Welfare Board.

Verified and approved by the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Resolution Authorizing the Transfer of Unclaimed Deposits Amounting to the Sum of \$729.83 From the Street Improvement Fund to the General Fund.**

(Code No. 9.052)

Also, Resolution No. 3053, as follows:

Whereas, W. H. Worden, as Director of Public Works, in Order No. 5216, approved December 2, 1936, has requested the Board of Supervisors to transfer the unclaimed deposits in the Street Improvement Fund, amounting to the sum of \$729.83, an itemized list of said deposits being attached to said order and request; therefore,

Resolved, That in accordance with said request said deposits aforesaid, as the same appear on said list, are hereby declared to be forfeited to the City and County of San Francisco and the said sum of \$729.83, being the aggregate of said deposits be and the same is hereby transferred from the Street Improvement Fund to the General Fund and the Controller and Treasurer are hereby authorized and directed to make said transfer.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following matters were taken up:

**Appropriation \$600 From Emergency Reserve Fund for Appointment Part-Time Criminal Attorney for District Attorney's Office.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1224, Ordinance No. 9.051346, as follows:

Appropriating \$600 from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 605.101.00, for the payment of salary of one part time Criminal Attorney, District Attorney's Office, for five months, at \$120 per month.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$600 is hereby set aside from Emergency Reserve, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 605.101.00, Salaries, District Attorney's Office, for the payment of salary of one part time Criminal Attorney, for five months, at \$120 per month.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund, as it is necessary to provide for the appointment of an assistant to aid in the handling of an increased number of cases involving small children, which cases should be tried in the Juvenile Court



rather than in the Hall of Justice, and the District Attorney is without sufficient funds to provide therefor.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **Amending Annual Salary Ordinance of District Attorney's Office.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1223, Ordinance No. 9.05394, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 9.05380 by adding Item 15½ to Section 7 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 7 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 is hereby amended to read as follows:

#### **Section 7. DISTRICT ATTORNEY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		District Attorney .....	\$ 666.66
2	3	B154	Criminal Law Clerk .....	200
3	3	B154	Criminal Law Clerk .....	190
4	1	B156	Senior Criminal Law Clerk .....	225
5	1	B166	Chief Clerk, District Attorney's Office...	250
6	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
7	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
8	1	B222	General Clerk (part time) .....	50
9	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
10	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	150
11	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
12	1	B516	Senior Clerk-Typist .....	175
13	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil .....	375
14	5	K54	Attorney, Criminal .....	250
15	1	K54	Attorney, Criminal .....	190
15½	1	K54	Attorney, Criminal (part time).....	120
16	3	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	375
17	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	300
18	2	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	250
19	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	200
20	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	190
21	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal .....	375
22	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal .....	275
23	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal .....	250

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **Authorizing Sale of School Lands on Eighteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

Also, Bill No. 1225, Ordinance No. 12.172210, as follows:

Authorizing sale of school lands on Eighteenth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Parcel 1: Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Eighteenth avenue, distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Kirkham street (formerly "K" street), running thence southerly along said westerly line of Eighteenth avenue 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 210 feet to a line parallel with and distant 30 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Nineteenth avenue, said parallel line being the proposed new easterly line of said Nineteenth avenue; thence at a right angle northerly along said parallel line, 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 210 feet to the westerly line of Eighteenth avenue and the point of commencement.

Being portion of Outside Land Block No. 786.

Parcel 2: Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Eighteenth avenue, distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Moraga street (formerly "M" street), running thence southerly along said westerly line of Eighteenth avenue, 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 210 feet to a line parallel with and distant 30 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Nineteenth avenue, said parallel line being the proposed new easterly line of said Nineteenth avenue; thence at a right angle northerly along said parallel line 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 210 feet to the westerly line of Eighteenth avenue and the point of commencement.

Being portion of Outside Land Block No. 878.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Adopted.**

The following matters were taken up:

### **Islais Creek Reclamation District Warrants.**

(Code No. 12.04)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 3054, as follows:

Be it resolved, that the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District—No. 725 to Elizabeth Del Carlo for \$333.45, No. 726 to American Trust Co. for \$124.95, No. 727 to Director of Public Works for \$334.05, No. 728 to Coldwell, Cornwall & Banker for \$25.49, No. 729 to San Francisco Chronicle for \$32.76, No. 730 to San Francisco and Fresno Land Co. for \$374.42, No. 731 to M. J. Getz-Ben Salomon for \$66.12, No. 732 to Charlotte Horrigan, administratrix of estate of M. Dore (deceased), for \$876.17, No. 733 to Howard Inv. Co. for \$235.98, No. 734 to E. J. Quistad for \$226.25, No. 735 to P. F. Reilly for \$674.40, No. 736 to Wright & Wright & Larson for \$1,000.20—payable out of the funds of said district, be and the same are hereby approved; and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.



**Approving County Welfare Recommendations.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3055, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Director of the County Welfare Department, filed with the Board of Supervisors on January 22, 1937, are approved as follows:

Old Age Security Aid—New Applications; increases, aid denials.

Blind Applications—New Applications; increases.

Widows' Pensions—New Applications; increases.

And the Clerk of this Board is directed to indorse the approval of this Board upon the reports of said Director of County Welfare Department and to transmit the same to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Widening of Nineteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3056, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain parcels of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 648.914.14.1, Project No. 5:

Wm. H. Hage, portion of Lot 5-O, Assessor's Block 2419 .....	\$2,300.00
I. Y. Bailey and M. Bailey, portion of Lot 8-B, Assessor's Block 2199 .....	3,510.00
John Dokter, portions of Lots 20, 21 and 22, Assessor's Block 2138 .....	4,515.00
W. G. Bailey, et ux., portion of Lot 16, Assessor's Block 2406 .....	175.60
F. B. DeLauney, et ux., portion of Lot 17, Assessor's Block 2031 .....	2,350.00
Gertrude Julia Cunningham, portion of Lot 15, Assessor's Block 2406 .....	191.20
Elizabeth E. Leonard, et al., portion of Lot 35, Assessor's Block 1835 .....	588.00

The above sums include damages in full to the improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within 30 days after recording the deeds to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for a particular description of said parcels of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Purchase of Land for Richardson Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3057, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties, certain parcels of land situated in

the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Richardson avenue, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 648.914.14.1, Project No. 4½:

Rinaldo J. Olivi, et ux., portion of Lot 7, Assessor's Block 932 .....	\$15,250
Antonio Arata, et ux., portion of Lot 5, Assessor's Block 934 .....	9,000
A. Biancalana, et ux., portion of Lot 5, Assessor's Block 932 .....	33,730

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said real property. Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for particular descriptions of said parcels of land.

Approved by Joseph J. Phillips, Director of Property.

Approved as to form by Dion R. Holn, Assistant City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

#### **Authorizing Compromise of the Claim of Josephine Lawrie for Injuries Sustained by Defect in Sidewalk.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Resolution No. 3058, as follows:

The City Attorney having recommended that the action pending in the Superior Court of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, entitled "Josephine Lawrie, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco, etc., et al.," be settled and compromised by the payment of one hundred dollars (\$100) to the plaintiff, Josephine Lawrie, in said action, No. 98903, in full payment and satisfaction of all claims which she has by reason thereof; and said City Attorney is hereby authorized by compromise and settle the said claim of said Josephine Lawrie by the payment of one hundred dollars (\$100) in full payment and satisfaction of all demands arising on account of said accident, chargeable Appropriation No. 648.918.00.

Payment recommended: W. H. Worden, by S. J. Hester, Acting Director of Public Works.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

#### **Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

#### **Supplemental Appropriation of \$70,500 from Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund for Pavement in Laguna Honda Boulevard.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1226, Ordinance No. 9.051347, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriation of seventy thousand five hundred dollars (\$70,500) from unappropriated surplus in the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund for the fiscal year of 1936-1937, to the credit of Appropriation No. 677.910.00, for the installation of a permanent pavement in Laguna Honda boulevard between Dewey boulevard and Lawton street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:



Section 1. There is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the unappropriated surplus in the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund for the fiscal year of 1936-1937, to the credit of Appropriation No. 677.910.00, the sum of seventy thousand five hundred dollars (\$70,500), for the installation of a permanent pavement in Laguna Honda boulevard between Dewey boulevard and Lawton street.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

#### Re-referred to Finance Committee.

At the request of Supervisor Shannon, the following matter was *re-referred to the Finance Committee*:

#### An Ordinance Providing a Code of Fair Competition for the Cleaning and Dyeing Industry in the City and County of San Francisco and Providing a Penalty for the Violation of This Code.

(Code No. 5.49)

Bill No. 1227, Ordinance No. 5.491, as follows:

An ordinance providing a code of fair competition for the cleaning and dyeing industry in the City and County of San Francisco and providing a penalty for the violation of this code.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A code of fair competition for the cleaning and dyeing industry in the City and County of San Francisco is hereby provided and set up in the words and figures following, namely:

"Code of Fair Competition" for the cleaning and dyeing industry in the City and County of San Francisco.

#### ARTICLE 1.

##### *Objects and Purposes.*

(a) This code is set up under and by virtue of and to effectuate the policies of Chapter 814 of Statutes of California, 1935, which is hereby referred to and made a part hereof and particularly for the purpose of increasing employment, establishing fair and adequate wages, effecting necessary reduction of hours, improving the standards of labor, and to eliminate unfair trade practices and ruinous price-cutting evils so that the cleaning and dyeing industry in the City and County of San Francisco may be rehabilitated and enabled to do its part toward establishing that balance of industries which is necessary to the restoration and maintenance of the highest practical degree of public welfare.

(b) It is the declared purpose of the cleaning and dyeing industry in the City and County of San Francisco to bring and maintain, in so far as may be practical, the rates of wages paid within the cleaning and dyeing industry to such levels as are necessary for the creation and maintenance of the highest practical standard of living, to restore the income of enterprises within the industry to levels which will make possible the payments of such wages, and to avoid a further depletion and destruction through impending bankruptcy of the greater part of this industry.

(c) It shall be the purpose of the industry in adherence to this code to improve the general sanitary and health conditions of the

cleaning and dyeing industry, to give the best possible service to the public at fair and standardized prices, to protect the public from fraudulent schemes in connection with the cleaning, dyeing and pressing of clothing, to disseminate information concerning modern cleaning methods and practices toward the end that the public may the better appreciate the care, consideration and attention now being given to the cleaning product, and to instill a spirit of co-operation among the members of such industry for the accomplishment of these objects and purposes.

(d) To disseminate knowledge among its members as to the use of scientific management, system and cost accounting, sound credit and collection methods, and to promote and assist in putting into effect the use thereof.

(e) To provide an impartial board of adjustment, through which any claims for damages to customer's goods may be considered.

(f) To provide a code authority consisting of an executive control committee of six, empowered to enforce the provisions of this code, as herein provided, said committee to consist of: Two wholesale plant owners, two retail plant owners, two retail cleaning store owners, as well as a chairman.

(g) This ordinance shall be known as the "Cleaning and Dyeing Code." It is the purpose of this industry to abide by the provisions of Chapter 814 of the Statutes of California, 1935.

## ARTICLE 2.

### *Territory.*

(a) The territory embraced within the confines of this industry is the boundaries of the City and County of San Francisco in the State of California.

### *Initiation.*

(a) No initiation or entrance fees shall be charged, but there shall be dues levied on the following basis:

### *Dues.*

Monthly dues for independently owned retail cleaning stores shall be \$1.50 per month per shop.

Monthly dues for any type of dry cleaning plant shall be based as follows:

Type No. 1. Monthly volume of business up to \$1,000 shall pay \$10 per month.

Type No. 2. Monthly volume of business between \$1,000 and \$3,000 shall pay \$20 per month.

Type No. 3. Monthly volume of business between \$3,000 and \$5,000 shall pay \$30 per month.

Type No. 4. Monthly volume of business between \$5,000 and \$10,000 shall pay \$40 per month.

Type No. 5. Monthly volume of business over \$10,000 shall pay \$100 per month.

## ARTICLE 3.

### *Participation and Voting.*

(a) Each member in good standing in the industry is to have only one vote, as herein provided.

(b) Participation in this code and any subsequent revision of or addition to this code shall be extended to any person, partnership or corporation engaged, in whole or in part, in the Cleaning and Dyeing Industry within the City and County of San Francisco.

## ARTICLE 4.

### *Administration.*

(a) The executive control committee, hereinabove provided for, shall be selected to represent said Cleaning and Dyeing Industry before the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Fran-



cisco, and before all governmental agencies, in any conference or conferences respecting this code of fair competition, and for all the other purposes hereof. The impartial chairman, selected by said executive control committee, shall be an ex officio member thereof but he shall have no vote excepting in case of a tie, in which event he shall cast the deciding vote. Two members of said committee shall be selected by each of said three groups specified in Paragraph (f) of Section 1 hereof, by ballot, at such time and place and manner as each of said three groups respectively shall decide at public meetings to be held by each of said groups for the purpose of selecting said members of said committee. All persons whose names and addresses can be ascertained by the exercise of reasonable diligence shall be invited to attend and to participate in said meeting or meetings and they shall be given reasonable notice of the time and place thereof.

(b) It shall be the duty of said executive control committee to enforce the provisions of this code and, through agents or otherwise, to hear or adjust complaints, consider proposals for and exceptions to the provisions of this code and otherwise administer and enforce its provisions.

(c) The executive control committee shall arbitrate all controversies between members of this industry and/or any controversies between members and the industry at large, as provided herein. If any member or members of such committee is a party to controversy existing between two or more members, the impartial chairman shall temporarily appoint another member or members to the board, from the same group as those temporarily removed, to consider and determine such controversies.

(d) The executive control committee shall have full authority to investigate all controversies and may levy a fine against the offending member or members, as provided for herein, and may make its order that the offending member pay to the association said fine, which shall not be in excess of fifteen times the weekly value of business lost by the complaining competitor or competitors. In the event that the offending member or members continue to violate this code after said order has been made, and they have received notice thereof, a separate fine may be levied against such member or members for each day's violation for any of the provisions of this code, but such fine is not to exceed \$100 for each such daily violation.

(e) All controversies shall be reported in writing in duplicate, one copy to be filed with the chairman of the executive control committee and one copy with the secretary of such committee.

(f) It shall be the duty of all persons subject to the provisions hereof to comply with each and every provision hereunder and to cooperate with all other persons in so doing, and it shall be the duty of every person operating hereunder to refuse to transact any business in the Cleaning and Dyeing Industry with any other person who violates any provision hereof.

## ARTICLE 5.

### *Production Provisions.*

(a) No limitations shall be placed upon any member which might restrain such member from installing new machinery and equipment, opening new offices or entering any phase of this industry.

## ARTICLE 6.

### *Cost, Sales, Prices and Discount Provisions.*

(a) It shall be an unfair method of competition for any person engaged in the Cleaning and Dyeing Industry to sell below the minimum price set forth in the schedule of prices attached hereto.

(b) On and after the effective date of this code, the minimum net cost to the customer for all cleaning, pressing, dyeing, repairing, and/or alteration services shall be not less than that set forth in said attached schedule entitled Minimum Price Lists for Cleaning.

Pressing, Dyeing, Repairing, and/or Alteration Services, and all quotations and charges shall be made only on that basis. All advertising matter, whether by newspaper, radio, billboard, circular, dodger or any other means, shall use and quote prices not less than those given in the attached schedule and net prices arrived at after allowing any discount (where allowable) shall not be used, quoted, considered or printed in any manner whatsoever.

(c) No sales discounts, commissions, premiums, free work, secret rebates or any other special inducements of any kind shall be made, either directly or indirectly, excepting the following:

Hotels and apartments may be given a 10 per cent discount upon the gross amount of cleaning and dyeing work which they pay for, providing the work is picked up from one central point and delivered to the same place. An agency sign must be prominently displayed and the agency must be responsible to the industry member of the collection and full payment of such services.

#### ARTICLE 7.

##### *Provisions Respecting Unfair Practices.*

The following acts, whether done directly or indirectly, are specifically designated, made and agreed to as unfair trade practices:

(a) The giving of any sales discounts, commissions, secret rebates, cash checks, free work, trading stamps, premiums, tokens, credits or any other special inducement of any kind to any customer or employee of such customer or prospective customer.

(b) The giving of any week-end discount.

(c) Enticing away any employee of a competitor with the purpose and/or effect of hampering, injuring or embarrassing said competitor in his business.

(d) Any attempt to calculate or induce the breach of present or future existing contracts or orders between competitors and their customers by any means whatsoever, or interfering with or obstructing the performance of any such contractual duties or services by any such means, with the purpose or effect of unduly hampering, impeding, injuring or embarrassing competitors in their business.

(e) The defamation of competitors by falsely imputing to them dishonorable conduct, inability to perform contracts, questionable credit standing or by other false statements, insinuations or imputations or by the false disparagement of the grade or quality of their products or service with the purpose of misleading or deceiving a customer or by injuriously affecting the business of such competitor.

(f) Enticing away an outside or commission driver of a competitor with the purpose or effect of hampering, injuring or causing loss of business or financial loss to said competitor.

(g) To secure, attempt to secure or use in any way any list or lists of customers of any other member.

(h) The solicitation of business, either directly or indirectly, by any driver, route man, outside man, solicitor or agent, or by any other person, of or among or from any list of customers or any customer whomsoever of any prior employer of such person; provided, however, that this shall not be construed to prevent in any way any "commission drivers" who own their own vehicles and who own the good will list of customers whom they serve and who guarantee the payment of the bills or indebtedness of said customers, from soliciting said customers for himself or for any person to whom such "commission driver," as aforesaid, may transfer his business.

(i) Performing any service for any retail cleaning store, agency or outside route man in violation of any contractual relation existing between said retail cleaning store, agency or outside route man and a member.

(j) To use, participate in, publish or broadcast any inaccurate, untrue, deceptive or misleading statement, representation or illustration or to make unfair competitive claims such as to advertise one's



dry cleaning or dyeing work as the best or the finest in an effort to sell such services.

(k) To pay a percentage or commission or to make a gift in any way to any organization, group or person not identified with the industry for its soliciting of cleaning or dyeing services.

(l) To give any lump estimates or bids to department stores, homes, hotels, clubs, theatres or others for quantities of work not based on a per capita basis.

#### ARTICLE 8.

##### *Statistics.*

In order to provide data necessary for the submittance of this code of fair competition and for its administration under the said above mentioned ordinance, the members of this industry agree to furnish all possible statistics and information as required by the executive control committee.

#### ARTICLE 9.

##### *General.*

(a) No provision in this code shall be interpreted or applied in such manner as to:

1. Promote monopolies.
2. Permit or encourage unfair competition.
3. Eliminate or oppress small enterprises.
4. Discriminate against small enterprises.
5. Prevent any retail cleaning store from doing business with any plant or changing the plant with which it is doing business.

(b) This code or any of its provisions may be canceled or modified to the extent necessary to conform to any action by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, or by action of any duly constituted representatives of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, or of said municipality, or to conform to any law of the State of California.

(c) Words, terms or phrases employed in this code or ordinance are to be construed according to the context on the approved usage of the English language in this community and technical words, terms and phrases as used in this code are to be construed according to the peculiar and appropriate meaning thereof, and of the definition thereof, in the cleaning and dyeing industry in the City and County of San Francisco.

(d) If any section, sub-section, sentence, clause, phrase or part of this ordinance or code is for any reason held to be invalid or unconstitutional or contrary to the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this act. The signatories of said code and the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby declare that they and it, respectively, would have signed and passed said code and said ordinance and each section, sub-section, sentence, clause and part thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, sub-sections, sentences, clauses, phrases or parts be declared invalid or unconstitutional.

(e) Amendments to this code may be adopted by an 80 per cent vote of the membership and shall be effective when approved by ordinance of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

(f) Violation by any member of any provision of this code or any approved rule issued thereunder or of agreed minimum prices is a violation of the code and is a misdemeanor and punishable as provided in Section 6 of the said Service Trade Act of 1935, known as Chapter 814 of the Statutes of California, 1935.

## ARTICLE 10.

*Wholesale Prices.*

The wholesale and retail prices shall be as set forth in the schedule of prices attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes.

## ARTICLE 11.

Each and all of the provisions hereof shall apply to and be equally binding upon all persons whomsoever who shall transact or attempt to transact, in whole or in part, within the boundaries of the City and County of San Francisco, any or part of any business herein defined, regulated or controlled, subject to the provisions hereof.

The fact that any such person shall have his principal place of business without the City and County of San Francisco, or shall have no place of business within the City and County of San Francisco, or shall be partly or principally engaged in any other business shall not exclude such person from the operation hereof.

## ARTICLE 12.

*Definitions.*

Section a. *Cleaning and Dyeing Industry.* The term cleaning and dyeing industry as used herein is defined to include any and all cleaning and dyeing establishments.

Section b. *Cleaning and Dyeing Establishment.* The term cleaning and dyeing establishment as used herein is defined to include any place where any article of wearing apparel, household furnishings, or fabrics, furs and leather of any kind whatsoever, are dry-cleaned, spotted, wet-cleaned, processed and/or finished for hire, and/or such service is offered for sale. Nothing included herein shall prevent an individual from pursuing the vocation of manual labor.

Section c. *Cleaning Plant.* A cleaning plant consists of equipment for cleaning garments and/or household articles by immersion in volatile moisture-free solvents of petroleum distillate type, coal tar distillate type, or chlorinated hydro-carbon type.

Section d. *Retail Cleaning Plant.* A retail cleannig plant is one which sells at least 75 per cent of its services to the ultimate consumer through sales outlets directly controlled by it, whether such outlets be truck delivery routes, branch stores, or other kinds of outlets.

Section e. *Wholesale Cleaning Plant.* A wholesale cleaning plant is one which sells more than 75 per cent of its services to a retailer at a wholesale price for re-sale by the retailer to the ultimate consumer under the retailer's own name.

Section f. *Branch Store.* A branch store is a retail outlet owned and operated by a plant.

Section g. *Retail Cleaning Store.* A retail cleaning store is any retail tailor and press shop not owned by a plant, and which purchases cleaning, dyeing and hat renovating service from a plant and distributes it to the consumer under the retail cleaning store's own name.

Section h. *Route Salesman.* A route salesman is any person employed, either on a salary or commission basis or both, by a cleaning and/or dyeing and/or hat renovating establishment to call for, solicit and deliver their services and/or collect for same.

Section i. *Dry-cleaning.* Dry-cleaning is the process of cleaning garments or household articles by the immersion and agitation of these articles in volatile moisture-free solvents, such as those of the petroleum distillate type, the coal tar distillate type, or the chlorinated hydro-carbon type, and processes incidental thereto, such as spotting, wet-cleaning, finishing, etc.

Section j. *Spotting.* Spotting is the operation of removing spots or stains which may remain in fabrics after any of the above mentioned cleaning processes.



Section k. *Finishing*. Finishing is the operation of pressing and/or re-shaping any fabric, fur or leather, either by hand or by machine through the use of heat, steam, air, or other means, with the shape, dimensions and contour of the article restored as nearly as possible to the condition in which the article was received.

Section l. *Hat Renovating*. Hat renovating is the process of cleaning, dyeing, bleaching, re-felting, re-blocking, re-shaping, re-trimming and re-modeling hats or any type of head covering.

Section m. *Hat Renovating Establishment*. A hat renovating establishment is one which practices the art of hat renovating, which sells either to wholesale or retail trade, and which is equipped to produce a standard job of hat renovating as defined by the hat renovator's division as set up in this code of fair practice.

Section n. *Retail Hat Renovating Establishment*. A retail hat renovating establishment is one which sells at least 75 per cent of its services to the ultimate consumer through sales outlets directly controlled by it, whether such outlets be truck delivery routes, branch stores or other kind of outlets.

Section o. *Wholesale Hat Renovating Establishment*. A wholesale hat renovating establishment is one which sells more than 75 per cent of its services to a retailer at a wholesale price for re-sale by the retailer to the ultimate consumer under the retailer's own name.

Section p. *Dyeing*. Dyeing is the process of coloring garments, household articles and other textiles by the immersion in, spraying on, or brushing in, either by hand or by machinery, in or with a dye liquor.

Section q. *Dyeing Plant*. A dyeing plant consists of machinery and equipment necessary to carry on the process of bleaching, and/or dyeing garments, household articles and other textiles.

Section r. *Retail Store*. Retail stores are defined as being any shop or agency which finishes by pressing and/or reshaping any fabric, either by hand or by machine, that has been dry cleaned; provided, however, that no retail store which is not wholly owned, operated and controlled by a wholesale or retail cleaning plant shall be considered or treated, in any manner, as an agency or branch store of such wholesale or retail cleaning plant.

Section s. *Agencies*. An agency is defined as any retail store, branch store, hotel, apartment house, club or other retail outlets which receives cleaning, pressing and/or dyeing work for customers, except dyeing and/or cleaning and/or retail cleaning stores as defined in Section b and Section g of Article 12.

Section t. *Routemen*. Routemen are defined as any person, partnership or corporation engaged in picking up and/or delivering cleaning, pressing and/or dyeing work.

Section u. *Inside Routemen*. Inside routemen are routemen employed by cleaners and/or dyers and/or retail cleaning store operators where the employer owns the good will (list of customers) and pays or does not pay the employee a guaranteed minimum wage with or without commission upon cash collections.

Section v. *Outside Routemen*. Outside routemen are defined as routemen who own their own good will (list of customers) and contract with a cleaner and/or dyer and/or retail cleaning store operator for the cleaning, pressing and/or dyeing of garments and/or household articles.

Section w. *Monthly Volume of Business*. Monthly volume of business is defined to mean the average total monthly volume of cleaning and/or pressing and/or dyeing business handled during any six months' period immediately preceding the date upon which dues are payable.

Section x. *Unduly Long Hours*. It shall be considered to be "unduly long hours" for any place of business whatsoever hereunder to

remain open for receiving and/or cleaning and/or dyeing and/or distributing to the public and/or to the industry any plant, branch store, office agency, retail store, retail cleaning store or retail cleaning establishment, or to operate any switchboard or telephone service therein and to operate any delivery vehicle between the hours of 6:30 p. m. and 7:30 a. m., or at any time whatsoever on any Sunday, Christmas Day, New Year's Day, Thanksgiving Day, Independence Day or Labor Day, and the doing of any such act shall be a violation of this code.

Section y. *Opening New Store.* No new or additional store, branch store or retail cleaning store hereunder shall be opened, set up or established within 500 feet of any established store, branch store, retail store or retail cleaning store, as herein defined, in said cleaning and dyeing industry in any commercial district in said City and County of San Francisco and/or within 1500 feet of any such store, branch store, retail store or retail cleaning store in said cleaning and dyeing industry in any residential district in said City and County of San Francisco; provided, that the terms "commercial district" and "residential district" shall be the same as such districts are defined by the City Planning Commission of the City and County of San Francisco, and as the same now appear on the official maps now on file in the office of said Commission in the City Hall of said City and County; provided, however, that if vacation of the premises occupied by a store, branch store or retail cleaning store is made necessary for reasons beyond the control of the owner of such store, branch store or retail cleaning store, then moving such business from one location to another within the same business district shall be deemed not in violation of this section.

#### ARTICLE 13.

Any person, firm or corporation violating any provision or provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine not exceeding \$500 or by imprisonment in the City and County Jail for a period not exceeding six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Each and every day's continuance of such violation shall constitute a separate offense.

#### ARTICLE 14.

This ordinance is enacted under and by virtue of Chapter 814 of the Statutes of 1935 of the State of California, and all applicable provisions of said act are incorporated herein.

Passed:....., 1937.

Effected:....., 1937.

.....  
Mayor.

Attest: .....

City and County Clerk.

### SCHEDULE OF PRICES

#### MINIMUM PRICE LISTS

##### *Men's Wear*

	WHOLESALE		RETAIL
	<i>Clean Only</i>	<i>Clean and Pressed</i>	<i>Cleaned, Pressed and Finished Renewed</i>
Suits .....	\$0.30	\$0.60	\$1.00
Suits (pongee and linen) .....	.40	.75	1.50
Tuxedo (2 or 3 pcs.) .....	.35	.65	1.25
Full Dress .....	.35	.65	1.50
Suits (spot and press) .....	...	.35	.50
Tuxedo (spot and press) .....	...	.50	.75
Full Dress (spot and press) .....	...	.60	1.00
Single Coat .....	.15	.30	.50



*Men's Wear (Continued)*

	WHOLESALE		RETAIL	
	<i>Clean Only</i>	<i>Clean and Pressed</i>	<i>Cleaned, Pressed and Finished</i>	<i>Renewed</i>
Pants .....	.15	.30	.50	
Corduroy Pants .....	.20	.35	.65	
Vest (plain) .....	.05	.10	.25	
Vest (fancy) .....	.10	.25	.50	
Pants (white) .....	.20	.35	.65	
Overcoats .....	.30	.60	1.00	
Sweaters .....	.15	.25	.50	
Sweaters (sleeveless) .....	.15	.25	.40	
Shirts (wool) .....	.15	.25	.35	
Shirts (silk) .....	.15	.35	.50	
Leather Jackets .....	.25	.40	.75	\$1.50
Bathrobes (silk, wool) .....	.30	.60	1.00	
Ties .....	...	.05	.10	
Gloves .....	...	.10	.25	
Pea Coats .....	.25	.50	.85	
Baseball Suits (3 pcs.) .....	.35	.70	1.00	
Raincoats (rubber) .....	.50	.75	1.25	
Sheepskin Overcoats .....	.50	...	1.00	
Drill Team Uniforms (3 pcs.) ..	.45	.90	1.75	
Drill Team Uniforms (4 pcs.) ..	.55	1.00	2.00	
Coat and Pants .....	.30	.60	1.00	

*Ladies' Wear*

	WHOLESALE		RETAIL	
	<i>Clean Only</i>	<i>Clean, Press and Finish Plain</i>	<i>Clean, Press and Finish Pleated</i>	<i>Clean, Press and Finish Pleated</i>
Dresses (silk, 1 or 2-pc.) ..	\$0.30	\$0.60	\$0.70	\$1.00
Dresses (silk, 3 pcs.) ....	.40	.70	.75	1.50
Dresses (ensemble) .....	...	...	...	....
Dresses (wool, 1 or 2-pc.) .	.30	.60	...	1.00
Dresses (velvet) .....	.35	.75	...	1.50
Dresses, press only .....	...	.50	.75	1.00
Suits (2-pc.) .....	.30	.60	...	1.00
Coats (plain) .....	.30	.60	...	1.00
Coats (fur trimmed) .....	.30	.65	...	1.25 up
Skirts (silk) .....	.15	.30	.35	.50
Skirts (wool) .....	.15	.30	.35	.50
Jackets (silk or wool) ....	.15	.30	.35	.50
Waists .....	.15	.30	.35	.50
Sweaters (sleeveless) ...	.15	.25	...	.40
Sweaters (sleeves) .....	.15	.25	...	.50
Bathrobes (silk or wool) .	.30	.60	...	1.00
Leather Jackets .....	.25	.40	...	.75 (renew)
Scarfs .....	.10	.15	...	.25
Corsets .....	.20	.35	...	.75
Gloves (short or gaunt.) .	...	.10	...	.15
Gloves (16 buttons) .....	...	.15	...	.25
Gloves (20 buttons) .....	...	.20	...	.35
White Skirts .....	.20	.35	.45	.50
Drill Team Uniforms				
(3 pcs.) .....	.45	.90	...	1.75
Drill Team Uniforms				
(4 pcs.) .....	.55	1.00	...	2.00
Purses .....	.15	.25	...	.50
Nightgowns .....	.30	.60	...	1.00
Pajamas .....	.30	.60	...	1.00
Kimonos .....	.30	.60	...	1.00
Slippers .....	...	.25	...	.50

*Boys' and Girls' Wear*

	WHOLESALE		RETAIL	
	<i>Clean Only</i>	<i>Clean and Pressed</i>	<i>Clean and Pressed Plain</i>	<i>Clean and Pressed Pleated</i>
Raincoats .....	\$0.25	\$0.40	\$0.75	.....
Suits .....	.20	.40	.75	...
Sweaters .....	.10	.20	.40	...
Sweaters (sleeveless) .....	.10	.15	.40	...
Pants .....	.10	.20	.40	...
Corduroy Pants .....	.15	.30	.50	...
Coats .....	.10	.20	.40	...
Dresses (wool or silk) .....	.20	.40	.75	\$0.85 up
Overcoats .....	.15	.30	.75	...
Skirts (wool or silk) .....	.10	.20 up	.40	.50 up

*Babies' Wear*

Suits .....	.15	.25	.50	...
Coats .....	.10	.20	.50	...
Pants .....	.05	.10	.25	...
Sweaters .....	.10	.15	.35	...
Dresses .....	.15	.30 up	.50	.60 up
Bonnets .....	.10	.20	.35	.50 "
Skirts .....	.10	.20	.35	...
Blankets .....	.15	.25	.50	...
Capes .....	.10	.15	.50	...

*Fur Work*

Coats .....	1.50	2.50	5.00 up	...
Jackets (medium) .....	.75	1.25	3.00 "	...
Fox Collars .....	...	.50	1.00 "	...

*Household Lists*

Curtains (cotton) .....	...	.35	.75	...
Curtains (silk) .....	.25	.50	1.00	...
Drapes, single, unlined.....	.25	.50	1.25	...
Drapes, double, unlined.....	.40	.65	1.75	...
Drapes, double, lined.....	.50	.75	2.00	...
Drapes, single, lined.....	.35	.60	1.50	...
Drapes, velvet, velour, sing..	.50	1.00	2.50	...
Drapes, velvet, velour, double	.85	1.50	4.00 up	...
Blankets (single) .....	...	.35	.75	...
Blankets (double) .....	...	.50	1.00	...
Pillows .....	...	.50	1.00	...

*Dye Work List*

	WHOLESALE		RETAIL	
	<i>Dye Only</i>	<i>Dye and Pressed</i>	<i>Dye and Pressed</i>	<i>Dye and Pressed Pleated</i>
Suits .....	\$1.75	\$2.75	\$4.50	.....
Overcoats .....	1.75	2.75	4.50	....
Ladies' Coats .....	1.75	2.75	4.50	....
Coats .....	1.00	1.50	2.25	....
Pants .....	1.00	1.50	2.25	....
Sweaters .....	.75	1.25	1.75	....
Dresses .....	1.75	2.75	4.50	\$5.00 up
Skirts .....	1.00	1.50	2.25	2.50 "
Jackets .....	1.00	1.50	2.25	....
Waists .....	.75	1.25	2.00	2.50
Suits, Dresses or Coats pressed only .....	...	2.00	3.50	....

## NOTE:

All sample dye will cost wholesale 25c extra and retail 50c extra.

Retail cost to remove and replace fur collar will be \$1.00.

Retail cost to remove and replace fur collar and cuffs will be \$1.50.



## PRICE LISTS FOR ALTERATIONS AND REPAIRS OF CLOTHES

*Men's Coats*

Shorten .....	\$1.50
Lengthen .....	2.00
Full relining, labor only .....	4.00
Relining Skeleton back .....	4.00
Sleeve lining, labor only .....	1.50 up
Sleeves shortened .....	.75
Sleeves shortened, open vent .....	1.00
Sleeves lengthened .....	.75
Sleeve repairing, fixing around edges .....	1.00
Collar shortened and take in shoulders .....	3.50
Collar lower and take in shoulders .....	3.50
Side seam, take in with sleeve partly out .....	3.50
Side seam, take in with sleeve fully out .....	5.00
Armhole larger .....	2.50
Shields under arms .....	.75
Buttonholes, hand made .....	.35 up
Buttons, set .....	.75
New Pocket .....	.75
New Pocket with money pocket .....	1.00

*Pants.*

Shorten .....	\$0.50
Set of Suspender Buttons .....	.50
Loops for Belt .....	.75
Binding Side Pockets .....	.75
New Crotch .....	1.50
Reinforce Knees .....	1.00
Shorten with French Cuffs .....	.75
Take in Waist .....	.75
Half Pocket .....	.35
Whole Pocket .....	.75

*Vests.*

Smaller at sides .....	\$0.75
Larger at sides .....	1.00
New Back Lining .....	1.50
New Lining (complete, including material) .....	3.00
Buttons, each .....	.05
Buttonholes, each .....	.25
Lengthen .....	1.50
Pockets, each .....	.50

*Ladies' Coats.*

Shorten .....	\$1.50
Shorten (open all lining) .....	2.00
Lengthen .....	2.00
Reline (labor only) .....	4.00 up
Shields .....	1.00
Shorten sleeves .....	.75
Shorten sleeves with cuffs at bottom .....	1.25
Lengthen sleeves with cuffs at bottom .....	1.25
Lengthen sleeves .....	1.00
New Pockets .....	.75 up

*Dresses.*

Shorten .....	\$1.00 up
Lengthen .....	1.50
Lengthen, flair bottom .....	1.75
Shorten, flair bottom .....	1.75

## HAT PRICE LIST.

*Men's.*

	<i>Retail</i>	<i>Wholesale</i>
Felts, cleaned and blocked.....	\$ .75	\$ .40
Felts, cleaned only .....		.10
Felts, blocked only .....	.50	.30
Felts, turned (inside out) .....	1.00	.65
Felts, cut brim .....	.25	.15
Felts, shrink (remove leather) .....	.25	.15
Derby, clean and block .....	1.00	.65
Cowboy Hats, clean and block .....	1.50	1.00
Panamas, bleach and block .....	1.50	.75
Panamas, new bodies bleached and block.....	1.75	1.00
Straws, cleaned and blocked .....	1.00	.60
Caps, Soft .....	.35	.20
Caps, clean only .....	.35	.10
Caps, uniform .....	.50	.30
Caps, children .....	.25	.10

*Ladies'.*

	<i>Retail</i>	<i>Wholesale</i>
Wool and felt, clean and block.....	\$ .75	\$ .40
Cloth, wide brim, clean and block .....	.75	.40
Cloth, narrow brim, clean and block .....	.50	.30
All types, clean only .....		.10
Panamas, bleach and block .....	1.50	.75
Straws, bleach and block .....	1.00	.60

## TRIMMINGS.

*Men's and Ladies'.*

Ribbon .....	\$ .50	\$ .40
Sweat Band .....	.50	.40
Binding .....	.75	.50
Lining .....	.50	.40
Sweat Shield .....	.50	.40
Welt .....	.75	.50

## DYE WORK LIST.

*Household List.*

Domestic Rugs, 9x12 .....	\$ 9.00
Oriental Rugs, 9x12 .....	12.00
Runners, 27x36 per carpet yard .....	.75
Minimum charge, first yard .....	1.50
Bed Spreads .....	1.50 up
Table Cloths .....	1.50 "
Table Cloth and Napkins .....	2.50 "
Napkins, per dozen .....	1.50 "
Plain Drapes, ½ width .....	1.50 "
Plain Drapes, full width .....	2.25 "
Damask Drapes, 5 to 8 feet long.....	
Damask Drapes, ½ width, pair .....	2.00 "
Damask Drapes, full width .....	2.75 "
Velour Drapes, ½ width .....	2.00 "
Velour Drapes, full width .....	2.75 "
Velour Drapes, double-faced, ½ width .....	3.00 "
Velour Drapes, double-faced, full width .....	4.00 "

*Boys' List.*

O'coat .....	\$ 1.25
Suits (2 or 3-piece) .....	1.25
Pants .....	.75
Jackets .....	.75
Sweaters .....	.50
Sweater Sets .....	1.00



*Girls' List.*

Coats .....	\$ 1.25
Suits .....	1.25
Dresses .....	1.25
Skirts .....	.75
Blouses .....	.75
Hats .....	.50

*Babies' List.*

Babies' Coats (silk or wool) .....	\$ 1.00
Babies' Coats and Caps .....	1.25
Babies' Dresses .....	.75
Babies' Sweater Sets .....	1.25
Babies' Bonnets .....	.50
Babies' Buggy Robes .....	1.00
Babies' Silk Comforts .....	.75 up

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

**Closing and Abandoning a Portion Certain Portions of Sloat Boulevard and Junipero Serra Boulevard at Their Intersection.**

(Code No. 12.0622)

Resolution No. 3045, as follows:

Whereas, on the 7th day of December, 1936, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 2967, Code No. 12.0621, which resolution was presented to his Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 9th day of December, 1936, said resolution being in words and figures as follows:

*Intention to Close Certain Portions of Sloat Boulevard and Junipero Serra Boulevard at the Intersection for the Purpose of Realignment.*

(Code No. 12.0621)

Resolution No. 2967, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of Sloat and Junipero Serra boulevards be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Sloat and Junipero Serra boulevards more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Sloat boulevard, distant thereon 656.30 feet easterly from the easterly line of Nineteenth avenue; thence easterly on an arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the southerly line of Sloat boulevard, radius 250 feet, central angle 7 degrees 15 minutes 08 seconds, a distance of 31.644 feet to the proposed southerly line of Sloat boulevard; said proposed southerly line being 2 feet at right angles southerly and parallel with the southerly line of Sloat boulevard, and the true point of beginning of this description; thence easterly and southeasterly on the same 250-foot radius curve, central angle 51 degrees 57 minutes 41 seconds, a distance of 226.724 feet; thence northwesterly and westerly on the arc of a curve to the left whose tangent deflects 159 degrees 57 minutes 22 seconds to the left from the tangent of the preceding curve, radius 150 feet, central angle 60 degrees 53 minutes 27 seconds, a distance of 182.974 feet to the proposed southerly line of Sloat boulevard; thence deflecting 9 degrees 22 minutes 00 seconds to the left from the tangent of the preceding curve and running westerly, a distance of 60.252 feet to the true point of beginning of this description.

Excepting therefrom a 32-foot Municipal Railway easement.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Sloat and Junipero

Serra boulevards shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Chapter of the City and County of San Francisco and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Sloat and Junipero Serra boulevards in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted* by the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, December 7, 1936.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, December 9, 1936.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

And whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution, and the said Department of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution, and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 2967, Code No. 12.0621; and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that the portions of Sloat boulevard and Junipero Serra boulevard described in Resolution No. 2967, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered, that the portions of Sloat boulevard and Junipero Serra boulevard specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 2967, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing said portions of Sloat boulevard and Junipero Serra boulevard described in Resolution No. 2967, Code No. 12.0621, shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco as proposed and provided in Resolution No. 2967, Code No. 12.0621; and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said portions of said streets described in Resolution No. 2967, Code No. 12.0621, shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works and that the Department of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**Action Deferred.**

The following matter was taken up:

**Intention to Close and Abandon Portions of Mendell Street, Carroll Avenue, Empire Lane, Bancroft Avenue, Armstrong Avenue, Yosemite Avenue, Shoup Avenue and an Unnamed Street.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Resolution No. 3046, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portions of Mendell street, Carroll avenue, Empire lane, Bancroft avenue, Armstrong avenue, Yosemite avenue, Shoup avenue and an unnamed street be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all those portions of Mendell street, Carroll avenue, Empire lane, Bancroft avenue, Armstrong avenue, Yosemite avenue, Shoup avenue and an unnamed street, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

*Mendell Street.*

Parcel 1. All that portion of Mendell street lying between the easterly line of Shoup avenue and the westerly line of a strip of land 60 feet in width deeded to the City and County of San Francisco for street purposes by the Southern Pacific Company, recorded February 28, 1905, in Book 2103 of Deeds, at page 194, Records of aforesaid City and County, said westerly line being also the easterly line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way.

Excepting therefrom a 10-foot sewer easement lying 5 feet on each side of the center line of Mendell street.

Parcel 2. All that portion of Mendell street lying between the northeasterly line of Carroll avenue and a line parallel with and distant 60 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Shoup avenue.

Excepting therefrom a 10-foot sewer easement lying 5 feet on each side of the center line of Mendell street.

*Carroll Avenue.*

Parcel 1. All that portion of Carroll avenue (as it exists for approximately 200 feet northwesterly from Shoup avenue) lying between the northwesterly terminal line of Carroll avenue and a line parallel with and 60 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Shoup avenue.

Excepting therefrom a 10-foot sewer easement lying 5 feet on each side of the center line of Mendell street produced southwestwardly.

Parcel 2. All that portion of Carroll avenue lying between the southerly prolongation of the westerly line of Shoup avenue and the southerly prolongation of the westerly line of a strip of land 60 feet in width deeded to the City and County of San Francisco for street purposes by the Southern Pacific Company, recorded February 28, 1905, in Book 2013 of Deeds, at page 194, Records of aforesaid City and County, said last-named line being also the easterly line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way.

*Empire Lane.*

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Empire lane with the southeasterly terminal line of Empire lane; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly terminal line 31.79 feet to the northeasterly line of Empire lane; thence deflecting 162 degrees 45 minutes 16 seconds to the left and running southwesterly, 33.65 feet to the southwesterly line of Empire lane; thence deflecting 126 degrees 34 minutes 29 seconds to the left and running southeasterly along last-named line, 9.87 feet to the point of beginning.

*Bancroft Avenue.*

Parcel 1. All that portion of Bancroft avenue bounded on the northwest by the southeasterly line of Mendell street and the easterly line

of Shoup avenue and on the southeast by the westerly line of a strip of land 60 feet in width deeded to the City and County of San Francisco for street purposes by the Southern Pacific Company, recorded February 28, 1905, in Book 2013 of Deeds, at page 194, Records of aforesaid City and County, said westerly line being also the easterly line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way.

Parcel 2. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Bancroft avenue with a line parallel with and 60 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Shoup avenue, said point of beginning being distant on said southwesterly line 60.30 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Mendell street; thence northwesterly along said line of Bancroft avenue 14.70 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 26.25 feet to aforesaid parallel line; thence deflecting 150 degrees 44 minutes 44 seconds to the right and running southerly along said parallel line, 30.08 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 3. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of Bancroft avenue and a line parallel with and 60 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Shoup avenue; thence southerly along said parallel line, 45.85 feet; thence deflecting 119 degrees 15 minutes 16 seconds to the right and running northwesterly, 67.30 feet to the northwesterly terminal line of Bancroft avenue; thence at right angles northeasterly along said terminal line, 31.69 feet to the southerly line of Carroll avenue; thence deflecting 70 degrees 40 minutes 15 seconds to the right and running easterly along last-named line, 25.11 feet to the northeasterly line of Bancroft avenue; thence deflecting 19 degrees 19 minutes 45 seconds to the right and running southeasterly along last-named line, 21.20 feet to the point of beginning.

*Armstrong Avenue.*

All that portion of Armstrong avenue lying between the northwesterly line of Mendell street and the easterly line of Shoup avenue.

*Yosemite Avenue.*

All that portion of Yosemite avenue lying between the northwesterly line of Mendell street and the westerly line of Shoup avenue produced northerly.

*Shoup Avenue.*

All that portion of Shoup avenue lying between the southwesterly line of Yosemite avenue and the northeasterly line of Carroll avenue.

Excepting therefrom a 10-foot sewer easement lying 5 feet on each side of the center line of Bancroft avenue produced northwesterly, and extending from the westerly line of Shoup avenue to the center line of Mendell street produced southwesterly.

*Unnamed Street.*

All that portion of a strip of land 60 feet in width deeded to the City and County of San Francisco for street purposes by the Southern Pacific Company, recorded February 28, 1905, in Book 2103 of Deeds, at page 194, Records of said City and County, lying between the southwesterly line of Yosemite avenue and the northwesterly line of Mendell street.

Said closing and abandonment of said portions of Mendell street, Carroll avenue, Empire lane, Bancroft avenue, Armstrong avenue, Yosemite avenue, Shoup avenue and an unnamed street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the



Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portions of Mendell street, Carroll avenue, Empire lane, Bancroft avenue, Armstrong avenue, Yosemite avenue, Shoup avenue and an unnamed street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Andrew J. Gallagher, representing the Southern Promotion Association, was granted the privilege of the floor. He objected to the adoption of the foregoing resolution, stating that the citizens whom he represented had had no opportunity to examine the matter.

#### Motion.

Whereupon, Supervisor Uhl moved that the resolution be re-referred to the Streets Committee.

*No second.*

Thereupon, further consideration of the resolution was *postponed temporarily*.

#### Continued One Week.

Subsequently in the day's proceedings, the resolution was again taken up and by order of the Board, further consideration was *postponed one week*.

#### Re-referred to Streets Committee.

The following matters were *re-referred to the Streets Committee*:

#### Intention to Close and Abandon a Portion of the Southwesterly Side of Villa Terrace.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Resolution No. 3047, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portions of Villa terrace, at Pemberton place, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Villa terrace more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Villa terrace and the southeasterly line of Pemberton place; thence southeasterly along said line of Villa terrace, 57.119 feet to an angle point therein; thence deflecting 160 degrees 30 minutes to the left and running northwesterly along the southwesterly line of Villa terrace produced northwesterly 44.936 feet to a line parallel with and distant 15 feet at right angles northeasterly from the southwesterly line of Villa terrace; thence deflecting 19 degrees 30 minutes to the left and running northwesterly, 14.760 feet to the southeasterly line of Pemberton place produced northeasterly; thence at right angles southwesterly along last-named line, 15 feet to the point of beginning.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Villa terrace shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost, and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Villa terrace in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

**Intention to Close and Abandon a Portion of Corbett Avenue at Mars Street.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Resolution No. 3048, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of Corbett avenue, at Mars street, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Corbett avenue more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the southeasterly corner of Lot 20, Block Y of Park Lane Tract, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "E and F", at Page 129, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northerly along the easterly line of said Lot 20, being also the westerly line of Corbett avenue 50 feet to an angle point therein; thence deflecting 171 degrees 59 minutes 20 seconds to the right and running southerly 47.96 feet to the southerly line of said Lot 20, produced easterly; thence deflecting 77 degrees 26 minutes 37 seconds to the right and running westerly along last-named line, 7.14 feet to the point of beginning.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Corbett avenue shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost, and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Corbett avenue in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

**Continued One Week.**

Consideration of the following matters were *continued one week*:

**Amending Section 37 (b) of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series) Traffic Ordinance.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Bill No. 1219, Ordinance No. 11.0228, as follows:

Amending Section 37 (b) of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith," by adding thereto Kearny street, Stockton street, Third street and Fourth street as streets upon which it shall be unlawful to park between 4:30 p. m. and 6 p. m.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 37 (b). "Parking Prohibited on Certain Streets at Specified Hours." It shall be unlawful for the driver of any vehicle to stop the same or park for a longer period of time than is necessary for the actual loading or unloading and delivering of passengers or materials between the hours specified, and on the streets between points as shown below:

South side of Beach street, between Larkin and Polk streets, from 6 p. m. to 10 a. m.

East side of Battery street, between Bush and California streets, from 4:30 p. m. to 6 p. m., Sundays and holidays excepted.



Fourth street, between Market and Mission streets, from 4:30 p. m. to 6 p. m.

South side of Geary street, between Market and Taylor streets, from 8:30 a. m. to 9:30 a. m.

North side of Geary street, between Market and Taylor streets, from 4:30 p. m. to 6 p. m.

Kearny street, between Market and Post streets, from 4:30 p. m. to 6 p. m.

South side of Post street, between Market and Taylor streets, from 8:30 a. m. to 9:30 a. m.

North side of Post street, between Market and Taylor streets, from 4:30 p. m. to 6 p. m.

Stockton street, between Market and O'Farrell streets, from 4:30 p. m. to 6 p. m.

South side of Sutter street, between Market and Taylor streets, from 8:30 a. m. to 9:30 a. m.

North side of Sutter street, between Market and Taylor streets, from 4:30 p. m. to 6 p. m.

Third street, between Market and Mission streets, between 4:30 p. m. and 6 p. m.

### **Ordering the Construction of a Sewer in Silver Avenue and Conkling Street (Protest).**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1222, Ordinance No. 12.073196, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 21, 1936, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Conkling street northerly from Silver avenue and of Silver avenue between Scotia avenue and Layton street, by the construction of sewers and appurtenances. The construction will consist of the following items:

- | Item No. | Item                              |
|----------|-----------------------------------|
| 1.       | Brick manholes, complete.         |
| 2.       | 8-inch V. C. P. sewer, in place.  |
| 3.       | 12-inch V. C. P. sewer, in place. |

4. 15-inch V. C. P. sewer, in place.
5. 10-inch V. C. P. culvert, in place.
6. Brick catchbasin, complete.
7. 8x6-inch Wye branches, in place.
8. 12x6-inch Wye branches, in place.
9. 15x6-inch Wye branches, in place.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Lot 7 of Block 5335-A:

Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 and 17 of Block 5379;

Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 18 of Block 5380;

Lot 1 of Block 5366;

All being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of the Streets Committee were taken up:

#### Establishing Grades on Ulloa Street, Sydney Way and Fowler Avenue.

(Code No. 12.071)

Bill No. 1221, Ordinance No. 12.07111, as follows:

Establishing grades as per map entitled, "Grade Map Showing the Proposed Establishment of Grades on Ulloa Street Between Woodside Avenue and Laguna Honda Boulevard; on Sydney Way Between Ulloa Street and Portola Drive, and on Fowler Avenue Between Woodside Avenue and Portola Drive."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on streets as shown on map entitled, "Grade Map Showing the Proposed Establishment of Grades on Ulloa Street Between Woodside Avenue and Laguna Honda Boulevard; on Sydney Way Between Ulloa Street and Portola Drive, and on Fowler Avenue Between Woodside Avenue and Portola Drive," are hereby established at points and elevations above City base as shown on said map, approved by Director of Public Works' Order No. 5481, made January 15, 1937, and filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors, January 20, 1937.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Market Street Between Polk and Gough Streets and Between Tenth and Valencia Streets.

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1222, Ordinance No. 12.073196, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section eleven hundred fifty (1150) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public



Works, filed in this office January 20, 1937, by amending Section eleven hundred and fifty (1150) thereof to read as follows:

Section 1150:

The width of sidewalks on Market street, the southeasterly side of, between The Embarcadero and Tenth street, shall be 22 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Market street, the southeasterly side of, between Tenth and Valencia streets, shall be 12 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Market street, the northwesterly side of, between The Embarcadero and Fell street, shall be 22 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Market street, the northwesterly side of, between Polk and Castro streets, shall be 12 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Market street, the southeasterly side of, between Valencia and Seventeenth streets, shall be 12 feet.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Before discussion was had on Charter Amendments by order of the Board, the roll called for the introduction of resolutions and communications not considered or reported on by a committee.

#### Motion.

Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, moved that his Honor, the Mayor, be authorized to make a contribution for the relief of flood sufferers in the Mid-West, the amount of said contribution to be left to the discretion of the Mayor.

*Motion carried.*

#### Citizens' Committee for Observance of Columbus Day.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that his Honor, the Mayor, be requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee for the proper observance of Columbus Day, October 12, 1937.

*Motion carried.*

#### Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Endorsing State Legislation for Construction of New Buildings for Veterans' Home.

(Code No. 5.3)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 3059, as follows:

Whereas, investigation by independent agencies, as well as the State Fire Marshal, confirm the long-claimed contention of Mr. John J. Sullivan, Chief of the Division of Veterans' Homes, to the effect that the Veterans' Home of California, at Napa, constitutes a serious fire hazard and a menace to the lives of the Veterans quartered there; and

Whereas, the Veterans' Home of the State of California was established about fifty years ago to care for California Veterans of all wars; and

Whereas, common decency, if not a sense of gratitude and patriotism, should prompt the people of the State of California to safely and adequately provide for these veterans, who, at the call to arms, forsook their all in the cause of this State and the Nation; and

Whereas, it would be in the interest of good government to make an expenditure at this time to provide new and safe quarters for the 1425 men now quartered at the Home, one-third of whom are San Franciscans, rather than to delay such expenditure to some future time, thus risking a holocaust with its attendant loss of sacred lives, the increased cost of reconstruction and the deserved criticism which would certainly follow; and

Whereas, there is now pending in the Legislature of the State of California, a bill proposing an appropriation sufficient to construct new buildings which are absolutely necessary to the safe conduct of the Veterans' Home; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby memorialize the Legislature and does urge the passage of this bill; and be it

Further Resolved, That the San Francisco delegation in the State Legislature be and they are hereby requested to exert their best efforts to the end that this bill may be favorably acted upon, and to influence their colleagues to do likewise; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be forwarded to the San Francisco delegation in the Legislature as well as to Governor Merriam and Lieutenant-Governor Hatfield.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Commending Sunrise Breakfast Club for Its Caravan of San Francisco Children Across the Bay Bridge.**

(Code No. 19.072)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 3060, as follows:

Whereas, on Saturday, January 23, 1937, the Sunrise Breakfast Club, at the instigation of its beloved President, Inspector Peter R. Maloney of the San Francisco Police Department, arranged and conducted a caravan of San Francisco children across the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, after providing the kiddies with entertainment and lunches; and

Whereas, the children who were privileged to experience this great adventure are those who through adverse financial circumstances would have been long delayed in knowing it, except for the generous gesture from the Sunrise Breakfast Club; and

Whereas, the childish wonder, the unbounded enthusiasm and the complete joy of these children, who, for the first time, experienced the happy thrill of traversing this great bridge, was a sight to gladden the eye and inspire good will in the hearts of man; and

Whereas, because activities of this type are, due probably to the hustling impulse of modern life, all too few, and when discovered should be most highly commended, in an endeavor to cement a more healthy bond between grown-ups and a somewhat bewildered youth; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors takes this opportunity to express its gratitude to the Sunrise Breakfast Club for the laudable endeavor which was theirs in the transportation of approximately two thousand children across the Bridge; and be it

Further Resolved, That the wives of the members of the South of Market Boys share in these expressions for their part of the work involved in preparing the lunches for the kiddies.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**Inquiry as to Cost of Bringing 1929 O'Shaughnessy Report on Street  
Railway Transportation Requirements Down to Date.**

(Code No. 15.091)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 3061, as follows:

Whereas, there is much talk of unification of the street railway lines in San Francisco, and

Whereas, it seems desirable in order to avoid continuance of cut-throat competition by lines of the Municipal and the private company, as in the case of the Balboa Extension—Mr. Cahill informed the writer that municipal revenues fell off \$300,000 because of Balboa competition, and

Whereas, as far back as July 27, 1928, the then members of the Board of Supervisors appreciating the necessity of unified operation, passed Ordinance No. 8125 directing the Board of Works to have the City Engineer investigate and report to the Board of Supervisors upon the immediate and future street railway requirements of San Francisco and that portions of the existing privately-owned street railway systems should be acquired by the City for use in connection with the expansion of the Municipal Railway System in providing unified street railway service, and

Whereas, on page 177 of the M. M. O'Shaughnessy Report on street railway transportation requirements for San Francisco, under Recommendation No. II, there is set forth the following:

"II. That the City of San Francisco acquire, by purchase, the properties of the California Street Cable Railroad and the Market Street Railway Company, at the most advantageous figures possible to be arrived at through the negotiations authorized by Ordinance No. 8125," and

Whereas, it is now approximately eight years since said report was made, and

Whereas, during the interim of eight years there has been additional depreciation and extensions built; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is most desirable at this time that today's value of the properties of the California Street Railway and Market Street Railway Company be known to the public; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Public Utilities Commission be requested to advise the Board of Supervisors what the cost of bringing said 1929 O'Shaughnessy's Report down to date.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Referred to Finance Committee.**

**Amendment—License Ordinance.**

(Code No. —)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. —, as follows:

Amending Section 34 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), imposing License Taxes on certain businesses, callings, trades or employments within the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 34 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 34. Every owner or lessee of a circus shall pay a license fee for each and every day any exhibition or performance is given therein, the sum of Five Hundred (\$500) Dollars for the first day and Three Hundred (\$300) Dollars for each subsequent day, and for each side

show in connection with or belonging to a circus, for which an admission fee is charged, a license of Ten (\$10) Dollars shall be paid for each and every day on which an exhibition or performance is given, and no additional license shall be required from such owner or lessee, for any team, wagon, truck, automobile, freight or passenger car or equipment that is a part of such circus and in actual use; provided, however, that in the event said exhibition or performance is given in and about the Municipal Auditorium located at the Civic Center and said auditorium is rented or leased at the regular rates usually charged therefor, the license fee shall be Fifty (\$50) Dollars per day, with no additional charges for side shows.

The term "circus" (except as hereinafter provided) shall be held to include any public exhibition or show for which an admission fee is charged, held in a space, tent, area of building where feats of horsemanship or acrobatic sports are exhibited or historic events portrayed, but shall not be held to include pictorial representations or theatrical performances.

Every owner or lessee of a company or troop giving open-air exhibitions, including wild animals or menagerie shows with a seating capacity of not more than 3500 persons shall pay a license for the first day of such exhibition in the sum of One Hundred (\$100) Dollars and for each subsequent day the sum of Seventy (\$70) Dollars, and for each side show in connection with said exhibition, for which an admission fee is charged, a license of Ten (\$10) Dollars shall be paid for each and every day on which an exhibition or performance is given.

The Tax Collector shall not issue a license under the provisions of this section unless the owner or lessee of said circus or exhibition shall obtain a permit to conduct the same from the Police Commission and present such permit duly certified by the Secretary of said Police Commission.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

#### **Authorizing the Inclusion of Arguments With Sample Ballots.**

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Registrar of Voters is authorized and directed to include with the sample ballots to be mailed to the voters at the special election to be held March 9, 1937, the following:

1. Affirmative arguments relating to Charter Amendment No. 1, Revenue Bonds; No. 2, Cash Reserve Fund and Temporary Loans; No. 6, Control of Harbor; No. 13, Power of Hearing, Inquiry and Subpoena; No. 16, Number, Compensation, Meetings of Supervisors; No. 17, Franchises; said arguments to be prepared by a Committee of the Board of Supervisors to be appointed by the President.

2. Affirmative arguments relating to other proposed Charter Amendments as may be presented to the Registrar of Voters by the sponsors of said proposed Charter Amendments.

3. Affirmative argument in favor of repeal of the anti-picketing ordinance, heretofore ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors, said argument to be prepared and presented by the General Campaign Committee of Organized Labor.

All of said arguments shall be printed and delivered to the Registrar of Voters as prescribed by the Charter and the Clerk is instructed to transmit this motion to the Registrar of Voters.

*Motion carried.*

#### **Appointment.**

Whereupon, President Warren Shannon appointed for aforementioned committee, for preparation of arguments to be enclosed with sample ballots, Supervisors McSheehy, Reilly and Shannon.

#### **Committee for Pacific Cavalcades and Waldo Dedication.**

Supervisor Ratto presented invitation from the Golden Gate Bridge Fiesta, addressed to all members of the Board, to serve as members



of the Pacific Cavalcades and Waldo Dedication Committee, the first meeting of which was scheduled to take place at Petaluma, Tuesday, January 26, 1937, and moved that a committee be appointed to attend the meeting.

The roll was called and the motion was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Reilly, Roncovieri, Uhl—3.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead—2.

#### Appointment.

Whereupon, President Warren Shannon appointed Supervisor Ratto to represent the Board at the meeting in Petaluma.

### CONSIDERATION OF PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENTS.

#### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. 17.

##### FRANCHISES.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the Qualified Electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 9.1, relating to Franchises.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the Qualified Electors of the City and County at the Special Election to be held on the 9th day of March, 1937, in the City and County of San Francisco, a proposal to amend as herein set forth the Charter by adding thereto a new section to be known as Section 9.1, relating to Franchises.

##### FRANCHISES.

###### Section 9.1:

Subdivision (1). In addition to the franchises, permits or privileges which are authorized by the laws of the State of California to be granted by boards of supervisors of any county or city and county or by the legislative body thereof, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco may grant franchises, permits or privileges for the purpose of furnishing the City and its inhabitants with transportation, communication, terminal facilities, refrigeration, storage, including the operation of passenger, freight and express carrying busses, interurban or otherwise, irrespective of the method of locomotion, as well as franchises, permits or privileges for any means or methods for conveying letters, packages or articles by tubes or chutes or other conveyances, as well as for the method or means of the conveying or transmission of signals or alarms in or through the City and County of San Francisco, whenever any of such service or services shall be conducted on, over or under any public street, way, alley, lane or public park or place.

It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to carry on or engage in the business described in subdivision (1) hereof without obtaining a franchise, permit or privilege to so do from the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Subdivision (2). Full power and authority is hereby granted to the Board of Supervisors to issue and grant such franchises, permits or privileges under such terms and conditions as it may deem proper and said Board of Supervisors may by ordinance provide the conditions under which any franchise, or any of the permits or privileges hereinbefore mentioned may be applied for or granted, including such fees or considerations which shall be paid to the City and County of San Francisco for the exercise of any of the said franchises, permits or privileges above mentioned.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, moved that the foregoing Charter Amendment be ordered submitted to the electors at the special election March 9, 1937.

**Ordered Submitted.**

Whereupon, Charter Amendment No. 17 was *ordered submitted* to the electors by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. 12.**

**Motion to Rescind Action.**

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Brown, moved that the Board rescind its action in ordering submitted to the electors the police amendment, Charter Amendment No. 12, that was ordered submitted by the Board on January 18, 1937.

**Point of Order.**

Supervisor McSheehy raised the point of order, that the motion to rescind the previous action of the Board was out of order, inasmuch as no notice of the motion to rescind had been given at a previous meeting of the Board, or in the call for the present meeting. He also raised the further point of order that when something had already been done as a result of the action of the Board, then the action of the Board could not be rescinded.

The Chair ruled the point of order not well taken.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

Hon. William J. Quinn, Chief of Police, was granted the privilege of the floor. He urged the submission of the amendment as originally proposed by the Police Survey Committee.

**Motion.**

On motion by Supervisor McSheehy, the question of Charter Amendment No. 12 was taken into the hands of the Board.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved that no charter amendments would be considered except those already in the Clerk's hands.

*Motion carried.*

**Communications Read.**

Communications from his Honor, the Mayor, and from the Chamber of Commerce, urging the submission of the Charter Amendment as originally presented, were read by the Clerk.

**Motion to Recess.**

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Meyer, moved that the Board recess at 6:30 p. m., to re-convene at 8 p. m., if necessary.

*Motion carried.*

**In Hands of the Board.**

On motion by Supervisor McSheehy, the Board took into its own hands, motion previously made by Supervisor Colman, that the Board rescind its action whereby Charter Amendment No. 12 had been ordered submitted to the electors.



## Communications.

The following communications were read by the Clerk:

## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.

January 21, 1937.

MAYOR'S OFFICE,  
200 City Hall.

The Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.  
Gentlemen:

I am addressing you in reference to one of the Charter amendments to be submitted to the people at the coming special election. I refer to the amendment having to do with Police Department reorganization. In this connection, may I be permitted to outline to your Honorable Board certain points regarding this amendment as I see them after considerable study. In order to place the matter fully before your Honorable Board, I shall go into some detail regarding the origin and development of the Police Department survey, from which came the suggestion for a Charter amendment.

The survey group of the Police Department was requested by the Board of Police Commissioners and was approved by me as the best means by which could be established a reorganization of the department. It was my thought that this survey should be carried on by disinterested parties and accordingly I asked Controller Leonard S. Leavy to assume charge of the survey. At the same time, I requested the Civil Service Commission to assign Mr. Wm. L. Henderson, Personnel Expert of the Commission, to the work and the Police Commission assigned Captain Chas. Skelly, Commission Secretary and Chief Clerk of the Department, to participate in the study of eastern police departments. Mr. Wm. H. Nanry, director of the Bureau of Governmental Research, also became a member of the survey group and later Mr. Bruce Smith who is acknowledged to be the outstanding authority on police administration in the United States, joined the group as a consultant.

You know these gentlemen and know their qualifications, and, therefore, there is no necessity for me to dwell on that phase of the question at length. Suffice it to say that the survey group as finally constituted was able to bring to the problem the expert knowledge of the outstanding police authority in the United States as well as an intimate knowledge of the local organization.

The survey included studies of the police organizations of Chicago, New York, Boston, and Detroit, which departments are generally conceded to be model organizations, and also a thorough examination of organization procedure and personnel of the San Francisco Police Department. The work continued over a four months' period and on the basis of all the facts obtained and after full consideration, the survey group prepared its tentative report and outlined its recommendations for reorganization. These were discussed at length with the President and Secretary of the Police Commission, the Chief of Police, and me. During the course of these discussions, amendments to the report as originally prepared were suggested, some of which, after consideration, were adopted by the committee and incorporated in the report as finally submitted. Therefore, the report represented a complete accord of all parties concerned in the survey as well as the Police Commission and the Chief of Police, who represent in San Francisco the constituted authority for police administration.

Like some members of the Board of Supervisors, I at first questioned the validity of some of the conclusions of the survey group but after all consideration of the extensive data collected and offered in support of its conclusions, I found them well founded and based on experience both here and in other cities. I might say that that also was the case with Chief Quinn and Mr. Roche.

Even more important, I came to realize that the recommendations constituted a comprehensive and integrated program of reorganization and that each item was a carefully considered and important part of the plan as a whole. The Charter amendment incorporating the changes necessary to put the reorganization into effect was thereupon approved and submitted for the approval of the President of the Board of Police Commissioners and was forwarded to the Mayor's Committee on Charter Amendment and Conflicting Legislation with the endorsement of the Chief of Police and the Board of Police Commissioners.

Certain changes were made by the Mayor's Committee, which were not in accord with the final conclusions and recommendations contained in the report of the survey, and further changes were made by your Honorable Board in the amendment ordered submitted on last Tuesday for the election. Some of these changes are fundamental and will, in the opinion of those who conducted the survey, defeat the purposes of the reorganization. This opinion is concurred in by Chief of Police Quinn and Mr. Theodore J. Roche, President of the Board of Police Commissioners.

I realize that the consideration of this particular amendment by your Honorable Board did not take place until it had devoted a full day and night to the many other propositions which were placed on the special election ballot. Also, unfortunately, the report was not completed until two weeks ago, and for that reason I realize that your Honorable Board did not have time to carefully study the proposal in all of its complex phases before being obliged to act on it in the form of the proposed Charter amendment.

I am confident that the recommendations of the survey group as incorporated in the original Charter amendment are sound and offer a practical basis for needed reorganization of the department. I know that existing rights and status of members of the department are adequately protected and safeguarded but that personalities in the department and special interests were not considered by the survey group in formulating the recommendations. This is right and proper. The welfare of the department and the City must be placed above the interests of any individual or group of individuals in the department.

It is my thought that the people have a right to pass upon the proposals made by the survey group after a thorough and unprejudiced study. With this in mind, may I, therefore, respectfully request your Honorable Board, in view of the postponement of the election to March 9, to reconsider this particular proposition and to submit it to the people in the form originally proposed by the survey group. In only this way, as I see it, can the people be given an opportunity to pass upon the work so carefully and thoroughly performed by the survey group and its expert consultant.

Very truly yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,  
Mayor.

POLICE DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO,  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONERS, HALL OF JUSTICE,

January 23, 1937.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.  
State of California.

Gentlemen:

The Board of Police Commissioners respectfully requests that you reconsider the action taken by you on Monday last with respect to the proposed Charter provision relating to the reorganization of the



San Francisco Police Department, and that after such reconsideration you adopt for submission to the electorate of San Francisco, the Charter amendment as proposed by the survey group, and approved by Mayor Rossi, the Police Commission, and the Chief of Police.

A number of months ago the Police Commission deemed it advisable to effect a reorganization of the Police Department in order that the set-up of the department as well as its various units, would be in accord with that of law enforcement units of metropolitan cities, including the possession of modernized equipment and facilities necessary to enable a police department to successfully cope with present-day conditions. Subsequently a survey was made by a group which included as a consultant, Mr. Bruce Smith of New York, who is nationally recognized as an authority on police organization and administration. After the survey had been tentatively completed, its details were given intensive study and subjected to considerable discussion. During these discussions amendments to the proposals as made were suggested, some of which were adopted by the survey group and included in its final report.

The members of the survey group as well as the members of the Police Commission and the Chief of Police, were unanimously of the opinion that in the event a reorganization of the Police Department was effected in accord with the report as finally prepared, many of the problems with which the Police Department has had to contend in the past would be overcome and the high standard of efficiency which has heretofore been attained by the department as a law enforcement and crime prevention unit, would be considerably enhanced. It was also believed that the department as thus reorganized, would give to the people of San Francisco, greater police protection, and this without incurring a corresponding increase in the maintenance cost of the department.

While it is possible that some imperfections may exist in the proposed set-up when made applicable to San Francisco, any such defects can readily be ascertained within the next two years, and rectified if deemed necessary, by Charter amendment. In this connection we might state, as you have probably already been informed, that the proposed Charter amendment only relates to certain phases of the contemplated reorganization, the remaining phases of which can be made effective without the necessity of any further change in the organic law of San Francisco.

The Police Commission realizes that the necessity for the holding of an election at any early date to pass upon proposed Charter amendments has prevented you from giving the proposed reorganization of the Police Department the study and attention that would otherwise have been accorded to it by you, and that the action heretofore taken by you may be traceable to this situation. In view of the fact, however, that the set-up as proposed reflects months of study and consideration by those directly interested in the reorganization, it is our belief that the interests of the department and the people of San Francisco, will be best subserved by adopting the Charter amendment as originally proposed, thus empowering the Police Commission to make effective the reorganization as described in the report.

For your information, we believe it proper to state that our understanding is that the members of the entire Police Department, the commissioned officers as well as its rank and file, after further reflection, have acquiesced in the request here made.

Very truly yours,

THE BOARD OF POLICE COMMISSIONERS,

By THEO. J. ROCHE, President.

## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.

Civil Service Commission  
City Hall.

January 25, 1937.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

It has occurred to me that, inasmuch as some phases of the Police Department reorganization amendment relate to civil service and the merit system, it would not be inappropriate for me to present the thoughts of the Civil Service Commission regarding these matters. In sending you this letter, we are, therefore, carrying out our policy of advising the Board of Supervisors as fully as we may regarding matters relating to civil service.

In the first place, may I say that the duties and responsibilities attached to the proposed new major administrative posts in the police reorganization are not similar or comparable to the duties of a captain of police. Five of the proposed new positions involve duties and responsibilities that do not exist at the present time. There is no rule or law or precedent to substantiate a claim that dissimilar duties and responsibilities shall attach to an existing classification. On the other hand, the Charter specifically provides that separate classifications shall be established in such instances in order that only those positions involving similar duties and responsibilities shall be classified in the same classification. Therefore, under our Charter, it would be incumbent on the Civil Service Commission to classify separately these proposed new positions and we cannot under our law allocate these positions to the captains of police.

Under these conditions, the method of filling the positions would be governed by law as incorporated in the amendment to be submitted. The original proposal of the survey group was that these positions be filled from certain designated ranks in the department—in three cases from any rank of lieutenant or higher, and in one case from the rank of captain—and considered as assignments, such assignments to be made by the Chief of Police, subject to the approval of the Board of Police Commissioners. Please note that captains were in no case eliminated from consideration but simply that in seven cases the Chief of Police, at his discretion, could, with the approval of the Police Commission, select from designated lower ranks. The amendment as changed by your Honorable Board provided that most of the positions must be filled from the rank of captain only.

The original proposal of the survey group is not in any sense contrary to the policies or the principles of civil service. On the contrary, may I point out to you that we already have in our municipal government under our Charter an exact parallel and precedent for the proposal of the survey group. I refer to the provisions governing the appointment of bureau heads under the Public Utilities Commission. As you know, the Manager of Utilities is selected and appointed by the Public Utilities Commission and this appointment is exempt from civil service. The Manager of Utilities, in turn, under Section 124 of the Charter, is given the authority to appoint the heads of the various departments and bureaus under the commission and these appointments are exempt from civil service and are not filled by assignment from the next lower rank. The Charter provision is as follows:

"Subject to the approval of the commission, he shall appoint or remove the heads of departments and bureaus under the commission, exclusive of the civil service provisions of this Charter."

You will see, therefore, that the proposal of the survey group regarding the filling of these administrative positions in the Police Department is in accord with the existing charter provisions governing the appointment of the major administrative posts in the Utilities Commission. In fact, the survey group's proposal is more restrictive



MONDAY, JANUARY 25, 1937.

than the provision governing the appointment of bureau heads in the Public Utilities Commission. In the Utilities Commission, the persons appointed to those major administrative positions need not be taken from the ranks of civil service employees. Under the survey group's recommendations regarding Police Department positions, appointments must be made from designated ranks in the department.

May I point out to you further that the charter now recognizes that civil service procedures for the Police Department must be different from those governing in other departments and special provisions governing the Police Department are now incorporated in our charter. This is because the police service is different and, therefore, different conditions must apply. For instance, in the transfer of disabled employees, Section 156 of the charter sets up one provision for general employments but excludes the Police and Fire Departments from the operation of that provision. Again, under the disciplinary provisions, Sections 154 and 155 of the charter, employees of the general departments may appeal to the Civil Service Commission when dismissed but, under the provisions governing police and fire disciplinary procedure, employees of these departments do not have the right of appeal to the Civil Service Commission. The charter provisions governing promotional examinations, Section 146, provide different procedures for the Police and Fire Departments. I mention these things in order to point out to your Honorable Board that there must be adaptations and modifications in so far as the Police and Fire Departments are concerned and that these modifications and adaptations now exist in our charter provisions.

In closing, may I urge your Honorable Board to give your favorable consideration to the police reorganization amendment as originally submitted by the survey group.

Yours very truly,

HARRY K. WOLFF,  
President, Civil Service Commission.

SAN FRANCISCO CHAMBER OF COMMERCE  
451 CALIFORNIA STREET

January 21, 1937.

The Honorable Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco,  
City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: By unanimous vote of the Board of Directors of the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, the writer was instructed today to urgently request of the Board of Supervisors that the recommendations contained in the survey of the San Francisco Police Department, recently presented to your Board by a group especially appointed by the Mayor of San Francisco, be adopted in full and without change, and that the amendments and modifications which were effected at your last meeting be immediately rescinded.

The purpose of the survey, and the appointment of an impartial and thoroughly qualified committee to direct its studies, was primarily to bring about an intelligent reorganization of our Police Department under circumstances which would insure its efficient and economical administration, and the recommendations which were finally submitted to you were based upon exhaustive studies of other departments in Eastern cities. These included the services of Mr. Bruce Smith of the Institute of Public Administration of New York City, a nationally recognized authority on police administration, who was brought to San Francisco in an advisory capacity by our Chamber of Commerce. The report of this group had the unqualified approval of Mayor Rossi, the Board of Police Commissioners and the Chief of Police. It reflected the welfare, not only of the Department, but of the Community that it is set up to serve, and it ignored, without exception, the interests and ambitions of any individuals or groups.

It is the conviction of the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce that the changes in the report, which were instituted by your Board

at the solicitation of persons who were actuated by definitely selfish motives, would in fact leave the Department in a condition which would be little, if any, better than that which has prevailed in the past.

We believe that the efficiency and the modernization of our Police Department transcends in importance any other consideration, and that it is a moral obligation of the Board of Supervisors to accept the recommendations of those who were qualified to make them.

Very truly yours,

CHARLES PAGE,  
Executive Vice President.

### Action Rescinded.

The roll was called, and motion to rescind its previous action was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Shannon—4.

Absent—Supervisor Mead—1.

### Presentation of Charter Amendment as Originally Proposed by Police Survey Committee.

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Brown, presented Charter amendment for reorganization of Police Department as originally proposed by the Police Survey Committee and moved that it be ordered submitted to the electors at the election on March 9, 1937.

### Objection.

Whereupon, Supervisor McSheehy objected to consideration thereof, and requested that proposed amendment be referred to the Judiciary Committee.

### Motion to Refer.

Thereupon, Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved that the proposed amendment be referred to the Judiciary Committee and that the Committee be ordered to report it back to the Board at 8 p. m.

### Discussion.

After discussion on motion to refer, the chair ruled that previous motion by Supervisor Colman to order the amendment submitted was in order, and that the proposed amendment could be considered by the Board without reference to Committee.

### RECESS.

In accordance with motion previously carried, the Board, at the hour of 6:30 p. m., recessed to reconvene at 8 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

## MONDAY, JANUARY 25, 1937, 8 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reconvened at 8 p. m. pursuant to recess.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead—2.

Supervisor Brown who had previously been excused until later in the evening was noted present at 10:15 p. m.

Supervisor Mead was noted present at 8:30 p. m.



### Discussion.

Supervisor Roncovieri suggested that the Board take up Charter Amendment No. 12, as previously ordered submitted, by paragraph, and that Mr. William Henderson, representing the Police Survey Committee, point out changes desired therein to make amendment conform to that originally proposed.

*No objection.*

Whereupon, the Clerk read the first paragraph of amendment.

### Amendment Offered.

Supervisor Uhl presented the following as amendment to paragraphs 1 and 2 of Section 35.

Section 1 of proposed amendment.

Section 35. The Police Department shall consist of a police commission, who shall serve in an advisory capacity. The police commission shall be appointed by the Mayor, and each of whom shall receive an annual compensation of twelve hundred dollars (\$1200). The term of each commissioner shall be four (4) years, commencing at 12 o'clock noon on the 15th day of January of the year 1938, 1940 and 1941, respectively. The incumbents serving as members of the commission at the effective date of the amendment shall continue to hold their respective positions, subject to the provisions of the Charter for the remainder of the term for which they have been respectively appointed; a chief of police who shall be appointed by the Mayor, and said chief shall hold office at the pleasure of the Mayor.

In addition to his other duties the chief of police shall have power to regulate traffic, including the location and use of traffic control devices for that purpose.

### Amendment Lost.

The roll was called and the amendment was *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Paragraph 1, Section 35, Approved.

Thereupon, the roll was called and paragraph 1, Section 35, was *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Paragraph 2, Section 35, Approved.

After reading by the Clerk, paragraph 2, Section 35, was *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Paragraphs 3, 4, 5 and 6, Section 35, Approved.

Paragraphs 3, 4, 5 and 6 were *approved* as read.

### Amendments, Paragraph 7.

Supervisor Uhl moved to amend by striking out the words "to designate a deputy chief of police," in the fourth line.

*No action.*

**Amendments Approved.**

At the request of William Henderson and, on motion by Supervisor Roncovieri, the following amendments were *approved*:

After the words "deputy chief of police," delete the words "from the rank of captain."

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Noes—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Shannon, Uhl—4.

Also, after the words "department secretary", delete the words "from the rank of captain".

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—6.

Noes—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Also, after the words "and a director, bureau of special services", delete the words "from the rank of sergeant or higher, a superintendent of the division of identification and an assistant superintendent of the division of identification".

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—6.

Noes—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Also, after the words "and captain of traffic", delete the words "and the superintendent of the division of identification".

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Shannon—4.

**Amendment Failed.**

Supervisor Uhl moved the adoption of an amendment to provide for the selection of the director of the bureau of personnel from officers holding the rank of captain.

*No second.*

**Paragraph 7, as Amended, Approved.**

Whereupon, paragraph 7, as amended, was *approved*.

**Paragraph 8, Approved.**

Paragraph 8 was *approved* as read.

**Ninth Paragraph.**

Delete after words "bureau of special services", the words, "the superintendent of the division of identification", and the eighth line, after the word "inspectors", delete the words, "and assistant superintendent of the division of identification" in order to conform with deletions in paragraph 7.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Shannon—5.

**Pay for Police Officers During Formal Training Period.**

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Brown, moved that at the end of the ninth paragraph, there be added the words: "provided, that police officers during an initial formal training period of not to exceed three months, during which period they are not assigned to active police duty, shall be paid at the rate of \$150 per month".

**Amendment Withdrawn.**

After discussion of above proposed amendment, Supervisor Colman, with the consent of his second, Supervisor Brown, requested that amendment be withdrawn.

*So ordered.*



**Paragraphs 10, 11 and 12 Approved.**

Paragraphs 10, 11 and 12 were *approved* as read.

**Paragraph 13, as Amended, Approved.**

At the suggestion of William Henderson, the words "special police", or "police" were inserted in all places where the words "patrol officers" or "patrol special officers" appeared, to make the phrases read "patrol special police officers".

Whereupon, the paragraph was *approved*.

**Paragraphs 14 and 15 Approved.**

Paragraphs 14 and 15 were *approved* as read.

**Sections 146 and 148 Approved.**

Sections 146 and 148 were *approved* as read.

**Section 172, Paragraph 2, Approved as Amended.**

At the further suggestion of William Henderson, to make the paragraph conform with paragraph 13 of Section 35, the words "special police", or "police" were inserted in all places where the words "patrol officers" or "patrol special officers" appeared, to make the phrases read "patrol special police officers".

**Amendment, Entrance Limits for Entrance Into Police Department Lost.**

Supervisor Shannon moved that Section 145 of the Charter, fixing age limits for entrance into the uniformed forces of police and fire departments be amended by reducing the maximum age limits into said departments to 30 years, with a proviso that men on the present Civil Service eligible lists would not be affected thereby.

The roll was called and the amendment was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—4.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly—7.

**Explanation of Vote.**

Before the roll was called on submission to the electors, Supervisor Uhl explained his vote, stating that he was not in sympathy with many of the sections of the proposed amendment, nevertheless would vote to submit same to the electors.

**Ordered Submitted.**

Whereupon, the roll was called and Charter Amendment No. 12, as amended, was *ordered submitted* to the voters by the following vote:

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. 12****POLICE DEPARTMENT.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, by amending Sections 35, 146, 148, and 172 thereof, relating to the Police Department and Patrol Special Police Officers.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County at a special election to be held on the 9th day of March, 1937, in the City and County of San Francisco, a proposal to amend as herein set forth

he Charter by amending Sections 35, 146, 148, and 172 thereof, relating to the Police Department and Patrol Special Police Officers.

### POLICE DEPARTMENT.

Section 35. The police department shall consist of a police commission, a chief of police, a police force and such clerks and employees as shall be necessary and appointed pursuant to the provisions of this charter, and shall be under the management of a police commission consisting of three members, who shall be appointed by the mayor, and each of whom shall receive an annual compensation of twelve hundred dollars (\$1,200). The term of each commissioner shall be four years, commencing at twelve o'clock noon on the 15th day of January in the years 1938, 1940 and 1941, respectively. The incumbents serving as members of the commission on the effective date of this amendment shall continue to hold their respective positions, subject to the provisions of the charter, for the remainder of the terms for which they have been respectively appointed. The commission shall appoint a chief of police who shall hold office at its pleasure.

The police commissioners shall be the successors in office of the police commissioners holding office in the city and county at the time this amendment shall become effective, and shall have all the powers and duties thereof except as in this charter otherwise provided. They shall have power to regulate traffic, including the location and use of traffic control devices for that purpose.

All positions in the police department legally authorized shall be continued, and incumbents therein legally appointed thereto shall be continued as officers and employees of the department under the conditions governing their respective appointments and except as otherwise provided in this charter.

The rank of corporal is hereby abolished, effective on the last day of the month during which this amendment shall become effective, and members of the department having the rank and pay of corporal shall from the first day of the next ensuing month have the rank and pay of sergeant, and members of the department on a civil service commission list of those eligible for appointment as corporal shall during the life of such list be eligible for appointment as sergeant.

Each member of the department holding the position of inspector on the effective date of this amendment, and who has held such position for one year continuously prior thereto, is hereby declared permanently appointed thereto. The chief of police may detail from time to time other members of the department for performance of duty in the bureau of inspectors who shall be known as assistant inspectors, and who may be removed from such detail by order of the chief of police. An appointment as inspector shall not be subject to competitive examination, but in case of vacancy in said rank of inspector, the appointment shall be made by the chief of police from among those assistant inspectors who have been detailed to, and who have actually served with the bureau of inspectors for at least five years prior to such appointment. Inspectors and assistant inspectors shall have the same rights as other members of the department to take competitive examinations from their respective civil service ranks. An inspector, guilty of any offense



or violation of the rules and regulations of the police department shall be subject to punishment as provided in Section 155 of this charter.

The chief of police, in addition to the inspectors above provided for, shall detail any member of the department to serve as inspector of school traffic patrols who shall have the rank and pay of inspector, subject to the provisions of this section.

Subject to the provisions of Section 20 of this charter governing the appointment and removal of non-civil service appointees, and without competitive examination, the chief of police shall have power to appoint a police surgeon; to designate a deputy chief of police, a department secretary, and a director, bureau of special services, from any rank in the department; to designate a director, bureau of criminal information, from among the members of the department having the rank of sergeant or higher; to designate a captain of inspectors, a captain of traffic, and a director, bureau of personnel, from among the members of the department holding rank of lieutenant or higher, and to designate a supervising captain of districts from among the members of the department holding the rank of captain. Provided, that the captain of inspectors and captain of traffic, who shall be holding said positions at the effective date of this amendment, shall, if they have held the said positions continuously for one year next before said date, be continued in their respective positions as if they had been appointed thereto after civil service examination and certification. The department secretary or other suitable member of the department shall be assigned by the chief of police to serve also as secretary to the police commission without extra compensation. The officer holding the position of property clerk at the effective date of this amendment and who has held such position for one year prior thereto shall have the rank and pay of captain, as long as he legally holds such position, provided that when a successor to such position is assigned thereto by the chief of police from among the members of the department, such assignment shall be made at the rank and pay of the member so assigned.

When any member of the department, detailed to any of the positions above mentioned, shall be removed from said detail or position, he shall be returned to his civil service rank and position, unless removed from the department pursuant to the provisions of Section 155 of this charter.

The police force of the city and county shall not exceed one police officer for each five hundred inhabitants thereof. The annual compensations for the several ranks in the department shall be as follows: chief of police, \$7,200; deputy chief of police, \$5,400; captain of inspectors, \$5,000; department secretary, \$4,800; captain of traffic, director, bureau of criminal information; director, bureau of personnel, and supervising captain of districts, each \$4,000; captains, \$3,600; lieutenants, and director, bureau of special services, each \$3,000; inspectors, \$2,760; sergeants, \$2,640; police surgeon, police officers, police patrol drivers and women protective officers, each \$2,400; criminologist, \$3,600; photographer, \$2,700.

The chief of police may refuse to issue any permit that is subject to police department investigation and issuance, if it shall appear that

the character of the business or the applicant requesting such permit does not warrant the issuance thereof, or he may revoke any such permit as soon as it shall appear that the business or calling of the person to whom it was granted is conducted in a disorderly or improper manner, or that the place in which the business is conducted or maintained is not a proper or suitable place in which to conduct or maintain such business or calling.

In the suppression of any riot, public tumult, disturbance of the public peace or organized resistance against the laws or public authority, the chief of police, in the lawful exercise of his functions, shall have all the powers that are now or that may be conferred on the Sheriff by the laws of this State.

The supervisors shall provide in the annual budget an amount not to exceed in any one fiscal year the sum of \$10,000, to be known as the contingent fund of the chief of police. The chief of police may from time to time disburse such sums for contingent expenses of the department as in his judgment shall be for the best interests of the city and county, and the police commission shall allow and order paid out of such contingent fund, upon orders signed by the chief of police, such amounts as may be required.

At his discretion or upon the petition of any person, firm or corporation, the chief of police may appoint, and at his pleasure remove special police officers. Such officers shall be subject to all the rules and regulations of the department.

The police commission may appoint patrol special police officers and for cause may suspend or dismiss said patrol special police officers after a hearing on charges duly filed with the commission and after a fair and impartial trial. Each patrol special police officer shall be at the time of his appointment not less than twenty-one years of age nor more than forty years of age and must possess such physical qualifications as may be required by the commission. Age qualifications shall not apply to present patrol special police officers acting as such at the time of the effective date of this amendment nor to their reappointment. Patrol special police officers who are designated by the police commission as the owners of a certain beat or territory as may be fixed from time to time by said commission or the legal heirs or representatives of said owners, may dispose of their interest in said beat or territory to a person of good moral character, approved by the police commission and eligible for appointment as a patrol special police officer.

On the recommendation of the chief of police, the commission may reward any member of the department for heroic or meritorious conduct. The form or amount of said reward to be discretionary with the commission, but not to exceed one month's salary in any one instance.

The chief of police shall have power, by regulation, to provide for the care and restitution of property that may come into possession of the department or into the possession of any officer or employee thereof, and the sale at public auction of all such unclaimed property, as well as the disposition of such property as shall consist of weapons or articles used or that may be used in the commission of crime, or the sale or disposition of which is prohibited by law.



## PROMOTIONS.

Section 146. Whenever it deems it to be practicable, the civil service commission shall provide for promotion in the service on the basis of such examinations and tests as the commission may deem appropriate, and shall, in addition, give consideration to ascertained merit and records of city and county service of applicants. The commission shall announce in the examination scope circular the next lower rank or rank from which the promotion may be made. All promotions in the uniformed forces of the police and fire departments, respectively, shall be made from the next lower rank on the basis of examinations and tests, seniority of service and meritorious public service being considered, provided that in the police department a minimum of two years' service in the lower rank shall be a requirement for promotive appointment to the next higher rank. Not more than 5 per cent of the total credits obtainable under any promotive examination shall be allowed for seniority of service; and the civil service commission shall determine the manner in which said credits shall be distributed.

## REQUISITION, CERTIFICATION AND APPOINTMENT.

Section 148. Whenever a position controlled by the civil service provisions of this charter is to be filled, the appointing officer shall make a requisition to the civil service commission for a person to fill it. Thereupon, the commission shall certify to the appointing officer the name and address of the person standing highest on the list of eligibles for such position. In case the position is promotive, the commission shall certify the name of the person standing highest on such list. In making such certification, sex shall be disregarded except when a statute, a rule of the commission or the appointing officer specifies sex.

From the requisition of the appointing officer or otherwise, the commission shall determine whether the position is, in character, temporary, seasonal or permanent, and shall notify the candidate in accordance therewith to the end that the candidate may have knowledge of the probable duration of employment. The commission shall provide for such waiver of temporary or seasonal employment as it may deem just to candidates.

Any appointment to a position declared permanent by the civil service commission shall be on probation for a period of six months, provided that the probationary period for entrance positions in the uniformed rank of the police department shall be for one year. At any time during the probationary period the appointing officer may terminate the appointment. The civil service commission shall inquire into the circumstances and may declare such person dismissed, or may return the name to the list of eligibles for certification to another department. Immediately prior to the expiration of the probationary period, the appointing officer shall report to the civil service commission as to the competence of the probationer for the position, and, if competent, shall recommend permanent appointment.

## COMPENSATION INSURANCE PAYMENTS.

Section 172. The benefit provisions of the workmen's compensation, insurance and safety law of the State of California as they affect the

benefits provided for or payable to or on account of officers and employees, including teachers of the city and county, shall be administered exclusively by the retirement board, provided that the retirement board shall determine whether the city and county, through the retirement system, shall assume the risks under the said law, in whole or in part, or whether it shall reinsure such risks, in whole or in part, with the state compensation insurance fund. Benefits under such risks as may be assumed by the city and county, and premiums under such risks as may be re-insured shall be paid by the retirement system, and an amount equal to the total of such benefits and premiums, as determined by the actuary for any fiscal year, including the deficit brought forward from previous years, shall be paid during such fiscal year to the retirement system by the city and county.

Every patrol special police officer, as referred to in Section 35 of this charter shall be entitled, under this section, to the benefits of such compensation law, if injured while performing regular city and county police duties, which shall include only duties performed while preventing the commission of a crime, or while apprehending the person or persons committing such crime, and shall not include duties of any character performed for private employers either on or off the premises of such employers, provided that no payments shall be made under this paragraph in the event that the patrol special police officer shall receive the benefits of such compensation law from any other source.

**Ordered Submitted**—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, January 25, 1937.

**Ayes:** Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Tilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **CHARTER AMENDMENT No. 18.**

##### **APPROPRIATIONS TO MEET UTILITY DEFICITS.**

Supervisor Meyer, seconded by Supervisor Brown, presented the following proposed Charter amendment, and moved that it be submitted to the electors:

##### **APPROPRIATIONS TO MEET UTILITY DEFICITS.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, relating to appropriations to meet utility deficits.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the said City and County at the special election to be held on the 9th day of March, 1937, a proposal to amend the charter of the City and County as follows:

##### **APPROPRIATIONS TO MEET UTILITY DEFICITS.**

Section 74. In the event the public utilities commission shall propose a budget estimate for any utility which will exceed the estimated revenue of such utility, it shall require a vote of two-thirds of all members of the board of supervisors to approve such budget estimate, and to appropriate the funds necessary to provide for the deficiency. Provided that if said proposed deficiency shall include proposed expenditures for additions, extensions or other capital costs, the total annual amount which may be appropriated from sources other than the revenue of each utility to all municipally owned utilities for additions, extensions and other capital costs shall not exceed \$500,-



000.00; provided further that there shall be no limitation as to the amount which may be appropriated to any utility for additions, extensions or other capital costs when the appropriation therefor is made from the earnings or surplus of said utility.

#### Amendments Approved.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved that after the words "which may be appropriated from", the words "sources other than the revenue of each utility" be stricken out and the words "tax funds" substituted therefor. The amendment was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

No—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved that after the words "appropriated to any", there be added the words, "of the existing", and immediately following, the word "utility" be changed to read "utilities". The amendment was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Noes—Supervisors Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

#### Amendment Defeated.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the amount "\$500,000.00", be changed to read "\$150,000.00". The roll was called and the amendment was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Schmidt, Shannon—2.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Uhl—9.

#### Ordered Submitted.

Whereupon Charter Amendment No. 18, as follows, was *ordered submitted*, by the following vote:

### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. 18.

#### APPROPRIATIONS TO MEET UTILITY DEFICITS.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, relating to appropriations to meet utility deficits.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the said City and County at the special election to be held on the 9th day of March, 1937, a proposal to amend the charter of the City and County as follows:

#### APPROPRIATIONS TO MEET UTILITY DEFICITS.

Section 74. In the event the public utilities commission shall propose a budget estimate for any utility which will exceed the estimated revenue of such utility, it shall require a vote of two-thirds of all members of the board of supervisors to approve such budget estimate, and to appropriate the funds necessary to provide for the deficiency. Provided that if said proposed deficiency shall include proposed expenditures for additions, extensions or other capital costs, the total annual amount which may be appropriated from tax funds to all municipally owned utilities for additions, extensions and other capital costs shall not exceed \$500,000.00; provided further that there shall be no limitation as to the amount which may be appropriated to any of the existing utilities for additions, extensions or other capital costs when the appropriation therefor is made from the earnings or surplus of said utility.

**Explanation of Vote.**

Before the above roll was called, Supervisor Reilly explained his vote as follows:

This allows for necessary services in outlying districts in the event that a utility does not earn a sufficient amount to do so. I believe this is truly a public ownership measure. The board has a check on the expenditures because all such appropriations are subject to a two-thirds vote of this board. It does not take any power from the board, but allows our utilities to operate in a more efficient manner.

*Ordered submitted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

No—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 11:45 P. M., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors February 1, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.





Vol. 32

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 5

Monday, February 1, 1937

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 1, 1937, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, February 1, 1937,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri,  
Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

Supervisor Colman excused—on business in Los Angeles.

Supervisor Meyer excused on account of illness.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of January 25, 1937, was  
considered read and approved.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were  
taken up:

### Supplemental Appropriation of \$9,700 for Purchase of Property in Marina Boulevard for Golden Gate Bridge Approach.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1216, Ordinance No. 9.051345, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of ninety seven hundred  
dollars (\$9,700) from the unappropriated surplus in the Special Gas  
Tax Street Improvement Fund for the fiscal year 1936-1937 to the credit  
of Appropriation No. 677.909.00 for the purchase of property in Marina  
boulevard between Baker and Lyon streets necessary for the Marina  
approach to the Golden Gate Bridge.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Fran-  
cisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the  
unappropriated surplus in the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement  
Fund for the fiscal year 1936-1937 the sum of ninety seven hundred  
dollars (\$9,700), to the credit of Appropriation No. 677.909.00, for the  
purchase of property in Marina boulevard between Baker and Lyon  
streets necessary for the Marina approach to the Golden Gate Bridge.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.



**Authorizing Sale of Certain City Land.**

(Code No. 12.1729)

Also, Bill No. 1217, Ordinance No. 12.17297, as follows:

Authorizing sale of certain city land.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Director of Property, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is not under the administration of any department of said corporation:

Parcel 1. Lot 8, in Block 44, as shown on map entitled Map of University Mound Survey, recorded May 1, 1863, in Book of Maps 2A and B, at page 43, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Colby street, formerly College street, distant thereon 100 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Olmstead street and running thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line 75 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 75 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet to the point of beginning.

Being the southeasterly 75 feet of Lot 6 in Block 61 as said lot and block is shown on map entitled "Map of University Mound Survey," recorded May 1, 1863, in Book of Maps 2A and B, at page 43, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 3. Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Colby street, formerly College street, distant thereon 100 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Olmstead street and running thence southeasterly along said northeasterly line 100 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Block 115 as shown on map entitled "University Homestead Extension Association," recorded February 28, 1868, in Book of Maps "C and D," page 61, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 4. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of Holyoke street with the northwesterly line of Olmstead street and running thence northeasterly along said northwesterly line 150 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 90 feet to the southwesterly line of Somerset street, formerly Williams street; thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line 37.50 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 37.50 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet to the aforementioned northeasterly line of Holyoke street; thence at a right angle southeasterly along said northeasterly line 100 feet to the point of beginning.

Being the southeasterly 37.50 feet of Lot 3 fronting on Somerset street and the southwesterly 30 feet of Lots 4 and 5 fronting on Olmstead street and all of Lots 10 and 11 in Block 7 as said lots and block are shown on maps entitled "Maps of the Lands of the Paul Tract Homestead Association," recorded May 16, 1871, in Book of Maps "C and D," at page 49, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 5. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Holyoke street with the northwesterly line of Olmstead street and running thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line 200 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 60 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 100 feet to the aforesaid line

of Olmstead street; thence at a right angle northeasterly along said line of Olmstead street 60 feet to the point of beginning.

Being Lots 3 and 4 and the northeasterly one-half of Lots 5 and 6 of Block 6 as said lots and blocks are shown on map entitled "Map of the Lands of the Paul Tract Homestead Association," recorded May 16, 1871, in Book of Maps "C and D," page 49, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 6. Lots 1, 2, 8 and the northwesterly 25 feet (facing on Hamilton street) of Lot 9, all in Block 12, as said lots and block are shown on map entitled "Map of the Lands of the Paul Tract Homestead Association," recorded May 16, 1871, in Book of Maps "C and D," page 49, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 7. Lot 60 feet on Ward street by 100 feet on Goettingen street, being the southwesterly one-half of Lot 12, in Block 25, as said lot and block numbers are shown on map entitled "Map of the Lands of the Paul Tract Homestead Association," recorded May 16, 1871, in Book of Maps "C and D," page 49, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

### **Ordinance Prohibiting Signboards Within Certain Distances of San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge.**

(Code No. 11.08)

On recommendation of Judiciary Committee.

Bill No. 1218, Ordinance No. 11.0821, as follows:

Directing that a proposed ordinance entitled as follows: "An ordinance prohibiting the location, erection or maintenance of any sign or signboard, bill or billboard, or any advertising device or structure, movable or immovable, temporary or permanent, for advertisement sign or billboard business, or designed or intended for use for advertisement sign or billboard purposes within certain distances of any part of the structure of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge and/or bridge ramp or approaches thereto or exits therefrom and specifying said distances, and providing penalties for the violation of this ordinance." Be submitted to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco at a special election to be held on Tuesday, March 9, 1937.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A petition for the enactment of a proposed ordinance entitled as follows: "An ordinance prohibiting the location, erection or maintenance of any sign or signboard, bill or billboard, or any advertising device or structure, movable or immovable, temporary or permanent, for advertisement sign or billboard business, or designed or intended for use for advertisement sign or billboard purposes within certain distances of any part of the structure of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge and/or bridge ramp or approaches thereto or exits therefrom and specifying said distances, and providing penalties for the violation of this ordinance," having been signed by registered voters of the City and County of San Francisco equal in number to five per cent (5%), but less than ten per cent (10%) of the entire vote cast for Mayor at the last general election, and having been filed with the Registrar of Voters, the Board of Supervisors does hereby direct that said proposed ordinance be voted on at a special election to be



held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, March 9, 1937.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

### NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were taken up:

#### Amendment of Salary Ordinance of Health Department.

(Code No. 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1228, Ordinance No. 9.05395, as follows:

Amending Section 55 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 by increasing the number of positions established under Item 67 thereof from 2 to 6.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 55 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 is hereby amended to read as follows:

#### Section 55. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH— CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)

##### DENTAL

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
55	1	B222	General Clerk (part time).....\$	50
56	2	L152	Dental Hygienist .....	150
57	13	L156	Dentist (part time).....	100
58	1	L158	Director Dental Bureau (part time) .....	250

##### CHILD WELFARE—MEDICAL

59	1	L364	Pediatrician .....	250
59½	1	L364	Pediatrician (part time).....	200
60	4	L364	Pediatrician (part time).....	150
61	2	L364	Pediatrician at \$5 per day (part time)...	

##### MILK AND FOOD INSPECTION

62	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
63	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
64	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	100
65	7	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector.....	200
66	10	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector.....	185
67	6	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector.....	175
68	1	N54	Chief Food Inspector.....	325
69	2	N64	Dairy Inspector .....	300
70	1	N64	Dairy Inspector .....	225
71	1	N64	Dairy Inspector .....	200

##### CHEMICAL LABORATORY

72	1	L102	Food Chemist Assistant .....	125
73	1	L104	Food Chemist .....	225
73½	1	L104	Food Chemist Assistant .....	175
74	1	L106	Senior Food Chemist .....	250

##### PLUMBING INSPECTION

75	1	A412	Plumbing Inspector .....	250
76	7	A412	Plumbing Inspector .....	240
77	1	A416	Chief Plumbing Inspector .....	325
78	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190

Section 55. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)

## HOUSING INSPECTION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
79	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
80	9	N204	Housing Inspector .....	200
81	1	N206	Chief Housing Inspector .....	275

## INDUSTRIAL INSPECTION

82	2	N205	Industrial Inspector .....	200
83	1	N205	Industrial Inspector .....	185
84	1	N208	Chief Industrial Inspector .....	275

## CITY PHYSICIANS

85	4	L360	Physician (part time).....	300
86	2	L360	Physician (part time).....	150
87	1	L362	Supervisor of City Physicians (part time)	300

## FIELD NURSING, ADMINISTRATION

88	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
89	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
90	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
91	1	P54	Supervising Field Nurse .....	225
92	8	P54	Supervising Field Nurse .....	190
93	1	P54	Supervising Field Nurse .....	175
94	1	P58	Director of Field Nursing .....	275

## FIELD NURSING, SCHOOLS

95	38	P52	Field Nurse .....	165
----	----	-----	-------------------	-----

## FIELD NURSING, OTHER

96	19	P52	Field Nurse .....	165
97	1	P101	Chinese Visiting Nurse .....	165

## SOCIAL SERVICE

98	1	P52	Field Nurse .....	165
99	1	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	180
100	1	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	165
101	1	T160	Senior Social Service Investigator.....	215

## TUBERCULOSIS BUREAU

102	7	P52	Field Nurse .....	165
-----	---	-----	-------------------	-----

## OUTPATIENT MATERNITY SERVICE

103	1	L360	Physician (part time).....	300
104	1	L360	Physician (part time).....	190
105	1	L360	Physician .....	100
106	2	P102	Registered Nurse .....	125

## EXPLANATION.

The number of employments under Item 67 is increased from 2 to 6. The funds for these additional employments were provided by Supplemental Appropriation Ordinance (Ordinance No. 9.051292) adopted by the Board of Supervisors July 27, 1936, but the employments have been continued heretofore under temporary certification and this ordinance is to formally establish the positions.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.



**Adopted.**

The following matters were taken up:

**Release of Liens Erroneously Filed Re Old Age Security.**

(Code No. 19.02)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 3062, as follows:

Resolved, That the liens heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco in San Francisco County are released against the properties described as follows:

John Hanlon, recorded San Francisco County August 10, 1936, 3011 O. R., p. 33; legal description Lot 26, Block 6303.

James Hollett, recorded San Francisco County August 10, 1936, 3011 O. R., p. 36; legal description Lot 27, Block 7016.

Kittie S. Myers, recorded San Francisco County, August 10, 1936, 3011 O. R., p. 70; legal description Block 1764, Lot 8, Assessor's Map.

The said liens having been erroneously written, in connection with Old Age Security.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

**Release of Liens Erroneously Filed Re Old Age Security.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3063, as follows:

Resolved, That the liens heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco in Santa Clara County are released against the properties described as follows:

Rose Geist, recorded Santa Clara County August 12, 1936, Book 786, p. 87; legal description Lot a, Resub'n Block 30, and part of Block 4, Palo Alto Park.

Annie Long, recorded Santa Clara County September 14, 1936, Book 790, p. 193; legal description unimproved lot in Los Gatos, Santa Clara County, described as Lot 6, Block 4.

The said liens having been erroneously written, in connection with Old Age Security.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land Required for Alemany Sewer.**

(Code No. 12.1010)

Also, Resolution No. 2988, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Charles O. Legee and Anna Elizabeth Legee, portion of Lot 1, Assessor's Block 4347-B, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Alemany sewer, for the sum of \$10,030, payable from Appropriation No. 86.030.17. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Authorizing Sale of 17.97 Acres of Water Department Land Near Pleasanton.**

(Code No. 12.17252)

Bill No. 1229, Ordinance No. 12.1725210, as follows:

Authorizing sale of 17.97 acres of Water Department land near Pleasanton.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described San Francisco Water Department land situated in the County of Alameda, State of California:

Commencing at a point in the northerly boundary line of that certain 100 foot strip of land described in Parcel 71 of Alameda County Lands, in deed from Spring Valley Water Company to the City and County of San Francisco, dated March 3, 1930 and recorded March 3, 1930 in the Office of the County Recorder of Alameda County, State of California, in Liber 2350 of Official Records at page 1; said point being distant along said northerly boundary line south 87 degrees 56 minutes east 1474.67 feet from Corner No. 10 on the boundary line of the Santa Rita Rancho; running thence from said point of commencement along said northerly boundary line south 87 degrees 56 minutes east 803.03 feet to an intersection with the westerly boundary line of a 50 foot strip of land described in said Parcel 71; thence along said westerly boundary line north 0 degrees 19 minutes east 15.01 feet; thence leaving said westerly boundary line south 87 degrees 56 minutes east 50.02 feet to the easterly boundary line of said 50 foot strip; thence along said easterly boundary line south 0 degrees 19 minutes west 15.01 feet to the northerly boundary line of said 100 foot strip; thence along said northerly boundary line south 87 degrees 56 minutes east 5448.12 feet to Corner No. 9 on the boundary of said Rancho; thence continuing along said northerly boundary line north 66 degrees 42 minutes east 426.47 feet to the northeast corner of Plot No. 5 of the Bernal portion of Rancho El Valle de San Jose on the easterly boundary line of said 100 foot strip; thence along said last mentioned easterly boundary line south 0 degrees 34 minutes west 109.35 feet to the southerly boundary line of said 100 foot strip; thence along said southerly boundary line south 66 degrees 42 minutes west 404.73 feet and north 87 degrees 56 minutes west 5467.56 feet to the easterly boundary line of hereinabove mentioned 50 foot strip; thence along said easterly boundary line south 0 degrees 19 minutes west 2486.62 feet to a point in the northerly right of way line of the Western Pacific Railway Company distant thereon north 69 degrees 46 minutes east 2496.15 feet from its intersection with the center line of County Road No. 1533; thence south 69 degrees 46 minutes west along said northerly right of way line 53.39 feet to the westerly boundary line of said 50 foot strip; thence along said last mentioned westerly boundary line north 0 degrees 19 minutes east 2506.88 feet to a point on the southerly boundary line of said 100 foot strip; thence along said last mentioned southerly boundary line north 87 degrees 56 minutes west 803.85 feet; thence north 0 degrees 47 minutes east 100.03 feet to the point of commencement.

Excepting therefrom a right of way for railroad purposes granted to Southern Pacific Railroad Company by deed dated December 28, 1906, and recorded January 7, 1907, in Book 1317 of Deeds, page 9, Alameda County Records.

Containing a net area of 17.97 acres.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County



of San Francisco, and subject to such reservations and conditions as may be deemed necessary by the City Attorney and the Director of Property.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$150 From Emergency Reserve Fund for Official Advertising of the City Planning Commission.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1163, Ordinance No. 9.051323, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$150 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.229.61 to provide funds for official advertising of the City Planning Commission, an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$150 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.229.61 to provide funds for official advertising of the City Planning Commission.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund and this ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates said appropriation from said Fund, and this ordinance taking effect immediately, the nature of said emergency being as follows: That the City Planning Commission is without funds to pay for the necessary publication of notices as required by law, and that said appropriation is necessary for the uninterrupted operation of said Commission.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Funds available: Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Recommended by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

**Authorizing Payment of Damage Claim of \$2,500 to Mark Levy.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Bill No. 1230, Ordinance No. 6.022224, as follows:

Authorizing the payment of twenty-five hundred (\$2,500) dollars to Mark Levy in settlement of claim and suit for damages against the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having, in writing, recommended the settlement and adjustment for the sum of Twenty-five Hundred (\$2500) Dollars action No. 260,120 in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, entitled "Mark Levy, plaintiff, v. City and County of San Francisco, etc., et al., defendants", wherein suit was brought against said City and County of San Francisco for the sum of \$53,781, by reason of Mark Levy falling into an open and unbarricaded excavation in the sidewalk opposite No. 318 Arguello boulevard, in which accident said Mark Levy sustained severe injuries as set forth in his said complaint; and

It appearing that the said offer of compromise is fair, just and reasonable, and should be accepted by the city, the City Attorney is hereby authorized to fully compromise and settle all claims of said Mark Levy against the City and County of San Francisco, et al., for

the sum of Twenty-five Hundred (\$2500) Dollars, and the Controller is hereby authorized to draw his warrant in said sum in full payment of the release and discharge of all claims of every kind and character of said Mark Levy against the City and County of San Francisco, payable from Appropriation No. 648.918.00.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

#### Final Passage.

The following matter was taken up:

#### Amendment of Section 34 of License Ordinance, Levying Tax on Circus Exhibitions in Municipal Auditorium.

(Code No. 3.041)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1331, Ordinance No. 3.04167, as follows:

Amending Section 34 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series)—imposing license taxes on certain businesses, callings, trades or employments within the City and County of San Francisco, and declaring an emergency under the provisions of the Charter.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 34 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 34. Every owner or lessee of a circus shall pay a license fee for each and every day any exhibition or performance is given therein the sum of five hundred (\$500) dollars for the first day and three hundred (\$300) dollars for each subsequent day, and for each side show in connection with or belonging to a circus, for which an admission fee is charged, a license of ten (\$10) dollars shall be paid for each and every day on which an exhibition or performance is given, and no additional license shall be required from such owner or lessee, for any team, wagon, truck, automobile, freight or passenger car or equipment that is a part of such circus and in actual use; provided, however, that in the event said exhibition or performance is given in and about the Municipal Auditorium located at the Civic Center and said auditorium is rented or leased at the regular rates usually charged therefor, the license fee shall be fifty (\$50) dollars per day, with no additional charges for side shows.

The term "circus" (except as hereinafter provided) shall be held to include any public exhibition or show for which an admission fee is charged, held in a space, tent, area of building where feats of horsemanship or acrobatic sports are exhibited or historic events portrayed, but shall not be held to include pictorial representations or theatrical performances.

Every owner or lessee of a company or troop giving open-air exhibitions, including wild animals or menagerie shows with a seating capacity of not more than 3,500 persons shall pay a license for the first day of such exhibition in the sum of one hundred (\$100) dollars and for each subsequent day the sum of seventy (\$70) dollars, and for each side show in connection with said exhibition, for which an admission fee is charged, a license of ten (\$10) dollars shall be paid for each and every day on which an exhibition or performance is given.

The Tax Collector shall not issue a license under the provisions of this section unless the owner or lessee of said circus or exhibition shall obtain a permit to conduct the same from the Police Commission and present such permit duly certified by the Secretary of said Police Commission.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency ordinance for the reason that the same must become effective forthwith, and the



Board of Supervisors does by the vote by which this ordinance is passed declare that an actual emergency exists—the nature of the emergency being a limitation of time in that unless the ordinance is passed forthwith the Municipal Auditorium cannot be rented for a nine day period as requested by the operators of the Shrine Circus.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following matter was taken up:

**Amending Section 37 (b) of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series)  
Traffic Ordinance.**

(Code No. 11.02)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1219, Ordinance No. 11.0228, as follows:

Amending Section 37 (b) of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith," by adding thereto Kearny street, Stockton street, Third street and Fourth street as streets upon which it shall be unlawful to park between 4:30 p. m. and 6 p. m.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 37 (b), "Parking Prohibited on Certain Streets at Specified Hours." It shall be unlawful for the driver of any vehicle to stop the same or park for a longer period of time than is necessary for the actual loading or unloading and delivering of passengers or materials between the hours specified, and on the streets between points as shown below:

South side of Beach street, between Larkin and Polk streets, from 6 p. m. to 10 a. m.

East side of Battery street between Bush and California streets, from 4:30 p. m. to 6 p. m., Sundays and holidays excepted.

Fourth street, between Market and Mission streets, from 4:30 p. m. to 6 p. m.

South side of Geary street, between Market and Taylor streets, from 8:30 a. m. to 9:30 a. m.

North side of Geary street, between Market and Taylor streets, from 4:30 p. m. to 6 p. m.

Kearny street, between Market and Post streets, from 4:30 p. m. to 6 p. m.

South side of Post street, between Market and Taylor streets, from 8:30 a. m. to 9:30 a. m.

North side of Post street, between Market and Taylor streets, from 4:30 p. m. to 6 p. m.

Stockton street, between Market and O'Farrell streets, from 4:30 p. m. to 6 p. m.

South side of Sutter street, between Market and Taylor streets, from 8:30 a. m. to 9:30 a. m.

North side of Sutter street, between Market and Taylor streets, from 4:30 p. m. to 6 p. m.

Third street, between Market and Mission streets, between 4:30 p. m. and 6 p. m.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

**Action Deferred.**

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

**Ordering the Construction of a Sewer in Silver Avenue and Conkling Street (Protest).**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Bill No. 1220, Ordinance No. 12.061170, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 21, 1936, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Conkling street northerly from Silver avenue and of Silver avenue between Scotia avenue and Layton street, by the construction of sewers and appurtenances. The construction will consist of the following items:

**Item No.**

**Item**

1. Brick manholes, complete.
2. 8-inch V. C. P. sewer, in place.
3. 12-inch V. C. P. sewer, in place.
4. 15-inch V. C. P. sewer, in place.
5. 10-inch V. C. P. culvert, in place.
6. Brick catchbasin, complete.
7. 8x6-inch Wye branches, in place.
8. 12x6-inch Wye branches, in place.
9. 15x6-inch Wye branches, in place.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Lot 7 of Block 5335-A:

Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 and 17 of Block 5379;

Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 18 of Block 5380;

Lot 1 of Block 5366;

All being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.



**Adopted.**

The following matters were taken up:

**Intention to Close and Abandon Portions of Mendell Street, Carroll Avenue, Empire Lane, Bancroft Avenue, Armstrong Avenue, Yosemite Avenue, Shoup Avenue and an Unnamed Street.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 3046, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portions of Mendell street, Carroll avenue, Empire lane, Bancroft avenue, Armstrong avenue, Yosemite avenue, Shoup avenue and an unnamed street be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all those portions of Mendell street, Carroll avenue, Empire lane, Bancroft avenue, Armstrong avenue, Yosemite avenue, Shoup avenue and an unnamed street, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

*Mendell Street.*

Parcel 1. All that portion of Mendell street lying between the easterly line of Shoup avenue and the westerly line of a strip of land 60 feet in width deeded to the City and County of San Francisco for street purposes by the Southern Pacific Company, recorded February 28, 1905, in Book 2103 of Deeds, at page 194, Records of aforesaid City and County, said westerly line being also the easterly line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way.

Excepting therefrom a 10-foot sewer easement lying 5 feet on each side of the center line of Mendell street.

Parcel 2. All that portion of Mendell street lying between the northeasterly line of Carroll avenue and a line parallel with and distant 60 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Shoup avenue.

Excepting therefrom a 10-foot sewer easement lying 5 feet on each side of the center line of Mendell street.

*Carroll Avenue.*

Parcel 1. All that portion of Carroll avenue (as it exists for approximately 200 feet northwesterly from Shoup avenue) lying between the northwesterly terminal line of Carroll avenue and a line parallel with and 60 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Shoup avenue.

Excepting therefrom a 10-foot sewer easement lying 5 feet on each side of the center line of Mendell street produced southwestwardly.

Parcel 2. All that portion of Carroll avenue lying between the southerly prolongation of the westerly line of Shoup avenue and the southerly prolongation of the westerly line of a strip of land 60 feet in width deeded to the City and County of San Francisco for street purposes by the Southern Pacific Company, recorded February 28, 1905, in Book 2013 of Deeds, at page 194, Records of aforesaid City and County, said last-named line being also the easterly line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way.

*Empire Lane.*

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwestwardly line of Empire lane with the southeasterly terminal line of Empire lane; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly terminal line 31.79 feet to the northeasterly line of Empire lane; thence deflecting 162 degrees 45 minutes 16 seconds to the left and running southwestwardly, 33.65 feet to the southwestwardly line of Empire lane; thence deflecting 126 degrees 34 minutes 29 seconds to the left and running southeasterly along last-named line, 9.87 feet to the point of beginning.

*Bancroft Avenue.*

Parcel 1. All that portion of Bancroft avenue bounded on the northwest by the southeasterly line of Mendell street and the easterly line of Shoup avenue and on the southeast by the westerly line of a strip of land 60 feet in width deeded to the City and County of San Francisco for street purposes by the Southern Pacific Company, recorded February 28, 1905, in Book 2013 of Deeds, at page 194, Records of aforesaid City and County, said westerly line being also the easterly line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way.

Parcel 2. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Bancroft avenue with a line parallel with and 60 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Shoup avenue, said point of beginning being distant on said southwesterly line 60.30 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Mendell street; thence northwesterly along said line of Bancroft avenue 14.70 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 26.25 feet to aforesaid parallel line; thence deflecting 150 degrees 44 minutes 44 seconds to the right and running southerly along said parallel line, 30.08 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 3. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of Bancroft avenue and a line parallel with and 60 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Shoup avenue; thence southerly along said parallel line, 45.85 feet; thence deflecting 119 degrees 15 minutes 16 seconds to the right and running northwesterly, 67.30 feet to the northwesterly terminal line of Bancroft avenue; thence at right angles northeasterly along said terminal line, 31.69 feet to the southerly line of Carroll avenue; thence deflecting 70 degrees 40 minutes 15 seconds to the right and running easterly along last-named line, 25.11 feet to the northeasterly line of Bancroft avenue; thence deflecting 19 degrees 19 minutes 45 seconds to the right and running southeasterly along last-named line, 21.20 feet to the point of beginning.

*Armstrong Avenue.*

All that portion of Armstrong avenue lying between the northwesterly line of Mendell street and the easterly line of Shoup avenue.

*Yosemite Avenue.*

All that portion of Yosemite avenue lying between the northwesterly line of Mendell street and the westerly line of Shoup avenue produced northerly.

*Shoup Avenue.*

All that portion of Shoup avenue lying between the southwesterly line of Yosemite avenue and the northeasterly line of Carroll avenue.

Excepting therefrom a 10-foot sewer easement lying 5 feet on each side of the center line of Bancroft avenue produced northwesterly, and extending from the westerly line of Shoup avenue to the center line of Mendell street produced southwesterly.

*Unnamed Street.*

All that portion of a strip of land 60 feet in width deeded to the City and County of San Francisco for street purposes by the Southern Pacific Company, recorded February 28, 1905, in Book 2103 of Deeds, at page 194, Records of said City and County, lying between the southwesterly line of Yosemite avenue and the northwesterly line of Mendell street.

Said closing and abandonment of said portions of Mendell street, Carroll avenue, Empire lane, Bancroft avenue, Armstrong avenue, Yosemite avenue, Shoup avenue and an unnamed street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.



And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portions of Mendell street, Carroll avenue, Empire lane, Bancroft avenue, Armstrong avenue, Yosemite avenue, Shoup avenue and an unnamed street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovi-  
rieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

### State Highway Projects Under State Gas Tax Fund.

(Code No. 5.31)

Also, Resolution No. 3064, as follows:

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby approve the following supplemental memorandum of agreement covering portions of the State Highway System upon which, in the regular order, moneys allocated by the State out of the  $\frac{1}{4}$ c Gas Tax Fund shall be expended:

Project statement:

1. Sloat boulevard, Route 55, from Thirty-ninth avenue to Forty-second avenue.

2. Sloat-Skyline boulevard, Route 55, from Forty-first avenue to Lake Merced road.

3. San Jose avenue, Route 2, from Sickles avenue to south city limits.

4. San Jose avenue, Route 2, from south city limits to Bepler street, Daly City.

Relocation Market street Railway tracks.

4 $\frac{1}{2}$ . Richardson avenue, Route 2, from Lombard street to Lyon street.

5a. Nineteenth avenue, and Golden Gate Park crossing, Route 56, from Sloat boulevard to Fulton street.

5b. Nineteenth avenue, Route 56, from Sloat boulevard to Taraval street.

6. Presidio parkway, Route 56, from Fulton street to south boundary of Presidio.

16. Lombard street, Route 2, from Van Ness avenue to Broderick street.

17. State highway routes described below:

Route 2, Route 68, Route 55 (Sloat boulevard), Route 56.

17b. Work to be done by the State: Department: Route 55 (Skyline boulevard), and Route 68 (General Maintenance).

18. Fell street, Route 2, at Polk street.

It Is Further Resolved, That the Chief Administrative Officer, be, and is hereby authorized and requested to execute the foregoing memorandum of agreement for, and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, and transmit to the District Engineer of the State Department of Public Works.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovi-  
rieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

**Spur Track for Coffin-Redington Company, on Beale Street at  
Harrison Street.**

(Code No. 12.20)

Bill No. 1332, Ordinance No. 12.2019, as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Coffin-Redington Company, to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a standard gauge spur track upon and along Beale street at Harrison street as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Coffin-Redington Company, to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a standard gauge spur track along and upon Beale street at Harrison street, said location being more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in existing track in Beale street approximately 64 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Harrison street produced; thence northwesterly and crossing a portion of Beale street and under the Harrison street viaduct to a point in the southwesterly line of Beale street approximately 29 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Harrison street; thence into private property.

Provided that all work is to be done in accordance with blue print entitled "Southern Pacific Company, San Francisco, proposed spur to serve Coffin-Redington Co.," and that any interference with the natural drainage of the above mentioned streets caused by the installation of the spur track shall be corrected by the Coffin-Redington Company to the satisfaction of the Department of Public Works.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt. Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

Requesting the Mayor to Declare Week of March 1 to 7 "San Francisco Health Week" and Requesting the Mayor to Appoint a Citizens' Committee to Arrange a Suitable Program.

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 3065, as follows:

Whereas, the health of its citizens is of vital importance to the City and County of San Francisco and in conservation of and protection of the health of its citizens the City and County of San Francisco expends millions of dollars annually; and

Whereas, there is at the present time a virtual epidemic of influenza, and ignorance of simple rules to be followed in order to escape contagion of this and other prevailing ailments has led to much needless sickness that might otherwise have been avoided; and

Whereas, there is being conducted throughout the United States an educational campaign intended to inform the public regarding the prevalence of, the dangers of contagion, and the possibility of the cure of that dread—and hitherto unmentionable malady, syphilis—



which campaign is sponsored by no less an illustrious personage than the President of the United States, and which campaign is being ably and effectively promoted by the Scripps-Howard newspapers and especially the San Francisco News in San Francisco; and

Whereas, great benefit to all of the citizens of the City and County of San Francisco would accrue from observance of a "San Francisco Health Week," during which period a program of education and instruction would be offered at meetings, in the public press and over the air so that citizens would be widely informed and great public benefit accrue therefrom; therefore, be it

Resolved, That His Honor, Mayor Angelo J. Rossi, be requested to declare the week beginning Monday, March 1, 1937, to be "San Francisco Health Week," and further, that His Honor the Mayor appoint an appropriate Citizens' Committee to prepare and present a proper program of education and instruction for the citizens of the City and County of San Francisco during such "San Francisco Health Week" to the end that much needless sickness may be avoided and the general health of the community be thereby safeguarded and improved.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

**John M. Ratto Appointed Member Joint Highway District No. 9,  
Vice Warren Shannon, Resigned.**

(Code No. 12.05)

Supervisor Mead presented:

Resolution No. 3066, as follows:

Resolved, That John M. Ratto be and is hereby appointed a member of Joint Highway District No. 9, vice Warren Shannon, resigned.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

**Statement from Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District of  
Encumbrances and Allocations against \$6,099,494.67 Available  
as of January 20, 1937.**

(Code No. 12.111)

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. 3067, as follows:

Whereas, the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, at the request of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, has by letter dated January 28, 1937, informed this Board that it has cash on hand to the amount of \$2,799,494.67, and in addition, \$3,300,000 of unsold bonds of the district, being an available total of \$6,099,494.67; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors requests from the Directors of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District an itemized statement of encumbrances and allocations against the \$6,099,494.67 acknowledged to be available as of January 20, 1937.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

**Appropriation of \$1,000, Contractual Services, Board of Supervisors.**

Supervisor Shannon called attention to a letter from the Director of Finance and Records regarding a supplemental appropriation of

\$1,000 for contractual services, Board of Supervisors, and declared that if there was no objection he would have resolution prepared for Finance Committee on Friday.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Supervisor Jesse C. Colman, Excused.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Communication from Supervisor Jesse C. Colman, requesting that he be excused from the next two meetings of the Board of Supervisors as he has important business to attend to in Los Angeles.

*So ordered.*

**City Letterheads to Advertise Golden Gate Bridge Opening and Fiesta.**

Supervisor Brown moved that the Board of Supervisors recommend to the Chief Administrative Officer the inclusion on all letter heads reference to the opening of the Golden Gate Bridge and the Fiesta in celebration thereof to be held on May 20, 21, 22 and 23, 1937, same to take the form of a printed statement or a label to be pasted on.

*So ordered.*

**Arguments for Charter Amendments.**

Supervisor McSheehy referred to Charter Amendment No. 11, disposition of utility funds, and Charter Amendment No. 12, Police Department amendment, and said that inasmuch as same pertained to City departments the printing of the argument therefor should be paid for by the City and included in the envelope with the sample ballot.

No objection and it was *so ordered*.

**Meetings Announced.**

Supervisor Brown announced a meeting of the Buildings, Public Lands and City Planning Committee for consideration of the proposed Termite Ordinance on Thursday, February 4, 1937, at 4:15 p. m.

Supervisor Mead announced a meeting of the Fire, Safety and Police Committee for Thursday, February 4, 1937, at 10 a. m.

**Supervisors' Committee to Attend County Supervisors' Convention at Sacramento.**

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the President of the Board appoint a committee, to include the entire Board, to attend the Supervisors Association convention at Sacramento, March 10, 11 and 12.

Chair appointed the full Board to attend.

**Supervisors' Committee to Attend Redwood Empire Meeting.**

Supervisor Ratto moved that the President of the Board appoint a committee to attend the meeting of the Redwood Empire Association at Ukiah, February 11, 9 a. m.

*So ordered.*

**Report Requested on Disaster Preparedness Program.**

Supervisor Schmidt requested that the Clerk inquire from His Honor the present status of the Disaster Preparedness Plan.

**Initiative Bill Board Argument.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that the proponents of the initiative Bill-board Ordinance be permitted, at their own expense, to enclose arguments therefor with the sample ballots.



MONDAY, FEBRUARY 1, 1937.

**List of "Wherenots."**

Supervisor Uhl requested that the Clerk ascertain from the Department of Public Works a list of existing "wherenots."

*So ordered.*

**Rapid Transit.**

On motion by Supervisor Reilly, consideration of Rapid Transit was made a special order of business at 3 p. m., February 8.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors at 4 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors February 8, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, February 8, 1937

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.



Journal of the  
Board of Supervisors  
of the County of San Francisco  
for the year 1907

Printed by the County of San Francisco

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 8, 1937, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, February 8, 1937,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Colman excused—on business in Los Angeles.

Supervisor Meyer excused on account of illness.

President Shannon presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting February 1, 1937, was considered read and approved.

## SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Consideration of Matter of Rapid Transit.

Action Deferred.

The foregoing matter was *laid over one week*.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

The following matters were taken up:

**Appropriation \$600 From Emergency Reserve Fund for Appointment Part-Time Criminal Attorney for District Attorney's Office.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1224, Ordinance No. 9.051346, as follows:

Appropriating \$600 from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 605.101.00, for the payment of salary of one part time Criminal Attorney, District Attorney's Office, for five months, at \$120 per month.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$600 is hereby set aside from Emergency Reserve, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 605.101.00, Salaries, District Attorney's Office, for the payment of salary of one part time Criminal Attorney, for five months, at \$120 per month.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund, as it is necessary to provide for the appointment of an assis-



tant to aid in the handling of an increased number of cases involving small children, which cases should be tried in the Juvenile Court rather than in the Hall of Justice, and the District Attorney is without sufficient funds to provide therefor.

### Motion.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy the foregoing ordinance was amended by striking out in the second line of the second section the words "the appointment of an assistant" and inserting in lieu thereof the word "assistance," and, as amended, the ordinance *failed of passage* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Mead, Ratto, Shannon—3.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

### Amending Annual Salary Ordinance of District Attorney's Office.

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1223, Ordinance No. 9.05394, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 9.05380 by adding Item 15½ to Section 7 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 7 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 is hereby amended to read as follows:

#### Section 7. DISTRICT ATTORNEY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		District Attorney .....	\$ 666.66
2	3	B154	Criminal Law Clerk .....	200
3	3	B154	Criminal Law Clerk .....	190
4	1	B156	Senior Criminal Law Clerk .....	225
5	1	B166	Chief Clerk, District Attorney's Office...	250
6	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
7	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
8	1	B222	General Clerk (part time) .....	50
9	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
10	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	150
11	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
12	1	B516	Senior Clerk-Typist .....	175
13	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil .....	375
14	5	K54	Attorney, Criminal .....	250
15	1	K54	Attorney, Criminal .....	190
15½	1	K54	Attorney, Criminal (part time).....	120
16	3	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	375
17	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	300
18	2	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	250
19	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	200
20	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	190
21	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal .....	375
22	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal .....	275
23	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal .....	250

### Rereferred.

Whereupon, the foregoing ordinances were *rereferred to the Finance Committee for consideration Monday, February 15, at 10 a. m. Clerk to notify District Attorney Brady to attend.*

### Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up:

**Authorizing Sale of School Lands on Eighteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1225, Ordinance No. 12.172210, as follows:

Authorizing sale of school lands on Eighteenth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Parcel 1: Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Eighteenth avenue, distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Kirkham street (formerly "K" street), running thence southerly along said westerly line of Eighteenth avenue 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 210 feet to a line parallel with and distant 30 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Nineteenth avenue, said parallel line being the proposed new easterly line of said Nineteenth avenue; thence at a right angle northerly along said parallel line, 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 210 feet to the westerly line of Eighteenth avenue and the point of commencement.

Being portion of Outside Land Block No. 786.

Parcel 2: Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Eighteenth avenue, distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Moraga street (formerly "M" street), running thence southerly along said westerly line of Eighteenth avenue, 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 210 feet to a line parallel with and distant 30 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Nineteenth avenue, said parallel line being the proposed new easterly line of said Nineteenth avenue; thence at a right angle northerly along said parallel line 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 210 feet to the westerly line of Eighteenth avenue and the point of commencement.

Being portion of Outside Land Block No. 878.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Reilly—4.

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$70,500 from Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund for Pavement in Laguna Honda Boulevard.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1226, Ordinance No. 9.051347, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriation of seventy thousand five hundred dollars (\$70,500) from unappropriated surplus in the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund for the fiscal year of 1936-1937, to the credit of Appropriation No. 677.910.00, for the installation of a permanent pavement in Laguna Honda boulevard between Dewey boulevard and Lawton street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the unappropriated surplus in the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund for the fiscal year of 1936-1937, to the credit of Appropriation



No. 677.910.00, the sum of seventy thousand five hundred dollars (\$70,500), for the installation of a permanent pavement in Laguna Honda boulevard between Dewey boulevard and Lawton street.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Reilly—4.

**Establishing Grades on Ulloa Street, Sydney Way and Fowler Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.071)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1221, Ordinance No. 12.07111, as follows:

Establishing grades as per map entitled, "Grade Map Showing the Proposed Establishment of Grades on Ulloa Street Between Woodside Avenue and Laguna Honda Boulevard; on Sydney Way Between Ulloa Street and Portola Drive, and on Fowler Avenue Between Woodside Avenue and Portola Drive."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on streets as shown on map entitled, "Grade Map Showing the Proposed Establishment of Grades on Ulloa Street Between Woodside Avenue and Laguna Honda Boulevard; on Sydney Way Between Ulloa Street and Portola Drive, and on Fowler Avenue Between Woodside Avenue and Portola Drive," are hereby established at points and elevations above City base as shown on said map, approved by Director of Public Works' Order No. 5481, made January 15, 1937, and filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors, January 20, 1937.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Reilly—4.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Market Street Between Polk and Gough Streets and Between Tenth and Valencia Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1222, Ordinance No. 12.073196, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Eleven Hundred Fifty (1150) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office January 20, 1937, by amending Section Eleven Hundred and Fifty (1150) thereof to read as follows:

Section 1150:

The width of sidewalks on Market street, the southeasterly side of, between The Embarcadero and Tenth street, shall be 22 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Market street, the southeasterly side of, between Tenth and Valencia streets, shall be 12 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Market street, the northwesterly side of, between The Embarcadero and Fell street, shall be 22 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Market street, the northwesterly side of, between Polk and Castro streets, shall be 12 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Market street, the southeasterly side of, between Valencia and Seventeenth streets, shall be 12 feet.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Reilly—4.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinances were taken up:

#### **Supplemental Appropriation of \$50,000 to Pay for Election, March 9, 1937—an Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1233, Ordinance No. 9.051348, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$50,000 out of the surplus existing in General Fund Appropriation No. 500.000.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 629.200.10, for the purpose of defraying the cost of the election to be held March 9, 1937, pursuant to Resolution No. 3042 of the Board of Supervisors, an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$50,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in General Fund Appropriation No. 500.000.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 629.200.10, for the purpose of defraying the cost of the election to be held March 9, 1937, pursuant to Resolution No. 3042 of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does, by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, hereby declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective at once, for the reason that the Registrar of Voters is without funds to pay for the cost of the election to be held on March 9, 1937.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by C. J. Collins, Registrar of Voters.

Approved by Arthur E. Curtis, Director of Finance and Records.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

#### **Supplemental Appropriation of \$1,000 for the Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1234, Ordinance No. 9.051349, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$1,000 to the credit of Appropriation No. 601.200.00, Supervisors' Contractual Service, out of the surplus existing in the Supervisors' Revolving Fund for the purpose of providing funds for the uninterrupted operation of the offices of the Board of Supervisors, an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the Supervisors' Revolving Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 601.200.00 for the purpose of providing



funds for the uninterrupted operation of the offices of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does by the vote by which this ordinance is passed hereby declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective at once; that the nature of said emergency is as follows: The Board of Supervisors will be without the necessary funds to operate their office unless this appropriation is made.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Recommended by Warren Shannon, President, Board of Supervisors.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

#### **Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

#### **Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land for Mission High School.**

(Code No. 12.1712)

Resolution No. 3068, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from W. E. Coulthard and Amy Coulthard, his wife, Lot 32-D, Assessor's Block 3579, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Mission High School, for the sum of \$9,250, payable from Appropriation No. 670.600.01. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Reilly—4.

#### **Authorizing Purchase of Land for Richardson Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3069, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Ida Appleford, portion of Lot 4, Assessor's Block 932, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Richardson Avenue, for the sum of \$9,300, \$1,150 payable from Appropriation No. 648.914.14.1, Project No. 4½, and the balance of \$8,150 payable from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 271153. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Reilly—4.

#### **Authorizing Purchase of Land for Richardson Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3070, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Fletcher Baker, et ux., Lot 3, Assessor's Block 932, situated in the City

and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Richardson avenue, for the sum of \$13,250, \$750 payable from Appropriation No. 648.914.14.1, Project No. 4½, and the balance of \$12,500 payable from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 271153. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

It is understood that the grantors shall have the right to retain and remove the improvements from said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Reilly—4.

**Authorizing Acceptance of Deed From Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco Covering Easement in Holy Cross Cemetery.**

(Code No. 15.0241)

Also, Resolution No. 3071, as follows:

Authorizing acceptance of deed from Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco covering easement in Holy Cross Cemetery.

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept from the Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco a grant conveying to the City and County of San Francisco an easement to maintain a water main over and through certain portions of Holy Cross Cemetery described as follows, to-wit: A right of way and easement for a water main over the following described real property in Holy Cross Cemetery, situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the center line of the 30-inch water main from San Andreas Reservoir in San Mateo County to San Francisco, which point is located south 50 degrees 13 minutes west, 47.52 feet, along the center line of Central avenue, in Holy Cross Cemetery and north 20 degrees 40 minutes west, 99.34 feet along the center line of said pipe line from a cut in the bronze doorstep of the main entrance to the mausoleum, thence from the above described point of beginning on the arc of a curve to the left, with a radius of 30 feet, for a distance of 23.06 feet, thence north 64 degrees 47 minutes west, 77.73 feet, thence on the arc of a curve to the right with a radius of 30 feet for a distance of 13.09 feet, thence north 39 degrees 47 minutes west, 75.39 feet, thence on the arc of a curve to the right with a radius of 30 feet, for a distance of 47.12 feet, thence north 50 degrees 13 minutes east, 57.96 feet, thence on the arc of a curve to the left for a distance of 37.11 feet to a joining with the center line of the old pipe which said point is distant from the point of beginning on a line north 20 degrees 40 minutes west, a distance of 243.73 feet. Being that strip of land indicated by the line marked "New Right of Way" on the plat hereto annexed and hereby made a part hereof.

And the Manager of Utilities is hereby authorized to cause said deed to be recorded in the office of the Recorder of the County of San Mateo, State of California.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Reilly—4.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:



**Authorizing the City and County to Surrender to the Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco Part of a Certain Easement Heretofore Acquired by the Spring Valley Water Company Over and Through Holy Cross Cemetery.**

(Code No. 15.0242)

Bill No. 1235, Ordinance No. 15.02425, as follows:

Authorizing the City and County to surrender to the Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco part of a certain easement heretofore acquired by the Spring Valley Water Company over and through Holy Cross Cemetery.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City and County of San Francisco does hereby surrender to the Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco, a corporation sole, all of its right, title and interest in and to a portion of an easement heretofore granted and enjoyed by the Spring Valley Water Company, the predecessor in interest of the City and County of San Francisco, over certain lands comprising a part of Holy Cross Cemetery in San Mateo County, California, which real property and portion of easement is more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the center line of the 30-inch water main from San Andreas Reservoir in San Mateo County to San Francisco, which point is located south 50 degrees 13 minutes west, 47.52 feet along the center line of Central avenue in Holy Cross Cemetery and north 20 degrees 40 minutes west, 99.34 feet along the center line of said pipe line from a cut in the bronze doorstep of the main entrance to the mausoleum; thence along a line north 20 degrees 40 minutes west to a point on said line 243.73 feet from the point of beginning. Being that strip of land indicated by the line marked "Old Right of Way" on the plat hereto annexed and hereby made a part hereof.

Section 2. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute and deliver the necessary deeds or other instruments surrendering the said easement and lands hereinbefore mentioned.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Reilly—4.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Cancellation, Tax Sale and Assessments.**

(Code Nos. 9.0411 and 9.0412)

Resolution No. 3072, as follows:

Whereas, the Tax Collector has reported that Lot 10A, Block 1852, now recorded in the name of the City and County of San Francisco, was sold to the State for delinquent taxes June 29, 1931, and assessed for subsequent years in the name of "Unknown Owners," and that this sale and the following assessments should be cancelled:

Lot 10A, Block 1852:

	Tax	Del. Pen.	Cost
1930 .....	\$14.14	\$1.41	\$0.50
1931 .....	14.14		
1932 .....	13.86		
1933 .....	12.18		
1934 .....	13.52		
1935 .....	12.88		
	<hr/> \$80.72	<hr/> \$1.41	<hr/> \$0.50

And the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it Resolved, That the Tax Collector be authorized to cancel this sale in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Reilly—4.

### **Tax Refunds, Erroneous and Overpayments.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 3073, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, to the following; being refunds of amounts of erroneous and overpayments of taxes, to-wit:

- |   |          |
|---|----------|
| (1) A. C. Eck Company, unsecured personal property taxes of 1936, Vol. 12, page 110, line 20, erroneous overcharge of penalty by Bureau of Delinquent Revenues.....   | \$1.20   |
| (2) Meyer Bros., Vol. 21, Bill 174, Lot 4, Block 2971, 1st Installment, Fiscal Year 1936, erroneous payment.....  | 44.08    |
| (3) Capital Company, Vol. 1, Bill 286, Lot 7-B, Block 43, \$146.82; Vol. 4, Bill 1198, Lot 14, Block 597, \$124.87; Vol. 5, Bill 624, Lot 3, Block 728, \$49.57; Vol. 19, Lot 22, Block 2627, \$11.92; all Fiscal Year 1936, erroneous payment.....   | 333.18   |
| (4) California Pacific Title and Trust Co., Vol. 44, Bill 2218, Block 7208, 2d Installment, Fiscal Year 1935, \$19.88; Vol. 44, Bill 2220, Lot 7, Block 7208, both installments, Fiscal Year 1935, \$28.72; Vol. 42, Bill 2591, Lot 12, Block 6989, both installments, Fiscal Year 1935, \$69.96; Vol. 15, Bill 2951, Lot 7, Block 2041, 1st Installment, Fiscal Year 1936, \$30.65; erroneous payments ..... | 149.21   |
| (5) John M. Ennis, Regional Tax Supervisors, Home Owners' Loan Corporation, Vol. 10, Bill 824, Lot 9, Block 1456, 1st Installment, Fiscal Year 1935, erroneous payment.....   | 43.55    |
| (6) City Title Insurance Co., Vol. 20, Bill 2176, Lot 25, Block 2920, 1st Installment, Fiscal Year 1936, erroneous payment..  | 43.71    |
| (7) Foster Lunch System, Ltd., Vol. 2, Bill 2556, Lot 7, Block 285, 1st Installment, Fiscal Year 1936, erroneous payment..  | 1,349.19 |
| (8) Clarence Hanlon, Vol. 36, Bill 727, Lot 23, Block 6018, 1st Installment, Fiscal Year 1936, erroneous payment.....   | 17.37    |
| (9) American Trust Company, Vol. 18, Bill 2430, Lot 16, Block 2479, both installments, Fiscal Year 1936, erroneous payment .....  | 15.14    |
| (10) California Pacific Title & Trust Co., Vol. 32, Bill 1357, Lot 18, Block 5376, 1st Installment, Fiscal Year 1936, erroneous payment .....   | 12.87    |
| (11) The Bank of California, Vol. 38, Bill 1444, Lot 4, Block 6416, 1st Installment, Fiscal Year 1936, erroneous payment..  | 35.57    |
| (12) Thomas F. Loftus, Vol. 13, Bill 1660, Lot 14, Block 1741, 1st Installment, Fiscal Year 1936, erroneous payment.....  | 61.49    |
| (13) Thomas McCormick, Vol. 14, Bill 1303, Lot 14-D, Block 1825, 1st Installment, \$10.41; Vol. 14, Lot 15, Block 1825, 1st Installment, \$10.41; Fiscal Year 1936, erroneous payment..   | 20.82    |
| (14) Ben K. Cherin, Vol. 15, Bill 285, Lot 48, Block 1881, 1st Installment, Fiscal Year 1936, erroneous payment.....  | 48.06    |
| (15) Olga L. Fisher, Vol. 1, Bill 992, Lot 12, Block 85, both installments, Fiscal Year 1935, erroneous payment.....  | 15.46    |



- |  |       |
|--|-------|
| (16) Maitland A. Bertram, Vol. 28, Bill 460, Lot 5, Block 4281-A, 1st Installment, Fiscal Year 1936, erroneous payment .....       | 9.46  |
| (17) Alfred De Benedetti, Vol. 22, Bill 1982, Lot 1, Block 3208-A, 1st Installment, Fiscal Year 1936, erroneous over payment ..... | 12.86 |

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Reilly—4.

**Confirming Lease of Property on Kansas Street to Hawley Forge Company.**

(Code No. 12.1737)

Also, Resolution No. 3074, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17371, Bill No. 339, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids or offers would be received by him on January 26, 1937, for leasing the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Kansas street, distant thereon 274 feet southerly from the southerly line of Army street, running thence southerly along the easterly line of Kansas street, 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 200 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 200 feet to the point of commencement.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Virgil Hawley, Andrew Olson and Gerald Hawley offered to lease said land for a period of twenty years, at no rental for the first six months, \$100.00 per month for the next three years and \$200.00 per month for the remaining sixteen and one-half years, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, the Department of Public Works has recommended that said property be leased upon such terms; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary lease to Virgil Hawley, Andrew Olson and Gerald Hawley, doing business under the name of Hawley Forge & Manufacturing Company. The lease shall be made subject to such reservations and conditions as may be deemed necessary or desirable by the City Attorney and the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Reilly—4.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$5,500 for Widening of Bay Street.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1236, Ordinance No. 9.051350, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$5,500.00 out of the unappropriated surplus in the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 677.907.17 for the purchase of land in Bay street at Laguna street, said land being necessary for

the widening of Bay street, which physical work is to be prosecuted by the WPA forces.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$5,500.00 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the unappropriated surplus in the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 677.907.17 for the purchase of land in Bay street at Laguna street, said land being necessary for the widening of Bay street, which physical work is to be prosecuted by the WPA forces.

Approved as to form: John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by: W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.

Approved by: Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available: Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Approved: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Reilly—4.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land for Corona Heights Playground.

(Code No. 12.1714)

Resolution No. 3075, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Eunice G. Prichard, Guardian of the Person and Estate of Jean DeForest Prichard, Incompetent, and DeForest Prichard, Incompetent, Lots 37, 38, 39, 40 and 41, Assessor's Block 2614, San Francisco, State of California, requirde for the Corona Heights Playground, for the sum of \$1,225, payable from Appropriation No. 613.600.12. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Reilly—4.

#### Authorizing Purchase of Parcel 2 Under New Fleishhacker Playfield Agreement.

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 3076, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Filoli, Incorporated, as successor in interest to Spring Valley Company, Ltd., the westerly 124.85 feet of Lot 41, Assessor's Block 7201, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, required for Fleishhacker Playfield, for the sum of \$18,665.73, payable from Appropriation No. 612.600.01. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said real property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Reilly—4.



## Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Amendment to Salary Ordinance Governing Wages of City Employees Working Under Section 95 of Charter.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1237, Ordinance No. 9.05396, as follows:

Amending Section 2 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 by adding a provision to govern the payment of wages to employees of City departments performing work under the contract provisions of Section 95 of the Charter.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 of Ordinance No. 9.05380, commonly called the Annual Salary Ordinance, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. Salary or wage rates herein specified are the maximum gross compensations fixed for the present incumbents of the respective positions herein enumerated, including the valuation of maintenance furnished.

In filling vacancies in positions herein enumerated or in appointing employees to temporary or seasonal positions as provided in Section 1 hereof, which are subject to the provisions of Section 151 of the Charter, the person appointed to such vacancy or temporary or seasonal position shall be paid a wage or salary not to exceed the entrance salary or wage fixed for such position in the proposed schedule of compensations issued by the Civil Service Commission under date of April 9, 1930, or as such proposed schedule may be amended as provided by Charter or extended by the Civil Service Commission to include classifications not included therein; provided, however, that persons who have acquired permanent status in a position who are re-employed in the same position after lay-off or leave of absence shall be paid the salary or wage received at the time laid off or granted such leave, provided that in the execution and performance of any contract awarded to a City department under the provisions of Section 95 of the Charter, not less than the wage scale fixed by the Board of Supervisors in the Prevailing Wage Resolution and in effect at the time of the award of said contract shall be paid to employees performing work under such contract.

No maintenance shall be provided to any employee in a position subject to the provisions of Section 151 of the Charter in addition to the compensation herein fixed or provided.

Charges for any and all maintenance furnished employees in positions subject to Section 151 of the Charter as indicated herein shall be made and indicated on time rolls and pay rolls, and deductions for such maintenance shall be indicated and made on time rolls and pay rolls in accordance with the following schedule fixed by the Civil Service Commission for such maintenance; provided, however, that no charge shall be made for meals furnished cooks, bakers, waiters and other kitchen workers.

	For those receiving \$80 per month or less in cash.	For those receiving more than \$80 per month in cash.
1 meal per day.....	\$ 6.00 per Mo.	\$10.00 per Mo.
2 meals per day.....	9.00 per Mo.	16.50 per Mo.
3 meals per day.....	12.00 per Mo.	22.50 per Mo.
Room or house .....	8.00 per Mo.	10.00 per Mo.
Laundry .....	2.00 per Mo.	2.50 per Mo.
Board, room and laundry .....	22.00 per Mo.	35.00 per Mo.
Single meal .....	.25	.35

	Per Mo.
Complete family maintenance furnished the Superintendent of Laguna Honda Home and the Superintendent of the San Francisco Hospital .....	\$150
House furnished the Superintendent and the Assistant Superintendent, Alameda Division of the Water Department, and the Assistant Superintendent of the Peninsula Division of the Water Department .....	25
House furnished the Superintendent of the Peninsula Division of the Water Department .....	50
House furnished the Head Pump Operator of the Water Department .....	25
House furnished the Engineer, stationary steam engines, at the Water Department .....	15

The letters B., R. & L. used in this ordinance to indicate deduction shall be construed to mean board, room or house and laundry and deductions made in accordance with the schedule fixed by the Civil Service Commission for such accommodations.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Reilly—4.

#### **Appropriation of \$5,000 for Compensation of Visiting Judges.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1238, Ordinance No. 9.051351, as follows:

Appropriating \$5,000 from the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 621.900.00 for the purposes of paying the compensation of visiting judges, and other expenses in connection therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$5,000 is hereby appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 621.900.00 for the purposes of paying the compensation of visiting judges, and other expenses in connection therewith.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund as it is necessary to provide these additional funds for the uninterrupted operation of the Superior Court.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Recommended by George J. Steiger, Presiding Judge.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

#### **Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

#### **Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land for Richardson Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Resolution No. 3077, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Henry Cristiani and Gina Cristiani, portion of Lot 22, Assessor's Block 925, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Richardson avenue, for the sum of \$3,275, \$623.50 payable from Appropriation No. 648.914.14.1, Project No. 4½, and the balance of \$2,651.50 payable from the money on deposit with the County



Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 271153. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Reilly—4.

#### **Authorizing Purchase of Land for Richardson Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3078, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Natale Cereghino and Assunta Cereghino, portions of Lots 18 and 19, Assessor's Block 931, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Richardson avenue, for the sum of \$25,000, \$1,736 payable from Appropriation No. 648.914.14.1, Project 4½, and the balance of \$23,264 payable from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 271153. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

The above amount includes damages in full for the improvements now wholly or partially located on the above described land, said improvements to be retained by the grantors and removed by them on or before February 27, 1937.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Reilly—4.

#### **Intention to Close and Abandon a Portion of the Southwesterly Side of Villa Terrace.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 3047, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of Villa terrace, at Pemberton place, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Villa terrace more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Villa terrace and the southeasterly line of Pemberton place; thence southeasterly along said line of Villa terrace, 57.119 feet to an angle point therein; thence deflecting 160 degrees 30 minutes to the left and running northwesterly along the southwesterly line of Villa terrace produced northwesterly, 44.936 feet to a line parallel with and distant 15 feet at right angles northeasterly from the southwesterly line of Villa terrace; thence deflecting 19 degrees 30 minutes to the left and running northwesterly 14.760 feet to the southeasterly line of Pemberton place produced northeasterly; thence at right angles southwesterly along last-named line, 15 feet to the point of beginning.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Villa terrace shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and

the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Villa terrace in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer—3.

### **Re-referred.**

The following resolution was *re-referred to the Streets Committee:*

### **Intention to Close and Abandon a Portion of Corbett Avenue at Mars Street.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Resolution No. 3048, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of Corbett avenue, at Mars street, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Corbett avenue more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the southeasterly corner of Lot 20, Block Y, of Park Lane Tract, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "E and F" at page 129, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northerly along the easterly line of said Lot 20, being also the westerly line of Corbett avenue, 50 feet to an angle point therein; thence deflecting 171 degrees 59 minutes 20 seconds to the right and running southerly 47.96 feet to the southerly line of said Lot 20, produced easterly; thence deflecting 77 degrees 26 minutes 37 seconds to the right and running westerly along last-named line 7.14 feet to the point of beginning.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Corbett avenue shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Corbett avenue in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

### **Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Streets Committee were taken up:

### **Intention to Close and Abandon Portions of Mendell Street, Carroll Avenue, Bancroft Avenue, Armstrong Avenue, Yosemite Avenue, Shoup Avenue and an Unnamed Street.**

(Code No. 12.0621)

Resolution No. 3079, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portions of Mendell street, Carroll avenue, Bancroft avenue, Armstrong avenue, Yosemite avenue, Shoup avenue and an unnamed street be closed and abandoned; and be it



Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all those portions of Mendell street, Carroll avenue, Bancroft avenue, Armstrong avenue, Yosemite avenue, Shoup avenue and an unnamed street, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

*Mendell Street.*

Parcel 1. All that portion of Mendell street lying between the easterly line of Shoup avenue and the westerly line of a strip of land 60 feet in width deeded to the City and County of San Francisco for street purposes by the Southern Pacific Company, recorded February 28, 1905, in Book 2103 of Deeds, at page 194, Records of aforesaid City and County, said westerly line being also the easterly line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way.

Excepting therefrom a 10-foot sewer easement lying 5 feet on each side of the center line of Mendell street.

Parcel 2. All that portion of Mendell street lying between the northeasterly line of Carroll avenue and a line parallel with and distant 60 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Shoup avenue.

Excepting therefrom a 10-foot sewer easement lying 5 feet on each side of the center line of Mendell street.

*Carroll Avenue.*

Parcel 1. All that portion of Carroll avenue (as it exists for approximately 200 feet northwesterly from Shoup avenue) lying between the northwesterly terminal line of Carroll avenue and a line parallel with and 60 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Shoup avenue.

Excepting therefrom a 10-foot sewer easement lying 5 feet on each side of the center line of Mendell street produced southwesterly.

Parcel 2. All that portion of Carroll avenue lying between the southerly prolongation of the westerly line of Shoup avenue and the southerly prolongation of the westerly line of a strip of land 60 feet in width deeded to the City and County of San Francisco for street purposes by the Southern Pacific Company, recorded February 28, 1905, in Book 2013 of Deeds, at page 194, Records of aforesaid City and County, said last-named line being also the easterly line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way.

*Bancroft Avenue.*

Parcel 1. All that portion of Bancroft avenue bounded on the northwest by the southeasterly line of Mendell street and the easterly line of Shoup avenue and on the southeast by the westerly line of a strip of land 60 feet in width deeded to the City and County of San Francisco for street purposes by the Southern Pacific Company, recorded February 28, 1905, in Book 2013 of Deeds, at page 194, Records of aforesaid City and County, said westerly line being also the easterly line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way.

Parcel 2. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Bancroft avenue with a line parallel with and 60 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Shoup avenue, said point of beginning being distant on said southwesterly line 60.30 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Mendell street; thence northwesterly along said line of Bancroft avenue 14.70 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 26.25 feet to aforesaid parallel line; thence deflecting 150 degrees 44 minutes 44 seconds to the right and running southerly along said parallel line, 30.08 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 3. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of Bancroft avenue and a line parallel with and 60 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Shoup avenue; thence southerly along said parallel line, 45.85 feet; thence deflecting 119 degrees 15 minutes 16 seconds to the right and running northwesterly, 67.30 feet to the northwesterly terminal line of Bancroft avenue;

thence at right angles northeasterly along said terminal line, 31.69 feet to the southerly line of Carroll avenue; thence deflecting 70 degrees 40 minutes 15 seconds to the right and running easterly along last-named line, 25.11 feet to the northeasterly line of Bancroft avenue; thence deflecting 19 degrees 19 minutes 45 seconds to the right and running southeasterly along last-named line, 21.20 feet to the point of beginning.

*Armstrong Avenue.*

All that portion of Armstrong avenue lying between the northwesterly line of Mendell street and the easterly line of Shoup avenue.

*Yosemite Avenue.*

All that portion of Yosemite avenue lying between the westerly line of Shoup avenue produced northerly and a line parallel with and 16 feet at right angles northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Mendell street.

*Shoup Avenue.*

All that portion of Shoup avenue lying between the southwesterly line of Yosemite avenue and the northeasterly line of Carroll avenue.

Excepting therefrom a 10-foot sewer easement lying 5 feet on each side of the center line of Bancroft avenue produced northwesterly, and extending from the westerly line of Shoup avenue to the center line of Mendell street produced southwesterly.

*Unnamed Street.*

All that portion of a strip of land 60 feet in width deeded to the City and County of San Francisco for street purposes by the Southern Pacific Company, recorded February 28, 1905, in Book 2103 of Deeds, at page 194, Records of said City and County, lying between the southwesterly line of Yosemite avenue and a line parallel with and 16 feet at right angles northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Mendell street.

Said closing and abandonment of said portions of Mendell street, Carroll avenue, Bancroft avenue, Armstrong avenue, Yosemite avenue, Shoup avenue and an unnamed street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portions of Mendell street, Carroll avenue, Bancroft avenue, Armstrong avenue, Yosemite avenue, Shoup avenue and an unnamed street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

**Accepting Deed and Approving Map Showing the Widening of Sloat Boulevard From Nineteenth Avenue to Junipero Serra Boulevard.**

(Code No. 12.0821)

Also, Resolution No. 3080, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Map Showing the Widening of Sloat Boulevard from Nineteenth Avenue to Junipero



Serra Boulevard; Also Junipero Serra Boulevard from Ocean Avenue to Sloat Boulevard," approved the 29th day of January, 1937, by Director of Public Works Order No. ———, be and is hereby approved, and the parcels numbered 1, 2 and 3 thereon are hereby declared to be open public streets, to be known by the names as shown on said map; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain deed dated the 17th day of November, 1936, from Henry and Hazel Stoneson, and Ellis L. and Bertha K. Stoneson to parcel 3, as delineated upon said map.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

### Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week pending report of City Attorney after conference with State Highway Commission as to City retaining local traffic control:*

**Approving Amendment to Streets and Highways Code to Include Harrison and Bryant Streets, From Fifth to Tenth Streets, in the State System.**

(Code No. 5.31)

Resolution No. 3081, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby approve the following proposed amendment to Section 368 of the Streets and Highways Code:

"An act to amend Section 368 of the Streets and Highways Code. The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

"Section 1. Section 368 of the Streets and Highways Code is amended to read as follows:

"368. Route 68 is the Bayshore highway from San Francisco to San Jose.

"This route includes the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge and the approaches thereto on the San Francisco end, as those approaches are described in Section 1 of Chapter 9, Statutes of 1933, *and includes both Harrison street and Bryant street, from Tenth street to Fifth street, in the City and County of San Francisco;*" and be it

Further Resolved, That copy of this resolution be forwarded to Colonel John H. Skeggs, Division Engineer, Department of Public Works of the State of California, as well as to the San Francisco delegation in the State Legislature.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

**Full Acceptance of Colby Street, Between Silver Avenue and Sweeny Street.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Bill No. 1240, Ordinance No. 12.0811, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Colby street, between Silver avenue and Sweeny street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San

Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit: Colby street, between Silver avenue and Sweeny street, including the curbs.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

#### Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance was taken up:

#### Spur Track for Coffin-Redington Co. on Beale Street at Harrison Street (an Emergency Ordinance).

(Code No. 12.20)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1239, Ordinance No. 12.2020, as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Coffin-Redington Company, to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a standard gauge spur track upon and along Beale street at Harrison street as hereinafter described, an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors is hereby granted to Coffin-Redington Company, to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a standard gauge spur track along and upon Beale street at Harrison street, said location being more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in existing track in Beale street approximately 64 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Harrison street produced; thence northwesterly and crossing a portion of Beale street and under the Harrison street viaduct to a point in the southwesterly line of Beale street approximately 29 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Harrison street; thence into private property.

Provided that all work is to be done in accordance with blue print entitled "Southern Pacific Company, San Francisco, proposed spur to serve Coffin-Redington Co.," and that any interference with the natural drainage of the above mentioned streets caused by the installation of the spur track shall be corrected by the Coffin-Redington Company to the satisfaction of the Department of Public Works.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does, by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, hereby declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective at once, for the reason that Beale street is now being widened and reconstructed and it is prudent and necessary to install this spur track while the street work is being done.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.



**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

**Ordering the Construction of a Sewer in Silver Avenue and Conkling Street (Protest).**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Bill No. 1220, Ordinance No. 12.061170, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 21, 1936, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Conkling street northerly from Silver avenue and of Silver avenue between Scotia avenue and Layton street, by the construction of sewers and appurtenances. The construction will consist of the following items:

- | Item No. | Item                                |
|----------|-------------------------------------|
| 1.       | Brick manholes, complete.           |
| 2.       | 8-inch V. C. P. sewer, in place.    |
| 3.       | 12-inch V. C. P. sewer, in place.   |
| 4.       | 15-inch V. C. P. sewer, in place.   |
| 5.       | 10-inch V. C. P. culvert, in place. |
| 6.       | Brick catchbasin, complete.         |
| 7.       | 8x6-inch Wye branches, in place.    |
| 8.       | 12x6-inch Wye branches, in place.   |
| 9.       | 15x6-inch Wye branches, in place.   |

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Lot 7 of Block 5335-A:

Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 and 17 of Block 5379;

Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 18 of Block 5380;

Lot 1 of Block 5366;

All being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence, Hon. John P. McLaughlin, Public Utilities Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3082, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable John P. McLaughlin, member of the Public Utilities Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence, for a period of thirty days, commencing February 6, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

**Leave of Absence, Supervisor James B. McSheehy.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3083, as follows:

Resolved, That, on the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Supervisor James B. McSheehy be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence of six weeks, commencing February 10, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

**Leave of Absence, Honorable Arthur M. Brown, Jr., Member of Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3084, as follows:

Resolved, That, upon the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Arthur M. Brown, Jr., member of the Board of Supervisors, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence of six weeks commencing February 10, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

**Leave of Absence, Honorable Charles F. Traung, Member of Recreation Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3085, as follows:

Resolved, That, upon the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Charles F. Traung, member of the Recreation Commission,



be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence of thirty days commencing February 7, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

### Release of Liens Filed Re Old Age Security.

(Code No. 19.02)

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. 3086, as follows:

Resolved, that the liens heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco are released against the properties described as follows:

Cecile and Peter Lutzi, recorded San Francisco County, August 10, 1936, Vol. 3011, Official Records, pages 63 and 64; legal description Lot 6, Block 6474, Assessor's Map.

Property purchased by the City and County of San Francisco. Lien is to be placed against other property.

Charlotte Brumund, recorded San Francisco County, August 10, 1936, Vol. 3011, Official Records, page 14; legal description Lot 14, Block 2392, Assessor's Map.

Property being sold. Release of lien to be presented to California Pacific Title Company for recording, after claim, \$134, has been paid.

Marie Heinkel, recorded San Francisco County, August 10, 1936, Vol. 3011, Official Records, page 35; legal description Lot 5, Block 1640, Assessor's Map.

Mortgage on property to be renewed. New claim of lien to be recorded after recordation of new bank loan.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

### Committee to Represent San Francisco at Salinas Rodeo.

Supervisor Ratto moved that the President of this Board be and is hereby authorized and requested to appoint a committee of Supervisors to make necessary arrangements for San Francisco's participation in and to represent the City and County of San Francisco at the California Rodeo to be held at Salinas during the month of July, 1937.

*Motion carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

### Appointment of Horace C. Clifton, War Memorial Trustee, Confirmed.

A notice of appointment of Horace B. Clifton as War Memorial Trustee, vice John A. McGregor, resigned, for the term expiring January 2, 1939, received from his Honor the Mayor.

On motion by Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Mead, the appointment was *confirmed*.

**Death of Elizabeth Gerberding.**

Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved that the Board adjourn out of respect to the memory of the late Elizabeth Gerberding, and that the Clerk prepare suitable resolution to be sent to the family.

*Adopted unanimously by rising vote.*

**Supervisor McSheehy Delegated to Go to Washington, D. C., to Represent Golden Gate Bridge Opening Celebration Committee.**

Supervisor Brown moved that Supervisor McSheehy be made a delegate of the Board of Supervisors, to go to Washington, D. C., in behalf of the Golden Gate Bridge Opening Celebration Committee, and that his expenses, other than transportation, be paid by the City and County of San Francisco.

*Motion carried.*

**Recommendations of Chief Administrative Officer Cleary Re McClintock Traffic Report.**

The following report from Chief Administrative Officer and his recommendations pursuant to McClintock report, was made a *Special Order of Business for 4 P. M., February 15, 1937.*

OFFICE OF  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

February 8, 1937.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: When the San Francisco Bay Bridge was under construction, your Honorable Board, realizing the necessity for adequate approaches thereto, proposed a bond issue in the amount of \$2,100,000 for the widening and reconditioning of various streets and boulevards to conform with the approaches to the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge and the Golden Gate Bridge.

The bond issue election was held on November 7, 1933. The proposition failed to secure the two-thirds vote necessary for its approval. Immediately thereafter; namely, on December 8, 1933, Mayor Rossi left for Washington to solicit Federal Funds for a street widening program, and despite the failure of the electorate to approve the bond issue, the following streets south of Market have been widened or are in the course of alteration for the relief of traffic congestion:

*South of Market Streets Widening or in the Course of Alteration.***New pavement:**

Steuart street, Mission street to Embarcadero.  
Spear street, Howard street to Embarcadero.  
Battery street, California street to Embarcadero.

**Widening and reconstruction:**

\*Beale street, Market street to Embarcadero.  
Fremont street, Market street to Harrison street.  
First street, Market street to Harrison street.  
Fifth street, Mission street to Townsend street.  
\*Sixth street, Market street to Townsend street.  
Eighth street, Market street to Townsend street.  
Tenth street, Market street to Potrero avenue.  
\*Folsom street, Embarcadero to Tenth street.  
Harrison street, Embarcadero to Tenth street.  
Bryant street, Second street to Tenth street.  
Brannan street, Embarcadero to Potrero avenue.  
Potrero avenue, Tenth street to Bay Shore boulevard.



\*Taylor street, Market street to Post street.

Fell street, Market street to Van Ness avenue.

\* Projects so marked are under construction.

*Work in Progress on Golden Gate Bridge Approaches.*

Richardson avenue—Lombard and Broderick streets to Lyon and Bay streets.

Lyon street—Bay to Lombard street.

Marina boulevard—Lyon street approximately 200 feet easterly.

Richardson avenue approach—Structure in Presidio, involving grade separations, Lyon street westerly to main bridge ramp.

Van Ness avenue—Market street to Aquatic Park.

Bay street—Fillmore street to Embarcadero.

Nineteenth avenue—Property purchases in progress.

New road across Golden Gate Park.

Park-Presidio roadway—Plans under way, Fulton street to Presidio.

New road in Presidio—Bridge approach from Park-Presidio roadway. Plans under way by State of California. City's contribution approximately \$500,000.

As I have repeatedly stated to your Honorable Board, one of the principal advantages that will accrue to San Francisco from the construction of the Trans-Bay Bridge and the Golden Gate Bridge will be the bringing of our suburban population into closer contact with our retail merchants in San Francisco.

To utilize to the fullest the two bridges as sources of income to San Francisco, we must provide the best and most rapid means for suburban residents to reach our down town shopping district.

This subject is closely interrelated with the studies on rapid transit that have been made by the Public Utilities Commission and its consultants, Mr. Robert Ridgway and Mr. Alfred Brahdy. The importance of providing ready access to the down town area from our outlying districts within the County is fully as urgent as cross down town arteries. It is, moreover, self-evident that the volume of traffic on various sections of Market street is an important factor in determining which particular location should be chosen for immediate construction in order to make our retail district most accessible to suburban residents.

The report of Dr. Miller McClintock of December, 1936, recommends the construction of some nine new thoroughfares to provide for rapid vehicular traffic from the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge to the retail shopping district. In this recommendation, Dr. McClintock is looking a long time into the future, as he states in his report, but we are confronted with the more urgent problem of providing a thoroughfare that will take care of our immediate needs.

Dr. McClintock is a traffic expert with extensive specialized experience and the recommendation contained on page 33 of his report, wherein he lists the Fifth street extension as being the first thoroughfare that should be undertaken, he doubtless based this proposal on the assumption that the Market Street Railway tracks on Fifth street, between Mission and Market streets, must necessarily be removed to provide increased vehicular traffic capacity. Otherwise, to provide a new street on the north of Market opposite Fifth street would have little or no effect on the congestion at the Fifth and Market street dead-end. This fact is self-evident, and must be borne in mind in the analyses that follow.

Of the nine proposals contained in Dr. McClintock's report, the most important of which were enumerated in the preliminary report of City Engineer John J. Casey of August, 1936, the two that most immediately affect the down town shopping district are:

1. The prolongation of Fifth street across Market to the intersection of Eddy and Mason streets, and

2. The construction of a new street between Third and Fourth streets, Market to Bryant street.

I am confining my present consideration to the two recommendations and the comparison of their relative merits because I believe that for financial reasons we should limit ourselves to the best available thoroughfare, and in unison concentrate on the immediate construction thereof. In his nine recommendations, Dr. McClintock lists Fifth street and the proposed new street as No. 1 and 2 in the order of importance.

#### *Fifth Street.*

Presupposing that arrangements can be made for the removal of the Market Street Railway tracks on Fifth street, between Mission and Market streets, the following advantages would be gained by developing this thoroughfare as proposed by Dr. McClintock, across Market street to the intersection of Eddy and Mason streets:

First, the main terminal for the Trans-Bay Bridge is on Fifth street;

Second, once started, construction work on this proposed route could be completed most rapidly;

Third, the cost would be considerably less than the alternative route—approximately 40 per cent of the cost of the alternative thoroughfare;

Fourth, the route between Market street and the bridge terminal would be the shortest and most direct;

Fifth, less property need be acquired for right-of-way;

Sixth, maintenance costs would be slightly less;

Seventh, only right hand turns would be necessary from Mason street to the triangular shopping district.

#### *Advantages of New Street Between Third and Fourth Streets.*

First, would afford the most rapid approach to the center of the retail shopping district;

Second, would offer less interference to north and south bound vehicular traffic on Market street;

Third, would offer less interference to pedestrian traffic on Market street;

Fourth, would result in greater enhancement to property values south of Market street;

Fifth, would connect the bridge terminal directly to Grant avenue, the widest street in the retail shopping district;

Sixth, would cause less traffic congestion in the triangular district proper;

Seventh, the new street would afford a fire barrier in the thickly congested South of Market District;

Eighth, the new street would afford a greater convenience to peninsular traffic. Peninsular population increased 120 per cent between 1920 and 1930 as against 36 per cent increase in East Bay population.

A preliminary estimate, by City Engineer John J. Casey, of the cost of the above projects is as follows:

#### FIFTH STREET EXTENSION.

##### *Market to Eddy and Mason Streets.*

Property . . . . .	\$1,668,000
Construction and incidentals . . . . .	169,000
Total . . . . .	<u>\$1,837,000</u>

##### *New Street Between Third and Fourth Streets.*

##### *(Market to Brannan Street)*

Property . . . . .	\$3,927,000
Construction and incidentals . . . . .	589,300
Total . . . . .	<u>\$4,516,300</u>



On pages 1 and 2 of his report of December 18, 1936, Dr. McClintock states:

"The bridge traffic has unquestionably added to the problem of traffic congestion in San Francisco though to a much lesser degree than was apprehended by some. As bridge volume increases this congestive influence will also increase and the utility of the bridge structure will be limited thereby.

"The essential problem goes back far beyond the bridge, which has merely served to aggravate street faults which are as old as San Francisco itself. This problem may be stated as the Market street crossing problem.

"The conclusions and recommendations contained in this report would have been entirely valid if there had been no San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge."

If your Honorable Board is seeking a temporary expedient only, and if you can promptly negotiate with the Market Street Railway Company for the removal of its dead-end street car terminal at Fifth and Market streets, due consideration should be given by you to the prolongation of Fifth street for the reasons above enumerated.

If, however, it is impossible promptly to accomplish the removal of the Fifth street dead-end tracks, or if you desire to construct first a thoroughfare that will prove of more lasting benefit to the shopping area as well as the entire South of Market Street District, I recommend that the new street opposite Grant avenue, extending from Market street to Brannan street, be immediately undertaken.

There are six ways in which either of these projects can be financed:

First, by a bond issue;

Second, by an assessment district;

Third, partially by bond issue, partially by assessment district;

Fourth, by allocation of funds from the gas tax receipts subject to reallocation and revision by your Honorable Board of commitments which you have already made for a period of subsequent years;

Fifth, by direct tax included in several annual budgets, subject to Charter and State law restrictions;

Sixth, by combination of alternatives 1 and 5, 2 and 5, or 3 and 5.

Acquisition of the property of the United States Government, or a portion thereof, now occupied by the Mint, and Mint street might be an important factor in the removal of the tracks from Fifth street. With this in view, correspondence has been carried on between the Clerk of your Board, John S. Dunnigan, and the authorities at Washington. Copies of this correspondence are appended hereto.

I have also discussed the subject with Mr. Samuel Kahn, president of the Market Street Railway Company, and the report of our negotiations is likewise appended hereto.

However, preliminary failure of such negotiations, especially with the Market Street Railway Company, I do not regard as necessarily final, for I believe that the said company would be amenable to a change of attitude if it can be shown that there is unanimous public sentiment, or possibly a preponderance of public sentiment, in favor thereof.

Respectfully submitted,

ALFRED J. CLEARY,  
Chief Administrative Officer.

(Supervisor Uhl requested the Board of Supervisors be furnished a report of negotiations with Samuel Kahn of the Market Street Railway Company mentioned in the next to last paragraph.)

*So ordered.*

Also, that the Chief Administrative Officer furnish the Board of Supervisors a report on what would be involved—cost, etc.—of extending Mason street on an arc to Fifth and Harrison streets.

*So ordered.*

**Meetings.**

Health Committee, February 16, 10 a. m.

Building Committee, February 11, 11 a. m.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors, at 5 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.


Approved by the Board of Supervisors February 15, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.





Monday, February 15, 1937


# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 15, 1937, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, February 15, 1937,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:  
Supervisors Colman, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer—3.

Supervisors Brown and McSheehy on leave in Washington on official business.

Supervisor Meyer excused on account of illness.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of February 8, 1937, was considered read and approved.

## SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

### Rapid Transit.

The following motions of Supervisor Meyer numbered one to three, which heretofore on December 18 and 21, 1937, were approved by the Board of Supervisors, were, by direction of the President, read by the Clerk:

No. 1. I move that this Board go on record as favoring the provision of an adequate system of rapid transit for the citizens of San Francisco.

*Adopted December 18, 1936.*

No. 2. I move that this Board go on record as favoring subways as against elevated railways for the trunk lines of a rapid transit system.

*Adopted December 21, 1936.*

No. 3. I move that this Board go on record as favoring a two-track subway as recommended by the Public Utilities Commission rather than a four-track subway (Supervisor Uhl's plan), on Market street.

*Adopted December 21, 1936.*

Thereupon, the next motion of Supervisor Meyer, No. 4, was taken up, to-wit:

No. 4. I move that this Board go on record as favoring a general obligation bond issue which would in the final analysis be paid off by increases in property values brought about by the improvement in transportation to finance the rapid transit construction.

*Action deferred temporarily.*

Whereupon, the following motion by Supervisor Meyer was, by direction of the President, read by the Clerk; to-wit:



No. 5. I move you that the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be directed to inquire of the Public Utilities Commission as to two things: (1) Whether the possibilities of the City purchasing the Market Street Railway should be permitted to delay submission of a rapid transit bond issue to the people, and (2) when the Commission would advise submitting the transit proposal to the voters.

#### Amendment.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved as an addition to Supervisor Meyer's Motion No. 5 that the Clerk be requested to ask the Public Utilities Commission what effect the opening of dead-end streets on Market Street, as recommended by Dr. Miller McClintock, would have on the general plan of rapid transit. Also, what effect the opening of a new street opposite Grant Avenue would have on the general proposition as recommended by Chief Administrative Officer Alfred J. Cleary, also the opening of a new street opposite Mason street.

Motion as amended was *carried*.

Supervisor Uhl also requested information as to how much time can be saved by synchronizing traffic signals in the downtown area, said information to be obtained from Chief Administrative Officer Cleary and Chief, Department of Electricity, Ralph W. Wiley.

Therefore, the foregoing motion, as amended, *was adopted*.

Henry P. Coustette, of 3233 Scott street, was granted the privilege of the floor displaying two maps showing the problem created in traffic congestion at the bridgehead at Fifth and Harrison streets by interfering traffic. He suggested a contest for the solution of San Francisco's traffic problem in which all interested engineers and citizens might submit their ideas which will then be submitted to a committee of judges for award as to the best solution.

Chief Administrative Officer Alfred J. Cleary, speaking as to the relief that might be expected from synchronizing signals in the downtown area, said that it was possible and that the Chief, Department of Electricity, Ralph W. Wiley, had some very definite recommendations to make for two different machines, one for the north of Market, one for the south of Market, and one for the control of traffic on Market street itself. An appropriation for this work is in Wiley's budget for the coming fiscal year.

#### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, further consideration of Rapid Transit was *laid over until Tuesday, February 23, 1937, and made a Special Order of Business for that day at 3:30 p. m.*

#### SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.

##### Chief Officer Cleary's Report on Dr. Miller McClintock's Traffic Recommendations.

The report of Chief Administrative Officer Cleary's recommending the extension of certain streets across Market street was taken up.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

D. V. Nicholson, representing the Triangle District, read a statement in support of the desire of the merchants of that district for the carrying out of the McClintock Report with respect to traffic in that district.

Robert Graham, manager, Rapid Transit and Traffic Association, was also heard with respect to the importance of a quick solution of the rapid transit problem and the opening of new streets or the extension of present streets across Market, but that all these matters should be considered as only parts of a comprehensive plan that will benefit all San Francisco.

John Wright, of Alameda, was heard; also Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer; Clive Schaffer and Mr. Russell Carpenter, representing the Triangle Merchants, were also heard on the pending question.

### Motions.

Thereupon, Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved that the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors, in conjunction with any other public officials it deems necessary, make a study of the financing of the proposal to cut through Fifth street and make a report and recommendation to this Board as to the best method of bringing about this improvement.

Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Reilly, moved that the question of a street between Third and Fourth streets, as recommended by the Chief Administrative Officer, be also included in this study.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved that the question of a new street from Mason street on an arc to Fifth and Harrison, be also included in this study.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved that such studies are made with the understanding that the Finance Committee report show separate estimates for each different project.

Amendments agreed to and motion *carried*.

### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, further consideration of the foregoing was *continued until next meeting*.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

#### Amendment of Salary Ordinance of Health Department.

(Code No. 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1228, Ordinance No. 9.05395, as follows:

Amending Section 55 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 by increasing the number of positions established under Item 67 thereof from 2 to 6.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 55 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 is hereby amended to read as follows:

#### Section 55. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH— CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)

##### DENTAL

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
55	1	B222	General Clerk (part time).....\$	50
56	2	L152	Dental Hygienist .....	150
57	13	L156	Dentist (part time).....	100
58	1	L158	Director Dental Bureau (part time).....	250

##### CHILD WELFARE—MEDICAL

59	1	L364	Pediatrician .....	250
59½	1	L364	Pediatrician (part time).....	200
60	4	L364	Pediatrician (part time).....	150
61	2	L364	Pediatrician at \$5 per day (part time)...	

##### MILK AND FOOD INSPECTION

62	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
----	---	------	----------------------------------	-----



63	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
64	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	100
65	7	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector.....	200
66	10	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector.....	185
67	6	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector.....	175
68	1	N54	Chief Food Inspector.....	325
69	2	N64	Dairy Inspector .....	300
70	1	N64	Dairy Inspector .....	225
71	1	N64	Dairy Inspector .....	200

## CHEMICAL LABORATORY

72	1	L102	Food Chemist Assistant .....	125
73	1	L104	Food Chemist .....	225
73 $\frac{1}{2}$	1	L104	Food Chemist Assistant .....	175
74	1	L106	Senior Food Chemist .....	250

## PLUMBING INSPECTION

75	1	A412	Plumbing Inspector .....	250
76	7	A412	Plumbing Inspector .....	240
77	1	A416	Chief Plumbing Inspector .....	325
78	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190

## HOUSING INSPECTION

79	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
80	9	N204	Housing Inspector .....	200
81	1	N206	Chief Housing Inspector .....	275

## INDUSTRIAL INSPECTION

82	2	N205	Industrial Inspector .....	200
83	1	N205	Industrial Inspector .....	185
84	1	N208	Chief Industrial Inspector .....	275

## CITY PHYSICIANS

85	4	L360	Physician (part time).....	300
86	2	L360	Physician (part time).....	150
87	1	L362	Supervisor of City Physicians (part time)	300

## FIELD NURSING, ADMINISTRATION

88	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
89	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
90	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
91	1	P54	Supervising Field Nurse .....	225
92	8	P54	Supervising Field Nurse .....	190
93	1	P54	Supervising Field Nurse .....	175
94	1	P58	Director of Field Nursing .....	275

## FIELD NURSING, SCHOOLS

95	38	P52	Field Nurse .....	165
----	----	-----	-------------------	-----

## FIELD NURSING, OTHER

96	19	P52	Field Nurse .....	165
97	1	P101	Chinese Visiting Nurse .....	165

## SOCIAL SERVICE

98	1	P52	Field Nurse .....	165
99	1	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	180
100	1	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	165
101	1	T160	Senior Social Service Investigator.....	215

## TUBERCULOSIS BUREAU

102	7	P52	Field Nurse .....	165
-----	---	-----	-------------------	-----

## OUTPATIENT MATERNITY SERVICE

103	1	L360	Physician (part time).....	300
104	1	L360	Physician (part time).....	190
105	1	L360	Physician . . . . .	100
106	2	P102	Registered Nurse . . . . .	125

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer—3.

**Authorizing Sale of 17.97 Acres of Water Department Land Near Pleasanton.**

(Code No. 12.17252)

Also, Bill No. 1229, Ordinance No. 12.1725210, as follows:

Authorizing sale of 17.97 acres of Water Department land near Pleasanton.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described San Francisco Water Department land situated in the County of Alameda, State of California:

Commencing at a point in the northerly boundary line of that certain 100 foot strip of land described in Parcel 71 of Alameda County Lands, in deed from Spring Valley Water Company to the City and County of San Francisco, dated March 3, 1930 and recorded March 3, 1930 in the Office of the County Recorder of Alameda County, State of California, in Liber 2350 of Official Records at page 1; said point being distant along said northerly boundary line south 87 degrees 56 minutes east 1474.67 feet from Corner No. 10 on the boundary line of the Santa Rita Rancho; running thence from said point of commencement along said northerly boundary line south 87 degrees 56 minutes east 803.03 feet to an intersection with the westerly boundary line of a 50 foot strip of land described in said Parcel 71; thence along said westerly boundary line north 0 degrees 19 minutes east 15.01 feet; thence leaving said westerly boundary line south 87 degrees 56 minutes east 50.02 feet to the easterly boundary line of said 50 foot strip; thence along said easterly boundary line south 0 degrees 19 minutes west 15.01 feet to the northerly boundary line of said 100 foot strip; thence along said northerly boundary line south 87 degrees 56 minutes east 5448.12 feet to Corner No. 9 on the boundary of said Rancho; thence continuing along said northerly boundary line north 66 degrees 42 minutes east 426.47 feet to the northeast corner of Plot No. 5 of the Bernal portion of Rancho El Valle de San Jose on the easterly boundary line of said 100 foot strip; thence along said last mentioned easterly boundary line south 0 degrees 34 minutes west 109.35 feet to the southerly boundary line of said 100 foot strip; thence along said southerly boundary line south 66 degrees 42 minutes west 404.73 feet and north 87 degrees 56 minutes west 5467.56 feet to the easterly boundary line of hereinabove mentioned 50 foot strip; thence along said easterly boundary line south 0 degrees 19 minutes west 2486.62 feet to a point in the northerly right of way line of the Western Pacific Railway Company distant thereon north 69 degrees 46 minutes east 2496.15 feet from its intersection with the center line of County Road No. 1533; thence south 69 degrees 46 minutes west along said northerly right of way line 53.39 feet to the westerly boundary line of said 50 foot strip; thence along said last mentioned westerly boundary line north 0 degrees 19 minutes east 2506.88 feet to a point on the southerly boundary line of said 100 foot strip; thence along said last mentioned southerly boundary line north 87 degrees 56 minutes west 803.85 feet; thence north 0 degrees 47 minutes east 100.03 feet to the point of commencement.



Excepting therefrom a right of way for railroad purposes granted to Southern Pacific Railroad Company by deed dated December 28, 1906, and recorded January 7, 1907, in Book 1317 of Deeds, page 9, Alameda County Records.

Containing a net area of 17.97 acres.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and subject to such reservations and conditions as may be deemed necessary by the City Attorney and the Director of Property.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer—3.

#### **Action Deferred.**

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$150 From Emergency Reserve Fund for Official Advertising of the City Planning Commission.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1163, Ordinance No. 9.051323, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$150 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 633,229.61 to provide funds for official advertising of the City Planning Commission, an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$150 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 633,229.61 to provide funds for official advertising of the City Planning Commission.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund and this ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates said appropriation from said Fund, and this ordinance taking effect immediately, the nature of said emergency being as follows: That the City Planning Commission is without funds to pay for the necessary publication of notices as required by law, and that said appropriation is necessary for the uninterrupted operation of said Commission.

#### **Final Passage.**

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

**Authorizing Payment of Damage Claim of \$2,500 to Mark Levy.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1230, Ordinance No. 6.022224, as follows:

Authorizing the payment of twenty-five hundred (\$2,500) dollars to Mark Levy in settlement of claim and suit for damages against the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having, in writing, recommended the settlement and adjustment for the sum of Twenty-five Hundred (\$2500) Dollars action No. 260,120 in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, entitled "Mark Levy, plaintiff, v. City and County of San Francisco, etc., et al., defend-

ants", wherein suit was brought against said City and County of San Francisco for the sum of \$53,781, by reason of Mark Levy falling into an open and unbarricaded excavation in the sidewalk opposite No. 318 Arguello boulevard, in which accident said Mark Levy sustained severe injuries as set forth in his said complaint; and

It appearing that the said offer of compromise is fair, just and reasonable, and should be accepted by the city, the City Attorney is hereby authorized to fully compromise and settle all claims of said Mark Levy against the City and County of San Francisco, et al., for the sum of Twenty-five Hundred (\$2500) Dollars, and the Controller is hereby authorized to draw his warrant in said sum in full payment of the release and discharge of all claims of every kind and character of said Mark Levy against the City and County of San Francisco, payable from Appropriation No. 648.918.00.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer—3.

**Amending Section 37 (b) of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series)  
Traffic Ordinance.**

(Code No. 11.02)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1219, Ordinance No. 11.0228, as follows:

Amending Section 37 (b) of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith," by adding thereto Kearny street, Stockton street, Third street and Fourth street as streets upon which it shall be unlawful to park between 4:30 p. m. and 6 p. m.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 37 (b), "Parking Prohibited on Certain Streets at Specified Hours." It shall be unlawful for the driver of any vehicle to stop the same or park for a longer period of time than is necessary for the actual loading or unloading and delivering of passengers or materials between the hours specified, and on the streets between points as shown below:

South side of Beach street, between Larkin and Polk streets, from 6 p. m. to 10 a. m.

East side of Battery street between Bush and California streets, from 4:30 p. m. to 6 p. m., Sundays and holidays excepted.

Fourth street, between Market and Mission streets, from 4:30 p. m. to 6 p. m.

South side of Geary street, between Market and Taylor streets, from 8:30 a. m. to 9:30 a. m.

North side of Geary street, between Market and Taylor streets, from 4:30 p. m. to 6 p. m.

Kearny street, between Market and Post streets, from 4:30 p. m. to 6 p. m.

South side of Post street, between Market and Taylor streets, from 8:30 a. m. to 9:30 a. m.

North side of Post street, between Market and Taylor streets, from 4:30 p. m. to 6 p. m.

Stockton street, between Market and O'Farrell streets, from 4:30 p. m. to 6 p. m.

South side of Sutter street, between Market and Taylor streets, from 8:30 a. m. to 9:30 a. m.



North side of Sutter street, between Market and Taylor streets, from 4:30 p. m. to 6 p. m.

Third street, between Market and Mission streets, between 4:30 p. m. and 6 p. m.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer—3.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Authorizing Lease of Certain Land on Jefferson Street.

(Code No. 12.17353)

Bill No. 1241, Ordinance No. 12.173534, as follows:

Authorizing lease of certain land on Jefferson street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 93 of the Charter and in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for leasing the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Jefferson street, distant thereon westerly 187.5 feet from the westerly line of Taylor street; running thence westerly along the southerly line of Jefferson street, 50 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 137.5 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 50 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 137.5 feet to the point of commencement.

Section 2. The lease shall be made subject to such reservations and conditions as may be deemed necessary or desirable by the Public Utilities Commission and the Director of Property.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer—3.

#### Appropriation of \$63,919, Relief for March, 1937.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1242, Ordinance No. 9.051352, as follows:

Appropriating \$63,919 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of March, 1937, and authorizing a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$63,919 is hereby appropriated out of such funds as may be available for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of March, 1937.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations are fixed by the Citizens' Relief

Committee and approved by the Civil Service Commission are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution 2519, heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer—3.

**Authorizing Compromise of Claim of Julius Rothschild & Co.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Bill No. 1243, Ordinance No. 6.022225, as follows:

Authorizing compromise of claim of Julius Rothschild & Co.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended a settlement of the action of Julius Rothschild & Co. against the City and County of San Francisco to recover the sum of one thousand six hundred fifty-nine dollars and seventy-one cents (\$1,659.71) for damages to their merchandise sustained by reason of a break in the sewer on Stuart street, more particularly described as No. 28 and No. 32 Stuart street, on September 1, 1936, by the payment of the sum of six hundred and seven dollars and eighty-five cents (\$607.85) in full settlement of all claims of said Julius Rothschild & Co., the said City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle said pending litigation by the payment of the said sum, chargeable to Appropriation No. 660.705.01.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer—3.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Authorizing Condemnation of Certain Land for the Realignment of Marina Boulevard at Lyon Street.**

(Code No. 6.0211)

Resolution No. 3087, as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described real property, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Beginning at the intersection of the southerly line of Marina boulevard with the easterly line of Lyon street; thence easterly along said line of Marina boulevard, 150.812 feet; thence southwesterly on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the said line of Marina boulevard at the latter point, radius 50 feet, central angle 12 degrees 40 minutes 49 seconds, a distance of 11.066 feet; thence continuing southwesterly on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding curve at the latter point, radius 255 feet, central angle 25 degrees 25 minutes 40 seconds, a distance of 113.168 feet; thence southwesterly along a line tangent to the preceding curve at the latter point, 52.668 feet to the easterly line of Lyon street; thence deflecting 130 degrees 12 minutes 29 seconds to the right from the preceding course and running northerly along said line of Lyon street, 81.912 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 562.

Be it Further Resolved, That said land is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the use of the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the realignment of Marina boulevard as a con-



nection to the Golden Gate Bridge. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken to said lands.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owner of said parcel of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer—3.

**Confirming Sale of Land on Roosevelt Way to  
Fred L. Diefendorf, et ux.**

(Code No. 12.1721)

Also, Resolution No. 3088, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17211, Bill No. 94, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids would be received by him on January 25, 1937, for the sale of the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Lots Numbers 54 and 55 in Block "P", Park Lane Tract No. 5, as per map thereof filed September 22, 1891, and recorded in Liber "E" and "F" of Maps, page 157, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, excepting that portion of said lots which is now being used as part of Roosevelt way.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Fred L. Diefendorf and Elizabeth L. Diefendorf, his wife, offered to purchase said land for the sum of \$500 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said sum of \$500 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being \$500; and

Whereas, Fred L. Diefendorf has paid the sum of \$50 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Department of Public Works has recommended the sale of said land; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said land to Fred L. Diefendorf and Elizabeth L. Diefendorf. The buyers must pay the balance of the purchase price within thirty days after approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantees upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer—3.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing Sale of City Land on Roosevelt Way.**

(Code No. 12.1721)

Bill No. 1244, Ordinance No. 12.17219, as follows:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Lots Numbers 56, 57 and 58 in Block "P", Park Lane Tract No. 5, as per map thereof filed September 22, 1891, and recorded in Liber "E" and "F" of Maps, page 157, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, excepting that portion of said lots now being used as part of Roosevelt way.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, subject to such reservations and conditions as may be deemed necessary or desirable by the City Attorney and the Director of Property.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer—3.

#### **Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

#### **Approving Old-Age Pension.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Resolution No. 3089, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Director of the County Welfare Department, filed with the Board of Supervisors on February 10, 1937, are approved as follows:

Widows' Pensions—Decreases; Cancellations; Other Transactions.

Old-Age Security Aid—Decreases; Cancellations; Other Transactions.

Blind Pensions—Cancellations.

And the Clerk of this Board is directed to indorse the approval of this Board upon the reports of said Director of County Welfare Department and to transmit the same to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer—3.

#### **Authorizing Purchase of Land for Sunset Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 3093, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Georges LeRoy, et al., Assessor's Block 1915, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (excepting the portion thereof located on the west side of Twenty-eighth avenue, 200 feet south of Lawton street, 200 feet frontage by 120 feet in depth) required for Sunset Playground, for the sum of \$42,000, payable from Appropriation No. 613.600.08. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer—3.



**Release of Lien, Filed re Old Age Security.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3092, as follows:

Resolved, That the lien heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, is released against the property described as follows:

Mrs. Josephine Thieler, recorded August 10, 1936, Vol. 3011 of Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco, page 100; legal description Lot 1, Block 5361, Assessor's Map.

The above described property is being sold, and the title company will pay the claim under the lien, in the amount of \$353.33.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer—3.

**Approving Amendment to Streets and Highways Code to Include Harrison and Bryant Streets, From Fifth to Tenth Streets, in the State System.**

(Code No. 5.31)

On recommendation of the Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 3081, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby approve the following proposed amendment to Section 368 of the Streets and Highways Code:

"An act to amend Section 368 of the Streets and Highways Code. The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

"Section 1. Section 368 of the Streets and Highways Code is amended to read as follows:

"368. Route 68 is the Bayshore highway from San Francisco to San Jose.

"This route includes the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge and the approaches thereto on the San Francisco end, as those approaches are described in Section 1 of Chapter 9, Statutes of 1933, and includes both Harrison street and Bryant street, from Tenth street to Fifth street, in the City and County of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That copy of this resolution be forwarded to Colonel John H. Skeggs, Division Engineer, Department of Public Works of the State of California, as well as to the San Francisco delegation in the State Legislature.

Further Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco does not waive any of its rights or power to regulate and control traffic on this or any other street within the City and County.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer—3.

**Action Deferred.**

The following matter was *laid over for hearing until March 1, 1937, at 2:30 p. m.*, register notice to be sent property owners, to-wit:

**Approving City Planning Commission Resolution No. 1561, Rezoning Quintara Street Between Forty-second and Forty-third Avenues.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 3091, as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 1561 of the City Planning Commission, passed October 8, 1936, rezoning both sides of Quintara street between Forty-second and Forty-third avenues, from Commercial District to Second Residential District, is hereby approved.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of His Honor the Mayor was taken up:

**Leaves of Absence: Hon. C. Harold Caulfield, President, Board of Education; Hon. Joseph P. Nourse, Superintendent of Schools.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3090, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. C. Harold Caulfield, President of the Board of Education, and Hon. Joseph P. Nourse, Superintendent of Schools, are hereby granted a two weeks' leave of absence, beginning February 15, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer—3.

**Leave of Absence—Honorable Herbert Fleishhacker, Member of Park Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3095, as follows:

Resolved, That, upon recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, Honorable Herbert Fleishhacker, member of the Board of Park Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence of sixty (60) days commencing February 13, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer—3.

**Leave of Absence—Honorable Harry K. Wolff, Member of Civil Service Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3096, as follows:

Resolved, That, upon the recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, Honorable Harry K. Wolff, member of the Civil Service Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence of ten (10) days, commencing February 20, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer—3.

**Leave of Absence—James J. Maher, Secretary, Civil Service Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3097, as follows:

Resolved, That, upon recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, James J. Maher is hereby granted a leave of absence of ten (10) days, commencing February 20, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer—3.



**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Release of Lien Filed re Old Age Security.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. 3094, as follows:

Resolved, That the lien heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco is released against the property described as follows:

Mrs. Maria Somers, recorded San Francisco County, Volume 3011, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco, page 95; legal description Lot 7A/1B, Block 5948, Assessor's Map.

Mortgage on property to be renewed. New claim of lien to be recorded after recordation of new bank loan.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer—3.

**Congress to Provide Life-Saving Station at San Francisco Airport.**

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 3098, as follows:

Whereas, on February 9, 1937, at 8:45 p. m., a United Air liner crashed into San Francisco Bay, several miles offshore from the San Francisco Airport; and

Whereas, it is a lamentable fact that eleven persons lost their lives in said crash; and

Whereas, Air liners from the north, east and south, transporting passengers, arrive during the night hours; and

Whereas, many of said passengers arriving at the San Francisco Airport being interstate passengers, the Federal Government should take cognizance of the necessity of establishing a life-saving station in close proximity to the San Francisco Airport; therefore, be it

Resolved, That Congress be memorialized to take the necessary steps to provide a life-saving station in close proximity to the San Francisco Airport for the protection of life and property; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to our representatives in Congress.

*Referred to Public Welfare Committee.*

**Argument for Welfare Charter Amendment.**

Supervisor Colman moved that the Registrar be requested to include with the sample ballot for the coming special election the argument to the voters favoring proposed Charter Amendment No. 4, which provides for the creation of a Public Welfare Commission.

*So ordered.*

**Code for Dyers and Cleaners.**

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that ordinance providing for code for Cleaners and Dyers be made a Special Order of Business for Tuesday, February 23, at 2:30 p. m.

*Motion carried.*

**Committee to Protest State Relief Legislation.**

President Shannon read a communication from C. E. Greer, Chairman County Supervisors' Association Coordinating Committee relative

to proposed legislation which would increase materially San Francisco's contribution in the tax rate to State Relief.

Whereupon, Supervisor Roncovieri moved that the Chair be authorized to appoint the entire Board, or as many as he may deem proper, to attend meeting, Sacramento Hotel, Friday, February 26, at 10 a. m., in response to communication by C. E. Greer, Chairman County Supervisors' Association, Coordinating Relief Committee, to protest proposed legislation. Also, that His Honor, the Mayor, be invited to attend said meeting.

*Motion carried.*

#### Maritime Workers Riot.

Supervisor Mead moved privilege of the floor for Henry Schmidt, representing the International Longshoremen's Union, who requested that the authorities do something about cleaning up a so-called Strike-breakers' Nest.

*Referred to Public Welfare Committee.*

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board of Supervisors at 6:30 p. m. adjourned.


J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors February 23, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.





**Vol. 32**

**SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.**

**No. 8**

**Tuesday, February 23, 1937**

# **Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors**

**City and County of San Francisco**



**The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.**





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 23, 1937, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, February 23, 1937, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Brown excused on leave.

Supervisor McSheehy excused on leave.

President Shannon presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of January 15, 18 and February 15, 1937, were considered read and approved.

## SPECIAL ORDER—3:30 P. M.

Consideration of Matter of Rapid Transit.

Action Deferred.

The foregoing matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order for 2:30 p. m.*

## SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

The following matter was taken up:

**An Ordinance Providing a Code of Fair Competition for the Cleaning and Dyeing Industry in the City and County of San Francisco and Providing a Penalty for the Violation of This Code.**

(Code No. 5.49)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1227, Ordinance No. 5.491, as follows:

An ordinance providing a code of fair competition for the cleaning and dyeing industry in the City and County of San Francisco and providing a penalty for the violation of this code.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A code of fair competition for the cleaning and dyeing industry in the City and County of San Francisco is hereby provided and set up in the words and figures following, namely:

"Code of Fair Competition" for the cleaning and dyeing industry in the City and County of San Francisco.



## ARTICLE 1.

*Objects and Purposes.*

(a) This code is set up under and by virtue of and to effectuate the policies of Chapter 814 of Statutes of California, 1935, which is hereby referred to and made a part hereof and particularly for the purpose of increasing employment, establishing fair and adequate wages, effecting necessary reduction of hours, improving the standards of labor, and to eliminate unfair trade practices and ruinous price-cutting evils so that the cleaning and dyeing industry in the City and County of San Francisco may be rehabilitated and enabled to do its part toward establishing that balance of industries which is necessary to the restoration and maintenance of the highest practical degree of public welfare.

(b) It is the declared purpose of the cleaning and dyeing industry in the City and County of San Francisco to bring and maintain, in so far as may be practical, the rates of wages paid within the cleaning and dyeing industry to such levels as are necessary for the creation and maintenance of the highest practical standard of living, to restore the income of enterprises within the industry to levels which will make possible the payments of such wages, and to avoid a further depletion and destruction through impending bankruptcy of the greater part of this industry.

(c) It shall be the purpose of the industry in adherence to this code to improve the general sanitary and health conditions of the cleaning and dyeing industry, to give the best possible service to the public at fair and standardized prices, to protect the public from fraudulent schemes in connection with the cleaning, dyeing and pressing of clothing, to disseminate information concerning modern cleaning methods and practices toward the end that the public may the better appreciate the care, consideration and attention now being given to the cleaning product, and to instill a spirit of co-operation among the members of such industry for the accomplishment of these objects and purposes.

(d) To disseminate knowledge among its members as to the use of scientific management, system and cost accounting, sound credit and collection methods, and to promote and assist in putting into effect the use thereof.

(e) To provide an impartial board of adjustment, through which any claims for damages to customer's goods may be considered.

(f) To provide a code authority consisting of an executive control committee of six, empowered to enforce the provisions of this code, as herein provided, said committee to consist of: Two wholesale plant owners, two retail plant owners, two retail cleaning store owners, as well as a chairman.

(g) This ordinance shall be known as the "Cleaning and Dyeing Code." It is the purpose of this industry to abide by the provisions of Chapter 814 of the Statutes of California, 1935.

## ARTICLE 2.

*Territory.*

(a) The territory embraced within the confines of this industry is the boundaries of the City and County of San Francisco in the State of California.

*Initiation.*

(a) No initiation or entrance fees shall be charged, but there shall be dues levied on the following basis:

*Dues.*

Monthly dues for independently owned retail cleaning stores shall be \$1.50 per month per shop.

Monthly dues for any type of dry cleaning plant shall be based as follows:

Type No. 1. Monthly volume of business up to \$1,000 shall pay \$10 per month.

Type No. 2. Monthly volume of business between \$1,000 and \$3,000 shall pay \$20 per month.

Type No. 3. Monthly volume of business between \$3,000 and \$5,000 shall pay \$30 per month.

Type No. 4. Monthly volume of business between \$5,000 and \$10,000 shall pay \$40 per month.

Type No. 5. Monthly volume of business over \$10,000 shall pay \$100 per month.

### ARTICLE 3.

#### *Participation and Voting.*

(a) Each member in good standing in the industry is to have only one vote, as herein provided.

(b) Participation in this code and any subsequent revision of or addition to this code shall be extended to any person, partnership or corporation engaged, in whole or in part, in the Cleaning and Dyeing Industry within the City and County of San Francisco.

### ARTICLE 4.

#### *Administration.*

(a) The executive control committee, hereinabove provided for, shall be selected to represent said Cleaning and Dyeing Industry before the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, and before all governmental agencies, in any conference or conferences respecting this code of fair competition, and for all the other purposes hereof. The impartial chairman, selected by said executive control committee, shall be an ex officio member thereof but he shall have no vote excepting in case of a tie, in which event he shall cast the deciding vote. Two members of said committee shall be selected by each of said three groups specified in Paragraph (f) of Section 1 hereof, by ballot, at such time and place and manner as each of said three groups respectively shall decide at public meetings to be held by each of said groups for the purpose of selecting said members of said committee. All persons whose names and addresses can be ascertained by the exercise of reasonable diligence shall be invited to attend and to participate in said meeting or meetings and they shall be given reasonable notice of the time and place thereof.

(b) It shall be the duty of said executive control committee to enforce the provisions of this code and, through agents or otherwise, to hear or adjust complaints, consider proposals for and exceptions to the provisions of this code and otherwise administer and enforce its provisions.

(c) The executive control committee shall arbitrate all controversies between members of this industry and/or any controversies between members and the industry at large, as provided herein. If any member or members of such committee is a party to controversy existing between two or more members, the impartial chairman shall temporarily appoint another member or members to the board, from the same group as those temporarily removed, to consider and determine such controversies.

(d) The executive control committee shall have full authority to investigate all controversies and may levy a fine against the offending member or members, as provided for herein, and may make its order that the offending member pay to the association said fine, which shall not be in excess of fifteen times the weekly value of business lost by the complaining competitor or competitors. In the event that the offending member or members continue to violate this code after said order has been made, and they have received notice thereof, a separate fine may be levied against such member or members for each day's violation for any of the provisions of this code, but such fine is not to exceed \$100 for each such daily violation.



(e) All controversies shall be reported in writing in duplicate, one copy to be filed with the chairman of the executive control committee and one copy with the secretary of such committee.

(f) It shall be the duty of all persons subject to the provisions hereof to comply with each and every provision hereunder and to cooperate with all other persons in so doing, and it shall be the duty of every person operating hereunder to refuse to transact any business in the Cleaning and Dyeing Industry with any other person who violates any provision hereof.

#### ARTICLE 5.

##### *Production Provisions.*

(a) No limitations shall be placed upon any member which might restrain such member from installing new machinery and equipment, opening new offices or entering any phase of this industry.

#### ARTICLE 6.

##### *Cost, Sales, Prices and Discount Provisions.*

(a) It shall be an unfair method of competition for any person engaged in the Cleaning and Dyeing Industry to sell below the minimum price set forth in the schedule of prices attached hereto.

(b) On and after the effective date of this code, the minimum net cost to the customer for all cleaning, pressing, dyeing, repairing, and/or alteration services shall be not less than that set forth in said attached schedule entitled Minimum Price Lists for Cleaning, Pressing, Dyeing, Repairing, and/or Alteration Services, and all quotations and charges shall be made only on that basis. All advertising matter, whether by newspaper, radio, billboard, circular, dodger or any other means, shall use and quote prices not less than those given in the attached schedule and net prices arrived at after allowing any discount (where allowable) shall not be used, quoted, considered or printed in any manner whatsoever.

(c) No sales discounts, commissions, premiums, free work, secret rebates or any other special inducements of any kind shall be made, either directly or indirectly, excepting the following:

Hotels and apartments may be given a 10 per cent discount upon the gross amount of cleaning and dyeing work which they pay for, providing the work is picked up from one central point and delivered to the same place. An agency sign must be prominently displayed and the agency must be responsible to the industry member of the collection and full payment of such services.

#### ARTICLE 7.

##### *Provisions Respecting Unfair Practices.*

The following acts, whether done directly or indirectly, are specifically designated, made and agreed to as unfair trade practices:

(a) The giving of any sales discounts, commissions, secret rebates, cash checks, free work, trading stamps, premiums, tokens, credits or any other special inducement of any kind to any customer or employee of such customer or prospective customer.

(b) The giving of any week-end discount.

(c) Enticing away any employee of a competitor with the purpose and/or effect of hampering, injuring or embarrassing said competitor in his business.

(d) Any attempt to calculate or induce the breach of present or future existing contracts or orders between competitors and their customers by any means whatsoever, or interfering with or obstructing the performance of any such contractual duties or services by any such means, with the purpose or effect of unduly hampering, impeding, injuring or embarrassing competitors in their business.

(e) The defamation of competitors by falsely imputing to them dishonorable conduct, inability to perform contracts, questionable

credit standing or by other false statements, insinuations or imputations or by the false disparagement of the grade or quality of their products or service with the purpose of misleading or deceiving a customer or by injuriously affecting the business of such competitor.

(f) Enticing away an outside or commission driver of a competitor with the purpose or effect of hampering, injuring or causing loss of business or financial loss to said competitor.

(g) To secure, attempt to secure or use in any way any list or lists of customers of any other member.

(h) The solicitation of business, either directly or indirectly, by any driver, route man, outside man, solicitor or agent, or by any other person, of or among or from any list of customers or any customer whomsoever of any prior employer of such person; provided, however, that this shall not be construed to prevent in any way any "commission drivers" who own their own vehicles and who own the good will list of customers whom they serve and who guarantee the payment of the bills or indebtedness of said customers, from soliciting said customers for himself or for any person to whom such "commission driver," as aforesaid, may transfer his business.

(i) Performing any service for any retail cleaning store, agency or outside route man in violation of any contractual relation existing between said retail cleaning store, agency or outside route man and a member.

(j) To use, participate in, publish or broadcast any inaccurate, untrue, deceptive or misleading statement, representation or illustration or to make unfair competitive claims such as to advertise one's dry cleaning or dyeing work as the best or the finest in an effort to sell such services.

(k) To pay a percentage or commission or to make a gift in any way to any organization, group or person not identified with the industry for its soliciting of cleaning or dyeing services.

(l) To give any lump estimates or bids to department stores, homes, hotels, clubs, theatres or others for quantities of work not based on a per capita basis.

## ARTICLE 8.

### *Statistics.*

In order to provide data necessary for the submittance of this code of fair competition and for its administration under the said above mentioned ordinance, the members of this industry agree to furnish all possible statistics and information as required by the executive control committee.

## ARTICLE 9.

### *General.*

(a) No provision in this code shall be interpreted or applied in such manner as to:

1. Promote monopolies.
2. Permit or encourage unfair competition.
3. Eliminate or oppress small enterprises.
4. Discriminate against small enterprises.
5. Prevent any retail cleaning store from doing business with any plant or changing the plant with which it is doing business.

(b) This code or any of its provisions may be canceled or modified to the extent necessary to conform to any action by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, or by action of any duly constituted representatives of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, or of said municipality, or to conform to any law of the State of California.

(c) Words, terms or phrases employed in this code or ordinance



are to be construed according to the context on the approved usage of the English language in this community and technical words, terms and phrases as used in this code are to be construed according to the peculiar and appropriate meaning thereof, and of the definition thereof, in the cleaning and dyeing industry in the City and County of San Francisco.

(d) If any section, sub-section, sentence, clause, phrase or part of this ordinance or code is for any reason held to be invalid or unconstitutional or contrary to the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this act. The signatories of said code and the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby declare that they and it, respectively, would have signed and passed said code and said ordinance and each section, sub-section, sentence, clause and part thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, sub-sections, sentences, clauses, phrases or parts be declared invalid or unconstitutional.

(e) Amendments to this code may be adopted by an 80 per cent vote of the membership and shall be effective when approved by ordinance of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

(f) Violation by any member of any provision of this code or any approved rule issued thereunder or of agreed minimum prices is a violation of the code and is a misdemeanor and punishable as provided in Section 6 of the said Service Trade Act of 1935, known as Chapter 814 of the Statutes of California, 1935.

#### ARTICLE 10.

##### *Wholesale Prices.*

The wholesale and retail prices shall be as set forth in the schedule of prices attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes.

#### ARTICLE 11.

Each and all of the provisions hereof shall apply to and be equally binding upon all persons whomsoever who shall transact or attempt to transact, in whole or in part, within the boundaries of the City and County of San Francisco, any or part of any business herein defined, regulated or controlled, subject to the provisions hereof.

The fact that any such person shall have his principal place of business without the City and County of San Francisco, or shall have no place of business within the City and County of San Francisco, or shall be partly or principally engaged in any other business shall not exclude such person from the operation hereof.

#### ARTICLE 12.

##### *Definitions.*

Section a. *Cleaning and Dyeing Industry.* The term cleaning and dyeing industry as used herein is defined to include any and all cleaning and dyeing establishments.

Section b. *Cleaning and Dyeing Establishment.* The term cleaning and dyeing establishment as used herein is defined to include any place where any article of wearing apparel, household furnishings, or fabrics, furs and leather of any kind whatsoever, are dry-cleaned, spotted, wet-cleaned, processed and/or finished for hire, and/or such service is offered for sale. Nothing included herein shall prevent an individual from pursuing the vocation of manual labor.

Section c. *Cleaning Plant.* A cleaning plant consists of equipment for cleaning garments and/or household articles by immersion in volatile moisture-free solvents of petroleum distillate type, coal tar distillate type, or chlorinated hydro-carbon type.

Section d. *Retail Cleaning Plant.* A retail cleannig plant is one which sells at least 75 per cent of its services to the ultimate con-

sumer through sales outlets directly controlled by it, whether such outlets be truck delivery routes, branch stores, or other kinds of outlets.

Section e. *Wholesale Cleaning Plant.* A wholesale cleaning plant is one which sells more than 75 per cent of its services to a retailer at a wholesale price for re-sale by the retailer to the ultimate consumer under the retailer's own name.

Section f. *Branch Store.* A branch store is a retail outlet owned and operated by a plant.

Section g. *Retail Cleaning Store.* A retail cleaning store is any retail tailor and press shop not owned by a plant, and which purchases cleaning, dyeing and hat renovating service from a plant and distributes it to the consumer under the retail cleaning store's own name.

Section h. *Route Salesman.* A route salesman is any person employed, either on a salary or commission basis or both, by a cleaning and/or dyeing and/or hat renovating establishment to call for, solicit and deliver their services and/or collect for same.

Section i. *Dry-cleaning.* Dry-cleaning is the process of cleaning garments or household articles by the immersion and agitation of these articles in volatile moisture-free solvents, such as those of the petroleum distillate type, the coal tar distillate type, or the chlorinated hydro-carbon type, and processes incidental thereto, such as spotting, wet-cleaning, finishing, etc.

Section j. *Spotting.* Spotting is the operation of removing spots or stains which may remain in fabrics after any of the above mentioned cleaning processes.

Section k. *Finishing.* Finishing is the operation of pressing and/or re-shaping any fabric, fur or leather, either by hand or by machine through the use of heat, steam, air, or other means, with the shape, dimensions and contour of the article restored as nearly as possible to the condition in which the article was received.

Section l. *Hat Renovating.* Hat renovating is the process of cleaning, dyeing, bleaching, re-felting, re-blocking, re-shaping, re-trimming and re-modeling hats or any type of head covering.

Section m. *Hat Renovating Establishment.* A hat renovating establishment is one which practices the art of hat renovating, which sells either to wholesale or retail trade, and which is equipped to produce a standard job of hat renovating as defined by the hat renovator's division as set up in this code of fair practice.

Section n. *Retail Hat Renovating Establishment.* A retail hat renovating establishment is one which sells at least 75 per cent of its services to the ultimate consumer through sales outlets directly controlled by it, whether such outlets be truck delivery routes, branch stores or other kind of outlets.

Section o. *Wholesale Hat Renovating Establishment.* A wholesale hat renovating establishment is one which sells more than 75 per cent of its services to a retailer at a wholesale price for re-sale by the retailer to the ultimate consumer under the retailer's own name.

Section p. *Dyeing.* Dyeing is the process of coloring garments, household articles and other textiles by the immersion in, spraying on, or brushing in, either by hand or by machinery, in or with a dye liquor.

Section q. *Dyeing Plant.* A dyeing plant consists of machinery and equipment necessary to carry on the process of bleaching, and/or dyeing garments, household articles and other textiles.

Section r. *Retail Store.* Retail stores are defined as being any shop or agency which finishes by pressing and/or reshaping any fabric, either by hand or by machine, that has been dry cleaned; provided, however, that no retail store which is not wholly owned, operated and controlled by a wholesale or retail cleaning plant shall be



considered or treated, in any manner, as an agency or branch store of such wholesale or retail cleaning plant.

Section s. *Agencies*. An agency is defined as any retail store, branch store, hotel, apartment house, club or other retail outlets which receives cleaning, pressing and/or dyeing work for customers, except dyeing and/or cleaning and/or retail cleaning stores as defined in Section b and Section g of Article 12.

Section t. *Routemen*. Routemen are defined as any person, partnership or corporation engaged in picking up and/or delivering cleaning, pressing and/or dyeing work.

Section u. *Inside Routemen*. Inside routemen are routemen employed by cleaners and/or dyers and/or retail cleaning store operators where the employer owns the good will (list of customers) and pays or does not pay the employee a guaranteed minimum wage with or without commission upon cash collections.

Section v. *Outside Routemen*. Outside routemen are defined as routemen who own their own good will (list of customers) and contract with a cleaner and/or dyer and/or retail cleaning store operator for the cleaning, pressing and/or dyeing of garments and/or household articles.

Section w. *Monthly Volume of Business*. Monthly volume of business is defined to mean the average total monthly volume of cleaning and/or pressing and/or dyeing business handled during any six months' period immediately preceding the date upon which dues are payable.

Section x. *Unduly Long Hours*. It shall be considered to be "unduly long hours" for any place of business whatsoever hereunder to remain open for receiving and/or cleaning and/or dyeing and/or distributing to the public and/or to the industry any plant, branch store, office agency, retail store, retail cleaning store or retail cleaning establishment, or to operate any switchboard or telephone service therein and to operate any delivery vehicle between the hours of 6:30 p. m. and 7:30 a. m., or at any time whatsoever on any Sunday, Christmas Day, New Year's Day, Thanksgiving Day, Independence Day or Labor Day, and the doing of any such act shall be a violation of this code.

Section y. *Opening New Store*. No new or additional store, branch store or retail cleaning store hereunder shall be opened, set up or established within 500 feet of any established store, branch store, retail store or retail cleaning store, as herein defined, in said cleaning and dyeing industry in any commercial district in said City and County of San Francisco and/or within 1500 feet of any such store, branch store, retail store or retail cleaning store in said cleaning and dyeing industry in any residential district in said City and County of San Francisco; provided, that the terms "commercial district" and "residential district" shall be the same as such districts are defined by the City Planning Commission of the City and County of San Francisco, and as the same now appear on the official maps now on file in the office of said Commission in the City Hall of said City and County; provided, however, that if vacation of the premises occupied by a store, branch store or retail cleaning store is made necessary for reasons beyond the control of the owner of such store, branch store or retail cleaning store, then moving such business from one location to another within the same business district shall be deemed not in violation of this section.

#### ARTICLE 13.

Any person, firm or corporation violating any provision or provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine not exceeding \$500 or by imprisonment in the City and County Jail for a period not exceeding six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Each and every day's continuance of such violation shall constitute a separate offense.

## ARTICLE 14.

This ordinance is enacted under and by virtue of Chapter 814 of the Statutes of 1935 of the State of California, and all applicable provisions of said act are incorporated herein.

Passed:....., 1937.

Effected:....., 1937.

Mayor.

Attest: .....

City and County Clerk.

## SCHEDULE OF PRICES

## MINIMUM PRICE LISTS

*Men's Wear*

	WHOLESALE		RETAIL	
	<i>Clean Only</i>	<i>Clean and Pressed</i>	<i>Cleaned, Pressed and Finished</i>	<i>Renewed</i>
Suits .....	\$0.30	\$0.60	\$1.00	
Suits (pongee and linen) .....	.40	.75	1.50	
Tuxedo (2 or 3 pcs.) .....	.35	.65	1.25	
Full Dress .....	.35	.65	1.50	
Suits (spot and press) .....	...	.35	.50	
Tuxedo (spot and press) .....	...	.50	.75	
Full Dress (spot and press) .....	...	.60	1.00	
Single Coat .....	.15	.30	.50	
Pants .....	.15	.30	.50	
Corduroy Pants .....	.20	.35	.65	
Vest (plain) .....	.05	.10	.25	
Vest (fancy) .....	.10	.25	.50	
Pants (white) .....	.20	.35	.65	
Overcoats .....	.30	.60	1.00	
Sweaters .....	.15	.25	.50	
Sweaters (sleeveless) .....	.15	.25	.40	
Shirts (wool) .....	.15	.25	.35	
Shirts (silk) .....	.15	.35	.50	
Leather Jackets .....	.25	.40	.75	\$1.50
Bathrobes (silk, wool) .....	.30	.60	1.00	
Ties .....	...	.05	.10	
Gloves .....	...	.10	.25	
Pea Coats .....	.25	.50	.85	
Baseball Suits (3 pcs.) .....	.35	.70	1.00	
Raincoats (rubber) .....	.50	.75	1.25	
Sheepskin Overcoats .....	.50	...	1.00	
Drill Team Uniforms (3 pcs.) ..	.45	.90	1.75	
Drill Team Uniforms (4 pcs.) ..	.55	1.00	2.00	
Coat and Pants .....	.30	.60	1.00	

*Ladies' Wear*

	WHOLESALE			RETAIL	
	<i>Clean Only</i>	<i>Clean, Press and Finish Plain</i>	<i>Clean, Press and Finish Pleated</i>	<i>Clean, Press and Finish Plain</i>	<i>Clean, Press and Finish Pleated</i>
Dresses (silk, 1 or 2-pc.) ..	\$0.30	\$0.60	\$0.70	\$1.00	\$1.25 up
Dresses (silk, 3 pcs.) ....	.40	.70	.75	1.50	1.75 "
Dresses (ensemble) .....	...	...	...	....	....
Dresses (wool, 1 or 2-pc.) .	.30	.60	...	1.00	....
Dresses (velvet) .....	.35	.75	...	1.50	....
Dresses, press only .....	...	.50	.75	.75	1.00
Suits (2-pc.) .....	.30	.60	...	1.00	....
Coats (plain) .....	.30	.60	...	1.00	....



Coats (fur trimmed).....	.30	.65	...	1.25 up	....
Skirts (silk) .....	.15	.30	.35	.50	.75
Skirts (wool) .....	.15	.30	.35	.50	.75
Jackets (silk or wool)....	.15	.30	.35	.50	.75 up
Waists .....	.15	.30	.35	.50	.75 "
Sweaters (sleeveless) ...	.15	.25	...	.40	...
Sweaters (sleeves) .....	.15	.25	...	.50	...
Bathrobes (silk or wool).	.30	.60	...	1.00	...
Leather Jackets .....	.25	.40	...	.75 (renew)	1.50
Scarfs .....	.10	.15	...	.25	...
Corsets .....	.20	.35	...	.75	...
Gloves (short or gaunt.)..	...	.10	...	.15	...
Gloves (16 buttons).....	...	.15	...	.25	...
Gloves (20 buttons).....	...	.20	...	.35	...
White Skirts .....	.20	.35	.45	.50	.75
Drill Team Uniforms					
(3 pcs.) .....	.45	.90	...	1.75	...
Drill Team Uniforms					
(4 pcs.) .....	.55	1.00	...	2.00	...
Purses .....	.15	.25	...	.50	...
Nightgowns .....	.30	.60	...	1.00	...
Pajamas .....	.30	.60	...	1.00	...
Kimonos .....	.30	.60	...	1.00	...
Slippers .....	...	.25	...	.50	...

*Boys' and Girls' Wear*

	WHOLESALE		RETAIL	
	<i>Clean Only</i>	<i>Clean and Pressed</i>	<i>Clean and Pressed Plain</i>	<i>Clean and Pressed Pleated</i>
Raincoats .....	\$0.25	\$0.40	\$0.75	....
Suits .....	.20	.40	.75	...
Sweaters .....	.10	.20	.40	...
Sweaters (sleeveless) .....	.10	.15	.40	...
Pants .....	.10	.20	.40	...
Corduroy Pants .....	.15	.30	.50	...
Coats .....	.10	.20	.40	...
Dresses (wool or silk).....	.20	.40	.75	\$0.85 up
Overcoats .....	.15	.30	.75	...
Skirts (wool or silk).....	.10	.20 up	.40	.50 up

*Babies' Wear*

Suits .....	.15	.25	.50	...
Coats .....	.10	.20	.50	...
Pants .....	.05	.10	.25	...
Sweaters .....	.10	.15	.35	...
Dresses .....	.15	.30 up	.50	.60 up
Bonnets .....	.10	.20	.35	.50 "
Skirts .....	.10	.20	.35	...
Blankets .....	.15	.25	.50	...
Capes .....	.10	.15	.50	...

*Fur Work*

Coats .....	1.50	2.50	5.00 up	...
Jackets (medium) .....	.75	1.25	3.00 "	...
Fox Collars .....	...	.50	1.00 "	...

*Household Lists*

Curtains (cotton) .....	...	.35	.75	...
Curtains (silk) .....	.25	.50	1.00	...
Drapes, single, unlined.....	.25	.50	1.25	...
Drapes, double, unlined.....	.40	.65	1.75	...
Drapes, double, lined.....	.50	.75	2.00	...

Drapes, single, lined.....	.35	.60	1.50	...
Drapes, velvet, velour, sing..	.50	1.00	2.50	...
Drapes, velvet, velour, double	.85	1.50	4.00 up	...
Blankets (single) .....	...	.35	.75	...
Blankets (double) .....	...	.50	1.00	...
Pillows .....	...	.50	1.00	...

*Dye Work List*

	WHOLESALE		RETAIL	
	<i>Dye Only</i>	<i>Dye and Pressed</i>	<i>Dye and Pressed</i>	<i>Dye and Pressed Pleated</i>
Suits .....	\$1.75	\$2.75	\$4.50	.....
Overcoats .....	1.75	2.75	4.50	.....
Ladies' Coats .....	1.75	2.75	4.50	.....
Coats .....	1.00	1.50	2.25	.....
Pants .....	1.00	1.50	2.25	.....
Sweaters .....	.75	1.25	1.75	.....
Dresses .....	1.75	2.75	4.50	\$5.00 up
Skirts .....	1.00	1.50	2.25	2.50 "
Jackets .....	1.00	1.50	2.25	.....
Waists .....	.75	1.25	2.00	2.50
Suits, Dresses or Coats pressed only .....	...	2.00	3.50	.....

## NOTE:

All sample dye will cost wholesale 25c extra and retail 50c extra.

Retail cost to remove and replace fur collar will be \$1.00.

Retail cost to remove and replace fur collar and cuffs will be \$1.50.

## PRICE LISTS FOR ALTERATIONS AND REPAIRS OF CLOTHES

*Men's Coats*

Shorten .....	\$1.50
Lengthen .....	2.00
Full relining, labor only.....	4.00
Relining Skeleton back.....	4.00
Sleeve lining, labor only.....	1.50 up
Sleeves shortened .....	.75
Sleeves shortened, open vent.....	1.00
Sleeves lengthened .....	.75
Sleeve repairing, fixing around edges.....	1.00
Collar shortened and take in shoulders.....	3.50
Collar lower and take in shoulders.....	3.50
Side seam, take in with sleeve partly out.....	3.50
Side seam, take in with sleeve fully out.....	5.00
Armhole larger .....	2.50
Shields under arms.....	.75
Buttonholes, hand made.....	.35 up
Buttons, set .....	.75
New Pocket .....	.75
New Pocket with money pocket.....	1.00

*Pants.*

Shorten .....	\$0.50
Set of Suspender Buttons .....	.50
Loops for Belt .....	.75
Binding Side Pockets .....	.75
New Crotch .....	1.50
Reinforce Knees .....	1.00
Shorten with French Cuffs .....	.75
Take in Waist .....	.75
Half Pocket .....	.35
Whole Pocket .....	.75



*Vests.*

Smaller at sides .....	\$0.75
Larger at sides .....	1.00
New Back Lining .....	1.50
New Lining (complete, including material) .....	3.00
Buttons, each .....	.05
Buttonholes, each .....	.25
Lengthen .....	1.50
Pockets, each .....	.50

*Ladies' Coats.*

Shorten .....	\$1.50
Shorten (open all lining) .....	2.00
Lengthen .....	2.00
Reline (labor only) .....	4.00 up
Shields .....	1.00
Shorten sleeves .....	.75
Shorten sleeves with cuffs at bottom .....	1.25
Lengthen sleeves with cuffs at bottom .....	1.25
Lengthen sleeves .....	1.00
New Pockets .....	.75 up

*Dresses.*

Shorten .....	\$1.00 up
Lengthen .....	1.50
Lengthen, flair bottom .....	1.75
Shorten, flair bottom .....	1.75

## HAT PRICE LIST.

*Men's.*

	<i>Retail</i>	<i>Wholesale</i>
Felts, cleaned and blocked .....	\$ .75	\$ .40
Felts, cleaned only .....		.10
Felts, blocked only .....	.50	.30
Felts, turned (inside out) .....	1.00	.65
Felts, cut brim .....	.25	.15
Felts, shrink (remove leather) .....	.25	.15
Derby, clean and block .....	1.00	.65
Cowboy Hats, clean and block .....	1.50	1.00
Panamas, bleach and block .....	1.50	.75
Panamas, new bodies bleached and block .....	1.75	1.00
Straws, cleaned and blocked .....	1.00	.60
Caps, Soft .....	.35	.20
Caps, clean only .....	.35	.10
Caps, uniform .....	.50	.30
Caps, children .....	.25	.10

*Ladies'.*

	<i>Retail</i>	<i>Wholesale</i>
Wool and felt, clean and block .....	\$ .75	\$ .40
Cloth, wide brim, clean and block .....	.75	.40
Cloth, narrow brim, clean and block .....	.50	.30
All types, clean only .....		.10
Panamas, bleach and block .....	1.50	.75
Straws, bleach and block .....	1.00	.60

## TRIMMINGS.

*Men's and Ladies'.*

	<i>Retail</i>	<i>Wholesale</i>
Ribbon .....	\$ .50	\$ .40
Sweat Band .....	.50	.40
Binding .....	.75	.50
Lining .....	.50	.40
Sweat Shield .....	.50	.40
Welt .....	.75	.50

## DYE WORK LIST.

*Household List.*

Domestic Rugs, 9x12 .....	\$ 9.00
Oriental Rugs, 9x12 .....	12.00
Runners, 27x36 per carpet yard .....	.75
Minimum charge, first yard .....	1.50
Bed Spreads .....	1.50 up
Table Cloths .....	1.50 "
Table Cloth and Napkins .....	2.50 "
Napkins, per dozen .....	1.50 "
Plain Drapes, 1/2 width .....	1.50 "
Plain Drapes, full width .....	2.25 "
Damask Drapes, 5 to 8 feet long.....	
Damask Drapes, 1/2 width, pair .....	2.00 "
Damask Drapes, full width .....	2.75 "
Velour Drapes, 1/2 width .....	2.00 "
Velour Drapes, full width .....	2.75 "
Velour Drapes, double-faced, 1/2 width .....	3.00 "
Velour Drapes, double-faced, full width .....	4.00 "

*Boys' List.*

O'coat .....	\$ 1.25
Suits (2 or 3-piece) .....	1.25
Pants .....	.75
Jackets .....	.75
Sweaters .....	.50
Sweater Sets .....	1.00

*Girls' List.*

Coats .....	\$ 1.25
Suits .....	1.25
Dresses .....	1.25
Skirts .....	.75
Blouses .....	.75
Hats .....	.50

*Babies' List.*

Babies' Coats (silk or wool) .....	\$ 1.00
Babies' Coats and Caps .....	1.25
Babies' Dresses .....	.75
Babies' Sweater Sets .....	1.25
Babies' Bonnets .....	.50
Babies' Buggy Robes .....	1.00
Babies' Silk Comforts .....	.75 up

## Privilege of the Floor.

Walter McGovern, representing Dyeing and Cleaning Industry, was heard at length in explanation of the Dyers & Cleaners Code of Fair Competition, advanced his reasons for believing in its enactment as necessary for the preservation of this industry in San Francisco which under present conditions is suffering heavy losses, and earnestly urged its adoption by the Board of Supervisors.

I. Goldberg, president of Plant Owners' Association, Dyers & Cleaners Industry, was also heard as to the urgency of the proposed legislation if the industry is to be saved for San Francisco.

J. A. Vaughn, chairman of Allied Retail Cleaners of San Francisco, favored the legislation in general but opposed and asked the elimination of several provisions and the amendment of several others.



**Amendment.**

On motion of Supervisor Colman Section *y* was *stricken out*.

On motion of Supervisor Uhl Section *r* was *amended* by adding the following language:

" . . . provided, however, that a retail clothing store or retail clothing department of a department store, wherein or in connection therewith there is performed pressing and/or alteration of clothing in connection with the sale thereof, shall not be deemed to be a 'retail store' as defined herein."

**Passed for Second Reading.**

Whereupon, the foregoing bill as amended was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS.****Final Passage.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

**Authorizing the City and County to Surrender to the Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco Part of a Certain Easement Heretofore Acquired by the Spring Valley Water Company Over and Through Holy Cross Cemetery.**

(Code No. 15.0242)

Bill No. 1235, Ordinance No. 15.02425, as follows:

Authorizing the City and County to surrender to the Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco part of a certain easement heretofore acquired by the Spring Valley Water Company over and through Holy Cross Cemetery.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City and County of San Francisco does hereby surrender to the Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco, a corporation sole, all of its right, title and interest in and to a portion of an easement heretofore granted and enjoyed by the Spring Valley Water Company, the predecessor in interest of the City and County of San Francisco, over certain lands comprising a part of Holy Cross Cemetery in San Mateo County, California, which real property and portion of easement is more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the center line of the 30-inch water main from San Andreas Reservoir in San Mateo County to San Francisco, which point is located south 50 degrees 13 minutes west, 47.52 feet along the center line of Central avenue in Holy Cross Cemetery and north 20 degrees 40 minutes west, 99.34 feet along the center line of said pipe line from a cut in the bronze doorstep of the main entrance to the mausoleum; thence along a line north 20 degrees 40 minutes west to a point on said line 243.73 feet from the point of beginning. Being that strip of land indicated by the line marked "Old Right of Way" on the plat hereto annexed and hereby made a part hereof.

Section 2. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute and deliver the necessary deeds or other instruments surrendering the said easement and lands hereinbefore mentioned.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Reilly—3.

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$5,500 for Widening of Bay Street.**  
(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1236, Ordinance No. 9.051350, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$5,500.00 out of the unappropriated surplus in the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 677.907.17 for the purchase of land in Bay street at Laguna street, said land being necessary for the widening of Bay street, which physical work is to be prosecuted by the WPA forces.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$5,500.00 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the unappropriated surplus in the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 677.907.17 for the purchase of land in Bay street at Laguna street, said land being necessary for the widening of Bay street, which physical work is to be prosecuted by the WPA forces.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Reilly—3.

**Amendment to Salary Ordinance Governing Wages of City Employees Working Under Section 95 of Charter.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1237, Ordinance No. 9.05396, as follows:

Amending Section 2 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 by adding a provision to govern the payment of wages to employees of City departments performing work under the contract provisions of Section 95 of the Charter.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 of Ordinance No. 9.05380, commonly called the Annual Salary Ordinance, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. Salary or wage rates herein specified are the maximum gross compensations fixed for the present incumbents of the respective positions herein enumerated, including the valuation of maintenance furnished.

In filling vacancies in positions herein enumerated or in appointing employees to temporary or seasonal positions as provided in Section 1 hereof, which are subject to the provisions of Section 151 of the Charter, the person appointed to such vacancy or temporary or seasonal position shall be paid a wage or salary not to exceed the entrance salary or wage fixed for such position in the proposed schedule of compensations issued by the Civil Service Commission under date of April 9, 1930, or as such proposed schedule may be amended as provided by Charter or extended by the Civil Service Commission to include classifications not included therein; provided, however, that persons who have acquired permanent status in a position who are re-employed in the same position after lay-off or leave of absence shall be paid the salary or wage received at the time laid off or granted such leave, provided that in the execution and performance of any contract awarded to a City department under the provisions of Section 95 of the Charter, not less than the wage scale fixed by the Board of Supervisors in the Prevailing Wage Resolution and in effect at the time of the award of said contract shall be paid to employees performing work under such contract.

No maintenance shall be provided to any employee in a position subject to the provisions of Section 151 of the Charter in addition to the compensation herein fixed or provided.



Charges for any and all maintenance furnished employees in positions subject to Section 151 of the Charter as indicated herein shall be made and indicated on time rolls and pay rolls, and deductions for such maintenance shall be indicated and made on time rolls and pay rolls in accordance with the following schedule fixed by the Civil Service Commission for such maintenance; provided, however, that no charge shall be made for meals furnished cooks, bakers, waiters and other kitchen workers.

	For those receiving \$80 per month or less in cash.	For those receiving more than \$80 per month in cash.
1 meal per day.....	\$ 6.00 per Mo.	\$10.00 per Mo.
2 meals per day.....	9.00 per Mo.	16.50 per Mo.
3 meals per day.....	12.00 per Mo.	22.50 per Mo.
Room or house .....	8.00 per Mo.	10.00 per Mo.
Laundry .....	2.00 per Mo.	2.50 per Mo.
Board, room and laundry .....	22.00 per Mo.	35.00 per Mo.
Single meal .....	.25	.35

	Per Mo.
Complete family maintenance furnished the Superintendent of Laguna Honda Home and the Superintendent of the San Francisco Hospital .....	\$150
House furnished the Superintendent and the Assistant Superintendent, Alameda Division of the Water Department, and the Assistant Superintendent of the Peninsula Division of the Water Department .....	25
House furnished the Superintendent of the Peninsula Division of the Water Department .....	50
House furnished the Head Pump Operator of the Water Department .....	25
House furnished the Engineer, stationary steam engines, at the Water Department .....	15

The letters B., R. & L. used in this ordinance to indicate deduction shall be construed to mean board, room or house and laundry and deductions made in accordance with the schedule fixed by the Civil Service Commission for such accommodations.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Reilly—3.

#### **Appropriation of \$5,000 for Compensation of Visiting Judges.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1238, Ordinance No. 9.051351, as follows:

Appropriating \$5,000 from the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 621.900.00 for the purposes of paying the compensation of visiting judges, and other expenses in connection therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$5,000 is hereby appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 621.900.00 for the purposes of paying the compensation of visiting judges, and other expenses in connection therewith.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund as it is necessary to provide these additional funds for the uninterrupted operation of the Superior Court.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

## Re-referred.

The following bill was *re-referred to the Finance Committee*:

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance of District Attorney's Office.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1223, Ordinance No. 9.05394, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 9.05380 by adding Item 15½ to Section 7 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 7 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 7. DISTRICT ATTORNEY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		District Attorney .....	\$ 666.66
2	3	B154	Criminal Law Clerk .....	200
3	3	B154	Criminal Law Clerk .....	190
4	1	B156	Senior Criminal Law Clerk .....	225
5	1	B166	Chief Clerk, District Attorney's Office...	250
6	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
7	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
8	1	B222	General Clerk (part time) .....	50
9	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
10	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	150
11	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
12	1	B516	Senior Clerk-Typist .....	175
13	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil .....	375
14	5	K54	Attorney, Criminal .....	250
15	1	K54	Attorney, Criminal .....	190
15½	1	K54	Attorney, Criminal (part time).....	120
16	3	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	375
17	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	300
18	2	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	250
19	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	200
20	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	190
21	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal .....	375
22	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal .....	275
23	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal .....	250

**Final Passage.**

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

**Ordering the Construction of a Sewer in Silver Avenue and Conkling Street (Protest).**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Bill No. 1220, Ordinance No. 12.061170, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 21, 1936, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Im-



provement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Conkling street northerly from Silver avenue and of Silver avenue between Scotia avenue and Layton street, by the construction of sewers and appurtenances. The construction will consist of the following items:

Item No.	Item
----------	------

- |    |                                     |
|----|-------------------------------------|
| 1. | Brick manholes, complete.           |
| 2. | 8-inch V. C. P. sewer, in place.    |
| 3. | 12-inch V. C. P. sewer, in place.   |
| 4. | 15-inch V. C. P. sewer, in place.   |
| 5. | 16-inch V. C. P. culvert, in place. |
| 6. | Brick catchbasin, complete.         |
| 7. | 8x6-inch Wye branches, in place.    |
| 8. | 12x6-inch Wye branches, in place.   |
| 9. | 15x6-inch Wye branches, in place.   |

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Lot 7 of Block 5335-A:

Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 and 17 of Block 5379;

Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 18 of Block 5380;

Lot 1 of Block 5366;

All being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

### Full Acceptance of Colby Street, Between Silver Avenue and Sweeny Street.

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1240, Ordinance No. 12.0811, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Colby street, between Silver avenue and Sweeny street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San

Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit: Colby street, between Silver avenue and Sweeny street, including the curbs.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing a Supplemental Appropriation of \$5,000 for the Purpose of Paying Expenses Necessary in Connection With Appeal in "One-Man Car" Cases; an Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1245, Ordinance No. 9.051353, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$5,000 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 604.201.00, for the purpose of paying expenses necessary in connection with appeal in "one-man car" cases, an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$5,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 604.201.00, for the purpose of paying expenses necessary in connection with appeal in "one-man car" cases.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does, by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, hereby declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective at once, for the reason that the City Attorney is without sufficient funds to continue the operations of his department in connection with appeal in "one-man car" cases.

Recommended by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing a Supplemental Appropriation of \$3,030 for the Purchase of Property in Laguna Honda Boulevard, Between Balceta and Vasquez, Necessary for Street Purposes.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1246, Ordinance No. 9.051354, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of Three Thousand and Thirty Dollars (\$3,030) from the unappropriated surplus in the One Cent Gas Tax Fund (County Roads) for the fiscal year 1936-1937, to the credit of Appropriation No. 648.991.00 for the purchase of property in Laguna Honda boulevard between Balceta and Vasquez necessary for street purposes.



Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the unappropriated surplus in the One Cent Gas Tax Fund (County Roads) for the fiscal year 1936-1937 the sum of Three Thousand and Thirty Dollars (\$3,030), to the credit of Appropriation No. 648.991.00 for the purchase of property in Laguna Honda boulevard, between Balceta and Vasquez, necessary for street purposes.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Appropriating \$4,000 From the Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 for the Purpose of Holding Civil Service Examinations; an Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1247, Ordinance No. 9.051355, as follows:

Appropriating \$4,000 from the Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 for the purpose of holding Civil Service Examinations required under Section 149 of the Charter, an Emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$4,000 be and is hereby set aside and appropriated from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 671.102.00. Such sum is to supplement funds heretofore appropriated to the Civil Service Commission for the purpose of holding examinations as required under Section 149 of the Charter.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does by the vote by which this ordinance is passed hereby declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective at once; that the nature of said emergency is as follows, to wit: That the appropriation herein provided for is necessary to provide funds for the continuation of necessary services in the Civil Service Commission and in order to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the said Civil Service Commission.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Amending Ordinance No. 9.05380 by Adding Item 1½ to Section 26 Thereof, Providing for Bookkeeper, Juvenile Court, Probation Office.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1248, Ordinance No. 9.05397, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 9.05380 by adding Item 1½ to Section 26 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 26 of Ordinance 9.05380 is hereby amended to read as follows:

### Section 26. JUVENILE COURT—PROBATION OFFICE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	\$ 225
1½	1	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	175
2	1	B254	Interpreter Clerk . . . . .	210
3	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	210
4	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	185
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	160
6	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	150
7	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	175
7½	1	T54	Collector for the Juvenile Court. . . . .	180
8	1	T56	Probation Officer . . . . .	225
9	8	T56	Probation Officer . . . . .	210
10	2	T56	Probation Officer . . . . .	195
11	3	T56	Probation Officer . . . . .	180
12	1	T60	Senior Probation Officer. . . . .	240
13	1	T60	Senior Probation Officer. . . . .	235
14	1	T60	Senior Probation Officer. . . . .	225
15	1	T64	Referee (part-time) . . . . .	250
16	1	T72	Chief Juvenile Probation Officer. . . . .	380
17	1	B420	Phonographic Reporter (as needed), \$12.50 per day plus transcriptions.	

Funds for this position have been appropriated by the Board of Supervisors in Appropriation Ordinance No. 9.051309. The position is now added to the permanent organization because proper lists of eligibles are available.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

### Confirming Sale of School Land on Eighteenth Avenue.

(Code No. 12.1722)

Resolution No. 3099, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17227, Bill No. 1181, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids would be received by him on January 20, 1937, for the sale of the following described City owned lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of 18th avenue, distant thereon 225 feet northerly from the northerly line of Taraval street; running thence northerly along said line of 18th avenue, 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 110 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 110 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 1120.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of 18th avenue, distant thereon 325 feet northerly from the northerly line of Taraval street; running thence northerly along said line of 18th avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 110 feet; thence at a right angle



southerly 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 110 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 1120.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Wm. S. Romano offered to purchase said land for the sum of \$4,000 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said sum of \$4,000 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being \$4,000; and

Whereas, Wm. S. Romano has paid the sum of \$400 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, Wm. S. Romano has directed that the deed to said property be made out to Northern Counties Title Insurance Company, a corporation; and

Whereas, the Board of Education has recommended the sale of said land; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said land to Northern Counties Title Insurance Company, a corporation. The buyer must pay the balance of the purchase price within thirty days after approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the Grantee upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

### **Tax Refunds of Duplicate and Erroneous Payments.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 3100, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, 1936-1937, to the following named; being refunds of duplicate and erroneous payments of taxes.

- (1) Bank of America, per Vol. 37, Bill 2319, Lot 5/7, Block 6280, 1st Installment, Fiscal Year 1936, erroneous payment.....\$ 30.65
- (2) Capital Company, per Vol. 5, Bill 1189, Lot 20, Block 758, 1st Installment, Fiscal Year 1936, erroneous payment.... 187.31
- (3) Mark E. Noon, per Vol. 28, Bill 957, Lot 1, Block 4611, 1st Installment, Fiscal Year 1936, duplicate payment..... 15.14
- (4) L. J. Reese, per Vol. 16, Bill 2292, Lot 48/50, Block 2160, \$3.41; Bill 658, Lot 11, Block 2078, \$3.78; Bill 1142, Lot 15D, Block 2097, \$1.14; Bill 2286, Lot 38/40, Block 2160, \$4.54; all 1st Installment, Fiscal Year 1936, duplicate payments ..... 12.87
- (5) F. S. Howard, per Vol. 3, Bill 2084, Lot 2, Block 501, 1st Installment, Fiscal Year 1936, erroneous overpayment.... 10.00
- (6) Northern Counties Title Insurance Company, per Vol. 14, Bill 1003, Lot 36, Block 1816, 1st Installment, \$38.60; per Vol. 12, Bill 747, Lot 1C, Block 1634, 1st Installment, \$61.30; per Vol. 38, Bill 1592, Lot 19, Block 6439, 2d Installment, \$36.52; per Vol. 22, Bill 1607, Lot 6, Block 3181, 1st Installment, \$14.19, Fiscal Year 1936, duplicate payments..... 150.61

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing a Supplemental Appropriation of \$14,640 for the Construction of a Pavement in Triangular Portion of Block on South Side of Marina Boulevard, Between Baker and Lyon Streets.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1249, Ordinance No. 9.051356, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of Fourteen Thousand Six Hundred and Forty (\$14,640) Dollars out of unappropriated surplus in the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund for the fiscal year 1936-1937, to the credit of Appropriation No. 677.909.00, for the construction of a pavement in triangular portion of block on south side of Marina boulevard between Baker and Lyon streets; said improvement being necessary for approach to Golden Gate Bridge.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of Fourteen Thousand Six Hundred Forty (\$14,640) Dollars is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the unappropriated surplus in the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund for the fiscal year 1936-1937 to the credit of Appropriation No. 677.909.00, for the construction of a pavement in triangular portion of block on the south side of Marina boulevard between Baker and Lyon streets; said improvement being necessary for approach to Golden Gate Bridge.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.

Approved by A. J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Approval of County Welfare Department Recommendations.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Resolution No. 3101, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Director of the County Welfare Department, filed with the Board of Supervisors on February 19, 1937, are approved as follows:

Old Age Security Aid—New applications; increases, denials.

Blind Pensions—New Applications; increases.

Widows' Pensions—New applications; increases.

And the Clerk of this Board is directed to indorse the approval of this Board upon the reports of said Director of County Welfare Department and to transmit the same to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.



**Confirming Sale of School Land on Seventh Avenue to Michael Costello, et ux.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

Also Resolution No. 3102, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17228, Bill No. 1204, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids would be received by him on February 9, 1937, for the sale of the following described City owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of 7th avenue, distant southerly thereon 225 feet from the southerly line of Kirkham street; running thence southerly along the westerly line of 7th avenue, 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 240 feet to the easterly line of 8th avenue; thence northerly along last named line, 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 240 feet to the westerly line of 7th avenue and the point of beginning.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Michael Costello and Nellie Costello, his wife, offered to purchase said land for the sum of \$12,500 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said sum of \$12,500 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being \$12,500; and

Whereas, Michael Costello has paid the sum of \$1,250 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Board of Education has recommended the sale of said land; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said land to Michael Costello and Nellie Costello, his wife. The buyers must pay the balance of the purchase price within thirty days after approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the Grantees upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Re-referred.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was *taken up and re-referred to the Committee:*

**Appropriation of \$600 From Emergency Reserve Fund for Appointment of Part-Time Criminal Attorney in District Attorney's Office.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1250, Ordinance No. 9.051357, as follows:

Appropriating \$600 from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 605.101.00, for the payment of salary of one part-time Criminal Attorney, District Attorney's office, for five months, at \$120 per month.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$600 is hereby set aside from Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 605.101.00, Salaries, District Attorney's Office, for the payment of salary of one part-time Criminal Attorney, for five months, at \$120 per month.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve

Fund, as it is necessary to provide for appointment of an additional assistant to the District Attorney, whose duties would include work incidental to the investigation and trial of sex cases involving small children, which cases should be tried in the Juvenile Court rather than in the Hall of Justice, and the District Attorney is without sufficient funds to provide therefor.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

### Adopted.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

### Confirming Sale of School Land on Thirtieth Avenue to N. W. Anderson, et ux.

(Code No. 12.1722)

Resolution No. 3103, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17229, Bill No. 1209, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids would be received by him on February 19, 1937, for the sale of the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Santiago street; running thence southerly along the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 240 feet to the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue; thence northerly along last-named line, 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 240 feet to the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue and the point of beginning.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, N. W. Anderson offered to purchase said land for the sum of \$12,000 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said sum of \$12,000 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being \$12,000; and

Whereas, N. W. Anderson has paid the sum of \$1,200 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Board of Education has recommended the sale of said land for said sum of \$12,000; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said land to N. W. Anderson and Emma Anderson, his wife. The buyer must pay the balance of the purchase price within 30 days after approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver the deed to the grantees upon receipt of the balance of the consideration.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

### Ordering the Improvement of Williams Avenue, Between Third and Newhall Streets (Protest).

(Code No. 12.0611)

Bill No. 1251, Ordinance No. 12.061171, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifica-



tions therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, February 2, 1937, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Williams avenue, between Third street and Newhall street (west line produced), including the intervening intersections and including the conform work in the intersection of Williams avenue and Third street, by the construction or reconstruction of the following items:

Item No.	Item
1.	Grading (excavation).
2.	Grading (embankment).
3.	12-inch V. C. P. sewer, in place.
4.	6-inch V. C. P. side sewer, in place.
5.	8-inch V. C. P. side sewer, in place.
6.	12-inch x 6-inch Y branches, in place.
7.	12-inch x 8-inch Y branches, in place.
8.	Brick manholes, complete.
9.	Brick catch-basins, reset or reconstructed.
10.	Brick catch-basins, complete.
11.	10-inch V. C. P. culvert, in place.
12.	Two-course concrete sidewalk.
13.	Armored concrete curb.
14.	Unarmored concrete taper curb.
15.	Black base pavement, consisting of a 4-inch asphaltic concrete base course and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.
16.	Asphaltic concrete wearing surface, removed and replaced.
17.	Asphaltic conform pavement.
18.	Asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.
19.	4-inch painted white lacquer traffic lines.
20.	Street sign reset.
21.	9-unit warning reflectors, in place.
22.	Standard wood fence.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered respectively as:

Lots 12, 13 and 14 of Block 5406;

Lot 2 of Block 5407;

Lots 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19 and 20 of Block 5408;

Lots 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18 and 19 of Block 5409;  
Lots 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18 and 19 of Block 5410;  
Lots 18, 19 and 20 of Block 5411;  
Lot 1 of Block 5412;  
Lot 13-A of Block 5414;  
Lots 1, 2 and 3 of Block 5415;  
Lots 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23 and 24 of Block 5417;  
Lots 1, 3 and 3-A of Block 5423-A;

all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

### Adopted.

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

### Intention to Close and Abandon a Portion of Corbett Avenue at Mars Street.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Resolution No. 3048, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of Corbett avenue, at Mars street, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Corbett avenue more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the southeasterly corner of Lot 20, Block Y, of Park Lane Tract, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "E and F" at page 129, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northerly along the easterly line of said Lot 20, being also the westerly line of Corbett avenue, 50 feet to an angle point therein; thence deflecting 171 degrees 59 minutes 20 seconds to the right and running southerly 47.96 feet to the southerly line of said Lot 20, produced easterly; thence deflecting 77 degrees 26 minutes 37 seconds to the right and running westerly along last-named line 7.14 feet to the point of beginning.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Corbett avenue shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Corbett avenue in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.



**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

**Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Eldridge Street.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Bill No. 1252, Ordinance No. 12.073197, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and eighty-nine (1189).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office February 9, 1937, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and eighty nine (1189), to read as follows:

Section 1189. The width of sidewalks on Eldridge street, the northwesterly side of, between its northeasterly and southwesterly terminations, shall be 5 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Eldridge street, the southeasterly side of, between its northeasterly and southwesterly terminations shall be abolished.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Boyd Street.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1253, Ordinance No. 12.073198, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and eighty-eight (1188).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office February 9, 1937, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and eighty-eight (1188) to read as follows:

Section 1188. The width of sidewalks on Boyd street, the northwesterly side of, between its northeasterly and southwesterly terminations, shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Boyd street, the southeasterly side of, between its northeasterly and southwesterly terminations, shall be 3 feet 6 inches.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Homer Street.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1254, Ordinance No. 12.073199, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and eighty-seven (1187).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office February 9, 1937, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and eighty-seven (1187), to read as follows:

Section 1187. The width of sidewalks on Homer street, the southeasterly side of, between Chesley street and its southwesterly termination, shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Homer street, the northwesterly side of, between Chesley street and its southwesterly termination, shall be 3 feet 6 inches.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

**Accepting Deed to Sewer Easement Extending Through Center of Block Bounded by Fifteenth and Sixteenth Avenues and Ortega and Pacheco Streets.**

(Code No. 12.1011)

Resolution No. 3104, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the Director of Public Works as per Order No. 5623, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors does hereby accept, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, that certain deed made the second day of February, 1937, by and between Henry Doelger, Builder, Incorporated, grantor, and the City and County of San Francisco, grantee, granting a fifteen-foot sewer easement extending through the center of the block bounded by Fifteenth and Sixteenth avenues, Ortega and Pacheco streets.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Public Welfare Committee was taken up:

**Congress to Provide for Life-Saving Station at San Francisco Airport.**

(Code No. 5.2)

Resolution No. 3098, as follows:

Whereas, on February 9, 1937, at 8:45 p. m., a United Air Liner crashed into San Francisco Bay, several miles offshore from the San Francisco Airport; and

Whereas, it is a lamentable fact that eleven persons lost their lives in said crash; and

Whereas, air liners from the north, east and south, transporting passengers, arrive during the night hours; and

Whereas, many of said passengers arriving at the San Francisco Airport being interstate passengers, the Federal Government should take cognizance of the necessity of establishing a life-saving station in close proximity to the San Francisco Airport; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That Congress be memorialized to take the necessary steps



to provide a life-saving air base station in close proximity to the San Francisco Airport for the protection of life and property; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to our representatives in Congress.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of His Honor the Mayor was adopted:

**Leave of Absence, Ralph W. Wiley, Chief, Department of Electricity.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3105, as follows:

Resolved, That, upon the recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, Ralph W. Wiley, Chief, Department of Electricity, is hereby granted a leave of absence from March 3, 1937, to March 15, 1937, inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**San Francisco to Intervene in Hearing Before Interstate Commerce  
Commission in Behalf of Application of Benjamin Franklin Line,  
Inc.**

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 3107, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby approve the application of the Benjamin Franklin Line, Inc., before the Interstate Commerce Commission for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing it to engage in interstate transportation of passengers by stage upon the public highways between San Francisco, California; Portland, Oregon; Seattle, Washington, and intermediate points; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and requested to file with the Interstate Commerce Commission the intervening petition of this Board in support of said application, and to appear in the proceedings before the Commission and present suitable and proper evidence as to the interest of the City and County of San Francisco in the matter of said application.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

**Controller to Pay \$500 to California Association of County Super-  
visors for Legislative Service to Be Rendered.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 3109, as follows:

Resolved, That the Controller is hereby authorized to pay from Appropriation Number 660.233.00 the sum of five hundred dollars (\$500) to the California Association of County Supervisors for ser-

vices in the preparation of legislation and aid to be rendered to secure the enactment of legislation beneficial to counties, and cities and counties, pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 26 of the Statutes of 1935.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Bronze Memorial Plaques on San Francisco Bay and Golden Gate Bridges.**

(Code No. 12.11)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 3108, as follows:

Whereas, our two world-renowned engineering masterpieces, the San Francisco Bay Bridge and the Golden Gate Bridge, are nearing completion; and

Whereas, incalculable benefit will accrue to the San Francisco metropolitan bay area on completion of these two undertakings; and

Whereas, the people of San Francisco should be eternally grateful to the men, through whose untiring labor, courage and bravery the actual construction of the bridges was made possible; and

Whereas, many of these brave men lost their lives in the furtherance of these enterprises; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby respectfully requests the Directors of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, and the California State Toll Bridge Authority, to erect suitable bronze memorial plaques on both bridges, dedicated to those workers who made the supreme sacrifice in order that posterity might enjoy the benefit and fruits of their labor.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Empowering Department of Public Works to Issue Permits for Use of Grove Street in Front of Civic Auditorium.**

(Code No. 12.01)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Bill No. 1256, Ordinance No. 12.011, as follows:

Authorizing and empowering the Department of Public Works to issue permits to persons, firms or corporations for the exclusive use of Grove street in front of the Municipal Auditorium; for the erection of fences or barricades across said Grove street while occupying said building; and declaring an emergency in regard thereto. An Emergency Ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works is hereby authorized and empowered to issue permits for the exclusive use of Grove street, between Polk and Larkin streets, for a period of not to exceed eleven (11) days to any person, firm or corporation, whenever said person, firm or corporation shall have leased or rented the Municipal Auditorium at the regular rates usually charged therefor, for at least nine (9) consecutive days, provided that access to regular occupants of the said building shall be allowed at all times.

Said Department of Public Works is further authorized and empowered to permit the construction of a fence or barricade across Grove street at Polk street and across Grove street at Larkin street whenever permits for said exclusive use are issued.



Section 2. This ordinance shall remain in effect only until April 1, 1937.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency ordinance for the reason that the same must become effective forthwith, and the Board of Supervisors does, by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, declare that an actual emergency exists; the nature of said emergency being a limitation of time in that unless the ordinance is passed forthwith the Municipal Auditorium cannot be rented for a nine (9) day period commencing March 13, 1937, as requested by the operators of the Shrine Circus.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**City Attorney to Intervene Before State Railroad Commission re  
Ferry Rates to Marin County.**

(Code No. 12.11)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 3110, as follows:

Whereas, when the reduction in tolls upon the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge was effected, the Southern Pacific Company not only found itself in a position to offer competitive prices but voluntarily requested the Railroad Commission of the State of California to establish a one-way rate for automobile transportation across the bay at thirty cents; and

Whereas, negotiations are now being conducted between the Railroad Commission and the Southern Pacific Company looking to the establishment of ferry fares for those ferry services competing with the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, as well as rates of fare for ferry service in general, upon San Francisco Bay; now therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize and request the City Attorney to intervene in said negotiations and to press for the adoption of rates, on those ferry services which will operate in competition with the Golden Gate Bridge, upon its opening, equal with those which shall be established for ferry services between San Francisco and East Bay points; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded immediately to the Railroad Commission of the State of California.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

**Prohibiting Construction or Erection of Grandstands in or About  
Area Known as the Civic Center and Providing a Penalty  
Therefor.**

(Code No. 11.08)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Bill No. 1255, Ordinance No. 11.0822, as follows:

Prohibiting the construction or erection of grandstands in or about the area known as the Civic Center and providing a penalty therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, organization or association to construct, erect, build or maintain stands or grandstands in or about the area known and designated as the Civic Center, and situate, lying and being between the following boundaries: From Leavenworth street west on McAllister street to Franklin street; south on Franklin street to Grove street; east on Grove street to Hyde street; north on Hyde street to Fulton street; east on Fulton street

to Leavenworth street; and north on Leavenworth street to McAllister street; and it shall be unlawful for any officer, board or commission to issue a permit therefor, save and except that a review stand may be constructed or built in front of the steps of the City Hall, a permit for such erection having first been obtained from the Department of Public Works.

Section 2. Any person, firm, corporation, association or organization which shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction thereof, shall be punishable by a fine not to exceed five hundred dollars (\$500) or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force immediately.

*Referred to Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.*

### Requiring Lights on Standing Vehicles.

(Code No. —)

Supervisor Mead presented:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. —, as follows:

Requiring lights on standing vehicles under certain conditions; defining certain terms used herein and fixing a penalty for the violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Definitions. "Vehicle." For the purposes of this ordinance a "vehicle" is hereby defined to be any device in, upon, or by which any person or property may be transported or drawn.

"Thoroughfare" is hereby defined to be any boulevard, highway, street, avenue, lane, court, place or public way, including the sidewalks thereon.

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation owning or having custody or control of any vehicle as herein defined, to stand or permit such vehicle to stand upon any thoroughfare within the City and County of San Francisco at any time when there is not sufficient light to render said vehicle clearly discernible at a distance of 200 feet in either direction along the line of travel in said thoroughfare, unless said vehicle shall be equipped with a light or lights so attached thereto as to be visible to the operators of approaching vehicles, from either direction, for a distance of 200 feet. Said light or lights shall be so affixed to said vehicle as to define the extremity of said vehicle which is outermost from the property lines in said thoroughfare so as to permit of a line of vehicular travel on both sides thereof, then said vehicle shall carry a light or lights so attached as to be visible to the operators of approaching vehicles for a distance of 200 feet and so affixed to said vehicle as to define that extremity of said vehicle which is nearest to the vehicular approach on its right.

Section 3. Nothing in this ordinance shall be construed as permitting the parking or standing of vehicles where or when otherwise prohibited by any other ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 4. Any person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine not exceeding five hundred dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*



**In Memoriam—Police Officer Albert W. Argens.**

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 3106:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors learns with profound sorrow of the passing of Patrolman Albert W. Argens of the San Francisco Police Department; and

Whereas, the bravery in line of duty exemplified by Officer Argens typifies the spirit of the department in which he served and the fidelity to a cause for the proper observance of law and order; and

Whereas, this youthful officer possessed a record in the Police Department which indicated that he was destined for recognition and advancement in the ranks wherein he labored; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby pay tribute in solemn reverence to the memory of Patrolman Albert W. Argens, who was fatally wounded in the discharge of his duty; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk transmit a copy of this resolution to the bereaved family of the late Officer Argens; and be it

Further Resolved, That when the Board of Supervisors adjourns this day it does so in respect to the memory of Police Officer Albert W. Argens.

*Adopted* unanimously by a rising vote.

**Report of Welfare Committee on Maritime Riot.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, California,

February 19, 1937.

To the Honorable, The Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

The matter of the protest of Henry Schmidt, President of the International Longshoremen's Association, that disturbances of the public peace are being incited by the representatives of Lee Holman's organization to the detriment of the peaceful conditions at the Waterfront resulting from the recent maritime strike settlement, which matter was, no motion of Supervisor Colman, referred to this committee, begs leave to report that it has heard both parties in interest in the matter and has come to the conclusion that inasmuch as there are laws on the statute books of the State of California and in the ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco amply covering this situation, the matter is not one that calls for legislative action.

Wherefore, your committee respectfully recommends that the same be referred to the proper administrative officials of the government for their consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

PUBLIC WELFARE COMMITTEE,

By Adolph Uhl, Chairman.

Adolph Schmidt, Member.

**City Attorney to Advise as to Power of the Board of Supervisors to Regulate Closing Hours of Taverns.**

Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved that the City Attorney, in view of the controversy which exists between local police authorities and the Board of Equalization of the State of California on the subject of closing hours for those places dispensing liquor, be requested to furnish this Board with his opinion as to whether this Board has the authority under the police power to regulate the closing hours of such places, by ordinance.

*Carried.*

**Relative to Use of Sam Browne Revolver Belts by Police.**

Supervisor Shannon requested that letter be sent to the Police Commission, asking if they have ever given consideration to the discontinuance of the use of the Sam Browne belt, and if they can inform the Board of cities in the east that have used these belts, but have discontinued their use.

*So ordered.*

Supervisor Uhl requested that the City Attorney be asked if the Board can pass an ordinance to provide that revolvers of the police officers must be worn under the coat, or if such ordinance would be in violation of Section 22 of the Charter.

*So ordered.*

**Exposition Committee to Attend Meeting in Los Angeles.**

Supervisor Colman moved that the Exposition Committee of the Board be authorized to attend meeting in Los Angeles, February 26, 1937, to discuss exposition affairs, in response to invitation by Mayor Shaw and the Chamber of Commerce of Los Angeles.

*Motion carried.*

**Announcement.**

Supervisor Meyer announced meeting of Public Utilities Committee for Friday at 3 p. m.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

Whereupon the Board of Supervisors at 5:40 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors March 1, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.





Vol. 32

FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 9

Monday, March 1, 1937

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MARCH 1, 1937, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 1, 1937,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:  
Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt,  
Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Brown excused on leave.

Supervisor Roncovieri was noted present at 2:30 p. m.

President Shannon presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of February 23, 1937, was considered  
read and approved.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Hearing re rezoning both sides of Quintara street, between Forty-  
second and Forty-third avenues, from Commercial to Second Resi-  
dential District.

Approving City Planning Commission Resolution No. 1561, Re-  
zoning Quintara Street, Between Forty-second and Forty-third  
Avenues.

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 3091, as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 1561 of the City Planning Commis-  
sion, passed October 8, 1936, rezoning both sides of Quintara street,  
between Forty-second and Forty-third avenues, from Commercial Dis-  
trict to Second Residential District, is hereby approved.

## Action Postponed.

After explanation and discussion of the foregoing resolution further  
consideration thereof was *postponed for one week and made a Special  
Order of Business for March 8, 1937, at 2:00 p. m.*

## SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

McClintock Report and Chief Administrative Officer Cleary's  
Recommendations as to Dead-end Streets on Market Street.

## Consideration Continued.

On motion by Supervisor Uhl, consideration of the McClintock Report  
and Chief Administrative Officer Cleary's recommendations as to dead-  
end streets on Market street was *postponed to March 15, 1937, and the  
question was made a Special Order of Business at 3 p. m.*



**UNFINISHED BUSINESS.****Final Passage.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

**Authorizing Lease of Certain Land on Jefferson Street.**

(Code No. 12.17353)

Bill No. 1241, Ordinance No. 12.173534, as follows:

Authorizing lease of certain land on Jefferson street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 93 of the Charter and in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for leasing the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Jefferson street, distant thereon westerly 187.5 feet from the westerly line of Taylor street; running thence westerly along the southerly line of Jefferson street, 50 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 137.5 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 50 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 137.5 feet to the point of commencement.

Section 2. The lease shall be made subject to such reservations and conditions as may be deemed necessary or desirable by the Public Utilities Commission and the Director of Property.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

**Appropriation of \$63,919, Relief for March, 1937.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1242, Ordinance No. 9.051352, as follows:

Appropriating \$63,919 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of March, 1937, and authorizing a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$63,919 is hereby appropriated out of such funds as may be available for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of March, 1937.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations are fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved by the Civil Service Commission, are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution 2519, heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

**Authorizing Compromise of Claim of Julius Rothschild & Co.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Bill No. 1243, Ordinance No. 6.022225, as follows:

Authorizing compromise of claim of Julius Rothschild &amp; Co.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended a settlement of the action of Julius Rothschild & Co. against the City and County of San Francisco to recover the sum of one thousand six hundred fifty-nine dollars and seventy-one cents (\$1,659.71) for damages to their merchandise sustained by reason of a break in the sewer on Steuart street, more particularly described as No. 28 and No. 32 Steuart street, on September 1, 1936, by the payment of the sum of six hundred and seven dollars and eighty-five cents (\$607.85) in full settlement of all claims of said Julius Rothschild & Co., the said City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle said pending litigation by the payment of the said sum, chargeable to Appropriation No. 660.705.01.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

**Authorizing Sale of City Land on Roosevelt Way.**

(Code No. 12.1721)

Also, Bill No. 1244, Ordinance No. 12.17219, as follows:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Lots Numbers 56, 57 and 58 in Block "P", Park Lane Tract No. 5, as per map thereof filed September 22, 1891, and recorded in Liber "E" and "F" of Maps, page 157, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, excepting that portion of said lots now being used as part of Roosevelt way.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, subject to such reservations and conditions as may be deemed necessary or desirable by the City Attorney and the Director of Property.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

**NEW BUSINESS.****Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Tax Refunds of Erroneous and Duplicate Payments.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Resolution No. 3111, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, 1936-1937, to the following named, being refunds of erroneous and duplicate payments of taxes:



- (1) Walter Graf, per Vol. 2, Bill 2337, Lot 18, Block 274, First Installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment.....\$264.88
- (2) Frank M. Mulcrevy, per Vol. 15, Bill 2745, Lot 12, Block 2032, First Installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment..... 37.84
- (3) H. E. Mohr, secretary, Moneta Investment Company, per Vol. 37, Bill 2141, Lot 37, Block 6275, First Installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment..... 15.14
- (4) J. A. Douglas, per Vol. 18, Bill 186, Lot 10, Block 2395, First Installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment... 42.57
- (5) Frank Malloy, per Vol. 12, Bill 511, Lot 8, Block 1628, First Installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment..... 50.14
- (6) Magdalene Miller, per Vol. 4, Bill 2899, Lot 25, Block 686, Second Installment, fiscal year 1934, erroneous payment... 61.04
- (7) R. K. Malone, per Vol. 25, Bill 1548, Lot 2, Block 3713, First Installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment.... 17.03
- (8) Louise S. Paine, per Vol. 47, Lots 29/30, Block 6521, fiscal year 1936, duplicate payment..... 5.30
- (9) Tony Pappas, per Vol. 8, page 124, lines 11 and 12, 1935 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls, duplicate payment... 9.47

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

#### **Authorizing Purchase of Easement for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2.**

(Code No. 15.0241)

Also, Resolution No. 3112, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Baywood Properties, Inc., two easements over certain lands along the easterly side of San Mateo Creek, San Mateo County, California, required for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2, for the sum of \$6,500, \$2,166 payable from Appropriation No. 693.903.05-57 and the balance of \$4,334 payable from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Mateo County, Superior Court Case No. 26556. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

#### **Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land for Sunset Reservoir.**

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 3113, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Florence McCoy, Lot 10, Assessor's Block 2110, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Sunset Reservoir, for the sum of \$300, \$50 from Appropriation No. 693.905.05-57 and the balance of \$250 payable from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 262443.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land for Sunset Reservoir.**  
(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 3114, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from P. J. Curran, Lot 2, Assessor's Block 2144, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Sunset Reservoir, for the sum of \$600, \$100 from Appropriation No. 693.905.05-57 and the balance of \$500 payable from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 262443.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Airport.**  
(Code No. 12.17154)

Also, Resolution No. 3115, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Caroline Sharp Estate Company, a corporation, the north  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the northwest  $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 2, Township 4 South, Range 5 West, M. D. B. & M., San Mateo County, California, excepting the portion thereof owned by the City and County of San Francisco, containing 45 acres, more or less, required for the San Francisco Airport, for the sum of \$2,250, payable from Appropriation No. 664.600.00. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

**Purchase of Land Required for the Bayview Playground.**  
(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 3116, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Albert Pontet, Lot 12-A, Assessor's Block 5310, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, for the sum of \$4,250, payable from Appropriation No. 613.600.01, required for the Bayview Playground. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing Exchange of Certain Easements of Right of Way With  
Department of Public Works of the State of California.**  
(Code No. 15.0243)

Bill No. 1257, Ordinance No. 15.02434, as follows:

Authorizing exchange of certain easements of right of way with Department of Public Works of the State of California.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to a resolution passed by the Public Utilities



Commission and for the purpose of realigning certain portions of State Highway Route IV in San Mateo County through property under the control of the Water Department and owned by the City and County of San Francisco, the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco conveying a right of way easement for highway purposes to the hereinafter described property.

Section 2. The right of way easement for highway purposes is over the following described parcels of land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California:

Being portions of that certain 44.67-acre tract of land known as Belmont Pump Lot, numbered Parcel 35 San Mateo County Lands in deed from Spring Valley Water Company to City and County of San Francisco, dated March 3, 1930, and recorded March 3, 1930, in Volume 491 of Official Records, page 1, San Mateo County Records; more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

PARCEL 1. Commencing at the point of intersection of the southeasterly line of said tract of land with the northeasterly line of the existing State highway, designated as Road IV, San Mateo County, Route 2, Section B; said intersection being the most westerly corner of that certain piece or parcel of land conveyed by Asa E. Hull to Smack Beverage Company, Inc., a corporation, by deed dated January 28, 1927, and recorded February 4, 1927, in Volume 264, Official Records, page 450, San Mateo County Records; thence along the southeasterly line of said 44.67-acre tract of land, north 49 degrees 04 minutes 30 seconds east, 17.25 feet to Station "W" 234+21.06 P. O. C. on the center line of the survey for the relocation of said State highway; thence continuing north 49 degrees 04 minutes 30 seconds east, 50.06 feet to a point on a curve concentric with and 50 feet northeasterly from the center line of said relocation survey; thence along said curve to the right, from a tangent bearing north 43 degrees 47 minutes 55 seconds west, with a radius of 2950 feet, through an angle of 8 degrees 04 minutes 25 seconds, a distance of 415.69 feet; thence north 35 degrees 43 minutes 30 seconds west, 236.75 feet to a point on said northeasterly line of the existing State highway; thence along said northeasterly line south 16 degrees 56 minutes east, 78.19 feet; thence tangent to the last-named course, along a curve to the left, with a radius of 967 feet, through an angle of 35 degrees 06 minutes 24 seconds, a distance of 592.51 feet to the point of commencement; containing 0.939 of an acre, more or less.

PARCEL 2. Commencing at the point of intersection of the southeasterly line of "F" street, being the northwesterly line of said 44.67-acre tract of land, with the southeasterly prolongation of the southwesterly line of Fifth avenue, as said street and avenue are shown upon that certain map entitled, "Sunny Slope Belmont," filed for record May 4, 1889, in Book "A" of Original Maps, page 5, San Mateo County Records; distant, along the northwesterly line of said 44.67-acre tract of land, south 49 degrees 04 minutes 30 seconds west, 56.26 feet from Station "W" 227+54.0 P. O. T. on the center line of said relocation survey; thence along the northwesterly line of said tract of land north 49 degrees 04 minutes 30 seconds east, 39.38 feet to a point in the southwesterly line of the existing State highway; thence along said southwesterly line south 16 degrees 56 minutes east, 64.76 feet; thence tangent to the last-named course, along a curve to the left, with a radius of 1033 feet; through an angle of 2 degrees 15 minutes 16 seconds, a distance of 40.65 feet to a point in a line parallel to and 50 feet southwesterly, at right angles, from the center line of said relocation survey; thence along said parallel line north 35 degrees 43 minutes 30 seconds west, 67.15 feet to a point on said southeasterly prolongation of the southwesterly line of Fifth avenue; thence along said southeasterly prolongation, north 47 degrees 20 minutes 30 sec-

onds west, 29.94 feet to the point of commencement; containing 0.038 of an acre, more or less.

**PARCEL 3.** Being a portion of that certain unnumbered lot in Block 7, known as San Carlos Park Lot, as said lot and block are shown upon that certain map entitled, "Re-subdivision of a Portion of the Town of San Carlos, San Mateo County, California," filed for record May 20, 1921, in Book 10 of Maps, pages 39 and 40, San Mateo County Records; and being numbered Parcel 36 San Mateo County Lands in hereinbefore mentioned deed from Spring Valley Water Company to City and County of San Francisco; and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at the most northerly corner of said Block 7; thence along the northwesterly line of said Block 7, south 49 degrees 10 minutes west (shown on said map as south 49 degrees 01 minutes 30 seconds west), 22.14 feet to Station "W" 240+89.26 P. O. T. on the center line of said relocation survey; thence continuing south 49 degrees 10 minutes west, 7.86 feet; thence in a southeasterly direction 20 feet; thence at right angles in a northeasterly direction 30.9 feet to a point on the northeasterly line of said Block 7, being the southwesterly line of the existing State highway; thence along said northeasterly line north 43 degrees 36 minutes 30 seconds west, 20.0 feet to the point of commencement; containing 0.014 of an acre, more or less.

The conveyance of right of way over Parcels 1 and 2 shall be a conveyance of surface rights only and nothing in the grant shall be construed so as to deprive the City of the use of the land beneath the surface of said right of way. Said conveyance shall be made subject to the following terms and conditions:

(a) The City hereby expressly reserves from the terms of this grant, the land beneath the surface of the land hereinbefore described in the descriptions of Parcels 1 and 2 and nothing in this grant is to be construed so as to deprive the City of the use of said land beneath the surface of Parcels 1 and 2; provided that the City, before commencing any operation within the scope of said rights so reserved shall notify the department of the fact and the nature of the work intended to be done, in order that the department may suggest changes therein or may make other recommendations in connection therewith; said suggestions or recommendations shall be advisory only and shall not bind the City. Any paving or surfacing of said highway removed or damaged in any construction or repair work by the City shall be replaced by the City at its own expense in the same condition as it was in prior to such construction or repair work.

(b) The Department of Public Works shall, at its own expense, construct and maintain a public road or highway over and along the above right of way and shall hold the City free from any obligation, liability or expense in connection with the construction, reconstruction, maintenance, or repair of said road or highway, the necessity for which construction, reconstruction, maintenance, or repair shall result from any cause whatsoever, except from the City's exercise of the rights herein reserved.

(c) The Department of Public Works shall, at its own expense, properly protect the City's existing and future pipe lines and other structures constructed across the hereinbefore described property against damage from the construction, maintenance, repair and/or use of said road or highway.

(d) The Department of Public Works shall not construct any subsurface drainage, culverts or other permanent structures on the lands herein described in such manner or location that said subsurface drainage, culverts or structures shall interfere with the free and unobstructed exercise of the rights herein reserved to the City.

(e) The Department shall hold and save harmless the City from any and all responsibility due directly or indirectly to the construction, maintenance and/or use of said highway across the City's land and structures.



Section 3. The above conveyance shall only have effect when the California Highway Commission shall execute a good and sufficient conveyance to the City and County of San Francisco abandoning the existing highway contiguous to the hereinabove described property vesting title of the abandoned highway in the City and County of San Francisco.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for San Jose Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Resolution No. 3117, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Southern Pacific Company a portion of Lot 30, Assessor's Block 7146, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for widening San Jose avenue, for the sum of \$2,350, payable from Appropriation No. 951.904.57, Project No. 3. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land for Richardson Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3118, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Emma Scatena and P. Scatena, portion of Lot 6-D, Assessor's Block 932, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Richardson avenue, for the sum of \$50, \$25 payable from Appropriation No. 648.914.14.1, Project No. 4½, and the balance of \$25 payable from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 271153. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land for Richardson Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3119, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Luigia Barbagelata, portion of Lot 19A, Assessor's Block 931, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Richardson avenue, for the sum of \$2,000, \$386 payable from Appropriation No. 648.914.14.1, Project No. 4½, and the balance of \$1,614 payable from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San

Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 271153. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved as to form by Dion R. Holm, Assistant City Attorney.  
Approved by Jos. Phillips, Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land for Richardson Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3120, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Marietta F. Granucci and E. S. Granucci, portion of Lot 5-C, Assessor's Block 932, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Richardson avenue, for the sum of \$1,651, payable from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 271153. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

As a further consideration it is understood that the grantors shall receive a deed to the portions of Lots 5-A and 5-B, Assessor's Block 932, outside the right of way.

The above amount includes damages in full to the improvements now wholly or partially located on the above described property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for Richardson Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3121, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Walter Paladini, et ux., portion of Lot 1, Assessor's Block 932, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Richardson avenue, for the sum of \$2,000, payable from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 271153. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

It is understood that the grantors shall receive a deed to the portion of the property adjoining the above described property to the south, not needed for street purposes.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

**Confirming Sale of School Land on Eighteenth Avenue to Marian Furey.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

Also, Resolution No. 3122, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17227, Bill No. 1181, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids would be received by him on January 20, 1937, for the sale of the



following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Eighteenth avenue, distant northerly thereon 275 feet from the northerly line of Taraval street; running thence northerly along the westerly line of Eighteenth avenue, 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 110 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 110 feet to the westerly line of Eighteenth avenue and the point of commencement.

Being part of Outside Land Block No. 1120.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, St. George Holden offered to purchase said land for the sum of \$2,500 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said sum of \$2,500 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being \$2,500; and

Whereas, St. George Holden has paid the sum of \$50 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction, and has requested that the property be conveyed to Marian Furey, a single woman; and

Whereas, the Board of Education has recommended the sale of said land; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said land to Marian Furey, a single woman. The buyer must pay the balance of the purchase price within 30 days after approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver the deed to the grantee upon receipt of the balance of the consideration.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

#### Authorizing Lease of City Land.

(Code No. 12.1739)

Bill No. 1258, Ordinance No. 12.17396, as follows:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 93 of the Charter, and in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for leasing to the highest responsible bidder at the highest monthly rental, the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Beginning at a point on the southwestery line of Van Ness avenue South, distant southeasterly thereon 726.53 feet from the southwestery line of Twelfth street, said point being also in the southwestery line of the property now or formerly owned by Bertha Bullerdieck; thence southeasterly along said line of Van Ness avenue South, 102.29 feet; thence southerly and westerly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 12 feet, central angle 114 degrees 43 minutes 56 seconds, a distance of 24.030 feet to tangency with the northeasterly line of Thirteenth street; thence northwesterly along said line of Thirteenth street, 38.28 feet; thence at right angles

northeasterly 110.04 feet to aforesaid property line of Bullerdieck; thence at right angles southeasterly along last-named line, 1.95 feet to said line of Van Ness avenue South and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Mission Block 15.

Section 2. Said lease shall be subject to such reservations and conditions as may be deemed necessary or desirable by the Director of Property and the City Attorney.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

### Adopted.

The following recommendation of his Honor the Mayor was taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Hon. J. J. Lermen, Member Park Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3123, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, Hon. J. J. Lermen, member of the Board of Park Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing March 9, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

### ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**Opposing A. B. 1177 Allocating Relief Funds.**

(Code No. 19.07)

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. 3126, as follows:

Whereas, the Relief and Legislative Committees of the Association of County Supervisors of California, which Committees were given at the association's December 30th, 1936, meeting in San Francisco full authority to act, have gone on record as sponsoring A. B. No. 1177 as originally introduced on January 30, 1937; and

Whereas, through its Relief and Legislative Committees in joint session in Sacramento on Friday, February 26, 1937, adopted an amendment to section 18 of said bill respecting the method of allocating the cost of relief; and

Whereas, said plan is generally as follows:

a. The counties to pay 100 per cent of the cost of the combined total of the normal county indigent burden and SRA burden within the counties, until the county has paid an amount equal to five cents in its tax rate.

b. Thereafter the county and the State will share the cost of the combined case load on a 50/50 basis until the county has borne an amount equivalent to 16 cents in its tax rate.

c. All relief beyond this maximum limit to be borne 100 per cent by the State.

Whereas, such a plan if carried out would be very favorable to a few counties and would adversely affect most of the counties of the State for the reasons set forth in minority report presented by Mr. Leavy to the Relief Committee meeting in Sacramento on February 26, a copy of which report is attached hereto and made a part hereof; and



Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco and practically all of the northern and rural counties of the State would be adversely affected if this proposed plan went into effect and was carried out; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby goes on record as being opposed to the said proposed plan to amend Section 18 of A. B. 1177 as being opposed to the best interests of the taxpayers of the City and County of San Francisco as well as practically every northern and rural county of the State; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Supervisors of each county that would be adversely affected by this proposed plan for the amendment of Section 18 of A. B. 1177 be notified of the action of this Board by the Clerk thereof and a copy of this resolution, as well as a copy of the minority report of Leonard S. Leavy, Controller of the City and County of San Francisco, together with such other pertinent data as may be developed, be forwarded with and attached thereto; and be it

Further Resolved, That this program be brought before the Supervisors at the Annual Convention of the Association of County Supervisors of California to be held in Sacramento March 17-20, 1937; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution, together with copy of Mr. Leavy's minority report, be transmitted to the members of the Legislature from San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Motion.

Upon the adoption of the foregoing resolution, Supervisor Roncovieri moved that the Controller be requested and authorized to prepare statements to be printed and to be sent to the northern counties, and that the Finance and Judiciary committees of the Board work thereon with the Controller.

*Motion carried.*

#### Use of Motorcycles by Police Officers.

(Code No. 11.01)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 3125, as follows:

Whereas, it has come to the attention of this Board that one police officer has been killed and several others have been injured while operating motorcycles on the late watch; and

Whereas, these most unfortunate occurrences have come about by reason of the fact that the streets during this time of the night are covered with moisture, making the surface of the roadways exceedingly slippery, and the additional fact that the motorists operating over the streets during the early morning hours travel at very high rates of speed; and

Whereas, these conditions under which motorcycle officers are required to operate on the late watch are most hazardous and endanger the lives of said officers as well as impair the efficiency of their operations; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the attention of the Mayor be respectfully called to this situation and that he be requested to communicate with the Police Commission to the end that the use of motorcycles during the late

watch shall be discontinued and that the officers assigned to that duty shall be provided with automobiles.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### **Cleaning and Dyeing Code.**

On motion by Supervisor Colman, ordinance to provide a Code of Fair Competition for Cleaners and Dyers was made a Special Order of Business for 2:30 p. m., March 8, 1937. All interested parties, including the San Francisco Labor Council, Building Trades Council, Chamber of Commerce, Down Town Association, Retailers associations, Housewives League, San Francisco Women's Center, and the proponents of the measure were instructed to be so notified.

### **Motions—In Memoriam.**

Supervisor Colman moved that the Clerk send to the family of the late J. P. Rettenmeyer, the proper expression of sincere sympathy of the members of the Board, and that the Board adjourn out of respect to his memory.

*Motion carried by unanimous rising vote.*

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Clerk be instructed to forward to the family of the late Thomas Doyle, secretary of the Building Trades Council, a letter of condolence, and that the Board adjourn out of respect to his memory.

*Motion carried by unanimous rising vote.*

Supervisor Ratto moved that the Clerk send to the family of the late Louise A. Osterloh, the sincere sympathy of the members of the Board, and that the Board adjourn out of respect to her memory.

*Motion carried by unanimous rising vote.*

### **Citizens' Committee for Observance of Memorial Day.**

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved that his Honor, the Mayor, be requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee for the proper observance of Memorial Day, May 30, 1937.

*Motion carried.*

### **Hetch Hetchy Electric Power Distribution.**

The following, address by Representative Franck R. Havenner, was presented by Supervisor McSheehy, read by the Clerk, and *ordered printed in full in the Journal of Proceedings.*

### **A Challenge to Congress.**

*Extension of Remarks of Hon. Franck R. Havenner of California, in the House of Representatives, Tuesday, February 16, 1937.*

MR. HAVENNER. Mr. Speaker, on March 9, 1937, a proposal to authorize an issue of revenue bonds for the acquisition of a municipal electric distribution system will be submitted to the voters of the city and county of San Francisco.

The opponents of this proposal have raised an issue which challenges the attention of the Congress.

More than two decades ago Congress passed an act granting to the city and county of San Francisco the right to develop a municipal water supply in Hetch Hetchy Valley in the Yosemite National Park of California. This act was introduced and sponsored by the late John E. Raker, then Representative from the First Congressional District of California, and is commonly known as the Raker Act.



The Raker Act required the city and county of San Francisco to develop hydroelectric energy in connection with the Hetch Hetchy water-supply system, and specifically provided that none of the electric energy so developed should ever be let or sold to any private individual or corporation for resale.

The city and county of San Francisco has expended nearly a hundred and fifty million dollars in the development of the Hetch Hetchy water and power system. It has constructed what is known as the Moccasin Creek power-house on the line of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, and for the past 12 years has been engaged in the production of hydroelectric energy in this power plant. Ever since this power-house was completed and put into operation its entire output of electric energy has been delivered to the Pacific Gas & Electric Co., a privately owned public utility corporation, under the terms of a so-called agency contract, whereby the Pacific Gas & Electric Co. purports to act as the agent of the city and county of San Francisco in the distribution of this electric energy to the people of San Francisco.

The Raker Act designates the Secretary of the Interior as the Federal officer responsible for the proper fulfillment of the terms and conditions of the Hetch Hetchy grant. Every Secretary of the Interior who has held office since the Moccasin Creek power-house was put into operation has at least inferentially indicated to the officials of the city and county of San Francisco that he doubted whether the so-called agency contract with the Pacific Gas & Electric Co. was in compliance with the provisions of the Raker Act. In 1935 Hon. Harold L. Ickes, the present Secretary of the Interior, issued an official opinion holding that the delivery of the Hetch Hetchy electric energy to the Pacific Gas & Electric Co. under the terms of the so-called agency agreement constituted an outright sale of the electricity to a private corporation for resale in violation of the provisions of the Federal law. Secretary Ickes served notice upon the city and county of San Francisco that it must, within a reasonable period of time, make arrangements to dispose of its Hetch Hetchy electricity in accordance with the law.

In the summary of his official opinion Secretary Ickes said:

"The legislative history of the Raker Act clearly shows that the purpose of section 6 was to prevent the water or power developed on the Hetch Hetchy project from ever falling into the hands of a private corporation or monopoly. From the facts it appears that the power developed on the Hetch Hetchy project has fallen into the hands of just such a corporation or monopoly."

The only practical way in which San Francisco can now legally dispose of its Hetch Hetchy electricity, in accordance with the ruling of the Secretary of the Interior, is to acquire a municipally-owned distribution system and sell the electricity direct to its people.

This is the proposal which will be submitted to the voters of San Francisco at the municipal election on March 9, 1937.

The opponents of municipal distribution of electricity are urging the voters of San Francisco to defeat this proposal at the polls, and then make demand upon Congress for an amendment of the Raker Act which will legalize the sale of the Hetch Hetchy power to the private corporation. They are advising the people to pursue this defeatist policy, notwithstanding the fact that the carefully prepared estimates of the public utilities commission of San Francisco show that the proposed plan for municipal distribution will enable San Francisco to make an immediate reduction of 10 per cent in its current rates for electricity, and earn an annual net profit of \$4,000,000, as compared with the average annual income of \$2,000,000, which the city and county now receives from the sale of electricity to the Pacific Gas & Electric Co.

I am bringing these facts to the attention of Congress now, so that if the costly and misleading propaganda now being broadcast by the

enemies of municipal ownership and distribution of electricity should deceive the people of San Francisco into voting down the pending proposal, the members may be familiar with the history and the background of the inevitable demand that the Hetch Hetchy grant be amended to permit the sale of this publicly-developed electric energy to a private corporation.

Following the reading of the foregoing, Supervisor Colman was granted the privilege of replying. Supervisor Colman stated that the matter of the issuance of revenue bonds for distribution of Hetch Hetchy electric power is of concern only to the people of San Francisco, and is no concern of the federal government. He stated further that no Secretary of the Interior, prior to the present Secretary, has ever rendered an opinion that the contract is in violation of the terms of the Raker Act.

#### Golden Gate Bridge Opening Celebration.

Supervisor McSheehy reported in reference to invitation to President Roosevelt to attend the Golden Gate Bridge opening celebration, and stated that the President regretted that it would be impossible for him to be present, but that he would send his daughter, Mrs. Anna B. ——— of Seattle, as his personal representative.

#### State Legislation.

President Shannon called the Board's attention to the fact that during the session of the Legislature, matters often come up with which members of the Board, who may not be on the Judiciary Committee, are conversant, and he requested authorization to attend to matters in Sacramento that are urgent.

#### Motion.

Whereupon, Supervisor Roncovieri moved that the President be authorized to name any member of the Board, or as many as he deems proper, to attend the Legislature.

*Motion carried.*

#### Test Runs to and from Bay Bridge Head.

Supervisor Roncovieri announced that Chief Administrative Officer Alfred J. Cleary had invited members of the Board to go over the various routes in connection with the study of dead-end streets, to ascertain the time required for travel between various points and the bridge head, and moved that members of the Board, who could make the trips, meet at Mr. Cleary's office at 2 p. m., March 2, 1937.

*So ordered.*

#### Communication from Central Valley Project Association.

Supervisor Shannon presented letter from Central Valley Project Association, transmitting copy of resolution adopted by the association, requesting an appropriation of \$5,000 from the publicity and advertising fund as a contribution to the necessary expenditures for promoting the early construction of the project.

*Referred to Chief Administrative Officer.*

#### Communication from San Francisco Aerie No. 5, Fraternal Order of Eagles

At the request of Supervisor Shannon, the following communication was read by the Clerk and *ordered printed in full in the Journal of Proceedings*:



February 23, 1937.

Hon. Warren Shannon,  
President, Board of Supervisors,  
San Francisco, Calif.

Friend Warren:

I understand that a petition has been sent to your honorable Board by the "Citizens' Committee of San Francisco" in reference to Charter Amendment No. 19.

Our name "San Francisco Aerie No. 5, Fraternal Order of Eagles, John F. McGowan, Sec'y," appears on their stationery, but I am here-with advising you that this was done without our sanction and approval, and we are not in any way connected with or affiliated with this Citizens' Committee, and have not endorsed any petition sent by them, therefore I am writing you in order that you may be acquainted with our stand in reference to this committee.

Thanking you for your consideration, I remain,

Very truly yours,

(Signed) John F. McGowan,

Secretary, S. F. Aerie No. 5, F. O. E.

### Recommendations on State Legislation.

Reports of recommendations, in connection with bills pending before the State Legislature, by the Revenue and Taxation Committee were presented by the Clerk and ordered placed on the Calendar for the next meeting of the Board.

### Resolution No. 3018, Called Out of Committee.

Supervisor Schmidt called out from Committee, Resolution No. 3018, endorsing plan of San Francisco Chronicle to fix bay bridge toll at twenty-five cents. Resolution No. 3018 was introduced by Supervisor Schmidt on January 4, 1937, and referred to Joint Streets and Finance Committee.

*Called from Committee and made Special Order of Business for March 8, 1937, at 3 p. m.*

### Announcements.

Supervisor Schmidt announced meeting of the Health Committee for Thursday, March 4, at 10:30 a. m.

Supervisor Meyer announced meeting of the Public Utility Committee for Thursday, March 4, at 2 p. m.

Supervisor McSheehy announced meeting of the Special Hetch Hetchy Committee for Thursday, March 4, at 3 p. m.

### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at 4:55 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, March 8, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 32

PERIODICAL

No. 10

Monday, March 8, 1937

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MARCH 8, 1937, 2 P. M

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 8, 1937,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:  
Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Shannon, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent on Leave—Supervisor Brown—1.

Quorum present.

President Shannon in the chair.

### SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Hearing re rezoning both sides of Quintara street, between Forty-  
second and Forty-third avenues, from Commercial to Second Resi-  
dential District.

Approving City Planning Commission Resolution No. 1561, Re-  
zoning Quintara Street, Between Forty-second and Forty-third  
Avenues.

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 3091, as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 1561 of the City Planning Commis-  
sion, passed October 8, 1936, rezoning both sides of Quintara street,  
between Forty-second and Forty-third avenues, from Commercial Dis-  
trict to Second Residential District, is hereby approved.

### Commission Sustained.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, the action of the City Planning  
Commission, after the hearing of protestants, was *approved* by the  
following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly,  
Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

An Ordinance Providing a Code of Fair Competition for the  
Cleaning and Dyeing Industry in the City and County of San  
Francisco and Providing a Penalty for the Violation of This Code.

(Code No. 5.49)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1227, Ordinance No. 5.491, as follows:

An ordinance providing a code of fair competition for the cleaning  
and dyeing industry in the City and County of San Francisco and  
providing a penalty for the violation of this code.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Fran-  
cisco, as follows:



Section 1. A code of fair competition for the cleaning and dyeing industry in the City and County of San Francisco is hereby provided and set up in the words and figures following, namely:

"Code of Fair Competition" for the cleaning and dyeing industry in the City and County of San Francisco.

#### ARTICLE 1.

##### *Objects and Purposes.*

(a) This code is set up under and by virtue of and to effectuate the policies of Chapter 814 of Statutes of California, 1935, which is hereby referred to and made a part hereof and particularly for the purpose of increasing employment, establishing fair and adequate wages, effecting necessary reduction of hours, improving the standards of labor, and to eliminate unfair trade practices and ruinous price-cutting evils so that the cleaning and dyeing industry in the City and County of San Francisco may be rehabilitated and enabled to do its part toward establishing that balance of industries which is necessary to the restoration and maintenance of the highest practical degree of public welfare.

(b) It is the declared purpose of the cleaning and dyeing industry in the City and County of San Francisco to bring and maintain, in so far as may be practical, the rates of wages paid within the cleaning and dyeing industry to such levels as are necessary for the creation and maintenance of the highest practical standard of living, to restore the income of enterprises within the industry to levels which will make possible the payments of such wages, and to avoid a further depletion and destruction through impending bankruptcy of the greater part of this industry.

(c) It shall be the purpose of the industry in adherence to this code to improve the general sanitary and health conditions of the cleaning and dyeing industry, to give the best possible service to the public at fair and standardized prices, to protect the public from fraudulent schemes in connection with the cleaning, dyeing and pressing of clothing, to disseminate information concerning modern cleaning methods and practices toward the end that the public may the better appreciate the care, consideration and attention now being given to the cleaning product, and to instill a spirit of co-operation among the members of such industry for the accomplishment of these objects and purposes.

(d) To disseminate knowledge among its members as to the use of scientific management, system and cost accounting, sound credit and collection methods, and to promote and assist in putting into effect the use thereof.

(e) To provide an impartial board of adjustment, through which any claims for damages to customer's goods may be considered.

(f) To provide a code authority consisting of an executive control committee of six, empowered to enforce the provisions of this code, as herein provided, said committee to consist of: Two wholesale plant owners, two retail plant owners, two retail cleaning store owners, as well as a chairman.

(g) This ordinance shall be known as the "Cleaning and Dyeing Code." It is the purpose of this industry to abide by the provisions of Chapter 814 of the Statutes of California, 1935.

#### ARTICLE 2.

##### *Territory.*

(a) The territory embraced within the confines of this industry is the boundaries of the City and County of San Francisco in the State of California.

##### *Initiation.*

(a) No initiation or entrance fees shall be charged, but there shall be dues levied on the following basis:

*Dues.*

Monthly dues for independently owned retail cleaning stores shall be \$1.50 per month per shop.

Monthly dues for any type of dry cleaning plant shall be based as follows:

Type No. 1. Monthly volume of business up to \$1,000 shall pay \$10 per month.

Type No. 2. Monthly volume of business between \$1,000 and \$3,000 shall pay \$20 per month.

Type No. 3. Monthly volume of business between \$3,000 and \$5,000 shall pay \$30 per month.

Type No. 4. Monthly volume of business between \$5,000 and \$10,000 shall pay \$40 per month.

Type No. 5. Monthly volume of business over \$10,000 shall pay \$100 per month.

## ARTICLE 3.

*Participation and Voting.*

(a) Each member in good standing in the industry is to have only one vote, as herein provided.

(b) Participation in this code and any subsequent revision of or addition to this code shall be extended to any person, partnership or corporation engaged, in whole or in part, in the Cleaning and Dyeing Industry within the City and County of San Francisco.

## ARTICLE 4.

*Administration.*

(a) The executive control committee, hereinabove provided for, shall be selected to represent said Cleaning and Dyeing Industry before the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, and before all governmental agencies, in any conference or conferences respecting this code of fair competition, and for all the other purposes hereof. The impartial chairman, selected by said executive control committee, shall be an ex officio member thereof but he shall have no vote excepting in case of a tie, in which event he shall cast the deciding vote. Two members of said committee shall be selected by each of said three groups specified in Paragraph (f) of Section 1 hereof, by ballot, at such time and place and manner as each of said three groups respectively shall decide at public meetings to be held by each of said groups for the purpose of selecting said members of said committee. All persons whose names and addresses can be ascertained by the exercise of reasonable diligence shall be invited to attend and to participate in said meeting or meetings and they shall be given reasonable notice of the time and place thereof.

(b) It shall be the duty of said executive control committee to enforce the provisions of this code and, through agents or otherwise, to hear or adjust complaints, consider proposals for and exceptions to the provisions of this code and otherwise administer and enforce its provisions.

(c) The executive control committee shall arbitrate all controversies between members of this industry and/or any controversies between members and the industry at large, as provided herein. If any member or members of such committee is a party to controversy existing between two or more members, the impartial chairman shall temporarily appoint another member or members to the board, from the same group as those temporarily removed, to consider and determine such controversies.

(d) The executive control committee shall have full authority to investigate all controversies and may levy a fine against the offending member or members, as provided for herein, and may make



its order that the offending member pay to the association said fine, which shall not be in excess of fifteen times the weekly value of business lost by the complaining competitor or competitors. In the event that the offending member or members continue to violate this code after said order has been made, and they have received notice thereof, a separate fine may be levied against such member or members for each day's violation for any of the provisions of this code, but such fine is not to exceed \$100 for each such daily violation.

(e) All controversies shall be reported in writing in duplicate, one copy to be filed with the chairman of the executive control committee and one copy with the secretary of such committee.

(f) It shall be the duty of all persons subject to the provisions hereof to comply with each and every provision hereunder and to cooperate with all other persons in so doing, and it shall be the duty of every person operating hereunder to refuse to transact any business in the Cleaning and Dyeing Industry with any other person who violates any provision hereof.

#### ARTICLE 5.

##### *Production Provisions.*

(a) No limitations shall be placed upon any member which might restrain such member from installing new machinery and equipment, opening new offices or entering any phase of this industry.

#### ARTICLE 6.

##### *Cost, Sales, Prices and Discount Provisions.*

(a) It shall be an unfair method of competition for any person engaged in the Cleaning and Dyeing Industry to sell below the minimum price set forth in the schedule of prices attached hereto.

(b) On and after the effective date of this code, the minimum net cost to the customer for all cleaning, pressing, dyeing, repairing, and/or alteration services shall be not less than that set forth in said attached schedule entitled Minimum Price Lists for Cleaning, Pressing, Dyeing, Repairing, and/or Alteration Services, and all quotations and charges shall be made only on that basis. All advertising matter, whether by newspaper, radio, billboard, circular, dodger or any other means, shall use and quote prices not less than those given in the attached schedule and net prices arrived at after allowing any discount (where allowable) shall not be used, quoted, considered or printed in any manner whatsoever.

(c) No sales discounts, commissions, premiums, free work, secret rebates or any other special inducements of any kind shall be made, either directly or indirectly, excepting the following:

Hotels and apartments may be given a 10 per cent discount upon the gross amount of cleaning and dyeing work which they pay for, providing the work is picked up from one central point and delivered to the same place. An agency sign must be prominently displayed and the agency must be responsible to the industry member of the collection and full payment of such services.

#### ARTICLE 7.

##### *Provisions Respecting Unfair Practices.*

The following acts, whether done directly or indirectly, are specifically designated, made and agreed to as unfair trade practices:

(a) The giving of any sales discounts, commissions, secret rebates, cash checks, free work, trading stamps, premiums, tokens, credits or any other special inducement of any kind to any customer or employee of such customer or prospective customer.

(b) The giving of any week-end discount.

(c) Enticing away any employee of a competitor with the purpose and/or effect of hampering, injuring or embarrassing said competitor in his business.

(d) Any attempt to calculate or induce the breach of present or future existing contracts or orders between competitors and their customers by any means whatsoever, or interfering with or obstructing the performance of any such contractual duties or services by any such means, with the purpose or effect of unduly hampering, impeding, injuring or embarrassing competitors in their business.

(e) The defamation of competitors by falsely imputing to them dishonorable conduct, inability to perform contracts, questionable credit standing or by other false statements, insinuations or imputations or by the false disparagement of the grade or quality of their products or service with the purpose of misleading or deceiving a customer or by injuriously affecting the business of such competitor.

(f) Enticing away an outside or commission driver of a competitor with the purpose or effect of hampering, injuring or causing loss of business or financial loss to said competitor.

(g) To secure, attempt to secure or use in any way any list or lists of customers of any other member.

(h) The solicitation of business, either directly or indirectly, by any driver, route man, outside man, solicitor or agent, or by any other person, of or among or from any list of customers or any customer whomsoever of any prior employer of such person; provided, however, that this shall not be construed to prevent in any way any "commission drivers" who own their own vehicles and who own the good will list of customers whom they serve and who guarantee the payment of the bills or indebtedness of said customers, from soliciting said customers for himself or for any person to whom such "commission driver," as aforesaid, may transfer his business.

(i) Performing any service for any retail cleaning store, agency or outside route man in violation of any contractual relation existing between said retail cleaning store, agency or outside route man and a member.

(j) To use, participate in, publish or broadcast any inaccurate, untrue, deceptive or misleading statement, representation or illustration or to make unfair competitive claims such as to advertise one's dry cleaning or dyeing work as the best or the finest in an effort to sell such services.

(k) To pay a percentage or commission or to make a gift in any way to any organization, group or person not identified with the industry for its soliciting of cleaning or dyeing services.

(l) To give any lump estimates or bids to department stores, homes, hotels, clubs, theatres or others for quantities of work not based on a per capita basis.

## ARTICLE 8.

### *Statistics.*

In order to provide data necessary for the submittance of this code of fair competition and for its administration under the said above mentioned ordinance, the members of this industry agree to furnish all possible statistics and information as required by the executive control committee.

## ARTICLE 9.

### *General.*

(a) No provision in this code shall be interpreted or applied in such manner as to:

1. Promote monopolies.
2. Permit or encourage unfair competition.
3. Eliminate or oppress small enterprises.
4. Discriminate against small enterprises.
5. Prevent any retail cleaning store from doing business with any plant or changing the plant with which it is doing business.



(b) This code or any of its provisions may be canceled or modified to the extent necessary to conform to any action by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, or by action of any duly constituted representatives of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, or of said municipality, or to conform to any law of the State of California.

(c) Words, terms or phrases employed in this code or ordinance are to be construed according to the context on the approved usage of the English language in this community and technical words, terms and phrases as used in this code are to be construed according to the peculiar and appropriate meaning thereof, and of the definition thereof, in the cleaning and dyeing industry in the City and County of San Francisco.

(d) If any section, sub-section, sentence, clause, phrase or part of this ordinance or code is for any reason held to be invalid or unconstitutional or contrary to the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this act. The signatories of said code and the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby declare that they and it, respectively, would have signed and passed said code and said ordinance and each section, sub-section, sentence, clause and part thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, sub-sections, sentences, clauses, phrases or parts be declared invalid or unconstitutional.

(e) Amendments to this code may be adopted by an 80 per cent vote of the membership and shall be effective when approved by ordinance of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

(f) Violation by any member of any provision of this code or any approved rule issued thereunder or of agreed minimum prices is a violation of the code and is a misdemeanor and punishable as provided in Section 6 of the said Service Trade Act of 1935, known as Chapter 814 of the Statutes of California, 1935.

#### ARTICLE 10.

##### *Wholesale Prices.*

The wholesale and retail prices shall be as set forth in the schedule of prices attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes.

#### ARTICLE 11.

Each and all of the provisions hereof shall apply to and be equally binding upon all persons whomsoever who shall transact or attempt to transact, in whole or in part, within the boundaries of the City and County of San Francisco, any or part of any business herein defined, regulated or controlled, subject to the provisions hereof.

The fact that any such person shall have his principal place of business without the City and County of San Francisco, or shall have no place of business within the City and County of San Francisco, or shall be partly or principally engaged in any other business shall not exclude such person from the operation hereof.

#### ARTICLE 12.

##### *Definitions.*

Section a. *Cleaning and Dyeing Industry.* The term cleaning and dyeing industry as used herein is defined to include any and all cleaning and dyeing establishments.

Section b. *Cleaning and Dyeing Establishment.* The term cleaning and dyeing establishment as used herein is defined to include any place where any article of wearing apparel, household furnishings, or fabrics, furs and leather of any kind whatsoever, are dry-cleaned, spotted, wet-cleaned, processed and/or finished for hire, and/or such service is offered for sale. Nothing included herein shall prevent an individual from pursuing the vocation of manual labor.

Section c. *Cleaning Plant*. A cleaning plant consists of equipment for cleaning garments and/or household articles by immersion in volatile moisture-free solvents of petroleum distillate type, coal tar distillate type, or chlorinated hydro-carbon type.

Section d. *Retail Cleaning Plant*. A retail cleannig plant is one which sells at least 75 per cent of its services to the ultimate consumer through sales outlets directly controlled by it, whether such outlets be truck delivery routes, branch stores, or other kinds of outlets.

Section e. *Wholesale Cleaning Plant*. A wholesale cleaning plant is one which sells more than 75 per cent of its services to a retailer at a wholesale price for re-sale by the retailer to the ultimate consumer under the retailer's own name.

Section f. *Branch Store*. A branch store is a retail outlet owned and operated by a plant.

Section g. *Retail Cleaning Store*. A retail cleaning store is any retail tailor and press shop not owned by a plant, and which purchases cleaning, dyeing and hat renovating service from a plant and distributes it to the consumer under the retail cleaning store's own name.

Section h. *Route Salesman*. A route salesman is any person employed, either on a salary or commission basis or both, by a cleaning and/or dyeing and/or hat renovating establishment to call for, solicit and deliver their services and/or collect for same.

Section i. *Dry-cleaning*. Dry-cleaning is the process of cleaning garments or household articles by the immersion and agitation of these articles in volatile moisture-free solvents, such as those of the petroleum distillate type, the coal tar distillate type, or the chlorinated hydro-carbon type, and processes incidental thereto, such as spotting, wet-cleaning, finishing, etc.

Section j. *Spotting*. Spotting is the operation of removing spots or stains which may remain in fabrics after any of the above mentioned cleaning processes.

Section k. *Finishing*. Finishing is the operation of pressing and/or re-shaping any fabric, fur or leather, either by hand or by machine through the use of heat, steam, air, or other means, with the shape, dimensions and contour of the article restored as nearly as possible to the condition in which the article was received.

Section l. *Hat Renovating*. Hat renovating is the process of cleaning, dyeing, bleaching, re-felting, re-blocking, re-shaping, re-trimming and re-modeling hats or any type of head covering.

Section m. *Hat Renovating Establishment*. A hat renovating establishment is one which practices the art of hat renovating, which sells either to wholesale or retail trade, and which is equipped to produce a standard job of hat renovating as defined by the hat renovator's division as set up in this code of fair practice.

Section n. *Retail Hat Renovating Establishment*. A retail hat renovating establishment is one which sells at least 75 per cent of its services to the ultimate consumer through sales outlets directly controlled by it, whether such outlets be truck delivery routes, branch stores or other kind of outlets.

Section o. *Wholesale Hat Renovating Establishment*. A wholesale hat renovating establishment is one which sells more than 75 per cent of its services to a retailer at a wholesale price for re-sale by the retailer to the ultimate consumer under the retailer's own name.

Section p. *Dyeing*. Dyeing is the process of coloring garments, household articles and other textiles by the immersion in, spraying on, or brushing in, either by hand or by machinery, in or with a dye liquor.

Section q. *Dyeing Plant*. A dyeing plant consists of machinery and equipment necessary to carry on the process of bleaching, and/or dyeing garments, household articles and other textiles.



Section r. *Retail Store.* Retail stores are defined as being any shop or agency which finishes by pressing and/or reshaping any fabric, either by hand or by machine, that has been dry cleaned; provided, however, that no retail store which is not wholly owned, operated and controlled by a wholesale or retail cleaning plant shall be considered or treated, in any manner, as an agency or branch store of such wholesale or retail cleaning plant, provided, however, that a retail clothing store or retail clothing department of a department store, wherein or in connection therewith there is performed pressing and/or alteration of clothing in connection with the sale thereof, shall not be deemed to be a "retail store" as defined herein.

Section s. *Agencies.* An agency is defined as any retail store, branch store, hotel, apartment house, club or other retail outlets which receives cleaning, pressing and/or dyeing work for customers, except dyeing and/or cleaning and/or retail cleaning stores as defined in Section b and Section g of Article 12.

Section t. *Routemen.* Routemen are defined as any person, partnership or corporation engaged in picking up and/or delivering cleaning, pressing and/or dyeing work.

Section u. *Inside Routemen.* Inside routemen are routemen employed by cleaners and/or dyers and/or retail cleaning store operators where the employer owns the good will (list of customers) and pays or does not pay the employee a guaranteed minimum wage with or without commission upon cash collections.

Section v. *Outside Routemen.* Outside routemen are defined as routemen who own their own good will (list of customers) and contract with a cleaner and/or dyer and/or retail cleaning store operator for the cleaning, pressing and/or dyeing of garments and/or household articles.

Section w. *Monthly Volume of Business.* Monthly volume of business is defined to mean the average total monthly volume of cleaning and/or pressing and/or dyeing business handled during any six months' period immediately preceding the date upon which dues are payable.

Section x. *Unduly Long Hours.* It shall be considered to be "unduly long hours" for any place of business whatsoever hereunder to remain open for receiving and/or cleaning and/or dyeing and/or distributing to the public and/or to the industry any plant, branch store, office agency, retail store, retail cleaning store or retail cleaning establishment, or to operate any switchboard or telephone service therein and to operate any delivery vehicle between the hours of 6:30 p. m. and 7:30 a. m., or at any time whatsoever on any Sunday, Christmas Day, New Year's Day, Thanksgiving Day, Independence Day or Labor Day, and the doing of any such act shall be a violation of this code.

#### ARTICLE 13.

Any person, firm or corporation violating any provision or provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine not exceeding \$500 or by imprisonment in the City and County Jail for a period not exceeding six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Each and every day's continuance of such violation shall constitute a separate offense.

#### ARTICLE 14.

This ordinance is enacted under and by virtue of Chapter 814 of the Statutes of 1935 of the State of California, and all applicable provisions of said act are incorporated herein.

Passed:....., 1937.

Effected:....., 1937.

.....  
Mayor.

Attest: .....

City and County Clerk.

## SCHEDULE OF PRICES

## MINIMUM PRICE LISTS

*Men's Wear*

	WHOLESALE		RETAIL	
	<i>Clean Only</i>	<i>Clean and Pressed</i>	<i>Cleaned, Pressed and Finished</i>	<i>Renewed</i>
Suits .....	\$0.30	\$0.60	\$1.00	
Suits (pongee and linen) .....	.40	.75	1.50	
Tuxedo (2 or 3 pcs.) .....	.35	.65	1.25	
Full Dress .....	.35	.65	1.50	
Suits (spot and press) .....	...	.35	.50	
Tuxedo (spot and press) .....	...	.50	.75	
Full Dress (spot and press) .....	...	.60	1.00	
Single Coat .....	.15	.30	.50	
Pants .....	.15	.30	.50	
Corduroy Pants .....	.20	.35	.65	
Vest (plain) .....	.05	.10	.25	
Vest (fancy) .....	.10	.25	.50	
Pants (white) .....	.20	.35	.65	
Overcoats .....	.30	.60	1.00	
Sweaters .....	.15	.25	.50	
Sweaters (sleeveless) .....	.15	.25	.40	
Shirts (wool) .....	.15	.25	.35	
Shirts (silk) .....	.15	.35	.50	
Leather Jackets .....	.25	.40	.75	\$1.50
Bathrobes (silk, wool) .....	.30	.60	1.00	
Ties .....	...	.05	.10	
Gloves .....	...	.10	.25	
Pea Coats .....	.25	.50	.85	
Baseball Suits (3 pcs.) .....	.35	.70	1.00	
Raincoats (rubber) .....	.50	.75	1.25	
Sheepskin Overcoats .....	.50	...	1.00	
Drill Team Uniforms (3 pcs.) ..	.45	.90	1.75	
Drill Team Uniforms (4 pcs.) ..	.55	1.00	2.00	
Coat and Pants .....	.30	.60	1.00	

*Ladies' Wear*

	WHOLESALE			RETAIL	
	<i>Clean Only</i>	<i>Clean, Press and Finish Plain</i>	<i>Clean, Press and Finish Pleated</i>	<i>Clean, Press and Finish Plain</i>	<i>Clean, Press and Finish Pleated</i>
Dresses (silk, 1 or 2-pc.) ..	\$0.30	\$0.60	\$0.70	\$1.00	\$1.25 up
Dresses (silk, 3 pcs.) ....	.40	.70	.75	1.50	1.75 "
Dresses (ensemble) .....	...	...	...	....	....
Dresses (wool, 1 or 2-pc.) .	.30	.60	...	1.00	....
Dresses (velvet) .....	.35	.75	...	1.50	....
Dresses, press only .....	...	.50	.75	.75	1.00
Suits (2-pc.) .....	.30	.60	...	1.00	....
Coats (plain) .....	.30	.60	...	1.00	....
Coats (fur trimmed) .....	.30	.65	...	1.25 up	....
Skirts (silk) .....	.15	.30	.35	.50	.75
Skirts (wool) .....	.15	.30	.35	.50	.75
Jackets (silk or wool) ....	.15	.30	.35	.50	.75 up
Waists .....	.15	.30	.35	.50	.75 "
Sweaters (sleeveless) ...	.15	.25	...	.40	...
Sweaters (sleeves) .....	.15	.25	...	.50	...
Bathrobes (silk or wool) .	.30	.60	...	1.00	...
Leather Jackets .....	.25	.40	...	.75 (renew)	1.50



Scarfs .....	.10	.15	...	.25	...
Corsets .....	.20	.35	...	.75	...
Gloves (short or gaunt.) .....	...	.10	...	.15	...
Gloves (16 buttons) .....	...	.15	...	.25	...
Gloves (20 buttons) .....	...	.20	...	.35	...
White Skirts .....	.20	.35	.45	.50	.75
Drill Team Uniforms (3 pcs.) .....	.45	.90	...	1.75	...
Drill Team Uniforms (4 pcs.) .....	.55	1.00	...	2.00	...
Purses .....	.15	.25	...	.50	...
Nightgowns .....	.30	.60	...	1.00	...
Pajamas .....	.30	.60	...	1.00	...
Kimonos .....	.30	.60	...	1.00	...
Slippers .....	...	.25	...	.50	...

*Boys' and Girls' Wear*

	WHOLESALE		RETAIL	
	<i>Clean Only</i>	<i>Clean and Pressed</i>	<i>Clean and Pressed Plain</i>	<i>Clean and Pressed Pleated</i>
Raincoats .....	\$0.25	\$0.40	\$0.75	.....
Suits .....	.20	.40	.75	...
Sweaters .....	.10	.20	.40	...
Sweaters (sleeveless) .....	.10	.15	.40	...
Pants .....	.10	.20	.40	...
Corduroy Pants .....	.15	.30	.50	...
Coats .....	.10	.20	.40	...
Dresses (wool or silk) .....	.20	.40	.75	\$0.85 up
Overcoats .....	.15	.30	.75	...
Skirts (wool or silk) .....	.10	.20 up	.40	.50 up

*Babies' Wear*

Suits .....	.15	.25	.50	...
Coats .....	.10	.20	.50	...
Pants .....	.05	.10	.25	...
Sweaters .....	.10	.15	.35	...
Dresses .....	.15	.30 up	.50	.60 up
Bonnets .....	.10	.20	.35	.50 "
Skirts .....	.10	.20	.35	...
Blankets .....	.15	.25	.50	...
Capes .....	.10	.15	.50	...

*Fur Work*

Coats .....	1.50	2.50	5.00 up	...
Jackets (medium) .....	.75	1.25	3.00 "	...
Fox Collars .....	...	.50	1.00 "	...

*Household Lists*

Curtains (cotton) .....	...	.35	.75	...
Curtains (silk) .....	.25	.50	1.00	...
Drapes, single, unlined .....	.25	.50	1.25	...
Drapes, double, unlined .....	.40	.65	1.75	...
Drapes, double, lined .....	.50	.75	2.00	...
Drapes, single, lined .....	.35	.60	1.50	...
Drapes, velvet, velour, sing..	.50	1.00	2.50	...
Drapes, velvet, velour, double	.85	1.50	4.00 up	...
Blankets (single) .....	...	.35	.75	...
Blankets (double) .....	...	.50	1.00	...
Pillows .....	...	.50	1.00	...

*Dye Work List*

	WHOLESALE		RETAIL	
	<i>Dye Only</i>	<i>Dye and Pressed</i>	<i>Dye and Pressed</i>	<i>Dye and Pressed Pleated</i>
Suits .....	\$1.75	\$2.75	\$4.50	....
Overcoats .....	1.75	2.75	4.50	....
Ladies' Coats .....	1.75	2.75	4.50	....
Coats .....	1.00	1.50	2.25	....
Pants .....	1.00	1.50	2.25	....
Sweaters .....	.75	1.25	1.75	....
Dresses .....	1.75	2.75	4.50	\$5.00 up
Skirts .....	1.00	1.50	2.25	2.50 "
Jackets .....	1.00	1.50	2.25	....
Waists .....	.75	1.25	2.00	2.50
Suits, Dresses or Coats pressed only .....	...	2.00	3.50	....

**NOTE:**

All sample dye will cost wholesale 25c extra and retail 50c extra.

Retail cost to remove and replace fur collar will be \$1.00.

Retail cost to remove and replace fur collar and cuffs will be \$1.50.

**PRICE LISTS FOR ALTERATIONS AND REPAIRS OF CLOTHES***Men's Coats*

Shorten .....	\$1.50
Lengthen .....	2.00
Full relining, labor only .....	4.00
Relining Skeleton back .....	4.00
Sleeve lining, labor only .....	1.50 up
Sleeves shortened .....	.75
Sleeves shortened, open vent .....	1.00
Sleeves lengthened .....	.75
Sleeve repairing, fixing around edges .....	1.00
Collar shortened and take in shoulders .....	3.50
Collar lower and take in shoulders .....	3.50
Side seam, take in with sleeve partly out .....	3.50
Side seam, take in with sleeve fully out .....	5.00
Armhole larger .....	2.50
Shields under arms .....	.75
Buttonholes, hand made .....	.35 up
Buttons, set .....	.75
New Pocket .....	.75
New Pocket with money pocket .....	1.00

*Pants.*

Shorten .....	\$0.50
Set of Suspender Buttons .....	.50
Loops for Belt .....	.75
Binding Side Pockets .....	.75
New Crotch .....	1.50
Reinforce Knees .....	1.00
Shorten with French Cuffs .....	.75
Take in Waist .....	.75
Half Pocket .....	.35
Whole Pocket .....	.75



*Vests.*

Smaller at sides .....	\$0.75
Larger at sides .....	1.00
New Back Lining .....	1.50
New Lining (complete, including material).....	3.00
Buttons, each .....	.05
Buttonholes, each .....	.25
Lengthen .....	1.50
Pockets, each .....	.50

*Ladies' Coats.*

Shorten .....	\$1.50
Shorten (open all lining) .....	2.00
Lengthen .....	2.00
Reline (labor only) .....	4.00 up
Shields .....	1.00
Shorten sleeves .....	.75
Shorten sleeves with cuffs at bottom.....	1.25
Lengthen sleeves with cuffs at bottom.....	1.25
Lengthen sleeves .....	1.00
New Pockets .....	.75 up

*Dresses.*

Shorten .....	\$1.00 up
Lengthen .....	1.50
Lengthen, flair bottom .....	1.75
Shorten, flair bottom .....	1.75

## HAT PRICE LIST.

*Men's.*

	<i>Retail</i>	<i>Wholesale</i>
Felts, cleaned and blocked.....	\$ .75	\$ .40
Felts, cleaned only .....		.10
Felts, blocked only .....	.50	.30
Felts, turned (inside out) .....	1.00	.65
Felts, cut brim .....	.25	.15
Felts, shrink (remove leather) .....	.25	.15
Derby, clean and block .....	1.00	.65
Cowboy Hats, clean and block .....	1.50	1.00
Panamas, bleach and block .....	1.50	.75
Panamas, new bodies bleached and block.....	1.75	1.00
Straws, cleaned and blocked .....	1.00	.60
Caps, Soft .....	.35	.20
Caps, clean only .....	.35	.10
Caps, uniform .....	.50	.30
Caps, children .....	.25	.10

*Ladies'.*

	<i>Retail</i>	<i>Wholesale</i>
Wool and felt, clean and block.....	\$ .75	\$ .40
Cloth, wide brim, clean and block .....	.75	.40
Cloth, narrow brim, clean and block .....	.50	.30
All types, clean only .....		.10
Panamas, bleach and block .....	1.50	.75
Straws, bleach and block .....	1.00	.60

## TRIMMINGS.

*Men's and Ladies'.*

Ribbon .....	\$ .50	\$ .40
Sweat Band .....	.50	.40
Binding .....	.75	.50
Lining .....	.50	.40
Sweat Shield .....	.50	.40
Welt .....	.75	.50

## DYE WORK LIST.

*Household List.*

Domestic Rugs, 9x12 .....	\$ 9.00
Oriental Rugs, 9x12 .....	12.00
Runners, 27x36 per carpet yard .....	.75
Minimum charge, first yard .....	1.50
Bed Spreads .....	1.50 up
Table Cloths .....	1.50 "
Table Cloth and Napkins .....	2.50 "
Napkins, per dozen .....	1.50 "
Plain Drapes, ½ width .....	1.50 "
Plain Drapes, full width .....	2.25 "
Damask Drapes, 5 to 8 feet long .....	
Damask Drapes, ½ width, pair .....	2.00 "
Damask Drapes, full width .....	2.75 "
Velour Drapes, ½ width .....	2.00 "
Velour Drapes, full width .....	2.75 "
Velour Drapes, double-faced, ½ width .....	3.00 "
Velour Drapes, double-faced, full width .....	4.00 "

*Boys' List.*

O'coat .....	\$ 1.25
Suits (2 or 3-piece) .....	1.25
Pants .....	.75
Jackets .....	.75
Sweaters .....	.50
Sweater Sets .....	1.00

*Girls' List.*

Coats .....	\$ 1.25
Suits .....	1.25
Dresses .....	1.25
Skirts .....	.75
Blouses .....	.75
Hats .....	.50

*Babies' List.*

Babies' Coats (silk or wool) .....	\$ 1.00
Babies' Coats and Caps .....	1.25
Babies' Dresses .....	.75
Babies' Sweater Sets .....	1.25
Babies' Bonnets .....	.50
Babies' Buggy Robes .....	1.00
Babies' Silk Comforts .....	.75 up



### Privilege of the Floor.

Francis V. Meeker, representing the Housewives' League, was heard in opposition to the bill, until all interested parties had a hearing on it.

Walter McGovern, attorney for Dyers & Cleaners, was also heard as to the legal aspects of the bill.

Laurence J. Kruger, attorney for San Francisco Retail Fur Merchants Association, objected to the inclusion of retail fur stores in bill and asked for its amendment excluding them. M. Merryman, representing Retail Merchants, was heard in opposition.

### Motion.

Supervisor Reilly moved that it be the sense of the Board in the event of the passage of the Dyers and Cleaners Code that at a later meeting the Board of Supervisors would pass the amendment eliminating the fur trade from the code.

*Motion carried.*

### Finally Passed.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill was *finally* passed by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy—2.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Motion to Reconsider.

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced, Supervisor McSheehy changed his vote from *No* to *Aye* and moved for reconsideration at next meeting.

### Explanation of Vote.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I would like to explain my vote. The longer the discussion has continued the more certain I am that this ordinance should not be passed. In the first place, I don't know whether to be flattered or not, but no one has seen fit to answer the very fundamental objection I have raised to the passage of this ordinance. I have stated here as a member of this Board that this ordinance will definitely not accomplish either of the two results claimed for it; that it will not increase the volume of business, and will not tend towards the payment of higher wages; and further, I made the statement that judged from past history of the nation, that any kind of legislation of this sort has the reverse effect, of tending to reduce volume, and therefore reducing wages; and I pointed to the fact that the history of every industry shows conclusively that it is only through superior management, and attention to detail, devising ways and means of making the prices more reasonable, that makes for greater volume, and that makes for higher wages, and for greater receipts and greater profits—

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Colman, couldn't you state that your reason for voting no is as per the speech you originally made? You are only repeating what you stated in the early stages of this discussion.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I think I have that right.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, you have that right, but it is a repetition.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: That is true, but I think the repetition is so important—

THE PRESIDENT: Proceed, Supervisor Colman.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Sometimes by repetition more attention is given to something that I feel very keenly is correct. I feel it is proved by every possible reference. I am familiar with conditions

existing in the City of Seattle where a similar condition exists, and I am familiar with the laundry interests in that city, and I have found out where the prices have been raised there, in accordance with the desire of the Mayor, and others there, and I don't want to involve, nor will I, any of the merchants up there in these particular lines, but the result has been told to me on unquestioned authority that the housewives or the sender keeps out enough articles from his laundry to more than offset the general receipts of the laundry, and that by raising the price it hasn't increased receipts, but has rather diminished receipts; and should that condition continue to exist it will nullify the very object for which it was passed. Furthermore, the other policy that is followed—and I am not impugning anybody's motives—the other principle is altogether wrong, that because the prices are low in an establishment it necessarily means that wages are low, when as a matter of fact the reverse is the case. Take the four leading motor cars, the Chevrolet, the Plymouth, and the others, who are trying all the time to produce the cheapest article in price in accordance with good value, and are continually reducing the price with the result of the increased amount of business, increases in wages, increases in sales; and that is true with other commodities, it is true with cigars, true with cigarettes—it is true with the barbers that I have discussed the matter with. I have given the matter a good deal of thought in the last two weeks, and the barbers with whom I have talked tell me that after the hair cut was reduced to 50 cents their business increased because formerly their customers waited a week, ten days, or two weeks before getting a haircut; but now, because of the 15 cent cut, they are getting their haircuts back—

SUPERVISOR UHL: Would you mind an interruption right there?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: No, I don't want to be interrupted, I am making the statement right now.

Now, Mr. President, I am interested in this problem, and I am interested in the good of San Francisco as much as anyone, although I am not talking about it so much. My record as an employer along those lines speaks for itself very clearly, and in every way mine has been one of action and not much of conversation on the matter.

I am sure we are making a serious mistake, and I urge the Board to think about it very carefully. You can not violate every law of economics; it is against the history of every industry in the United States, and it won't work. There must be something—there are a certain number of clothes to be pressed, suits to be cleaned, and you ought to go about increasing the business, and not decreasing it. You ought to get more customers to give you more clothes, and you could pay better wages, and earn more money. I am forced to vote "no" on the ordinance.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I would like to ask the Supervisor a question, through the Chair.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Colman, will you yield to a question from Supervisor Uhl?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Well, not a speech.

SUPERVISOR UHL: You made the statement that the barbers were glad when the haircut was reduced from 65 to 50 cents, that they could go back. Now would they have known that if they had not tried it?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I am pointing out to you that if you want to do something that everyone else concerned—that all experience shows is wrong, that is all right. I am pointing out that the past experience, the whole history of America proves that this theory is wrong.

SUPERVISOR UHL: No, the same situation exists where the Railroad Commission fixes the prices for hauling, and that is the price that is paid.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: May I particularly address myself to Supervisor Uhl—



THE PRESIDENT: So the Chair will be straight, is this an explanation of your vote?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I have a privilege of the floor.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, you had it.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I am going to explain my vote, and address myself to Supervisor Uhl particularly.

THE PRESIDENT: That is the question I wished to ask. If it is an explanation of your vote, my hands are tied, but as far as argument, those who desired the floor have exceeded their time under Rule 17.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I have the desire, and only one desire, and that is the desire for a postponement at this time. I am in accordance with the resolution, but I am not in accordance with it as written. Supervisor Uhl is not in accordance with it as written, and so stated a minute ago. Now if Supervisor Uhl should take it upon himself to move reconsideration I have an idea that he will get six votes, and in getting six votes he can correct the condition that he spoke about a minute ago. I think Supervisor Uhl should be consistent. I don't think that he should attempt in one breath to make one statement, and in another to vote another way. I am calling his attention to the fact that we all make mistakes, but he feels I think that a certain amendment should be added, particularly the amendment as suggested by Attorney Kaufman, representing the Furriers.

SUPERVISOR UHL: That is a different matter, that is coming up separately.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: That is not another matter, and you can't tell the attorney, and you can't tell the Furriers, or anyone else, what this Board will do tomorrow. You might think you can, but you can not.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I never attempted to.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Now, members, if I am forced to vote "no," I will vote "no," and in explanation I want to say that I am voting "no," because labor is not represented in this ordinance. A minimum rate of wages should be fixed. Therefore, I am going to vote "no," and for no other reason. I have my rights, as a member of this Board, and I don't want to be misunderstood. I am forced to vote "no." I wish a postponement could be had, but if a postponement will not take place I am forced to vote "no." We are passing an ordinance that it has taken the cleaners and dyers something like two years to draw up, being represented by one of the cleverest attorneys in San Francisco, and the delay of one week will not mean anything. However, because labor is not represented, and is not present, I intend to vote "no" for those reasons, and I want the record to so show.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Reilly.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: I am going to vote in favor of the ordinance because labor is requesting the ordinance, and because labor is satisfied with the ordinance, and the ordinance has been read by the labor representatives in accordance with the letter read by Senator McGovern. If labor were not in favor of this ordinance, and were not asking its passage my attitude would probably be different, because no one is more in favor of the workingman than I am.

One more point in explaining my vote. Supervisor Colman very wisely spoke about another section of the country entirely. I want to say that one of the main reasons I offered this ordinance is to keep out the racketeer who in the East preys upon the various industries and legitimate business men, forcing them to pay tribute, and this Board is not going to permit that to be done in San Francisco. If something should come up, and we feel the ordinance should be changed, we will do it; but this amendment will do much to keep out the racketeer as we have seen it in the East. You were told where you could go in business, and how much tribute you had to pay. I think this ordinance will prevent that; and for that reason, and

because labor is for it, and because labor has expressed themselves in favor of it, I am going to vote for the ordinance.

### SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

The following matter, called out of Streets and Finance Committee by Supervisor Schmidt, was taken up:

#### Providing for 25c Toll on S. F.-Oakland Bay Bridge.

(Code No. —)

Resolution No. 3018, as follows:

Whereas, it is only fair and equitable that posterity bear some part of the financial burden of the cost of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, and, in pursuance of that thought, the San Francisco Chronicle has proposed a refinancing plan whereby the present tolls may be reduced from sixty-five cents to twenty-five cents on each passenger automobile; and

Whereas, the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge is only another great unit connecting up the State system of highways it is proposed that it be financed out of gas tax funds in the same manner as those other great highway projects of the State of California, the Redwood Highway and the Feather River Canyon Highway, by an allocation of gas tax funds for the amortization of the bonds; and

Whereas, it is deemed practical that this may be done on the basis proposed, to-wit: that the annual \$2,000,000 bond amortization charges be guaranteed from gas tax funds and that the maintenance charges be met, as now, from gas tax supplied highway maintenance funds, and that the \$2,000,000 annual interest charges on the bonds be met from the proposed twenty-five cent toll certain to be sufficient because of the increased traffic anticipated; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco goes on record as heartily in favor of the plan proposed by the San Francisco Chronicle and urges that the State Highway Commission proceed at once to put it into effect.

#### Motion.

Supervisor Colman moved that the Toll Bridge Authority be requested to send representatives here to discuss the question; also that some authority be here to tell us the condition of the Gas Tax funds.

*So ordered.*

#### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the foregoing matter was *laid over for hearing until March 22, 1937, and made a Special Order of Business for 2:30 p. m.*

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading were taken up:

**Authorizing a Supplemental Appropriation of \$3,030 for the Purchase of Property in Laguna Honda Boulevard, Between Balceta and Vasquez, Necessary for Street Purposes.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1246, Ordinance No. 9.051354, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of Three Thousand and Thirty Dollars (\$3,030) from the unappropriated surplus in the One Cent Gas Tax Fund (County Roads) for the fiscal year 1936-1937, to the credit of Appropriation No. 648.991.00 for the purchase of property



in Laguna Honda boulevard between Balceta and Vasquez necessary for street purposes.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the unappropriated surplus in the One Cent Gas Tax Fund (County Roads) for the fiscal year 1936-1937 the sum of Three Thousand and Thirty Dollars (\$3,030), to the credit of Appropriation No. 648.991.00 for the purchase of property in Laguna Honda boulevard, between Balceta and Vasquez, necessary for street purposes.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Amending Ordinance No. 9.05380 by Adding Item 1½ to Section 26 Thereof, Providing for Bookkeeper, Juvenile Court, Probation Office.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1248, Ordinance No. 9.05397, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 9.05380 by adding Item 1½ to Section 26 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 26 of Ordinance 9.05380 is hereby amended to read as follows:

#### Section 26. JUVENILE COURT—PROBATION OFFICE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	\$ 225
1½	1	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	175
2	1	B254	Interpreter Clerk . . . . .	210
3	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	210
4	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	185
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	160
6	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	150
7	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	175
7½	1	T54	Collector for the Juvenile Court . . . . .	180
8	1	T56	Probation Officer . . . . .	225
9	8	T56	Probation Officer . . . . .	210
10	2	T56	Probation Officer . . . . .	195
11	3	T56	Probation Officer . . . . .	180
12	1	T60	Senior Probation Officer . . . . .	240
13	1	T60	Senior Probation Officer . . . . .	235
14	1	T60	Senior Probation Officer . . . . .	225
15	1	T64	Referee (part-time) . . . . .	250
16	1	T72	Chief Juvenile Probation Officer . . . . .	380
17	1	B420	Phonographic Reporter (as needed), \$12.50 per day plus transcriptions.	

Funds for this position have been appropriated by the Board of Supervisors in Appropriation Ordinance No. 9.051309. The position is now added to the permanent organization because proper lists of eligibles are available.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing a Supplemental Appropriation of \$14,640 for the Construction of a Pavement in Triangular Portion of Block on South Side of Marina Boulevard, Between Baker and Lyon Streets.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1249, Ordinance No. 9.051356, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of Fourteen Thousand Six Hundred and Forty (\$14,640) Dollars out of unappropriated surplus in the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund for the fiscal year 1936-1937, to the credit of Appropriation No. 677.909.00, for the construction of a pavement in triangular portion of block on south side of Marina boulevard between Baker and Lyon streets; said improvement being necessary for approach to Golden Gate Bridge.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of Fourteen Thousand Six Hundred Forty (\$14,640) Dollars is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the unappropriated surplus in the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund for the fiscal year 1936-1937 to the credit of Appropriation No. 677.909.00, for the construction of a pavement in triangular portion of block on the south side of Marina boulevard between Baker and Lyon streets; said improvement being necessary for approach to Golden Gate Bridge.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Ordering the Improvement of Williams Avenue, Between Third and Newhall Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1251, Ordinance No. 12.061171, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, February 2, 1937, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Williams avenue, between Third street and



Newhall street (west line produced), including the intervening intersections and including the conform work in the intersection of Williams avenue and Third street, by the construction or reconstruction of the following items:

- | Item No. | Item  |
|----------|---|
| 1.       | Grading (excavation).   |
| 2.       | Grading (embankment).   |
| 3.       | 12-inch V. C. P. sewer, in place.   |
| 4.       | 6-inch V. C. P. side sewer, in place.   |
| 5.       | 8-inch V. C. P. side sewer, in place.   |
| 6.       | 12-inch x 6-inch Y branches, in place.  |
| 7.       | 12-inch x 8-inch Y branches, in place.  |
| 8.       | Brick manholes, complete.   |
| 9.       | Brick catch-basins, reset or reconstructed.   |
| 10.      | Brick catch-basins, complete.   |
| 11.      | 10-inch V. C. P. culvert, in place.   |
| 12.      | Two-course concrete sidewalk.   |
| 13.      | Armored concrete curb.  |
| 14.      | Unarmored concrete taper curb.  |
| 15.      | Black base pavement, consisting of a 4-inch asphaltic concrete base course and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface. |
| 16.      | Asphaltic concrete wearing surface, removed and replaced.   |
| 17.      | Asphaltic conform pavement.   |
| 18.      | Asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.  |
| 19.      | 4-inch painted white lacquer traffic lines.   |
| 20.      | Street sign reset.  |
| 21.      | 9-unit warning reflectors, in place.  |
| 22.      | Standard wood fence.  |

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered respectively as:

- Lots 12, 13 and 14 of Block 5406;
- Lot 2 of Block 5407;
- Lots 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19 and 20 of Block 5408;
- Lots 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18 and 19 of Block 5409;
- Lots 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18 and 19 of Block 5410;
- Lots 18, 19 and 20 of Block 5411;
- Lot 1 of Block 5412;
- Lot 13-A of Block 5414;
- Lots 1, 2 and 3 of Block 5415;
- Lots 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23 and 24 of Block 5417;
- Lots 1, 3 and 3-A of Block 5423-A;

all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Eldridge Street.

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1252, Ordinance No. 12.073197, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and eighty-nine (1189).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office February 9, 1937, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and eighty-nine (1189), to read as follows:

Section 1189. The width of sidewalks on Eldridge street, the northwesterly side of, between its northeasterly and southwesterly terminations, shall be 5 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Eldridge street, the southeasterly side of, between its northeasterly and southwesterly terminations shall be abolished.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### **Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Boyd Street.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1253, Ordinance No. 12.073198, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and eighty-eight (1188).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office February 9, 1937, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and eighty-eight (1188) to read as follows:

Section 1188. The width of sidewalks on Boyd street, the northwesterly side of, between its northeasterly and southwesterly terminations, shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Boyd street, the southeasterly side of, between its northeasterly and southwesterly terminations, shall be 3 feet 6 inches.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### **Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Homer Street.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1254, Ordinance No. 12.073199, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and eighty-seven (1187).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office February 9, 1937, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and eighty-seven (1187), to read as follows:

Section 1187. The width of sidewalks on Homer street, the southeasterly side of, between Chesley street and its southwesterly termination, shall be abolished.



The width of sidewalks on Homer street, the northwesterly side of, between Chesley street and its southwesterly termination, shall be 3 feet 6 inches.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were taken up:

#### Appropriation of \$30,722 for Blind Pensions.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1265, Ordinance No. 9.051358, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$30,722 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund by reason of additional aid from the State and Federal Governments for the needy blind, to the credit of Appropriation 656.804.03 for the purpose of paying blind pensions for the fiscal year 1936-1937.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$30,722 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the General Fund by reason of additional aid from the State and Federal Governments for the needy blind to the credit of Appropriation 656.804.03 for the purpose of paying blind pensions for the fiscal year 1936-37 as the funds heretofore provided for this purpose are inadequate.

Approved as to form by Dion R. Holm, Assistant City Attorney.

Recommended by Director, County Welfare Dept.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Appropriation of \$2,026.72 for Payment of Tax Judgments.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1264, Ordinance No. 9.051359, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$2,026.72 out of the surplus existing in the Tax Judgment Fund to the credit of appropriation No. 660.705.00 for the purpose of paying tax judgments.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,026.72 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the Tax Judgment Fund to the credit of appropriation No. 660.705.00 for the purpose of paying the following tax judgments:

Giacomo Rattaro .....	\$	78.04	
Peter Unrath .....		235.31	
Franciscan Fathers of California .....		305.87	
Lillian B. Metzger .....		1,311.79	
Montgomery Block Real Estate Assn. ....		95.71	\$2,026.72

Approved as to form by Dion R. Holm, Assistant City Attorney.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

### Islais Creek Reclamation Warrants.

(Code No. 12.04)

Resolution No. 3127, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District: No. 737 to Director of Public Works for \$334.05, and No. 738 to Fred J. Morris-Brougham F. Morris for \$129.87, payable out of the funds of said District, be and the same are hereby approved; and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Authorizing Purchase of Land for Widening San Jose Avenue.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also Resolution No. 3128, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Margaret Budde, et al., a portion of Lots 25 and 26, Assessor's Block 7146, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for widening San Jose avenue, for the sum of \$9,000, payable from Appropriation No. 951.904.57A, Project No. 3. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

The above sum includes damages to the improvements now located on the property, which improvements are to be relocated by the grantors within 30 days after recording the deed to the City. Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property, from the above named party, for a particular description of said land.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

### Appropriation of \$2,902.82 for Reconstruction of Steuart Street, Between Mission Street and The Embarcadero.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1266, Ordinance No. 9.051360, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$2,902.82 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation 77.018.01 to the credit of Appropria-



tion 77.018.01 for the purpose of paying for extra work in excess of 10 per cent of the certified estimated contract price in connection with the reconstruction of Steuart street between Mission street and The Embarcadero.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,902.82 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 77.018.01 to the credit of Appropriation 77.018.01 for the purpose of paying for extra work in excess of 10 per cent of the certified estimated contract price in connection with the reconstruction of Steuart street between Mission street and The Embarcadero.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Appropriation of \$1,760.28 for Reconstruction of Seventh Avenue,  
Between Lincoln Way and Lawton Street.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1267, Ordinance No. 9.051361, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$1,760.28 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 77.028.01 to the credit of Appropriation No. 77.028.01 for the purpose of paying for extra work in excess of 10 per cent of the certified estimated contract price in connection with the reconstruction of Seventh avenue between Lincoln way and Lawton street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,760.28 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 77.028.01 to the credit of Appropriation No. 77.028.01 for the purpose of paying for extra work in excess of 10 per cent of the certified estimated contract price in connection with the reconstruction of Seventh avenue between Lincoln way and Lawton street.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Final Passage.**

The following emergency ordinance, recommended by the Finance Committee, was taken up:

**Appropriation of \$1,500 for Paying Temporary Salaries of Copy-  
ists in the Recorder's Office; an Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1268, Ordinance No. 9.051362, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$1,500 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 603.102.00

for the purpose of paying temporary salaries of copyists in the Recorder's Office; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,500 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation 603.102.00 for the purpose of paying temporary salaries of copyists in the Recorder's Office.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as the department is without funds to employ the copyists necessary for the service required by law.

Approved as to form by Dion R. Holm, Assistant City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Recommended by the Recorder.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

#### **Amending Ordinance Specifying Various Officers and Employees of the City and County Who Shall Be Bonded and Providing for Bonding of Additional Employees.**

(Code No. 4.04)

Bill No. 1269, Ordinance No. 4.043, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 4.042 by adding new section to be numbered 66, vesting authority in the Purchaser of Supplies to secure bonds for additional employees.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A new section to be numbered 66 is hereby added to Ordinance No. 4.042 to read as follows:

Section 66. Whenever the number of employees of any classification in any department of the City and County Government is duly increased beyond the number provided for in this Ordinance to be bonded, the Purchaser of Supplies is hereby vested with power and authority and is directed to secure bonds for said additional employees in the same amount as is provided for said classification of employees in this Ordinance.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### **Authorizing Exchange of Lands With Catherine Dunne Company.**

(Code No. 12.1749)

Also, Bill No. 1270, Ordinance No. 12.17491, as follows:

Authorizing exchange of lands with Catherine Dunne Company.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, the Director of Property, in lieu of sale, is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for trading certain City-



owned lands hereinafter referred to as Parcels 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7, to Catherine Dunne Company in exchange for Parcel "A" hereinafter described.

Section 2. Said Parcels 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 are those certain tracts of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described in Bill No. 1217, Ordinance No. 12.17297, approved by the Mayor of San Francisco on February 2, 1937, which parcels were proposed to be sold under the provisions of said Ordinance No. 12.17297.

Section 3. The Director of Property has made an appraisal of all of said real property and estimates the value of the parcels to be traded to Catherine Dunne Company to be equal to the value of Parcel "A" to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 4. Parcel "A" hereinbefore referred to, is situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and is more particularly described as follows:

Parcel "A". Beginning at a point bearing north  $71\frac{1}{2}$  degrees west, and distant 3113 feet from a point on the westerly line of Schwerin street, distant thereon 293 feet,  $\frac{1}{2}$  of an inch southerly from the intersection of the southerly line of Sunnysdale avenue (formerly Tobin street) with the westerly line of Schwerin street; thence north  $71\frac{1}{2}$  degrees west 572 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 324 feet,  $\frac{3}{4}$  of an inch; thence at a right angle easterly 572 feet, and thence at a right angle northerly 324 feet  $\frac{3}{4}$  of an inch to the point of beginning.

Section 5. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary deed for the conveyance of said Parcels 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 to Catherine Dunne Company or its assignee. The Director of Property shall deliver said conveyance to the grantee upon receipt of the necessary deed to Parcel "A", and shall record the latter deed.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance, recommended by the Finance Committee, was taken up:

#### Appropriation of \$116 for the Removal of Garbage From the City and County Institutions; an Emergency Ordinance.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1271, Ordinance No. 9.051363, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$116 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.204.00 for the purpose of providing funds for the removal of garbage from the City and County institutions; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$116 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.204.00 for the purpose of providing funds for the removal of garbage from the City and County institutions.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as insufficient funds were provided in the budget for the fiscal year 1936-37 to pay

the cost of the removal of garbage from the City and County institutions.

Recommended by A. B. Cook, Purchaser of Supplies.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by Dion R. Holm, Assistant City Attorney.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

#### **Appropriation of \$11,252 for the Purpose of Paying Workmen's Compensation Benefits.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1272, Ordinance No. 9.051364, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$11,252 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund; \$460 to the credit of Appropriation No. 932.900.00 for the purpose of paying for annual audit; \$777 to the credit of Appropriation No. 932.106.00 for the purpose of paying phonographic reporter and medical examiners, and \$10,015 to the credit of Appropriation No. 932.815.00 for the purpose of paying Workmen's Compensation benefits.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$11,252 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund; \$460 to the credit of Appropriation No. 932.900.00 for the purpose of paying for annual audit; \$777 to the credit of Appropriation No. 932.106.00 for the purpose of paying phonographic reporter and medical examiners, and \$10,015 to the credit of Appropriation No. 932.815.00 for the purpose of paying Workmen's Compensation benefits.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund as it is necessary to provide funds for the uninterrupted operation of the Retirement System, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an emergency exists, as the Retirement System is without funds to pay for the annual audit, for phonographic reporting services, medical examiners, and compensation insurance benefits.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Recommended by Ralph R. Nelson, Secretary-Actuary.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### **Appropriation of \$504 for Additional Telephone Facilities.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1273, Ordinance No. 9.051365, as follows:

Appropriating \$504 from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, to provide additional telephone facilities for the Department of Public Health, as follows: \$232 to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.225.53, San Francisco Hospital; \$272 to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.225.50-1, Central Office.



Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$504 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide additional telephone facilities for the Department of Public Health, as follows: \$232 to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.225.53, San Francisco Hospital; \$272 to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.225.50-1, Central Office.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund, as it is necessary to provide for additional telephone facilities for the Department of Public Health, and the Department of Public Health is without sufficient funds to provide therefor.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Amendment Contract Procedure.

(Code No. 9.092)

Also, Bill No. 1274, Ordinance No. 9.0926, as follows:

Amending Section 7 of Contract Procedure, Ordinance No. 9.0871 entitled: "Establishing the procedure for and regulating the entering into contract for the construction, reconstruction or repair of public buildings, streets, utilities or public work or improvement to be performed at the expense of the City and County, or to be paid out of moneys deposited in the treasury or out of trust moneys under the control of or to be collected by the City and County repealing Ordinance No. 9139 (N. S.), in effect January 6, 1932."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 7 of Ordinance No. 9.0871, the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

"Section 7. Appropriate City and County Departments may file sealed bids for the execution of any work to be performed under a contract and shall not be required to furnish security or submit information relative to financial qualifications as provided in this ordinance. Any bid submitted by a department of the City and County, if it is the lowest bid, must be approved by the Controller before the award of contract. If the bid of a City and County Department, as investigated and approved by the Controller, is the lowest, the contract shall be awarded to the department and accurate unit costs shall be kept of all direct and indirect charges incurred by the department under any such contract, which unit costs shall be reported to and audited by the Controller monthly and on completion of the work.

"Provided that in the execution and performance of any contract awarded to a city department under the provisions of Section 95 of the Charter, not less than the wage scale fixed by the Board of Supervisors in the Prevailing Wage Resolution in effect at the time of the award of said contract shall be paid to employees performing work under such contract."

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Amending Section 36 of Ordinance Licensing Skating Rinks.

(Code No. 3.041)

Also, Bill No. 1275, Ordinance No. 3.04168, as follows:

Amending Section 36, Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), as amended by Ordinance No. 7285 (New Series), approved October 27, 1926.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 36, Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), as amended by Ordinance No. 7285, approved October 27, 1926, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 36. Every person, firm or corporation maintaining or conducting any public roller or ice skating rink shall pay therefor a license of ten (10) dollars per day or fifteen (15) dollars per quarter.

Every person, firm or corporation maintaining or conducting any revolving wheel, chute, toboggan slide or other mechanical contrivance where a fee or sum of money is charged to carry any person thereon, whose total receipts amount to fifteen hundred (1500) dollars or more per quarter shall pay a license of one hundred (100) dollars per quarter. If such total receipts are less than fifteen hundred (1500) dollars per quarter such person, firm or corporation shall pay a license of forty (40) dollars per quarter.

Every person, firm or corporation maintaining or conducting any merry-go-round or swing where a fee or sum of money is charged to carry any person thereon, shall pay a license fee of twenty (20) dollars per quarter.

Every person, firm or corporation maintaining or conducting any museum, panorama or cyclorama where an admission fee is charged, or phonograph parlor, or any collection of machines operated for the entertainment or amusement of the public, shall pay a license of fifty (50) dollars per quarter.

The Tax Collector shall not issue a license to any person, firm or corporation to conduct a public roller or ice skating rink, revolving wheel, chutes, toboggan slide, museum, kinetoscope and phonograph parlor, moving picture exhibition, panorama, cyclorama or any mechanical contrivance used to carry passengers, under the provisions of this section, unless the applicant therefor shall have first obtained from the Board of Police Commissioners a permit to conduct such public roller or ice skating rink, revolving wheel, chutes, toboggan slide, museum, kinetoscope and phonograph parlor, panorama, cyclorama or mechanical contrivance; such permits shall be issued annually, and may be revoked at any time by the Board of Police Commissioners, and upon the revocation of such permit such license issued thereon shall immediately terminate and expire.

The Board of Police Commissioners shall not issue any permit and the Tax Collector shall not issue any license to any person, firm or corporation to conduct a public roller or ice skating rink, revolving wheel, chutes, toboggan slide, museum, kinetoscope and phonograph parlor, moving picture exhibition, panorama or cyclorama, or any mechanical contrivance used to carry passengers, within a distance of two hundred (200) feet from the front line of any church or school, or within one hundred (100) feet of the property line on the sides or rear of any church, school lot, or children's playground; provided, however, that this restriction shall not apply to buildings already erected or in course of erection, and especially designed to be used for any of the above purposes.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Release of Liens, Filed re Old Age Security.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Resolution No. 3136, as follows:

Resolved, That the liens heretofore placed by the Board of Super-



visors of the City and County of San Francisco, are released against the property described, as follows:

John Fink, recorded August 10, 1936, in Vol. 3011, Official Records, page 25; legal description Lot 36, Block 5959, Assessor's Map.

Mortgage is being renewed on above described property. New lien will be executed as soon as new mortgage is recorded.

Annie Dunkhase, recorded August 10, 1936, in Vol. 3011, Official Records, page 23; legal description Lot 32, Block 153, Assessor's Map. New lien is being executed with corrected legal description.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing Acquisition of Certain Land by Condemnation Proceedings Required for Geneva Avenue.**

(Code No. 6.0211)

Also, Resolution No. 3135, as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

PARCEL 1: Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Mission street 329.96 feet southerly from the southeast corner of Mission street and Amazon avenue (Amazon avenue being 60 feet in width); thence in an easterly direction at an angle of  $88^{\circ} 27' 40''$  measured from the north to the east, on a course designated as course No. 1, which course is parallel with and 50 feet at right angles southwesterly from the most northeasterly line of Geneva avenue as shown on map of the Crocker Amazon Tract, recorded in Map Book "G", pages 84 and 85, Records of the City and County of San Francisco, a distance of 2225.67 feet to the point of curve of a curve to the left with a radius of 989 feet; thence along said curve designated as course No. 2, a distance of 257.77 feet to the tangent point of said curve with a straight line designated as course No. 3, which course No. 3 makes an angle of  $14^{\circ} 56'$  with the preceding course No. 1; thence along course No. 3, a distance of 1171.53 feet to the point of a curve of Geneva avenue, a curve to the right with a radius of 1011 feet; thence along said curve, designated as course No. 4, a distance of 263.50 feet to the tangent point of said curve with a straight line designated as course No. 5, which course No. 5 makes an angle of  $14^{\circ} 56'$  with the preceding course No. 3; thence along said course No. 5, a distance of 306.74 feet, more or less, to a point on the easterly line of the property (now or formerly) of Crocker Estate Company; thence along said easterly line of property of Crocker Estate Company, designated as course No. 6, in a southerly direction at an angle of  $98^{\circ} 2'$  a distance of 22.22 feet; thence in a westerly direction at an angle of  $81^{\circ} 58'$  along a course parallel to course No. 5 and designated as course No. 7, a distance of 309.86 feet, more or less, to the point of curve of a curve to the left concentric with course No. 4 with a radius of 989 feet; thence along said curve, designated as course No. 8, a distance of 257.77 feet to the tangent point of said curve with a straight line, designated as course No. 9, which course No. 9 makes an angle of  $14^{\circ} 56'$  with the preceding course No. 7; thence along said course No. 9, a distance of 1171.53 feet to the point of curve of a curve to the right with a radius of 1011 feet; thence along said curve, designated as course No. 10, a distance of 263.50 feet to the tangent point of said curve, with a straight line, designated as course No. 11, which course No. 11 makes an angle of  $14^{\circ} 56'$  with the preceding course No. 9; thence along said course No. 11, 2225.85 feet to the easterly line of Mission street; thence along said easterly line of Mission street designated

as course No. 12, in a northerly direction at an angle of  $88^{\circ} 20' 30''$ , a distance of 22.02 feet to the point of beginning.

**PARCEL 2:** Beginning at the point of intersection of the southeasterly boundary line of Crocker-Amazon Tract, Subdivision No. 2, and a line drawn parallel with and perpendicularly distant 50 feet southwesterly from the most northeasterly line of Geneva avenue (as said lines are shown on the map showing the extension of Geneva avenue, filed September 25, 1933, in Book "M" of Maps, page 86, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California); running thence southeasterly and parallel with said most northeasterly line of Geneva avenue 255.966 feet, more or less, to the beginning of a curve to the left, with a radius of 989 feet, as shown on said map; thence southeasterly along said curve to the left 127.374 feet to the easterly terminus of said curve; thence southeasterly, tangent to said curve 274.063 feet to the beginning of a curve to the right, with a radius of 1011 feet (as shown on said map); thence southeasterly along said curve to the right 36.006 feet, more or less, to the northeasterly line of the property now or formerly belonging to Dimond Estate Company, a corporation; thence northwesterly along the last mentioned line and its northwesterly prolongation, 391.102 feet, more or less, to a curve to the right with a radius of 1011 feet, which curve is concentric with the first curve mentioned in this description; thence northwesterly along said concentric curve with a radius of 1011 feet, a distance of 49.505 feet to the northwesterly terminus thereof as shown on said map; thence northwesterly tangent to the preceding curve 252.557 feet, more or less, to said southeasterly boundary line of Crocker-Amazon Tract, Subdivision No. 2; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line 22.263 feet to the point of beginning.

**PARCEL 3:** Beginning at a point on the dividing line between the property formerly of Eleanor Martin, et al., and the property formerly of the Dimond Estate Company, distant thereon 298.733 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly boundary line of the Crocker-Amazon Tract, Subdivision No. 2, said point of beginning being radially distant southwesterly 72 feet from the most northeasterly curved line of Geneva avenue (and as shown on the map showing the extension of Geneva avenue, filed September 25, 1933, in Book "M" of Maps, page 86, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California); running thence southeasterly along the dividing line between said former Martin property and said former Dimond Estate Company property 391.102 feet, more or less, to a point which is radially distant southwesterly 40 feet from said most northeasterly curve line of Geneva avenue; thence southeasterly on a curve to the right, with a radius of 1011 feet, concentric with said northeasterly line of Geneva avenue, 441.446 feet to the southeasterly terminus of said curve as shown on said map; thence southeasterly tangent to the preceding curve 85.60 feet; thence southeasterly on a curve to the left with a radius of 1080.246 feet, a distance of 560.274 feet to the terminus of said curve as shown on said map; thence southeasterly, tangent to the preceding curve and parallel with the northeasterly line of Walbridge street, 874.886 feet to the southerly boundary line of the City and County of San Francisco; thence westerly along said southerly boundary line 65.655 feet to a line parallel with and 50 feet at right angles northeasterly from the southwesterly line of Walbridge street; thence northwesterly along said parallel line 813.027 feet to the beginning of a curve to the right, with a radius of 1102.246 feet as shown on said map; thence northwesterly along said curve with a radius of 1102.246 feet, tangent to the preceding course, a distance of 571.684 feet to the northwesterly terminus of said curve; thence northwesterly tangent to the preceding curve 85.60 feet to the beginning of a curve to the left with a radius of 989 feet (as shown on said map); thence northwesterly along said curve to the left and tangent to the preceding course, 467.062 feet to



the northwesterly terminus of said curve; thence northwesterly tangent to the preceding curve 274.063 feet; thence northwesterly on a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, with a radius of 1011 feet, a distance of 80.703 feet, more or less, to the point of beginning.

Being a strip of land 22 feet in width within the boundary lines of Geneva avenue, from Mission street, to the boundary line between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of San Mateo.

Be it further resolved, that said land is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: for the construction, operation and maintenance of a public street. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken to said land.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcel of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### **Release of Lien Filed re Old Age Security.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3137, as follows:

Resolved, That the lien heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, is released against the property described, as follows:

Anna Curnow, recorded August 12, 1936, in Vol. 128, Official Records of Marin County, page 344, covering property of Anna Curnow, described as Lots 4, 15, 16, Block 13.

New lien, with corrected legal description, is being executed.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### **Re-referred.**

The following matters were *re-referred* to the Finance Committee:

#### **Board of Supervisors Accepts Membership in Alta California, Inc.**

(Code No. ———)

Resolution No. ———, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby accept membership and gives authorization and directs its President to make application for membership in Alta California, Inc., an organization of Supervisors of Northern California Counties.

#### **Appropriation of \$500 Membership Dues, Alta California, Inc.**

(Code No. ———)

Also, Bill No. ———, Ordinance No. ———, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$500 out of Appropriation Number 500.000.00 to the credit of Appropriation Number 601.814.00, for the purpose of paying dues for membership in Alta California, Inc., an organization of Supervisors of Northern California Counties.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$500 is hereby appropriated and set aside

out of Appropriation Number 500.000.00 to the credit of Appropriation Number 601.814.00, for the purpose of paying dues for membership in Alta California, Inc., an organization of Supervisors of Northern California counties.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.  
 Recommended by Warren Shannon, Board of Supervisors.  
 Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.  
 Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

### Canvass of Special Charter Election, Tuesday, March 9, 1937.

(Code No. 3.02)

Resolution No. 3138, as follows:

Resolved, That the Registrar of Voters and this Board of Supervisors proceed on Monday, March 15, 1937, at the hour of 9 a. m., to canvass the returns of the Special Charter Election of Tuesday, March 9, 1937, by opening the same and estimating the vote of 1055 election precincts and declare the result thereof, and such count shall continue each day according to law; and be it

Further Resolved, That the canvass of said election returns will be conducted in the office of the Registrar of Voters, City Hall, in the City and County of San Francisco, which office is designated as the necessary place of meeting to conduct such canvass, as all ballots and records pertaining to such election are on file in said office, and to be conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Political Code relating thereto, and to be continued until completed in the manner provided by the Political Code of the State of California; and that the several persons hereinafter named and mentioned are hereby appointed as Clerks to perform the clerical work of the official canvass of the returns of said election in the manner provided by said Section 1280 of the Political Code of the State of California:

Elise M. Dwyer, Margaret I. Dana, Gladys G. Edmondson, Janice E. Livingston, Agnes K. Arnold, Elsie M. Goff, Lillian van der Wal, Florence L. Carrasco, Mervilyn Knoth, Alice B. Remley, Gretchen Siemens, Mary Richardson, Eugenia Derocca, Olive Gardner, Alice L. Garnett, Bernice R. Perkins, Nan O'Connell, Arthur M. Dodge, Stanley J. Stasiowski, Roy W. Grumbine, C. C. Meagher, Dan P. O'Sullivan, Edw. J. Dougherty, Matt I. Brady, Jr., Thos. G. Brewer, William Zawasky, Jas. V. Lyons.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land for Nineteenth Avenue Widening.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3124, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain parcels of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project No. 5:

Margie Corey, portion of Lot 5-R, Assessor's Block 2419, \$2,500.

Esther Boden, portions of Lots 15 and 15-A, Assessor's Block 1925, \$3,450.

Thomas H. Nichols, portion of Lot 22, Assessor's Block 2347, \$534.60.



Bridge Investment Company, portion of Lot 24, Assessor's Block 2138, \$1,795.

Calvary M. E. Church, portion of Lot 21, Assessor's Block 1774, \$7,000.

George Reggiardo, portion of lot 5-P, Assessor's Block 2419, \$2,400.

W. H. Humphrey, et ux., portion of Lot 16, Assessor's Block 1731, \$3,146.

Fred Braun, et ux., all of Lot 27, Assessor's Block 2138, \$3,600.

G. S. Tulloch, portions of Lots 25 and 26, Assessor's Block 2138, \$2,200.

Eric Dahlberg, et ux., portion of Lot 24, Assessor's Block 2347, \$2,975.

The above sums include damages in full to the improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within 30 days after recording the deeds to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for particular descriptions of said parcels of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### **Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Streets Committee were taken up:

#### **Changing the Name of Rockdale Drive, Between Los Pamos Drive and Lulu Alley, to the Name of Burlwood Drive.**

(Code No. 12.08)

Resolution No. 3129, as follows:

Resolved, That the name of Rockdale drive, between Los Pamos drive and Lulu alley, be and is hereby changed to Burlwood drive.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### **Intention to Change and Establish Grades in the Hunters Point District.**

(Code No. 12.0721)

Also, Resolution No. 3130, as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above City base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Order No. 5705 of the Director of Public Works dated February 24, 1937, making written recommendation of said action, filed with said Board March 1, 1937, to-wit:

Recommendation for the changing and establishing of grades as follows:

<i>Evans avenue:</i>	<i>Feet</i>
Water Front street .....	Base
Ship street .....	3.00
300 feet northwesterly from Ship street .....	4.50
Alvord street .....	3.00
100 feet northwesterly from Alvord street .....	5.50

	<i>Feet</i>
200 feet northwesterly from Alvord street .....	7.19
300 feet northwesterly from Alvord street .....	7.25
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
Boalt street .....	5.00
300 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	6.50
Coleman street .....	5.00
200 feet southeasterly from Donahue street.....	7.00
Donahue street .....	6.00
100 feet northwesterly from Donahue street .....	6.50
Earl street .....	4.00
200 feet northwesterly from Earl street .....	5.00
Fitch street .....	3.00
100 feet northwesterly from Fitch street .....	3.50
Griffith street .....	1.00
300 feet northwesterly from Griffith street.....	2.50
Hawes street .....	1.00
47.37 feet easterly from Ingalls street .....	27.34
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*Fairfax avenue:*

Northeasterly line of, at Alvord street .....	13.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Alvord street center line .....	17.00
100 feet northwesterly from Alvord street .....	18.02
300 feet northwesterly from Alvord street .....	24.50
Boalt street .....	26.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
146.54 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	28.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Coleman street .....	6.00
200 feet southeasterly from Donahue street .....	8.00
Donahue street .....	7.00
100 feet northwesterly from Donahue street .....	7.50
Earl street .....	5.00
200 feet northwesterly from Earl street .....	6.00
Fitch street .....	4.00
100 feet northwesterly from Fitch street .....	4.50
Griffith street .....	2.00
Hawes street .....	20.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*Galvez avenue:*

13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Alvord street	57.35
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Alvord street	58.65
Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Alvord street center line.....	56.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Alvord street center line .....	60.00
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Alvord street .....	55.15
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Alvord street .....	55.15
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 100 feet southeasterly from Boalt street .....	53.15
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 100 feet southeasterly from Boalt street .....	53.15
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Boalt street.	51.35
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Boalt street	52.65
Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Boalt street center line .....	50.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Boalt street center line .....	54.00



	<i>Feet</i>
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 50 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	49.47
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 50 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	49.47
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 393.56 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	43.68
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 393.56 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	43.68
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 343.56 feet southeasterly from Coleman street.....	41.06
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 343.56 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	42.24
10 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 116.83 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	33.50
(The same being the present official grade.)	
10 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Cole- man street southeasterly line .....	30.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
10 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Cole- man street southeasterly line .....	30.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Southwesterly line of, 10 feet northwesterly from Coleman street southeasterly line .....	30.00
Northeasterly line of, 10 feet northwesterly from Coleman street southeasterly line .....	29.00
Southwesterly line of, 10 feet southeasterly from Coleman street northwesterly line .....	29.00
Northeasterly line of, 10 feet southeasterly from Coleman street northwesterly line .....	28.00
10 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Cole- man street northwesterly line .....	28.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
10 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Cole- man street northwesterly line .....	28.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
80 feet southeasterly from Donahue street northwesterly line	36.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Donahue street northwesterly line .....	36.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
200 feet northwesterly from Donahue street .....	18.00
300 feet northwesterly from Donahue street .....	11.00
400 feet northwesterly from Donahue street .....	8.00
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
Earl street .....	6.00
200 feet northwesterly from Earl street .....	7.00
Fitch street .....	5.00
100 feet northwesterly from Fitch street .....	5.50
Griffith street .....	3.00
Hawes street .....	20.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*Hudson avenue:*

13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Alvord street .....	105.35
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Alvord street .....	106.65
Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Alvord street center line .....	104.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Alvord street center line .....	108.00
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Alvord street .....	104.00

	<i>Feet</i>
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Alvord street .....	104.00
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 100 feet southeasterly from Boalt street .....	96.00
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 100 feet southeasterly from Boalt street .....	96.00
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Boalt street .....	93.35
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Boalt street .....	94.65
Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Boalt street center line .....	92.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Boalt street center line .....	96.00
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	92.73
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 220 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	91.00
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	82.27
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Cole- man street .....	74.35
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Cole- man street .....	75.65
Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Coleman street center line .....	73.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Coleman street center line .....	77.00
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Cole- man street .....	73.77
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 354 feet northwesterly from Cole- man street .....	72.29
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 404 feet northwesterly from Cole- man street .....	70.06
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 454 feet northwesterly from Cole- man street .....	63.95
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described lines.)	
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Donahue street southeasterly line .....	43.00
Northeasterly line of, at Donahue street .....	42.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Southwesterly line of, at Donahue street .....	44.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Donahue street northwesterly line .....	43.00
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Dona- hue street .....	28.60
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 250 feet northwesterly from Dona- hue street .....	20.54



	<i>Feet</i>
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 350 feet northwesterly from Donahue street .....	15.58
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described lines.)	
Earl street .....	7.00
200 feet northwesterly from Earl street .....	8.00
Fitch street .....	6.00
100 feet northwesterly from Fitch street .....	6.50
Griffith street .....	4.00
Hawes street .....	30.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*Innes avenue:*

Grades at Alvord street be abolished.

At points 13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Boalt street .....	135.35
At points 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Boalt street .....	136.65
Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Boalt street center line .....	134.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Boalt street center line .....	138.00
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	134.50
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 200 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	131.91
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 140 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	130.56
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 80 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	127.53
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 200 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	131.91
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 140 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	130.70
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 80 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	128.08
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Coleman street .....	122.35
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Coleman street .....	123.65
Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Coleman street center line ..	121.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Coleman street center line....	125.00
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 30 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	121.77
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 70 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	119.99
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 110 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	116.22
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 30 feet northwesterly from Coleman street.....	122.51
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 70 feet northwesterly from Coleman street.....	120.18

Feet

27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 110 feet northwesterly from Coleman street.....	116.22
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
At points 13 feet northeasterly and southwesterly from the center line of, 330 feet northwesterly from Coleman street.	89.92
At points 13 feet northeasterly and southwesterly from the center line of, 380 feet northwesterly from Coleman street.	83.36
At points 13 feet northeasterly and southwesterly from the center line of, 430 feet northwesterly from Coleman street.	75.63
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described lines.)	
80 feet easterly from Donahue street westerly line.....	50.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*Jerrold avenue:*

Water Front street .....	Base
Dock street intersection .....	Base
Ship street .....	6.00
Grades between Ship street and Boalt street abolished.	
Northeasterly line of, at Boalt street.....	107.00
Southwesterly line of, at Boalt street.....	103.00
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Coleman street .....	128.00
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Coleman street .....	128.00
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet south-easterly from Coleman street center line.....	128.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet south-easterly from Coleman street center line.....	128.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 75 feet northwesterly from Coleman street.	127.40
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 125 feet northwesterly from Coleman street..	125.76
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 175 feet northwesterly from Coleman street..	121.65
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 265 feet northwesterly from Coleman street..	112.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 135 feet southeasterly from Donahue street..	96.00
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Donahue street .....	87.35
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Donahue street .....	88.65
Northeasterly line of, at points 22 feet northwesterly and 22 feet southeasterly from Donahue street center line .....	86.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 22 feet northwesterly and 22 feet southeasterly from Donahue street center line .....	90.00
Earl street .....	44.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*Kirkwood avenue:*

Ship street .....	8.00
300 feet northwesterly from Ship street .....	9.50
Alvord street .....	8.00
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Boalt street	53.65
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Boalt street	52.35
Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Boalt street center line.....	55.00



	<i>Feet</i>
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Boalt street center line.....	51.00
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	54.40
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Boalt street.....	56.58
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 250 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	62.62
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	54.08
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	56.50
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 250 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	62.62
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 222 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	83.03
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 172 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	91.45
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 122 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	100.78
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 222 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	83.03
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 172 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	91.35
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 122 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	100.40
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Coleman street .....	124.65
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Coleman street .....	123.35
Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Coleman street center line .....	126.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Coleman street center line .....	122.00
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 30 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	124.38
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 70 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	122.46
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 110 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	117.50
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 30 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	123.63
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 70 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	122.28
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 110 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	117.50
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	111.00
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 230 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	101.10

*Feet*

27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 310 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	97.43
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	111.00
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 230 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	101.07
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 310 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	97.28
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Donahue street .....	95.33
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Donahue street .....	94.67
Northeasterly line of, at points 22 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Donahue street center line .....	96.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 22 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Donahue street center line .....	94.00
Earl street .....	64.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*La Salle avenue:*

Abolish grades between Alvord and Boalt streets.	
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Boalt street	19.65
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Boalt street	18.35
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 80 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	23.56
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 130 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	26.70
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 180 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	31.25
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 80 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	23.06
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 130 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	26.50
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 180 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	31.25
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the cen- ter line of, 280 feet northwesterly from Boalt street ....	41.75
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the cen- ter line of, 330 feet northwesterly from Boalt street.....	47.27
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the cen- ter line of, 380 feet northwesterly from Boalt street ....	53.33
(Vertical curve passing through the last three elevations.)	
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 170 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	59.67
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 120 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	65.73
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 70 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	71.27
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 170 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	59.67
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 120 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	65.60



	<i>Feet</i>
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 70 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	70.73
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of Coleman street.	78.65
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of Coleman street.	77.35
Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Coleman street center line.....	80.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Coleman street center line.....	76.00
Northeasterly line of, at Donahue street.....	70.00
Southwesterly line of, at Donahue street.....	66.00
Earl street .....	84.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
<i>McKinnon avenue:</i>	
Grades between Alvord street and Earl street abolished.	
<i>Newcomb avenue:</i>	
Grades between Alvord street and Fitch street abolished.	
<i>Oakdale avenue:</i>	
Grades between Alvord street and Fitch street abolished.	
<i>Palou avenue:</i>	
Grades between Boalt street and Fitch street abolished.	
<i>Quesada avenue:</i>	
Grades between Coleman street and Griffith street abolished	
<i>Revere avenue:</i>	
Grades between Donahue street and Griffith street abolished.	
<i>Water Front street:</i>	
Evans avenue .....	Base
Jerrold avenue .....	Base
<i>Ship street:</i>	
Evans avenue .....	3.00
Jerrold avenue .....	6.00
Kirkwood avenue .....	8.00
<i>Alvord street:</i>	
Evans avenue .....	3.00
Fairfax avenue northeasterly line.....	13.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from cen- ter line of, at Fairfax avenue southwesterly line.....	17.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from cen- ter line of, at Galvez avenue northeasterly line.....	56.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from cen- ter line of, at Galvez avenue southwesterly line.....	60.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from cen- ter line of, at Hudson avenue northeasterly line.....	104.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from cen- ter line of, at Hudson avenue southwesterly line.....	108.00
Abolish grades on Alvord street between Hudson avenue and Kirkwood avenue and between Kirkwood avenue and Oak- dale avenue.	
<i>Boalt street:</i>	
Evans avenue .....	5.00
Fairfax avenue .....	26.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from cen- ter line of, at Galvez avenue northeasterly line.....	50.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from cen- ter line of, at Galvez avenue southwesterly line.....	54.00

*Feet*

13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 50 feet southwesterly from Galvez avenue.....	62.00
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 100 feet southwesterly from Galvez avenue.....	70.75
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 150 feet southwesterly from Galvez avenue.....	81.00
(Vertical curve passing through the last three elevations.)	
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Hudson avenue northeasterly line.....	92.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Hudson avenue southwesterly line.....	96.00
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 110 feet northeasterly from Innes avenue.....	116.08
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 70 feet northeasterly from Innes avenue.....	124.05
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 30 feet northeasterly from Innes avenue.....	130.14
(Vertical curve passing through the last three elevations.)	
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Innes avenue northeasterly line.....	134.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Innes avenue southwesterly line.....	138.00
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 20 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue.....	137.83
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 60 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue.....	135.40
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 100 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue.....	128.79
(Vertical curve passing through the last three elevations.)	
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Jerrold avenue northeasterly line.....	107.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Jerrold avenue southwesterly line.....	103.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Kirkwood avenue northeasterly line.....	55.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Kirkwood avenue southwesterly line.....	51.00
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 70 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue.....	35.25
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 120 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue....	26.34
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 170 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue....	22.13
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)	
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at La Salle avenue northeasterly line.....	21.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at La Salle avenue southwesterly line.....	17.00
Abolish grades on Boalt street between La Salle avenue and Palou avenue.	

*Coleman street:*

Evans avenue .....	5.00
Fairfax avenue .....	6.00
13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Galvez avenue northeasterly line .....	29.00
13 feet northwesterly from the center line of, at Galvez avenue northeasterly line .....	28.00
Northwesterly line of, 10 feet southwesterly from Galvez avenue northeasterly line .....	28.00



(The same being the present official grade.)	
Southeasterly line of, 10 feet southwesterly from Galvez avenue northeasterly line .....	30.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Northwesterly line of, 10 feet northeasterly from Galvez avenue southwesterly line .....	28.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Southeasterly line of, 10 feet northeasterly from Galvez avenue southwesterly line .....	30.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Galvez avenue southwesterly line .....	30.00
13 feet northwesterly from the center line of, at Galvez avenue southwesterly line .....	29.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Hudson avenue northeasterly line.....	73.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Hudson avenue southwesterly line....	77.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Innes avenue northeasterly line.....	121.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Innes avenue southwesterly line.....	125.00
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 60 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue.....	128.00
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 100 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue.....	129.30
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 140 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue.....	129.20
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)	
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Jerrold avenue northeasterly line.....	128.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Jerrold avenue southwesterly line.....	128.00
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 60 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue.....	131.00
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 100 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue.....	131.80
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 140 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue.....	130.20
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)	
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Kirkwood avenue northeasterly line.....	126.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Kirkwood avenue southwesterly line.....	122.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at La Salle avenue northeasterly line.....	80.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at La Salle avenue southwesterly line.....	76.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at McKinnon avenue northeasterly line.....	34.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at McKinnon avenue southwesterly line.....	30.00
Abolish grades on Coleman street between McKinnon avenue and Quesada avenue.	

*Donahue street:*

Evans avenue .....	6.00
Fairfax avenue .....	7.00
Galvez avenue .....	36.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Hudson avenue northeasterly line.....	42.00

*Feet*

(The same being the present official grade.)	
Hudson avenue southwesterly line .....	44.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Innes avenue .....	50.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Jerrold avenue northeasterly line .....	86.00
Jerrold avenue southwesterly line .....	90.00
90 feet northeasterly from Kirkwood avenue.....	96.29
60 feet northeasterly from Kirkwood avenue.....	97.32
30 feet northeasterly from Kirkwood avenue.....	97.00
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
Kirkwood avenue, northeasterly line .....	96.00
Kirkwood avenue, southwesterly line .....	94.00
La Salle avenue, northeasterly line .....	70.00
La Salle avenue, southwesterly line .....	66.00
20 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue.....	65.00
McKinnon avenue, northeasterly line .....	38.00
McKinnon avenue, southwesterly line .....	34.00
51.67 feet northeasterly from Newcomb avenue.....	11.75
26.67 feet northeasterly from Newcomb avenue.....	8.93
1.67 feet northeasterly from Newcomb avenue.....	8.00
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
Newcomb avenue .....	8.00
Abolish grades between Newcomb and Revere avenues.	

*Earl street:*

Evans avenue .....	4.00
Fairfax avenue .....	5.00
Galvez avenue .....	6.00
Hudson avenue .....	7.00
Southeasterly line of, at Innes avenue .....	51.50
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Northwesterly line of, at Innes avenue.....	49.50
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Abolish grades between McKinnon and Revere avenues.	

*Fitch street:*

Evans avenue .....	3.00
Fairfax avenue .....	4.00
Galvez avenue .....	5.00
Hudson avenue .....	6.00
Southeasterly line of, at Innes avenue.....	31.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Northwesterly line of, at Innes avenue.....	30.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Abolish grades between Palou and Shafter avenues.	

*Griffith street:*

Evans avenue .....	1.00
Fairfax avenue .....	2.00
Galvez avenue .....	3.00
Hudson avenue .....	4.00
Innes avenue .....	22.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	

On Evans avenue between Water Front street and a point 47.37 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street on Fairfax avenue between Alvord and Hawes streets; on Galvez avenue between Alvord street and a line parallel with Coleman street and 343.56 feet southeasterly therefrom and



between Donahue and Hawes streets; on Hudson street between Alvord and Hawes streets; on Innes avenue between Alvord street and Donahue street; on Jerrold avenue between Water Front street and Earl street; on Kirkwood avenue between Ship street and Earl street; on La Salle and McKinnon avenues between Alvord and Earl streets; on Newcomb and Oakdale avenues between Alvord and Fitch streets; on Palou avenue between Boalt and Fitch streets; on Quesada avenue between Coleman and Griffith streets; on Revere avenue between Donahue and Griffith streets; on Ship street between Jerrold and Kirkwood avenues; on Alvord street between Evans and Oakdale avenues; on Boalt street between Evans and Palou avenues; on Coleman street between Evans and Quesada avenues; on Donahue street between Evans and Galvez avenues and Innes and Revere avenues; on Earl street between Evans and Innes avenue and between McKinnon and Revere avenues; on Fitch street between Evans and Innes avenues and between Palou and Shafter avenues, and on Griffith street between Evans and Innes avenues be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

Further Resolved. That Resolution No. 2715 be and is hereby repealed.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### **Designating Streets of Major Importance to Be Improved With Funds From Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund.**

(Code No. 12.081)

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

Resolution No. 3131, as follows:

Resolved, That the following additional streets be and are hereby designated as streets of major importance upon which moneys accruing to the credit of the "Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund" shall be expended:

Alhambra street, between Scott and Bay streets.

Army street, between Dolores and Sanchez streets.

Bosworth street, between O'Shaughnessy boulevard and Mission street.

Broadway, between The Embarcadero and Scott street.

Clipper street, between Sanchez street and Portola drive.

Davis street, between Market street and The Embarcadero.

Duboce avenue, between Scott and Mission streets.

Fourth street, between Market and Third streets.

Francisco street, between Lyon and Scott streets.

Harrison street, between Tenth and Thirteenth streets.

Mariposa street, between Mississippi and Third streets.

Market street, between Van Ness avenue, and Tenth and Polk streets.

Mississippi street, between Seventeenth and Mariposa streets.

Ocean avenue, between San Jose avenue and Alemany Boulevard.

O'Farrell street, between Van Ness and Masonic avenues.  
 O'Shaughnessy boulevard, between Portola drive and Bosworth street.  
 Polk street, between Fell and Post streets.  
 Post street, between Scott street and Presidio avenue.  
 Post street, between Polk street and Van Ness avenue.  
 Presidio avenue, between California street and Geary boulevard.  
 Sanchez street, between Clipper and Army streets.  
 San Jose avenue, between Army and Brook streets.  
 Scott street, between Duboce avenue and Lombard street.  
 Seventeenth street, between Stanyan and Mississippi streets.  
 Silver avenue, between Alemany boulevard and Palou avenue.  
 Taylor street, between Market and Post streets.  
 Third street, between Howard and Market streets.  
 Thirteenth street, between Mission and Bryant streets.

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to the State Department of Public Works and to Colonel John H. Skeggs, Division Engineer thereof.

#### Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved to include Turk street from Van Ness avenue to Divisadero street.

#### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the foregoing matter was *laid over one week*.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

#### Reorganization of Joint Highway District No. 10 to Conform to the Provisions of the 1931 Joint Highway District Act.

(Code No. 12.05)

Resolution No. 3132, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Directors of Joint Highway District No. 10, at its regular meeting on February 2, 1937, adopted Resolution No. 134, being "Resolution of Intention to Reorganize Joint Highway District No. 10 to Conform to the Provisions of the 1931 Joint Highway District Act;" now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, being one of the counties comprising this district, hereby consents to such reorganization to enable said Joint Highway District No. 10 to come under the provisions of the 1931 Joint Highway District Act.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of the Streets Committee were taken up:

#### Establishing Sidewalk Widths on Broadway, Between Sansome Street and Columbus Avenue, at Twelve Feet.

(Code No. 12.0731)

Bill No. 1259, Ordinance No. 12.0731100, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Ten Hundred and Ninety-eighth (1098) thereof.



Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office February 24, 1937, by amending Section Ten Hundred and Ninety-eight (1098) to read as follows:

Section 1098. The width of sidewalks on Broadway, between Sansome street and Columbus avenue, shall be twelve feet.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Establishing Sidewalk Widths on Broadway, Between Davis and Sansome Streets, at Twelve Feet.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1260, Ordinance No. 12.0731101, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Ninety (1190).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office February 24, 1937, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Ninety (1190) to read as follows:

Section 1190. The width of sidewalks on Broadway, between Davis and Sansome streets, shall be twelve feet.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Establishing Sidewalk Widths on Broadway, Between Columbus Avenue and Mason Street, at Twelve Feet.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1261, Ordinance No. 12.0731102, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Ninety-one (1191).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office February 24, 1937, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Ninety-one (1191) to read as follows:

Section 1191. The width of sidewalks on Broadway, between Columbus avenue and Mason street, shall be twelve feet.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Establishing Grades on Butte Place, Between Brannan Street and Its Southeasterly Termination.

(Code No. 12.071)

Also Bill No. 1263, Ordinance No. 12.07111, as follows:

Establishing grades on Butte place, between Brannan street and its southeasterly termination.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Butte place, between Brannan street and its southeasterly termination, are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above City base as hereinafter stated in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, filed in this office February 27, 1937.

*Butte Place:* *Feet*

Northeasterly line of, at Brannan street southeasterly line... 3.88  
(The same being the present official grade.)

Southwesterly line of, at Brannan street southeasterly line... 4.01  
(The same being the present official grade.)

Southeasterly termination .....Base

On Butte place, between Brannan street and its southeasterly termination be established to conform to true gradients between the elevations above given therefor.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of Public Utilities Committee was on motion *laid over two weeks*:

#### Street Repair by Market Street Railway Company.

(Code No. 15.091)

Resolution No. 3133, as follows:

Whereas, the Director of Public Works has notified the Board of Supervisors as to the dangerous condition of street pavements between the tracks of the Market Street Railway Company and on two feet from either side thereof; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be requested to advise the Board of Supervisors as to what methods may be available to compel the Market Street Railway Company to make the necessary repairs to said pavements.

#### Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of Special Committee on Hetch Hetchy Power was *laid over one week and made a Special Order for 4 p. m.*:

#### City Attorney to Render Opinion as to Validity of Franchises Under Which P. G. and E. Is Distributing Gas and Electricity in San Francisco.

(Code No. 15.031)

Resolution No. 3140, as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby requested to render his opinion at the earliest possible moment as to the validity of franchises under which the Pacific Gas & Electric Company is distributing gas and electricity in San Francisco.

March 4, 1937, in *Utilities Committee*—Supervisors Colman and Meyer voting *Aye*, Supervisor McSheehy voting *No*. Supervisor McSheehy gave notice he would file a minority report.



**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Juniper Street, Between Harrison and Bryant Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Bill No. 1262, Ordinance No. 12.0731103, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Eighty-eight (88) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office February 11, 1937, by amending Section Eighty-eight (88) thereof to read as follows:

Section 88. The width of sidewalks on Juniper street, between Harrison and Bryant streets, shall be six feet.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Legislative Bills Approved and Disapproved.**

The following recommendation of Revenue and Taxation Committee was taken up:

February 24, 1937.

The Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: The following report of recommendations, in connection with bills now pending before the State Legislature, is submitted for the consideration of your Board by the Revenue and Taxation Committee:

**NOT IN FAVOR OF ENACTMENT OF:**

Assembly Bill 17 (Hunt). An act relating to foods, to provide for the public health and safety by licensing places where food is prepared for human consumption, to be consumed on the premises, providing regulations and restrictions, etc.

(Action based on report from Dr. J. C. Geiger, to the effect that this legislation, if enacted, would eliminate the control exercised by the Department of Public Health over the operation and maintenance of public eating places in San Francisco, which control is exercised through the medium of Public Eating Place Ordinance passed by the Board of Supervisors, November 22, 1932.)

Assembly Bill 51 (Heisinger). An act to amend the Political Code relating to admission to county hospitals.

(Action based on report from Controller to the effect that such a State mandate would compel counties to compete with private institutions and would tax the facilities of the several county hospitals to such an extent that they could not perform the functions for which said facilities were initially provided.)

Assembly Bill 59 (King). An act to provide for the conveyance and transfer of property to and by the State Food Administrator and for the relief of the unemployed and destitute of this State.

(Action based on report from Controller to the effect that many of the provisions are vague as to extent and effect and, further, that the bill is contrary to the policy of unification of State welfare, which

policy is now generally conceded by local and State officials to be both desirable and essential.)

Assembly Bill 125 (Garland). An act to add a section to the Political Code, relating to the recordation of registration cards issued under the Social Security Act of the Federal Government.

(Action based on report from Recorder to the effect that the procedure provided for in this Act would constitute a duplication of existing functions and the maintenance of such records would involve additional expenditures.)

Assembly Bill 237 (Flint). An act to repeal an act entitled "An act to provide for the acquisition of the Sears Point toll road by the State, and the inclusion thereof in the State Highway System, and making an appropriation therefor," approved May 16, 1933.

(Committee recommends automatic opposition to this bill and suggests that such opposition be brought to the attention of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.)

Assembly Bill 462 (Boyle, Tenney, Reaves and Hunt). An act relating to the operation of motor vehicles used in unemployment co-operative relief work.

(This act proposes to exempt from payment registration fees of motor vehicles used exclusively in unemployment co-operative relief work. Action based on report from Controller to the effect that the bill is deemed inadvisable for the following reasons: Concept of exemption is contrary to the underlying premise of all unemployment relief, that is, on the basis of need; does not provide that "need be shown," and may tend to establish a precedent for future exemption of any and all other fees on the same or like grounds. It was the consensus of the committee that deserving unemployed persons will not suffer from the lack of the passage of this bill.)

Assembly Bill 660 (Tenney). An act to amend Workmen's Compensation Insurance and Safety Act of 1917, defining relief workers and persons receiving sustenance from religious, charitable or relief organizations as employees.

(This bill is designed to cover persons on relief who are working on unemployment work relief projects. Action based on information from Ralph Nelson, Secretary-Actuary of Retirement System, that such an amendment was intentionally stricken out of the proposed law in the 1935 Legislature on the ground that it was unwarranted.)

Senate Bill 70 (Fletcher). An act to add a section to the Vehicle Code relating to illegal stopping, standing or parking of motor vehicles.

(Action based on report from Controller to the effect that he deemed it advisable to favor Assembly Bill 567 in preference to this bill. Assembly Bill 567 (establishing prima facie evidence in respect to parking), and recommended by Captain of Traffic Goff, was reported in letter of February 20, 1937.)

Senate Bill 318 (Westover). An act to amend Civil Code prescribing who may solemnize marriages.

(Action based on report from County Clerk to the effect that same would impose on him the duty of passing upon religious credentials of strangers, which duty he would not be qualified to perform, in view of the fact that he is a ministerial officer; that the bill opens the way to frauds and abuses should religious credentials fall into the wrong hands by theft or loss; and that there would seem to be no apparent necessity for additional persons authorized to solemnize marriages.)

Senate Bill 624 (Williams). An act to amend and add new sections to the Streets and Highways Code relating to expenditures by counties of highway funds received from the State.

(Action based on report from Controller, setting forth an analysis of the bill as follows: This bill would place County Road Fund directly under the jurisdiction of the State Department of Public Works and the counties could not expend moneys without prior approval of said department. Would make it mandatory for the Board of Super-



MONDAY, MARCH 8, 1937.

visors to submit a detailed budget, on forms satisfactory to the State Department of Public Works, showing estimated recommended expenditures. Would give State Department of Public Works authority to refuse approval of any such budget or any of the items thereof if proposed work deemed unnecessary or cost excessive. No expenditure of moneys by counties except for items specified in the budget as submitted to the State Department of Public Works or as approved by said department through amendment. Submission of plans, specifications and estimates of cost of construction or improvement of any highway to State Department of Public Works for approval before expenditure of funds. In addition to filing of annual report, would require completion report of each project within sixty days after said completion.

Respectfully submitted,

THELMA BENSON,

Secretary of Revenue and Taxation Committee.

February 20, 1937.

The Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Please be informed that the Revenue and Taxation Committee, authorized by Board of Supervisors Resolution No. 1338, and approved by His Honor, the Mayor, consisting of four members of the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, Controller, Chief Assistant Controller, City Attorney and Assessor, has held various meetings since January 1, 1937.

The following report of recommendations, in connection with bills now pending before the State Legislature, is submitted for the consideration of your honorable board:

#### IN FAVOR OF ENACTMENT OF:

Senate Bill 84 (Hollohan). An act relating to nursery stock, defining same and providing method of labeling.

(Action based on recommendation of the Agricultural Commissioner.)

Senate Bill 170 (McBride). An act to amend the Banking Act relating to deposits by order of court, permitting such deposits in national banks.

(Action based on recommendation of Public Administrator.)

Assembly Bill 585 (McMurray). Will create a "Divisional Highway" in the City and County of San Francisco, make same a part of the secondary highway system and place it under authority and control of the State Department of Public Works.

(This act is in accordance with a resolution passed by the San Francisco Board of Supervisors.)

Assembly Bill 642 (Laughlin). An act to amend Section 4041.21 of the Political Code, relating to the powers of boards of supervisors to buy, sell and rent property.

(Action based on report of Purchaser of Supplies as a confirmation of powers similarly conferred on the Purchaser of San Francisco by Charter and by previous action of the Board of Supervisors.)

Assembly Bill 1255 (Flint). An act to regulate location, construction, occupancy and operation of cleaning and dyeing establishments. Limits the quantity of gasoline on the premises of these establishments.

(Action based on recommendation of the Fire Marshal and Board of Fire Commissioners.)

Assembly Bill 1362 (Peek). An act to amend Section 4 of an act entitled, "An act authorizing the filing of notices of liens for internal revenue taxes payable to the United States of America and the discharges thereof in the office of the County Recorder, prescribing the manner of filing and indexing the same and fixing the compensation therefor.

(Action based on recommendation of the Recorder to the effect that these liens should not be regarded as official recordings and that he considers that the charging of a fee therefor is equitable.)

Senate Bill 1024 (Powers). An act to amend Section 4300c of the Political Code, relating to the fees of County Recorders.

Increases fee of administering oath from twenty-five cents to fifty cents.

(Recorder reports that fifty cents fee is in accordance with that charged by notaries for this type of work and will provide increased revenue.)

Assembly Bill 213 (Andreas). An act to add a section to the Agricultural Code relating to eggs, making it unlawful to sell any egg in this State which was produced outside of this State, unless the word "Storage" is conspicuously stamped thereon.

(Action based on recommendation of the Agricultural Commissioner.)

Assembly Bill 399 (Thorpe). An act to amend the Agricultural Code relating to produce dealers, specifying in Section C that the words "farm products" shall not include "milk or milk products."

(Action based on recommendation of the Agricultural Commissioner.)

Assembly Bill 567 (Yorty). Amendment to Vehicle Code, establishing prima facie evidence in respect to parking.

(Report from the Controller that the bill is designed to cover a technically weak point in the present law, which technical point materially retards the enforcement of the San Francisco Traffic Ordinance and that the enactment of this bill will relieve the traffic hazards on congested streets, correct a weak link in law enforcement and provide a method for the prosecution of violators, with a resultant increase in revenue. Captain of Traffic Charles Goff also recommends.)

Respectfully submitted,

THELMA BENSON,

Secretary of Revenue and Taxation Committee.

February 25, 1937.

The Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: The following report of recommendations, in connection with bills now pending before the State Legislature, is submitted for the consideration of your Board by the Revenue and Taxation Committee:

#### IN FAVOR OF ENACTMENT OF:

Assembly Bill 291 (Dilworth). An act to add Section 1201.5 to the Penal Code, relating to motions after judgment.

(Action based on report of District Attorney who recommends same as an improvement in procedure.)

Senate Bill 124 (Phillips). An act to add Section 1208 to the Penal Code, relating to motions made subsequent to judgment.

(Similar to Assembly Bill 291 and recommended by the District Attorney for the same reason.)

Senate Bill 187 (Knowland). An act to add certain sections to the Penal Code relating to the attendance of witnesses without the State in criminal proceedings.

(Action based on report of approval from District Attorney to the effect that he considers this bill a desirable addition to the Penal Code.)

Senate Bill 215 (DeLap). An act to amend Section 1326 of the Penal Code, relating to the issuing of subpoenas for the attendance of witnesses in criminal prosecutions. This bill would give authority to District Attorneys to subpoena witnesses whose testimony was considered material for grand jury investigation.

(Action based on report from District Attorney that he heartily favors same. Statement to the effect that, in practice, district attorneys have always issued subpoenas to appear before Grand Juries and the



need for the amendment arose when a decision indicated that the statute had failed to contain the necessary provisions.)

Senate Bill 585 (Olson). An act to amend the Juvenile Court Act with regard to purchase and sale of liquor to minors.

(The Committee wishes to record itself as being in favor of the principle of this bill.)

Assembly Bill 1191 (Johnson). An act to amend the Juvenile Court Law, relating to care, custody and maintenance of minor persons.

(Action based on report of approval from Mr. R. R. Miller, Chief Probation Officer, to the effect that same is for purposes of clarification of language.)

Assembly Bill 1192 (Johnson). An act to amend the Juvenile Court law covering investigations.

(Action based on report of approval from Mr. R. R. Miller. Same reason as above.)

Assembly Bill 1425 (Hunt). An amendment to Political Code providing for collection of fees from State offices, Building and Loan Commissioners, Insurance Commissioners, Superintendents of Banks, etc., when acting with reference to private assets or obligations.

(Action based on report from the Recorder to the effect that enactment of this bill would provide greater revenues for his department and that he considers it equitable that fees be collected from the above officials when said officials are acting with reference to private assets or obligations under their charge by virtue of their respective offices.)

Assembly Bill 2609 (Williamson). An act to amend Code of Civil Procedure relating to attachment of real and personal property.

(Action based on report from Recorder, recommending same as a clarification of existing language.)

Assembly Bill 303 (Welsh). An act to amend Political Code relating to records of Assessor being open to inspection.

(Action based on verbal report from Mr. Harold J. Boyd, Chief Assistant Assessor, to the effect that this bill has for its purpose a clarification of what shall constitute a public record in so far as Assessors' Offices are concerned; that the purpose of the proposed amendment is to safeguard the working records of the County Assessors throughout the State against the tax racketeering groups who guarantee to secure reductions in assessed valuations or use information to the detriment of the financial structures. This bill would definitely set forth what constitutes a public record.)

Senate Bill 821 (Hayes). This bill prescribes revenue procedure for justice courts re issuance of receipts and posting of notices, etc.

(The Committee wishes to record itself as being in favor of the principle of this bill, based upon report of approval from Controller to the effect that it is founded on generally accepted accounting principles.)

Respectfully submitted,

THELMA BENSON,

Secretary of the Revenue and Taxation Committee.

February 25, 1937.

The Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: The following report of recommendations, in connection with bills now pending before the State Legislature, is submitted for the consideration of your board by the Revenue and Taxation Committee:

#### NOT IN FAVOR OF ENACTMENT OF:

Assembly Bill 292 (Stream). An act relating to the State prisons and the care and maintenance of prisoners therein; and to provide for reimbursement to the State on account thereof in certain cases.

(Action based on recommendation from the District Attorney. After discussion, the committee decided that while a system of reimburse-

ment by persons in penal institutions might have merit theoretically, adoption of same would be inadvisable. Supervisor Roncovieri dissenting.)

Assembly Bill 1298 (Miller). An act to amend the Political Code relating to the support and maintenance of orphans, half-orphans, etc.

(Action based on report of R. R. Miller, Chief Probation Officer, who disapproved of this bill on the ground that it would give the State Board of Control the power to award aid and compel county Boards of Supervisors to pay any amount awarded by the State.)

Assembly Bill 2054 (Waters). An act to amend Political Code relating to fees.

(Action based on report from Clerk of Municipal Court that the proposed amendment would reduce the revenues of that office by providing that fees could be collected only upon appearance, whereas the law now provides that fees may be collected for the filing of the first papers on behalf of any party other than plaintiff.)

Assembly Bill 932 (Voigt). An act to provide authorization for the State Department of Social Welfare to make monthly payments of \$50 to each parent of a needy child and \$15 to each child under sixteen years of age.

(Action based on report of disapproval from the Controller. Under the terms of this bill a man, wife and child would receive a total of \$115 per month as compared with \$54.21 now paid in San Francisco by Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee. The San Francisco standard of relief is admittedly high and, notwithstanding the fact that the cost of the bill would be borne by the State, the committee favored recommendations against same on the ground that it is not in line with the standards maintained by the State and the counties for other classes of relief.)

Assembly Bill 1686 (Redwine, etc.). This bill proposes to cancel the indebtedness of counties arising through State loans to counties under the Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933.

(Action based on report from Controller, in view of the fact that some of the counties have made payments on account of principal and interest of said indebtedness and the proposed bill does not make provision for refunding said payments to such counties.)

Respectfully submitted,

THELMA BENSON,  
Secretary of the Revenue and Taxation Committee.

### Adopted.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the recommendations of the Revenue and Taxation Committee be adopted.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Endorsing Application of Santa Fe Railroad for Certificate of Convenience, Etc.

(Code No. —)

Supervisor Mead presented:

Resolution No. 3142, as follows:

Whereas, the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company has long served the City and County of San Francisco and in connection



with its passenger traffic now uses the ferry boat service of the Southern Pacific Company between San Francisco and the Oakland mole; and

Whereas, the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company proposes to abandon the use of the Southern Pacific ferry boat service in connection with the handling of such traffic and to substitute therefor the use of motor buses and motor trucks operating between San Francisco and its Fortieth Street and San Pablo Avenue passenger station in Oakland (Emeryville) over the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge to transport passengers, mail, baggage, express and property arriving or departing on its passenger trains; and

Whereas, in consideration of such service the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company is now erecting a terminal in the heart of down town San Francisco adjacent to hotels and the shipping district, to and from which terminal said buses and trucks will operate; and

Whereas, said proposed service is modern and superior to the present service, more convenient to the traveling public and thereby beneficial to the City and County of San Francisco and the residents thereof and visitors thereto; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the applications pending before the Interstate Commerce Commission for certificates of public convenience and necessity to operate said service and to abandon the use of the Southern Pacific ferry facilities have the whole-hearted endorsement and approval of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, who believe the public convenience and necessity will be best served by the proposed service; and be it

Further Resolved, That..... is hereby authorized to present a certified copy of this resolution and to appear and testify in support thereof at hearing to be held before the Interstate Commerce Commission in connection with said applications.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

### **Appropriation of \$174,836 for Reconstruction of Certain Streets.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1276, Ordinance No. 9.051366, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$174,836, out of the unappropriated surplus of the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund for the reconstruction of certain streets, an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$174,836 is hereby appropriated to the credit of the following appropriations for reconstruction of major streets in the amounts indicated:

Appro.		
677.908.00	California street, Fillmore to Presidio.....	\$ 7,997
677.911.00	Twenty-fifth avenue and Fulton, Nineteenth avenue to Lincoln .....	11,128
677.912.00	Van Ness avenue, Market to Bay.....	34,552
677.913.00	Twenty-fifth avenue, Fulton to Camino Real.....	16,980
677.914.00	Sloat boulevard, Nineteenth avenue to Portola drive .....	2,799
677.915.00	Fell street, Van Ness to Stanyan.....	17,649
677.916.00	Market street, Gough to Castro .....	16,736
677.917.00	Walbridge street—Geneva to County Line.....	50,824
677.918.00	Franklin, McAllister to Grove .....	1,710
677.919.00	Point Lobos, Geary to Great Highway.....	4,478
677.920.00	Bay street, Van Ness to Fillmore.....	9,983

**\$174,836**

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as immediate action is required as these moneys are necessary to continue the WPA program.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.

Approved as to form: John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved: Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved: Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Approved: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Public Utilities Commission to Consider Contrivance for  
Raising Fenders.**

(Code No. —)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 3143, as follows:

Whereas, many motormen and conductors, employees of the Municipal Railway, are admitted each year to the San Francisco Hospital to be operated upon for hernia and rupture; and

Whereas, this condition prevails because the motormen or conductors are required, at the terminals, to raise the awkward and heavy fenders on the cars and this is difficult and in such a position when lowered as to prevent the street car operators from putting themselves in a proper position for lifting; and

Whereas, such a condition is not conducive to the good health of the Municipal Railway motormen and conductors, and entails much unnecessary human suffering, as well as considerable expense to the City and County of San Francisco for hospitalization, medical expense and wages for said employees while incapacitated; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board hereby requests the Public Utilities Commission to give thought and study to this matter, to the end that some power operated contrivance may be installed upon our municipal cars which will dispense with the necessity for raising the fenders thereon by hand, thus protecting the health of the aforementioned employees and ultimately effecting a saving to the City and County of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to the Public Utilities Commission.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

**Board of Supervisors Endorses Senate Bill No. 296, Increasing  
Judges of Superior Court of State of California, in and for the City  
and County of San Francisco, from Sixteen to Twenty.**

(Code No. —)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 3141, as follows:

Whereas, Senate Bill No. 296, introduced by Senator Walter McGovern of San Francisco, provides for increasing the number of judges of the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, from sixteen to twenty; and

Whereas, the last increase in the number of said judges occurred in the year 1914, at which time said number was increased from twelve to sixteen; and



Whereas, since the year 1914 the population of San Francisco has increased in excess of 50 per cent and the business of said Superior Court has increased in like proportion; and

Whereas, the additional judges provided for in said McGovern bill are necessary for the due and proper administration of justice in the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors favors the adoption of said Senate Bill No. 296 by the Legislature of the State of California, and that copies of this resolution be forwarded to the Senate and Assembly of the State of California.

*Referred to Judiciary and Finance Committee.*

#### **Trailer Camp Regulations.**

Supervisor Schmidt presented resolution adopted by the Workers Alliance of San Francisco, requesting Board to provide suitable regulations for trailer camps.

*Referred to Health Committee.*

#### **Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee to Arrange for Observance of Army Day.**

Supervisor Colman moved that his Honor, the Mayor, be requested to appoint a citizens' committee for the proper observance of Army Day, April 3, 1937, in honor of Major-General Symons.

*Motion carried.*

#### **Relief Case, Mrs. David Carlson.**

On motion by Supervisor Shannon, Mrs. David Carlson, in need of relief, spoke to the Board. Her case was *referred to the Public Welfare Committee.*

#### **Cemetery Removal.**

On motion by Supervisor Uhl, consideration of cemetery removal ordinances was made a *Special Order of Business for 2:30 p. m., March 22, 1937.*

#### **ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board of Supervisors, at 7 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, March 15, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, March 15, 1937

Tuesday, March 16, 1937.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco







# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MARCH 15, 1937, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 15, 1937,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri,  
Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Quorum present.

Supervisors Brown and Colman excused on leave.

President Shannon presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of March 8, 1937, was considered read  
and approved.

## Canvass of Special Election of March 9, 1937.

President Shannon announced that the canvass of the votes cast at  
the Special Election of Tuesday, March 9, 1937, had been commenced  
at 9 o'clock this morning and that it will continue each day until  
finished.

## MOTION TO RECONSIDER.

The following bill heretofore on March 8, 1937, finally passed, is up  
on motion to reconsider, given by Supervisor McSheehy:

**An Ordinance Providing a Code of Fair Competition for the  
Cleaning and Dyeing Industry in the City and County of San  
Francisco and Providing a Penalty for the Violation of This Code.**

(Code No. 5.49)

Bill No. 1227, Ordinance No. 5.491, as follows:

An ordinance providing a code of fair competition for the cleaning  
and dyeing industry in the City and County of San Francisco and  
providing a penalty for the violation of this code.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Fran-  
cisco, as follows:

Section 1. A code of fair competition for the cleaning and dyeing  
industry in the City and County of San Francisco is hereby provided  
and set up in the words and figures following, namely:

"Code of Fair Competition" for the cleaning and dyeing industry  
in the City and County of San Francisco.

## ARTICLE 1.

### *Objects and Purposes.*

(a) This code is set up under and by virtue of and to effectuate the  
policies of Chapter 814 of Statutes of California, 1935, which is hereby  
referred to and made a part hereof and particularly for the purpose  
of increasing employment, establishing fair and adequate wages, ef-  
fecting necessary reduction of hours, improving the standards of labor,



and to eliminate unfair trade practices and ruinous price-cutting evils so that the cleaning and dyeing industry in the City and County of San Francisco may be rehabilitated and enabled to do its part toward establishing that balance of industries which is necessary to the restoration and maintenance of the highest practical degree of public welfare.

(b) It is the declared purpose of the cleaning and dyeing industry in the City and County of San Francisco to bring and maintain, in so far as may be practical, the rates of wages paid within the cleaning and dyeing industry to such levels as are necessary for the creation and maintenance of the highest practical standard of living, to restore the income of enterprises within the industry to levels which will make possible the payments of such wages, and to avoid a further depletion and destruction through impending bankruptcy of the greater part of this industry.

(c) It shall be the purpose of the industry in adherence to this code to improve the general sanitary and health conditions of the cleaning and dyeing industry, to give the best possible service to the public at fair and standardized prices, to protect the public from fraudulent schemes in connection with the cleaning, dyeing and pressing of clothing, to disseminate information concerning modern cleaning methods and practices toward the end that the public may the better appreciate the care, consideration and attention now being given to the cleaning product, and to instill a spirit of co-operation among the members of such industry for the accomplishment of these objects and purposes.

(d) To disseminate knowledge among its members as to the use of scientific management, system and cost accounting, sound credit and collection methods, and to promote and assist in putting into effect the use thereof.

(e) To provide an impartial board of adjustment, through which any claims for damages to customer's goods may be considered.

(f) To provide a code authority consisting of an executive control committee of six, empowered to enforce the provisions of this code, as herein provided, said committee to consist of: Two wholesale plant owners, two retail plant owners, two retail cleaning store owners, as well as a chairman.

(g) This ordinance shall be known as the "Cleaning and Dyeing Code." It is the purpose of this industry to abide by the provisions of Chapter 814 of the Statutes of California, 1935.

## ARTICLE 2.

### *Territory.*

(a) The territory embraced within the confines of this industry is the boundaries of the City and County of San Francisco in the State of California.

### *Initiation.*

(a) No initiation or entrance fees shall be charged, but there shall be dues levied on the following basis:

### *Dues.*

Monthly dues for independently owned retail cleaning stores shall be \$1.50 per month per shop.

Monthly dues for any type of dry cleaning plant shall be based as follows:

Type No. 1. Monthly volume of business up to \$1,000 shall pay \$10 per month.

Type No. 2. Monthly volume of business between \$1,000 and \$3,000 shall pay \$20 per month.

Type No. 3. Monthly volume of business between \$3,000 and \$5,000 shall pay \$30 per month.

Type No. 4. Monthly volume of business between \$5,000 and \$10,000 shall pay \$40 per month.

Type No. 5. Monthly volume of business over \$10,000 shall pay \$100 per month.

### ARTICLE 3.

#### *Participation and Voting.*

(a) Each member in good standing in the industry is to have only one vote, as herein provided.

(b) Participation in this code and any subsequent revision of or addition to this code shall be extended to any person, partnership or corporation engaged, in whole or in part, in the Cleaning and Dyeing Industry within the City and County of San Francisco.

### ARTICLE 4.

#### *Administration.*

(a) The executive control committee, hereinabove provided for, shall be selected to represent said Cleaning and Dyeing Industry before the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, and before all governmental agencies, in any conference or conferences respecting this code of fair competition, and for all the other purposes hereof. The impartial chairman, selected by said executive control committee, shall be an ex officio member thereof but he shall have no vote excepting in case of a tie, in which event he shall cast the deciding vote. Two members of said committee shall be selected by each of said three groups specified in Paragraph (f) of Section 1 hereof, by ballot, at such time and place and manner as each of said three groups respectively shall decide at public meetings to be held by each of said groups for the purpose of selecting said members of said committee. All persons whose names and addresses can be ascertained by the exercise of reasonable diligence shall be invited to attend and to participate in said meeting or meetings and they shall be given reasonable notice of the time and place thereof.

(b) It shall be the duty of said executive control committee to enforce the provisions of this code and, through agents or otherwise, to hear or adjust complaints, consider proposals for and exceptions to the provisions of this code and otherwise administer and enforce its provisions.

(c) The executive control committee shall arbitrate all controversies between members of this industry and/or any controversies between members and the industry at large, as provided herein. If any member or members of such committee is a party to controversy existing between two or more members, the impartial chairman shall temporarily appoint another member or members to the board, from the same group as those temporarily removed, to consider and determine such controversies.

(d) The executive control committee shall have full authority to investigate all controversies and may levy a fine against the offending member or members, as provided for herein, and may make its order that the offending member pay to the association said fine, which shall not be in excess of fifteen times the weekly value of business lost by the complaining competitor or competitors. In the event that the offending member or members continue to violate this code after said order has been made, and they have received notice thereof, a separate fine may be levied against such member or members for each day's violation for any of the provisions of this code, but such fine is not to exceed \$100 for each such daily violation.

(e) All controversies shall be reported in writing in duplicate, one copy to be filed with the chairman of the executive control committee and one copy with the secretary of such committee.

(f) It shall be the duty of all persons subject to the provisions



hereof to comply with each and every provision hereunder and to cooperate with all other persons in so doing, and it shall be the duty of every person operating hereunder to refuse to transact any business in the Cleaning and Dyeing Industry with any other person who violates any provision hereof.

#### ARTICLE 5.

##### *Production Provisions.*

(a) No limitations shall be placed upon any member which might restrain such member from installing new machinery and equipment, opening new offices or entering any phase of this industry.

#### ARTICLE 6.

##### *Cost, Sales, Prices and Discount Provisions.*

(a) It shall be an unfair method of competition for any person engaged in the Cleaning and Dyeing Industry to sell below the minimum price set forth in the schedule of prices attached hereto.

(b) On and after the effective date of this code, the minimum net cost to the customer for all cleaning, pressing, dyeing, repairing, and/or alteration services shall be not less than that set forth in said attached schedule entitled Minimum Price Lists for Cleaning, Pressing, Dyeing, Repairing, and/or Alteration Services, and all quotations and charges shall be made only on that basis. All advertising matter, whether by newspaper, radio, billboard, circular, dodger or any other means, shall use and quote prices not less than those given in the attached schedule and net prices arrived at after allowing any discount (where allowable) shall not be used, quoted, considered or printed in any manner whatsoever.

(c) No sales discounts, commissions, premiums, free work, secret rebates or any other special inducements of any kind shall be made, either directly or indirectly, excepting the following:

Hotels and apartments may be given a 10 per cent discount upon the gross amount of cleaning and dyeing work which they pay for, providing the work is picked up from one central point and delivered to the same place. An agency sign must be prominently displayed and the agency must be responsible to the industry member of the collection and full payment of such services.

#### ARTICLE 7.

##### *Provisions Respecting Unfair Practices.*

The following acts, whether done directly or indirectly, are specifically designated, made and agreed to as unfair trade practices:

(a) The giving of any sales discounts, commissions, secret rebates, cash checks, free work, trading stamps, premiums, tokens, credits or any other special inducement of any kind to any customer or employee of such customer or prospective customer.

(b) The giving of any week-end discount.

(c) Enticing away any employee of a competitor with the purpose and/or effect of hampering, injuring or embarrassing said competitor in his business.

(d) Any attempt to calculate or induce the breach of present or future existing contracts or orders between competitors and their customers by any means whatsoever, or interfering with or obstructing the performance of any such contractual duties or services by any such means, with the purpose or effect of unduly hampering, impeding, injuring or embarrassing competitors in their business.

(e) The defamation of competitors by falsely imputing to them dishonorable conduct, inability to perform contracts, questionable credit standing or by other false statements, insinuations or imputations or by the false disparagement of the grade or quality of their products or service with the purpose of misleading or deceiving a customer or by injuriously affecting the business of such competitor.

(f) Enticing away an outside or commission driver of a competitor with the purpose or effect of hampering, injuring or causing loss of business or financial loss to said competitor.

(g) To secure, attempt to secure or use in any way any list or lists of customers of any other member.

(h) The solicitation of business, either directly or indirectly, by any driver, route man, outside man, solicitor or agent, or by any other person, of or among or from any list of customers or any customer whomsoever of any prior employer of such person; provided, however, that this shall not be construed to prevent in any way any "commission drivers" who own their own vehicles and who own the good will list of customers whom they serve and who guarantee the payment of the bills or indebtedness of said customers, from soliciting said customers for himself or for any person to whom such "commission driver," as aforesaid, may transfer his business.

(i) Performing any service for any retail cleaning store, agency or outside route man in violation of any contractual relation existing between said retail cleaning store, agency or outside route man and a member.

(j) To use, participate in, publish or broadcast any inaccurate, untrue, deceptive or misleading statement, representation or illustration or to make unfair competitive claims such as to advertise one's dry cleaning or dyeing work as the best or the finest in an effort to sell such services.

(k) To pay a percentage or commission or to make a gift in any way to any organization, group or person not identified with the industry for its soliciting of cleaning or dyeing services.

(l) To give any lump estimates or bids to department stores, homes, hotels, clubs, theatres or others for quantities of work not based on a per capita basis.

## ARTICLE 8.

### *Statistics.*

In order to provide data necessary for the submittance of this code of fair competition and for its administration under the said above mentioned ordinance, the members of this industry agree to furnish all possible statistics and information as required by the executive control committee.

## ARTICLE 9.

### *General.*

(a) No provision in this code shall be interpreted or applied in such manner as to:

1. Promote monopolies.
2. Permit or encourage unfair competition.
3. Eliminate or oppress small enterprises.
4. Discriminate against small enterprises.
5. Prevent any retail cleaning store from doing business with any plant or changing the plant with which it is doing business.

(b) This code or any of its provisions may be canceled or modified to the extent necessary to conform to any action by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, or by action of any duly constituted representatives of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, or of said municipality, or to conform to any law of the State of California.

(c) Words, terms or phrases employed in this code or ordinance are to be construed according to the context on the approved usage of the English language in this community and technical words, terms and phrases as used in this code are to be construed according to the peculiar and appropriate meaning thereof, and of the definition thereof,



in the cleaning and dyeing industry in the City and County of San Francisco.

(d) If any section, sub-section, sentence, clause, phrase or part of this ordinance or code is for any reason held to be invalid or unconstitutional or contrary to the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this act. The signatories of said code and the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby declare that they and it, respectively, would have signed and passed said code and said ordinance and each section, sub-section, sentence, clause and part thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, sub-sections, sentences, clauses, phrases or parts be declared invalid or unconstitutional.

(e) Amendments to this code may be adopted by an 80 per cent vote of the membership and shall be effective when approved by ordinance of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

(f) Violation by any member of any provision of this code or any approved rule issued thereunder or of agreed minimum prices is a violation of the code and is a misdemeanor and punishable as provided in Section 6 of the said Service Trade Act of 1935, known as Chapter 814 of the Statutes of California, 1935.

#### ARTICLE 10.

##### *Wholesale Prices.*

The wholesale and retail prices shall be as set forth in the schedule of prices attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes.

#### ARTICLE 11.

Each and all of the provisions hereof shall apply to and be equally binding upon all persons whomsoever who shall transact or attempt to transact, in whole or in part, within the boundaries of the City and County of San Francisco, any or part of any business herein defined, regulated or controlled, subject to the provisions hereof.

The fact that any such person shall have his principal place of business without the City and County of San Francisco, or shall have no place of business within the City and County of San Francisco, or shall be partly or principally engaged in any other business shall not exclude such person from the operation hereof.

#### ARTICLE 12.

##### *Definitions.*

Section a. *Cleaning and Dyeing Industry.* The term cleaning and dyeing industry as used herein is defined to include any and all cleaning and dyeing establishments.

Section b. *Cleaning and Dyeing Establishment.* The term cleaning and dyeing establishment as used herein is defined to include any place where any article of wearing apparel, household furnishings, or fabrics, furs and leather of any kind whatsoever, are dry-cleaned, spotted, wet-cleaned, processed and/or finished for hire, and/or such service is offered for sale. Nothing included herein shall prevent an individual from pursuing the vocation of manual labor.

Section c. *Cleaning Plant.* A cleaning plant consists of equipment for cleaning garments and/or household articles by immersion in volatile moisture-free solvents of petroleum distillate type, coal tar distillate type, or chlorinated hydro-carbon type.

Section d. *Retail Cleaning Plant.* A retail cleaning plant is one which sells at least 75 per cent of its services to the ultimate con-

sumer through sales outlets directly controlled by it, whether such outlets be truck delivery routes, branch stores, or other kinds of outlets.

Section e. *Wholesale Cleaning Plant.* A wholesale cleaning plant is one which sells more than 75 per cent of its services to a retailer at a wholesale price for re-sale by the retailer to the ultimate consumer under the retailer's own name.

Section f. *Branch Store.* A branch store is a retail outlet owned and operated by a plant.

Section g. *Retail Cleaning Store.* A retail cleaning store is any retail tailor and press shop not owned by a plant, and which purchases cleaning, dyeing and hat renovating service from a plant and distributes it to the consumer under the retail cleaning store's own name.

Section h. *Route Salesman.* A route salesman is any person employed, either on a salary or commission basis or both, by a cleaning and/or dyeing and/or hat renovating establishment to call for, solicit and deliver their services and/or collect for same.

Section i. *Dry-cleaning.* Dry-cleaning is the process of cleaning garments or household articles by the immersion and agitation of these articles in volatile moisture-free solvents, such as those of the petroleum distillate type, the coal tar distillate type, or the chlorinated hydro-carbon type, and processes incidental thereto, such as spotting, wet-cleaning, finishing, etc.

Section j. *Spotting.* Spotting is the operation of removing spots or stains which may remain in fabrics after any of the above mentioned cleaning processes.

Section k. *Finishing.* Finishing is the operation of pressing and/or re-shaping any fabric, fur or leather, either by hand or by machine through the use of heat, steam, air, or other means, with the shape, dimensions and contour of the article restored as nearly as possible to the condition in which the article was received.

Section l. *Hat Renovating.* Hat renovating is the process of cleaning, dyeing, bleaching, re-felting, re-blocking, re-shaping, re-trimming and re-modeling hats or any type of head covering.

Section m. *Hat Renovating Establishment.* A hat renovating establishment is one which practices the art of hat renovating, which sells either to wholesale or retail trade, and which is equipped to produce a standard job of hat renovating as defined by the hat renovator's division as set up in this code of fair practice.

Section n. *Retail Hat Renovating Establishment.* A retail hat renovating establishment is one which sells at least 75 per cent of its services to the ultimate consumer through sales outlets directly controlled by it, whether such outlets be truck delivery routes, branch stores or other kind of outlets.

Section o. *Wholesale Hat Renovating Establishment.* A wholesale hat renovating establishment is one which sells more than 75 per cent of its services to a retailer at a wholesale price for re-sale by the retailer to the ultimate consumer under the retailer's own name.

Section p. *Dyeing.* Dyeing is the process of coloring garments, household articles and other textiles by the immersion in, spraying on, or brushing in, either by hand or by machinery, in or with a dye liquor.

Section q. *Dyeing Plant.* A dyeing plant consists of machinery and equipment necessary to carry on the process of bleaching, and/or dyeing garments, household articles and other textiles.

Section r. *Retail Store.* Retail stores are defined as being any shop or agency which finishes by pressing and/or reshaping any fabric, either by hand or by machine, that has been dry cleaned; provided, however, that no retail store which is not wholly owned, oper-



ated and controlled by a wholesale or retail cleaning plant shall be considered or treated, in any manner, as an agency or branch store of such wholesale or retail cleaning plant, provided, however, that a retail clothing store or retail clothing department of a department store, wherein or in connection therewith there is performed pressing and/or alteration of clothing in connection with the sale thereof, shall not be deemed to be a "retail store" as defined herein.

Section s. *Agencies.* An agency is defined as any retail store, branch store, hotel, apartment house, club or other retail outlets which receives cleaning, pressing and/or dyeing work for customers, except dyeing and/or cleaning and/or retail cleaning stores as defined in Section b and Section g of Article 12.

Section t. *Routemen.* Routemen are defined as any person, partnership or corporation engaged in picking up and/or delivering cleaning, pressing and/or dyeing work.

Section u. *Inside Routemen.* Inside routemen are routemen employed by cleaners and/or dyers and/or retail cleaning store operators where the employer owns the good will (list of customers) and pays or does not pay the employee a guaranteed minimum wage with or without commission upon cash collections.

Section v. *Outside Routemen.* Outside routemen are defined as routemen who own their own good will (list of customers) and contract with a cleaner and/or dyer and/or retail cleaning store operator for the cleaning, pressing and/or dyeing of garments and/or household articles.

Section w. *Monthly Volume of Business.* Monthly volume of business is defined to mean the average total monthly volume of cleaning and/or pressing and/or dyeing business handled during any six months' period immediately preceding the date upon which dues are payable.

Section x. *Unduly Long Hours.* It shall be considered to be "unduly long hours" for any place of business whatsoever hereunder to remain open for receiving and/or cleaning and/or dyeing and/or distributing to the public and/or to the industry any plant, branch store, office agency, retail store, retail cleaning store or retail cleaning establishment, or to operate any switchboard or telephone service therein and to operate any delivery vehicle between the hours of 6:30 p. m. and 7:30 a. m., or at any time whatsoever on any Sunday, Christmas Day, New Year's Day, Thanksgiving Day, Independence Day or Labor Day, and the doing of any such act shall be a violation of this code.

#### ARTICLE 13.

Any person, firm or corporation violating any provision or provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine not exceeding \$500 or by imprisonment in the City and County Jail for a period not exceeding six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Each and every day's continuance of such violation shall constitute a separate offense.

#### ARTICLE 14.

This ordinance is enacted under and by virtue of Chapter 814 of the Statutes of 1935 of the State of California, and all applicable provisions of said act are incorporated herein.

Passed:....., 1937.

Effected:....., 1937.

.....  
Mayor.

Attest: .....

City and County Clerk.

# **SCHEDULE OF PRICES** **MINIMUM PRICE LISTS**

## *Men's Wear*

	WHOLESALE		RETAIL	
	<i>Clean Only</i>	<i>Clean and Pressed</i>	<i>Cleaned, Pressed and Finished</i>	<i>Renewed</i>
Suits .....	\$0.30	\$0.60	\$1.00	
Suits (pongee and linen) .....	.40	.75	1.50	
Tuxedo (2 or 3 pcs.) .....	.35	.65	1.25	
Full Dress .....	.35	.65	1.50	
Suits (spot and press) .....	...	.35	.50	
Tuxedo (spot and press) .....	...	.50	.75	
Full Dress (spot and press) .....	...	.60	1.00	
Single Coat .....	.15	.30	.50	
Pants .....	.15	.30	.50	
Corduroy Pants .....	.20	.35	.65	
Vest (plain) .....	.05	.10	.25	
Vest (fancy) .....	.10	.25	.50	
Pants (white) .....	.20	.35	.65	
Overcoats .....	.30	.60	1.00	
Sweaters .....	.15	.25	.50	
Sweaters (sleeveless) .....	.15	.25	.40	
Shirts (wool) .....	.15	.25	.35	
Shirts (silk) .....	.15	.35	.50	
Leather Jackets .....	.25	.40	.75	\$1.50
Bathrobes (silk, wool) .....	.30	.60	1.00	
Ties .....	...	.05	.10	
Gloves .....	...	.10	.25	
Pea Coats .....	.25	.50	.85	
Baseball Suits (3 pcs.) .....	.35	.70	1.00	
Raincoats (rubber) .....	.50	.75	1.25	
Sheepskin Overcoats .....	.50	...	1.00	
Drill Team Uniforms (3 pcs.) ..	.45	.90	1.75	
Drill Team Uniforms (4 pcs.) ..	.55	1.00	2.00	
Coat and Pants .....	.30	.60	1.00	

## *Ladies' Wear*

	WHOLESALE			RETAIL	
	<i>Clean Only</i>	<i>Clean, Press and Finish Plain</i>	<i>Clean, Press and Finish Pleated</i>	<i>Clean, Press and Finish Plain</i>	<i>Clean, Press and Finish Pleated</i>
Dresses (silk, 1 or 2-pc.) ..	\$0.30	\$0.60	\$0.70	\$1.00	\$1.25 up
Dresses (silk, 3 pcs.) .....	.40	.70	.75	1.50	1.75 "
Dresses (ensemble) .....	...	...	...	....	....
Dresses (wool, 1 or 2-pc.) ..	.30	.60	...	1.00	....
Dresses (velvet) .....	.35	.75	...	1.50	....
Dresses, press only .....	...	.50	.75	.75	1.00
Suits (2-pc.) .....	.30	.60	...	1.00	....
Coats (plain) .....	.30	.60	...	1.00	....
Coats (fur trimmed) .....	.30	.65	...	1.25 up	....
Skirts (silk) .....	.15	.30	.35	.50	.75
Skirts (wool) .....	.15	.30	.35	.50	.75
Jackets (silk or wool) .....	.15	.30	.35	.50	.75 up
Waists .....	.15	.30	.35	.50	.75 "
Sweaters (sleeveless) .....	.15	.25	...	.40	...
Sweaters (sleeves) .....	.15	.25	...	.50	...
Bathrobes (silk or wool) ..	.30	.60	...	1.00	...
Leather Jackets .....	.25	.40	...	.75 (renew)	1.50
Scarfs .....	.10	.15	...	.25	...
Corsets .....	.20	.35	...	.75	...
Gloves (short or gaunt.) ..	...	.10	...	.15	...
Gloves (16 buttons) .....	...	.15	...	.25	...



Gloves (20 buttons) .....	...	.20	...	.35	...
White Skirts .....	.20	.35	.45	.50	.75
Drill Team Uniforms					
(3 pcs.) .....	.45	.90	...	1.75	...
Drill Team Uniforms					
(4 pcs.) .....	.55	1.00	...	2.00	...
Purses .....	.15	.25	...	.50	...
Nightgowns .....	.30	.60	...	1.00	...
Pajamas .....	.30	.60	...	1.00	...
Kimonos .....	.30	.60	...	1.00	...
Slippers .....	...	.25	...	.50	...

*Boys' and Girls' Wear*

	WHOLESALE		RETAIL	
	<i>Clean Only</i>	<i>Clean and Pressed</i>	<i>Clean and Pressed Plain</i>	<i>Clean and Pressed Pleated</i>
Raincoats .....	\$0.25	\$0.40	\$0.75	.....
Suits .....	.20	.40	.75	...
Sweaters .....	.10	.20	.40	...
Sweaters (sleeveless) .....	.10	.15	.40	...
Pants .....	.10	.20	.40	...
Corduroy Pants .....	.15	.30	.50	...
Coats .....	.10	.20	.40	...
Dresses (wool or silk) .....	.20	.40	.75	\$0.85 up
Overcoats .....	.15	.30	.75	...
Skirts (wool or silk) .....	.10	.20 up	.40	.50 up

*Babies' Wear*

Suits .....	.15	.25	.50	...
Coats .....	.10	.20	.50	...
Pants .....	.05	.10	.25	...
Sweaters .....	.10	.15	.35	...
Dresses .....	.15	.30 up	.50	.60 up
Bonnets .....	.10	.20	.35	.50 "
Skirts .....	.10	.20	.35	...
Blankets .....	.15	.25	.50	...
Capes .....	.10	.15	.50	...

*Fur Work*

Coats .....	1.50	2.50	5.00 up	...
Jackets (medium) .....	.75	1.25	3.00 "	...
Fox Collars .....	...	.50	1.00 "	...

*Household Lists*

Curtains (cotton) .....	...	.35	.75	...
Curtains (silk) .....	.25	.50	1.00	...
Drapes, single, unlined.....	.25	.50	1.25	...
Drapes, double, unlined.....	.40	.65	1.75	...
Drapes, double, lined.....	.50	.75	2.00	...
Drapes, single, lined.....	.35	.60	1.50	...
Drapes, velvet, velour, sing..	.50	1.00	2.50	...
Drapes, velvet, velour, double	.85	1.50	4.00 up	...
Blankets (single) .....	...	.35	.75	...
Blankets (double) .....	...	.50	1.00	...
Pillows .....	...	.50	1.00	...

*Dye Work List*

	WHOLESALE		RETAIL	
	<i>Dye Only</i>	<i>Dye and Pressed</i>	<i>Dye and Pressed</i>	<i>Dye and Pressed Pleated</i>
Suits .....	\$1.75	\$2.75	\$4.50	.....
Overcoats .....	1.75	2.75	4.50	.....
Ladies' Coats .....	1.75	2.75	4.50	.....
Coats .....	1.00	1.50	2.25	.....

Pants .....	1.00	1.50	2.25	....
Sweaters .....	.75	1.25	1.75	....
Dresses .....	1.75	2.75	4.50	\$5.00 up
Skirts .....	1.00	1.50	2.25	2.50 "
Jackets .....	1.00	1.50	2.25	....
Waists .....	.75	1.25	2.00	2.50
Suits, Dresses or Coats pressed only .....	...	2.00	3.50	....

**NOTE:**

All sample dye will cost wholesale 25c extra and retail 50c extra.

Retail cost to remove and replace fur collar will be \$1.00.

Retail cost to remove and replace fur collar and cuffs will be \$1.50.

**PRICE LISTS FOR ALTERATIONS AND REPAIRS OF CLOTHES***Men's Coats*

Shorten .....	\$1.50
Lengthen .....	2.00
Full relining, labor only .....	4.00
Relining Skeleton back .....	4.00
Sleeve lining, labor only .....	1.50 up
Sleeves shortened .....	.75
Sleeves shortened, open vent .....	1.00
Sleeves lengthened .....	.75
Sleeve repairing, fixing around edges .....	1.00
Collar shortened and take in shoulders .....	3.50
Collar lower and take in shoulders .....	3.50
Side seam, take in with sleeve partly out .....	3.50
Side seam, take in with sleeve fully out .....	5.00
Armhole larger .....	2.50
Shields under arms .....	.75
Buttonholes, hand made .....	.35 up
Buttons, set .....	.75
New Pocket .....	.75
New Pocket with money pocket .....	1.00

*Pants.*

Shorten .....	\$0.50
Set of Suspender Buttons .....	.50
Loops for Belt .....	.75
Binding Side Pockets .....	.75
New Crotch .....	1.50
Reinforce Knees .....	1.00
Shorten with French Cuffs .....	.75
Take in Waist .....	.75
Half Pocket .....	.35
Whole Pocket .....	.75

*Vests.*

Smaller at sides .....	\$0.75
Larger at sides .....	1.00
New Back Lining .....	1.50
New Lining (complete, including material) .....	3.00
Buttons, each .....	.05
Buttonholes, each .....	.25
Lengthen .....	1.50
Pockets, each .....	.50

*Ladies' Coats.*

Shorten .....	\$1.50
Shorten (open all lining) .....	2.00
Lengthen .....	2.00



Reline (labor only) .....	4.00 up
Shields .....	1.00
Shorten sleeves .....	.75
Shorten sleeves with cuffs at bottom.....	1.25
Lengthen sleeves with cuffs at bottom.....	1.25
Lengthen sleeves .....	1.00
New Pockets .....	.75 up

*Dresses.*

Shorten .....	\$1.00 up
Lengthen .....	1.50
Lengthen, flair bottom .....	1.75
Shorten, flair bottom .....	1.75

## HAT PRICE LIST.

*Men's.*

	<i>Retail</i>	<i>Wholesale</i>
Felts, cleaned and blocked.....	\$ .75	\$ .40
Felts, cleaned only .....		.10
Felts, blocked only .....	.50	.30
Felts, turned (inside out) .....	1.00	.65
Felts, cut brim .....	.25	.15
Felts, shrink (remove leather) .....	.25	.15
Derby, clean and block .....	1.00	.65
Cowboy Hats, clean and block .....	1.50	1.00
Panamas, bleach and block .....	1.50	.75
Panamas, new bodies bleached and block.....	1.75	1.00
Straws, cleaned and blocked .....	1.00	.60
Caps, Soft .....	.35	.20
Caps, clean only .....	.35	.10
Caps, uniform .....	.50	.30
Caps, children .....	.25	.10

*Ladies'.*

	<i>Retail</i>	<i>Wholesale</i>
Wool and felt, clean and block.....	\$ .75	\$ .40
Cloth, wide brim, clean and block .....	.75	.40
Cloth, narrow brim, clean and block .....	.50	.30
All types, clean only .....		.10
Panamas, bleach and block .....	1.50	.75
Straws, bleach and block .....	1.00	.60

## TRIMMINGS.

*Men's and Ladies'.*

Ribbon .....	\$ .50	\$ .40
Sweat Band .....	.50	.40
Binding .....	.75	.50
Lining .....	.50	.40
Sweat Shield .....	.50	.40
Welt .....	.75	.50

## DYE WORK LIST.

*Household List.*

Domestic Rugs, 9x12 .....	\$ 9.00
Oriental Rugs, 9x12 .....	12.00
Runners, 27x36 per carpet yard .....	.75
Minimum charge, first yard .....	1.50
Bed Spreads .....	1.50 up
Table Cloths .....	1.50 "
Table Cloth and Napkins .....	2.50 "

Napkins, per dozen .....	1.50 "
Plain Drapes, ½ width .....	1.50 "
Plain Drapes, full width .....	2.25 "
Damask Drapes, 5 to 8 feet long .....	
Damask Drapes, ½ width, pair .....	2.00 "
Damask Drapes, full width .....	2.75 "
Velour Drapes, ½ width .....	2.00 "
Velour Drapes, full width .....	2.75 "
Velour Drapes, double-faced, ½ width .....	3.00 "
Velour Drapes, double-faced, full width .....	4.00 "

*Boys' List.*

O'coat .....	\$ 1.25
Suits (2 or 3-piece) .....	1.25
Pants .....	.75
Jackets .....	.75
Sweaters .....	.50
Sweater Sets .....	1.00

*Girls' List.*

Coats .....	\$ 1.25
Suits .....	1.25
Dresses .....	1.25
Skirts .....	.75
Blouses .....	.75
Hats .....	.50

*Babies' List.*

Babies' Coats (silk or wool) .....	\$ 1.00
Babies' Coats and Caps .....	1.25
Babies' Dresses .....	.75
Babies' Sweater Sets .....	1.25
Babies' Bonnets .....	.50
Babies' Buggy Robes .....	1.00
Babies' Silk Comforts .....	.75 up

*Refused reconsideration by the following vote:*

Aye—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Noes—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Ratto, Roncovieri—4.

**SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.****Rapid Transit.****Motion.**

Supervisor Meyer, seconded by Supervisor Mead, renewed his motion No. 6, to-wit:

That the Public Utilities Commission's subway and rapid transit proposal be submitted to the voters in the form of a bond proposition, and that the Board go on record favoring its ratification by the voters.

Wm. J. Varley, on motion of Supervisor Mead, was granted the privilege of the floor. He said that he represented the Greater Mission Rapid Transit League and that his organization was studying a plan for an increased car fare which would enable the financing of a rapid transit system without expensive bond issues.

**Action Deferred.**

Thereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the further consideration of Supervisor Meyer's motion was *continued until tomorrow at 2 p. m.*



**SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.****City Attorney to Render Opinion as to Validity of Franchises Under Which P. G. and E. Is Distributing Gas and Electricity in San Francisco.**

(Code No. 15.031)

Resolution No. 3140, as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby requested to render his opinion at the earliest possible moment as to the validity of franchises under which the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is distributing gas and electricity in San Francisco.

**Minority Report.**

The following was presented and read by Supervisor McSheehy:

March 4, 1937.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen:

**Colman Amendment.**

Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby requested to render his opinion at the earliest possible moment as to the validity of franchises under which the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is distributing gas and electricity in San Francisco.

In connection with the above resolution I respectfully submit my minority report for the obvious reasons contained in my original resolution set forth below:

**McSheehy Resolution.**

Whereas, on March 9 of this year an election will be held calling for the issuance of revenue bonds to purchase the electric distributing system of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company as outlined by the Public Utilities Commission; and

Whereas, a special committee was appointed by this Board to devise ways and means of purchasing the electric distributing system of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company in San Francisco and to negotiate with that company for the acquisition of its electric distributing system; and

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors has requested the City Attorney to make a report to the Board upon the following questions:

Has the Pacific Gas and Electric Company a franchise which authorizes it to sell gas in San Francisco for power, heating and cooking purposes?

Has the Pacific Gas and Electric Company a franchise which authorizes it to sell electricity in San Francisco for power, heating and cooking purposes?

Resolved, That the Public Utilities Commission and the City Attorney are hereby authorized and directed to take immediate steps by conference with appropriate officials of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company to determine the attitude of that company toward the sale of their electric distributing properties in San Francisco at a fair price to the people of the City and County of San Francisco and to the stock and bond holders of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, as well as to determine the attitude of that company in the matter of franchise rights in San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby requested to render his opinion at the earliest possible moment as to the validity of franchises under which the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is distributing gas and electricity in San Francisco.

Respectfully submitted,

JAMES B. MCSHEEHY, Supervisor.

**Motion.**

Supervisor McSheehy, thereupon, moved that the minority resolution be substituted for the majority report.

**Action Deferred.**

Whereupon, further consideration of the foregoing matter was *made a Special Order of Business for 4 p. m. next Monday and in the meantime re-referred to the Special Hetch Hetchy Committee.*

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS.****Final Passage.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Authorizing Exchange of Certain Easements of Right of Way With Department of Public Works of the State of California.**

(Code No. 15.0243)

Bill No. 1257, Ordinance No. 15.02434, as follows:

Authorizing exchange of certain easements of right of way with Department of Public Works of the State of California.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to a resolution passed by the Public Utilities Commission and for the purpose of realigning certain portions of State Highway Route IV in San Mateo County through property under the control of the Water Department and owned by the City and County of San Francisco, the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco conveying a right of way easement for highway purposes to the hereinafter described property.

Section 2. The right of way easement for highway purposes is over the following described parcels of land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California:

Being portions of that certain 44.67-acre tract of land known as Belmont Pump Lot, numbered Parcel 35 San Mateo County Lands in deed from Spring Valley Water Company to City and County of San Francisco, dated March 3, 1930, and recorded March 3, 1930, in Volume 491 of Official Records, page 1, San Mateo County Records; more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

PARCEL 1. Commencing at the point of intersection of the southeasterly line of said tract of land with the northeasterly line of the existing State highway, designated as Road IV, San Mateo County, Route 2, Section B; said intersection being the most westerly corner of that certain piece or parcel of land conveyed by Asa E. Hull to Smack Beverage Company, Inc., a corporation, by deed dated January 28, 1927, and recorded February 4, 1927, in Volume 264, Official Records, page 450, San Mateo County Records; thence along the southeasterly line of said 44.67-acre tract of land, north 49 degrees 04 minutes 30 seconds east, 17.25 feet to Station "W" 234+21.06 P. O. C. on the center line of the survey for the relocation of said State highway; thence continuing north 49 degrees 04 minutes 30 seconds east, 50.06 feet to a point on a curve concentric with and 50 feet northeasterly from the center line of said relocation survey; thence along said curve to the right, from a tangent bearing north 43 degrees 47 minutes 55 seconds west, with a radius of 2950 feet, through an angle of 8 degrees 04 minutes 25 seconds, a distance of 415.69 feet; thence north 35 degrees 43 minutes 30 seconds west, 236.75 feet to a point on said northeasterly line of the existing State highway; thence along said northeasterly line south 16 degrees 56 minutes east, 78.19 feet; thence



tangent to the last-named course, along a curve to the left, with a radius of 967 feet, through an angle of 35 degrees 06 minutes 24 seconds, a distance of 592.51 feet to the point of commencement; containing 0.939 of an acre, more or less.

PARCEL 2. Commencing at the point of intersection of the southeasterly line of "F" street, being the northwesterly line of said 44.67-acre tract of land, with the southeasterly prolongation of the southwesterly line of Fifth avenue, as said street and avenue are shown upon that certain map entitled, "Sunny Slope Belmont," filed for record May 4, 1889, in Book "A" of Original Maps, page 5, San Mateo County Records; distant, along the northwesterly line of said 44.67-acre tract of land, south 49 degrees 04 minutes 30 seconds west, 56.26 feet from Station "W" 227+54.0 P. O. T. on the center line of said relocation survey; thence along the northwesterly line of said tract of land north 49 degrees 04 minutes 30 seconds east, 39.38 feet to a point in the southwesterly line of the existing State highway; thence along said southwesterly line south 16 degrees 56 minutes east, 64.76 feet; thence tangent to the last-named course, along a curve to the left, with a radius of 1033 feet; through an angle of 2 degrees 15 minutes 16 seconds, a distance of 40.65 feet to a point in a line parallel to and 50 feet southwesterly, at right angles, from the center line of said relocation survey; thence along said parallel line north 35 degrees 43 minutes 30 seconds west, 67.15 feet to a point on said southeasterly prolongation of the southwesterly line of Fifth avenue; thence along said southeasterly prolongation, north 47 degrees 20 minutes 30 seconds west, 29.94 feet to the point of commencement; containing 0.038 of an acre, more or less.

PARCEL 3. Being a portion of that certain unnumbered lot in Block 7, known as San Carlos Park Lot, as said lot and block are shown upon that certain map entitled, "Re-subdivision of a Portion of the Town of San Carlos, San Mateo County, California," filed for record May 20, 1921, in Book 10 of Maps, pages 39 and 40, San Mateo County Records; and being numbered Parcel 36 San Mateo County Lands in hereinbefore mentioned deed from Spring Valley Water Company to City and County of San Francisco; and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at the most northerly corner of said Block 7; thence along the northwesterly line of said Block 7, south 49 degrees 10 minutes west (shown on said map as south 49 degrees 01 minutes 30 seconds west), 22.14 feet to Station "W" 240+89.26 P. O. T. on the center line of said relocation survey; thence continuing south 49 degrees 10 minutes west, 7.86 feet; thence in a southeasterly direction 20 feet; thence at right angles in a northeasterly direction 30.9 feet to a point on the northeasterly line of said Block 7, being the southwesterly line of the existing State highway; thence along said northeasterly line north 43 degrees 36 minutes 30 seconds west, 20.0 feet to the point of commencement; containing 0.014 of an acre, more or less.

The conveyance of right of way over Parcels 1 and 2 shall be a conveyance of surface rights only and nothing in the grant shall be construed so as to deprive the City of the use of the land beneath the surface of said right of way. Said conveyance shall be made subject to the following terms and conditions:

(a) The City hereby expressly reserves from the terms of this grant, the land beneath the surface of the land hereinbefore described in the descriptions of Parcels 1 and 2 and nothing in this grant is to be construed so as to deprive the City of the use of said land beneath the surface of Parcels 1 and 2; provided that the City, before commencing any operation within the scope of said rights so reserved shall notify the department of the fact and the nature of the work intended to be done, in order that the department may suggest changes therein or may make other recommendations in connection therewith; said suggestions or recommendations shall be advisory only and shall

not bind the City. Any paving or surfacing of said highway removed or damaged in any construction or repair work by the City shall be replaced by the City at its own expense in the same condition as it was in prior to such construction or repair work.

(b) The Department of Public Works shall, at its own expense, construct and maintain a public road or highway over and along the above right of way and shall hold the City free from any obligation, liability or expense in connection with the construction, reconstruction, maintenance, or repair of said road or highway, the necessity for which construction, reconstruction, maintenance, or repair shall result from any cause whatsoever, except from the City's exercise of the rights herein reserved.

(c) The Department of Public Works shall, at its own expense, properly protect the City's existing and future pipe lines and other structures constructed across the hereinbefore described property against damage from the construction, maintenance, repair and/or use of said road or highway.

(d) The Department of Public Works shall not construct any subsurface drainage, culverts or other permanent structures on the lands herein described in such manner or location that said subsurface drainage, culverts or structures shall interfere with the free and unobstructed exercise of the rights herein reserved to the City.

(e) The Department shall hold and save harmless the City from any and all responsibility due directly or indirectly to the construction, maintenance and/or use of said highway across the City's land and structures.

Section 3. The above conveyance shall only have effect when the California Highway Commission shall execute a good and sufficient conveyance to the City and County of San Francisco abandoning the existing highway contiguous to the hereinabove described property vesting title of the abandoned highway in the City and County of San Francisco.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

### Authorizing Lease of City Land.

(Code No. 12.1739)

Also, Bill No. 1258, Ordinance No. 12.17396, as follows:

Authorizing lease of City land.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 93 of the Charter, and in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for leasing to the highest responsible bidder at the highest monthly rental, the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Van Ness avenue South, distant southeasterly thereon 726.53 feet from the southwesterly line of Twelfth street, said point being also in the southwesterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Bertha Bullerdieck; thence southeasterly along said line of Van Ness avenue South, 102.29 feet; thence southerly and westerly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 12 feet, central angle 114 degrees 43 minutes 56 seconds, a distance of 24.030 feet to tangency with the northeasterly line of Thirteenth street; thence northwesterly along said line of Thirteenth street, 38.28 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 110.04 feet to aforesaid property line of Bullerdieck;



thence at right angles southeasterly along last-named line, 1.95 feet to said line of Van Ness avenue South and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Mission Block 15.

Section 2. Said lease shall be subject to such reservations and conditions as may be deemed necessary or desirable by the Director of Property and the City Attorney.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

### Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the following matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for 3 P. M.*:

### Amending Annual Salary Ordinance of District Attorney's Office.

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1223, Ordinance No. 9.05394, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 9.05380 by adding Item 15½ to Section 7 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 7 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 is hereby amended to read as follows:

### Section 7. DISTRICT ATTORNEY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		District Attorney .....	\$ 666.66
2	3	B154	Criminal Law Clerk .....	200
3	3	B154	Criminal Law Clerk .....	190
4	1	B156	Senior Criminal Law Clerk .....	225
5	1	B166	Chief Clerk, District Attorney's Office...	250
6	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
7	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
8	1	B222	General Clerk (part time) .....	50
9	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
10	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	150
11	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
12	1	B516	Senior Clerk-Typist .....	175
13	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil .....	375
14	5	K54	Attorney, Criminal .....	250
15	1	K54	Attorney, Criminal .....	190
15½	1	K54	Attorney, Criminal (part time).....	120
16	3	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	375
17	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	300
18	2	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	250
19	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	200
20	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	190
21	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal .....	375
22	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal .....	275
23	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal .....	250

**NEW BUSINESS.****Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Refunds of Taxes on Erroneous and Over-Payments.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Resolution No. 3144, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund to the following named, being refunds of erroneous and over-payments of taxes:

- |   |          |
|---|----------|
| (1) Louis J. Cresta, per Vol. 22, Bill 633, Lot 18, Block 3144B,<br>1st Installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment....                              | \$ 13.24 |
| (2) Louise A. Johns, per Vol. 3, Bill 1207, Lot 27, Block 463A,<br>2d Installment, fiscal year 1935, erroneous payment.....                               | 343.52   |
| (3) California Pacific Title and Trust Co., per Vol. 23, Bill<br>2120, Lot 14A, Block 3560, 1st Installment, fiscal year<br>1936, erroneous payment ..... | 38.79    |
| (4) Albert T. Roche, per Vol. 18, Bill 701, Lot 26, Block 2407,<br>1st Installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment.....                              | 43.93    |
| (5) Hale Bros. Stores, Inc., per Vol. 25, Bill 1800, Lot 32, Block<br>3723, both Installments, fiscal year 1935, erroneous pay-<br>ment .....             | 59.64    |
| (6) E. L. Mathews, per Vol. 8, Bill 41, Lot 9, Block 1209, 1st<br>Installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment.....                                   | 69.06    |
| (7) J. B. Cash, per Vol. 9, Page 79, Line 19, Unsecured Personal<br>Property Rolls, fiscal year 1936.....   | 3.97     |
| (8) Bozeman Canning Co., per Vol. 9, page 61, line 13, 1936<br>Unsecured Personal Property Rolls.....   | 4.57     |
| (9) Pacific Coast Aggregates, Inc., erroneous duplicate assess-<br>ment of improvements .....   | 283.80   |

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

**Cancellation of Taxes, State Property.**

(Code No. 9.022)

Also, Resolution No. 3149, as follows:

Whereas, the Tax Collector has reported that the following described property is now recorded in the name of the Veterans' Welfare Board of the State of California, and being State property, taxes thereon, as follows, should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the following taxes are hereby cancelled in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804 of the Political Code, to-wit:

<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Assessed to</i>	<i>1936 Taxes Unpaid</i>	<i>Change of Ownership Recorded</i>
36	1818	Carl Gellert .....	\$ 11.92 2nd	11-18-36
1L	1504	E. & G. Anstey .....	59.60 2nd	11- 6-36
7	1575	N. & J. Paulson .....	20.81 2nd	11-13-36
12	1716	L. J. Neal .....	44.46 2nd	11- 6-36
41	1793	Nora A. Kling .....	12.30 2nd	11-20-36
10	1813	H. Christensen .....	8.51 2nd	12- 9-36
36	1816	H. H. & M. E. Isaac.....	38.60 2nd	11-12-36
19	1821	G. Freethy .....	39.73 2nd	11-12-36
18	1822	Est. Albert Jacobs Co. ....	8.51 2nd	11-16-36
26	1823	H. & E. Lay .....	41.06 2nd	11-27-36



<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Assessed to</i>	<i>1936 Taxes Unpaid</i>	<i>Change of Ownership Recorded</i>
1J	1878	Northern Co. Title Ins.....	7.57 2nd	11- 9-36
1L	1878	do	6.62 2nd	11- 2-36
18U	1889	M. T. Altvater .....	7.57 2nd	11- 6-36
14A	1914	C. T. & A. F. Lindsay .....	17.03 2nd	11-10-36
14	1927A	Henry Doelger, Inc. ....	7.19 2nd	12- 2-36
26	1931	T. D. Boardman .....	7.57 2nd	11-13-36
20	2032	H. Wildenrad, Jr. ....	12.30 2nd	12-10-36
21	2038A	J. R. Pulsifer .....	8.51 2nd	11-27-36
36	2334A	O. V. & R. Maixner .....	27.06 2nd	11-10-36
16A	2481	W. G. & M. Ryberg .....	43.71 2nd	11- 4-36
4	2503A	Parkside Realty Co. ....	35.57 2nd	11-27-36
11	2526B	A. J. & M. A. Herzig .....	13.81 2nd	12- 5-36
7	2859	American Trust Co. ....	59.22 2nd	11-17-36
27	2901E	do	7.19 2nd	11-18-36
28	2901E	do	7.19 2nd	11-21-36
29	2917	C. W. & M. J. Elliott.....	27.43 2nd	12- 1-36
25	2920	H. & H. Galvan .....	43.71 2nd	12- 3-36
15	2955A	American Trust Co. ....	46.54 2nd	10-31-36
3	2956A	The McCarthy Co. ....	37.84 2nd	11- 4-36
33	2957A	Meyer Bros. ....	41.25 2nd	12- 3-36
34	2971	do	46.92 2nd	10-31-36
1D	3208A	A. DeBenedetti .....	6.43 2nd	11-18-36
27	5875	Heyman Bros. ....	2.27 2nd	12- 2-36
48	6937	T. P. & M. I. Degnan .....	6.63 2nd	11-27-36
30	6971A	P. A. & M. Braunworth.....	30.65 2nd	11-17-36
25	7028A	Baldwin & Howell .....	6.05 2nd	11-16-36

Also listed in the petition are

Lot 7C, Block 2136  
29 2901E  
15 7028B

on which both installments of the 1936 taxes have been paid.

1H	824	Wm. & O. M. Olney .....	126.76 2nd	4- 9-36
18	1501	Carl Gellert .....	96.50 both	4-16-36
28	1509	Northern Co. Title Ins.....	98.38 both	4-10-36
14	1583	Joseph Bonaiti .....	94.60 both	3-23-36
31	1602	F. A. & H. Hammarstrom....	56.76 both	3-30-36
			2.65 Personal Prop.	
11	1611	F. & A. Mensing .....	96.50 both	4-14-36
5	1616	T. E. & M. L. Mohler .....	104.82 both	4-29-36
			1.70 Personal Prop.	
1B	1617	E. & E. Prinz .....	80.98 both	3-17-36
15	1625	J. J. & N. A. Draper .....	87.42 both	3-31-36
42A	1659	C. P. & M. E. Feathers .....	82.50 both	3-20-36
3(I)	1676	A. & R. Cohen .....	136.22 both	4- 3-36
10	1681	T. E. & M. L. Mohler .....	100.28 both	4-16-36
18	1786	R. F. & B. W. Galli .....	87.04 both	4-18-36
10	1812	Carl Gellert .....	73.78 both	4-20-36
3	1814	Grace Rushing .....	77.58 both	3-20-36
24C	1830	Carl Gellert .....	77.96 both	4- 1-36
6	1863	D. & L. Mahoney .....	45.40 both	4- 3-36
			.38 Personal Prop.	
17	1863	E. D. & D. H. Green .....	35.94 both	4-18-36
			4.16 Personal Prop.	
35	1869	L. L. & M. A. Bradbury.....	73.78 both	3-17-36
26	1875	Henry Doelger, Inc. ....	47.30 both	5- 6-36
26A	1875	do	47.30 both	4-23-36
32A	1875	do	44.46 2nd	4-23-36
1C	1878	Carl Gellert .....	74.16 both	4- 1-36
1E	1878	do	75.68 both	4-11-36

<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Assessed to</i>	<i>1936 Taxes Unpaid</i>	<i>Change of Ownership Recorded</i>
1F	1878	Northern Co. Title Ins.....	75.68 both	4-16-36
9	1890	L. A. Doyle .....	75.68 both	5- 1-36
2A	1912	Carl Gellert .....	71.90 both	4- 1-36
3A	1912	do	71.90 both	4-23-36
3B	1912	Carl Gellert .....	79.46 both	4- 1-36
3E	1916	F. A. & L. H. Quadros.....	23.65 2nd	5- 9-36
48	1921	A. C. & G. M. Hammond.....	19.68 2nd	5- 6-36
25A	1932	Carl Gellert .....	79.46 both	4-11-36
12	2023	R. F. Galli .....	34.06 both	5- 2-36
27	2026	Anza Home Bldg. Co. ....	85.14 both	4-15-36
27	2038A	Carl Gellert .....	77.58 both	5- 1-36
34	2059	L. & A. Costello .....	30.28 both	4-13-36
			1.32 Personal Prop.	
2K	2118	Henry Doelger, Inc. ....	59.78 both	3-21-36
16	2118	do	42.76 both	5- 9-36
19	2118	do	20.81 2nd	3-20-36
21	2118	do	41.62 both	4-28-36
23	2118	do	49.20 both	4- 9-36
15A	2140	W. B. & B. E. Doyle.....	35.94 both	5- 6-36
			.38 Personal Prop.	
21	2188	Con T. Shea .....	27.62 both	4-30-36
46	2196	H. Christiansen .....	79.46 both	4- 4-36
10	2199	W. M. Rogers .....	99.52 both	4-13-36
18	2321	R. A. & I. Sweeney .....	39.74 both	4-16-36
			1.89 Personal Prop.	
46	2325	W. P. Coles .....	73.78 both	4- 1-36
3D	2331	W. L. & A. M. Underwood....	21.38 2nd	4-25-36
15	2332	H. M. & A. C. Ellissanbru...	5.68 Personal Prop.	
			113.14 both	4-20-36
2	2335A	M. K. Colvey .....	88.92 both	3-12-36
2	2360	J. M. & H. M. Mayfield.....	105.96 both	3-13-36
17	2392	E. A. & J. Collins .....	15.70 2nd	3-21-36
19	2392	E. T. & O. I. Adams .....	77.58 both	4-10-36
14	2404	E. H. & J. L. Strei .....	71.90 both	3-24-36
18	2409	Martin Smith .....	76.82 both	3-13-36
5S	2419	D. H. & M. Bright .....	56.76 both	5- 2-36
			1.89 Personal Prop.	
9A	2428	C. M. & K. Larsen .....	81.74 both	3-16-36
14A	2433	A. Bernhardt & S. Cowen...	33.30 both	4-10-36
14B	2433	A. & A. do	33.30 both	4-11-36
38	2464A	G. E. & E. Gilbrech.....	15.14 2nd	3-24-36
7	2474	Herman Christensen .....	87.04 both	3-21-36
35	2475	Meyer Bros. ....	71.90 both	4- 2-36
26	2654	H. E. Busse .....	87.78 both	4- 9-36
22A	2768	Northern Co. Title Ins. ....	60.92 both	3-28-36
1	2886	W. B. & V. McLaughlin.....	119.96 both	4-11-36
33	2891	R. P. & L. F. McCarthy.....	24.79 2nd	5- 7-36
35	2891	Hawkins Imp. Co. ....	88.92 both	4-15-36
36	2914	F. A. & R. I. Diehl.....	80.22 both	4-11-36
16	2945A	J. F. & I. Conlan.....	74.56 both	3-16-36
27	2961A	Meyer Bros. ....	43.71 2nd	4-30-36
			4.73 Personal Prop.	
2	2989	R. & C. Flatland .....	64.32 both	3-31-36
41	2989	W. C. & E. M. Edwards.....	29.33 2nd	4-23-36
19	3115	P. M. & A. E. Dion .....	23.08 both	4-18-36
			1.89 Personal Prop.	
20	3278	R. L. & M. A. Erlin .....	96.12 both	5- 2-36
31	5072	W. H. Grahm .....	58.28 both	3-20-36
8	5835	J. P. & M. Walsh.....	30.27 both	5- 7-36



<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Assessed to</i>	<i>1936 Taxes Unpaid</i>	<i>Change of Ownership Recorded</i>
21	5875	Heyman Bros. ....	65.08 both	4- 3-36
22	5875	do	65.08 both	4-27-36
23	5875	do	65.08 both	4-27-36
25	5940	M. H. & E. H. Johnson.....	63.58 both	4-15-36
9	6072	J. M. & A. E. Burris .....	14.38 both	3-25-36
1F	6307	C. F. & L. J. Hermann.....	60.92 both	3-24-36
2	6352	G. M. Battersby .....	71.90 both	4-13-36
19A-20	6446	J. & A. Lutge .....	19.87 2nd	5- 8-36
43	6786	E. & R. D. Garfes .....	71.90 both	3-31-36
8	6795C	L. Depaoli .....	73.78 both	5- 6-36
16	6911	Anglo California Nat'l Bk....	143.80 both	3-23-36
36	6938	B. F. & A. T. Hinkel.....	17.03 2nd	3-21-36
6D	6962	C. & D. Burke .....	19.68 2nd	4- 3-36
4	6971B	Baldwin & Howell .....	75.68 both	3-31-36
8	6971B	H. & H. Stoneson .....	61.30 both	3-26-36
20	7028A	do	72.66 both	5- 9-36
29	7028A	do	72.66 both	3-30-36
22	7032A	H. & E. Stoneson .....	37.08 2nd	3-28-36
3	7043	B. Barrett .....	88.16 both	4-17-36
25	7161	Mary Martin .....	27.24 both	3-21-36
			4.73 Personal Prop.	
30	7161	E. & D. Hansen .....	26.48 both	3-17-36
Also listed in this petition are the following:				
5B	1473	Both installments of 1936 taxes paid		
34A	1783	Assessed to Veterans' Welfare Board on 1936 Roll		
25	1789	do		
1G	1912	Both installments of 1936 taxes paid		
25D	2430	Assessed to Veterans' Welfare Board on 1936 Roll		
43	2479	do		
1	2481	do		
29	2971	do		
14A	3276	do		
22	6904	do		
5	2989	do		
13	1354	G. K. & I. H. Cunningham...	117.30 both	6-10-36
22F	1387	B. J. & E. M. Hagan .....	83.24 both	5-29-36
			10.78 Personal Prop.	
3H	1390	L. R. & R. Wertheimer .....	47.30 2nd	6- 6-36
2	1407	C. & O. Desalernos .....	62.06 both	6- 5-36
			1.89 Personal Prop.	
36	1447	M. Sims .....	79.46 both	5-28-36
10	1616	Rosa Gervasi .....	105.58 both	6-10-36
11	1621	T. C. & E. O'Connor .....	29.89 2nd	5-28-36
16	1672	California Pacific Title .....	86.66 both	6-22-36
15	1777	G. C. Lee .....	84.00 both	6-30-36
35	1783	A. Hallgren .....	40.86 both	5-15-36
22E	1785	Henry Doelger, Inc. ....	26.48 both	6-30-36
20	1787	J. B. & M. C. Hill .....	37.84 both	6-30-36
			.38 Personal Prop.	
23	1789	J. A. & M. Tjalden.....	24.60 both	5-26-36
11	1792	Carl Gellert .....	30.28 both	7- 1-36
13A	1792	C. & G. E. Gellert .....	24.60 both	6-30-36
20	1821	Carl Gellert .....	15.14 both	6-30-36
25	1843	M. & M. McDonough .....	95.36 both	5-20-36
18	1861A	H. J. Daniels .....	82.12 both	6-17-36
			4.73 Personal Prop.	
9A	1871	Carl Gellert .....	81.36 both	6-17-36
27	1875	Henry Doelger, Inc. ....	47.30 both	5-27-36

<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Assessed to</i>	<i>1936 Taxes Unpaid</i>	<i>Change of Ownership Recorded</i>
27A	1875	do	47.30 both	6-26-36
1G	1878	Northern Co. Title Ins. ....	75.68 both	6- 5-36
2P	1912	C. Anderson .....	34.06 both	6-15-36
41	1913	Henry Doelger, Inc. ....	85.52 both	5-27-36
4B	1916	K. M. Russell .....	71.90 both	6-20-36
7	1926	W. B. & D. P. Bell .....	79.46 both	6- 2-36
20	1927A	Henry Doelger, Inc. ....	14.38 both	6- 3-36
21	1927A	do	33.30 both	6-3- 36
23	1927A	do	33.30 both	5-27-36
5	2023	Carl Gellert .....	34.06 both	5-29-36
6	2023	do	34.06 both	6-29-36
7	2023	do	34.06 both	6-17-36
11	2023	R. F. Galli .....	34.06 both	5-27-36
2	2117	Heyman Bros. ....	82.86 both	6-10-36
22	2118	Henry Doelger, Inc. ....	24.60 2nd	5-16-36
30	2188	do	27.62 both	6-30-36
10A	2317	R. B. & E. E. Nealon .....	26.48 both	6- 9-36
			3.78 Personal Prop.	
32	2325	W. J. Donovan .....	21.76 2nd	5-12-36
5	2328	F. J. & E. M. Weiss .....	24.60 2nd	5-20-36
32	2399	E. J. & F. M. Clark .....	23.65 2nd	6- 2-36
22	2405	Parkside Realty Co. ....	68.12 both	6-19-36
			1.89 Personal Prop.	
32	2473	Albert Garbaldi .....	75.68 both	6-15-36
1	2475	Meyer Bros. ....	78.32 both	6- 5-36
10	2478	H. Christensen .....	30.28 both	6-20-36
11	2478	do	30.28 both	6- 6-36
3G	2480	E. B. & M. L. Holloway .....	79.46 both	5-28-36
3O	2480	Meyer Bros. ....	75.68 both	5-20-36
8	2481	Metropolitan Life Ins. ....	76.06 both	5-25-36
5A	2482	Rebecca Morris .....	87.42 both	5-16-36
22	2696	P. & M. Panagouleas .....	88.54 both	5-14-36
13	2746	Fernando Nelson & Sons .....	71.14 both	5- 9-36
7	2888A	D. M. & N. D. Christian .....	73.04 both	5-19-36
			3.78 Personal Prop.	
8	2888A	R. S. Behlow .....	64.70 both	6-16-36
			12.68 Personal Prop.	
2	2890	Anglo California Sec. Co. ....	59.79 2nd	5-29-36
43	2914	J. V. Campbell .....	82.12 both	5-26-36
8	2948A	Meyer Bros. ....	76.82 both	5-27-36
25	2948A	do	92.70 both	5-29-36
1	2961A	L. H. & H. Berendsen .....	47.30 both	5-18-36
			6.05 Personal Prop.	
23	3651	J. C. & E. DeRosa .....	26.87 2nd	6- 2-36
1	4275	W. H. & A. Lea .....	57.14 both	6-30-36
22	6452	Crocker Estate Co. ....	50.70 both	5-13-36
			.95 Personal Prop.	
18	6911	A. J. & E. L. McInerney .....	105.96 both	7- 1-36
			4.35 Personal Prop.	
22	6971B	Baldwin & Howell .....	54.86 both	5-19-36
4A	7210	Fernando Nelson & Sons .....	113.90 both	6- 4-36

The deeds on the following were recorded after July 1, 1936, but the first installment of 1936-37 taxes has not been paid:

Lot 22F, Block 1785

1B	2025
29	2188
9	5839



Listed in the petition are:

Lot 3, Block 3151  
17B 6556  
8 2362

on which both installments of 1936-37 taxes have been paid.

Also Lot 6A, Block 2482, which already appears in the name of the Veterans' Welfare Board.

Lot	Block	Assessed to	1936 Taxes	Change of
			Unpaid	Ownership Recorded
10C	1670	B. A. & C. Wehner.....	51.08 2nd	10-24-36
34	1799	T. O. & A. E. Thronson.....	26.11 2nd	10-15-36
8F	1815	G. & M. Brarens.....	17.97 2nd	10-29-36
2	1912	J. H. Gillam & T. W. Horgan	4.54 2nd	10-31-36
45	1919	D. V. & C. G. Pensabene.....	38.79 2nd	10-29-36
9	1927A	Henry Doelger, Inc.....	7.19 2nd	10- 1-36
12	1927A	do	7.19 2nd	10-22-36
25	1927A	do	10.22 2nd	10- 1-36
31	2188	Con T. Shea.....	14.19 2nd	9-22-36
25B	2223	W. & A. K. Johnson.....	23.65 2nd	10-17-36
45	2325	E. G. Anderson.....	36.89 2nd	9-24-36
16D	2328	H. E. & H. Crosstrwaite.....	37.84 2nd	9-24-36
20	2357	A. J. & B. Benson.....	18.92 2nd	10- 8-36
14G	2746	Fernando Nelson Sons.....	3.97 2nd	10-16-36
4	2901C	Meyer Bros. ....	17.97 2nd	9-24-36
22	2982	B. F. Himmel.....	21.38 2nd	10-31-36
35	2985	Meyer Bros. ....	41.81 2nd	11- 2-36
33	3116	Moneta Inv. Co.....	5.11 2nd	9-24-36
28	3257	F. H. & E. B. Matthews.....	43.71 2nd	10-16-36
7	3271	N. E. & A. Johnson.....	57.33 2nd	10-21-36
5	6416	C. E. & E. C. Cross.....	10.97 2nd	10- 9-36
41	6795C	Louis Depaoli .....	7.38 2nd	10-28-36
25	7032A	Baldwin & Howell.....	5.87 2nd	10- 2-36
14	7096B	Moneta Inv. Co.....	15.33 2nd	10-16-36
6	1612	C. V. Kirschbaum.....	46.35 2nd	7-23-36
14	1810	E. W. Perkins.....	8.51 2nd	8-15-36
8E	1815	G. W. & V. M. Watson.....	17.97 2nd	8-17-36
9	1881	T. Lauritzen .....	23.46 2nd	8-14-36
5	1913	Henry Doelger, Inc.....	7.57 2nd	8-15-36
40	1921	do	18.92 2nd	7-25-36
1X	2201	J. & I. Solmi.....	15.14 2nd	8-18-36
27	2334A	S. & L. M. Bullerwell.....	40.11 2nd	8- 4-36
21	2414	H. P. & L. C. Shaw.....	42.76 2nd	9-15-36
8	2428	J. T. & L. M. Carolan.....	35.95 2nd	9- 3-36
8Q	2428	F. W. & M. A. Stern.....	17.03 2nd	7-16-36
15	2886	C. J. & I. K. Astrue.....	35.95 2nd	8-22-36
18	2985	N. & M. Christofferson.....	40.11 2nd	9-16-36
24	3038	C. A. & H. I. Bierman.....	35.00 2nd	8-15-36
15	2411	A. & I. Halsen.....	21.38 2nd	8-28-36
22	3274	F. J. & E. M. Marquis.....	64.71 2nd	8-10-36
13	3278	F. J. & E. Pratt.....	40.49 2nd	8- 7-36

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

#### Authorizing Sale of School Buildings.

(Code No. 12.1722)

Also, Resolution No. 3146, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, that the Director of Property be and is hereby authorized

to sell at public auction, two bungalows on the Burnett School site and two bungalows on the Aptos Junior High School site, San Francisco.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bills of sale to be executed by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

#### **Authorizing Purchase of Land for Sharp Park.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 3147, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Vernon P. Higgins, et al., Lots 26, 27 and 28, in Block 31, and Lots 31, 32, 33 and 34, in Block 32, as per Subdivision No. 1, Brighton Beach, San Mateo County, California, required for Sharp Park, for the sum of \$350, payable from the Sharp Park Bequest. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

#### **Transfer of Certain City Land at Ocean and Phelan Avenue to Department of Public Works for Street Purposes.**

(Code No. 12.175)

Also, Resolution No. 3148, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That in accordance with Resolution No. 1689 of the Public Utilities Commission, that for the purpose of providing for better traffic facilities at Phelan and Ocean avenues, the right to use the hereinafter described real property, now under the control of the San Francisco Water Department and which property is found to be unnecessary for the immediate use of said department, is allowed to the Department of Public Works for street purposes. The said real property consists of approximately 518 square feet, is situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and is described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the northeasterly line of Ocean avenue and the westerly line of Phelan avenue; thence northerly along said line of Phelan avenue, 47.959 feet; thence southerly and westerly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to said line of Phelan avenue, radius 25 feet, central angle 124 degrees 56 minutes 08 seconds, 54.513 feet to tangency with the northeasterly line of Ocean avenue; thence southeasterly along said line of Ocean avenue, 47.959 feet to the point of beginning.

Be It Further Resolved, in accordance with the approval of the Board of Education by resolution adopted November 10, 1936, that jurisdiction of the following described portion of the Junior College site, land in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, be and is hereby transferred from the Board of Education to the Department of Public Works, for street purposes:

Beginning at the intersection of the northerly line of Ocean avenue and the easterly line of Phelan avenue; thence northerly along said line of Phelan avenue, 49.720 feet; thence southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to said line of Phelan avenue, radius 50 feet, central angle 89 degrees 40 minutes 40 seconds, 78.259 feet to tangency with the northerly line of Ocean avenue; thence westerly



along said line of Ocean avenue, 49.720 feet to the point of beginning. Containing 530 square feet.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing Sale of Fire Department Lot on Second Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1726)

Bill No. 1278, Ordinance No. 12.17266, as follows:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Fire Department, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Second avenue, distant thereon 285 feet southerly from the southerly line of Clement street; and running thence southerly along said line of Second avenue 30 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 30 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Second avenue and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 183.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Confirming Sale of School Lot on Nineteenth Avenue to Fred P. Suttman, et ux.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

Resolution No. 3149, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17227, Bill No. 1181, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids would be received by him on March 8, 1937, for the sale of the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Nineteenth avenue, distant thereon 225 feet northerly from the northerly line of Taraval street; thence at a right angle easterly 30 feet to the proposed new easterly line of Nineteenth avenue and the true point of beginning; thence northerly along the proposed new easterly line of Nineteenth avenue, parallel to and perpendicularly distant 30 feet easterly from the present easterly line of Nineteenth avenue, 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 100 feet to the true point of beginning.

Being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 1120.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Fred P. Suttman of

ferred to purchase said land for the sum of \$1,500 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said sum of \$1,500 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being \$1,500; and

Whereas, the Board of Education has recommended the sale of said land for the sum of \$1,500; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said land to Fred P. Suttman and Evelyn A. Suttman, his wife. The buyer must pay the purchase price within 30 days after approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver the deed to the grantees upon receipt of the consideration.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

#### **Approval of Recommendations of County Welfare Department.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3150, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Director of the County Welfare Department, filed with the Board of Supervisors on March 12, 1937, are approved as follows:

Old Age Security Aid—Cancellations, decreases, other transactions.

Blind Pensions—Cancellations, decreases, increases.

Widows' Pensions—Cancellations, decreases, other transactions.

And the Clerk of this Board is directed to endorse the approval of this Board upon the reports of said Director of County Welfare Department and to transmit the same to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

#### **Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

#### **Appropriation of \$69,319 for Relief for April, 1937.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1279, Ordinance No. 9.051368, as follows:

Appropriating \$69,319 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of April, 1937, and authorizing a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$69,319 is hereby appropriated out of such funds as may be available for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of April, 1937.

Said appropriation is made for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County of San Francisco and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which



positions and compensations are fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved by the Civil Service Commission are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 2519 heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

#### **Action Deferred.**

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy the following matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for 3 P. M.*

**Appropriation of \$600 From Emergency Reserve Fund for Appointment of Part-Time Criminal Attorney for District Attorney's Office.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1224, Ordinance No. 9.051346, as follows:

Appropriating \$600 from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 605.101.00, for the payment of salary of one part-time criminal attorney, District Attorney's office, for five months, at \$120 per month.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$600 is hereby set aside from Emergency Reserve, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 605.101.00, salaries, District Attorney's office, for the payment of salary of one part-time criminal attorney, for five months, at \$120 per month.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund, as it is necessary to provide for the appointment of an Assistant District Attorney, whose duties will include work incidental to the investigation and trial of cases involving children, assembling of data and records, the briefing of law and the interviewing of witnesses and other work in the preparation of trial in such cases, and the keeping of records and statistics thereof, and to assist in the Domestic Relations Department in legal matters.

#### **Action Deferred.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up and *laid over one week*:

**Amending Section 69, Ordinance No. 5132 (N. S.), Fixing License Fees for Theaters.**

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 1280, Ordinance No. 3.04169, as follows:

Amending Section 69, Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), as amended by Ordinance No. 5191 (New Series), approved July 1, 1920, fixing license fees for theaters.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 69, Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), as amended by Ordinance No. 5191 (New Series), approved July 1, 1920, fixing license fees for theaters, is hereby amended to read as follows:

#### *Theaters.*

Section 69. Every proprietor or lessee of any theater or other place of amusement, excepting places of amusement licensed under Sections 36, 50 and 88 of this ordinance and except a circus or show, exhibition, or performance given under a canvas or cloth covering or in-

closure, shall pay a license according to the seating capacity of such theater or other place of amusement, entertainment or exhibition, as follows:

First. Those seating one thousand nine hundred and ninety-nine persons or more shall pay a license, if issued for one year, of six hundred (600) dollars per annum; if for three months, two hundred (200) dollars per quarter; if for one month one hundred (100) dollars per month; if for one day, ten (10) dollars per day.

Second: Those seating not to exceed one thousand nine hundred and ninety-nine persons and more than five hundred persons, and free theaters, without reference to their seating capacity, shall pay a license for one year of three hundred (300) dollars; for three months, one hundred (100) dollars; for one month, seventy-five (75) dollars; for one day, ten (10) dollars.

Third: All theaters with a seating capacity of less than five hundred (500) persons shall pay a license of one hundred (100) dollars per annum.

One seat is twenty-two inches.

No license shall be required for exhibitions or entertainments given for the benefit of churches, schools or other charitable entertainments by an amateur dramatic association or literary society.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

#### Release of Lien, Mrs. Julia Huss, Old Age Security

(Code No. 19.02)

Resolution No. 3152, as follows:

Resolved, That the lien heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, is released against the property described as follows:

Julia Huss, recorded December 22, 1936, in Vol. 3011 of Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco, at page 176, against property of Julia Huss (carried in Assessor's Records under the name of Julia Hass), described therein as Lot 23, Block 3064, Assessor's Map 1936.

Property is being sold and money to cover amount of City's claim of \$72 is in escrow to pay said claim.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

#### Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was *laid over and made a Special Order of Business for 4 P. M., March 29, 1937*:

#### Approving and Endorsing Joint Highway District No. 9.

(Code No. 12.05)

Resolution No. 3151, as follows:

Whereas, the Joint Highway District No. 9 has been formed by the Counties of Santa Cruz, San Mateo and the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of constructing the Ocean Shore boulevard; and

Whereas, the State Highway Commission has cooperated and partici-



pated financially in the construction of said Ocean Shore boulevard; and

Whereas, the State Highway Commission has requested an expression of approval and endorsement by the Board of Supervisors of each county; now therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, does hereby approve and endorse the project for construction work as proposed by the Joint Highway District No. 9, and does hereby express willingness to cooperate in meeting annual assessments and in furthering the plans of the said Joint Highway District No. 9.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Judiciary and Finance Committee was taken up:

**Board of Supervisors Endorses Senate Bill No. 296, Increasing Judges of Superior Court of State of California From Sixteen to Twenty.**

(Code No. 7.01)

Resolution No. 3141, as follows:

Whereas, Senate Bill No. 296, introduced by Senator Walter McGovern of San Francisco, provides for increasing the number of judges of the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, from sixteen to twenty; and

Whereas, the last increase in the number of said judges occurred in the year 1914, at which time said number was increased from twelve to sixteen; and

Whereas, since the year 1914 the population of San Francisco has increased in excess of 50 per cent and the business of said Superior Court has increased in like proportion; and

Whereas, the additional judges provided for in said McGovern bill are necessary for the due and proper administration of justice in the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors favors the adoption of said Senate Bill No. 296 by the Legislature of the State of California, and that copies of this resolution be forwarded to the Senate and Assembly of the State of California.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

**Explanation of Vote.**

Supervisor Uhl explained his vote as follows:

"This is a matter I am not familiar with at all. I must be guided by the judges and president of the Bar Association. I know nothing at all of existing conditions and appreciate the interest these gentlemen have in the matter, therefore, I am going to vote for the measure.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Public Utilities Committee was taken up:

**Approving Application of Benjamin Franklin Line, Inc., for Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity, Stage Service Between San Francisco and Seattle.**

(Code No. 5.2)

Resolution No. 3107, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby approve the application of Benjamin Franklin Line, Inc., before the Interstate Commerce Commission for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing it to engage in the interstate transportation of

passengers by stage upon the public highways between San Francisco, California; Portland, Oregon, and Seattle, Washington, and intermediate points; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and is hereby authorized and requested to file with the Interstate Commerce Commission the intervening petition of this Board in support of said application, and to appear in the proceedings before the Commission and present suitable and proper evidence as to the interest of the City and County of San Francisco in the matter of said application.

Harry A. Fialer, representing the Benjamin Franklin Line, Inc., was heard in explanation of proposed route of bus line and location of terminal.

#### Amendment.

Supervisor Schmidt moved that the resolution be amended by adding the following language, to-wit:

"That said consent to the granting of the permit be subject to the right of the proper authorities of San Francisco to regulate the route in San Francisco to be used by said buses and where the terminus of the same may be maintained in San Francisco.

Amendment agreed to and it was *so ordered*.

#### Adopted.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution as amended was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendation of the Public Utilities Committee was taken up:

#### Board of Supervisors Endorses Bus Application of Santa Fe for Certificate of Convenience and Necessity.

(Code No. 15.09)

Resolution No. 3142, as follows:

Whereas, the Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Company has long served the City and County of San Francisco and in connection with its passenger traffic now uses the ferry boat service of the Southern Pacific Company between San Francisco and the Oakland mole; and

Whereas, the Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Company proposes to abandon the use of the Southern Pacific ferry boat service in connection with the handling of such traffic and to substitute therefor the use of motor buses and motor trucks operating between San Francisco and its Fortieth street and San Pablo avenue passenger station in Oakland (Emeryville) over the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge to transport passengers, mail, baggage, express and property arriving or departing on its passenger trains; and

Whereas, in consideration of such service the Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Company is now erecting a terminal in the heart of downtown San Francisco adjacent to hotels and the shipping district, to and from which terminal said buses and trucks will operate; and

Whereas, said proposed service is modern and superior to the present service, more convenient to the traveling public and thereby beneficial to the City and County of San Francisco and the residents thereof and visitors thereto; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the applications pending before the Interstate Commerce Commission for certificates of public convenience and necessity to operate said service and to abandon the use of the Southern Pacific



ferry facilities have the whole-hearted endorsement and approval of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, who believe the public convenience and necessity will be best served by the proposed service; and be it

Further Resolved, That City Attorney John J. O'Toole is hereby authorized to present a certified copy of this resolution and to appear and testify in support thereof at hearing to be held before the Interstate Commerce Commission in connection with said applications.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

### Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was *laid over one week*:

### Designating Streets of Major Importance to Be Improved With Funds From Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund.

(Code No. 12.081)

Resolution No. 3131, as follows:

Resolved, That the following additional streets be and are hereby designated as streets of major importance upon which moneys accruing to the credit of the "Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund" shall be expended:

Alhambra street, between Scott and Bay streets.

Army street, between Dolores and Sanchez streets.

Bosworth street, between O'Shaughnessy boulevard and Mission street.

Broadway, between The Embarcadero and Scott street.

Clipper street, between Sanchez street and Portola drive.

Davis street, between Market street and The Embarcadero.

Duboce abovenue, between Scott and Mission streets.

Fourth street, between Market and Third streets.

Francisco street, between Lyon and Scott streets.

Harrison street, between Tenth and Thirteenth streets.

Mariposa street, between Mississippi and Third streets.

Market street, between Van Ness avenue, and Tenth and Polk streets.

Mississippi street, between Seventeenth and Mariposa streets.

Ocean avenue, between San Jose avenue and Alemany boulevard.

O'Farrell street, between Van Ness and Masonic avenues.

O'Shaughnessy boulevard, between Portola drive and Bosworth street.

Polk street, between Fell and Post streets.

Post street, between Scott street and Presidio avenue.

Post street, between Polk street and Van Ness avenue.

Presidio avenue, between California street and Geary boulevard.

Sanchez street, between Clipper and Army streets.

San Jose avenue, between Army and Brook streets.

Scott street, between Duboce avenue and Lombard street.

Seventeenth street, between Stanyan and Mississippi streets.

Silver avenue, between Alemany boulevard and Palou avenue.

Taylor street, between Market and Post streets.

Third street, between Howard and Market streets.

Thirteenth street, between Mission and Bryant streets.

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to the State Department of Public Works and to Colonel John H. Skeggs, Division Engineer thereof.

### Proposed Amendment.

March 8, 1937—*Supervisor Roncovieri moved to insert Turk street, from Van Ness avenue to Divisadero street. Thereupon, further consideration of the foregoing was continued until Monday, March 15, 1937.*

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Appointment of Mrs. Eugene M. Prince to Citizens' Emergency  
Relief Committee.**

The Clerk read a communication from His Honor, the Mayor, announcing his appointment of Mrs. Eugene M. Prince to the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, vice J. P. Rettenmeyer, deceased.

Ordered *filed*.

**Resolution of Fresno County on Proposed Relief Legislation.**

The Clerk read resolution of Fresno County Board of Supervisors opposing proposed legislation in Sacramento requiring the counties to assume cost of the care of transients and unemployment relief.

Clerk to acknowledge and resolution *filed*.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Declaring San Francisco News to Be Official Advertising Medium  
of City and County of San Francisco for the Year 1937-1938.**

(Code No. 3.03)

The following was read by the Clerk:

Resolution No. 3155, as follows:

Whereas, the Purchaser of Supplies has advertised for bids for the official advertising for the City and County of San Francisco for the period of one year, from April 1, 1937, to March 31, 1938; and

Whereas, The Daily News Company, Ltd., publisher of The San Francisco News, was the lowest and best bidder for doing of said advertising, and the bid of the said Daily News Company, Ltd., was accepted, and the contract for doing said official advertising was awarded to said Daily News Company; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That The San Francisco News, a daily newspaper of general circulation, published in the City and County of San Francisco, and having a bona fide daily circulation of at least eight thousand copies, is hereby selected as and declared to be the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco for one year from April, 1937.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

**Recess—Good Friday.**

(Code No. 4.054)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 3154, as follows:

Resolved, That His Honor the Mayor is hereby requested to declare a recess on Good Friday, March 26, 1937, between the hours of 12 noon and 3 o'clock p. m. to permit employees of the City who desire to participate in religious exercises that day to do so between the hours mentioned.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.



**Letter from Harold J. Ickes, Secretary of the Interior, Relative to  
Defeat of Revenue Bond Amendment for Distribution of Hetch  
Hetchy Power.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

March 11, 1937.

The Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: I have been advised that on March 9 the electors of San Francisco rejected Charter Amendment No. 1 which was designed to authorize the issuance of revenue bonds for the acquisition and operation of a municipally owned electric distribution system. It is my understanding that had their Charter amendment been enacted the City and County of San Francisco would have undertaken a program for the distribution of electric energy generated on the Hetch Hetchy project in accordance with the requirements of Section 6 of the Raker Act.

I have already advised you of my determination that San Francisco is now and for many years past has been violating the provisions of Section 6 of the Raker Act by selling Hetch Hetchy energy to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for distribution to consumers. Unless definite assurance can be given to me within fifteen days after receipt of this letter that San Francisco will cease its violation of the provisions of the Raker Act, I shall refer this matter to the Attorney General of the United States, with my request that appropriate action be taken in the courts to effectuate the provisions of the statute.

Sincerely yours,

HAROLD L. ICKES,  
Secretary of the Interior.

Copy to:

Hon. Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

John J. O'Toole, Esq., City Attorney for the City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Thereupon, on motion duly made and carried, the Clerk was directed to send the following answer to Secretary Ickes' letter:

Hon. Harold L. Ickes, Secretary of the Interior, Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Secretary: Your letter, March 11, 1937, re: Distribution of Power Produced on the Hetch Hetchy Project, received March 15, 1937, and presented to the Board of Supervisors on that date.

The Board of Supervisors informs you that earnest and immediate attention will be given to the letter and you will be informed of the results at the earliest moment.

Respectfully,

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, San Francisco.  
J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

**Wage Increase for WPA Workers.**

Supervisor Mead presented a resolution offered by a committee for A. F. G. E. Lodge No. 236 and Workers Alliance, expressing support of the Board for a 10 per cent increase in wages for San Francisco WPA workers and requesting assurance that no quota reductions shall take place in San Francisco before June 30, 1937.

*Referred to Public Welfare Committee.*

**Reception to Senator Patrick A. McCarran.**

Supervisor Reilly moved that the Board extend a welcome to Senator Patrick A. McCarran, from Nevada, St. Patrick's Day orator, who

arrives in San Francisco today, and that the President appoint a committee to meet the senator.

President Shannon appointed Supervisors Reilly and McSheehy.

#### **Traffic Signals on Potrero Avenue.**

Supervisor Uhl presented petition from residents in the vicinity of Potrero avenue and Twenty-first street, for installation of traffic signals along Potrero avenue.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

#### **Public Utilities Committee to Get Price for Purchase of the Market Street Railway.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Public Utilities Committee, within the next ten days, contact, without commitment, the officials of the Market Street Railway, and ascertain whether they are willing to set a price at which the City can purchase the property of the railway system.

#### **Supervisor Reilly Appointed Member of the Special Hetch Hetchy Committee, Vice Franck R. Havenner.**

At the suggestion of Supervisor McSheehy, President Shannon appointed Supervisor Reilly as a member of the Special Hetch Hetchy Committee, in the place of former Supervisor Havenner.

#### **RECESS.**

Whereupon, the Board at 5:50 p. m. took a recess until tomorrow at 2 p. m. to give consideration to the question of rapid transit.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

#### **TUESDAY, MARCH 16, 1937, 2 P. M.**

In Board of Supervisors Tuesday, March 16, 1937, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess of March 15, 1937, for the purpose of considering further the question of rapid transit.

#### **CALLING THE ROLL.**

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent on Leave—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Absent to Attend Golden Gate Bridge Meeting—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Ratto was elected to preside in the absence of President Shannon.

#### **Supervisor Meyer's Motion No. 6.**

The question being on Supervisor Meyer's Motion No. 6, to-wit:

That the Public Utilities Commission's subway and rapid transit proposal be submitted to the voters in the form of a bond proposition, and that the Board go on record favoring its ratification by the voters.

Supervisor Uhl read into the record the following:

#### **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION.**

#### **CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.**

February 24, 1937.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, 235 City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Attention Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk.

Gentlemen: Your honorable Board has inquired of the Public Utili-



ties Commission as to four matters. I am authorized by the Public Utilities Commission to reply as follows:

1—Whether the possibility of the City purchasing the Market Street Railway should be permitted to delay the submission of the rapid transit bond issue to the people.

*Answer:* No. Rapid Transit and railway consolidation are two separate and distinct propositions. While the Public Utilities Commission believes that the consolidation of the railway companies in San Francisco is desirable, it would not add one foot of new track and would not in any way lend itself to speedier transportation. Increased capacity and faster service are the fundamental necessities at this time. Rapid transit must be provided at an early date and under any circumstances. The early construction of the subway lines proposed by the Public Utilities Commission will in no way hinder or prevent the consideration or consummation of plans for the consolidation.

2—When would the Commission advise submitting the rapid transit proposal to the voters?

*Answer:* No general election is scheduled before November. The cost of a special election cannot be justified. Therefore, it is recommended that the matter be placed before the voters at the general election in November. This would secure a more representative vote than could be had at a special election.

3—What effect will the opening of the streets which dead-end on Market street, as recommended by Dr. Miller McClintock, have on the general plan of rapid transit?

4—What effect the opening of a new street opposite Grant avenue would have on the general proposition, as recommended by Chief Administrative Officer Alfred J. Cleary?

*Answer:* The answers to the above two questions are the same. The opening of additional crossings on Market street would all be for the benefit of surface traffic. The subway beneath the surface would not be affected in any way by the opening of one or several new cross streets.

Respectfully submitted,

E. G. CAHILL,  
Manager of Utilities.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Thos. Carr, address 112B Lyon street, representing himself, was heard in explanation of his plan for grade separation of pedestrian, auto and street car traffic, claiming that it would meet every requirement for safety and uninterrupted flow of traffic and provide additional parking space as well.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that Mr. Carr's plan be referred to the Public Utilities Commission for investigation and report.

George Gerhardt, secretary of the Civic League of Improvement Clubs, suggested that unification of the City's two street car lines and the construction of four tunnels in outlying residential districts to speed crosstown traffic, would be a cheap and perhaps more than temporary measure.

Supervisor Adolph Uhl argued that the City should buy the Market Street Railway and speed up trolley travel with express service rather than spend \$52,700,000 for a proposed subway system. He believed the taxpayers would never vote such costly subway bonds.

E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, declared a subway system is the only solution to San Francisco's lack of rapid transit.

Wm. J. Varley, representing the Greater Mission Transportation Club, was also heard.

No definite action was taken and the Board agreed to take up the matter again next week. No hearing date was set.

**Target Range for Police.**

(Code No. —)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 3156, as follows:

Resolved, That in the interest of efficiency and protection to the public, it is hereby declared the policy of this Board of Supervisors to, at the time of the consideration of the Appropriation Ordinance for the fiscal year 1937-1938, provide therein a sum sufficient for the establishment of a target range together with all the necessary appurtenances, in accordance with the general recommendation of the Chief of Police.

*Referred to Fire, Safety and Police Committee.***Re-Submission of Revenue Bond Amendment.**

Supervisor McSheehy presented resolution providing for re-submission of a revenue bond Charter amendment for power distribution which was read by the Clerk.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Meyer moved that the McSheehy report on re-submission of revenue bonds be taken up on Monday, March 22, 1937, as a Special Order of Business for 4 p. m.

*So ordered.***ADJOURNMENT.**

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors at 4:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.


Approved by the Board of Supervisors, March 22, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.





**Vol. 32**

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

**No. 12**

**Monday, March 22, 1937**

# **Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors**

**City and County of San Francisco**



**The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.**





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MARCH 22, 1937, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 22, 1937,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly,  
Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of March 15 and 16,  
1937, were considered read and approved.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

The Clerk announced hearing of protests of all persons against assessment for costs and expenses of the work or improvements on Peralto avenue from south line of Mullen avenue to the existing pavement on Florida street by paving and certain other work as described in resolution of intention of the Board of Public Works.

There being no response, the Clerk was directed to notify the Department of Public Works that no protest had been made.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

### Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Brown the following matter was *re-referred to the Streets and Finance Committee with instruction to report back in four weeks*:

**Providing for 25c Toll on S. F.-Oakland Bay Bridge.**

(Code No. —)

Resolution No. 3018, as follows:

Whereas, it is only fair and equitable that posterity bear some part of the financial burden of the cost of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, and, in pursuance of that thought, the San Francisco Chronicle has proposed a refinancing plan whereby the present tolls may be reduced from sixty-five cents to twenty-five cents on each passenger automobile; and

Whereas, the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge is only another great unit connecting up the State system of highways it is proposed that it be financed out of gas tax funds in the same manner as those other great highway projects of the State of California, the Redwood Highway and the Feather River Canyon Highway, by an allocation of gas tax funds for the amortization of the bonds; and

Whereas, it is deemed practical that this may be done on the basis proposed, to-wit: that the annual \$2,000,000 bond amortization charges



be guaranteed from gas tax funds and that the maintenance charges be met, as now, from gas tax supplied highway maintenance funds, and that the \$2,000,000 annual interest charges on the bonds be met from the proposed twenty-five cent toll certain to be sufficient because of the increased traffic anticipated; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco goes on record as heartily in favor of the plan proposed by the San Francisco Chronicle and urges that the State Highway Commission proceed at once to put it into effect.

#### SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

The following bill was taken up:

#### Calvary Cemetery Removal.

(Code No. 17.19)

Bill No. 938, Ordinance No. 17.195, as follows:

Declaring that the further maintenance of the Calvary Cemetery threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public; ordering and demanding the disinterring and removal of human bodies therefrom and fixing a time within which such disinterring and removal must be performed; declaring certain conditions under which the City and County will itself disinter and remove said bodies; requiring and empowering the Director of Public Health to adopt and promulgate rules and regulations for such disinterring and removal; providing for the reservation of lands for memorial mausoleums or columbariums, grounds, vaults and monuments.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared that the cemetery hereinafter described contains an area of more than five acres and is situate in a city and county having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, and the right of burial in said cemetery has been prohibited by law for a period of more than fifteen years, and that the further maintenance of said cemetery as a burial place for the human dead threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public. The cemetery above referred to is situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and is commonly designated by the following name, and is situate within the following boundaries, to-wit:

Calvary Cemetery, bounded by Geary street, St. Joseph's avenue, Turk street, Parker avenue, St. Rose's avenue and Masonic avenue.

Section 2. It is hereby ordered and demanded that, within three years from the date upon which this ordinance shall become effective, the cemetery corporation, corporation sole, association or other person owning or controlling the cemetery hereinabove described, or the owners or holders of burial lots therein, or the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are interred therein, disinter all human bodies interred in said cemetery and remove the same outside of the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with and in the manner provided for in that certain act of the Legislature of the State of California, entitled "An act authorizing the Board of Supervisors or other governing body of any incorporated city, or city and county, having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, to order the disinterment and removal of all human bodies interred in any cemetery of more than five acres in extent, or from a part thereof, situate within the boundaries of such city, or city and county, and directing the reinterment of such bodies in cemeteries outside of the limits of such city, or city and county, or the depositing of the same in a mausoleum or columbarium, whenever the further maintenance of such cemetery or part thereof as a burial place for the human dead threatens or endangers the health, safety, comfort or welfare of the

public, and providing a mode of procedure under and by which such removals may, when so ordered, be made by the cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person governing or controlling such cemetery lands, or by the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are buried therein, and providing for the sale, mortgage or pledge of cemetery lands from which the human bodies are removed." Approved June 5, 1923.

Section 3. It is hereby declared and ordained that, unless the said bodies are disinterred and removed within the time and in the manner herein in Section 2 of this ordinance provided, the City and County of San Francisco, through its appropriate officers, boards and commissions, will itself proceed, upon the termination of said time, to disinter the bodies remaining in said cemetery and reinter them in another cemetery or cemeteries outside of the limits of the said city and county.

Section 4. It is hereby ordained that the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco shall, within sixty days from the date upon which this ordinance becomes effective, adopt reasonable rules and regulations relative to the manner of disinterring, transporting and removing such bodies, and shall, upon adoption thereof, cause the same to be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of sixty days, and shall forthwith forward by registered mail to the governing body owning or controlling said cemetery, a copy thereof. Said Director of Public Health shall, from time to time, adopt and promulgate, in the same manner, any reasonable and necessary modifications or changes in said rules and regulations. All disinterments, transportation and removal of human remains from said cemetery made under the provisions of this ordinance and under the provisions of the said act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, shall be made and performed subject to the rules and regulations adopted by the Director of Public Health as herein provided.

Section 5. It is hereby ordained that any cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person owning or controlling any such cemetery lands from which the bodies interred therein are to be removed in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance and the provisions of the act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, may reserve sufficient land from such cemetery lands from which the human remains have been removed, to erect a memorial mausoleum or columbarium for the depositing therein of the bodies disinterred from such cemetery lands, and may provide sufficient grounds around the same for the beautification thereof, and may preserve such historical vaults or monuments as the board of directors or other governing body of said corporation or association, or the incumbent of said corporation sole, may determine to be proper or necessary.

Provided, however, that the land thus reserved for such mausoleum, columbarium, grounds, vaults and monuments shall not, in the aggregate for said cemetery, exceed in area 10 per centum of the existing area of said cemetery, and provided, further, that plans for said mausoleums, columbariums and grounds shall first be filed with the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and approved by said Board by resolution thereof.

#### Notice of Hearing on Ordinances for Removal of Laurel Hill and Calvary Cemeteries.

President Shannon read the following:

Notice is hereby given that the Board of Supervisors will meet Monday, March 22, 1937, 2:30 p. m., in the Chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, to hear all interested persons in reference to Bill No. 937, Ordinance No. 17.194, declaring that further maintenance of Laurel Hill Cemetery threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the people, and ordering and demanding the disinterring and removal of human bodies from said cemetery; and also Bill No. 938,



Ordinance No. 17.195, declaring that further maintenance of Calvary Cemetery threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the people, and ordering and demanding the disinterring and removal of human bodies from said cemetery.

Said Board will sit in Committee of the Whole for the purpose of this hearing. All persons interested either for or against the passage of said ordinances will be given a hearing.

By order of the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, January 4, 1937.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

#### Committee of the Whole.

On motion of Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole for the purpose of hearing all interested.

President Shannon in the chair.

All members heretofore noted being present.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Thereupon, the following were granted the privilege of the floor and heard at length:

Mme. Grosjean, 70 Rica Way, opposed Calvary Cemetery removal.

Miss Annie Scanlon, Ed. Kenny, Roy Pratt, president of the Park Presidio Improvement Club; Jos. Tiesen, vice-president of Park Presidio Improvement Club; M. J. Kelly, representing Divisadero Street Merchants; E. W. Bender, representing himself and Owners and Lessees Apartment House Association, were heard favoring removal of Calvary Cemetery.

Geo. Staley, representing City Engineer John Casey, was heard in explanation of plan for street construction through Calvary Cemetery.

#### Committee of the Whole Arises.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the Committee of the Whole arose, and reported in favor of the passage of the ordinance providing for the removal of Calvary Cemetery.

Supervisor Reilly declared that he would like a postponement of action for two weeks in order that he, as a new member, could familiarize himself with the bill.

*No second.*

Thereupon, Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved that Bill No. 938 providing for the removal of Calvary Cemetery be passed for second reading.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Explanation of Vote.

Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Reilly and Roncovieri qualified their vote by saying that they were voting in favor of the bill on passage for second reading, but were in no way binding themselves to vote for it on final passage if circumstances warranted.

#### Laurel Hill Cemetery Removal.

The following bill was taken up:

(Code No. 17.19)

Bill No. 937, Ordinance No. 17.194, as follows:

Declaring that the further maintenance of Laurel Hill Cemetery threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of

the public; ordering and demanding the disinterring and removal of human bodies therefrom and fixing a time within which such disinterring and removal must be performed; declaring certain conditions under which the city and county will itself disinter and remove said bodies; requiring and empowering the Director of Public Health to adopt and promulgate rules and regulations for such disinterring and removal; providing for the reservation of lands for memorial mausoleums or columbariums, grounds, vaults and monuments.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared that the cemetery hereinafter described contains an area of more than five acres and is situate in a city and county having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons and the right of burial in said cemetery has been prohibited by law for a period of more than fifteen years, and that the further maintenance of said cemetery as a burial place for the human dead threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public. The cemetery above referred to is situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and is commonly designated by the following name and is situate within the following boundaries, to-wit:

Laurel Hill Cemetery, bounded by Presidio avenue, California street, Parker avenue and a line drawn northwesterly from a point formed by the intersection of the north line of Post street, extended, with the west line of Presidio avenue, to a point on the east line of Parker avenue, distant thereon 100 feet southerly from the intersection of the south line of Euclid avenue, produced easterly, and the easterly line of Parker avenue.

Section 2. It is hereby ordered and demanded that, within three years from the date upon which this ordinance shall become effective, the cemetery corporation, corporation sole, association or other person owning or controlling the cemetery hereinabove described, or the owners or holders of burial lots therein, or the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are interred therein, disinter all human bodies interred in said cemetery and remove the same outside of the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with and in the manner provided for in that certain act of the Legislature of the State of California, entitled "An act authorizing the Board of Supervisors or other governing body of any incorporated city, or city and county, having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, to order the disinterment and removal of all human bodies interred in any cemetery of more than five acres in extent, or from a part thereof, situate within the boundaries of such city, or city and county, and directing the reinterment of such bodies in cemeteries outside of the limits of such city, or city and county, or the depositing of the same in a mausoleum or columbarium, whenever the further maintenance of such cemetery or part thereof as a burial place for the human dead threatens or endangers the health, safety, comfort or welfare of the public, and providing a mode of procedure under and by which such removals may, when so ordered, be made by the cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person governing or controlling such cemetery lands, or by the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are buried therein, and providing for the sale, mortgage or pledge of cemetery lands from which the human bodies are removed." Approved June 5, 1923.

Section 3. It is hereby declared and ordained that, unless the said bodies are disinterred and removed within the time and in the manner herein in Section 2 of this ordinance provided, the City and County of San Francisco, through its appropriate officers, boards and commissions, will itself proceed, upon the termination of said time, to disinter the bodies remaining in said cemetery and reinter them in another cemetery or cemeteries outside of the limits of the said city and county.



Section 4. It is hereby ordained that the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco shall, within sixty days from the date upon which this ordinance becomes effective, adopt reasonable rules and regulations relative to the manner of disinterring, transporting and removing such bodies, and shall, upon adoption thereof, cause the same to be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of sixty days, and shall forthwith forward by registered mail to the governing body owning or controlling such cemetery a copy thereof. Said Director of Public Health shall, from time to time, adopt and promulgate, in the same manner, any reasonable and necessary modifications or changes in said rules and regulations. All disinterments, transportation and removal of human remains from said cemetery made under the provisions of this ordinance and under the provisions of the said act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, shall be made and performed subject to the rules and regulations adopted by the Director of Public Health as herein provided.

Section 5. It is hereby ordained that any cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person owning or controlling any such cemetery lands from which the bodies interred therein are to be removed in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance and the provisions of the act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, may reserve sufficient land from such cemetery lands from which the human remains have been removed to erect a memorial mausoleum or columbarium for the depositing therein of the bodies disinterred from such cemetery lands and may provide sufficient grounds around the same for the beautification thereof, and may preserve such historical vaults or monuments as the board of directors or other governing body of said corporations, or associations, or the incumbent of said corporation sole, may determine to be proper or necessary.

Provided, however, that the land thus reserved for such mausoleum, columbarium, grounds, vaults and monuments shall not, in the aggregate of said cemetery, exceed in area 10 per centum of the existing area of said cemetery, and provided, further, that plans for said mausoleums, columbariums and grounds shall first be filed with the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and approved by said Board by resolution thereof.

#### Committee of the Whole.

On motion of Supervisor Uhl, the Board of Supervisors proceeded to sit as a Committee of the Whole for the purpose of hearing all persons interested in Laurel Hill Cemetery, agreeing to rise and report at 4 p. m. President Shannon presiding and all members heretofore noted be present:

#### Privilege of the Floor.

The following were granted the privilege of the floor and heard at length:

Geo. Skaller urged the passage of Bill No. 937, otherwise nothing could be done looking to the development of the land as a memorial park.

Frank Deering, secretary Laurel Hill Cemetery, argued for a postponement of two months to determine what Laurel Hill lot owners will do. He said that he had sent 600 letters, but some had not yet expressed themselves. Many of the answers received favored the memorial park and but few objected. If the bill is passed now, he said, there will be no gift.

Mark Jorgensen, secretary of the City Planning Commission, read the following in explanation of the stand of the City Planning Com-

mission regarding ordinance proposing removal of Laurel Hill Cemetery:

March 22, 1937.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Honorable Sirs: For many years this Commission has advocated the conversion of Laurel Hill Cemetery into a memorial park dedicated to the memory of the early pioneers of San Francisco and, to this end, suggested to the Cemetery Association that the plot owners donate the property to the City and County of San Francisco for this purpose.

This Commission has on many occasions been in communication with Frank P. Deering, representative of the Cemetery Association. The latest communication, copy of which is hereto attached, indicates that the plot owners are in accord with the suggestion of this Commission and have in turn asked to be shown some survey indicating what avenues are to be constructed through this area. Tentative plans for such avenues, parking, etc., are now being developed.

This Commission respectfully suggests that your Honorable Board take no action on this matter today, but recommend that you refer same to the City Planning Commission so we may hold conferences with representatives of the Laurel Hill Cemetery Association, following which studies will be made to determine the best plan for the development of this area as a memorial park. The plan thus developed, together with a recommendation from this Commission and with the approval of the Cemetery Association, would then be presented to you for your consideration.

Very truly yours,

MARK JORGENSEN,  
City Planning Commission.

San Francisco, California,  
March 11, 1937.

Mr. Mark Jorgensen, Secretary, City Planning Commission, Room 252  
City Hall, San Francisco.

My dear Mr. Jorgensen: Yours of the 10th, with copy of letter which you wrote to Mr. Uhl, is received.

We have mailed 620 copies of our questionnaire to lot holders. A majority of the answers received are in favor of donating the cemetery property to the City. Many of them, however, are asking definite information as to where the proposed avenues are to run and a survey of such avenues by the City Engineering Department will be necessary in order to reply to questions asked.

Very truly yours,

FRANK P. DEERING.

Edw. Kenny, Geo. Skaller and Geo. Gearhardt, secretary of the Civic League, were heard in opposition to any delay in passing the bill for the removal of Laurel Hill Cemetery.

Rev. F. A. Doane and Mme. Grosjean were heard in opposition to the proposed legislation.

#### Committee of the Whole Arises.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the Committee of the Whole arose and reported to the Board. All members heretofore noted being present and Supervisor Shannon in the Chair.

#### Motion.

Thereupon, Supervisor McSheehy moved that we postpone action until June 21, 1937, at 3 p. m., and that the City Engineer, the City



Planning Commission and the Laurel Hill Cemetery Association get together and agree upon a plan of memorial park on that date.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, the roll was called on Bill No. 937, providing for the removal of Laurel Hill Cemetery and the motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

#### Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor McSheehy explained his vote as follows: "I am going to vote *no* on passage for second reading. I don't think you should treat Laurel Hill the same as the other. I think it imprudent to pass this today."

Supervisor Roncovieri explained his vote as follows: "I, too, am going to vote *no* for the reason that I believe, sincerely, that a compromise could be effected between the Laurel Hill Cemetery Association and the City—a compromise that would be agreeable to both sides—a give and take proposition. Perhaps we can't get everything we want, but we can see what is proposed. Compromise is the order of the day. No harm can come by postponing three months, and I believe the City could gain some advantage—perhaps a playground or park for the use of the City. I hesitate to vote *yes*, although I would like to vote *yes* with you."

#### SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

The following matter was taken up:

**Appropriation of \$600 From Emergency Reserve Fund for Appointment of Part-Time Criminal Attorney for District Attorney's Office for Five Months.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1224, Ordinance No. 9.051346, as follows:

Appropriating \$600 from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 605.101.00, for the payment of salary of one part-time criminal attorney, District Attorney's office, for five months, at \$120 per month.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$600 is hereby set aside from Emergency Reserve, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 605.101.00, salaries, District Attorney's office, for the payment of salary of one part-time criminal attorney, for five months, at \$120 per month.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund, as it is necessary to provide for the appointment of an Assistant District Attorney, whose duties will include work incidental to the investigation and trial of cases involving children, assembling of data and records, the briefing of law and the interviewing of witnesses and other work in the preparation of trial in such cases, and the keeping of records and statistics thereof, and to assist in the Domestic Relations Department in legal matters.

### Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that the bill be amended by reducing amount from \$600 to \$360 and the time from five months to three months.

No objection and so ordered.

### Privilege of the Floor.

Mrs. Jos. E. Markham, president Parent-Teachers' Association, declared that her organization had taken no official action.

Mrs. Harry Thomas, director of P.-T. A.; Annie Scanlon, Rose N. Finucchi and Mrs. G. A. Shafter were heard on the pending question.

### Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill, as amended and in words and figures following, was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

**Appropriation of \$360 From Emergency Reserve Fund for Appointment of Part-Time Criminal Attorney for District Attorney's Office for Three Months.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1224, Ordinance No. 9.051346, as follows:

Appropriating \$360 from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 605.101.00, for the payment of salary of one part-time criminal attorney, District Attorney's office, for three months, at \$120 per month.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$360 is hereby set aside from Emergency Reserve, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 605.101.00, salaries, District Attorney's office, for the payment of salary of one part-time criminal attorney, for three months, at \$120 per month.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund, as it is necessary to provide for the appointment of an Assistant District Attorney, whose duties will include work incidental to the investigation and trial of cases involving children, assembling of data and records, the briefing of law and the interviewing of witnesses and other work in the preparation of trial in such cases, and the keeping of records and statistics thereof, and to assist in the Domestic Relations Department in legal matters.

Recommended: Angelo J. Rossi.

Approved as to funds: Leonard S. Leavy.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

No—Supervisor Ratto—1.

### Final Passage.

Thereupon, the following bill heretofore passed for second reading was taken up:

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance of District Attorney's Office.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1223, Ordinance No. 9.05394, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 9.05380 by adding Item 15½ to Section 7 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:



Section 1. Section 7 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 7. DISTRICT ATTORNEY.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		District Attorney .....	\$ 666.66
2	3	B154	Criminal Law Clerk .....	200
3	3	B154	Criminal Law Clerk .....	190
4	1	B156	Senior Criminal Law Clerk .....	225
5	1	B166	Chief Clerk, District Attorney's Office..	250
6	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
7	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
8	1	B222	General Clerk (part time).....	50
9	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
10	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	150
11	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
12	1	B516	Senior Clerk-Typist .....	175
13	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil .....	375
14	5	K54	Attorney, Criminal .....	250
15	1	K54	Attorney, Criminal .....	190
15½	1	K54	Attorney, Criminal (part time).....	120
16	3	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal.....	375
17	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal.....	300
18	2	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal.....	250
19	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal.....	200
20	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal.....	190
21	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal.....	375
22	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal.....	275
23	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal.....	250

Finally passed by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Reilly, Rencovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

No—Supervisor Ratto—1.

SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.

The following recommendation of the Special Hetch Hetchy Power Distribution Committee offered in lieu of Supervisor Colman's resolution and Supervisor McSheehy's minority report of last week was taken up:

Declaration of Policy Concerning Distribution of Power.

(Code No. 15.032)

Resolution No. 3157, as follows:

Whereas, the Secretary of the Interior has requested the City to make known its policy concerning the distribution of electric energy produced at the Moccasin Power Plant in Tuolumne County; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors hereby declares its policy to be that it will submit to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a Charter Amendment identical or similar to Amendment No. 1 submitted at the election held in the City and County of San Francisco on March 9, 1937, when assurance has been received from His Honor the Mayor and the Controller that there are sufficient funds not otherwise appropriated, available to defray the cost of a special election for the purpose stated, which election shall be held at the earliest date permitted under the law.

Discussion.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Members of the Board: You have a

special order here on your calendar that I have waited patiently for, and did not attempt to carry through—

THE PRESIDENT: What page is it on?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: It is on page five, declaring policy concerning the situation with regard to power.

That resolution as written here was prepared by our City Attorney, and concurred in by the special power committee. Since then a number of things have happened. First, I spoke to the City Attorney today, and I spoke today to Mr. Leavy, and I don't know whether money can be found to present it to the public, to the people, some time during the month of May. So I am introducing here a resolution. Now I have not had a chance—this resolution has just been prepared by me today, and it was impossible for me to call my committee together, and I am presenting it, it is my resolution you might say, and has not been concurred in by the committee. If the committee sees fit I will gladly substitute this resolution for the one on the calendar. I will have the clerk read the resolution, and you have the one on the calendar—

SUPERVISOR UHL: I will move that it go to committee—I don't know what it is, but I will so move.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: All right, but let's hear it first.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I have no objection to that, but I say to you, I have not heard it and I am going to move it go to committee.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: You have that right.

#### *Resolution*

THE CLERK (reading resolution): "Resolution 3169.

"Whereas, the Secretary of the Interior has indicated he intends to institute action against the city which may deprive it of \$2,000,000 in revenue from the sale of Hetch Hetchy power to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company;

"Whereas, such action if successful would increase the tax rate by approximately 27 cents per \$100 of assessed value of all real and personal property in San Francisco;

"Whereas, the Board of Supervisors initiated the proposal on the March 9th ballot under which it would have been possible for the city to live up to the Secretary's interpretation of the Raker Act which was rejected by the electorate; now, therefore, be it

"Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors hereby declaring that it is the city's policy to distribute Hetch Hetchy power, calls upon the Mayor to outline through the Public Utilities Commission and initiate and propose a definite plan or plans for distribution of Hetch Hetchy power acceptable to the Federal government, and recommends its submission to the people at the earliest possible date; and be it

"Further resolved, that in the event of failure on the part of the administration, to make such recommendation, it is the sense of this Board that the administrative branch of the government should be held responsible for the consequences and whatever increase in taxes may be necessary."

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Now, Members of the Board, I am presenting that resolution and Supervisor Uhl has just told you that he is going to ask that it go to the special power committee. Of course, there it has to go according to our own rules.

May I at this time also say to the members of this Board, that the Senate as now convened in Sacramento, has passed what is known as the revenue bond act, and this Board I think ought to go on record endorsing their action; and this Board ought to send a committee to Sacramento advising and requesting the Assembly to do likewise. If both of these bodies pass this measure, and the Governor sees fit to sign it, we could then—this Board could, if in its wisdom it saw fit, authorize the issuance of revenue bonds. Now the Senate of this state has thought enough of this measure—and they passed it by a very large vote, I think—only two or three dissenting



votes—I can't give you the exact figures, because I haven't them; but I think this Board should go on record endorsing that action.

Now at this time I will call a meeting of the special power committee—I am sorry Supervisor Colman is going to be out of town, but I can't help that, and this matter must be acted on—I will call a meeting for Thursday afternoon at three o'clock. I can't call it tomorrow—

SUPERVISOR UHL: To consider what, Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: To consider the resolution that I have just presented, and to consider ways and means of helping out in reference to the passing of the bill that is in Sacramento that the Senate of our State Legislature already has seen fit to pass. I am sorry the hour is so late, or Mr. O'Toole would have been here, and able to give you a lot of data with reference to that matter, because he has an attorney in Sacramento all the time, but he could not be here at this time. Therefore, under those conditions I will call this meeting.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. President?

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Colman?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I would like to state that to my regret I was not present at the meeting of the special Hetch Hetchy committee that was held during the past week. Had I been present—

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Pardon me just a moment, Supervisor. Mr. Reilly has just called my attention to the fact that he will be in Sacramento Thursday and he has asked me if I could call the meeting Wednesday at two o'clock, and I will call it Wednesday at two o'clock.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I was going to say that if I had been present I would certainly have protested, and I do now vigorously oppose the suggested action on the special order for four p. m. I want to call the attention of the Board to the fact that once more the people have spoken on this issue, and have defeated a proposal to issue revenue bonds with which to embark in the retail distribution of power.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Well, pardon me, Supervisor, the hour is too late—

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I am not going to speak long, but it is a matter on which I want to be emphatically on record without any interruption, if you please.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: All right, Supervisor.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Now, Mr. President, and members of the Board, the situation has not changed one particle. The situation is briefly this: The Secretary of the Interior has rendered an opinion that San Francisco is not reasonably complying with the Raker Act. His recourse is not to cancel the entire grant and take it away as some of my opponents have suggested; nor is it to cancel the contract; but it is to go before the attorney general and ask that proceedings be started by which the legal compliance of San Francisco with the Raker Act will be thoroughly tested. As I have stated repeatedly during the campaign—and I took a prominent part in the campaign against the revenue bonds, practically all over town, and twice on the radio—I would welcome such a decision. I think it would be wise, and I think the Board of Supervisors should have it. Let's find out once and for all whether or not we are reasonably complying with the terms of the Raker Act. Once that is done the atmosphere will be clear, and no longer will the question of whether we go into the power business or not become a political football, which it is now.

I am opposed to again presenting this to the people, because I think now rapid transit should be given the right of way. That was the agreement made, technically, when we spoke before. It was stated,

and I believe the record will show it, that I think it was Supervisor Havenner who said he would not be opposed to submitting rapid transit after we submitted the power bonds, and it was generally agreed by all members of the Board that the submission of both on the same ballot would be out of the question.

However, that is a little off the question. The point is, there is nothing at all, in my opinion, to give us any concern, because the question has not changed one particle in the last 12 years. In my sincere and honest opinion we are reasonably complying with the terms of the Raker Act; and this opinion is shared by competent legal authority. The City Attorney himself presented a very strong brief, logical and clear, which convinced me that we are legally complying with the terms of the Raker Act.

So I want to go on record here and now as being opposed to the submission of this project again, and as favoring a friendly determination by a proper authority as to whether or not we are legally complying with the terms of the Raker Act.

Now, Mr. President, let me call the attention of the Board of Supervisors to the fact that the voters spoke very emphatically, in my opinion, at the last election, and once again defeated the project of revenue bonds by a majority vote, and the proposition of going into the power business. That does not indicate that this Board of Supervisors, representing the people of San Francisco, should go to the senate and commend their action which would allow this Board of Supervisors alone to issue revenue bonds, or bonds of any kind, without going to the people, when the voters have once again refused that right to a majority of themselves. It is neither logical nor proper to assume that they would give that authority to six members of this Board of Supervisors.

Now, I regret I will be absent again, but I have to go to Portland, and I will be back Sunday, and be here Monday, so I will not be at the committee meeting to voice my view on this, but I will be here to present them on Monday. I don't know whether they are of any value to any of the other members of the committee. If not, that is too bad, but they are my views, and I feel all the more strongly because since we met before the voters have spoken and have supported my view most emphatically.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. Chairman?

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I am not going to attempt to enter into a controversy with Supervisor Colman. I think he is assuming a great deal in placing an interpretation on various matters that are sent to this Board. Now he assumes to place a direct interpretation on just what the letter from Secretary Ickes means, as to just what the Attorney General might do. I wish I had his vision. If I had, I don't think I would be a member of this Board, because I would be a very valuable asset to some banking concern—a very valuable asset, if I had such vision. But the situation is here, and of course his stand on the Raker Act is one that he has been consistent in for 15 years. I won't attempt to go into detail, but I just merely want to tell him this: That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company collected for the year 1936 the huge sum of a little over \$24,000,000 from the City and County of San Francisco for gas and electricity, and they have not paid one five cent piece as a franchise tax—and all the other corporations using our streets pay a franchise tax; and also to tell him that their permit to issue gas, or sell gas and electricity has not been given to them only under certain condition.

Now there is a lot to it. I won't take the time to debate it at this time, and I haven't your wonderful vision to know just what interpretation might be placed on the letter from Secretary Ickes—

SUPERVISOR UHL: Mr. President?

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Uhl?



SUPERVISOR UHL: I think an explanation should be made to Supervisor Colman as to how this happens to come on the calendar today. You will recall last Monday there was a matter on the calendar submitted by Supervisor Colman. It was the last paragraph of the resolution submitted by Supervisor McSheehy, and you said it was not in proper form. Do you recall that?

THE PRESIDENT: I thought that was the other matter that we disposed of.

SUPERVISOR UHL: When?

THE PRESIDENT: Earlier this afternoon

SUPERVISOR UHL: Which one is it, then? Is it shown on the calendar?

THE PRESIDENT: The matter of the Market Street Railway.

SUPERVISOR UHL: No.

THE PRESIDENT: Isn't that it?

SUPERVISOR UHL: No, it is this. Now it was agreed last week by Supervisor McSheehy that the resolution—you deleted five paragraphs, you will recall, Supervisor Colman, asking an opinion from the City Attorney, and you struck out five of those paragraphs, and left one, the last one, and that was on our calendar last Monday; and Supervisor Shannon suggested that Supervisor McSheehy bring it back on this week's calendar, the entire resolution. Isn't that correct?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Yes.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Now instead of that comes this new resolution. I am going to ask Supervisor McSheehy when he gave notice of the meeting—did you have a committee meeting?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: The committee reported it in.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Was there a meeting of the committee?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Yes, we had it in Mr. Cahill's office.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Anyhow, that is how it got on the calendar.

THE CLERK: Announcement was made last Monday that the special committee was to meet.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Yes, but I understood it was to bring back the resolution of Supervisor McSheehy. Now this was on the calendar. I would like to ask what is the parliamentary situation on this special order for four p. m.?

THE PRESIDENT: That is the motion that is before you on the calendar.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Then it is before the Board at this time?

THE PRESIDENT: Yes.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: That is before the Board at this time, and I would suggest that it be re-referred back to the special committee.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any objection?

SUPERVISOR UHL: No objection.

THE PRESIDENT: So ordered.

#### SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.

##### Rapid Transit.

The question of rapid transit was on motion of Supervisor McSheehy laid over one week and made a Special Order for 2:30 p. m.

In this connection Supervisor McSheehy declared that Manager Cahill had refused to consider the plan submitted by W. J. Corr, he moved that the Clerk write to Mr. Cahill, asking that Mr. Corr be given hearing.

So ordered.

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS.****Final Passage.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Appropriation of \$2,026.72 for Payment of Tax Judgments.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1264, Ordinance No. 9.051359, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$2,026.72 out of the surplus existing in the Tax Judgment Fund to the credit of appropriation No. 660.705.00 for the purpose of paying tax judgments.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,026.72 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the Tax Judgment Fund to the credit of appropriation No. 660.705.00 for the purpose of paying the following tax judgments:

Giacomo Rattaro .....	\$ 78.04	
Peter Unrath .....	235.31	
Franciscan Fathers of California .....	305.87	
Lillian B. Metzger .....	1,311.79	
Montgomery Block Real Estate Assn. ....	95.71	\$2,026.72

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Roncovieri—3.

**Appropriation of \$30,722 for Blind Pensions.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1265, Ordinance No. 9.051358, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$30,722 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund by reason of additional aid from the State and Federal Governments for the needy blind, to the credit of Appropriation 656.804.03 for the purpose of paying blind pensions for the fiscal year 1936-1937.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$30,722 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the General Fund by reason of additional aid from the State and Federal Governments for the needy blind to the credit of Appropriation 656.804.03 for the purpose of paying blind pensions for the fiscal year 1936-37 as the funds heretofore provided for this purpose are inadequate.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Roncovieri—3.

**Appropriation of \$2,902.82 for Reconstruction of Steuart Street, Between Mission Street and The Embarcadero.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1266, Ordinance No. 9.051360, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$2,902.82 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation 77.018.01 to the credit of Appropriation 77.018.01 for the purpose of paying for extra work in excess of 10 per cent of the certified estimated contract price in connection with the reconstruction of Steuart street between Mission street and The Embarcadero.



Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,902.82 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 77.018.01 to the credit of Appropriation 77.018.01 for the purpose of paying for extra work in excess of 10 per cent of the certified estimated contract price in connection with the reconstruction of Steuart street between Mission street and The Embarcadero.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Roncovieri—3.

**Appropriation of \$1,760.28 for Reconstruction of Seventh Avenue,  
Between Lincoln Way and Lawton Street.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1267, Ordinance No. 9.051361, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$1,760.28 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 77.028.01 to the credit of Appropriation No. 77.028.01 for the purpose of paying for extra work in excess of 10 per cent of the certified estimated contract price in connection with the reconstruction of Seventh avenue between Lincoln way and Lawton street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,760.28 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 77.028.01 to the credit of Appropriation No. 77.028.01 for the purpose of paying for extra work in excess of 10 per cent of the certified estimated contract price in connection with the reconstruction of Seventh avenue between Lincoln way and Lawton street.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Roncovieri—3.

**Amending Ordinance Specifying Various Officers and Employees  
of the City and County Who Shall Be Bonded and Providing  
for Bonding of Additional Employees.**

(Code No. 4.04)

Bill No. 1269, Ordinance No. 4.043, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 4.042 by adding new section to be numbered 66, vesting authority in the Purchaser of Supplies to secure bonds for additional employees.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A new section to be numbered 66 is hereby added to Ordinance No. 4.042 to read as follows:

Section 66. Whenever the number of employees of any classification in any department of the City and County Government is duly increased beyond the number provided for in this Ordinance to be bonded, the Purchaser of Supplies is hereby vested with power and authority and is directed to secure bonds for said additional employees in the same amount as is provided for said classification of employees in this Ordinance.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Roncovieri—3.

**Authorizing Exchange of Lands With Catherine Dunne Company.**  
(Code No. 12.1749)

Also, Bill No. 1270, Ordinance No. 12.17491, as follows:

Authorizing exchange of lands with Catherine Dunne Company.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, the Director of Property, in lieu of sale, is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for trading certain City-owned lands hereinafter referred to as Parcels 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7, to Catherine Dunne Company in exchange for Parcel "A" hereinafter described.

Section 2. Said Parcels 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 are those certain tracts of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described in Bill No. 1217, Ordinance No. 12.17297, approved by the Mayor of San Francisco on February 2, 1937, which parcels were proposed to be sold under the provisions of said Ordinance No. 12.17297.

Section 3. The Director of Property has made an appraisal of all of said real property and estimates the value of the parcels to be traded to Catherine Dunne Company to be equal to the value of Parcel "A" to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 4. Parcel "A" hereinbefore referred to, is situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and is more particularly described as follows:

Parcel "A". Beginning at a point bearing north  $71\frac{1}{2}$  degrees west, and distant 3113 feet from a point on the westerly line of Schwerin street, distant thereon 293 feet,  $\frac{1}{2}$  of an inch southerly from the intersection of the southerly line of Sunnydale avenue (formerly Tobin street) with the westerly line of Schwerin street; thence north  $71\frac{1}{2}$  degrees west 572 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 324 feet,  $\frac{3}{4}$  of an inch; thence at a right angle easterly 572 feet, and thence at a right angle northerly 324 feet  $\frac{3}{4}$  of an inch to the point of beginning.

Section 5. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary deed for the conveyance of said Parcels 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 to Catherine Dunne Company or its assignee. The Director of Property shall deliver said conveyance to the grantee upon receipt of the necessary deed to Parcel "A", and shall record the latter deed.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Roncovieri—3.

**Appropriation of \$11,252 for the Purpose of Paying Workmen's Compensation Benefits.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1272, Ordinance No. 9.051364, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$11,252 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund; \$460 to the credit of Appropriation No. 932.900.00 for the purpose of paying for annual audit; \$777 to the credit of Appropriation No. 932.106.00 for the purpose of paying phonographic reporter and medical examiners, and \$10,015 to the credit of Appropriation No. 932.815.00 for the purpose of paying Workmen's Compensation benefits.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$11,252 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund; \$460 to the credit of Appropriation No. 932.900.00 for the purpose of paying for annual audit; \$777 to



the credit of Appropriation No. 932.106.00 for the purpose of paying phonographic reporter and medical examiners, and \$10,015 to the credit of Appropriation No. 932.815.00 for the purpose of paying Workmen's Compensation benefits.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund as it is necessary to provide funds for the uninterrupted operation of the Retirement System, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an emergency exists, as the Retirement System is without funds to pay for the annual audit, for phonographic reporting services, medical examiners, and compensation insurance benefits.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Roncovieri—3.

#### **Appropriation of \$504 for Additional Telephone Facilities.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1273, Ordinance No. 9.051365, as follows:

Appropriating \$504 from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, to provide additional telephone facilities for the Department of Public Health, as follows: \$232 to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.225.53, San Francisco Hospital; \$272 to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.225.50-1, Central Office.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$504 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide additional telephone facilities for the Department of Public Health, as follows: \$232 to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.225.53, San Francisco Hospital; \$272 to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.225.50-1, **Central Office**.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund, as it is necessary to provide for additional telephone facilities for the Department of Public Health, and the Department of Public Health is without sufficient funds to provide therefor.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Roncovieri—3.

#### **Amendment Contract Procedure.**

(Code No. 9.092)

Also, Bill No. 1274, Ordinance No. 9.0926, as follows:

Amending Section 7 of Contract Procedure, Ordinance No. 9.0871 entitled: "Establishing the procedure for and regulating the entering into contract for the construction, reconstruction or repair of public buildings, streets, utilities or public work or improvement to be performed at the expense of the City and County, or to be paid out of moneys deposited in the treasury or out of trust moneys under the control of or to be collected by the City and County and repealing Ordinance No. 9139 (N. S.), in effect January 6, 1932."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 7 of Ordinance No. 9.0871, the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

"Section 7. Appropriate City and County Departments may file sealed bids for the execution of any work to be performed under a contract and shall not be required to furnish security or submit information relative to financial qualifications as provided in this ordinance. Any bid submitted by a department of the City and County, if

it is the lowest bid, must be approved by the Controller before the award of contract. If the bid of a City and County Department, as investigated and approved by the Controller, is the lowest, the contract shall be awarded to the department and accurate unit costs shall be kept of all direct and indirect charges incurred by the department under any such contract, which unit costs shall be reported to and audited by the Controller monthly and on completion of the work.

"Provided that in the execution and performance of any contract awarded to a city department under the provisions of Section 95 of the Charter, not less than the wage scale fixed by the Board of Supervisors in the Prevailing Wage Resolution in effect at the time of the award of said contract shall be paid to employees performing work under such contract."

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Roncovieri—3.

**Amending Section 36 of Ordinance Licensing Roller and Ice Skating Rinks.**

(Code No. 3.041)

Also, Bill No. 1275, Ordinance No. 3.04168, as follows:

Amending Section 36, Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), as amended by Ordinance No. 7285 (New Series), approved October 27, 1926.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 36, Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), as amended by Ordinance No. 7285, approved October 27, 1926, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 36. Every person, firm or corporation maintaining or conducting any public roller or ice skating rink shall pay therefor a license of ten (10) dollars per day or fifteen (15) dollars per quarter.

Every person, firm or corporation maintaining or conducting any revolving wheel, chute, toboggan slide or other mechanical contrivance where a fee or sum of money is charged to carry any person thereon, whose total receipts amount to fifteen hundred (1500) dollars or more per quarter shall pay a license of one hundred (100) dollars per quarter. If such total receipts are less than fifteen hundred (1500) dollars per quarter such person, firm or corporation shall pay a license of forty (40) dollars per quarter.

Every person, firm or corporation maintaining or conducting any merry-go-round or swing where a fee or sum of money is charged to carry any person thereon, shall pay a license fee of twenty (20) dollars per quarter.

Every person, firm or corporation maintaining or conducting any museum, panorama or cyclorama where an admission fee is charged, or phonograph parlor, or any collection of machines operated for the entertainment or amusement of the public, shall pay a license of fifty (50) dollars per quarter.

The Tax Collector shall not issue a license to any person, firm or corporation to conduct a public roller or ice skating rink, revolving wheel, chutes, toboggan slide, museum, kinetoscope and phonograph parlor, moving picture exhibition, panorama, cyclorama or any mechanical contrivance used to carry passengers, under the provisions of this section, unless the applicant therefor shall have first obtained from the Board of Police Commissioners a permit to conduct such public roller or ice skating rink, revolving wheel, chutes, toboggan slide, museum, kinetoscope and phonograph parlor, panorama, cyclorama or mechanical contrivance; such permits shall be issued annually, and may be revoked at any time by the Board of Police Commissioners, and upon the revocation of such permit such license issued thereon shall immediately terminate and expire.



The Board of Police Commissioners shall not issue any permit and the Tax Collector shall not issue any license to any person, firm or corporation to conduct a public roller or ice skating rink, revolving wheel, chutes, toboggan slide, museum, kinetoscope and phonograph parlor, moving picture exhibition, panorama or cyclorama, or any mechanical contrivance used to carry passengers, within a distance of two hundred (200) feet from the front line of any church or school, or within one hundred (100) feet of the property line on the sides or rear of any church, school lot, or children's playground; provided, however, that this restriction shall not apply to buildings already erected or in course of erection, and especially designed to be used for any of the above purposes.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Roncovieri—3.

**Establishing Sidewalk Widths on Broadway, Between Sansome Street and Columbus Avenue, at Twelve Feet.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

On recommendations of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1259, Ordinance No. 12.0731100, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Ten Hundred and Ninety-eighth (1098) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office February 24, 1937, by amending Section Ten Hundred and Ninety-eight (1098) to read as follows:

Section 1098. The width of sidewalks on Broadway, between Sansome street and Columbus avenue, shall be twelve feet.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Roncovieri—3.

**Establishing Sidewalk Widths on Broadway, Between Davis and Sansome Streets, at Twelve Feet.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1260, Ordinance No. 12.0731101, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Ninety (1190).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office February 24, 1937, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Ninety (1190) to read as follows:

Section 1190. The width of sidewalks on Broadway, between Davis and Sansome streets, shall be twelve feet.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Roncovieri—3.

**Establishing Sidewalk Widths on Broadway, Between Columbus Avenue and Mason Street, at Twelve Feet.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1261, Ordinance No. 12.0731102, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Ninety-one (1191).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office February 24, 1937, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Ninety-one (1191) to read as follows:

Section 1191. The width of sidewalks on Broadway, between Columbus avenue and Mason street, shall be twelve feet.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Roncovieri—3.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Juniper Street, Between Harrison and Bryant Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1262, Ordinance No. 12.0731103, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Eighty-eight (88) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office February 11, 1937, by amending Section Eighty-eight (88) thereof to read as follows:

Section 88. The width of sidewalks on Juniper street, between Harrison and Bryant streets, shall be six feet.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Roncovieri—3.

**Establishing Grades on Butte Place, Between Brannan Street and Its Southeasterly Termination.**

(Code No. 12.071)

Also, Bill No. 1263, Ordinance No. 12.07113, as follows.

Establishing grades on Butte place, between Brannan street and its southeasterly termination.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Butte place, between Brannan street and its southeasterly termination, are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above City base as hereinafter stated in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, filed in this office February 27, 1937.



**Butte Place:****Feet**

Northeasterly line of, at Brannan street southeasterly line... 3.88

(The same being the present official grade.)

Southwesterly line of, at Brannan street southeasterly line... 4.01

(The same being the present official grade.)

Southeasterly termination .....Base

On Butte place, between Brannan street and its southeasterly termination be established to conform to true gradients between the elevations above given therefor.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Roncovieri—3.

**NEW BUSINESS.****Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Amending Section 69, Ordinance No. 5132 (N. S.), Fixing License Fees for Theaters.**

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 1280, Ordinance No. 3.04169, as follows:

Amending Section 69, Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), as amended by Ordinance No. 5191 (New Series), approved July 1, 1920, fixing license fees for theaters.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 69, Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), as amended by Ordinance No. 5191 (New Series), approved July 1, 1920, fixing license fees for theaters, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Theaters.**

Section 69. Every proprietor or lessee of any theater or other place of amusement, excepting places of amusement licensed under Sections 36, 50 and 88 of this ordinance and except a circus or show, exhibition, or performance given under a canvas or cloth covering or inclosure, shall pay a license according to the seating capacity of such theater or other place of amusement, entertainment or exhibition, as follows:

First: Those seating one thousand nine hundred and ninety-nine persons or more shall pay a license, if issued for one year, of six hundred (600) dollars per annum; if for three months, two hundred (200) dollars per quarter; if for one month one hundred (100) dollars per month; if for one day, ten (10) dollars per day.

Second: Those seating not to exceed one thousand nine hundred and ninety-nine persons and more than five hundred persons, and free theaters, without reference to their seating capacity, shall pay a license for one year of three hundred (300) dollars; for three months, one hundred (100) dollars; for one month, seventy-five (75) dollars; for one day, ten (10) dollars.

Third: All theaters with a seating capacity of less than five hundred (500) persons shall pay a license of one hundred (100) dollars per annum.

One seat is twenty-two inches.

No license shall be required for exhibitions or entertainments given for the benefit of churches, schools or other charitable entertainments by an amateur dramatic association or literary society.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Unsecured Personal Property.**

(Code No. 9.0411)

Resolution No. 3156, as follows:

Whereas, there is in custody of the Tax Collector of the City and County of San Francisco, the assessment roll covering personal property upon which taxes were not collected by the Assessor; and

Whereas, the Assessor has filed with the Tax Collector a detailed statement setting forth certain duplicate and erroneous assessments made in connection with the assessment of said personal property; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has approved the cancellation of said duplicate and erroneous assessments set forth in detailed statement filed by the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco with the Tax Collector; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Tax Collector of the City and County of San Francisco be, and he is hereby authorized and instructed to cancel from said Assessment Roll of Personal Property all assessments set forth in detailed statement of erroneous and/or defective assessments as filed by the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco with the said Tax Collector.

Approved by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Release of Lien, Property of William A. Finch, Filed re Old Age Security.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3158, as follows:

Resolved, That the lien heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, is released against the property described, as follows:

Property of William Albert Finch, recorded August 10, 1936, in Vol. 3011, page 25, described as Lot 3, Block 1382, Assessor's Map.

Mortgage against property is to be renewed, and new lien is to be filed.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Release of Lien, Property of Mrs. Kate Calvert, Filed re Old Age Security.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3159, as follows:

Resolved, That the lien heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco is released against the property described, as follows:

Property of Mrs. Kate Calvert, two acres Bayview District, Eureka School District, Section 34, 5 N. 1 W., Humboldt County.

Property is being sold and money to satisfy claim of City and County of San Francisco is in escrow with the title company in Eureka.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**Release of Lien, Anna Butler Property, Filed re Old Age Security.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3160, as follows:

Resolved, That the lien heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco is released against the property described as follows:

Property of Anna Butler, recorded in Santa Cruz County in Vol. 305, Official Records, page 429, described as Lot 1, Locke Paddon Subdivision of Watsonville.

Property is in Monterey County. New lien, with correct description to be filed.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Designating Streets of Major Importance to Be Improved With Funds From Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund.**

(Code No. 12.081)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 3131, as follows:

Resolved, That the following additional streets be and are hereby designated as streets of major importance upon which moneys accruing to the credit of the "Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund" shall be expended:

Alhambra street, between Scott and Bay streets.

Army street, between Dolores and Sanchez streets.

Bosworth street, between O'Shaughnessy boulevard and Mission street.

Broadway, between The Embarcadero and Scott street.

Clipper street, between Sanchez street and Portola drive.

Davis street, between Market street and The Embarcadero.

Duboce avenue, between Scott and Mission streets.

Fourth street, between Market and Third streets.

Francisco street, between Lyon and Scott streets.

Harrison street, between Tenth and Thirteenth streets.

Mariposa street, between Mississippi and Third streets.

Market street, between Van Ness avenue, and Tenth and Polk streets.

Mississippi street, between Seventeenth and Mariposa streets.

Ocean avenue, between San Jose avenue and Alemany Boulevard.

O'Farrell street, between Van Ness and Masonic avenues.

O'Shaughnessy boulevard, between Portola drive and Bosworth street.

Polk street, between Fell and Post streets.

Post street, between Scott street and Presidio avenue.

Post street, between Polk street and Van Ness avenue.

Presidio avenue, between California street and Geary boulevard.

Sanchez street, between Clipper and Army streets.

San Jose avenue, between Army and Brook streets.

Scott street, between Duboce avenue and Lombard street.

Seventeenth street, between Stanyan and Mississippi streets.

Silver avenue, between Alemany boulevard and Palou avenue.

Taylor street, between Market and Post streets.

Third street, between Howard and Market streets.

Thirteenth street, between Mission and Bryant streets.

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to the State Department of Public Works and to Colonel John H. Skeggs, Division Engineer thereof.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Public Utilities Committee was taken up:

**Street Repair by Market Street Railway Company.**

(Code No. 15.091)

Resolution No. 3133, as follows:

Whereas, the Director of Public Works has notified the Board of Supervisors as to the dangerous condition of street pavements between the tracks of the Market Street Railway Company and on two feet from either side thereof; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be requested to advise the Board of Supervisors as to what methods may be available to compel the Market Street Railway Company to make the necessary repairs to said pavements.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Legislative Bills Approved and Disapproved.**

The following recommendation of Revenue and Taxation Committee was taken up:

March 11, 1937.

The Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: The following report of recommendations, in connection with bills now pending before the State Legislature, is submitted for the consideration of your Board by the Revenue and Taxation Committee:

**NOT IN FAVOR OF ENACTMENT OF:**

Assembly Bill 180 (Pelletier). An act to amend the Code of Civil Procedure relating to Small Claims Courts.

(Action based on report from the Clerk of the Municipal Court to the effect that this measure proposes to increase the jurisdiction of the Small Claims Court from \$50 to \$100, and that such action would materially reduce the revenues of said department.)

Assembly Bill 693 (Desmond). An act to amend the Probate Code relating to the handling of decedents money by the Public Administrator.

(Action based on report from the Attorney for the Public Administrator to the effect that he deems this measure "an heir hunter bill authorizing non-resident heirs to nominate administrators in the State of California whose right to letters of administration would be prior to that of the Public Administrator." The report further states that not only is the legislation considered dangerous, but it would materially reduce the revenues of the Public Administrator's office.)

Assembly Bill 1061 (Clark, et al.). An act to amend and add to the Code of Civil Procedure relating to Small Claims Court and actions of forcible entry, forcible detainer, and unlawful detainer.

(Action based on report from the Clerk of the Municipal Court to the effect that the measure conflicts with Assembly Bill 2004. Proposes to increase the jurisdiction of the Small Claims Court to include actions of forcible entry and unlawful detainer and would result in a loss of revenue of \$3 for each suit filed.)

Assembly Bill 2228 (Cottrell and Beene). An act to amend the Penal Code, adding a new section providing for the payment of fees of jurors in Coroner's Jury inquests.

(Action based on report from the Coroner to the effect that he deems the adoption of such a measure inadvisable for the reason that the payment of a jury fee for this particular service might sub-



ject San Francisco to constant solicitation by a type of person not suitable for the work; that the Coroner's Jury personnel in San Francisco has always been comprised of a high type of the citizenry and entirely without payment of fees; further, that the measure would entail additional expenditure by the city.)

Respectfully submitted,

THELMA BENSON,  
Secretary of the Revenue and Taxation Committee.

March 11, 1937.

The Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: The following report of recommendations, in connection with bills now pending before the State Legislature, is submitted for the consideration of your Board by the Revenue and Taxation Committee:

IN FAVOR OF ENACTMENT OF:

Assembly Bill 2280 (Cronin, Dawson, Maloney, et al.). An act to add a new section to the Penal Code relating to destruction of records in the Municipal Court, Justices' Court and Police Court.

(Action based on report from the Clerk of the Municipal Court to the effect that the adoption of such measure will provide greater efficiency for the department in that needed floor space will be made available and the necessity of additional file equipment eliminated.)

Assembly Bill 2284 (Cronin, Dawson, Maloney, et al.). An act to amend Code of Civil Procedure relating to destruction of records in Municipal Court and Justices' Court.

(Action based on report from Clerk of the Municipal Court. Same explanation as that for Assembly Bill 2280 reported above.)

Assembly Bill 2281 (Cronin, Dawson, Maloney, et al.). An act to amend the Vehicle Code relating to suspension of license and registration while judgment unsatisfied and until giving of proof of ability to respond in damages.

(Action based on report from the Clerk of the Municipal Court to the effect that the measure will provide increased revenue.)

Assembly Bill 2282 (Cronin, Dawson, Maloney, et al.). An act to amend Political Code relating to official service without fee.

(Action based on report from Clerk of the Municipal Court to the effect that under the present system, hundreds of official suits are filed by State and county officials without cost; that this measure would permit costs of Court to be collected and paid where judgment was obtained, and that the revenue of all courts would be increased thereby.)

Assembly Bill 2505 (Donihue). An act to amend an act entitled "An act authorizing and requiring boards or commissions having the management and control of paid fire departments to grant the members thereof yearly vacations," approved March 26, 1895, relating to vacations of members of fire departments.

(Action based on report from the Secretary of the Fire Commission to the effect that the Chief Engineer and the Fire Marshal gave study to this bill and approval of same.)

Respectfully submitted,

THELMA BENSON,  
Secretary of the Revenue and Taxation Committee.

Approved by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

# ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

## Canvass of Special Election of March 9, 1937.

The Board of Supervisors met on Monday, March 15, 1937, at 9 o'clock a. m., at the office of the Registrar of Voters, City Hall, for the purpose of canvassing the results of the special election held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 9th day of March, 1937, Supervisor Shannon presiding.

The official returns of votes cast at said special election were produced by the Registrar of Voters and the official canvass of same proceeded with, commencing with returns from the first precinct of the Twentieth Assembly District and proceeded in orderly fashion, taking each precinct returns in numerical order.

Recess was taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Tuesday, March 16, 1937, official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m., Supervisor Warren Shannon presiding.

Recess was taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Wednesday, March 17, 1937, official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m., Supervisor Warren Shannon presiding.

Recess was taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Thursday, March 18, 1937, official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m., Supervisor Warren Shannon presiding.

Canvass was completed and adjournment taken at 4 o'clock p. m.

## Communication.

Thereupon, the following was read by the Clerk:

March 22, 1937.

Mr. John S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Dear Sir: This is to certify that the official canvass of votes cast at a Special Election held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on the 9th day of March, 1937, has been completed, and the total vote cast, and the total vote cast for and against each and every Charter Amendment and Ordinance submitted thereat is set forth in full in Statement of Votes for said Special Election marked "A-U." Such statement of votes shows that the total vote cast at special election is 152,469, and the total vote cast "yes" and "no" on each Charter Amendment and Ordinance is as follows:

	Yes	No
Charter Amendment No. 1—Revenue Bonds.....	65,762	78,101
Charter Amendment No. 2—Cash Reserve Fund and Temporary Loans, Tax Anticipation Notes.....	76,675	51,157
Charter Amendment No. 3—Health Service System...	76,749	56,616
Charter Amendment No. 4—Public Welfare Department .....	82,767	46,020
Charter Amendment No. 5—Positions.....	86,937	44,195
Charter Amendment No. 6—Harbor Control.....	88,666	39,206
Charter Amendment No. 7—Police Pensions.....	66,479	74,726
Charter Amendment No. 8—Clerk-Stenographer, Treasurer .....	78,118	51,140
Charter Amendment No. 9—Contractors' Working Conditions .....	49,013	76,404
Charter Amendment No. 10—Permits and Inspections.	79,500	47,148
Charter Amendment No. 11—Disposition of Utility Funds .....	70,951	52,115
Charter Amendment No. 12—Police Department.....	74,950	54,568



Charter Amendment No. 13—Power of Hearing, Inquiry and Subpoena .....	42,102	79,841
Charter Amendment No. 14—Limitation on Special Assessments .....	41,580	80,938
Charter Amendment No. 15—Zoning and Set-Back Lines .....	34,854	85,391
Charter Amendment No. 16—Supervisors' Salaries...	42,406	92,129
Charter Amendment No. 17—Franchises.....	44,978	76,209
Charter Amendment No. 18—Utilities Extensions....	56,923	66,099
Ordinance No. 19—Anti-Picketing Ordinance.....	78,098	68,765
Ordinance No. 20—Signs at Bridge Approach.....	65,407	76,646

Yours truly,

C. J. COLLINS,  
Registrar of Voters.

### Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

(Code No. 3.02)

Resolution No. 3161, as follows:

Resolved, That at the Special Election held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on Tuesday, March 9, 1937, the whole number of votes cast in the 1055 voting precincts in said City and County was 152,469; and be it

Further Resolved, That the record book marked "A-U" Statement of Votes polled at Special Election held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, March 9, 1937, be and the same is constituted the record of the official canvass of the Special Election held in said City and County on said day, and the statement shows the whole number of votes cast in said City and County of San Francisco, State of California, March 9, 1937, and in each and every voting precinct therein also said statement shows the whole number of votes cast for and against each Charter Amendment and Ordinance submitted at said Special Election in said City and County, March 9, 1937, and in each and every voting precinct therein.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Endorsing Traffic Safety Rally to Be Conducted by the American Legion on April 8th.

(Code No. —)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 3162, as follows:

Whereas, the American Legion will conduct a Traffic Safety Rally on Thursday evening at eight o'clock, April 8, 1937, in the Veterans' Auditorium of the War Memorial Building; and

Whereas, this endeavor to educate the public in the matter of traffic safety is a most worthy one and deserving of commendation by this Board; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board does hereby endorse the efforts of the American Legion in its campaign for traffic safety and does recommend that all those who can possibly do so attend the rally to be held on the evening of April 8th.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Limiting Tenure of Office of Mayor to Two Terms of Four Years.**

(Code No. —)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 3163, as follows:

Whereas, an unduly prolonged tenure of office of an elective Chief Executive is contrary to the spirit of democratic government and leads to the intrenchment of selfish groups and factions, and to the formation of political dynasties which become overbearing and dictatorial in nature; and

Whereas, an outstanding precedent regarding tenure of office in governmental executive positions was established by the illustrious George Washington when he refused a third term; and

Whereas, it appears that the civic interests of the community will best be served if the same individual cannot hold the office of Mayor for longer than two terms of four years each; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco draft a Charter Amendment for presentation to the electorate of San Francisco at the next municipal election which will limit the occupancy of the office of Mayor by the same individual to two terms of four years each.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.***Limiting Tenure of Office of Police Commissioner to Six Years.**

(Code No. —)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 3164, as follows:

Whereas, the police activities of any community are so interwoven with the well being, liberties, rights and protection of the public; and

Whereas, all police power and police activities should be wielded for the good of the public at large; and

Whereas, any usurpation of police power by politically intrenched groups is inimical to the welfare and well being of the citizens of the community; and

Whereas, it appears obvious from the standpoint of human nature and experience that any man holding the office of Police Commissioner over a prolonged period of time is apt to become biased, arrogant and dictatorial in his attitude toward public sentiment and public desires; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco draft a Charter Amendment for presentation to the electorate at the next municipal election limiting the tenure of office of a Police Commissioner to one term of six (6) years; and be it

Further Resolved, That upon the adoption of such Charter Amendment by the people, any Police Commissioner who has held office for a period of six (6) years prior to the adoption of such Charter Amendment shall be automatically dropped from said Police Commission.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.***Extending Mayor and Citizens of New London, Texas, Expressions of Sympathy in Re: Recent Gas Explosion.**

(Code No. —)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 3165, as follows:

Whereas, in the town of New London, Texas, on March 18 of this year, occurred what was probably the most appalling catastrophe in the history of any American city, in which the lives of upwards of five hundred innocent school children with fourteen of their teachers were snuffed out without warning in the twinkling of an eye, when



the New London Consolidated School was destroyed by a terrific gas explosion, reducing this once peaceful and prosperous community to a condition of profound and abject sorrow, depriving nearly every home of some beloved child, and spreading desolation and misery in this once happy community; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, on behalf of the people of the City and County of San Francisco, extends to the Mayor and to the people of the town of New London, our heartfelt sympathy in the awful calamity that has befallen them, commiserating with them in their terrible suffering and sorrow, and praying that the Almighty Father will assuage the overpowering grief which is theirs.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **Shoppers' Subways.**

(Code No. —)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 3166, as follows:

Whereas, traffic congestion in downtown San Francisco has become intolerable; and

Whereas, the San Francisco Call-Bulletin has proposed that "shoppers' subways" be constructed under Market street; and

Whereas, such subways would afford increased safety to women and children crossing Market street with its four car tracks, and lessen congestion and delay; and

Whereas, rapid transit and other proposals designed to improve the traffic situation cannot be completed for several years at the least, although congestion even today is getting progressively worse; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors investigate the feasibility of the construction of at least one such tunnel under a crowded Market street intersection to be designated by the City Engineer; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board ask the City Engineer for a report on the advisability of constructing such a tunnel at an early date, and to furnish preliminary cost estimates therefor.

*Referred to Finance and Streets Committees.*

### **Free Motor Travel Between Marina and Richmond Over Golden Gate Bridge Approach.**

(Code No. —)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 3167, as follows:

Whereas, the Golden Gate Bridge is rapidly nearing completion and will soon be open to traffic; and

Whereas, use of the Marina and Funston avenue approaches would constitute a saving both in time and expense to motorists traveling from the Marina to the Richmond and vice versa; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has paid 85 per cent of the preliminary expenses for the Golden Gate Bridge; \$40,000 for construction of the Marina approach within the Presidio, and is pledged to pay one-third of the cost of the Funston avenue approach; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby memorialize the Board of Directors of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District and does urge that arrangements be made to permit of motor travel between the Richmond and the Marina, over the approaches to the Golden Gate Bridge, without expense to such traffic.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

**Leave of Absence—Supervisor Jesse C. Colman.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3168, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, Hon. Jesse C. Colman, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of one week, commencing March 24, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Congratulations to Mrs. Anita Day Hubbard.**

(Code No. —)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 3170, as follows:

Whereas, the San Francisco Examiner has added to its staff of feature writers, Anita Day Hubbard, well known in the newspaper world, and more especially for her recent work as Director of the San Francisco Bay Bridge Celebration; and

Whereas, Mrs. Hubbard will conduct the "Good Neighbor" column of the San Francisco Examiner for the benefit of the citizens of this City; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors wishes her success in her new venture and that the San Francisco Examiner be congratulated on adding to its staff such a wonderful writer as Mrs. Anita Day Hubbard.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Report on Unemployment Relief Legislation.**

Supervisors Shannon and Roncovieri reported on visits to Sacramento re legislation regarding relief, and that by the adoption of legislation by the State Legislature, as recommended, San Francisco's tax rate will be increased by seven cents, to provide for relief.

**Report of Supervisor Brown on His Trip to Washington, D. C.**

Supervisor Brown reported on his trip to Washington, D. C., in behalf of the Golden Gate Bridge opening fiesta. He moved, as an amendment to motion previously made (February 8, 1937), that transportation of Supervisor McSheehy to Washington, D. C., and return, be paid by the City and County of San Francisco.

*Motion carried.***Scroll Commemorating Santa Clara Broncos Victory Over Louisiana State University.**

Supervisor Brown moved that a suitable scroll, relative to the great victory and splendid advertising received as the result of the Santa Clara Broncos' victory over the Louisiana State University at New Orleans, on New Year's Day, be prepared and signed by the various members of the City and County government.

*Motion carried.***Death of Chief Building Inspector Erle L. Cope.**

Supervisor Ratto moved that the Board adjourn out of respect to the memory of Erle L. Cope, and that suitable expressions of the sympathy of the Board be extended to his family.

*So ordered.*



MONDAY, MARCH 22, 1937

**Meetings Announced.**

Finance Committee, March 26, 3:30 p. m.

Health Committee, March 25, 10 a. m.

Judiciary Committee, March 23, 3:30 p. m.

Special Hetch Hetchy Committee, March 24, 2 p. m.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors adjourned at the hour of  
7:05 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors March 29, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, March 29, 1937

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MARCH 29, 1937, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 29, 1937,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:  
Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt,  
Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

Supervisor Brown was noted present at 2:23 p. m.

Supervisor Roncovieri was noted present at 2:25 p. m.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of March 22, 1937, was  
considered read and approved.

### HEARING—2 P. M.

Hearing of protests against costs and of all interested in work or improvement of the south one-half of Cayuga avenue between Lyell street and 200 feet east and at certain other locations by M. Bertolino, which work has been approved by the Department of Public Works.

Protest filed by W. H. Gillham was withdrawn.

Whereupon, the Clerk was directed to notify the Department of Public Works that hearing was had and no protest made.

### SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

#### Rapid Transit.

Question being on Supervisor Meyer's No. 6 motion, to-wit:

That the Public Utilities Commission's subway and rapid transit proposal to be submitted to the voters in the form of a bond proposition, and that the Board go on record favoring its ratification by the voters.

#### Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved to delete the words "and that the Board go on record favoring its ratification by the voters."

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Shannon—7.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that this entire matter be postponed for a week and be made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m. next



Monday and in the interim he (McSheehy) will bring in an amendment of this report in reference to bus transportation in San Francisco.

*No second.*

#### Motion Adopted.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Meyer's motion and the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I want the record to show that I wanted to change my vote from *no* to *aye* in order to move for a reconsideration, but that the Chair ruled me out of order.

#### Explanation of Vote.

THE PRESIDENT: The question is now on the original motion made by Supervisor Meyer—Supervisor Brown.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Mr. Chairman, in explaining my vote on this matter, I would like to make a few brief statements, and I would like also that they be included in the record, because I expect to refer to them later on.

In the first place, the question before us today is a question of policy. It does not specifically offer to the people, to vote on, the \$52,000,000 bond issue. It states that the Board of Supervisors is in favor of submitting such a proposal to the people. With that I can have very little argument. I am in favor of submitting a rapid transit proposal to the people. I think, with Supervisor Colman, that rapid transit is probably the most important question before the City and County of San Francisco at the present time, bar no others.

However, what we have before us does not give us any option as to whether one plan or the other is more desirable. It merely asks us point blank whether we propose to submit this particular plan or not. I think that the submission of the \$52,000,000 proposal to the voters at this time is, so far as any tangible results are concerned, an idle act, because I am inclined to believe that the bond issue will inevitably be defeated in its present form; but from the standpoint of getting the people of San Francisco to think about the rapid transit question, perhaps the gesture is not an idle one. Unless the people have forcibly presented to them the whole rapid transit question, and unless they are given an opportunity to think it over, to study it, and to come to their own conclusions on rapid transit, they will not appreciate that it is the important issue that does confront us today.

The reasons that I am not in sympathy with the \$52,000,000 proposal are these: First, it deals with a portion of a car riding public at present estimated at 25 per cent of the total travel, and which, under the most favorable estimate of results to be secured from it in the future, estimated as 50 per cent of the total volume of traffic. I am inclined to disagree with the 50 per cent figure—although I am perfectly willing for the purpose of this argument to admit that it was given to us by Mr. Cahill as merely a tentative estimate. However, I disagree with the 50 per cent figure for the reason that I do not think the Municipal lines as at present constituted, or as proposed to be set up in the bond issue proposal, will serve 50 per cent of the people of San Francisco. Secondly, I don't think that the subways will have the material effect in speeding up traffic that is claimed for them, at any other time of the day than the peak hour. I don't think that there is any material criticism of the street car service now in San Francisco at any time except between the hours of seven-thirty and nine in the morning, and the hours of four to six in the afternoon. During the rest of the day we probably have as good

street car service here as any other city in the United States. For that reason I do not think that the 50 per cent estimate will be reached, because people are not going to walk any great distance, except during the peak hours, to get the benefit of the subway system.

A third reason I do not believe the \$52,000,000 bond issue is the best proposal for the city is because it does not afford any relief in downtown San Francisco from the present cross-town traffic congestion. It does not propose to eliminate from Market street the surface lines, but merely eliminates some of them. The conditions that cause congestion on Market street will still prevail, and I don't believe that there will be any relief afforded to traffic either on Market street or across Market street. The fourth reason I am opposed to the \$52,000,000 expenditure is because the effect of the bridge traffic has not yet been felt, and can only be conjectured, and in any event will not be felt for years to come in its full effect.

The first item we must consider is that the fare per single ride will be still 5 cents—at least on the Municipal cars—as against the rate of transbay travel which will be about 12 cents on commute ticket and 21 cents for a single ride; and that is a very considerable item of cost that must be considered when we start moving any material number of people across the Bay. Furthermore, I am reliably informed, and have never heard this contradicted, that there will not be simply the process of getting in the train and riding across the Bay—that that is not what is contemplated. But, as a matter of fact, it is proposed to operate lightweight specially constructed cars to a junction terminal to the east end of the bridge at which point it will be necessary to change cars, and go in the existing rolling stock of the Key Route and the Southern Pacific System from that transfer point to their destination. So that not at least for years to come, until the present rolling stock has worn out, will there be any change in the transportation system. If I am mistaken in that statement I would like to have it corrected, because that was the last information I got on the subject.

With all these things considered, I still think it would be a step forward for this board to go on record as favoring rapid transit, and since the only form of rapid transit we have before us is the Cahill plan, then we should go on record as favoring the submission of that plan to the people, in the hope that the people will thereby be awakened to the problem, and be compelled to make a study of it for their own personal information. As I said before, I am not at all satisfied with the proposal. I think it leaves out the main part of the issue, which is the service of the major part of our people—but it is the only one before us, the only one that will make a start in the right direction, and for that reason I am not going to oppose submission—I will vote for it as it is before the board.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Mr. Chairman?

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Roncovieri.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Is it your interpretation of the motion that is before us that we are voting in favor of a \$53,000,000 bond issue?

THE PRESIDENT: No.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: There is some mention, I know, of that amount, but in voting for this are we binding ourselves individually to vote when it comes up later—because it must come up later—for a \$53,000,000 bond issue, or could the amount be changed?

THE PRESIDENT: I feel that the amount could be changed.

THE CLERK: That is correct.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Well, if that is the understanding, I am willing to vote for it; but I want to call your attention that we can not—these are some of the obligations placed upon us, and upon the Public Utilities Commission before we can vote a specific sum for a bond issue.

(Charter section read.)



SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I take it from that that the estimated revenues must be given to us; that the entire job as proposed must be analyzed and that we must have plans before us before we can legally submit a bond issue. I know also somewhere in the charter that it is provided that no bond issue shall be submitted with less than eight votes.

THE CLERK: That is the state law and the constitution.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: The state law and the constitution. And also that the amounts, dates of redemption, all the financial details must be worked out before it is submitted to the people, so that later on, those who buy the bonds may expect to know when they will have them redeemed—the interest rates and all that sort of thing.

I believe, as the Chairman does, that this does not involve a fixed amount, but involves simply a policy that later on, when all the figures are given to us, we may or may not vote for what is proposed then on the financial side solely. I wish to say in explanation of my vote that I recognize the great need of rapid transit. This is one of the major needs of the city, and we know something must be done, and this being the only feasible plan as given to us by our engineers I feel like voting for it—I am voting for it, but as to the amount that it will take, and whether it shall be done in small units at a lesser cost to the people at large is another question. I don't know what I will do when that comes before us, but at the present I will vote for it.

THE PRESIDENT: Doctor Schmidt?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Just in explanation of my vote. I am sorry that the Board did not see fit to delete the last part of the proposal that is before us. I am going to do this time what I have done many times in the past, and not stand in the way of presentation. I am not in favor of all parts of this proposal—I want that distinctly understood. I am merely in favor of presenting it to the people without giving my approval to all parts of it; because I can't see any reason, in the light of what Supervisor Roncovieri has said, why we should not divide this up. But apparently that is not the wish of the utilities commission, and they want the people of San Francisco to swallow the entire pill, which I don't think they will do. Another point I think the people should know at the time this is brought up—I think the people should be given to understand that two tracks are going to remain on the surface on Market street. The people often say, why don't you give us a proposal in such a manner that we know exactly what we are voting for? I don't think anything should be kept from the people, and if this is going to be put on the ballot they should understand that two surface tracks are going to remain. Personally, I am in favor of the four track subway if you are going to have any. On the other hand, I quite agree with what Supervisor McSheehy said, that in Manhattan Island all the surface lines have been removed with the exception of the 42nd street line, and you do have rapid transit in New York.

What I don't like about this is that when we discussed it being constructed, the question was asked: What are the people of San Francisco generally going to do in the next five years while this is being done? We need it now as well as in five years from now—and the answer was, well, they will probably have to suffer along in the meantime. I think we ought to get busy on the surface right away, and not wait until five years from now. While we are waiting for this proposal to be brought before the people, which will doubtless be voted down, although there are parts of it that have merit—I think the most congested part, if the people see fit to vote a subway, probably should be done along Market street, to give those people who go down there to work a chance to get home rapidly. Then the surface lines should be taken into consideration. I think Supervisor McSheehy is right along those lines.

Now, as I say, we still have more time to think this matter over. I am not going to object to having the thing presented to the people, but I want the people to realize all the points with regard to this matter, and especially that surface transportation is not being taken into consideration at the present time and immediately, and secondly that two surface lines are going to remain on Market street. I think that should be given to the people.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Ratto?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I just want to ask a question of Supervisor Schmidt.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: I will yield to a question.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I have a right to ask him a question. Doctor, just for the sake of the record, do I understand you to say that you believe the people will vote down the proposition?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I have a feeling that they will, yes.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: And yet you are going to vote to submit it to them. All right, I just wanted to get your attitude for the record.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: My answer to you is this: A large number of people want this, and in the past a large number of people have come in here with propositions that they want submitted, and my personal opinion may have been opposed, but I am not going to stand in the way of any large representative group who want a proposition put on the ballot. The final decision remains with the people. I think it will be a mighty good thing to bring it before the people, so we know where we stand. They will have to do something about transportation, and apparently there is a feeling that they want to tackle this transportation problem. I am also giving you the answer that surface transportation can not wait, and they ought to be at it now, rearranging the service, substituting buses, various ways they can attend to that, and we ought to have it now; it has to be done anyway.

THE PRESIDENT: Call the roll—Supervisor Ratto?

SUPERVISOR RATTO: I am going to vote for submission to the people, but I want to be on record as not being in favor of all the subways and everything else in the plan set up by Mr. Cahill.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: If there is no other member that wishes to be heard I want to call your attention to a few things. I want to read to you—especially addressing myself somewhat to Supervisor Roncovieri, because I served under the Supervisor as an employee, and the Supervisor is well versed in English, and knows the meaning of every word—in fact, he is somewhat of an authority—I want to read to him particularly, this is the motion: “I move that the Public Utilities Commission’s subway and rapid transit proposal be submitted to the voters in the form of a bond proposition; and that the Board go on record favoring its ratification by the voters.” It is very short, but there is a punch in every word. There is the plan. You have been told that this plan will cost \$52,700,000, and that is of record. Therefore, you have the record, and you have the cost. Some of the members of the Board feel that perhaps this is not the best plan. Now what position do we find ourselves in? We are declaring a policy here this afternoon, and if eight members of this Board vote later on to submit, and it will come to them, this particular bond issue will be on the November ballot. Some members of this Board state they do not feel the people will approve it. Doctor Schmidt agrees with me that possibly bus transportation would be best, and he was in New York last year. Every member seems to have some reason or other for not submitting it, so why submit it? You will cloud the issue, nothing will be done until November, and in my opinion the majority of the people will vote the bond issue down in November. So, if you feel that way about it, why submit it?

I have been bold, and come out point blank and told you, Have you



a substitute, and I said, yes, I have a substitute. It has taken me some time to think the substitute over, and I offer it to you. I think the substitute will cover just what we are trying to cover, to give the people rapid transportation. How will you give it to them? I say you can give it to them in the most modern method possible, which is bus transportation. We have one of the steepest hills in San Francisco, known as the 33 line, and that is giving wonderful transportation to the people. Throughout the entire city perhaps one-tenth will require the trackless trolley. The other nine-tenths will be quite properly served by bus transportation, because the cars will not require a trackless trolley.

So I say to you, members of the Board, that I think—and I am only thinking now—I think the entire system, and taking the figures Mr. Cahill gave us—he told us there was about one thousand cars traversing our city streets in San Francisco, about one thousand covering the Municipal Railroad, of which the Market Street had 75 per cent and the Municipal 25 per cent, in other words 250 Municipal, 750 Market Street; and he made an estimate on that of about \$20,000 a car, which would make \$20,000,000. You have no cost whatsoever of trackage, no cost for maintenance and you are confronted here, members of this Board, with a raise in your tax rate—I mean a raise in your car fare to 7 cents, or tokens 6 for a quarter, and I believe in my heart that the Railroad Commission will grant that, because I think the company can show positive figures showing that the raise is due them, and I think the Railroad Commission will grant that.

Now do you think you are wise in passing this resolution this afternoon? Do you think so? I do not. I can not present to you a substitute for it at this particular time, because I have not properly laid one out, but if you wanted to delay this matter for one week, and I can get a second, I will propose to you a substitute for this proposition, and I will propose that one week from today—if I can get a second.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Will you yield to a question?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Yes.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Must that emanate from the Public Utilities Commission, or the Board of Supervisors?

SUPERVISOR MEYER: From the commission.

SUPERVISOR UHL: That is what I thought. Then that is out.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Just a minute. I can't understand Supervisor Meyer—

SUPERVISOR MEYER: We have heard all the arguments you have made, and we know we have got to take something from the utilities commission before we can act, and we have got to submit it to the people before we can do anything else, and all this killing of a lot of time doesn't get us anywhere.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I am sorry I am killing time. I didn't know your time was so precious.

But I will say to the members of the Board that I can't take that for granted at all, the statement made by Supervisor Meyer. I am a member of this Board, and I have a right to submit to this Board any resolution that I see fit, and this Board in return will send it to some committee of this board, and that committee will send it to some department. I know I am in order in submitting a resolution along those lines, and I know the machinery that it would have to go through before it comes back to the Board. It will be reported on by a committee, a committee of this Board of which the Supervisor to my right is chairman, and of which I happen to be a member, and that committee will ask information from the Utilities Commission, and they will receive a report accordingly. So I say to you—

SUPERVISOR MEYER: What will they do?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I don't know what they will do.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: They are on record. This is not a trifling matter.

THE PRESIDENT: This is all out of order, Supervisor McSheehy.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I am talking entirely on the motion.

THE PRESIDENT: No; you are talking about what you propose to do if you can receive a second.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: If I can receive a second I will move that this entire matter be postponed for one week, remain on the calendar, be made a special order of business at 3 o'clock next Monday, and during that interim I will bring in an amendment to this report in reference to bus transportation in San Francisco over the streets of our city. If I can receive a second?

THE PRESIDENT: Is there a second to Supervisor McSheehy's motion? It fails for want of a second.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: That is all right. I have made my motion. I have nothing further to say.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Colman.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: In explaining my vote, I am voting for this because I honestly feel it is the proper thing to do, and also because I believe it has an even chance of success, with intelligent presentation to the people. I think the Board has every reason to feel confident that it will receive a two-thirds vote. With due respect to our colleague, he is a builder. It is true that he did go to New York and visit the great metropolis, and no doubt he did ride uptown in the buses from Harlem to the central district; but we have the additional authority upon the plan, namely the opinion of Mr. Ridgeway, who lives in New York, and is more familiar with the circumstances and conditions in New York than is our colleague. I think that is a fair statement.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: This plan was submitted to Mr. Ridgeway and no other plan. He passed on this and nothing else.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. Ridgeway has the additional advantage of having built all of the subways in New York, and of having made a minute study of this particular question, which I think it is fair to state gives his opinion a higher rating—with no disrespect to Supervisor McSheehy—than the opinion of Supervisor McSheehy based on his 24 or 48-hour visit to New York, admitting that he did take the bus uptown from Harlem into the central district. It also has the benefit of study and agreement of another world-famed engineer, Mr. ...., and both of them are thoroughly agreed. Now, the members of the Utilities Commission considered the point that Supervisor McSheehy brought out, and I would feel more confident in view of their training, and additional familiarity with the subject, in backing the judgment of these two engineers and the Utilities Commission, with no disrespect, than to take the opinion of Supervisor McSheehy on this particular matter.

Now there is no question in my mind, and I think no question in the minds of any members of the Board as to the necessity of this thing. I don't want to go into the details again, and I agree with Supervisor Roncovieri that the definite amount has not yet been figured, and I would like to reserve the right to appear before the Finance Committee to discuss the \$6,000,000 saving mentioned at the time, which is a saving of \$6,000,000. That will come later on, but today we are adopting a policy of submitting the question of rapid transit to the voters. This is rapid transit definitely. Let the Market Street Railroad alone at the present time. I have a feeling—I don't know whether it is true or not, that we may be, by continually interjecting the question of the purchase of the Market Street Railway, be unwittingly playing into the hands of that corporation. Let the line alone. We are told, and it is true, that the purchase of the Market Street Railway will not give us one more mile of trackage, or one more car. Let it alone, I say. It might result in a curtailment of service, and that is not what we are looking for. We are considering the question of rapid transit; it is before us, and should be presented



to the people, and I am going to vote for it in all sincerity, and with the sincere hope that it will carry.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Mr. President?

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Uhl.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I want to explain my vote. I have taken the position on this Board that I am elected by the people, and that when a representative group desire a matter submitted to the people I am perfectly willing to submit the same, although I may be personally opposed to it as in this particular instance now; and I want this made a part of the record, if you please, in our Journal, that I am willing to vote that this matter of policy shall be adopted, but that I am not obligating myself to approve the rapid transit plan as submitted by the Public Utilities Commission.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. President?

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor McSheehy.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Supervisor Colman used my name in comparing Mr. Ridgeway with myself. The comparison is not fair. This was the plan that was submitted to him by Mr. Cahill, in reference to the subway construction. Mr. Ridgeway—and I have met the gentleman several times—is an authority on subway construction. He is employed the year around by the City of New York, and he is an authority on subway construction, and passed on that entirely, and without any reference to the purchase of the Market street line and the unification of both lines, or a unified bus transportation—that matter was never submitted to him. Therefore, the comparison, using his name with mine, is not fair. I don't know whether Supervisor Colman did it unintentionally, or unwittingly, but I don't think there is any comparison, because Mr. Ridgeway passed on nothing but subway construction.

THE PRESIDENT: Call the roll.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Brown?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Colman?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Mead?

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Meyer?

SUPERVISOR MEYER: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Ratto?

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Reilly?

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Roncovieri?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Schmidt?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Shannon?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Aye.

THE CLERK: Ten "Ayes"; one "No."

THE PRESIDENT: The motion is carried——

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Just a moment. I wish to change my vote from "No" to "Aye", and give notice of reconsideration.

THE PRESIDENT: This is only a motion, Supervisor McSheehy.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I am making the motion, Mr. President.

THE PRESIDENT: I will rule it out of order.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: All right; that is your privilege. I want the record to so show.

In connection with the foregoing Supervisor Uhl called attention to new stream-lined cars now used in Los Angeles. He requested that the Clerk communicate with Los Angeles Railway Corporation requesting information as to cost of new stream-lined car, weight of cars and blueprint of construction.

### SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.

#### Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

#### Approving and Endorsing Joint Highway District No. 9.

(Code No. 12.05)

Resolution No. 3151, as follows:

Whereas, the Joint Highway District No. 9 has been formed by the counties of Santa Cruz, San Mateo and the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of constructing the Ocean Shore boulevard; and

Whereas, the State Highway Commission has cooperated and participated financially in the construction of said Ocean Shore boulevard; and

Whereas, the State Highway Commission has requested an expression of approval and endorsement by the Board of Supervisors of each county; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, does hereby approve and endorse the project for construction work as proposed by the Joint Highway District No. 9, and does hereby express willingness to cooperate in meeting annual assessments and in furthering the plans of the said Joint Highway District No. 9.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

#### Authorizing Sale of Fire Department Lot on Second Avenue.

(Code No. 12.1726)

Bill No. 1278, Ordinance No. 12.17266, as follows:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Fire Department, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Second avenue, distant thereon 285 feet southerly from the southerly line of Clement street; and running thence southerly along said line of Second avenue 30 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 30 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Second avenue and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 183.



Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Appropriation of \$69,319 for Relief for April, 1937.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1279, Ordinance No. 9.051368, as follows:

Appropriating \$69,319 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of April, 1937, and authorizing a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$69,319 is hereby appropriated out of such funds as may be available for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of April, 1937.

Said appropriation is made for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County of San Francisco and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations are fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved by the Civil Service Commission are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 2519 heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### NEW BUSINESS.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up and *re-referred to Finance Committee*:

**Amending Section 20 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), "License Ordinance," by Increasing Fee for Boxing and Wrestling Exhibitions to \$100.**

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 1283, Ordinance No. 3.04170, as follows:

Amending Section 20 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled "Imposing license taxes on certain businesses, callings, trades or employments within the City and County of San Francisco," in effect July 1, 1920, *by increasing the fee for boxing and wrestling exhibitions from \$10 to \$100 for each such exhibition.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 20 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 20. *Boxing and Wrestling Exhibitions.* Every person, firm or corporation conducting, carrying on or managing a boxing or wrestling exhibition, shall pay a license fee of \$100 for each such exhibition.

Provided that no license shall be exacted from bona fide athletic organizations where boxing or wrestling exhibitions are given for the

entertainment of the members thereof and to which no admission fee is charged, directly or indirectly.

*In connection with the foregoing the Clerk was directed to obtain from the Controller a breakdown of the benefits enjoyed at the expense of the City by the boxing and wrestling clubs. Copies of same to be furnished each.*

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

#### Appropriation of \$100 for Mailing Notices of City Planning Commission.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1284, Ordinance No. 9.051369, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$100 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.328.61 to provide funds for the mailing of notices of the City Planning Commission. An emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$100 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.328.61 to provide funds for the mailing of official notices of the City Planning Commission.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund and this ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates said appropriation from said Fund, and this ordinance taking effect immediately, the nature of said emergency being as follows: That the City Planning Commission is without funds to pay for the necessary mailing of notices as required by law, and that said appropriation is necessary for the uninterrupted operation of said Commission.

Recommended by: Mark Jorgensen, Secretary City Planning Commission.

Approved as to form: John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Funds available: Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Approved: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Acquisition of Property Required for the Widening of Polk and Fell Streets.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Resolution No. 3173, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from CAPITAL COMPANY, a corporation, portion of Lot 3, Assessor's Block 813, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Polk and Fell Streets, for the sum



of \$25,000.00, payable from Appropriation No. 951.914.57. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property—Jos. J. Phillips.

Form approved: Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Settlement of Claim, Mary C. Sweeney.**

(Code No. 6.05)

Also, Resolution No. 3174, as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the sum of \$200.00 be paid to Mary C. Sweeney in settlement of all claims of damage resulting from a fall caused by a defective sidewalk in front of 210 Fourth Street, San Francisco. Said sum shall be paid from Appropriation 648.918.00.

This settlement has been approved by the City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Authorizing Purchase of Land for Laguna Honda Boulevard.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3175, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from NORRIS A. HAWKINS, Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 2918-A, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Laguna Honda Boulevard, for the sum of \$3,000.00, payable from the Department of Public Works Appropriation 648.991.17.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Confirming Sale of Land Near Pleasanton to Henry J. Kaiser Company.**

(Code No. 12.17252)

Also, Resolution No. 3176, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.1725210, Bill No. 1229, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids would be received by him on March 5, 1937, for the sale of the following described City owned real property situated in the County of Alameda, State of California:

Commencing at a point in the northerly boundary line of that certain 100-foot strip of land described in Parcel 71 of Alameda County Lands, in deed from Spring Valley Water Company to the City and County of San Francisco, dated March 3, 1930, and recorded March 3, 1930, in the office of the County Recorder of Alameda County, State of California, in Liber 2350 of Official Records at page 1; said point being distant along said northerly boundary line south 87 degrees 56 minutes east 1474.67 feet from Corner No. 10 on the boundary line of the Santa Rita Rancho; running thence from said point of commencement along said northerly boundary line south 87 degrees 56

minutes east 803.03 feet to an intersection with the westerly boundary line of a 50-foot strip of land described in said Parcel 71; thence along said westerly boundary line north 0 degrees 19 minutes east 15.01 feet; thence leaving said westerly boundary line south 87 degrees 56 minutes east 50.02 feet to the easterly boundary line of said 50-foot strip; thence along said easterly boundary line south 0 degrees 19 minutes west 15.01 feet to the northerly boundary line of said 100-foot strip; thence along said northerly boundary line south 87 degrees 56 minutes east 5448.12 feet to Corner No. 9 on the boundary of said Rancho; thence continuing along said northerly boundary line north 66 degrees 42 minutes east 426.47 feet to the northeast corner of Plot No. 5 of the Bernal portion of Rancho El Valle de San Jose on the easterly boundary line of said 100-foot strip; thence along said last mentioned easterly boundary line south 0 degrees 34 minutes west 109.35 feet to the southerly boundary line of said 100-foot strip; thence along said southerly boundary line south 66 degrees 42 minutes west 404.73 feet and north 87 degrees 56 minutes west 5467.56 feet to the easterly boundary line of hereinabove mentioned 50-foot strip; thence along said easterly boundary line south 0 degrees 19 minutes west 2486.62 feet to a point in the northerly right of way line of the Western Pacific Railway Company distant thereon north 69 degrees 46 minutes east 2496.15 feet from its intersection with the center line of County Road No. 1533; thence south 69 degrees 46 minutes west along said northerly right of way line 53.39 feet to the westerly boundary line of said 50-foot strip; thence along said last mentioned westerly boundary line north 0 degrees 19 minutes east 2506.88 feet to a point on the southerly boundary line of said 100-foot strip; thence along said last mentioned southerly boundary line north 87 degrees 56 minutes west 803.85 feet; thence north 0 degrees 47 minutes east 100.03 feet to the point of commencement

Excepting therefrom a right of way for railroad purposes granted to Southern Pacific Railroad Company by deed dated December 28, 1906, and recorded January 7, 1907, in Book 1317 of Deeds, page 9, Alameda County Records.

Containing a net area of 17.97 acres.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Henry J. Kaiser Company offered to purchase said property for the sum of \$5,391, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said sum of \$5,391 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being \$5,391; and

Whereas, Henry J. Kaiser Company has paid the sum of \$539.10 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission has recommended the sale of said property for the sum of \$5,391; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted. Be it

Further resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary deed for the conveyance of said property to Henry J. Kaiser Company, subject to such reservations and conditions as may be deemed necessary by the City Attorney and the Director of Property. The buyer must pay the balance of the consideration within thirty days after approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver the deed to the Grantee upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Authorizing Lease of Water Department Land at Millbrae.**

(Code No. 12.17352)

Bill No. 1285, Ordinance No. 12.173524, as follows:

Authorizing lease of Water Department land at Millbrae.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 93 of the Charter, and in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for leasing to the highest responsible bidder, at the highest monthly rental, the following described San Francisco Water Department land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California:

That certain portion of Parcel No. 30 as described in deed dated March 3, 1930, from Spring Valley Water Company to City and County of San Francisco, recorded in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County on March 3, 1930, in Volume 491 of Official Records, at page 1, the said portion commencing at a point 15 feet distant at a right angle northwesterly from the southeasterly boundary line of said Parcel No. 30 and also distant 40 feet at a right angle southwesterly from the southwesterly line of El Camino Real; thence northwesterly, parallel to said El Camino Real, 160 feet; thence southwesterly and parallel to said southeasterly boundary line 290 feet to a point; thence southeasterly and parallel to El Camino Real 175 feet to said southeasterly boundary line; thence northeasterly along said boundary line 60 feet; thence northwesterly and parallel to El Camino Real 15 feet; thence northeasterly in a straight line to the point of commencement, and containing 1.08 acres, more or less; saving and excepting all telephone lines of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. Said lease shall be for a term of five years, subject to such reservations and conditions as may be deemed necessary or desirable by the Director of Property and the City Attorney.

Form Approved: Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney. By R. McMahon, Deputy.

Approved: Joseph J. Phillips, Director of Property.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Exchange of Easements With Charles Templeton Crocker, Relative to Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2.**

(Code No. 15.0243)

Also, Bill No. 1286, Ordinance No. 15.02435, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, pursuant to Resolution No. 2780, adopted by this Board on September 8, 1936, accepted a certain deed from Charles Templeton Crocker, dated November 10, 1936, and recorded December 9, 1936, in Liber 723, at page 14, Official Records of San Mateo County, California, to a right of way easement required for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission has determined that the location of a portion of said easement be changed, and Charles Templeton Crocker has agreed to such change; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That the City and County of San Francisco quitclaim

Parcel 1, hereinafter described to Charles Templeton Crocker in exchange for Parcel 2, hereinafter described.

Section 2. Said parcels of real property are situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, and are more particularly described as follows:

PARCEL 1. A strip of land 40 feet wide, being 10 feet measured at right angles northeasterly and 30 feet measured at right angles southwesterly from the following described line and the southeasterly and northwesterly extensions thereof.

Commencing at the terminal point of the line described in the hereinabove mentioned deed, said point being distant north 86 degrees 53 minutes 45 seconds east 60 feet, north 6 degrees 06 minutes 15 seconds west 235 feet and north 28 degrees 53 minutes 45 seconds east 3.22 feet from a railroad spike in the center of El Cerrito avenue; running thence from said point of commencement along the line described in said deed south 51 degrees 57 minutes 15 seconds east 67.99 feet; thence south 23 degrees 05 minutes 15 seconds east 85.55 feet; thence south 6 degrees 55 minutes 15 seconds east 200.15 feet; thence south 46 degrees 01 minutes 30 seconds east 140.87 feet; thence south 63 degrees 15 minutes 45 seconds east 10 feet. Containing 0.459 acres more or less.

The northwesterly boundary line of said strip of land being the northwesterly boundary line of the strip of land conveyed to the City by the hereinabove mentioned deed and the southeasterly boundary line of said strip being a line extending northeasterly and southwesterly across the strip at right angles to the last herein mentioned course ("south 63 degrees 15 minutes 45 seconds east") through the terminal point thereof.

PARCEL 2. A right of way easement to lay, relay, construct, reconstruct, maintain, operate, patrol, repair, renew, replace, remove, increase and/or change the number and size of, pipes, pipe lines, conduits and/or connections, appurtenances and appliances, for the conveyance, distribution, supply and/or sale of water, across lands of the grantor situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, more particularly described as follows:

A strip of land 40 feet wide, being 10 feet measured at right angles northeasterly and 30 feet measured at right angles southwesterly from the following described line and the southeasterly and northwesterly extensions thereof.

Commencing at a point on the common boundary line between the parcel of land conveyed to Edward K. Howe et al. by Bowie Estate Company by deed dated November 24, 1919, and recorded November 28, 1919, in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County, State of California, in Volume 284 of Deeds at page 428 and the 118.35 acre tract of land conveyed to Charles Templeton Crocker by Jennie Adeline Crocker by deed dated February 6, 1911, and recorded February 7, 1911, in said San Mateo County Recorder's Office, in Volume 195 of Deeds at page 342 said point being distant north 86 degrees 53 minutes 45 seconds east 60 feet and north 6 degrees 06 minutes 15 seconds west 54.16 feet from a railroad spike in the center of El Cerrito avenue at the southwest corner of said parcel conveyed to E. K. Howe et al.; running thence from said point of commencement south 39 degrees 44 minutes 15 seconds east 304.95 feet; thence south 63 degrees 15 minutes 45 seconds east 10 feet to a point on the described line in deed from Charles Templeton Crocker to City and County of San Francisco dated November 10, 1936, and recorded December 9, 1936, in said San Mateo County Recorder's Office in Book 723, Official Records, at page 14. Containing 0.279 acres more or less.

The westerly boundary line of said strip of land being the easterly boundary line of the land of Edward K. Howe et al. and the southeasterly and southwesterly across the strip at right angles to the last herein mentioned course ("south 63 degrees 15 minutes 45 seconds east") through the terminal point thereof.



Section 3. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary quitclaim deed to Charles Templeton Crocker, covering Parcel 1. The Director of Property shall deliver said quitclaim deed to the grantee upon receipt of the necessary deed to Parcel 2 and is hereby authorized and directed to accept and record the latter deed.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing a Supplemental Appropriation of \$20,215 for the Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Sigmund Stern Recreation Grove, and for Payment of Incidental Expenses.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1287, Ordinance No. 9.051370, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$20,215 for the purchase of certain land required for the Sigmund Stern Recreation Grove, and for payment of incidental expenses.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated and set aside the total sum of \$20,215.00 to Appropriation No. 613.600.10 from the following funds, for the purchase of additional land required for The Sigmund Stern Recreation Grove, and for payment of incidental expenses:

(a) The sum of \$10,000.00 from the money in the Trust Fund, received from Mrs. Rosalie M. Stern for the above mentioned purpose.

(b) The sum of \$2,250.00 from Reserve for Land Purchases—General.

(c) The sum of \$4,750.00 from Reserve for Land Purchases—Health Department.

(d) The sum of \$3,215.00 from Reserve for Land Purchases—Fire Department.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Approving County Welfare Recommendations.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Resolution No. 3177, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Director of the County Welfare Department, filed with the Board of Supervisors on March 26, 1937, are approved as follows:

Old Age Security Aid—New Applications: Increases; Denials; other transactions.

Blind Pensions—New applications; increases.

Widows' Pensions—New applications; increases.

And the Clerk of this Board is directed to indorse the approval of this Board upon the reports of said Director of County Welfare Department and to transmit the same to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Cancellation of Erroneous Entry of Personal Property Taxes as Lien on Real Property.**

(Code No. 9.0411)

Also, Resolution No. 3178, as follows:

Whereas, The Assessor has reported an erroneous entry of personal property tax as a lien on real property for the years 1933, 1934 and 1935, said personal property belonging to Edwin Jones; and

Whereas, The order to attach the resultant tax liability on said personal property to Lot 28, Block 1581, for the aforementioned years was not signed by the owner of the real property to which said tax was attached; therefore, be it

Resolved, That in accordance with Sections 3803 and 3881b of the Political Code of the State of California, the Controller is hereby authorized and directed to cancel the aforesaid erroneous entry of personal property tax.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing Sale of Certain School Lands.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

Bill No. 1288, Ordinance No. 12.172211, as follows:

Authorizing sale of certain school lands.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Parcel 1. Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Bryant street, distant thereon 150 feet southerly from the southerly line of 18th street, running thence southerly and along said easterly line of Bryant street 100 feet; thence at right angles easterly 200 feet to the westerly line of York street; thence at right angles northerly and along said westerly line of York street 100 feet; and thence at right angles westerly 200 feet to the easterly line of Bryant street and the point of commencement.

Being portion of Potrero Nuevo Block No. 39.

Parcel 2. Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Sutter street distant thereon 137 feet 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of Gough street, running thence westerly and along said northerly line of Sutter street 137 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles northerly 275 feet to a point on the southerly line of Bush street, distant thereon 137 feet 6 inches easterly from the easterly line of Octavia street; thence easterly along the southerly line of Bush street 68 feet 9 inches; thence at right angles southerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles easterly 68 feet 9 inches; and thence at right angles southerly 137 feet 6 inches to the northerly line of Sutter street and the point of commencement.

Being portion of Western Addition Block No. 158.

Parcel 3. Commencing at a point on the easterly line of 31st avenue, distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Moraga street (formerly "M" street), running thence southerly and along said easterly line of 31st avenue 150 feet; thence at right angles easterly 240 feet to the westerly line of 30th avenue; thence at right angles northerly and along said westerly line of 30th avenue 150 feet,



and thence at right angles westerly 240 feet to the easterly line of 31st avenue and the point of commencement.

Being portion of Outside Land Block No. 890.

Parcel 4. Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Hill street with the westerly line of Church street; running thence westerly along said southerly line of Hill street 101 feet 9 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 114 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 50 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 114 feet to a point on the northerly line of 22nd street, distant thereon 151 feet 9 inches westerly from the westerly line of Church street; thence easterly along the northerly line of 22d street, 151 feet 9 inches to the westerly line of Church street; thence at a right angle northerly and along said westerly line of Church street 228 feet to the southerly line of Hill street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Mission Block 90.

Parcel 5. Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Norwich street with the westerly line of Alabama street (formerly Columbia place) running thence westerly and along said southerly line of Norwich street 220 feet to the easterly line of Harrison street (formerly Gunnison avenue); thence at a right angle southerly and along said easterly line of Harrison street 140 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 220 feet to the westerly line of Alabama street and thence northerly and along said westerly line of Alabama street 140 feet to the southerly line of Norwich street and the point of commencement.

Being Lots 17 to 28 (inclusive) as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Subdivisions of Lots Nos. 163 to 168 (inclusive) 'Precita Valley Lands'". Recorded June 30, 1869. Book "2A and B" of Maps at page 111, Records of City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 6. Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Union street, distant thereon 137 feet 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of Montgomery street, running thence westerly and along said northerly line of Union street 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 137 feet 6 inches to the northerly line of Union street and the point of commencement.

Being 50 Vara Lot No. 418.

Parcel 7. Commencing at a point on the westerly line of 18th avenue distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Ortega street (formerly "O" street), and running thence southerly along said line of 18th avenue 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 210 feet to a line parallel with and distant 30 feet measured at right angles easterly from the easterly line of 19th avenue, said parallel line being the proposed easterly line of 19th avenue; thence northerly along said parallel line 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 210 feet to the westerly line of 18th avenue and the point of commencement.

Being portion of Outside Land Block 963.

Parcel 8. Commencing at a point on the easterly line of 25th avenue, distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Moraga street (formerly "M" street) and running thence southerly along said line of 25th avenue 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 240 feet to the westerly line of 24th avenue; thence at a right angle northerly along said line of 24th avenue 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 240 feet to the easterly line of 25th avenue and the point of commencement.

Being portion of Outside Land Block 884.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and

County of San Francisco. Said parcels may be sold individually or subdivided.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

### Tax Refunds, Erroneous Payments.

(Code No. 9.059)

Resolution No. 3179, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid out of General Fund, 1936-1937, to the following named; being refunds of erroneous payments of taxes:

1. Adelbert E. Kellogg, Jr., per Vol. 10, Lot 5, Block 1534, 1936-1937 Real Estate Rolls, erroneous assessment tangible personal property .....\$ 3.78
2. Tillie Levey, per Vol. 5, Bill 1540, Lots 2-3, Block 779, first installment, Fiscal Year 1936..... 182.77
3. Grace Donaldson, per Vol. 43, Bill 1527, Lots 4-5, Block 7049, first installment, Fiscal Year 1936..... 14.19
4. Jean O'Connor Hibbitts, per Vol. 41, Bill 2634, Lot 9, Block 6795-D, first installment, Fiscal Year 1936..... 39.73
5. Mabel McGown, per Vol. 40, Bill 116, Lot 36, Block 6586, both installments, Fiscal Year 1935..... 1.84
6. Pauline A. Fredrick, per Vol. 20, Bill 1288, Lots 12-13, Block 2880, first installment, Fiscal Year 1936..... 79.46
7. Provident Mutual Loan Association, per Vol. 34, Bill 1200, Lot 3, Block 5687, first installment, Fiscal Year 1936, \$13.24; per Vol. 34, Bill 1194, Lot 3, Block 5687, both installments, Fiscal Year 1935, \$25.78 per Vol. 34, Bill 2046, Lot 3, Block 5687, second installment, Fiscal Year 1934, \$13.52..... 52.54
8. William Friedle, per Vol. 16, Bill 2526, Lot 52, Block 2170, first installment, Fiscal Year 1936..... 38.98

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Cancellation of Erroneous Assessment.

(Code No. 9.0411)

Also, Resolution No. 3180, as follows:

Whereas, the Assessor has reported an erroneous assessment on the 1934-1935 Assessment Roll, Vol. 4, page 208, Block 686, Lot 25-A, land, \$2,840; improvements, \$2,350, and recommends that the same, together with all penalties or costs thereon be canceled; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel said erroneous assessment, together with all penalties or costs thereon.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**Final Passage.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Appropriation of \$1,885 for New Ward, Laguna Honda Home.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1289, Ordinance No. 9.051371, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$1,885 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund 602.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. (see below) to provide the necessary monies for the new ward opened in January of this year, at the Laguna Honda Home.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,885 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation 602.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. (see below) to provide the necessary monies for the new ward opened in January of this year, at the Laguna Honda Home.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as immediate action is required to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Laguna Honda Home.

651.102.00.....	\$608.50	
651.300.00.....	450.00	
651.350.00.....	826.50	\$1,885

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Appropriation of \$2,500 for Relief Work in Emergency Hospitals.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1290, Ordinance No. 9.051372, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$2,500 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, 602.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 654.102.00 to provide funds for carrying on relief work in the Emergency Hospitals for the months of April, May and June, 1937.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,500 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation 602.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 654.102.00 to provide funds for the carrying on of relief work in the Emergency Hospitals for the months of April, May and June, 1937.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as immediate action is required to provide for the carrying on of relief work in the Emergency Hospitals.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Release of Liens Filed re Old-Age Security.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Resolution No. 3181, as follows:

Resolved, That the liens heretofore placed by the Board of Super-

visors of the City and County of San Francisco, on recommendation of the County Welfare Department, are released against the properties described, as follows:

Julia Huss, recorded in Vol. 3011, Dec. 8, 1936, Official Records, page 164; legal description Lot 23, Block 3064, Assessor's Map, under name of Julia Hass.

Daniel Langstadter, recorded August 19, 1936, in Vol. 3011, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco, page 37; legal description, Lot 27, Block 2015, Assessor's Map.

Mrs. Addie F. Rodriguez, recorded in Vol. 3011, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco, page 87; legal description, Lot 25, Block 6612, Assessor's Map.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

### **A Policy Respecting the Acquisition of Privately-Owned Toll Bridges.**

(Code No. 12.11)

Resolution No. 3171, as follows:

Whereas, there are five toll bridges on San Francisco Bay; namely, The San Francisco-Oakland Trans-Bay Bridge, the Golden Gate Bridge—both of which are publicly owned—and the Dumbarton Bridge, the San Mateo Bridge and the Carquinez Straits Bridge, which three are privately owned; and

Whereas, there is a well-defined movement to have the State of California purchase the privately-owned Carquinez Straits Bridge; and

Whereas, the Golden Gate Bridge is a publicly-owned public utility secured by the taxable property of San Francisco, Marin, Napa, Sonoma, Mendocino and Del Norte counties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it shall be and is hereby declared to be the policy of this Board that purchase of the Carquinez Straits Bridge or any of the above-named privately-owned bridges by the State of California be opposed until such time as the State of California has made all necessary arrangements to take over the operation and control of the publicly-owned Golden Gate Bridge; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to the Boards of Supervisors of Marin, Napa, Sonoma, Mendocino and Del Norte counties; to the Governor of the State of California, the San Francisco delegation in the State Legislature and the California State Highway Commission.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

### **Repealing Ordinance No. 12.061168, "Ordering the Construction of a Sewer in Meade Avenue Between Third and Jennings Streets."**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Bill No. 1281, Ordinance No. 12.061172, as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 12.061168, entitled, "Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of



Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same," in effect January 5, 1937, ordering the improvement of Meade avenue between Third and Jennings streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 12.061168, the title of which is recited above, ordering the improvement of Meade avenue between Third and Jennings streets, is hereby repealed.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

**Closing and Abandoning a Portion of the Southwesterly Side of Villa Terrace.**

(Code No. 12.0622)

Resolution No. 3172, as follows:

Whereas, on the 8th day of February, 1937, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 3047, Code No. 12.0621, which resolution was presented to his Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 9th day of February, 1937, said resolution being in words and figures as follows:

*Intention to Close and Abandon a Portion of the Southwesterly Side of Villa Terrace.*

(Code No. 12.0611)

Resolution No. 3047, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of Villa terrace, at Pemberton place, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Villa terrace more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Villa terrace and the southeasterly line of Pemberton place; thence southeasterly along said line of Villa terrace, 57.119 feet to an angle point therein; thence deflecting 160 degrees 30 minutes to the left and running northwesterly along the southwesterly line of Villa terrace produced northwesterly, 44.936 feet to a line parallel with and distant 15 feet at right angles northeasterly from the southwesterly line of Villa terrace; thence deflecting 19 degrees 30 minutes to the left and running northwesterly 14.760 feet to the southeasterly line of Pemberton place produced northeasterly; thence at right angles southwesterly along last-named line, 15 feet to the point of beginning.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Villa terrace shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Villa

terrace in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted*—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, February 8, 1937.

Ayes: Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved San Francisco, Feb. 9, 1937.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

And whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution and the said Department of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, The public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 3047, Code No. 12.0621; and

Whereas, The Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that the portion of Villa terrace described in Resolution No. 3047, Code No. 12.0621 be closed and abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered, that the portion of Villa terrace specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 3047, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing said portion of Villa terrace described in Resolution No. 3047, Code No. 12.0621, shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco as proposed and provided in Resolution No. 3047, Code No. 12.0621; and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said portion of said street described in Resolution No. 3047, Code No. 12.0621, shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works and that the Department of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

**Amending Traffic Ordinance to Prohibit Parking at Certain Hours on the North Side of Army Street for a Distance of 200 Feet Easterly from the East Property Line of Mission Street.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Bill No. 1282, Ordinance No. 11.0229, as follows:

Amending Section 37(b), Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New



Series) entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 37(b), Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following words: "From 4:00 p. m., to 6:00 p. m., on the north side of Army street for a distance of 200 feet easterly from the east property line of Mission street, Sundays and Holidays excepted."

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Committee on Revenue and Taxation Committee were *approved and adopted* by the following vote:

### CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Office of Controller

March 23, 1937.

The Honorable the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco

Gentlemen: The following report of recommendations, in connection with bills now pending before the State Legislature, is submitted for the consideration of your Board by the Revenue and Taxation Committee:

#### *In Favor of Enactment of:*

*Assembly Bill 1310—Hawkins.* An Act to amend Political Code, authorizing notations of revenue stamps by county recorders and the affixing of said stamps by registrar of land titles.

Action based on report from the Recorder to the effect that the measure will permit recorders to affix revenue stamps to instruments subsequent to recordation and will legalize an act that, according to the Attorney General, is not permissible under the present law.

*Assembly Bill 1311—Hawkins.* An Act to add a section to the Political Code relating to filing and recording of instruments, documents and notices pertaining to registered lands.

Action based on report from Recorder to the effect that this bill aims to prevent duplicate notice which obtains under the present law.

Respectfully submitted,

THELMA BENSON,

Secretary of the Revenue and Taxation Committee.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

#### Report of Special Committee on Distribution of Hetch Hetchy Power.

Supervisor McSheehy presented and the Clerk read:

San Francisco,  
March 24, 1937.

To the Honorable, The Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: At a meeting of the Hetch Hetchy Power Committee Wednesday, March 24, 1937, at 2:30 P. M., in the Chambers of the

Board, at which were present, Supervisors McSheehy and Reilly, for the Committee, and Supervisors Roncovieri and Uhl, for the remaining members of the Board, after discussion of the following matters, same were submitted to the Board of Supervisors for immediate attention:

1. From City Attorney, resolution requesting the Secretary of the Interior to take no further action relative to distribution of Hetch Hetchy power until the electors of the City and County of San Francisco have another opportunity to approve a revenue bond issue, either as an amendment to the charter, or to authorize the issuance of revenue bonds under the state law.

2. From City Attorney, resolution memorializing the Assembly and Senate of the State of California to pass Senate Bill No. 200, known as the "Garrison" Bill, enabling the City and County of San Francisco to issue bonds or other evidences of indebtedness for the purpose of financing the acquisition or extension of a utility for the purpose of distributing its hydro-electric power generated on the Hetch Hetchy project, said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness to be payable solely out of the revenue of said utility; and that the President of the Board of Supervisors appoint a committee composed of members of this Board, and other officials, to urge the passage of said bill by said Assembly and the approval of same by the Governor.

3. Read and filed a report by the Public Utilities Commission approving Plan 7 for the distribution of Hetch Hetchy power and recommending issuance of revenue bonds through a charter amendment, general obligation bond issue, or by revenue bond issue authorized under state law.

Respectfully submitted,  
HETCH HETCHY POWER COMMITTEE,

By JAS. B. MCSHEEHY, Chairman.  
GEORGE REILLY, Member.

Resolution No. —, as follows:

(Code No. —)

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors on the 15th day of March, 1937, received from Honorable Harold L. Ickes, Secretary of the Interior of the United States, a notice to the effect that the present method of the distribution of the electric power generated on the Hetch Hetchy system was in violation of Section 6 of the Raker Act, and that if said method of distribution was not changed so as to comply with said provision of the Raker Act within fifteen days from the date of the receipt of said notice by this Board of Supervisors, that said Secretary of the Interior would refer the alleged violation of the provisions of said Act by the City and County of San Francisco, to the Attorney-General of the United States for action; and

Whereas, if the City should be prevented from disposing of the hydro-electric power generated on the Hetch Hetchy project until it can acquire a system for the direct distribution of said power to the inhabitants of the City, the City will sustain a great financial loss; and

Whereas, there is now pending in the Legislature of the State of California, a certain bill or measure which and if approved will permit the City and County of San Francisco by a majority vote of its electors to issue revenue bonds from the proceeds of which a distribution system for the distribution of its hydro-electric power could be acquired or constructed; and

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors has by resolution urged the Assembly of the State of California to pass said measure and the Governor of said State to approve the same; and

Whereas, if the same is passed and approved it will become effec-



tive at the expiration of ninety (90) days after the final adjournment of the Legislature; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the sense of this Board of Supervisors that if the said revenue bond measure should become a law of the State of California, that the question of the issuance of revenue bonds for the purpose of acquiring a distribution system for the hydro-electric power generated on the Hetch Hetchy project should be submitted to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco at the earliest possible date after said measure becomes effective; be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board of Supervisors, be and he is hereby directed to forthwith transmit a copy of this resolution to Honorable Harold L. Ickes, Secretary of the Interior, and to request that no action be taken by him relative to the distribution of the Hetch Hetchy power until the electors of the City and County of San Francisco shall have another opportunity to approve a revenue bond measure, either as an amendment to the Charter or to authorize the issuance of revenue bonds under the State law.

Resolution No. 3184, as follows:

(Code No. 5.35)

Whereas, Senate Bill No. 200 introduced in the present session of the Legislature of the State of California by Senators Garrison, Jespersen, Metzger, Westover, Wagy, Biggar, Olson, Seawell, Williams, Hollister, Holohan, Powers, Parkman, Crittenden, Schottky, Allen, McColl, Slater, Pierovich, Phillips, Law, Keough, McCormack, Keating and McGovern has been approved by the Senate Committee on Municipal Corporations and has passed the Senate of the State of California and is now pending before the California State Assembly; and

Whereas, said bill, if passed by the Assembly and approved by the Governor of the State of California, will enable the City and County of San Francisco to issue bonds or other evidences of indebtedness for the purpose of financing the acquisition or extension of a utility for the purpose of distributing its hydro-electric power generated on the Hetch Hetchy project, and said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness will be payable solely out of the revenue of said utility; and

Whereas, said bonds can be issued only upon a majority vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco voting on the proposition of authorizing the issuance of the same, and, therefore, the electors of the City and County of San Francisco will have a direct voice as to whether or not said bonds should be issued; and

Whereas, the Secretary of the Interior, Honorable Harold L. Ickes, has notified this Board of Supervisors that, in his opinion, the existing agreement between the Pacific Gas and Electric Company and the City and County of San Francisco relative to the distribution of Hetch Hetchy power is a violation of Section 6 of the Raker Act; and

Whereas, it is the desire of this Board of Supervisors that all provisions of the said Raker Act be complied with; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco has submitted to this Board of Supervisors a plan for the distribution of Hetch Hetchy power which can be financed by revenue bonds. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors urge the Assembly of the State of California to approve and pass said Senate Bill No. 200, and when the same is passed by the Assembly and endorsed, that this Board of Supervisors urge the Governor of the State of California to forthwith approve said Bill to the end that the same may become a law of the State of California. It is further

Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to the Governor of the State of California and to the Speaker of the Assembly and

to each of the representatives in said Assembly from the City and County of San Francisco, and that the President of this Board appoint a committee composed of members of this Board, and other officials of the City and County of San Francisco, to urge the passage of said Bill by said Assembly and the approval of the same by the Governor.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Resolution No. 1884, as follows:

Whereas, Resolution No. 3169 has been presented to the Board of Supervisors, referred to the special Hetch Hetchy Power Committee of the Board and is now under consideration by that Committee; and

Whereas, Resolution No. 3169, if approved, would call upon the Public Utilities Commission to propose a definite plan or plans for distribution of Hetch Hetchy power acceptable to the Federal Government; and

Whereas, heretofore at the request of the Board of Supervisors, engineers of Public Utilities Commission have prepared ten plans under which power generated by the Hetch Hetchy project could be distributed to the people of San Francisco; and

Whereas, all ten of these plans have been submitted to Honorable Harold L. Ickes, Secretary of the Interior, with the request that he determine whether or not such plans comply with his interpretation of the requirements of the Hetch Hetchy grant known as the Raker Act, and the Secretary of the Interior has officially stated that the proposal known as Plan No. 7 meets with his approval as being in full compliance with the requirements of said act; and

Whereas, this Commission has heretofore officially recommended to the Board of Supervisors that it approve Plan No. 7 as the most profitable and practical plan presented which is in compliance with the Raker Act as interpreted by the Secretary of the Interior; and

Whereas, the Secretary of the Interior in a letter under date of March 11, 1937, received by the Board of Supervisors on March 15, 1937, requested definite assurance within fifteen days after receipt of his letter that San Francisco will cease violation of the provisions of the Raker Act as interpreted by him, and stated that failure to receive such assurance would cause him to refer the matter to the Attorney-General of the United States with the request that appropriate action be taken in the courts to effectuate the provisions of the statute; and

Whereas, after a careful consideration of all proposals for distribution of electricity generated by the Hetch Hetchy project the Public Utilities Commission remains of the opinion that Plan No. 7 is the most effective and profitable proposal for municipal distribution of this electric power; and

Whereas, it appears that the Board of Supervisors may again request submission of a plan for such distribution by the Public Utilities Commission; and

Whereas, the shortness of the period allowed by the Secretary of the Interior within which definite assurance may be given him that San Francisco will comply with his interpretation of the Raker Act makes it imperative at this time that a recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission be submitted to the Board of Supervisors, which is the policy-making body of the City and County of San Francisco, in order that the Board of Supervisors may have an opportunity to act upon such recommendation, in accordance with the powers and duties given the Board of Supervisors by the Charter of San Francisco, prior to the expiration of the fifteen-day period on March 30, 1937; and

Whereas, it would be possible and practical for Plan No. 7 for municipal distribution of electric power to be financed through a charter amendment authorizing revenue bonds, by a general obliga-



tion bond issue or by a revenue bond issue authorized under State laws in the event the Legislature now in session approves revenue bond acts pending before it and such acts are approved by the Governor; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Public Utilities Commission hereby approves and recommends the report of the Manager of Utilities for municipal distribution of electricity, known as Plan No. 7, and hereby directs its Secretary to transmit this resolution immediately, together with Plan No. 7, to the Board of Supervisors.

*March 24, 1937—Read before Hetch Hetchy Power Committee and ordered filed. Made part of committee report to Board of Supervisors, March 29, 1937.*

#### Telegram.

Supervisor Shannon moved as a substitute for Supervisor McSheehy's report that the following telegram be sent to the Secretary of the Interior:

San Francisco, California, March 29, 1937.

Honorable Harold L. Ickes, Secretary of the Interior, Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir: Referring to your letter March eleventh received by Board of Supervisors of San Francisco March fifteenth nineteen thirty-seven re: alleged violation of Section six of Raker Act by disposing of Hetch Hetchy energy through Pacific Gas and Electric Company for distribution to consumers and advising that unless San Francisco does not cease this method of power distribution you will refer matter to Attorney-General of United States with request that appropriate action be taken in court to determine whether provisions of Raker Act are being violated. Board of Supervisors of San Francisco does hereby request you to proceed to lay matter before the Attorney-General with understanding that the City of San Francisco will be given opportunity to present its case before him. This Board believes that the agency contract under which City is now acting is fully in accord with the Raker Act and believes that the long pending controversy can best be determined by the Attorney-General or by the court. This message is sent you with the hope that a final conclusion may be reached as to San Francisco's position with regard to its compliance with the terms of the Raker Act.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS,  
City and County of San Francisco.

By WARREN SHANNON,  
President of the Board.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Sylvester McAtee, attorney representing the Down Town Association, was heard urging the adoption of Supervisor Shannon's substitute for Supervisor McSheehy's report that a telegram be sent to the Secretary of the Interior requesting that the question of San Francisco's alleged violation of the Raker Act be submitted to the Attorney-General for his opinion.

Mr. Merryman, representing the Chamber of Commerce, was also heard to the same effect.

Thereupon, Supervisor Shannon agreed to offer his motion as a substitute for Supervisor McSheehy's first resolution, which proposes that the Secretary of the Interior take no action relative to the alleged violation of the Raker Act until San Francisco has an opportunity to resubmit to the electors the question of Revenue Bonds.

He also offered no objection to Supervisor McSheehy's second resolution endorsing Senate Bill No. 200, which provides for issuance of revenue bonds by cities, cities and counties, etc., but agreed to vote for it.

**Substitute Adopted.**

Whereupon Supervisor Shannon's motion to substitute his telegram to the Secretary of the Interior for Supervisor McSheehy's report was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Reilly—4.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Adopted.**

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

(Code No. 5.35)

Resolution No. 3184, as follows:

Whereas, Senate Bill No. 200 introduced in the present session of the Legislature of the State of California by Senators Garrison, Jespersen, Metzger, Westover, Wagy, Biggar, Olson, Seawell, Williams, Hollister, Holohan, Powers, Parkman, Crittenden, Schottky, Allen, McColl, Slater, Pierovich, Phillips, Law, Keough, McCormack, Keating and McGovern has been approved by the Senate Committee on Municipal Corporations and has passed the Senate of the State of California and is now pending before the California State Assembly; and

Whereas, said bill, if passed by the Assembly and approved by the Governor of the State of California, will enable the City and County of San Francisco to issue bonds or other evidences of indebtedness for the purpose of financing the acquisition or extension of a utility for the purpose of distributing its hydro-electric power generated on the Hetch Hetchy project, and said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness will be payable solely out of the revenue of said utility; and

Whereas, said bonds can be issued only upon a majority vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco voting on the proposition of authorizing the issuance of the same, and, therefore, the electors of the City and County of San Francisco will have a direct voice as to whether or not said bonds should be issued; and

Whereas, the Secretary of the Interior, Honorable Harold L. Ickes, has notified this Board of Supervisors that, in his opinion, the existing agreement between the Pacific Gas and Electric Company and the City and County of San Francisco relative to the distribution of Hetch Hetchy power is a violation of Section 6 of the Raker Act; and

Whereas, it is the desire of this Board of Supervisors that all provisions of the said Raker Act be complied with; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco has submitted to this Board of Supervisors a plan for the distribution of Hetch Hetchy power which can be financed by revenue bonds. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors urge the Assembly of the State of California to approve and pass said Senate Bill No. 200, and when the same is passed by the Assembly and endorsed, that this Board of Supervisors urge the Governor of the State of California to forthwith approve said Bill to the end that the same may become a law of the State of California. It is further

Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to the Governor of the State of California and to the Speaker of the Assembly and to each of the representatives in said Assembly from the City and County of San Francisco, and that the President of this Board appoint a committee composed of members of this Board, and other officials of the City and County of San Francisco, to urge the passage of said bill by said Assembly and the approval of the same by the Governor.

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

No—Supervisor Colman—1.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.



**Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee for the Observance of  
Mother's Day.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 3182, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested to appoint a committee of citizens to arrange for the proper observance and celebration of Mother's Day at the National Memorial Grove in Golden Gate Park on Sunday, May 9, 1937.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Death of Father Patrick Joseph Diamond.**

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 3183, as follows:

Whereas, Our Heavenly Father has summoned Father Patrick Joseph Diamond to his eternal reward; and

Whereas, in the depths of the profound sorrow which marks the passing of this holy man, it affords a brief respite from our grief to recall:

That Father Diamond was born in County Derry, Ireland, seventy-three years ago;

That he labored arduously and well, both here and abroad, spreading the Gospel of Christianity and lightening the burden of his fellow man;

That his own virtues and conduct were exemplary of Him whose cause he espoused;

That the fiftieth anniversary of his entry into the service of God was to have been celebrated next October;

That he was loved, honored and respected by all who knew him and that his spiritual influence was felt wherever he walked; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors notes with deep regret, the passing of Father Diamond and does hereby tender its heartfelt condolences to the members of his bereaved family and to the parishioners of Corpus Christi Church; and be it

Further Resolved, That when the Board of Supervisors adjourns this day, it does so out of respect to the memory of Father Diamond; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to the Clergy of Corpus Christi Church in San Francisco.

*Adopted unanimously* by rising vote.

**Communication from Anita Day Hubbard.**

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Good Neighbor, 1205 Examiner Bldg.,

March 25, 1937

Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Attention of Mr. Jas. B. McSheehy.

Gentlemen:

It was most gratifying to learn that your honorable body had passed a resolution commending my new work as "Good Neighbor" in the Examiner.

May I give you my earnest assurance that I shall approach this work in a sincere spirit of helpfulness to the citizenry of San Francisco, and in all humility I will try, in some small way to live up to the many

kind words of praise and encouragement which have been given to the Examiner and to me in this new public service.

Thank you most deeply for the honor you have conferred upon me.

Gratefully yours,

ANITA DAY HUBBARD.

*Ordered filed.*

### Report of Supervisor Brown on Trip to Washington As to Golden Gate Bridge Dedication Fiesta.

The following is a verbal report of Supervisor Brown made at meeting of March 22, 1937:

**SUPERVISOR BROWN:** Mr. President, and Members of the Board: I realize the hour is late, and I am not going to take up very much of your time. I would just like to say that Supervisor McSheehy and myself, as a committee of two, went back to Washington and called upon President Roosevelt. We were unsuccessful in persuading President Roosevelt to come to San Francisco for the Bridge Fiesta. We did, however, succeed in getting two concessions from him.

One was the very material assistance in bringing the fleet to San Francisco, of which more a little later; and second, we got from him a statement that he would prevail upon his daughter, Mrs. John Boettiger, to come to San Francisco in his stead, and represent the Roosevelt family during the Fiesta.

The afternoon of the same day Supervisor McSheehy and I called on the admiral in charge of naval operations, Admiral Leahy, and after a rather protracted conference with Admiral Leahy we got him to send the fleet here, the entire United States fleet, which will be in the Pacific Coast waters in the month of May, so that the fleet, numbering about 150 vessels, will all come to San Francisco, and I am happy to say that that achievement was the direct result of Supervisor McSheehy's and my being there, as the naval plans were already set for another disposition of the fleet after the annual maneuvers.

In the course of the 30 days that I was away from San Francisco, after I parted company with Supervisor McSheehy, I called upon the Mayors of Chicago and Washington—I should say in conjunction with Supervisor McSheehy—and the Mayors of Philadelphia, New York, Denver, Salt Lake, Spokane, Seattle and Portland; and in each of those cities contacted all the newspapers, and in each case was successful in getting stories about the Fiesta, and about San Francisco's bridges. The resulting publicity I am sure will be helpful in bringing to San Francisco a great number of people for the Fiesta—and furthermore, at least five or six of the Mayors have indicated their intention of being here at the time of the Fiesta, namely, the Mayor of New York City, Mayor La Guardia; and the Mayor of Seattle.

In the course of my wanderings, I spent 16 out of 29 nights on the Pullman, and I may say I was glad to get back to San Francisco.

Also on the subject of the Fiesta, last week I appeared before the Supervisors' Convention at Sacramento and outlined to them the program of the Fiesta and asked them only for their attendance in San Francisco—for no other contribution except such county participation in our celebration as was originally intended.

Just very briefly I would like to say that the plans for the Fiesta I found upon my return have been going along in excellent shape, and that we now are assured of having an outstanding show here, perhaps—I hope the best of its kind that San Francisco or the Pacific Coast has ever seen. Just for example, in addition to the fleet we will have a pageant of California history involving about 3000 participants, with the music and the script written by outstanding San Francisco artists. John Charles Thomas, the famous baritone, has signified his willingness to come here and sing the leading male role in the pageant. There will be several parades, a military parade, a day parade, and a night parade, and we will have here during the time of the Fiesta such



organization as the Canadian Royal Mounted Police, a delegation from Mexico, delegation from practically every state in the western half of the United States, including cowboys from Wyoming, a covered wagon party from Ogden, Utah, and so on and so forth, too numerous to mention.

The Fiesta will, of course, also be featured by an illumination nightly of the Golden Gate Bridge, together with a fireworks display on main nights during the seven nights' celebration.

I will gladly tell you more about the Fiesta as it develops, but I think you will all be proud of the show, and also we will be satisfied with the number of people that the show will bring to San Francisco during the six days of the Fiesta.

I would like to express my appreciation to Supervisor McSheehy for the part that he played in our Washington expedition. I know his presence was of material assistance in the success which we feel we got in Washington.

Incidentally I would like, Mr. Chairman, with the consent of the Board, to move an amendment to the resolution which I made at the time the delegation was sent to Washington. At that time the members may recall it was proposed that the delegation was to go to Washington by airplane supplied by the United Air Lines, but due to the unfortunate accident which took place immediately before our departure that part of the program was canceled. The Board in agreeing to pay Supervisor McSheehy's expenses on the trip to Washington neglected to include an allowance for his transportation, believing that that would be taken care of by the airplane company, but in the absence of the airplane it was necessary for Supervisor McSheehy and I likewise to go by train. I would like to move, therefore, if it is in order, with the consent of the Board, that the original motion be amended to include Supervisor McSheehy's transportation and expenses.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Second the motion.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any objection? Such will be the order.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Just one other matter. At the time of the return of the Santa Clara football team a committee was appointed to welcome the Santa Clara football team back and to celebrate their victory. The matter was rather perfunctory so far as the City and County was concerned, because the Santa Clara alumnae took things in charge. The committee, however, worked with the Santa Clara alumnae and decided that a scroll commemorating the achievement should be prepared and presented to the Santa Clara students in commemoration of their victory. I should like to move, therefore, that a suitable scroll be prepared and be sent to the Santa Clara University.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Second the motion.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any objection? (No response.) So ordered.

#### W. P. A. Employment—Special Order 2:30 p. m., April 5, 1937.

Supervisor Uhl requested that resolution previously submitted by the Workers' Alliance on W. P. A. employment and referred to the Public Welfare Committee, be referred back to the Board and made a special order of business for Monday, April 5, at 2:30 p. m., and that it be heard by the Committee of the Whole. State Director of W. P. A. to be here to answer questions.

*So ordered.*

#### In Memoriam—Frances Ann Phillips.

Supervisor Shannon moved that proper message of condolence be sent to the family of the late Frances Ann Phillips, mother of the Director of Property, and that the Board adjourn out of respect to her memory.

*Motion carried.*

**Meetings Announced.**

Park Committee, March 31, 4 p. m.

Fire, Safety and Police, April 2, 10 a. m.

Joint, Finance and Streets, April 2, 2:30 p. m. (Pedestrian subways.)

Health Committee, April 8, 11 a. m.

**Communication from H. H. Henderson.**

Supervisor Uhl presented communication from H. H. Henderson, re claims in suit entitled Dan E. Williams et al. v. City and County of San Francisco, enclosing decision.

*Referred to Clerk.*

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board of Supervisors at the hour of 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, April 5, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.





Vol. 32

No. 14

S. FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Monday, April 5, 1937

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.



JO

2

S

o

A

F

C

u

c

v

c

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, APRIL 5, 1937, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 5, 1937,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors—Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri,  
Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead—2.

Supervisor McSheehy was noted present at 2:55 p. m.

Supervisor Mead was noted present at 2:35 p. m.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of March 29, 1937, was  
considered read and approved.

### SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Supervisor Uhl moved that communication from committee for  
A. F. G. E. Lodge No. 236 and Workers' Alliance in support of a 10  
per cent increase in wages for all WPA workers be made a Special  
Order of Business for 2:30 this day and considered in Committee of  
the Whole.

### Privilege of the Floor.

A. F. Potter, 1746 Broadway, representing the American Federation  
of Government Employees No. 236; Alex Noral, 513 Fulton street,  
representing Worker's Alliance 320, 8 Market street; Percy Fry, 2142  
Twenty-third street, President of the A. F. G. E. No. 236; Mrs. J. S.  
Griffiths, member of A. F. G. E., were heard urging that the Board of  
Supervisors memorialize the President and the Congress not to reduce  
W. P. A. quotas until private enterprise can absorb unemployment and  
asking that a 10 per cent wage increase to meet increased cost of  
living be endorsed.

Request was also made that the Police Department be notified not  
to molest W. P. A. pickets, since anti-picketing ordinance has been  
repealed.

Mr. Day, representing W. P. A. Administrator Lawson, was heard  
declaring that he knew nothing officially of reduction in W. P. A.  
quotas, that in fact the quota for April had been increased.

### Motions.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the attention of our congressmen and  
senators be called to the situation complained of and that they do  
whatever may be necessary to bring about a 10 per cent increase in  
hours of employment in order that there may be a 10 per cent increase



in wages to cover increased cost of living, also that W. P. A. quotas be not reduced.

Supervisor Colman moved as an amendment that matter be referred to the Public Welfare Committee, that the officials of the W. P. A. be called in so that the exact situation may be found out, and that committee report back as soon as possible.

#### Substitute Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as a substitute for the previous motion that when we recess we do so to meet again tomorrow, April 6, 1937, at 2 p. m., and that all persons involved be notified to attend.

Substitute motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

#### **Appropriation of \$360 From Emergency Reserve Fund for Appointment of Part-Time Criminal Attorney for District Attorney's Office for Three Months.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1224, Ordinance No. 9.051346, as follows:

Appropriating \$360 from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 692.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 605.101.00, for the payment of salary of one part-time criminal attorney, District Attorney's office, for three months, at \$120 per month.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$360 is hereby set aside from Emergency Reserve, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 605.101.00, salaries, District Attorney's office, for the payment of salary of one part-time criminal attorney, for three months, at \$120 per month.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund, as it is necessary to provide for the appointment of an Assistant District Attorney, whose duties will include work incidental to the investigation and trial of cases involving children, assembling of data and records, the briefing of law and the interviewing of witnesses and other work in the preparation of trial in such cases, and the keeping of records and statistics thereof, and to assist in the Domestic Relations Department in legal matters.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead—2.

Supervisors McSheehy and Mead, who appeared later, requested that the record show that had they been present they would have voted *aye* on the foregoing bill.

#### **Amending Section 69, Ordinance No. 5132 (N. S.), Fixing License Fees for Theaters.**

(Code No. 3.041)

Also, Bill No. 1280, Ordinance No. 3.04169, as follows:

Amending Section 69, Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), as amended by Ordinance No. 5191 (New Series), approved July 1, 1920, fixing license fees for theaters.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 69, Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), as amended by Ordinance No. 5191 (New Series), approved July 1, 1920, fixing license fees for theaters, is hereby amended to read as follows:

*Theaters.*

Section 69. Every proprietor or lessee of any theater or other place of amusement, excepting places of amusement licensed under Sections 36, 50 and 88 of this ordinance and except a circus or show, exhibition, or performance given under a canvas or cloth covering or inclosure, shall pay a license according to the seating capacity of such theater or other place of amusement, entertainment or exhibition, as follows:

First: Those seating one thousand nine hundred and ninety-nine persons or more shall pay a license, if issued for one year, of six hundred (600) dollars per annum; if for three months, two hundred (200) dollars per quarter; if for one month one hundred (100) dollars per month; if for one day, ten (10) dollars per day.

Second: Those seating not to exceed one thousand nine hundred and ninety-nine persons and more than five hundred persons, and free theaters, without reference to their seating capacity, shall pay a license for one year of three hundred (300) dollars; for three months, one hundred (100) dollars; for one month, seventy-five (75) dollars; for one day, ten (10) dollars.

Third: All theaters with a seating capacity of less than five hundred (500) persons shall pay a license of one hundred (100) dollars per annum.

One seat is twenty-two inches.

No license shall be required for exhibitions or entertainments given for the benefit of churches, schools or other charitable entertainments by an amateur dramatic association or literary society.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead—2.

**Action Deferred.**

The following recommendations of Public Welfare Committee were taken up and on motion of Supervisor Meyer *laid over two weeks and made a Special Order for 2:30 p. m.*

**Laurel Hill Cemetery Removal.**

(Code No. 17.19)

Bill No. 937, Ordinance No. 17.194, as follows:

Declaring that the further maintenance of Laurel Hill Cemetery threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public; ordering and demanding the disinterring and removal of human bodies therefrom and fixing a time within which such disinterring and removal must be performed; declaring certain conditions under which the city and county will itself disinter and remove said bodies; requiring and empowering the Director of Public Health to adopt and promulgate rules and regulations for such disinterring and removal; providing for the reservation of lands for memorial mausoleums or columbariums, grounds, vaults and monuments.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared that the cemetery hereinafter described contains an area of more than five acres and is situate in a city and county having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons and the right of burial in said cemetery has been prohibited by law for a period of more than fifteen years, and that the further maintenance of said cemetery as a burial place for the human



dead threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public. The cemetery above referred to is situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and is commonly designated by the following name and is situate within the following boundaries, to-wit:

Laurel Hill Cemetery, bounded by Presidio avenue, California street, Parker avenue and a line drawn northwesterly from a point formed by the intersection of the north line of Post street, extended, with the west line of Presidio avenue, to a point on the east line of Parker avenue, distant thereon 100 feet southerly from the intersection of the south line of Euclid avenue, produced easterly, and the easterly line of Parker avenue.

Section 2. It is hereby ordered and demanded that, within three years from the date upon which this ordinance shall become effective, the cemetery corporation, corporation sole, association or other person owning or controlling the cemetery hereinabove described, or the owners or holders of burial lots therein, or the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are interred therein, disinter all human bodies interred in said cemetery and remove the same outside of the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with and in the manner provided for in that certain act of the Legislature of the State of California, entitled "An act authorizing the Board of Supervisors or other governing body of any incorporated city, or city and county, having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, to order the disinterment and removal of all human bodies interred in any cemetery of more than five acres in extent, or from a part thereof, situate within the boundaries of such city, or city and county, and directing the reinterment of such bodies in cemeteries outside of the limits of such city, or city and county, or the depositing of the same in a mausoleum or columbarium, whenever the further maintenance of such cemetery or part thereof as a burial place for the human dead threatens or endangers the health, safety, comfort or welfare of the public, and providing a mode of procedure under and by which such removals may, when so ordered, be made by the cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person governing or controlling such cemetery lands, or by the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are buried therein, and providing for the sale, mortgage or pledge of cemetery lands from which the human bodies are removed." Approved June 5, 1923.

Section 3. It is hereby declared and ordained that, unless the said bodies are disinterred and removed within the time and in the manner herein in Section 2 of this ordinance provided, the City and County of San Francisco, through its appropriate officers, boards and commissions, will itself proceed, upon the termination of said time, to disinter the bodies remaining in said cemetery and reinter them in another cemetery or cemeteries outside of the limits of the said city and county.

Section 4. It is hereby ordained that the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco shall, within sixty days from the date upon which this ordinance becomes effective, adopt reasonable rules and regulations relative to the manner of disinterring, transporting and removing such bodies, and shall, upon adoption thereof, cause the same to be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of sixty days, and shall forthwith forward by registered mail to the governing body owning or controlling such cemetery a copy thereof. Said Director of Public Health shall, from time to time, adopt and promulgate, in the same manner, any reasonable and necessary modifications or changes in said rules and regulations. All disinterments, transportation and removal of human remains from said cemetery made under the provisions of this ordinance and under the provisions of the said act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, shall be made and per-

formed subject to the rules and regulations adopted by the Director of Public Health as herein provided.

Section 5. It is hereby ordained that any cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person owning or controlling any such cemetery lands from which the bodies interred therein are to be removed in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance and the provisions of the act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, may reserve sufficient land from such cemetery lands from which the human remains have been removed to erect a memorial mausoleum or columbarium for the depositing therein of the bodies disinterred from such cemetery lands and may provide sufficient grounds around the same for the beautification thereof, and may preserve such historical vaults or monuments as the board of directors or other governing body of said corporations, or associations, or the incumbent of said corporation sole, may determine to be proper or necessary.

Provided, however, that the land thus reserved for such mausoleum, columbarium, grounds, vaults and monuments shall not, in the aggregate for said cemetery, exceed in area 10 per centum of the existing area of said cemetery, and provided, further, that plans for said mausoleums, columbariums and grounds shall first be filed with the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and approved by said Board by resolution thereof.

### Calvary Cemetery Removal.

(Code No. 17.19)

Also, Bill No. 938, Ordinance No. 17.195, as follows:

Declaring that the further maintenance of the Calvary Cemetery threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public; ordering and demanding the disinterring and removal of human bodies therefrom and fixing a time within which such disinterring and removal must be performed; declaring certain conditions under which the City and County will itself disinter and remove said bodies; requiring and empowering the Director of Public Health to adopt and promulgate rules and regulations for such disinterring and removal; providing for the reservation of lands for memorial mausoleums or columbariums, grounds, vaults and monuments.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared that the cemetery hereinafter described contains an area of more than five acres and is situate in a city and county having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, and the right of burial in said cemetery has been prohibited by law for a period of more than fifteen years, and that the further maintenance of said cemetery as a burial place for the human dead threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public. The cemetery above referred to is situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and is commonly designated by the following name, and is situate within the following boundaries, to-wit:

Calvary Cemetery, bounded by Geary street, St. Joseph's avenue, Turk street, Parker avenue, St. Rose's avenue and Masonic avenue.

Section 2. It is hereby ordered and demanded that, within three years from the date upon which this ordinance shall become effective, the cemetery corporation, corporation sole, association or other person owning or controlling the cemetery hereinabove described, or the owners or holders of burial lots therein, or the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are interred therein, disinter all human bodies interred in said cemetery and remove the same outside of the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with and in the



manner provided for in that certain act of the Legislature of the State of California, entitled "An act authorizing the Board of Supervisors or other governing body of any incorporated city, or city and county, having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, to order the disinterment and removal of all human bodies interred in any cemetery of more than five acres in extent, or from a part thereof, situate within the boundaries of such city, or city and county, and directing the reinterment of such bodies in cemeteries outside of the limits of such city, or city and county, or the depositing of the same in a mausoleum or columbarium, whenever the further maintenance of such cemetery or part thereof as a burial place for the human dead threatens or endangers the health, safety, comfort or welfare of the public, and providing a mode of procedure under and by which such removals may, when so ordered, be made by the cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person governing or controlling such cemetery lands, or by the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are buried therein, and providing for the sale, mortgage or pledge of cemetery lands from which the human bodies are removed." Approved June 5, 1923.

Section 3. It is hereby declared and ordained that, unless the said bodies are disinterred and removed within the time and in the manner herein in Section 2 of this ordinance provided, the City and County of San Francisco, through its appropriate officers, boards and commissions, will itself proceed, upon the termination of said time, to disinter the bodies remaining in said cemetery and reinter them in another cemetery or cemeteries outside of the limits of the said city and county.

Section 4. It is hereby ordained that the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco shall, within sixty days from the date upon which this ordinance becomes effective, adopt reasonable rules and regulations relative to the manner of disinterring, transporting and removing such bodies, and shall, upon adoption thereof, cause the same to be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of sixty days, and shall forthwith forward by registered mail to the governing body owning or controlling said cemetery, a copy thereof. Said Director of Public Health shall, from time to time, adopt and promulgate, in the same manner, any reasonable and necessary modifications or changes in said rules and regulations. All disinterments, transportation and removal of human remains from said cemetery made under the provisions of this ordinance and under the provisions of the said act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, shall be made and performed subject to the rules and regulations adopted by the Director of Public Health as herein provided.

Section 5. It is hereby ordained that any cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person owning or controlling any such cemetery lands from which the bodies interred therein are to be removed in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance and the provisions of the act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, may reserve sufficient land from such cemetery lands from which the human remains have been removed, to erect a memorial mausoleum or columbarium for the depositing therein of the bodies disinterred from such cemetery lands, and may provide sufficient grounds around the same for the beautification thereof, and may preserve such historical vaults or monuments as the board of directors or other governing body of said corporation or association, or the incumbent of said corporation sole, may determine to be proper or necessary.

Provided, however, that the land thus reserved for such mausoleum, columbarium, grounds, vaults and monuments shall not, in the aggregate for said cemetery, exceed in area 10 per centum of the existing area of said cemetery, and provided, further, that plans for said mausoleums, columbariums and grounds shall first be filed with the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and approved by said Board by resolution thereof.

**NEW BUSINESS.****Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Tax Refunds, Erroneous Payments.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Resolution No. 3185, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, 1936-1937, to the following named, being refunds of duplicate and erroneous payments of taxes:

- |  |         |
|--|---------|
| (1) Madison and Burke, per Vol. 12, Bill 3172, Block 1689, 1st Installment, erroneous payment, \$36.78; per Vol. 12, Bill 3191, Lot 1-A, Block 1690, 1st Installment, \$57.01, fiscal year 1936 .....            | \$93.79 |
| (2) George P. Moran, per Vol. 15, Bill 2468, Lot 8, Block 2024, 1st Installment, fiscal year 1936.....   | 36.89   |
| (3) James A. Arnott, per Vol. 22, Bill 696, Lot 21, Block 3144-C, 1st Installment, 1936, erroneous payment.....  | 15.14   |
| (4) W. B. White, per Vol. 25, Bill 1570, Lot 19, Block 3716, 1st Installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment.....   | 11.35   |
| (5) San Francisco Bank, attention C. Z. Howell, assistant cashier, per Vol. 17, Lot 1-B, Block 2357, fiscal year 1936, over assessment \$1,000 (due to clerical error in not allowing veterans' exemption) ..... | 18.92   |

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead—2.

**Purchase of Land for Alemany Boulevard.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3186, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Eva Powell Thorne, et al., a portion of Lot 13, Assessor's Block 7132, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Alemany boulevard, for the sum of \$50, payable from Appropriation No. 83.919.17. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead—2.

**Purchase of Land on Northeast Corner Upper Terrace and Masonic Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3187, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Charles F. Hine, et ux., a portion of Lot 24, Assessor's Block 2616, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for street purposes, for the sum of \$75, payable from Appropriation No. 648.992.17. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead—2.



### Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Widening of Nineteenth Avenue.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3188, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties those certain parcels of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project No. 5-A:

Anita Cahn, portion of Lot 6, Assessor's Block 2419...	\$3,500.00
Harriet Canavan, portion of Lot 40, Assessor's Block 2055 .....	62.50
Ella M. Gruber, portion of Lot 17, Assessor's Block 1731 .....	2,777.00

The above sums include damages in full to the improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within thirty days after recording the deeds to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above-named parties for particular descriptions of said parcels of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead—2.

### Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Widening of Nineteenth Avenue.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3189, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties that certain parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project No. 5:

San Francisco-San Mateo Church Extension Society of the Methodist Episcopal Church, portion of Lot 20, Assessor's Block 1774 .....	\$348.60
Matilda T. Altvater, portion of Lot 1-A, Assessor's Block 1834 .....	223.80

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above-named parties for particular descriptions of said parcels of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead—2.

### Purchase of Land Required for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2.

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 3190, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Gertrude Spreckels, that certain portion of Lots 3 and 4, Hillsborough Gate Subdivision, Hillsborough, California, recorded in Volume 17 of Maps, page 2, San Mateo County Records, with a frontage of approxi-

mately 270 feet on the southwesterly side of El Camino Real and a depth of 50 feet, required for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2, for the sum of \$6,000, payable from Appropriation No. 693.903.05.57. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead—2.

### **Confirming Sale of Land at Corner of Roosevelt Way and Clifford Terrace.**

(Code No. 12.1721)

Also, Resolution No. 3191, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17219, Bill No. 1244, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids would be received by him on March 20, 1937, for the sale of the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Lots Nos. 56, 57 and 58, in Block "P," Park Lane Tract No. 5, as per map thereof filed September 22, 1891, and recorded in Liber "E" and "F" of Maps, page 157, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, excepting that portion of said lots now being used as part of Roosevelt way.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Fred L. Diefendorf and Elizabeth L. Diefendorf, his wife, offered to purchase said land for the sum of \$525 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said sum of \$525 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being \$525; and

Whereas, Fred L. Diefendorf has paid the sum of \$50 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Department of Public Works has recommended the sale of said land; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said land to Fred L. Diefendorf and Elizabeth L. Diefendorf. It is understood that improvements may only be constructed on the northwesterly twenty-five feet of said property. The buyers must pay the balance of the consideration within thirty days after approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantees upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead—2.

### **Islais Creek Warrants.**

(Code No. 12.04)

Also, Resolution No. 3192, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District, No. 739, to Director of Public Works, for \$334.05; No. 740, to Wm. Taaffe & Co., Edward J. Taaffe and Page Taaffe, for \$183.57; No. 741, to Reinhart Lumber & Planing Mill Co., for \$393.37; No. 742, to Edward Wenzel, for \$91.94; No. 743, to Gertrude G. Carl, for \$51.90; No. 744, to Louis Sutter, for \$2,708.54; No. 745, to Louis Sutter, for \$561.71; No. 746, to Mary F. Reilly, for \$108; No. 747, to Richmond Construction Co., for \$70; No. 748, to Richmond Construction Co., for



\$30; No. 749, to T. E. Treacy, for \$133.39; No. 750, to Islais Co., Ltd., for \$544.80; No. 751, Winchester Estate Co., for \$15.71; No. 752, to Winchester Estate Co., for \$11.92; No. 753, to John Cosgrove, John Brandt and Richard Welch, for \$77.31; No. 754, to Western Pacific R. R. Co., for \$1,000; No. 755, S. F. and Fresno Land Co., for \$5,219.16; No. 756, to Anglo California Securities Co., for \$673.69; No. 757, to Joseph M. Ahlback and Elizabeth M. Ahlback, for \$118.83; No. 758, to D. Matheson, Treasurer, for \$5.86; payable out of the funds of said district, be and the same are hereby approved; and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead—2.

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

#### Authorizing a Supplemental Appropriation for the Operation and Repair of Sewage Pumping Stations.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1291, Ordinance No. 9.051373, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$800 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of the Department of Public Works for the operation and repair of sewage pumping stations from April 15 to June 30, 1937; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$800 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of the following appropriations in the amounts indicated and for the purposes recited:

To Appropriation No. 644.102.00 for payment of salary of sewage pumping plant operator for a period of 2½ months from April 15 to June 30, 1937, at \$185 per month .....	\$463.00
---	----------

To Appropriation No. 644.200.00 for contractual services sewage pumping stations emergency repairs....	337.00
--	--------

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as the Department of Public Works is without funds to operate the Marina Pumping Station and for emergency repairs to Fitzgerald avenue and Miraloma Sewage Pumping Stations, which are both necessary to protect the health of the citizens in the vicinity of the two pumping stations above mentioned.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by William Worden, Director, Department of Public Works.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead—2.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Widening of Nineteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Resolution No. 3193, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties that certain parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sum set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project No. 5-A:

August Johnson and Agda A. Johnson, portion of Lot  
8, Assessor's Block 2419 .....\$3,400.00

The above sum includes damages in full to the improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within thirty days after recording the deeds to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above-named parties for a particular description of said parcel of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead—2.

**Acceptance of Deeds for Sewer Easements.**

(Code No. 12.1011)

Also, Resolution No. 3194, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase easements from the following named parties, required for surface drainage sewer right of way over, under, along and upon the surface of the hereinafter mentioned lots situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 640.214.00:

Prospero Mazza, et al., portion of 50 Vara Block No. 155..\$1.00

Cosimo Basalacchi, et ux., portion of 50 Vara Block No.

155 ..... 1.00

Samuel J. Wehrli, et al., portion of 50 Vara Block No. 155 1.00

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property. Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property for a particular description of said easements.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead—2.

**Final Passage.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Appropriation of \$1,700 for Directional Signs for Golden Gate Bridge.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1292, Ordinance No. 9.051374, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$1,700 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 547.903.03 (directional signs south



of Market street, installations now complete) to the credit of Appropriation No. 647.904.00, for the purpose of purchasing and erecting directional signs in connection with the Golden Gate Bridge, San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge and other directional signs as requested by the Traffic Bureau; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,700 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 547.903.03 to the credit of Appropriation No. 647.904.00 for the purpose of purchasing and erecting directional signs in connection with the Golden Gate Bridge, San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge and other directional signs as requested by the Traffic Bureau.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as the Department is without funds to purchase and install directional signs, some of which must be made to order and be erected prior to the Golden Gate Bridge opening on May 27, 1937.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of San Francisco.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director, Department of Public Works.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead—2.

#### **Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

#### **Release of Lien, Old Age Security.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Resolution No. 3195, as follows:

Resolved, That the lien heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, on recommendation of the County Welfare Department, is released against the property described, as follows:

William Albert Finch, recorded March 23, 1937, No. L-50719, County Recorder's Office of the City and County of San Francisco, against Lot 3. Block 1382, Assessor's Map.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead—2.

#### **Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Committee on Education, Parks and Recreation was taken up:

#### **Approving Lease to St. Francis Yacht Club.**

(Code No. 12.1733)

Bill No. 1293, Ordinance No. 12.17331, as follows:

Approving a certain lease made by the Board of Park Commissioners to the St. Francis Yacht Club, a non-profit corporation.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Park Commissioners having heretofore agreed with the St. Francis Yacht Club, a non-profit corporation, to enter into a lease leasing to said St. Francis Yacht Club that certain lot, piece or parcel of land situate, lying and being in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of that certain tract of land conveyed by the Panama-Pacific International Exposition Company to the City and County of San Francisco for park purposes and the westerly line of Broderick street in said City and County of San Francisco, if extended northerly, said point being at the northeasterly corner of Assessor's Block No. 902 (formerly Western Addition Tide Land Block No. 7); thence to a westerly direction and along said northerly line of land conveyed by the Panama-Pacific International Exposition Company to the City and County of San Francisco and along the northerly line of said Assessor's Block No. 902 (formerly Western Addition Tide Land Block No. 7) three hundred (300) feet; thence in the northerly direction and at a right angle to the preceding line two hundred (200) feet to the water front or bulkhead line as fixed by the Board of State Harbor Commissioners; thence in an easterly direction and at a right angle to the preceding line and along said water front or bulkhead line three hundred (300) feet; thence in a southerly direction and at a right angle to preceding line two hundred (200) feet to the point of beginning, for a period of ten years from and after the first day of July, 1937, a copy of said lease being on file in the office of the Board of Supervisors, and the Board of Supervisors having considered all and singular terms and conditions of said lease, the same is hereby approved and ratified.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead—2.

#### **Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Committee on Education, Parks and Recreation was taken up:

#### **Dedicating Lands Received From the State of California for Park Purposes, Extension of Marina Park.**

(Code No. 23.01)

Resolution No. 3196, as follows:

Resolved, That the real property granted to the City and County of San Francisco by the State of California under authority of an act entitled "An act granting to the City and County of San Francisco certain lands of the State of California, located in the City and County of San Francisco, upon certain trusts and conditions," Statutes of 1935, Chapter 437, page 1484, is hereby dedicated for park purposes as an addition and extension of Marina Park.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead—2.

#### **Re-referred.**

The following recommendation of Fire, Safety and Police Committee was on motion *re-referred* to the Fire, Safety and Police Committee:



**Prohibiting Inflammable Gas Balloons.**

(Code No. 11.00)

Bill No. 1294, Ordinance No. 11.0015, as follows:

Prohibiting the use, sale or possession of balloons inflated with inflammable or explosive gases.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to use, sell or possess any balloon inflated with inflammable or explosive gases.

Section 2. Any person, firm or corporation violating the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and punishable by a fine not to exceed five hundred (\$500) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail not exceeding six (6) months or by both such fine and imprisonment.

**Adopted.**

The following resolution from Fire, Safety and Police Committee without recommendation, was taken up:

**Endorsing Assembly Bill No. 2812, Relating to Automobile License Plates.**

(Code No. 5.35)

Resolution No. 3197, as follows:

Whereas, Assembly Bill No. 2812, requiring the State Motor Vehicle Department to issue a safety device for automobile license plates at a cost not to exceed fifteen cents; and

Whereas, this bill will prevent tax evasions and assist in the apprehension of criminals; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors endorses Assembly Bill No. 2812, pending in the State Legislature, relating to safety devices for automobile license plates; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk transmit copies of this resolution to the San Francisco delegation in the Legislature at Sacramento.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead—2.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of His Honor the Mayor was taken up:

**Leave of Absence, Hon. Mrs. Lloyd W. Dinkelspiel, Board of Education.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3198, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of His Honor, the Mayor, Honorable Mrs. Lloyd W. Dinkelspiel, member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of three (3) weeks, commencing April 7, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead—2.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

### Hearing Before State Railroad Commission on Proposed Increased Street Car Fare.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from John J. O'Toole, City Attorney, stating that he has been informally advised by the State Railroad Commission that the Market Street Railway Company has filed an application with that body for an increase in the fares now being charged by that company from five cents to seven cents, and requesting instructions as to whether San Francisco will participate in this proceeding and whether it should consent to or object to the proposed increase. Hearing is set for April 27.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

### Relative to Los Angeles Streamlined Street Cars.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Lucius S. Stores, President, Los Angeles Railway Corporation, in reply to query regarding the new streamlined street cars of Los Angeles, stating that there are only two of these cars in service for demonstration purposes, and that it is too early yet to tell anything as to their efficiency as the rest of the cars are still being fabricated, changes and modifications may be made from time to time as the demonstration proceeds. Will be glad to supply information requested when available.

Ordered *filed*.

### WPA Strike.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Inter-Professional Association, John D. Barry, chairman, presenting resolution adopted by its executive council, opposing reductions in WPA quotas and requesting ten per cent increase in wages to meet increased costs of living, and urging that the Board of Supervisors go on record in favor of the demands of WPA workers in order that the strike may be settled immediately.

Ordered *filed*.

### Golden Gate Bridge Directors Requested to Appropriate Funds for Widening of Lombard Street.

Supervisor Brown, under his name at Roll Call, made the following statement in part and offered the following motions:

"At the last meeting of the Golden Gate Bridge Directors an action was taken in which I am in thorough disagreement, and in which San Francisco is being done a rank injustice. I want the Board and the public to know about it. Here is the situation: ' . . . \$30,000 was appropriated for a street in Sausalito,' and San Francisco should have the same treatment with respect to Lombard street, which it is proposed to widen from the bridgehead to Van Ness avenue, at a cost of \$2,000,000. The northern counties are responsible for fifteen per cent of the cost of the bridge, and San Francisco is charged with eighty-five per cent of the cost. In case there is a deficit, it is well within the probability that San Francisco will pay eighty-five per cent of the cost of this new street for which \$30,000 was appropriated by the Bridge Directors in Sausalito."

"I, therefore, propose that a resolution be adopted by this Board calling upon the Golden Gate Bridge Directors to make an appropriation of \$30,000 for the widening of Lombard street, which amount is fifteen per cent of the \$2,000,000 that this work is estimated to cost.



Also, I propose a similar resolution requesting the Chief Administrative Officer to make a similar demand on the Golden Gate Bridge Directors."

*Referred to Finance and Streets Committee for hearing Friday, April 9, 1937, at 2:30 p. m.*

#### **Discontinuance of Sam Browne Belt by Police Department.**

(Code No. —)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 4000, as follows:

Whereas, the life of a member of the San Francisco Police Department was recently snuffed out through the use of the Sam Browne belt; and

Whereas, a similar tragedy has befallen the city of Cleveland, where two lives were taken and scores of others imperiled under similar circumstances; and

Whereas, such large cities as Chicago and Philadelphia have discontinued the use of the Sam Browne belt in the interest of public safety and New York, Minneapolis, Milwaukee and Cleveland have restricted its use to their mounted and/or motorcycle service; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors goes on record as urging the Mayor to forward the accompanying letters to the Board of Police Commissioners:

*Referred to Fire, Safety and Police Committee.*

#### **Removal of Tracks on Post Street.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 3199, as follows:

Whereas, Post street constitutes one of our most important east-west streets, commencing in the very heart of the financial district; tapping the retail shopping district and terminating at the entrance to one of our greatest residential districts; and

Whereas, because of its readily accessible location; its lack of severe grades; and except for a relatively small portion, the absence of street car tracks thereon, it is used to its capacity by our motorists; and

Whereas, greater benefit could be derived from Post street if the car tracks were removed therefrom and the sidewalks narrowed thereon; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Streets and Finance Committees of this Board be and are hereby authorized and directed to call a conference of the interested parties, including the Department of Public Works and the Market Street Railway Company, to discuss and determine upon a course of procedure with respect to the foregoing proposal; and be it

Further Resolved, That the report of said committee shall be in the hands of this Board sufficiently in advance of the time for passage of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance for the fiscal year 1937-1938, to give this Board an opportunity to consider it with a view to providing the necessary funds for the accomplishment of the purposes of this resolution, in said Appropriation Ordinance.

*Referred to Finance and Streets Committee.*

#### **Completion of Live Stock Building.**

Communication from Central Council of Civic Clubs requesting appropriation to complete Live Stock Pavilion in accordance with promise and pledge.

*Referred to Exposition Affairs and Commercial Development Committee.*

**Legislation Detrimental to City Milk Inspection Ordinances.**

Communication from Health Director Dr. Geiger, calling attention to legislation proposed by the Committee on Agriculture and Dairies known as the "Heisinger" Bill and the "Thorp" Bill which it is alleged will break down the milk inspection ordinances of San Francisco.

Supervisor Uhl moved that we follow Health Director's suggestion—that Clerk correspond with San Francisco Assemblymen and Senator requesting them to use their best endeavors to bring about defeat of measures.

**City Land Available for Picnic Grounds.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that Public Utilities Commission be requested to advise the Board of Supervisors if Water Department land at Junipero Serra boulevard and Alemany boulevard could not be used for picnic grounds.

**Meeting Announcements.**

Streets Committee, Wednesday, April 7, 2:30 p. m.

Health Committee, Thursday, April 8, 11 a. m.

Exposition Affairs and Industrial Development Committee, Friday, April 9, 1:30 p. m.

Finance and Streets Committee, Friday, April 9, 1937, 2:30 p. m.

**RECESS.**

Whereupon, at the hour of 5:20 p. m. the Board of Supervisors took a recess until 2 p. m. tomorrow.


J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors April 12, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.





**Vol. 32**

**SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.**

**No. 15**

**Tuesday, April 6, 1937**

**Monday, April 12, 1937**

# **Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors**

**City and County of San Francisco**



**The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.**





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, APRIL 6, 1937, 2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess of Monday, April 5, 1937, for the purpose of continuing hearing on W. P. A. strike.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following members were noted present: Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Also present, Eneas Kane, representing Mayor Angelo J. Rossi; W. R. Lawson, State W. P. A. Director.

### Communication.

The following matters were presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Committee of A. F. G. E. No. 236 and Workers' Alliance requesting Board of Supervisors to memorialize Congress that there be no layoff of W. P. A. workers until private enterprise can absorb those out of employment, also that request be made that hours of employment be increased 10 per cent in order that pay may be increased 10 per cent to meet increased cost of living.

### Communication.

The following was read by the Clerk:

April 6, 1937.

Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Dear Mr. Dunnigan:

This will acknowledge receipt of your favor of the 5th, requesting the Mayor to be present at the meeting of the Board of Supervisors today.

Please be advised that Mayor Rossi, at the present time, is confined to his home, through illness, and, therefore, will be unable to be in attendance at the meeting.

Very truly yours,

MAURICE L. RAPHELD,  
Executive Secretary.

Eneas Kane, representing Mayor Rossi, was then presented to the Board and the Chairman declared that he would answer for the Mayor if called upon.

Also, communication from Inter Professional Association, transmitting resolution adopted April 5, 1937, by the Executive Council of said Association urging that the Board of Supervisors and Mayor use their office to the end that the W. P. A. strike be immediately settled by granting demands of workers that W. P. A. quotas be not reduced and that there be granted a 10 per cent increase in hours of employment in order that there may be a 10 per cent increase in pay to meet increased cost of living.



### Committee of the Whole.

On motion of Supervisor Uhl, the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into Committee of the Whole for the purpose of hearing all concerned. Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside and all members heretofore noted were present.

### Privilege of the Floor.

A. F. Potter, 1746 Broadway, representing the American Federation of Government Employees No. 236; Alex Noral, 513 Fulton street, representing Workers' Alliance 320, 8 Market street; Percy Fry, 2142 Twenty-third street, President of the A. F. G. E. No. 236; Mrs. J. S. Griffiths, member of A. F. G. E., were heard urging that the Board of Supervisors memorialize the President and the Congress not to reduce W. P. A. quotas until private enterprise can absorb unemployment and asking that a 10 per cent wage increase to meet increased cost of living be endorsed.

Joseph Shelly, President of San Francisco Labor Council, was heard. He declared that the Executive Board of the Labor Council had endorsed the demands of the W. P. A. organization last night.

Lola Lebowyer requested that the Police Department be notified not to molest W. P. A. pickets, since anti-picketing ordinance has been repealed.

Mrs. Theone Armstrong, representing the Sewing Project and 1500 women at 450 Gough street, opposed the W. P. A. strike stating that the women she represented were satisfied with the present conditions of employment in view of all the circumstances and did not want to embarrass the government which was giving them employment when there was no employment for them elsewhere. "We are perfectly satisfied with conditions as they are," she told the Supervisors.

"These people want to work. If we were out of work where would we get \$13 a week for 4½ hours a day work just sitting down and trying to sew? More than 1400 of the 1500 people on the Sewing Project signed a petition stating that they wanted no strike, no dealings with the Workers' Alliance," Mrs. Armstrong said.

Marie Newman was also heard to the effect that the women employed in the Sewing Project at 450 Gough street were not in favor of the strike, but were satisfied with what the government was doing for the unemployed women under present conditions.

Annie Scanlon also addressed the Board advising women on Sewing Project against striking at this time.

W. R. Lawson, W. P. A. State Administrator, stated that he had asked Washington for the 10 per cent increase in wages but that it had been denied.

"It is the policy of the administration," he said, "not to grant increases of quotas for more than a month at a time. We felt that California should have an increase for April and it was granted. With our request for a wage increase turned down, it is not up to us to further press the matter at this time."

### Committee of the Whole Arises.

Thereupon, on motion of Supervisor Colman, the Committee of the Whole arose and reported to the Board. All members heretofore noted being present and Supervisor McSheehy in the chair.

### Motion.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Board of Supervisors memorialize the President of the United States and the Congress of the United States as follows:

(1) That employment on W. P. A. projects be continued until June 30, 1937;

(2) That 10 per cent additional working hours be given W. P. A. workers in San Francisco at ruling wage to meet increased living cost when funds for same can be provided in future appropriations by Congress covering W. P. A. projects;

(3) That our own representatives in Congress be advised of the Board's action.

Motion seconded by Supervisor Reilly.

On motion of Supervisor Colman, the first item was amended by adding the words "until June 30, 1937."

### Motion Segregated.

Thereupon, on motion of Supervisor Colman the motion was segregated and voted upon as follows:

(1) That employment on W. P. A. projects be continued until June 30, 1937.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto—2.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Thereupon, the roll was called on (2) that 10 per cent additional working hours be given W. P. A. workers in San Francisco at ruling wage to meet increased living cost when funds for same can be provided in future appropriations by Congress covering W. P. A. projects.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto—3.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

### Resolution Defeated.

Thereupon, Chairman McSheehy declared that the roll would be called on the motion in the form of a resolution, to-wit:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors memorialize the President of the United States and the Congress of the United States, as follows:

(1) That employment on W. P. A. projects be continued until June 30, 1937;

(2) That 10 per cent additional working hours be given W. P. A. workers in San Francisco at ruling wage to meet increased living cost when funds for same can be provided in future appropriations by Congress covering W. P. A. projects;

(3) That our own representatives in Congress be advised of the Board's action.

*Refused adoption* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto—3.

Chairman McSheehy declared that inasmuch as it was in the form of a resolution it required six votes.

### Motion to Reconsider.

Before the result was announced Supervisor Uhl changed his vote from *aye* to *no* and moved for reconsideration at next meeting.

### ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors at the hour of 5 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.



**MONDAY, APRIL 12, 1937, 2 P. M.**

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 12, 1937,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

**CALLING THE ROLL.**

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri,  
Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

Supervisor Brown excused, having met with accident.

Supervisor Meyer excused on account of death of brother-in-law.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

**APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.**

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of April 5, 1937, was  
considered read and approved.

**Action Deferred.**

The following matter was, on motion of Supervisor Uhl, seconded  
by Supervisor Schmidt, *laid over one week*:

**MOTION TO RECONSIDER.**

April 5, 1937—Supervisor Uhl moved reconsideration of the vote  
whereby the following motion, made at recessed meeting April 6, 1937,  
was *defeated*:

Supervisor Uhl: "I move that the Board of Supervisors memorial-  
ize the President of the United States as follows:

"(1) That employment on WPA projects be continued until June  
30, 1937;

"(2) That 10 per cent additional working hours be given WPA  
workers in San Francisco at ruling wage to meet increased living  
cost when funds for same can be provided in future appropriations by  
Congress covering WPA projects;

"(3) That our own representatives in Congress be advised of the  
Board's action."

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS.****Final Passage.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken  
up:

**Authorizing Lease of Water Department Land at Millbrae.**

(Code No. 12.17352)

Bill No. 1285, Ordinance No. 12.173524, as follows:

Authorizing lease of Water Department land at Millbrae.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Fran-  
cisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 93 of the Charter, and in accordance  
with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the  
Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for  
leasing to the highest responsible bidder, at the highest monthly rental,  
the following described San Francisco Water Department land situated  
in the County of San Mateo, State of California:

That certain portion of Parcel No. 30 as described in deed dated  
March 3, 1930, from Spring Valley Water Company to City and County  
of San Francisco, recorded in the office of the County Recorder of

San Mateo County on March 3, 1930, in Volume 491 of Official Records, at page 1, the said portion commencing at a point 15 feet distant at a right angle northwesterly from the southeasterly boundary line of said Parcel No. 30 and also distant 40 feet at a right angle southwesterly from the southwesterly line of El Camino Real; thence northwesterly, parallel to said El Camino Real, 160 feet; thence southwesterly and parallel to said southeasterly boundary line 290 feet to a point; thence southeasterly and parallel to El Camino Real 175 feet to said southeasterly boundary line; thence northeasterly along said boundary line 60 feet; thence northwesterly and parallel to El Camino Real 15 feet; thence northeasterly in a straight line to the point of commencement, and containing 1.08 acres, more or less; saving and excepting all telephone lines of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. Said lease shall be for a term of five years, subject to such reservations and conditions as may be deemed necessary or desirable by the Director of Property and the City Attorney.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

**Authorizing Exchange of Easements With Charles Templeton Crocker, Relative to Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2.**

(Code No. 15.0243)

Also, Bill No. 1286, Ordinance No. 15.02435, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, pursuant to Resolution No. 2780, adopted by this Board on September 8, 1936, accepted a certain deed from Charles Templeton Crocker, dated November 10, 1936, and recorded December 9, 1936, in Liber 723, at page 14, Official Records of San Mateo County, California, to a right of way easement required for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission has determined that the location of a portion of said easement be changed, and Charles Templeton Crocker has agreed to such change; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That the City and County of San Francisco quitclaim Parcel 1, hereinafter described to Charles Templeton Crocker in exchange for Parcel 2, hereinafter described.

Section 2. Said parcels of real property are situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, and are more particularly described as follows:

PARCEL 1. A strip of land 40 feet wide, being 10 feet measured at right angles northeasterly and 30 feet measured at right angles southwesterly from the following described line and the southeasterly and northwesterly extensions thereof.

Commencing at the terminal point of the line described in the hereinabove mentioned deed, said point being distant north 86 degrees 53 minutes 45 seconds east 60 feet, north 6 degrees 06 minutes 15 seconds west 235 feet and north 28 degrees 53 minutes 45 seconds east 3.22 feet from a railroad spike in the center of El Cerrito avenue; running thence from said point of commencement along the line described in said deed south 51 degrees 57 minutes 15 seconds east 67.99 feet; thence south 23 degrees 05 minutes 15 seconds east 85.55 feet; thence south 6 degrees 55 minutes 15 seconds east 200.15 feet; thence south 46 degrees 01 minutes 30 seconds east 140.87 feet; thence south 63 degrees 15 minutes 45 seconds east 10 feet. Containing 0.459 acres more or less.

The northwesterly boundary line of said strip of land being the northwesterly boundary line of the strip of land conveyed to the City



by the hereinabove mentioned deed and the southeasterly boundary line of said strip being a line extending northeasterly and southwesterly across the strip at right angles to the last herein mentioned course ("south 63 degrees 15 minutes 45 seconds east") through the terminal point thereof.

**PARCEL 2.** A right of way easement to lay, relay, construct, reconstruct, maintain, operate, patrol, repair, renew, replace, remove, increase and/or change the number and size of, pipes, pipe lines, conduits and/or connections, appurtenances and appliances, for the conveyance, distribution, supply and/or sale of water, across lands of the grantor situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, more particularly described as follows:

A strip of land 40 feet wide, being 10 feet measured at right angles northeasterly and 30 feet measured at right angles southwesterly from the following described line and the southeasterly and northwesterly extensions thereof.

Commencing at a point on the common boundary line between the parcel of land conveyed to Edward K. Howe et al. by Bowie Estate Company by deed dated November 24, 1919, and recorded November 28, 1919, in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County, State of California, in Volume 284 of Deeds at page 428 and the 118.35 acre tract of land conveyed to Charles Templeton Crocker by Jennie Adeline Crocker by deed dated February 6, 1911, and recorded February 7, 1911, in said San Mateo County Recorder's Office, in Volume 195 of Deeds at page 342 said point being distant north 86 degrees 53 minutes 45 seconds east 60 feet and north 6 degrees 06 minutes 15 seconds west 54.16 feet from a railroad spike in the center of El Cerrito avenue at the southwest corner of said parcel conveyed to E. K. Howe et al.; running thence from said point of commencement south 39 degrees 44 minutes 15 seconds east 304.95 feet; thence south 63 degrees 15 minutes 45 seconds east 10 feet to a point on the described line in deed from Charles Templeton Crocker to City and County of San Francisco dated November 10, 1936, and recorded December 9, 1936, in said San Mateo County Recorder's Office in Book 723, Official Records, at page 14. Containing 0.279 acres more or less.

The westerly boundary line of said strip of land being the easterly boundary line of the land of Edward K. Howe et al. and the southeasterly boundary line of said strip being a line extending northeasterly and southwesterly across the strip at right angles to the last herein mentioned course ("south 63 degrees 15 minutes 45 seconds east") through the terminal point thereof.

**Section 3.** The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary quitclaim deed to Charles Templeton Crocker, covering Parcel 1. The Director of Property shall deliver said quitclaim deed to the grantee upon receipt of the necessary deed to Parcel 2 and is hereby authorized and directed to accept and record the latter deed.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

**Authorizing a Supplemental Appropriation of \$20,215 for the Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Sigmund Stern Recreation Grove, and for Payment of Incidental Expenses.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1287, Ordinance No. 9.051370, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$20,215 for the purchase of certain land required for the Sigmund Stern Recreation Grove, and for payment of incidental expenses.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated and set aside the total sum of \$20,215.00 to Appropriation No. 613.600.10 from the following funds, for the purchase of additional land required for The Sigmund Stern Recreation Grove, and for payment of incidental expenses:

(a) The sum of \$10,000.00 from the money in the Trust Fund, received from Mrs. Rosalie M. Stern for the above mentioned purpose.

(b) The sum of \$2,250.00 from Reserve for Land Purchases—General.

(c) The sum of \$4,750.00 from Reserve for Land Purchases—Health Department.

(d) The sum of \$3,215.00 from Reserve for Land Purchases—Fire Department.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

### **Authorizing Sale of Certain School Lands.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

Also, Bill No. 1288, Ordinance No. 12.172211, as follows:

Authorizing sale of certain school lands.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Parcel 1. Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Bryant street, distant thereon 150 feet southerly from the southerly line of 18th street, running thence southerly and along said easterly line of Bryant street 100 feet; thence at right angles easterly 200 feet to the westerly line of York street; thence at right angles northerly and along said westerly line of York street 100 feet; and thence at right angles westerly 200 feet to the easterly line of Bryant street and the point of commencement.

Being portion of Potrero Nuevo Block No. 39.

Parcel 2. Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Sutter street distant thereon 137 feet 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of Gough street, running thence westerly and along said northerly line of Sutter street 137 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles northerly 275 feet to a point on the southerly line of Bush street, distant thereon 137 feet 6 inches easterly from the easterly line of Octavia street; thence easterly along the southerly line of Bush street 68 feet 9 inches; thence at right angles southerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles easterly 68 feet 9 inches; and thence at right angles southerly 137 feet 6 inches to the northerly line of Sutter street and the point of commencement.

Being portion of Western Addition Block No. 158.

Parcel 3. Commencing at a point on the easterly line of 31st avenue, distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Moraga street (formerly "M" street), running thence southerly and along said easterly line of 31st avenue 150 feet; thence at right angles easterly 240 feet to the westerly line of 30th avenue; thence at right angles northerly and along said westerly line of 30th avenue 150 feet, and thence at right angles westerly 240 feet to the easterly line of 31st avenue and the point of commencement.

Being portion of Outside Land Block No. 890.



Parcel 4. Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Hill street with the westerly line of Church street; running thence westerly along said southerly line of Hill street 101 feet 9 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 114 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 50 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 114 feet to a point on the northerly line of 22nd street, distant thereon 151 feet 9 inches westerly from the westerly line of Church street; thence easterly along the northerly line of 22d street, 151 feet 9 inches to the westerly line of Church street; thence at a right angle northerly and along said westerly line of Church street 228 feet to the southerly line of Hill street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Mission Block 90.

Parcel 5. Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Norwich street with the westerly line of Alabama street (formerly Columbia place) running thence westerly and along said southerly line of Norwich street 220 feet to the easterly line of Harrison street (formerly Gunnison avenue); thence at a right angle southerly and along said easterly line of Harrison street 140 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 220 feet to the westerly line of Alabama street and thence northerly and along said westerly line of Alabama street 140 feet to the southerly line of Norwich street and the point of commencement.

Being Lots 17 to 28 (inclusive) as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Subdivisions of Lots Nos. 163 to 168 (inclusive) 'Precita Valley Lands'". Recorded June 30, 1869. Book "2A and B" of Maps at page 111, Records of City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 6. Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Union street, distant thereon 137 feet 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of Montgomery street, running thence westerly and along said northerly line of Union street 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 137 feet 6 inches to the northerly line of Union street and the point of commencement.

Being 50 Vara Lot No. 418.

Parcel 7. Commencing at a point on the westerly line of 18th avenue distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Ortega street (formerly "O" street), and running thence southerly along said line of 18th avenue 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 210 feet to a line parallel with and distant 30 feet measured at right angles easterly from the easterly line of 19th avenue, said parallel line being the proposed easterly line of 19th avenue; thence northerly along said parallel line 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 210 feet to the westerly line of 18th avenue and the point of commencement.

Being portion of Outside Land Block 963.

Parcel 8. Commencing at a point on the easterly line of 25th avenue, distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Moraga street (formerly "M" street) and running thence southerly along said line of 25th avenue 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 240 feet to the westerly line of 24th avenue; thence at a right angle northerly along said line of 24th avenue 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 240 feet to the easterly line of 25th avenue and the point of commencement.

Being portion of Outside Land Block 884.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco. Said parcels may be sold individually or subdivided.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

**Repealing Ordinance No. 12.061168, "Ordering the Construction of a Sewer in Meade Avenue Between Third and Jennings Streets."**

(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1281, Ordinance No. 12.061172, as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 12.061168, entitled, "Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same," in effect January 5, 1937, ordering the improvement of Meade avenue between Third and Jennings streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 12.061168, the title of which is recited above, ordering the improvement of Meade avenue between Third and Jennings streets, is hereby repealed.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

**Amending Traffic Ordinance to Prohibit Parking at Certain Hours on the North Side of Army Street for a Distance of 200 Feet Easterly from the East Property Line of Mission Street.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Also, Bill No. 1282, Ordinance No. 11.0299, as follows:

Amending Section 37(b), Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series) entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 37(b), Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following words: "From 4:00 p. m., to 6:00 p. m., on the north side of Army street for a distance of 200 feet easterly from the east property line of Mission street, Sundays and Holidays excepted."

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

**NEW BUSINESS.****Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Compromise of Damage Claim.**

(Code No. 6.05)

Bill No. 1295, Ordinance No. 6.053, as follows:

Authorizing City Attorney to settle for six hundred dollars, the claim of Robert M. Brueck, a minor, by Michael Brueck, guardian ad litem, on account of damages by reason of defective sidewalk.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended the settlement



of the action of Robert M. Brueck, a minor, by Michael Brueck, guardian ad litem, against the City and County of San Francisco for the recovery of damages as a result of personal injuries sustained by Robert Brueck on December 14, 1936, on the angular corner of Brussels and Burrows streets, in front of the Portola Junior High School as the result of an alleged hole or defect in the sidewalk, by the payment of a sum of six hundred dollars in full settlement of the claim of said Robert M. Brueck, by his guardian, Michael Brueck, the said City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle said pending litigation by the payment of said sum of six hundred dollars chargeable to Appropriation No. 648.918.00.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

**Authorizing Sale of Lot on Geary Street, West of Jones.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

Also, Bill No. 1296, Ordinance No. 12.172212, as follows:

Authorizing sale of lot on Geary street, west of Jones street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Real Estate Department, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Geary street, distant thereon 60 feet westerly from the westerly line of Jones street; running thence westerly along the southerly line of Geary street 102.50 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 137.50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 102.50 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 137.50 feet to the southerly line of Geary street and the point of commencement.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

**Authorizing Sale of City Lots 5, 6 and 7, Assessor's Block 5970.**

(Code No. 12.1729)

Also, Bill No. 1297, Ordinance No. 12.17298, as follows:

Authorizing sale of City Lots 5, 6 and 7, Assessor's Block 5970.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Director of Property, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the northeasterly line of Yale street, distant thereon 125 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Felton street; running thence southeasterly along the northeasterly line of Yale street, 75 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 75 feet; thence at a right angle

southwesterly 120 feet to the northeasterly line of Yale street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Block No. 83, University Mound Survey.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

#### Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance, on recommendation of Finance Committee, was taken up:

#### **Appropriation of \$4,500 for Extra Work in Connection With Reconstruction of Eighteenth Street.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1298, Ordinance No. 9.051375, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriation of \$4,500 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 77.026.01 to the credit of Appropriation No. 77.026.01 for the purpose of paying for extra work in excess of 10 per cent of the certified estimated contract price in connection with the reconstruction of Eighteenth street, between Guerrero and Danvers; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$4,500 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 77.026.01 to the credit of Appropriation No. 77.026.01 for the purpose of paying for extra work in excess of 10 per cent of the certified estimated contract price in connection with the reconstruction of Eighteenth street, between Guerrero and Danvers streets.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, as immediate action is required to complete the reconstruction of Eighteenth street, which in its present condition is a hazard to life and limb.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Recommended by William H. Worden, Director of Public Works.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

#### **Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

#### **Agreement for Removal of Tracks on Eighteenth Street.**

(Code No. 15.091)

Resolution No. 3201, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, through its Director of Public Works, is at present engaged in the re-surfacing of Eighteenth street, from Guerrero street to Castro street; and

Whereas, it is necessary, in order to complete the re-paving of said



street, to remove certain abandoned rails of the railway tracks of the Market Street Railway Company on and along said street; and

Whereas, the Market Street Railway Company was heretofore given permission to remove its tracks over and on Eighteenth street, from Guerrero street to Danvers street, and over and on certain other streets; and

Whereas, for the purpose of serving the residents living adjacent to Eighteenth street, between Castro street and Danvers street, the said Market Street Railway Company is maintaining its said railway tracks notwithstanding the permission granted by this Board to abandon the same and to remove the same from said streets; and

Whereas, in order to maintain said tracks, the roadway between said tracks and for two feet on either side thereof must be repaired; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco enter into an agreement with the Market Street Railway Company wherein and whereby it shall be agreed that the Market Street Railway Company will remove, all and singular, their railway tracks on Eighteenth street, between Guerrero street and Castro street, and take from the trenches left vacant by said removal all loose material; and that the City and County of San Francisco will fill said trenches and re-surface the same at the same time that it is re-surfacing the remainder of Eighteenth street, between Guerrero street and Castro street; and it shall be

Further agreed, between the City and said Market Street Railway Company that said company will repair the pavement, in a manner satisfactory to the Director of Public Works, between the tracks and for two feet on either side thereof on Eighteenth street, from Castro street to Danvers street; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors thereof be, and they are hereby, authorized and directed to execute the aforesaid agreement for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco and to agree upon the terms thereof for the purpose of carrying out the intent of this resolution.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

#### **Approval of Recommendations of County Welfare Department.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3202, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Director of the County Welfare Department, filed with the Board of Supervisors on April 9, 1937, are approved as follows:

Old-Age Security Aid—Cancellations, decreases, other transactions.

Blind Pensions—Cancellations, other transactions.

Widows' Pensions—Cancellations, decreases, other transactions.

And the Clerk of this Board is directed to indorse the approval of this Board upon the reports of said Director of County Welfare Department and to transmit the same to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Amendment, Revolving Fund, Board of Health.**

(Code No. 17.01)

Bill No. 1299, Ordinance No. 17.013, as follows:

Amending Section 1, paragraphs (b) and (c) of Ordinance No. 17.011, "Establishing Revolving Funds for the Department of Public Health and providing for the administration of said funds and for the reimbursement thereof."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 1, paragraphs (b) and (c) of Ordinance No. 17.011, be and are hereby amended to read as follows:

(b) The San Francisco Hospital Revolving Fund, the amount of which shall not exceed the sum of one thousand five hundred (\$1,500) dollars.

(c) The San Francisco Hospital Employees' Revolving Fund, the amount of which shall not exceed the sum of two thousand (\$2,000) dollars.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

**Amendment, Excluding Retail Stores Whose Cleaning and Dyeing Business Is Only Incidental to Its Principal Business.**

(Code No. 5.49)

Also, Bill No. 1300, Ordinance No. 5.492, as follows:

Amending Section r of Article 12 of Bill No. 1227, Ordinance No. 5.491, Code No. 5.49, entitled "An ordinance providing a code of fair competition for the cleaning and dyeing industry in the City and County of San Francisco, and providing a penalty for the violation of this code" *by adding thereto an exemption of retail stores whose cleaning and dyeing business is only incidental to its principal business.*

Section 1. Section r of Article 12 of Bill No. 1227, Ordinance No. 5.491, Code No. 5.49, the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section r. *Retail Store.* Retail stores are defined as being any shop or agency which finishes by pressing and/or reshaping any fabric, either by hand or by machine, that has been dry cleaned; provided, however, that no retail store which is not wholly owned, operated and controlled by a wholesale or retail cleaning plant shall be considered or treated, in any manner, as an agency or branch store of such wholesale or retail cleaning plant, provided, however, that a retail clothing store or retail clothing department of a department store, wherein or in connection therewith there is performed pressing and/or alteration of clothing in connection with the sale thereof, shall not be deemed to be a "retail store" as defined herein, *provided, further, that no retail store whose cleaning and dyeing business is only incidental to its principal business shall come within the scope of, or be subject to, any part of this Ordinance, anything herein contained to the contrary notwithstanding.*

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.



**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Release of Lien Filed re Old Age Security.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Resolution No. 3207, as follows:

Resolved, That the lien heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, on recommendation of the County Welfare Department, is released against the property described, as follows:

George and Lulu Nowlin, recorded March 8, 1937, in Book 427, Official Records of Sonoma County, page 146; legal description, Lots 11 and 12, Div. 5, Eagle Nest, Tax Collector's Map 1936-37, Sonoma County.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

**Release of Lien Filed re Old Age Security.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3208, as follows:

Resolved, That the lien heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, on recommendation of the County Welfare Department, is released against the property described, as follows:

William and Annie Spiller, recorded January 12, 1937, in Vol. 3011, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco, pages 178 and 179; legal description, Lot 16, Block 1831, Assessor's Map of 1935.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Public Health Committee was taken up:

**Abatement Proceedings 459 Twenty-sixth Avenue.**

(Code No. 17.09)

Resolution No. 3203, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Health, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, does hereby declare that the premises numbered, known and designated as 459 Twenty-sixth Avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, be and the same is hereby declared to be a public nuisance and the City Attorney of said City and County is hereby directed to abate the same in conformity with the provisions of Section 731 of the Code of Civil Procedure of the State of California.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

**Approving Map Showing the Opening of the Northeast and Northwest Corners of Ocean and Phelan Avenues.**

(Code No. 12.08)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 3204, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Map Showing the Opening of the Northeast and Northwest Corners of Ocean and Phelan Avenues," approved the 31st day of March, 1937, by Director of Public Works Order No. 5910, be and is hereby approved and made official and parcels numbered one (1) and two (2) shown hatched thereon are hereby declared to be open public streets to be known by the names shown on said map.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

**Approving Map Showing the Extension of Santa Ynez Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.08)

Also, Resolution No. 3205, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Map Showing the Extension of Santa Ynez Avenue at Ocean and Cayuga Avenues," approved the 19th day of March, 1937, by Director of Public Works Order No. 5824, be and is hereby approved and made official and the parcels shown hatched thereon are hereby declared to be open public streets to be known as Santa Ynez avenue.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

**Creating Underground Districts: Bay Street from Van Ness Avenue to Fillmore Street; Broadway, from Mason Street to Powell Street; Broadway, from Montgomery Street to Davis Street; Golden Gate Avenue, Van Ness Avenue to Parker Avenue; Gough Street, McAllister Street to California Street; Seventeenth Street, Noe Street to Harrison Street.**

(Code No. 11.12)

Bill No. 1301, Ordinance No. 11.1219, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled, "Providing for placing wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding new sections to be known as Sections OOO, PPP, QQQ, RRR, SSS and TTT.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Order No. 214 (Second Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding new sections to be known as Sections OOO, PPP, QQQ, RRR, SSS and TTT.

Section OOO. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after the permanent improvement by physical widening of the roadway of Bay street from Van Ness avenue to Fillmore street, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 81, Bay street from Van Ness avenue to Fillmore street.

Section PPP. An additional district to those heretofore described



within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after the permanent improvement by physical widening of the roadway of Broadway from Mason street to Powell street, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 82, Broadway from Mason street to Powell street.

Section QQQ. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after the permanent improvement by physical widening of the roadway of Broadway from Montgomery street to Davis street, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 83, Broadway from Montgomery street to Davis street.

Section RRR. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after the permanent improvement by physical widening of the roadway of Golden Gate avenue from Van Ness avenue to Parker avenue, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 84, Golden Gate avenue from Van Ness avenue to Parker avenue.

Section SSS. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after the permanent improvement by physical widening of the roadway of Gough street from McAllister street to California street, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 85, Gough street from McAllister street to California street.

Section TTT. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after the permanent improvement by physical widening of the roadway of Seventeenth street from Noe street to Harrison street, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 86, Seventeenth street from Noe street to Harrison street.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

### **Full Acceptance of Thirty-sixth Avenue Between Ulloa Street and Vicente Street.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1302, Ordinance No. 12.081126, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Thirty-sixth avenue between Ulloa street and Vicente street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadway having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Thirty-sixth avenue between Ulloa street and Vicente street, including the curbs.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

**Full Acceptance of Kirkham Street Between Thirty-seventh and Thirty-eighth Avenues Including the Crossing of Thirty-seventh Avenue and Kirkham Street.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1303, Ordinance No. 12.081127, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Kirkham street between Thirty-seventh and Thirty-eighth avenues, and the crossing of Kirkham street and Thirty-seventh avenue, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Kirkham street between Thirty-seventh and Thirty-eighth avenues, and the crossing of Kirkham street and Thirty-seventh avenue, including the curbs.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

**Approving Map of Lakeside (Subdivision No. 1): Accepting Certain Streets Therein and Approving and Accepting Bond for Taxes in Connection Therewith.**

(Code No. 12.08)

Resolution No. 3209, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain map entitled "Map of Subdivision No. 1, Lakeside, San Francisco, California, composed of 2 sheets," be and is hereby approved and adopted as the official map of Subdivision No. 1, Lakeside, San Francisco, California, and the portions of land designated thereon as Woodacre Drive, Cranleigh Drive, Lagunitas Drive and Beachmont Drive, together with all those portions of Sloat Boulevard and Junipero Serra Boulevard shown on said map but not heretofore dedicated, are hereby accepted on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco and declared to be open public streets to be known by the aforementioned names as they appear on said map; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain deed dated the 7th day of April, 1937, from Henry Stoneson and Hazel Stoneson, his wife, and Ellis L. Stoneson and Bertha K. Stoneson, his wife, to those parcels of land comprising the aforementioned streets as delineated upon said map, and to Blocks 7222-A and 7222-B; and be it

Further Resolved, That that certain bond in the sum of \$2,000, executed the 6th day of April, 1937, between Henry Stoneson and Ellis L. Stoneson, doing business as Stoneson Bros., a partnership, as principal, and Massachusetts Bonding and Insurance Company, as surety, being bond No. 40087, running to the City and County of San Francisco, conditioned for the payment of all taxes or special assessments collected as taxes which are at the time of filing said map, a lien against the land or any part thereof as shown upon said map but not



yet payable, be and is hereby approved and accepted, the said sum of \$2,000 being an estimate by the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco of the amount of taxes which are a lien upon the property described in said map, and which are not yet payable.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncoviari, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

**Extension Leave of Absence, Hon. Chas. F. Traung, Member  
Recreation Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

On recommendation of His Honor the Mayor.

Resolution No. 3206, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Charles F. Traung, a member of the Recreation Commission, is hereby granted an extension of his leave of absence heretofore granted, with permission to absent himself from the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncoviari, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

**Leave of Absence—Walter A. Scheffauer, Member San Francisco  
Retirement System.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3210, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Walter A. Scheffauer, member of the San Francisco Retirement System, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of six weeks, commencing April 30, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncoviari, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Report of Finance Committee and Resolution Approving Bond Issue for Through Streets at Market and Fifth Streets, Mason and Market and Grant Avenue and Market.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

April 9, 1937.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

On February 15, 1937, your Honorable Body approved the following official motions in connection with the trans-Market street traffic problem:

1. Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved that the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors, in conjunction with any other public officials it deems necessary, make a study of the financing of the proposal to cut through Fifth street and make a report and recommendation to this Board as to the best method of bringing about this improvement.

2. Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Reilly, moved that the question of a street between Third and Fourth streets, as recommended by the Chief Administrative Officer, be also included in this study.

3. Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved that the question of a new street from Mason street on an arc to Fifth and Harrison be also included in this study.

4. Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved that such studies are made with the understanding that the Finance Committee report show separate prices for each different project.

Pursuant to these motions your Finance Committee has conferred with the city officials and departments concerned with the problem and has held a series of public hearings attended by interested taxpayers and representatives of civic groups. It has carefully studied the official reports dealing with the problem; has obtained and digested all available information pertinent to the various proposals and has exhaustively reviewed arguments presented in connection therewith. While recognizing the public demand for an immediate and satisfactory solution, your Committee has taken the necessary time to adequately study the entire problem before presenting its report for consideration by this Board.

Preliminary estimates of the cost of the various proposals, submitted by the City Engineer and the Director of Properties, are shown to be as follows:

Extension of Fifth street diagonally across Market street to the intersection of Mason and Eddy streets.....	\$1,837,000
A new street between Third and Fourth streets, Market to Brannan streets, connecting with Grant avenue.....	4,516,300
A new street between Fifth and Sixth streets, Market to Brannan, connecting on an arc with the Bridge vehicular terminal at Fifth and Harrison streets and directly opposite the intersection of Turk and Mason streets.....	3,993,000
A new street between Fifth and Sixth streets, Market to Brannan street, connecting on an arc with the Bridge terminal at Fifth and Harrison and diagonally with Turk and Mason streets .....	3,513,000

In order to ascertain the immediate effect upon the assessment roll, it was deemed essential to obtain a comparison of present assessments of lands and improvements required for rights-of-way and the anticipated land values of properties given frontage on the proposed new streets.

The Director of Properties, following a two weeks' study, made the following report in this connection:

<i>Project</i>	<i>Present Assessed Valuations of Land and Improvements</i>	<i>Estimated Assessed Valuations of Land Following Improvement</i>	<i>Gain or Loss to Assessment Roll</i>
Fifth street extension....	\$ 739,760	\$ 951,150	\$211,390 gain
New street between Third and Fourth streets....	1,189,000	1,135,000	54,000 loss
New street between Fifth and Sixth streets (direct connection Mason-Turk streets) .....	1,083,000	748,000	335,000 loss
New street between Fifth and Sixth streets (diagonal connection Mason-Turk streets) .....	1,013,000	821,000	192,000 loss

Obviously, it would be impossible to anticipate the value of future improvements to be made on the new frontages and the above figures reflect immediate assessment roll gains or losses. A comparison of present assessed valuations of the land included within the limits of



the proposed extensions with the anticipated land values after the improvements have been completed, submitted by the Director of Properties, follows:

<i>Project</i>	<i>Present Assessed Valuations of Land</i>	<i>Estimated Assessment Valuations of Land</i>	<i>Gain</i>
Fifth street extension....	\$ 595,260	\$ 951,150	\$355,890
New street between Third and Fourth streets....	828,000	1,135,000	307,000
New street between Fifth and Sixth streets (di- rect connection Mason- Turk) .....	692,000	748,000	56,000
New street between Fifth and Sixth streets (diago- nal connection Mason- Turk) .....	722,000	821,000	99,000

Your Committee has carefully weighed these figures in its consideration of the fiscal phase of the problem. It studied all possible methods of financing and found almost unanimity of opinion among both city officials and taxpayers, in view of the city-wide benefits accruing and the time factor involved, that whichever street or streets were recommended, financing should be by bond issue. After consultation with the Chief Administrative Officer, Controller, Director of Public Works, City Engineer and the Director of Properties and a review of the facts, your Committee reached this decision as to financial policy.

At the outset of its deliberations, your Committee found a preponderance of official opinion recommending immediate action. Such an opinion was officially expressed by Dr. Miller McClintock in his report of December 18, 1936, and by Chief Administrative Officer A. J. Cleary in his recommendations filed with your Honorable Body under date of February 8, 1937. In a published letter, dated February 13, 1937, a similar opinion was voiced by C. H. Purcell, State Highway Engineer and Chief Engineer of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge. Public opinion in view of existing traffic congestion in the downtown area, both as reflected editorially by the four San Francisco newspapers and by important tax-paying groups, supported this conclusion.

Before reaching a similar decision, your Committee officially summoned before it Theodore M. Matson, resident engineer for Dr. McClintock, and the Chief Administrative Officer. These officials were questioned relative to the suggestion from the City Engineer that the present city-wide pedestrian and vehicular traffic survey might possibly develop additional pertinent information relative to the trans-Market Street problem. Mr. Matson definitely advised the Committee that the final report would not alter the recommendations made for Market street improvements in the McClintock report. Mr. Cleary reiterated his recommendation that action be taken immediately and not delayed until receipt of this report.

Your Committee found little or no official support for immediate consideration of either of the two proposed street plans between Fifth and Sixth streets. Dr. McClintock's report recommended immediate consideration of the Fifth street extension and the projection of Grant avenue to a new street between Third and Fourth streets in the order named. He lists a new street between Fifth and Sixth as sixth in importance. The report of the Chief Administrative Officer is confined entirely to consideration of the Fifth street and Grant avenue extensions as "the two that most immediately affect the down town shopping district." State Engineer Purcell, in his published letter likewise favored the Fifth and Grant avenue extensions, in the order named, if both cannot be done simultaneously. Preliminary findings of the City Engineer in August, 1936, indicated that of the possible new thoroughfares in the large blocks on the south side of Market street, the Grant avenue extension would be especially beneficial.

Major property owners, whose holdings would be required for rights-

of-way under either plan proposed for a new street between Fifth and Sixth streets, were unanimously and vigorously opposed. Public hearings developed the fact that under the plan to directly connect with Mason street, one piece of property required for the right-of-way was leased for a period of 25 years at \$125,000 per year. Under the second proposal a valuable theatre property advantageously leased for 99 years, was involved. Lengthy and expensive litigation and the possibility of a substantial upward revision of estimated costs in the courts were given consideration.

Comparison of the estimates for construction of the suggested new streets between Fifth and Sixth streets, connecting with Turk and Mason streets, with the more direct and convenient Fifth street extension shows:

1. A greater cost of \$2,156,000 in making the direct connection and of \$1,676,000 in constructing the diagonal connection.
2. A respective immediate loss of \$335,000 and \$192,000 to the assessment roll as against a gain of \$211,390.
3. Respective permanent gains in assessed land values of but \$56,000 and \$99,000 as against \$355,890.

In light of the above facts, your Committee recommends against further consideration of these proposals, at least, for several years to come.

Dr. McClintock and his resident engineer, Mr. Matson, and State Engineer Purcell definitely recommend immediate construction of both the Fifth street and Grant avenue extensions, in the order named. Both are conditionally recommended by the Chief Administrative Officer for immediate consideration. A preponderance of public sentiment, as expressed before the Committee and as reflected editorially in the four San Francisco newspapers, favors their immediate construction.

Fifth street was selected as the logical terminal for the Bay Bridge and its significant traffic importance exists now and will for all time in the future. Dr. McClintock, Mr. Cleary and Mr. Purcell all point to this selection as a highly important consideration. The City has already improved this street to Mission street. It is obvious to anyone that Fifth street is the most natural, direct and convenient route for bridge vehicular traffic to follow in entering the central business district. The present "bottleneck", between Mission and Market streets, and "dead-end" at Market street present conditions which must be eliminated in any satisfactory and adequate solution of the problem. We quote from Chief Engineer Purcell's letter of February 13th:

"The Bridge Authority selected Fifth street as the logical terminal for the main proportion of the vehicular bridge traffic. As Dr. McClintock well states: 'This gives Fifth street now and for all time in the future a particular traffic significance in view of the fact that it makes Fifth street the only logical and direct route for vehicular traffic to follow in entering the central retail area.'

"Fifth street has been widened and highly improved to Mission street; from Mission to Market street traffic is bottle-necked by the existing congestion and car line. With the car line removed, the block widened from Mission to Market street and the diagonal cut made across Market to Mason and Eddy streets, traffic would flow naturally and would conveniently reach the central business area."

This extension involves but four pieces of property, which can be acquired apparently without opposition. It is the cheapest; can be constructed in the shortest possible time; and will immediately add \$211,390 to the assessment roll and produce a permanent gain of \$355,890 in assessed land values.

The Grant avenue extension, which will break-up the long block between Third and Fourth streets, is obviously the most natural and direct return route to the Bay Bridge. It is equally apparent that such a return route must be provided to satisfactorily meet present



and future conditions in view of the existing intolerable congestion in the Fourth-Stockton streets, Third street and New Montgomery crossings.

Combined with the Fifth street extension, the Grant avenue projection to the proposed new street, which shows an immediate loss of but \$54,000 to the assessment roll and which will produce a permanent gain of \$307,000 in assessed land values, will provide a clock-wise movement of traffic on right-hand turns in the central business district. The efficiency of this clock-wise movement as against the congestive, inconvenient and hazardous left-turn movement is a principle of proven efficiency. The combined cost, according to the estimates presented, is \$6,353,300, an investment, which, in the opinion of your Committee must be made if San Francisco is to meet existing undesirable conditions and satisfactorily anticipate the future. We are convinced that present assessed valuations cannot be maintained; that retail trade cannot reach its maximum growth; and that neither bridge nor local traffic can be adequately or satisfactorily served unless this investment is made at once.

We direct your attention to the fact that Bay Bridge traffic was officially estimated at 5,250,000 vehicles for 1937. The official estimate today is 7,720,000 vehicles and it is admitted that it will more nearly approach 8,000,000 vehicles. The Golden Gate Bridge officially opens in May and the coming Exposition will bring hundreds of thousands of vehicles to San Francisco from other States.

In conclusion, your Committee respectfully submits the attached resolution and earnestly urges its immediate adoption.

(Signed) ALFRED RONCOVIERI, Chairman.  
WARREN SHANNON.

(Code No. —)

Resolution No. 3215, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, sitting as a Committee of the Whole and through its Finance Committee has conducted an exhaustive investigation of the trans-Market street traffic problem; and

Whereas, this investigation and the public hearings held in connection therewith have clearly demonstrated the urgency and necessity for immediate action to provide satisfactory and adequate traffic relief and to anticipate the immediate future; and

Whereas, the facts developed conclusively show that the extension of Fifth street diagonally across Market street to the intersection of Mason and Eddy streets and the projection of Grant avenue to a new street between Third and Fourth streets, from Market to Brannan streets, will provide respectively the most direct, convenient and desirable entrance and exit thoroughfares to the central business district; and

Whereas, this combination of streets will provide a clock-wise movement of bridge vehicular traffic on non-congestive right-hand turns; adequately serve both the districts north and south of Market street; satisfactorily make provision for increased vehicular traffic produced by the Golden Gate Bridge; and meet conditions during the coming Exposition; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, That a bond issue providing the necessary funds for construction of both streets is approved as the method of financing the project; be it

Further Resolved, That an official estimate of cost and plans and specifications be immediately prepared by the Department of Public Works; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney in cooperation with the proper officials and departments immediately prepare and submit to this Board of Supervisors the proper and necessary legislation in connection therewith.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.

City Planning Commission, Room 252, City Hall, April 6, 1937.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors,  
City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Honorable Board:

In 1935 the City Planning Commission gave consideration to the advisability of providing new streets as approaches to and from the Bay Bridge Terminus at Fifth and Harrison streets and passed a resolution favoring the extension of Fifth street from Market street north to Mason and Eddy streets. The Commission also believes that a new street should be opened as an extension of Grant avenue across Market street, south to Harrison street.

At its last regular meeting, held April 1, 1937, the Commission directed me, at this time, to advise you of these recommendations and reaffirm their former position with respect to the opening of such new streets.

Yours very truly,

(Signed) MARK JORGENSEN, Secretary,  
City Planning Commission.

Committee of the Whole.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole by unanimous vote for the purpose of considering the report of the Finance Committee. President Shannon was elected to preside.

Thereupon, the following statement of Supervisor Brown was read into the record:

Supervisor Brown's Statement.

I am opposed to any immediate action until the effects of

- (1) Widening of Sixth and Taylor;
- (2) The completion of the bridge rail service have been studied.

In any event, I am opposed to the new street between Third and Fourth as unnecessary. The return traffic can be routed by the downtown approaches satisfactorily.

Privilege of the Floor.

Thereupon, the following were granted the privilege of the floor and heard at length on the pending question:

L. Shaffer, representing himself, explained by map and diagram, his proposed plan for the extension of Fifth street, into the Triangle District. Beginning with a ramp, with a 5 per cent grade, from a point at Fifth and Howard streets, along Fifth street to Market, across Market on a bridge constructed of glass and stainless steel, through the building on the north side to Eddy street, branching west on Eddy and north through Anna lane and Carroll street to O'Farrell street. He argued that the Shafer Plan which he proposed costs less, takes less from the assessment roll and interferes not at all with the pedestrian traffic at Market and Fifth streets.

M. L. Kryder, representing Market Street Merchants in the vicinity of Fifth street, was granted the privilege of the floor. He was heard in opposition to the proposed new through streets. He read an article from the San Francisco Herald which urged a full and complete hearing of all who had suggestions to offer for the relief of the conditions to be remedied.

M. Hall, representing City Engineer Casey, in response to a query of Supervisor Colman, declared that the City Engineer's office had not



recommended cutting through a street at Mason and Market. It merely had recommended that a study be made respecting same.

M. C. Harrison, representing property owners south of Market street opposite Mason street and owner of property affected by the extension of Mason street south, favored the proposed improvement.

Jos. S. Fineberg, 516 Market street, representing Market Street Merchants, opposed to the extension of Fifth street north, and of Mason street south, declared that the property to be purchased for the extension of Mason street belonged to George Roos, of Roos Brothers, having been transferred to his name from other members of the Roos family; that the property was mortgaged for over \$800,000 and was about to be foreclosed. The owner was now losing, he said, over \$16,000 a month, that being the difference between the expenses of the property and the receipts from rents, etc.

Mr. Jos. J. Phillips, Director of Property, was also heard as to the method employed by his office in arriving at estimates of value of property to be taken for the new street.

Geo. T. Baker, representing the Citizen Voters Unity Council, opposed bonding the city for the proposed new streets.

Henry Warfield, also representing Citizen Voters Unity Council, said he favored improvements because it gave employment, but opposed bonding city instead of using our own money for cutting through streets or for any other purpose.

Thos. E. Carr, 112-A Lyon street, representing himself, raised the question that the original idea of this traffic study was for the relief of congestion in the down town district. The proposed new streets, he declared, would never solve the problem of congestion, but rather would add immensely to it. His plan which he offered the city, making use of grade separations above ground, he said, would permit of free untrammelled traffic requiring no stop signals and no interference between the different types of traffic.

Robert Graham, manager of the Rapid Transit & Traffic Association, argued that the proper solution of the problem was only to be found in a comprehensive city-wide survey giving consideration to all conditions and circumstances involved. He urged that nothing be done in cutting through streets at Market until this comprehensive survey has been made.

D. V. Nicholson, representing the Triangle District Merchants, thanked the Finance Committee for its recommendation. In response to query as to willingness of the Triangle District Merchants to stand for an assessment district for part of the cost of the improvement, he said, that they were willing and ready to stand for such an assessment, but that he believed that it would not be necessary since the work might be done from moneys derived from the gas tax fund which is increasing rapidly every year.

Wm. Coughlin, representing the Associated General Contractors, was then heard and took issue with Mr. Nicholson, declaring that it was not possible to use gas tax money for this purpose since the Charter provides that money for bond interest and redemption must be derived from taxation. He quoted section 78 of the Charter in substantiation of his statement.

#### Committee of the Whole Arises.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Uhl, the Committee of the Whole arose and reported to the Board.

#### Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved to postpone further hearing and action for two weeks and that the matter be

made a Special Order of Business for 2:30 p. m. on Monday, April 26, 1937.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Reilly—2.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Schmidt—4.

**In Memoriam—Thomas B. McGinnis.**

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisors Colman and Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 4011, as follows:

Whereas, Almighty God has called Thomas B. McGinnis to his eternal reward and it is with profound sorrow that the Board of Supervisors learns of his passing; and

Whereas, Thomas B. McGinnis faithfully served this City and County for more than forty-two years, thirty-six of which were performed as assistant clerk of the Board of Supervisors; and

Whereas, his excellent service rendered is the most fitting eulogy and gives him an enduring place in the history of his city; while his private life, like his public career, was guided by an equability of temperament and kindness that will perpetuate his memory in the hearts of his many friends; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors mourns the passing of Thomas B. McGinnis and expresses its deep sympathy to the beloved wife and son of the late assistant clerk; and be it

Further Resolved, That as a further mark of respect when the Board adjourns it does so in memory of Thomas B. McGinnis, deceased; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk transmit a copy of this resolution to his family.

*Adopted unanimously by rising vote.*

**Committee.**

Thereupon, Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri and Colman and any other members who could attend were appointed to represent the Board of Supervisors at the funeral services.

**In Memoriam—Mrs. Rose Fitzpatrick Casey.**

(Code No. 5.91)

Resolution No. 3212, as follows:

Whereas, on April 6, 1937, the Good Father summoned to her eternal reward, Mrs. Rose Fitzpatrick Casey, wife of John J. Casey, City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, this dear lady was honored, respected and loved by all who knew her modest charm, her lovely character, her Christian humility and the warmth of spirit which radiated from her; and

Whereas, Mrs. Casey's chief role on earth was the highest with which woman is endowed—that of Mother—and this she filled tenderly, efficiently and with simple dignity; and

Whereas, until the day of the Great Reunion, there will be an empty place in the hearts of her family, relatives and friends; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors sorrowfully tenders its heartfelt condolences to Mr. Casey and his children at this time of their irreparable loss, and expresses the hope that their consolation will be found in the knowledge that she who loved them so well is watching over them from her Heavenly abode; and be it

Further Resolved, That when this Board of Supervisors adjourns this day, it does so out of reverent respect to the memory of Mrs. Casey; and be it



Further Resolved, That the Clerk be and is hereby directed to send a copy of this resolution to the family of Mrs. Casey.

*Adopted unanimously by rising vote.*

**Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee Dedication of Mint,  
May 15, 1937.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 3213, as follows:

Whereas, some sixty-five years ago there was erected in the City of San Francisco, by the United States Government, a mint that has been one of the show places of our City; and

Whereas, obsolescence required the erection of a modern mint, for the purchase and refining of gold and silver bullion and for the coining of silver as a means of exchange; and

Whereas, on May 15, 1937, there will be completed on the corner of Duboce and Buchanan streets the most modern mint in the world at a cost of \$1,500,000; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That His Honor the Mayor appoint a Citizens' Committee to make proper arrangements for the dedication of this great building, here in San Francisco, on May 15, 1937.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

**Final Passage.**

The following was presented by Supervisor Roncovieri and *finally passed.*

**Appropriation \$3,750 Temporary Salaries, General Clerk, Typists,  
Recorder's Office.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1304, Ordinance No. 9.051376, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$3,750 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 603.102.00 for the purpose of paying temporary salaries of General Clerk-Typists in the Recorder's office to June 30, 1937; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,750 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 603.102.00 for the purpose of paying temporary salaries of General Clerk-Typists in the Recorder's office to June 30, 1937.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as the department is without funds to employ the General Clerk-Typists necessary for the service required by law.

Approved as to form, John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available, Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Approved, Arthur E. Curtis, Director Department of Finance and Records.

Recommended by Edmond Godchaux, Recorder.

Approved, Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved, Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

**City Attorney to Intervene—Application of Market Street  
Railway for Increased Car Fare.**

(Code No. 15.09)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 3214, as follows:

Whereas, the City Attorney has notified this Board that the Market Street Railway Company has made application to the California Railroad Commission for permission to increase the fares charged passengers on street cars and buses of that system operating in San Francisco; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has requested instructions from this Board as to whether or not he should intervene in hearings before the Railroad Commission on said application; and

Whereas, if this application for a fare increase is granted approximately three-quarters of the street car riders of San Francisco will be compelled to pay a cash fare of seven cents or a token fare of six and one-quarter cents for street car transportation in place of the five cent fare now paid; and

Whereas, this increased fare would result in a large increase in revenue to the Market Street Railway Company which has heretofore instituted economies of operation such as the one-man street car, has failed to keep its tracks and paving adjacent thereto in proper condition and has refused to enter into an agreement to exchange universal transfers between its system and that of the Municipal Railway, all on the ground that said one-man street cars and other economies and said failure to maintain tracks and paving and said refusal to enter into a universal transfer agreement were necessitated by reason of the deficient revenue to the company resulting from the five-cent fare; and

Whereas, the citizens of San Francisco by referendum vote have adopted an ordinance prohibiting the operation of one-man street cars in San Francisco; and

Whereas, the Market Street Railway Company sought and obtained a Federal District Court injunction forbidding the enforcement of said ordinance; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors directs the City Attorney to intervene in the action before the California Railroad Commission upon application of the Market Street Railway Company for an increase in street car fares, and that in so intervening he shall inquire into and require the Market Street Railway Company to fully establish its necessity for the fares proposed to be charged street car riders; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby directed to resist to his utmost any increase in fares of the Market Street Railway Company unless the company shall agree in writing that it will move to dismiss the injunction of the Federal District Court under which it is now operating one-man street cars, will immediately discontinue operation of one-man street cars and will abide by the terms of the ordinance prohibiting their operation; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be transmitted immediately to the City Attorney.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

**City Attorney to Advise as to Franchises Required for Trains  
Operating Over Transbay Bridge.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that the City Attorney be requested to inform the Board as to what steps the Supervisors might take regarding franchises of Key System and Southern Pacific Company for operating



MONDAY, APRIL 12, 1937.

their trains coming into San Francisco when the tracks are in place and ready for operation. *Carried.*

**Meeting Announcements.**

Police Committee, April 15, 10 a. m.

Public Utilities Committee, April 14, 2 p. m.

Fire, Safety and Police Committee, April 15, 1937, 10 a. m.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business the Board at 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, April 19, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 32

No. 16

Monday, April 19, 1937


# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, APRIL 19, 1937, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 19, 1937,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly,  
Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Supervisor Roncovieri excused.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of April 6 and 12, 1937,  
were considered read and approved.

## MOTION TO RECONSIDER.

### P. W. A. Strike.

The following motion is up on motion to reconsider, given by Supervisor Uhl, April 6, 1937:

Supervisor Uhl: "I move that the Board of Supervisors memorialize the President of the United States and the Congress of the United States as follows:

(1) That employment on WPA projects be continued until June 30, 1937;

(2) That ten per cent additional working hours be given WPA workers in San Francisco at ruling wage to meet increased living cost when funds for same can be provided in future appropriations by Congress covering WPA projects;

(3) That our own representatives in Congress be advised of the Board's action."

The question being: "Shall the vote whereby the foregoing motion was refused adoption be reconsidered," the roll was called and the motion was *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Shannon—5.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

### Action Deferred.

The following was on motion *laid over until July 19, 1937, at 2:30 p. m.*

Supervisor Schmidt's Resolution Re 25c Bridge Toll.

(Code No. 12.112)

Resolution No. 3018, as follows:

Whereas, it is only fair and equitable that posterity bear some part



of the financial burden of the cost of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, and in pursuance of that thought, the San Francisco Chronicle has proposed a refinancing plan whereby the present tolls may be reduced from sixty-five cents to twenty-five cents on each passenger automobile; and

Whereas, the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge is only another great unit connecting up the State system of highways and it is proposed that it be financed out of gas tax funds in the same manner as those other great highway projects of the State of California, the Redwood Highway and the Feather River Canyon Highway, by an allocation of gas tax funds for the amortization of the bonds; and

Whereas, it is deemed practical that this may be done on the basis proposed, to-wit: that the annual \$2,000,000 bond amortization charges be guaranteed from gas tax funds and that the maintenance charges be met, as now, from gas tax supplied highway maintenance funds, and that the \$2,000,000 annual interest charges on the bonds be met from the proposed twenty-five cent toll, certain to be sufficient because of the increased traffic anticipated; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco goes on record as heartily in favor of the plan proposed by the San Francisco Chronicle and urges that the State Highway Commission proceed at once to put it into effect.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up:

#### Laurel Hill Cemetery Removal.

(Code No. 17.19)

On recommendation of Public Welfare Committee:

Bill No. 937, Ordinance No. 17.194, as follows:

Declaring that the further maintenance of Laurel Hill Cemetery threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public; ordering and demanding the disinterring and removal of human bodies therefrom and fixing a time within which such disinterring and removal must be performed; declaring certain conditions under which the city and county will itself disinter and remove said bodies; requiring and empowering the Director of Public Health to adopt and promulgate rules and regulations for such disinterring and removal; providing for the reservation of lands for memorial mausoleums or columbariums, grounds, vaults and monuments.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared that the cemetery hereinafter described contains an area of more than five acres and is situate in a city and county having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons and the right of burial in said cemetery has been prohibited by law for a period of more than fifteen years, and that the further maintenance of said cemetery as a burial place for the human dead threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public. The cemetery above referred to is situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and is commonly designated by the following name and is situate within the following boundaries, to-wit:

Laurel Hill Cemetery, bounded by Presidio avenue, California street, Parker avenue and a line drawn northwesterly from a point formed by the intersection of the north line of Post street, extended, with the west line of Presidio avenue, to a point on the east line of Parker avenue, distant thereon 100 feet southerly from the intersection of the south

line of Euclid avenue, produced easterly, and the easterly line of Parker avenue.

Section 2. It is hereby ordered and demanded that, within three years from the date upon which this ordinance shall become effective, the cemetery corporation, corporation sole, association or other person owning or controlling the cemetery hereinabove described, or the owners or holders of burial lots therein, or the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are interred therein, disinter all human bodies interred in said cemetery and remove the same outside of the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with and in the manner provided for in that certain act of the Legislature of the State of California, entitled "An act authorizing the Board of Supervisors or other governing body of any incorporated city, or city and county, having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, to order the disinterment and removal of all human bodies interred in any cemetery of more than five acres in extent, or from a part thereof, situate within the boundaries of such city, or city and county, and directing the reinterment of such bodies in cemeteries outside of the limits of such city, or city and county, or the depositing of the same in a mausoleum or columbarium, whenever the further maintenance of such cemetery or part thereof as a burial place for the human dead threatens or endangers the health, safety, comfort or welfare of the public, and providing a mode of procedure under and by which such removals may, when so ordered, be made by the cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person governing or controlling such cemetery lands, or by the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are buried therein, and providing for the sale, mortgage or pledge of cemetery lands from which the human bodies are removed." Approved June 5, 1923.

Section 3. It is hereby declared and ordained that, unless the said bodies are disinterred and removed within the time and in the manner herein in Section 2 of this ordinance provided, the City and County of San Francisco, through its appropriate officers, boards and commissions, will itself proceed, upon the termination of said time, to disinter the bodies remaining in said cemetery and reinter them in another cemetery or cemeteries outside of the limits of the said city and county.

Section 4. It is hereby ordained that the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco shall, within sixty days from the date upon which this ordinance becomes effective, adopt reasonable rules and regulations relative to the manner of disinterring, transporting and removing such bodies, and shall, upon adoption thereof, cause the same to be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of sixty days, and shall forthwith forward by registered mail to the governing body owning or controlling such cemetery a copy thereof. Said Director of Public Health shall, from time to time, adopt and promulgate, in the same manner, any reasonable and necessary modifications or changes in said rules and regulations. All disinterments, transportation and removal of human remains from said cemetery made under the provisions of this ordinance and under the provisions of the said act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, shall be made and performed subject to the rules and regulations adopted by the Director of Public Health as herein provided.

Section 5. It is hereby ordained that any cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person owning or controlling any such cemetery lands from which the bodies interred therein are to be removed in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance and the provisions of the act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, may reserve sufficient land from such cemetery lands from which the human remains have been removed to erect a memorial mausoleum or columbarium for the depositing therein of the bodies disinterred from such cemetery lands and may provide sufficient



grounds around the same for the beautification thereof, and may preserve such historical vaults or monuments as the board of directors or other governing body of said corporations, or associations, or the incumbent of said corporation sole, may determine to be proper or necessary.

Provided, however, that the land thus reserved for such mausoleum, columbarium, grounds, vaults and monuments shall not, in the aggregate for said cemetery, exceed in area 10 per centum of the existing area of said cemetery, and provided, further, that plans for said mausoleums, columbariums and grounds shall first be filed with the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and approved by said Board by resolution thereof.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Frank Deering, representing Laurel Hill Cemetery, was granted the privilege of the floor and in explanation of his position, which he said had been misunderstood, read a letter heretofore filed with the Board of Supervisors which had been sent by him to lot owners of Laurel Hill Cemetery, relative to establishment of a Memorial Park and the perpetuation of the historical interest attached to Laurel Hill.

#### Final Passage.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing ordinance *finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Ratto, Roncovieri—2.

#### Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor McSheehy explained his vote by saying that previously he was inclined to vote against the bill, but on hearing Mr. Deering and the others he was satisfied that there would be a suitable memorial park erected at the cemetery site that will properly perpetuate the historical interest attached to the cemetery.

#### Motion to Rescind.

Subsequently, on request being made that action on Calvary Cemetery Removal be *postponed one week*, Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved to rescind foregoing action in order that both matters might be acted upon together.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Shannon—5.

Noes—Supervisors Mead, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Absent—Supervisors Ratto, Roncovieri—2.

#### Action Deferred.

The following bill was taken up and *laid over until April 26, 1937, and made a Special Order for 3 p. m.*

#### Calvary Cemetery Removal.

(Code No. 17.19)

Also, Bill No. 938, Ordinance No. 17.195, as follows:

Declaring that the further maintenance of the Calvary Cemetery threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public; ordering and demanding the disinterring and removal of human bodies therefrom and fixing a time within which such disinterring and removal must be performed; declaring certain conditions under which the City and County will itself disinter and remove said bodies; requiring and empowering the Director of Public Health to adopt and promulgate rules and regulations for such disinterring and removal; providing

for the reservation of lands for memorial mausoleums or columbariums, grounds, vaults and monuments.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared that the cemetery hereinafter described contains an area of more than five acres and is situate in a city and county having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, and the right of burial in said cemetery has been prohibited by law for a period of more than fifteen years, and that the further maintenance of said cemetery as a burial place for the human dead threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public. The cemetery above referred to is situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and is commonly designated by the following name, and is situate within the following boundaries, to-wit:

Calvary Cemetery, bounded by Geary street, St. Joseph's avenue, Turk street, Parker avenue, St. Rose's avenue and Masonic avenue.

Section 2. It is hereby ordered and demanded that, within three years from the date upon which this ordinance shall become effective, the cemetery corporation, corporation sole, association or other person owning or controlling the cemetery hereinabove described, or the owners or holders of burial lots therein, or the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are interred therein, disinter all human bodies interred in said cemetery and remove the same outside of the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with and in the manner provided for in that certain act of the Legislature of the State of California, entitled "An act authorizing the Board of Supervisors or other governing body of any incorporated city, or city and county, having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, to order the disinterment and removal of all human bodies interred in any cemetery of more than five acres in extent, or from a part thereof, situate within the boundaries of such city, or city and county, and directing the reinterment of such bodies in cemeteries outside of the limits of such city, or city and county, or the depositing of the same in a mausoleum or columbarium, whenever the further maintenance of such cemetery or part thereof as a burial place for the human dead threatens or endangers the health, safety, comfort or welfare of the public, and providing a mode of procedure under and by which such removals may, when so ordered, be made by the cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person governing or controlling such cemetery lands, or by the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are buried therein, and providing for the sale, mortgage or pledge of cemetery lands from which the human bodies are removed." Approved June 5, 1923.

Section 3. It is hereby declared and ordained that, unless the said bodies are disinterred and removed within the time and in the manner herein in Section 2 of this ordinance provided, the City and County of San Francisco, through its appropriate officers, boards and commissions, will itself proceed, upon the termination of said time, to disinter the bodies remaining in said cemetery and reinter them in another cemetery or cemeteries outside of the limits of the said city and county.

Section 4. It is hereby ordained that the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco shall, within sixty days from the date upon which this ordinance becomes effective, adopt reasonable rules and regulations relative to the manner of disinterring, transporting and removing such bodies, and shall, upon adoption thereof, cause the same to be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of sixty days, and shall forthwith forward by registered mail to the governing body owning or controlling said cemetery, a copy thereof. Said Director of Public Health shall, from time to time, adopt and promulgate, in the same manner, any reasonable and necessary modifications or changes



in said rules and regulations. All disinterments, transportation and removal of human remains from said cemetery made under the provisions of this ordinance and under the provisions of the said act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, shall be made and performed subject to the rules and regulations adopted by the Director of Public Health as herein provided.

Section 5. It is hereby ordained that any cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person owning or controlling any such cemetery lands from which the bodies interred therein are to be removed in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance and the provisions of the act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, may reserve sufficient land from such cemetery lands from which the human remains have been removed, to erect a memorial mausoleum or columbarium for the depositing therein of the bodies disinterred from such cemetery lands, and may provide sufficient grounds around the same for the beautification thereof, and may preserve such historical vaults or monuments as the board of directors or other governing body of said corporation or association, or the incumbent of said corporation sole, may determine to be proper or necessary.

Provided, however, that the land thus reserved for such mausoleum, columbarium, grounds, vaults and monuments shall not, in the aggregate for said cemetery, exceed in area 10 per centum of the existing area of said cemetery, and provided, further, that plans for said mausoleums, columbariums and grounds shall first be filed with the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and approved by said Board by resolution thereof.

#### Final Passage.

The following bill, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up:

#### Approving Lease to St. Francis Yacht Club.

(Code No. 12.1733)

On recommendation of Committee on Education, Parks and Recreation:

Bill No. 1293, Ordinance No. 12.17331, as follows:

Approving a certain lease made by the Board of Park Commissioners to the St. Francis Yacht Club, a non-profit corporation.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Park Commissioners having heretofore agreed with the St. Francis Yacht Club, a non-profit corporation, to enter into a lease leasing to said St. Francis Yacht Club that certain lot, piece or parcel of land situate, lying and being in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of that certain tract of land conveyed by the Panama-Pacific International Exposition Company to the City and County of San Francisco for park purposes and the westerly line of Broderick street in said City and County of San Francisco, if extended northerly, said point being at the northeasterly corner of Assessor's Block No. 902 (formerly Western Addition Tide Land Block No. 7); thence to a westerly direction and along said northerly line of land conveyed by the Panama-Pacific International Exposition Company to the City and County of San Francisco and along the northerly line of said Assessor's Block No. 902 (formerly Western Addition Tide Land Block No. 7) three hundred (300) feet; thence in the northerly direction and at a right angle to the preceding line two hundred (200) feet to the water front or bulkhead line as fixed by the Board of State Harbor Commissioners; thence in an easterly direction and at a right angle to the pre-

ceding line and along said water front or bulkhead line three hundred (300) feet; thence in a southerly direction and at a right angle to preceding line two hundred (200) feet to the point of beginning, for a period of ten years from and after the first day of July, 1937, a copy of said lease being on file in the office of the Board of Supervisors, and the Board of Supervisors having considered all and singular terms and conditions of said lease, the same is hereby approved and ratified.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

### Tax Refunds, Erroneous Payments.

(Code No. 9.059)

Resolution No. 3216, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, 1936-1937, to the following named, being refunds of erroneous payments of taxes:

- |   |          |
|---|----------|
| (1) Hattie Burton, per Vol. 12, Bill 3015, Lot 2, Block 1686,<br>1st Installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment....                             | \$ 43.52 |
| (2) Sarah Michaels, per Vol. 16, Bill 269, Lot 15, Block 2062,<br>1st Installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment....                            | 4.73     |
| (3) National Realty Management Co., Inc., per Vol. 3, Bill<br>1207, Lot 27, Block 463A, 2nd Installment, fiscal year<br>1935, erroneous payment ..... | 343.52   |
| (4) Frank M. Mulcrevy, per Vol. 6, Bill 3062, Lot 23, Block<br>1022, 1st Installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment.                            | 57.62    |
| (5) Ernesto Celle, per Vol. 37, Bill 2051A, Lot 24C, Block 6273,<br>1st Installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment....                          | 32.17    |
| (6) Walter U. Friederichs, per Vol. 14, Bill 1223, Lot 33, Block<br>1823, 1st Installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment.                       | 28.38    |
| (7) Carol A. Mattingly, per Vol. 14, Bill 209, Lot 11, Block<br>1794, 1st Installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous pay-<br>ment .....                 | 12.30    |

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

### Land Purchase for Bay Street Widening.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3217, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the San Francisco Ladies' Protection and Relief Society a portion of Lots 5 and 6, Assessor's Block 471, San Francisco, California, required for widening Bay street, for the sum of \$5,500, payable from Appropriation No. 677.907.17. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.



**Authorizing Purchase of Land Required for Mission High School.**

(Code No. 12.1712)

Also, Resolution No. 3218, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from George L. McCay, et ux., Lot 11, Assessor's Block 3579, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Mission High School, for the sum of \$3,850, payable from Appropriation No. 670.600.01. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**Authorizing Settlement of Damage Claims Caused by the Construction of Richardson Avenue.**

(Code No. 6.05)

Also, Resolution No. 3219, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the following sums be paid to the following parties in settlement of all claims of damage to the improvements located on the below described property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, caused by the opening and construction of Richardson avenue. Said sums to be paid from Appropriation No. 951.905.57.

John R. Winzeler, et ux., Lot 24, Assessor's Block 925...\$40.00

St. George Holden, et ux., Lot 15, Assessor's Block 925.. 75.00

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Appropriation of \$70,819 for Relief for May, 1937.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1305, Ordinance No. 9.051377, as follows:

Appropriating \$70,819 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of May, 1937, and authorizing a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensation for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$70,819 is hereby appropriated out of such funds as may be available for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of May, 1937.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County of San Francisco and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations are fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved by the Civil Service Commission are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the

provisions of Resolution No. 2519 heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Release of Lien Filed Re Old Age Security.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Resolution No. 3221, as follows:

Resolved, That the lien heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, on recommendation of the County Welfare Department, is released against the property described, as follows:

Joseph Leo, recorded in Vol. 3011, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco, page 140; legal description, Lot 3, Block 6191.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**Closing and Abandoning a Portion of Alabama Street.**

(Code No. 12.0622)

On recommendation of Streets Committee:

Resolution No. 3220, as follows:

Whereas, on the 29th day of September, 1930, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 33192 (New Series), which resolution was presented to His Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 30th day of September, 1930, said resolution being in words and figures, as follows:

**RESOLUTION NO. 33192.**

(New Series)

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of Alabama street be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Alabama street lying southerly from Alameda street, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Alabama street and the northwesterly line of Treat avenue; thence northerly along said line of Alabama street, 184.646 feet to a point in a line parallel with and distant 26.375 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Alameda street; thence at right angles easterly along last mentioned parallel line, 53.588 feet to the northwesterly line of Treat avenue produced northeasterly; thence deflecting 106 degrees 11 minutes 02 seconds to the right, and running southwest-erly along said line of Treat avenue produced northeasterly, 192.263 feet to the westerly line of Alabama street and the point of beginning.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Alabama street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the



provisions of Section 2, Chapter 3 of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article immediately following Section 2; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Alabama street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted*—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, September 29, 1930.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Roncovieri, Rossi, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton, Suhr.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Power, Toner.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved: San Francisco, September 30, 1930.

ANGELO J. ROSSI,

Acting Mayor.

and Whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution and the said Board of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 33192 (New Series); and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that the portion of Alabama street described in Resolution No. 33192 (New Series) be closed and abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered, that the portion of Alabama street specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 33192 (New Series) be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing said portion of Alabama street described in Resolution No. 33192 (New Series) shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco as proposed and provided in Resolution No. 33192 (New Series); and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said portion of said street described in Resolution No. 33192 (New Series) shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works and that the Department of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of His Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence, Rev. Leo W. Powleson, Member Recreation Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3222, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, Rev. Leo W. Powleson, member of the Recreation Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of fifteen days, commencing April 15, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**Leave of Absence, Chief Probation Officer R. R. Miller.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also Resolution No. 3223, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, Chief Probation Officer R. R. Miller is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of two weeks, commencing April 22, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Endorsing \$5,000,000 Appropriation for State Exhibit at Golden Gate International Exposition.**

(Code No. 5.95)

Supervisor Brown presented:

Resolution No. 3224, as follows:

Whereas, there is pending in the Exhibition and Fairs Committee of the California Assembly, Assembly Bill No. 252, which provides for an appropriation of \$5,000,000 for a State exhibit at the Golden Gate International Exposition in 1939; and

Whereas, this bill further provides funds for a California Building which will be the "host building" of the Exposition, and for a building or buildings to include exhibits of the resources, scenic attractions, wild life, educational, recreational and administrative features of the State; and

Whereas, every other State in the West has taken steps to participate in the Exposition and to share in the economic benefits to be gained therefrom; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, being cognizant of the benefits which will accrue to the State and to its counties from the Exposition as a whole and from an adequate State and County Exhibit in particular, does hereby endorse and urge passage of said Assembly Bill No. 252; therefore, be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to the



Assemblymen and Senator representing this county in the State Legislature.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**President to Appoint Committee, Admission Day,  
September 9, 1937.**

Supervisor Ratto moved that the President of the Board of Supervisors be and he is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a suitable committee for the observance of Admission Day, September 9, 1937.

*Motion carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**Resolution Approving Continuance of Federal Aid Highway  
Appropriations and Requiring Local Matching of Funds.**

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 3227, as follows:

Whereas, Senate Bill No. 1771 (Black) and H. R. No. 5371 (McClellan) provide for the authorization of expenditures on Federal Aid Main Highways \$125,000,000 and \$25,000,000 on Secondary Roads for each of the two fiscal years beginning July 1, 1937, and July 1, 1938; and

Whereas, this proposed legislation does not provide for any penalty against diversion of gas tax funds, nor is any further provision contained therein which would require the mandatory contribution by the several States of equivalent funds to match Federal Aid Highway allotments, all of which provisions were deemed highly essential in previously adopted Federal Aid Highway Statutes; and

Whereas, while it is believed that the intent of the proposed legislation is to further develop the highway system of this nation and to further continue the depletion of the relief rolls, which this type of work has so admirably perfected during previous years; and

Whereas, in order to properly safeguard all highway funds against diversion and to prevent some of the States from taking an unfair advantage of the present provisions contained in this proposed legislation, which inadvertently fails to penalize or limit any State from spending gas tax funds for purposes other than highways, and experience has dictated the necessity of such preventive measures which previously compelled the inclusion of section No. 12 of the Hayden-Cartwright Act of 1934 which provides a reduction by one-third of Federal Aid Highway contributions to those States which permit diversion of gas tax funds; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That those States diverting motor vehicle and gas tax funds to other than highway purposes shall be penalized on a basis of dollar for dollar by a reduction of Federal Aid Funds, thereby limiting in an equitable manner so that expenditures diverted for other than highway purposes will, in their entirety, also be deducted from Federal Aid Highway allotments, and the moneys obtained from any reduction of these allotments from the States having permitted of such diversion shall in turn be re-apportioned among the remainder of the States where diversion of gas tax funds has not occurred; and, be it

Further Resolved, That we urge upon Congress the passage of the above bills amended as above set forth, thus assuring a continuance of the highly beneficial Federal Aid Highway Program which is doing so much to promote national prosperity and development.

*Referred to Finance and Streets Committee.*

**Report of Light Standards on Streets.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 3229, as follows:

Whereas, the erection of light standards in the center of streets constitutes an additional hazard to the motorist and has been the cause of many fatalities; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of many citizens of this City that the light projected by these standards can be maintained by overhead reflectors without the necessity of structures on the streets; now, therefore be it

Resolved, That the Chief Administrative Officer is hereby requested to instruct the Director of Public Works to investigate the feasibility of eliminating these light standards in the center of streets, especially at Fell and Stanyan streets, and substituting overhead reflectors and report his findings to this Board of Supervisors.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**Declaration of Policy on Submission of Revenue Bonds.**

(Code No. 15.031)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 3226, as follows:

Whereas, Senate Bill No. 200, known as the "Revenue Bond Act of 1937" and referred to as the Garrison Bill, has been passed by both houses of the State Legislature and has been signed by the Governor; and

Whereas, the "Revenue Bond Act" permits the issuance of revenue bonds for the construction, extension or betterment of utilities by a majority vote of the electorate without necessitating an amendment to the Charter; and

Whereas, the Secretary of the Interior has requested the Attorney-General to test the validity of the contract with the Pacific Gas and Electric Company in the sale of power for distribution by that company; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors hereby declares its intention to submit to the voters a proposition enabling the City to issue revenue bonds for the distribution and sale of Hetch Hetchy power and that prior to said submission the Board will authorize an appointment of experts to check plans and estimates contained in Plan 7 submitted by Manager E. G. Cahill of the Public Utilities Commission; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors will respectfully request the Attorney-General of the United States to withhold legal action as requested by Secretary Ickes until the voters have again been permitted to vote on the issuance of revenue bonds as authorized in the "Revenue Bond Act of 1937," and be it

Further Resolved, That the Manager of Utilities be requested to recommend a program of education to be placed before the people of San Francisco indicating the distinction between general obligation bonds and revenue bonds so that this Board of Supervisors may conduct a campaign for that purpose.

*Referred to Special Hetch Hetchy Power Committee.*



MONDAY, APRIL 19, 1937.

**Federal Litigation re Hetch Hetchy Power Contract.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

April 19, 1937.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Gentlemen: Enclosed you will please find copy of telegram received by me from the Attorney-General, Honorable Homer S. Cummings.

Please be further advised that copies of the telegram have likewise been forwarded to Honorable John J. O'Toole, City Attorney, and Mr. Edward G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.

Very truly yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,

Mayor.

**POSTAL TELEGRAPH.**

K54 106 Govt Ru Washington DC 4 11P Apr 17, 1937.

Angelo J. Rossi

Mayor of San Francisco

Retel April second Hetch Hetchy Project stop in view of the imperative and mandatory character of the statute which requires that the Attorney-General bring suit at the request of the Secretary of the Interior I consulted the Secretary of the Interior but he insists that the prosecution go ahead and that no purpose would be served by the conference you suggest stop I am therefore compelled to proceed with the litigation stop if you wish to pursue further your proposal for a conference I would suggest that you get in touch with the Secretary of the Interior upon whom the responsibility rests in this case.

Homer S. Cummings Attorney-General

**Leaves of Absence—City Attorney John J. O'Toole and  
Manager of Utilities Edward G. Cahill.**

(Code No. 4.053)

The following recommendation of the Mayor was presented:

Resolution No. 3230, as follows:

Edward G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities and John J. O'Toole, City Attorney, having made application to the Mayor for permission to absent themselves from the State of California, for a period not exceeding sixty (60) days, for the purpose of conferring with the Attorney-General of the United States and the Secretary of the Interior of the United States, relative to the alleged violation by the City and County of the provisions of the Raker Act, and the Mayor having recommended the granting of said leaves of absence to each of said officials, be it

Resolved, That Edward G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities and John J. O'Toole, City Attorney, be and they are hereby given permission to absent themselves from the State of California, for the period not exceeding sixty (60) days from the date of this resolution.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**In Memoriam—Samuel L. Conlan.**

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 3225, as follows:

Whereas, the long and honorable career of Assistant Clerk Samuel L. Conlan is closed and the Board of Supervisors deeply regrets his passing;

Whereas, from his youth until the moment of his death he labored for the City and County of San Francisco with rare modesty and a kindly manner, that made him loved by all who knew him;

Whereas, although he has ceased to serve with us, his amiable disposition, patience and regard for his fellowman will live in the memory of those who enjoyed his friendship; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors expresses its profound sorrow at the death of Samuel L. Conlan, Assistant Clerk in the office of the Board of Supervisors, and extends to the bereaved family its sincere sympathy; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk transmit a copy of this resolution to the family of the deceased; and be it

Further Resolved, That when the Board adjourns this day it does so as a mark of respect to the memory of the late Samuel L. Conlan.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

### **City Attorney to Intervene and Oppose Increase in Street Car Fares.**

(Code No. 6.0229)

The following recommendation of the Public Utilities Committee was taken up:

Resolution No. 3228, as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby directed to intervene in the matter of the application of the Market Street Railway Company before the Railroad Commission of the State of California, in its request for permission to increase the car fare from five to seven cents; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby instructed to oppose said application for any increase in street car fares now charged by the Market Street Railway Company in the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Noes—Supervisors Mead, Shannon—2.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

### **Endorsing Amendment to Retail Sales Tax Act Exempting Ships of More Than 1000 Tons Burden Constructed in California.**

Supervisor Mead moved that the Board of Supervisors endorse Assembly Bills Nos. 1189 and 1190, amending the Retail Sales Tax Act of the State so that vessels of more than one thousand ton burden constructed in the shipyards of the State of California shall not be subject to any tax under the Sales Tax Act of the State; also that the Legislature be urged to pass said Assembly Bills; also, urge that the Committee on Revenue and Taxation of the State Senate, before which committee said bills are now pending, to recommend to the Senate the passage of each of said bills.

*Motion carried.*

### **Civic Luncheon, Citizens Committee, Golden Gate Bridge Fiesta.**

Supervisor Brown presented invitation from the Golden Gate Bridge Fiesta to attend civic luncheon in the Colonial Ballroom of the St. Francis Hotel, Wednesday, April 21, at 12:15 p. m., the luncheon to be a joint meeting of the Citizens' Committee of the Golden Gate Bridge Fiesta and the Executive Committee.



**Petition for Parking and Trees on Richardson Boulevard.**

Supervisor Brown presented petition signed by property owners in the vicinity of Richardson boulevard, requesting the parking and planting the center and each side of Richardson boulevard with trees and shrubs. To be sent to City Engineer for report, and then referred to Streets Committee.

**Supervisor Ratto Appointed to Attend Semi-Annual Directors' Meeting, Redwood Empire.**

Supervisor Ratto presented communication from Redwood Empire Association, requesting his attendance at the Semi-Annual Directors' Meeting, at Fort Bragg, April 22, 12 noon.

Supervisor Ratto, as director, authorized to attend.

**Comparative Report Requested—Bus Transportation vs. Electric Rail Transportation.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that Mr. E. G. Cahill be requested to report to the Board his findings on bus transportation as compared with electric rail transportation.

*Motion carried.*

**Substitution of Trackless Trolley for Rail Transportation on Market Street.**

Supervisor Uhl requested answer from the City Attorney to the following: If we want to discontinue track operation on Market street, can we put into the budget sufficient money to take care of trackless trolley lines.

**In Memoriam—Louis Reardon and Edith Tally.**

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Clerk prepare the proper expressions of sympathy of the Board on the deaths of Louis Reardon and Edith Tally.

*Motion carried.*

**Meetings Announced.**

Streets Committee, Wednesday, April 21.

Special Hetch Hetchy Committee, Wednesday, April 21, 2 p. m.

Public Utilities Committee, Thursday, April 22, 2 p. m.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business the Board of Supervisors at 5:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, April 26, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, April 26, 1937

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, APRIL 26, 1937, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 26, 1937,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:  
Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.  
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 2:10 p. m.

Supervisor Colman appeared and was noted present at 2:10 p. m.

Supervisor Reilly appeared and was noted present at 2:10 p. m.

Supervisor Roncovieri excused.

President Shannon presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of April 19, 1937, was  
taken up.

### Correction

Supervisor Mead moved to correct vote on Resolution No. 3228, directing City Attorney to intervene before Railroad Commission and oppose increase in street car fares wherein Supervisors Mead and Shannon are erroneously recorded as voting *aye*.

*So ordered.*

Whereupon, the Journal, as corrected, was *approved*.

### HEARING OF APPEAL—2 P. M.

The President announced hearing of protests against assessment for cost and expenses for construction of sidewalks on north one-half of Balboa street, between Third avenue and 120 feet east, and at certain other locations, fixed for 2 p. m. this day.

There being no response and no protest the Clerk was directed to inform the Department of Public Works.

### SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Report and Resolution of the Finance Committee on Dead End Streets.

(Code No. 12.08)

The following matter was taken up:

Resolution No. 3215, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, sitting as a committee of the whole and through its Finance Committee, has conducted an exhaustive investigation of the trans-Market street traffic problem; and

Whereas, this investigation and the public hearings held in connection therewith have clearly demonstrated the urgency and necessity



for immediate action to provide satisfactory and adequate traffic relief and to anticipate the immediate future; and

Whereas, the facts developed conclusively show that the extension of Fifth street diagonally across Market street to the intersection of Mason and Eddy streets and the projection of Grant avenue to a new street between Third and Fourth streets, from Market to Brannan streets, will provide respectively the most direct, convenient and desirable entrance and exit thoroughfares to the central business district; and

Whereas, this combination of streets will provide a clockwise movement of bridge vehicular traffic on non-congestive right-hand turns; adequately serve both the districts north and south of Market street; satisfactorily make provision for increased vehicular traffic produced by the Golden Gate Bridge, and meet conditions during the coming Exposition; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco that a bond issue providing the necessary funds for construction of both streets is approved as the method of financing the project; and be it

Further Resolved, That an official estimate of cost and plans and specifications be immediately prepared by the Department of Public Works; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney in co-operation with the proper officials and departments immediately prepare and submit to this Board of Supervisors the proper and necessary legislation in connection therewith.

#### Committee of the Whole.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole for the purpose of hearing all interested parties.

Andrew J. Gallagher, representing the Southern Promotion Association, was heard urging the adoption of the resolution recommended by the Finance Committee for the cutting through of dead end streets.

(At 3 p. m. the Committee of the Whole arose for the purpose of considering removal of Calvary Cemetery and at 3:50 p. m. reassembled in Committee of the Whole and continued the hearing on the Finance Committee report.)

Geo. Christopher, representing the South of Market Merchants and Property Owners Association, was heard in favor of the Finance Committee recommendation.

D. Nicholson, representing the Triangle District, was also heard favoring the Finance Committee recommendations.

Marshal Hale, representing Market Street Merchants, and Attorney Healy were heard in opposition to the report.

W. J. Varley, representing the Greater Mission Merchants Association and Southern Council of Civic Clubs, opposed cutting through of any streets until a more thorough study has been made of the situation arising from the opening of the Bay bridges.

J. J. Stack, representing the Slavonic Alliance of San Francisco, stated that the organizations he represented were opposed to a bond issue for cutting streets through at Market street.

Mr. Schultz, representing the United German Societies, was also heard.

Ed. F. Braunsweiger, secretary Rapid Transit and Traffic Association, asked that Board reconsider the proposed bond issue for cutting through streets at Market street and postpone a decision until his organization has held a conference at which all persons having a plan for the remedying conditions complained of will be given a hearing.

Robt. Graham, manager Rapid Transit and Traffic Association, de-

clared that Mr. Braunsweiger did not speak as secretary of the organization, but for 10 per cent of the membership comprising the advisory board. He urged a comprehensive study of the entire traffic situation before the Board commits itself.

Geo. Gearhardt, secretary of the Civic League of Improvement Associations, was also heard on the pending question.

### Committee of the Whole Arises.

Thereupon, the Committee of the Whole arose and reported progress.

### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Schmidt, seconded by Supervisor Meyer, the subject matter was *continued one week and made a Special Order of Business for 2:30 p. m., Monday, May 3, 1937. Director of Property Philips and City Engineer Casey to be notified to attend.*

### SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

The following matter was taken up:

#### Calvary Cemetery Removal.

(Code No. 17.19)

Bill No. 938, Ordinance No. 17.195, as follows:

Declaring that the further maintenance of the Calvary Cemetery threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public; ordering and demanding the disinterring and removal of human bodies therefrom and fixing a time within which such disinterring and removal must be performed; declaring certain conditions under which the city and county will itself disinter and remove said bodies; requiring and empowering the Director of Public Health to adopt and promulgate rules and regulations for such disinterring and removal; providing for the reservation of lands for memorial mausoleums or columbariums, grounds, vaults and monuments.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared that the cemetery hereinafter described contains an area of more than five acres and is situate in a city and county having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, and the right of burial in said cemetery has been prohibited by law for a period of more than fifteen years, and that the further maintenance of said cemetery as a burial place for the human dead threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public. The cemetery above referred to is situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and is commonly designated by the following name, and is situate within the following boundaries, to-wit:

Calvary Cemetery, bounded by Geary street, St. Joseph's avenue, Turk street, Parker avenue, St. Rose's avenue and Masonic avenue.

Section 2. It is hereby ordered and demanded that, within three years from the date upon which this ordinance shall become effective, the cemetery corporation, corporation sole, association or other person owning or controlling the cemetery hereinabove described, or the owners or holders of burial lots therein, or the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are interred therein, disinter all human bodies interred in said cemetery and remove the same outside of the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with and in the manner provided for in that certain act of the Legislature of the State of California, entitled "An act authorizing the Board of Supervisors or other governing body of any incorporated city, or city and county having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, to order the disinterment and removal of all human bodies interred in any cemetery of more than five acres in extent, or from a part thereof,



situate within the boundaries of such city, or city and county, and directing the reinterment of such bodies in cemeteries outside of the limits of such city, or city and county, or the depositing of the same in a mausoleum or columbarium, whenever the further maintenance of such cemetery or part thereof as a burial place for the human dead threatens or endangers the health, safety, comfort or welfare of the public, and providing a mode of procedure under and by which such removals may, when so ordered, be made by the cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person governing or controlling such cemetery lands, or by the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are buried therein, and providing for the sale, mortgage or pledge of cemetery lands from which the human bodies are removed." Approved June 5, 1923.

Section 3. It is hereby declared and ordained that, unless the said bodies are disinterred and removed within the time and in the manner herein in Section 2 of this ordinance provided, the City and County of San Francisco, through its appropriate officers, boards and commissions, will itself proceed, upon the termination of said time, to disinter the bodies remaining in said cemetery and reinter them in another cemetery or cemeteries outside of the limits of the said city and county.

Section 4. It is hereby ordained that the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco shall, within sixty days from the date upon which this ordinance becomes effective, adopt reasonable rules and regulations relative to the manner of disinterring, transporting and removing such bodies, and shall, upon adoption thereof, cause the same to be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of sixty days, and shall forthwith forward by registered mail to the governing body owning or controlling said cemetery, a copy thereof. Said Director of Public Health shall, from time to time, adopt and promulgate, in the same manner, any reasonable and necessary modifications or changes in said rules and regulations. All disinterments, transportation and removal of human remains from said cemetery made under the provisions of this ordinance and under the provisions of the said act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, shall be made and performed subject to the rules and regulations adopted by the Director of Public Health as herein provided.

Section 5. It is hereby ordained that any cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person owning or controlling any such cemetery lands from which the bodies interred therein are to be removed in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance and the provisions of the act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, may reserve sufficient land from such cemetery lands from which the human remains have been removed, to erect a memorial mausoleum or columbarium for the depositing therein of the bodies disinterred from such cemetery lands, and may provide sufficient grounds around the same for the beautification thereof, and may preserve such historical vaults or monuments as the board of directors or other governing body of said corporation or association, or the incumbent of said corporation sole, may determine to be proper or necessary.

Provided, however, that the land thus reserved for such mausoleum, columbarium, grounds, vaults and monuments shall not, in the aggregate for said cemetery, exceed in area ten per centum of the existing area of said cemetery, and provided, further, that plans for said mausoleums, columbariums and grounds shall first be filed with the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and approved by said board by resolution thereof.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

City Engineer J. Casey was granted the privilege of the floor. He declared that he was not quite ready to report on Calvary Cemetery. He said that he had a conference this morning with representatives of the archbishop. The matter is held up, he said, because geological

report relative to condition of land and possibility of repetition of land slide on Parker avenue.

Supervisor McSheehy moved to rescind our action of last week with respect to Laurel Hill Cemetery in order that both cemeteries might go along together.

*Motion ruled out of order.*

Mme. Grosjean stated that the road to the Donahue monument will pass through land of her father, Dennis Mahoney, and that she would oppose in court any attempt to take it. She said that City Engineer Casey is in error in saying that the Calvary Cemetery people are in favor of removal of the bodies.

Mrs. Annie Scanlon opposed any further delay, urging immediate action in view of the near completion of the Golden Gate Bridge.

Ed Kenny and George Skatter were also heard on the pending question.

### **Action Deferred.**

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the foregoing matter was continued two weeks and made a Special Order of Business for 2:30 p. m., Monday, May 10, 1937.

Supervisor McSheehy announced that if the ordinance for the removal of Calvary Cemetery was not finally passed at the next hearing that he would present the bill for the repeal of the Laurel Hill Cemetery removal.

## **UNFINISHED BUSINESS.**

### **Final Passage.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

### **Compromise of Damage Claim.**

(Code No. 6.05)

Bill No. 1295, Ordinance No. 6.053, as follows:

Authorizing City Attorney to settle for six hundred dollars, the claim of Robert M. Brueck, a minor, by Michael Brueck, guardian ad litem, on account of damages by reason of defective sidewalk.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended the settlement of the action of Robert M. Brueck, a minor, by Michael Brueck, guardian ad litem, against the City and County of San Francisco for the recovery of damages as a result of personal injuries sustained by Robert Brueck on December 14, 1936, on the angular corner of Brussels and Burrows streets, in front of the Portola Junior High School as the result of an alleged hole or defect in the sidewalk, by the payment of a sum of six hundred dollars in full settlement of the claim of said Robert M. Brueck, by his guardian, Michael Brueck, the said City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle said pending litigation by the payment of said sum of six hundred dollars chargeable to Appropriation No. 648.918.00.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

### **Authorizing Sale of Lot on Geary Street, West of Jones Street.**

(Code No. 12.1729)

Also, Bill No. 1296, Ordinance No. 12.172297, as follows:

Authorizing sale of lot on Geary street, west of Jones street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:



Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Real Estate Department, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Geary street, distant thereon 60 feet westerly from the westerly line of Jones street; running thence westerly along the southerly line of Geary street 102.50 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 137.50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 102.50 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 137.50 feet to the southerly line of Geary street and the point of commencement.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

**Authorizing Sale of City Lots 5, 6 and 7, Assessor's Block 5970.**

(Code No. 12.1729)

Also, Bill No. 1297, Ordinance No. 12.17298, as follows:

Authorizing sale of City Lots 5, 6 and 7, Assessor's Block 5970.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Director of Property, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the northeasterly line of Yale street, distant thereon 125 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Felton street; running thence southeasterly along the northeasterly line of Yale street, 75 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 75 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet to the northeasterly line of Yale street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Block No. 83, University Mound Survey.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

**Amendment, Revolving Fund, Department of Public Health.**

(Code No. 17.01)

Also, Bill No. 1299, Ordinance No. 17.013, as follows:

Amending Section 1, paragraphs (b) and (c) of Ordinance No. 17.011, "Establishing Revolving Funds for the Department of Public Health and providing for the administration of said funds and for the reimbursement thereof."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 1, paragraphs (b) and (c) of Ordinance No. 17.011, be and are hereby amended to read as follows:

(b) The San Francisco Hospital Revolving Fund, the amount of

which shall not exceed the sum of one thousand five hundred (\$1,500) dollars.

(c) The San Francisco Hospital Employees' Revolving Fund, the amount of which shall not exceed the sum of two thousand (\$2,000) dollars.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

**Amendment, Excluding Retail Stores Whose Cleaning and Dyeing Business Is Only Incidental to Its Principal Business.**

(Code No. 5.49)

Also, Bill No. 1300, Ordinance No. 5.492, as follows:

Amending Section r of Article 12 of Bill No. 1227, Ordinance No. 5.491, Code No. 5.49, entitled "An ordinance providing a code of fair competition for the cleaning and dyeing industry in the City and County of San Francisco, and providing a penalty for the violation of this code" *by adding thereto an exemption of retail stores whose cleaning and dyeing business is only incidental to its principal business.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section r of Article 12 of Bill No. 1227, Ordinance No. 5.491, Code No. 5.49, the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section r. *Retail Store.* Retail stores are defined as being any shop or agency which finishes by pressing and/or reshaping any fabric, either by hand or by machine, that has been dry cleaned; provided, however, that no retail store which is not wholly owned, operated and controlled by a wholesale or retail cleaning plant shall be considered or treated, in any manner, as an agency or branch store of such wholesale or retail cleaning plant, provided, however, that a retail clothing store or retail clothing department of a department store, wherein or in connection therewith there is performed pressing and/or alteration of clothing in connection with the sale thereof, shall not be deemed to be a "retail store" as defined herein, *provided, further, that no retail store whose cleaning and dyeing business is only incidental to its principal business shall come within the scope of, or be subject to, any part of this Ordinance, anything herein contained to the contrary notwithstanding.*

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

**Creating Underground Districts: Bay Street from Van Ness Avenue to Fillmore Street; Broadway, from Mason Street to Powell Street; Broadway, from Montgomery Street to Davis Street; Golden Gate Avenue, Van Ness Avenue to Parker Avenue; Gough Street, McAllister Street to California Street; Seventeenth Street, Noe Street to Harrison Street.**

(Code No. 11.12)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1301, Ordinance No. 11.1219, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled, "Providing for placing wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding new sections to be known as Sections OOO, PPP, QQQ, RRR, SSS and TTT.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:



Section 1. Order No. 214 (Second Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding new sections to be known as Sections OOO, PPP, QQQ, RRR, SSS and TTT.

Section OOO. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after the permanent improvement by physical widening of the roadway of Bay street from Van Ness avenue to Fillmore street, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 81, Bay street from Van Ness avenue to Fillmore street.

Section PPP. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after the permanent improvement by physical widening of the roadway of Broadway from Mason street to Powell street, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 82, Broadway from Mason street to Powell street.

Section QQQ. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after the permanent improvement by physical widening of the roadway of Broadway from Montgomery street to Davis street, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 83, Broadway from Montgomery street to Davis street.

Section RRR. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after the permanent improvement by physical widening of the roadway of Golden Gate avenue from Van Ness avenue to Parker avenue, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 84, Golden Gate avenue from Van Ness avenue to Parker avenue.

Section SSS. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after the permanent improvement by physical widening of the roadway of Gough street from McAllister street to California street, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 85, Gough street from McAllister street to California street.

Section TTT. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after the permanent improvement by physical widening of the roadway of Seventeenth street from Noe street to Harrison street, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 86, Seventeenth street from Noe street to Harrison street.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

#### **Full Acceptance of Thirty-sixth Avenue Between Ulloa Street and Vicente Street.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1302, Ordinance No. 12.081126, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Thirty-sixth avenue between Ulloa street and Vicente street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having

been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadway having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Thirty-sixth avenue between Ulloa street and Vicente street, including the curbs.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

**Full Acceptance of Kirkham Street Between Thirty-seventh and Thirty-eighth Avenues Including the Crossing of Thirty-seventh Avenue and Kirkham Street.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1303, Ordinance No. 12.081127, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Kirkham street between Thirty-seventh and Thirty-eighth avenues, and the crossing of Kirkham street and Thirty-seventh avenue, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Kirkham street between Thirty-seventh and Thirty-eighth avenues, and the crossing of Kirkham street and Thirty-seventh avenue, including the curbs.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

**NEW BUSINESS.**

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Tax Refund; Cancellation of Assessment.**

(Code Nos. 9.0411 and 9.059)

Resolution No. 3231, as follows:

Whereas, the Assessor has reported that Lester G. Garbe had applied for Veteran Exemption, Lot 26, Block 2114, Assessor's Map, which Veteran Exemption was granted, but due to clerical error notation to that effect was not transmitted to the Assessment Roll; and

Whereas, the Assessor has requested that the 1936-1937 tax bill be withdrawn and correct assessment and bills be prepared for the two years, 1936-1937 and 1937-1938, and Veteran Exemption for two years to be granted at the same time, and that refund of \$44.46, first installment of taxes for fiscal year 1936-1937 be authorized; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That refund of \$44.46, first installment taxes, fiscal year



1936-1937, Lot 26, Block 2114, Assessor's Map, be authorized, and that 1936-1937 tax bill be withdrawn, and that corrected assessment and bills for the years 1936-1937 and 1937-1938 be prepared.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

### **Approval County Welfare Recommendations.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3232, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Director of the County Welfare Department, filed with the Board of Supervisors on April 23, 1937, are approved as follows:

Old Age Security Aid—New applications; increases; denials.

Blind Pensions—New applications; increases.

Widows' Pensions—New applications; increases.

And the Clerk of this Board is directed to indorse the approval of this Board upon the reports of said Director of County Welfare Department and to transmit the same to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

### **Final Passage.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

#### **Appropriation of \$10,000 for Foodstuffs, Etc., for Sheriff's Department.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1306, Ordinance No. 9.051378, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$10,000 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of the Sheriff's appropriations for the purpose of providing additional funds for the purchase of foodstuffs, materials and supplies for the jails; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$10,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside for the purpose of the purchase of foodstuffs, materials and supplies for the jails, to the credit of the following appropriations, in the amounts indicated:

Appropriation No. 607.300.00.....	\$ 2,500
Appropriation No. 607.350.02.....	2,500
Appropriation No. 607.350.03.....	5,000

**\$10,000**

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, as the Sheriff is without sufficient funds to provide materials, supplies and foodstuffs for the operation of the jails.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by Daniel C. Murphy, Sheriff.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$5,000, Relief, for April, 1937,  
Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1310, Ordinance No. 9.051379, as follows:

Supplemental Appropriation of \$5,000 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the administration of relief to county cases for the month of April, 1937. An emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$5,000 is hereby appropriated out of such funds as may be available for the administration of relief to county cases for the month of April, 1937.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure for the reason that expenditures are increased due to a change in the method of granting relief, and to an increase in case load, and the Emergency Relief Administration is without sufficient funds to meet said increased expense.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

**Action Deferred.**

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

**Appropriation of \$25,000, Painting and Tiling Stockton Street Tunnel.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1311, Ordinance No. 9.051380, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$25,000 out of the accrued surplus existing in the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation 648.993.00 for the purpose of painting and tiling the Stockton street tunnel.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$25,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the accrued surplus existing in the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 648.993.00 for the purpose of painting and tiling the Stockton street tunnel.

Recommended by: W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.

Approved by: Alfred I. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by: John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved by: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by: Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Sigmund Stern Recreation Grove.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Resolution No. 3239, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Nettie Beckwith and Lena C. Tichenor, Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 2521, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, excepting the portion thereof conveyed to the City by deed recorded June 6, 1936, required for The Sigmund Stern Recreation Grove, for the



sum of \$30,000, payable from Appropriation No. 613.600.10. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

#### **Right of Way Purchase, Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2.**

(Code No. 15.0241)

Also, Resolution No. 3240, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Edward K. Howe et ux., a right of way easement over that certain tract of land in Hillsborough, San Mateo County, California, described in deed recorded in Liber 284 of Deeds at page 428, San Mateo County Records, required for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2, for the sum of \$682.20; \$31.20 payable from Appropriation No. 693.903.05-57 and the balance of \$651 payable from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Mateo County, Superior Court Case No. 26556. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

#### **Release of Liens Filed Re Old Age Security.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3241, as follows:

Resolved, That the liens heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, on recommendation of the County Welfare Department, are released against the properties described, as follows:

Mrs. Mary H. Brown, recorded January 29, 1937, in Vol. 3011, Official Records, page 182; legal description, Lot 13, Block 6519, Assessor's Map.

Herbert L. Hatch, recorded September 14, 1936, in Vol. 3011, Official Records, page 139; legal description, Lot 19, Block 5940, Assessor's Map.

Mrs. Francis H. Gordon, recorded August 10, 1936, in Vol. 3011, Official Records, page 129; legal description, Lot 3, Block 1458, Assessor's Map.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

#### **Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police was taken up:

#### **Prohibiting the Use, Sale or Possession of Balloons Inflated with Inflammable or Explosive Gases.**

(Code No. 11.00)

Bill No. 1294, Ordinance No. 11.0015, as follows:

Prohibiting the use, sale or possession of balloons inflated with inflammable or explosive gases.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to use, sell or possess any balloon inflated with inflammable or explosive gases.

Section 2. Any person, firm or corporation violating the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and punishable by

a fine not to exceed five hundred (\$500) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail not exceeding six (6) months or by both such fine and imprisonment.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

### **Re-referred.**

The following bill was *re-referred to the Fire, Safety and Police Committee:*

### **Amending Taxicab Ordinance, Rates of Fare.**

(Code No. 11.0111)

Bill No. 1309, Ordinance No. 11.01119, as follows:

Amending Section 24 of Ordinance No. 6979 (New Series) entitled: "Regulating the use of taxicabs, automobiles and public vehicles for hire, fixing the rate to be charged for the transportation of persons and personal baggage, regulating the use of boats in the waters of the bay, providing a punishment for any violation thereof, and repealing Ordinance No. 1898 (New Series)," by amending the rates of fare for taxicabs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 24 of Ordinance No. 6979, the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 24. No person, firm or corporation owning, operating or controlling any motor vehicle operated as a taxicab shall charge other fares than in this section provided:

#### *Meter Rates.*

(a) The rate of fare is twenty-five (25) cents for the first one-third ( $\frac{1}{3}$ ) mile or "flag;" ten (10) cents for each additional two-fifths ( $\frac{2}{5}$ ) mile; ten (10) cents for each two and one-half ( $2\frac{1}{2}$ ) minutes' waiting time at the request of the patron.

#### *Hour Rates.*

(b) First one-half hour or fraction thereof, two dollars; second half-hour, one dollar and fifty cents.

Each subsequent hour, three dollars and fifty cents.

The passenger when engaging the taxicab shall elect whether he will employ it by taximeter or hour rates.

### **Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Fire, Safety and Police Committee was taken up:

### **Relative to Use of Sam Browne Belt by Police Department.**

(Code No. 11.01)

Resolution No. 3238, as follows:

Whereas, the life of a member of the San Francisco Police Department was recently snuffed out through the use of the Sam Browne belt; and

Whereas, a similar tragedy has befallen the city of Cleveland, where two lives were taken and scores of others imperiled under similar circumstances; and

Whereas, such large cities as Chicago and Philadelphia have discontinued the use of the Sam Browne belt in the interest of public safety, and New York, Minneapolis, Milwaukee and Cleveland have



restricted its use to their mounted and/or motorcycle service; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors respectfully requests His Honor the Mayor to transmit data obtained by this office to the Board of Police Commissioners.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Public Utilities Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing Execution of Agreement Between City and County of San Francisco and Louise B. Price.**

(Code No. 15.034)

Resolution No. 3242, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco under authority of Resolution No. 25034 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors accepted a certain deed dated January 26, 1926, from R. L. Price and Louise B. Price, his wife, to a subsurface easement for a portion of the Foothill Tunnel of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct; and

Whereas, said deed provides that under certain conditions if the natural flow of water in any springs on the land of the grantor shall disappear or diminish the City shall furnish the grantor a continuous supply of water sufficient for the domestic irrigation and stock watering requirements of the grantor; and

Whereas, said deed provides a time limit for the ascertainment of the amount of any damage which may be caused by the disappearance or diminution of the natural flow of water in said springs; and

Whereas, said time limit was extended to April 1, 1937, by Resolution No. 2521 of this Board; and

Whereas, said Louise B. Price has requested a further extension of said time limit to April 1, 1938; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission has recommended an extension of said time limit to April 1, 1938; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a written agreement between the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and Louise B. Price, individually, and as executrix of the last will and testament of R. L. Price, deceased, extending said time limit of said deed to April 1, 1938, subject to all conditions contained in said deed, except as expressly modified in said agreement.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of the Public Utilities Committee were taken up:

**Authorizing Relinquishment of Portion of San Andres-College Hill Pipe Line Easement to E. D. Conolley.**

(Code No. 15.0242)

Bill No. 1312, Ordinance No. 15.02426, as follows:

Authorizing relinquishment of portion of San Andres-College Hill pipe line easement to E. D. Conolley.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a quitclaim deed relinquishing to E. D. Conolley whatever interest, if any, the City and County of San Francisco, as successor in interest to Spring Valley Water Company, may own in a certain water pipe line easement over Block 28 as per map entitled, "West End Map No. 1," recorded May 1, 1863, in Book 2 "A and B" of Maps, at page 45, Records of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Section 2. The above mentioned easement is described as Parcel 9 in deed from E. H. Payson et al. to Spring Valley Water Company, dated July 8, 1910, and recorded July 11, 1910, in Liber 421 of Deeds, at page 200, Records of the City and County of San Francisco. The portion of the San Andres-College Hill pipe line, formerly located on said easement, has been removed from Block 28 and relocated in the adjoining public streets.

Section 3. To defray the cost of preparing the necessary papers, the Director of Property shall collect \$5 from the owner of Block 28 before delivering the quitclaim deed.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

#### **Authorizing Lease of Restaurant, Service Station and Other Concessions at San Francisco Airport.**

(Code No. 12.17354)

Also, Bill No. 1313, Ordinance No. 12.173545, as follows:

Authorizing lease of restaurant, service station and other concessions at San Francisco Airport.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 93 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Director of Property is hereby authorized to arrange for leasing space for restaurant purposes and other concessions in the administration building at the San Francisco Airport in San Mateo County, California, and to arrange for leasing sites for a gasoline service station and garage at the airport. Such leases shall be awarded to the highest responsible bidder or bidders at the highest monthly rental, subject to confirmation by the Public Utilities Commission and the Board of Supervisors.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

#### **Amending Traffic Ordinance to Prohibit Parking on Certain Streets.**

(Code No. 11.02)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1307, Ordinance No. 11.0230, as follows:

Amending Section 37 (a), Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:



Section 1. Section 37 (a), Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following words:

Kearny street, from Broadway to Vallejo street.

East side of Columbia Square, between Folsom street and Harrison street.

North side of Mountain Spring avenue, westerly for a distance of 150 feet from Glenbrook avenue.

South side of Twentieth street, from Illinois street to Massachusetts street.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**Reducing Walk Widths on Grove Street, Between Franklin and Gough Streets, From 15 to 10 Feet.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1308, Ordinance No. 12.0731104, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Ninety-two (1192).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office April 21, 1937, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Ninety-two (1192), to read as follows:

Section 1192. The width of sidewalks on Grove street, between Franklin and Gough streets, shall be 10 feet.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Streets Committee were taken up:

**Land Acquisition for Lower Terrace.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Resolution No. 3233, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain deed from Della I. Belding, et vir, dated July 11, 1924, to certain land in Assessor's Block 2626, San Francisco, California, required for Lower Terrace.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**Intention to Change Grades.**

(Code No. 12.0721)

Also, Resolution No. 3234, as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above City base, as

hereinafter stated, in accordance with Order No. 5936, of the Director of Public Works, dated April 2, 1937, making written recommendation of said action, filed with said Board April 9, 1937, to-wit:

*Alabama Street:**Feet*

Ripley street northerly line .....	132.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Easterly line of, 15 feet southerly from the northerly line of Ripley street .....	133.25
15 feet northerly from the southerly line of Ripley street at the easterly line of Alabama street produced from the north .....	135.75
15 feet northerly from the southerly line of Ripley street at the easterly line of Alabama street produced from the south .....	135.75
(The same being the present official grade.)	
11.78 feet southwesterly from the last described point (measured along the curb) .....	136.87
11.78 feet southwesterly from the last described point (measured along the curb) .....	138.37
11.78 feet southerly from the last described point (measured along the curb) .....	140.64
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
Westerly line of, 15 feet southerly from the northerly line of Ripley street .....	133.25
(The same being the present official grade.)	
15 feet northerly from the southerly line of Ripley street at the westerly line of Alabama street produced from the south .....	135.75
(The same being the present official grade.)	
11.78 feet southeasterly from the last described point (measured along the curb) .....	136.87
11.78 feet southeasterly from the last described point (measured along the curb) .....	138.37
11.78 feet southerly from the last described point (measured along the curb) .....	140.64
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 9 feet northerly from Waltham street northerly line.....	169.51
Waltham street northerly line produced.....	171.58
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*Ripley Street:*

Northerly line of, 110 feet easterly from Alabama street..	136.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Southerly line of, 132.50 feet easterly from Alabama street.	140.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Northerly line of, at Alabama street.....	132.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Alabama street easterly line .....	133.25
15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Alabama street easterly line (produced from the north).....	135.75
15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Alabama street easterly line (produced from the south).....	135.75
(The same being the present official grade.)	
11.78 feet southwesterly from the last described point (measured along the curb) .....	136.87
11.78 feet southwesterly from the last described point (measured along the curb) .....	138.37
(The last two described being points on a vertical curve.)	



	<i>Feet</i>
15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Alabama street westerly line .....	133.25
(The same being the present official grade.)	
15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Alabama street westerly line (produced from the south).....	135.75
(The same being the present official grade.)	
11.78 feet southeasterly from the last described point (measured along the curb) .....	136.87
11.78 feet southeasterly from the last described point (measured along the curb) .....	138.37
(The last two described being points on a vertical curve.)	

On Alabama street between the northerly line of Ripley street and the northerly line of Waltham street produced, and on Ripley street between the westerly line of Alabama street and at points respectively 110 feet and 132.5 feet easterly from Alabama street be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grade.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

### **Closing and Abandoning a Portion of Corbett Avenue at Mars Street.**

(Code No. 12.0622)

Also, Resolution No. 3235, as follows:

Whereas, on the 17th day of February, 1937, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 3048, Code No. 12.0621, which resolution was presented to His Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 27th day of February, 1937, said resolution being in words and figures as follows:

(Code No. 12.0611)

Resolution No. 3048, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of Corbett avenue, at Mars street, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Corbett avenue more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the southeasterly corner of Lot 20, Block Y of Park Lane Tract, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "E and F" at page 129, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northerly along the easterly line of said Lot 20, being also the westerly line of Corbett avenue, 50 feet to an angle point therein; thence deflecting 171 degrees 59 minutes 20 seconds to the right and running southerly 47.96 feet to the southerly line of said Lot 20, produced easterly; thence deflecting 77 degrees 26 minutes 37 seconds to the right and running westerly along last-named line, 7.14 feet to the point of beginning.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Corbett avenue

shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Corbett avenue in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted*—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, February 17, 1937.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Shannon.

Noes—Supervisors Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, February 27, 1937.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

And Whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution and the said Department of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 3048, Code No. 12.0621; and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that the portion of Corbett avenue described in Resolution No. 3048, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered, that the portion of Corbett avenue specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 3048, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing said portion of Corbett avenue described in Resolution No. 3048, Code No. 12.0621, shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco as proposed and provided in Resolution No. 3048, Code No. 12.0621; and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said portion of said street described in Resolution No. 3048, Code No. 12.0621, shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works and that the Department of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.



**Requesting State Authorities to Acquire and Recondition the Sears Point Toll Road.**

(Code No. 5.31)

Also, Resolution No. 3236, as follows:

Whereas, the Golden Gate Bridge will shortly be opened for public use; and

Whereas, the financial success of the bridge depends in large measure upon adequate feeder roads; and

Whereas, it is imperative that a facile route be established for motor transportation between Sacramento and San Francisco via the Golden Gate Bridge; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby memorialize the State Highway Commission and the State Department of Public Works and does urge that the Sears Point Toll Road be immediately acquired and reconditioned to serve Sacramento-San Francisco traffic via the Golden Gate Bridge; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to the State Highway Commission, the State Department of Public Works and the constituent counties of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of his Honor the Mayor was taken up:

**Leave of Absence, Hon. Albert A. Greenbaum, Member Art Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3237, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, Hon. Albert A. Greenbaum, member of the Art Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing April 21, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Inviting Congressman Welch to Be Present and Participate in the Celebration for the Opening of the Golden Gate Bridge.**

(Code No. 12.111)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 3243, as follows:

Whereas, the Golden Gate Bridge Fiesta, to commemorate the completion and opening of the Golden Gate Bridge, will be celebrated here May 27th to June 2nd; and

Whereas, Congressman Richard J. Welch has been associated with this project since its inception and has worked unselfishly and well for its accomplishment; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, representing the people of

the City and County of San Francisco, do hereby extend a most sincere and cordial invitation to Congressman Welch to be present and participate in the celebration for the opening of the Golden Gate Bridge.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

#### **District Attorney to Engage Edwin N. Atherton for Graft Investigation.**

Supervisor Schmidt presented Resolution No. 3244, requesting the Mayor to instruct the District Attorney to engage Edwin N. Atherton for a period of six months for further investigation of graft.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

#### **Legislative Hearing on Adding Divisional Highway to State Highway System.**

Supervisor Ratto announced that hearing on Senate Bill asking the Legislature to add the Divisional Highway to the State Highway System would be had in the Senate Chambers, Sacramento, Wednesday, April 28, at 8 p. m., and as acting chairman, appointed Supervisors Uhl, Mead and any other Supervisor who could do so, to attend the hearing.

#### **Relative to Picnic Grounds at Ocean Avenue and Junipero Serra Boulevard.**

Supervisor Uhl requested statement from the Public Utilities Commission as to its objections to making property at Ocean avenue and Junipero Serra boulevard available for a picnic site.

*Referred to Education, Parks and Recreation Committee.*

#### **Removal of Fence and Bulkhead in Bernal Cut.**

Supervisor Uhl presented resolution providing for removal of fence and bulkhead in Bernal Cut, thereby widening the highway.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

Supervisor Uhl called attention to a letter from property owners of the Bay View District requesting the opening of La Salle avenue.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

#### **Relative to Operation and Maintenance of Bridge.**

Supervisor Brown presented:

An act providing for the use of State moneys for the operation or maintenance, or operation and maintenance of certain bridges owned by the State or any of its political subdivisions in the event that such moneys are used for such purposes on bridges crossing the same designated water channel.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

An act requiring the acquisition or a binding contract for the acquisition by the State of California of the Golden Gate Bridge prior to the acquisition for construction of a bridge across the Straits of Carquinez.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

#### **Dr. Eric Von Hoffman Presented.**

Dr. Geiger, Director of Public Health, introduced to the Board of Supervisors Dr. Eric Von Hoffman of Bon University, the discoverer of the organism of syphilis, who addressed the Board expressing his



gratitude for the reception given to him in San Francisco and appreciation of the beauty of the City and its surroundings.

Dr. Adolph Schmidt was called upon to respond and did so, explaining the distinguished service Dr. Von Hoffman had rendered to humanity.

**Meeting.**

Health Committee, Tuesday, May 4, 10 a. m.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 7:10 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, May 3, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 32

No. 18

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Monday, May 3, 1937

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.



THE  
LIBRARY

A

OF THE

UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

1890-1891

CHICAGO, ILL.

1891

CHICAGO, ILL.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MAY 3, 1937, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 3, 1937, 2 p. m.  
The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:  
Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Brown excused. (The Clerk read a request from Supervisor Brown that he be excused from May 3 to June 10, inclusive, for the reason that he would be in Los Angeles on business. Chair ruled that a Supervisor, unless he is granted permission to leave the State, can only be excused from one meeting to another.)

Supervisor Roncovieri excused.

President Shannon presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of April 26, 1937, was taken up.

### In Memoriam—Michael Casey.

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, presented:  
Resolution No. 3250, as follows:

Whereas, the honored career of a nationally-known labor leader and distinguished citizen of San Francisco has been ended in the death of Michael J. Casey, president of the Teamsters' Union; and

Whereas, while devotedly attached to Organized Labor, to whose success he made notable and invaluable contributions, Michael J. Casey never sought in his official acts to advance its interests except by making it useful to the common cause; and

Whereas, although he was indefatigable in industry, possessed of great ability, was calm, forceful, persuasive, and earnest in the presentation of his views, he never offended nor gave cause for resentment on the part of his strongest foes, because they recognized that he was an honorable and upright advocate, never doing that which was unright or unbecoming; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors hereby expresses its profound sorrow at the passing of Michael J. Casey, late the president of the Teamsters' Union; and be it further

Resolved, That when the Board adjourns this day, it does so as a mark of respect to the memory of the deceased, and that the Clerk transmit a copy hereof to the family of the late Michael J. Casey.

*Adopted* unanimously by rising vote.



MONDAY, MAY 3, 1937.

**Communication from Mayor, re Appropriation for Police Investigation.**

The following matters were presented, read and *referred to the Finance Committee*:

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,  
MAYOR'S OFFICE, 200 CITY HALL.

May 3, 1937.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco.  
Gentlemen:

I am herewith enclosing a copy of a letter received by me from the District Attorney, the Hon. Matthew Brady, wherein he requests an appropriation of \$5,000 from the Emergency Reserve Fund for the purpose of preparing evidence for presentation to the Grand Jury in connection with the police investigation.

I have been advised by the Controller, the Honorable Leonard S. Leavy, that funds are available and I do most respectfully recommend to your Honorable Board that the District Attorney's request be allowed and be considered a proper charge against the Emergency Reserve Fund No. 602,900.00.

Respectfully,

(Signed) ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,  
OFFICE OF DISTRICT ATTORNEY,  
550 MONTGOMERY STREET.

April 30, 1937.

To the Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

The Grand Jury of this City and County is engaged in investigating the corrupt practices of members of the Police Department described in the report filed by Mr. Edwin N. Atherton.

For the proper and speedy conduct of such investigation, it is necessary that Mr. Atherton perform services in preparing evidence for presentation to the Grand Jury. Mr. Atherton has requested that he be paid for such services.

I, therefore request that you recommend to the Board of Supervisors the appropriation of the sum of \$5,000 from the Emergency Reserve Fund to the District Attorney's Special Fund for the purpose of preparing evidence for presentation to the Grand Jury in the above investigation.

The circumstances, in my judgment, fall within the application of the sections of the Charter on the propriety of such appropriations.

Respectfully

(Signed) MATHEW BRADY, District Attorney.

**Appropriation of \$5,000, Compensation for Edwin N. Atherton for Graft Investigation.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1324, Ordinance No. 9.051384, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$5,000 from the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation 605.233.00 in accordance with the request of the District Attorney for the purpose of compensating Edwin N. Atherton for services to be rendered in the preparation of evidence for presentation to the Grand Jury in the investigation of charges which have been made against certain members of the Police Department; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$5,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation 605.233.00 for the purpose of compensating Edwin N. Atherton for services to be rendered in the preparation of evidence for presentation to the Grand Jury in the investigation of charges which have been made against certain members of the Police Department.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as immediate action is necessary for the uninterrupted operation of the office of the District Attorney, as the District Attorney is without funds to pay for the services referred to in Section 1 hereof.

Approved as to form: Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended: Mathew Brady, District Attorney.

Approved: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

### Budget 1937-1938.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

May 1, 1937.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: In consonance with the provisions of Section 72 of the Charter, I am herewith transmitting to your honorable Board the consolidated budget estimate and the proposed budget for all departments and offices of the City and County for the fiscal year 1937-1938, including a detailed estimate of all revenues of each department and an estimate of the amount required to meet bond interest, redemption, and other fixed charges of the City and County, and the revenues applicable thereto.

There is also transmitted, in accordance with the provisions of Section 72 of the Charter, a draft of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance for the ensuing fiscal year, which has been prepared by the Controller. It is based on the proposed budget and forms the basis for fiscal and accounting control of each revenue and expenditure item included therein.

In the consideration of the revenue schedule, I direct your attention to an excerpt from a letter of March 15, 1937, to me from the Controller:

"The statement of anticipated revenues is necessarily subject to revision prior to transmittal of your budget recommendations to the Board of Supervisors and, unquestionably, will have to be revised prior to final passage of the budget and also prior to the fixing of the tax rate.

"The following are some of the reasons contributing to the circumstances:

"1. It is impossible at this time to estimate with any degree of accuracy the several fund surpluses as at June 30, 1937.

"2. The Legislature in session at Sacramento is considering legislation affecting county and city and county revenue, the principal measures being:

"a. The financing of unemployment relief for the next biennium (about which we have previously advised you).

"b. Old Age Security Act.

"c. Act providing for aid to Needy Blind persons.

"d. Sections 2283 to 2290 of the Political Code, with reference to Aid to Needy Children.

"e. Acts relating to gasoline taxes.

"f. Alcoholic Beverage Control Act.



"3. Until the program for the funding of the current year's interest and redemption has been concluded, a revenue estimate in this connection for the ensuing period cannot be intelligently made. The proper authorities in Washington will necessarily have to be contacted prior to concluding the funding arrangements in connection with bond interest and redemption requirements under P. W. A. grant agreements.

"4. The revenue from sources derived under Section 24 of the Charter is tentative and based upon existing ordinances, a number of which are now under revision. Further, at this time, we are unable to determine what effect the approval of Charter Amendment No. 10, with reference to permits and inspections, will have on the existing budget structure."

The following are the major changes, as compared with the budget of the present year:

#### **Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor**

One million three hundred thousand dollars is included in my recommendation for indigent sick and dependent poor, under the jurisdiction of the Public Welfare Department, created by Charter Amendment Number 4, at the election of March 9, 1937. While the members of the Commission governing this department have been appointed, there has been insufficient time in which to prepare the detail of the administrative budget requirements. Consequently, the detailed request, together with my recommendation, will be transmitted to you at a later date. It is believed that \$1,300,000 will be sufficient to include the amount necessary to cover the administrative budget requirements.

As previously pointed out, this recommendation is based upon the existing law. The Congress and the Legislature are in session, and each has before it many measures dealing with this subject. Should there be a change in the statutes which would necessitate a modification of the financial program, it may become necessary for me to submit a supplemental recommendation to you.

#### **Welfare**

There is a mandatory increase in the County Welfare Department of \$986,758 for widows' pensions, maintenance of the aged and blind pensions, under existing statutes. The increase will be proportionally borne out of city and county, State and federal funds. This may have to be modified, should certain measures now pending before the State Legislature be enacted into law.

#### **Bond Interest and Redemption**

The amount required for this purpose shows a net mandatory increase of \$225,999.

Utility revenues do not meet the increasing requirements for interest and redemption, necessitating an increase of \$907,384 from taxes, in accordance with Controller's schedule appended hereto.

#### **Retirement**

The amount provided for the Retirement System represents a mandatory increase of \$123,254.

Included in the budget recommendation are funds to provide for the current service obligation and the prior service obligation for all miscellaneous members. Funds are also provided in the budget recommendation for the fiscal year 1937-1938 to meet the actual benefits payable to policemen and firemen who became members of the system as at January 8, 1932, and who have not elected the miscellaneous membership.

The Secretary-Actuary of the Retirement Board has advised that

the continuation of this policy will cause a graduated annual increase over the \$154,000 provided for 1937-1938 for the current service obligation for this group of firemen and policemen until an estimated annual maximum of \$850,000 is reached, which, it is approximated, will not be more than twenty years hence.

### Emergency Reserve Fund

I have recommended \$125,000 to be appropriated for the Emergency Reserve Fund. This represents a decrease of \$175,000 under the \$300,000 appropriated for the present fiscal year. The reduction is based upon the following facts:

As set forth in my letter of budget transmittal dated April 30, 1936, the amount appropriated for the present fiscal year was partially premised upon requests of certain departments for additional funds, the necessity of which could not be determined at the time the budget was being prepared.

During the present fiscal year, the necessity was proven and the amounts required for the requested purposes were determined. Funds were thereafter appropriated on my recommendation by your Board out of the Emergency Reserve Fund.

The departments concerned have included in their budget requests and I have recommended the amounts required for the necessary continuation of the service involved.

### Schools

The tentative budget of the School Department shows an increase of \$198,228.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,  
Mayor.

### Analysis of Increases and Decreases in Revenue Sources of Bond Interest and Redemption—Fiscal Year 1937-38

<i>General City and County</i>	<i>1936-1937</i>	<i>1937-1938</i>	<i>Increase</i>	<i>Decrease</i>
Taxes . . . . .	\$ 5,239,364	\$ 5,313,322	\$ 73,958	
Revenues other than taxes...	903,307	623,918		\$279,389
<b>Total . . . . .</b>	<b>6,142,671</b>	<b>5,937,240</b>		<b>205,431</b>

#### *Public Service Enterprises*

Taxes . . . . .	1,741,072	2,648,456	907,384	
Revenues other than taxes..	6,651,461	6,175,507		475,954
<b>Total . . . . .</b>	<b>8,392,533</b>	<b>8,823,963</b>	<b>431,430</b>	

#### *Combined General City and County and Public Service Enterprises*

Taxes . . . . .	6,980,436	7,961,778	981,342	
Revenues other than taxes	7,554,768	6,799,425		755,343
<b>Combined total . . . . .</b>	<b>\$14,535,204</b>	<b>\$14,761,203</b>	<b>\$225,999</b>	

### Public Hearing.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Board of Supervisors meet Monday, May 10, at 10 a. m. for the purpose of hearing the public on the proposed budget of municipal expenditures for the fiscal year 1937-1938.

Motion carried.



**SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.**

The following matter was taken up:

**Report and Resolution of the Finance Committee on Projection of Fifth Street and Grant Avenue Across Market Street.**

(Code No. 12.08)

Resolution No. 3215, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, sitting as a committee of the whole and through its Finance Committee, has conducted an exhaustive investigation of the trans-Market street traffic problem; and

Whereas, this investigation and the public hearings held in connection therewith have clearly demonstrated the urgency and necessity for immediate action to provide satisfactory and adequate traffic relief and to anticipate the immediate future; and

Whereas, the facts developed conclusively show that the extension of Fifth street diagonally across Market street to the intersection of Mason and Eddy streets and the projection of Grant avenue to a new street between Third and Fourth streets, from Market to Brannan streets, will provide respectively the most direct, convenient and desirable entrance and exit thoroughfares to the central business district; and

Whereas, this combination of streets will provide a clockwise movement of bridge vehicular traffic on non-congestive right-hand turns; adequately serve both the districts north and south of Market street; satisfactorily make provision for increased vehicular traffic produced by the Golden Gate Bridge, and meet conditions during the coming Exposition; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco that a bond issue providing the necessary funds for construction of both streets is approved as the method of financing the project; and be it

Further Resolved, That an official estimate of cost and plans and specifications be immediately prepared by the Department of Public Works; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney in co-operation with the proper officials and departments immediately prepare and submit to this Board of Supervisors the proper and necessary legislation in connection therewith.

Supervisor Colman requested that the resolution be separated, which was agreed to, and the following resolutions were presented as amendments and acted upon as follows:

**Fifth Street Projection to Mason and Eddy Streets.**

(Code No. 12.08)

On motion of Supervisor Colman.

Resolution No. 3251, as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, That a bond issue providing the necessary funds for the extension of Fifth street diagonally across Market street to the intersection of Mason and Eddy streets, is approved as the method of financing this project; and, be it

Further Resolved, That an official estimate of cost and plans and specifications be immediately prepared by the Department of Public Works; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney, in cooperation with the proper officials and departments immediately prepare and submit to

this Board of Supervisors the proper and necessary legislation in connection therewith.

*Refused adoption* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Ratto, Uhl—3.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

### Grant Avenue Projection to Bridge Head.

(Code No. 12.08)

On motion of Supervisor Ratto.

Resolution No. 3252, as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, That a bond issue providing the necessary funds for the projection of Grant avenue to a new street between Third and Fourth streets, from Market to Brannan street is approved as the method of financing the project; and be it

Further Resolved, That an official estimate of cost and plans and specifications be immediately prepared by the Department of Public Works; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney in cooperation with the proper officials and departments immediately prepare and submit to this Board of Supervisors the proper and necessary legislation in connection therewith.

*Refused adoption* by the following vote:

Aye—Supervisor Ratto—1.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

### Finance Committee's Resolution Defeated.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Resolution No. 3215, as recommended by the Finance Committee and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Uhl—5.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

### Motion to Reconsider.

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced, Supervisor Shannon changed his vote from *Aye* to *No* and motion for reconsideration at next meeting.

Supervisor McSheehy moved suspension of the rules for immediate reconsideration, which he subsequently *withdrew* upon Supervisor Colman making the point of order that it was not permissible to move for reconsideration in this instance since Supervisor Shannon had not voted with the prevailing side.

Thereupon, the Chair ruled that Supervisor Colman's point of order was not well taken.

Whereupon Supervisor McSheehy raised the point of order that the Chair was in error with allowing withdrawal of point of order made by Supervisor Colman.

Chair ruled point of order not well taken.

Supervisor McSheehy thereupon appealed from the decision of the Chair and there was no second.

Whereupon, the Chair declared appeal denied for want of a second.



**UNFINISHED BUSINESS.****Final Passage.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up.

**Appropriation of \$70,819 for Relief for May, 1937.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1305, Ordinance No. 9.051377, as follows:

Appropriating \$70,819 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of May, 1937, and authorizing a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensation for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$70,819 is hereby appropriated out of such funds as may be available for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of May, 1937.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County of San Francisco and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations are fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved by the Civil Service Commission, are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 2519, heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

**NEW BUSINESS.****Action Deferred.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up and *laid over one week*:

**Appropriation of \$25,000, Painting and Tiling Stockton Street Tunnel.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1311, Ordinance No. 9.051380, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$25,000 out of the accrued surplus existing in the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 648.993.00 for the purpose of painting and tiling the Stockton street tunnel.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$25,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the accrued surplus existing in the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 648.993.00 for the purpose of painting and tiling the Stockton street tunnel.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.

Approved by Alfred I. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Tax Refunds, Erroneous and Duplicate Payments.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Resolution No. 3245, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, 1936-1937, to the following named, being refunds of duplicate and erroneous payments of taxes:

- (1) City Title Insurance Co., per Vol. 22, Bill 1162, Lot 20, Block 3156, 1st Installment, fiscal year 1935, erroneous payment..\$21.17
- (2) W. Park Cunningham, per Vol. 13, Bill 1372, Lot 3-A, Block 1734, 1st Installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment.. 85.14
- (3) James Hjul, per Vol. 23, Bill 342, Block 3518, Lot 8, 1st Installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment..... 80.41
- (4) Henry J. Keneally, Jr., per Vol. 27, Lot 46, Blocks 4094 and 4137, Bill 959, 1st Installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment ..... 3.78
- (5) Frank M. Mulcrevy, per Vol. 22, Bill 2534, Lot 16, Block 3267, 1st Installment, fiscal year 1936, duplicate payment... 61.30
- (6) Annie M. Winter, per Vol. 16, Bill 1531, Lot 10, Block 2123-A, 1st Installment, fiscal year 1936, duplicate payment.. 7.19

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Authorizing Lease of Certain Land on Jefferson Street.**

(Code No. 12.17353)

Bill No. 1314, Ordinance No. 12.173535, as follows:

Authorizing lease of certain land on Jefferson street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 93 of the Charter and in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for leasing the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Jefferson street with the easterly line of Jones street; running thence easterly along the southerly line of Jefferson street, 225 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 137.5 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 225 feet to the easterly line of Jones street; thence northerly along last-named line 137.5 feet to the point of commencement.

Excepting such portion or portions as may be required by the City and County of San Francisco for municipal purposes.

Section 2. The above described property may be leased as a whole or subdivided. The lease or leases shall be made subject to such reservations and conditions as may be deemed necessary or desirable by the Public Utilities Commission and the Director of Property.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.



**Authorizing Sale of School Lot on Fourteenth Avenue, South of  
Ulloa Street.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

Also, Bill No. 1315, Ordinance No. 12.172212, as follows:

Authorizing sale of school lot on Fourteenth avenue, south of Ulloa street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Fourteenth avenue, distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Ulloa (formerly "U") street, running thence southerly and along said easterly line of Fourteenth avenue 150 feet; thence at right angles easterly 92 feet 6 inches to the northwesterly line of San Miguel Rancho; thence northeasterly and along said northwesterly line of San Miguel Rancho 161 feet 2 inches more or less to a point where said line is intersected by a line drawn at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Fourteenth avenue at the point of commencement; thence westerly and parallel with said southerly line of Ulloa street 152 feet 10 inches to the easterly line of Fourteenth avenue and the point of commencement.

Being portion of Outside Land Block No. 1186.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

**Creating Position of General Clerk-Stenographer in Clerk's Office,  
Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1316, Ordinance No. 9.051381, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriation out of surplus existing in Appropriation No. 601.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 601.101.00; creating a position of General Clerk-Stenographer at \$160 per month, and providing the funds therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$320 is hereby appropriated out of surplus existing in Appropriation No. 601.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 601.101.00.

Section 2. A position of General Clerk-Stenographer in the Clerk's office of the Board of Supervisors is hereby created at a monthly salary of \$160.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended and approved by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Recommended by J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

**Amending Salary Ordinance, Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1317, Ordinance No. 9.05398, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 3 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 by eliminating the position of Finance Committee Stenographer at \$325 per month and creating one additional position of General Clerk-Stenographer at \$160 per month.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 3 of Ordinance No. 9.05380, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 3. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	11		Supervisors .....	\$ 200
2	1	B88	Chief Assistant Clerk of the Board of Supervisors .....	375
3	1	B90	Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.....	500
4	1	B174	Bond and Ordinance Clerk.....	225
5	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
7	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
8	3	B413	Assistant Clerk—Board of Supervisors..	225
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
10	1	D4	Sergeant-at-Arms, Board of Supervisors	200
11	2	O4	Special Chauffeur .....	250

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Police Department.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1318, Ordinance No. 9.05399, as follows:

An ordinance amending Ordinance No. 9.05380, commonly called the Annual Salary Ordinance, by adding to Section 11 thereof Items 2½, 9¼, 9½, 9¾, 16½ and 17½, in accordance with the provisions of Section 35 of the Charter as amended, and reducing the number of employments under Item 27 by one, reducing the number of employments under Item 32 by two, and by consolidating the number of employments heretofore established under Items 29 and 30, and reducing the total number by one, and retitling the position established under Item 5.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 11 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 11. POLICE DEPARTMENT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioner . . . . .	\$ 100
2	1		Chief of Police .....	600
2½	1		Deputy Chief of Police .....	450
3	1		Property Clerk .....	300
4	1		Police Surgeon .....	200
5	1		Department Secretary .....	400
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
7	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	250



8	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
9	7	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
9¼	1		Director, Bureau of Personnel .....	333.33
9½	1		Director, Bureau of Criminal Informa- tion .....	333.33
9¾	1		Director, Bureau of Special Services....	250

## BUREAU OF INSPECTORS

10	1		Captain of Inspectors .....	\$ 416.66
11	72		Inspector .....	230
12	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	230
13	1	D152	Criminologist .....	300
14	3	Q20	Police Women .....	200
15	9	Q60	Lieutenants .....	250**
16	1	Q62	Photographer, Police Department.....	225

## UNIFORM FORCE

16½	1		Supervising Captain .....	\$ 333.33
17	1		Captain of Traffic .....	333.33
17½	1		Inspector of School Traffic Patrols.....	230
18	1		Inspector of Motor Vehicles.....	230
19	1		Inspector of Horses and Equipment....	230
20	1		Inspector of Repairs and Maintenance..	230
21	3	D52	Jail Matron .....	175
22	1	D52	Jail Matron .....	170
23	1	I14	Junior Chef .....	195
24	10	J70	Hostlers .....	180
25	3	O158	Motor Boat Operator .....	200
26	1	O158	Motor Boat Operator (Relief) at rate of	200
27	963	Q2	Policemen .....	200
28	25	Q30	Police Patrol Driver .....	200
30	181	Q50	Sergeant .....	220**
31	44	Q60	Lieutenant .....	250**
32	14	Q80	Captain .....	300**

\*\*In event of a vacancy in this rank the position may be abolished and the number of Policemen correspondingly increased without amendment of this ordinance and the Policeman's position may be filled subject to the provisions of Sections 1 and 2 hereof.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

## Adopted.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

## Release of Liens Filed Re Old Age Security.

(Code No. 19.02)

Resolution No. 3246, as follows:

Resolved, That the liens heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, on recommendation of the County Welfare Department, are released against the properties described, as follows:

Mrs. Agnes Hamill, recorded August 10, 1936, in Vol. 3011, Official Records, page 114; legal description, Lot 49, Blocks 4159 and 4260.

Mrs. Ellen Terese Kelly, recorded February 4, 1937, in Vol. 3011, Official Records, page 193; legal description Lot 25, Block 753.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

**Endorsement of Assembly Bill No. 567.**

(Code No. 5.35)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Resolution No. 3247, as follows:

Whereas, Assembly Bill No. 567, which provides that an automobile parked illegally is prima facie evidence of non-compliance with the law and which eliminates the necessity for traffic officers to make service personally on the owner of a vehicle who violates the parking law, will be considered by the Assembly Committee in the near future; and

Whereas, unless this bill is adopted it will be practically impossible to enforce any adequate parking regulations in San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors hereby endorses Assembly Bill No. 567 pending before the Legislature of the State of California, and requests that body to pass said Bill; be it further

Resolved, That the Clerk transmit copies of this resolution to the San Francisco delegation in the Legislature and to the Speaker of the House and the President of the Senate.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of the Fire, Safety and Police Committee were taken up:

**Regulating and Providing for the Storage and Use of Gasoline in Connection with Public, Commercial and Private Garages.**

(Code No. 11.0822)

Bill No. 1319, Ordinance No. 11.08224, as follows:

Amending Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8564 (New Series), entitled, "Governing the Construction, Equipment, Maintenance and Operation of Public, Commercial and Private Garages; Regulating and Providing for the Storage and Use of Gasoline in Connection with Public, Commercial and Private Garages; Duties of the Fire Marshal; Penalty for Violation; Repealing Ordinance No. 746 (New Series) and All Ordinances or Parts of Ordinances in so far as They Conflict with This Ordinance." Relating to gasoline pumps.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8564 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

"Section 5. *Tanks, Pipes, Pumps.* All underground gasoline storage tanks, pipes and appurtenances used in connection with a public, commercial or private garage shall be installed as follows, unless otherwise required by the Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation:

"(a) All underground tanks shall be placed outside the building, under the sidewalk, close to the curb line.

"(b) The top of each such tank shall be at least four feet below the sidewalk and the space between the top of the tank and sidewalk shall be filled with earth.

"(c) No such tank shall be connected with another so that gasoline can flow or be pumped from one underground tank to another.

"(d) All such tanks shall be set on a firm foundation and, where water is encountered, tanks shall be enclosed in an approved watertight reinforced concrete vault.

"(e) Where two or more tanks are installed, there shall be an



approved brick or concrete dividing wall between each tank not less than twelve (12) inches in thickness or three (3) feet of earth.

"(f) Each tank shall have a separate filling pipe extending up to the sidewalk or grade, capped with a screw cap, which must be screwed up tight at all times except when filling, and shall be covered with a metal plate flush with the sidewalk or grade.

"(g) Each such tank shall have a separate one and one-quarter ( $1\frac{1}{4}$ ) inch vent pipe extending out of the top of the tank to a height of not less than twelve (12) feet and capped with a double return bend equipped with a non-corrodible wire screen of thirty (30) mesh. The lower end of vent pipe shall not extend through the top into the tank for a distance of more than one (1) inch. Vent pipe shall be on the outside of the building and terminate not less than three (3) feet, measured horizontally and vertically, from any window or other building opening.

"(h) Each such tank shall have at least one suction pipe. Two or more tanks may be connected with one pump, provided the suction pipes siamese at the pump with valves to close each suction pipe.

"(i) All pipes shall lead out of the extreme top of each tank; and shall be at least twelve (12) inches underground or enclosed in concrete. Said pipes shall have a fall toward the tank.

"(j) All pipes and fittings shall be standard, full weight galvanized iron, or equivalent, and shall be put together with litharge and glycerine.

"(k) Tanks and pipes shall not be covered until an inspection has been made by the Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation and permission so to do has been granted by said officer. The Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation shall be notified when the work is ready for inspection.

"(l) Gasoline shall be taken from such tanks only by means of an approved pump, which shall be installed in an approved location. No gravity, siphon or pressure system shall be used for taking gasoline from any tank.

"(m) The Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation shall have the right at any time to take, or demand to be taken, a test for leaks on any tank, pipes or appurtenances, and, if found to be defective, shall demand that they be repaired or replaced."

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

### **Regulating and Relating to the Construction, Erection, Maintenance and Use of Billboards in the City and County of San Francisco.**

(Code No. 11.08)

Also, Bill No. 1320, Ordinance No. 11.0823, as follows:

Amending Section 18 of Ordinance No. 4059 (New Series), entitled, "Regulating and Relating to the Construction, Erection, Maintenance and Use of Billboards in the City and County of San Francisco, and Licensing and Regulating the Occupation of Maintaining Billboards and Outdoor Advertising, Providing Penalties for the Violation of Same and Repealing All Ordinances or Parts Thereof in Conflict Therewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 18 of Ordinance No. 4059 (New Series), is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 18(a). It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation except a public officer or employee in performance of a public

duty, or a private person in giving a legal notice, to paste, post, paint, print, nail, tack or otherwise fasten any card, banner, hand-bill, sign, poster, advertisement or notice of any kind upon any property without the written consent of the owner, holder, lessee, agent, or trustee thereof.

(b) It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation except a public officer or employee in performance of a public duty, or a private person in giving a legal notice, to paste, post, paint, print, nail, tack, or otherwise fasten any card, banner, hand-bill, sign, poster, advertisement or notice of any kind upon any property situated on the following streets, boulevards or highways, of portion of such streets, boulevards or highways in the City and County of San Francisco:

Twin Peaks boulevard for its entire length.

Roosevelt way for its entire length.

Telegraph Hill drive for its entire length.

El Camino Del Mar for its entire length.

Sunset boulevard for its entire length.

Harding boulevard for its entire length.

Portola drive for its entire length.

Skyline boulevard, from Sloat boulevard to city limits.

Great Highway and Esplanade from Fulton street to Sloat boulevard.

Nineteenth avenue extension from Sloat boulevard to Junipero Serra boulevard.

Bay Shore highway from Third street to Raymond street.

Sloat boulevard from the Great Highway to the Junipero Serra boulevard.

Junipero Serra boulevard from Sloat boulevard to the County Line.

The east side of the Market street extension from Mono street to Portola drive.

Alemanay boulevard from Mission street viaduct to Junipera Serra boulevard.

Marina boulevard for its entire length.

*Lyon street from Marina boulevard to Lombard.*

*Baker street from Marina boulevard to Lombard.*

*Broderick street from Marina boulevard to Lombard.*

*Jefferson from Lyon to Broderick.*

*Beach from Baker to Broderick.*

*North Point from Baker to Broderick.*

*Bay from Lyon to Broderick.*

*Francisco street from Lyon to Broderick.*

*Chestnut street from Lyon to Broderick.*

*Lombard from Broderick to Lyon.*

*Richardson avenue from Lyon street to Lombard.*

Provided, however, that nothing herein contained shall be deemed to restrict or prohibit the use for advertising purposes of, or the changing of advertising copy on, any advertising sign or advertising structure now erected or maintained upon any property situate upon said streets, boulevards or highways above named.

Any advertisement prohibitive by this section and the next preceding section may be taken down, moved or destroyed by anyone.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.



**Regulating the Use of Taxicabs, Automobiles and Public Vehicles for Hire, Fixing the Rate to Be Charged for the Transportation of Persons and Personal Baggage, Regulating the Use of Boats in the Waters of the Bay.**

(Code No. 11.0111)

Also, Bill No. 1321, Ordinance No. 11.011110, as follows:

Amending Sections 6, 9, 29 and 31 of Ordinance No. 6979 (New Series), entitled: "Regulating the Use of Taxicabs, Automobiles and Public Vehicles for Hire, Fixing the rate to Be Charged for the Transportation of Persons and Personal Baggage, Regulating the Use of Boats in the Waters of the Bay, Providing a Punishment for any Violation Thereof, and Repealing Ordinance No. 1898 (New Series)."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Sections 6, 9, 29 and 31 of Ordinance No. 6979 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, are hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 6. All vehicles occupying stands designated by the Chief of Police as provided for in this ordinance, shall be under the supervision and control of the Police Department, and when a stand is occupied by the full number of vehicles authorized no other vehicle shall loiter or wait near by to take the place thereat.

Section 9. It shall be unlawful for any owner or lessee of any taxicab or automobile to make or cause to be made any changes whatever in the color or distinguishing characteristics of said taxicabs or automobiles unless the permission of the Board of Police Commissioners has first been obtained.

Section 29. Any person who shall fail or refuse to pay, at the end of the trip, or the termination or discharge of service, the legal fare for a taxicab or sightseeing bus or automobile, as prescribed in this ordinance, that he has hired, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof, in addition to the penalty provided for the violation of this ordinance, be compelled to pay to the driver of said vehicle an amount equal to the legal fare, and in case any bail required is forfeited, the amount of the legal fare shall be paid to the driver from such amount forfeited, and the Court or Judge before whom the case is heard, shall order the same to be paid from the treasury of the City and County.

Section 31. Drivers and operators of public vehicles for hire shall promptly report to the Bureau of Inspectors of the Police Department and within twenty-four hours all property of value left in their vehicles by passengers.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

**Regulating the Use of Taxicabs, Automobiles and Public Vehicles for Hire, Fixing the Rate to Be Charged for the Transportation of Persons and Personal Baggage, Regulating the Use of Boats in the Waters of the Bay.**

(Code No. 11.0111)

Also, Bill No. 1309, Ordinance No. 11.01119, as follows:

Amending Section 24 of Ordinance No. 6979 (New Series), entitled: "Regulating the Use of Taxicabs, Automobiles and Public Vehicles for Hire, Fixing the Rate to Be Charged for the Transportation of Persons and Personal Baggage, Regulating the Use of Boats in the Waters of the Bay, Providing a Punishment for Any Violation Thereof, and Repealing Ordinance No. 1898 (New Series)," by amending the rates of fare for taxicabs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 24 of Ordinance No. 6979, the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 24. No person, firm or corporation owning, operating or controlling any motor vehicle operated as a taxicab shall charge other fares than in this section provided:

*Meter Rates.*

(a) The rate of fare is twenty-five (25) cents for the first one-third ( $\frac{1}{3}$ ) mile or "flag"; ten (10) cents for each additional two-fifths ( $\frac{2}{5}$ ths) mile; ten (10) cents for each two and one-half ( $2\frac{1}{2}$ ) minutes waiting time at the request of the patron.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Miss Eugenie Schenk, Director, County Welfare Department.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3248, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Miss Eugenie Schenk, Director, County Welfare Department, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of three weeks, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

**Leaves of Absence—Miss Josephine D. Randall, Superintendent of Recreation, and Mr. Clifford Nelson, Supervisor of Visual Recreation.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3249, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, leaves of absence are hereby granted to Miss Josephine D. Randall, Superintendent of Recreation, and Mr. Clifford Nelson, Supervisor of Visual Recreation, for a period of sixty days, commencing Monday, May 3, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Creating Youth Coordinating Council.**

(Code No. ———)

Supervisor Colman presented for reference to Committee:

Bill No. ———, Ordinance No. ———, as follows:

Creating a Coordinating Council for the purpose of furthering the cooperation and coordination between the public departments and pri-



vate agencies in a more effective program for the youth of San Francisco; declaring that an emergency exists and passing this ordinance as an emergency measure.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**Mayor to Appoint Committee for Observance of Constitution Day,  
September 17, 1937.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 3253, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee for the proper observance of the one hundred and fiftieth anniversary of the signing of the Constitution of the United States, September 17, 1937.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

**Citizens' Committee, Flag Day.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Resolution No. 3254, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to assist in the proper observance of "Flag Day", Sunday, June 13, 1937, in cooperation with the Benevolent and Protective Order of Elks.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Milton S. Maxwell, Member of the  
Civil Service Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3255, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Milton S. Maxwell, member of the Civil Service Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of fifteen days, commencing May 7, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

**Appropriation of \$5,692—Foodstuffs, Relief Home.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Bill No. 1323, Ordinance No. 9.051383, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$5,692 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 653.350.00 to the credit of Appropriation 651.350.00 for the purpose of providing funds to purchase foodstuffs for the Laguna Honda Home; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$5,692 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation 653.350.00 to the credit of Appropriation 651.350.00 for the purpose of providing funds to purchase foodstuffs for the Laguna Honda Home.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as immediate action is required to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Laguna Honda Home, as it is without funds to purchase necessary food-stuffs.

Approved as to form: Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended: Dr. J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health.

Funds available: Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Approved: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved: Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

### Re-referred.

The following matter was *re-referred to the Special Hetch Hetchy Committee for hearing Friday May 7, 1937, at 1:30 p. m.*

### Report on Declaration of Policy on Resubmission of Revenue Bonds, for Hetch Hetchy Power Distribution.

April 27, 1937.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen: At a meeting of the Hetch Hetchy Power Committee Wednesday, April 21, 1937, at 2 p. m., in the Chambers of the Board, at which the following roll call was had:

Present—Supervisors McSheehy, Colman—2.

Absent—Supervisors Meyer, Reilly, Shannon—3.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Colman, Resolution No. 3226, Code No. 15.032, "Declaration of Policy on Submission of Revenue Bonds," was ordered submitted as a committee report, for consideration by the Board of Supervisors Monday, April 26, 1937, sitting as a Committee of the Whole:

### Declaration of Policy on Resubmission of Revenue Bonds.

(Code No. 15.032)

Resolution No. 3226, as follows:

Whereas, Senate Bill No. 200, known as the "Revenue Bond Act of 1937," and referred to as the Garrison Bill, has been passed by both houses of the State Legislature and has been signed by the Governor; and

Whereas, the "Revenue Bond Act" permits the issuance of revenue bonds for the construction, extension or betterment of utilities by a majority vote of the electorate without necessitating an amendment to the Charter; and

Whereas, the Secretary of the Interior has requested the Attorney-General to test the validity of the contract with the Pacific Gas & Electric Company in the sale of power for distribution by that company; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors hereby declares its intention to submit to the voters a proposition enabling the city to issue revenue bonds for the distribution and sale of Hetch Hetchy power and that prior to said submission the Board will authorize an appointment of experts to check plans and estimates contained in Plan 7 submitted by Manager E. G. Cahill of the Public Utilities Commission; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors will respectfully request the Attorney-General of the United States to withhold legal



action as requested by Secretary Ickes until the voters have again been permitted to vote on the issuance of revenue bonds as authorized in the "Revenue Bond Act of 1937"; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Manager of Utilities be requested to recommend a program of education to be placed before the people of San Francisco indicating the distinction between general obligation bonds and revenue bonds so that this Board of Supervisors may conduct a campaign for that purpose.

Final conclusion of the matter is respectfully urged.

Respectfully submitted,

HETCH HETCHY POWER COMMITTEE,

By JAS. B. McSHEEHY,

Chairman.

#### Reception to Major Bowes.

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved that his Honor the Mayor be requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to provide a welcome for Major Bowes on his coming visit to San Francisco in June.

*Motion carried.*

Supervisor Colman moved that the Board give a blanket endorsement to a proposal to ask Major Bowes, during his visit here, to conduct his broadcast from San Francisco.

*Motion carried.*

#### Committee to Attend Hearing of State Relief Legislative Program.

Supervisor Meyer requested that the Chair appoint a committee to attend session of the Legislature, sometime this week, when the relief program will be considered.

The Chair announced he would appoint such committee when the Controller informs him concerning the matter.

#### Estimate of Cost—Subway Construction.

Supervisor Uhl requested that the Clerk ascertain from Mr. E. G. Cahill whether he still stands on his estimate of \$52,700,000 for the construction of his subway system. This in view of the increasing cost of labor and materials.

#### Investigation of Bus Transportation in Alameda County.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the members of the Board meet Thursday, May 6, at 10 a. m., to cross the Bay and investigate the operation of buses in the East Bay. Seven members announced their intention to so do.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board of Supervisors at 6:10 p. m. adjourned.


J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors May 10, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.





Vol. 32

No. 19

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Monday, May 10, 1937

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

---

MONDAY, MAY 10, 1937, 10 A. M.

---

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to the motion on last meeting for the purpose of hearing the public on the proposed Budget of municipal expenditures for the fiscal year 1937-1938.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:  
Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

### Telegram.

The following telegram was read by the Clerk:

"May 10, 1937, 9:50 a. m., Los Angeles, California. John S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors, City Hall. Detained here by important business stop request be excused from meeting today. Jesse C. Colman."

*So ordered.*

### Public Hearing on Budget.

Mr. John J. Ryan, 165 Quesada avenue, representing the Bayview District Improvement Club, was granted the privilege of the floor and heard, urging an appropriation in the Budget of \$15,000 to cover the cost of opening and extending La Salle avenue into Third street.

J. C. Berendsen, vice-president of the Scandinavian Civic League, 883 Market street, was heard in support of an appropriation for "Carl Larsen Memorial."

### ADJOURNMENT.

There appearing no other persons desiring to be heard on the proposed Budget for the fiscal year 1937-1938, the same was referred to the Finance Committee, and announcement made that said Committee will meet nightly in the Controller's office commencing at 8 p. m. this day, to discuss and give consideration to the Budget as presented.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors at the hour of 10:30 a. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.



MONDAY, MAY 10, 1937.

**MONDAY, MAY 10, 1937, 2 P. M.**

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 10, 1937, 2 p. m.  
The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

**CALLING THE ROLL.**

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:  
Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri,  
Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Reilly—2.

Supervisor Colman excused.

Supervisor Brown requested to be excused at 4 p. m. to make radio address. *So ordered.*

Supervisor Mead requested to be excused at 6 p. m. *So ordered.*

Supervisor Reilly noted present at 2:26 p. m.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

**APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.**

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of May 3, 1937, was considered read and approved.

**MOTION TO RECONSIDER.**

The following matter was taken up:

**Recommendation of Finance Committee on Projection of Fifth  
Street and Grant Avenue Across Market Street.**

(Code No. 12.08)

Resolution No. 3215, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, sitting as a committee of the whole and through its Finance Committee, has conducted an exhaustive investigation of the trans-Market street traffic problem; and

Whereas, this investigation and the public hearings held in connection therewith have clearly demonstrated the urgency and necessity for immediate action to provide satisfactory and adequate traffic relief and to anticipate the immediate future; and

Whereas, the facts developed conclusively show that the extension of Fifth street diagonally across Market street to the intersection of Mason and Eddy streets and the projection of Grant avenue to a new street between Third and Fourth streets, from Market to Brannan streets, will provide respectively the most direct, convenient and desirable entrance and exit thoroughfares to the central business district; and

Whereas, this combination of streets will provide a clockwise movement of bridge vehicular traffic on non-congestive right-hand turns; adequately serve both the districts north and south of Market street; satisfactorily make provision for increased vehicular traffic produced by the Golden Gate Bridge, and meet conditions during the coming Exposition; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco that a bond issue providing the necessary funds for construction of both streets is approved as the method of financing the project; and be it

Further Resolved, That an official estimate of cost and plans and specifications be immediately prepared by the Department of Public Works; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney in cooperation with the proper officials and departments immediately prepare and submit to

this Board of Supervisors the proper and necessary legislation in connection therewith.

*May 3, 1937—Refused adoption by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Uhl—5.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

#### Motion to Reconsider.

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced Supervisor Shannon changed his vote from *Aye* to *No* and moved for reconsideration at the next meeting.

The vote then stood:

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Reilly, Schmidt—3.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

#### Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved consideration of the motion to reconsider be postponed for one week.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Noes—Supervisors Mead, Reilly, Schmidt—3.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

### SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

#### Calvary Cemetery Removal.

(Code No. 17.19)

Bill No. 938, Ordinance No. 17.195, as follows:

Declaring that the further maintenance of the Calvary Cemetery threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public; ordering and demanding the disinterring and removal of human bodies therefrom and fixing a time within which such disinterring and removal must be performed; declaring certain conditions under which the city and county will itself disinter and remove said bodies; requiring and empowering the Director of Public Health to adopt and promulgate rules and regulations for such disinterring and removal; providing for the reservation of lands for memorial mausoleums or columbariums, grounds, vaults and monuments.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared that the cemetery hereinafter described contains an area of more than five acres and is situate in a city and county having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, and the right of burial in said cemetery has been prohibited by law for a period of more than fifteen years, and that the further maintenance of said cemetery as a burial place for the human dead threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public. The cemetery above referred to is situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and is commonly designated by the following name, and is situate within the following boundaries, to-wit:

Calvary Cemetery, bounded by Geary street, St. Joseph's avenue, Turk street, Parker avenue, St. Rose's avenue and Masonic avenue.

Section 2. It is hereby ordered and demanded that, within three years from the date upon which this ordinance shall become effective, the cemetery corporation, corporation sole, association or other person owning or controlling the cemetery hereinabove described, or the own-



ers or holders of burial lots therein, or the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are interred therein, disinter all human bodies interred in said cemetery and remove the same outside of the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with and in the manner provided for in that certain act of the Legislature of the State of California, entitled "An act authorizing the Board of Supervisors or other governing body of any incorporated city, or city and county having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, to order the disinterment and removal of all human bodies interred in any cemetery of more than five acres in extent, or from a part thereof, situate within the boundaries of such city, or city and county, and directing the reinterment of such bodies in cemeteries outside of the limits of such city, or city and county, or the depositing of the same in a mausoleum or columbarium, whenever the further maintenance of such cemetery or part thereof as a burial place for the human dead threatens or endangers the health, safety, comfort or welfare of the public, and providing a mode of procedure under and by which such removals may, when so ordered, be made by the cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person governing or controlling such cemetery lands, or by the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are buried therein, and providing for the sale, mortgage or pledge of cemetery lands from which the human bodies are removed." Approved June 5, 1923.

Section 3. It is hereby declared and ordained that, unless the said bodies are disinterred and removed within the time and in the manner herein in Section 2 of this ordinance provided, the City and County of San Francisco, through its appropriate officers, boards and commissions, will itself proceed, upon the termination of said time, to disinter the bodies remaining in said cemetery and reinter them in another cemetery or cemeteries outside of the limits of the said city and county.

Section 4. It is hereby ordained that the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco shall, within sixty days from the date upon which this ordinance becomes effective, adopt reasonable rules and regulations relative to the manner of disinterring, transporting and removing such bodies, and shall, upon adoption thereof, cause the same to be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of sixty days, and shall forthwith forward by registered mail to the governing body owning or controlling said cemetery, a copy thereof. Said Director of Public Health shall, from time to time, adopt and promulgate, in the same manner, any reasonable and necessary modifications or changes in said rules and regulations. All disinterments, transportation and removal of human remains from said cemetery made under the provisions of this ordinance and under the provisions of the said act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, shall be made and performed subject to the rules and regulations adopted by the Director of Public Health as herein provided.

Section 5. It is hereby ordained that any cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person owning or controlling any such cemetery lands from which the bodies interred therein are to be removed in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance and the provisions of the act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, may reserve sufficient land from such cemetery lands from which the human remains have been removed, to erect a memorial mausoleum or columbarium for the depositing therein of the bodies disinterred from such cemetery lands, and may provide sufficient grounds around the same for the beautification thereof, and may preserve such historical vaults or monuments as the board of directors or other governing body of said corporation or association, or the incumbent of said corporation sole, may determine to be proper or necessary.

Provided, however, that the land thus reserved for such mausoleum, columbarium, grounds, vaults and monuments shall not, in the aggregate for said cemetery, exceed in area ten per centum of the existing

area of said cemetery, and provided, further, that plans for said mausoleums, columbariums and grounds shall first be filed with the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and approved by said board by resolution thereof.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

J. J. Casey, City Engineer, reported that he was not ready to report.

#### Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Reilly, moved that further action be postponed until Monday, May 24, 1937, and made a Special Order of Business for 2:30 p. m.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Reilly, McSheehy, Meyer, Roncovieri—4.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

#### Final Passage.

Whereupon, the roll was called on final passage of the foregoing bill providing for the removal of Calvary Cemetery, and the motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Reilly—2.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

#### Motion to Reconsider.

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced Supervisor McSheehy changed his vote from *no* to *aye* and moved for reconsideration at the next meeting.

Supervisor Uhl moved suspension of the rules for immediate reconsideration.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Reilly, Roncovieri—3.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Whereupon, the Chair announced that motion for reconsideration made by Supervisor McSheehy would be taken up next Monday at 3:30 p. m.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

#### Prohibiting the Use, Sale or Possession of Balloons Inflated with Inflammable or Explosive Gases.

(Code No. 11.00)

Bill No. 1294, Ordinance No. 11.0015, as follows:

Prohibiting the use, sale or possession of balloons inflated with inflammable or explosive gases.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to use, sell or possess any balloon inflated with inflammable or explosive gases.



Section 2. Any person, firm or corporation violating the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and punishable by a fine not to exceed five hundred (\$500) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail not exceeding six (6) months or by both such fine and imprisonment.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

### **Amending Traffic Ordinance to Prohibit Parking on Certain Streets.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Also, Bill No. 1307, Ordinance No. 11.0230, as follows:

Amending Section 37 (a), Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 37 (a), Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following words:

Kearny street, from Broadway to Vallejo street.

East side of Columbia Square, between Folsom street and Harrison street.

North side of Mountain Spring avenue, westerly for a distance of 150 feet from Glenbrook avenue.

South side of Twentieth street, from Illinois street to Massachusetts street.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

### **Reducing Walk Widths on Grove Street, Between Franklin and Gough Streets, From 15 to 10 Feet.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1308, Ordinance No. 12.0731104, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Ninety-two (1192).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office April 21, 1937, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Ninety-two (1192), to read as follows:

Section 1192. The width of sidewalks on Grove street, between Franklin and Gough streets, shall be 10 feet.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Authorizing Relinquishment of Portion of San Andres-College Hill  
Pipe Line Easement to E. D. Conolley.**

(Code No. 15.0242)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Bill No. 1312, Ordinance No. 15.02426, as follows:

Authorizing relinquishment of portion of San Andres-College Hill pipe line easement to E. D. Conolley.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a quitclaim deed relinquishing to E. D. Conolley whatever interest, if any, the City and County of San Francisco, as successor in interest to Spring Valley Water Company, may own in a certain water pipe line easement over Block 28 as per map entitled, "West End Map No. 1," recorded May 1, 1863, in Book 2 "A and B" of Maps, at page 45, Records of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Section 2. The above mentioned easement is described as Parcel 9 in deed from E. H. Payson et al. to Spring Valley Water Company, dated July 8, 1910, and recorded July 11, 1910, in Liber 421 of Deeds, at page 200, Records of the City and County of San Francisco. The portion of the San Andres-College Hill pipe line, formerly located on said easement, has been removed from Block 28 and relocated in the adjoining public streets.

Section 3. To defray the cost of preparing the necessary papers, the Director of Property shall collect \$5 from the owner of Block 28 before delivering the quitclaim deed.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Authorizing Lease of Restaurant, Service Station and Other Concessions at San Francisco Airport.**

(Code No. 12.17354)

Also, Bill No. 1313, Ordinance No. 12.173545, as follows:

Authorizing lease of restaurant, service station and other concessions at San Francisco Airport.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 93 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Director of Property is hereby authorized to arrange for leasing space for restaurant purposes and other concessions in the administration building at the San Francisco Airport in San Mateo County, California, and to arrange for leasing sites for a gasoline service station and garage at the airport. Such leases shall be awarded to the highest responsible bidder or bidders at the highest monthly rental, subject to confirmation by the Public Utilities Commission and the Board of Supervisors.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.



**NEW BUSINESS.****Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Appropriation of \$75,832 for Relief for June, 1937.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1326, Ordinance No. 9.051385, as follows:

Appropriating \$75,832 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of June, 1937, and authorizing a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$75,832 is hereby appropriated out of such funds as may be available for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of June, 1937.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County of San Francisco and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations are fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved by the Civil Service Commission are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution 2519 heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Authorizing Exchange of Lands With John P. Cosgrove.**

(Code No. 12.1743)

Also, Bill No. 1327, Ordinance No. 12.17432, as follows:

Authorizing exchange of lands with John P. Cosgrove.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, the Director of Property, in lieu of sale, is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for trading certain City-owned land hereinafter referred to as Parcel "A" to John P. Cosgrove in exchange for Parcels 1 and 2 hereinafter described.

Section 2. Said Parcel "A" is that certain tract of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described in Ordinance No. 12.17298, Bill No. 1297, approved by the Mayor of San Francisco on April 27, 1937, which tract of land was proposed to be sold under the provisions of said Ordinance No. 12.17298.

Section 3. The Director of Property has made an appraisal of all of said real property and estimates the value of Parcel "A" to be equal to the value of Parcels 1 and 2.

Section 4. Parcels 1 and 2 hereinbefore referred to are situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and are more particularly described as follows:

PARCEL 1. Commencing at a point on the southwesterly line of Harvard street, distant thereon 100 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Wayland street; running thence southeasterly along the southwesterly line of Harvard street, 100 feet; thence at a right

angle southwesterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet to the southwesterly line of Harvard street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Block No. 165, University Extension Homestead Association.

PARCEL 2. Commencing at a point on the southwesterly line of Arleta avenue, distant thereon 275 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Elliot street, running thence northwesterly along the southwesterly line of Arleta avenue, 25 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 100 feet to the southwesterly line of Arleta avenue and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Block No. 16 of the Reis Tract.

Section 5. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary deed for the conveyance of Parcel "A" to John P. Cosgrove or his assignee. The Director of Property shall deliver said conveyance to the grantee upon receipt of the necessary deed to Parcels 1 and 2, and shall record the latter deed.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

### Islais Creek Reclamation District Warrants.

(Code No. 12.04)

Resolution No. 3256, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District:

No. 759, to San Francisco Chronicle for \$9.66; No. 760, to The Islais Co., Ltd., for \$151.31; No. 761, to The Islais Co., Ltd., for \$581.68; No. 762, to The Islais Co., Ltd., for \$17.38; No. 763, to The Islais Co., Ltd., for \$621.17; No. 764, to Timothy E. Treacy for \$13.85; No. 765, to San Francisco & Fresno Land Co. for \$1,093.45; No. 766, to Lillian K. Treacy for \$26.09; No. 767, to Director of Public Works for \$334.05; No. 768, to Mary Reilly for \$12.15; No. 769, to P. F. Reilly for \$197.19; No. 770, to P. F. Reilly for \$144.43; No. 771, to Anglo California National Bank for \$104.31; No. 772, to Wm. Finnigan for \$146.70; No. 773, to Henry Windt for \$50.31; No. 774, to Henry Windt for \$212.04; No. 775, to Henry Windt for \$42.95; No. 776, to Henry Windt for \$35.33; No. 777, to M. Laurance Montgomery for \$27; No. 778, to City Construction Co. for \$133.38; No. 779, to Western Loose Leaf Co. for \$4.22; No. 780, to Edward Barry Co. for \$17.77; payable out of the funds of said district, be and the same are hereby approved; and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.



**Release of Lien Filed Re Old Age Security.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3257, as follows:

Resolved, That the liens heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, on recommendation of the County Welfare Department, are released against the properties described as follows:

Peter Gerhard, recorded in Vol. 3011, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco, August 10, 1937; legal description, Lot 17, Block 2806, Assessor's Map.

Mary Inch, recorded in Vol. 3011, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco, page 49.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Fixing Rate for Publishing and Distributing Delinquent Tax List.**

(Code No. 3.03)

Also, Resolution No. 3258, as follows:

Resolved, That the official rate for printing, publishing and distributing the Delinquent Tax List of the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1937, is hereby set at 2.8 cents per twelve-em line of six-point type solid, per issue, for the Delinquent Tax List and 3 cents per twelve-em line of six-point solid for the Sales List. These rates are to include the entire cost for the three publications, together with all charges appertaining to the publication of the Tax List, including all specially bound books and other conditions necessary for the legal publications as specified and outlined by the Tax Collector.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Appropriation Transfers, Police Department.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1328, Ordinance No. 9.051386, as follows:

An ordinance authorizing a supplemental appropriation out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 609.102.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 609.101.00, creating certain positions herein designated and providing the funds for the compensations thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$5,075 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 609.102.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 609.101.00.

Section 2. The following positions authorized by amendment to Section 35 of the Charter, approved by the electorate on March 9, 1937, are hereby established:

Deputy Chief of Police .....	\$450.00	per month
Department Secretary .....	400.00	" "
Director, Bureau of Personnel .....	333.33	" "
Director, Bureau of Criminal Information...	333.33	" "
Director, Bureau of Special Services.....	250.00	" "

Supervising Captain ..... 333.33 " "  
 Inspector of School Traffic Patrols..... 230.00 " "

Recommended by William J. Quinn, Chief of Police.

Approved by Michael L. Riordan, Secretary, Board of Police Commissioners.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Authorizing Sale of Old Fire House on West Side of Second Avenue, Near Clement Street.

(Code No. 12.1726)

Resolution No. 3259, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Fire Commissioners, that the Director of Property be and is hereby authorized to sell at public auction, the old Fire House on the west side of Second avenue, 285 feet south of Clement street, San Francisco.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale to be executed by the Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

#### Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land for Sunset Reservoir.

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 3260, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Mary B. Fitzgerald, Lot 32, Assessor's Block 2108, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Sunset Reservoir, for the sum of \$700, payable from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 262443.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

#### Withdrawal From Sale—Property Erroneously Assessed.

(Code No. 9.011)

Also, Resolution No. 3261, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the Assessor in a communication dated May 5, 1937, the following property being erroneously assessed in 1936, must be withdrawn from sale and re-assessed in 1937, in accordance with Section 3806, Political Code, and the Tax Collector is hereby directed not to offer the same for sale:

Vol.	Lot	Block	Page	Vol.	Lot	Block	Page
1	14	99	66	17	4D	2197	4
1	15	128	104	17	1B	2357	98
1	19	74	40	16	26	2114	62



Vol.	Lot	Block	Page	Vol.	Lot	Block	Page
2	29	177	14	18	9	2415	45
3	1	319	3	18	14F	2427	64
3	28	460A	54	18	14G	2427	64
5	1	722	22	18	3H	2480	115
5	29	793	79	18	3L	2480	115
5	12	820	98	18	11	2481	117
5	12	851	131	20	2/3	2856	44
6	16	994	101	23	33	3549	76
6	9	998	104	24	81	3583	21
7	26	1089	51	24	2/3	3589	35
7	26A	1126	62	24	18	3594	45
7	14	1128	64	24	14	3617	110
8	24	1225	22	24	24	3621	122
8	17	1276	99	25	62	3642	29
8	4A	1301	122	25	6	3737 1/5	132
9	28	1374	42	25	6	3737 4/5	132
9	34	1404	79	26	18	3764	45
10	41	1498	77	27	9	4073	20
11	2A	1565	58	30	3A	4940	48
11	2B	1578	81	30	14	4942	50
12	5B	1624	15	32	49	5328	36
12	5B	1625	17	35	11/12	5812	2
12	7	1686	131	35	24	5959	114
13	11	1708	12	37	17/18	6192	40
13	1G	1719	32	37	8	6245	83
13	30	1730	54	40	39	6654	91
13	30	1731	55	40	41A	6657	98
13	13B	1777	123	40	1/2	6753	73
14	33/34	1833	76	41	17	6785	113
14	1	1867	130	42	4	6964	79
15	42/45	1903	45	42	36	6970	91
15	10	1926	74	43	14	7080	106
15	2	1934	85	43	15	7080	106
15	17A	2020	106	44	25	7100	9
				44	45	7159	83

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

### Approving County Welfare Recommendations.

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3262, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Director of County Welfare Department, filed with the Board of Supervisors on May 7, 1937, are approved as follows:

Old Age Security Aid—Increases; decreases; cancellations; other transactions.

Widows' Pensions—Decreases; cancellations; other transactions.

Blind Pensions—Cancellations; other transactions.

And the Clerk of this Board is directed to indorse the approval of this Board upon the reports of said Director of County Welfare Department and to transmit the same to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Action Deferred.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up and on motion laid over one week:

**Appropriation of \$25,000, Painting and Tiling Stockton Street Tunnel.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1311, Ordinance No. 9.051380, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$25,000 out of the accrued surplus existing in the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 648.993.00 for the purpose of painting and tiling the Stockton street tunnel.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$25,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the accrued surplus existing in the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 648.993.00 for the purpose of painting and tiling the Stockton street tunnel.

Recommended by: W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.

Approved by: Alfred I. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by: John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved by: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by: Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

**Creating Underground District No. 87, Franklin Street, From the South Side of Golden Gate Avenue to Bay Street.**

(Code No. 11.12)

Bill No. 1329, Ordinance No. 11.1220, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled, "Providing for Placing Wires and Conduits Underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section UUU.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Order No. 214 (Second Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding a new section to be known as Section UUU.

Section UUU. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires except trolley poles and wires, after the permanent improvement by physical widening of the roadway of Franklin street from the south side of Golden Gate avenue to Bay street, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 87, Franklin street from south side of Golden Gate avenue to Bay street.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

**Approving Map Showing the Widening of Oregon Street at The Embarcadero.**

(Code No. 12.0821)

Resolution No. 3163, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Map Showing the



Widening of Oregon Street at The Embarcadero," approved the 21st day of April, 1937, by Acting Director of Public Works Order No. 6035, be and is hereby approved, and the hatched portion shown thereon is hereby declared to be an open public street to be known as Oregon street.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Memorializing Legislature to Include Portion of Junipero Serra Boulevard in State Highway System.**

(Code No. 5.31)

Also, Resolution No. 3164, as follows:

Whereas, there is now pending before the Legislature of the State of California Senate Bill No. 688, which has for its purpose the inclusion in the State Highway System of at least the completed portion of Junipero Serra boulevard from the San Francisco County Line to the El Camino Real, south of Cypress Lawn Cemetery; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does memorialize the State Legislature and does respectfully urge the passage of this Bill and its companion Bill in the Assembly; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this Resolution be sent to the San Francisco delegation in the Legislature and to Joseph J. McShane, with the request that this measure be given their wholehearted support.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

**Changing Grades—Hunters Point District.**

(Code No. 12.0722)

Bill No. 1330, Ordinance No. 12.072226, as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades in the Hunters Point District.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 8th day of March, 1937, by Resolution No. 3130, declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades in the Hunters Point District; and

Whereas, said Resolution was so published for ten days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said Resolution of Intention caused notices of the passage of said Resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the Resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days have elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as herein-after stated, are hereby changed and established, as follows:

<i>Evans avenue:</i>	<i>Feet</i>
Alvord street .....	3.00
100 feet northwesterly from Alvord street .....	5.50
200 feet northwesterly from Alvord street .....	7.19

	<i>Feet</i>
300 feet northwesterly from Alvord street .....	7.25
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
Boalt street .....	5.00
300 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	6.50
Coleman street .....	5.00
200 feet southeasterly from Donahue street.....	7.00
Donahue street .....	6.00
100 feet northwesterly from Donahue street .....	6.50
Earl street .....	4.00
200 feet northwesterly from Earl street .....	5.00
Fitch street .....	3.00
100 feet northwesterly from Fitch street .....	3.50
Griffith street .....	1.00
300 feet northwesterly from Griffith street.....	2.50
Hawes street .....	1.00
47.37 feet easterly from Ingalls street .....	27.34
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*Fairfax avenue:*

Northeasterly line of, at Alvord street .....	13.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Alvord street center line .....	17.00
100 feet northwesterly from Alvord street .....	18.02
300 feet northwesterly from Alvord street .....	24.50
Boalt street .....	26.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
146.54 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	28.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Coleman street .....	6.00
200 feet southeasterly from Donahue street .....	8.00
Donahue street .....	7.00
100 feet northwesterly from Donahue street .....	7.50
Earl street .....	5.00
200 feet northwesterly from Earl street .....	6.00
Fitch street .....	4.00
100 feet northwesterly from Fitch street .....	4.50
Griffith street .....	2.00
Hawes street .....	20.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*Galvez avenue:*

13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Alvord street	57.35
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Alvord street	58.65
Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Alvord street center line.....	56.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Alvord street center line .....	60.00
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Alvord street .....	55.15
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Alvord street .....	55.15
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 100 feet southeasterly from Boalt street .....	53.15
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 100 feet southeasterly from Boalt street .....	53.15
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Boalt street.	51.35
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Boalt street	52.65
Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Boalt street center line .....	50.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Boalt street center line .....	54.00
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 50 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	49.47



	<i>Feet</i>
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 50 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	49.47
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 393.56 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	43.68
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 393.56 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	43.68
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 343.56 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	41.06
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 343.56 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	42.24
10 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 116.83 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	33.50
(The same being the present official grade.)	
10 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Cole- man street southeasterly line .....	30.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
10 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Cole- man street southeasterly line .....	30.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Southwesterly line of, 10 feet northwesterly from Coleman street southeasterly line .....	30.00
Northeasterly line of, 10 feet northwesterly from Coleman street southeasterly line .....	29.00
Southwesterly line of, 10 feet southeasterly from Coleman street northwesterly line .....	29.00
Northeasterly line of, 10 feet southeasterly from Coleman street northwesterly line .....	28.00
10 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Cole- man street northwesterly line .....	28.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
10 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Cole- man street northwesterly line .....	28.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
80 feet southeasterly from Donahue street northwesterly line	36.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Donahue street northwesterly line .....	36.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
200 feet northwesterly from Donahue street .....	18.00
300 feet northwesterly from Donahue street .....	11.00
400 feet northwesterly from Donahue street .....	8.00
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
Earl street .....	6.00
200 feet northwesterly from Earl street .....	7.00
Fitch street .....	5.00
100 feet northwesterly from Fitch street .....	5.50
Griffith street .....	3.00
Hawes street .....	20.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*Hudson avenue:*

13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Alvord street .....	105.35
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Alvord street .....	106.65
Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Alvord street center line .....	104.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Alvord street center line .....	108.00
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Alvord street .....	104.00
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Alvord street .....	104.00

	<i>Feet</i>
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 100 feet southeasterly from Boalt street .....	96.00
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 100 feet southeasterly from Boalt street .....	96.00
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Boalt street .....	93.35
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Boalt street .....	94.65
Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Boalt street center line .....	92.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Boalt street center line .....	96.00
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	92.73
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 220 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	91.00
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	82.27
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Coleman street .....	74.35
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Coleman street .....	75.65
Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Coleman street center line .....	73.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Coleman street center line .....	77.00
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	73.77
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 354 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	72.29
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 404 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	70.06
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 454 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	63.95
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described lines.)	
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Donahue street southeasterly line .....	43.00
Northeasterly line of, at Donahue street .....	42.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Southwesterly line of, at Donahue street .....	44.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Donahue street northwesterly line .....	43.00
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Donahue street .....	28.60
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 250 feet northwesterly from Donahue street .....	20.54
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 350 feet northwesterly from Donahue street .....	15.58
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described lines.)	



	<i>Feet</i>
Earl street .....	7.00
200 feet northwesterly from Earl street .....	8.00
Fitch street .....	6.00
100 feet northwesterly from Fitch street .....	6.50
Griffith street .....	4.00
Hawes street .....	30.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*Innes avenue:*

Grades at Alvord street be abolished.

At points 13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Boalt street .....	135.35
At points 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Boalt street .....	136.65
Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Boalt street center line ....	134.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Boalt street center line ....	138.00
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	134.50
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 200 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	131.91
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 140 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	130.56
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 80 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	127.53
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 200 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	131.91
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 140 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	130.70
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 80 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	128.08
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Coleman street .....	122.35
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Coleman street .....	123.65
Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Coleman street center line ..	121.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Coleman street center line....	125.00
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 30 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	121.77
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 70 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	119.99
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 110 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	116.22
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 30 feet northwesterly from Coleman street.....	122.51
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 70 feet northwesterly from Coleman street.....	120.18
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 110 feet northwesterly from Coleman street.....	116.22
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
At points 13 feet northeasterly and southwesterly from the center line of, 330 feet northwesterly from Coleman street.	89.92

*Feet*

At points 13 feet northeasterly and southwesterly from the center line of, 380 feet northwesterly from Coleman street.	83.36
At points 13 feet northeasterly and southwesterly from the center line of, 430 feet northwesterly from Coleman street.	75.63
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described lines.)	
80 feet easterly from Donahue street westerly line.....	50.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*Jerrold avenue:*

Water Front street .....	Base
Dock street intersection .....	Base
Ship street .....	6.00
Grades between Ship street and Boalt street abolished.	
Northeasterly line of, at Boalt street.....	107.00
Southwesterly line of, at Boalt street.....	103.00
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Coleman street .....	128.00
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Coleman street .....	128.00
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Coleman street center line.....	128.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Coleman street center line.....	128.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 75 feet northwesterly from Coleman street.	127.40
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 125 feet northwesterly from Coleman street.	125.76
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 175 feet northwesterly from Coleman street.	121.65
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 265 feet northwesterly from Coleman street.	112.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 135 feet southeasterly from Donahue street.	96.00
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Donahue street .....	87.35
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Donahue street .....	88.65
Northeasterly line of, at points 22 feet northwesterly and 22 feet southeasterly from Donahue street center line .....	86.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 22 feet northwesterly and 22 feet southeasterly from Donahue street center line .....	90.00
Earl street .....	44.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*Kirkwood avenue:*

Ship street .....	8.00
300 feet northwesterly from Ship street .....	9.50
Alvord street .....	8.00
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Boalt street	53.65
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Boalt street	52.35
Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Boalt street center line.....	55.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Boalt street center line.....	51.00
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	54.40
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Boalt street.....	56.58
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 250 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	62.62



Feet

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	54.08
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	56.50
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 250 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	62.62
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 222 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	83.03
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 172 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	91.45
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 122 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	100.78
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 222 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	83.03
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 172 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	91.35
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 122 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	100.40
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Coleman street .....	124.65
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Coleman street .....	123.35
Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Coleman street center line .....	126.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Coleman street center line .....	122.00
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 30 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	124.38
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 70 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	122.46
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 110 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	117.50
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 30 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	123.63
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 70 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	122.28
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 110 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	117.50
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	111.00
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 230 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	101.10
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 310 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	97.43
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	111.00
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 230 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	101.07

	<i>Feet</i>
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 310 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	97.28
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Donahue street .....	95.33
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Donahue street .....	94.67
Northeasterly line of, at points 22 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Donahue street center line .....	96.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 22 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Donahue street center line .....	94.00
Earl street .....	64.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*La Salle avenue:*

Abolish grades between Alvord and Boalt streets.

13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Boalt street	19.65
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Boalt street	18.35
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 80 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	23.56
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 130 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	26.70
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 180 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	31.25
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 80 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	23.06
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 130 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	26.50
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 180 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	31.25
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the cen- ter line of, 280 feet northwesterly from Boalt street ....	41.75
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the cen- ter line of, 330 feet northwesterly from Boalt street.....	47.27
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the cen- ter line of, 380 feet northwesterly from Boalt street ....	53.33
(Vertical curve passing through the last three elevations.)	
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 170 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	59.67
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 120 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	65.73
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 70 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	71.27
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 170 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	59.67
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 120 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	65.60
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 70 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	70.73
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of Coleman street.	78.65
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of Coleman street.	77.35
Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Coleman street center line.....	80.00



	<i>Feet</i>
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Coleman street center line.....	76.00
Northeasterly line of, at Donahue street.....	70.00
Southwesterly line of, at Donahue street.....	66.00
Earl street .....	84.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
<i>McKinnon avenue:</i>	
Grades between Alvord street and Earl street abolished.	
<i>Newcomb avenue:</i>	
Grades between Alvord street and Fitch street abolished.	
<i>Oakdale avenue:</i>	
Grades between Alvord street and Fitch street abolished.	
<i>Palou avenue:</i>	
Grades between Boalt street and Fitch street abolished.	
<i>Quesada avenue:</i>	
Grades between Coleman street and Griffith street abolished	
<i>Revere avenue:</i>	
Grades between Donahue street and Griffith street abolished.	
<i>Water Front street:</i>	
Jerrold avenue .....	Base
<i>Ship street:</i>	
Jerrold avenue .....	6.00
Kirkwood avenue .....	8.00
<i>Alvord street:</i>	
Evans avenue .....	3.00
Fairfax avenue northeasterly line.....	13.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Fairfax avenue southwesterly line.....	17.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Galvez avenue northeasterly line.....	56.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Galvez avenue southwesterly line.....	60.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Hudson avenue northeasterly line.....	104.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Hudson avenue southwesterly line.....	108.00
Abolish grades on Alvord street between Hudson avenue and Kirkwood avenue and between Kirkwood avenue and Oakdale avenue.	
<i>Boalt street:</i>	
Evans avenue .....	5.00
Fairfax avenue .....	26.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Galvez avenue northeasterly line.....	50.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Galvez avenue southwesterly line.....	54.00
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 50 feet southwesterly from Galvez avenue.....	62.00
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 100 feet southwesterly from Galvez avenue.....	70.75
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 150 feet southwesterly from Galvez avenue.....	81.00
(Vertical curve passing through the last three elevations.)	
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Hudson avenue northeasterly line.....	92.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Hudson avenue southwesterly line.....	96.00

*Feet*

13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 110 feet northeasterly from Innes avenue.....	116.08
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 70 feet northeasterly from Innes avenue.....	124.05
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 30 feet northeasterly from Innes avenue.....	130.14
(Vertical curve passing through the last three elevations.)	
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Innes avenue northeasterly line.....	134.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Innes avenue southwesterly line.....	138.00
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 20 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue.....	137.83
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 60 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue.....	135.40
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 100 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue.....	128.79
(Vertical curve passing through the last three elevations.)	
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Jerrold avenue northeasterly line.....	107.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Jerrold avenue southwesterly line.....	103.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Kirkwood avenue northeasterly line.....	55.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Kirkwood avenue southwesterly line.....	51.00
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 70 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue.....	35.25
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 120 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue....	26.34
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 170 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue....	22.13
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)	
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at La Salle avenue northeasterly line.....	21.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at La Salle avenue southwesterly line.....	17.00
Abolish grades on Boalt street between La Salle avenue and Palou avenue.	

*Coleman street:*

Evans avenue .....	5.00
Fairfax avenue .....	6.00
13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Galvez avenue northeasterly line .....	29.00
13 feet northwesterly from the center line of, at Galvez avenue northeasterly line .....	28.00
Northwesterly line of, 10 feet southwesterly from Galvez avenue northeasterly line .....	28.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Southeasterly line of, 10 feet southwesterly from Galvez avenue northeasterly line .....	30.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Northwesterly line of, 10 feet northeasterly from Galvez avenue southwesterly line .....	28.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Southeasterly line of, 10 feet northeasterly from Galvez avenue southwesterly line .....	30.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Galvez avenue southwesterly line .....	30.00



	<i>Feet</i>
13 feet northwesterly from the center line of, at Galvez avenue southwesterly line .....	29.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Hudson avenue northeasterly line.....	73.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Hudson avenue southwesterly line....	77.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Innes avenue northeasterly line.....	121.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Innes avenue southwesterly line.....	125.00
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 60 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue.....	128.00
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 100 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue.....	129.30
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 140 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue.....	129.20
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)	
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Jerrold avenue northeasterly line.....	128.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Jerrold avenue southwesterly line.....	128.00
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 60 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue.....	131.00
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 100 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue.....	131.80
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 140 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue.....	130.20
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)	
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Kirkwood avenue northeasterly line.....	126.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Kirkwood avenue southwesterly line.....	122.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at La Salle avenue northeasterly line.....	80.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at La Salle avenue southwesterly line.....	76.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at McKinnon avenue northeasterly line.....	34.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at McKinnon avenue southwesterly line.....	30.00
Abolish grades on Coleman street between McKinnon avenue and Quesada avenue.	

*Donahue street:*

Evans avenue .....	6.00
Fairfax avenue .....	7.00
Galvez avenue .....	36.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Hudson avenue northeasterly line.....	42.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Hudson avenue southwesterly line .....	44.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Innes avenue .....	50.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Jerrold avenue northeasterly line .....	86.00
Jerrold avenue southwesterly line .....	90.00
90 feet northeasterly from Kirkwood avenue.....	96.29
60 feet northeasterly from Kirkwood avenue.....	97.32
30 feet northeasterly from Kirkwood avenue.....	97.00

Feet

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Kirkwood avenue, northeasterly line .....	96.00
Kirkwood avenue, southwesterly line .....	94.00
La Salle avenue, northeasterly line .....	70.00
La Salle avenue, southwesterly line .....	66.00
20 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue.....	65.00
McKinnon avenue, northeasterly line .....	38.00
McKinnon avenue, southwesterly line .....	34.00
51.67 feet northeasterly from Newcomb avenue.....	11.75
26.67 feet northeasterly from Newcomb avenue.....	8.93
1.67 feet northeasterly from Newcomb avenue.....	8.00
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
Newcomb avenue .....	8.00
Abolish grades between Newcomb and Revere avenues.	

*Earl street:*

Evans avenue .....	4.00
Fairfax avenue .....	5.00
Galvez avenue .....	6.00
Hudson avenue .....	7.00
Southeasterly line of, at Innes avenue .....	51.50
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Northwesterly line of, at Innes avenue.....	49.50
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Abolish grades between McKinnon and Revere avenues.	

*Fitch street:*

Evans avenue .....	3.00
Fairfax avenue .....	4.00
Galvez avenue .....	5.00
Hudson avenue .....	6.00
Southeasterly line of, at Innes avenue.....	31.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Northwesterly line of, at Innes avenue.....	30.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Abolish grades between Palou and Shafter avenues.	

*Griffith street:*

Evans avenue .....	1.00
Fairfax avenue .....	2.00
Galvez avenue .....	3.00
Hudson avenue .....	4.00
Innes avenue .....	22.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	

On Evans avenue between Alvord street and a point 47.37 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street; on Fairfax avenue between Alvord and Hawes streets; on Galvez avenue between Alvord street and a line parallel with Coleman street and 343.56 feet southeasterly therefrom and between Donahue and Hawes streets; on Hudson street between Alvord and Hawes streets; on Innes avenue between Alvord street and Donahue street; on Jerrold avenue between Water Front street and Earl street; on Kirkwood avenue between Ship street and Earl street; on La Salle and McKinnon avenues between Alvord and Earl



streets; on Newcomb and Oakdale avenues between Alvord and Fitch streets; on Palou avenue between Boalt and Fitch streets; on Quesada avenue between Coleman and Griffith streets; on Revere avenue between Donahue and Griffith streets; on Ship street between Jerrold and Kirkwood avenues; on Alvord street between Evans and Oakdale avenues; on Boalt street between Evans and Palou avenues; on Coleman street between Evans and Quesada avenues; on Donahue street between Evans and Galvez avenues and Innes and Revere avenues; on Earl street between Evans and Innes avenue and between McKinnon and Revere avenues; on Fitch street between Evans and Innes avenues and between Palou and Shafter avenues, and on Griffith street between Evans and Innes avenues be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

### Adopted.

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

**Approving Map of Silver Terrace, Accepting Certain Streets Therein, and Approving and Accepting Bond for Taxes in Connection Therewith.**

(Code No. 12.0821)

Resolution No. 3165, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain Map entitled "Subdivision of a Portion of Lot Number 21, Silver Terrace, San Francisco, California, composed of 2 sheets," approved the 28th day of April, 1937, by Acting Director of Public Works Order No. 6082, be and is hereby approved and adopted as the official map of Subdivision of a Portion of Lot Number 21, Silver Terrace, San Francisco, California, and the portions of land designated thereon as Santa Fe avenue and Topeka avenue are hereby accepted on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco and declared to be open public streets to be known by the aforementioned names as they appear on said map; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain deed dated the 14th day of April, 1937, from Marshal T. Struthers and Bernice M. Struthers, to those parcels of land comprising the aforementioned streets as delineated upon said map, and be it

Further Resolved, That that certain bond in the sum of \$200, executed the 22d day of April, 1937, between Marshal T. Struthers and Bernice M. Struthers, his wife, as principal, and Eliza A. Salter and Edward McFadden, as sureties, running to the City and County of San Francisco, conditioned for the payment of all taxes or special assessments collected as taxes which are at the time of filing said map, a lien against the land or any part thereof as shown upon said map but not yet payable, be and is hereby approved and accepted, the said sum of \$200 being an estimate by the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco of the amount of taxes which are a lien upon the property described in said map, and which are not yet payable.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

**Ordering the Construction or Reconstruction of Sidewalks on Certain Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Bill No. 1331, Ordinance No. 12.061173, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 19, 1937, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of:

California street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Tenth avenue and 107 feet 6 inches east.

Clay street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Spruce street and 87 feet six inches east.

Clay street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Scott street and 81 feet 3 inches west.

Clay street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 118 feet nine inches and 168 feet 9 inches east of Fillmore street.

Cole street (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Haight street and 100 feet south.

Divisadero street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Grove street and 34 feet six inches south.

Eddy street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Steiner street and 25 feet west.

Eighth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 225 feet and 275 feet south of Geary boulevard.

Fillmore street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 50 feet and 75 feet south of Hayes street.

Fillmore street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Fell street and 100 feet north.

Fourth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 150 feet and 175 feet south of Cornwall street.

Fresno street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Romolo place and 57 feet six inches west.

Geary boulevard (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 150 feet and 345.552 feet west of Stanyan street.

Geary boulevard (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Boyce street and 53 feet 4 inches east.

Grove street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Divisadero street and 109 feet 10 $\frac{1}{2}$  inches west.



Harrison street (NW.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 68 feet 9 inches and 162 feet 6 inches northeast of First street.

Harrison street (SE.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Fremont street and 180 feet 6 inches southwest.

Harrison street between Main and Beale streets.

Harrison street (SE.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Beale and Fremont streets.

Harrison street (NW.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Beale street and 137 feet 6 inches southwest.

Linden avenue (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 27 feet 6 inches and 55 feet west of Laguna street.

Locust street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Clay street and 102 feet 8 $\frac{1}{4}$  inches south.

Lombard street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Mason street and 70 feet east.

Marina boulevard (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 194.686 feet and 224.686 feet west of Fillmore street.

Mason street (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Lombard street and 23 feet north.

Mason street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 99 feet and 118 feet north of Lombard street.

Mason street (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Greenwich street and 62 feet 6 inches south.

Masonic avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Waller street and 32 feet 6 inches north.

Newell street (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Lombard street and 80 feet north.

O'Farrell street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 137 feet 6 inches and 160 feet east of Scott street.

Pierce street (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Post and Sutter streets.

Scott street (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 106 feet 6 inches and 137 feet six inches south of O'Farrell street.

Second street (NE.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Harrison street and 206 feet 3 inches northwest.

Steiner street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Eddy street and 100 feet north.

Third avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 200 feet and 225 feet south of Clement street.

Union street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 27 feet 6 inches and 55 feet west of Buchanan street.

Union street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 45 feet and 92 feet 6 inches east of Hyde street.

Valencia street (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Twenty-second street and 55 feet south.

Waller street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Masonic avenue and 106 feet 3 inches west.

Willow street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 88 feet 8 $\frac{1}{2}$  inches and 137 feet 6 inches east of Gough street.

by the construction or reconstruction of two-course concrete sidewalks of the full official width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks are defective or not already constructed to the official grade, and the improvement of

France avenue (S. W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between London street and 100' northwest.

France avenue (S. W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Paris street and 100' northwest.

France avenue (N. E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Moscow street and 25' northwest.

France avenue (S. W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Edinburgh and Madrid streets.

by the construction of two-course concrete sidewalks six (6) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width are not already constructed.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Block 64, Lot 17; Block 65, Lots 6, 10; Block 90, Lots 20, 21; Block 97, Lot 18; Block 145, Lots 18, 19; Block 419A, Lot 26; Block 532, Lot 8; Block 629, Lots 26, 27; Block 682, Lots 3, 4; Block 728, Lots 18, 24; Block 730, Lot 7; Block 737, Lot 13; Block 819, Lot 25; Block 822, Lots 3, 8; Block 1003, Lot 1; Block 1011, Lots 1, 21; Block 1066, Lot 28; Block 1084, Lot 3; Block 1201, Lot 1; Block 1244, Lot 8; Block 1247, Lots 19, 20, 21, 22; Block 1424, Lots 35, 37; Block 1430, Lot 42; Block 1434,

Lot 33; Block 1536, Lot 5; Block 3636, Lot 34; Block 3746, Lots 12, 13, 14; Block 3747, Lot 1; Block 3748, Lots 13, 14; Block 3749, Lots 26, 27; Block 3765, Lots 1, 14; Block 3766, Lots 1, 2, 4; Block 3767, Lots 1, 9, 10, 11.

Block 6280, Lot 18; Block 6342, Lots 1, 40; Block 6345, Lot 1; Block 6346, Lot 1.

All being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

#### Refused Adoption.

The following resolution presented by Supervisor McSheehy without recommendation of Public Utilities Committee was taken up:

#### Declaration of Policy With Regard to Re-Submission of Revenue Bonds.

(Code No. 15.032)

Resolution No. 3226, as follows:

Whereas, Senate Bill No. 200, known as the "Revenue Bond Act of 1937," has been passed by the Legislature and approved by the Governor, which said act will enable the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to submit directly to the people without a Charter amendment, the question of issuing revenue bonds for the purpose of financing the cost of acquisition of a system to distribute the hydro electric power produced on the Hetch Hetchy project, which said act will become effective approximately on September 1, 1937; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that it is the intention of said Board to submit to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco within seventy-five days after the date of the aforesaid act a proposition to issue revenue bonds in conformity with the "Revenue Bond Act of 1937," in an amount sufficient to defray the cost of the acquisition of a system for the direct distribution to the people of the City and County of the hydro electric power generated on the Hetch Hetchy Project, in conformity with such plans as may be submitted therefor by the Public Utilities Commission of this City and County, the said Board reserving the right to recommend the appointment of experts to check such plan or plans as may be submitted by said Public Utilities Commission; and be it

Further Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby request the Attorney-General of the United States and the Secretary of the Interior to take no action which will prevent the continuation of the present method of distribution of said Hetch Hetchy power pending the final determination of the litigation being prosecuted by the United States in regard thereto; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors, in conjunction with the Public Utilities Commission, outline a program of education to be placed before the people of San Francisco indicating the necessity for the issuance of said revenue bonds as well as the distinction between revenue bonds and general obligation bonds.

#### Motion Lost.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Mead, after correcting third line in the first resolve to read seventy-five days instead of sixty days, moved that the resolution be adopted.

*Motion lost by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.



ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Approving Illness or Disability Leave of Absence Rule of Civil  
Service Commission.

(Code No. 4.052)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Bill No. 1333, Ordinance No. 4.0521, as follows:

An ordinance approving Rule 32 of the Civil Service Commission providing for leaves of absence due to illness or disability, as required by section 153 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco. Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Rule 32 of the Civil Service Commission of the City and County of San Francisco providing for leaves of absence to officers and employees due to illness or disability, which said rule is herein set forth is hereby approved, said rule being in words and figures following, to-wit:

"Rule 32. *Sick Leaves and Disability Leaves, With Pay.*

"Section 1. *General Requirement.* The officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco shall be entitled to sick leaves with full pay, and disability leaves in accordance with laws, rules and regulations of the Retirement Board, subject, however, in both instances, to the provisions of this rule.

"Section 2. *Definition of Sick Leave.* A leave of absence granted under this rule, because of illness or injury, and not covered by section 3 of this rule, shall be known as a 'sick leave.'

"Section 3. *Definition of Disability Leave.* A leave of absence granted under this rule for one of the following causes shall be known as a 'disability leave':

"(a) Absence due to quarantine established and declared by the Department of Public Health or other competent authority.

"(b) Absence necessitated by death of mother, father, husband, wife, child, brother or sister; provided that in such case the leave shall not extend beyond the date of burial of said deceased person.

"(c) Absence necessitated by death of other relatives; but leave with pay in such cases shall be for not more than one day to permit attendance at the funeral of said person.

"(d) Absence due to disability caused by illness or injury arising out of, and in course of, employment.

"Section 4. *Periods of Sick Leave.* Officers and employees who are subject to the provisions of section 153 of the Charter, and who have regularly occupied their positions continuously for at least one year, shall be entitled to two weeks' sick leave, with full pay, annually, during their occupancy of said positions; provided that where it is necessary for the appointing officer to employ and pay a substitute in the stead of an absentee who is paid on an hourly or per diem basis said sick leave shall be without pay for the first five days. Such annual sick leave of two weeks, with pay, when not used, shall be cumulative, but the accumulated unused period of sick leave shall not exceed six (6) months, regardless of length of service, except as provided in section 5 hereof.

"Section 5. *Accumulation of Sick Leaves.* Officers or employees who are in the service of the City and County at the time this rule takes effect shall be entitled to an accumulation of two weeks' sick leave with pay for each year of service, until the maximum of six months' accumulation has been reached; provided that when said maximum accumulation of six months has been reached, and thereafter part of said maximum of six months has been used, the used part of said maxi-

mum may again be replenished at the rate of two weeks for each subsequent year of service. Sick leaves with pay allowed since the present Charter became effective on January 8, 1932, shall be deducted from the above-mentioned accumulations, and a statement, by the applicant, of all such sick leaves had since January 8, 1932, to the date of the adoption of this rule, must accompany all requests for sick leave with pay.

"Section 6. *Periods of Disability Leaves, as Defined in Items (a), (b) and (c) of section 3 hereof.* Leaves of absence, with full pay, allowed because of quarantine established by the Department of Public Health, or other competent authority, shall be for the period of such quarantine only. Leaves of absence with pay, allowed because of deaths of relatives, shall be limited to the periods mentioned in items (b) and (c) of section 3 of this rule.

"Section 7. *Absence Due to Disability Caused by Illness or Injury Arising Out of and In the Course of Employment.* Officers or employees of the City and County of San Francisco who are absent from duty because of disability arising out of and in the course of their employment shall be governed by the provisions of the "Workmen's Compensation Insurance and Safety Act" of the State of California and such rules as may be made under authority thereof by the Industrial Accident Commission; and the allowance of benefits and leaves of absence to said persons, in accordance with the provisions of said act, shall be under the jurisdiction of the Retirement Board.

"Section 8. *Applications for Sick Leave or for Disability Leave.* Applications for Sick Leave or for Disability Leave, as defined in subdivisions (a), (b), and (c) of section 3 of this rule, shall be made to the head of the department in which the person making said application is employed, provided that the Manager of Utilities, the Director of Public Works, the Director of Public Health and the Director of Finance and Records may authorize the head of any department, bureau, division or officer, under their respective jurisdictions, to grant and approve the allowance of sick or disability leaves of absence. When any application for a sick leave of absence or disability leave of absence is denied by the respective persons herein authorized to grant the same, the applicant may appeal to the Civil Service Commission from said denial, and the said Commission shall cause the facts on which said application is based to be investigated and may, upon said investigation, make such order in the premises as said Commission shall deem just, which said order shall be final.

"No sick leave exceeding five (5) days shall be granted to any person unless there is presented by the person asking for said sick leave and with the application therefor, a physician's certificate stating the necessity for said sick leave. The head of the department or other officer to whom said application is made may make such independent investigation as to the necessity for said sick leave as he shall deem proper. When, in case of a sick leave, pay is allowed for the period of the first five days, or any part thereof, the officer, board or commission granting the same shall certify to the Civil Service Commission that he has personally investigated the case and has found it deserving, and in the case of employees paid on an hourly or per diem basis, that no expense was incurred by the City and County by the employment of a substitute for the person on sick leave during the said period. Illness caused by dissipation or by immoral conduct shall not be made the basis of any sick leave.

"Section 9. *Reports to Civil Service Commission.* The officer, board or commission granting sick or disability leave shall immediately report the action to the Civil Service Commission on such forms as the Civil Service Commission may provide. Said officer, board or commission shall thereafter report on such cases when required to do so by the Civil Service Commission, but return to duty of an appointee who had been on sick leave shall be immediately reported to said Civil Service Com-



mission. The Civil Service Commission may at any time make such independent investigation as it shall deem proper regarding the illness of any person on sick leave and particularly when any such person has been on sick leave with pay for more than ten continuous days.

"All applications for sick leaves, with or without pay, for periods *exceeding* five (5) days, granted to officers and employees of the City and County under authority of this rule, shall be accompanied by physicians' certificates which shall show the cause or necessity for such sick leaves, and the physicians authorized to issue said certificates are as follows:

"(a) The Department Physician for the Fire Department;

"(b) The Police Surgeon for the Police Department;

"(c) The physician designated by the Director of Health for the Department of Health;

"(d) The physicians designated by the Civil Service Commission for all other departments.

"In the cases of all sick leaves, with or without pay, of five days or less duration, granted under the authority of this rule to officers or employees of the City and County, the appointing officers or their representatives shall certify to the Civil Service Commission that they have made investigation of such cases and found them deserving, and when such leaves are allowed with pay to employees paid on an hourly or per diem basis, must certify that no expense was incurred by the City and County by the employment of substitutes for the persons on such sick leave with pay.

"Section 10. *Retirement on Pension Automatically Terminates Leave of Absence.* Whenever a leave of absence has been granted under this rule to any officer or employee, and such officer or employee during such leave is retired on pension, his leave of absence shall automatically terminate on the date of his retirement on pension; provided, however, that any allowance or compensation made under authority of the Workmen's Compensation law shall continue to be paid to said retired employee in accordance with the provisions of said law.

"Section 11. *Police and Fire Departments.* Sick leaves and disability leaves granted to members of the uniformed forces of the Police Department and Fire Department shall be regulated by rules adopted respectively by the Police Commission and Fire Commission, which rules, and amendments thereto, shall be subject to the approval of the Civil Service Commission, and when so approved by the Civil Service Commission shall be deemed as included in this rule.

"Section 12. *Positions to which this Rule Shall Not Apply.* The provisions of this rule shall not apply to certificated employees of the Board of Education for whom sick leaves, with pay, are governed by state law, nor to positions of patrol special police officers appointed by the Police Commission.

"Section 13. *Pay Rolls and Time Sheets.* Sick leaves, with pay, granted under this rule shall be indicated on pay rolls and time sheets by the Letters S.L.P. (Sick leave with full pay); and disability leaves, with pay, granted under this rule, shall be indicated on pay rolls and time sheets by the letters D.L.P. (Disability leave with full pay)."

Section 2. Violation or abuse of the provisions of said rule and this ordinance by any officer or employee shall be deemed an act of insubordination and inattention to duties, as provided by said Section 153 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. All ordinances, rules and regulations in conflict with this rule and ordinance are hereby repealed and annulled.

Section 4. This ordinance and the rule provided herein shall become operative and in full force and effect beginning July 1, 1937.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Wm. Nanry, representing San Francisco Bureau of Municipal Research, requested that action be deferred one week in order that he might report possible cost of the adoption of the ordinance.

A. J. Rushton, representing the Per Diem Men's Association, urged no further delay in the passage of the ordinance, as the per diem men for four years have been trying to have this legislation enacted. Moreover, he said, the Budget will be passed in the next few weeks and then it will be too late to do anything for another year.

### Motion.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved to amend Section 1, line 1, by striking out the words "The officers and" and inserting the word "all".

City Attorney O'Toole declared that the ordinance must be either approved or rejected as presented. It cannot be amended.

Whereupon, Supervisor Schmidt moved re-reference to the Civil Service Commission and returned next Monday after consultation with the City Attorney.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Roncovieri, Schmidt—2.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Thereupon, it was moved by Supervisor Roncovieri to lay over one week.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Roncovieri, Schmidt—2.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

### Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the foregoing ordinance was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Noes—Supervisors Roncovieri, Schmidt—2.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

### Amending Salary Ordinance, Creating Position of Head Pump Operator.

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1332, Ordinance No. 9.053100, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 49 of Ordinnace No. 9.05380 by creating one position of Head Pump Operator, funds for which were heretofore appropriated by Ordinance 9.051373.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 49 of Ordinance 9.05380 is hereby amended to read as follows:

#### Section 49. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— SEWAGE PUMPING STATION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	O202	Sewer Pumping Station Attendant.....\$	180
2	1	U215	Head Pump Operator .....	185

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.



**Appropriation, \$3,500 to District Attorney for Police Investigation.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1324, Ordinance No. 9.051384, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$3,500 from the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation 605.233.00 in accordance with the request of the District Attorney for the purpose of compensating Edwin N. Atherton for services to be rendered in the preparation of evidence for presentation to the Grand Jury in the investigation of charges which have been made against certain members of the Police Department; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,500 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation 605.233.00 for the purpose of compensating Edwin N. Atherton for services to be rendered in the preparation of evidence for presentation to the Grand Jury in the investigation of charges which have been made against certain members of the Police Department.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as immediate action is necessary for the uninterrupted operation of the office of the District Attorney, as the District Attorney is without funds to pay for the services referred to in Section 1 hereof.

Approved as to form: John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended: Matthew Brady, District Attorney.

Approved: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

**Inclusion of Divisional Highway in State Highway System.**

(Code No. 5.31)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 3266, as follows:

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors has repeatedly endorsed the proposed Divisional Highway and has petitioned the California Legislature to include same in the highway system of the State of California; and

Whereas, even though the representatives of San Francisco have clearly indicated that all they have asked is for said inclusion, being willing to wait for funds for same until available; and

Whereas, the State Board of Public Works has opposed said inclusion, notwithstanding our willingness to wait for available funds; and

Whereas, the question of immediate funds is our apparent main obstacle; and

Whereas, a further hearing will be held on this and kindred requests on Wednesday morning, May 12, at Sacramento; therefore, be it

Resolved, That San Francisco through this Board of Supervisors hereby reiterates our willingness to await available funds and hereby offers (in the event said proposed Divisional Highway is included in the system), to match the State appropriation for the commencement of construction of the Divisional Highway on the unit basis, until such time as the State authorities advise sufficient funds are available to enable the State to further construct this proposed highway.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

**Shaffer Rapid Transit Plan.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Communication from San Francisco Bay Chapter League of Western Writers, requesting consideration of new Shaffer Rapid Transit Plan.

*Referred to Streets and Public Welfare Committee.*

**Sewer Cleaners, Salary Increase.**

Supervisor Mead presented:

Communication from Construction and General Laborers' Union, Local No. 261, transmitting petition by sewer cleaners requesting increase of salary.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

**Relative to Tolls on Golden Gate and Carquinez Bridges.**

Supervisor Brown called attention to proposed legislation to make Carquinez Bridge a free bridge as part of the State Highway System. He urged that the following matters presented by him on April 26, 1937, and referred to the Judiciary Committee, be taken out of the committee and acted upon at the present time as the Committee on Roads and Highways of the State Legislature will meet Wednesday at 10 a. m.

Whereupon, the following matters were taken from the Judiciary Committee for discussion:

An act providing for the use of State moneys for the operation or maintenance, or operation and maintenance of certain bridges owned by the State or any of its political subdivisions in the event that such moneys are used for such purposes on bridges crossing the same designated water channel.

An act requiring the acquisition or a binding contract for the acquisition by the State of California of the Golden Gate Bridge prior to the acquisition for construction of a bridge across the Straits of Carquinez.

**Resolution.**

The Clerk was directed and prepared the following resolution:

(Code No. ———)

Resolution No. ———, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors hereby requests the passage by the State Legislature of an act entitled: "An act providing for the use of State moneys for the operation or maintenance of certain bridges owned by the State or any of its political subdivisions in the event that such moneys are used for such purposes on bridges crossing the same designated water channel"; and be it

Further Resolved, That a committee of this Board of Supervisors be appointed by the President to urge the passage of this legislation in Sacramento.

After discussion the matter was deferred temporarily and the Board adjourned without taking action.

**Meeting of Alta California Counties Re Composite Exhibit at Golden Gate International Exposition.**

The following was presented by President Shannon, and read by the clerk:

Communication from Alta California, Inc., by Edward Morris, its managing director, P. O. Box 49, Sacramento, California, inviting San Francisco to have representation at meeting of Alta California Counties at Sonora, Tuolumne County, at the Court House at 10 a. m., Friday,



MONDAY, MAY 10, 1937.

May 14, 1937, this being the second of a series of meetings for the advancement of plans of Alta California Counties for a composite exhibit in the San Francisco Golden Gate International Exposition.

Supervisors Shannon and Meyer appointed to attend.

### AJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board of Supervisors at 5:35 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors May 17, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 32

No. 20

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Monday, May 17, 1937


# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

---

MONDAY, MAY 17, 1937, 2 P. M.

---

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 17, 1937, 2 p.m.  
The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:  
Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of May 10, 1937, 10 a. m., and May 10, 1937, 2 p. m., were considered read and approved.

### SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

The following matter, laid over from last meeting, was made a Special Order for 2:30 p. m.:

### MOTION TO RECONSIDER.

The following matter was taken up:

Recommendation of Finance Committee on Projection of Fifth Street and Grant Avenue Across Market Street.

(Code No. 12.08)

Resolution No. 3215, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, sitting as a committee of the whole and through its Finance Committee, has conducted an exhaustive investigation of the trans-Market street traffic problem; and

Whereas, this investigation and the public hearings held in connection therewith have clearly demonstrated the urgency and necessity for immediate action to provide satisfactory and adequate traffic relief and to anticipate the immediate future; and

Whereas, the facts developed conclusively show that the extension of Fifth street diagonally across Market street to the intersection of Mason and Eddy streets and the projection of Grant avenue to a new street between Third and Fourth streets, from Market to Brannan streets, will provide respectively the most direct, convenient and desirable entrance and exit thoroughfares to the central business district; and

Whereas, this combination of streets will provide a clockwise movement of bridge vehicular traffic on non-congestive right-hand turns; adequately serve both the districts north and south of Market street; satisfactorily make provision for increased vehicular traffic produced by the Golden Gate Bridge, and meet conditions during the coming Exposition; now, therefore, be it



Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco that a bond issue providing the necessary funds for construction of both streets is approved as the method of financing the project; and be it

Further Resolved, That an official estimate of cost and plans and specifications be immediately prepared by the Department of Public Works; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney in co-operation with the proper officials and departments immediately prepare and submit to this Board of Supervisors the proper and necessary legislation in connection therewith.

*May 3, 1937—Refused adoption by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Uhl—5.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

#### Motion to Reconsider.

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced Supervisor Shannon changed his vote from *Aye* to *No* and moved for reconsideration at the next meeting.

The vote then stood:

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Reilly, Schmidt—3.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

Supervisor Uhl moved postponement until next Monday at 2:30 p. m. for the purpose of enabling members to view moving pictures of traffic conditions on the bridge made by the City Engineer's Office.

Motion *lost* by the following vote.

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Shannon—6.

Supervisor Colman moved as an amendment to the Finance Committee resolution the following:

Resolved, That the Department of Public Works be and is hereby requested to immediately prepare plans and an estimate of cost incident to the extension of Fifth street diagonally across Market street to the intersection of Mason and Eddy streets; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and is hereby authorized and requested to prepare the legislation necessary to the submission of a bond issue for the extension of Fifth street as aforesaid.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Marshal Hale, Timothy Healy, attorney representing Market street merchants; D. V. Nicholson, representing Triangle District Merchants; Mr. Schultz and W. J. Varley were heard on the pending question.

Thereupon, Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, offered as a substitute a resolution requesting Director of Public Works to report to Board, costs and estimates of street running diagonally from Fifth and Harrison streets to point on Sixth street between Shipley and Folsom streets which was ruled out of order by the Chair.

Whereupon, Supervisor McSheehy appealed from the decision of the Chair.

Supervisor Colman, being called upon, put the question, "Shall the decision of the Chair be the decision of the Board, and the roll was called with the following result:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Uhl—2.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Colman's resolution and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt—3.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

#### Resolution Adopted.

Whereupon, the roll was called on the resolution presented by the Finance Committee and the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Uhl—5.

#### Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Colman explained his vote by saying that he would vote *no* for the reason that every argument made today against Fifth street applied with greater force to the Finance Committee's report and that he was convinced that the problem is of the magnitude the Finance Committee makes it.

### SPECIAL ORDER—3:30 P. M.

### MOTION TO RECONSIDER.

The following matter was taken up:

#### Calvary Cemetery Removal.

(Code No. 17.19)

Bill No. 938, Ordinance No. 17.195, as follows:

Declaring that the further maintenance of the Calvary Cemetery threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public; ordering and demanding the disinterring and removal of human bodies therefrom and fixing a time within which such disinterring and removal must be performed; declaring certain conditions under which the City and County will itself disinter and remove said bodies; requiring and empowering the Director of Public Health to adopt and promulgate rules and regulations for such disinterring and removal; providing for the reservation of lands for memorial mausoleums or columbariums, grounds, vaults and monuments.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared that the cemetery hereinafter described contains an area of more than five acres and is situate in a city and county having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, and the right of burial in said cemetery has been prohibited by law for a period of more than fifteen years, and that the further maintenance of said cemetery as a burial place for the human dead threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public. The cemetery above referred to is situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and is commonly designated by the following name, and is situate within the following boundaries, to-wit:

Calvary Cemetery, bounded by Geary street, St. Joseph's avenue, Turk street, Parker avenue, St. Rose's avenue and Masonic avenue.

Section 2. It is hereby ordered and demanded that, within three years from the date upon which this ordinance shall become effective, the cemetery corporation, corporation sole, association or other person owning or controlling the cemetery hereinabove described, or the owners or holders of burial lots therein, or the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are interred therein, disinter all human bodies interred in said cemetery and remove the same outside of the limits of



the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with and in the manner provided for in that certain act of the Legislature of the State of California, entitled "An act authorizing the Board of Supervisors or other governing body of any incorporated city, or city and county, having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, to order the disinterment and removal of all human bodies interred in any cemetery of more than five acres in extent, or from a part thereof, situate within the boundaries of such city, or city and county, and directing the reinterment of such bodies in cemeteries outside of the limits of such city, or city and county, or the depositing of the same in a mausoleum or columbarium, whenever the further maintenance of such cemetery or part thereof as a burial place for the human dead threatens or endangers the health, safety, comfort or welfare of the public, and providing a mode of procedure under and by which such removals may, when so ordered, be made by the cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person governing or controlling such cemetery lands, or by the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are buried therein, and providing for the sale, mortgage or pledge of cemetery lands from which the human bodies are removed." Approved June 5, 1923.

Section 3. It is hereby declared and ordained that, unless the said bodies are disinterred and removed within the time and in the manner herein in Section 2 of this ordinance provided, the City and County of San Francisco, through its appropriate officers, boards and commissions, will itself proceed, upon the termination of said time, to disinter the bodies remaining in said cemetery and reinter them in another cemetery or cemeteries outside of the limits of the said city and county.

Section 4. It is hereby ordained that the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco shall, within sixty days from the date upon which this ordinance becomes effective, adopt reasonable rules and regulations relative to the manner of disinterring, transporting and removing such bodies, and shall, upon adoption thereof, cause the same to be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of sixty days, and shall forthwith forward by registered mail to the governing body owning or controlling said cemetery, a copy thereof. Said Director of Public Health shall, from time to time, adopt and promulgate, in the same manner, any reasonable and necessary modifications or changes in said rules and regulations. All disinterments, transportation and removal of human remains from said cemetery made under the provisions of this ordinance and under the provisions of the said act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, shall be made and performed subject to the rules and regulations adopted by the Director of Public Health as herein provided.

Section 5. It is hereby ordained that any cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person owning or controlling any such cemetery lands from which the bodies interred therein are to be removed in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance and the provisions of the act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, may reserve sufficient land from such cemetery lands from which the human remains have been removed, to erect a memorial mausoleum or columbarium for the depositing therein of the bodies disinterred from such cemetery lands, and may provide sufficient grounds around the same for the beautification thereof, and may preserve such historical vaults or monuments as the board of directors or other governing body of said corporation or association, or the incumbent of said corporation sole, may determine to be proper or necessary.

Provided, however, that the land thus reserved for such mausoleum, columbarium, grounds, vaults and monuments shall not, in the aggregate for said cemetery, exceed in area 10 per centum of the existing area of said cemetery, and provided, further, that plans for said mausoleums, columbariums and grounds shall first be filed with the Board

of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and approved by said Board by resolution thereof.

*May 10, 1937—Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Reilly—2.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

### Motion to Reconsider.

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced, Supervisor McSheehy changed his vote from *No* to *Aye* and moved for reconsideration at the next meeting.

The vote then stood:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Noes—Supervisor Reilly—1.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

### Final Passage.

Supervisor McSheehy declared that he would not press his motion for reconsideration.

Whereupon, the Chair announced that Bill No. 938, providing for the removal of Calvary Cemetery, had been *finally passed*.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

### Authorizing Lease of Certain Land on Jefferson Street.

(Code No. 12.17353)

Bill No. 1314, Ordinance No. 12.173535, as follows:

Authorizing lease of certain land on Jefferson street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 93 of the Charter and in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for leasing the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Jefferson street with the easterly line of Jones street; running thence easterly along the southerly line of Jefferson street, 225 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 137.5 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 225 feet to the easterly line of Jones street; thence northerly along last-named line 137.5 feet to the point of commencement.

Excepting such portion or portions as may be required by the City and County of San Francisco for municipal purposes.

Section 2. The above described property may be leased as a whole or subdivided. The lease or leases shall be made subject to such reservations and conditions as may be deemed necessary or desirable by the Public Utilities Commission and the Director of Property.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**Authorizing Sale of School Lot on Fourteenth Avenue, South of  
Ulloa Street.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

Also, Bill No. 1315, Ordinance No. 12.172212, as follows:

Authorizing sale of school lot on Fourteenth avenue, south of Ulloa street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Fourteenth avenue, distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Ulloa (formerly "U") street, running thence southerly and along said easterly line of Fourteenth avenue 150 feet; thence at right angles easterly 92 feet 6 inches to the northwesterly line of San Miguel Rancho; thence northeasterly and along said northwesterly line of San Miguel Rancho 161 feet 2 inches more or less to a point where said line is intersected by a line drawn at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Fourteenth avenue at the point of commencement; thence westerly and parallel with said southerly line of Ulloa street 152 feet 10 inches to the easterly line of Fourteenth avenue and the point of commencement.

Being portion of Outside Land Block No. 1186.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Creating Position of General Clerk-Stenographer in Clerk's Office,  
Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1316, Ordinance No. 9.051381, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriation out of surplus existing in Appropriation No. 601.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 601.101.00; creating a position of General Clerk-Stenographer at \$160 per month, and providing the funds therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$320 is hereby appropriated out of surplus existing in Appropriation No. 601.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 601.101.00.

Section 2. A position of General Clerk-Stenographer in the Clerk's office of the Board of Supervisors is hereby created at a monthly salary of \$160.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Amending Salary Ordinance, Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1317, Ordinance No. 9.05398, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 3 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 by eliminating the position of Finance Committee Stenographer at \$325 per

month and creating one additional position of General Clerk-Stenographer at \$160 per month.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 3 of Ordinance No. 9.05380, is hereby amended to read as follows:

### Section 3. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	11		Supervisors .....	\$ 200
2	1	B88	Chief Assistant Clerk of the Board of Supervisors .....	375
3	1	B90	Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.....	500
4	1	B174	Bond and Ordinance Clerk.....	225
5	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
7	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
8	3	B413	Assistant Clerk—Board of Supervisors..	225
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
10	1	D4	Sergeant-at-Arms, Board of Supervisors	200
11	2	O4	Special Chauffeur .....	250

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Police Department.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1318, Ordinance No. 9.05399, as follows:

An ordinance amending Ordinance No. 9.05380, commonly called the Annual Salary Ordinance, by adding to Section 11 thereof Items 2½, 9¼, 9½, 9¾, 16½ and 17½, in accordance with the provisions of Section 35 of the Charter as amended, and reducing the number of employments under Item 27 by one, reducing the number of employments under Item 32 by two, and by consolidating the number of employments heretofore established under Items 29 and 30, and reducing the total number by one, and retitling the position established under Item 5.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 11 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 is hereby amended to read as follows:

### Section 11. POLICE DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioner . . . . .	\$ 100
2	1		Chief of Police .....	600
2½	1		Deputy Chief of Police .....	450
3	1		Property Clerk .....	300
4	1		Police Surgeon .....	200
5	1		Department Secretary .....	400
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
7	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	250
8	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
9	7	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
9¼	1		Director, Bureau of Personnel .....	333.33
9½	1		Director, Bureau of Criminal Information . . . . .	333.33
9¾	1		Director, Bureau of Special Services....	250



## BUREAU OF INSPECTORS

10	1		Captain of Inspectors .....	\$ 416.66
11	72		Inspector .....	230
12	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	230
13	1	D152	Criminologist .....	300
14	3	Q20	Police Women .....	200
15	9	Q60	Lieutenants .....	250**
16	1	Q62	Photographer, Police Department.....	225

## UNIFORM FORCE

16½	1		Supervising Captain .....	\$ 333.33
17	1		Captain of Traffic .....	333.33
17½	1		Inspector of School Traffic Patrols.....	230
18	1		Inspector of Motor Vehicles.....	230
19	1		Inspector of Horses and Equipment....	230
20	1		Inspector of Repairs and Maintenance..	230
21	3	D52	Jail Matron .....	175
22	1	D52	Jail Matron .....	170
23	1	I14	Junior Chef .....	195
24	10	J70	Hostlers .....	180
25	3	O158	Motor Boat Operator .....	200
26	1	O158	Motor Boat Operator (Relief) at rate of	200
27	963	Q2	Policemen.....	200
28	25	Q30	Police Patrol Driver .....	200
30	181	Q50	Sergeant. ....	220**
31	44	Q60	Lieutenant .....	250**
32	14	Q80	Captain. ....	300**

\*\*In event of a vacancy in this rank the position may be abolished and the number of Policemen correspondingly increased without amendment of this ordinance and the Policeman's position may be filled subject to the provisions of Sections 1 and 2 hereof.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Regulating and Providing for the Storage and Use of Gasoline in  
Connection with Public, Commercial and Private Garages.**

(Code No. 11.0822)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Bill No. 1319, Ordinance No. 11.08224, as follows:

Amending Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8564 (New Series), entitled, "Governing the Construction, Equipment, Maintenance and Operation of Public, Commercial and Private Garages; Regulating and Providing for the Storage and Use of Gasoline in Connection with Public, Commercial and Private Garages; Duties of the Fire Marshal; Penalty for Violation; Repealing Ordinance No. 746 (New Series) and All Ordinances or Parts of Ordinances in so far as They Conflict with This Ordinance." Relating to gasoline pumps.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8564 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

"Section 5. *Tanks, Pipes, Pumps.* All underground gasoline storage tanks, pipes and appurtenances used in connection with a public, commercial or private garage shall be installed as follows, unless otherwise required by the Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation:

"(a) All underground tanks shall be placed outside the building, under the sidewalk, close to the curb line.

"(b) The top of each such tank shall be at least four feet below the sidewalk and the space between the top of the tank and sidewalk shall be filled with earth.

"(c) No such tank shall be connected with another so that gasoline can flow or be pumped from one underground tank to another.

"(d) All such tanks shall be set on a firm foundation and, where water is encountered, tanks shall be enclosed in an approved watertight reinforced concrete vault.

"(e) Where two or more tanks are installed, there shall be an approved brick or concrete dividing wall between each tank not less than twelve (12) inches in thickness or three (3) feet of earth.

"(f) Each tank shall have a separate filling pipe extending up to the sidewalk or grade, capped with a screw cap, which must be screwed up tight at all times except when filling, and shall be covered with a metal plate flush with the sidewalk or grade.

"(g) Each such tank shall have a separate one and one-quarter ( $1\frac{1}{4}$ ) inch vent pipe extending out of the top of the tank to a height of not less than twelve (12) feet and capped with a double return bend equipped with a non-corrodible wire screen of thirty (30) mesh. The lower end of vent pipe shall not extend through the top into the tank for a distance of more than one (1) inch. Vent pipe shall be on the outside of the building and terminate not less than three (3) feet, measured horizontally and vertically, from any window or other building opening.

"(h) Each such tank shall have at least one suction pipe. Two or more tanks may be connected with one pump, provided the suction pipes siamese at the pump with valves to close each suction pipe.

"(i) All pipes shall lead out of the extreme top of each tank; and shall be at least twelve (12) inches underground or enclosed in concrete. Said pipes shall have a fall toward the tank.

"(j) All pipes and fittings shall be standard, full weight galvanized iron, or equivalent, and shall be put together with litharge and glycerine.

"(k) Tanks and pipes shall not be covered until an inspection has been made by the Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation and permission so to do has been granted by said officer. The Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation shall be notified when the work is ready for inspection.

"(l) Gasoline shall be taken from such tanks only by means of an approved pump, which shall be installed in an approved location. No gravity, siphon or pressure system shall be used for taking gasoline from any tank.

"(m) The Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation shall have the right at any time to take, or demand to be taken, a test for leaks on any tank, pipes or appurtenances, and, if found to be defective, shall demand that they be repaired or replaced."

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **Regulating and Relating to the Construction, Erection, Maintenance and Use of Billboards in the City and County of San Francisco.**

(Code No. 11.08)

Also, Bill No. 1320, Ordinance No. 11.0823, as follows:

Amending Section 18 of Ordinance No. 4059 (New Series), entitled, "Regulating and Relating to the Construction, Erection, Maintenance and Use of Billboards in the City and County of San Francisco, and Licensing and Regulating the Occupation of Maintaining Billboards and Outdoor Advertising, Providing Penalties for the Violation of Same and Repealing All Ordinances or Parts Thereof in Conflict Therewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:



Section 1. Section 18 of Ordinance No. 4059 (New Series), is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 18(a). It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation except a public officer or employee in performance of a public duty, or a private person in giving a legal notice, to paste, post, paint, print, nail, tack or otherwise fasten any card, banner, hand-bill, sign, poster, advertisement or notice of any kind upon any property without the written consent of the owner, holder, lessee, agent, or trustee thereof.

(b) It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation except a public officer or employee in performance of a public duty, or a private person in giving a legal notice, to paste, post, paint, print, nail, tack, or otherwise fasten any card, banner, hand-bill, sign, poster, advertisement or notice of any kind upon any property situated on the following streets, boulevards or highways, or portion of such streets, boulevards or highways in the City and County of San Francisco:

Twin Peaks boulevard for its entire length.

Roosevelt way for its entire length.

Telegraph Hill drive for its entire length.

El Camino Del Mar for its entire length.

Sunset boulevard for its entire length.

Harding boulevard for its entire length.

Portola drive for its entire length.

Skyline boulevard, from Sloat boulevard to city limits.

Great Highway and Esplanade from Fulton street to Sloat boulevard.

Nineteenth avenue extension from Sloat boulevard to Junipero Serra boulevard.

Bay Shore highway from Third street to Raymond street.

Sloat boulevard from the Great Highway to the Junipero Serra boulevard.

Junipero Serra boulevard from Sloat boulevard to the County Line.

The east side of the Market street extension from Mono street to Portola drive.

Alemaney boulevard from Mission street viaduct to Junipero Serra boulevard.

Marina boulevard for its entire length.

*Lyon street from Marina boulevard to Lombard.*

*Baker street from Marina boulevard to Lombard.*

*Broderick street from Marina boulevard to Lombard.*

*Jefferson from Lyon to Broderick.*

*Beach from Baker to Broderick.*

*North Point from Baker to Broderick.*

*Bay from Lyon to Broderick.*

*Francisco street from Lyon to Broderick.*

*Chestnut street from Lyon to Broderick.*

*Lombard from Broderick to Lyon.*

*Richardson avenue from Lyon street to Lombard.*

Provided, however, that nothing herein contained shall be deemed to restrict or prohibit the use for advertising purposes of, or the changing of advertising copy on, any advertising sign or advertising structure now erected or maintained upon any property situate upon said streets, boulevards or highways above named.

Any advertisement prohibitive by this section and the next preceding section may be taken down, moved or destroyed by anyone.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Regulating the Use of Taxicabs, Automobiles and Public Vehicles for Hire, Fixing the Rate to Be Charged for the Transportation of Persons and Personal Baggage, Regulating the Use of Boats in the Waters of the Bay.**

(Code No. 11.0111)

Also, Bill No. 1321, Ordinance No. 11.011110, as follows:

Amending Sections 6, 9, 29 and 31 of Ordinance No. 6979 (New Series), entitled: "Regulating the Use of Taxicabs, Automobiles and Public Vehicles for Hire, Fixing the rate to Be Charged for the Transportation of Persons and Personal Baggage, Regulating the Use of Boats in the Waters of the Bay, Providing a Punishment for any Violation Thereof, and Repealing Ordinance No. 1898 (New Series)."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Sections 6, 9, 29 and 31 of Ordinance No. 6979 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, are hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 6. All vehicles occupying stands designated by the Chief of Police as provided for in this ordinance, shall be under the supervision and control of the Police Department, and when a stand is occupied by the full number of vehicles authorized no other vehicle shall loiter or wait near by to take the place thereat.

Section 9. It shall be unlawful for any owner or lessee of any taxicab or automobile to make or cause to be made any changes whatever in the color or distinguishing characteristics of said taxicabs or automobiles unless the permission of the Board of Police Commissioners has first been obtained.

Section 29. Any person who shall fail or refuse to pay, at the end of the trip, or the termination or discharge of service, the legal fare for a taxicab or sightseeing bus or automobile, as prescribed in this ordinance, that he has hired, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof, in addition to the penalty provided for the violation of this ordinance, be compelled to pay to the driver of said vehicle an amount equal to the legal fare, and in case any bail required is forfeited, the amount of the legal fare shall be paid to the driver from such amount forfeited, and the Court or Judge before whom the case is heard, shall order the same to be paid from the treasury of the City and County.

Section 31. Drivers and operators of public vehicles for hire shall promptly report to the Bureau of Inspectors of the Police Department and within twenty-four hours all property of value left in their vehicles by passengers.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Regulating the Use of Taxicabs, Automobiles and Public Vehicles for Hire, Fixing the Rate to Be Charged for the Transportation of Persons and Personal Baggage, Regulating the Use of Boats in the Waters of the Bay.**

(Code No. 11.0111)

Also, Bill No. 1309, Ordinance No. 11.011119, as follows:

Amending Section 24 of Ordinance No. 6979 (New Series), entitled: "Regulating the Use of Taxicabs, Automobiles and Public Vehicles for Hire, Fixing the Rate to Be Charged for the Transportation of Persons and Personal Baggage, Regulating the Use of Boats in the Waters of the Bay, Providing a Punishment for Any Violation Thereof, and Repealing Ordinance No. 1898 (New Series)," by amending the rates of fare for taxicabs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:



Section 1. Section 24 of Ordinance No. 6979, the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 24. No person, firm or corporation owning, operating or controlling any motor vehicle operated as a taxicab shall charge other fares than in this section provided:

*Meter Rates.*

(a) The rate of fare is twenty-five (25) cents for the first one-third ( $1/3$ ) mile or "flag"; ten (10) cents for each additional two-fifths ( $2/5$ ths) mile; ten (10) cents for each two and one-half ( $2\frac{1}{2}$ ) minutes waiting time at the request of the patron.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**NEW BUSINESS.**

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Appropriation of \$25,000, Painting and Tiling Stockton Street Tunnel.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1311, Ordinance No. 9.051380, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$25,000 out of the accrued surplus existing in the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 648.993.00 for the purpose of painting and tiling the Stockton street tunnel.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$25,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the accrued surplus existing in the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 648.993.00 for the purpose of painting and tiling the Stockton street tunnel.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.

Approved by Alfred I. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Land Acquisition and Exchange With Maud E. Welch Required for the Extension of De Long Street.**

(Code No. 12.1741)

Resolution No. 3267, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain deed from Maud E. Welch, dated April 27, 1937, to a portion of Lot 4, Assessor's Block 7157, San Francisco, California, required for the extension of De Long street.

In consideration for said land, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, shall convey the northeasterly half of Liebig street, between Alemany boulevard and De Long street, to Maud E. Welch, which portion of Liebig street was closed by Resolution No. 1736, adopted by this Board on January 14, 1935; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary deed for the conveyance of said portion of Liebig street to Maud E. Welch.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Exchange of Easements With City of San Bruno in Re Bald Hill Pipe Line.**

(Code No. 15.0243)

Also, Resolution No. 3268, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, that the City and County of San Francisco hereby accepts an easement to construct water pipe lines in, under and along Niles avenue and Cherry avenue in the City of San Bruno, California, as per Resolution No. 1965, adopted by the City Council of San Bruno on March 24, 1937.

In consideration for said easement, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, shall quitclaim unto the City of San Bruno a portion of the right of way for the former Bald Hill Pipe Line, situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, and more particularly described as follows:

All that portion of the right of way for the former pipe line known as the "Bald Hill Pipe Line" conveyed to Spring Valley Water Works by D. Ogden Mills and Adeline M. Easton by deed dated May 4, 1870, and recorded June 22, 1870, in Book 11 of Deeds, at page 221, in the office of the San Mateo County Recorder, extending from the southeasterly line of Block 11 of that part of Huntington Park known as "First Addition," as per map recorded November 1, 1907, in said San Mateo County Recorder's Office, in Book 5 of Maps, at page 40, to the northwesterly line of Block 14 of that part of Huntington Park known as "Second Addition" as per map recorded October 1, 1907, in said San Mateo Recorder's Office in Book 5 of Maps at page 37.

Be It Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the above mentioned quitclaim deed.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Confirming Lease to E. E. Phillips of Water Department Land at Millbrae.**

(Code No. 12.17352)

Also, Resolution No. 3269, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.173524, Bill No. 1285, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids would be received by him on April 27, 1937, for leasing the following described City-owned real property situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California:

That certain portion of Parcel No. 30 as described in deed dated March 3, 1930, from Spring Valley Water Company to City and County of San Francisco, recorded in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County on March 3, 1930, in Volume 491 of Official Records, at page 1, the said portion commencing at a point 15 feet distant at a right angle northwesterly from the southeasterly boundary line of said Parcel No. 30 and also distant 40 feet at a right angle southwesterly from the southwesterly line of El Camino Real; thence northwesterly, parallel to said El Camino Real, 160 feet; thence southwesterly and parallel to said southeasterly boundary line 290 feet to a point; thence



southeasterly and parallel to El Camino Real 175 feet to said southeasterly boundary line; thence northeasterly along said boundary line 60 feet; thence northwesterly and parallel to El Camino Real 15 feet; thence northeasterly in a straight line to the point of commencement; and containing 1.08 acres, more or less; saving and excepting all telephone lines of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, E. E. Phillips offered to lease said land for a period of five years at a rental of \$50 per month, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission has recommended said lease; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary lease to E. E. Phillips. Said lease shall be made subject to such conditions and reservations as may be deemed necessary or desirable by the City Attorney and the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Tax Refunds of Erroneous Payments.

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 3270, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, 1936-1937, to the following named, being refunds of erroneous payments of taxes:

- |   |          |
|---|----------|
| (1) Bank of America, per Vol. 44, Bill 437, Lot 42D, Block 7106, 2d installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment.....                   | \$ 28.00 |
| (2) Dorothy Hatzmeier, per Vol. 42, Bill 2287, Lot 8, Block 6981, 2d installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment..                     | 13.24    |
| (3) Thomas A. Connolly, per Vol. 40, Bill 1802, Lot 21, Block 6639, 2d installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment..                   | 32.73    |
| (4) Northern Counties Title Insurance Co., per Vol. 38, Block 6304, 2d installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payments:                   |          |
| Bill 197, Lot 8 .....   | \$18.92  |
| Bill 212, Lot 31 .....  | 6.62     |
| (5) Alfred F. Uhlig, per Vol. 34, Block 5700, both installments, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payments:                                      |          |
| Bill 1422, Lots 6-8 .....   | \$ 5.68  |
| Bill 1421, Lot 5 .....  | 1.90     |
| (6) San Francisco Bank, per Vol. 20, Bill 1273, Lot 25, Block 2879, both installments, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payments .....           | 46.92    |
| (7) California Pacific Title Co., per Vol. 44, Bill 2371, Lot 9, Block 7219, 1st installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment .....     | 51.46    |
| (8) Julio Picollo, per Vol. 32, Bill 1583, Lot 7, Block 5395, 2d installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment.....                      | 2.84     |
| (9) Title Insurance and Guaranty Co., per Vol. 22, Bill 2204, Lot 28, Block 3212, 2d installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment ..... | 33.49    |
| (10) George Matulich, per Vol. 23, Bill 257, Lot 11, Block 3515, 1st installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment.....                  | 83.06    |
| (11) Charles R. Macdonald, per Vol. 17, Bill 1326, Lot 26, Block 2334A, 2d installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment.                | 43.71    |
| (12) Herbert J. Debenham, per Vol. 15, Bill 2667, Lots 24/27, Block 2029, both installments, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payments .....     | 128.28   |

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of His Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Judge Theresa Meikle, Municipal Court.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3271, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, Honorable Theresa Meikle, Judge, Municipal Court, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period from May 29th to July 6th, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Frank J. Foran.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3272, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, Hon. Frank J. Foran, a member of the Board of Police Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of two weeks, beginning May 11th, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Supplemental Rapid Transit Report.**

The following was presented, read by the Clerk and copies ordered sent to members:

**PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

OFFICE OF  
MANAGER OF UTILITIES

May 17, 1937.

*Subject—Rapid Transit.*

To the Honorable  
Board of Supervisors,  
City Hall, San Francisco.

*Attention: Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk.*

Gentlemen: I am authorized by the Public Utilities Commission to transmit to you the enclosed supplemental rapid transit report with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission that the revised rapid transit system described therein be adopted as the policy of the City and County of San Francisco and that a bond issue in the amount of \$49,250,000 general mortgage obligations of the City and County of San Francisco be submitted to the voters on November 2, 1937, for the purpose of financing such a rapid transit system.

Very truly yours,

E. G. CAHILL,  
Manager of Utilities.



MONDAY, MAY 17, 1937.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCOOFFICE OF  
MANAGER OF UTILITIES

May 11, 1937.

*Subject—Supplemental Rapid Transit Report.*

To the Honorable  
The Public Utilities Commission,  
San Francisco, California.

Dear Sirs: Under date of May 25, 1936, I submitted a report with recommendations for the construction of rapid transit lines on four important streets of the City. This report has been the subject of several hearings before the Public Utilities Committee of the Board of Supervisors and before the Board of Supervisors sitting as a Committee of the Whole.

Much general interest has been aroused in the subject of improving local transportation. A number of alternate proposals and modifications have been suggested. Every one of these has been carefully analyzed with the result that I am now more firmly convinced than ever that my plan of May, 1936, is the only practical way in which the present intolerable conditions can be bettered permanently.

The vehicular traffic over the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge has so far exceeded the expectations of even the bridge authorities, that there can be no question that the possibilities which I mention in the paragraph headed "The Necessity for Rapid Transit," on page 10 of my May, 1936, report, will be borne out when a year from now fast and comfortable trains will be available to those not now able to drive private automobiles over the bridge. The original toll over the bridge was 65 cents but because of the volume of business has been reduced to 50 cents. A commutation fare is also in effect and already groups of four or five people are making joint use of a single automobile in traveling to and from the east side of the Bay. The saving in time over the present ferry service is sufficiently great to justify the increased cost to many people.

The East Bay communities are fully alive to the advantage to be gained by the use of the bridge and have published in the local daily papers and national periodicals, costly and attractive advertisements with the object of encouraging San Francisco residents to move across the Bay, and insuring that newcomers to the Bay area will settle in their communities. This action indicates the solidarity of the business interests on the east side of the Bay. They have already perfected an organization known as Metropolitan Oakland, which desires to make Oakland the metropolis of the Bay area and to this end has already raised \$60,000.

In contrast to this concerted action of the several cities on the east side of the Bay, San Francisco has failed, after several years of discussion, to reach even the conclusion that it wants any sort of rapid transit system to offset the advantages of the bridge and the advertising of other communities which will profit at San Francisco's expense. It is essential that San Franciscans unite at once on a definite and workable program to relieve downtown traffic congestion and to speed up transportation between the business and residence sections of the City.

During the past year the normal increase in traffic, both vehicular and street car, together with the larger number of automobiles operating in the city because of the Bridge, has materially interfered with the flow of street railway traffic during the evening rush hours. Both the Municipal and Market Street Railway lines have experienced a marked increase in time lost by cars at the time the maximum load is carried. Comparing March, 1936, with March, 1937, the average amount of time above schedule lost by the Market Street lines of the Municipal Railway at Van Ness Avenue, has increased from

9.3 minutes to 15.8 minutes. This has required that more cars be turned back before reaching the ends of their routes in order to secure a more uniform service and make it possible for the cars to get back on schedule after the rush hour is past. The number of turnbacks in March, 1936, was 17, and in March, 1937, was 379. If we calculate that each car carried an average of 100 passengers at the time it was switched back, this means that during March, 1937, approximately 38,000 persons were inconvenienced and delayed in reaching their homes or places of business by being forced to leave their cars and required to wait on the street for a second or third crowded car. Similar conditions have caused the Market Street Railway management to put slower schedules in effect.

The Public Utilities Commission's rapid transit report shows clearly that it will take street car riders in San Francisco a longer time to reach their homes within San Francisco, unless we have rapid transit, than it will take persons working in San Francisco and living in the east bay and other suburban areas. But that report was based on the scheduled running time of our local lines, whereas today we find that cars are unable to keep even relatively close to their scheduled time.

For example, schedules call for the "J" cars to reach the outer terminus 28 minutes after leaving the Ferry Building. Actually "J" cars in the evening rush hour require 40 minutes to reach the end of this short line. Cars of the "K" and "L" lines are scheduled to complete their trip in 38½ minutes, but they actually require 55 minutes to make the run. Cars of the "N" line are supposed to reach the beach in 39½ minutes' running time from the Ferry Building; after stemming the heavy traffic encountered throughout the length of Market Street, they average 50½ minutes' running time.

Now to examine the problem from a different viewpoint. Schedules call for an average street car speed from the Ferry Building to Kearny Street of 7.10 miles per hour. Even in March, 1936, prior to the opening of automobile traffic on the bay bridge, Municipal cars fell far behind this speed, and averaged only 3.55 miles per hour on lower Market Street. By the time they arrived at Kearny Street they were on an average of 6 minutes behind schedule, but in March, 1937, these same cars had achieved an all-time record of slowness in transportation. Traveling literally at less than pedestrian walking speed, they averaged only 2.37 miles per hour and were already 12 minutes behind schedule on reaching Kearny Street.

Complaints as to lack of car service have been increasing in number and under present conditions it is impossible to add more cars to Market Street during the heavy hours. On lower Market Street, cars are operating today with only a 14-second headway. This means that they are moving practically end to end, and also that if we placed additional cars in service in an effort to carry more passengers, all the cars, including those now in service and the additional cars, would be further delayed and only more congestion and slower speed would result.

A transportation system can be no better than its weakest link. The cars operating on all of the Municipal lines on Market Street must be governed as to their frequency and schedules by the speed they are able to maintain at the most congested point. The Market Street situation is therefore the key to the transportation problem of the entire city.

It is true that some temporary measure of relief can be afforded by the abolition of automobile parking on Market Street and some by changes in traffic regulation, but this at best could be but a temporary and partial solution.

In brief, we not only have no capacity for carrying additional patrons, but find ourselves in the position of being unable to handle satisfactorily the people now dependent upon public transportation. The service which we are rendering is not improving but is getting



worse and will continue to do so as vehicular traffic increases. The Golden Gate bridge will be in service shortly pouring additional automobile traffic into the city. This traffic will further congest our streets; how serious this additional congestion will be I do not know. Obviously, the only solution is the separation of passenger transportation from the surface in those portions of the city where conditions prohibit the addition of more cars and the attainment of reasonable speed.

### Other Schemes Proposed.

While many ingenious methods of furnishing faster service have been submitted, it is to be regretted that their authors have failed to grasp the fundamentals of the local transportation problem.

A concerted and persistent effort has been put forth to place the City's purchase of the privately-owned street car systems ahead of the construction of rapid transit lines. Unified ownership will in no way increase track capacity, lessen traffic interference, or lower the demand for space on cars. Any suggestion that through unification, rerouting could be accomplished which would defer the necessity for subway construction, is unfounded.

No form of mass transportation so far perfected has more than a small fraction of the passenger carrying capacity of the subway system. It is therefore idle to consider any form of bus whether it be operated by gasoline or electricity. Any means of removing surface traffic by constructing elevated roadways for motor vehicles is open to all the objections cited for elevated rapid transit, plus others which seem insurmountable connected with entering and leaving the elevated roadway. The load on our downtown streets precludes the construction of ramps in any section of the congested district.

The use of buses or any other vehicle in place of street cars on the surface is out of the question because it has been proven that the street car carries more passengers in an hour than any other form of surface vehicle. Briefly, three lanes of automobiles will carry 4,100 passengers per hour; one lane of buses 8,000 passengers per hour; one street car track 13,500 passengers per hour; one subway track 60,000 to 90,000 passengers per hour. In addition the subway takes mass transportation off the surface and adds to the space available for ordinary vehicular traffic.

If for the present we eliminate, as we must, municipal ownership of the Market Street Railway Company and unified operation of the street car lines; if we permanently eliminate the installation of elevated driveways, the substitution of a rubber tired vehicle for the street cars, and crosstown underpasses, there remains only rapid transit. There is no question that of the two present forms of rapid transit, the subway is the only type which can be considered for San Francisco.

### Feeder Lines.

In the report of May, 1936, I stated that the three subway lines proposed would greatly improve the service between the downtown section, the North Beach, Marina, Western Addition, Richmond, Sunset, West of Twin Peaks and Mission districts, and by relatively short bus feeders serve other districts and accelerate transportation as far south as the County Line. A number of citizens have asked what bus lines could be expected to be operated in connection with the establishment of rapid transit. This question is a logical one and should at this time be given as complete an answer as is consistent with the best interests of everyone concerned. These best interests do not, I believe, include definitely outlining actual bus routes. Past experience proves that no one can prophesy what changes will take place in a few years. A route which would be the best today may by the time the rapid transit lines are completed be considerably less

desirable than some other route made possible by the improvement of street widths and grades and because of the development of areas now either not occupied or not occupied to best advantage. Another thing to be avoided is the disappointment to property owners by tentatively holding out possibilities of a service which changes in the future may not justify. To specify definite routes would unquestionably lead to speculation in real estate and again possible disappointment of property owners and taxpayers. It is therefore my intention to indicate, not routes, but service which may be given in extending the rapid transit benefits. I have selected no definite routes and have made only such studies as were necessary to permit making estimates of the cost to provide vehicles, storage and repair facilities.

Included in the cost of extensions is an addition to the originally recommended Mission Street rapid transit route, over the old right-of-way of the Southern Pacific Company from the formerly proposed terminus at Bernal Cut and Monterey Boulevard to a new terminus at a point near Ocean Avenue. This terminus will serve the San Francisco Junior College and will be sufficiently far south to materially shorten bus feeders for the territory within a mile to the north of the County Line.

All of the other extensions proposed will be by rubber tired vehicles of the type best suited to the location and the service.

I propose to extend the present No. 1 bus route which operates from the Richmond and Park-Presidio districts across Golden Gate Park and through the west of Twin Peaks and outer Mission districts, from its present terminus at Edna Street and Monterey Boulevard through the Sunnyside district to Bernal and Monterey Boulevards, thus making a direct connection with the Mission rapid transit line, as well as the Market Street rapid transit line, the Geary and Sunset tunnel lines, providing a complete cross-town service. This will materially improve the service on this route by splitting the traffic on the southerly end. At present the loading on this southerly end of the route is much heavier than on any other portion. When patrons bound for the downtown district can go either to the Forest Hill station of Twin Peaks tunnel or to Monterey and Bernal Boulevards, the traffic will be divided in such a way as to greatly improve the service.

I also think it advisable to install another line from the Park-Presidio district to cross Golden Gate Park and the Sunset and Parkside districts and connect with the "B", "C", "K", "L", "M" and "N" lines, giving direct rapid transit connections. This line will lie to the west of the present No. 1 bus route and give a service to the Park-Presidio, Sunset, Parkside and Merced Manor districts not now offered.

Additional service will be required in both the northern and southern parts of the Sunset, Parkside, Pinelake and Great Highway districts. These lines will connect with the "L" or "N" lines as may be most convenient, giving patrons the advantage of the Twin Peaks or Duboce tunnel and the subway on Market Street. They will give the entire area south of Golden Gate Park fast, convenient transportation.

There is a large population between Ocean Avenue and Alemany Boulevard which is now served on the north by cars on Ocean Avenue and on the south by the Municipal Railway "M" line. These people, living in the Ingleside, Ingleside Terrace, Junipero Serra, Merced Manor and Lakeview districts, will be given bus service to both the Market Street and Mission Street rapid transit lines.

People living on the easterly and southerly slopes of Twin Peaks, Mount Davidson and Miraloma Park have no easily accessible public transportation. It is probable that many more families would settle on these relatively close-in scenic heights if some form of reliable



service were provided. Many homes now in this area have no transportation. The cost of such a service is included in my estimate. The line will be connected directly with Market Street rapid transit.

I also propose to serve the area adjacent to Roosevelt Way between Buena Vista Park and Eureka Valley, now totally devoid of transportation.

The Haight-Ashbury district and Pope Tract will be given a direct connection with the rapid transit lines via Sunset tunnel.

In order to get maximum usage out of the Church Street and Mission Street rapid transit lines two east and west lines must be operated in such a way as to tie the Potrero Avenue, Mission and Church Street lines together. These lines will serve the very large population in the Mission and south of Market areas. Extensions from these routes will be made into the territory to the west of Church and Castro Streets and in Noe and Eureka valleys, now inadequately served. One of these lines will be extended to reach the industrial district adjacent to Third Street.

The Bayview district has for many years asked for direct Municipal Railway service. This will be supplied by extending one of the Mission district crosstown lines, or by a separate route operated from the end of the Potrero Avenue car line.

The Excelsior and Crocker-Amazon districts will have a direct connection to the rapid transit service of the Mission street route.

A large area of the Marina district closely built up with many thousands of homes is now inadequately served by street car lines along the edge of the district. I propose that direct service be given this district by a line running east and west from the vicinity of Fort Mason to a point adjacent to the Presidio, with appropriate connection to rapid transit service.

The Telegraph Hill section in North Beach, one of San Francisco's greatest scenic attractions, is totally devoid of transportation service. One of the lines proposed would provide service for residents and visitors on Telegraph Hill.

Existing Municipal Railway surface lines, these proposed feeder lines and the rapid transit lines recommended will completely blanket San Francisco. They will provide fast, comfortable transportation throughout the City. These lines will extend the benefits of rapid transit to all our citizens. Although these proposed routes have been worked out with a view to serving districts already built up and insufficiently served by present facilities, the routes will also tap and make available for development great areas of San Francisco now unavailable for home and business construction because of lack of transportation. Thus new areas will be opened for the construction of homes and business districts and many millions of dollars will be added to the City's assessment roll and tax revenue. This property improvement and population growth will in turn reduce the per capita cost of rapid transit.

The flexible nature of the feeder lines proposed will make it possible for them to be readily adjusted to the growth of new districts as well as service to areas already developed.

#### Costs.

On page 30 of the May, 1936, report is shown the estimated cost of the rapid transit system. In conformity with the usual policy of the City there was included in the total of \$52,700,000 an item of \$6,000,000 for interest during construction. I think that it would be much better to carry this interest on the tax rate through the yearly budgets. This will eliminate the necessity of paying interest on interest, and for that reason I recommend that the estimate of \$52,700,000 be reduced by \$6,000,000 to \$46,700,000. The estimated cost of the extensions to the rapid transit system which I have described above, is \$2,550,000. This sum added to the \$46,700,000 produces a total cost

for the rapid transit system, including fourteen cross system bus lines, of \$49,250,000. I recommend that there be issued for the construction of rapid transit lines \$49,250,000 of general mortgage bonds. This is \$3,450,000 less than the amount shown in the May, 1936, report.

A careful study has been made in the light of current construction costs to determine whether or not the estimates which I submitted in May, 1936, are still sufficient under present price conditions. In this study we have examined construction costs for similar work in other cities, with particular reference to contracts awarded in New York City for units of the Sixth Avenue subway. We have also consulted reliable indices of construction, material and labor costs throughout the United States. Comparisons have been made of material and labor costs in San Francisco with those in New York City and at other points where construction of this type is in progress.

These studies definitely indicate that construction costs have risen in comparison with the costs current in May of 1936. It is, however, indicated that present material and labor costs are still safely within the leeway allowed in preparing the original estimates.

### Conclusion.

I have already stressed the fact that traffic delays and congestion are mounting at a rapid rate which will be accelerated by the completion of the Golden Gate bridge; that we have already reached the peak capacity of Market Street and that with present facilities nothing can be done to materially and permanently improve conditions.

It is therefore my recommendation that steps be taken at once to unite official and public opinion on a definite program of procedure which will permanently provide greater capacity and higher speed for local transportation by constructing the subway routes which I have proposed. To end the present intolerable conditions as soon as possible, I recommend that this program be placed before the voters in the form of a bond issue on the election ballot of November 2, 1937.

Respectfully submitted,

E. G. CAHILL,  
Manager of Utilities.

### Final Passage.

The following matters were presented by Supervisor Roncovieri:

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$1,000 for Foodstuffs for Juvenile Detention Home.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1334, Ordinance No. 9.051387, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$1,000 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation 623.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation 624.350.00 for the purpose of providing funds required for the purchase of foodstuffs for the Juvenile Detention Home; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation 623.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation 624.350.00 for the purpose of providing funds required for the purchase of foodstuffs for the Juvenile Detention Home.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as immediate action is required to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the



Juvenile Detention Home, as it is without the necessary funds to buy foodstuffs for its conduct.

Approved as to form: Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Funds available: Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$4,725 for Equipment, Controller's Office.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1335, Ordinance No. 9.051388, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$4,725 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund by reason of additional aid from State and Federal governments for widows' pensions and maintenance of minors, to the credit of Appropriation 633.400.60 for the purpose of installing in the office of the Controller equipment essential to the preparation of aid rolls, aid warrants, and claims for State and Federal subventions; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$4,725 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the General Fund by reason of additional aid from State and Federal Governments for widows' pensions and maintenance of minors, to the credit of Appropriation 633.400.60 for the purpose of installing in the office of the Controller equipment essential to the preparation of aid rolls, aid warrants and claims for State and Federal subventions.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as immediate action is required to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Controller's office as it is without the proper equipment to prepare aid rolls, aid warrants and claims for Federal and State subventions.

Approved as to form: Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended: Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Approved: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Funds available: Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Hon. George Filmer, Sr., Member Public Utilities Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3273, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. George Filmer, Sr., member of the Public Utilities Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of seven days, commencing May 24, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Leave of Absence—Hon. John P. McLaughlin, Member Public Utilities Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3274, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. John P. McLaughlin, member of the Public Utilities Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing May 18, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Leave of Absence—Hon. J. P. Murphy, Member Public Utilities Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3275, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. J. P. Murphy, member of the Public Utilities Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing May 25, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Leave of Absence—Supervisor Jesse C. Colman.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3276, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Jesse C. Colman, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ten days, commencing May 18, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**President to Appoint Representative to Laundry Owners' Association Convention.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 3277, as follows:

Whereas, the Laundry Owners' Association of California will hold its annual convention at Del Monte from June 2 to June 5, inclusive, at which the President and Secretary of the National Association of the United States and Canada will be in attendance; and

Whereas, one of the chief objects of this year's convention will lie in an endeavor to exert sufficient influence upon the national executives of the laundry industry to procure from them a pledge to use their whole-hearted influence to the end that the National Convention may be brought to San Francisco in 1939, during the Golden Gate International Exposition; and

Whereas, this convention, with its several thousand delegates and its great exhibit of laundry machinery and equipment, would be a most valuable adjunct to the Exposition and would constitute a display of deep interest to the visitors at the Exposition; and

Whereas, it will be highly beneficial to the industry from an educational, and consequently a business point of view, to be in a position to acquaint the great number of visitors who will come to the Exposition with the equipment and methods used in the laundry industry; now, therefore, be it



Resolved, That the President of this Board appoint a member hereof to attend said convention and to, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, put forth his best efforts to favorably impress the national executives of the Laundry Association with the idea that to hold the national convention in San Francisco in 1939 will redound to the mutual interests of both the industry and the City and County of San Francisco; and be it further

Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to the Exposition Company, with the request that a representative of that organization be sent to Del Monte to join with San Francisco's official representative in urging that the 1939 convention of the National Laundry Association be held in San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Reilly, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### **President and Congress Urged to Continue Program of Public Works Administration.**

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Shannon presented for Controller:

Resolution No. 3278, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors hereby urges the President and the Congress of the United States to continue the program of the Public Works Administration; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk transmit a copy of this resolution to the San Francisco representatives in the Congress.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **Removal of Traffic Signal Standard at Fell and Stanyan Streets.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Clerk write to his Honor, the Mayor, regarding the removal of traffic signal at Stanyan and Fell streets, and to inform his Honor that the Board is not entirely in accord with report thereon submitted by Captain Charles Goff, Captain of Traffic, and to suggest that overhead light might be placed at this intersection so that the present traffic signal could be removed, and that light could be placed over the wall at the end of Stanyan street.

*Motion carried.*

### **Legislation for Auto Trailer Parks.**

Supervisor Brown called the attention of the Board to the fact that the Golden Gate Bridge Fiesta would undoubtedly bring to San Francisco many visitors with auto trailers and moved that it be the sense of the Board that necessary legislation be passed at the meeting on Monday, May 24, 1937, to provide for parking and regulation thereof, as a temporary and emergency measure, during the period of the Fiesta.

*No second.*

Thereupon, Supervisor Brown announced that he would bring into the Board, at its next regular meeting, proposed legislation, which the Board agreed to consider.

### **Report Requested Diagonal Streets from Fifth and Harrison to Sixth, Between Shipley and Folsom Streets.**

(Code No. 12.08)

Resolution No. 3279, as follows:

Resolved, That the Director of Public Works is hereby respectfully requested to report to this Board of Supervisors costs and estimates

of a street running diagonally from Fifth and Harrison streets to a point on Sixth street, between Shipley and Folsom streets.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **List of Temporary Civil Service Positions.**

Supervisor Uhl requested that the Clerk obtain from the Civil Service Commission the following information: What positions are now in the temporary classifications of Civil Service? Also, the length of time employees have occupied such temporary positions.

*So ordered.*

#### **Ordinance for Narrowing Sidewalks on Post Street.**

Supervisor Uhl requested that the Clerk have prepared, in time for the next regular meeting of the Board, ordinance to provide for the narrowing of sidewalks on Post street.

*So ordered.*

#### **Potrero Police Station.**

Supervisor Uhl requested that the Clerk obtain from the Police Commission, answers to the following questions: What is being done with the Potrero Police Station? What protection is being given to animals at night, which the Department uses?

*So ordered.*

#### **Committee to State Fair.**

Supervisor Ratto moved that a committee be appointed (by the President) to represent the Board at the State Fair, September 1 to 10, 1937.

*Motion carried.*

#### **Tenth Annual Baseball Hunt, Bernal Heights.**

The following was read by the Clerk:

Communication from Joseph P. Gilmore, inviting members of the Board to attend the Tenth Annual Baseball Hunt, Bernal Heights, Saturday, May 22. Supervisor McSheehy asked that a copy of the letter be sent to every member of the Board. Members of the Board to notify Mr. Gilmore if they will attend.

Supervisor Ratto moved that one of the Supervisors' cars be at the disposal of those Supervisors who are to attend.

*So ordered.*

#### **Letter of Thanks to Police Commission.**

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Ratto, requested that the Clerk send communication to the Police Commission thanking the Commission for the notice they took of the letters transmitted to them from numerous cities in the East that have discontinued the use of the Sam Brown belt, and their action in having the belts discarded by officers doing traffic duty.

#### **RECESS.**

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors at 5:10 p. m. took a recess until 10 a. m. Thursday, May 20, 1937, when the Budget for the fiscal year 1937-1938 will be taken up for consideration.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.



MONDAY, MAY 17, 1937.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors May 24, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.







Thursday, May 20, 1937

Friday, May 21, 1937

Monday, May 24, 1937

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

---

THURSDAY, MAY 20, 1937, 10 A. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled for the purpose of giving consideration to the proposed budget of municipal expenditures for the fiscal year 1937-38.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy—3.

Excused—Supervisor Mead—1.

Clerk announced that Supervisor Mead had phoned that he had an important labor meeting and asked to be excused. No objection and so ordered.

### Chairman.

On motion of President Shannon, Supervisor Meyer was elected to preside.

### Message of Thanks to Governor for His Veto of Legislation Adverse to the Best Interests of San Francisco in the Matter of Relief.

Supervisor Uhl moved that:

Whereas, unfavorable legislation was passed by the present Legislature regarding the matter of relief; and

Whereas, said legislation would have increased the cost to the taxpayers of San Francisco by some \$600,000; and

Whereas, Governor Merriam has vetoed said legislation; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors on this day telegraph Governor Merriam a message of thanks for his veto of this legislation.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead—4.

Thereupon, the Clerk was directed to advise by telegram his Excellency the Governor of the action of the Board.

**SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI:** Mr. Chairman, before we enter into the work of the budget, I wish to make the following statement: You will find that the budget recommendations as submitted to you show an increase in excess of \$2,000,000, of which approximately \$1,000,000 must come from the tax rate; the other \$1,000,000 comes from other sources of revenue and does not affect the tax rate but \$1,000,000 of the increase of \$2,000,000 will affect the tax rate.

A review of the proposed budget of the Finance Committee discloses the demands of the following mandatory items from taxes more than offset this \$1,000,000 increase, and these are mandatory and they are the cause primarily of the \$1,000,000 increase in the tax rate: the needy aid amounting to \$213,007; the School Department, \$198,918;



the bond interest and redemption, the major increase this year over last year, is \$981,342. That is the heaviest increase in the budget, mandatory, to redeem bonds and pay the interest—and the Retirement Fund must be increased by \$73,033. These are all mandatory, and they approximate \$1,300,000, which is \$300,000 more by the mandatory provisions of the law than the actual increase of \$1,000,000 which must be reflected in the tax rate.

Now, there have been some increases in salaries, but the total of that is less than—I don't remember the exact amount; it is less than \$200,000, isn't it, Mr. Ross?

MR. ROSS: One hundred and eighteen thousand dollars.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: So if you add the \$118,000 to the \$1,000,000 it would still be less than the mandatory increase. In other words, if we had not had these mandatory increases to pass, we would have a lower tax rate than last year.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Mr. President, I wonder if all the members of the Board could have copies of that very enlightening synopsis of Supervisor Roncovieri. Sometimes we are asked these questions.

THE CHAIRMAN: It will be furnished the members of the Board.

MR. DUNNIGAN: I was going to suggest, if you will pardon the interruption, that we have a transcript made from here because that will contain all the remarks.

THE CHAIRMAN: Such will be the order.

#### Motions.

On motion of Supervisor Schmidt, seconded by Supervisor Reilly, the various departments were taken up in numerical order.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Mayor be requested to appear before the Board for the purpose of discussing low salaries of Library employees, it being his intention to take up with the City Attorney what legal steps are necessary in order that salaries may be adjusted.

*Motion carried.*

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved that the Mayor, Chief Administrative Officer, City Attorney and Controller hold joint meeting with the Exposition Committee of this Board to the end that funds may be appropriated at the proper time for participation in the 1939 Golden Gate International Exposition.

*Motion carried.*

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Ratto, moved that any motion made pertaining to the Budget will require six votes.

*Motion carried by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead—3.

#### Board of Supervisors (Index No. 1).

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors proceeded to the consideration of Index No. 1, Board of Supervisors.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the salary of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be fixed at \$550 per month instead of \$600 as recommended.

*Action deferred.*

Thereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further action on this department was *laid over for a later session.*

#### Mayor (Index No. 2).

Supervisor Shannon explained the recommended changes as follows:

Secretarial Telephone Operator, Sheet 2, Line 8, increased from \$155 per month to \$165 per month.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 2 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Assessors (Index No. 3).**

Supervisor Shannon explained that the only changes in this department are indicated in Items Nos. 18, 19 and 20, providing for an Addressing Machine Operator, an Alphabet Tabulating Machine Operator and a Bookkeeping Machine Operator, receiving respectively \$155, \$155 and \$165 per month. These positions are new positions necessitated by mechanical equipment installed.

Also, in line 13, increase of \$20 for Senior Clerk, and same amount for General Clerk Typist. All other changes are mere re-classifications.

Mr. Harold Boyd, Chief Deputy Assessor, declared that this setup meant a reduction in operating costs of approximately \$70,000.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that the Assessor's office as recommended be passed.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

**City Attorney (Index No. 4).**

Supervisor Shannon explained the recommended changes as follows:

Sheet 2, Line 6, Telephone Operator, increase from \$150 to \$160 per month. Reclassification; Secretarial Telephone Operator. Performs secretarial duties in addition to performing duties of PBX Operator.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 4 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**District Attorney (Index No. 5).**

Supervisor Shannon explained the recommended changes as follows:

Sheet 2, Line 2, Criminal Law Clerk, increase from \$200 to \$225 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 4, Senior Criminal Law Clerk, increase from \$225 to \$250 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 8, General Clerk (part time), increase from \$50 to \$75 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 10, General Clerk-Stenographer, increase from \$150 to \$175 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 16, Senior Attorney—Criminal, increase from \$375 to \$425 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 18, Senior Attorney—Criminal, increase from \$250 to \$375 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 22, Principal Attorney—Criminal, increase from \$275 to \$300 per month.

Sheet 2, Lines 24 and 25, Supervisor explained was a reclassification of position and increase of \$30 and \$50 respectively.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved approval of District Attorney's Office as recommended.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

**Treasurer's Office (Index No. 6).**

Supervisor Shannon explained the recommended changes as follows:

Sheet 2, Line 7, Teller, increase from \$180 to \$210 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 11, Assistant Cashier, increase from \$325 to \$350 per month.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that the Treasurer's Office as recommended be passed.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.



**Sheriff (Index No. 7).**

Supervisor Shannon explained the recommended changes as follows:

Sheet 4, Line 8, Head Clerk, increases from \$225 to \$250 per month.  
(This man formerly received \$275 per month.)

Sheet 4, Line 18, Jail Matron, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 4, Line 13, reclassification at entrance salary.

Sheet 4, Line 19, Head Jail Matron, increase from \$200 to \$210 per month.

Sheet 4, Line 21, Jailers, increase from \$170 to \$180 per month.

Sheet 4, Line 21½, Jailer, increase from \$170 to \$180 per month.

Sheet 4, Line 25, Superintendent of Jails, increase from \$250 to \$275 per month.

Sheet 4, Line 16, Woman Bailiff. New position. \$2,040 per annum.

Sheet 4, Line 26, eight Writ Servers, \$220 per month, increase of \$5 per month.

Sheet 4, Line 14, Detention Hospital Bailiff, \$220 per month; new position.

Sheet 4, Line 16, Woman Bailiff, \$170 per month; new position.

Sheet 5, Line 2, Chauffeur.

Sheet 5, Line 4, Farmer, increase from \$135 to \$145 per month.

Sheet 1, Line 8, Total Contractual Service, an increase of \$227.

Sheet 1, Line 17, Total Foodstuff, an increase of \$9,000.

Sheet 1, Line 20, Fixed Charges, an increase of \$250.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that the Sheriff's Department as recommended was passed without objection.

**Public Defender (Index No. 8).**

Supervisor Shannon explained that the Public Defender had same set up as last year and moved that department be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Police (Index No. 9).**

Supervisor Shannon explained the recommended changes as follows:

Sheet 2, Line 21, Inspectors, \$230 per month; being reclassification of positions eliminated on Line 8, Sheet 3, Q2 (3) Policemen; increase of \$30 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 5, Deputy Chief of Police, \$450 per month; new position.

Sheet 2, Line 8½, Bookkeeper, \$175 per month; new position.

Sheet 2, Line 13, 2 Tabulating Machine Operators, \$150 per month; new position.

Sheet 2, Line 15, Director of Criminal Information, \$333.33 per month; new position.

Sheet 2, Line 16, Director of Personnel, \$333.33 per month; new position.

Sheet 2, Line 17, Director of Special Services, \$250 per month; new position.

Sheet 2, Line 29, Supervising Captain, \$333.33 per month; new position.

Sheet 3, Line 32, Inspector Junior Traffic, \$230 per month; new position.

Sheet 3, Line 11, eighty-six Sergeants, \$220 per month; increase of \$5 per month; being reclassification of positions eliminated on Line 10, Sheet 3, eighty-seven Corporals, present salary \$215.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Uhl moved to strike out provision for Police Boat.

**Action Deferred.**

Whereupon, action on Police Department was deferred until 10 a. m.

tomorrow, when Chief Quinn or Commissioner Roche are requested to attend.

### Fire (Index No. 10).

Supervisor Shannon explained the recommended changes as follows:

Sheet 2, Line 10, General Clerk Typist, \$165 per month; increase of \$15 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 11, Fireman, \$200 per month; being reclassification of position eliminated on Line 13, Sheet 2 (1)—H15 Engineer of Fire Engines, present salary \$220 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 17, Chief Division of Fire Prevention, \$400 per month; increase of \$50 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 28, Gateman, \$205 per month; increase of \$30 per month.

### Motion.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the Fire Department (Index 10), as recommended, subject to statement of Chief Brennan, as to elimination of equipment for Truck 6, Chemical 9, who is requested to appear tomorrow at 10 a. m. on motion of Supervisor Uhl.

### Park Commission (Index No. 12).

Supervisor Shannon explained recommended changes as follows:

Sheet 2, Line 12, two General Clerk Stenographers, \$125 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 5, Attendant, \$75 per month; new position; being transfer from per diem payroll.

Sheet 3, Line 12, Assistant Superintendent in Charge of Golf Courses, \$250 per month; increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 20, Cashier, \$135 per month; increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 15, Manager, Children's Quarters, \$150 per month; new position; being transfer from per diem payroll. Page 4, Line 21.

Sheet 3, Line 16, Superintendent Assistant Con., \$250 per month, new position; being transfer from per diem payroll. See Page 7, Line 15.

Sheet 3, Line 17, Assistant Athletic Organizer, \$225 per month; new position.

Sheet 7, Line 14, Steamshovel Operator, \$10 per day; new position.

Sheet 7, Line 16, Timekeeper, \$5.50 per day; new position.

Sheet 7, Line 17, Dishwasher, \$5 per day; new position.

Sheet 7, Line 18, Waiter, \$5 per day; new position.

Sheet 7, Line 19, Cashier, \$5 per day; new position.

Sheet 7, Line 20, Manager, \$7 per day; new position.

Sheet 7, Line 21, Matron, \$4 per day; new position.

### Motions.

Supervisor Uhl moved that Secretary Lamb be asked to come here at 2 p. m. today and explain "Miscellaneous Improvements \$547,000" recommended by Park Commission and reduced to \$23,000 by Mayor; also, the \$50,000 for McLeran Park land eliminated. City Attorney O'Toole and Director of Property Phillips to be asked to attend.

Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 12 be passed as recommended, except as to those items questioned.

No objection and *so ordered*.

### Recreation Commission (Index No. 13).

Supervisor Shannon explained recommended changes as follows:

Sheet 2, Line 8, two General Clerks, \$175 per month; increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 11, General Clerk-Stenographer, \$165 per month; increase of \$10 per month.



Sheet 2, Line 12, General Clerk-Stenographer, \$155 per month; increase of \$30 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 13, General Clerk Typist, \$150 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 14, Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman, \$235 per month; increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 16, ten Laborers, \$155 per month; increase of \$5 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 18, twenty-four Playground Caretakers, \$155 per month; increase of \$5 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 20, two Truck Drivers, \$160 per month; increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 22, four Gardeners, \$155 per month; increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 25, Assistant Superintendent Recreation Department, \$260 per month; increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 26, Superintendent, \$450 per month; increase of \$50 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 30, four Playground Directors, \$175 per month; increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 31, twenty Playground Directors, \$160 per month; increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 32, twenty-two Playground Directors, \$155 per month; increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 12, one General Clerk-Stenographer, \$155 per month; new position.

Sheet 2, Line 22, four Gardeners, \$145 per month; new positions.

Sheet 2, Line 32, four Playground Directors, \$145 per month; new positions.

Sheet 2, Line 19, two Playground Caretakers, \$145 per month; new positions.

Sheet 3, Line 4, Superintendent of Music, \$200 per month; new position.

Sheet 3, Line 6, Swimming Instructor, \$145 per month; increase of \$10 per month; new position.

Sheet 3, Line 8, Swimming Instructor, \$155 per month; increase of \$5 per month; new position.

Sheet 3, Line 9, Swimming Instructor, \$145 per month; increase of \$15 per month; new position.

Sheet 3, Line 10, Superintendent of Swimming, \$195 per month; increase of \$10 per month; new position.

Sheet 3, Line 21, two General Clerks, \$155 per month; new positions.

Sheet 3, Line 22, Superintendent of Athletics, \$225 per month; new position.

Sheet 3, Line 22, Superintendent of Women's Activities, \$225 per month; new position.

Sheet 3, Line 23, Business Manager, \$300 per month; new position.

### Motions.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, moved that Sheet 2, Line 26, Superintendent \$450 per month, be reduced to \$400 per month, in view of the establishment of new position of business manager.

No objection and *so ordered*.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, moved that Sheet 3, Line 1, Playground Directors, be reduced from \$22,052 to \$18,452.

Motion *carried*.

Supervisor Shannon declared that the Department had been allowed \$1,000,000—7c on each \$100 of the Assessment Roll, plus the revenues of the Department.

**Motion.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 13 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**RECESS.**

Thereupon, the Board of Supervisors, at 12:10 p. m. took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

---

**THURSDAY, MAY 20, 1937, 2 P. M.**

---

In Board of Supervisors, Thursday, May 20, 1937, 2 p. m. The Board of Supervisors reassembled for the purpose of continuing consideration of the proposed budget of municipal expenditures for the fiscal year 1937-1938.

**CALLING THE ROLL.**

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt—3.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

**Park Department (Index No. 12).**

The following item was taken up:

Sheet 1, Line 24, Architects' Fees—W. P. A. Projects, \$20,000.

Captain Lamb, Secretary of the Park Commission, explained that item represented 6 per cent of approximately \$665,904.70 of construction costs, including—aviary, five bear pits, pools in zoo, lion houses, rest and comfort stations, etc.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that Sheet 1, Line 24, Index 12, Architects' Fees, W. P. A. projects be reduced from \$20,000 to \$16,000. No objection and *so ordered*.

**McLaren Park Lands.**

Eugene Riordan, representing Director of Property, declared that Sheet 1, Line 25, McLaren Park Lands, \$50,000 was deleted by the Mayor. At this time he said there is no land under condemnation. The Board of Supervisors passed a resolution authorizing condemnation but the City Attorney never filed suit. The line for the line for the Park is definitely laid out.

Mrs. Florence Friedmann, 66 Raymond avenue, representing Visitation Community Center, was heard urging a yearly appropriation for the completion of this project.

She read a resolution of her organization requesting the setting aside of a certain amount of money each year for the project to be expended for work by the W. P. A. Asking at least \$20,000 each year to complete this work.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Ratto, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved as an amendment that the amount be fixed at \$25,000.

There being no objection it was *so ordered*.



Captain Lamb, Secretary of the Park Commission, was heard in reference to Miscellaneous General Fund Improvements. (Sheet 1, Line 26.)

He stated that the Park Department had asked for \$547,505 and that the item had been cut by the Mayor to \$23,000, which provided \$20,000 for Fleishhacker Pool Pipe Line and \$3,000 for the McLaren plaque.

The following improvements were eliminated: Lincoln Park Clubhouse, Fleischhacker Bath House and Pools, Surfacing Tennis Courts. Golden Gate Park Stadium alterations and enlarging convenience station, etc., which is not now necessary as W. P. A. is doing the work. Children's Quarter (Sharon Building), Beach Chalet, Kezar Stadium—Cinder Paths and Jumping Pits.

Yacht Harbor Dredging, Supervisors allowed \$20,000.

Fleishhacker Pool Pipe Line, \$20,000 allowed by Board of Supervisors.

Sharp Park, Fresh Water Pipe Line, \$1,750.

Lighting System, Golden Gate Park, \$75,000.

Floral Emblems, in front of Conservatory, \$10,000.

Repiping Conservatory, \$2,000.

Additions to Sewage Plant (70,000 gallons of water from the sewage plant can be obtained by the expenditure of \$7,000—an increase of two to three hundred thousand gallons).

Band Stand rehabilitation, \$3,000 and several other items.

The Mayor allowed \$20,000 for the Fleishhacker Pool Pipe Line and \$3,000 for the McLaren Plaque.

#### Motion.

Supervisor Uhl moved to include \$6,000 for tennis courts at the Palace of Fine Arts.

*Over temporarily for opinion of City Attorney and City Engineer.*

Andrew J. Gallagher, representing Park employees, requested night meeting in order that Park employees might have an opportunity to appear before the Board of Supervisors and air their grievances on restoration of old rate in accordance with prevailing rate in outside employments.

#### Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved that if we do not finish by tomorrow afternoon we agree to meet Friday night at 8 p. m.

President Shannon announced that the question of rapid transit will not be taken up until Monday's meeting.

Whereupon, the Park Department was *passed as recommended* except items relating to McLaren Park, Holly Park and Sewage condition at Children's Playground.

#### Library.

On motion, Library items were *laid over temporarily*.

#### Board of Trustees War Memorial (Index No. 15).

Supervisor Shannon explained the following recommended changes:

Sheet 2, Line 2, Secretary, \$275 per month, increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 3, Managing Director, \$550 per month, increase of \$50 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 4, General Clerk-Stenographer, \$165 per month, increase of \$15 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 8, 3 Janitors, \$155 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 10, 2 Watchmen, \$155 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 11, Window Cleaner, \$170 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 7, 2 Janitors, \$145 per month; new position.

Sheet 2, Line 17, Opera House Attendant P. T., \$75 per month; new position.

Sheet 2, Line 2, Elevator Operator Art Museum, \$145 per month, new position.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 15 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Art Commission (Index No. 16).**

Supervisor Shannon explained changes in this department as follows:

Department request \$84,700 an increase of \$15,200, Mayor cut to \$73,900, which is approved by the Finance Committee. He called attention to error in Sheet 1, Line 8, Municipal Chorus, \$4,000, should be \$5,000 and moved that the correction be made. There being no objection it was *so ordered*.

Whereupon, the Art Commission (Index No. 16) as recommended was *approved*.

**Board of Trustees, California Palace Legion of Honor  
(Index No. 17).**

Supervisor Shannon explained recommended changes as follows:

Sheet 2, Line 3, Engineer and Building Superintendent, \$225 per month; increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 7, 2 Gallerymen, \$130 per month; increase of \$5 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 8, 2 Gallerymen, \$135 per month; increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 9, Head Janitor, \$135 per month; increase of \$5 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 10, Assistant Janitor, \$130 per month; increase of \$5 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 11, Assistant Mechanic, \$140 per month; increase of \$15 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 13, 2 Stenographers, \$136 per month; increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 14, Librarian, \$125 per month; increase of \$15 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 16, 3 Caretakers, \$110 per month; increase of \$20 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 17, 1 Watchman, \$135 per month; increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 17, 2 Watchmen, \$135 per month, new position.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 17 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Board of Trustees, M. H. de Young Memorial Museum  
(Index No. 18).**

Supervisor Shannon read and explained the following recommended changes:

Sheet 2, Line 4, Recorder, \$175 per month; increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 6, Museum Instructor, \$175 per month; increase of \$25 per month.



Sheet 2, Line 9, Assistant Museum Instructor, \$25 per month; increase of \$15 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 10, Stenographer, \$135 per month; increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 13, Clerk, \$125 per month; increase of \$15 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 15, Assistant Mechanic, \$140 per month; increase of \$5 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 18, Head Caretaker, \$115 per month; increase of \$15 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 19, 4 Caretakers, \$110 per month; increase of \$20 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 22, 5 Gallerymen, \$130 per month; increase of \$5 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 23, 5 Gallerymen, \$135 per month; increase of \$5 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 24, 3 Watchmen, \$135 per month; increase of \$10 each.

Sheet 2, Line 15, Assistant Mechanic, \$140 per month; new position.

Sheet 2, Line 21, 4 Gallerymen, \$125 per month; new positions.

Sheet 2, Line 28, Utility Man, \$140 per month; new position.

Sheet 2, Line 29, 1 Installation Man, \$140 per month; new position.

Sheet 2, Line 24, Watchman, \$135 per month; new position.

#### Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 18 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

#### California Academy of Sciences—Steinhart Aquarium.

Supervisor Shannon explained that there were no changes in this department. The same amount was allowed as last year, \$45,000.

#### Motion.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 18 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

#### Municipal Court (Index No. 20).

Supervisor Shannon read and explained the following recommended changes:

Sheet 2, Line 9, Senior Criminal Law Clerk, \$265 per month; increase of \$15 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 11, 4 Senior Civil Law Clerks, \$265 per month; (3) increase of \$25 per month, (1) increase of \$45 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 12, Chief Assistant Clerk, Municipal Court, \$300 per month; increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 14, General Clerk, \$250 per month; increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 19, Head Clerk, \$300 per month; increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 23, 8 General Clerk Typists, \$155 per month; new positions.

#### Motion.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 20 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

#### Superior Court (Index No. 21).

Supervisor Shannon read and explained the following recommended changes:

Sheet 2, Line 7, Secretary-Telephone Operator, \$150 per month; increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 12, Secretary-Telephone Operator, \$125 per month; new position.

**Motion.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 21 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Law Library (Index No. 22).**

Supervisor Shannon explained the following recommended change:

Sheet 1, Line 18, Law Librarian, \$475 per month; increase of \$25 per month.

**Motion.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 22 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Juvenile Court, Probation Office (Index No. 23).**

Supervisor Shannon explained the following recommended changes:

Sheet 2, Line 14, Probation Officer, \$185 per month; increase of \$5 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 22, Psychologist, \$180 per month; new position.

Sheet 2, Line 23, Psychiatric Probationary Officer, \$180 per month; new position.

**Motion.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 23 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Juvenile Court, Detention Home (Index No. 24).**

Supervisor Shannon explained the following recommended changes:

Sheet 2, Line 2, Janitor, \$155 per month; increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 4, Cook, \$130 per month; increase of \$20 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 7, 2 Male Attendants, \$145 per month; increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 10, 6 Women Attendants, \$122.50, less \$32.50 for B. & L.; increase of \$20 each.

**Motion.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 24 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Adult Probation (Index No. 25).**

Supervisor Shannon explained the following recommended changes:

Sheet 2, Line 3, Bookkeeper, resignation; salary should be reduced to entrance grade of \$175 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 6, Probation Officer, \$210 per month; increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 7, Probation Officer, \$200 per month; increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 8, Probation Officer Stenographer, \$210 per month; increase of \$10 per month.

**Motion.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 25 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.



**Chief Administrative Officer (Index No. 26).**

Supervisor Shannon explained:

Sheet 2, Line 4, Office Assistant Part Time, \$79.50 per month; transfer from DPW Bureau of Building Inspection; no other changes.

**Motion.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 26 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Finance and Records Director (Index No. 27).**

Supervisor Shannon explained that there were no changes in this set-up. Whereupon, Index No. 27 *was approved without objection*.

**Finance and Records—Tax Collector (Index No. 28).**

Supervisor Shannon explained the following recommended changes:

Sheet 2, Line 4, Director of Bureau of Licenses, \$250 per month; increase of \$50 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 14, 4 General Clerks, \$160 per month; increase of \$5 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 18, General Clerk-Stenographer, \$175 per month; increase of \$20 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 3, Director of Delinquent Revenue Collections, \$400 per month; increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 4, Attorney, Civil, \$275 per month; increase of \$25 per month.

**Motion.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 28 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Finance and Records, Registrar of Voters (Index No. 29).**

Supervisor Shannon explained the following recommended changes:

Sheet 2, Line 4, Registrar, \$500 per month; increase of \$50 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 3, Office Assistant Part Time, \$79.50; increase of \$4.50 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 13, Voting Machine Adjuster, \$175 per month; increase of \$20 per month.

**Motion.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 29 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Finance and Records, Recorder (Index No. 30).**

Supervisor Shannon explained the following recommended changes:

Sheet 2, Line 2, Chief Clerk, \$300 per month; increase of \$20 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 11, General Clerk-Typist, \$165 per month; increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 16, 5 General Clerk-Typists, \$200 per month; new position.

**Motion.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 30 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Finance and Records, County Clerk (Index No. 31).**

Supervisor Shannon explained the following recommended changes:

Sheet 2, Line 4, Senior Criminal Law Clerk, \$200 per month; reclassification; increase of salary to \$220; increase of \$20 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 7, 3 Senior Civil Law Clerks, \$265 per month; increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 11, Central Cashier, \$220 per month; reclassification; increase of salary from \$155 per month to \$175 per month; increase of \$20 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 16, General Clerk-Typist (Chief Marriage License Clerk), \$220 per month; increase of \$20 per month.

**Motion.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 31 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Public Administrator (Index No. 32).**

Sheet 2, Line 7, 2 General Clerk-Stenographers, \$160 per month; increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 6, General Clerk-Stenographer, \$165 per month; increase of \$15 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 8, General Clerk-Stenographer, \$180 per month; increase of \$5 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 4, Special Messenger, Part Time, \$75 per month; new position.

**Motion.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 32 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Purchasing Department (Index No. 33).**

Supervisor Shannon explained the following recommended changes:

Sheet 2, Line 4, General Clerk, \$175 per month; increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 7, Bookkeeping Machine Operator, \$175 per month; increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 9, Storekeeper, \$135 per month; increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 17, Produce Buyer & Storekeeper, \$175 per month; increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 18, Produce Buyer & Storekeeper, \$160 per month; vacant.

Sheet 2, Line 21, Produce Buyer & General Storekeeper, \$225 per month; increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 22, Assistant Purchaser, General Supplies, \$250 per month; increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 26, Purchasing Agent, \$350 per month; increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 23, Assistant Purchaser, General Supplies, \$200 per month (new position).

Sheet 2, Line 31, 2 General Clerk-Stenographers, \$155 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 34, Laborer, \$6 a day; reclassification of position eliminated on Line 12, Sheet 2, B354 (1) Gen. Storekeeper; present salary, \$180 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 17, 2 Watchmen, \$155 per month; increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 31, Boiler Helper, \$7.08 per day; increase of 50 cents per day.

Sheet 3, Line 33, 2 Machinist Helpers, \$7.08 per day; increase of 50 cents per day.



Supervisor Reilly requested that Sheet 3 be held up temporarily.  
*So ordered.*

**Motion.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 33 (except Sheet 3) be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered.*

**Real Estate (Index No. 34).**

Supervisor Shannon explained recommended changes as follows:

Sheet 2, Line 9, General Clerk-Stenographer, \$160 per month; increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 10, General Clerk-Typist, \$155 per month; increase of \$5 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 13, Assistant Chief Right of Way Agent, \$400 per month; increase of \$25 per month.

**Motion.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 34 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered.*

**Real Estate (Auditorium) (Index No. 35).**

Supervisor Shannon explained recommended changes in this department, whereupon on his motion the Auditorium *was passed* as recommended.

**Public Works—General Office (Index No. 36).**

Supervisor Shannon explained recommended changes as follows:

Sheet 2, Line 7, General Clerk, \$165 per month; increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 8, General Clerk, \$175 per month; increase of \$20 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 13, Chief Telephone Operator, \$180 per month; increase of \$15 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 14, General Clerk-Typist, \$165 per month; increase of \$10 per month.

Supervisor Reilly inquired regarding Blacksmith's Helper, \$8 per day. Why is he not paid the same salary in Shop No. 1 as in the Municipal Shops?

Moved and *carried* Secretary Maher be asked to come later and explain. *So ordered.*

(Later it was explained that any action increasing rate would be in violation of Charter Sections 71 and 151.)

**Motion.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 36 (except item relating to Blacksmith's Helper) be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered.*

**Public Works—Bureau of Accounts (Index No. 37).**

Supervisor Shannon explained the following recommended changes:

Sheet 2, Line 3, General Clerk, \$165 per month; increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 8, General Clerk-Stenographer; increase of \$10 per month.

**Motion.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 37 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered.*

**Public Works—Building Repair (Index No. 38).**

Supervisor Shannon explained the following recommended changes:

Sheet 2, Line 4, Assistant Superintendent Maintenance & Repair of Public Buildings, \$300 per month; increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 5, Superintendent of Maintenance & Repair of Public Buildings, \$375 per month; increase of \$50 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 24, Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines, \$220 per month; new position.

Sheet 3, Line 11, 2 Janitors, \$145 per month (new positions).

Sheet 4, Line 30, Sheet Metal Shopman, \$7 per day; increase of 50 cents per day.

Sheet 5, Line 9, Electrician, \$225 per month; change from per diem basis to monthly basis, thereby rendering electrician available at all times.

**Motion.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 38 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

(Supervisor Schmidt voted present at 4:20 p. m.)

**Public Works—Building Inspection (Index No. 39).**

Supervisor Shannon explained the recommended changes as follows:

Sheet 2, Line 3, Building Inspector, \$225 per month; new position.

Sheet 2, Line 10, 2 Structural Engineering Inspectors, \$250 per month; new position.

Sheet 2, Line 11, General Clerk-Stenographer, \$150 per month; new position.

*Action deferred temporarily.*

**Public Works—Engineering (Index No. 40).**

Supervisor Shannon explained the recommended changes as follows:

Sheet 2, Line 15, Civil Engineering Draftsman, \$250 per month; increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 18, Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman, \$250 per month; increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 23, Chief Civil Engineering Designer, \$475 per month; increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 25, Mechanical Engineering Designer, \$275 per month; increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 29, Engineer of Grades, \$300 per month; increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 30, Engineer Street Improvement Investigation, \$300 per month; increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 31, Engineer Street Improvement Investigation, \$275 per month; increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 34, Office Engineer, \$325 per month; increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 3, Surveyors, \$275 per month; increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 5, Assistant Chief Surveyor, \$300 per month; increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 9, Line 5, General Clerk-Stenographer, \$175 per month; increase of \$20 per month.

Sheet 9, Line 18, Civil Engineering Draftsman, \$250 per month; increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 9, Line 22, Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman, \$250 per month; increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 9, Line 25, Civil Engineering Designer, \$300 per month; increase of \$25 per month.



Sheet 9, Line 27, Sanitary Engineering Designer, \$300 per month; increase of \$50 per month.

Sheet 9, Line 29, Mechanical Draftsman, \$225 per month; increase of \$200 per month.

Sheet 11, Line 26, Inspector Public Works Construction, \$225 per month; new position.

Sheet 11, Line 27, Junior Electrical Engineer, \$160 per month; new position.

Sheet 11, Line 28, Inspector Loading Zones, \$175 per month; new position.

Sheet 11, Line 29, Traffic Checker, \$155 per month; new position.

Sheet 11, Line 30, Traffic Engineer, \$300 per month; new position.

Sheet 11, Line 31, Watchman, \$145 per month; new position.

#### Motion.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 40 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

#### Public Works—Central Permit Bureau (Index No. 41).

Supervisor Shannon explained:

Sheet 1, Line 15, General Clerk-Typist, \$150 per month; new position.

#### Motion.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 41 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

#### Public Works—Street Cleaning (Index No. 42).

Supervisor Shannon explained recommended changes as follows:

Sheet 2, Line 4, Watchman, \$155 per month; increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 6, 2 Garagemen, \$160 per month; increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 7, 2 District Directors Street Cleaning, \$240 per month; increase of \$15 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 9, Superintendent Street Cleaning, \$325 per month; increase of \$50 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 5, 2 Watchmen, \$145 per month; new position.

Sheet 2, Lines 12 and 13, 10 Laborers, \$6 per day; new positions.

Sheet 2, Line 18, 3 Truck Drivers, \$7.50 per day; new positions.

Sheet 2, Line 22, 2 Drivers of Special Equipment, \$7.50 per day; new position.

#### Motions.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved that Line 9—an increase of \$50 per month—be reduced from \$325 to \$300 per month.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly—5.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 42 be passed as recommended.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon—7.

No—Supervisor Uhl—1.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

**Public Works—Sewer Repair (Index No. 43).**

Supervisor Shannon explained the following recommended changes:

Sheet 2, Line 5, Assistant Superintendent, \$250 per month; increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 6, Superintendent, \$400 per month; increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 13, Laborer, \$6 per day; new position.

Sheet 2, Line 14, Driver of Special Equipment, \$7.50 per day; new position; will be assigned to Mosquito Control Truck provided for in budget for health purposes.

Sheet 2, Line 15, 4 Cribbers, \$7 per day; new position.

Sheet 2, Line 16, Sewer Cleaner, \$8.50 per day; new position.

Ed Minister, representing Cribbers and Sewer Cleaners, was heard. He said that the men he represented are paid away below similar work in private employment. In fact, he said, there are no sewer cleaners in private employment and the work is dangerous to health.

Whereupon, on motion duly made and *carried*, the following amendment was *approved* without objection.

Sheet 2, Line 15, 4 Cribbers, \$8.80 per day.

Sheet 2, Line 16, Sewer Cleaners, \$9.50 per day.

**Motion.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved Index No. 43, as amended, be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Public Works—Sewage Pumping Stations (Index No. 44).**

Supervisor Shannon explained:

Sheet 2, Line 3, Head Pump Operator, \$200 per month; new position for New Marina Discharge Pump Station.

Sheet 1, Line 9, New Construction, \$10,000. Finance Committee recommends that this item be decreased to \$3,600 and set up for sewage disposal studies.

Amendment *carried*.

**Motion.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 44, as amended, be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Public Works—Street Repair (Index No. 45).**

Sheet 2, Line 10, 4 Laborers, \$6 per day; new position.

Sheet 2, Line 21, Rammer, \$7 per day; new position.

Sheet 2, Line 24, Granite Cutter, \$9.50 per day; new position.

**Motion.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 45 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Public Works—County Roads (Index No. 45).****Privilege of the Floor.**

Mr. O'Connor, representing the per diem asphalt workers Local No. 84, said that on February 16 he submitted to Mr. Cleary's office a request for wage adjustment for a few of his men, inasmuch as Mr. Henderson said that the Civil Service Commission had no jurisdiction. Was up against standardization. Had a communication from Mr. Cleary that the jurisdiction rested with the Civil Service Commission. City Attorney O'Toole agreed that that was correct—the Civil



Service Commission had power to recommend wage adjustments for small groups of employees. Mr. O'Connor said that he had sent three communications to the Civil Service Commission and the only response has been that it had been referred to the Standardization Committee. The money, he said, that pays our men is taken from the County Roads Fund. It is not taken from the tax rate. He asked (1) increase for one dryer man from \$9 to \$10 per day, the same as paid in private employment; (2) increase wages of asphalt rakers and ironers from \$1 to \$1.10 per hour, same as paid in private employment; (3) asked increase of \$1 per day for foreman of asphalt plant and two asphalt finishers. The total for the entire fiscal year is only \$3,300.

#### Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved reference to Mayor, asking him if something cannot be done.

Motion *carried*.

#### RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors, at 6:05 p. m., took recess until May 21, 1937, at 10 a. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

#### FRIDAY, MAY 21, 1937, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Friday, May 21, 1937, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled for the purpose of continuing consideration of the proposed budget of municipal expenditures for the fiscal year 1937-1938.

#### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead—3.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

#### Remarks by Mayor La Guardia of New York.

MAYOR ROSSI: Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board, Public Officials and Fellow Citizens: San Francisco is honored by a visit by the Mayor of the greatest city in the world. He also is president of the Conference of Mayors; and I don't want him to leave San Francisco without saying a word to the Board of Supervisors: Mayor La Guardia.

MAYOR LA GUARDIA: Mr. Mayor, Mr. Chairman and Gentlemen: Of all times to come into any municipal board, that when they are making their budget it seems to me is about the most embarrassing to all concerned. Misery likes company, so I just want to tell you that we go through all the pains and labors that you are going through now. We will start in July; our departmental estimates come in, then the Mayor presents his executive budget in the early part of September; then we have public hearings, and I suppose you go through that ordeal, too. During the first ten days of the public hearings we are permitted to increase the budget; that is, the Board is. After that, we have hearings to decrease the budget; and, it is strange, Madison Square Garden wouldn't hold all the people who appear the first ten days to increase the budget, and the next sessions when we have the decreasing of the budget our attendance is very scanty. And this is also very interesting; I suppose you have the same situation; we will

find groups that are interested in specific items in the budget or in departments, coming in and clamoring for increased appropriations and then towards the end we receive protests from organizations demanding a reduction in the budget, and you will find very often a duplication of the names. They will insist on increased appropriations and also complain about the taxes in the high total amount of the budget. Now, gentlemen, if you can solve that problem I would like to have the formula.

Talking of budgets, I suppose you are confronted with exactly the same situation—the cost of municipal government is increasing. There are new functions being added to municipalities all the time so I don't see any hope—I am talking about my city, of course—I don't see any hope in the reduction of municipal costs as we go along. And in addition to that, municipal government is becoming and is now a science. We train personnel, we take young men and women, train them in the service, and they become specialists in the various fields of municipal activity and necessarily the pay roll is going to increase as we perfect our department. Take, for instance, the hospitals! There was a time when every city could depend a great deal on private hospitals. We have experienced during the period of depression that the resources of private hospitals decreases, while the demands on public hospitals increase, and with 26 institutions in New York City, with a total budget of something like \$30,000,000, we appropriate at least \$14,000,000 more for institutional care; and that is increasing all the time. I daresay that we take 95 per cent of the load of tubercular patients. The same is true also of public health. That has become an exact science, and, of course, in the long run it is economy, because were it not for the preventive work that the public health department carries on, our life, I suppose, in large cities, would simply be constantly exposed to the danger of epidemic.

Now, we have enlarged upon that. I have constructed a new laboratory. We are conducting a great deal of research work. We are producing our own diphtheria serum. We are now experimenting and producing our pneumonia serum. That is very costly, but here is the situation, gentlemen: Medical science has developed to the point where certain types of pneumonia are curable. It is costly, the average citizen cannot afford it. It costs from 38 to 40 dollars a case, but it saves life. Therefore, it becomes the function of government, whether state or municipality, to provide a medium of saving life. New York City has gone into it now. We were ahead, I think, of any other city or state; and Massachusetts state is producing its pneumonia serum—and we find that we can hardly produce enough—you know, there are 32 types of pneumonia, and we have serums for six types that are approved; and five more still in the experimental stage, and which I suppose will be accepted by the medical profession very soon. Now, to do this research work we must maintain our own farms for the production of horses for serum, in addition to the laboratory.

Now, take the police department. That is increasing all the time. We found this by experience, that it pays to provide the police officers with economic security and we try to pay our police department well. Now, we have a force of 18,000 police officers, and were it not that we mechanize and motorize the department we would need a great deal more.

Parks and playgrounds have been accepted as a necessity, a part of the general welfare of public health programs of a municipality. It is not a luxury any more. And, as you know, to maintain parks and playgrounds in a large city is very costly, and my budget has jumped 50 per cent in the last two years, for maintenance of parks and playgrounds, and I expect it will jump 30 per cent more in the 1938 budget.

The maintenance cost of streets is constantly increasing. Traffic conditions demand the widening of streets, the opening of new highways, which the people demand, and then when the total amount of



the budget is announced we receive the complaints and the protests on the tax rate.

Therefore, I see very little hope in cutting municipal budgets as we go along, because these services, more of them are demanded and many of them are absolutely necessary to the health, welfare and happiness of a city.

One of our largest items in the New York budget, and I suppose you struggle with it, too, is that of schools, our educational budget. You have more latitude than we have. The city government in New York has very little latitude except to provide the money. Our schedules for teachers are fixed by the state legislature, and we cannot touch them one way or the other. We have a staff in our grade and high schools of 36,000 teachers, with a total budget of \$140,000,000. Of the \$140,000,000 we get about \$54,000,000 from the state. That is their contribution and for that they reserve the right to fix, not only the salary schedules of the wages, but also the number of pupils in the class rooms, so we must maintain both in order to obtain the state grant. That leaves a total of over \$80,000,000 which the city must provide. In addition to that, in our educational department we have three city colleges, and beginning in October we will have four. We have the C. C. & Y., the General College of the City of New York, then we have Hunter College for girls, then we have Brooklyn College, a co-ed, and we are now opening Queen's College in the Borough of Queens, which will make four. That, of course, is an additional item in the budget which must be provided. On that, gentlemen, as you know, there are two different schools of thought; one believing that it is proper and necessary for a city to maintain these free colleges; and the other that the city should stop at the high school and not undertake higher education. There is a very sharp division of thought about that. I personally believe that in a city of 7,000,000 it is quite proper to have the higher education, but I say it is debatable and there is quite a bit of division on that.

On January 1st we will begin under the new charter which was approved by a referendum vote last year. It is gratifying, gentlemen, to see the improvement in municipal government. From the time that James Brice wrote his American Commonwealth, in which he pointed out with accuracy and proper justification that the municipal government was one conspicuous failure in this country, there has been such an improvement that I believe, as I said before, it has become a real science, and the improvement within the last ten years has been marked; the very careful attention that is given it by the electorate of cities, to teach governmental problems, the desire to keep abreast of what is going on—I darsay the average American in municipal government will compare favorably with that of any in the world. We are closer, of course, to the people, than the state or federal government, which makes our task so much more different.

I want to take this opportunity, Mr. Mayor, and Mr. President, and gentlemen, to express my thanks for the co-operation you have given to the United States Conference of Mayors. I want to tell you just a word about that. It is not a social group, it is not a golf club, it is an organization of Mayors of American cities of over 50,000 population. It is supported entirely by public funds from the municipalities. We adopted that policy rather than to accept contributions from any of the existing foundations, no matter how well meant they may be, because, after all, if any public organization of that kind is supported by public funds, well meaning though the donors may be, there is always a certain amount of feeling that the philosophy of government or thought is controlling. Therefore, the Conference of Mayors adopted the policy that membership would be limited to Mayors of cities over 50,000, and the membership fees provided in the budget of the various cities, and that is happening, gentlemen, all over the country. We maintain in Washington an office which is well manned with experienced personnel. We are filling up perhaps the very best reference library on all matters and divisions of municipal government. That

is available to all the cities. The director of the Conference, Mr. Paul Veters—I think most of you know him—and his staff are constantly at the disposal of the cities attending to departmental matters. The office is the clearing house for American cities in their relation to the government. I can tell you from my actual experience as a member of Congress for 14 years that the city now is closer to the federal government than ever before. President Roosevelt has a keen and sympathetic understanding of the problems of cities, first as a resident of New York City, then as Governor of the state—he understands our problems. I need not tell you gentlemen who have been confronted with the great question of relief that I think President Roosevelt actually saved every city in the country through his understanding of our problems and providing the funds to carry us through a very critical period of our history, so critical that I believe we were too close to it—not until we get a very distant perspective will it be apprehended and realized how we went through it and how the situation was saved. Through this conference we are able to know each other and I can tell you there isn't anything better for a Mayor than to visit other cities. It takes the conceit out of a Mayor. To get what I mean, as I go from city to city I see that, after all, there is always something that can be learned. There is no city that has the monopoly on perfection or better than other cities in its departments.

Now, gentlemen, I know what you have before you, the consideration of your budget, and it has been very gracious of you; it has been a delight to meet you and I want to thank Mayor Rossi for his civility and courtesy; and if at any time we in the east can be of any service to the great metropolis of the west, please call on us.

THE CHAIRMAN: I understand you have had a lot of experience with subways, and motor business; can you give us a little talk on that? We are very much interested.

MAYOR LA GUARDIA: The question of subways—there you touch upon a real problem to every Mayor of New York City. Now, rapid transit is necessary to any city where the area is so extended that people cannot get from their homes to their places of business or employment easily, comfortably, and speedily. Naturally, rapid transit is for long-distance hauling, or where you have bad traffic conditions. Let me say in passing, what I have stated so many times in New York, "Never get disheartened or discouraged because you have traffic congestion, because any time that your streets will be so comfortable and relieved of traffic as to be able to travel comfortably, then the grass will commence to grow on that street, and your city is gone." So that is something we are all bothered with.

Now, New York originally solved its rapid transit—I use the term rapid transit to distinguish from the ordinary transportation of trolley lines and buses. Trolley lines and buses are called transportation, and subways and elevated rapid transit. New York City first solved its problems with the construction of elevated roads which started some 60 or 65 years ago. The elevated railroads were driven by steam locomotives then and since replaced with electric power. Now, the elevated lines in New York City, and, of course, I speak of New York City, because I know the conditions there, have become obsolete. As an operating proposition they do not pay. The Manhattan Railway Company, in New York City, prolonged its life by leasing their property for 999 years to the subway company—one of those leases that you all understand. They are now in the federal courts to disaffirm that lease. We find that in a city the elevated lines are unattractive, they add terribly to traffic congestion, and as far as I am concerned they are absolutely obsolete and are worthless. Now, wherever we are able to pull down an elevated line the whole character of the neighborhood changes and property is improved almost overnight. The construction of elevated lines is cheaper than subways, but you make up the difference in paying damages to adjoining owners. The courts have laid down the principle that property owners have the



right to light, air and access, and heavy damages are awarded wherever an elevated line is stuck in front of any one's property.

Now, we had some experience with subways. We own about one billion dollars of subways. That means that we own the subways of the two private companies, the Interborough and the Brooklyn M. T., and we own, of course, our own, and all of the rolling stock and equipment of our own independent subways. We have three subway systems in New York; two privately operated, but publicly owned, and one publicly owned and publicly operated. The two are operating under a long-term lease with the city. The subways no doubt built up New York City. If you will take the date of the first construction of the subway and then follow through as the lines were extended you would see that the locality just built up with the extension of the subway. Whether or not mistakes were made in the building of the subways without proper planning at the time is another question, but we have at least got hold of it now and under the new charter we have provision for a city planning commission so that the mistakes of the past will not be repeated. But the fact remains, gentlemen, that Queens was built by the subways. We have a population in Queens of 2,000,000; we have a population in Brooklyn of over 3,000,000, and we have a population in the Bronx of over 1,000,000. That growth in the population is due to the subway systems. Naturally, they drew some from Manhattan. The population of Manhattan is less today than it was 25 years ago, but in addition to that amount drawn from the Borough of Manhattan we have that increased population growth, over and above the population growth normal in cities and outlying districts of the city. They are convenient, they are safe, and they are rapid; they are comfortable—relatively comfortable, except, of course, in the rush hours, and you get accustomed to that. So I want to make that clear when I say they are comfortable, because it would be impossible to have sufficient facilities when you are moving two or three million people all at one time, going one direction, and one time at the end of the day going the other direction. So they have become just part of our city life. We simply couldn't do without them.

At this time I am building a section under Sixth avenue, about four miles, connecting the Queens—one of the Queens' lines down with the other part of the Independent Subway at Eighth street. That is costing \$54,000,000.

The construction of subways, gentlemen, is costly. Now, that is particularly costly because we are in the most active part of Manhattan. There is no interruption at all of the street traffic. We build and we cover. We build and we cover. We are building it in sections, and at the same time we are propping up—and this, of course, is just pathetic—we are propping up the Sixth avenue elevated, which cost about \$5,000,000 extra in the fifty-four, and that Sixth avenue elevated is coming down just as sure as we are sitting here. A little politics is the reason why it has not come down so far. I will not bore you with the facts. I want to tear it down, but the opposition doesn't want me to tear it down, and there the damned thing is; but it will come down eventually, and the increased valuation of the property along the line will almost pay for the cost of the elevated line.

Now, so much for the favorable side of subways. I certainly couldn't leave here without saying that it brings its headaches, too. I couldn't give you the exact figures of our traffic conditions, but we had in last year's budget, 1936, about \$31,000,000 in losses on subway operation. That means all three, gentlemen, the two lines, as I told you, were operating under a contract with the city, and they have certain preferentials in the gross revenue before the city receives anything so that that amount which we receive from the two privately operated companies is not sufficient to pay the amortization and the interest on the cost of construction of those two subways. And then we have a loss, a like loss in the operation of the Municipal subway. We make

all of our operating expenses in the Municipal subway, and last year \$3,500,000 profit on operation, but that does not take care of the \$700,000,000 that went into the construction of the subway and the equipment. So that it is a matter of policy. If the city accepts the policy that rapid transit is part of the city life, it is necessary just as streets, and avenues, and bridges, and will expect improvement and development in the outlying districts, and are satisfied to take and absorb the cost of construction, then you can make your operating expenses. If, on the other hand, the policy is adopted that it must be self-supporting, then you must provide a flexible fare to make it self-supporting, but you must accept that fact and, my advice, from actual experience in New York, is that that fact be accepted from the very beginning, otherwise it will be a football of politics just as it has been in New York City.

Now, in the beginning everybody is for and anxious to have subways, but you have now actual experience in New York City, London and Paris with subways. London has unified their system. They have a very excellent report on it, Mr. Mayor, and it tells the whole story there. We are seeking to unify our system. I have met with opposition and the reasons for that, I will not bore you with the details of. But there is the thing you have to decide.

I assume, of course—I am talking always on the assumption that traffic conditions warrant the construction of subways. I don't know your figures on that, but that can be easily ascertained by proper surveys. Then along with your subway system, the modern up-to-date method is to provide feeders by bus lines. You would be surprised, gentlemen, to see the improvement in the street when you tear up the tracks. The same street, familiar to you, looks just twice as broad, and it improves traffic conditions at least 50 per cent. I have been able to tear up most of the tracks, all of the tracks in Manhattan with the exception of one line. They are clinging to their perpetual franchise. The trolley lines of Brooklyn are clinging to their perpetual franchise, and in Queens we have been able to exchange a 25-year bus franchise for the perpetual trolley franchise. The city trolley lines, as we have found them, are obsolete, cumbersome, silly, noisy, unattractive, insanitary and otherwise useless. I suppose you will gather from that that I don't like trolleys. Well, I don't. But I have heard some of the trolley operators predict that the time is coming when we will go back to trolley lines in the cities. I just can't believe it.

Now, that is our problem in New York City. Now I think you ought to have the maps of our subway system to believe the magnitude of the system, because it is beyond, really, visualizing from a mere statement. Our big problem now is to unify the entire system and bring it all under public operation, and that is my big problem at this time, with which I am meeting with a great deal of political opposition.

Therefore, if I may humbly state from our sad experience, I would say to any city embarking on rapid transit that provision should be made for its operation through a governmental agency, a city agency, providing for its continuity regardless of changes in administration. In other words, overlapping the terms of a commission or any sort of agency to operate the rapid transit system, and separate entirely from politics. Had New York done that 30 years or 35 years ago, I am sure we would not have some of the difficulties we are having.

Now, don't forget that your subways bring people into your city, but they also take people out of your city. You know, it isn't a one-way thing, it is two-way; and it does develop the surrounding territory as it develops the outlying districts of your own city. But it has its advantages, too, because it does bring people into the city, and it does increase business and industries. So, the City of San Francisco—and every time I come here I see such marked improvement—I suppose the next time I come here you will have your subway all built. Don't make the mistake, though—you can't get a subway from Sears-Roebuck. It requires the very best of engineering talent, especially in a city like San Francisco or New York, where you have your build-



ings, and you have your engineering difficulties. You must get the very best engineering talent available anywhere in the country and then, of course, you have to get experience in operation.

Judging from surface conditions, as I see it—and, of course, assuming always that you have the traffic load—and I don't know that—I would say that you ought to start your subways very soon. Now, then, another thing to look after when you start your subways is not to permit in your congested business districts your buildings to go too high, because that creates a new problem for you. That is what has happened in New York City. Don't think that skyscrapers—they are splendid to show to visitors, but they create a serious problem for you, and if I were to start with the experience we have now, 30 years back, then, as you build your subways in your congested industrial business districts, keep your buildings down, because when you have these tremendous skyscrapers dumping 10,000 people at 5 o'clock, you have a problem. So you just want to keep your city well laid out, with proper, intelligent city planning, so you know exactly where your industrial districts are going to be, where your business districts are going to be, where your amusement districts are going to be, and your residential districts are going to be, because if you don't do that, then what is going to happen—and it happened to us and it is costly—is a haphazard rapid transit construction without city planning. You have a constant shift of population, so that at no time could any Mayor of New York ever say that he had sufficient space in schools all over the city. You will find that your population grows quicker than you can build schools. Then it carries along with it, of course, sewage construction, paving and repaving, and that is why I stress the necessity of intelligent city planning, so you know exactly what you are doing and what is going to happen. Easier said than done.

#### Fire Department (Index No. 10).

Fire Chief Charles Brennan appeared in response to request yesterday of Supervisor Uhl, regarding the elimination by the Mayor of appropriation for equipment for Engine House No. 27, Truck No. 6 and Chemical No. 9, and whether or not the department is being kept up to the proper standard. He stated that he was satisfied with the allowance made to the Fire Department, and that savings in salaries gives the department more than enough for any probable contingency.

#### Park Department—Sewage.

Dr. Geiger, Director of Public Health, appeared in response to request of Supervisor Uhl as to the necessity of sewage disposal at the Children's Playground. He declared that from the standpoint of public health it was absolutely necessary that the sewage from the Children's Playground be connected up with the sewer system for proper disposal.

#### Department of Public Works (Index Nos. 45 to 48, inclusive)— County Roads.

Supervisor Shannon explained recommended new positions as follows:

Sheet 2, Line 10, 4 laborers at \$6 a day. Department says present labor force is inadequate.

Sheet 2, Line 21, 1 rammer at \$7 a day, is needed to equalize paving crew.

Sheet 2, Line 24, 1 granite cutter at \$9.50 a day required to handle additional work.

Whereupon, Index No. 45 was *approved* without objection.

#### Department of Public Works (Index No. 46).

Supervisor Shannon explained recommended changes as follows:

Sheet 2, Lines 2 and 3, bridge attendants increase from \$145 to \$155. These men have served over 2 years at a minimum salary.

Finance Committee also recommends \$10,000 for work in front of City property.

Whereupon, Index No. 46 as recommended was *approved* without objection.

### Department of Public Works (Index No. 47)—County Roads.

The following items were taken up:

#### *General.*

Investigation and Claims .....	.....
Boulevard Lighting .....	\$ 40,000
Bond Interest and Redemption—1927 Boulevard Bonds.....	200,000
Services—Real Estate Department .....	1,000
Traffic (Dept. Electricity Services).....	5,000
Traffic (Police Dept. Services).....	25,000
Painting Traffic Lanes .....	25,000
Stop—Stop and Go—Signals.....	32,000
Street Signs (New and Repair).....	7,500
Sunset Boulevard Maintenance .....	18,000
Boulevard Tree—Maintenance .....	4,500
Work in Front of City Property.....	10,000

#### *Street Construction.*

Bay Bridge Approach .....	97,500
Joint Highway—District No. 9.....	41,250
Joint Highway—District No. 10 .....	37,800
Castro-Divisadero-Divisional Highway .....	25,000
Engineering Studies—Special Improvements .....	20,000
Oregon—Drum—Embarcadero .....	4,800
Polk—Fell—Grove .....	.....
Loma Vista—Upper Terrace—Masonic .....	5,500
Second Street—Brannan—Townsend .....	10,000
Joost Avenue Slides .....	14,000
Laidley Street Slide .....	900
Harriet—Folsom—Harrison .....	9,100
Market—Diamond—Eureka .....	4,000
Unappropriated (La Salle Street property \$17,500).....	84,788

---

\$707,638

### Department of Public Works (Index No. 48)— Special Gas Tax Street Improvement.

#### *Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund.*

Golden Gate Avenue—Taylor—Van Ness.....	\$ 56,250
Third Street—Howard—Channel, sidewalk narrowing and street reconstruction .....	84,375
Great Highway underpass at Taraval, repair.....	5,000
Market Street and Portola Drive prem. Engr. Studies.....	14,250
Army Street—Bryant Street westerly land .....	100,000
Army Street—Bryant to Harrison—construction .....	52,000
Market Street—Valencia—Van Ness .....	26,250
Anza—Parker Avenue to Masonic—land improvements.....	52,750
Sixth and Channel Bridge .....	10,700
Third and Channel Bridge .....	4,600
San Jose Avenue—Bridge at Mount Vernon.....	1,100
Third Street Channel to Burke Avenue—Property purchase...	77,950
Polk—Fell—Grove .....	22,050

---

\$507,275



FRIDAY, MAY 21, 1937.

**Communication.**

The following were presented and read by the Clerk:

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I have been requested by the Department of Public Works for the following supplemental budget request:

*County Road Fund.*

	<i>Surplus</i>
App. 747—Sheet 1, Line 2—Investigations and claims to be deleted .....	\$ 15,000
App. 747—Sheet 1, Line 7—Reduce amount set up for traffic Police Department Service from \$35,000 to \$25,000..	10,000
App. 747—Sheet 1, Line 8—Reduce amount set up for Stop and Go Signals from \$52,000 to \$32,000.....	20,000
App. 748—Sheet 1, Line 21—Bay Bridge approach to be deleted	97,500
App. 748—Sheet 1, Line 27—Polk street between Fell and Grove to be deleted—This item will be included in Special Gas Street Improvement set-up.....	22,050
	<hr/>
	\$164,550

App. 748—Add one new item—Unappropriated Surplus.....

*Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund.*

App. 777—Sheet 1, Line 20—Change amount set up for land purchase on Third street from Burke avenue to Channel from \$100,000 to .....	77,950
App. 777—Sheet 1—Include the improvement of Polk street from Fell to Grove streets (add new line).....	22,050

These requests have been approved by the Chief Administrative Officer, Alfred J. Cleary and I most respectfully urge the allowance of the same.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

April 30, 1937.

*Subject—Supplemental Budget Requests 1937-38,  
Department of Public Works.*

Hon. Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Mayor Rossi: I am attaching hereto a communication from the Acting Director of Public Works, Mr. S. J. Hester, in which he requests supplemental budget appropriations relative to the County Road Fund and the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund.

This request meets with my approval and I would therefore recommend that you include these items in your budget for the fiscal year 1937-38.

Respectfully yours,

ALFRED CLEARY,

Chief Administrative Officer.

*Moved and carried* that Mayor's recommendations be concurred in with the exception of \$97,500 item for Bay Bridge approach, Sheet 1, Line 21.

*Moved and carried* that La Salle street property purchase be laid over for consideration at 2:30 p. m. today. Finance Committee to report thereon.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

Wm. Coughlin, representing the Associated General Contractors, read a communication from his organization suggesting disposition of so-called County Road Funds.

**Action Deferred.**

Whereupon, the further action was continued on Index Nos. 47 and 48 until the afternoon session.

**County Road Fund Approved.**

Subsequently, in the afternoon session, after hearing A. J. Gallagher on Index 47, Sheet 1, Lines 7 and 8, regarding Traffic Police Department Service and "Stop and Go" signal appropriations, the set-up of the County Road Fund was *approved*.

**Department of Electricity (Index No. 49).**

Supervisor Shannon explained the following changes in this department:

Sheet 3, Line 9, one Line Inspector increased from \$210 per month to \$225 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 13, one Chief Fire Dispatcher, increased from \$250 per month to \$275 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 31, one Lineman, increased from \$200 to \$215 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 24, one Chief, Department of Electricity, increased from \$416 per month to \$466.66 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 10, three Electrical Inspectors at \$250 per month. New positions. Electrical work increasing so fast present force inadequate. Department going behind one to three hundred reports monthly on that account.

Ralph Wiley, Chief of the Department of Electricity, was heard in explanation of the needs of his department.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the department, as recommended, Index No. 49, be approved.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Motion.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 49 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**RECESS.**

Thereupon, the Board of Supervisors at 1:12 p. m. took a recess until 2:30 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

---

FRIDAY, MAY 21, 1937, 2:30 P. M.

---

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Friday, May 21, 1937, 2:30 p. m.

**CALLING THE ROLL.**

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Meyer in the Chair.



**Department of Public Works (Index Nos. 47 and 48)—  
County Road Fund.**

Indices Nos. 47 and 48 laid over from the morning session were taken up.

Supervisor Shannon moved that a ten-minute limit be put on all speakers.

No objection and *so ordered*.

Supervisor Shannon moved to insert as "Unappropriated balance" \$84,788 instead of \$67,000 agreed upon this morning.

*So ordered*.

**La Salle Avenue Extension.**

City Engineer Casey, with regard to requested appropriation for the extension of La Salle avenue, stated that the \$17,500 suggested would only be enough to purchase the necessary land.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that \$17,500 be allowed out of the unappropriated balance of \$87,788 for the La Salle street.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Adam and Eve Street.**

Supervisor Ratto, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved that \$15,000 be appropriated out of the unappropriated balance for Adam and Eve street (including purchase of land \$1,100).

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Mead, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 47, "County Roads," as amended be approved.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Public Health (Index No. 50.01).**

Supervisor Shannon explained the following changes in the Health Department set-up:

Sheet 2, Line 2, General Clerk-Stenographer, \$170 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 7, Telephone Operator, \$135 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 8, General Clerk-Typist, \$160 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

**(Index No. 50.02.)**

Sheet 2, Line 7, General Clerk-Stenographer, \$170 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

**(Index No. 50.04.)**

Sheet 2, Line 3, seven Market Inspectors, \$175 per month, new positions.

Sheet 2, Line 9, Veterinarian, reduced from seven at \$200 per month to six at \$200 per month by Finance Committee.

**(Index No. 50.05.)**

Sheet 2, Line 4, two Rat Catchers, \$115 per month, new position, to comply with request of Federal Government.

**(Index No. 50.05.01.)**

Sheet 2, Line 3, General Clerk-Stenographer, \$125 per month, new position.

Sheet 2, Line 6, Registered Nurse, \$135 per month, new position.

Sheet 2, Line 7, Junior Social Service Investigator, \$140 per month, new position.

(Index No. 50.06.)

Sheet 2, Line 11, Field Nurse, \$165 per month, increase of \$15 per month.

(Index No. 50.06.01.)

Sheet 2, Line 2, General Clerk-Stenographer (part time), \$75 per month, new position.

Sheet 2, Line 3, Psychologist, \$175 per month, new position.

Sheet 2, Line 4, four Psychologists, \$150 per month, new position.

Sheet 2, Line 5, one Psychologist (part time), \$75 per month, new position.

Sheet 2, Line 6, Chief Psychologist (part time), \$200 per month, new position.

Sheet 2, Line 7, Chief Psychologist (part time), \$150 per month, new position.

(Index No. 50.07.)

Sheet 2, Line 6, Bac. Lab. Tech., \$125 per month, new position.

(Index No. 50.08.)

Sheet 2, Line 3, Director, Bureau of Child Hygiene, \$383.33 per month, increase of \$50 per month.

(Index No. 50.09.)

Sheet 2, Line 3, two Dental Hygienists, \$150 per month, new position.

(Index No. 50.11.)

Sheet 2, Line 5, seven Food and Restaurant Inspectors, \$200 per month. Finance Committee recommends that this item be reduced to four at \$200 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 11, Dairy Inspector, \$225 per month, increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 8, three Assistant Chief Food Inspectors at \$225 per month, new position.

Sheet 2, Line 12, Dairy Inspector, \$200 per month, new position.

(Index No. 50.15.)

Sheet 2, Line 5, Industrial Inspector, \$200 per month, increase of \$15 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 2, General Clerk-Stenographer, \$100 per month, new position.

(Index No. 50.16.)

Sheet 2, Line 3, two Physicians (part time), \$200 per month, new position.

(Index No. 50.17.)

Sheet 2, Line 8, Director of Field Nursing, \$300 per month, increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 5, Supervising Field Nurse, \$230 per month, increase of \$5 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 6, eight Supervising Field Nurses, \$200 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

(Index No. 50.18.)

Sheet 2, Line 2, twenty-five Field Nurses, \$175 per month, increase of \$10 per month.



**(Index No. 50.19.)**

Sheet 2, Line 2, twelve Field Nurses, \$175 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 4, Chinese Visiting Nurse, \$175 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

**(Index No. 50.21.)**

Sheet 2, Line 2, two Physicians, Clinicians (part time), \$100 per month, new position.

Sheet 2, Line 3, Chief Clinician, \$200 per month, new position.

Sheet 2, Line 4, General Clerk-Stenographer, \$125 per month, new position.

Sheet 2, Line 5, two Registered Nurses, \$135 per month, new position.

Sheet 2, Line 6, fifty-two Field Nurses, \$165 per month, new positions.

Sheet 2, Line 7, fifty-two Field Nurses, \$175 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Shannon moved that Index Nos. 50.01, 50.02, 50.04, 50.05, 50.05.01, 50.06, 50.06.01, 50.07, 50.08, 50.09, 50.11, 50.15, 50.16, 50.17, 50.18, 50.19 and 50.21 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Public Health—Laguna Honda Home (Index No. 51).**

Sheet 2, Line 24, Laundress, \$100 per month, increase of \$5 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 25, Marker and Distributor, \$130 per month, increase of \$3 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 28, Seamstress, \$100 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 3, Telephone Operator, \$135 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 1, Senior Pharmacist, \$210 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 15, four Head Nurses, \$145 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 8, Farmer, \$130 per month, less \$35 board allowance.

Sheet 3, Line 2, Interne, \$32 per month, less allowance for board, new position.

Sheet 3, Line 14, six Registered Nurses, \$135 per month, less \$35 per month board allowance, new position.

Chas. Wollenberg, Superintendent of the Laguna Honda Home, was also heard in respect to these changes in his department.

**Motion.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 51 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Public Health—Isolation Hospital (Index No. 52).**

Supervisor Shannon explained the following proposed changes in this department:

Sheet 2, Line 1, General Clerk-Typist, \$79.50, increase of \$20 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 13, Head Nurse, \$145 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

**Motion.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 52 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Public Health—San Francisco Hospital (Index No. 53).**

Supervisor Shannon explained the following proposed changes in this department:

Sheet 2, Line 9, twelve General Clerk-Stenographers, P T., \$79.50 per month, increase of \$4.50 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 11, two Telephone Operators, \$135 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 15, two Watchmen, \$145 per month, receives no meals, room or laundry.

Sheet 2, Line 23, Senior Orderly \$102.50, board of allowance of \$22.50, reduction in value of board, room and laundry.

Sheet 2, Line 25, House Mother, \$87.50 per month, less \$27.50 per month, board, room and laundry; reduction in board, room and laundry.

Sheet 2, Line 26, fourteen Flat Work Ironers, \$90 per month, increase of \$5 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 27, five Laundresses, \$100 per month, increase of \$2 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 28, four Laundresses, \$100 per month, increase of \$6 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 29, Laundress, \$100 per month, increase of \$7 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 30, seven Laundresses, \$100 per month, increase of \$8 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 31, Starcher, \$130 per month, increase of \$12 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 32, Sorter, \$130 per month, increase of \$3 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 33, Marker and Distributor, \$130 per month, increase of \$3 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 35, two Washers, \$135 per month, increase of \$5.50 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 1, Head Washer, \$155 per month, increase of \$2.50 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 3, Porter, Sub-Foreman, \$92 per month, increase of \$4.50 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 4, Porter, Foreman, \$100 per month, increase of \$12.50 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 5, Head Porter, \$140 per month, increase of \$15 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 8, Laborer, \$87.50, board, room and laundry allowance of \$27.50 per month; revaluation of board, room and laundry.

Sheet 3, Line 27, Resident Physician, \$200 per month, increase of salary of Admitting Resident Physician—increased responsibilities.

Sheet 3, Line 21, three House Officers, \$47 per month, allowance of \$22 per month, new positions.

Sheet 3, Line 29, X-Ray Technician, \$135 per month, allowance of \$35 per month.

Sheet 4, Line 3, Field Nurse (Social Service Investigator), \$175 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 4, Line 4, eleven Registered Nurses at \$135, increases for new ward.

Sheet 4, Line 9, thirty-three Head Nurses, \$145 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 4, Line 10, Head Nurse, \$145 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 4, Line 18, eight Operating Room Nurses, \$145 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 4, Line 21, Head N. Obs., \$160 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 4, Line 22, Head N. Ped., \$160 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 4, Line 23, Head N. Psy., \$160 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 4, Line 27, Jr. Soc. Ser. Investigator, \$160 per month, increase of \$10 per month.



Sheet 4, Line 28, Jr. Soc. Ser. Investigator, \$150 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 4, Line 31, two Soc. S. Inv., \$190 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 4, Line 32, Soc. S. Inv., \$150 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 4, Line 4, eleven Registered Nurses, \$135 per month, new position.

Sheet 5, Line 29, two Watchmen, \$145 per month, new position.

Sheet 5, Line 30, General Clerk-Stenographer, \$125 per month, new position.

Sheet 5, Line 31, two House Officers, \$47 per month; transfer from S. F. Hospital to Psychiatric Building.

Sheet 5, Line 32, Physician (part time), \$75 per month; transfer from S. F. Hospital to Psychiatric Building.

Sheet 5, Line 33, Resident Physician, \$250 per month; transfer from S. F. Hospital to Psychiatric Building.

Sheet 6, Line 2, Social Service Inv., \$175 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 6, Line 3, Social Service Inv., \$190 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 6, Line 4, Social Service Inv., \$175 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 6, Line 5, Sr. Soc. Serv. Inv., \$225 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 6, Line 11, Physician (part time), \$300 per month.

Sheet 6, Line 12, Physician (part time), \$190 per month.

Sheet 6, Line 13, Physician (part time), \$100 per month.

Sheet 6, Line 14, two Nurses, \$125 per month.

#### Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy called attention to Lines 28 and 29, Sheet 3, X-Ray Technicians, at \$135. He declared that these employees desire their entire salary—\$25 is deducted for board and room.

Harold Boyd, representing Federation of Municipal Employees, was also heard. He raised the question as to whether it is legal to deduct from the pay of these men something they don't get and in many cases don't want. Superintendent Wollenberg of the Laguna Honda Home and Leonard Leavy, Controller, were also heard in the matter.

Supervisor Shannon moved reference to the Civil Service Committee of the Board of Supervisors for consideration.

*Motion carried.*

#### Motion.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 53 be passed as recommended.

*No objection and so ordered.*

#### Public Health—Emergency Hospitals (Index No. 54).

Supervisor Shannon explained the following changes in this department:

Sheet 2, Line 8, Ambulance Driver, \$195 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 9, Ambulance Driver, \$185 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 11, two Emergency Hospital Stewards, \$165 per month, new position.

#### Motion.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 54 be passed as recommended.

*No objection and so ordered.*

**Public Health—Hassler Health Home (Index No. 55).**

Supervisor Shannon explained the following recommended changes in this department:

Sheet 2, Line 1, Telephone Operator, \$140 per month, increase of \$52.50 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 2, Watchman, \$87.50 per month; board, room and laundry reduced from \$27.50 to \$22 per month.

(Reduction in value of board, room and lodging makes foregoing increases.)

Sheet 2, Line 3, two Cooks, \$165 per month, increase of \$2.50 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 4, Junior Chef, \$182.50 per month, increase to place salary of cooks on a parity with other institutions.

Sheet 2, Line 6, two Orderlies, \$92.50 per month, reduction in value of board, room and laundry from \$27.50 per month, to \$22 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 7, Seamstress, \$92.50 per month, reduction board, room and laundry from \$27.50 per month to \$22 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 16, Gardener, \$92.50 per month, reduction in board, room and laundry from \$27.50 per month to \$22 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 18, Head Nurse, \$145 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 21, Orderly, \$85, reduction in board, room and laundry from \$27.50 to \$22 per month.

**Motion.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 55 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**County Welfare (Index No. 56).**

Supervisor Shannon explained the following changes recommended in this department:

Sheet 2, Line 2, Sr. Clerk, \$200 per month, increase of \$15 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 4, General Clerk-Stenographer, \$175 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 5, General Clerk-Stenographer, \$160 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 6, fourteen Gen. Clerk-Stenographers, \$150 per month, new position.

Sheet 2, Line 9, three Jr. S. S. Inv., \$165 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 10, Jr. S. S. Inv., \$155 per month, increase of \$5 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 12, four Soc. Serv. Inv., \$180 per month, increase of \$30 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 13, twenty Soc. Serv. Inv., \$150 per month, new position.

Sheet 2, Line 14, Sr. Soc. Serv. Investigator, \$215 per month, increase of \$15 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 17, two General Clerks, \$150 per month, new position.

**Motion.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 56 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Meat Inspection Fees.**

Leon Morris appeared in response to invitation today to explain deficiency in fees paid for meat inspection. He declared that Butchertown had fulfilled every obligation made to the Board of Supervisors when the inspection ordinance was agreed upon.

This statement was contested by Mr. Rosenberg representing the



Controller's office, who said that the fees paid did not measure up to the cost of the inspection service rendered.

Thereupon, Mr. Morris declared that the butcher industries that he represented are willing to carry out any obligation that they have with the City with regard to inspection costs.

### RECESS.

Thereupon, the Board of Supervisors, at the hour of 5:40 p. m., took a recess until 8 p. m. this evening.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

---

### FRIDAY, MAY 21, 1937, 8:30 P. M.

---

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Friday, May 21, 1937, 8:30 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled pursuant to recess for the purpose of continuing consideration of the proposed budget of municipal expenditures for the fiscal year 1937-1938.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead—3.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

### Coroner (Index No. 57).

Supervisor Shannon explained that:

Sheet 2, Line 15, Coroner's Investigator, \$215 per month, was an increase of \$15 per month.

### Motion.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 57 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

### Horticultural Commission (Index No. 58).

Supervisor Shannon explained that there were no changes in this department over last year.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 58 be passed as recommended.

No objection and it was *so ordered*.

### Weights and Measures (Index No. 59).

Supervisor Shannon explained that there were no salary changes in this department. There is \$100 for Miscellaneous Supplies—gas, oil and stationery.

Sheet 1, Line 6, Equipment \$700 for the purchase of an automobile.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 59 be passed as recommended.

No objection and it was *so ordered*.

### Controller (Index No. 60).

Supervisor Shannon explained the following proposed changes recommended in the Controller's office:

Sheet 2, Line 6, three Bookkeepers, \$180 per month, increase of \$5 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 8, Sr. Bookkeeper, \$225 per month, increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 9, two Sr. Bookkeepers, \$210 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 10, one Sr. Bookkeeper, \$210 per month, increase of \$20 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 11, four Sr. Bookkeepers, \$200 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 13, Asst. Supt. Disbursements, \$240 per month, increase of \$15 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 14, Supt. of Disbursements, \$275 per month, increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 15, Accountant, \$225 per month, increase of \$15 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 18, Chief Asst. Controller, \$625 per month, increase of \$50 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 20, Supt. of Gen. Audits, \$400 per month, increase of \$75 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 21, Supt. of Utilities Audits, \$400 per month, increase of \$75 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 22, Supt. of Payrolls, \$325 per month, increase of \$15 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 28, General Clerk, \$175 per month, increase of \$20 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 31, Head Clerk (6 months), \$200 per month; (6 months), \$210 per month, reclassification of position on Line 31, Sheet 2, B-228, one Senior Clerk; present salary, \$185 per month, increase of \$20 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 34, Head Clerk, \$240 per month, increase of \$15 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 35, Head Clerk, \$225 per month, increase of \$15 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 7, four Bookkeepers, \$175 per month, new position.

Sheet 3, Line 4, Payroll Machine Operator, \$175 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 5, Payroll Machine Operator, \$165 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 8, Tab. Num. Key Punch Opr., \$175 per month, increase of \$20 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 10, Bkbp. Ma. Op., \$175 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 12, Sr. Bkbp. Mach. Opr., \$185 per month, reclassification of position on Line 10, Sheet 3, B-311 from \$165 per month, increase of \$20 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 14, Gen. Clk.-Sten., \$175 per month, increase of \$20 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 16, Executive Secretary, \$250 per month, reclassification of position on Line 16, Sheet 3, B-417, increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 20, Gen. Clk.-Typist, \$175 per month, increase of \$20 per month.

#### Motion.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 60 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

#### City Planning Commission (Index No. 61).

Supervisor Shannon explained that there were no changes in this department over last year.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 61 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.



**Public Utilities—Engineering (Index No. 62).**

Supervisor Shannon explained the following proposed changes recommended for this department:

Sheet 2, Line 8, Gen. Clerk-Stenographer, \$165 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 9, Gen. Clerk-Stenographer, \$155 per month, increase of \$5 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 9, Gen. Clerk-Stenographer, \$155 per month, new position.

Sheet 2, Line 13, Spec. Chauff., \$225 per month, increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 4, Line 5, two Bldg. Inspectors, \$225 per month, new position.

Sheet 4, Line 9, Map Clerk, \$155 per month, increase of \$5 per month.

Sheet 4, Line 12, two Gen. Clerk-Stenographers, \$155 per month, increase of \$5 per month.

Sheet 4, Line 12, two Gen. Clerk-Stenographers, \$155 per month, new position.

Sheet 4, Line 13, two General Clerk-Typists, \$155 per month, one Ditto at \$160 per month, new position.

Sheet 4, Line 14, Arch. Draftsman, \$200 per month, new position.

Sheet 4, Line 15, Arch. Estimator, \$250 per month, new position.

Sheet 4, Line 16, Arch. Designer, \$250 per month, new position.

Sheet 4, Line 17, Architect, \$300 per month, new position.

Sheet 4, Line 18, Insp. P. W. Const., \$200 per month, new position.

Sheet 4, Line 19, five Civ. Eng. Insp., \$225 per month, new position.

Sheet 4, Line 21, two Const. Eng., \$300 per month, new position.

Sheet 4, Line 22, Jr. C. E. Draftsman, \$160 per month, new position.

Sheet 4, Line 26, five C. E. Draftsmen, \$200 per month, new position.

Sheet 4, Line 27, Sr. C. E. Draftsman, \$275 per month, increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 4, Line 29, Sr. C. E. Draftsman, \$225 per month, new position.

Sheet 4, Line 30, Civ. Eng. Des., \$250 per month, new position.

Sheet 4, Line 32, Jr. Elec. Eng., \$160 per month, new position.

Sheet 4, Line 33, two Elec. Eng. Draftsmen, \$200 per month, new position.

Sheet 4, Line 34, two Elec. Eng. Des., \$250 per month, new position.

Sheet 4, Line 35, two Elec. Eng. Insp., \$235 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 5, Line 1, three Elec. Eng. Insp., \$225 per month, new position.

Sheet 5, Line 3, Elec. Eng., \$300 per month, new position.

Sheet 5, Line 11, Mech. Draftsman, \$200 per month, new position.

Sheet 5, Line 15, Mech. Eng., \$300 per month, increase of \$35 per month.

Sheet 5, Line 19, Str. Eng., \$275 per month, increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 5, Line 22, Mech. Insp., \$225 per month, new position.

Sheet 7, Line 25, Cable Splicer, \$9.50 per day, new position.

Supervisor Shannon: Finance Committee recommends that Sheet 1, Line 10, Utility Engineering Investigations—\$25,000 be reduced to \$10,000.

*So ordered.*

**Motion.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 62 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered.*

**Lighting Public Streets and Boulevards (Index No. 63).**

Supervisor Shannon stated that the Finance Committee recommends that Sheet 1, Line 2, Lighting Streets and Public Buildings be reduced from \$749,000 to \$735,000.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that a report in detail be requested from

Paul Ost, General Manager and Chief Engineer Electric Power Bureau, on the capital expenditures of his department.

Clerk was directed to ask Manager Cahill for a report in reference to lighting system and an explanation of the increase of \$65,000.

**Motion.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 63 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Public Utilities—Airport (Index No. 64).**

Supervisor Shannon explained the following recommended changes:

Sheet 1, Line 25, Meteorologist, \$200 per month, increase of \$50 per month.

Sheet 1, Line 26, Assistant Superintendent, \$225 per month, increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 1, Line 22, General Clerk-Stenographer, \$155 per month, increase of \$5 per month.

Sheet 1, Line 23, Janitor, \$125 per month, new position.

Sheet 1, Line 28, five Airport Attendants, \$150 per month, new position (formerly temporary position).

Sheet 1, Line 29, two Airport Attendants, \$145 per month, new position (necessitated increase on account of new transport companies).

Sheet 1, Line 30, Crew Chiefs, \$160 per month, new position (promoted from temporary employment).

Sheet 1, Line 31, four Central Tower Operators, \$165 per month, new position.

(Supervisor Uhl requested breakdown of financial set-up for the coming year.)

**Motion.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 64 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Public Utilities—Municipal Railway (Index No. 65).**

Supervisor Shannon explained the following recommended changes:

Sheet 3, Line 9, General Clerk, \$155 per month, increase of \$5 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 10, General Clerk, \$165 per month, increase of \$15 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 31, fifty-four Laborers, \$6 per day, increase of 20 cents a day.

Sheet 3, Lines 33 and 34, Trackman, \$6 per day, increase of 20 cents per day.

Sheet 4, Line 27, Assistant Superintendent of Transportation, \$300 per month, new position.

Sheet 4, Line 32, Superintendent of Music, P. T., \$50 per month, new position.

**Motion.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 65 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Public Utilities—Water Department (Index No. 66).**

Supervisor Shannon: Finance Committee recommends that Sheet 28, Line 2, Addition and Betterments (normal extensions) be decreased from \$110,000 to \$100,000; and that Sheet 24, Line 4, Services and Meters, be decreased from \$80,000 to \$70,000.



He also explained Sheet 1, Line 29, General Fund, \$1,879,038, was an increase of \$471,054, also Line 30, Survey of Property for Departments, a new item of \$25,000 in accordance with the provisions of recent charter amendment.

Sheet 6, Line 11, Accountant, reclassification, should be B14 Senior Accountant, at \$400 per month.

Sheet 6, Line 14, Office Assistant, \$85 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 6, Line 16, Sr. Clerk, \$200 per month, increase of \$20 per month.

Sheet 6, Line 18, General Clerk-Stenographer, \$160 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 6, Line 19, Gen. Clerk-Stenographer, \$155 per month, increase of \$5 per month.

Sheet 6, Line 27, Gen. Clerk-Stenographer, \$155 per month, increase of \$5 per month.

Sheet 6, Line 28, Wat. Pur. Eng., \$200 per month, increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 6, Line 28, Wat. Pur. Eng., \$180 per month, increase of \$5 per month.

Sheet 6, Line 28, three Wat. Pur. Engs., \$175 per month, increase of \$5 per month.

Sheet 6, Line 30, Pur. Plant Opr., \$160 per month, new position.

Sheet 7, Line 11, Janitor, \$155 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 8, Line 3, Office Assistant, \$95 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 8, Line 4, Office Assistant, \$85 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 8, Line 19, Senior Clerk, \$190 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 9, Line 3, Chief Meter Inspector, \$215 per month, increase of \$15 per month.

Sheet 9, Line 19, Chief Adjuster, \$225 per month, reclassification of position eliminated on Line 19, Sheet 9, B 228, one Senior Clerk, present salary \$225 per month.

Sheet 9, Line 9, eight Meter Readers, \$155 per month, new position.

Sheet 9, Line 17, eight General Clerks, \$155 per month, new position.

Sheet 9, Line 27, five General Clerk-Typists, \$155 per month, new position.

Sheet 9, Line 30, Superintendent of Closing Bills, \$225 per month, new position.

Sheet 10, Line 6, six General Clerks, \$165 per month, increase of \$15 per month.

Sheet 10, Line 8, eleven General Clerks, \$155 per month, increase of \$5 per month.

Sheet 10, Line 14, two General Clerk-Typists, \$155 per month, increase of \$5 per month.

Sheet 11, Line 7, General Clerk-Typist, \$155 per month, increase of \$5 per month.

Sheet 11, Line 8, General Clerk-Typist, \$165 per month, increase of \$15 per month.

Sheet 11, Line 12, Gardener, \$145 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 11, Line 10, Gardener, \$135 per month, new position.

Sheet 11, Line 13, Head Gardener, \$150 per month, new position.

Sheet 13, Line 4, General Clerk-Stenographer, \$155 per month, increase of \$5 per month.

Sheet 15, Line 4, two Flume Walkers, \$6 per day, new position.

Sheet 22, Line 8, General Clerk-Typist, \$155 per month, increase of \$5 per month.

Sheet 22, Line 9, General Clerk-Typist, \$175 per month, increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 23, Line 8, Street Inspector, \$175 per month, new position.

Sheet 23, Line 9, Inspector P. W. Const., \$200 per month, new position.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 66 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Public Utilities—Hetch Hetchy Power Division (Index No. 67).**

Supervisor Shannon explained the following recommended changes:

Sheet 2, Line 8, General Clerk-Typist, \$155 per month, increase of \$5 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 9, Janitor, \$130 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 19, Superintendent of Power House, \$260 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 30, Jr. Elec. Engineer, \$160 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

**Motion.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 67 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Public Utilities—Hetch Hetchy Water Supply (Index No. 68).**

Supervisor Shannon explained the following recommended changes:

Sheet 2, Line 4, Senior Accountant, \$275 per month, increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 12, Resident Keeper, \$160 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 15, Resident Keeper, \$145 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 5, Cement Finisher, increase from \$8 to \$9 per day.

*New Positions.*

Sheet 3, Line 6, Painter, \$9 per day.

Sheet 3, Line 7, Plumber, \$10 per day.

Sheet 3, Line 8, Bookkeeper, \$175 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 9, Storekeeper, \$150 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 10, General Clerk-Typist, \$150 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 13, Cable Splicer, \$9.50 per day.

Sheet 3, Line 20, Blacksmith, \$9 per day.

Sheet 3, Line 27, Rep. Foreman, \$300 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 28, Assistant Engineer, \$250 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 29, Surv. Field Assistant, \$187.50 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 30, Surv. Field Assistant, \$175 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 31, Labor Foreman Util., \$210 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 32, Labor Foreman, Util., \$200 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 33, Sub. Foreman, Auto Machinist, \$210 per month.

Sheet 3, Line 34, General Maintenance Foreman, \$200 per month.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 68 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Civil Service (Index No. 71).**

Supervisor Shannon explained the following recommended changes:

Sheet 2, Line 4, three General Clerks, \$175 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 12, C. S. Exam., \$350 per month, increase of \$50 per month.



Sheet 2, Line 13, C. S. Exam., \$275 per month, increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 15, C. S. Exam., \$250 per month, increase of \$25 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 16, Assistant Personnel Expert, \$350 per month, increase of \$50 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 11, General Clerk-Typist, \$155 per month, new position.

Sheet 2, Line 15, Civil Service Exp., \$200 per month, new position.

#### Motion.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 71 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

#### Retirement System (Index No. 72).

Supervisor Shannon explained the following recommended changes:

Sheet 2, Line 6, Comp. Operator, \$165 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 8, General Clerk-Stenographer, \$165 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 9, General Clerk-Stenographer, \$165 per month, increase of \$15 per month.

Sheet 2, Line 10, General Clerk-Stenographer, \$160 per month, increase of \$10 per month.

#### Motion.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 72 be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

#### Public Pound (Index No. 73).

Supervisor Shannon explained that the appropriation of \$18,000 for the Public Pound was the same as last year. Thereupon, Index No. 73 was *approved without objection*.

#### Board of Supervisors (Index No. 1.)

Supervisor Shannon moved, Supervisor Uhl having withdrawn his objection, that Board of Supervisors Index No. 1, be approved as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

#### Public Library (Index No. 8).

Supervisor Shannon explained that the department's request for an item of \$87,130 for Binding and Bindery Material had been reduced by the Mayor to \$80,000, in which the Finance Committee concurs.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the amount be allowed to remain at \$87,130 as originally requested.

Controller Leavy was heard and declared that under the Charter the Board of Supervisors had no power to alter the Mayor's recommendation.

#### Communication from Mayor—Park Department.

The following was read by the Clerk:

May 2, 1937.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors,  
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Your letter of the 21st with reference to wages in the Park Department has been given consideration.

It is herewith requested that your Honorable Board increase my recommendation Index No. 12, Sheet 1, Line 4, by adding \$30,000 for

the purpose of providing for increasing wages in the lower brackets of this department.

In my original recommendation under this same index sheet and line number, recommendation was made for \$50,000 more for wages for this same purpose. In order to provide this total of \$80,000, which will be used exclusively for this purpose, I recommend to your Honorable Board that this total be set up under separate caption in the Appropriation Ordinance.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,  
Mayor.

May 21, 1937.

Hon. Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, City Hall.

Dear Mayor: The Board of Supervisors in session adopted the following motion unanimously by Supervisor Mead, seconded by Supervisor Reilly:

"We advise the Mayor that evidence before us discloses that the amount allowed for wages for laborers and gardeners employed in the Park Department is lower than the wage rate for this class now paid in private employment, and that subscribing to the principle of the prevailing wage and restoration, we suggest to the Mayor that if he will recommend the amount required to pay the prevailing wage rate, namely an additional \$38,000, this Board will concur."

The Board respectfully requests a reply and recommendation this afternoon if possible.

Respectfully,

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

#### Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 12, Sheet 1, Line 4, Column 25 be increased from \$745,139 to \$775,139 and that the item be set up in Column 28 at \$769,739. Also, that \$80,000 be set up in the Appropriation Ordinance.

Motion *carried*.

#### Yacht Harbor Improvement.

The following was also read by the Clerk:

May 11, 1937.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors,  
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I have been requested by the Park Commission, through its President, that reconsideration be given to the Park Commission, Line No. 6, Budget, Page 12, a—Yacht Harbor Improvement—\$20,000.

Through a misunderstanding, this item was eliminated and I most respectfully request that inclusion in the budget of this item be allowed.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Office of the  
PARK COMMISSIONERS,  
San Francisco, California.

May 5, 1937.

The Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

My Dear Mayor: It is respectfully requested that you reconsider Item No. 6, Page 12-A (Yacht Harbor Dredging—\$20,000), of the Budget Estimate of Proposed Expenditures of the Board of Park Commissioners, and recommend the same to the Honorable Board of Supervisors.

Very truly yours,

(Sgd) HERBERT FLEISHHACKER,  
President.



Not allowed in budget. If decision is to recommend, will require letter to Board of Supervisors to insert.

LEONARD S. LEAVY,  
Controller.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 12, Sheet 12A, Line 6 be amended to read \$20,000 for Yacht Harbor Improvement.

*Motion carried.*

Supervisor Uhl moved that \$6,000 be inserted in the proper place for sewer work at Sharon Playground, Golden Gate Park.

No objection and *so ordered*.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that the Park Department, Index No. 12, be passed as amended and recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**War Memorial (Index No. 15).**

The Clerk read communication from Harold Boyd, Trustee War Memorial, to Mayor Rossi and Board of Supervisors recommending increase for Electrician from \$237.50 to \$250 per month, and an increase for Elevator Mechanic from \$251 to \$280 per month, which increases were approved by the Mayor to meet prevailing wages in similar outside employments.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 15, Line 12, Sheet 2 be amended to read: one Electrician, \$250 per month, and Line 14 to read: one Elevator Mechanic at \$280 per month.

*Motion carried.*

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that the War Memorial, Index No. 15, be passed as amended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Communications from Mayor—Municipal Courts.**

May 21, 1937.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors,  
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Please refer to budget for the Municipal Courts, Index No. 20, Sheet 2, Line 7:

12 Court Room Clerks at \$200 per month.

Through an inadvertency a proposed increase to \$210 per month each was omitted. This increase of \$10 per month per person was recommended by the Presiding Judge of the Municipal Courts and the Clerk of the Courts.

It is now recommended that the item be changed to read:

12 Court Room Clerks at \$210 per month.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Shannon moved that Index No. 20, Sheet 2, Line 7, be amended to read: 12 Court Room Clerks at \$210 per month.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Communication from Judge Boden re Salaries Courtroom Clerks.**

The following was also read by the Clerk:

May 17, 1937.

Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Dear Mayor Rossi: I am on the Overland Limited on my way to Washington and New York on my annual vacation, but I want to take

this opportunity to urge you to grant the request made by Mr. Robert W. Dennis, Clerk of the Municipal Court, and myself, that the six deputy clerks in the low brackets, now getting \$155 per month be raised to \$175 per month.

I understand that the Finance Committee through Supervisor Warren Shannon will recommend to your Honor that these increases be granted and while I am sorry I had to leave before seeing you again personally on the matter, I am taking this means of asking your favorable action.

Such action on your part will go far in keeping the morale of the Municipal Court clerks at a high level, and will certainly add to the efficiency of our department.

With all good wishes personally, and sincere thanks for your kindly consideration in the past, I am,

Very respectfully yours,

(Signed) CHARLES R. BODEN,  
Presiding Judge.

Approved, ANGELO J. ROSSI.

### Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved to increase as follows:

Index 20, Line 18, Sheet 2, two General Clerks, from \$155 to \$175 per month.

Index 20, Line 21, Sheet 2, two General Clerk-Typists from \$155 to \$175 per month.

Index 20, Line 22, Sheet 2, two General Clerk-Typists from \$155 to \$175 per month.

Index 20, Line 17, Sheet 2, two General Clerk-Typists from \$155 to \$175 per month.

No objection and *so ordered*.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that the Municipal Courts Index No. 20, be passed as amended.

### Recorder (Index No. 30).

MAYOR'S OFFICE,  
200 City Hall.

May 21, 1937.

The following were read by the Clerk:  
To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors,  
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I have been requested by the Director of Finance and Records, through the Chief Administrative Officer, to recommend the following changes in the Recorder's Budget:

Sheet 2 of 3, Line 5, B222, General Clerk at \$215 per month, increase employments from three to four.

Sheet 2 of 3, Line 15, Eliminate one General Clerk at \$155 per month.

Respectfully yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

May 11, 1937.

Hon. Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer,  
City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Dear Mr. Cleary: In the preparation of the Recorder's Budget for 1937-8, I was informed by Mr. D. J. McGloin, Chief Clerk of that office, that G. M. Schiller, a General Clerk at \$215 per month, would retire on May 1, 1937, due to illness. Mr. Schiller had been sick for a long time. On this information, the position was eliminated from the budget and a General Clerk at \$155 was inserted instead. Since that time Mr. Schiller has returned to work.

I would therefore ask that you request his Honor the Mayor to



recommend to the Board of Supervisors the following changes in the Recorder's Budget:

Sheet 2 of 3, Line 5, B222, General Clerk at \$215 per month, increase employments from three to four.

Sheet 2 of 3, Line 15, Eliminate one General Clerk at \$155 per month.

Respectfully yours,

ARTHUR E. CURTIS,  
Director, Department of Finance and Records.

### Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved approval of the Mayor's recommendations.

No objection and *so ordered*.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Recorder, Index No. 30, be passed as recommended.

No objection and *so ordered*.

Communication from Mayor—Purchasing Department, Department of Electricity and Health Department.

The following was read by the Clerk:

May 21, 1937.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors,  
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I have been requested by the Chief Administrative Officer to recommend the following changes in the 1937-38 budget:

#### *Purchasing Department.*

Index 33, Sheet 3, Line 2, change to one Inspector of General Supplies at \$200; add Line 2½, one Inspector of General Supplies at \$215.

Index 33, Sheet 3, Line 27, four Blacksmith's Helpers at \$7.08 per day, change to \$8 per day.

#### *Department of Electricity.*

Index 49, Sheet 3, Line 18, one Superintendent of Plant, increased from \$300 to \$325.

#### *Health Department.*

Index 50.03, Sheet 2, Line 9, add one General Clerk-Stenographer at \$125.

Index 50.05.01, Sheet 2, Line 7, one Social Service Investigator at \$140, increase to \$150.

Index 50.07, Sheet 2, Line 9, add one Director of Laboratories at \$275.

Index 50.09, Sheet 2, Line 4, change to fourteen Dentists (part time), at \$100.

Index 53, Sheet 2, Line 13, one General Clerk-Typist at \$160, increase to \$175.

Index 53, Sheet 2, Line 1, one General Clerk at \$165, increase to \$175.

Index 53, Sheet 6, Line 2, one Social Service Investigator at \$175, increase to \$180.

Index 53, Sheet 6, Line 5, one Senior Social Service Investigator at \$225, increase to \$240.

Index 50.18, Sheet 2, Line 3, increase to fifteen Field Nurses at \$165.

Index 53, Sheet 4, Line 4, increase to one hundred thirty-nine Registered Nurses at \$135, less \$35 per month for maintenance.

Index 53, Sheet 4, Line 29, two Junior Social Service Investigators at \$120, increase to \$150.

Line 30, one Social Service Investigator at \$175, increase to \$180.

Line 31, one Social Service Investigator at \$190, increase to \$210.

Line 32½, one Social Service Investigator at \$150, increase to \$180.

Line 33, four Social Service Investigators at \$140, increase to \$180.

Sheet 4, Line 2, one Chief Engineer, S. S. E., at \$280, increase to \$300.

*Horticultural and Inspection Department.*

Index 58, Sheet 2, Line 4, three Horticultural Inspectors at \$175, increase to four Horticultural Inspectors at \$175.

These requests have been approved by the Chief Administrative Officer, Alfred J. Cleary, and I most respectfully urge the allowance of the same.

Respectfully,

(Signed) ANGELO J. ROSSI,  
Mayor.

**Corrections.**

The following were read by the Clerk:

May 11, 1937.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors,  
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I have been requested by the Director of Public Health that the following corrections be made in the budget submitted to you on May 1st, as they relate to the Department of Public Health:

*Telephone Operators.*

One Central Office, Sheet 2, Line 7, amended to read \$135.  
One Laguna Honda Home, Sheet 2, Line 3, amended to read \$135.  
Two San Francisco Hospital, Sheet 2, Line 11, amended to read \$135.  
One Hassler Health Home, Sheet 2, Line 1, amended to read \$97.50, \$27.50, net \$70.

*General Clerk-Typist.*

One Central Office, Sheet 2, Line 8, amended to read \$160.

*Porter Foreman.*

One San Francisco Hospital, Sheet 3, Line 4, amended to read \$100, \$27.50 (BRL), net \$72.50.

The request has met with the approval of the Chief Administrative Officer and I most earnestly recommend to your Honorable Board that these corrections be allowed.

Respectfully,

(Signed) ANGELO J. ROSSI,  
Mayor.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Shannon moved that the Mayor's recommendations and corrections be approved and made.

*So ordered.*

**Communication from Mayor.**

The following were presented and read by the Clerk:

May 20, 1937.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors,  
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I have been requested by the Chief Administrative Officer for the following supplemental budget requests; as per letters attached:

*Department of Public Health.*

May 15, 1937, requesting increase in Contractual Service from \$2,400 to \$3,000 on Index 50.16, Sheet 1, Lines 3, 7, 8; to provide transportation for two physicians.

*Department of Public Works.*

May 15th, requesting an increase in salary of one Civil Engineer Inspector from \$225 to \$250 per month.



FRIDAY, MAY 21, 1937.

May 15th, requesting the appropriation of \$577,447 of the Unappropriated Balance remaining in the 1933 Sewer Bond Issue.

May 18th, requesting an increase in the Appropriation for Personal Services in the Bureau of Sewer Repair.

This increase is requested to provide an additional sum of \$14,093 to cover the increased rate for Cribbers and Sewer Cleaners, provided the Civil Service Commission recommend such an increase be made and the Board of Supervisors approve the recommendation.

*Purchasing Department.*

Requesting an increase in salary, \$10 per month for two tabulating machine operators.

*Department of Finance and Records—Recorder's Office.*

Asking that you reinstate the original request of \$7,500 to provide for temporary employments during the year.

These requests have been approved by the Chief Administrative Officer, Alfred J. Cleary, and I most respectfully urge the allowance of the same.

Respectfully,

Approved.

(Signed) ANGELO J. ROSSI,  
Mayor.

May 19, 1937.

Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Subject: Budget 1937-38.

Dear Mayor Rossi: I am attaching hereto the following letters regarding the budget for the fiscal year 1937-38:

*Department of Public Health.*

May 15, 1937, requesting increase in Contractual Service from \$2,400 to \$3,000 on Index 50.16, Sheet 1, Lines 3, 7, 8; to provide transportation for two physicians.

*Department of Public Works.*

May 15th, requesting an increase in salary of one Civil Engineer Inspector from \$225 to \$250 per month.

May 15th, requesting the appropriation of \$577,477 of the unappropriated balance remaining in the 1933 Sewer Bond Issue.

May 18th, requesting an increase in the Appropriation for Personal Services in the Bureau of Sewer Repair.

This increase is requested to provide an additional sum of \$14,093 to cover the increased rate for Cribbers and Sewer Cleaners, provided the Civil Service Commission recommend such an increase be made and the Board of Supervisors approve the recommendation.

*Purchasing Department.*

Requesting an increase in salary, \$10 per month, for two tabulating machine operators.

*Department of Finance and Records—Recorder's Office.*

Asking that you reinstate the original request of \$7,500 to provide for temporary employments during the year.

I concur in all of these requests and ask that the changes be recommended by you to the Board of Supervisors.

Sincerely yours,

(Signed) ALFRED J. CLEARY,  
Chief Administrative Officer.

May 18, 1937.

Subject: Supplemental Budget Requests, 1937-38.  
Department of Public Works.

Mr. Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Dear Mr. Cleary: I respectfully submit herewith for your approval the following supplemental budget requests:

*Bureau of Sewer Repair.*

Appropriation 743, Sheet 2, Line 15—Cribbers: Increase rate from \$7 per day to \$8.80 per day. Total increase, \$9,860.

Appropriation 743, Sheet 2, Line 16. Sewer Cleaners: Increase rate to \$9.50 per day. Total increase, \$4,233.

The above requests, if approved, will increase the Sewer Repair Bureau Budget by \$14,093.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) S. J. HESTER,  
Acting Director, Department of Public Works.

May 15, 1937.

Subject: Supplemental Appropriation Budget, 1937-1938,  
Department of Public Works.

Mr. Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Dear Mr. Cleary: It is respectfully requested that you recommend to the Mayor that the sum of \$577,447 be set up in the budget for 1937-38, for contractual purposes in connection with the Richmond-Sunset Sewage Treatment Plant.

The above amount is the unappropriated balance remaining in the 1933 Sewer Bond Issue.

This recommendation is by request of the Controller.

Very truly yours,

W. H. WORDEN,  
Director, Department of Public Works.  
(Signed) S. J. HESTER,  
Acting Director.

May 15, 1937.

Subject: Supplemental Appropriations, Budget 1937-38.  
Department of Public Works.

Mr. Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Dear Mr. Cleary: I respectfully submit herewith for your approval, and transmission by you to the Mayor, the following supplemental budget requests:

*Bureau of Engineering—Interdepartmental Service.*

Appropriation 740, Sheet 9, Line 10: Civil Engineering Inspectors: Increase number of employments from four to five at \$250 per month.

Sheet 9, Line 11, Civil Engineering Inspectors: Decrease number of employments from twenty-eight to twenty-seven at \$225 per month.

Very truly yours,

W. H. WORDEN,  
Director, Department of Public Works.

(Signed) By S. J. HESTER,  
Acting Director.



FRIDAY, MAY 21, 1937.

May 15, 1937.

Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor,  
City and County of San Francisco.

My Dear Mayor Rossi:

Through Mr. Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Referring to your budget for the fiscal year 1937-38. San Francisco News.

Page 33, Index 50.16, Sheet 1, Lines 3, 7, 8; Contractual Service, \$24,000.

This amount was reduced from \$3,300 as we asked for automobile hire for the eleven physicians requested. One will not receive automobile hire and should not require it. The Chief Administrative Officer recommended a decrease of two physicians at \$150 and the requested allowance for automobile hire was reduced accordingly. The reduction of two physicians has been changed and the original request of four at \$150 per month has been restored. We therefore ask that the restoration of these two physicians be accompanied by their automobile allowance, increasing the above figure of \$2,400 to \$3,000.

Sincerely,

(Signed) J. G. GEIGER, M. D.,  
Director of Public Health.

May 18, 1937.

Hon. Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, City and County of San Francisco.

Dear Mr. Cleary: In the Recorder's Budget for 1937-8 you approved \$7,500 for the employment of Temporary General Clerk Typists. This amount was reduced by the Mayor to \$1,500, a reduction of \$6,000. I am writing to ask that you request the Mayor to recommend to the Board of Supervisors the restoration of this item to \$7,500, and submit the following statement:

All averages based on the four-week period from April 16 to May 13, 1937, inclusive. Fiscal year 1937-8.

Folios to be received: Average 3,408 daily x 305 working days, 1,039,440 folios.

One Copyist averages 180 folios daily.

One copyist (yearly), 180x305 working days.....54,900 folios  
Less two weeks' vacation, 180x12 working days..... 2,160 folios

52,740 folios

Less sick leave (average five days a year per typist)

5x180 . . . . . 900 folios

One copyist yearly capacity .....51,840 folios

1,039,440 folios plus 51,840, approximate requirement.... 20 copyists

Set up in 1937-8 Budget ..... 22 copyists

Less working in Marriage License and

Index (—) ..... 3 copyists

Available for copying ..... 19 copyists

Need additional ..... 1 copyist

*Requirement for Temporary.*

One additional copyist at \$150 per month .....\$ 1,800

Estimated work on hand July 1, 1937, and not copied, approximately 40,000 folios.

Need nine copyists for one month at \$150 each ..... 1,350

Additional on account estimated increased business (see below) 4,350

Request . . . . . \$ 7,500

Appropriation Ordinance, 1937-8, Recorder's fees.....	\$150,000	
Appropriation Ordinance, 1937-8, marriage licenses (half)....	7,250	
Total . . . . .	\$157,250	
Appropriation Ordinance, 1936-7, Recorder's fees...\$125,000		
Appropriation Ordinance, 1936-7, marriage licenses (half) . . . . .	6,500	131,500
Estimated increased revenue . . . . .		\$ 25,750
Allow for five additional typists in 1937-8 Budget...		12,000
Estimated net increased revenue.....		\$ 13,750

In view of the estimated increased revenue as above, I feel that the request for \$7,500 for Temporary General Clerk Typists is justified.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) ARTHUR E. CURTIS,  
Director, Dept. Finance and Records.

Index No. 30—Recorder:

Sheet 2, Line 19, increase amount for Personal Services, temporary from \$1,500 to \$7,500. Increase of \$6,000.

Note—The estimated revenues for this department, even with the added permanent employments and this increase in temporary services, will more than offset the total cost of Recorder's Office.

Index No. 33—Purchasing:

Sheet 2, Line 6, amend to read: Two tabulating machine operators at \$165; one tabulating machine operator at \$155. Increase of \$10 per month for two operators who have been in the department about 12 years. Total increase, \$240.

Index No. 40—Department of Public Works—Engineering:

Sheet 9, Line 10, civil engineering inspectors increased from four to five at \$250.

Sheet No. 9, Line 11, reduced civil engineering inspectors from 28 to 27 at \$225.

Sheet No. 1, add new item on recapitulation sheet (page 1), covering unappropriated balance remaining in 1933 Sewer Bond Fund, \$577,447.

(Note for Warren Shannon: Has no bearing on budget pertaining to tax rate.)

Index No. 43—Department of Public Works—Sewer Repair.

Sheet 2, Line 15, amend to read: 22 cribbers from \$7 to \$8.80 per day. Increase, \$9,860.

Sheet 2, Line 16, amend to read: 17 sewer cleaners from \$8.50 to \$9.50. Increase, \$4,233.

Total increase, \$14,093.

Index No. 5—Department of Electricity:

Sheet 3, Line 18, now reads, one E116, superintendent of plant at \$300. Wiley will have to recommend through Cleary. Can be increased to \$325 per month.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION, City Hall.

May 20, 1937.

To the Honorable, The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Attached hereto is copy of a resolution adopted by the Civil Service Commission at its regular meeting held May 19, 1937. The resolution refers to the amendment of wage rates for Class O 204 cribber and Class O 210 sewer cleaner.

Very truly yours,

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,  
JAS. J. MAHER, Secretary.



Whereas, the Civil Service Commission has had under consideration the request of the cribbers and sewer cleaners for a revision of wage scales as indicated for their classes in the April 9, 1930, report; and

Whereas, certain information regarding wages paid for cribbers in private employment has been presented to the Civil Service Commission; and

Whereas, consideration has been given to the hazardous and unwholesome aspect of the work performed by sewer cleaners and their relationship to other classifications; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Civil Service Commission hereby amends the April 9, 1930, report to change the rate fixed for Class O 204 Cribber from Seven Dollars per day to Eight Dollars and Eighty Cents per day for an eight-hour day; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Civil Service Commission hereby amends the April 9, 1930, report to change the rate for Class O 210 Sewer Cleaner from Eight Dollars and Fifty Cents per day to Nine Dollars and Fifty Cents per day; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded immediately to the Board of Supervisors with the request that the Board of Supervisors approve our action in amending the April 9, 1930, report as set forth herein.

### Motions.

Supervisor Shannon, thereupon moved that Department of Health, Index 5016, Contractual Service be increased from \$2,400 to \$3,000 on Sheet 1, Lines 3, 7 and 8 to provide transportation for two physicians.

No objection and *so ordered*.

Supervisor Shannon moved that Department of Public Works, Index 40, Sheet 9, Line 10, be increased from four to five Civil Engineer Inspectors at \$250 per month; Sheet 9, Line 11, reduce number of Civil Service Inspectors from twenty-eight to twenty-seven at \$225 per month; also, on Sheet 1, add new item on Recapitulation Sheet—Unappropriated Balance, 1933, Sewer Bond Fund, \$577,447.

No objection and *so ordered*.

Supervisor Shannon moved that Index 43, Sheet 2, Line 15, be amended to read twenty-two Cribbers at \$8.80 per day, an increase of \$9,860 and, on Sheet 2, Line 16, to read seventeen Sewer Cleaners at \$9.50 a day, an increase of \$14,093.

No objection and *so ordered*.

Supervisor Shannon moved to amend Index 33, Purchaser as follows: Sheet 2, Line 6, two Tabulating Machine Operators at \$165 per month. Sheet 2, one Tabulating Operator at \$155 per month.

No objection and *so ordered*.

Supervisor Shannon moved to amend Index 30, Recorder's Office, Sheet 2, Line 19, increase amount for Personal Services Temporary, from \$1,500 to \$7,500.

No objection and *so ordered*.

### Airport.

May 21, 1937.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors,  
City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Sir: I have been requested by the Manager of Utilities to recommend the following changes in the budget of the San Francisco Airport:

Index 64, Sheet 1, Line 28, be amended to read as follows:

Four Airport Attendants, \$150 per month.

One Airport Attendant, \$155 per month.

I concur in this recommendation and most respectfully urge the allowance of the same.

Respectfully,

(Signed) ANGELO J. ROSSI,  
Mayor.

May 21, 1937.

Subject: Airport Budget 1937-38.

Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of San Francisco,  
City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Sir: I respectfully request that the following changes be made in the San Francisco Airport budget now before the Board of Supervisors for consideration:

Index 64, Sheet 1, Line 28, be amended to read as follows:

Four Airport Attendants, \$150 per month.

One Airport Attendant, \$155 per month.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) E. G. CAHILL,  
Manager of Utilities.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the Mayor's recommendations be approved.

No objection and *so ordered*.

### Municipal Railway.

The following was read by the Clerk:

May 21, 1937.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors,  
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I have been requested by the Manager of Utilities to recommend that the following changes be made in the budget of the Municipal Railway:

Index 65, Sheet 3, Line 21, be amended to read:

Seven Janitors, \$145 per month.

Two Janitors, \$155 per month.

Index 65, Sheet 4, Line 22, to be amended to read as follows:

Eight Junior Inspectors, \$170 per month.

I concur in this recommendation and most respectfully urge the allowance of the same.

Respectfully,

(Signed) ANGELO J. ROSSI,  
Mayor.

May 21, 1937.

Subject: Municipal Railway Budget, 1937-38.

Hon. Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of San Francisco,  
City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Sir: I respectfully request that the following changes be made in the Municipal Railway Budget now before the Board of Supervisors for consideration:

Index 65, Sheet 3, Line 21, be amended to read as follows:

Seven Janitors, \$145 per month.

Two Janitors, \$155 per month.

Index 65, Sheet 4, Line 22, be amended to read as follows:

Eight Junior Inspectors, \$170 per month.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) E. G. CAHILL,  
Manager of Utilities.



**Motion.**

Supervisor Shannon moved that the Mayor's recommendations be approved.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**Public Utilities—Water Department (Index No. 66).**

The following was read by the Clerk:

MAYOR'S OFFICE,  
200 City Hall.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors,  
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I am in receipt of a letter from Mr. E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, wherein he advises me that in the preparation of his budget request for the fiscal year 1937-38, he inadvertently omitted an increase of \$60 per year for one General Clerk-Stenographer, Index 66, Page 10, Item 13.

I most respectfully request your Honorable Board to correct and amend the above item to read \$155 per month.

Respectfully,

(Signed) ANGELO J. ROSSI,  
Mayor.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, CITY AND COUNTY OF  
SAN FRANCISCO.

May 6, 1937.

Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor,  
City and County of San Francisco,  
City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Sir: Reference is made to my letter of March 12, 1937, in which I requested that certain recommendations for increase in salary be included in the budget for the fiscal year 1937-38.

Please be advised that in the preparation of this letter the name of one man was inadvertently omitted. I would therefore respectfully request that the following increase be included in the budget now before the Board of Supervisors for consideration:

One General Clerk-Stenographer, Index 66, Page 10, Item No. 13.  
Increase per year, \$60.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed) E. G. CAHILL,  
Manager of Utilities.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Shannon moved that the Mayor's recommendation be approved.

No objection and *so ordered*.

**RECESS.**

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors at 11 p. m. took a recess until Monday, May 24, 1937, at 11 a. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

**MONDAY, MAY 24, 1937, 11 A. M.**

In Board of Supervisors, Monday, May 24, 1937, 11 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess for the purpose of giving consideration to the proposed budget of municipal expenditures for the fiscal year 1937-1938.

**CALLING THE ROLL.**

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Brown requested and was excused from morning meeting on account of pressure of Golden Gate Fiesta work.

**Communications from Mayor.**

The following supplemental Budget recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were presented and read by the Clerk:

May 22, 1937.

Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, City and County of San Francisco.

Dear Mayor Rossi: The Civil Service Commission recommended changes in wage rates of certain groups of building trades. These recommendations were adopted by the Board of Supervisors. I have received from department heads under my jurisdiction requests that these changes be reflected in the 1937-38 Budget.

I am listing below the number of employments in each department and the proposed change in salary scale:

*Purchasing Department*

Ind.	Sheet	Line	Class No. & Title	No. of Positions	Salary from	Change To
33	3	12	A156 Patternmakers . . . . .	2	\$ 9.00	\$ 10.00
33	3	14	A364 C. & A. Painters.....	4	9.00	10.00

*Real Estate Department*

35	2	3	A354 Painter . . . . .	1	9.00	9.75
35	2	9	E108 Electrician . . . . .	1	237.50	250.00

*Department of Public Works*

38	2	7	A208 Fore. Cem. Fin.....	1	250.00	275.00
38	2	8	A358 Gen. Fore. Painter.....	1	275.00	293.75
38	4	6	A52 Brick Hod C.....	1	9.00	10.00
38	4	18	A252 Glazier . . . . .	2	8.50	8.80
38	4	21	A302 Locksmith . . . . .	1	8.00	9.00
38	4	22	A352 Painter Shop. to Painter	1	7.00	9.75
38	4	23	A354 Painter . . . . .	26	9.00	9.75
38	4	27	A392 Plasterer . . . . .	1	11.00	12.00
38	4	28	A402 Plumb. Shop. to Plumb	1	7.00	10.00
38	4	30	A452 Sheet Metal Shop. to Sh.			
			Metal Work . . . . .	1	6.50	10.00
35	5	10	E108 Electrician . . . . .	6	9.00	10.00
40	10	6	O152 Eng. H. & P. Eng.....	1	10.00	11.40
43	2	11	A52 Brick. Hod. . . . .	12	9.00	10.00
43	2	12	A56 Bricklayer . . . . .	7	11.00	12.00
45	2	17	O152 Eng. H. & P. Eng.....	5	10.00	11.40
38	2	9	Foreman Plumber . . . . .	1	11.00	12.00

*Department of Electricity*

49	3	2	A354 Painter . . . . .	1	9.00	9.75
49	3	15	E108 Electrician . . . . .	1	9.00	10.00



*Department of Health*

750.900.01

E108 Electrician—Appro. to be transferred to R. E. Department changed from \$1,425 to \$1,500.

It is my understanding that the Board of Supervisors are meeting in special session to consider the adoption of recommendations made by department heads to provide for the above increases.

I concur in the requests of the department heads in this matter and suggest that you recommend these increases to the Board of Supervisors.

Respectfully yours,

(Signed) ALFRED J. CLEARY,  
Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved: (Signed) ANGELO J. ROSSI.

May 24, 1937.

Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, City and County of San Francisco.

Dear Mayor Rossi: In my recommendation to you under date of May 22nd concerning changes in rates paid certain employments in the building trades, I call your attention to an item in the Department of Public Works—one plumber (foreman) increased from \$11 to \$12 per day. I have been informed now by the Department of Public Works that this recommendation should read "increase from \$275 to \$300 per month."

Will you please recommend to the Board of Supervisors that change in the budget be made accordingly.

Respectfully yours,

(Signed) ALFRED J. CLEARY,  
Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved: (Signed) ANGELO J. ROSSI.

### Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved approval of the Mayor's recommendations.

Motion *carried*.

### Health Department Food Inspection (Index No. 50.11).

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were made by the Clerk:

May 24, 1937.

Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, City and County of San Francisco.

Dear Mayor Rossi: In consideration of the budget request from the Health Department, the Board of Supervisors reduced the number of Food and Restaurant Inspectors on Index 50.11, Sheet 2, Line 5, from seven at \$200 to four at \$200, and Line 8, four Assistant Chief Food Inspectors to three Assistant Chief Food Inspectors at \$225.

I believe that the Board of Supervisors in making these reductions were under the impression that the creation of new positions of Assistant Chief Food Inspectors would automatically reduce the next lower rank by the number of promotions. However, in making my recommendations to you I omitted the positions in the next lower rank and, therefore, the action taken by the Board of Supervisors in further reducing these positions will eliminate employments that now exist and that have been permanent in this budget for sometime past.

I, therefore, request that you recommend to the Board of Supervisors that Line 5, Sheet 2, Index 50.11, be increased to read seven Food and Restaurant Inspectors at \$200, and Line 7, Sheet 2, Index

50.11, be increased to read three Food and Restaurant Inspectors at \$175.

Respectfully yours,

(Signed) ALFRED J. CLEARY,  
Chief Administrative Officer.

### Privilege of the Floor.

Thos. Toomey, Executive Secretary, Chief Administrative Officer, said: "When the budget was originally submitted, provision was made for four additional positions of assistant food inspector at \$225 per month. The Chief Administrative Officer reduced the number of food and restaurant inspectors by four positions and the Board in considering the budget further reduced the number by an additional four which would eliminate four positions from the budget that now exist. The matter can be corrected by restoring three positions of food and restaurant inspectors on line 5 to the original recommendation of seven, and by increasing the number of food and restaurant inspectors on line 7 at \$175 per month to three instead of two."

### Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved approval of the Mayor's recommendation.  
Motion *carried*.

### War Memorial.

The following was read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, May 24, 1937.

Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, and the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: This department is advised that the Civil Service Commission has ascertained the prevailing rate of wages for E-108 Electricians to be \$10 per day, equal to \$250 per month, and that for E-130 Elevator Mechanics to be \$11.20 per day, equal to \$280 per month.

In order to comply with this standardization of wage rates for the respective classifications mentioned, this department requests that the following changes be made in the budget allowance for the fiscal year 1937-38:

#### *Index 15—Appropriation 715—Sheet 2.*

Line 12, 1 E-108 Electrician, salary rate to be \$250 per month, an increase of \$30 per month (\$360 for the year).

Line 14, 1 E-130 Elevator Mechanic, salary rate to be \$280 per month, an increase of \$60 per month (\$720 for the year).

Totals on Line 18 and appropriate totals and sub-totals in recapitulation to be changed accordingly.

Sincerely,

SELBY C. OPPENHEIMER,  
Managing Director.

### War Memorial.

San Francisco, May 21, 1937.

Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, and the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: This department is advised that the Civil Service Commission has ascertained the prevailing rate of wages for E-108 Electricians to be \$10 per day, equal to \$250 per month, and that for E-130 Elevator Mechanics to be \$11.20 per day, equal to \$280 per month.

In order to comply with this standardization of wage rates for the



respective classifications mentioned, this department requests that the following changes be made in the budget allowance for the fiscal year 1937-38:

*Index 15—Appropriation 715—Sheet 2.*

Line 12, 1 E-108 Electrician, salary rate to be \$250 per month, an increase of \$30 per month (\$360 for the year).

Line 14, 1 E-130 Elevator Mechanic, salary rate to be \$280 per month, an increase of \$60 per month (\$720 for the year).

Totals on Line 18 and appropriate totals and sub-totals in recapitulation to be changed accordingly.

Sincerely,

BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE  
WAR MEMORIAL OF SAN FRANCISCO.

By H. J. BOYD,

Trustee, Member of Finance Committee.

Approved by Alfred E. Schofield, President of War Memorial Trustees.

Approved by Selby C. Oppenheimer, Head of Department.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Shannon moved that the Mayor's recommendation be approved.

No objection and it was *so ordered*.

**Recommendations of Civil Service Commission.**

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Mr. Wolff wants the privilege of the floor. I move the privilege of the floor to Mr. Wolff of the Civil Service Commission.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I second the motion.

MR. WOLFF: Mr. President, and members of the Board: I came out here this morning simply to advise you and advise with you. At the outset I would like to tell you that as far as the Civil Service Commission is concerned, we are minded like yourselves, and like the Mayor, and like all the people who see the trend of the times and the improvement in business, that people in the city employ should have an opportunity to secure increases in salary the same as others, but all of us, and the Board of Supervisors are not excluded, have mandates from the people as expressed in our charter, and each one of us in our own way must obey and should be willing to obey, and should be anxious to further those mandates. There are some things that are just as distasteful to us as they are to anyone else; we are equally as human as the balance, and we know when we are cussed, and we know buck-passing, but we are here this morning to give our objections that when and if the thing is passed to us, it won't get by us. We have certain definite positions that we must take under the Charter, and here is the situation that confronts us and confronts you:

Under Section 71 of the Charter it is specifically provided that no salary set forth in the 1930 schedule shall be increased save and except, if and when standardization of salaries shall take place. Section 151 of the Charter provides how standardization of salaries shall take place, and nothing else shall take its place; how that the Civil Service Commission of San Francisco shall go out—and there is nothing in there that says it shall set aside everything else and shall sit there, but when it has the time, and opportunity, and ability, to go out into private industry and make a survey, and after we have made an honest survey—not a fake one—in an attempt to circumvent Section 71—but an honest survey, and we are able to come to this Board honestly, not because of our desire to favor someone, and we are

just as anxious to favor as anyone else. We have our friends and we would like to make a few more, but we won't at the sacrifice of our conscience—that we then should come to the Board and say, "Here is what we have found: we have found that in private industry this is what is being paid in this particular position, and we recommend to you that you standardize the salary accordingly." That is all. If you adopt it, that is that. What happens after that is a matter between the appointive power and this Board. If the appointive power recommends the increase in salary, and you approve it, it is all right with us. We are not recommending increases in salary. We are not recommending nor deprecating increases in salary. We just occupy a position of informants to you. This Section 151 says if you don't like our recommendations, you may amend them, but when you amend them you must send them back to us for our recheck, but that you can not pass it, and that we must bring it back here. That is not because we want any power. We didn't ask for this, this was made by the people and given to us. We didn't ask for it. We didn't set this thing up. It specifically provides that you cannot make any increases in salary until that is done.

We went out originally with the idea that we couldn't standardize this salary in the City and County unless we standardized all the salaries right up and down the line; those that are high, we were supposed to discover, if they didn't fit the bill—if there are any such in the City Hall. We don't know yet, because we haven't made an investigation. Rumors have it, but we have no definite facts that we can base it on. Then with our law we were supposed to recommend increases. And so, we started out on a plan to get the information, and we worked for several months. Our idea was that we were not going to give this to the Board at all until we had made a complete survey of every employment in the City Government. We called in from every source we could, labor, chambers of commerce, women's organizations from every group and we formed a standardization of salaries committee so that we could work with them, representing all the elements that would be interested in the subject matter in the City and County of San Francisco; and we would submit to them our findings from time to time and get their reaction to it. If they approved, we took them back and put them down in our book until the time came and we would have it all ready. Then the question arose and was submitted by way of a request made to the City Attorney—because certain groups maintained that it was not necessary to wait until the entire survey had been made—we submitted a request for an opinion of the City Attorney, and he ruled and told us that we had a right to submit to this Board related classes, we did not have to wait for the entire survey of all the employees, that we could submit related classes. So we then undertook to submit to you all of the information that we had obtained up to that time, all the related classes, which included the building trades. We had made the investigation, so we said, "Here. All right, if we can do that, here you have all we have got, all we have been able to do up to the present time." During which time, gentlemen, may I say to you we have not been sitting around rocking in any chairs. We have been going out and making surveys in the departments at the request of the City Government—we have been holding one hundred or more examinations every year, and that is nobody's small job, and we have had 90 to 100 matters every week on our calendar.

**SUPERVISOR UHL:** How many?

**MR. WOLFF:** Ninety to 100 every week. We don't just sit around there and look at each other. We have got a job—and we get the magnificent salary of \$100 a month. If somebody would standardize ours a bit, it wouldn't be bad either—upward, I should say.

However, we sent in here on May 13th to you a letter and I don't know whether you gentlemen have passed it or not—if you haven't, there is going to be trouble, because your whole procedure will be



illegal. In other words, you can't raise salaries that come under the 1930 schedule until you have standardized them, and you can't standardize them until we recommend the standardization to you. You can turn our standardization down if you want to, you can amend it if you want to, but you have to send the amendment back. The charter says so. And until we both get together and agree on something that is correct, you can't standardize the salaries; if you do standardize it, you can't raise the salary. So we sent you on May 13th a long letter in which we gave you all the facts, and we gave you the positions.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Was that addressed to each member of the Board?

MR. WOLFF: Addressed to the Board of Supervisors, the same as addressing it to each member.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask through the clerk is that here?

THE CLERK: That is here.

MR. WOLFF: May I say if that is not adopted—I am just a fellow who holds a license to practice law for several years—I don't think your raise in salaries means anything unless you have adopted this because this has to be adopted as the standardization of salaries. All of these salaries are in the 1930 schedule, and the Charter says you cannot raise a one of them until there is standardization. And now we say, here, these are a subject now for standardization. If you pass it after you pass standardization of salaries, then you can raise the salary, if you will do it. That is up to the Board. I assume you will do it correctly, properly, and wisely, but that is the procedure.

The next point I am trying to get at: there were a number of other positions that came up here—and it is not personal—if we have the ability and time to do these things, we might go along with you, but we can't do the impossible. We sent you a letter on May 20th in which we called attention to a number of positions and we understand that since that time there are others that have come in from departments with whom we are very friendly, but that makes no difference to me. The point is that these positions are all under the 1930 schedule, and there is no way of increasing beyond that schedule, and we have called your attention to the fact that in some instances the schedule is up to the limit, in others, they can be raised \$25, others \$50, but they are all of them asking for more than the schedule. We have told you we have had no opportunity to look into these things, as to standardizing the salaries, we have not recommended it. We won't tell you it is correct because we haven't looked into it. Therefore, if you raise the salaries contrary to our recommendation to you—and we are simply informing you it is illegal—in Section 71 of the Charter we are required to pass on the payroll—and I say to you now that if that payroll comes around, if you do it, we will stop it, and we will never change that stoppage, not by my vote. There will be no hollering, talking and asking at my desk or my telephone—and I am sure my colleagues will go along—to try and fix that thing up because it is illegal.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask you why didn't you bring that to our attention when we started budget making?

MR. WOLFF: I am coming out here, Supervisor Uhl, because we have given it to you. I gave it to you on May 13th and on May 20th. If you didn't follow it, and I was told you haven't—I have given up my practice of law where I make my living, and I left a client sitting in my office, as they will tell you, to come out here and speak of this thing, because if you put it through and it comes to us with an increase in salary, and we see it is not according to the law, we will stop the salary, and then everybody will say, "Oh, what a terrible Civil Service Commission." We will have to say, "What a terrible Board of Supervisors." And others will say, "What a terrible somebody else." I will tell you now, let's not be terrible.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. President, may I interrupt you—I think at this time we ought to send for our City Attorney.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I have just sent for him, but he is not in.

MR. WOLFF: May I tell you this, Supervisor, if it will be of any help: Last Wednesday I sent for the City Attorney and asked him some questions because of this situation—and we asked him these questions. I asked him first: Have you changed your viewpoint? because he had given us his opinion before, "That under Section 71 no salary contained in the 1930 compensation setup can be increased." He said, "No, that is the law." And "Fine! Have you changed your viewpoint that so far as the 1930 setup is concerned we can't even change the salary range?" He said, "No, that is correct, that is the law." And "All right. Is it correct then that the only way those in the 1930 schedule can be increased over and above the setup in that schedule is by standardization of salaries, if the increase is justified by a survey?" He said, "Yes, that is correct." I said, "John—because we are friendly—I said, 'have we a right to just ipso-dipsy send the Supervisors a letter saying we recommend this to be standardized as such, or must we under the Charter make a real survey of the community to find out whether it is a correct salary?'" He said, "If you have not made a survey you have no right to make any recommendations." I said, "That is fine. That is that." So, that ended the situation. So we called attention to a few of our friends—I say they are justly our friends—who were asking for increases beyond the 1930 schedule, I said they could not get it because we have made no survey, that we never dreamed the thing was coming up.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: May I ask a question along those lines? A great many salary raises were made by his Honor the Mayor; in fact, they all emanated from his office. Is he conversant with your statement that you have so ably spoken here?

MR. WOLFF: I don't know that he is conversant with it other than the fact that he knows we are working on standardization of salaries. As to whether or not he is so advised as to how far we have been able to complete our work, I don't know. We did not undertake to go into the subject matter of the increase of salaries in the Supervisors' office because you know how that is—because we didn't feel as though we wanted to be involved in that question. We were satisfied that if the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors, and the appointive power felt the increase should be offered we were satisfied that it ought to be done. We have no complaints with increases in salary, none at all. All we say is that it can't be done legally. Then, why do it?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: May I ask another question, How do you justify yourself with reference to OK'ing payrolls of increases of salary that have been made since 1930?

MR. WOLFF: We have not OK'd any increases in payrolls, we have not OK'd a payroll at any time that was not in accordance with the law.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Now, Mr. Wolff, as a layman—when I say payrolls—there have been salaries of employees of our City Government, salaries have been increased, authorized by the Mayor and sanctioned by this Board in the past, and their names were on the payrolls.

MR. WOLFF: Yes, but I might explain to you, Supervisor, this—I would have to explain it in this fashion, because I don't know the individuals referred to.

MR. McSHEEHY: I am not talking of any individual.

MR. WOLFF: The City Attorney, Mr. O'Toole, ruled as follows: He said the Civil Service Commission can not change the salary range of those appearing in the compensation setup of 1930, but subsequent to 1930 a number of positions were created by the Board of Supervisors, and we were asked first by the appointive power to



classify the job. We classified it. When asked to set up a salary range, we set up a salary range. It came to the Board of Supervisors and you determined the job to be set up. You said, "Here is the classification, we favor the bill; you folks set up the job and set the salary." The City Attorney, Mr. O'Toole, ruled that on all jobs created subsequent to 1930 we could change the salary range, that was within our power, because it was not a part of the Charter, and there have been a few instances where the Board of Supervisors have—and there are a few instances—on those occasions before the Board of Supervisors—I refer, for instance—I can't give it to you, but I can give you one position: Mr. Richardson, one position where we have raised the salary range; because we have said this is the minimum and this is the maximum, and within that salary range the Board of Supervisors can set up any salary you see fit to set up. Those are the only instances where salaries have been increased that we have passed the payrolls, but where they have come in otherwise we have followed out that procedure. There are circumstances that they haven't been paid, gone back in the City Treasury.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I would like to ask a few questions. If, for example, Mr. Leavy—or the case where our own clerk is involved, what are you going to do in his case, where he has been receiving \$500 and the Board has seen fit to advance him to \$600 per month, and we understand your brown book is \$550, what are you going to do in a case of that kind?

MR. WOLFF: Unfortunately—I was hoping that that would not be brought up because I don't know of an individual who has a higher regard for your clerk than I have, but the fact of the matter is that he and I, and all of us, must be bound by the letter. That is the best I can say on that subject, that \$550 is the limit. We have not been able to look into the matter of standardization of salary on that thing at all. We made one attempt—we were not trying to sit down on the job—we made one attempt and we stopped there, because we felt we wanted more information before we would do any harm. We sent to Los Angeles to find out—we thought that was a big city, and we hoped it would be favorable—we were hoping they were paying \$800. Los Angeles sent back and said, "We are paying our clerk \$500." We stopped there, we felt it would be preferable to leave it alone at the 1930 schedule because we didn't want to standardize down.

SUPERVISOR UHL: In other words, there was no standardization on that position?

MR. WOLFF: No, there wasn't, because we felt that \$500 a month was not a fair setup. You know, we can standardize down, Supervisor. There is nothing in the Charter that says we must standardize up. We could have come in here, and said, we have found out they pay only \$500 in Los Angeles, that that would have been our viewpoint is not altogether the correct idea.

\* \* \* \* \*

SUPERVISOR UHL: How many are involved here where we have exceeded the 1930 schedule, have you a list of them?

MR. WOLFF: Yes, we sent a letter to you of May 20th and we have therein listed everyone. Since that time we have had a blacksmith helper come in here and some others which are on a par. We were not even asked about those. Those have come in since without even asking us.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I think what is in order is the reading of this correspondence. It has never been read to us. If it has come in here with correspondence, we should certainly know where we stand on this matter. Therefore, I move that the correspondence of the Civil Service Commission be read to us.

THE CLERK: I have it here.

MR. WOLFF: If you don't read at least May 13th and pass on all of them, the rest will be illegal, and I will stop that in the payroll.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Mr. Chairman, it is plain to be seen we are not going to get through with this matter in a minute. I

want to hear from the City Attorney and the Controller. Therefore, I am going to move a recess until 2 o'clock.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Just a moment. Won't you allow this clerk to read the correspondence?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Why delay by reading the correspondence? If we have the matter fresh in our minds, we can continue it but if we read the correspondence now, then we will have to have the City Attorney here and possibly read it again. I have made some appointments today, and I only have an hour and three-quarters to keep these appointments and get back here.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: All I will ask for is to read the correspondence.

\* \* \* \* \*

### RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors at 12:15 p. m. took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

### MONDAY, MAY 24, 1937, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 24, 1937, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of May 17, 1937, was considered read and approved.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up:

#### Appropriation of \$75,832 for Relief for June, 1937.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1326, Ordinance No. 9.051385, as follows:

Appropriating \$75,832 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of June, 1937, and authorizing a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$75,832 is hereby appropriated out of such funds as may be available for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of June, 1937.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County of



San Francisco and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations are fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved by the Civil Service Commission are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution 2519 heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **Authorizing Exchange of Lands With John P. Cosgrove.**

(Code No. 12.1743)

Also, Bill No. 1327, Ordinance No. 12.17432, as follows:

Authorizing exchange of lands with John P. Cosgrove.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, the Director of Property, in lieu of sale, is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for trading certain City-owned land hereinafter referred to as Parcel "A" to John P. Cosgrove in exchange for Parcels 1 and 2 hereinafter described.

Section 2. Said Parcel "A" is that certain tract of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described in Ordinance No. 12.17298, Bill No. 1297, approved by the Mayor of San Francisco on April 27, 1937, which tract of land was proposed to be sold under the provisions of said Ordinance No. 12.17298.

Section 3. The Director of Property has made an appraisal of all of said real property and estimates the value of Parcel "A" to be equal to the value of Parcels 1 and 2.

Section 4. Parcels 1 and 2 hereinbefore referred to are situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and are more particularly described as follows:

PARCEL 1. Commencing at a point on the southwesterly line of Harvard street, distant thereon 100 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Wayland street; running thence southeasterly along the southwesterly line of Harvard street, 100 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet to the southwesterly line of Harvard street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Block No. 165, University Extension Homestead Association.

PARCEL 2. Commencing at a point on the southwesterly line of Arleta avenue, distant thereon 275 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Elliot street, running thence northwesterly along the southwesterly line of Arleta avenue, 25 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 100 feet to the southwesterly line of Arleta avenue and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Block No. 16 of the Reis Tract.

Section 5. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary deed for the conveyance of Parcel "A" to John P. Cosgrove or his assignee. The Director of Property shall deliver said conveyance to the grantee upon receipt of the necessary deed to Parcels 1 and 2, and shall record the latter deed.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Appropriation Transfers, Police Department.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1328, Ordinance No. 9.051386, as follows:

An ordinance authorizing a supplemental appropriation out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 609.102.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 609.101.00, creating certain positions herein designated and providing the funds for the compensations thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$5,075 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 609.102.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 609.101.00.

Section 2. The following positions authorized by amendment to Section 35 of the Charter, approved by the electorate on March 9, 1937, are hereby established:

Deputy Chief of Police .....	\$450.00	per month
Department Secretary .....	400.00	" "
Director, Bureau of Personnel .....	333.33	" "
Director, Bureau of Criminal Information...	333.33	" "
Director, Bureau of Special Services.....	250.00	" "
Supervising Captain .....	333.33	" "
Inspector of School Traffic Patrols.....	230.00	" "

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Relly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Amending Salary Ordinance, Creating Position of Head Pump Operator.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1332, Ordinance No. 9.053100, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 49 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 by creating one position of Head Pump Operator, funds for which were heretofore appropriated by Ordinance 9.051373.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 49 of Ordinance 9.05380 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 49. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
SEWAGE PUMPING STATION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	O202	Sewer Pumping Station Attendant.....	\$ 180
2	1	U215	Head Pump Operator .....	185

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Creating Underground District No. 87, Franklin Street, From the South Side of Golden Gate Avenue to Bay Street.**

(Code No. 11.12)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1329, Ordinance No. 11.1220, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled, "Providing for Placing Wires and Conduits Underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section UUU.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Order No. 214 (Second Series), the title of which is



recited above, is hereby amended by adding a new section to be known as Section UUU.

Section UUU. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires except trolley poles and wires, after the permanent improvement by physical widening of the roadway of Franklin street from the south side of Golden Gate avenue to Bay street, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 87, Franklin street from south side of Golden Gate avenue to Bay street.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **Ordering the Construction or Reconstruction of Sidewalks on Certain Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1331, Ordinance No. 12.061173, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 19, 1937, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of:

California street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Tenth avenue and 107 feet 6 inches east.

Clay street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Spruce street and 87 feet six inches east.

Clay street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Scott street and 81 feet 3 inches west.

Clay street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 118 feet nine inches and 168 feet 9 inches east of Fillmore street.

Cole street (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Haight street and 100 feet south.

Divisadero street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Grove street and 34 feet six inches south.

Eddy street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Steiner street and 25 feet west.

Eighth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 225 feet and 275 feet south of Geary boulevard.

Fillmore street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 50 feet and 75 feet south of Hayes street.

Fillmore street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Fell street and 100 feet north.

Fourth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 150 feet and 175 feet south of Cornwall street.

Fresno street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Romolo place and 57 feet 6 inches west.

Geary boulevard (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 150 feet and 345.552 feet west of Stanyan street.

Geary boulevard (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Boyce street and 53 feet 4 inches east.

Grove street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Divisadero street and 109 feet 10 $\frac{1}{2}$  inches west.

Harrison street (NW.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 68 feet 9 inches and 162 feet 6 inches northeast of First street.

Harrison street (SE.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Fremont street and 180 feet 6 inches southwest.

Harrison street between Main and Beale streets.

Harrison street (SE.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Beale and Fremont streets.

Harrison street (NW.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Beale street and 137 feet 6 inches southwest.

Linden avenue (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 27 feet 6 inches and 55 feet west of Laguna street.

Locust street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Clay street and 102 feet 8 $\frac{1}{4}$  inches south.

Lombard street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Mason street and 70 feet east.

Marina boulevard (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 194.686 feet and 224.686 feet west of Fillmore street.

Mason street (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Lombard street and 23 feet north.

Mason street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 99 feet and 118 feet north of Lombard street.

Mason street (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Greenwich street and 62 feet 6 inches south.

Masonic avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Waller street and 32 feet 6 inches north.

Newell street (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Lombard street and 80 feet north.

O'Farrell street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 137 feet 6 inches and 160 feet east of Scott street.

Pierce street (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Post and Sutter streets.

Scott street (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 106 feet 6 inches and 137 feet 6 inches south of O'Farrell street.

Second street (NE.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Harrison street and 206 feet 3 inches northwest.

Steiner street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Eddy street and 100 feet north.

Third avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 200 feet and 225 feet south of Clement street.

Union street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 27 feet 6 inches and 55 feet west of Buchanan street.

Union street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 45 feet and 92 feet 6 inches east of Hyde street.

Valencia street (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Twenty-second street and 55 feet south.

Waller street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Masonic avenue and 106 feet 3 inches west.

Willow street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 88 feet 8 $\frac{1}{2}$  inches and 137 feet 6 inches east of Gough street.

by the construction or reconstruction of two-course concrete sidewalks of the full official width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks are defective or not already constructed to the official grade, and the improvement of

France avenue (SW.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between London street and 100 feet northwest.

France avenue (SW.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Paris street and 100 feet northwest.

France avenue (NE.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Moscow street and 25 feet northwest.

France avenue (SW.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Edinburgh and Madrid streets.

by the construction of two-course concrete sidewalks six (6) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width are not already constructed.



The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Block 64, Lot 17; Block 65, Lots 6, 10; Block 90, Lots 20, 21; Block 97, Lot 18; Block 145, Lots 18, 19; Block 419A, Lot 26; Block 532, Lot 8; Block 629, Lots 26, 27; Block 682, Lots 3, 4; Block 728, Lots 18, 24; Block 730, Lot 7; Block 737, Lot 13; Block 819, Lot 25; Block 822, Lots 3, 8; Block 1003, Lot 1; Block 1011, Lots 1, 21; Block 1066, Lot 28; Block 1084, lot 3; Block 1201, Lot 1; Block 1244, Lot 8; Block 1247, Lots 19, 20, 21, 22; Block 1424, Lots 35, 37; Block 1430, Lot 42; Block 1434, Lot 33; Block 1536, Lot 5; Block 3636, Lot 34; Block 3746, Lots 12, 13, 14; Block 3747, Lot 1; Block 3748, Lots 13, 14; Block 3749, Lots 26, 27; Block 3765, Lots 1, 14; Block 3766, Lots 1, 2, 4; Block 3767, Lots 1, 9, 10, 11.

Block 6280, Lot 10; Block 6342, Lots 1, 40; Block 6345, Lot 1; Block 6346, Lot 1.

All being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Changing Grades—Hunters Point District.

(Code No. 12.0722)

Also, Bill No. 1330, Ordinance No. 12.072226, as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades in the Hunters Point District.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 8th day of March, 1937, by Resolution No. 3130, declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades in the Hunters Point District; and

Whereas, said Resolution was so published for ten days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said Resolution of Intention caused notices of the passage of said Resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the Resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days have elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established, as follows:

<i>Evans avenue:</i>	<i>Feet</i>
Alvord street .....	3.00
100 feet northwesterly from Alvord street .....	5.50
200 feet northwesterly from Alvord street .....	7.19
300 feet northwesterly from Alvord street .....	7.25
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
Boalt street .....	5.00
300 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	6.50
Coleman street .....	5.00
200 feet southeasterly from Donahue street.....	7.00
Donahue street .....	6.00
100 feet northwesterly from Donahue street .....	6.50
Earl street .....	4.00
200 feet northwesterly from Earl street .....	5.00
Fitch street .....	3.00

	<i>Feet</i>
100 feet northwesterly from Fitch street .....	3.50
Griffith street .....	1.00
300 feet northwesterly from Griffith street.....	2.50
Hawes street .....	1.00
47.37 feet easterly from Ingalls street .....	27.34
(The same being the present official grade.)	
<i>Fairfax avenue:</i>	
Northeasterly line of, at Alvord street .....	13.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Alvord street center line .....	17.00
100 feet northwesterly from Alvord street .....	18.02
300 feet northwesterly from Alvord street .....	24.50
Boalt street .....	26.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
146.54 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	28.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Coleman street .....	6.00
200 feet southeasterly from Donahue street .....	8.00
Donahue street .....	7.00
100 feet northwesterly from Donahue street .....	7.50
Earl street .....	5.00
200 feet northwesterly from Earl street .....	6.00
Fitch street .....	4.00
100 feet northwesterly from Fitch street .....	4.50
Griffith street .....	2.00
Hawes street .....	20.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
<i>Galvez avenue:</i>	
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Alvord street	57.35
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Alvord street	58.65
Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Alvord street center line.....	56.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Alvord street center line .....	60.00
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Alvord street .....	55.15
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Alvord street .....	55.15
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 100 feet southeasterly from Boalt street .....	53.15
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 100 feet southeasterly from Boalt street .....	53.15
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Boalt street.	51.35
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Boalt street	52.65
Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Boalt street center line .....	50.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Boalt street center line .....	54.00
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 50 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	49.47
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 50 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	49.47
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 393.56 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	43.68
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 393.56 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	43.68
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 343.56 feet southeasterly from Coleman street.....	41.06
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 343.56 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	42.24
10 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 116.83 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	33.50
(The same being the present official grade.)	



	<i>Feet</i>
10 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Coleman street southeasterly line .....	30.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
10 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Coleman street southeasterly line .....	30.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Southwesterly line of, 10 feet northwesterly from Coleman street southeasterly line .....	30.00
Northeasterly line of, 10 feet northwesterly from Coleman street southeasterly line .....	29.00
Southwesterly line of, 10 feet southeasterly from Coleman street northwesterly line .....	29.00
Northeasterly line of, 10 feet southeasterly from Coleman street northwesterly line .....	28.00
10 feet southwesterly from the northwesterly line of, at Coleman street northwesterly line .....	28.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
10 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Coleman street northwesterly line .....	28.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
80 feet southeasterly from Donahue street northwesterly line	36.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Donahue street northwesterly line .....	36.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
200 feet northwesterly from Donahue street .....	18.00
300 feet northwesterly from Donahue street .....	11.00
400 feet northwesterly from Donahue street .....	8.00
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
Earl street .....	6.00
200 feet northwesterly from Earl street .....	7.00
Fitch street .....	5.00
100 feet northwesterly from Fitch street .....	5.50
Griffith street .....	3.00
Hawes street .....	20.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*Hudson avenue:*

13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Alvord street .....	105.35
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Alvord street .....	106.65
Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Alvord street center line .....	104.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Alvord street center line .....	108.00
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Alvord street .....	104.00
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Alvord street .....	104.00
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 100 feet southeasterly from Boalt street .....	96.00
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 100 feet southeasterly from Boalt street .....	96.00
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Boalt street .....	93.35
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Boalt street .....	94.65
Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Boalt street center line .....	92.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Boalt street center line .....	96.00
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Boalt street	92.73

*Feet*

At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 220 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	91.00
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	82.27
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Coleman street .....	74.35
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Coleman street .....	75.65
Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Coleman street center line .....	73.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Coleman street center line .....	77.00
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	73.77
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 354 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	72.29
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 404 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	70.06
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 454 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	63.95
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Donahue street southeasterly line .....	43.00
Northeasterly line of, at Donahue street .....	42.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Southwesterly line of, at Donahue street .....	44.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Donahue street northwesterly line .....	43.00
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Donahue street .....	28.60
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 250 feet northwesterly from Donahue street .....	20.54
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 350 feet northwesterly from Donahue street .....	15.58
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described lines.)	
Earl street .....	7.00
200 feet northwesterly from Earl street .....	8.00
Fitch street .....	6.00
100 feet northwesterly from Fitch street .....	6.50
Griffith street .....	4.00
Hawes street .....	30.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*Innes avenue:*

Grades at Alvord street be abolished.

At points 13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Boalt street .....	135.35
At points 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Boalt street .....	136.65



	<i>Feet</i>
Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Boalt street center line .....	134.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Boalt street center line .....	138.00
At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	134.50
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 200 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	131.91
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 140 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	130.56
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 80 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	127.53
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 200 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	131.91
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 140 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	130.70
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 80 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	128.08
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Coleman street .....	122.35
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Coleman street .....	123.65
Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Coleman street center line ..	121.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Coleman street center line....	125.00
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 30 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	121.77
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 70 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	119.99
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 110 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	116.22
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 30 feet northwesterly from Coleman street.....	122.51
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 70 feet northwesterly from Coleman street.....	120.18
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 110 feet northwesterly from Coleman street.....	116.22
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
At points 13 feet northeasterly and southwesterly from the center line of, 330 feet northwesterly from Coleman street.	89.92
At points 13 feet northeasterly and southwesterly from the center line of, 380 feet northwesterly from Coleman street.	83.36
At points 13 feet northeasterly and southwesterly from the center line of, 430 feet northwesterly from Coleman street.	75.63
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described lines.)	
80 feet easterly from Donahue street westerly line.....	50.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*Jerrold avenue:*

Water Front street .....	Base
Dock street intersection .....	Base
Ship street .....	6.00

*Feet*

Grades between Ship street and Boalt street abolished.

Northeasterly line of, at Boalt street.....	107.00
Southwesterly line of, at Boalt street.....	103.00
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Coleman street .....	128.00
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Coleman street .....	128.00
Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet south-easterly from Coleman street center line.....	128.00
Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet south-easterly from Coleman street center line.....	128.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 75 feet northwesterly from Coleman street.	127.40
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 125 feet northwesterly from Coleman street..	125.76
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 175 feet northwesterly from Coleman street..	121.65
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 265 feet northwesterly from Coleman street..	112.00
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 135 feet southeasterly from Donahue street..	96.00
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Donahue street .....	87.35
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Donahue street .....	88.65
Northeasterly line of, at points 22 feet northwesterly and 22 feet southeasterly from Donahue street center line .....	86.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 22 feet northwesterly and 22 feet southeasterly from Donahue street center line .....	90.00
Earl street .....	44.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*Kirkwood avenue:*

Ship street .....	8.00
300 feet northwesterly from Ship street .....	9.50
Alvord street .....	8.00
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Boalt street	53.65
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Boalt street	52.35
Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Boalt street center line.....	55.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Boalt street center line.....	51.00
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	54.40
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Boalt street.....	56.58
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 250 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	62.62
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	54.08
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	56.50
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 250 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	62.62
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 222 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	83.03
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 172 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	91.45



	<i>Feet</i>
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 122 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	100.78
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 222 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	83.03
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 172 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	91.35
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 122 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	100.40
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Coleman street .....	124.65
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Coleman street .....	123.35
Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Coleman street center line .....	126.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Coleman street center line .....	122.00
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 30 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	124.38
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 70 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	122.46
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 110 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	117.50
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 30 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	123.63
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 70 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	122.28
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 110 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	117.50
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	111.00
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 230 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	101.10
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 310 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	97.43
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	111.00
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 230 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	101.07
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 310 feet northwesterly from Coleman street .....	97.28
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Donahue street .....	95.33
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Donahue street .....	94.67
Northeasterly line of, at points 22 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Donahue street center line .....	96.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 22 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Donahue street center line .....	94.00
Earl street .....	64.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*La Salle avenue:**Feet*

Abolish grades between Alvord and Boalt streets.

13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Boalt street	19.65
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Boalt street	18.35
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 80 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	23.56
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 130 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	26.70
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 180 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	31.25
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 80 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	23.06
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 130 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	26.50
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 180 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .....	31.25
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the cen- ter line of, 280 feet northwesterly from Boalt street ....	41.75
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the cen- ter line of, 330 feet northwesterly from Boalt street.....	47.27
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the cen- ter line of, 380 feet northwesterly from Boalt street ....	53.33
(Vertical curve passing through the last three elevations.)	
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 170 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	59.67
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 120 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	65.73
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 70 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	71.27
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 170 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	59.67
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 120 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	65.60
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 70 feet southeasterly from Coleman street .....	70.73
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of Coleman street.	78.65
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of Coleman street.	77.35
Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Coleman street center line.....	80.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Coleman street center line.....	76.00
Northeasterly line of, at Donahue street.....	70.00
Southwesterly line of, at Donahue street.....	66.00
Earl street .....	84.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*McKinnon avenue:*

Grades between Alvord street and Earl street abolished.

*Newcomb avenue:*

Grades between Alvord street and Fitch street abolished.

*Oakdale avenue:*

Grades between Alvord street and Fitch street abolished.

*Palou avenue:*

Grades between Boalt street and Fitch street abolished.



*Quesada avenue:**Feet*

Grades between Coleman street and Griffith street abolished

*Revere avenue:*

Grades between Donahue street and Griffith street abolished.

*Water Front street:*

Jerrold avenue ..... Base

*Ship street:*

Jerrold avenue ..... 6.00  
Kirkwood avenue ..... 8.00

*Alvord street:*

Evans avenue ..... 3.00  
Fairfax avenue northeasterly line..... 13.00  
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Fairfax avenue southwesterly line..... 17.00  
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Galvez avenue northeasterly line..... 56.00  
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Galvez avenue southwesterly line..... 60.00  
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Hudson avenue northeasterly line..... 104.00  
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Hudson avenue southwesterly line..... 108.00  
Abolish grades on Alvord street between Hudson avenue and Kirkwood avenue and between Kirkwood avenue and Oakdale avenue.

*Boalt street:*

Evans avenue ..... 5.00  
Fairfax avenue ..... 26.00  
(The same being the present official grade.)  
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Galvez avenue northeasterly line..... 50.00  
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Galvez avenue southwesterly line..... 54.00  
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 50 feet southwesterly from Galvez avenue..... 62.00  
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 100 feet southwesterly from Galvez avenue..... 70.75  
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 150 feet southwesterly from Galvez avenue..... 81.00  
(Vertical curve passing through the last three elevations.)  
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Hudson avenue northeasterly line..... 92.00  
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Hudson avenue southwesterly line..... 96.00  
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 110 feet northeasterly from Innes avenue..... 116.08  
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 70 feet northeasterly from Innes avenue..... 124.05  
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 30 feet northeasterly from Innes avenue..... 130.14  
(Vertical curve passing through the last three elevations.)  
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Innes avenue northeasterly line..... 134.00  
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Innes avenue southwesterly line..... 138.00  
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 20 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue..... 137.83  
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 60 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue..... 135.40

*Feet*

13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 100 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue.....	128.79
(Vertical curve passing through the last three elevations.)	
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Jerrold avenue northeasterly line.....	107.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Jerrold avenue southwesterly line.....	103.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Kirkwood avenue northeasterly line.....	55.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Kirkwood avenue southwesterly line.....	51.00
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 70 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue.....	35.25
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 120 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue....	26.34
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 170 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue....	22.13
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)	
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at La Salle avenue northeasterly line.....	21.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at La Salle avenue southwesterly line.....	17.00
Abolish grades on Boalt street between La Salle avenue and Palou avenue.	

*Coleman street:*

Evans avenue .....	5.00
Fairfax avenue .....	6.00
13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Galvez avenue northeasterly line .....	29.00
13 feet northwesterly from the center line of, at Galvez avenue northeasterly line .....	28.00
Northwesterly line of, 10 feet southwesterly from Galvez avenue northeasterly line .....	28.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Southeasterly line of, 10 feet southwesterly from Galvez avenue northeasterly line .....	30.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Northwesterly line of, 10 feet northeasterly from Galvez avenue southwesterly line .....	28.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Southeasterly line of, 10 feet northeasterly from Galvez avenue southwesterly line .....	30.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Galvez avenue southwesterly line .....	30.00
13 feet northwesterly from the center line of, at Galvez avenue southwesterly line .....	29.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Hudson avenue northeasterly line.....	73.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Hudson avenue southwesterly line....	77.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Innes avenue northeasterly line.....	121.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Innes avenue southwesterly line.....	125.00
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 60 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue.....	128.00
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 100 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue.....	129.30
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 140 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue.....	129.20



Feet

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)	
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Jerrold avenue northeasterly line.....	128.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Jerrold avenue southwesterly line.....	128.00
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 60 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue.....	131.00
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 100 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue.....	131.80
13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 140 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue.....	130.20
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)	
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Kirkwood avenue northeasterly line.....	126.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Kirkwood avenue southwesterly line.....	122.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at La Salle avenue northeasterly line.....	80.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at La Salle avenue southwesterly line.....	76.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at McKinnon avenue northeasterly line.....	34.00
At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at McKinnon avenue southwesterly line.....	30.00
Abolish grades on Coleman street between McKinnon avenue and Quesada avenue.	

*Donahue street:*

Evans avenue .....	6.00
Fairfax avenue .....	7.00
Galvez avenue .....	36.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Hudson avenue northeasterly line.....	42.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Hudson avenue southwesterly line .....	44.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Innes avenue .....	50.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Jerrold avenue northeasterly line .....	86.00
Jerrold avenue southwesterly line .....	90.00
90 feet northeasterly from Kirkwood avenue.....	96.29
60 feet northeasterly from Kirkwood avenue.....	97.32
30 feet northeasterly from Kirkwood avenue.....	97.00
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
Kirkwood avenue, northeasterly line .....	96.00
Kirkwood avenue, southwesterly line .....	94.00
La Salle avenue, northeasterly line .....	70.00
La Salle avenue, southwesterly line .....	66.00
20 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue.....	65.00
McKinnon avenue, northeasterly line .....	38.00
McKinnon avenue, southwesterly line .....	34.00
51.67 feet northeasterly from Newcomb avenue.....	11.75
26.67 feet northeasterly from Newcomb avenue.....	8.93
1.67 feet northeasterly from Newcomb avenue.....	8.00
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
Newcomb avenue .....	8.00
Abolish grades between Newcomb and Revere avenues.	

*Feet**Earl street:*

Evans avenue .....	4.00
Fairfax avenue .....	5.00
Galvez avenue .....	6.00
Hudson avenue .....	7.00
Southeasterly line of, at Innes avenue .....	51.50
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Northwesterly line of, at Innes avenue.....	49.50
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Abolish grades between McKinnon and Revere avenues.	

*Fitch street:*

Evans avenue .....	3.00
Fairfax avenue .....	4.00
Galvez avenue .....	5.00
Hudson avenue .....	6.00
Southeasterly line of, at Innes avenue.....	31.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Northwesterly line of, at Innes avenue.....	30.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Abolish grades between Palou and Shafter avenues.	

*Griffith street:*

Evans avenue .....	1.00
Fairfax avenue .....	2.00
Galvez avenue .....	3.00
Hudson avenue .....	4.00
Innes avenue .....	22.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	

On Evans avenue between Alvord street and a point 47.37 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street; on Fairfax avenue between Alvord and Hawes streets; on Galvez avenue between Alvord street and a line parallel with Coleman street and 343.56 feet southeasterly therefrom and between Donahue and Hawes streets; on Hudson avenue between Alvord and Hawes streets; on Innes avenue between Alvord street and Donahue street; on Jerrold avenue between Water Front street and Earl street; on Kirkwood avenue between Ship street and Earl street; on La Salle and McKinnon avenues between Alvord and Earl streets; on Newcomb and Oakdale avenues between Alvord and Fitch streets; on Palou avenue between Boalt and Fitch streets; on Quesada avenue between Coleman and Griffith streets; on Revere avenue between Donahue and Griffith streets; on Ship street between Jerrold and Kirkwood avenues; on Alvord street between Evans and Oakdale avenues; on Boalt street between Evans and Palou avenues; on Coleman street between Evans and Quesada avenues; on Donahue street between Evans and Galvez avenues and Innes and Revere avenues; on Earl street between Evans and Innes avenues and between McKinnon and Revere avenues; on Fitch street between Evans and Innes avenues and between Palou and Shafter avenues, and on Griffith street between Evans and Innes avenues be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**Approving Illness or Disability Leave of Absence Rule of Civil Service Commission.**

(Code No. 4.052)

On recommendation of Civil Service Committee.

Bill No. 1333, Ordinance No. 4.0521, as follows:

An ordinance approving Rule 32 of the Civil Service Commission providing for leaves of absence due to illness or disability, as required by section 153 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco. Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Rule 32 of the Civil Service Commission of the City and County of San Francisco providing for leaves of absence to officers and employees due to illness or disability, which said rule is herein set forth is hereby approved, said rule being in words and figures following, to-wit:

*"Rule 32. Sick Leaves and Disability Leaves, With Pay.*

*"Section 1. General Requirement.* The officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco shall be entitled to sick leaves with full pay, and disability leaves in accordance with laws, rules and regulations of the Retirement Board, subject, however, in both instances, to the provisions of this rule.

*"Section 2. Definition of Sick Leave.* A leave of absence granted under this rule, because of illness or injury, and not covered by section 3 of this rule, shall be known as a 'sick leave.'

*"Section 3. Definition of Disability Leave.* A leave of absence granted under this rule for one of the following causes shall be known as a 'disability leave':

*"(a)* Absence due to quarantine established and declared by the Department of Public Health or other competent authority.

*"(b)* Absence necessitated by death of mother, father, husband, wife, child, brother or sister; provided that in such case the leave shall not extend beyond the date of burial of said deceased person.

*"(c)* Absence necessitated by death of other relatives; but leave with pay in such cases shall be for not more than one day to permit attendance at the funeral of said person.

*"(d)* Absence due to disability caused by illness or injury arising out of, and in course of, employment.

*"Section 4. Periods of Sick Leave.* Officers and employees who are subject to the provisions of section 153 of the Charter, and who have regularly occupied their positions continuously for at least one year, shall be entitled to two weeks' sick leave, with full pay, annually, during their occupancy of said positions; provided that where it is necessary for the appointing officer to employ and pay a substitute in the stead of an absentee who is paid on an hourly or per diem basis said sick leave shall be without pay for the first five days. Such annual sick leave of two weeks, with pay, when not used, shall be cumulative, but the accumulated unused period of sick leave shall not exceed six (6) months, regardless of length of service, except as provided in section 5 hereof.

*"Section 5. Accumulation of Sick Leave.* Officers or employees who are in the service of the City and County at the time this rule takes effect shall be entitled to an accumulation of two weeks' sick leave with pay for each year of service, until the maximum of six months' accumulation has been reached; provided that when said maximum accumulation of six months has been reached, and thereafter part of said maximum of six months has been used, the used part of said maximum may again be replenished at the rate of two weeks for each subsequent year of service. Sick leaves with pay allowed since the present Charter became effective on January 8, 1932, shall be deducted from the above-mentioned accumulations, and a statement, by the applicant,

of all such sick leaves had since January 8, 1932, to the date of the adoption of this rule, must accompany all requests for sick leave with pay.

"Section 6. *Periods of Disability Leaves, as Defined in Items (a), (b) and (c) of section 3 hereof.* Leaves of absence, with full pay, allowed because of quarantine established by the Department of Public Health, or other competent authority, shall be for the period of such quarantine only. Leaves of absence with pay, allowed because of deaths of relatives, shall be limited to the periods mentioned in items (b) and (c) of section 3 of this rule.

"Section 7. *Absence Due to Disability Caused by Illness or Injury Arising Out of and In the Course of Employment.* Officers or employees of the City and County of San Francisco who are absent from duty because of disability arising out of and in the course of their employment shall be governed by the provisions of the "Workmen's Compensation Insurance and Safety Act" of the State of California and such rules as may be made under authority thereof by the Industrial Accident Commission; and the allowance of benefits and leaves of absence to said persons, in accordance with the provisions of said act, shall be under the jurisdiction of the Retirement Board.

"Section 8. *Applications for Sick Leave or for Disability Leave.* Applications for Sick Leave or for Disability Leave, as defined in subdivisions (a), (b), and (c) of section 3 of this rule, shall be made to the head of the department in which the person making said application is employed, provided that the Manager of Utilities, the Director of Public Works, the Director of Public Health and the Director of Finance and Records may authorize the head of any department, bureau, division or officer, under their respective jurisdictions, to grant and approve the allowance of sick or disability leaves of absence. When any application for a sick leave of absence or disability leave of absence is denied by the respective persons herein authorized to grant the same, the applicant may appeal to the Civil Service Commission from said denial, and the said Commission shall cause the facts on which said application is based to be investigated and may, upon said investigation, make such order in the premises as said Commission shall deem just, which said order shall be final.

"No sick leave exceeding five (5) days shall be granted to any person unless there is presented by the person asking for said sick leave and with the application therefor, a physician's certificate stating the necessity for said sick leave. The head of the department or other officer to whom said application is made may make such independent investigation as to the necessity for said sick leave as he shall deem proper. When, in case of a sick leave, pay is allowed for the period of the first five days, or any part thereof, the officer, board or commission granting the same shall certify to the Civil Service Commission that he has personally investigated the case and has found it deserving, and in the case of employees paid on an hourly or per diem basis, that no expense was incurred by the City and County by the employment of a substitute for the person on sick leave during the said period. Illness caused by dissipation or by immoral conduct shall not be made the basis of any sick leave.

"Section 9. *Reports to Civil Service Commission.* The officer, board or commission granting sick or disability leave shall immediately report the action to the Civil Service Commission on such forms as the Civil Service Commission may provide. Said officer, board or commission shall thereafter report on such cases when required to do so by the Civil Service Commission, but return to duty of an appointee who had been on sick leave shall be immediately reported to said Civil Service Commission. The Civil Service Commission may at any time make such independent investigation as it shall deem proper regarding the illness of any person on sick leave and particularly when any such person has been on sick leave with pay for more than ten continuous days.



"All applications for sick leaves, with or without pay, for periods *exceeding* five (5) days, granted to officers and employees of the City and County under authority of this rule, shall be accompanied by physicians' certificates which shall show the cause or necessity for such sick leaves, and the physicians authorized to issue said certificates are as follows:

"(a) The Department Physician for the Fire Department;

"(b) The Police Surgeon for the Police Department;

"(c) The physician designated by the Director of Health for the Department of Health;

"(d) The physicians designated by the Civil Service Commission for all other departments.

"In the cases of all sick leaves, with or without pay, of five days or less duration, granted under the authority of this rule to officers or employees of the City and County, the appointing officers or their representatives shall certify to the Civil Service Commission that they have made investigation of such cases and found them deserving, and when such leaves are allowed with pay to employees paid on an hourly or per diem basis, must certify that no expense was incurred by the City and County by the employment of substitutes for the persons on such sick leave with pay.

"Section 10. *Retirement on Pension Automatically Terminates Leave of Absence.* Whenever a leave of absence has been granted under this rule to any officer or employee, and such officer or employee during such leave is retired on pension, his leave of absence shall automatically terminate on the date of his retirement on pension; provided, however, that any allowance or compensation made under authority of the Workmen's Compensation law shall continue to be paid to said retired employee in accordance with the provisions of said law.

"Section 11. *Police and Fire Departments.* Sick leaves and disability leaves granted to members of the uniformed forces of the Police Department and Fire Department shall be regulated by rules adopted respectively by the Police Commission and Fire Commission, which rules, and amendments thereto, shall be subject to the approval of the Civil Service Commission, and when so approved by the Civil Service Commission shall be deemed as included in this rule.

"Section 12. *Positions to which this Rule Shall Not Apply.* The provisions of this rule shall not apply to certificated employees of the Board of Education for whom sick leaves, with pay, are governed by state law, nor to positions of patrol special police officers appointed by the Police Commission.

"Section 13. *Pay Rolls and Time Sheets.* Sick leaves, with pay, granted under this rule shall be indicated on pay rolls and time sheets by the Letters S.L.P. (Sick leave with full pay); and disability leaves, with pay, granted under this rule, shall be indicated on pay rolls and time sheets by the letters D.L.P. (Disability leave with full pay)."

Section 2. Violation or abuse of the provisions of said rule and this ordinance by any officer or employee shall be deemed an act of insubordination and inattention to duties, as provided by said Section 153 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. All ordinances, rules and regulations in conflict with this rule and ordinance are hereby repealed and annulled.

Section 4. This ordinance and the rule provided herein shall become operative and in full force and effect beginning July 1, 1937.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**NEW BUSINESS.****Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Tax Refunds of Erroneous Payments.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Resolution No. 3280, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid to the following named, being refunds of erroneous payments of taxes:

*From Duplicate Tax Fund, Appropriation 905*

(1) The San Francisco Bank, per Vol. 19, Bill 2850, Lot 15B, Block 2754, \$5.11; per Vol. 4, Bill 197, Lot 5, Block 550, \$106.14; per Vol. 5, Bill 331, Lot 18, Block 713, \$140.76; all 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936.....	\$252.01
(2) Rothschild Bros., per Vol. 4, Bill 933, Lot 2, Block 583, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment....	52.60
(3) Title Insurance and Guaranty Co., per Vol. 5, Bill 3, Lot 2, Block 698, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment .....	45.79
(4) Joseph M. Sala, per Vol. 5, Bill 2740, Lot 7, Block 844, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment....	152.12
(5) Bridge Investment Co., per Vol. 13, Bill 2692, Lot 12, Block 1772, 1st Installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment .....	56.76
(6) Mrs. L. K. Emery, per Vol. 14, Bill 1380, Lot 18, Block 1827, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment .....	20.81
(7) Florence Lenore Carrasco, per Vol. 14, Bill 2887, Lot 20, Block 1866, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment .....	40.68
(8) Albert Nelson, per Vol. 21, Bill 2906, Lot 19, Block 3106, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment....	55.44
(9) The Truman Investment Co., per Vol. 43, Bill 73, Lot 15, Block 6998, both Installments, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment .....	14.38
(10) Commercial Pacific Cable Company, per Vol. 45, page 18, line 41, Assessment Roll of 1935 (State Board of Equalization), difference in tax due because of erroneous classification of property in original assessment .....	161.19

*From General Fund, Appropriation 60.969.00*

(11) William C. Griffith, per Vol. 15, Lot 2A, Block 2011, Bill 2155, both Installments, fiscal year 1936, payment made on erroneous assessment .....	18.92
(12) Edward Bonardel, per Vol. 3, Bill 2496, Lot 25, Block 516, both Installments, fiscal year 1936, payment made on erroneous assessment .....	37.84
(13) May McDonald Grace, per Vol. 25, Bill 1617, Lot 6, Block 3719, both Installments, fiscal year 1936, duplicate payment .....	22.70

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Approving County Welfare Recommendations.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3281, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Director of the County



Welfare Department, filed with the Board of Supervisors on January 8, 1937, are approved as follows:

Old Age Security Aid—New applications; increases; denials.

Widows' Pensions—New applications; increases.

Blind Pensions—New applications; increases.

And the Clerk of this Board is directed to indorse the approval of this Board upon the reports of said Director of County Welfare Department and to transmit the same to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **Authorizing Purchase of Land for the Sunset Reservoir.**

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 3282, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from James B. Hickey, Lots 36, 37, 38 and 39, Assessor's Block 2109, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Sunset Reservoir, for the sum of \$3,000, \$250 from Appropriation No. 693.905.05-57 and the balance of \$2,750 payable from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 262443.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **Land Purchase, Richardson Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3283, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Joseph Dutra, et ux., portion of Lot 8, Assessor's Block 934, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Richardson avenue, for the sum of \$50, \$15 payable from Appropriation No. 951.905.57, Project No. 4½, and the balance of \$35 payable from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 271153. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for Corona Heights.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 3284, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase certain land from the following parties, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Corona Heights Playground, for the sums set forth opposite their names, payable from Appropriation No. 613.600.12:

Mary Howatt, Lot 37, Assessor's Block 2621.....\$200

Mary Howatt and Michael Garrity, Lots 25, 26 and 27,

Assessor's Block 2621 ..... 600

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land for the Mission High School Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 3285, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from John Armanino and Luigia Armanino, his wife, portion of Lot 32, Assessor's Block 3579, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Mission High School, for the sum of \$3,800, payable from Appropriation No. 670.600.01. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Reference is made to a particular description on file in the office of the Director of Property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Acquisition of Palo Alto Pipe Line Right of Way in San Mateo County by Condemnation Proceedings.**

(Code No. 6.0211)

Also, Resolution No. 3286, as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of all that certain real property situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

I. A right of way easement to lay, relay, construct, reconstruct, maintain, operate, patrol, repair, renew, replace, remove, increase and/or change the number and size of, pipes, pipe lines, conduits and/or connections, appurtenances and appliances, for the conveyance, distribution, supply and/or sale of water, along and across the following described parcels of land:

PARCEL 1. A strip of land 10 feet wide, being 5 feet measured at right angles northerly and 5 feet measured at right angles southerly from the following described line and the westerly and easterly extensions thereof.

Commencing at a point on the northeasterly line of Lot 17, Block 61, as shown on "Map No. 1 Dumbarton Oaks," filed January 20, 1908, in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County, State of California, in Liber 5 of Maps at page 56; said point of commencement being distant northwesterly along said northeasterly line 5.77 feet from its intersection with the southerly line of right of way for Hetch Hetchy Pipe Lines of the City and County of San Francisco; running thence from said point of commencement easterly along a line parallel to a northeasterly extension of said southerly line of right of way to the center line of Westmoreland avenue; thence southeasterly along said center line to a point distant 15 feet measured at right angles northwesterly from the southeasterly line of Berkshire avenue; thence southwesterly along a line parallel to and distant 15 feet measured at right angles northwesterly from said southeasterly line 124.73 feet; thence southeasterly across said Berkshire avenue to a point on the northwesterly line of Lot 6, Block 53, as shown on hereinabove mentioned "Map No. 1 Dumbarton Oaks," said



point being distant southwesterly 4.74 feet from the most northerly corner of said Lot 6.

II. A fee simple title to the following described parcel of land:

PARCEL 2. All of Lot 6, Block 53, as shown on "Map No. 1 Dumbarton Oaks," filed January 20, 1908, in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County, State of California, in Liber 5 of Maps at page 56.

III. A right of way easement to lay, relay, construct, reconstruct, maintain, operate, patrol, repair, renew, replace, remove, increase and/or change the number and size of, pipes, pipe lines, conduits and/or connections, appurtenances and appliances, for the conveyance, distribution, supply and/or sale of water, along and across the following described parcels of land:

PARCEL 3. A strip of land 10 feet wide, being 5 feet measured at right angles southerly and 5 feet measured at right angles northerly from the following described line and the easterly and westerly extensions thereof.

Commencing at a point on the southeasterly boundary line of Lot 6, Block 53, as shown on "Map No. 1 Dumbarton Oaks," filed January 20, 1908, in said County Recorder's Office in Liber 5 of Maps, page 56; said point of commencement being distant northeasterly along said boundary line 4.74 feet from the most southerly corner of said Lot 6; running thence from said point of commencement southeasterly along a line parallel to and distant 20 feet measured at right angles southwesterly from the northeasterly line of Glendale avenue to its intersection with the northwesterly line of Old Middlefield road; thence continuing southeasterly in the same direction 10 feet to a point in said road; thence northeasterly, diagonally across said road, to a point on the northeasterly extension of the southeasterly line of said road, distant 10 feet measured at right angles southwesterly from the northeasterly line of Glendale avenue of Oak Grove Park; thence southeasterly along a line parallel to and distant 10 feet measured at right angles southwesterly from the northeasterly line of said last mentioned Glendale avenue and continuing in the same direction across Loyola avenue to a point on the southeasterly line of Loyola avenue; said point being distant 10 feet more or less along said southeasterly line from the most northerly corner of Lot 1, Block C of Oak Grove Park, as per map filed August 9, 1923, in said Recorder's Office, in Liber 11 of Maps, at page 47.

PARCEL 4. A strip of land 35 feet wide lying northeasterly of a line parallel to and distant 35 feet measured at right angles southwesterly from the northeasterly line of Lot 1, Block C of Oak Grove Park, as per map filed August 9, 1923, in said Recorder's Office in Liber 11 of Maps at page 47, extending southeasterly from the northwesterly line to the southeasterly line of said Lot 1.

PARCEL 5. A strip of land 30 feet wide lying northeasterly of a line parallel to and distant 30 feet measured at right angles southwesterly from the southwesterly line of a 10-foot easement lying along the northeasterly line of Lots 11 to 21, inclusive, of Block 1, Lloyd Park, Atherton, California, as per map filed August 22, 1927, in said Recorder's Office in Liber 16 of Maps at page 29, and extending southeasterly from the northwesterly boundary line of said Lot 11 to the southeasterly boundary line of said Lot 21.

PARCEL 6. A strip of land 15 feet wide lying northeasterly of a line parallel to and distant 15 feet measured at right angles southwesterly from the southwesterly line of a 10-foot easement lying along the northeasterly line of Lots 22 to 25, inclusive, in Block 1 of Lloyd Park, hereinbefore mentioned in Parcel 5, and extending southeasterly from the northwesterly line of said Lot 22 to the southerly line of Lot 25.

PARCEL 7. A strip of land 10 feet wide, being 4 feet measured at right angles northeasterly and 6 feet measured at right angles south-

westerly from the following described line and the northwesterly and southeasterly extensions thereof.

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Lot 25, hereinabove mentioned in Parcel 6, said point being distant 15 feet measured at right angles southwesterly from the southwesterly line of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's right of way; running thence from said point of commencement southeasterly and 15 feet measured at right angles southwesterly from said southwesterly line 40.67 feet; thence southeasterly, diagonally across Lloyd drive to a point on the northwest boundary line of the parcel of land owned by Joseph B. Coryell, et al., known as "Station Grounds," conveyed by deed from Eyre Investment Company, dated June 28, 1906, and recorded in said Recorder's Office, June 29, 1906, in Liber 121 of Deeds, page 588; said point being distant 35.09 feet measured northeasterly along said boundary line from the most westerly corner of said parcel of land.

PARCEL 8. A strip of land 40 feet in width measured at right angles northeasterly from the southwesterly boundary line and extending southeasterly along said southwesterly boundary line of the land of Joseph B. Coryell, et al., known as "Station Grounds," hereinabove mentioned in Parcel 7, and extending from the northwest boundary to the southeast boundary thereof.

PARCEL 9. A strip of land 40 feet in width measured at right angles northeasterly from, and lying northeasterly of, a line connecting the most southerly corner of said land of Joseph B. Coryell, et al., hereinabove mentioned in Parcel 7, with the most westerly corner of the land at Atherton Station conveyed to Southern Pacific Company by Balfour-Guthrie Investment Company by deed dated September 11, 1906, and recorded October 1, 1906, in said Recorder's Office in Liber 132 of Deeds at page 85, and extending from the southeasterly line of the lands of said Joseph B. Coryell, et al., to the northwesterly line of said lands of the Southern Pacific Company.

PARCEL 10. A strip of land 40 feet in width measured at right angles northeasterly from the southwesterly boundary line of said land of the Southern Pacific Company at Atherton Station, hereinabove mentioned in Parcel 9, and extending southeasterly from the northwesterly line of said lands of the Southern Pacific Company to the southeasterly line of said lands of Southern Pacific Company; being also the northwest boundary line of Lot 52 as shown on "Map of Watlington Park," filed in said County Recorder's Office June 18, 1907, in Liber 5 of Maps at page 8.

PARCEL 11. A strip of land 10 feet wide, being 5 feet measured at right angles each side of the following described line and the northeasterly and southeasterly extensions thereof.

Commencing at a point on the southwesterly boundary line of the land of the Southern Pacific Company at Atherton Station, hereinabove mentioned in Parcel 10, said point being distant northwesterly along said southwesterly boundary line 10 feet from the most westerly corner of Lot 52, Watlington Park, hereinabove mentioned in Parcel 10; running thence from said point of commencement southwesterly along a line parallel to and distant 10 feet measured at right angles northwesterly from a southwesterly extension of the northwesterly boundary line of said Lot 52, to an intersection with the northwesterly extension of a line parallel to and 10 feet measured at right angles northeasterly from the southwesterly line of Station lane; thence southeasterly along said last mentioned parallel line and its southeasterly extension to a point in Maple avenue, distant 15 feet measured at right angles southeasterly from the northwesterly line of said Maple avenue; running thence northeasterly along a line parallel to and distant 15 feet measured at right angles southeasterly from said northwesterly line of Maple avenue to a point distant 5 feet measured at right angles southwesterly from an extension of the northeasterly line of Fair Oaks avenue; thence southeasterly along a line parallel to and distant 5 feet measured at right angles south-



westerly from said northeasterly line of Fair Oaks avenue to a point on the southeasterly line of Cedar avenue as shown on Map of Menlo Park Villa lots filed in said Recorder's Office in Liber 1 of Maps at page 93.

PARCEL 12. A strip of land 40 feet in width measured at right angles southwesterly from the southwesterly line of the lands of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company and extending southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Cedar avenue, as shown on Map of Menlo Park Villa lots, filed in said Recorder's Office in Liber 1 of Maps at page 93, to the northwesterly line of Encinal avenue, as shown on "Plat of the Lands of the Menlo Park Villa Association," filed September 14, 1863, in said Recorder's Office in Liber "C" of Maps at page 6.

PARCEL 13. A strip of land 10 feet wide, being 5 feet measured at right angles northeasterly and 5 feet measured at right angles southwesterly from the following described line and the southeasterly and northwesterly extensions thereof.

Commencing at a point on the northwesterly line of Encinal avenue, hereinabove mentioned in Parcel 12, distant southwesterly 25.17 feet from its intersection with the southwesterly line of the lands of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company; running thence from said point of commencement southeasterly across Encinal avenue to a point on the southeasterly line thereof, distant southwesterly along said southeasterly line 25.17 feet from its intersection with the southwesterly line of the lands of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company.

PARCEL 14. A strip of land 40 feet in width measured at right angles southwesterly from the southwesterly line of the lands of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company and extending southeasterly from center line of Encinal avenue as same is shown on "Map of the Lands of the Menlo Park Villa Association," filed in said Recorder's Office in Liber 2 of Maps at page 40, to the northwesterly line of Lot 33 as shown on the map of the "Dominga Tract," filed November 15, 1895, in said Recorder's Office in Liber "B" of Maps at page 49.

PARCEL 15. A strip of land 40 feet in width measured at right angles southwesterly from the southwesterly line of the 25-foot strip of land acquired by the Southern Pacific Railroad Company through the "Dominga Tract" and extending southeasterly from the northwesterly line of Lot 33 to the southeasterly line of Lot 45, as said lots are shown on map of the "Dominga Tract" hereinabove mentioned in Parcel 14.

IV. A fee simple title to the following described parcel of land:

PARCEL 16. All of Lot 49 as shown on the map entitled "Dominga Tract," filed November 15, 1895, in said Recorder's Office in Liber "B" of Maps at page 49, excepting the northeasterly 25 feet thereof conveyed by Elena Selby, et al., to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company by deed recorded in said Recorder's Office October 9, 1901, in Liber 85 of Deeds at page 498.

V. A right of way easement to lay, relay, construct, reconstruct, maintain, operate, patrol, repair, renew, replace, remove, increase and/or change the number and size of, pipes, pipe lines, conduits and/or connections, appurtenances and appliances, for the conveyance, distribution, supply and/or sale of water, along and across the following described parcels of land:

PARCEL 17. A strip of land 10 feet wide, being 5 feet measured at right angles northeasterly and 5 feet measured at right angles southwesterly from the following described line and the northwesterly and southeasterly extensions thereof.

Commencing at a point on the southeasterly boundary line of Lot 49 as shown on the map entitled "Dominga Tract," hereinabove mentioned in Parcel 14; said point being distant along said boundary line 15.10 feet from its intersection with the southwesterly line of the lands of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company; running thence from said point of commencement southeasterly across Glenwood avenue to a point on the southeasterly line of said Glenwood avenue; said

point being distant 40.28 feet southwesterly along said line from its intersection with the southwesterly line of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's right of way.

PARCEL 18. A strip of land 40 feet in width measured at right angles northeasterly from a line parallel to and distant 60 feet measured at right angles southwesterly from the southwesterly line of the lands of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company, and extending southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Glenwood avenue, as shown on the "Plat of the Lands of the Menlo Park Villa Association," hereinabove mentioned in Parcel 14, to the northwesterly line of Oak Grove avenue as shown on said Plat.

PARCEL 19. A strip of land 10 feet wide, being 5 feet measured at right angles westerly and 5 feet measured at right angles easterly from the following described line and the northerly and southerly extensions thereof.

Commencing at a point on the northwesterly line of Oak Grove avenue, as shown on "Plat of the Lands of the Menlo Park Villa Association," hereinabove mentioned in Parcel 14; said point being distant southwesterly along said line 40.28 feet from its intersection with the southwesterly line of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's right of way; running thence from said point of commencement diagonally across Oak Grove avenue southerly to a point on the southeasterly line of said Oak Grove avenue; said point being distant northeasterly along said line 15.10 feet from the most westerly corner of a portion of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's Menlo Park Depot Site, as described in deed from Louis Golder to San Francisco and San Jose Railroad Company, recorded in said Recorder's Office in Liber 6 of Deeds at page 209.

PARCEL 20. A strip of land 40 feet in width measured at right angles northeasterly from the southwesterly line of a portion of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's Menlo Park Depot Site, as described in deed from Louis Golder to San Francisco and San Jose Railroad Company, recorded in said Recorder's Office in Liber 6 of Deeds at page 209, and extending southeasterly from the northwesterly line of said Depot Site to the northeasterly extension of the southeasterly line of Santa Cruz avenue or Golder's lane; said southeasterly line being also the northeasterly extension of the northwesterly line of Lot 21 as said lot is shown on "Map of Merrill Subdivision, Menlo Park," filed February 13, 1918, in said Recorder's Office in Liber 10 of Maps at page 18.

PARCEL 21. A strip of land 10 feet wide, being 5 feet measured at right angles westerly and 5 feet measured at right angles easterly from the following described line and the northerly and southerly extensions thereof.

Commencing at a point on the southwesterly boundary line of a portion of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's Menlo Park Depot Site, as described in deed from Louis Golder to San Francisco and San Jose Railroad Company, hereinabove mentioned in Parcel 20; said point being distant southeasterly along said line 65 feet from the most easterly corner of Lot 4 of Golder's Subdivision; said corner being at the intersection of said southwesterly line of said Depot Site with the northwesterly line of Santa Cruz avenue; running thence from said point of commencement southwesterly at right angles to said southwesterly line 15 feet; thence southeasterly along Merrill avenue and along a line parallel to and 15 feet measured at right angles southwesterly from the northeasterly line of Merrill avenue to a point on the northwesterly line of Ravenswood avenue; thence southeasterly and diagonally across said Ravenswood avenue to a point on the southeasterly line thereof; said point being distant northeasterly along said southeasterly line 15.10 feet from the most westerly corner of the parcel of land conveyed to Southern Pacific Company by Charles Crocker by deed dated October 19, 1885, and recorded in said Recorder's Office, October 21, 1885, in Liber 39 of Deeds at page 351.

PARCEL 22. A strip of land 40 feet in width measured at right



angles northeasterly from the southwesterly line of the parcel of land conveyed to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company by Charles Crocker by deed dated October 19, 1885, and recorded in said Recorder's Office, October 21, 1885, in Liber 39 of Deeds at page 351; said southwesterly line being parallel to and distant 150 feet measured at right angles southwesterly from the center line of the 60-foot strip of land conveyed by John B. Casserly, et al., to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company by deeds recorded in said Recorder's Office, October 27, 1911, in Liber 189 of Deeds at pages 594 and 598 and in Liber 200 of Deeds at page 305, and extending southeasterly along said southwesterly line and the extension thereof, from the southeasterly line of Ravenswood avenue to the southeasterly line of said parcel of land.

PARCEL 23. A strip of land 20 feet in width measured at right angles northwesterly from the southeasterly boundary line of the parcel of land conveyed to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company by Charles Crocker, hereinabove mentioned in Parcel 21 and extending from the southwesterly boundary line of the hereinabove described Parcel 22 southwesterly along said southeasterly boundary line of said parcel of land conveyed to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company, to the northeasterly boundary line of the State Highway known as Division IV, San Mateo County, Route 2, Section B.

PARCEL 24. A strip of land 10 feet wide, being 5 feet measured at right angles each side of the following described line:

Commencing at a point on the northeasterly line of the State Highway known as Division IV, San Mateo County, Route 2, Section B; said point being distant northwesterly 10 feet more or less along said line from its intersection with the southeasterly boundary line of the parcel of land conveyed to Southern Pacific Railroad Company by Charles Crocker by deed dated October 19, 1885, and recorded in said Recorder's Office, October 21, 1885, in Liber 39 of Deeds at page 351; running thence from said point of commencement at right angles to said northeasterly line of State Highway southwesterly 16 feet; thence southeasterly along a line parallel to and 16 feet measured at right angles southwesterly from said northeasterly line of State Highway to a point opposite State Highway Engineer's Station S. M. 2-B B2 600+85; thence at right angles southwesterly across said State Highway to a point distant 5 feet measured at right angles northeasterly from the southwesterly line of said Highway; thence southeasterly along a line parallel to and 5 feet measured at right angles northeasterly from said southwesterly line to a point on the boundary line between San Mateo County and Santa Clara County, said point being approximately opposite State Highway Engineer's Station S. C1. 2-A A2 0+15.

The taking of a right of way easement to said property and of a fee title to said Parcels 2 and 16 is necessary for the construction, maintenance and operation by the City and County of San Francisco of a pipe line or lines and appurtenances thereto for the purpose of conveying water to the City of Palo Alto and contiguous territory in San Mateo and Santa Clara Counties for the use of said City and territory and the inhabitants thereof for municipal and domestic purposes.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Acquisition of Palo Alto Pipe Line Right of Way in  
Santa Clara County by Condemnation Proceedings.**

(Code No. 6.0211)

Also, Resolution No. 3287, as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of all that certain real property situated in the County of Santa Clara, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

I. A right of way easement to lay, relay, construct, reconstruct, maintain, operate, patrol, repair, renew, replace, remove, increase and/or change the number and size of, pipes, pipe lines, conduits and/or connections, appurtenances and appliances, for the conveyance, distribution, supply and/or sale of water along and across the following described parcel of land:

**PARCEL 1.** A strip of land 10 feet wide, being 5 feet measured at right angles each side of the following described line:

Commencing at a point on the boundary line between San Mateo County and Santa Clara County, distant 5 feet measured at right angles northeasterly from the southwesterly line of State Highway; said point being approximately opposite State Highway Engineer's Station S. Cl. 2-A A2 0+15; running thence from said point of commencement southeasterly along a line parallel to and distant 5 feet measured at right angles northeasterly from the southwesterly line of said State Highway to a point opposite State Highway Engineer's Station A2 1+00; thence southeasterly to a point distant 10 feet measured at right angles northeasterly from said southwesterly line, said point being opposite Station A2 1+30; thence southeasterly along a line parallel to and distant 10 feet measured at right angles northeasterly from said southwesterly line to a point opposite Station A2 14+00; thence southeasterly to a point distant 16 feet measured at right angles northeasterly from said southwesterly line, said point being opposite Station A2 14+30; thence southeasterly along a line parallel to and distant 16 feet measured at right angles northeasterly from said southwesterly line of said highway to a point on the northeasterly extension of the southeasterly line of California avenue, in the City of Palo Alto; said point being approximately opposite State Highway Engineer's Station S. Cl. 2-A A2 109+90.

The taking of a right of way easement to said property is necessary for the construction, maintenance and operation by the City and County of San Francisco of a pipe line or lines and appurtenances thereto for the purpose of conveying water to the City of Palo Alto and contiguous territory in San Mateo and Santa Clara counties for the use of said city and territory and the inhabitants thereof for municipal and domestic purposes.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcel of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Final Passage.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing Appropriation of \$1,700 for the Purpose of Providing  
Funds for Fuel Oil for the Department of Public Works.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1336, Ordinance No. 9.051389, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$1,700 out of the surplus existing



in the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 638.319.00 for the purpose of providing funds for fuel oil for the Department of Public Works, to be used for the heating of the Civic Center group of buildings; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,700 is hereby appropriated and set aside to the credit of Appropriation No. 638.319.00 for the purpose of providing funds for fuel oil for the Department of Public Works, to be used for the heating of the Civic Center group of buildings.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists for uninterrupted operation of the Department of Public Works, as it is without funds to purchase the necessary fuel oil required to heat the Civic Center Buildings.

Recommended by S. J. Hester, Acting Director of Public Works.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to form by Dion R. Holm, Assistant City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

#### **Authorizing Compromise of Claim of Mrs. Margaret Counihan.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Bill No. 1337, Ordinance No. 6.022226, as follows:

Authorizing compromise of claim of Mrs. Margaret Counihan, in the sum of fifty (\$50) dollars.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended the settlement of the action of Mrs. Margaret Counihan, against the City and County of San Francisco for the recovery of damages for personal injuries sustained by reason of defective condition of sidewalk in the vicinity of Fifteenth avenue and Judah street, by the payment of the sum of fifty (\$50) dollars in full settlement of all claims of said Mrs. Margaret Counihan, said City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle said pending litigation by the payment of said sum of fifty (\$50) dollars.

Approved as to form by E. P. Bergerot, Deputy City Attorney.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Authorizing Claim of Michael F. Scannell.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Bill No. 1338, Ordinance No. 6.022227, as follows:

Authorizing claim of Michael F. Scannell for the sum of seven thousand eight hundred and fifty dollars.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended the settlement of the action of Michael F. Scannell against the City and County of

San Francisco for the recovery of damages for personal injuries sustained by reason of falling from the viewing gallery of the handball courts in Golden Gate Park on February 29, 1936, which suit is numbered 268034 in the Superior Court, said City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle said pending litigation by the payment of the sum of \$7,850 and the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to draw his warrant for said sum.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Claim of George T. Sorenson.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Bill No. 1339, Ordinance No. 6.022228, as follows:

Authorizing claim of George T. Sorenson for the sum of seven hundred and fifty dollars.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended the settlement of the action of George T. Sorenson against the City and County of San Francisco for the recovery of damages for personal injuries sustained by reason of falling from the viewing gallery of the handball courts in Golden Gate Park on February 29, 1936, which suit is numbered 267934 in the Superior Court, said City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle said pending litigation by the payment of the sum of \$750 and the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to draw his warrant in said sum.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Final Passage.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Supplemental Appropriation \$5,000, Relief for May, 1937.**

**Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1341, Ordinance No. 9.051390, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation \$5,000 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the administration of relief to county cases for the month of May, 1937. An emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$5,000 is hereby appropriated out of such funds as may be available for the administration of relief to county cases for the month of May, 1937.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure for the reason that expenditures are increased due to a change in the method of granting relief, and to an increase in case load, and the Emergency Relief Administration is without sufficient funds to meet said increased expense.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

**Intention to Close a Portion of Adam Street.**

(Code No. 12.0621)

Resolution No. 3288, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of Adam street be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Adam street more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

All that portion of Adam street lying between the southerly line of Army street and its present southerly termination northerly from Bay Shore boulevard.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Adam street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; now, therefore, be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expenses of said closing and abandonment, amounting to one hundred dollars (\$100) be paid by the Bothin Estate Company.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Adam street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

**Repealing Bill No. 637, Public Proceedings for the Improvement of Wherenots in the Sunset District.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Bill No. 1340, Ordinance No. 12.061174, as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 12.061150, entitled "Ordering the Performance of Certain Street Work to Be Done in the City and County of San Francisco, Approving and Adopting Specifications Therefor, Describing and Approving the Assessment District, and Authorizing the Director of Public Works to Enter Into Contract for Doing the Same," in effect November 14, 1934, ordering the improvement of wherenots on Moraga and various other streets in the Sunset District.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 12.061150, the title of which is recited above, ordering the improvement of wherenots on Moraga and various other streets in the Sunset District, is hereby repealed.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of His Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Rev. Leo W. Powleson, Member Recreation Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3289, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, Rev. Leo W. Powleson, member of the Recreation Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ten days, commencing May 25, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Leave of Absence—Supervisor Jesse C. Colman, Member, Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3294, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, Hon. Jesse C. Colman, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ten days, commencing May 26, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Leave of Absence—Miss Alicia Mosgrove, Member, Recreation Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3295, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, Miss Alicia Mosgrove, member of the Recreation Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of one hundred and twenty days, commencing May 24, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Leave of Absence—Mrs. Sigmund Stern, President, Recreation Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3296, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, Mrs. Sigmund Stern, President of the Recreation Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of one hundred and twenty days, commencing May 24, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Release of Liens Filed Re Old Age Security.**

(Code No. 19.02)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 3290, as follows:

Resolved, That the liens heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, on recommendation of the County Welfare Department, are released against the properties described, as follows:

Reinhard Toepfer, recorded September 14, 1936, in volume 3011, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco, at page 143; legal description, Lot 39, Block 6765, Assessor's Map.

Carrie and Conrad Carlson, recorded January 25, 1937 (two claims) in volume 3011, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco, pages 184 and 185; legal description, Lot 26, Block 1662, Assessor's Map.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**President Shannon to Make Application for Membership in Alta California, Inc.**

(Code No. —)

Also, Resolution No. 3139, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby accept membership and gives authorization and directs its President to make application for membership in Alta California, Inc., an organization of Supervisors of Northern California Counties.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Appropriation of \$500, Membership Dues, Alta California, Inc.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On motion of Supervisor Shannon.

Bill No. 1342, Ordinance No. 9.051391, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$500 out of Appropriation Number 500.000.00 to the credit of Appropriation Number 601.814.00, for the purpose of paying dues for membership in Alta California, Inc., an organization of Supervisors of Northern California Counties.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$500 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of Appropriation Number 500.000.00 to the credit of Appropriation Number 601.814.00, for the purpose of paying dues for membership in Alta California, Inc., an organization of Supervisors of Northern California Counties.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by Warren Shannon, Board of Supervisors.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### City Attorney to Prepare Legislation for Rapid Transit Bond Assessment.

(Code No. 15.094)

Supervisor Meyer presented:

Resolution No. 3291, as follows:

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission, by its Resolution No. 1997, has reported to the Board of Supervisors a revised plan for a rapid transit system to be used and operated in conjunction with the Municipal Railway; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be, and he is hereby, directed to prepare and present to the Board of Supervisors the necessary resolutions and ordinances to submit to the electors at the election to be held on November 2, 1937, a proposition to issue general obligation bonds in the sum of Forty-Nine Million, Two Hundred Fifty Thousand (\$49,250,000.00) Dollars for the purpose of defraying the cost of the acquisition and construction of said rapid transit system.

*Referred to Finance and Public Utilities Committees jointly. Meeting Tuesday, May 25, at 2 p. m.*

### Protest against Removal of Fisherman's Wharf From Present Site to China Basin.

(Code No. —)

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. 3292, as follows:

Whereas, it is reported that the State Board of Harbor Commissioners is contemplating the removal of Fisherman's Wharf from its present location to China Basin; and

Whereas, Fisherman's Wharf is an old and familiar institution in San Francisco; rich in tradition; quaint in its Neapolitan color, and picturesque in its gem-like setting by the Golden Gate; and

Whereas, Fisherman's Wharf is dear to the hearts of all San Franciscans and forms a veritable Mecca for visitors seeking culinary delicacies as well as a glimpse of an Italian fishing village transplanted; and

Whereas, to move Fisherman's Wharf from its present location would constitute a detriment to those engaged in the fishing industry, not only economically but from a standpoint of convenience as well; and

Whereas, the location in which it is proposed to establish the new site of these activities is undesirable from an aesthetic standpoint and would undoubtedly cripple the tourist as well as local trade; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby memorialize the State Board of Harbor Commissioners and does urge that the proposal for the removal of Fisherman's Wharf be abandoned and that it be left inviolate; and be it

Further Resolved, That through the Press, all civic organizations be urged to voice their protest against this proposal; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be forwarded to the State Board of Harbor Commissioners and to the Governor of the State of California.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Abolishing Width of Sidewalks on Clarence Place.

(Code No. 12.0731)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Bill No. 1343, Ordinance No. 12.0731105, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of



Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and ninety-seven (1197).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office May 22d, 1937, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and ninety-seven (1197), to read as follows:

Section 1197: The width of sidewalks on Clarence Place shall be abolished.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **Personal Damages Compromise Claim.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Henry Heidelberg, Deputy City Attorney, enclosing ordinances providing for compromise settlement of claims for personal damages of Michael F. Scannel and George T. Sorenson injured by falling from Viewing Gallery of Handball courts in Golden Gate Park, and recommending settlement in the former case, for \$750 and in the latter for \$7,850.

Read by the Clerk and ordinances *passed for second reading.*

### **Destruction of Sea Lions.**

Also, communication from State Senator Walter McGovern calling attention to Assembly Bill 2345 giving the State Fish and Game Commission power to issue permits to kill seals and sea lions in the waters of the State of California, and requesting that the Board of Supervisors adopt a resolution directing the Governor and the members of the State Fish and Game Commission to refuse to grant any permits whatsoever for this purpose.

*Referred to the Judiciary Committee.*

### **Housing for Trailers.**

Supervisor Brown presented communication from Dr. J. C. Geiger, Health Officer, declaring it essential that immediate action be taken to provide adequate parking facilities for trailers under the present regulations in anticipation of an influx of trailers during Fiesta celebration.

*Ordered filed.*

### **Closing a Portion of Adam Street.**

Communication from Harold K. Crane Company, Agent for Ellen Chabot Bothin, advising that in the event of the closing of Adam street it will be used for industrial purposes.

Read by the Clerk and *ordered filed.*

### **Continuation of WPA Employment.**

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution calling upon the House Appropriations Committee and House representatives and the Senate of the United States to support bill providing for 3,000,000 WPA jobs and \$1,000,000,000 for direct relief to the States for the coming fiscal year, which bill, known as H. R. 5822, was introduced by Gerald Boileau of Wisconsin.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

**Endorsement of Legislation of League of Social Justice.**

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Legislation proposed by League of Social Justice providing for amendment to the Constitution of the United States in order to establish economic and social security to every normal person and retirement at the age of 45 years with full pay.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

**Social Security Amendment to U. S. Constitution.**

Supervisor Schmidt presented communication from League of Social Justice urging the Board to submit to the electors proposed amendment to Paragraph 2, Section 8, Article I of the Constitution of the United States to provide economic, material, financial and social security to every normal person without the aid of bonds or taxes. Said proposal, if approved by the electors to be referred to the State authorities, and ultimately, to Congress for enactment as national law.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

**Destruction of Seals and Sea Lions.**

Communication from State Senator McGovern read by Clerk, requesting the Board of Supervisors to adopt a resolution directed to the Governor and to the members of the Fish and Game Commission asking that no permits be granted to kill any of the sea lions that inhabit the waters of the Pacific Ocean or of San Francisco Bay, adjacent to San Francisco.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

**Repeal of Laurel Hill Cemetery Removal.**

Petition received by Board requesting reconsideration, repeal or reference to the electors of matter of Laurel Hill Cemetery removal.

*Referred to Registrar of Voters for check of signatures.*

**City Employees to Attend Golden Gate Fiesta Events.**

Supervisor Brown moved that His Honor, the Mayor, be requested to make provisions for attendance by City employees at the various Fiesta events, in so far as is possible, so long as work of the City is not impaired.

*Motion carried.*

**Consideration of the Budget for 1937-1938.**

At 3:15 p. m. the Board of Supervisors, having under consideration recommendation of Civil Service Commission contained in its communication of May 13, relative to salary increases over maximum set-up in "brown book," proceeded to hear Commissioner Harry Wolfe and City Attorney O'Toole as to those sections of the Charter dealing with the situation.

**Communication from the Civil Service Commission.**

The following communications were read by the Clerk:

May 13, 1937.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: On September 10, 1931, your honorable Board referred to the Civil Service Commission our report previously submitted to your Board under date of April 9, 1930, containing recommendations for salary schedules for City employments. In referring the report back to the Civil Service Commission the Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution of which the following is a copy:



Resolved, That the Salary Standardization Ordinance, heretofore referred to the Joint Committee on Civil Service and Finance, is hereby referred to the Civil Service Commission for revision; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Civil Service Commission is hereby respectfully requested to revise and bring up to date the Salary Standardization Ordinance and resubmit it to the Board of Supervisors.

During the years 1932-33-34 and 35, the volume of other work prevented the Civil Service Commission from any intensive work on salary standardization. Furthermore, basic wage scales in industry were being reduced so rapidly that it was impossible to fix equitably on the basis of current prevailing conditions wage scales for all classes of employments. For these reasons, the Civil Service Commission was forced to delay the work of revising the 1930 report as requested by your honorable Board until the early part of 1936.

Since that year, our staff has been gathering data as to wages paid in private employment for positions comparable to those established in the municipal service. During the past several months wage scales in certain building trades crafts have been considerably increased. At the present time there are a number of crafts included in our building trades service which are receiving in private employment higher pay than is permitted to be paid municipal employees under Sections 71 and 151 of the Charter. These sections of the Charter prohibit any increases in salary which would cause the compensation to exceed the wage fixed for such service in the April 9, 1930, report or as such report may be amended.

There are approximately 700 different classifications included in our classification of duties. The task of gathering data as to wages paid in private employment for all of these classes of positions, the work of relating this data to the proper classifications, the study and consideration of this vast amount of data, and public hearings relating thereto constitute a tremendous task and will require another year or eighteen months to complete. In the meantime, until the April 9, 1930, report is amended, any adjustments to conform to wages paid in private employment are impossible if the wage recommended in the April 9, 1930, report is less than the current prevailing wage.

Therefore, pending the final accomplishment of salary standardization as provided in Section 151 of the Charter, the Civil Service Commission has, after extended consideration, decided to amend the April 9, 1930, report wherever the current wage being paid in private employment is higher or lower than the rate fixed in said report so that municipal employees may be paid wages comparable to those paid in private employment.

We have completed our investigation of wages paid in private employment in the classifications included in our building trades service and in certain other classifications. We find that in thirteen of these classifications the rate recommended in the April 9, 1930, report is less than that prevailing in private employment and in one instance the wage recommended in the April 9, 1930, report is higher than that now prevailing in private employment. The Civil Service Commission, after full consideration of comprehensive data and a public hearing, has therefore amended the April 9, 1930, report in so far as these fourteen classifications are concerned and is submitting to you herewith the revisions made in the said report with the request that your honorable Board approve our action in revising these rates. The revisions made by the Civil Service Commission, submitted herewith for approval of the Board of Supervisors, have been recommended by the Salary Standardization Advisory Board after consideration of the data collected by our staff.

If your honorable Board approves this action of the Civil Service Commission, the rates herein recommended as revisions will supersede the rates originally fixed for these classifications in the April 9, 1930, report. However, these revised wage scales, if approved by the Board of Supervisors, cannot be made effective in the budget and salary

ordinance except on the recommendation of the department heads concerned and with the concurrence of the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors in approving supplemental budget requests from the various departments.

Classification—	Rate Fixed in April 9, 1930, Report.	Rate as Amended in May, 1937, Based on Current Data.
A52 Bricklayer's Hod Carrier . . . . .	\$ 9.00	\$10.00
A56 Bricklayer . . . . .	11.00	12.00
A60 Marble Setter . . . . .	10.00	9.00
A208 Foreman Cement Finisher, De- partment of Public Works . . .	10.00	11.00
A252 Glazier . . . . .	8.50	8.80
A302 Locksmith . . . . .	8.00	9.00
A354 Painter . . . . .	9.00	9.75
A358 General Foreman Painter, De- partment of Public Works . . .	11.00	11.75
A364 Car and Auto Painter . . . . .	9.00	10.00
A456 Sheet Metal Worker . . . . .	9.50	10.00
A458 Sub-Foreman Sheet Metal Worker . . . . .	10.00	10.50
A460 Foreman Sheet Metal Worker . .	10.50	11.00
E108 Electrician . . . . .	9.00	10.00
O152 Engineer of Hoisting and Port- able Engines . . . . .	10.00	11.40

Very truly yours,

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION.  
.....Secretary.

May 20, 1937.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Attached hereto is copy of a resolution adopted by the Civil Service Commission at its regular meeting held May 19, 1937. The resolution refers to the amendment of wage rates for Class O 204 Cribber and Class O 210 Sewer Cleaner.

Very truly yours,

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION.  
....., Secretary.

Whereas, the Civil Service Commission has had under consideration the request of the cribbers and sewer cleaners for a revision of wage scales as indicated for their classes in the April 9, 1930, report; and

Whereas, certain information regarding wages paid for cribbers in private employment has been presented to the Civil Service Commission; and

Whereas, consideration has been given to the hazardous and unwholesome aspect of the work performed by sewer cleaners and their relationship to other classifications; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Civil Service Commission hereby amends the April 9, 1930, report to change the rate fixed for Class O 204 Cribber from seven dollars per day to eight dollars and eighty cents per day for an eight-hour day; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the Civil Service Commission hereby amends the April 9, 1930, report to change the rate for Class O 210 Sewer Cleaner from eight dollars and fifty cents per day to nine dollars and fifty cents per day; and, be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded immediately to the Board of Supervisors with the request that the Board of Supervisors approve our action in amending the April 9, 1930, report as set forth herein.



**Report of Civil Service Commission Approved.**  
(Code No. 4.03)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 3327, as follows:

Whereas, the Civil Service Commission has, under date of May 13, 1937, made to the Board of Supervisors a report recommending the amendment of the salary schedule of April 9, 1930, referred to in Section 71 of the Charter, in regard to certain employments which are specifically set forth in said report of May 13, 1937; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the report of said Civil Service Commission as set forth in its communication of May 13, 1937, be and the same is hereby approved, and the changes recommended in the compensations of the several positions mentioned in said report are hereby approved.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Motions.**

Supervisor McSheehy thereupon, in accordance with the recommendations of the Civil Service Commission, moved the following amendments to the Budget:

1. That index 1, sheet 2, line 4, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be fixed at \$550 instead of \$600 per month.

No objection and it was *so ordered*.

2. That index 1, sheet 2, line 15, Sergeant-at-Arms of the Board of Supervisors be fixed at \$200 instead of \$250 per month.

No objection and it was *so ordered*.

Supervisor McSheehy moved, in accordance with Mayor's recommendation, an increase for 10 laborers from \$150 to \$155 per month or \$6 per day.

No objection and it was *so ordered*.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the findings of the Civil Service Commission be concurred in.

No objection and it was *so ordered*.

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Brown, moved that action heretofore taken refusing a \$50 a month salary increase to Josephine D. Randall, Superintendent of Recreation Commission be rescinded.

Supervisor Shannon raised the point of order that "we can't fix" salaries here, recommendation was made by the Commission.

City Attorney advised that Board of Supervisors can reduce, but can't increase.

Motion to rescind *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

**Endorsement of Exposition-Fiesta Labor Ball, May 29, 1937, in Honor of Men Who Lost Their Lives in the Building of the Golden Gate Bridge.**

(Code No. —)

Supervisor Mead presented:

Resolution No. —, as follows:

Whereas, the Golden Gate Bridge will be completed and opened to the public on May 27th; and

Whereas, the opening of the great bridge will stimulate tourist travel to San Francisco; and

Whereas, twelve men lost their lives during the construction of this bridge; and

Whereas, the Fiesta Citizen's Committee and the Golden Gate International Exposition, together with the San Francisco Building Trades Council and the San Francisco Labor Council are sponsoring a benefit ball for the relief of the families of these men who died on the construction of the bridge; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco endorse this Exposition-Fiesta Labor Ball on May 29, 1937, in support of this worthy cause.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Motions.

Supervisor Uhl moved that Chief Administrative Officer give us a report on other grandstands in the park that may cause damage suits.

*So ordered.*

Supervisor Uhl moved to insert \$25,000 for painting white lines in streets.

No objection, *so ordered.*

### County Road Fund (Index No. 47).

Thereupon, the following amended set-up for Index No. 47 was *approved*:

Investigation and claims \$15,000 (strike out) Boulevard lighting .....	\$ 40,000
Bond interest and redemption.....	200,000
Services, Real Estate Department.....	1,000
Traffic (Department of Electricity services).....	5,000
Traffic (Police Department Services) reduce \$35,000 to.....	25,000
Painting traffic lines (new item).....	25,000
Stop—Stop and Go signals, reduce from \$52,000 to.....	32,000
Street signs (new and repairs).....	7,500
Sunset boulevard maintenance .....	18,000
Boulevard tree maintenance .....	4,500
Work in front of City property (new item).....	10,000
Total .....	\$328,000
Reduction of .....	10,000

### Budget Adopted.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the Budget of Municipal Expenditures for the fiscal year 1937-1938, as recommended and approved, be adopted.

*Motion carried by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Passed for Second Reading.

Thereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the following bill was *passed for second reading*:



# ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE 1937-1938

BILL NO. 1322, ORDINANCE NO. 9.051382 (Code No. 9.051), as follows:

AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING ALL ESTIMATED RECEIPTS AND ALL ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1938.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The several amounts of estimated receipts, income and revenue enumerated herein are hereby provided for and appropriated to the several funds and/or departments indicated in this ordinance for the purpose of meeting appropriations herein provided.

Section 2. The several amounts of proposed expenditure for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1938, are hereby appropriated to the several funds and/or departments as enumerated herein. Each department for which an expenditure appropriation is herein made shall be authorized to use the amount of moneys so appropriated for the purposes specified in this appropriation ordinance, subject to the limitations of the Charter and as provided herein.

Section 3. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up appropriate accounts, as provided by Section 76 of the Charter, for the items of receipts and expenditures appropriated herein.

Where revenues for any fund or department are herein provided by transfer from any other fund or department, or where a duty or a performance has been transferred from one department to another, the Controller is authorized to make the necessary transfer; provided further, that where revenues for any fund or department are herein provided by transfer from any other fund or department, in consideration of departmental services to be rendered, in no event shall such transfer of revenue be made in excess of the actual cost of such service; provided further, that in no event shall transfers from utility surplus exceed the limitations set forth in Section 129 of the Charter.

The Controller shall make available the amount of actual receipts for items of proposed expenditures that are designated herein as appropriated for the use of a department only to the extent that fees or other revenues are collectible.

Section 4. The several items of expenditures herein appropriated, being based on estimated receipts, income or revenues which may not be fully realized, it shall be incumbent upon the Controller to establish a schedule of allotments, monthly or quarterly as he may determine, under which the sums appropriated to the several departments shall be expended. The Controller shall revise such revenue estimates monthly. If such revised estimates indicate a shortage the Controller shall hold in reserve an equivalent amount of the corresponding expenditure appropriations set forth herein until the collection of the amounts as originally estimated is assured, and in all cases where it is provided by this Charter that a specified or minimum tax shall be levied for any department the amount of such appropriation herein provided derived from taxes shall not exceed the amount actually produced by the levy made for said department. The Controller in issuing warrants or in certifying contracts or purchase orders or other encumbrances, pursuant to Section 86 of the Charter, shall consider only the allotted portions of appropriation items to be available for encumbrance or expenditure and shall not approve the incurring of liability under any allotment in excess of the amount of such allotment. In case of emergency or unusual circumstance which could not be anticipated at the time of apportionment, an additional allotment for a period may be made on the recommendation of the department head and that of the Chief Administrative Officer, board or commission, and the approval of the Controller. After the allotment schedule has been established or fixed, as heretofore provided, it shall be unlawful for any department or officer to expend or cause to be expended a sum greater than the amount set forth

Section 5. Where appropriations are made for specific projects or purposes which may involve the payment of salaries or wages, the head of the department to which such appropriations are made, or the head of a department authorized by contract or interdepartmental order to make expenditures from each such appropriation, shall file with the Controller, when requested, an estimate of the amount of any such expenditures to be made during the ensuing period.

Section 6. Liabilities incurred and expenditures made for permanent employments shall in no case exceed the number of and the salary or wage for, employments in each class as enumerated in the annual salary ordinance, except as additional employments may be authorized under the provisions of the Charter.

The Controller and the Civil Service Commission shall be immediately notified of a vacancy occurring in a position established in the Annual Salary Ordinance and appointing officers shall not make appointments to such vacancies until the Controller shall certify that funds are available and until the Civil Service Commission shall verify the legality of the position and compensation, and the Mayor shall approve.

Appointing officers shall not make appointments to seasonable or temporary positions until the Controller shall certify that funds are available and until the Civil Service Commission shall verify the legality of the position and compensation.

Appointments to seasonable or temporary positions shall not exceed the term for which the Controller has certified the availability of funds.

Section 7. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to continue the existing Special, Trust Funds and Reserves and the receipts in and expenditures from each such fund are hereby appropriated in accordance with law and the conditions under which each such fund was established.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up additional Special, Trust Funds and Reserves, as they may be created by either additional bequests or under other conditions.

Whenever the City and County of San Francisco shall receive from the United States of America, the State of California, or from any public or semi-public agency, or from any private person, firm or corporation, any moneys, or property to be converted into money, the Controller shall set up on the books of his office a special fund or account evidencing the said moneys so received and specifying the purposes for which they have been received and for which they are held, which said account or fund shall be maintained by the said Controller as long as any portion of said moneys remains unexpended.

The expenditures necessary from said fund or said account created as herein provided, in order to carry out the purpose for which said moneys have been received or for which said account is being maintained, shall be approved by the Controller and said expenditures are hereby appropriated in accordance with the terms and conditions under which said moneys have been received by the City and County of San Francisco, and in accordance with the conditions under which said fund is maintained.

Section 8. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up appropriations for refunding revenues collected in excess of amounts due.

Section 9. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to prescribe the method to be used in making payments for interdepartmental services in accordance with the provisions of Section 77 of the Charter.

Section 10. The term "department" as used in this ordinance shall mean department, bureau, office, utility, board or commission, as the case may be. The term "department head" as used herein shall be as defined in Section 20 of the Charter.

Section 11. The several amounts of estimated receipts herein appropriated, and each fund or department to which such appropriation is made, are as follows:



## City and County of San Francisco

Name of Fund	APPROPRIATIONS		REVENUES							
	Amount	By Transfer	Total	By Transfer	From Surplus	Other Than Taxes		Taxes	Total	
						Appropriation	Fund			
CURRENT FUNDS (General City and County)										
General Fund—Note A.....	12,150,418	2,776,135	14,926,553							
Other General Fund	551,500	.....	551,500							
Maintenance of Minors.....										
Widows' Pensions .....										
Maintenance of the Aged.....	3,512,698	.....	3,512,698	2,776,135	.....	126,500	6,091,165	12,316,983	21,310,783	
Blind Pensions .....										
San Francisco Hospital.....	1,600,970	.....	1,600,970							
Laguna Honda Home.....	616,721	.....	616,721							
Hassler Health Home.....	102,341	.....	102,341							
TOTAL OTHER GENERAL FUND.....	6,384,230	.....	6,384,230							
Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor.....	1,300,000	.....	1,300,000					1,300,000	1,300,000	
TOTAL WELFARE .....	7,684,230	.....	7,684,230							
Emergency Reserve .....	125,000	.....	125,000					125,000	125,000	
Library .....	470,000	.....	470,000					451,500	470,000	
Recreation—Note B.....	628,134	.....	628,134					584,534	628,134	
Park .....	1,454,142	.....	1,454,142					1,031,567	1,454,142	
de Young Memorial Museum.....	87,800	13,690	101,490					87,500	101,490	
California Palace of the Legion of Honor.....	78,636	.....	78,636					78,086	78,636	
War Memorial .....	129,731	.....	129,731					93,946	129,731	
Employees' Retirement .....	1,750,842	688,819	2,439,661					1,750,842	2,439,661	
Workmen's Compensation .....	50,000	.....	50,000					50,000	50,000	
Publicity and Advertising.....	200,000	.....	200,000					200,000	200,000	
TOTAL CURRENT FUNDS (General City and County).....	24,808,933	3,478,644	28,287,577	3,478,644	.....	126,500	6,612,475	18,069,958	28,287,577	
OTHER CURRENT FUNDS										
General City Bond Interest and Redemption Fund.....	5,350,220	587,020	5,937,240					5,341,362	5,937,240	
P. S. E. Bond Interest and Redemption Fund.....	2,778,429	6,045,534	8,823,963					2,640,956	8,823,963	
Interest on Tax Anticipation Notes.....	8,000	.....	8,000					8,000	8,000	
Tax Judgments .....	101,128	.....	101,128					101,128	101,128	
State of California Unemployment Relief Loan.....	101,727	.....	101,727					101,727	101,727	
State of Calif. Unemployment Relief Loan Interest.....	31,218	.....	31,218					31,218	31,218	
Installation Fund—Department of Electricity.....	3,000	.....	3,000					3,000	3,000	
TOTAL OTHER CURRENT FUNDS.....	8,373,722	6,632,554	15,006,276	6,632,554	146,331	.....	3,000	8,224,391	15,006,276	

REVENUES

APPROPRIATIONS

CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

Name of Fund	Amount	By Transfer	Total	By Transfer	Other Than Taxes			Taxes	Total
					From Surplus	Appropriation	Fund		
PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES CURRENT FUNDS									
Water Revenue .....	7,035,933	.....	7,035,933	.....	145,099	.....	6,890,834	.....	7,035,933
Hetch Hetchy Power Operative .....	2,411,000	.....	2,411,000	.....	.....	.....	2,411,000	.....	2,411,000
Municipal Railway Operating .....	3,266,379	.....	3,266,379	.....	22,080	.....	3,244,299	.....	3,266,379
Hetch Hetchy Water Supply .....	.....	239,928	239,928	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	239,928
Public Utilities Commission .....	.....	102,695	102,695	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	102,695
Airport ..	218,277	.....	218,277	.....	.....	.....	78,650	139,627	218,277
TOTAL PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES—CURR.	12,931,589	342,623	13,274,212	342,623	167,179	.....	12,624,783	139,627	13,274,212
SCHOOL FUNDS									
Common School Fund (Tentative) .....	9,754,393	.....	9,754,393	.....	250,000	.....	4,772,000	4,732,393	9,754,393
Special School Tax Fund (Tentative) .....	940,000	.....	940,000	.....	25,000	.....	.....	915,000	940,000
TOTAL SCHOOL FUNDS .....	10,694,393	.....	10,694,393	.....	275,000	.....	4,772,000	5,647,393	10,694,393
County Roads .....	1,098,812	.....	1,098,812	.....	Cr 50,788	.....	1,149,500	100	1,098,812
Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund .....	507,275	.....	507,275	.....	.....	.....	507,275	.....	507,275
TOTAL .....	1,606,087	.....	1,606,087	.....	Cr 50,788	.....	1,656,775	100	1,606,087
CAPITAL FUNDS									
1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund .....	740,548	.....	740,548	.....	.....	.....	740,548	.....	740,548
1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund—Grant .....	110,374	.....	110,374	.....	.....	.....	110,374	.....	110,374
1933 Sewer Bond Fund .....	685,949	.....	685,949	.....	.....	.....	685,949	.....	685,949
1933 High Pressure System Bond Fund—Grant .....	150,500	.....	150,500	.....	.....	.....	150,500	.....	150,500
1934 School House Bond Fund .....	128,018	.....	128,018	.....	.....	.....	128,018	.....	128,018
TOTAL CAPITAL FUNDS .....	1,815,389	.....	1,815,389	.....	.....	.....	1,815,389	.....	1,815,389
TOTAL BUDGET .....	60,230,113	10,453,821	70,683,934	10,453,821	537,722	126,500	27,484,422	32,081,469	70,683,934

Note A—That portion of the General Fund (Art Commission) Appropriation No. 716.200.02 for symphony concerts which is to be met from taxes, shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of ½ cent on each \$100 of the 1937-1938 assessment roll.

Note B—That portion of the Recreation appropriations which is to be met from taxes, shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of 7c on each \$100 of the 1937-1938 Assessment Roll.



ESTIMATED REVENUES 1937-1938

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Licenses							
Business .....	225,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Business (Badges and Tags) .....	8,750	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Vehicle (Other Than State) .....	30,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Marriage .....	14,500	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Ferry .....	4,800	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Dog .....	15,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Inspection Fees (Ord. 3.0412) .....	20,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Contractors .....	20,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Penalties .....	3,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Total .....	341,050	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	341,050
Permits							
Department of Public Works							
Building .....	90,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Bill Board .....	500	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
House Numbers .....	4,500	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Street Space .....	8,700	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Boiler .....	2,300	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Police Department							
Public Passenger Carriers							
Hand Bill Distributors	5,500	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Second Hand Dealers							
Fire Department							
Automobile Storage							
Automobile Repair Shops							
Automobile Supply Stations	2,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Automobile Parking Stations							
Oil Burners							
Total .....	113,500	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	113,500

(Estimated Revenues, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

ESTIMATED REVENUES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
<b>Fines</b>							
Municipal Courts .....	76,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Superior Courts .....	3,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Traffic Courts .....	70,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
<b>Total</b> . . . . .	<b>149,000</b>	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	<b>149,000</b>
<b>Interest Revenue</b> .....	5,500	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	5,500
<b>Penalties and Costs—Delinquent Taxes</b> .....	35,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	35,000
<b>Franchises</b>							
Telephone .....	273,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
California Street Railway .....	4,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Market Street Railway .....	48,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
<b>Total</b> . . . . .	<b>325,000</b>	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	<b>325,000</b>

(Estimated Revenues, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



ESTIMATED REVENUES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
State of California							
Aid to Needy Children							
Maintenance of Minors							
State Aid	141,632	.	.	.	.	.	.
Federal Aid	18,635	.	.	.	.	.	.
Administration	6,000	.	.	.	.	.	.
Widows' Pensions							
State Aid	142,645	.	.	.	.	.	.
Federal Aid	29,825	.	.	.	.	.	.
Administration	4,800	.	.	.	.	.	.
Aid to Needy Blind							
State Aid	83,836	.	.	.	.	.	.
Federal Aid	25,751	.	.	.	.	.	.
Aid to Needy Aged							
State Aid	1,470,072	.	.	.	.	.	.
Federal Aid	668,214	.	.	.	.	.	.
Administration	17,000	.	.	.	.	.	.
Tubercular Patients	85,000	.	.	.	.	.	.
Fire Boats	82,000	.	.	.	.	.	.
Candidates Filing Fees	2,500	.	.	.	.	.	.
Motor Vehicle License (in lieu)	500,000	.	.	.	.	.	.
County Road Fund:							
Motor Vehicle Fuel Tax	.	.	911,000	.	.	.	.
Motor Vehicle Registration	.	.	238,000	.	.	.	.
Motor Vehicle (Common Carriers)	.	.	500	.	.	.	.
Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund	.	.	507,275	.	.	.	.
Alcohol Beverage Control Act	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
License Fees	1,000,000	.	.	.	.	.	.
Common Schools	.	.	4,608,750	.	.	.	.
Total	4,277,910	.	6,265,525	.	.	.	10,543,435

(Estimated Revenues, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Federal Government							
Aid to High Schools .....	.....	.....	8,750	.....	.....	.....	.....
Board of U. S. Prisoners .....	.....	17,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Grant Docket No. 1531 .....	.....	.....	.....	150,500	.....	.....	.....
" " No. 7942 .....	.....	.....	.....	128,018	.....	.....	.....
" " No. 1510 .....	.....	.....	.....	110,374	.....	.....	.....
Total .....	.....	17,000	8,750	388,892	.....	.....	414,642
Departmental Revenues							
Tax Collector—Delinquent Revenue Other Than Taxes	35,000						
Department of Public Works							
Bureau of Engineering—Survey Fees .....	1,100						
" " —Excavation Fees .....	15,000						
" " —Engineering Inspection .....	.....	13,000					
" " —Tearing up Streets, Side Sewers .....	.....	35,000					
" " —Advertising Fees .....	.....	200					
House Moving .....	100						
Miscellaneous .....	20						
Department of Public Health							
Bureau of Vital Statistics							
Removal Permits .....	9,000						
Death Certificates .....	4,500						
Birth Certificates .....	1,700						
Bureau of Communicable Disease							
Venereal Clinics .....	100						
Massage Parlor Permits .....	200						

(Estimated Revenues, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



## ESTIMATED REVENUES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Departmental Revenues—(Continued)							
Department of Public Health—(Continued)							
Bureau of Industrial Inspection							
Sanitation Permits—Opening	200	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Sanitation Permits—Renewal	3,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Fumigation	50	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Bureau of Plumbing Inspection							
Master Plumbers Registration Fees	3,800	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Gas Appliance Dealers Registration	30	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Plumbing Fixture Permits	15,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Gas Appliance Installation Permits	20,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Bureau of Food and Milk							
Meat Inspection Division							
Meat Inspection	37,500	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Milk Inspection Division							
Cooling and Skimming Plants	16,800	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Dairies	20,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Pasteurizing Plants	8,250	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Restaurant Inspection	55,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Food Wagon Permits	200	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Salvage Dealer Permits	10	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Salvage Dealer Inspection	15	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Central Office—Miscellaneous	150	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
San Francisco Hospital							
Care of Patients	1,700	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Miscellaneous	1,100	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Laguna Honda Home							
Care of Inmates	4,200	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Miscellaneous	10	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

(Estimated Revenues, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Departmental Revenues—(Continued)							
Sheriff Fees .....	32,000	.	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Municipal Court Fees .....	61,000	.	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
County Clerk Fees .....	100,000	.	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Coroner .....	900	.	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Treasurer .....	12,000	.	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Registrar of Voters .....	1,600	.	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Police Department .....	1,510	.	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Recorder .....	150,000	.	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Fire Department, Sale of Power and Miscellaneous.....	4,250	.	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Juvenile Court							
Reimbursement for Care in Sonoma State Home.....	10,500	.	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
City Collections—Maintenance of Minors.....	50,000	.	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Public Administrator—Fees .....	55,000	.	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Art Commission—Symphony Concerts .....	.....	15,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Pound .....	3,100	.	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Purchasing Department .....	1,600	.	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
City Planning Commission Fees .....	700	.	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Agricultural (Horticultural) Inspection							
Inspection Fees .....	9,000	.	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
City Institutions .....	800	.	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Miscellaneous .....	300	.	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Controller—Filing Fees .....	250	.	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Tax Collector—Miscellaneous .....	10	.	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

(Estimated Revenues, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



ESTIMATED REVENUES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Classification	General Fund		Other Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Departmental Revenues—(Continued)							
Department of Electricity							
Registration Fees	18,000	.	.	.	.	.	.
Electrical Inspection Fees	60,000	.	.	.	.	.	.
Electric Sign Inspection Fees	4,500	.	.	.	.	.	.
Loud Speaker Inspection	200	.	.	.	.	.	.
House Moving	150	.	.	.	.	.	.
Installation Fund	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Real Estate Department	.	.	3,000	.	.	.	.
Rents, General	13,000	.	.	.	.	.	.
Rents, Auditorium	.	45,000	.	.	.	.	.
Commissions on Rents	.	1,300	.	.	.	.	.
Miscellaneous	100	.	.	.	.	.	.
War Memorial	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Rent, Opera House	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Concessions, Opera House	.	.	29,000	.	.	.	.
Rent, Veterans' Auditorium	.	.	3,750	.	.	.	.
Miscellaneous	.	.	3,000	.	.	.	.
Board of Education	.	.	35	.	.	.	.
Tuition—From Other Counties	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Rents—(Real Estate Department)	.	.	50,000	.	.	.	.
Miscellaneous	.	.	102,500	.	.	.	.
	.	.	2,000	.	.	.	.

(Estimated Revenues, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

## Classification

## Departmental Revenues (Continued)

## Park

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Children's Quarters .....	.....	.....	71,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Beach Chalet .....	.....	.....	25,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Fleishhacker Cafe .....	.....	.....	15,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Mother House .....	.....	.....	2,500	.....	.....	.....	.....
Fleishhacker Playfield Booth .....	.....	.....	60,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Harding Park Cafe .....	.....	.....	22,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Lincoln Park Cafe .....	.....	.....	14,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Sharp Park Cafe .....	.....	.....	15,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
G. G. Park—Tennis Courts .....	.....	.....	100	.....	.....	.....	.....
G. G. Park—Basketball Pavilion .....	.....	.....	2,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
G. G. Park—Stadium .....	.....	.....	1,500	.....	.....	.....	.....
Kezar Stadium .....	.....	.....	28,500	.....	.....	.....	.....
Tennis Courts, P. F. A. .....	.....	.....	15,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Fleishhacker Bathhouse .....	.....	.....	10,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Harding Park Golf .....	.....	.....	47,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Lincoln Park Golf .....	.....	.....	39,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Sharp Park Golf .....	.....	.....	23,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Yacht Harbor .....	.....	.....	14,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Commission from Vending Machines .....	.....	.....	250	.....	.....	.....	.....
Rents .....	.....	.....	2,125	.....	.....	.....	.....
Franchise Market Street Railway .....	.....	.....	100	.....	.....	.....	.....
Miscellaneous .....	.....	.....	500	.....	.....	.....	.....
Coit Tower .....	.....	.....	15,000	.....	.....	.....	.....

(Estimated Revenues, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



## ESTIMATED REVENUES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Departmental Revenues—(Continued)							
Recreation							
Camp . . . . .	.....	.....	40,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Swimming—San Francisco . . . . .	.....	.....	1,600	.....	.....	.....	.....
Miscellaneous . . . . .	.....	.....	2,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Library—Fines, etc. . . . .	.....	.....	18,500	.....	.....	.....	.....
California Palace of the Legion of Honor . . . . .	.....	.....	550	.....	.....	.....	.....
M. H. de Young Memorial Museum . . . . .	.....	.....	300	.....	.....	.....	.....
Total . . . . .	844,205	109,500	678,810	.....	.....	.....	1,632,515
Public Utilities							
Hetch Hetchy Power Operative . . . . .	.....	.....	2,411,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Municipal Railway . . . . .	.....	.....	3,244,299	.....	.....	.....	.....
Water Department . . . . .	.....	.....	6,890,834	.....	.....	.....	.....
Airport . . . . .	.....	.....	78,650	.....	.....	.....	.....
Total . . . . .	.....	.....	12,624,783	.....	.....	.....	12,624,783

(Estimated Revenues, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Receipts from Surplus							
County Road Fund	.....	.....	Cr. 50,788	.....	.....	.....	.....
Municipal Railway	.....	.....	22,080	.....	.....	.....	.....
Common School Fund	.....	.....	250,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Special School Tax	.....	.....	25,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Bond Interest and Redemption	.....	.....	.....	55,234	.....	91,097	.....
Water Department	.....	.....	145,099	.....	.....	.....	.....
Total	.....	.....	391,391	55,234	.....	91,097	537,722
Capital Funds							
1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund	.....	.....	.....	740,548	.....	.....	.....
1933 Sewer Bond Fund	.....	.....	.....	685,949	.....	.....	.....
Total	.....	.....	.....	1,426,497	.....	.....	1,426,497
Receipts by Transfer							
General Fund							
City Attorney	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
From Public Utilities Commission Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	21,400	.....	21,400

(Estimated Revenues, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Receipts by Transfer—(Continued)							
General Fund—(Continued)							
Purchasing Department							
From California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund . . . . .	(203)				240		
From de Young Memorial Museum Fund . . . . .	(203)				240		
From Recreation Fund . . . . .	(204)				865		
From Library Fund . . . . .	(204)				475		
From War Memorial Fund . . . . .	(204)				400		
From War Memorial Fund . . . . .	(212)				550		
From de Young Memorial Museum Fund . . . . .	(217)				200		
From Employees' Retirement Fund . . . . .	(225)				42		
From Employees' Retirement Fund . . . . .	(318)				40		
From California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund . . . . .	(212)				60		
From de Young Memorial Museum Fund . . . . .	(212)				30		
From Airport Fund . . . . .	(400)				32,950		
From War Memorial Fund . . . . .	(400)				500		
From Municipal Railway . . . . .	(204)				180		
Total . . . . .					36,772		36,772
Department of Electricity							
From County Road Fund . . . . .	(747,903.01)				5,000		5,000

(Estimated Revenues, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

MONDAY, MAY 24, 1937.

787

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Receipts by Transfer—(Continued)							
General Fund—(Continued)							
Controller							
From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund. (101)	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,500	.....	.....
From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund. (248)	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,775	.....	.....
From Water Revenue Fund . . . . . (101)	.....	.....	.....	.....	5,500	.....	.....
From Water Revenue Fund . . . . . (248)	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,800	.....	.....
From Municipal Railway Operating Fund. (101)	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,500	.....	.....
From Municipal Railway Operating Fund. (248)	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,000	.....	.....
From Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor Fund . . . . . (101)	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,160	.....	.....
From Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Fund.. (101)	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,500	.....	.....
From Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Fund.. (248)	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,450	.....	.....
From Employees' Retirement Fund..... (248)	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,500	.....	.....
From Common School Fund . . . . . (248)	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,200	.....	.....
Total . . . . .	.....	.....	.....	.....	23,885	.....	23,885
Lighting of Public Streets and Buildings							
From Park Fund . . . . .	.....	.....	.....	.....	46,500	.....	.....
From Recreation Fund . . . . .	.....	.....	.....	.....	7,000	.....	.....
From Library . . . . .	.....	.....	.....	.....	15,200	.....	.....
From War Memorial—General . . . . .	.....	.....	.....	.....	13,000	.....	.....
From War Memorial—Art Museum.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	8,000	.....	.....
From California Palace of the Legion of Honor.	.....	.....	.....	.....	3,600	.....	.....
From de Young Memorial Museum.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,700	.....	.....
From Airport . . . . .	.....	.....	.....	.....	9,000	.....	.....
From Municipal Railway Operating Fund.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	430,000	.....	.....
From Water Revenue Fund . . . . .	.....	.....	.....	.....	146,200	.....	.....
From Common School Fund . . . . .	.....	.....	.....	.....	59,500	.....	.....
From County Road Fund—Street Repair.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,100	.....	.....

(Estimated Revenues, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



MONDAY, MAY 24, 1937.

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Receipts by Transfer—(Continued)							
General Fund—(Continued)							
Lighting of Public Streets and Buildings—(Cont'd)							
From County Road Fund—Bridges	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,800	.....	.....
From County Road Fund—General	.....	.....	.....	.....	40,000	.....	.....
Total	.....	.....	.....	.....	782,600	.....	782,600
General Fund							
From Water Revenue	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,906,478	.....	1,906,478
de Young Memorial Museum Fund							
From California Palace of the Legion of Honor	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	13,690	13,690
Employees' Retirement Fund							
From Library Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	6,500	.....
From Common School Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	468,500	.....
From Special School Tax Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,250	.....
From Park Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	35,500	.....
From Recreation Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	12,872	.....
From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	5,000	.....
From Municipal Railway Operating Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	100,000	.....
From Airport Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,655	.....
From County Road Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	13,000	.....
From Water Revenue Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	43,000	.....
From Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,542	.....
Total	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	688,819	688,819
Bond Interest and Redemption Fund							
From 1933 Sewer Bond Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	*108,502	108,502
From 1933 High Pressure System Grant	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	*150,500	150,500

(Estimated Revenues, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

\*From Capital Funds.

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Receipts by Transfer—(Continued)							
Bond Interest and Redemption Fund—(Continued)							
From 1934 School House Grant .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	*128,018	128,018
From 1933 Water Distribution Grant .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	*110,374	110,374
From 1933 Water Distribution .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	*740,548	740,548
From County Road Fund .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	200,000	200,000
From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,085,087	2,085,087
From Water Revenue .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,932,025	2,932,025
From Municipal Railway Operating .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	177,500	177,500
Total .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	6,632,554	6,632,554
Public Utilities Commission Fund							
From Municipal Railway Operating .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	11,827	.....
From Municipal Railway Operating (Claims) .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	13,020	.....
From Water Revenue .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	52,522	.....
From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	20,326	.....
From Hetch Hetchy Water Supply .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	5,000	.....
Total .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	102,695	102,695
Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Fund							
From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	82,680	.....
From Water Revenue .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	157,248	.....
Total .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	239,928	239,928
Taxes . . . . .	12,316,983	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
TOTAL REVENUES .....	18,408,148	126,500	19,764,486	1,870,623	2,776,135	7,768,783	70,683,934

\*From Capital Funds.



Issues	ESTIMATED REQUIREMENTS			ESTIMATED REVENUES		
	Interest	Redemption	Total	Estimated Surplus Forward		Grant
				Interest	Redemption	
GENERAL CITY AND COUNTY:						
1927 Boulevards . . . . .	306,023	469,000	775,023	.....	.....	.....
1933 Sewers . . . . .	63,360	54,000	117,360	1,858	7,000	.....
1933 High Pressure . . . . .	50,500	100,000	150,500	.....	.....	150,500
1934 School House . . . . .	59,250	300,000	359,250	.....	.....	128,018
Other . . . . .	1,791,007	2,744,100	4,535,107	.....	.....	.....
	2,270,140	3,667,100	5,937,240	1,858	7,000	278,518
PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES						
1928 Spring Valley . . . . .	1,485,000	1,000,000	2,485,000	.....	.....	.....
1933 Water Distribution . . . . .	371,340	563,000	934,340	61,239	21,000	110,374
1910 Water . . . . .	1,215,000	1,000,000	2,215,000	.....	.....	.....
1925 Water . . . . .	393,750	250,000	643,750	.....	.....	.....
1928 Hetch Hetchy . . . . .	1,080,000	600,000	1,680,000	.....	.....	.....
1932 Hetch Hetchy . . . . .	342,753	.....	342,753	.....	.....	.....
1933 Hetch Hetchy Dam . . . . .	115,500	175,000	290,500	.....	.....	.....
1913 Municipal Railway . . . . .	77,500	100,000	177,500	.....	.....	.....
1933 Airport . . . . .	3,120	52,000	55,120	.....	.....	.....
	5,083,963	3,740,000	8,823,963	61,239	21,000	110,374
	7,354,103	7,407,100	14,761,203	63,097	28,000	388,892
*Premium . . . . .106,582						
Interest . . . . .1,920						
<hr/>						
108,502						
†Premium . . . . .732,463						
Balance of Appropriation for Interest During Construction... 1,179						
Unappropriated Balance of Funds..... 8,085						
<hr/>						
741,727						

\*Premium . . . . .106,582

Interest . . . . . 1,920

108,502

†Premium . . . . .732,463  
 Balance of Appropriation for Interest During Construction... 1,179  
 Unappropriated Balance of Funds..... 8,085

ESTIMATED REVENUES

County Road Fund	From Proceeds of Bond Indicated	Hetch Hetchy Power Revenue	Water Revenue	Railway Revenue	Taxes	Total	Issues
200,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	775,023	GENERAL CITY AND COUNTY
.....	* 108,502	.....	.....	.....	.....	117,360	1927 Boulevards
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	150,500	1933 Sewers
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	5,341,362	359,250	1933 High Pressure
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	4,535,107	1934 School House
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	Other
200,000	108,502	.....	.....	.....	5,341,362	5,937,240	
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES
.....	† 741,727	.....	2,485,000	.....	.....	2,485,000	1928 Spring Valley
.....	.....	950,826	.....	.....	.....	934,340	1933 Water Distribution
.....	.....	1,134,261	400,000	.....	.....	.....	1910 Water
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	
.....	.....	.....	47,025	.....	.....	.....	1925 Water
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,640,956	4,881,503	1928 Hetch Hetchy
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1932 Hetch Hetchy
.....	§ 54,055	.....	.....	.....	.....	290,500	1933 Hetch Hetchy Dam
.....	.....	.....	.....	177,500	.....	177,500	1913 Municipal Railway
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	55,120	1933 Airport
.....	795,782	2,085,087	2,932,025	177,500	2,640,956	8,823,963	
200,000	904,284	2,085,087	2,932,025	177,500	7,982,318	14,761,203	

§ Balance of Appropriation for Interest During Construction.



MONDAY, MAY 24, 1937.

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
701	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS					
701.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	66,720	.....	.....	.....	66,720
701.106.00	Fees and Special Compensations .....	7,000	.....	.....	.....	7,000
701.200.00	Contractual Services .....	40,340	34,340	.....	.....	6,000
701.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	950	950	.....	.....	.....
701.814.00	Membership Dues .....	625	.....	.....	.....	625
701.248.00	Audit of Controller's Books .....	5,000	.....	.....	.....	5,000
		120,635	35,290	.....	.....	85,345
702	MAYOR					
702.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	40,480	.....	.....	.....	40,480
702.200.00	Contractual Services .....	5,570	.....	.....	.....	5,570
702.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	3,400	3,400	.....	.....	.....
		49,450	3,400	.....	.....	46,050
703	ASSESSOR					
703.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	131,710	.....	.....	.....	131,710
703.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	100,000	.....	.....	.....	100,000
703.200.00	Contractual Services .....	6,640	6,640	.....	.....	.....
703.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	15,900	15,900	.....	.....	.....
703.400.00	Equipment .....	3,500	3,500	.....	.....	.....
703.800.00	Fixed Charges .....	82	82	.....	.....	.....
		257,832	26,122	.....	.....	231,710

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
704	CITY ATTORNEY .....					
704.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	68,620			19,600	88,220
704.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	1,800				1,800
704.200.00	Contractual Services .....	8,080	760		1,800	9,120
704.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	1,000	1,000			
		79,500	1,760		21,400	99,140
705	DISTRICT ATTORNEY .....					
705.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	110,780				110,780
705.200.00	Contractual Services .....	465	465			
705.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	850	850			
705.400.00	Equipment .....	750	750			
705.900.00	Detention and Prosecution of Criminals .....	1,500				1,500
705.901.00	District Attorney's Secret Fund .....	2,500				2,500
		116,845	2,065			114,780
706	TREASURER .....					
706.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	46,460				46,460
706.200.00	Contractual Services .....	375	375			
706.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	1,100	1,100			
706.400.00	Equipment .....	375	375			
706.816.00	Premium on Official Bonds .....	7,000	7,000			
		55,310	8,850			46,460

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
707	SHERIFF (Receipts Allocated to Appropriation \$17,000)					
707.101.00	Permanent Salaries	295,604				295,604
707.102.00	Temporary Salaries	6,160				6,160
707.200.01	Contractual Services—Administrative	4,350	1,800			2,550
707.200.02	Contractual Services—County Jail No. 1	361	311			50
707.231.02	Heat, Light and Power—County Jail No. 1	280	280			
707.200.03	Contractual Services—County Jail No. 2	1,200	1,050			150
707.231.03	Heat, Light and Power—County Jail No. 2	12,720	12,720			
707.300.01	Materials and Supplies—Administrative	3,790	3,790			
707.300.02	Materials and Supplies—County Jail No. 1	3,710	2,080			1,630
707.300.03	Materials and Supplies—County Jail No. 2	16,000	8,900			7,100
707.350.02	Foodstuffs—County Jail No. 1	14,500	850			13,650
707.350.03	Foodstuffs—County Jail No. 2	30,500	1,650			28,850
707.400.00	Equipment	2,000	2,000			
707.809.00	Rents, Garage	240				240
707.812.00	Taxes, Sneath Ranch	175				175
707.817.00	Automobile Insurance	250	250			
		391,840	35,681			356,159
708	PUBLIC DEFENDER					
708.101.00	Permanent Salaries	18,980				18,980
708.102.00	Temporary Salaries	75				75
708.200.00	Contractual Services	90	90			
708.300.00	Materials and Supplies	100	100			
708.400.00	Equipment	100	100			
		19,345	290			19,055

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>POLICE DEPARTMENT</b>						
709	Permanent Salaries .....	3,338,420	.....	.....	.....	3,338,420
709.101.00	Temporary Salaries .....	1,762	.....	.....	.....	1,762
709.102.00	Contractual Services .....	47,724	41,536	.....	.....	6,188
709.200.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	12,000	12,000	.....	.....	.....
709.231.00	Materials and Supplies—City Prison .....	1,400	1,128	.....	.....	272
709.300.00	Materials and Supplies—Stations and Bureaus .....	55,300	45,825	.....	.....	9,475
709.300.01	Foodstuffs—City Prison .....	5,500	386	.....	.....	5,114
709.350.00	Equipment .....	45,750	45,750	.....	.....	.....
709.400.00	Services of Other Departments .....	2,280	2,280	.....	.....	.....
709.900.00	Contingent Fund .....	9,000	.....	.....	.....	9,000
709.901.00		3,519,136	148,905	.....	.....	3,370,231
<b>FIRE DEPARTMENT</b>						
710	Permanent Salaries .....	2,903,855	.....	.....	.....	2,903,855
710.101.01	Permanent Salaries—Fireboats .....	145,065	.....	.....	.....	145,065
710.101.02	Temporary Salaries .....	1,325	.....	.....	.....	1,325
710.102.01	Temporary Salaries—Fireboats .....	2,411	.....	.....	.....	2,411
710.102.02	Wages .....	6,503	.....	.....	.....	6,503
710.103.00	Contractual Services .....	141,650	133,775	.....	.....	7,875
710.200.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	17,000	17,000	.....	.....	.....
710.231.00	Materials and Supplies .....	38,500	21,500	.....	.....	17,000
710.300.00	Equipment .....	95,000	95,000	.....	.....	.....
710.400.00	Rent—Engine Co. No. 2 .....	1,200	.....	.....	.....	1,200
710.809.00		3,352,509	267,275	.....	.....	3,085,234

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



MONDAY, MAY 24, 1937.

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
711	BOARD OF PERMIT APPEALS					
711.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	3,000				3,000
711.106.00	Fees and Special Compensations .....	5,000				5,000
711.200.00	Contractual Services .....	50	50			.....
		8,050	50			8,000
716	ART COMMISSION (Receipts Allocated to Appropriation \$15,000)					
716.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	3,900				3,900
716.200.00	Contractual Services .....	100	100			.....
716.200.01	Municipal Band .....	15,000				15,000
716.200.02	*Municipal Symphony Concerts .....	50,000				50,000
716.200.03	Municipal Chorus .....	5,000				5,000
716.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	100	100			.....
		74,100	200			73,900
719.200.00	STEINHART AQUARIUM .....	40,500				40,500
720	MUNICIPAL COURT					
720.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	206,640				206,640
720.105.00	Jury and Witness Fees .....	1,400				1,400
720.106.00	Court Reporters' Fees .....	15,000				15,000
720.200.00	Contractual Services .....	2,970	2,920			50
720.249.00	Reporters' Transcriptions .....	7,000				7,000
720.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	3,450	3,450			.....
		236,460	6,370			230,090

\*That Portion of the General Fund (Art Commission) Appropriation No. 716.200.02 for Symphony Concerts Which Is to Be Met from Taxes, Shall Not Exceed the Amount to Be Provided by Levy of ½ Cent on Each \$100 of the 1937-38 Assessment Roll.

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
721	SUPERIOR COURT					
721.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	138,480	.....	.....	.....	138,480
721.105.00	Jury and Witness Fees .....	25,000	.....	.....	.....	25,000
721.105.01	Grand Jury .....	11,000	.....	.....	.....	11,000
721.106.01	Court Reporters' Fees .....	12,000	.....	.....	.....	12,000
721.106.02	Examination of Insane .....	12,000	.....	.....	.....	12,000
721.200.00	Contractual Services .....	5,000	5,000	.....	.....	.....
721.249.00	Reporters' Transcriptions .....	17,000	.....	.....	.....	17,000
721.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	2,500	2,500	.....	.....	.....
721.400.00	Equipment .....	1,500	1,500	.....	.....	.....
721.900.00	Court Orders .....	4,000	.....	.....	.....	4,000
	Other Appropriations					
721.200.02	Maintenance Criminal Insane and Narcotics .....	17,000	.....	.....	.....	17,000
		245,480	9,000	.....	.....	236,480
722	LAW LIBRARY					
722.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	11,400	.....	.....	.....	11,400
722.200.00	Contractual Services .....	40	40	.....	.....	.....
722.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	350	350	.....	.....	.....
		11,790	390	.....	.....	11,400

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
JUVENILE COURT						
723		81,300	.....	.....	.....	81,300
723.101.00	Permanent Salaries . . . . .	300	.....	.....	.....	300
723.102.00	Temporary Salaries . . . . .	2,440	700	.....	.....	1,740
723.200.00	Contractual Services . . . . .	532,000	.....	.....	.....	532,000
723.206.00	Maintenance of Minors . . . . .	19,500	.....	.....	.....	19,500
723.207.00	Maintenance of Minors in State Schools . . . . .	1,675	1,675	.....	.....	.....
723.300.00	Materials and Supplies . . . . .	740	740	.....	.....	.....
723.400.00	Equipment . . . . .	180	.....	.....	.....	180
723.809.00	Garage Rent . . . . .	638,135	3,115	.....	.....	635,020
JUVENILE DETENTION HOME						
724		24,006	.....	.....	.....	24,006
724.101.00	Permanent Salaries . . . . .	600	.....	.....	.....	600
724.102.00	Temporary Salaries . . . . .	2,218	1,518	.....	.....	700
724.200.00	Contractual Services . . . . .	1,500	1,500	.....	.....	.....
724.231.00	Heat, Light and Power . . . . .	2,000	803	.....	.....	1,197
724.300.00	Materials and Supplies . . . . .	4,500	500	.....	.....	4,000
724.350.00	Foodstuffs . . . . .	2,000	2,000	.....	.....	.....
724.400.00	Equipment . . . . .	192	.....	.....	.....	192
724.108.00	Room Allowance for Employees . . . . .	3,600	3,600	.....	.....	.....
724.900.00	Services of Department of Public Health . . . . .	40,616	9,921	.....	.....	• 30,695

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT</b>						
725		25,680				25,680
725.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	375				300
725.200.00	Contractual Services .....	500	75			
725.328.00	Books, Printing, Stationery .....	200	500			
725.400.00	Equipment .....		200			
		26,755	775			25,980
<b>CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER</b>						
726		19,854				19,854
726.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	2,450				900
726.200.00	Contractual Services .....	800	1,550			
726.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	200	800			
726.400.00	Equipment .....		200			
		23,304	2,550			20,754
<b>DIRECTOR OF FINANCE AND RECORDS</b>						
727		7,860				7,860
727.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	150				
727.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....		150			
		8,010	150			7,860

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>TAX COLLECTOR</b>						
728	Permanent Salaries .....	109,340	.....	.....	.....	109,340
728.101.01	Permanent Salaries—Bureau Delinquent Revenue .....	8,100	.....	.....	.....	8,100
728.101.02	Permanent Salaries—Tax Redemption Bureau .....	4,620	.....	.....	.....	4,620
728.101.03	Temporary Salaries .....	14,650	.....	.....	.....	14,650
728.102.01	Temporary Salaries—Bureau Delinquent Revenue .....	10,000	.....	.....	.....	10,000
728.102.02	Temporary Salaries—Tax Redemption Bureau .....	1,800	.....	.....	.....	1,800
728.102.03	Contractual Services .....	6,270	810	.....	.....	5,460
728.200.00	Materials and Supplies .....	7,200	7,200	.....	.....	.....
728.300.00	Equipment .....	850	850	.....	.....	.....
728.400.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
		162,830	8,860	.....	.....	153,970
<b>REGISTRAR OF VOTERS</b>						
729	Permanent Salaries .....	57,654	.....	.....	.....	57,654
729.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	23,950	.....	.....	.....	23,950
729.102.00	Election Workers .....	31,274	.....	.....	.....	31,274
729.106.00	Contractual Services .....	30,397	1,897	.....	.....	28,500
729.200.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	200	200	.....	.....	.....
729.231.00	Materials and Supplies .....	1,275	950	.....	.....	325
729.300.00	Equipment .....	550	550	.....	.....	.....
729.400.00	Voting Machines Payment .....	50,000	.....	.....	.....	50,000
729.410.00	Warehouse Rental .....	4,800	.....	.....	.....	4,800
729.809.00	Rent of Polling Places .....	7,275	.....	.....	.....	7,275
729.809.01	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
		207,375	3,597	.....	.....	203,778

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
730	RECORDER					
730.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	95,768	.....	.....	.....	95,768
730.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	7,500	.....	.....	.....	7,500
730.200.00	Contractual Services .....	602	330	.....	.....	272
730.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	4,000	4,000	.....	.....	.....
730.400.00	Equipment .....	2,050	2,050	.....	.....	.....
		109,920	6,380	.....	.....	103,540
731	COUNTY CLERK					
731.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	128,160	.....	.....	.....	128,160
731.200.00	Contractual Services .....	435	325	.....	.....	110
731.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	6,500	6,500	.....	.....	.....
731.400.00	Equipment .....	1,700	1,700	.....	.....	.....
		136,795	8,525	.....	.....	128,270
732	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR					
732.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	39,580	.....	.....	.....	39,580
732.200.00	Contractual Services .....	1,280	990	.....	.....	290
732.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	600	600	.....	.....	.....
732.809.00	Rentals .....	290	.....	.....	.....	.....
		41,750	1,590	.....	.....	40,160

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



MONDAY, MAY 24, 1937.

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
733	PURCHASING DEPARTMENT					
733.101.00	Permanent Salaries	123,945				123,945
733.102.00	Temporary Salaries	1,900				1,900
733.103.00	Wages	6,975				6,975
	Contractual Services					
	Auto Hire					
	Assessor			360		360
733.203.03	City Attorney			360		360
733.203.04	Sheriff—Administrative			1,200		1,200
733.203.07-1	Police Department			360		360
733.203.09	California Palace of Legion of Honor				240	240
733.203.17	de Young Memorial Museum				240	240
733.203.18	Tax Collector					
733.203.28	Registrar of Voters			360		360
733.203.29	Purchaser			960		960
733.203.33	Real Estate Department	2,142				2,142
733.203.34	Department of Public Works			480		480
	Bureau of Building Repair					
733.203.38	Bureau of Building Inspection			1,440		1,440
733.203.39	Bureau of Engineering			5,040		5,040
733.203.40	Bureau of Street Cleaning			3,360		3,360
733.203.42	Department of Public Health			1,080		1,080
	Central Office					
	Administration			600		600
733.203.50-1	Meat Inspection			1,800		1,800
733.203.50-4	Communicable Diseases			2,100		2,100
733.203.50-5	Syphilis Unit			300		300
733.203.50-5-1	Food and Milk Inspection			1,200		1,200
733.203.50-11	Industrial Inspection			300		300
733.203.50-15	City Physicians			3,000		3,000
733.203.50-16	Emergency Hospitals			300		300
733.203.54						

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)						
Contractual Services (Continued)						
733.203.56	County Welfare Department .....	..	..	2,500	..	2,500
733.203.58	Horticultural Inspection Department .....	..	..	1,800	..	1,800
733.203.61	City Planning Commission .....	..	..	460	..	460
733.204.07- 2	Scavenger Service .....	..	..	..	..	..
	(\$7,294)	..	..	..	..	..
733.204.09	Sheriff—County Jail No. 1 .....	..	..	231	..	231
733.204.10	Police Department .....	..	..	358	..	358
733.204.13	Fire Department .....	..	..	1,964	..	1,964
733.204.14	Recreation .....	..	..	..	865	865
733.204.15	Library .....	..	..	..	475	475
733.204.24	War Memorial .....	..	..	..	400	400
733.204.29	Juvenile Detention Home .....	..	..	68	..	68
733.204.35	Registrar of Voters .....	..	..	12	..	12
	Auditorium .....	..	..	329	..	329
	Department of Public Works	..	..	..	..	..
733.204.38	Bureau of Building Repair .....	..	..	987	..	987
733.204.49	Department of Electricity .....	..	..	38	..	38
733.204.50- 1	Department of Public Health	..	..	..	..	..
733.204.53	Central Office—Administration .....	..	..	68	..	68
733.204.54	San Francisco Hospital .....	..	..	1,057	..	1,057
733.204.65	Emergency Hospitals .....	..	..	262	..	262
	Municipal Railway .....	..	..	..	180	180
	Shop Expense .....	..	..	..	..	..
	(\$183,600)	..	..	..	..	..
733.209.03	Assessor .....	..	..	150	..	150
733.209.07- 1	Sheriff—Administrative .....	..	..	250	..	250
733.209.07- 3	Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 .....	..	..	750	..	750
733.209.09	Police Department .....	..	..	25,800	..	25,800
733.209.10	Fire Department .....	..	..	130,000	..	130,000
733.209.23	Juvenile Court .....	..	..	400	..	400
733.209.26	Chief Administrative Officer .....	..	..	50	..	50

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
Contractual Services (Continued)						
Shop Expense (Continued)						
733.209.28	Tax Collector .....	..	.....	100	.....	100
733.209.29	Registrar of Voters .....	..	.....	125	.....	125
Department of Public Works						
733.209.36	General Office .....	..	.....	150	.....	150
733.209.37	Bureau of Accounts .....	..	.....	500	.....	500
733.209.38	Bureau of Building Repair .....	..	.....	1,100	.....	1,100
733.209.39	Bureau of Building Inspection .....	..	.....	100	.....	100
733.209.40	Bureau of Engineering .....	..	.....	400	.....	400
733.209.42	Bureau of Street Cleaning .....	..	.....	9,000	.....	9,000
733.209.43	Bureau of Sewer Repair .....	..	.....	4,000	.....	4,000
733.209.49	Department of Electricity .....	..	.....	870	.....	870
Department of Public Health						
Central Office						
733.209.50-1	Administration .....	..	.....	300	.....	300
733.209.50-4	Meat Inspection .....	..	.....	90	.....	90
733.209.50-11	Food and Milk Inspection .....	..	.....	850	.....	850
733.209.50-13	Plumbing Inspection .....	..	.....	1,000	.....	1,000
733.209.50-14	Housing Inspection .....	..	.....	250	.....	250
733.209.50-15	Industrial Inspection .....	..	.....	75	.....	75
733.209.50-17	Field Nursing—Administration .....	..	.....	100	.....	100
733.209.50-18	Field Nursing—Schools .....	..	.....	235	.....	235
733.209.50-19	Field Nursing—Other .....	..	.....	675	.....	675
733.209.51	Laguna Honda Home .....	..	.....	100	.....	100
733.209.53	San Francisco Hospital .....	..	.....	700	.....	700
733.209.54	Emergency Hospitals .....	..	.....	4,500	.....	4,500
733.209.55	Hassler Health Home .....	..	.....	500	.....	500

(Detail of General Fund Appropriation for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
<b>Contractual Services (Continued)</b>						
<b>Shop Expense (Continued)</b>						
733.209.57	Coroner .....	.....	.....	150	.....	150
733.209.59	Department of Weights and Measures .....	.....	.....	250	.....	250
733.209.60	Controller .....	.....	.....	80	.....	80
<b>Maintenance and Repair of Office Equipment.. (\$6,225)</b>						
733.210.03	Assessor .....	.....	.....	300	.....	300
733.210.06	Treasurer .....	.....	.....	200	.....	200
733.210.09	Police Department .....	.....	.....	600	.....	600
733.210.10	Fire Department .....	.....	.....	300	.....	300
733.210.24	Juvenile Detention Home .....	.....	.....	100	.....	100
733.210.28	Tax Collector .....	.....	.....	200	.....	200
733.210.30	Recorder .....	.....	.....	250	.....	250
733.210.31	County Clerk .....	.....	.....	200	.....	200
733.210.32	Public Administrator .....	.....	.....	40	.....	40
733.210.33	Purchaser .....	175	.....	.....	.....	175
733.210.34	Real Estate Department .....	.....	.....	50	.....	50
<b>Department of Public Works</b>						
733.210.36	General Office .....	.....	.....	100	.....	100
733.210.37	Bureau of Accounts .....	.....	.....	75	.....	75
733.210.38	Building Inspection .....	.....	.....	50	.....	50
733.210.40	Bureau of Engineering .....	.....	.....	100	.....	100
733.210.49	Department of Electricity .....	.....	.....	25	.....	25
<b>Department of Public Health</b>						
<b>Central Office</b>						
733.210.50-1	Administration .....	.....	.....	50	.....	50
733.210.50-2	Accounting .....	.....	.....	150	.....	150
733.210.50-3	Statistics .....	.....	.....	50	.....	50

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)						
	Contractual Services (Continued)					
	Maintenance and Repair of Office Equipment (Continued)					
	Department of Public Health (Continued)					
	Central Office (Continued)					
733.210.50-5	Communicable Diseases	70				70
733.210.50-6	G. U. Diagnostic Center (Clinics)	20				20
733.210.50-7	Bacteriological Laboratory	20				20
733.210.50-11	Food and Milk Inspection	30				30
733.210.50-13	Plumbing Inspection	20				20
733.210.50-14	Housing Inspection	20				20
733.210.50-17	Field Nursing—Administration	50				50
733.210.50-18	Field Nursing—Schools	40				40
733.210.50-19	Field Nursing—Other	25				25
733.210.51	Laguna Honda Home	10				10
733.210.53	San Francisco Hospital	300				300
733.210.56	County Welfare Department	200				200
733.210.57	Coroner	50				50
733.210.60	Controller	2,355				2,355
	Towel Service	(\$4,670)				
733.217.09	Police Department			868		868
733.217.10	Fire Department			11		11
733.217.18	de Young Museum				200	200
	Department of Public Works					
733.217.38	Bureau of Building Repair			3,375		3,375
733.217.33-1	Purchaser—Shop No. 1	21				21
733.217.49	Department of Electricity			185		185
733.217.59	Department of Weights and Measures			10		10

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)						
Contractual Services (Continued)						
Telephone and Telegraph ..... (\$21,814)						
733.225.01	Board of Supervisors.....	.	.	700	.....	700
733.225.03	Assessor.....	.	.	500	.....	500
733.225.04	City Attorney.....	.	.	400	.....	400
733.225.05	District Attorney.....	.	.	465	.....	465
733.225.06	Treasurer.....	.	.	125	.....	125
733.225.07-1	Sheriff—Administration.....	.	.	350	.....	350
733.225.07-2	Sheriff—County Jail No. 1.....	.	.	80	.....	80
733.225.07-3	Sheriff—County Jail No. 2.....	.	.	300	.....	300
733.225.08	Public Defender.....	.	.	90	.....	90
733.225.09	Police Department.....	.	.	3,750	.....	3,750
733.225.10	Fire Department.....	.	.	1,300	.....	1,300
733.225.11	Board of Permit Appeals.....	.	.	25	.....	25
733.225.16	Art Commission.....	.	.	100	.....	100
733.225.20	Municipal Court.....	.	.	350	.....	350
733.225.21	Superior Court.....	.	.	1,000	.....	1,000
733.225.22	Law Library.....	.	.	40	.....	40
733.225.23	Juvenile Court.....	.	.	300	.....	300
733.225.25	Adult Probation Department.....	.	.	75	.....	75
733.225.26	Chief Administrative Officer.....	.	.	1,500	.....	1,500
733.225.28	Tax Collector.....	.	.	150	.....	150
733.225.29	Registrar of Voters.....	.	.	180	.....	180
733.225.30	Recorder.....	.	.	80	.....	80
733.225.31	County Clerk.....	.	.	125	.....	125
733.225.32	Public Administrator.....	.	.	200	.....	200
733.225.33	Purchaser.....	220	.....	.....	.....	220
733.225.34	Real Estate Department.....	.	.	330	.....	330
733.225.36	Department of Public Works—General Office.....	.	.	810	.....	810

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)						
Contractual Services (Continued)						
Telephone and Telegraph (Continued)						
733.225.49	Department of Electricity .....	400	.....	.....	.....	400
Department of Public Health						
733.225.50-1	Central Office—Administration .....	1,400	.....	.....	.....	1,400
733.225.51	Laguna Honda Home .....	850	.....	.....	.....	850
733.225.53	San Francisco Hospital .....	2,600	.....	.....	.....	2,600
733.225.54	Emergency Hospitals .....	775	.....	.....	.....	775
733.225.55	Hassler Health Home .....	800	.....	.....	.....	800
733.225.57	Coroner .....	300	.....	.....	.....	300
733.225.58	Horticultural Inspection Department .....	250	.....	.....	.....	250
733.225.59	Department of Weights and Measures .....	40	.....	.....	.....	40
733.225.60	Controller .....	550	.....	.....	.....	550
733.225.61	City Planning Commission .....	50	.....	.....	.....	50
733.225.71	Civil Service Commission .....	212	.....	.....	.....	212
733.225.72	Employees' Retirement System .....	.....	.....	.....	42	42
Other Contractual Services .....						
Board of Supervisors .....						
733.229.01	Publication of Ordinances and Resolutions .....	19,640	.....	.....	.....	19,640
733.230.01	Printing Journals, Calendars, Resolutions, etc. ....	14,000	.....	.....	.....	14,000
Assessor .....						
733.208.03	Rental—Meter and Tabulator .....	5,330	.....	.....	.....	5,330
Treasurer .....						
733.201.06	Carfare .....	50	.....	.....	.....	50
Police Department .....						
733.212.09	Repairs to Police Launch .....	1,500	.....	.....	.....	1,500
733.223.09	Horseshoeing .....	3,500	.....	.....	.....	3,500
733.224.09	Washing and Greasing Autos .....	4,800	.....	.....	.....	4,800

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
<b>Other Contractual Services (Continued)</b>						
<b>Fire Department</b>						
733.229.10	Advertising . . . . .	.	.	200	.	200
<b>War Memorial</b>						
733.212.15	Maintenance Fire Alarm . . . . .	.	.	.	550	550
<b>Board of Permit Appeals</b>						
733.227.16	Postage . . . . .	.	.	25	.	25
<b>California Palace of Legion of Honor</b>						
733.212.17	Maintenance of Burglar Alarm . . . . .	.	.	.	60	60
<b>de Young Museum</b>						
733.212.18	Maintenance of Burglar Alarm . . . . .	.	.	.	30	30
<b>Municipal Court</b>						
733.230.20	Printing Court Calendars . . . . .	.	.	2,570	.	2,570
<b>Superior Court</b>						
733.230.21	Printing Court Calendars . . . . .	.	.	4,000	.	4,000
<b>Juvenile Detention Home</b>						
733.216.24	Elevator Inspection and Repairs . . . . .	.	.	1,350	.	1,350
<b>Registrar of Voters</b>						
733.212.29	Repair Voting Machines . . . . .	.	.	520	.	520
733.233.29	Miscellaneous . . . . .	.	.	100	.	100
<b>Public Administrator</b>						
733.230.32	Annual Report . . . . .	.	.	750	.	750
<b>Purchasing Department</b>						
733.201.33	Carfare . . . . .	20	.	.	.	20
733.202.33	Freight on Tabulating Cards . . . . .	50	.	.	.	50
733.208.33-1	Rental of Tabulating Machines . . . . .	4,554	.	.	.	4,554
733.208.33-2	Rental of Postage Meter . . . . .	120	.	.	.	120
733.226.33	License Tags and Plates . . . . .	5,500	.	.	.	5,500

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
Other Contractual Services (Continued)						
Purchasing Department (Continued)						
733.229.33	Official Advertising .....	8,000	.....	.....	.....	8,000
733.230.33	Printing Public Documents .....	2,500	.....	.....	.....	2,500
733.231.33-1	Gas and Electricity—Shop No. 1 .....	2,500	2,500	.....	.....	.....
733.231.33-2	Gas and Electricity—Shop No. 2 .....	500	500	.....	.....	.....
733.231.33-3	Gas and Electricity—Central Warehouse .....	550	550	.....	.....	.....
733.233.33-1	McGill Commodity Service .....	60	.....	.....	.....	60
733.233.33-2	Removal of Dead Animals .....	6,000	.....	.....	.....	6,000
Real Estate Department						
733.233.34	Directory Service .....	.....	.....	90	.....	90
Department of Public Health—Central Office—Administration						
733.225.50-1	Postage .....	.....	.....	2,500	.....	2,500
Coroner						
733.230.57	Publication of Reports .....	.....	.....	350	.....	350
Controller						
733.208.60	Rental Tabulating Machines .....	.....	.....	525	.....	525
City Planning Commission						
733.229.61	Official Advertising .....	.....	.....	500	.....	500

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
Materials and Supplies:						
Materials and Supplies—Miscellaneous..... (\$4,950)						
Assessor						
733.316.03	Maps .....	..	.....	2,500	.....	2,500
Police Department (Stations and Bureaus)						
733.310.09-1	Supplies—Automobile .....	..	.....	500	.....	500
733.310.09-2	Supplies—Police Launch .....	..	.....	200	.....	200
733.315.09-1	Batteries—Automobile .....	..	.....	1,000	.....	1,000
733.315.09-2	Batteries—Radio .....	..	.....	200	.....	200
733.315.09-3	Heavy Duty Generators—Replacements .....	..	.....	200	.....	200
733.315.09-4	Batteries—Motorcycles .....	..	.....	350	.....	350
Drygoods and Sewing Materials—Clothing & Wearing Apparel .....						
733.314.07-2	Sheriff—Jail No. 1 .....	..	.....	1,200	.....	1,200
733.314.07-3	Sheriff—Jail No. 2 .....	..	.....	5,500	.....	5,500
733.314.09	Police Department—City Prison .....	..	.....	548	.....	548
733.314.24	Juvenile Detention Home .....	..	.....	515	.....	515
733.314.38	D. P. W. Building Repair .....	..	.....	200	.....	200
Department of Public Health						
733.314.51	Laguna Honda Home .....	..	.....	21,000	.....	21,000
733.314.53	San Francisco Hospital .....	..	.....	23,000	.....	23,000
733.314.54	Emergency Hospitals .....	..	.....	1,700	.....	1,700
733.314.55	Hassler Health Home .....	..	.....	1,800	.....	1,800
Gasoline and Oil .....						
733.318.03	Assessor .....	..	.....	400	.....	400
733.318.07-1	Sheriff—Administration .....	..	.....	800	.....	800
733.318.07-3	Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 .....	..	.....	1,200	.....	1,200
733.318.09	Police Department .....	..	.....	26,275	.....	26,275
733.318.10	Fire Department .....	..	.....	13,000	.....	13,000
733.318.23	Juvenile Court .....	..	.....	350	.....	350

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



MONDAY, MAY 24, 1937.

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
Materials and Supplies (Continued)						
Gasoline and Oil (Continued)						
733.318.26	Chief Administrative Officer .....	.	.....	450	.....	450
733.318.28	Tax Collector .....	.	.....	200	.....	200
733.318.29	Registrar of Voters .....	.	.....	75	.....	75
733.318.33-1	Purchasing Department—General Office .....	30	.....	.....	.....	30
733.318.33-2	Purchasing Department—Shop No. 2 .....	300	.....	.....	.....	300
Department of Public Works						
733.318.36	General Office .....	.	.....	144	.....	144
733.318.37	Bureau of Accounts .....	.	.....	500	.....	500
733.318.38	Bureau of Building Repair .....	.	.....	600	.....	600
733.318.39	Bureau of Building Inspection .....	.	.....	100	.....	100
733.318.40	Bureau of Engineering .....	.	.....	600	.....	600
733.318.42	Bureau of Street Cleaning .....	.	.....	9,200	.....	9,200
733.318.43	Bureau of Sewer Repair .....	.	.....	2,500	.....	2,500
733.318.49	Department of Electricity .....	.	.....	2,130	.....	2,130
Department of Public Health						
Central Office						
733.318.50-1	Administration .....	.	.....	750	.....	750
733.318.50-4	Meat Inspection .....	.	.....	110	.....	110
733.318.50-11	Food and Milk Inspection .....	.	.....	505	.....	505
733.318.50-13	Plumbing Inspection .....	.	.....	650	.....	650
733.318.50-14	Housing Inspection .....	.	.....	150	.....	150
733.318.50-15	Industrial Inspection .....	.	.....	110	.....	110
733.318.50-17	Field Nursing—Administration .....	.	.....	100	.....	100
733.318.50-18	Field Nursing—Schools .....	.	.....	80	.....	80
733.318.50-19	Field Nursing—Other .....	.	.....	175	.....	175

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)						
Materials and Supplies (Continued)						
Gasoline and Oil (Continued)						
Department of Public Health (Continued)						
733.318.51	Laguna Honda Home .....	1,500		1,500		1,500
733.318.53	San Francisco Hospital .....	900		900		900
733.318.54	Emergency Hospitals .....	2,500		2,500		2,500
733.318.55	Hassler Health Home .....	650		650		650
733.318.57	Coroner .....	300		300		300
733.318.59	Department of Weights and Measures .....	400		400		400
733.318.60	Controller .....	300		300		300
733.318.72	Employees' Retirement System .....	40			40	40
Books, Office Supplies, Stationery, etc. .... (\$124,130)						
733.328.01	Board of Supervisors .....	800		800		800
733.328.02	Mayor .....	3,000		3,000		3,000
733.328.03	Assessor .....	13,000		13,000		13,000
733.328.04	City Attorney .....	1,000		1,000		1,000
733.328.05	District Attorney .....	750		750		750
733.328.06	Treasurer .....	1,100		1,100		1,100
733.328.07-1	Sheriff—Administration .....	2,865		2,865		2,865

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



MONDAY, MAY 24, 1937.

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
<b>Materials and Supplies (Continued)</b>						
<b>Books, Office Supplies, Stationery, Etc. (Continued)</b>						
733.328.08	Public Defender .....	.....	.....	100	.....	100
733.328.09	Police Department .....	.....	.....	12,000	.....	12,000
733.328.10	Fire Department .....	.....	.....	1,500	.....	1,500
733.328.16	Art Commission .....	.....	.....	100	.....	100
733.328.20	Municipal Court .....	.....	.....	3,450	.....	3,450
733.328.21-1	Superior Court—Law Books .....	.....	.....	1,000	.....	1,000
733.328.21-2	Superior Court—Secretary .....	.....	.....	1,000	.....	1,000
733.328.21-3	Superior Court—Grand Jury .....	.....	.....	500	.....	500
733.328.22	Law Library .....	.....	.....	350	.....	350
733.328.23	Juvenile Court .....	.....	.....	1,150	.....	1,150
733.328.25	Adult Probation Officer .....	.....	.....	500	.....	500
733.328.26	Chief Administrative Officer .....	.....	.....	250	.....	250
733.328.27	Director of Finance and Records .....	.....	.....	150	.....	150
733.328.28	Tax Collector .....	.....	.....	7,000	.....	7,000
733.328.29	Registrar of Voters .....	.....	.....	750	.....	750
733.328.30	Recorder .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
733.328.31	County Clerk .....	.....	.....	4,000	.....	4,000
733.328.32	Public Administrator .....	.....	.....	6,500	.....	6,500
733.328.33	Purchasing Department—General Office .....	8,000	.....	600	.....	8,600
733.328.34	Real Estate Department .....	.....	.....	200	.....	200
733.328.34-1	Real Estate Department—Blueprints, etc. ....	.....	.....	200	.....	200
<b>Department of Public Works</b>						
733.328.36	General Office .....	.....	.....	5,300	.....	5,300
733.328.40	Engineering .....	.....	.....	1,800	.....	1,800
733.328.49	Department of Electricity .....	.....	.....	1,000	.....	1,000

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
Materials and Supplies (Continued)						
Books, Office Supplies, Stationery, etc. (Continued)						
Department of Public Health						
Central Office						
733.328.50-1	Administration . . . . .	1,500				1,500
733.328.50-2	Accounting . . . . .	150				150
733.328.50-3	Statistics . . . . .	775				775
733.328.50-4	Meat Inspection . . . . .	75				75
733.328.50-5	Communicable Diseases . . . . .	650				650
733.328.50-6	G. U. Diagnostic Center (Clinics) . . . . .	125				125
733.328.50-6-1	Bureau of Mental Hygiene . . . . .	100				100
733.328.50-7	Bacteriological Laboratory . . . . .	450				450
733.328.50-9	Dental . . . . .	50				50
733.328.50-11	Food and Milk Inspection . . . . .	660				660
733.328.50-12	Chemical Laboratory . . . . .	100				100
733.328.50-13	Plumbing Inspection . . . . .	480				480
733.328.50-14	Housing Inspection . . . . .	270				270
733.328.50-15	Industrial Inspection . . . . .	60				60
733.328.50-18	Field Nursing—Schools . . . . .	700				700
733.328.50-19	Field Nursing—Other . . . . .	1,030				1,030
733.328.50-21	Tuberculosis Bureau . . . . .	300				300
733.328.51	Laguna Honda Home . . . . .	750				750
733.328.53	San Francisco Hospital . . . . .	7,500				7,500
733.328.54	Emergency Hospitals . . . . .	1,500				1,500
733.328.55	Hassler Health Home . . . . .	250				250

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



MONDAY, MAY 24, 1937.

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
Materials and Supplies (Continued)						
Books, Office Supplies, Stationery, etc. (Continued)						
733.328.56	County Welfare Department	8,500		8,500		8,500
733.328.57	Coroner	650		650		650
733.328.58	Agricultural (Horticultural) Inspection Department	400		400		400
733.328.59	Department of Weights and Measures	190		190		190
733.328.60	Controller	13,000		13,000		13,000
733.328.61	City Planning Commission	500		500		500
733.328.71	Civil Service Commission	3,500		3,500		3,500
Tires and Tubes (\$12,220)						
733.337.01	Board of Supervisors	150		150		150
733.337.02	Mayor	400		400		400
733.337.05	District Attorney	100		100		100
733.337.07-1	Sheriff—Administrative	125		125		125
733.337.07-3	Sheriff—County Jail No. 2	200		200		200
733.337.09	Police Department	4,800		4,800		4,800
733.337.23	Juvenile Court	175		175		175
733.337.26	Chief Administrative Officer	100		100		100
733.337.29	Registrar of Voters	125		125		125
733.337.33-2	Purchasing Department—Shop No. 2	175				175
Department of Public Works						
733.337.36	General Office	60		60		60
733.337.37	Bureau of Accounts	100		100		100
733.337.38	Bureau of Building Repair	150		150		150
733.337.40	Bureau of Engineering	150		150		150
733.337.42	Bureau of Street Cleaning	1,500		1,500		1,500
733.337.43	Bureau of Sewer Repair	500		500		500

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
Materials and Supplies (Continued)						
Tires and Tubes (Continued)						
733.337.49	Department of Electricity .....	400	.....	400	.....	400
Department of Public Health						
Central Office						
733.337.50-1	Administration .....	.....	.....	150	.....	150
733.337.50-4	Meat Inspection .....	.....	.....	25	.....	25
733.337.50-11	Food and Milk Inspection .....	.....	.....	200	.....	200
733.337.50-13	Plumbing Inspection .....	.....	.....	250	.....	250
733.337.50-14	Housing Inspection .....	.....	.....	60	.....	60
733.337.50-15	Industrial Inspection .....	.....	.....	60	.....	60
733.337.50-17	Field Nursing—Administration .....	.....	.....	50	.....	50
733.337.50-18	Field Nursing—Schools .....	.....	.....	65	.....	65
733.337.50-19	Field Nursing—Other .....	.....	.....	125	.....	125
733.337.51	Laguna Honda Home .....	.....	.....	200	.....	200
733.337.53	San Francisco Hospital .....	.....	.....	250	.....	250
733.337.54	Emergency Hospitals .....	.....	.....	1,000	.....	1,000
733.337.55	Hassler Health Home .....	.....	.....	300	.....	300
733.337.57	Coroner .....	.....	.....	75	.....	75
733.337.59	Department of Weights and Measures .....	.....	.....	100	.....	100
733.337.60	Controller .....	.....	.....	100	.....	100
Cleaning, Polishing, Lavatory Supplies..... (\$17,565)						
733.338.07-2	Sheriff—County Jail No. 1 .....	.....	.....	730	.....	730
733.338.07-3	Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 .....	.....	.....	1,250	.....	1,250
733.338.49	Department of Electricity .....	.....	.....	35	.....	35
Department of Public Health						
733.338.51	Laguna Honda Home .....	.....	.....	5,000	.....	5,000
733.338.53	San Francisco Hospital .....	.....	.....	10,000	.....	10,000
733.338.54	Emergency Hospitals .....	.....	.....	150	.....	150
733.338.55	Hassler Health Home .....	.....	.....	400	.....	400

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)						
Materials and Supplies (Continued)						
	Brooms, Brushes, Mops, etc. .... (\$4,310)					
733.339.07-2	Sheriff—County Jail No. 1 .....			150	.....	150
733.339.07-3	Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 .....			350	.....	350
	Department of Public Health					
733.339.51	Laguna Honda Home .....			1,000	.....	1,000
733.339.53	San Francisco Hospital .....			2,500	.....	2,500
733.339.54	Emergency Hospitals .....			60	.....	60
733.339.55	Hassler Health Home .....			250	.....	250
Miscellaneous Janitorial Supplies .....						
	( \$22,063 )					
733.340.07-3	Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 .....			400	.....	400
733.340.09-1	Police Department—Stations and Bureaus .....			300	.....	300
733.340.09	Police Department—City Prison .....			580	.....	580
733.340.10	Fire Department .....			7,000	.....	7,000
733.340.24	Juvenile Detention Home .....			288	.....	288
733.340.33-3	Purchasing Department—Central Warehouse .....	50		.....	.....	50
733.340.38	Department of Public Works—Building Repair .....			5,500	.....	5,500
733.340.49	Department of Electricity .....			45	.....	45
	Department of Public Health					
733.340.51	Laguna Honda Home .....			2,500	.....	2,500
733.340.53	San Francisco Hospital .....			5,000	.....	5,000
733.340.54	Emergency Hospitals .....			200	.....	200
733.340.55	Hassler Health Home .....			200	.....	200

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

MONDAY, MAY 24, 1937.

819

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)						
Materials and Supplies (Continued)						
733.351.07-2	Canned Goods and Dried Fruits..... (\$44,186)					
733.351.07-3	Sheriff—County Jail No. 1.....			850		850
733.351.09	Sheriff—County Jail No. 2.....			1,650		1,650
733.351.24	Police Department—City Prison.....			386		386
	Juvenile Detention Home.....			500		500
	Department of Public Health					
733.351.51	Laguna Honda Home.....			17,000		17,000
733.351.53	San Francisco Hospital.....			22,000		22,000
733.351.54	Emergency Hospitals .....			50		50
733.351.55	Hassler Health Home.....			1,750		1,750
Equipment . . . . . (\$320,942)						
733.400.03	Assessor . . . . .			3,500		3,500
733.400.05	District Attorney . . . . .			750		750
733.400.06	Treasurer . . . . .			375		375
733.400.07	Sheriff . . . . .			2,000		2,000
733.400.08	Public Defender . . . . .			100		100
733.400.09	Police Department . . . . .			45,750		45,750
733.400.10	Fire Department . . . . .			95,000		95,000
733.400.15	War Memorial . . . . .				500	500
733.400.21	Superior Court . . . . .			1,500		1,500
733.400.23	Juvenile Court . . . . .			740		740
733.400.24	Juvenile Detention Home . . . . .			2,000		2,000
733.400.25	Adult Probation Officer . . . . .			200		200
733.400.26	Chief Administrative Officer . . . . .			200		200
733.400.28	Tax Collector . . . . .			850		850
733.400.29	Registrar of Voters . . . . .			550		550
733.400.30	Recorder . . . . .			2,050		2,050

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)						
Equipment (Continued)						
733.400.31	County Clerk .....	.	.....	.....	.....	1,700
733.400.33	Purchaser .....	17,500	.....	.....	.....	17,500
733.400.33-2	Purchaser—Shop No. 2 .....	3,500	.....	.....	.....	3,500
733.400.33-3	Purchaser—Central Warehouse .....	2,000	.....	.....	.....	2,000
733.400.34	Real Estate Department .....	.	.....	.....	.....	500
Department of Public Works						
733.400.36	General Office .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	60
733.400.37	Bureau of Accounts .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	700
733.400.38	Bureau of Building Repair .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	900
733.400.39	Bureau of Building Inspection .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,100
733.400.40	Bureau of Engineering .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,500
733.400.42	Bureau of Street Cleaning .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	20,500
733.400.43	Bureau of Sewer Repair .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	12,000
733.400.44	Sewage Pumping Stations .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,500
733.400.49	Department of Electricity .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,150
Department of Public Health						
Central Office						
733.400.50-1	Administration .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	219
733.400.50-3	Statistics .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	100
733.400.50-4	Meat Inspection .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	700
733.400.50-5	Communicable Diseases .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	926
733.400.50-6-1	Bureau of Mental Hygiene .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	200
733.400.50-7	Bacteriological Laboratory .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	415
733.400.50-11	Food and Milk Inspection .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	6,015
733.400.50-13	Plumbing Inspection .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,205
733.400.50-14	Housing Inspection .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,195
733.400.50-15	Industrial Inspection .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	500
733.400.50-18	Field Nursing—Schools .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,290
733.400.50-19	Field Nursing—Other .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	240
733.400.50-21	Tuberculosis Bureau .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	4,140

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
<b>Equipment (Continued)</b>						
<b>Department of Public Health (Continued)</b>						
733.400.51	Laguna Honda Home .....	.	.....	6,000	.....	6,000
733.400.53	San Francisco Hospital .....	.	.....	20,000	.....	20,000
733.400.54	Emergency Hospitals .....	.	.....	7,500	.....	7,500
733.400.55	Hassler Health Home .....	.	.....	2,700	.....	2,700
733.400.56	County Welfare Department .....	.	.....	2,517	.....	2,517
733.400.57	Coroner .....	.	.....	2,143	.....	2,143
733.400.59	Department of Weights and Measures .....	.	.....	700	.....	700
733.400.60	Controller .....	.	.....	2,112	.....	2,112
733.400.64	Airport .....	.	.....	.....	32,950	32,950
733.400.71	Civil Service Commission .....	.	.....	3,500	.....	3,500
733.900.00	Services of Other Departments .....	600	.....	.....	.....	600
<b>Insurance, and Premium on Official Bonds</b>						
733.816.06	Premium on Official Bonds—Treasurer .....	.	.....	7,000	.....	7,000
733.816.33	Premium on Official Bonds—Miscellaneous Departments .....	10,575	.....	.....	.....	10,575
733.817.03	Auto Insurance—Assessor .....	.	.....	82	.....	82
733.817.07-3	Auto Insurance—Sheriff County Jail No. 2 .....	.	.....	250	.....	250
		208,462	3,550	928,875	36,772	1,170,559

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
734	REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT					
	*(Receipts Allocated to Appropriation, \$1,300)					
734.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	12,600	.....	.....	.....	12,600
734.200.00	Contractual Services .....	620	470	.....	.....	150
734.200.01	*Collection of Rents .....	1,800	.....	.....	.....	1,800
734.203.00	Auto Hire .....	480	480	.....	.....	.....
734.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	400	400	.....	.....	.....
734.400.00	Equipment .....	500	500	.....	.....	.....
734.900.00	Other Services .....	1,000	.....	.....	.....	1,000
		17,400	1,850	.....	.....	15,550
734.809.00	Rental, 550 Montgomery Street .....	10,560	.....	.....	.....	10,560
		27,960	1,850	.....	.....	26,110
735	REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT--AUDITORIUM					
	(Receipts Allocated to Appropriation, \$45,000)					
735.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	27,045	.....	1,500	.....	28,545
735.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	8,000	.....	.....	.....	8,000
735.200.00	Contractual Services .....	3,541	329	.....	.....	3,212
735.231.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	7,000	7,000	.....	.....	.....
735.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	4,000	.....	.....	.....	4,000
735.900.00	Services of Other Departments .....	605	.....	.....	.....	605
		50,191	7,329	1,500	.....	44,362

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS</b>						
736	General					
736.101.01	Permanent Salaries—Director .....	8,000				8,000
736.101.02	Permanent Salaries—General Office .....	26,685				26,685
736.101.03	Permanent Salaries—Telephone Exchange .....	11,160				11,160
736.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	450				450
736.200.00	Contractual Services .....	1,060	1,060			
736.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	5,504	5,504			
736.400.00	Equipment .....	60	60			
		52,919	6,624			46,295
737	Bureau of Accounts					
737.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	26,160				26,160
737.200.00	Contractual Services .....	575	575			
737.231.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	550	550			
737.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	600	600			
737.400.00	Equipment .....	700	700			
		28,585	2,425			26,160
738	Bureau of Building Repair					
738.101.01	Permanent Salaries—Superintendence .....	19,912				19,912
738.101.02	Permanent Salaries—Maintenance .....	212,508				212,508
738.102.00	Temporary Salaries—Maintenance .....	1,323				1,323
738.103.00	Wages .....	87,921				87,921
738.200.00	Contractual Services .....	7,762	6,902			860
738.231.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	240	240			
738.203.00	Truck Hire .....	1,500				1,500
738.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	10,950	950			10,000
738.319.00	Engine Room Supplies .....	17,000				17,000
738.340.00	Janitorial Supplies .....	5,500	5,500			
738.400.00	Equipment .....	900	900			

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS (Continued)						
Bureau of Building Repair (Continued)						
738.900.00	Services of Other Departments .....	100	.....	.....	.....	100
738.213.00	Repairs to Public Buildings .....	50,000	.....	.....	.....	50,000
		415,616	14,492	.....	.....	401,124
Bureau of Building Inspection						
739						
739.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	55,200	.....	.....	.....	55,200
739.200.00	Contractual Services .....	5,190	5,190	.....	.....	.....
739.233.00	Earthquake Survey .....	5,000	.....	.....	.....	5,000
739.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	100	100	.....	.....	.....
739.400.00	Equipment .....	1,100	1,100	.....	.....	.....
		66,590	6,390	.....	.....	60,200
Bureau of Engineering						
740						
740.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	198,120	.....	.....	.....	198,120
740.200.00	Contractual Services .....	6,800	500	.....	.....	6,300
740.231.00	Heat, Light, and Power .....	65	65	.....	.....	.....
740.203.00	Auto Hire .....	3,360	3,360	.....	.....	.....
740.214.00	Extension and Reconstruction of Main Sewers .....	10,000	.....	.....	.....	10,000
740.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	3,550	2,550	.....	.....	1,000
740.400.00	Equipment .....	1,500	1,500	.....	.....	.....
740.900.00	Special Inspection .....	13,000	.....	.....	.....	13,000
	(Receipts allocated to appropriation \$13,000)	236,395	7,975	.....	.....	228,420

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS (Continued)						
741	Central Permit Bureau					
741.101.00	Permanent Salaries	17,700				17,700
741.200.00	Contractual Services	100				100
		17,800				17,800
742.	Bureau of Street Cleaning					
742.101.00	Permanent Salaries	28,860				28,860
742.103.00	Wages	472,862				472,862
742.200.00	Contractual Services	10,580	10,080			500
742.231.00	Heat, Light and Power	100	100			
742.203.00	Truck and Team Hire	9,821				9,821
742.300.00	Materials and Supplies	12,300	10,700			1,600
742.400.00	Equipment	22,500	20,500			2,000
742.809.00	Rental—Jones and Jefferson	1,800				1,800
742.900.00	Services of Other Departments	1,000				1,000
		559,823	41,380			518,443
-743	Bureau of Sewer Repair					
743.101.00	Permanent Salaries	13,500				13,500
743.103.00	Wages	179,276				179,276
743.200.00	Contractual Services	4,000	4,000			
743.203.00	Team and Truck Hire	44,296				44,296
743.300.00	Materials and Supplies	18,000	3,000			15,000
743.400.00	Equipment	12,000	12,000			
743.900.00	Services of Other Departments	7,100				7,100
743.901.00	Tearing up Streets—Side Sewers	278,172	19,000			259,172
	(Receipts allocated to Appropriation \$35,000)	35,000				35,000
		313,172	19,000			294,172

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



MONDAY, MAY 24, 1937.

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS (Continued)</b>						
744	Sewage Pumping Station					
744.101.00	Permanent Salaries	4,380				4,380
744.102.00	Temporary Salaries	72				72
744.200.00	Contractual Services	3,500				3,500
744.231.00	Heat, Light and Power	9,350	9,350			
744.300.00	Materials and Supplies	1,000				1,000
744.400.00	Equipment	1,500	1,500			
744.503.00	New Construction	3,600				3,600
		23,402	10,850			12,552
<b>DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY</b>						
749	Permanent Salaries	169,150			5,000	174,150
749.102.00	Temporary Salaries	750				750
749.103.00	Wages	17,357				17,357
749.200.00	Contractual Services	2,188	1,518			670
749.231.00	Heat, Light and Power	1,645	1,645			
749.300.00	Materials and Supplies	12,900	3,610			9,290
749.400.00	Equipment	2,450	2,150			300
		206,440	8,923		5,000	202,517
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH</b>						
750	Central Office					
	Administration					
750.101.01	Permanent Salaries	31,582				31,582
750.102.01	Temporary Salaries	65				65
750.200.01	Contractual Services	6,000	4,918			1,082
750.231.01	Heat, Light and Power	4,100	4,100			
750.300.01	Materials and Supplies	3,475	2,400			1,075

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued)</b>						
<b>Central Office (Continued)</b>						
<b>Administration (Continued)</b>						
750.400.01	Equipment.....	219	219	.....	.....	.....
750.900.01	Services of Other Departments .....	2,500	1,500	.....	.....	1,000
		47,941	13,137	.....	.....	34,804
<b>Other Appropriations</b>						
750.252.01	Feeble-Minded .....	155,000	.....	.....	.....	155,000
750.811.01	Burial of Indigent Dead .....	12,600	.....	.....	.....	12,600
		215,541	13,137	.....	.....	202,404
<b>Accounting</b>						
750.101.02	Permanent Salaries .....	17,580	.....	.....	.....	17,580
750.200.02	Contractual Services .....	425	150	.....	.....	275
750.300.02	Materials and Supplies .....	180	150	.....	.....	30
		18,185	300	.....	.....	17,885
<b>Statistics</b>						
750.101.03	Permanent Salaries .....	15,120	.....	.....	.....	15,120
750.200.03	Contractual Services .....	1,575	50	.....	.....	1,525
750.300.03	Materials and Supplies .....	800	775	.....	.....	25
750.400.03	Equipment .....	100	100	.....	.....	.....
		17,595	925	.....	.....	16,670
<b>Meat Inspection</b>						
750.101.04	Permanent Salaries .....	72,636	.....	.....	.....	72,636
750.200.04	Contractual Services .....	1,915	1,890	.....	.....	25
750.300.04	Materials and Supplies .....	260	210	.....	.....	50
750.400.04	Equipment .....	700	700	.....	.....	.....
		75,511	2,800	.....	.....	72,711

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued)						
Central Office (Continued)						
Communicable Diseases						
750.101.05	Permanent Salaries .....	26,880	.....	.....	.....	26,880
750.200.05	Contractual Services .....	2,220	2,170	.....	.....	50
750.300.05	Materials and Supplies .....	1,620	650	.....	.....	970
750.400.05	Equipment .....	926	926	.....	.....	.....
		31,646	3,746	.....	.....	27,900
Syphilis Unit						
750.101.05-1	Permanent Salaries .....	4,920	.....	.....	.....	4,920
750.200.05-1	Contractual Services .....	350	300	.....	.....	50
750.300.05-1	Materials and Supplies .....	50	.....	.....	.....	50
		5,320	300	.....	.....	5,020
G. U. Diagnostic Center (Formerly Clinics)						
750.101.06	Permanent Salaries .....	6,780	.....	.....	.....	6,780
750.200.06	Contractual Services .....	95	20	.....	.....	75
750.300.06	Materials and Supplies .....	375	125	.....	.....	250
		7,250	145	.....	.....	7,105
Bureau of Mental Hygiene						
750.101.06-1	Permanent Salaries .....	15,300	.....	.....	.....	15,300
750.200.06-1	Contractual Services .....	50	.....	.....	.....	50
750.300.06-1	Materials and Supplies .....	150	100	.....	.....	50
750.400.06-1	Equipment .....	200	200	.....	.....	.....
		15,700	300	.....	.....	15,400

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued)</b>						
<b>Central Office (Continued)</b>						
<b>Bacteriological Laboratory</b>						
750.101.07	Permanent Salaries .....	23,334	.....	.....	.....	23,334
750.200.07	Contractual Services .....	50	20	.....	.....	30
750.300.07	Materials and Supplies .....	2,450	450	.....	.....	2,000
750.400.07	Equipment .....	415	415	.....	.....	.....
		26,249	885	.....	.....	25,364
<b>School Inspection—Medical</b>						
750.101.08	Permanent Salaries .....	33,700	.....	.....	.....	33,700
750.200.08	Contractual Services .....	120	.....	.....	.....	120
750.300.08	Materials and Supplies .....	1,645	.....	.....	.....	1,645
		35,465	.....	.....	.....	35,465
<b>Dental Bureau</b>						
750.101.09	Permanent Salaries .....	27,600	.....	.....	.....	27,600
750.200.09	Contractual Services .....	100	.....	.....	.....	100
750.300.09	Materials and Supplies .....	1,075	50	.....	.....	1,025
		28,775	50	.....	.....	28,725
<b>Child Welfare—Medical</b>						
750.101.10	Permanent Salaries .....	10,460	.....	.....	.....	10,460
		10,460	.....	.....	.....	10,460
<b>Food and Milk Inspection</b>						
750.101.11	Permanent Salaries .....	76,080	.....	.....	.....	76,080
750.200.11	Contractual Services .....	10,775	2,080	.....	.....	8,695
750.300.11	Materials and Supplies .....	4,115	1,365	.....	.....	2,750
750.400.11	Equipment .....	6,015	6,015	.....	.....	.....
		96,985	9,460	.....	.....	87,525

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued)						
Central Office (Continued)						
Chemical Laboratory						
750.101.12	Permanent Salaries .....	9,300				9,300
750.200.12	Contractual Services .....	35				35
750.300.12	Materials and Supplies .....	600	100			500
		9,935	100			9,835
Plumbing Inspection						
750.101.13	Permanent Salaries .....	29,340				29,340
750.200.13	Contractual Services .....	1,075	1,020			55
750.300.13	Materials and Supplies .....	1,430	1,380			50
750.400.13	Equipment .....	2,205	2,205			
		34,050	4,605			29,445
Housing Inspection						
750.101.14	Permanent Salaries .....	26,400				26,400
750.200.14	Contractual Services .....	770	270			500
750.300.14	Materials and Supplies .....	505	480			25
750.400.14	Equipment .....	2,195	2,195			
		29,870	2,945			26,925
Industrial Inspection						
750.101.15	Permanent Salaries .....	11,700				11,700
750.200.15	Contractual Services .....	2,345	375			1,970
750.300.15	Materials and Supplies .....	255	230			25
750.400.15	Equipment .....	500	500			
		14,800	1,105			13,695

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued)</b>						
<b>Central Office (Continued)</b>						
<b>City Physicians</b>						
750.101.16	Permanent Salaries .....	27,000	.....	3,600	.....	30,600
750.200.16	Contractual Services .....	3,000	3,000	.....	.....	.....
		30,000	3,000	3,600	.....	30,600
<b>Field Nursing—Administration</b>						
750.101.17	Permanent Salaries .....	33,300	.....	.....	.....	33,300
750.200.17	Contractual Services .....	150	150	.....	.....	.....
750.300.17	Materials and Supplies .....	150	150	.....	.....	.....
		33,600	300	.....	.....	33,300
<b>Field Nursing—Schools</b>						
750.101.18	Permanent Salaries .....	82,200	.....	.....	.....	82,200
750.200.18	Contractual Services .....	1,275	275	.....	.....	1,000
750.300.18	Materials and Supplies .....	2,000	845	.....	.....	1,155
750.350.18	Foodstuffs .....	10,000	.....	.....	.....	10,000
750.400.18	Equipment .....	1,290	1,290	.....	.....	.....
		96,765	2,410	.....	.....	94,355
<b>Field Nursing—Other</b>						
750.101.19	Permanent Salaries .....	41,160	.....	.....	.....	41,160
750.200.19	Contractual Services .....	2,300	700	.....	.....	1,600
750.231.19	Heat, Light and Power .....	200	200	.....	.....	.....
750.300.19	Materials and Supplies .....	3,330	1,330	.....	.....	2,000
750.800.19	Rentals .....	1,590	.....	.....	.....	1,590
750.400.19	Equipment .....	240	240	.....	.....	.....
		48,820	2,470	.....	.....	46,350

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued)						
Central Office (Continued)						
Tuberculosis Bureau						
750.101.21	Permanent Salaries .....	28,200	.....	.....	.....	28,200
750.200.21	Contractual Services .....	600	.....	.....	.....	600
750.300.21	Materials and Supplies .....	600	300	.....	.....	300
750.400.21	Equipment .....	4,140	4,140	.....	.....	.....
		33,540	4,440	.....	.....	29,100
Laguna Honda Home						
751	Permanent Salaries .....	138,252	.....	.....	.....	138,252
751.101.00	Inmate Help .....	27,900	.....	.....	.....	27,900
751.101.01	Institutional Help .....	67,484	.....	.....	.....	67,484
751.101.02	Reserve for Institutional Help .....	9,160	.....	.....	.....	9,160
751.101.03	Temporary Salaries .....	2,400	.....	.....	.....	2,400
751.102.00	Contractual Services .....	5,000	960	.....	.....	4,040
751.200.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	25,000	25,000	.....	.....	.....
751.231.00	Materials and Supplies .....	72,000	31,950	.....	.....	40,050
751.300.00	Foodstuffs .....	250,000	17,000	.....	.....	233,000
751.350.00	Equipment .....	6,000	6,000	.....	.....	.....
751.400.00	Employees' Room Allowance .....	10,500	.....	.....	.....	10,500
751.108.00	Services of Other Departments .....	3,025	.....	.....	.....	3,025
751.900.00		616,721	80,910	.....	.....	535,811
Isolation Hospital						
752	Permanent Salaries .....	25,470	.....	.....	.....	25,470
752.101.00	Permanent Salaries—Institutional Help .....	13,800	.....	.....	.....	13,800
752.101.01	Reserve for Institutional Help .....	1,875	.....	.....	.....	1,875
752.101.02	Temporary Salaries .....	143	.....	.....	.....	143
752.102.00		41,288	.....	.....	.....	41,288

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued)</b>						
<b>San Francisco Hospital</b>						
753	Permanent Salaries .....	288,722	.....	.....	.....	288,722
753.101.00	Internes and Student Nurses .....	18,040	.....	.....	.....	18,040
753.101.01	Nursing .....	262,420	.....	.....	.....	262,420
753.101.02	Institutional Help .....	273,143	.....	.....	.....	273,143
753.101.03	Reserve for Institutional Help .....	37,090	.....	.....	.....	37,090
753.101.03-1	Psychiatric Ward Permanent Salaries .....	9,480	.....	.....	.....	9,480
753.101.04	Social Service Permanent Salaries .....	9,420	.....	.....	.....	9,420
753.101.05	Outpatient Maternity Permanent Salaries .....	10,080	.....	.....	.....	10,080
753.101.06	Temporary Salaries .....	8,113	.....	.....	.....	8,113
753.102.00	Contractual Services .....	15,912	4,657	.....	.....	11,255
753.200.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	32,000	32,000	.....	.....	.....
753.231.00	Materials and Supplies .....	236,350	49,150	.....	.....	187,200
753.300.00	Foodstuffs .....	300,000	22,000	.....	.....	278,000
753.350.00	Equipment .....	28,200	20,000	.....	.....	8,200
753.400.00	Room Allowance for Employees .....	62,000	.....	.....	.....	62,000
753.108.00	Services of Other Departments .....	10,000	.....	.....	.....	10,000
753.900.00		1,600,970	127,807	.....	.....	1,473,163
<b>Emergency Hospitals</b>						
754	Permanent Salaries .....	147,300	.....	.....	.....	147,300
754.101.00	Nursing .....	35,460	.....	.....	.....	35,460
754.101.01	Temporary Salaries .....	11,960	.....	.....	.....	11,960
754.102.00	Contractual Services .....	10,207	5,837	.....	.....	4,370
754.200.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	1,800	1,800	.....	.....	.....
754.231.00	Materials and Supplies .....	15,665	7,110	.....	.....	8,555
754.300.00	Foodstuffs .....	3,575	50	.....	.....	3,525
754.350.00	Equipment .....	7,500	7,500	.....	.....	.....
754.400.00	Services of Other Departments .....	1,200	.....	.....	.....	1,200
754.900.00		234,667	22,297	.....	.....	212,370

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued)						
755	Hassler Health Home	33,156				33,156
755.101.00	Permanent Salaries	13,800				13,800
755.101.01	Institutional Help	1,875				1,875
755.101.02	Reserve for Institutional Help	935				935
755.102.00	Temporary Salaries	4,000	1,300			2,700
755.200.00	Contractual Services	4,000	4,000			
755.231.00	Heat, Light and Power	12,500	3,850			8,650
755.300.00	Materials and Supplies	26,950	1,750			25,200
755.350.00	Foodstuffs	2,700	2,700			
755.400.00	Equipment	425				425
755.812.00	Taxes	2,000				2,000
755.108.00	Employees' Room Allowance	102,341	13,600			88,741
PUBLIC WELFARE DEPARTMENT						
756	Permanent Salaries	112,440				112,440
756.101.00	Permanent Salaries	14,400				14,400
756.102.00	Temporary Salaries	3,570	2,700			870
756.200.00	Contractual Services	100				100
756.200.01	Clothing Blind and Deaf in Schools	8,500	8,500			
756.300.00	Materials and Supplies	2,517	2,517			
756.400.00	Equipment	259,354				259,354
756.804.01	Widows' Pensions	2,940,144				2,940,144
756.804.02	Maintenance of Aged	171,673				171,673
756.804.03	Blind Pensions	3,512,698	13,717			3,498,981

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
757	CORONER					
757.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	52,340	.....	.....	.....	52,340
757.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	1,277	.....	.....	.....	1,277
757.200.00	Contractual Services .....	1,502	850	.....	.....	652
757.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	2,185	1,025	.....	.....	1,160
757.400.00	Equipment .....	2,143	2,143	.....	.....	.....
757.811.00	Burial of Honorably Discharged Soldiers, etc. ....	500	.....	.....	.....	500
		59,947	4,018	.....	.....	55,929
758	AGRICULTURAL (HORTICULTURAL) INSPECTION DEPT.					
758.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	18,000	.....	.....	.....	18,000
758.200.00	Contractual Services .....	2,250	2,050	.....	.....	200
758.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	400	400	.....	.....	.....
758.809.00	Rents, Office .....	960	.....	.....	.....	960
		21,610	2,450	.....	.....	19,160
759	DEPARTMENT OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES					
759.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	19,800	.....	.....	.....	19,800
759.200.00	Contractual Services .....	350	300	.....	.....	50
759.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	715	690	.....	.....	25
759.400.00	Equipment .....	700	700	.....	.....	.....
759.809.00	Garage Rental .....	300	.....	.....	.....	300
		21,865	1,690	.....	.....	20,175

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
CONTROLLER						
760	Permanent Salaries .....	196,954	.....	.....	13,160	210,114
760.101.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	7,385
760.102.00	.....	7,385	.....	.....	.....	1,058
760.200.00	Contractual Services .....	4,568	3,510	.....	.....	200
760.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	13,600	13,400	.....	.....	.....
760.400.00	Equipment .....	2,112	2,112	.....	.....	.....
760.900.00	Services of Other Departments .....	480	.....	.....	.....	480
760.248.09	Police Department Accounting .....	.....	.....	2,280	.....	2,280
760.248.65	Audit of Municipal Railway .....	.....	.....	.....	2,000	2,000
760.248.66	Audit of Water Department .....	.....	.....	.....	1,800	1,800
760.248.67	Audit of Hetch Hetchy Power .....	.....	.....	.....	1,775	1,775
760.248.68	Audit of Hetch Hetchy Water Supply .....	.....	.....	.....	1,450	1,450
760.248.69	Audit of San Francisco Public Schools .....	.....	.....	.....	1,200	1,200
760.248.72	Audit of Retirement System .....	.....	.....	.....	2,500	2,500
Other Appropriations		225,099	19,022	2,280	23,885	232,242
760.233.00	Pre-Legislative and Legislative Expense .....	2,000	.....	.....	.....	2,000
760.705.01	Judgments and Claims .....	35,000	.....	.....	.....	35,000
760.705.02	Auto Liability Claims .....	5,000	.....	.....	.....	5,000
CITY PLANNING COMMISSION		267,099	19,022	2,280	23,885	274,242
761	Permanent Salaries .....	9,500	.....	.....	.....	9,500
761.101.00	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	300
761.102.00	.....	300	.....	.....	.....	5,000
761.106.00	Commissioners' Fees .....	5,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
761.200.00	Contractual Services .....	550	550	.....	.....	.....
761.203.00	Auto Hire .....	360	360	.....	.....	.....
761.203.00	Commission Auto Hire .....	100	100	.....	.....	.....
761.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	500	500	.....	.....	.....
		16,310	1,510	.....	.....	14,800

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
763	LIGHTING OF PUBLIC STREETS AND BUILDINGS					
763.214.63	Maintenance and Repair of Structures.....	3,000	.....	.....	.....	3,000
763.231.63-1	Lighting of Public Streets .....	695,000	.....	.....	40,000	735,000
	Electricity and Gas					
763.231.07	Sheriff .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	13,000
763.231.09	Police Department .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	12,000
763.231.10	Fire Department .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	17,000
763.231.12	Park .....	.....	.....	.....	46,500	46,500
763.231.13	Recreation .....	.....	.....	.....	7,000	7,000
763.231.14	Library .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	15,200
763.231.15	War Memorial—General .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	13,000
763.231.15-1	War Memorial—Art Museum.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	8,000
763.231.17	California Palace of Legion of Honor.....	.....	.....	.....	3,600	3,600
763.231.18	de Young Museum.....	.....	.....	.....	1,700	1,700
763.231.24	Juvenile Detention Home.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,500
763.231.29	Registrar of Voters.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	200
763.231.33	Purchasing Department .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	3,550
763.231.35	Real Estate Department—(Auditorium).....	.....	.....	.....	.....	7,000
	Department of Public Works					
763.231.37	Bureau of Accounts.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	550
763.231.38	Bureau of Building Repair.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	240
763.231.40	Bureau of Engineering.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	65
763.231.42	Bureau of Street Cleaning.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	100
763.231.44	Sewage Pumping .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	9,350
763.231.45	Asphalt Plant (Street Repair).....	.....	.....	.....	1,100	1,100
763.231.46	Drawbridges (Bridges) .....	.....	.....	.....	1,800	1,800
763.231.49	Department of Electricity.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,645
	Department of Public Health					
	Central Office					
763.231.50-1	Administration .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	4,100
763.231.50-19	Field Nursing—Other .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	200

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
LIGHTING OF PUBLIC STREETS AND BUILDINGS (Continued)						
Electricity and Gas (Continued)						
763.231.51	Laguna Honda Home .....			25,000		25,000
763.231.53	San Francisco Hospital .....			32,000		32,000
763.231.54	Emergency Hospitals .....			1,800		1,800
763.231.55	Hassler Health Home .....			4,000		4,000
763.231.63	Public Building Lighting .....	27,200				27,200
763.231.64	Airport .....				9,000	9,000
763.231.65	Municipal Railway .....				430,000	430,000
763.231.66	Water Department .....				146,200	146,200
763.231.69	Schools .....				59,500	59,500
763.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	100				100
763.400.00	Equipment .....	240				240
763.509.01	Alterations to Secure Lower Rates .....	3,000				3,000
763.900.00	Services of Other Departments .....	22,400				22,400
		750,940		133,300	782,600	1,666,840
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION						
771						
771.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	57,845				57,845
770.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	10,000				10,000
771.200.00	Contractual Services .....	1,136	212			924
771.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	3,500	3,500			
771.400.00	Equipment .....	3,500	3,500			
771.213.00	Remodeling Office .....	3,000				3,000
		78,981	7,212			71,769
PUBLIC POUND						
773.200.00		18,000				18,000
Total						
Transfer from Water Department .....		20,441,126	1,069,555	1,069,555	869,657	21,310,783
		-1,906,478			1,906,478	
TOTAL GENERAL FUND		18,534,648	1,069,555	1,069,555	2,776,135	21,310,783

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
702	MAYOR					
702,900.00	Emergency Reserve Fund.....	125,000	.....	.....	.....	125,000
712	PARK DEPARTMENT					
712,101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	126,230	.....	.....	.....	126,230
712,103.00	Wages .....	689,739	.....	.....	.....	689,739
712,103.01	Wages—Special .....	80,000	.....	.....	.....	80,000
712,106.00	Fees and Special Compensations.....	2,500	.....	.....	.....	2,500
712,200.00	Contractual Services .....	15,655	.....	.....	.....	15,655
712,218.00	Concerts .....	20,000	.....	.....	.....	20,000
712,231.00	Heat, Light, Power, etc.....	46,500	46,500	.....	.....	.....
712,300.00	Materials and Supplies—Park.....	63,085	.....	.....	.....	63,085
712,300.01	Materials and Supplies—Commissary.....	22,200	.....	.....	.....	22,200
712,324.00	Forage .....	28,838	.....	.....	.....	28,838
712,350.00	Foodstuffs .....	90,000	.....	.....	.....	90,000
712,400.00	Equipment .....	3,000	.....	.....	.....	3,000
712,800.00	Fixed Charges .....	15,495	.....	.....	.....	15,495
712,806.00	Retirement Allowances .....	35,500	35,500	.....	.....	.....
712,900.00	Services of Other Departments .....	8,500	.....	.....	.....	8,500
712,500.00	Rehabilitation—Palace of Fine Arts.....	25,000	.....	.....	.....	25,000
712,500.01	Soil—Fertilizer—Trees (Miscellaneous Improvements).....	25,000	.....	.....	.....	25,000
712,600.01	Fleishhacker Playfield—Land .....	37,700	.....	.....	.....	37,700
712,600.02	Lafayette Park—Land .....	29,200	.....	.....	.....	29,200
712,600.03	McLaren Park—Land .....	25,000	.....	.....	.....	25,000
712,106.01	Architect Fees—W. P. A. Landscape Projects.....	16,000	.....	.....	.....	16,000
712,500.02	Fleishhacker Pool Pipe Line.....	20,000	.....	.....	.....	20,000
712,500.03	Plaque—Larsen Park .....	3,000	.....	.....	.....	3,000
712,500.04	Yacht Harbor Improvements .....	20,000	.....	.....	.....	20,000
712,500.05	Sewer Construction .....	6,000	.....	.....	.....	6,000
	Total Park Fund .....	1,454,142	82,000	.....	.....	1,372,142

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
RECREATION DEPARTMENT						
713	Permanent Salaries	329,729				329,729
713.101.00	Permanent Salaries	3,570				3,570
713.102.00	Temporary Salaries	10,008				10,008
713.103.00	Wages	18,452				18,452
713.103.02	Playground Directors	3,500				3,500
713.106.00	Fees and Special Compensations	43,000	865			42,135
713.200.00	Contractual Services	7,000	7,000			
713.231.00	Heat, Light and Power	5,324				5,324
713.203.02	Auto Hire	34,600				34,600
713.300.00	Materials and Supplies	11,945				11,945
713.400.00	Equipment	21,750				21,750
713.500.00	Improvements	3,250				3,250
713.500.01	Chinese Playground Improvements	15,000				15,000
713.600.01	Bayview District—Land	15,000				15,000
713.600.02	Upper Noe District—Land	20,000				20,000
713.600.04	Eureka Valley Land	20,000				20,000
713.600.07	Haight-Ashbury Land	5,500				5,500
713.600.08	Sunset District Land	3,600				3,600
713.801.00	Accident Compensation	866				866
713.818.00	Insurance Premiums	12,500	12,500			
713.806.00	Pensions and Retirement Allowances	3,540				3,540
713.809.00	Rents	8,307				8,307
713.101.01	Camp Mather—Permanent Salaries	7,314				7,314
713.102.01	Temporary Salaries	1,060				1,060
713.103.01	Wages	3,755				3,755
713.200.01	Contractual Services	4,422				4,422
713.300.01	Materials and Supplies	900				900
713.400.01	Equipment	13,870				13,870
713.350.01	Foodstuffs	372	372			
713.806.01	Retirement					
Total Recreation Fund		628,134	20,737			607,397

That portion of the Recreation appropriations which is to be met from taxes, shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of 7 cents on each \$100 of the 1937-1938 Assessment Roll.

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
714	LIBRARY					
714.101.00	Permanent Salaries	197,640				197,640
714.103.00	Wages	59,940				59,940
714.200.00	Contractual Services	34,410	475			33,935
714.231.00	Heat, Light and Power	15,200	15,200			
714.200.01	Binding	25,000				25,000
714.300.00	Materials and Supplies	10,000				10,000
714.328.00	Books and Bindery Materials	80,000				80,000
714.400.00	Equipment	18,000				18,000
714.806.00	Pensions and Retirement Allowances	6,400	6,400			
714.809.00	Rents	6,000				6,000
714.815.00	Compensation Insurance	100	100			
714.817.00	Automobile Insurance	180				180
714.900.00	Services of Other Departments	17,130				17,130
	Total Library Fund	470,000	22,175			447,825
715	WAR MEMORIAL					
	General Departments					
715.101.00	Permanent Salaries	78,673				78,673
715.102.00	Temporary Salaries	5,263				5,263
715.103.00	Wages	1,750				1,750
715.200.00	Contractual Services	6,150	950			5,200
715.231.00	Heat, Light and Power	13,000	13,000			
715.300.00	Materials and Supplies	4,500				4,500
715.400.00	Equipment	500	500			
715.900.00	Services of Other Departments	4,000				4,000

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
WAR MEMORIAL (Continued)						
Art Museum						
715.101.01	Permanent Salaries .....	6,960	.....	.....	.....	6,960
715.102.01	Temporary Salaries .....	435	.....	.....	.....	435
715.231.01	Heat, Light and Power .....	8,000	8,000	.....	.....	.....
715.300.01	Materials and Supplies .....	500	.....	.....	.....	500
	Total War Memorial Fund .....	129,731	22,450	.....	.....	107,281
CALIFORNIA PALACE OF THE LEGION OF HONOR						
717						
717.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	42,676	.....	.....	.....	42,676
717.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	700	.....	.....	.....	700
717.106.00	Fees and Special Compensations .....	200	.....	.....	.....	200
717.200.00	Contractual Services .....	1,400	60	.....	.....	1,340
717.231.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	3,600	3,600	.....	.....	.....
717.200.01	Exhibitions .....	10,000	.....	.....	.....	10,000
717.203.00	Auto Hire .....	720	240	.....	.....	480
717.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	3,000	.....	.....	.....	3,000
717.400.00	Equipment .....	2,000	.....	.....	.....	2,000
717.800.00	Sundry Fixed Charges .....	150	.....	.....	.....	150
717.818.00	Insurance Premiums .....	500	.....	.....	.....	500
717.900.00	Services of Other Departments (de Young Museum) .....	13,690	13,690	.....	.....	.....
	Total California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund .....	78,636	17,590	.....	.....	61,046

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
718	de YOUNG MUSEUM					
718.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	67,810			13,690	81,500
718.106.00	Fees and Special Compensation .....	520				520
718.200.00	Contractual Services .....	2,000	230			1,770
718.231.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	1,700	1,700			
718.203.00	Auto Hire .....	325	240			85
718.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	3,000				3,000
718.400.00	Equipment .....	4,370				4,370
718.800.00	Fixed Charges .....	1,075				1,075
718.200.01	Exhibitions .....	7,000				7,000
	Total de Young Museum Fund .....	87,800	2,170		13,690	99,320
726	CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER					
726.277.00	Publicity and Advertising Fund .....	200,000				200,000
726.703.02	Installment Payment on State Unemployment Relief Loan Fund ..	101,727				101,727
726.800.01	General City Bond Interest and Redemption Fund .....	5,350,220			587,020	5,937,240
726.800.02	P. S. E. Bond Interest and Redemption Fund .....	2,778,429			6,045,534	8,823,963
726.803.01	Interest on Tax Anticipation Notes Fund .....	8,000				8,000
726.803.02	Interest on State Unemployment Relief Loan Fund .....	31,218				31,218
		8,469,594			6,632,554	15,102,148

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS						
COUNTY ROAD FUND						
Street Repair						
745	Permanent Salaries .....	9,900				9,900
745.101.00	Wages .....	220,581				220,581
745.103.00	Contractual Services .....	14,800				14,800
745.200.00	Heat, Light and Power (Asphalt Plant) .....	1,100	1,100			
745.231.00	Truck Hire .....	27,820				27,820
745.203.01	Auto Hire .....	720				720
745.203.02	Materials and Supplies .....	70,000				70,000
745.300.00	Equipment .....	12,250				12,250
745.400.00	Accident Compensation .....	500				500
745.801.00	Retirement Charges .....	13,000	13,000			
745.806.00	Services of Other Departments .....	10,475				10,475
745.900.00		381,146	14,100			367,046
Bridges						
746	Permanent Salaries .....	51,240				51,240
746.101.00	Temporary Salaries .....	3,136				3,136
746.102.00	Contractual Services .....	500				500
746.200.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	1,800	1,800			
746.231.00	Maintenance and Repair to Bridges .....	2,000				2,000
746.214.00	Materials and Supplies .....	550				550
746.300.00	Services of Other Departments .....	3,090				3,090
746.900.00		62,316	1,800			60,516

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS (Continued)						
COUNTY ROAD FUND (Continued)						
747	General					
747.231.00	Boulevard Lighting .....	40,000	40,000	.....	.....	.....
747.800.00	Bond Interest and Redemption (1927 Boulevards) .....	200,000	200,000	.....	.....	.....
747.902.00	Services of Real Estate Department .....	1,000	.....	.....	.....	1,000
747.903.01	Traffic (Services of Department of Electricity) .....	5,000	5,000	.....	.....	.....
747.903.02	Traffic (Services of Police Department) .....	25,000	.....	.....	.....	25,000
747.903.05	Painting Traffic Lanes .....	25,000	.....	.....	.....	25,000
747.903.03	Stop, Stop and Go Signals .....	32,000	.....	.....	.....	32,000
747.907.00	Street Signs—New and Repairs .....	7,500	.....	.....	.....	7,500
747.908.00	Sunset Boulevard Maintenance .....	18,000	.....	.....	.....	18,000
747.909.00	Boulevard Tree Maintenance .....	4,500	.....	.....	.....	4,500
748.916.00	Work in Front of City Property .....	10,000	.....	.....	.....	10,000
		368,000	245,000	.....	.....	123,000
748	Street Construction					
748.901.00	Bay Bridge Approach—Payment to State of California .....	97,500	.....	.....	.....	97,500
748.905.00	Castro Street to Divisadero—Divisional Highway .....	25,000	.....	.....	.....	25,000
748.922.00	Harriet-Folsom-Harrison . . . . .	9,100	.....	.....	.....	9,100
748.923.00	Market-Diamond-Eureka . . . . .	4,000	.....	.....	.....	4,000
748.924.00	Laidley St. Slide .....	900	.....	.....	.....	900
748.930.00	LaSalle St. Property .....	17,500	.....	.....	.....	17,500
748.938.14	Engineering Studies of Special Improvements .....	20,000	.....	.....	.....	20,000
748.945.00	2nd St.-Brannan-Townsend .....	10,000	.....	.....	.....	10,000
748.950.00	Joost Ave. Slides .....	14,000	.....	.....	.....	14,000
748.956.00	Loma Vista-Upper Terrace-Masonic .....	5,500	.....	.....	.....	5,500
748.983.00	Oregon-Drumm-Embarcadero . . . . .	4,800	.....	.....	.....	4,800
748.984.00	Joint Highway District No. 9 .....	41,250	.....	.....	.....	41,250
748.985.00	Joint Highway District No. 10 .....	37,800	.....	.....	.....	37,800
		287,350	.....	.....	.....	287,350
	Total County Road Fund .....	1,098,812	260,900	.....	.....	837,912

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



MONDAY, MAY 24, 1937.

DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
777	SPECIAL GAS TAX STREET IMPROVEMENT FUND					
777.920.00	Golden Gate Ave.—Taylor—Van Ness .....	56,250				56,250
777.921.00	Third St.—Howard—Channel .....	84,375				84,375
777.922.00	Great Highway underpass at Taraval, repair .....	5,000				5,000
777.923.00	Market St. and Portola Drive prem. Engr. Studies .....	14,250				14,250
777.924.00	Army St.—Bryant Street westerly land .....	100,000				100,000
777.925.00	Army St.—Bryant to Harrison—Construction .....	52,000				52,000
777.926.00	Market St.—Valencia—Van Ness .....	26,250				26,250
777.927.00	Anza—Parker Ave. to Masonic—Land improvements .....	52,750				52,750
777.928.00	Sixth and Channel Bridge .....	10,700				10,700
777.929.00	Third and Channel Bridge .....	4,600				4,600
777.930.00	San Jose Ave. Bridge at Mt. Vernon .....	1,100				1,100
777.931.00	Third St. Channel to Burke Ave. Property Purchase .....	77,950				77,950
777.933.00	Polk-Fell-Grove . . . . .	22,050				22,050
		507,275				507,275
749	DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY					
749.900.00	Installation Fund .....	3,000				3,000
756	PUBLIC WELFARE DEPARTMENT					
756.804.00	Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor Fund .....	1,300,000	2,160			1,297,840
		1,300,000	2,160			1,297,840
760	CONTROLLER					
760.705.00	Tax Judgments Fund .....	101,128				101,128

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>SCHOOL DEPARTMENT</b>						
769	Common School Fund (Tentative) .....	9,754,393	529,200	.....	.....	9,225,193
770	Special School Tax Fund (Tentative) .....	940,000	1,250	.....	.....	938,750
		10,694,393	530,450	.....	.....	10,163,943
<b>EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM</b>						
772	Permanent Salaries .....	29,280	.....	.....	.....	29,280
772.101.00	Temporary Salaries .....	1,900	.....	.....	.....	1,900
772.102.00	Fees and Special Compensations .....	1,575	.....	.....	.....	1,575
772.106.00	Contractual Services .....	1,487	82	.....	.....	1,405
772.200.00	Materials and Supplies .....	200	.....	.....	.....	200
772.300.00	Equipment .....	400	.....	.....	.....	400
772.400.00	Pensions and Retirement Allowances .....	1,713,500	.....	.....	688,819	2,402,319
772.800.00	Services of Other Departments .....	2,500	2,500	.....	.....	.....
772.900.00						
		1,750,842	2,582	.....	688,819	2,437,079
772.815.00	Compensation Insurance .....	50,000	.....	.....	.....	50,000
	Total Employees' Retirement Fund .....	1,800,842	2,582	.....	688,819	2,487,079



MONDAY, MAY 24, 1937.

DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS (PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES) FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION</b>						
762	Permanent Salaries .....				53,040	53,040
762.101.00	Legal Expenses—City Attorney's Office .....		21,400		21,400	
762.106.00	Contractual Services .....				14,684	14,684
762.200.00	Materials and Supplies .....				1,500	1,500
762.300.00	Equipment .....				250	250
762.400.00	Insurance Premiums .....				200	200
762.818.00	Pensions and Retirement Allowances .....				1,621	1,621
762.806.00	Utility Engineering Investigation .....				10,000	10,000
762.900.00						
	Total Public Utilities Commission Fund .....		21,400		102,695	81,295
<b>SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT</b>						
764	Permanent Salaries .....	43,680				43,680
764.101.00	Temporary Salaries .....	1,200				1,200
764.102.00	Contractual Services .....	12,340				12,340
764.200.00	Heat, Light, and Power .....	9,000	9,000			
764.231.00	Materials and Supplies .....	3,500				3,500
764.300.00	Equipment .....	32,950	32,950			
764.400.00	Land Purchases .....	105,000				105,000
764.600.00	Insurance Premiums .....	5,152				5,152
764.818.00	Pensions and Retirement Allowances .....	1,655	1,655			
764.806.00	Taxes .....	2,300				2,300
764.812.00	Services of Other Departments .....	1,500				1,500
764.900.00						
	Total Airport Fund .....	218,277	43,605			174,672

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations, Public Service Enterprises, for Expenditures 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
MUNICIPAL RAILWAY OPERATING FUND						
765	Permanent Salaries .....	237,300	.....	.....	.....	237,300
765.101.00	Temporary Salaries .....	11,566	.....	.....	.....	11,566
765.102.00	Wages .....	1,776,105	.....	.....	.....	1,776,105
765.103.00	Contractual Services .....	95,611	180	.....	.....	95,431
765.200.00	Heat, Light, and Power .....	430,000	430,000	.....	.....	.....
765.231.00	Materials and Supplies .....	120,000	.....	.....	.....	120,000
765.300.00	Equipment .....	5,000	.....	.....	.....	5,000
765.400.00	Bond Interest and Redemption .....	177,500	177,500	.....	.....	.....
765.800.00	Accident Compensation .....	400	.....	.....	.....	400
765.801.00	Educational and Welfare Grants and Contributions .....	100	.....	.....	.....	100
765.804.00	Passenger and Damage Claims .....	70,000	.....	.....	.....	70,000
765.808.00	Current Depreciation Replacements .....	100,000	.....	.....	.....	100,000
765.813.00	Pensions and Retirement Allowances .....	100,000	100,000	.....	.....	.....
765.806.00	Services of Other Departments	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
765.900.01	Controller .....	4,500	4,500	.....	.....	.....
765.900.02	Department of Public Works .....	12,250	.....	.....	.....	12,250
765.900.03	Public Utilities Commission .....	11,827	11,827	.....	.....	.....
765.900.04	Public Utilities Commission—Claims Bureau .....	13,020	13,020	.....	.....	.....
765.900.08	S. F. Water Department .....	200	.....	.....	.....	200
765.900.05	Public Utilities Commission—Engineering Expense .....	18,000	.....	.....	.....	18,000
765.900.06	Retirement System:	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
765.900.07	Hospitalization (S. F. Hospital) .....	4,000	.....	.....	.....	4,000
765.900.07	Employee Claims .....	14,000	.....	.....	.....	14,000
765.500.00	Additions and Betterments .....	65,000	.....	.....	.....	65,000
Total Municipal Railway Operating Fund .....		3,266,379	737,027	.....	.....	2,529,352

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations, Public Service Enterprises, for Expenditures 1937-1938, continued on next page)



(Continued)

MONDAY, MAY 24, 1937.

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
766	WATER REVENUE	551,460	.....	.....	.....	551,460
766.101.00	*Permanent Salaries .....	25,018	.....	.....	.....	25,018
766.102.00	*Temporary Salaries .....	47,007	.....	.....	.....	47,007
766.103.00	*Wages .....	113,800	.....	.....	.....	113,800
766.200.00	Contractual Services .....	120,000	.....	.....	.....	120,000
766.212.01	Maintenance—Source of Supply .....	260,000	.....	.....	.....	260,000
766.212.02	Maintenance—Transmission and Distribution .....	30,000	.....	.....	.....	30,000
766.212.03	Maintenance—General .....	146,200	146,200	.....	.....	.....
766.231.00	Heat, Light, and Power .....	45,000	.....	.....	.....	45,000
766.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	4,280	.....	.....	.....	4,280
766.350.00	Foodstuffs .....	15,000	.....	.....	.....	15,000
766.400.00	Equipment .....	248,200	.....	.....	.....	248,200
766.500.00	Additions and Betterments .....	2,485,000	2,485,000	.....	.....	.....
766.800.01	Bond Interest and Redemption—1928 Spring Valley .....	400,000	400,000	.....	.....	.....
766.800.02	Bond Interest and Redemption—1910 Water .....	47,025	47,025	.....	.....	.....
766.800.03	Bond Interest and Redemption—1925 Hetch Hetchy Water .....	6,000	.....	.....	.....	6,000
766.705.00	Injuries and Damages .....	10,000	.....	.....	.....	10,000
766.815.00	Compensation Insurance .....	6,895	.....	.....	.....	6,895
766.818.00	Other Insurance .....	43,000	43,000	.....	.....	.....
766.806.00	Pensions and Retirement Allowances .....	205,000	.....	.....	.....	205,000
766.812.00	Taxes .....	75,000	.....	.....	.....	75,000
766.813.00	Current Depreciation Replacements .....	157,248	157,248	.....	.....	.....
766.900.01	Services of Hetch Hetchy Water Supply .....	3,500	.....	.....	.....	3,500
766.900.02	Services of Public Utilities Commission—Bureau of Engineering .....	52,522	52,522	.....	.....	.....
766.900.03	Services of Public Utilities Commission .....	7,300	7,300	.....	.....	.....
766.900.04	Services of Controller .....	1,906,478	1,906,478	.....	.....	.....
766.900.05	Appropriation to General Fund .....	25,000	.....	.....	.....	25,000
766.900.06	Survey of Properties for Department .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	*To be detailed by operating bureaus in the Appropriation Ledger.	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Total Water Revenue Fund .....	7,035,933	5,244,773	.....	.....	1,791,160

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations, Public Service Enterprises, for Expenditures 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
HETCH HETCHY POWER OPERATIVE						
767	Permanent Salaries .....	82,590	.....	.....	.....	82,590
767.101.00	Temporary Salaries .....	2,761	.....	.....	.....	2,761
767.102.00	Contractual Services .....	6,000	.....	.....	.....	6,000
767.200.00	Transmission Maintenance .....	14,256	.....	.....	.....	14,256
767.200.03	Miscellaneous Maintenance .....	7,822	.....	.....	.....	7,822
767.200.04	Materials and Supplies .....	5,000	.....	.....	.....	5,000
767.350.00	Foodstuffs .....	5,000	.....	.....	.....	5,000
767.702.00	Bond Interest and Redemption .....	1,134,261	1,134,261	.....	.....	1,134,261
767.803.01	Bond Interest and Redemption (Hetch Hetchy Water Issues) .....	950,826	950,826	.....	.....	950,826
767.817.00	Automobile Insurance Premiums .....	1,121	.....	.....	.....	1,121
767.806.00	Pensions and Retirement Allowances .....	5,000	5,000	.....	.....	5,000
767.813.00	Current Depreciation Replacements .....	46,682	.....	.....	.....	46,682
767.900.01	Services of Other Departments—Public Utilities Comm. ....	20,326	20,326	.....	.....	20,326
767.900.02	—Pub. Util. Com.—Bur. Engineering .....	16,400	.....	.....	.....	16,400
767.900.03	—Controller .....	3,275	3,275	.....	.....	3,275
767.900.04	—Hetch Hetchy Water Supply .....	82,680	82,680	.....	.....	82,680
767.801.00	Accident Compensation .....	2,000	.....	.....	.....	2,000
767.808.00	Valuation of Properties .....	25,000	.....	.....	.....	25,000
Total Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund .....		2,411,000	2,196,368	.....	.....	214,632

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations, Public Service Enterprises, for Expenditures 1937-1938, continued on next page)



MONDAY, MAY 24, 1937.

DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS (PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES) FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938

(Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
768	HETCH HETCHY WATER SUPPLY					
768.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	.....	.....	.....	38,550	38,550
768.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	.....	.....	.....	834	834
768.200.00	Contractual Services .....	.....	.....	.....	2,500	2,500
768.212.01	Plant Maintenance .....	.....	.....	.....	4,037	4,037
768.212.02	Routine Maintenance—General .....	.....	.....	.....	70,000	70,000
768.212.03	Routine Maintenance of Roads .....	.....	.....	.....	18,814	18,814
768.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	.....	.....	.....	2,000	2,000
768.400.00	Equipment .....	.....	.....	.....	2,125	2,125
768.604.01	Water Rights and Damage Claims .....	.....	.....	.....	29,300	29,300
768.604.02	Legal Expenses—Water Rights Litigation .....	.....	.....	.....	5,000	5,000
768.801.00	Accident Compensation .....	.....	.....	.....	386	386
768.817.00	Automobile Insurance Premiums .....	.....	.....	.....	600	600
768.805.00	Fee to U. S. Government (Raker Act) .....	.....	.....	.....	20,000	20,000
768.806.00	Pensions and Retirement Allowances .....	.....	1,542	.....	1,542	.....
768.808.00	Valuation of Properties .....	.....	.....	.....	25,000	25,000
768.809.00	Land and Building Leases .....	.....	.....	.....	90	90
768.812.00	Taxes .....	.....	.....	.....	6,200	6,200
768.900.01	Services of Other Departments—Public Utilities Comm. ....	.....	5,000	.....	5,000	.....
768.900.02	—Pub. Util. Com.—Bur. Engineering .....	.....	.....	.....	5,000	5,000
768.900.03	—Controller .....	.....	2,950	.....	2,950	.....
	Total Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Fund .....	.....	9,492	.....	239,928	230,436
	TOTAL PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES—CURRENT .....	12,931,589	8,252,665	.....	342,623	5,021,547

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION</b>						
93.900.04	1933 Water Distribution Grant Bond Redemption .....	110,374	110,374			
94.900.01	1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund Bond Interest and Redemption .....	740,548	740,548			
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS</b>						
95.900.00	1933 Sewer Bonds Construction . . . . .	577,447				577,447
95.900.01	Bond Interest and Redemption .....	108,502	108,502			
		685,949	108,502			577,447
96.900.01	1933 High Pressure System Grant Bond Interest and Redemption .....	150,500	150,500			
98.900.01	1934 School House Grant Bond Interest and Redemption .....	128,018	128,018			
	<b>TOTAL CAPITAL FUNDS</b> .....	1,815,389	1,237,942			577,447

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer,  
Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



MONDAY, MAY 24, 1937.

**Meetings Announced.**

Judiciary Committee, May 25, 1:30 p. m.

Joint Finance and Public Utilities, May 25, 2 p. m.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business the Board of Supervisors at 6 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors.....

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 32

No. 22

Tuesday, June 1, 1937

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# **JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

---

**TUESDAY, JUNE 1, 1937, 2 P. M.**

---

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, June 1, 1937.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## **CALLING THE ROLL.**

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:  
Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri,  
Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Supervisor Mead noted present at 2:30 p. m.

Supervisor Brown noted present at 2:45 p. m.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## **APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.**

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

## **UNFINISHED BUSINESS**

### **Final Passage.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:



# ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE 1937-1938

BILL NO. 1322, ORDINANCE NO. 9.051.382 (Code No. 9.051), as follows:

AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING ALL ESTIMATED RECEIPTS AND ALL ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1938.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The several amounts of estimated receipts, income and revenue enumerated herein are hereby provided for and appropriated to the several funds and/or departments indicated in this ordinance for the purpose of meeting appropriations herein provided.

Section 2. The several amounts of proposed expenditure for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1938, are hereby appropriated to the several funds and/or departments as enumerated herein. Each department for which an expenditure appropriation is herein made shall be authorized to use the amount of moneys so appropriated for the purposes specified in this appropriation ordinance, subject to the limitations of the Charter and as provided herein.

Section 3. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up appropriate accounts, as provided by Section 76 of the Charter, for the items of receipts and expenditures appropriated herein.

Where revenues for any fund or department are herein provided by transfer from any other fund or department, or where a duty or a performance has been transferred from one department to another, the Controller is authorized to make the necessary transfer; provided further, that where revenues for any fund or department are herein provided by transfer from any other fund or department, in consideration of departmental services to be rendered, in no event shall such transfer of revenue be made in excess of the actual cost of such service; provided further, that in no event shall transfers from utility surplus exceed the limitations set forth in Section 129 of the Charter.

The Controller shall make available the amount of actual receipts for items of proposed expenditures that are designated herein as appropriated for the use of a department only to the extent that fees or other revenues are collectible.

Section 4. The several items of expenditures herein appropriated, being based on estimated receipts, income or revenues which may not be fully realized, it shall be incumbent upon the Controller to establish a schedule of allotments, monthly or quarterly as he may determine, under which the sums appropriated to the several departments shall be expended. The Controller shall revise such revenue estimates monthly. If such revised estimates indicate a shortage the Controller shall hold in reserve an equivalent amount of the corresponding expenditure appropriations set forth herein until the collection of the amounts as originally estimated is assured, and in all cases where it is provided by this Charter that a specified or minimum tax shall be levied for any department the amount of such appropriation herein provided derived from taxes shall not exceed the amount actually produced by the levy made for said department. The Controller in issuing warrants or in certifying contracts or purchase orders or other encumbrances, pursuant to Section 86 of the Charter, shall consider only the allotted portions of appropriation items to be available for encumbrance or expenditure and shall not approve the incurring of liability under any allotment in excess of the amount of such allotment. In case of emergency or unusual circumstance which could not be anticipated at the time of apportionment, an additional allotment for a period may be made on the recommendation of the department head and that of the Chief Administrative Officer, board or commission, and the approval of the Controller. After the allotment schedule has been established or fixed, as heretofore provided, it shall be unlawful for any department or officer to expend or cause to be expended a sum greater than the amount set forth

Section 5. Where appropriations are made for specific projects or purposes which may involve the payment of salaries or wages, the head of the department to which such appropriations are made, or the head of a department authorized by contract or interdepartmental order to make expenditures from each such appropriation, shall file with the Controller, when requested, an estimate of the amount of any such expenditures to be made during the ensuing period.

Section 6. Liabilities incurred and expenditures made for permanent employments shall in no case exceed the number of and the salary or wage for, employments in each class as enumerated in the annual salary ordinance, except as additional employments may be authorized under the provisions of the Charter.

The Controller and the Civil Service Commission shall be immediately notified of a vacancy occurring in a position established in the Annual Salary Ordinance and appointing officers shall not make appointments to such vacancies until the Controller shall certify that funds are available and until the Civil Service Commission shall verify the legality of the position and compensation.

Appointments to seasonable or temporary positions shall not exceed the term for which the Controller has certified the availability of funds.

Section 7. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to continue the existing Special, Trust Funds and Reserves and the receipts in and expenditures from each such fund are hereby appropriated in accordance with law and the conditions under which each such fund was established.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up additional Special, Trust Funds and Reserves, as they may be created by either additional bequests or under other conditions.

Whenever the City and County of San Francisco shall receive from the United States of America, the State of California, or from any public or semi-public agency, or from any private person, firm or corporation, any moneys, or property to be converted into money, the Controller shall set up on the books of his office a special fund or account evidencing the said moneys so received and specifying the purposes for which they have been received and for which they are held, which said account or fund shall be maintained by the said Controller as long as any portion of said moneys remains unexpended.

The expenditures necessary from said fund or said account created as herein provided, in order to carry out the purpose for which said moneys have been received or for which said account is being maintained, shall be approved by the Controller and said expenditures are hereby appropriated in accordance with the terms and conditions under which said moneys have been received by the City and County of San Francisco, and in accordance with the conditions under which said fund is maintained.

Section 8. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up appropriations for refunding revenues collected in excess of amounts due.

Section 9. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to prescribe the method to be used in making payments for interdepartmental services in accordance with the provisions of Section 77 of the Charter.

Section 10. The term "department" as used in this ordinance shall mean department, bureau, office, utility, board or commission, as the case may be. The term "department head" as used herein shall be as defined in Section 20 of the Charter.

Section 11. The several amounts of estimated receipts herein appropriated, and each fund or department to which such appropriation is made, are as follows:





Name of Fund	Amount	By Transfer	Total	By Transfer	From Surplus	Other Than Taxes		Taxes	Total
						Appropriation	Fund		
PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES CURRENT FUNDS									
Water Revenue	7,035,933	.....	7,035,933	.....	145,099	.....	6,890,834	.....	7,035,933
Hetch Hetchy Power Operating	2,411,000	.....	2,411,000	.....	.....	.....	2,411,000	.....	2,411,000
Municipal Railway Operating	3,266,379	.....	3,266,379	.....	22,080	.....	3,244,299	.....	3,266,379
Hetch Hetchy Water Supply	.....	239,928	239,928	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	239,928
Public Utilities Commission	.....	102,695	102,695	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	102,695
Airport	218,277	.....	218,277	.....	.....	.....	78,650	139,627	218,277
TOTAL PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES—CURR.	12,931,589	342,623	13,274,212	342,623	167,179	.....	12,624,783	139,627	13,274,212
SCHOOL FUNDS									
Common School Fund (Tentative)	9,754,393	.....	9,754,393	.....	250,000	.....	4,772,000	4,732,393	9,754,393
Special School Tax Fund (Tentative)	940,000	.....	940,000	.....	25,000	.....	.....	915,000	940,000
TOTAL SCHOOL FUNDS	10,694,393	.....	10,694,393	.....	275,000	.....	4,772,000	5,647,393	10,694,393
County Roads	1,098,812	.....	1,098,812	.....	Cr 50,788	.....	1,149,500	100	1,098,812
Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund	507,275	.....	507,275	.....	.....	.....	507,275	.....	507,275
TOTAL	1,606,087	.....	1,606,087	.....	Cr 50,788	.....	1,656,775	100	1,606,087
CAPITAL FUNDS									
1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund	740,548	.....	740,548	.....	.....	.....	740,548	.....	740,548
1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund—Grant	110,374	.....	110,374	.....	.....	.....	110,374	.....	110,374
1933 Sewer Bond Fund	685,949	.....	685,949	.....	.....	.....	685,949	.....	685,949
1933 High Pressure System Bond Fund	150,500	.....	150,500	.....	.....	.....	150,500	.....	150,500
1934 School House Bond Fund—Grant	128,018	.....	128,018	.....	.....	.....	128,018	.....	128,018
TOTAL CAPITAL FUNDS	1,815,389	.....	1,815,389	.....	.....	.....	1,815,389	.....	1,815,389
TOTAL BUDGET	60,230,113	10,453,821	70,683,934	10,453,821	537,722	126,500	27,484,422	32,081,469	70,683,934

Note A—That portion of the General Fund (Art Commission) Appropriation No. 716,200.02 for symphony concerts which is to be met from taxes, shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of  $\frac{1}{2}$  cent on each \$100 of the 1937-1938 assessment roll.

Note B—That portion of the Recreation appropriations which is to be met from taxes, shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of 7c on each \$100 of the 1937-1938 Assessment Roll.



ESTIMATED REVENUES 1937-1938

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Licenses							
Business	225,000						
Business (Badges and Tags)	8,750						
Vehicle (Other Than State)	30,000						
Marriage	14,500						
Ferry	4,800						
Dog	15,000						
Inspection Fees (Ord. 3.0412)	20,000						
Contractors	20,000						
Penalties	3,000						
Total	341,050						341,050
Permits							
Department of Public Works							
Building	90,000						
Bill Board	500						
House Numbers	4,500						
Street Space	8,700						
Boiler	2,300						
Police Department							
Public Passenger Carriers	5,500						
Hand Bill Distributors							
Second Hand Dealers							
Fire Department							
Automobile Storage							
Automobile Repair Shops							
Automobile Supply Stations	2,000						
Automobile Parking Stations							
Oil Burners							
Total	113,500						113,500

Classification	General Fund		Allocated to Appropriations	Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Finance		Total
	Allocated to Fund					General Fund	Other Current Funds	
<b>Fines</b>								
Municipal Courts . . . . .	76,000							
Superior Courts . . . . .	3,000							
Traffic Courts . . . . .	70,000							
Total . . . . .	149,000							149,000
<b>Interest Revenue . . . . .</b>	5,500							5,500
<b>Penalties and Costs—Delinquent Taxes . . . . .</b>	35,000							35,000
<b>Franchises</b>								
Telephone . . . . .	273,000							
California Street Railway . . . . .	4,000							
Market Street Railway . . . . .	48,000							
Total . . . . .	325,000							325,000

(Estimated Revenues, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



TUESDAY, JUNE 1, 1937.

## ESTIMATED REVENUES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
State of California							
Aid to Needy Children							
Maintenance of Minors							
State Aid	141,632						
Federal Aid	18,635						
Administration	6,000						
Widows' Pensions							
State Aid	142,645						
Federal Aid	29,825						
Administration	4,800						
Aid to Needy Blind							
State Aid	83,836						
Federal Aid	25,751						
Aid to Needy Aged							
State Aid	1,470,072						
Federal Aid	668,214						
Administration	17,099						
Tubercular Patients	85,000						
Fire Boats	82,000						
Candidates Filing Fees	2,500						
Motor Vehicle License (in lieu)	500,000						
County Road Fund:							
Motor Vehicle Fuel Tax			911,000				
Motor Vehicle Registration			238,000				
Motor Vehicle (Common Carriers)			500				
Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund			507,275				
Alcohol Beverage Control Act							
License Fees	1,000,000						
Common Schools							
Total	4,277,910		6,265,525				10,543,435

(Estimated Revenues, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Classification	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations	Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	General Fund	Other Current Funds	Total
<b>Federal Government</b>							
Aid to High Schools	.....	.....	8,750	.....	.....	.....	.....
Board of U. S. Prisoners	.....	17,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Grant Docket No. 1531	.....	.....	.....	150,500	.....	.....	.....
“ “ No. 7942	.....	.....	.....	128,018	.....	.....	.....
“ “ No. 1510	.....	.....	.....	110,374	.....	.....	.....
<b>Total</b>	.....	17,000	8,750	388,892	.....	.....	414,642
<b>Departmental Revenues</b>							
Tax Collector—Delinquent Revenue Other Than Taxes	35,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Department of Public Works							
Bureau of Engineering—Survey Fees	1,100	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
“ “ —Excavation Fees	15,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
“ “ —Engineering Inspection	.....	13,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
“ “ —Tearing up Streets, Side Sewers	.....	35,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
“ “ —Advertising Fees	.....	200	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
House Moving	100	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Miscellaneous	20	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Department of Public Health							
Bureau of Vital Statistics							
Removal Permits	9,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Death Certificates	4,500	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Birth Certificates	1,700	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Bureau of Communicable Disease							
Venereal Clinics	100	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Massage Parlor Permits	200	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

(Estimated Revenues, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



## ESTIMATED REVENUES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Appropriations			General Fund	Other Funds	
Departmental Revenues—(Continued)							
Department of Public Health—(Continued)							
Bureau of Industrial Inspection							
Sanitation Permits—Opening	200						
Sanitation Permits—Renewal	3,000						
Fumigation	50						
Bureau of Plumbing Inspection							
Master Plumbers Registration Fees	3,800						
Gas Appliance Dealers Registration	30						
Plumbing Fixture Permits	15,000						
Gas Appliance Installation Permits	20,000						
Bureau of Food and Milk							
Meat Inspection Division							
Meat Inspection	37,500						
Milk Inspection Division							
Cooling and Skimming Plants	16,800						
Dairies	20,000						
Pasteurizing Plants	8,250						
Restaurant Inspection	55,000						
Food Wagon Permits	200						
Salvage Dealer Permits	10						
Salvage Dealer Inspection	15						
Central Office—Miscellaneous	150						
San Francisco Hospital							
Care of Patients	1,700						
Miscellaneous	1,100						
Laguna Honda Home							
Care of Inmates	4,200						
Miscellaneous	10						

(Estimated Revenues, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Classification	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations	Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	General Fund	Other Current Funds	Total
Departmental Revenues—(Continued)							
Sheriff Fees .....	32,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Municipal Court Fees .....	61,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
County Clerk Fees .....	100,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Coroner .....	900	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Treasurer .....	12,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Registrar of Voters .....	1,600	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Police Department .....	1,510	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Recorder .....	150,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Fire Department, Sale of Power and Miscellaneous .....	4,250	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Juvenile Court							
Reimbursement for Care in Sonoma State Home .....	10,500	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
City Collections—Maintenance of Minors .....	50,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Public Administrator—Fees .....	55,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Art Commission—Symphony Concerts .....	.....	15,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Pound .....	3,100	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Purchasing Department .....	1,600	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
City Planning Commission Fees .....	700	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Agricultural (Horticultural) Inspection							
Inspection Fees .....	9,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
City Institutions .....	800	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Miscellaneous .....	300	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Controller—Filing Fees .....	250	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Tax Collector—Miscellaneous .....	10	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

(Estimated Revenues, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



ESTIMATED REVENUES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Departmental Revenues—(Continued)							
Department of Electricity							
Registration Fees	18,000						
Electrical Inspection Fees	60,000						
Electric Sign Inspection Fees	4,500						
Loud Speaker Inspection	200						
House Moving	150						
Installation Fund			3,000				
Real Estate Department							
Rents, General	13,000						
Rents, Auditorium		45,000					
Commissions on Rents		1,300					
Miscellaneous	100						
War Memorial							
Rent, Opera House			29,000				
Concessions, Opera House			3,750				
Rent, Veterans' Auditorium			3,000				
Miscellaneous			35				
Board of Education							
Tuition—From Other Counties			50,000				
Rents—(Real Estate Department)			102,500				
Miscellaneous			2,000				

(Estimated Revenues, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Classification	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations	Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	General Fund	Other Current Funds	Total
Departmental Revenues (Continued)							
Park							
Children's Quarters	.....	..	71,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Beach Chalet	.....	.....	25,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Fleishhacker Cafe	.....	.....	15,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Mother House	.....	.....	2,500	.....	.....	.....	.....
Fleishhacker Playfield Booth	.....	.....	60,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Harding Park Cafe	.....	.....	22,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Lincoln Park Cafe	.....	.....	14,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Sharp Park Cafe	.....	.....	15,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
G. G. Park—Tennis Courts	.....	.....	100	.....	.....	.....	.....
G. G. Park—Basketball Pavilion	.....	.....	2,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
G. G. Park—Stadium	.....	.....	1,500	.....	.....	.....	.....
Kezar Stadium	.....	.....	28,500	.....	.....	.....	.....
Tennis Courts, P. F. A.	.....	.....	15,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Fleishhacker Bathhouse	.....	.....	10,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Harding Park Golf	.....	.....	47,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Lincoln Park Golf	.....	.....	39,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Sharp Park Golf	.....	.....	23,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Yacht Harbor	.....	.....	14,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Commission from Vending Machines	.....	.....	250	.....	.....	.....	.....
Rents	.....	.....	2,125	.....	.....	.....	.....
Franchise Market Street Railway	.....	.....	100	.....	.....	.....	.....
Miscellaneous	.....	.....	500	.....	.....	.....	.....
Coit Tower	.....	.....	15,000	.....	.....	.....	.....

(Estimated Revenues, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



ESTIMATED REVENUES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Departmental Revenues—(Continued)							
Recreation							
Camp . . . . .	..	..	40,000	..	..	..	..
Swimming—San Francisco . . . . .	..	..	1,600	..	..	..	1,600
Miscellaneous . . . . .	..	..	2,000	..	..	..	..
Library—Fines, etc. . . . .	..	..	18,500	..	..	..	..
California Palace of the Legion of Honor . . . . .	..	..	550	..	..	..	550
M. H. de Young Memorial Museum . . . . .	..	..	300	..	..	..	..
Total . . . . .	844,205	109,500	678,810	..	..	..	1,632,515
Public Utilities							
Hetch Hetchy Power Operative . . . . .	..	..	2,411,000	..	..	..	..
Municipal Railway . . . . .	..	..	3,244,299	..	..	..	..
Water Department . . . . .	..	..	6,890,834	..	..	..	..
Airport . . . . .	..	..	78,650	..	..	..	..
Total . . . . .	..	..	12,624,783	..	..	..	12,624,783

(Estimated Revenues, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Classification	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations	Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	General Fund	Other Current Funds	Total
Receipts from Surplus							
County Road Fund	.....	.....	Cr. 50,788	.....	.....	.....	.....
Municipal Railway	.....	.....	22,080	.....	.....	.....	.....
Common School Fund	.....	.....	250,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Special School Tax	.....	.....	25,000	.....	.....	.....	.....
Bond Interest and Redemption	.....	.....	.....	55,234	.....	.....	.....
Water Department	.....	.....	145,099	.....	.....	91,097	.....
Total	.....	.....	391,391	55,234	.....	91,097	537,722
Capital Funds							
1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund	.....	.....	.....	740,548	.....	.....	.....
1933 Sewer Bond Fund	.....	.....	.....	685,949	.....	.....	.....
Total	.....	.....	.....	1,426,497	.....	.....	1,426,497
Receipts by Transfer							
General Fund							
City Attorney							
From Public Utilities Commission Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	21,400	.....	21,400

(Estimated Revenues, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



ESTIMATED REVENUES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Classification	General Fund		Other Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Receipts by Transfer—(Continued)							
General Fund—(Continued)							
Purchasing Department							
From California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund . . . . .					240		
From de Young Memorial Museum Fund.. (203)					240		
From Recreation Fund . . . . .					865		
From Library Fund . . . . .					475		
From War Memorial Fund . . . . .					400		
From War Memorial Fund . . . . .					550		
From de Young Memorial Museum Fund.. (217)					200		
From Employees' Retirement Fund . . . . .					42		
From Employees' Retirement Fund . . . . .					40		
From California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund . . . . .					60		
From de Young Memorial Museum Fund.. (212)					30		
From Airport Fund . . . . .					32,950		
From War Memorial Fund . . . . .					500		
From Municipal Railway . . . . .					180		
Total . . . . .					36,772		36,772
Department of Electricity							
From County Road Fund . . . . .					5,000		5,000

(Estimated Revenues, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Classification	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations	Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	General Fund	Other Current Funds	Total
Receipts by Transfer—(Continued)							
General Fund—(Continued)							
Controller							
From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund. (101)	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,500	.....	.....
From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund. (248)	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,775	.....	.....
From Water Revenue Fund. (101)	.....	.....	.....	.....	5,500	.....	.....
From Water Revenue Fund. (248)	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,800	.....	.....
From Municipal Railway Operating Fund. (101)	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,500	.....	.....
From Municipal Railway Operating Fund. (248)	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,000	.....	.....
From Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor Fund.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
From Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Fund. (101)	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,160	.....	.....
From Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Fund. (248)	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,500	.....	.....
From Employees' Retirement Fund. (248)	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,450	.....	.....
From Common School Fund. (248)	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,500	.....	.....
From Common School Fund. (248)	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,200	.....	.....
Total .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	23,885	.....	23,885
Lighting of Public Streets and Buildings	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
From Park Fund .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	46,500	.....	.....
From Recreation Fund .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	7,000	.....	.....
From Library .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	15,200	.....	.....
From War Memorial—General .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	13,000	.....	.....
From War Memorial—Art Museum.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	8,000	.....	.....
From California Palace of the Legion of Honor.	.....	.....	.....	.....	3,600	.....	.....
From de Young Memorial Museum.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,700	.....	.....
From Airport .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	9,000	.....	.....
From Municipal Railway Operating Fund.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	430,000	.....	.....
From Water Revenue Fund .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	146,200	.....	.....
From Common School Fund .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	59,500	.....	.....
From County Road Fund—Street Repair.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,100	.....	.....

(Estimated Revenues, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



## ESTIMATED REVENUES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Receipts by Transfer—(Continued)							
General Fund—(Continued)							
Lighting of Public Streets and Buildings—(Cont'd)							
From County Road Fund—Bridges	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,800	.....	.....
From County Road Fund—General	.....	.....	.....	.....	40,000	.....	.....
Total	.....	.....	.....	.....	782,600	.....	782,600
General Fund							
From Water Revenue	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,906,478	.....	1,906,478
de Young Memorial Museum Fund							
From California Palace of the Legion of Honor	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	13,690	13,690
Employees' Retirement Fund							
From Library Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	6,500	.....
From Common School Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	468,500	.....
From Special School Tax Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,250	.....
From Park Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	35,500	.....
From Recreation Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	12,872	.....
From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	5,000	.....
From Municipal Railway Operating Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	100,000	.....
From Airport Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,655	.....
From County Road Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	13,000	.....
From Water Revenue Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	43,000	.....
From Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,542	.....
Total	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	688,819	688,819
Bond Interest and Redemption Fund							
From 1933 Sewer Bond Fund	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	*108,502	108,502
From 1933 High Pressure System Grant	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	*150,500	150,500

(Estimated Revenues, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Classification	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations	Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	General Fund	Other Current Funds	Total
<b>Receipts by Transfer—(Continued)</b>							
<b>Bond Interest and Redemption Fund—(Continued)</b>							
From 1934 School House Grant .....						*128,018	128,018
From 1933 Water Distribution Grant .....						*110,374	110,374
From 1933 Water Distribution .....						*740,548	740,548
From County Road Fund .....						200,000	200,000
From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative .....						2,085,087	2,085,087
From Water Revenue .....						2,932,025	2,932,025
From Municipal Railway Operating .....						177,500	177,500
<b>Total . . . . .</b>						6,632,554	6,632,554
<b>Public Utilities Commission Fund</b>							
From Municipal Railway Operating .....						11,827	11,827
From Municipal Railway Operating (Claims) .....						13,020	13,020
From Water Revenue .....						52,522	52,522
From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative .....						20,326	20,326
From Hetch Hetchy Water Supply .....						5,000	5,000
<b>Total . . . . .</b>						102,695	102,695
<b>Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Fund</b>							
From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative .....						82,680	82,680
From Water Revenue .....						157,248	157,248
<b>Total . . . . .</b>						239,928	239,928
<b>Taxes . . . . .</b>			19,764,486				
							32,081,469
<b>TOTAL REVENUES . . . . .</b>	126,500	39,733,745	1,870,623	2,776,135	7,768,783		70,683,934

\*From Capital Funds.



FUNDING STATEMENT—BOND INTEREST AND REDEMPTION—FISCAL YEAR 1937-1938.....

Issues	ESTIMATED REQUIREMENTS			ESTIMATED REVENUES		
	Interest	Redemption	Total	Estimated Surplus Forward		Grant
				Interest	Redemp- tion	
GENERAL CITY AND COUNTY:	306,023	469,000	775,023	.....	.....	.....
	63,360	54,000	117,360	1,858	7,000	.....
	50,500	100,000	150,500	.....	.....	150,500
	59,250	300,000	359,250	.....	.....	128,018
	1,791,007	2,744,100	4,535,107	.....	.....	.....
	2,270,140	3,667,100	5,937,240	1,858	7,000	278,518
PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES	1,485,000	1,000,000	2,485,000	.....	.....	.....
	371,340	563,000	934,340	61,239	21,000	110,374
	1,215,000	1,000,000	2,215,000	.....	.....	.....
	393,750	250,000	643,750	.....	.....	.....
	1,080,000	600,000	1,680,000	.....	.....	.....
	342,753	.....	342,753	.....	.....	.....
	115,500	175,000	290,500	.....	.....	.....
	77,500	100,000	177,500	.....	.....	.....
	3,120	52,000	55,120	.....	.....	.....
	5,083,963	3,740,000	8,823,963	61,239	21,000	110,374
	7,354,103	7,407,100	14,761,203	63,097	28,000	388,892

\*Premium .....106,582  
Interest ..... 1,920  
108,502

†Premium .....732,463  
Balance of Appropriation for Interest During Construction... 1,179  
Unappropriated Balance of Funds..... 8,085

741,727

County Road Fund	From Proceeds of Bond Fund Indicated	Hetch Hetchy Power Revenue	Water Revenue	Railway Revenue	Taxes	Total	Issues
200,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	775,023	GENERAL CITY AND COUNTY
.....	* 108,502	.....	.....	.....	.....	117,360	1927 Boulevards
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	5,341,362	150,500	1933 Sewers
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	359,250	1933 High Pressure
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	4,535,107	1934 School House
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	Other
200,000	108,502	.....	.....	.....	5,341,362	5,937,240	
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,485,000	PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES
.....	+ 741,727	.....	2,485,000	.....	.....	934,340	1928 Spring Valley
.....	.....	950,826	.....	.....	.....	.....	1933 Water Distribution
.....	.....	1,134,261	400,000	.....	.....	.....	1910 Water
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,640,956	4,881,503	1925 Water
.....	.....	.....	47,025	.....	.....	.....	1928 Hetch Hetchy
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	290,500	1932 Hetch Hetchy
.....	\$ 54,055	.....	.....	.....	.....	177,500	1933 Hetch Hetchy Dam
.....	.....	.....	.....	177,500	.....	55,120	1913 Municipal Railway
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1933 Airport
.....	795,782	2,085,087	2,932,025	177,500	2,640,956	8,823,963	
200,000	904,284	2,085,087	2,932,025	177,500	7,982,318	14,761,203	

§ Balance of Appropriation for Interest During Construction.



## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
701	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS					
701.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	66,720				66,720
701.106.00	Fees and Special Compensations .....	7,000				7,000
701.200.00	Contractual Services .....	40,340	34,340			6,000
701.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	950	950			
701.814.00	Membership Dues .....	625				625
701.248.00	Audit of Controller's Books .....	5,000				5,000
		120,635	35,290			85,345
702	MAYOR					
702.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	40,480				40,480
702.200.00	Contractual Services .....	5,570				5,570
702.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	3,400	3,400			
		49,450	3,400			46,050
703	ASSESSOR					
703.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	131,710				131,710
703.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	100,000				100,000
703.200.00	Contractual Services .....	6,640	6,640			
703.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	15,900	15,900			
703.400.00	Equipment .....	3,500	3,500			
703.800.00	Fixed Charges .....	82	82			
		257,832	26,122			231,710

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
704	CITY ATTORNEY .....					
704.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	68,620	.....	.....	19,600	88,220
704.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	1,800	.....	.....	.....	1,800
704.200.00	Contractual Services .....	8,080	760	.....	1,800	9,120
704.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	1,000	1,000	.....	.....	.....
		79,500	1,760	.....	21,400	99,140
705	DISTRICT ATTORNEY .....					
705.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	110,780	.....	.....	.....	110,780
705.200.00	Contractual Services .....	465	465	.....	.....	.....
705.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	850	850	.....	.....	.....
705.400.00	Equipment .....	750	750	.....	.....	.....
705.900.00	Detention and Prosecution of Criminals .....	1,500	.....	.....	.....	1,500
705.901.00	District Attorney's Secret Fund .....	2,500	.....	.....	.....	2,500
		116,845	2,065	.....	.....	114,780
706	TREASURER .....					
706.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	46,460	.....	.....	.....	46,460
706.200.00	Contractual Services .....	375	375	.....	.....	.....
706.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	1,100	1,100	.....	.....	.....
706.400.00	Equipment .....	375	375	.....	.....	.....
706.816.00	Premium on Official Bonds .....	7,000	7,000	.....	.....	.....
		55,310	8,850	.....	.....	46,460

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
707	SHERIFF (Receipts Allocated to Appropriation \$17,000)					
707.101.00	Permanent Salaries	295,604				295,604
707.102.00	Temporary Salaries	6,160				6,160
707.200.01	Contractual Services—Administrative	4,350	1,800			2,550
707.200.02	Contractual Services—County Jail No. 1.	361	311			50
707.231.02	Heat, Light and Power—County Jail No. 1.	280	280			
707.200.03	Contractual Services—County Jail No. 2.	1,200	1,050			150
707.231.03	Heat, Light and Power—County Jail No. 2.	12,720	12,720			
707.300.01	Materials and Supplies—Administrative.	3,790	3,790			
707.300.02	Materials and Supplies—County Jail No. 1.	3,710	2,080			1,630
707.300.03	Materials and Supplies—County Jail No. 2.	16,000	8,900			7,100
707.350.02	Foodstuffs—County Jail No. 1.	14,500	850			13,650
707.350.03	Foodstuffs—County Jail No. 2.	30,500	1,650			28,850
707.400.00	Equipment	2,000	2,000			
707.809.00	Rents, Garage	240				240
707.812.00	Taxes, Sneath Ranch.	175				175
707.817.00	Automobile Insurance	250	250			
		391,840	35,681			356,159
708	PUBLIC DEFENDER					
708.101.00	Permanent Salaries	18,980				18,980
708.102.00	Temporary Salaries	75				75
708.200.00	Contractual Services	90	90			
708.300.00	Materials and Supplies	100	100			
708.400.00	Equipment	100	100			
		19,345	290			19,055

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>POLICE DEPARTMENT</b>						
709	Permanent Salaries .....	3,338,420	.....	.....	.....	3,338,420
709.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	1,762	.....	.....	.....	1,762
709.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	47,724	41,536	.....	.....	6,188
709.200.00	Contractual Services .....	12,000	12,000	.....	.....	.....
709.231.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	1,400	1,128	.....	.....	272
709.300.00	Materials and Supplies—City Prison .....	55,300	45,825	.....	.....	9,475
709.300.01	Materials and Supplies—Stations and Bureaus .....	5,500	386	.....	.....	5,114
709.350.00	Foodstuffs—City Prison .....	45,750	45,750	.....	.....	.....
709.400.00	Equipment .....	2,280	2,280	.....	.....	.....
709.900.00	Services of Other Departments .....	9,000	.....	.....	.....	9,000
709.901.00	Contingent Fund .....	3,519,136	148,905	.....	.....	3,370,231
<b>FIRE DEPARTMENT</b>						
710	Permanent Salaries .....	2,903,855	.....	.....	.....	2,903,855
710.101.01	Permanent Salaries .....	145,065	.....	.....	.....	145,065
710.101.02	Permanent Salaries—Fireboats .....	1,325	.....	.....	.....	1,325
710.102.01	Temporary Salaries .....	2,411	.....	.....	.....	2,411
710.102.02	Temporary Salaries—Fireboats .....	6,503	.....	.....	.....	6,503
710.103.00	Wages .....	141,650	133,775	.....	.....	7,875
710.200.00	Contractual Services .....	17,000	17,000	.....	.....	.....
710.231.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	38,500	21,500	.....	.....	17,000
710.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	95,000	95,000	.....	.....	.....
710.400.00	Equipment .....	1,200	.....	.....	.....	1,200
710.809.00	Rent—Engine Co. No. 2 .....	3,352,509	267,275	.....	.....	3,085,234

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
711	BOARD OF PERMIT APPEALS					
711.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	3,000				3,000
711.106.00	Fees and Special Compensations.....	5,000				5,000
711.200.00	Contractual Services .....	50	50			
		8,050	50			8,000
716	ART COMMISSION (Receipts Allocated to Appropriation \$15,000)					
716.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	3,900				3,900
716.200.00	Contractual Services .....	100	100			
716.200.01	Municipal Band .....	15,000				15,000
716.200.02	*Municipal Symphony Concerts .....	50,000				50,000
716.200.03	Municipal Chorus .....	5,000				5,000
716.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	100	100			
		74,100	200			73,900
719.200.00	STEINHART AQUARIUM .....	40,500				40,500
720	MUNICIPAL COURT					
720.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	206,640				206,640
720.105.00	Jury and Witness Fees.....	1,400				1,400
720.106.00	Court Reporters' Fees.....	15,000				15,000
720.200.00	Contractual Services .....	2,970	2,920			50
720.249.00	Reporters' Transcriptions .....	7,000				7,000
720.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	3,450	3,450			
		236,460	6,370			230,090

\*That Portion of the General Fund (Art Commission) Appropriation No. 716.200.02 for Symphony Concerts Which Is to Be Met from Taxes, Shall Not Exceed the Amount to Be Provided by Levy of ½ Cent on Each \$100 of the 1937-38 Assessment Roll.

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
721	SUPERIOR COURT					
721.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	138,480	.....	.....	.....	138,480
721.105.00	Jury and Witness Fees .....	25,000	.....	.....	.....	25,000
721.105.01	Grand Jury .....	11,000	.....	.....	.....	11,000
721.106.01	Court Reporters' Fees .....	12,000	.....	.....	.....	12,000
721.106.02	Examination of Insane .....	12,000	.....	.....	.....	12,000
721.200.00	Contractual Services .....	5,000	5,000	.....	.....	.....
721.249.00	Reporters' Transcriptions .....	17,000	.....	.....	.....	17,000
721.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	2,500	2,500	.....	.....	.....
721.400.00	Equipment .....	1,500	1,500	.....	.....	.....
721.900.00	Court Orders .....	4,000	.....	.....	.....	4,000
	Other Appropriations					
721.200.02	Maintenance Criminal Insane and Narcotics .....	17,000	.....	.....	.....	17,000
		245,480	9,000	.....	.....	236,480
722	LAW LIBRARY					
722.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	11,400	.....	.....	.....	11,400
722.200.00	Contractual Services .....	40	40	.....	.....	.....
722.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	350	350	.....	.....	.....
		11,790	390	.....	.....	11,400

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
JUVENILE COURT						
723		81,300				81,300
723.101.00	Permanent Salaries . . . . .	300				300
723.102.00	Temporary Salaries . . . . .	2,440				2,440
723.200.00	Contractual Services . . . . .	532,000	700			532,700
723.206.00	Maintenance of Minors . . . . .	19,500				19,500
723.207.00	Maintenance of Minors in State Schools . . . . .	1,675				1,675
723.300.00	Materials and Supplies . . . . .	740	1,675			2,415
723.400.00	Equipment . . . . .	180	740			920
723.809.00	Garage Rent . . . . .					
		638,135	3,115			635,020
JUVENILE DETENTION HOME						
724		24,006				24,006
724.101.00	Permanent Salaries . . . . .	600				600
724.102.00	Temporary Salaries . . . . .	2,218	1,518			3,736
724.200.00	Contractual Services . . . . .	1,500	1,500			3,000
724.231.00	Heat, Light and Power . . . . .	2,000	803			2,803
724.300.00	Materials and Supplies . . . . .	4,500	500			5,000
724.350.00	Foodstuffs . . . . .	2,000	2,000			4,000
724.400.00	Equipment . . . . .	192				192
724.108.00	Room Allowance for Employees . . . . .	3,600				3,600
724.900.00	Services of Department of Public Health . . . . .		3,600			3,600
		40,616	9,921			30,695

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT</b>						
725						
725.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	25,680	.....	.....	.....	25,680
725.200.00	Contractual Services .....	375	75	.....	.....	300
725.328.00	Books, Printing, Stationery .....	500	500	.....	.....	.....
725.400.00	Equipment .....	200	200	.....	.....	.....
		26,755	775	.....	.....	25,980
<b>CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER</b>						
726						
726.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	19,854	.....	.....	.....	19,854
726.200.00	Contractual Services .....	2,450	1,550	.....	.....	900
726.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	800	800	.....	.....	.....
726.400.00	Equipment .....	200	200	.....	.....	.....
		23,304	2,550	.....	.....	20,754
<b>DIRECTOR OF FINANCE AND RECORDS</b>						
727						
727.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	7,860	.....	.....	.....	7,860
727.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	150	150	.....	.....	.....
		8,010	150	.....	.....	7,860

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>TAX COLLECTOR</b>						
728	Permanent Salaries	109,340				109,340
728.101.01	Permanent Salaries—Bureau Delinquent Revenue	8,100				8,100
728.101.02	Permanent Salaries—Tax Redemption Bureau	4,620				4,620
728.101.03	Temporary Salaries	14,650				14,650
728.102.01	Temporary Salaries—Bureau Delinquent Revenue	10,000				10,000
728.102.02	Temporary Salaries—Tax Redemption Bureau	1,800				1,800
728.102.03	Contractual Services	6,270	810			5,460
728.200.00	Materials and Supplies	7,200	7,200			
728.300.00	Equipment	850	850			
		162,830	8,860			153,970
<b>REGISTRAR OF VOTERS</b>						
729	Permanent Salaries	57,654				57,654
729.101.00	Permanent Salaries	23,950				23,950
729.102.00	Election Workers	31,274				31,274
729.106.00	Contractual Services	30,397	1,897			28,500
729.200.00	Heat, Light and Power	200	200			
729.231.00	Materials and Supplies	1,275	950			325
729.300.00	Equipment	550	550			
729.400.00	Voting Machines Payment	50,000				50,000
729.410.00	Warehouse Rental	4,800				4,800
729.809.00	Rent of Polling Places	7,275				7,275
729.809.01		207,375	3,597			203,778

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
730	RECORDER					
730.101.00	Permanent Salaries	95,768	.....	.....	.....	95,768
730.102.00	Temporary Salaries	7,500	.....	.....	.....	7,500
730.200.00	Contractual Services	602	330	.....	.....	272
730.300.00	Materials and Supplies	4,000	4,000	.....	.....	.....
730.400.00	Equipment	2,050	2,050	.....	.....	.....
		109,920	6,380	.....	.....	103,540
731	COUNTY CLERK					
731.101.00	Permanent Salaries	128,160	.....	.....	.....	128,160
731.200.00	Contractual Services	435	325	.....	.....	110
731.300.00	Materials and Supplies	6,500	6,500	.....	.....	.....
731.400.00	Equipment	1,700	1,700	.....	.....	.....
		136,795	8,525	.....	.....	128,270
732	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR					
732.101.00	Permanent Salaries	39,580	.....	.....	.....	39,580
732.200.00	Contractual Services	1,280	990	.....	.....	290
732.300.00	Materials and Supplies	600	600	.....	.....	.....
732.809.00	Rentals	290	.....	.....	.....	290
		41,750	1,590	.....	.....	40,160

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
733	PURCHASING DEPARTMENT					
733.101.00	Permanent Salaries	123,945				123,945
733.102.00	Temporary Salaries	1,900				1,900
733.103.00	Wages	6,975				6,975
	Contractual Services					
	Auto Hire					
733.203.03	Assessor			360		360
733.203.04	City Attorney			360		360
733.203.07-1	Sheriff—Administrative			1,200		1,200
733.203.09	Police Department			360		360
733.203.17	California Palace of Legion of Honor				240	240
733.203.18	de Young Memorial Museum				240	240
733.203.28	Tax Collector			360		360
733.203.29	Registrar of Voters			960		960
733.203.33	Purchaser	2,142				2,142
733.203.34	Real Estate Department			480		480
	Department of Public Works					
733.203.38	Bureau of Building Repair			1,440		1,440
733.203.39	Bureau of Building Inspection			5,040		5,040
733.203.40	Bureau of Engineering			3,360		3,360
733.203.42	Bureau of Street Cleaning			1,080		1,080
	Department of Public Health					
	Central Office					
733.203.50-1	Administration			600		600
733.203.50-4	Meat Inspection			1,800		1,800
733.203.50-5	Communicable Diseases			2,100		2,100
733.203.05-1	Syphilis Unit			300		300
733.203.50-11	Food and Milk Inspection			1,200		1,200
733.203.50-15	Industrial Inspection			300		300
733.203.50-16	City Physicians			3,000		3,000
733.203.54	Emergency Hospitals			300		300

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
<b>Contractual Services (Continued)</b>						
733.203.56	County Welfare Department .....	.	.....	2,500	.....	2,500
733.203.58	Horticultural Inspection Department .....	.	.....	1,800	.....	1,800
733.203.61	City Planning Commission .....	.	.....	460	.....	460
	Scavenger Service .....	.	.....		.....	
	(\$7,294)					
733.204.07-2	Sheriff—County Jail No. 1 .....	.	.....	231	.....	231
733.204.09	Police Department .....	.	.....	358	.....	358
733.204.10	Fire Department .....	.	.....	1,964	.....	1,964
733.204.13	Recreation .....	.	.....	.....	865	865
733.204.14	Library .....	.	.....	.....	475	475
733.204.15	War Memorial .....	.	.....	.....	400	400
733.204.24	Juvenile Detention Home .....	.	.....	68	.....	68
733.204.29	Registrar of Voters .....	.	.....	12	.....	12
733.204.35	Auditorium .....	.	.....	329	.....	329
	Department of Public Works .....	.	.....	.....	.....	.....
733.204.38	Bureau of Building Repair .....	.	.....	987	.....	987
733.204.49	Department of Electricity .....	.	.....	38	.....	38
	Department of Public Health .....	.	.....	.....	.....	.....
733.204.50-1	Central Office—Administration .....	.	.....	68	.....	68
733.204.53	San Francisco Hospital .....	.	.....	1,057	.....	1,057
733.204.54	Emergency Hospitals .....	.	.....	262	.....	262
733.204.65	Municipal Railway .....	.	.....	.....	180	180
	Shop Expense .....	.	.....	.....	.....	.....
	(\$183,600)					
733.209.03	Assessor .....	.	.....	150	.....	150
733.209.07-1	Sheriff—Administrative .....	.	.....	250	.....	250
733.209.07-3	Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 .....	.	.....	750	.....	750
733.209.09	Police Department .....	.	.....	25,800	.....	25,800
733.209.10	Fire Department .....	.	.....	130,000	.....	130,000
733.209.23	Juvenile Court .....	.	.....	400	.....	400
733.209.26	Chief Administrative Officer .....	.	.....	50	.....	50

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



TUESDAY, JUNE 1, 1937.

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
Contractual Services (Continued)						
Shop Expense (Continued)						
733.209.28	Tax Collector .....	.	.....	100	.....	100
733.209.29	Registrar of Voters .....	.	.....	125	.....	125
Department of Public Works						
733.209.36	General Office .....	.	.....	150	.....	150
733.209.37	Bureau of Accounts .....	.	.....	500	.....	500
733.209.38	Bureau of Building Repair .....	.	.....	1,100	.....	1,100
733.209.39	Bureau of Building Inspection .....	.	.....	100	.....	100
733.209.40	Bureau of Engineering .....	.	.....	400	.....	400
733.209.42	Bureau of Street Cleaning .....	.	.....	9,000	.....	9,000
733.209.43	Bureau of Sewer Repair .....	.	.....	4,000	.....	4,000
733.209.49	Department of Electricity .....	.	.....	870	.....	870
Department of Public Health						
Central Office						
733.209.50-1	Administration .....	.	.....	300	.....	300
733.209.50-4	Meat Inspection .....	.	.....	90	.....	90
733.209.50-11	Food and Milk Inspection .....	.	.....	850	.....	850
733.209.50-13	Plumbing Inspection .....	.	.....	1,000	.....	1,000
733.209.50-14	Housing Inspection .....	.	.....	250	.....	250
733.209.50-15	Industrial Inspection .....	.	.....	75	.....	75
733.209.50-17	Field Nursing—Administration .....	.	.....	100	.....	100
733.209.50-18	Field Nursing—Schools .....	.	.....	235	.....	235
733.209.50-19	Field Nursing—Other .....	.	.....	675	.....	675
733.209.51	Laguna Honda Home .....	.	.....	100	.....	100
733.209.53	San Francisco Hospital .....	.	.....	700	.....	700
733.209.54	Emergency Hospitals .....	.	.....	4,500	.....	4,500
733.209.55	Hassler Health Home .....	.	.....	500	.....	500

(Detail of General Fund Appropriation for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
Contractual Services (Continued)						
Shop Expense (Continued)						
733.209.57	Coroner .....	..	..	150	..	150
733.209.59	Department of Weights and Measures .....	..	..	250	..	250
733.209.60	Controller .....	..	..	80	..	80
Maintenance and Repair of Office Equipment.. (\$6,225)						
733.210.03	Assessor .....	..	..	300	..	300
733.210.06	Treasurer .....	..	..	200	..	200
733.210.09	Police Department .....	..	..	600	..	600
733.210.10	Fire Department .....	..	..	300	..	300
733.210.24	Juvenile Detention Home .....	..	..	100	..	100
733.210.28	Tax Collector .....	..	..	200	..	200
733.210.30	Recorder .....	..	..	250	..	250
733.210.31	County Clerk .....	..	..	200	..	200
733.210.32	Public Administrator .....	..	..	40	..	40
733.210.33	Purchaser .....	175	..	..	..	175
733.210.34	Real Estate Department .....	..	..	50	..	50
Department of Public Works						
733.210.36	General Office .....	..	..	100	..	100
733.210.37	Bureau of Accounts .....	..	..	75	..	75
733.210.38	Building Inspection .....	..	..	50	..	50
733.210.40	Bureau of Engineering .....	..	..	100	..	100
733.210.49	Department of Electricity .....	..	..	25	..	25
Department of Public Health						
Central Office						
733.210.50-1	Administration .....	..	..	50	..	50
733.210.50-2	Accounting .....	..	..	150	..	150
733.210.50-3	Statistics .....	..	..	50	..	50

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)						
	Contractual Services (Continued)					
	Maintenance and Repair of Office Equipment (Continued)					
	Department of Public Health (Continued)					
	Central Office (Continued)					
	Communicable Diseases					
733.210.50-5	G. U. Diagnostic Center (Clinics)			70		70
733.210.50-6	Bacteriological Laboratory			20		20
733.210.50-7	Food and Milk Inspection			30		30
733.210.50-11	Plumbing Inspection			20		20
733.210.50-13	Housing Inspection			20		20
733.210.50-14	Field Nursing—Administration			50		50
733.210.50-17	Field Nursing—Schools			40		40
733.210.50-18	Field Nursing—Other			25		25
733.210.50-19	Laguna Honda Home			10		10
733.210.51	San Francisco Hospital			300		300
733.210.53	County Welfare Department			200		200
733.210.56	Coroner			50		50
733.210.57	Controller			2,355		2,355
733.210.60	Towel Service (\$4,670)					
733.217.09	Police Department			868		868
733.217.10	Fire Department			11		11
733.217.18	de Young Museum				200	200
	Department of Public Works					
733.217.38	Bureau of Building Repair			3,375		3,375
733.217.33-1	Purchaser—Shop No. 1	21				21
733.217.49	Department of Electricity			185		185
733.217.59	Department of Weights and Measures			10		10

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
<b>Contractual Services (Continued)</b>						
	Telephone and Telegraph .....					
	Board of Supervisors .....					
733.225.01	Assessor .....			700		700
733.225.03	City Attorney .....			500		500
733.225.04	District Attorney .....			400		400
733.225.05	Treasurer .....			465		465
733.225.06	Sheriff—Administration .....			125		125
733.225.07-1	Sheriff—County Jail No. 1 .....			350		350
733.225.07-2	Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 .....			80		80
733.225.07-3	Public Defender .....			300		300
733.225.08	Police Department .....			90		90
733.225.09	Fire Department .....			3,750		3,750
733.225.10	Board of Permit Appeals .....			1,300		1,300
733.225.11	Art Commission .....			25		25
733.225.16	Municipal Court .....			100		100
733.225.20	Superior Court .....			350		350
733.225.21	Law Library .....			1,000		1,000
733.225.22	Juvenile Court .....			40		40
733.225.23	Adult Probation Department .....			300		300
733.225.25	Chief Administrative Officer .....			75		75
733.225.26	Tax Collector .....			1,500		1,500
733.225.28	Registrar of Voters .....			150		150
733.225.29	Recorder .....			180		180
733.225.30	County Clerk .....			80		80
733.225.31	Public Administrator .....			125		125
733.225.32	Purchaser .....			200		200
733.225.33	Real Estate Department .....	220				220
733.225.34	Department of Public Works—General Office .....			330		330
733.225.36				810		810

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



TUESDAY, JUNE 1, 1937.

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
<b>Contractual Services (Continued)</b>						
<b>Telephone and Telegraph (Continued)</b>						
733.225.49	Department of Electricity .....	..	..	400	..	400
<b>Department of Public Health</b>						
<b>Central Office—Administration</b>						
733.225.50-1	Laguna Honda Home .....	..	..	1,400	..	1,400
733.225.51	San Francisco Hospital .....	..	..	850	..	850
733.225.53	Emergency Hospitals .....	..	..	2,600	..	2,600
733.225.54	Hassler Health Home .....	..	..	775	..	775
733.225.55	Coroner .....	..	..	800	..	800
733.225.57	Horticultural Inspection Department .....	..	..	300	..	300
733.225.58	Department of Weights and Measures .....	..	..	250	..	250
733.225.59	Controller .....	..	..	40	..	40
733.225.60	City Planning Commission .....	..	..	550	..	550
733.225.61	Civil Service Commission .....	..	..	50	..	50
733.225.71	Employees' Retirement System .....	..	..	212	..	212
733.225.72	..	..	..	..	42	42
<b>Other Contractual Services .....</b> (\$93,294)						
<b>Board of Supervisors</b>						
733.229.01	Publication of Ordinances and Resolutions .....	..	..	19,640	..	19,640
733.230.01	Printing Journals, Calendars, Resolutions, etc. ....	..	..	14,000	..	14,000
<b>Assessor</b>						
733.208.03	Rental—Meter and Tabulator .....	..	..	5,330	..	5,330
<b>Treasurer</b>						
733.201.06	Carfare .....	..	..	50	..	50
<b>Police Department</b>						
733.212.09	Repairs to Police Launch .....	..	..	1,500	..	1,500
733.223.09	Horseshoeing .....	..	..	3,500	..	3,500
733.224.09	Washing and Greasing Autos .....	..	..	4,800	..	4,800

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
<b>Other Contractual Services (Continued)</b>						
<b>Fire Department</b>						
733.229.10	Advertising . . . . .	.	.	200	.	200
<b>War Memorial</b>						
733.212.15	Maintenance Fire Alarm . . . . .	.	.	.	550	550
<b>Board of Permit Appeals</b>						
733.227.16	Postage . . . . .	.	.	25	.	25
<b>California Palace of Legion of Honor</b>						
733.212.17	Maintenance of Burglar Alarm . . . . .	.	.	.	60	60
<b>de Young Museum</b>						
733.212.18	Maintenance of Burglar Alarm . . . . .	.	.	.	30	30
<b>Municipal Court</b>						
733.230.20	Printing Court Calendars . . . . .	.	.	2,570	.	2,570
<b>Superior Court</b>						
733.230.21	Printing Court Calendars . . . . .	.	.	4,000	.	4,000
<b>Juvenile Detention Home</b>						
733.216.24	Elevator Inspection and Repairs . . . . .	.	.	1,350	.	1,350
<b>Registrar of Voters</b>						
733.212.29	Repair Voting Machines . . . . .	.	.	520	.	520
733.233.29	Miscellaneous . . . . .	.	.	100	.	100
<b>Public Administrator</b>						
733.230.32	Annual Report . . . . .	.	.	750	.	750
<b>Purchasing Department</b>						
733.201.33	Carfare . . . . .	20	.	.	.	20
733.202.33	Freight on Tabulating Cards . . . . .	50	.	.	.	50
733.208.33-1	Rental of Tabulating Machines . . . . .	4,554	.	.	.	4,554
733.208.33-2	Rental of Postage Meter . . . . .	120	.	.	.	120
733.226.33	License Tags and Plates . . . . .	5,500	.	.	.	5,500

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)						
Other Contractual Services (Continued)						
Purchasing Department (Continued)						
733.229.33	Official Advertising .....	8,000	.....	.....	.....	8,000
733.230.33	Printing Public Documents .....	2,500	.....	.....	.....	2,500
733.231.33-1	Gas and Electricity—Shop No. 1 .....	2,500	2,500	.....	.....	.....
733.231.33-2	Gas and Electricity—Shop No. 2 .....	500	500	.....	.....	.....
733.231.33-3	Gas and Electricity—Central Warehouse .....	550	550	.....	.....	.....
733.233.33-1	McGill Commodity Service .....	60	.....	.....	.....	60
733.233.33-2	Removal of Dead Animals .....	6,000	.....	.....	.....	6,000
Real Estate Department						
733.233.34	Directory Service .....	.....	.....	90	.....	90
Department of Public Health—Central Office—Administration						
733.225.50-1	Postage .....	.....	.....	2,500	.....	2,500
Coroner						
733.230.57	Publication of Reports .....	.....	.....	350	.....	350
Controller						
733.208.60	Rental Tabulating Machines .....	.....	.....	525	.....	525
City Planning Commission						
733.229.61	Official Advertising .....	.....	.....	500	.....	500

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
Materials and Supplies:						
Materials and Supplies—Miscellaneous..... (\$4,950)						
Assessor						
733.316.03	Maps .....			2,500		2,500
Police Department (Stations and Bureaus)						
733.310.09-1	Supplies—Automobile .....			500		500
733.310.09-2	Supplies—Police Launch .....			200		200
733.315.09-1	Batteries—Automobile .....			1,000		1,000
733.315.09-2	Batteries—Radio .....			200		200
733.315.09-3	Heavy Duty Generators—Replacements .....			200		200
733.315.09-4	Batteries—Motorcycles .....			350		350
Drygoods and Sewing Materials—Clothing & Wearing Apparel .....						
Apparel .....						
733.314.07-2	Sheriff—Jail No. 1 .....			1,200		1,200
733.314.07-3	Sheriff—Jail No. 2 .....			5,500		5,500
733.314.09	Police Department—City Prison .....			548		548
733.314.24	Juvenile Detention Home .....			515		515
733.314.38	D. P. W. Building Repair .....			200		200
Department of Public Health						
733.314.51	Laguna Honda Home .....			21,000		21,000
733.314.53	San Francisco Hospital .....			23,000		23,000
733.314.54	Emergency Hospitals .....			1,700		1,700
733.314.55	Hassler Health Home .....			1,800		1,800
Gasoline and Oil .....						
Gasoline and Oil .....						
733.318.03	Assessor .....			400		400
733.318.07-1	Sheriff—Administration .....			800		800
733.318.07-3	Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 .....			1,200		1,200
733.318.09	Police Department .....			26,275		26,275
733.318.10	Fire Department .....			13,000		13,000
733.318.23	Juvenile Court .....			350		350

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
Materials and Supplies (Continued)						
Gasoline and Oil (Continued)						
733.318.26	Chief Administrative Officer .....	.....	.....	450	.....	450
733.318.28	Tax Collector .....	.....	.....	200	.....	200
733.318.29	Registrar of Voters .....	.....	.....	75	.....	75
733.318.33-1	Purchasing Department—General Office .....	30	.....	.....	.....	30
733.318.33-2	Purchasing Department—Shop No. 2 .....	300	.....	.....	.....	300
Department of Public Works						
733.318.36	General Office .....	.....	.....	144	.....	144
733.318.37	Bureau of Accounts .....	.....	.....	500	.....	500
733.318.38	Bureau of Building Repair .....	.....	.....	600	.....	600
733.318.39	Bureau of Building Inspection .....	.....	.....	100	.....	100
733.318.40	Bureau of Engineering .....	.....	.....	600	.....	600
733.318.42	Bureau of Street Cleaning .....	.....	.....	9,200	.....	9,200
733.318.43	Bureau of Sewer Repair .....	.....	.....	2,500	.....	2,500
733.318.49	Department of Electricity .....	.....	.....	2,130	.....	2,130
Department of Public Health						
Central Office						
733.318.50-1	Administration .....	.....	.....	750	.....	750
733.318.50-4	Meat Inspection .....	.....	.....	110	.....	110
733.318.50-11	Food and Milk Inspection .....	.....	.....	505	.....	505
733.318.50-13	Plumbing Inspection .....	.....	.....	650	.....	650
733.318.50-14	Housing Inspection .....	.....	.....	150	.....	150
733.318.50-15	Industrial Inspection .....	.....	.....	110	.....	110
733.318.50-17	Field Nursing—Administration .....	.....	.....	100	.....	100
733.318.50-18	Field Nursing—Schools .....	.....	.....	80	.....	80
733.318.50-19	Field Nursing—Other .....	.....	.....	175	.....	175

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

## Description

Number

Amount

Transfers

From  
Intra-fund  
ReceiptsFrom  
Inter-fund  
Receipts

Total

## PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)

## Materials and Supplies (Continued)

## Gasoline and Oil (Continued)

## Department of Public Health (Continued)

733.318.51	Laguna Honda Home	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500
733.318.53	San Francisco Hospital	900	900	900	900
733.318.54	Emergency Hospitals	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500
733.318.55	Hassler Health Home	650	650	650	650
733.318.57	Coroner	300	300	300	300
733.318.59	Department of Weights and Measures	400	400	400	400
733.318.60	Controller	300	300	300	300
733.318.72	Employees' Retirement System	40	40	40	40
	Books, Office Supplies, Stationery, etc. (\$124,130)				
733.328.01	Board of Supervisors	800	800	800	800
733.328.02	Mayor	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000
733.328.03	Assessor	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000
733.328.04	City Attorney	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
733.328.05	District Attorney	750	750	750	750
733.328.06	Treasurer	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,100
733.328.07-1	Sheriff—Administration	2,865	2,865	2,865	2,865

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)						
Materials and Supplies (Continued)						
Books, Office Supplies, Stationery, Etc. (Continued)						
733.328.08	Public Defender .....	100		100		100
733.328.09	Police Department .....	12,000		12,000		12,000
733.328.10	Fire Department .....	1,500		1,500		1,500
733.328.16	Art Commission .....	100		100		100
733.328.20	Municipal Court .....	3,450		3,450		3,450
733.328.21-1	Superior Court—Law Books .....	1,000		1,000		1,000
733.328.21-2	Superior Court—Secretary .....	1,000		1,000		1,000
733.328.21-3	Superior Court—Grand Jury .....	500		500		500
733.328.22	Law Library .....	350		350		350
733.328.23	Juvenile Court .....	1,150		1,150		1,150
733.328.25	Adult Probation Officer .....	500		500		500
733.328.26	Chief Administrative Officer .....	250		250		250
733.328.27	Director of Finance and Records .....	150		150		150
733.328.28	Tax Collector .....	7,000		7,000		7,000
733.328.29	Registrar of Voters .....	750		750		750
733.328.30	Recorder .....	4,000		4,000		4,000
733.328.31	County Clerk .....	6,500		6,500		6,500
733.328.32	Public Administrator .....	600		600		600
733.328.33	Purchasing Department—General Office .....	8,000		8,000		8,000
733.328.34	Real Estate Department .....	200		200		200
733.328.34-1	Real Estate Department—Blueprints, etc. ....	200		200		200
Department of Public Works						
733.328.36	General Office .....	5,300		5,300		5,300
733.328.40	Engineering .....	1,800		1,800		1,800
733.328.49	Department of Electricity .....	1,000		1,000		1,000

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
<b>Materials and Supplies (Continued)</b>						
<b>Books, Office Supplies, Stationery, etc. (Continued)</b>						
<b>Department of Public Health</b>						
<b>Central Office</b>						
733.328.50-1	Administration . . . . .	.	.	.	.	1,500
733.328.50-2	Accounting . . . . .	.	.	.	.	150
733.328.50-3	Statistics . . . . .	.	.	.	.	775
733.328.50-4	Meat Inspection . . . . .	.	.	.	.	75
733.328.50-5	Communicable Diseases . . . . .	.	.	.	.	650
733.328.50-6	G. U. Diagnostic Center (Clinics) . . . . .	.	.	.	.	125
733.328.50-6-1	Bureau of Mental Hygiene . . . . .	.	.	.	.	100
733.328.50-7	Bacteriological Laboratory . . . . .	.	.	.	.	450
733.328.50-9	Dental . . . . .	.	.	.	.	50
733.328.50-11	Food and Milk Inspection . . . . .	.	.	.	.	660
733.328.50-12	Chemical Laboratory . . . . .	.	.	.	.	100
733.328.50-13	Plumbing Inspection . . . . .	.	.	.	.	480
733.328.50-14	Housing Inspection . . . . .	.	.	.	.	270
733.328.50-15	Industrial Inspection . . . . .	.	.	.	.	60
733.328.50-18	Field Nursing—Schools . . . . .	.	.	.	.	700
733.328.50-19	Field Nursing—Other . . . . .	.	.	.	.	1,030
733.328.50-21	Tuberculosis Bureau . . . . .	.	.	.	.	300
733.328.51	Laguna Honda Home . . . . .	.	.	.	.	750
733.328.53	San Francisco Hospital . . . . .	.	.	.	.	7,500
733.328.54	Emergency Hospitals . . . . .	.	.	.	.	1,500
733.328.55	Hassler Health Home . . . . .	.	.	.	.	250

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
Materials and Supplies (Continued)						
Books, Office Supplies, Stationery, etc. (Continued)						
733.328.56	County Welfare Department .....	.	.....	8,500	.....	8,500
733.328.57	Coroner .....	.	.....	650	.....	650
733.328.58	Agricultural (Horticultural) Inspection Department .....	.	.....	400	.....	400
733.328.59	Department of Weights and Measures .....	.	.....	190	.....	190
733.328.60	Controller .....	.	.....	13,000	.....	13,000
733.328.61	City Planning Commission .....	.	.....	500	.....	500
733.328.71	Civil Service Commission .....	.	.....	3,500	.....	3,500
<b>Tires and Tubes .....</b> (\$12,220)						
733.337.01	Board of Supervisors .....	.	.....	150	.....	150
733.337.02	Mayor .....	.	.....	400	.....	400
733.337.05	District Attorney .....	.	.....	100	.....	100
733.337.07-1	Sheriff—Administrative .....	.	.....	125	.....	125
733.337.07-3	Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 .....	.	.....	200	.....	200
733.337.09	Police Department .....	.	.....	4,800	.....	4,800
733.337.23	Juvenile Court .....	.	.....	175	.....	175
733.337.26	Chief Administrative Officer .....	.	.....	100	.....	100
733.337.29	Registrar of Voters .....	.	.....	125	.....	125
733.337.33-2	Purchasing Department—Shop No. 2 .....	175	.....	.....	.....	175
<b>Department of Public Works</b>						
733.337.36	General Office .....	.	.....	60	.....	60
733.337.37	Bureau of Accounts .....	.	.....	100	.....	100
733.337.38	Bureau of Building Repair .....	.	.....	150	.....	150
733.337.40	Bureau of Engineering .....	.	.....	150	.....	150
733.337.42	Bureau of Street Cleaning .....	.	.....	1,500	.....	1,500
733.337.43	Bureau of Sewer Repair .....	.	.....	500	.....	500

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
<b>Materials and Supplies (Continued)</b>						
<b>Tires and Tubes (Continued)</b>						
733.337.49	Department of Electricity .....			400		400
	Department of Public Health					
	Central Office					
733.337.50-1	Administration .....					
733.337.50-4	Meat Inspection .....			150		150
733.337.50-11	Food and Milk Inspection .....			25		25
733.337.50-13	Plumbing Inspection .....			200		200
733.337.50-14	Housing Inspection .....			250		250
733.337.50-15	Industrial Inspection .....			60		60
733.337.50-17	Field Nursing—Administration .....			60		60
733.337.50-18	Field Nursing—Schools .....			50		50
733.337.50-19	Field Nursing—Other .....			65		65
733.337.51	Laguna Honda Home .....			125		125
733.337.53	San Francisco Hospital .....			200		200
733.337.54	Emergency Hospitals .....			250		250
733.337.55	Hassler Health Home .....			1,000		1,000
733.337.57	Coroner .....			300		300
733.337.59	Department of Weights and Measures .....			75		75
733.337.60	Controller .....			100		100
	Cleaning, Polishing, Lavatory Supplies..... (\$17,565)			100		100
733.338.07-2	Sheriff—County Jail No. 1.....			730		730
733.338.07-3	Sheriff—County Jail No. 2.....			1,250		1,250
733.338.49	Department of Electricity .....			35		35
	Department of Public Health					
733.338.51	Laguna Honda Home .....			5,000		5,000
733.338.53	San Francisco Hospital .....			10,000		10,000
733.338.54	Emergency Hospitals .....			150		150
733.338.55	Hassler Health Home .....			400		400

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
<b>Materials and Supplies (Continued)</b>						
	Brooms, Brushes, Mops, etc..... (\$4,310)					
733.339.07-2	Sheriff—County Jail No. 1 .....			150		150
733.339.07-3	Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 .....			350		350
	Department of Public Health					
733.339.51	Laguna Honda Home .....			1,000		1,000
733.339.53	San Francisco Hospital .....			2,500		2,500
733.339.54	Emergency Hospitals .....			60		60
733.339.55	Hassler Health Home .....			250		250
<b>Miscellaneous Janitorial Supplies .....</b> (\$22,063)						
733.340.07-3	Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 .....			400		400
733.340.09-1	Police Department—Stations and Bureaus .....			300		300
733.340.09	Police Department—City Prison .....			580		580
733.340.10	Fire Department .....			7,000		7,000
733.340.24	Juvenile Detention Home .....			288		288
733.340.33-3	Purchasing Department—Central Warehouse .....	50				50
733.340.38	Department of Public Works—Building Repair .....			5,500		5,500
733.340.49	Department of Electricity .....			45		45
	Department of Public Health					
733.340.51	Laguna Honda Home .....			2,500		2,500
733.340.53	San Francisco Hospital .....			5,000		5,000
733.340.54	Emergency Hospitals .....			200		200
733.340.55	Hassler Health Home .....			200		200

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
<b>Materials and Supplies (Continued)</b>						
	Canned Goods and Dried Fruits..... (\$44,186)					
733.351.07-2	Sheriff—County Jail No. 1.....	850	.....	850	.....	850
733.351.07-3	Sheriff—County Jail No. 2.....	1,650	.....	1,650	.....	1,650
733.351.09	Police Department—City Prison.....	386	.....	386	.....	386
733.351.24	Juvenile Detention Home.....	500	.....	500	.....	500
	Department of Public Health					
733.351.51	Laguna Honda Home.....	17,000	.....	17,000	.....	17,000
733.351.53	San Francisco Hospital.....	22,000	.....	22,000	.....	22,000
733.351.54	Emergency Hospitals .....	50	.....	50	.....	50
733.351.55	Hassler Health Home.....	1,750	.....	1,750	.....	1,750
	Equipment .....					
	Equipment .....	(\$320,942)				
733.400.03	Assessor .....	3,500	.....	3,500	.....	3,500
733.400.05	District Attorney .....	750	.....	750	.....	750
733.400.06	Treasurer .....	375	.....	375	.....	375
733.400.07	Sheriff .....	2,000	.....	2,000	.....	2,000
733.400.08	Public Defender .....	100	.....	100	.....	100
733.400.09	Police Department .....	45,750	.....	45,750	.....	45,750
733.400.10	Fire Department .....	95,000	.....	95,000	.....	95,000
733.400.15	War Memorial .....	.....	.....	.....	500	500
733.400.21	Superior Court .....	1,500	.....	1,500	.....	1,500
733.400.23	Juvenile Court .....	740	.....	740	.....	740
733.400.24	Juvenile Detention Home .....	2,000	.....	2,000	.....	2,000
733.400.25	Adult Probation Officer .....	200	.....	200	.....	200
733.400.26	Chief Administrative Officer .....	200	.....	200	.....	200
733.400.28	Tax Collector .....	850	.....	850	.....	850
733.400.29	Registrar of Voters .....	550	.....	550	.....	550
733.400.30	Recorder .....	2,050	.....	2,050	.....	2,050

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)						
Equipment (Continued)						
733.400.31	County Clerk .....	..	..	..	1,700	1,700
733.400.33	Purchaser .....	17,500	..	..	..	17,500
733.400.33-2	Purchaser—Shop No. 2 .....	3,500	..	..	..	3,500
733.400.33-3	Purchaser—Central Warehouse .....	2,000	..	..	..	2,000
733.400.34	Real Estate Department .....	..	..	..	500	500
Department of Public Works						
733.400.36	General Office .....	..	..	..	60	60
733.400.37	Bureau of Accounts .....	..	..	..	700	700
733.400.38	Bureau of Building Repair .....	..	..	..	900	900
733.400.39	Bureau of Building Inspection .....	..	..	..	1,100	1,100
733.400.40	Bureau of Engineering .....	..	..	..	1,500	1,500
733.400.42	Bureau of Street Cleaning .....	..	..	..	20,500	20,500
733.400.43	Bureau of Sewer Repair .....	..	..	..	12,000	12,000
733.400.44	Sewage Pumping Stations .....	..	..	..	1,500	1,500
733.400.49	Department of Electricity .....	..	..	..	2,150	2,150
Department of Public Health						
Central Office						
733.400.50-1	Administration .....	..	..	..	219	219
733.400.50-3	Statistics .....	..	..	..	100	100
733.400.50-4	Meat Inspection .....	..	..	..	700	700
733.400.50-5	Communicable Diseases .....	..	..	..	926	926
733.400.50-6-1	Bureau of Mental Hygiene .....	..	..	..	200	200
733.400.50-7	Bacteriological Laboratory .....	..	..	..	415	415
733.400.50-11	Food and Milk Inspection .....	..	..	..	6,015	6,015
733.400.50-13	Plumbing Inspection .....	..	..	..	2,205	2,205
733.400.50-14	Housing Inspection .....	..	..	..	2,195	2,195
733.400.50-15	Industrial Inspection .....	..	..	..	500	500
733.400.50-18	Field Nursing—Schools .....	..	..	..	1,290	1,290
733.400.50-19	Field Nursing—Other .....	..	..	..	240	240
733.400.50-21	Tuberculosis Bureau .....	..	..	..	4,140	4,140

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)</b>						
<b>Equipment (Continued)</b>						
<b>Department of Public Health (Continued)</b>						
733.400.51	Laguna Honda Home .....	.	.....	6,000	.....	6,000
733.400.53	San Francisco Hospital .....	.	.....	20,000	.....	20,000
733.400.54	Emergency Hospitals .....	.	.....	7,500	.....	7,500
733.400.55	Hassler Health Home .....	.	.....	2,700	.....	2,700
733.400.56	County Welfare Department .....	.	.....	2,517	.....	2,517
733.400.57	Coroner .....	.	.....	2,143	.....	2,143
733.400.59	Department of Weights and Measures .....	.	.....	700	.....	700
733.400.60	Controller .....	.	.....	2,112	.....	2,112
733.400.64	Airport .....	.	.....	.....	32,950	32,950
733.400.71	Civil Service Commission .....	.	.....	3,500	.....	3,500
733.900.00	Services of Other Departments .....	600	.....	.....	.....	600
<b>Insurance, and Premium on Official Bonds</b>						
733.816.06	Premium on Official Bonds—Treasurer .....	.	.....	7,000	.....	7,000
733.816.33	Premium on Official Bonds—Miscellaneous Departments .....	10,575	.....	.....	.....	10,575
733.817.03	Auto Insurance—Assessor .....	.	.....	82	.....	82
733.817.07-3	Auto Insurance—Sheriff County Jail No. 2 .....	.	.....	250	.....	250
		208,462	3,550	928,875	36,772	1,170,559

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
734	REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT					
	*(Receipts Allocated to Appropriation, \$1,300)					
734.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	12,600	.....	.....	.....	12,600
734.200.00	Contractual Services .....	620	470	.....	.....	150
734.200.01	*Collection of Rents .....	1,800	.....	.....	.....	1,800
734.203.00	Auto Hire .....	480	480	.....	.....	.....
734.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	400	400	.....	.....	.....
734.400.00	Equipment .....	500	500	.....	.....	.....
734.900.00	Other Services .....	1,000	.....	.....	.....	1,000
734.809.00	Rental, 550 Montgomery Street .....	17,400	1,850	.....	.....	15,550
		10,560	.....	.....	.....	10,560
		27,960	1,850	.....	.....	26,110
735	REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT—AUDITORIUM					
	(Receipts Allocated to Appropriation, \$45,000)					
735.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	27,045	.....	1,500	.....	28,545
735.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	8,000	.....	.....	.....	8,000
735.200.00	Contractual Services .....	3,541	329	.....	.....	3,212
735.231.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	7,000	7,000	.....	.....	.....
735.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	4,000	.....	.....	.....	4,000
735.900.00	Services of Other Departments .....	605	.....	.....	.....	605
		50,191	7,329	1,500	.....	44,362

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS</b>						
<b>General</b>						
736	Permanent Salaries—Director .....	8,000				8,000
736.101.01	Permanent Salaries—General Office .....	26,685				26,685
736.101.02	Permanent Salaries—Telephone Exchange .....	11,160				11,160
736.101.03	Temporary Salaries .....	450				450
736.102.00	Contractual Services .....	1,060	1,060			
736.200.00	Materials and Supplies .....	5,504	5,504			
736.300.00	Equipment .....	60	60			
736.400.00		52,919	6,624			46,295
<b>Bureau of Accounts</b>						
737	Permanent Salaries .....	26,160				26,160
737.101.00	Contractual Services .....	575	575			
737.200.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	550	550			
737.231.00	Materials and Supplies .....	600	600			
737.300.00	Equipment .....	700	700			
737.400.00		28,585	2,425			26,160
<b>Bureau of Building Repair</b>						
738	Permanent Salaries—Superintendence .....	19,912				19,912
738.101.01	Permanent Salaries—Maintenance .....	212,508				212,508
738.101.02	Temporary Salaries—Maintenance .....	1,323				1,323
738.102.00	Wages .....	87,921				87,921
738.103.00	Contractual Services .....	7,762	6,902			860
738.200.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	240	240			
738.231.00	Truck Hire .....	1,500				1,500
738.203.00	Materials and Supplies .....	10,950	950			10,000
738.300.00	Engine Room Supplies .....	17,000				17,000
738.319.00	Janitorial Supplies .....	5,500	5,500			
738.340.00	Equipment .....	900	900			
738.400.00						

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS (Continued)</b>						
<b>Bureau of Building Repair (Continued)</b>						
738.900.00	Services of Other Departments .....	100				100
738.213.00	Repairs to Public Buildings .....	50,000				50,000
		415,616	14,492			401,124
<b>Bureau of Building Inspection</b>						
739						
739.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	55,200				55,200
739.200.00	Contractual Services .....	5,190	5,190			
739.233.00	Earthquake Survey .....	5,000				5,000
739.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	100	100			
739.400.00	Equipment .....	1,100	1,100			
		66,590	6,390			60,200
<b>Bureau of Engineering</b>						
740						
740.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	198,120				198,120
740.200.00	Contractual Services .....	6,800	500			6,300
740.231.00	Heat, Light, and Power .....	65	65			
740.203.00	Auto Hire .....	3,360	3,360			
740.214.00	Extension and Reconstruction of Main Sewers .....	10,000				10,000
740.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	3,550	2,550			1,000
740.400.00	Equipment .....	1,500	1,500			
740.900.00	Special Inspection .....	13,000				13,000
	(Receipts allocated to appropriation \$13,000)					
		236,395	7,975			228,420

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS (Continued)						
741	Central Permit Bureau					
741.101.00	Permanent Salaries	17,700				17,700
741.200.00	Contractual Services	100				100
		17,800				17,800
742.	Bureau of Street Cleaning.					
742.101.00	Permanent Salaries	28,860				28,860
742.103.00	Wages	472,862				472,862
742.200.00	Contractual Services	10,580	10,080			500
742.231.00	Heat, Light and Power	100	100			
742.203.00	Truck and Team Hire	9,821				9,821
742.300.00	Materials and Supplies	12,300	10,700			1,600
742.400.00	Equipment	22,500	20,500			2,000
742.809.00	Rental—Jones and Jefferson	1,800				1,800
742.900.00	Services of Other Departments	1,000				1,000
		559,823	41,380			518,443
743	Bureau of Sewer Repair.					
743.101.00	Permanent Salaries	13,500				13,500
743.103.00	Wages	179,276				179,276
743.200.00	Contractual Services	4,000	4,000			
743.203.00	Team and Truck Hire	44,296				44,296
743.300.00	Materials and Supplies	18,000	3,000			15,000
743.400.00	Equipment	12,000	12,000			
743.900.00	Services of Other Departments	7,100				7,100
		278,172	19,000			259,172
743.901.00	Tearing up Streets—Side Sewers	35,000				35,000
	(Receipts allocated to Appropriation \$35,000)					
		313,172	19,000			294,172

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS (Continued)						
744	Sewage Pumping Station					
744.101.00	Permanent Salaries	4,380				4,380
744.102.00	Temporary Salaries	72				72
744.200.00	Contractual Services	3,500				3,500
744.231.00	Heat, Light and Power	9,350	9,350			
744.300.00	Materials and Supplies	1,000				1,000
744.400.00	Equipment	1,500	1,500			
744.503.00	New Construction	3,600				3,600
		23,402	10,850			12,552
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY						
749	Permanent Salaries	169,150			5,000	174,150
749.102.00	Temporary Salaries	750				750
749.103.00	Wages	17,357				17,357
749.200.00	Contractual Services	2,188	1,518			670
749.231.00	Heat, Light and Power	1,645	1,645			
749.300.00	Materials and Supplies	12,900	3,610			9,290
749.400.00	Equipment	2,450	2,150			300
		206,440	8,923		5,000	202,517
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH						
750	Central Office					
	Administration					
750.101.01	Permanent Salaries	31,582				31,582
750.102.01	Temporary Salaries	65				65
750.200.01	Contractual Services	6,000	4,918			1,082
750.231.01	Heat, Light and Power	4,100	4,100			
750.300.01	Materials and Supplies	3,475	2,400			1,075

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued)</b>						
Central Office (Continued)						
Administration (Continued)						
750.400.01	Equipment.....	219	219	.....	.....	.....
750.900.01	Services of Other Departments .....	2,500	1,500	.....	.....	1,000
Other Appropriations						
750.252.01	Feeble-Minded .....	47,941	13,137	.....	.....	34,804
750.811.01	Burial of Indigent Dead .....	155,000	.....	.....	.....	155,000
		12,600	.....	.....	.....	12,600
		215,541	13,137	.....	.....	202,404
<b>Accounting</b>						
750.101.02	Permanent Salaries .....	17,580	.....	.....	.....	17,580
750.200.02	Contractual Services .....	425	150	.....	.....	275
750.300.02	Materials and Supplies .....	180	150	.....	.....	30
		18,185	300	.....	.....	17,885
<b>Statistics</b>						
750.101.03	Permanent Salaries .....	15,120	.....	.....	.....	15,120
750.200.03	Contractual Services .....	1,575	50	.....	.....	1,525
750.300.03	Materials and Supplies .....	800	775	.....	.....	25
750.400.03	Equipment .....	100	100	.....	.....	.....
		17,595	925	.....	.....	16,670
<b>Meat Inspection</b>						
750.101.04	Permanent Salaries .....	72,636	.....	.....	.....	72,636
750.200.04	Contractual Services .....	1,915	1,890	.....	.....	25
750.300.04	Materials and Supplies .....	260	210	.....	.....	50
750.400.04	Equipment .....	700	700	.....	.....	.....
		75,511	2,800	.....	.....	72,711

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued)						
Central Office (Continued)						
Communicable Diseases						
750.101.05	Permanent Salaries .....	26,880	.....	.....	.....	26,880
750.200.05	Contractual Services .....	2,220	2,170	.....	.....	50
750.300.05	Materials and Supplies .....	1,620	650	.....	.....	970
750.400.05	Equipment .....	926	926	.....	.....	.....
		31,646	3,746	.....	.....	27,900
Syphilis Unit						
750.101.05-1	Permanent Salaries .....	4,920	.....	.....	.....	4,920
750.200.05-1	Contractual Services .....	350	300	.....	.....	50
750.300.05-1	Materials and Supplies .....	50	.....	.....	.....	50
		5,320	300	.....	.....	5,020
G. U. Diagnostic Center (Formerly Clinics)						
750.101.06	Permanent Salaries .....	6,780	.....	.....	.....	6,780
750.200.06	Contractual Services .....	95	20	.....	.....	75
750.300.06	Materials and Supplies .....	375	125	.....	.....	250
		7,250	145	.....	.....	7,105
Bureau of Mental Hygiene						
750.101.06-1	Permanent Salaries .....	15,300	.....	.....	.....	15,300
750.200.06-1	Contractual Services .....	50	.....	.....	.....	50
750.300.06-1	Materials and Supplies .....	150	100	.....	.....	50
750.400.06-1	Equipment .....	200	200	.....	.....	.....
		15,700	300	.....	.....	15,400

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued)</b>						
<b>Central Office (Continued)</b>						
<b>Bacteriological Laboratory</b>						
750.101.07	Permanent Salaries .....	23,334				23,334
750.200.07	Contractual Services .....	50	20			30
750.300.07	Materials and Supplies .....	2,450	450			2,000
750.400.07	Equipment .....	415	415			.....
		26,249	885			25,364
<b>School Inspection—Medical</b>						
750.101.08	Permanent Salaries .....	33,700				33,700
750.200.08	Contractual Services .....	120				120
750.300.08	Materials and Supplies .....	1,645				1,645
		35,465				35,465
<b>Dental Bureau</b>						
750.101.09	Permanent Salaries .....	27,600				27,600
750.200.09	Contractual Services .....	100				100
750.300.09	Materials and Supplies .....	1,075	50			1,025
		28,775	50			28,725
<b>Child Welfare—Medical</b>						
750.101.10	Permanent Salaries .....	10,460				10,460
		10,460				10,460
<b>Food and Milk Inspection</b>						
750.101.11	Permanent Salaries .....	76,080				76,080
750.200.11	Contractual Services .....	10,775	2,080			8,695
750.300.11	Materials and Supplies .....	4,115	1,365			2,750
750.400.11	Equipment .....	6,015	6,015			.....
		96,985	9,460			87,525

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



TUESDAY, JUNE 1, 1937.

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued)</b>						
<b>Central Office (Continued)</b>						
<b>Chemical Laboratory</b>						
750.101.12	Permanent Salaries .....	9,300	.....	.....	.....	9,300
750.200.12	Contractual Services .....	35	.....	.....	.....	35
750.300.12	Materials and Supplies .....	600	100	.....	.....	500
		9,935	100	.....	.....	9,835
<b>Plumbing Inspection</b>						
750.101.13	Permanent Salaries .....	29,340	.....	.....	.....	29,340
750.200.13	Contractual Services .....	1,075	1,020	.....	.....	55
750.300.13	Materials and Supplies .....	1,430	1,380	.....	.....	50
750.400.13	Equipment .....	2,205	2,205	.....	.....	.....
		34,050	4,605	.....	.....	29,445
<b>Housing Inspection</b>						
750.101.14	Permanent Salaries .....	26,400	.....	.....	.....	26,400
750.200.14	Contractual Services .....	770	270	.....	.....	500
750.300.14	Materials and Supplies .....	505	480	.....	.....	25
750.400.14	Equipment .....	2,195	2,195	.....	.....	.....
		29,870	2,945	.....	.....	26,925
<b>Industrial Inspection</b>						
750.101.15	Permanent Salaries .....	11,700	.....	.....	.....	11,700
750.200.15	Contractual Services .....	2,345	375	.....	.....	1,970
750.300.15	Materials and Supplies .....	255	230	.....	.....	25
750.400.15	Equipment .....	500	500	.....	.....	.....
		14,800	1,105	.....	.....	13,695

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued)</b>						
<b>Central Office (Continued)</b>						
<b>City Physicians</b>						
750.101.16	Permanent Salaries .....	27,000				
750.200.16	Contractual Services .....	3,000	3,000	3,600		30,600
		30,000	3,000	3,600		30,600
<b>Field Nursing—Administration</b>						
750.101.17	Permanent Salaries .....	33,300				
750.200.17	Contractual Services .....	150	150			
750.300.17	Materials and Supplies .....	150	150			
		33,600	300			33,300
<b>Field Nursing—Schools</b>						
750.101.18	Permanent Salaries .....	82,200				
750.200.18	Contractual Services .....	1,275	275			
750.300.18	Materials and Supplies .....	2,000	845			
750.350.18	Foodstuffs .....	10,000				
750.400.18	Equipment .....	1,290	1,290			
		96,765	2,410			94,355
<b>Field Nursing—Other</b>						
750.101.19	Permanent Salaries .....	41,160				
750.200.19	Contractual Services .....	2,300	700			
750.231.19	Heat, Light and Power .....	200	200			
750.300.19	Materials and Supplies .....	3,330	1,330			
750.800.19	Rentals .....	1,590				
750.400.19	Equipment .....	240	240			
		48,820	2,470			46,350

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued)						
Central Office (Continued)						
Tuberculosis Bureau						
750.101.21	Permanent Salaries .....	28,200				28,200
750.200.21	Contractual Services .....	600				600
750.300.21	Materials and Supplies .....	600	300			300
750.400.21	Equipment .....	4,140	4,140			
		33,540	4,440			29,100
Laguna Honda Home						
751		138,252				138,252
751.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	27,900				27,900
751.101.01	Inmate Help .....	67,484				67,484
751.101.02	Institutional Help .....	9,160				9,160
751.101.03	Reserve for Institutional Help .....	2,400				2,400
751.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	5,000	960			4,040
751.200.00	Contractual Services .....	25,000	25,000			
751.231.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	72,000	31,950			40,050
751.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	250,000	17,000			233,000
751.350.00	Foodstuffs .....	6,000	6,000			
751.400.00	Equipment .....	10,500				10,500
751.108.00	Employees' Room Allowance .....	3,025				3,025
751.900.00	Services of Other Departments .....	616,721	80,910			535,811
Isolation Hospital						
752		25,470				25,470
752.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	13,800				13,800
752.101.01	Permanent Salaries—Institutional Help .....	1,875				1,875
752.101.02	Reserve for Institutional Help .....	143				143
752.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	41,288				41,288

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued)						
753	San Francisco Hospital					
753.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	288,722				288,722
753.101.01	Internes and Student Nurses .....	18,040				18,040
753.101.02	Nursing .....	262,420				262,420
753.101.03	Institutional Help .....	273,143				273,143
753.101.03-1	Reserve for Institutional Help .....	37,090				37,090
753.101.04	Psychiatric Ward Permanent Salaries .....	9,480				9,480
753.101.05	Social Service Permanent Salaries .....	9,420				9,420
753.101.06	Outpatient Maternity Permanent Salaries .....	10,080				10,080
753.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	8,113				8,113
753.200.00	Contractual Services .....	15,912	4,657			11,255
753.231.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	32,000	32,000			187,200
753.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	236,350	49,150			278,000
753.350.00	Foodstuffs .....	300,000	22,000			8,200
753.400.00	Equipment .....	28,200	20,000			62,000
753.108.00	Room Allowance for Employees .....	62,000				10,000
753.900.00	Services of Other Departments .....	10,000				1,473,163
		1,600,970	127,807			
754	Emergency Hospitals					
754.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	147,300				147,300
754.101.01	Nursing .....	35,460				35,460
754.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	11,960				11,960
754.200.00	Contractual Services .....	10,207	5,837			4,370
754.231.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	1,800	1,800			8,555
754.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	15,665	7,110			3,525
754.350.00	Foodstuffs .....	3,575	50			
754.400.00	Equipment .....	7,500	7,500			
754.900.00	Services of Other Departments .....	1,200				1,200
		234,667	22,297			212,370

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



TUESDAY, JUNE 1, 1937.

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued)						
755	Hassler Health Home	33,156				33,156
755.101.00	Permanent Salaries	13,800				13,800
755.101.01	Institutional Help	1,875				1,875
755.101.02	Reserve for Institutional Help	935				935
755.102.00	Temporary Salaries	4,000	1,300			2,700
755.200.00	Contractual Services	4,000	4,000			
755.231.00	Heat, Light and Power	12,500	3,850			8,650
755.300.00	Materials and Supplies	26,950	1,750			25,200
755.350.00	Foodstuffs	2,700	2,700			
755.400.00	Equipment	425				425
755.812.00	Taxes	2,000				2,000
755.108.00	Employees' Room Allowance	102,341	13,600			88,741
PUBLIC WELFARE DEPARTMENT						
756	Permanent Salaries	112,440				112,440
756.101.00	Permanent Salaries	14,400				14,400
756.102.00	Temporary Salaries	3,570	2,700			870
756.200.00	Contractual Services	100				100
756.200.01	Clothing Blind and Deaf in Schools	8,500	8,500			
756.300.00	Materials and Supplies	2,517	2,517			
756.400.00	Equipment	259,354				259,354
756.804.01	Widows' Pensions	2,940,144				2,940,144
756.804.02	Maintenance of Aged	171,673				171,673
756.804.03	Blind Pensions	3,512,698	13,717			3,498,981

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>CORONER</b>						
757	Permanent Salaries .....	52,340	.....	.....	.....	52,340
757.101.00	Temporary Salaries .....	1,277	.....	.....	.....	1,277
757.102.00	Contractual Services .....	1,502	850	.....	.....	652
757.200.00	Materials and Supplies .....	2,185	1,025	.....	.....	1,160
757.300.00	Equipment .....	2,143	2,143	.....	.....	.....
757.400.00	Burial of Honorably Discharged Soldiers, etc. ....	500	.....	.....	.....	500
757.811.00		59,947	4,018	.....	.....	55,929
<b>AGRICULTURAL (HORTICULTURAL) INSPECTION DEPT.</b>						
758	Permanent Salaries .....	18,000	.....	.....	.....	18,000
758.101.00	Contractual Services .....	2,250	2,050	.....	.....	200
758.200.00	Materials and Supplies .....	400	400	.....	.....	.....
758.300.00	Rents, Office .....	960	.....	.....	.....	960
758.809.00		21,610	2,450	.....	.....	19,160
<b>DEPARTMENT OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES</b>						
759	Permanent Salaries .....	19,800	.....	.....	.....	19,800
759.101.00	Contractual Services .....	350	300	.....	.....	50
759.200.00	Materials and Supplies .....	715	690	.....	.....	25
759.300.00	Equipment .....	700	700	.....	.....	.....
759.400.00	Garage Rental .....	300	.....	.....	.....	300
759.809.00		21,865	1,690	.....	.....	20,175

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>CONTROLLER</b>						
760	Permanent Salaries	196,954	.....	.....	13,160	210,114
760.101.00	Permanent Salaries	7,385	.....	.....	.....	7,385
760.102.00	Temporary Salaries	4,568	3,510	.....	.....	1,058
760.200.00	Contractual Services	13,600	13,400	.....	.....	200
760.300.00	Materials and Supplies	2,112	2,112	.....	.....	.....
760.400.00	Equipment	480	.....	.....	.....	480
760.900.00	Services of Other Departments	.....	.....	2,280	.....	2,280
760.248.09	Police Department Accounting	.....	.....	.....	2,000	2,000
760.248.65	Audit of Municipal Railway	.....	.....	.....	1,800	1,800
760.248.66	Audit of Water Department	.....	.....	.....	1,775	1,775
760.248.67	Audit of Hetch Hetchy Power	.....	.....	.....	1,450	1,450
760.248.68	Audit of Hetch Hetchy Water Supply	.....	.....	.....	1,200	1,200
760.248.69	Audit of San Francisco Public Schools	.....	.....	.....	2,500	2,500
760.248.72	Audit of Retirement System	225,099	19,022	2,280	23,885	232,242
<b>Other Appropriations</b>						
760.233.00	Pre-Legislative and Legislative Expense	2,000	.....	.....	.....	2,000
760.705.01	Judgments and Claims	35,000	.....	.....	.....	35,000
760.705.02	Auto Liability Claims	5,000	.....	.....	.....	5,000
<b>CITY PLANNING COMMISSION</b>						
761	Permanent Salaries	9,500	.....	.....	.....	9,500
761.101.00	Permanent Salaries	300	.....	.....	.....	300
761.102.00	Temporary Salaries	5,000	.....	.....	.....	5,000
761.106.00	Commissioners' Fees	550	550	.....	.....	.....
761.200.00	Contractual Services	360	360	.....	.....	.....
761.203.00	Auto Hire	100	100	.....	.....	.....
761.203.00	Commission Auto Hire	500	500	.....	.....	.....
761.300.00	Materials and Supplies	16,310	1,510	.....	.....	14,800

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
LIGHTING OF PUBLIC STREETS AND BUILDINGS						
763	Maintenance and Repair of Structures.....	3,000	.....	.....	.....	3,000
763.214.63	Lighting of Public Streets .....	695,000	.....	.....	40,000	735,000
763.231.63-1	Electricity and Gas					
763.231.07	Sheriff .....			13,000	.....	13,000
763.231.09	Police Department .....			12,000	.....	12,000
763.231.10	Fire Department .....			17,000	.....	17,000
763.231.12	Park .....			.....	46,500	46,500
763.231.13	Recreation .....			.....	7,000	7,000
763.231.14	Library .....			.....	15,200	15,200
763.231.15	War Memorial—General .....			.....	13,000	13,000
763.231.15-1	War Memorial—Art Museum .....			.....	8,000	8,000
763.231.17	California Palace of Legion of Honor .....			.....	3,600	3,600
763.231.18	de Young Museum .....			.....	1,700	1,700
763.231.24	Juvenile Detention Home .....			1,500	.....	1,500
763.231.29	Registrar of Voters .....			200	.....	200
763.231.33	Purchasing Department .....			3,550	.....	3,550
763.231.35	Real Estate Department—(Auditorium) .....			7,000	.....	7,000
	Department of Public Works					
763.231.37	Bureau of Accounts .....			550	.....	550
763.231.38	Bureau of Building Repair .....			240	.....	240
763.231.40	Bureau of Engineering .....			65	.....	65
763.231.42	Bureau of Street Cleaning .....			100	.....	100
763.231.44	Sewage Pumping .....			9,350	.....	9,350
763.231.45	Asphalt Plant (Street Repair) .....			.....	1,100	1,100
763.231.46	Drawbridges (Bridges) .....			.....	1,800	1,800
763.231.49	Department of Electricity .....			1,645	.....	1,645
	Department of Public Health					
	Central Office					
763.231.50-1	Administration .....			4,100	.....	4,100
763.231.50-19	Field Nursing—Other .....			200	.....	200

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



TUESDAY, JUNE 1, 1937.

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
LIGHTING OF PUBLIC STREETS AND BUILDINGS (Continued)						
Electricity and Gas (Continued)						
763.231.51	Laguna Honda Home			25,000		25,000
763.231.53	San Francisco Hospital			32,000		32,000
763.231.54	Emergency Hospitals			1,800		1,800
763.231.55	Hassler Health Home			4,000		4,000
763.231.63	Public Building Lighting	27,200				27,200
763.231.64	Airport				9,000	9,000
763.231.65	Municipal Railway				430,000	430,000
763.231.66	Water Department				146,200	146,200
763.231.69	Schools				59,500	59,500
763.300.00	Materials and Supplies	100				100
763.400.00	Equipment	240				240
763.509.01	Alterations to Secure Lower Rates	3,000				3,000
763.900.00	Services of Other Departments	22,400				22,400
		750,940		133,300	782,600	1,666,840
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION						
771						
771.101.00	Permanent Salaries	57,845				57,845
770.102.00	Temporary Salaries	10,000				10,000
771.200.00	Contractual Services	1,136	212			924
771.300.00	Materials and Supplies	3,500	3,500			
771.400.00	Equipment	3,500	3,500			
771.213.00	Remodeling Office	3,000				3,000
		78,981	7,212			71,769
773.200.00	PUBLIC POUND	18,000				18,000
	Total	20,441,126	1,069,555	1,069,555	869,657	21,310,783
	Transfer from Water Department	-1,906,478			1,906,478	
	TOTAL GENERAL FUND	18,534,648	1,069,555	1,069,555	2,776,135	21,310,783

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
702	MAYOR					
702.900.00	Emergency Reserve Fund.....	125,000				125,000
712	PARK DEPARTMENT					
712.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	126,230				126,230
712.103.00	Wages .....	689,739				689,739
712.103.01	Wages—Special .....	80,000				80,000
712.106.00	Fees and Special Compensations .....	2,500				2,500
712.200.00	Contractual Services .....	15,655				15,655
712.218.00	Concerts .....	20,000				20,000
712.231.00	Heat, Light, Power, etc.....	46,500				
712.300.00	Materials and Supplies—Park.....	63,085				63,085
712.300.01	Materials and Supplies—Commissary .....	22,200				22,200
712.324.00	Forage .....	28,838				28,838
712.350.00	Foodstuffs .....	90,000				90,000
712.400.00	Equipment .....	3,000				3,000
712.800.00	Fixed Charges .....	15,495				15,495
712.806.00	Retirement Allowances .....	35,500	35,500			
712.900.00	Services of Other Departments .....	8,500				8,500
712.500.00	Rehabilitation—Palace of Fine Arts.....	25,000				25,000
712.500.01	Soil—Fertilizer—Trees (Miscellaneous Improvements) .....	25,000				25,000
712.600.01	Fleishacker Playfield—Land .....	37,700				37,700
712.600.02	Lafayette Park—Land .....	29,200				29,200
712.600.03	McLaren Park—Land .....	25,000				25,000
712.106.01	Architect Fees—W. P. A. Landscape Projects.....	16,000				16,000
712.500.02	Fleishacker Pool Pipe Line.....	20,000				20,000
712.500.03	Plaque—Larsen Park .....	3,000				3,000
712.500.04	Yacht Harbor Improvements .....	20,000				20,000
712.500.05	Sewer Construction .....	6,000				6,000
	Total Park Fund .....	1,454,142	82,000			1,372,142

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
RECREATION DEPARTMENT						
713		329,729				329,729
713.101.00	Permanent Salaries					3,570
713.102.00	Temporary Salaries	3,570				10,008
713.103.00	Wages	10,008				18,452
713.103.02	Playground Directors	18,452				3,500
713.106.00	Fees and Special Compensations	3,500				43,000
713.200.00	Contractual Services	43,000	865			7,000
713.231.00	Heat, Light and Power	7,000	7,000			5,324
713.203.02	Auto Hire	5,324				34,600
713.300.00	Materials and Supplies	34,600				11,945
713.400.00	Equipment	11,945				21,750
713.500.00	Improvements	21,750				3,250
713.500.01	Chinese Playground Improvements	3,250				15,000
713.600.01	Bayview District—Land	15,000				15,000
713.600.02	Upper Noe District—Land	15,000				20,000
713.600.04	Eureka Valley Land	20,000				20,000
713.600.07	Haight-Ashbury Land	20,000				5,500
713.600.08	Sunset District Land	5,500				3,600
713.801.00	Accident Compensation	3,600				866
713.818.00	Insurance Premiums	866				12,500
713.806.00	Pensions and Retirement Allowances	12,500	12,500			3,540
713.809.00	Rents	3,540				8,307
713.101.01	Camp Mather—Permanent Salaries	8,307				7,314
713.102.01	Temporary Salaries	7,314				1,060
713.103.01	Wages	1,060				3,755
713.200.01	Contractual Services	3,755				4,422
713.300.01	Materials and Supplies	4,422				900
713.400.01	Equipment	900				13,870
713.350.01	Foodstuffs	13,870				372
713.806.01	Retirement	372	372			628,134
Total Recreation Fund		628,134	20,737			607,397

That portion of the Recreation appropriations which is to be met from taxes, shall not exceed the amount to be

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
714	LIBRARY					
714.101.00	Permanent Salaries	197,640				197,640
714.103.00	Wages	59,940				59,940
714.200.00	Contractual Services	34,410	475			33,935
714.231.00	Heat, Light and Power	15,200	15,200			25,000
714.200.01	Binding	25,000				10,000
714.300.00	Materials and Supplies	10,000				80,000
714.328.00	Books and Bindery Materials	80,000				18,000
714.400.00	Equipment	18,000				6,400
714.806.00	Pensions and Retirement Allowances	6,400	6,400			6,000
714.809.00	Rents	6,000				100
714.815.00	Compensation Insurance	100	100			180
714.817.00	Automobile Insurance	180				17,130
714.900.00	Services of Other Departments	17,130				470,000
	Total Library Fund	470,000	22,175			447,825
715	WAR MEMORIAL					
	General Departments					
715.101.00	Permanent Salaries	78,673				78,673
715.102.00	Temporary Salaries	5,263				5,263
715.103.00	Wages	1,750				1,750
715.200.00	Contractual Services	6,150	950			5,200
715.231.00	Heat, Light and Power	13,000	13,000			4,500
715.300.00	Materials and Supplies	4,500				4,500
715.400.00	Equipment	500	500			4,000
715.900.00	Services of Other Departments	4,000				4,000

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
WAR MEMORIAL (Continued)						
Art Museum						
715.101.01	Permanent Salaries .....	6,960				6,960
715.102.01	Temporary Salaries .....	435				435
715.231.01	Heat, Light and Power .....	8,000	8,000			
715.300.01	Materials and Supplies .....	500				500
	Total War Memorial Fund .....	129,731	22,450			107,281
CALIFORNIA PALACE OF THE LEGION OF HONOR						
717	Permanent Salaries .....	42,676				42,676
717.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	42,676				42,676
717.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	700				700
717.106.00	Fees and Special Compensations .....	200				200
717.200.00	Contractual Services .....	1,400	60			1,340
717.231.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	3,600	3,600			
717.203.01	Exhibitions .....	10,000				10,000
717.203.00	Auto Hire .....	720	240			480
717.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	3,000				3,000
717.400.00	Equipment .....	2,000				2,000
717.800.00	Sundry Fixed Charges .....	150				150
717.818.00	Insurance Premiums .....	500				500
717.900.00	Services of Other Departments (de Young Museum) .....	13,690	13,690			
	Total California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund .....	78,636	17,590			61,046

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
718	de YOUNG MUSEUM					
718.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	67,810	.....	.....	13,690	81,500
718.106.00	Fees and Special Compensation .....	520	.....	.....	.....	520
718.200.00	Contractual Services .....	2,000	230	.....	.....	1,770
718.231.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	1,700	1,700	.....	.....	.....
718.203.00	Auto Hire .....	325	240	.....	.....	85
718.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	3,000	.....	.....	.....	3,000
718.400.00	Equipment .....	4,370	.....	.....	.....	4,370
718.800.00	Fixed Charges .....	1,075	.....	.....	.....	1,075
718.200.01	Exhibitions .....	7,000	.....	.....	.....	7,000
	Total de Young Museum Fund .....	87,800	2,170	.....	13,690	99,320
726	CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER					
726.277.00	Publicity and Advertising Fund .....	200,000	.....	.....	.....	200,000
726.703.02	Installment Payment on State Unemployment Relief Loan Fund ..	101,727	.....	.....	.....	101,727
726.800.01	General City Bond Interest and Redemption Fund .....	5,350,220	.....	.....	587,020	5,937,240
726.800.02	P. S. E. Bond Interest and Redemption Fund .....	2,778,429	.....	.....	6,045,534	8,823,963
726.803.01	Interest on Tax Anticipation Notes Fund .....	8,000	.....	.....	.....	8,000
726.803.02	Interest on State Unemployment Relief Loan Fund .....	31,218	.....	.....	.....	31,218
		8,469,594	.....	.....	6,632,554	15,102,148

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS						
COUNTY ROAD FUND						
Street Repair						
745	Permanent Salaries .....	9,900				9,900
745.101.00	Wages .....	220,581				220,581
745.103.00	Contractual Services .....	14,800				14,800
745.200.00	Heat, Light and Power (Asphalt Plant) .....	1,100	1,100			
745.231.00	Truck Hire .....	27,820				27,820
745.203.01	Auto Hire .....	720				720
745.203.02	Materials and Supplies .....	70,000				70,000
745.300.00	Equipment .....	12,250				12,250
745.400.00	Accident Compensation .....	500				500
745.801.00	Retirement Charges .....	13,000	13,000			
745.806.00	Services of Other Departments .....	10,475				10,475
745.900.00		381,146	14,100			367,046
Bridges						
746	Permanent Salaries .....	51,240				51,240
746.101.00	Temporary Salaries .....	3,136				3,136
746.102.00	Contractual Services .....	500				500
746.200.00	Heat, Light and Power .....	1,800	1,800			
746.231.00	Maintenance and Repair to Bridges .....	2,000				2,000
746.214.00	Materials and Supplies .....	550				550
746.300.00	Services of Other Departments .....	3,090				3,090
746.900.00		62,316	1,800			60,516

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS (Continued)</b>						
<b>COUNTY ROAD FUND (Continued)</b>						
	General					
747	Boulevard Lighting .....	40,000	40,000	.....	.....	.....
747.231.00	Bond Interest and Redemption (1927 Boulevards) .....	200,000	200,000	.....	.....	.....
747.800.00	Services of Real Estate Department .....	1,000	.....	.....	.....	1,000
747.902.00	Traffic (Services of Department of Electricity) .....	5,000	5,000	.....	.....	.....
747.903.01	Traffic (Services of Police Department) .....	25,000	.....	.....	.....	25,000
747.903.02	Painting Traffic Lanes .....	25,000	.....	.....	.....	25,000
747.903.05	Stop, Stop and Go Signals .....	32,000	.....	.....	.....	32,000
747.903.03	Street Signs—New and Repairs .....	7,500	.....	.....	.....	7,500
747.907.00	Sunset Boulevard Maintenance .....	18,000	.....	.....	.....	18,000
747.908.00	Boulevard Tree Maintenance .....	4,500	.....	.....	.....	4,500
747.909.00	Work in Front of City Property .....	10,000	.....	.....	.....	10,000
748.916.00		368,000	245,000	.....	.....	123,000
<b>Street Construction</b>						
748	Bay Bridge Approach—Payment to State of California .....	97,500	.....	.....	.....	97,500
748.901.00	Castro Street to Divisadero—Divisional Highway .....	25,000	.....	.....	.....	25,000
748.905.00	Harriet-Folsom-Harrison .....	9,100	.....	.....	.....	9,100
748.922.00	Market-Diamond-Eureka .....	4,000	.....	.....	.....	4,000
748.923.00	Laidley St. Slide .....	900	.....	.....	.....	900
748.924.00	LaSalle St. Property .....	17,500	.....	.....	.....	17,500
748.930.00	Engineering Studies of Special Improvements .....	20,000	.....	.....	.....	20,000
748.938.14	2nd St.-Brannan-Townsend .....	10,000	.....	.....	.....	10,000
748.945.00	Joost Ave. Slides .....	14,000	.....	.....	.....	14,000
748.950.00	Loma Vista-Upper Terrace-Masonic .....	5,500	.....	.....	.....	5,500
748.956.00	Oregon-Drumm-Embarcadero .....	4,800	.....	.....	.....	4,800
748.983.00	Joint Highway District No. 9 .....	41,250	.....	.....	.....	41,250
748.984.00	Joint Highway District No. 10 .....	37,800	.....	.....	.....	37,800
748.985.00		287,350	.....	.....	.....	287,350
<b>Total County Road Fund .....</b>						
		1,098,812	260,900	.....	.....	837,912

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938 (Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
SPECIAL GAS TAX STREET IMPROVEMENT FUND						
777	Golden Gate Ave.—Taylor—Van Ness	56,250				56,250
777.920.00	Third St.—Howard—Channel	84,375				84,375
777.921.00	Great Highway underpass at Taraval, repair	5,000				5,000
777.922.00	Market St. and Portola Drive prem. Engr. Studies	14,250				14,250
777.923.00	Army St.—Bryant Street westerly land	100,000				100,000
777.924.00	Army St.—Bryant to Harrison—Construction	52,000				52,000
777.925.00	Market St.—Valencia—Van Ness	26,250				26,250
777.926.00	Anza—Parker Ave. to Masonic—Land improvements	52,750				52,750
777.927.00	Sixth and Channel Bridge	10,700				10,700
777.928.00	Third and Channel Bridge	4,600				4,600
777.929.00	San Jose Ave. Bridge at Mt. Vernon	1,100				1,100
777.930.00	Third St. Channel to Burke Ave. Property Purchase	77,950				77,950
777.931.00	Polk-Fell-Grove	22,050				22,050
777.933.00						
		507,275				507,275
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY						
749	Installation Fund	3,000				3,000
749.900.00						
PUBLIC WELFARE DEPARTMENT						
756	Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor Fund	1,300,000	2,160			1,297,840
756.804.00		1,300,000	2,160			1,297,840
CONTROLLER						
760	Tax Judgments Fund	101,128				101,128
760.705.00						

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>SCHOOL DEPARTMENT</b>						
769	Common School Fund (Tentative)	9,754,393	529,200	.....	.....	9,225,193
770	Special School Tax Fund (Tentative)	940,000	1,250	.....	.....	938,750
		10,694,393	530,450	.....	.....	10,163,943
<b>EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM</b>						
772	Permanent Salaries	29,280	.....	.....	.....	29,280
772.101.00	Permanent Salaries	1,900	.....	.....	.....	1,900
772.102.00	Temporary Salaries	1,575	.....	.....	.....	1,575
772.106.00	Fees and Special Compensations	1,487	82	.....	.....	1,405
772.200.00	Contractual Services	200	.....	.....	.....	200
772.300.00	Materials and Supplies	400	.....	.....	.....	400
772.400.00	Equipment	1,713,500	.....	.....	.....	2,402,319
772.800.00	Pensions and Retirement Allowances	2,500	2,500	.....	688,819	.....
772.900.00	Services of Other Departments	1,750,842	2,582	.....	688,819	2,437,079
		50,000	.....	.....	.....	50,000
772.815.00	Compensation Insurance	1,800,842	2,582	.....	688,819	2,487,079
	Total Employees' Retirement Fund					



TUESDAY, JUNE 1, 1937.

DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS (PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES) FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938  
(Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION</b>						
762	Permanent Salaries .....	43,680			53,040	53,040
762.101.00	Legal Expenses—City Attorney's Office .....	1,200	21,400		21,400	21,400
762.106.00	Contractual Services .....	12,340			14,684	14,684
762.200.00	Materials and Supplies .....	9,000			1,500	1,500
762.300.00	Equipment .....	3,500			250	250
762.400.00	Insurance Premiums .....	32,950			200	200
762.818.00	Pensions and Retirement Allowances .....	105,000			1,621	1,621
762.806.00	Utility Engineering Investigation .....	5,152			10,000	10,000
762.900.00	Total Public Utilities Commission Fund .....	218,277	21,400		102,695	81,295
<b>SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT</b>						
764	Permanent Salaries .....	43,680				43,680
764.101.00	Temporary Salaries .....	1,200				1,200
764.102.00	Contractual Services .....	12,340				12,340
764.200.00	Heat, Light, and Power .....	9,000	9,000			3,500
764.231.00	Materials and Supplies .....	3,500				3,500
764.300.00	Equipment .....	32,950				105,000
764.400.00	Land Purchases .....	105,000				5,152
764.600.00	Insurance Premiums .....	5,152				105,000
764.818.00	Pensions and Retirement Allowances .....	1,655				5,152
764.806.00	Taxes .....	2,300				2,300
764.812.00	Services of Other Departments .....	1,500				1,500
764.900.00	Total Airport Fund .....	218,277	43,605			174,672

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations, Public Service Enterprises, for Expenditures 1937-1938, continued on next page)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>MUNICIPAL RAILWAY OPERATING FUND</b>						
765	Permanent Salaries .....	237,300	.....	.....	.....	237,300
765.101.00	Temporary Salaries .....	11,566	.....	.....	.....	11,566
765.102.00	Wages .....	1,776,105	.....	.....	.....	1,776,105
765.103.00	Contractual Services .....	95,611	180	.....	.....	95,431
765.200.00	Heat, Light, and Power .....	430,000	430,000	.....	.....	.....
765.231.00	Materials and Supplies .....	120,000	.....	.....	.....	120,000
765.300.00	Equipment .....	5,000	.....	.....	.....	5,000
765.400.00	Bond Interest and Redemption .....	177,500	177,500	.....	.....	.....
765.800.00	Accident Compensation .....	400	.....	.....	.....	400
765.801.00	Educational and Welfare Grants and Contributions .....	100	.....	.....	.....	100
765.804.00	Passenger and Damage Claims .....	70,000	.....	.....	.....	70,000
765.808.00	Current Depreciation Replacements .....	100,000	.....	.....	.....	100,000
765.813.00	Pensions and Retirement Allowances .....	100,000	100,000	.....	.....	.....
765.806.00	Services of Other Departments	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
765.900.01	Controller .....	4,500	4,500	.....	.....	.....
765.900.02	Department of Public Works .....	12,250	.....	.....	.....	12,250
765.900.03	Public Utilities Commission .....	11,827	11,827	.....	.....	.....
765.900.04	Public Utilities Commission—Claims Bureau .....	13,020	13,020	.....	.....	.....
765.900.08	S. F. Water Department .....	200	.....	.....	.....	200
765.900.05	Public Utilities Commission—Engineering Expense .....	18,000	.....	.....	.....	18,000
Retirement System:						
765.900.06	Hospitalization (S. F. Hospital) .....	4,000	.....	.....	.....	4,000
765.900.07	Employee Claims .....	14,000	.....	.....	.....	14,000
765.500.00	Additions and Betterments .....	65,000	.....	.....	.....	65,000
Total Municipal Railway Operating Fund .....		3,266,379	737,027	.....	.....	2,529,352

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations, Public Service Enterprises, for Expenditures 1937-1938, continued on next page)



DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS (PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES) FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938  
(Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
766	WATER REVENUE	551,460				551,460
766.101.00	*Permanent Salaries	25,018				25,018
766.102.00	*Temporary Salaries	47,007				47,007
766.103.00	*Wages	113,800				113,800
766.200.00	Contractual Services	120,000				120,000
766.212.01	Maintenance—Source of Supply	260,000				260,000
766.212.02	Maintenance—Transmission and Distribution	30,000				30,000
766.212.03	Maintenance—General	146,200	146,200			292,400
766.231.00	Heat, Light, and Power	45,000				45,000
766.300.00	Materials and Supplies	4,280				4,280
766.350.00	Foodstuffs	15,000				15,000
766.400.00	Equipment	248,200				248,200
766.500.00	Additions and Betterments	2,485,000	2,485,000			4,970,000
766.800.01	Bond Interest and Redemption—1928 Spring Valley	400,000	400,000			800,000
766.800.02	Bond Interest and Redemption—1910 Water	47,025	47,025			94,050
766.800.03	Bond Interest and Redemption—1925 Hetch Hetchy Water	6,000				6,000
766.705.00	Injuries and Damages	10,000				10,000
766.815.00	Compensation Insurance	6,895				6,895
766.818.00	Other Insurance	43,000	43,000			86,000
766.806.00	Pensions and Retirement Allowances	205,000				205,000
766.812.00	Taxes	75,000				75,000
766.813.00	Current Depreciation Replacements	157,248	157,248			314,496
766.900.01	Services of Hetch Hetchy Water Supply	3,500				3,500
766.900.02	Services of Public Utilities Commission—Bureau of Engineering	52,522	52,522			105,044
766.900.03	Services of Public Utilities Commission	7,300	7,300			14,600
766.900.04	Services of Controller	1,906,478	1,906,478			3,812,956
766.900.05	Appropriation to General Fund	25,000				25,000
766.900.06	Survey of Properties for Department					
	*To be detailed by operating bureaus in the Appropriation Ledger.					
	Total Water Revenue Fund	7,035,933	5,244,773			12,280,706

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
767	HETCH HETCHY POWER OPERATIVE					
767.101.00	Permanent Salaries .....	82,590				82,590
767.102.00	Temporary Salaries .....	2,761				2,761
767.200.00	Contractual Services .....	6,000				6,000
767.200.03	Transmission Maintenance .....	14,256				14,256
767.200.04	Miscellaneous Maintenance .....	7,822				7,822
767.300.00	Materials and Supplies .....	5,000				5,000
767.350.00	Foodstuffs .....	5,000				5,000
767.702.00	Bond Interest and Redemption .....	1,134,261	1,134,261			
767.803.01	Bond Interest and Redemption (Hetch Hetchy Water Issues) .....	950,826	950,826			
767.817.00	Automobile Insurance Premiums .....	1,121				1,121
767.806.00	Pensions and Retirement Allowances .....	5,000	5,000			
767.813.00	Current Depreciation Replacements .....	46,682				46,682
767.900.01	Services of Other Departments—Public Utilities Comm. ....	20,326	20,326			
767.900.02	—Pub. Util. Com.—Bur. Engineering .....	16,400				16,400
767.900.03	—Controller .....	3,275	3,275			
767.900.04	—Hetch Hetchy Water Supply .....	82,680	82,680			
767.801.00	Accident Compensation .....	2,000				2,000
767.808.00	Valuation of Properties .....	25,000				25,000
	Total Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund .....	2,411,000	2,196,368			214,632

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations, Public Service Enterprises, for Expenditures 1937-1938, continued on next page)



TUESDAY, JUNE 1, 1937.

DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS (PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES) FOR EXPENDITURES 1937-1938  
(Continued)

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>HETCH HETCHY WATER SUPPLY</b>						
768	Permanent Salaries .....	..	..	..	38,550	38,550
768.101.00	Temporary Salaries .....	..	..	..	834	834
768.102.00	Contractual Services .....	..	..	..	2,500	2,500
768.200.00	Plant Maintenance .....	..	..	..	4,037	4,037
768.212.01	Routine Maintenance—General .....	..	..	..	70,000	70,000
768.212.02	Routine Maintenance of Roads .....	..	..	..	18,814	18,814
768.212.03	Materials and Supplies .....	..	..	..	2,000	2,000
768.300.00	Equipment .....	..	..	..	2,125	2,125
768.400.00	Water Rights and Damage Claims .....	..	..	..	29,300	29,300
768.604.01	Legal Expenses—Water Rights Litigation .....	..	..	..	5,000	5,000
768.604.02	Accident Compensation .....	..	..	..	386	386
768.801.00	Automobile Insurance Premiums .....	..	..	..	600	600
768.817.00	Fee to U. S. Government (Raker Act) .....	..	..	..	20,000	20,000
768.805.00	Pensions and Retirement Allowances .....	..	1,542	..	1,542	1,542
768.806.00	Valuation of Properties .....	..	..	..	25,000	25,000
768.808.00	Land and Building Leases .....	..	..	..	90	90
768.809.00	Taxes .....	..	..	..	6,200	6,200
768.812.00	Services of Other Departments—Public Utilities Comm. ....	..	..	..	5,000	5,000
768.900.01	—Pub. Util. Com.—Bur. Engineering .....	..	..	..	5,000	5,000
768.900.02	—Controller .....	..	2,950	..	2,950	2,950
768.900.03	..	..	..	..	..	..
Total Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Fund .....		..	9,492	..	239,928	230,436
<b>TOTAL PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISE—CURRENT</b> .....		12,931,589	8,252,665	..	342,623	5,021,547

Number	Description	Amount	Transfers	From Intra-fund Receipts	From Inter-fund Receipts	Total
<b>PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION</b>						
93.900.04	1933 Water Distribution Grant Bond Redemption .....	110,374	110,374			
94.900.01	1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund Bond Interest and Redemption .....	740,548	740,548			
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS</b>						
95.900.00	1933 Sewer Bonds Construction .....	577,447				577,447
95.900.01	Bond Interest and Redemption .....	108,502	108,502			
		685,949	108,502			577,447
96.900.01	1933 High Pressure System Grant Bond Interest and Redemption .....	150,500	150,500			
98.900.01	1934 School House Grant Bond Interest and Redemption .....	128,018	128,018			
	<b>TOTAL CAPITAL FUNDS .....</b>	<b>1,815,389</b>	<b>1,237,942</b>			<b>577,447</b>

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**Appropriation of \$25,000, Painting and Tiling Stockton Street Tunnel.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1311, Ordinance No. 9.051380, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$25,000 out of the accrued surplus existing in the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 648.993.00 for the purpose of painting and tiling the Stockton street tunnel.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$25,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the accrued surplus existing in the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 648.993.00 for the purpose of painting and tiling the Stockton street tunnel.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead—2.

**NEW BUSINESS.**

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Tax Refund, Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Resolution No. 3297, as follows:

Resolved, That refund of taxes in amount of \$745.66, being reimbursement of the 1935-1936 and the 1936-1937 taxes of Bush street lot being leased for the Fire Department, be, in accordance with terms of lease, paid to the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company, said payment to be made from General Fund, Appropriation No. 60.969.00.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead—2.

**Confirming Sale of Land, Eighteenth Avenue and Moraga Street.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

Also, Resolution No. 3298, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.172210, Bill No. 1225, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids would be received by him on May 12, 1937, for the sale of the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Eighteenth avenue, distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Moraga street (formerly "M" street); thence southerly along said westerly line of Eighteenth avenue 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 210 feet to a line parallel with and distant 30 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Nineteenth avenue, said parallel line being the proposed new easterly line of said Nineteenth avenue; thence at right angles northerly along said parallel line 150 feet;

thence at a right angle easterly 210 feet to the westerly line of Eighteenth avenue and the point of commencement.

Being portion of Outside Land Block No. 878.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement Henry Doelger Builder Incorporated, a corporation, offered to purchase said land for the sum of \$12,000 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said sum of \$12,000 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being \$12,000; and

Whereas, Henry Doelger Builder Incorporated, a corporation, has paid the sum of \$1,200 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Board of Education has recommended the sale of said land; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said land to Henry Doelger Builder Incorporated, a corporation. The buyer must pay the balance of the purchase price within thirty (30) days after approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantee upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead—2.

### **Confirming Lease of City Property at Thirteenth Street and Van Ness Avenue South.**

(Code No. 12.1739)

Also, Resolution No. 3299, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17396, Bill No. 1258, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco, that bids or offers would be received by him on May 12, 1937, for leasing that certain City-owned land at the northwest corner of Thirteenth street and Van Ness Avenue South, San Francisco, being Lots 15, 16, 17 and 18, Assessor's Block 3514; which property is under the jurisdiction of the Department of Public Works; and

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, the Director of Property received an offer from Bank Auto Company to lease said property for a period of one year at a monthly cash rental of \$60, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, the Department of Public Works has recommended that said lease be awarded to Bank Auto Company; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said lease be and is hereby awarded to Bank Auto Company, subject to such reservations and conditions as may be deemed necessary by the Director of Property and the City Attorney; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute said lease on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead—2.



**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing Sale of Lot 2, Block 6745, Arlington and Wilder Streets.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

Bill No. 1344, Ordinance No. 12.172213, as follows:

Authorizing sale of Lot 2, Block 6745, Arlington and Wilder streets. Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Wilder street, distant thereon 476.08 feet easterly from the easterly line of Diamond street, said point being in the westerly line of the property deeded by J. D. and Mathilde Heise to the City and County of San Francisco, recorded May 3, 1928, in Volume 1650 at page 317, Official Records of said City and County; thence easterly along said line of Wilder street, 83.176 feet; thence southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to said line of Wilder street, radius 10 feet, central angle 144 degrees 45 minutes 38 seconds, 25.266 feet to tangency with the northwesterly line of Arlington street; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line, 140.660 feet; thence continuing southwesterly along said line of Arlington street on the arc of a curve to the left, radius 150 feet, central angle 2 degrees 28 minutes 17 seconds, 6.470 feet to the northwesterly boundary of Jones' Addition to Fairmount Tract, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "E & F", at page 169 of aforesaid Official Records; thence deflecting 163 degrees 29 minutes 29 seconds to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve and running northeasterly along last-named line, 77.317 feet to aforesaid westerly line of the property deeded by J. D. and Mathilde Heise; thence deflecting 54 degrees 57 minutes 20 seconds to the left and running northerly along last-named line, 42.824 feet to the southerly line of Wilder street and the point of beginning.

Containing 4,360 square feet, more or less.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead—2.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence, Dr. Wm. C. Voorsanger, Health Advisory Board.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3300, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Dr. William C. Voorsanger, member of the Health Advisory Board, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of

thirty days, commencing May 26, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead—2.

**Leave of Absence—Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3302, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ten days, commencing May 29, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead—2.

**Leave of Absence, Dr. J. C. Geiger, 17 Days From June 2, 1937.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3303, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, Honorable J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence of seventeen (17) days commencing June 2, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead—2.

**Endorsement of Shut-In Day, June 20th, 1937.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Resolution No. 3301, as follows:

Whereas, the San Francisco Shut-In Association, Inc., was conceived and organized in 1934 by its beloved president, Peter R. Maloney, for the purpose of providing care and comfort for those unfortunate indigent blind, sick and distressed, who are not eligible for aid through County or State relief; and

Whereas, since its inception this association has endeavored to raise funds to carry on the laudable enterprise through public-spirited citizens and organizations, primarily through the medium of benefit games, etc.; and

Whereas, Sunday, June 20th, 1937, has been set aside for another benefit baseball game at Seals Stadium this year between the San Francisco Seals baseball team and the Mission baseball team of the Pacific Coast Baseball League, the proceeds of which will be made available to the San Francisco Shut-In Association, Inc., for distribution among our indigent residents; now, therefore, be it .

Resolved, That this Honorable Board of Supervisors declare its endorsement for Shut-In Day, June 20th, 1937, and call upon the citizens of San Francisco to lend their support to make this day a successful one to the end that the laudable work carried on by the San Francisco Shut-In Association, Inc., may be perpetuated and extended for the care and comfort of our unfortunate neighbors.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead—2.



**Extension of McClintock's Traffic Survey.**

Supervisor Shannon presented a letter from Voters' Council, Edward J. Margett, President, urging the Board to obtain an extension of Dr. McClintock's survey, to include street car and bus transportation.

*Referred to Joint Finance and Public Utilities Committee.*

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Clerk be requested to ascertain what experience Mr. McClintock has had in the matter of street car and bus transportation.

*Motion carried.*

**Supervisor Brown's Work as Chairman of the Golden Gate Fiesta Commended.**

On motion of Supervisor Colman, duly seconded, the Board of Supervisors complimented and thanked the Golden Gate Bridge Fiesta Committee and in particular its Chairman, Supervisor Arthur M. Brown, Jr., for the spectacular program of events scheduled during the celebration, which proved to be the most successful affair of its kind in the history of San Francisco. During the entire week of the Fiesta San Franciscans and the thousands of visitors acclaimed by word and action the efforts of the committee.

Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt and Shannon also eulogized the good work of Supervisor Brown.

**Letter of Thanks for Golden Gate Bridge Publicity.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Clerk write to "Life" and express the appreciation of the Board for its splendid picture of the Golden Gate and the surrounding area.

*Motion carried.*

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business the Board of Supervisors at 5:45 p. m. *adjourned.*

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors June 7, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 32

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 23

Monday, June 7, 1937

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

---

MONDAY, JUNE 7, 1937, 2 P. M.

---

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, June 7, 1937, 2 p. m.  
The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:  
Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Schmidt, Uhl—10.  
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.  
Supervisor Brown noted present at 2:55 p. m.  
Quorum present.  
President Shannon in the chair.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of June 1, 1937, was considered read and approved.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

**Authorizing Compromise of Claim of Mrs. Margaret Counihan, in the Sum of Fifty (\$50) Dollars.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1337, Ordinance No. 6.022226, as follows:

Authorizing compromise of claim of Mrs. Margaret Counihan, in the sum of fifty (\$50) dollars.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended the settlement of the action of Mrs. Margaret Counihan, against the City and County of San Francisco for the recovery of damages for personal injuries sustained by reason of defective condition of sidewalk in the vicinity of Fifteenth avenue and Judah street, by the payment of the sum of fifty (\$50) dollars in full settlement of all claims of said Mrs. Margaret Counihan, said City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle said pending litigation by the payment of said sum of fifty (\$50) dollars.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.  
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.



**Authorizing Claim of Michael F. Scannell for the Sum of Seven Thousand Eight Hundred and Fifty Dollars.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Bill No. 1338, Ordinance No. 6.022227, as follows:

Authorizing claim of Michael F. Scannell for the sum of seven thousand eight hundred and fifty dollars.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended the settlement of the action of Michael F. Scannell against the City and County of San Francisco for the recovery of damages for personal injuries sustained by reason of falling from the viewing gallery of the hand-ball courts in Golden Gate Park on February 29, 1936, which suit is numbered 268034 in the Superior Court, said City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle said pending litigation by the payment of the sum of \$7,850 and the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to draw his warrant for said sum.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing Claim of George T. Sorenson for the Sum of Seven Hundred and Fifty Dollars.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Bill No. 1339, Ordinance No. 6.022228, as follows:

Authorizing claim of George T. Sorenson for the sum of seven hundred and fifty dollars.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended the settlement of the action of George T. Sorenson against the City and County of San Francisco for the recovery of damages for personal injuries sustained by reason of falling from the viewing gallery of the hand-ball courts in Golden Gate Park on February 29, 1936, which suit is numbered 267934 in the Superior Court, said City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle said pending litigation by the payment of the sum of \$750 and the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to draw his warrant in said sum.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$500 for Membership Dues in Alta California, Inc.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1342, Ordinance No. 9.051391, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$500 out of Appropriation No. 500.000.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 601.814.00, for the purpose of paying dues for membership in Alta California, Inc., an organization of Supervisors of Northern California Counties.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$500 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of Appropriation No. 500.000.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 601.814.00, for the purpose of paying dues for membership in Alta Cali-

fornia, Inc., an organization of Supervisors of Northern California Counties.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.  
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Repealing Bill No. 637, Public Proceedings for the Improvement  
of Wherenots in the Sunset District.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1340, Ordinance No. 12.061174, as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 12.061150, entitled "Ordering the Performance of Certain Street Work to Be Done in the City and County of San Francisco, Approving and Adopting Specifications Therefor, Describing and Approving the Assessment District, and Authorizing the Director of Public Works to Enter Into Contract for Doing the Same," in effect November 14, 1934, ordering the improvement of wherenots on Moraga and various other streets in the Sunset District.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 12.061150, the title of which is recited above, ordering the improvement of wherenots on Moraga and various other streets in the Sunset District, is hereby repealed.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.  
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Abolishing Sidewalk Widths on Clarence Place.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1343, Ordinance No. 12.0731105, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Ninety-seven (1197).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office May 22, 1937, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Ninety-seven (1197), to read as follows:

Section 1197. The width of sidewalks on Clarence place shall be abolished.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.  
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.



**NEW BUSINESS.****Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$12,287.54 for Extra Work in Connection with the Reconstruction of Sixth Street.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1348, Ordinance No. 9.051392, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$12,287.54 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 77.023.51 to the credit of Appropriation No. 77.023.01 for the purpose of paying for extra work in excess of 10 per cent of the certified estimated contract price in connection with the reconstruction of Sixth street, between Market and Townsend streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$12,287.54 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 77.023.51 to the credit of Appropriation No. 77.023.01 for the purpose of paying for extra work in excess of 10 per cent of the certified estimated contract price in connection with the reconstruction of Sixth street, between Townsend street and Market street.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of San Francisco.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Department of Public Works, by S. J. Hester, Acting Director.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorized Compromise of Claim of May Wollner Cerf in the Sum of One Hundred Fifty-five (\$155) Dollars.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Bill No. 1346, Ordinance No. 6.022229, as follows:

Authorized compromise of claim of May Wollner Cerf in the sum of one hundred fifty-five (\$155) dollars.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended the settlement of the action of May Wollner Cerf against the City and County of San Francisco for the recovery of damages for personal injuries sustained by reason of a defective condition of a sidewalk, opposite 2253-2255 Franklin street, by the payment of the sum of one hundred and fifty-five (\$155) dollars in full settlement of all claims of said Mrs. May Wollner Cerf, said City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle said pending litigation by the payment of one hundred and fifty-five (\$155) dollars.

Approved as to form by E. P. Bugerot, Deputy City Attorney.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing Compromise of Claim of Mrs. C. Robinett for \$100.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Bill No. 1347, Ordinance No. 6.022230, as follows:

Authorizing compromise of claim of Mrs. C. Robinett in the sum of one hundred (\$100) dollars.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That the City Attorney having recommended the settlement of the claim of Mrs. C. Robinett against the City and County of San Francisco for the recovery of damages for personal injuries sustained by reason of her being thrown from a bench to the ground at Union Square, San Francisco, California, on Sunday, May 2, 1937, when said bench was struck by an automobile operated by an employee of the Department of Electricity by the payment of the sum of one hundred (\$100) dollars in full settlement of all claims of said Mrs. C. Robinett, said City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle said claim by the payment of said sum of one hundred (\$100) dollars.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Accepting Statement of Gross Receipts from California Street Cable Railroad Company.**

(Code No. 15.091)

Resolution No. 3304, as follows:

Resolved, That the statement by the California Street Cable Railroad Company, showing gross receipts from passenger fares due the City and County under the terms of franchise, as follows:

Calendar year 1935 .....	\$4,025.80
Calendar year 1936 .....	4,386.02

Total due and collectible.....	\$8,411.82
--------------------------------	------------

be and the same is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the California Street Cable Railroad Company is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove mentioned sum.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Confirming Sale of Land at Eighteenth and Kirkham.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

Also, Resolution No. 3305, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.172210, Bill No. 1225, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids would be received by him on May 14, 1937, for the sale of the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Eighteenth avenue, distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Kirkham street (formerly "K" street); running thence southerly along said



westerly line of Eighteenth avenue 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 210 feet to a line parallel with and distant 30 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Nineteenth avenue, said parallel line being the proposed new easterly line of Nineteenth avenue; thence at a right angle northerly along said parallel line, 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 210 feet to the westerly line of Eighteenth avenue and the point of beginning.

Being portion of Outside Land Block No. 786.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, A. L. Piombo offered to purchase said land for the sum of \$9,350 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said sum of \$9,350 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being \$9,350; and

Whereas, A. L. Piombo has paid the sum of \$935 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Board of Education has recommended the sale of said land; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said land to A. L. Piombo. The buyer must pay the balance of the purchase price within thirty days after approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantee upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### **Land Purchase for Nineteenth Avenue Widening.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3306, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Frederick E. Harmon et ux., a portion of Lot 7, Assessor's Block 2419, San Francisco, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the total sum of \$3,232.50. The sum of \$2,840 is to be paid from the money on deposit with the City Title Insurance Company, under authority of Resolution No. 2914 adopted by this Board on November 16, 1936, and the sum of \$392.50 is to be paid from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project No. 5A.

The above sum includes damages in full to the improvements now located on said property, which improvements are to be relocated by the grantors. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### **Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Widening of Nineteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3307, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties those certain parcels of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required

for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sum set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project No. 5-A:

Eda M. B. Swartz, portion of Lot 6, Assessor's Block	
1731 .....	\$1,786
Katherine Mitchell, portion of Lot 6, Assessor's Block	
1774 .....	2,434

The above sums include damages in full to the improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within thirty days after recording the deeds to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above-named parties for a particular description of said parcel of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Authorizing Refunds of Erroneous Payments of Taxes.

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 3308, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid to the following named, being refunds of erroneous payments of taxes:

#### *From Duplicate Tax Fund—Appropriation No. 905.*

- |   |          |
|---|----------|
| (1) V. L. Marshall, per Vol. 3, Bill 1245, Lot 5, Block 464A, 1st Installment, fiscal year 1936 .....           | \$126.20 |
| (2) Bank of America, per Vol. 7, Bill 2378, Lot 10, Block 1173, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936.....           | 94.79    |
| (3) City Title Insurance Co., per Vol. 10, Bill 2124, Lot 16, Block 1507, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936..... | 17.53    |
| (4) Victor H. Suttman, per Vol. 10, Bill 2389, Lot 15, Block 1515, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936.....        | 13.43    |
| (5) American Trust Co., per Vol. 21, Bill 2929, Lot 4, Block 3108, 1st Installment, fiscal year 1936.....       | 57.90    |
| (6) Bank of America, per Vol. 25, Bill 2883, Lot 66, Block 3736, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936.....          | 237.07   |
| (7) A. B. Frank, per Vol. 36, Bill 1873, Lot 9, Block 6086, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936.....               | 10.41    |
| (8) Grace Van Laningham, per Vol. 40, Bill 880, Lot 23, Block 6611, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936.....       | 8.70     |
| (9) Arthur A. Newhouse, per Vol. 13, Bill 1084, Lot 26, Block 1727, both Installments, fiscal year 1936.....    | 171.42   |

#### *From General Fund—Appropriation No. 60.969.00.*

- |  |      |
|--|------|
| (10) Frank V. and Alma Corbett, per Vol. 35, Bill 35, Lot 12, Block 5812, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment..... | 1.98 |
|--|------|

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Release of Liens Filed Re Old Age Security.

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3309, as follows:

Resolved, That the liens heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, on recommendation



of the County Welfare Department, are released against the properties described as follows:

Elizabeth J. Sloss, recorded September 14, 1936, in Vol. 3011, page 142, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco; legal description, Lot 5-A, Block 2140.

John and Leona Castlen, recorded September 14, 1936, in Vol. 3011, page 142, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco; legal description, Lot 19, Block 1746.

Annie Josephine Greenwald, recorded August 10, 1936, in Vol. 3011, page 33, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

John J. O'Brien, recorded August 10, 1936, in volume 3011, page 75, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco; legal description, Lot 52, Block 5947.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Release of Lien, Charles W. Frees.

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3310, as follows:

Resolved, That the lien heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, on recommendation of the County Welfare Department, is released against the property described as follows:

Charles W. Frees, recorded March 3, 1937, by the Recorder of Humboldt County, in Book 4, Transcripts of Judgments, at page 381.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Sale of Buildings.

(Code No. 12.1722)

Also, Resolution No. 3311, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, that the Director of Property be and is hereby authorized to sell at public auction the old Agassiz School building, located on the west side of Bartlett street, south of Twenty-second street, San Francisco.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale to be executed by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Cancellation of Taxes, State Property.

(Code No. 9.022)

Also, Resolution No. 3312, as follows:

Whereas, the Tax Collector has reported that the following described property is now recorded in the name of the Veterans' Welfare Board of the State of California, and being State property, taxes

thereon, as follows, should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the following taxes are hereby cancelled in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804 of the Political Code, to-wit:

<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Assessed to</i>	<i>Deed Recorded</i>	<i>1936 Taxes</i>
39	6612	Olga Peterson .....	12/10/36	\$12.87 2d
24	1933	Percy R. Clements .....	12/10/36	33.11 2d
24	6450	Crocker-Huffman Land & Water Co. ....	12/15/36	32.16 2d
22	1786	W. L. Schufelt .....	12/17/36	13.24 2d
33	1869	W. J. Saereman .....	12/19/36	17.97 2d
16	3054	Walter Lawrence .....	12/19/36	30.08 2d
18Y	1889	A. L. and M. L. Krerezberger....	12/24/36	7.57 2d
35	1816	H. H. and M. E. Isaac.....	12/23/36	17.78 2d
2A	2127	E. J. and M. I. Cardine.....	12/29/36	74.73 2d
10	2527A	A. J. and M. A. Herzig.....	12/26/36	28.95 2d
18P	1889	Carl Gellert .....	12/30/36	7.57 2d
18O	1889	Carl Gellert .....	12/31/36	7.57 2d
42	2026	Wm. Duerner, Jr. ....	12/30/36	18.92 2d
12	5839	The Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco .....	12/30/36	39.35
30	2961A	C. H. and L. A. Swanson.....	7/15/36	38.79 2d
16	1512	Sylvia O'Laughlin .....	10/17/36	43.52 2d
2A	1580	H. and E. Schwarsenberg .....	12/31/36	50.52 2d

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Cancellation, Tax Sale and Assessments.

(Code Nos. 9.0411 and 9.0412)

Also, Resolution No. 3313, as follows:

Whereas, the Tax Collector has reported that Lot 19, Block 4225-4251, now recorded in the name of the State of California, was sold to the State for delinquent taxes June 29, 1932, and assessed for subsequent years in the name of Rose McKanny, and that being State property, this sale and the following assessments should be cancelled:

Lot 19, Block 4225-4251—

<i>Year</i>	<i>Tax</i>	<i>Del. Pen.</i>	<i>Cost</i>
1931 .....	\$ 4.04	\$0.40	\$0.50
1932 .....	3.96		
1933 .....	3.48		
1934 .....	3.86		
1935 .....	3.68		
1936 .....	3.78		
	<hr/> \$22.80	<hr/> \$0.40	<hr/> \$0.50

and the City Attorney having consented thereto; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Tax Collector be authorized to cancel this sale in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804-A of the Political Code.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.



**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

**Approving Map Showing the Realignment of the North Line of Silver Avenue, Between Princeton and Amherst Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0821)

Resolution No. 3314, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Map Showing the Realignment of the North Line of Silver Avenue, Between Princeton and Amherst Streets," approved the 26th day of May, 1937, by Acting Director of Public Works Order No. 6240, be and is hereby approved and the hatched portion shown thereon is hereby declared to be an open public street to be known as Silver avenue.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Intention to Change Grades on Union Street, Between Montgomery and Sansome Streets, and Calhoun Street, Between Union and Green Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0721)

Also, Resolution No. 3315, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Grade Map of Union Street, Between Montgomery and Sansome Streets, and Calhoun Street, Between Union and Green Streets," approved the 26th day of May, 1937, by Acting Director of Public Works Order No. 6249, be and is hereby approved; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on Union street, between Montgomery and Sansome streets, and on Calhoun street, between Union and Green streets, at the points specified and at the elevations above City base as shown upon said map. These proceedings are instituted in accordance with the "Change of Grade Act of 1909."

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the streets upon which change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

The "San Francisco News" is hereby designated as the newspaper in which this resolution shall be published.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

**Ordering the Improvement of Carolina Street, Between Twenty-second and Twenty-third Streets (Wherenot).**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Bill No. 1349, Ordinance No. 12.061175, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, May 21, 1937, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Carolina street, between Twenty-second street and Twenty-third street, where not already improved, by the construction of the following:

Item No.	Item
(1)	10-inch unarmored concrete curb.
(2)	Unarmored concrete curb.
(3)	One-course concrete sidewalk.
(4)	6-inch class "E" concrete pavement.
(5)	Asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 4-inch asphaltic concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered, respectively, as:

Lots 7, 13, 14 and 15 of Block 4160, and Lot 1 of Block 4161, all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### **Changing Walk Widths on Evans Avenue and Other Streets in Hunter's Point District.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1350, Ordinance No. 12.0731106, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Sections 554, 555, 556, 557, 558, 559, 560 and 561 thereof, and by adding new sections to be numbered 1193, 1194, 1195 and 1196.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office May 25, 1937, by amending Sections 554, 555, 556, 557,



558, 559, 560, and 561 thereof, and by adding new sections thereto to be numbered 1193, 1194, 1195, and 1196, to read as follows:

*Section 554:*

The width of sidewalks on Evans avenue, the westerly side of, between Army and Napoleon streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Evans avenue, the easterly side of, between Army street and the first angle northerly from Napoleon street shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Evans avenue, the easterly side of, between Napoleon street and the first angle northerly therefrom shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Evans avenue between Napoleon and Lane streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Evans avenue between Lane street and its southeasterly termination shall be 10 feet.

*Section 555:*

The width of sidewalks on Fairfax avenue between Rankin street and a line parallel with Boalt street and 146.54 feet northwesterly therefrom shall be 15 feet.

The widths of sidewalks on Fairfax avenue, the northeasterly side of, between Boalt street and a line parallel with and 146.54 feet northwesterly therefrom shall be the width between the property line and a reverse curve connecting a 10-foot curb line at Boalt street with a 15-foot curb line at a point 146.54 feet northwesterly from Boalt street.

The width of sidewalks on Fairfax avenue between Boalt and Alvord streets shall be 10 feet.

*Section 556:*

The width of sidewalks on Galvez avenue between Toland street and Mendell street shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Galvez avenue between Lane and Jennings streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Galvez avenue between Hunter's Point boulevard and Donahue street shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Galvez avenue, the northeasterly side of, between Donahue street and Hunter's Point boulevard shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Galvez avenue, the southwesterly side of, between Donahue street and a line parallel with Coleman street and 243.56 feet southeasterly therefrom, shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Galvez avenue, the northeasterly side of, between Hunter's Point boulevard and Alvord street shall be 27 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Galvez avenue, the southwesterly side of, between lines respectively 243.56 feet and 343.56 feet southeasterly from Coleman street shall be the width between the property line and a reverse curve connecting a 10-foot curb line from the northwest to a 27-foot curb line to the southeast.

The width of sidewalks on Galvez avenue, the southeasterly side of, between a line parallel with Coleman street and 343.56 feet southeasterly therefrom and Alvord street shall be 27 feet.

*Section 557:*

The width of sidewalks on Hudson avenue between Toland and Selby streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Hudson avenue between Rankin and Lane streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Hudson avenue, the northeasterly side of, between Lane and Jennings streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Hudson avenue, the southwesterly side of, between Lane and Jennings streets shall be 30 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Hudson avenue between Jennings and Earl streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Hudson avenue between Earl and Alvord streets shall be 27 feet.

*Section 558:*

The width of sidewalks on Innes avenue between Toland and Mendell streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Innes avenue between Lane and Hawes streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Innes avenue between Hawes and Donahue streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Innes avenue between Donahue and Alvord streets shall be 27 feet.

*Section 559:*

The width of sidewalks on Jerrold avenue between Bay Shore boulevard and Third street shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Jerrold avenue between Third and Mendell streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Jerrold avenue between Mendell and Lane streets shall be 22 feet 6 inches.

The width of sidewalks on Jerrold avenue between Lane and Earl streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Jerrold avenue between Earl and Alvord streets shall be 27 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Jerrold avenue between Alvord and Water Front streets shall be 15 feet.

*Section 560:*

The width of sidewalks on Kirkwood avenue between Selby and Mendell streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Kirkwood avenue between Mendell and Lane streets shall be 22 feet 6 inches.

The width of sidewalks on Kirkwood avenue between Lane and Earl streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Kirkwood avenue between Earl and Boalt streets shall be 27 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Kirkwood avenue between Boalt and Water Front streets shall be 10 feet.

*Section 561:*

The width of sidewalks on La Salle avenue between Quint and Mendell streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on La Salle avenue, the northeasterly side of, between Mendell and Keith streets shall be 35 feet.

The width of sidewalks on La Salle avenue, the southwesterly side of, between Mendell and Keith streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on La Salle avenue between Keith and Earl streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on La Salle avenue between Earl and Boalt streets shall be 27 feet.

The width of sidewalks on La Salle avenue between Boalt and Water Front streets shall be 10 feet.

*Section 1193:*

The width of sidewalks on Alvord street between Fairfax and Jerrold avenues shall be 19 feet.

*Section 1194:*

The width of sidewalks on Boalt street between Custer and Fairfax avenues shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Boalt street between Fairfax and McKinnon avenues shall be 19 feet.

*Section 1195:*

The width of sidewalks on Coleman street between Water Front street and Fairfax avenue shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Coleman street between Fairfax and McKinnon avenues shall be 19 feet.

*Section 1196:*

The width of sidewalks on Donahue street between Water Front street and Galvez avenue shall be 10 feet.



The width of sidewalks on Donahue street between Innes avenue and its southwesterly termination shall be 10 feet.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Spur Track, Western Pacific Railroad Company, Wisconsin Street,  
Between Eighteenth and Mariposa Streets.**

(Code No. 12.20)

Also, Bill No. 1351, Ordinance No. 12.2021, as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors to the Western Pacific Railroad Company, to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a standard-gauge spur track upon and along Wisconsin street, between Eighteenth and Mariposa streets, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to the Western Pacific Railroad Company, to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a standard-gauge spur track along and upon Wisconsin street, between Eighteenth and Mariposa streets, said location more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in the center line of the existing main track of the Western Pacific Railroad Company, in block bounded by Eighteenth, Nineteenth, Wisconsin and Arkansas streets, City and County of San Francisco, said point of beginning being approximately 100 feet measured southeasterly along said center line from the southern line of Eighteenth street; thence northerly through a No. 8½ turnout to the right, a distance of 76.15 feet to a point; thence northerly on a curve to the right, having a radius of 459.28 feet a distance of 20 feet more or less to a point on the southern line of Eighteenth street, distant 17 feet easterly thereon from the eastern line of Wisconsin street; thence continuing northerly along the last mentioned curve, crossing Eighteenth street and along the easterly side of Wisconsin street, a distance of 162.13 feet to end of curve, said end of curve being distant 10 feet at right angles westerly from the eastern line of Wisconsin street; thence continuing northerly in a straight line, tangent to last mentioned curve, along said easterly side of Wisconsin street and 10 feet distant from the eastern line thereof, 309.12 feet to the southern line of Mariposa street.

Provided that all work is to be done in accordance with blue print entitled "Exhibit 'A,' the Western Pacific Railroad Company, San Francisco, Proposed Spur to Serve Safeway Stores, Inc.," and that any interference with the natural drainage of the above mentioned streets caused by the installation of the spur track shall be corrected by the Western Pacific Railroad Company to the satisfaction of the Department of Public Works, and provided further, that standard girder rail is to be installed in the crossing of Eighteenth street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing the Director of Public Health to Sign Orders for Narcotics.**

(Code No. 17.01)

Resolution No. 3316, as follows:

Resolved, That J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health, is hereby appointed with full authority for the year commencing July 1, 1937, and ending June 30, 1938, to sign orders for narcotics for the institutions of the Department of Public Health; namely, the Laguna Honda Home, the San Francisco Hospital, the Emergency Hospitals, the Central Office and the Hassler Health Home at Redwood City, California, in compliance with the rules and regulations of the Narcotic Division of the Treasury Department, Internal Revenue, San Francisco, California.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee was taken up:

**Fixing Date for Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of Northwesterly Corner of Lombard Street and Van Ness Avenue.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 3317, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, denying an application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the northwesterly corner of Lombard street and Van Ness avenue, is hereby set for Monday, June 14, 1937, at 2 o'clock p. m.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of His Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence, Hon. George Filmer, Sr., Member Public Utilities Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3318, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, Hon. George Filmer, Sr., member of the Public Utilities Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, beginning June 12, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.



**Leave of Absence—Mr. Philip L. Bush, Member Board of Education.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3319, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mr. Philip L. Bush, a member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of one week, commencing June 8, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Initiative Petition—Laurel Hill Cemetery Removal.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

**OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR OF VOTERS**  
City and County of San Francisco,  
State of California.

*Certificate of Registrar of Voters as to Number of Signatures.*

I, C. J. Collins, Registrar of Voters of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, hereby certify that the referendum petition addressed to the Board of Supervisors of said City and County entitled "Protest Against Passage by Board of Supervisors of Ordinance entitled 'Laurel Hill Cemetery Removal,' asking reconsideration, repeal, or referendum thereon" was presented to this office for examination on May 24, 1937; that said petition consisted of 336 sections and that all of said sections were presented at the same time; that each section contains signatures, places of residence and dates purporting to be respectively the signatures of qualified electors of said City and County, the places of residence of such electors and the dates upon which such electors had respectively signed said petition; that attached to said petition at the time the same was filed as aforesaid was an affidavit purporting to be the affidavit of the person who solicited the signatures thereon; that the person by whom said affidavit purports to have been taken and verified was at the time thereof an officer authorized to administer oaths; that therein affiant stated his own qualifications, that he had solicited the signatures upon said section, that all of said signatures were made in his presence and that to his best knowledge and belief each signature to said section was the genuine signature of the person whose name it purports to be.

That after said petition was presented as aforesaid, I, as said Registrar of Voters, examined the affidavits of registration in said City and County, and the records relating thereto, current and in effect at the respective purported dates of such signing to determine therefrom what number of qualified electors signed said petition and had respectively fixed thereto the purported dates of their signing, and from said examination, I, as said Registrar of Voters, have determined said petition was and is signed by 21546 qualified electors of said City and County, each of whom was a registered qualified elector of said City and County of said date. And,

I, further certify that said referendum petition was and is signed by a number of qualified electors in excess of 10 per cent of the entire vote cast for all candidates for Mayor at the last preceding general municipal election at which a Mayor was elected as provided in section 179 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

In witness whereof, I, C. J. Collins, Registrar of Voters of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal this 4th day of June, 1937.

(Seal)

C. J. COLLINS,

Registrar of Voters, City and County of  
San Francisco, State of California.

To the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, State of California, June 4, 1937.

Board of Supervisors, June 4, 2:53 p. m., 1937, San Francisco.

### Motion.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the matter be referred to the City Attorney with instructions to draft repealing ordinance, and that the matter be placed on the Calendar for the next meeting, as a special order of business for 2:30 p. m.

Motion *carried*.

### Committee to Attend Eureka Celebration.

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Mead presented:

Resolution No. 3320, as follows:

Resolved, That the President be and is hereby requested to appoint a committee consisting of ..... members of this Board to attend, and represent, the City and County of San Francisco at, "The Days of General Grant Celebration" to be held at Eureka on July 2, 3 and 4, 1937.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Commending the San Francisco Police Department for the Efficient Service of Its Members During the Golden Gate Bridge Fiesta.

(Code No. 11.01)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 3321, as follows:

Whereas, traffic conditions during the celebration for the opening of the Golden Gate Bridge were almost unprecedented in San Francisco, and

Whereas, the flow of traffic, both vehicular and pedestrian, was handled efficiently, courteously and expeditiously, and

Whereas, credit for this happy accomplishment is due to the members of the Police Department, many of whom worked patiently and tirelessly for periods of from sixteen to twenty hours a day; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby compliment the members of the San Francisco Police Department for the manner in which it handled policing problems during the Fiesta and for the impression which they made upon our own as well as visiting citizens; and be

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to the Police Commission with the request that it be promulgated throughout the Department.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.



**Mayor Requested to Appoint Citizens' Committee to Welcome  
Italian Ambassador.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 3322, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to extend a proper welcome to his Excellency, Fulzio Suvich, Italian Ambassador to Washington, who will arrive in San Francisco on June 23d for a two-day visit.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Repeal of Resolution No. 329a Re Fisherman's Wharf Removal.**

(Code No. 5.3)

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. 3323, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, by its Resolution No. 3292, adopted May 24, 1937, memorialized the State Board of Harbor Commissioners in opposition to the removal of Fisherman's Wharf; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors has received assurance from the Harbor Commission that it is not its intention to remove Fisherman's Wharf from its present location; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That Resolution No. 3292 be and is hereby repealed; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk is instructed to forward a copy of this resolution to the State Board of Harbor Commissioners and to the Governor of the State of California.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee to Celebrate "Cabrillo  
Day," September 28, 1937.**

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 3324, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to prepare for the proper observance on September 28, 1937, of "Cabrillo Day," in honor of John Rodrigues Cabrillo, who discovered California in 1542.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Repetition of Golden Gate Bridge Fiesta.**

(Code No. 12.111)

Supervisor Brown presented:

Resolution No. 3326, as follows:

Whereas, the Golden Gate Bridge Fiesta just concluded has brought many thousands of visitors to San Francisco; and

Whereas, the Fiesta produced a spirit of gaiety and rejoicing among

not only these visitors but in the citizens of San Francisco as well; and

Whereas, the repetition of such a Fiesta annually has been proposed by many civic groups; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor be requested to authorize the Golden Gate Bridge Fiesta Citizens' Committee to proceed with plans for such a repetition of the Fiesta, preferably in the autumn of 1938; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor be hereby requested to include in the budget of 1938-39 a substantial sum to serve as a guarantee fund for said Fiesta.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**President of Board Requested to Appoint Committee of Three to Represent Board of Supervisors at Native Sons Celebration, Santa Monica, September 9, 1937.**

(Code No. —)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. —, as follows:

Resolved, That the President of the Board be and he is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a committee of three (3) to represent the Board of Supervisors at the Native Sons Celebration to be held on September 9, 1937, at Santa Monica, California.

*Over one week.*

**Regulation of Bail Bond Brokerage Business.**

(Code No. —)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 3325, as follows:

Whereas, recent developments have focused public attention upon the bail bond brokerage business; and

Whereas, it has developed that this, a business affected with a public interest, now has few, if any, regulations governing its conduct; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Judiciary Committee be and is hereby authorized and requested to make a study of this matter to the end that controlling legislation may be submitted to this Board for enactment.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

**Budget Transcript Requested.**

Supervisor Reilly requested transcript of remarks of Civil Service Commissioner Harry K. Wolff, on the occasion of the consideration of the budget.

*So ordered.*

**Divisadero-Castro Highway Complaint.**

Supervisor Schmidt presented communication enclosing clipping from San Francisco News, June 4, 1937, re site of Divisadero-Castro Cut and nuisance caused by debris.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

**Meetings.**

Joint Finance and Utilities, June 11, 2 p. m.

Health Committee, June 10, 10 a. m.

Meeting in Room 251, City Hall, June 16, at 8 p. m. called to consider Castro-Divisadero Highway.

Judiciary Committee, June 10, 10 a. m.



MONDAY, JUNE 7, 1937.

**Rapid Transit.**

Supervisor McSheehy reported that joint meeting of Finance and Public Utilities Committee resulted in a deadlock, and announced another meeting for Friday, June 11, 1937. All members requested to attend. Clerk to notify all.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business the Board of Supervisors at 3:10 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors June 14, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 32

PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 24

Monday, June 14, 1937

Tuesday, June 15, 1937

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

---

MONDAY, JUNE 14, 1937, 2 P. M.

---

In the Chambers of the Board of Supervisors, at 2 p. m., Monday, June 14, 1937.

President Shannon announced that in the absence of a quorum, recess would be had out of respect to the memory of the late Leonard S. Leavy, Controller of San Francisco.

Present—Supervisors Shannon, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

President Shannon presiding.

The President also directed that the Clerk prepare appropriate memorial to express sentiments of the Board toward the late Leonard S. Leavy and to have the same included in a memorial volume on behalf of the Board of Supervisors. He announced that the Board will reconvene at 2 p. m., Tuesday, June 15.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

---

TUESDAY, JUNE 15, 1937, 2 P. M.

---

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, June 15, 1937, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess of June 14, 1937.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of June 7, 1937, was considered read and approved.

### SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Hearing of Appeal Rezoning Northwest Corner Lombard Street and Van Ness Avenue.

Hearing of appeal from decision of City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1625, dated April 15, 1937, denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the northwesterly corner of Lombard street and Van Ness avenue.

### Privilege of the Floor.

J. J. Mooser, attorney representing appellants, was heard in oppo-



sition to the decision of the City Planning Commission. He urged the disapproval by the Board of Supervisors of said decision.

Commissioner Callonan, City Planning Commissioner, was heard in support of the City Planning Commission's decision.

#### Refused Adoption.

Whereupon, the following resolution requiring eight votes was presented and *refused adoption* by the following vote:

#### Rezoning of Northwesterly Corner of Lombard Street and Van Ness Avenue.

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 3338, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1625, dated April 15, 1937, denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the northwesterly corner of Lombard street and Van Ness avenue, is hereby disapproved.

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy—3.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

#### Motion to Reconsider.

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced, Supervisor Shannon changed his vote from Aye to No and moved for reconsideration at next meeting as a *Special Order at 3 P. M.*

#### SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

#### Reconsideration of Laurel Hill Cemetery Removal Ordinance.

#### Report of Public Welfare Committee on Repeal of Laurel Hill Cemetery Removal Ordinance.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, June 14, 1937.

Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: The Public Welfare Committee has had under consideration an ordinance proposing to repeal Ordinance 17.194, entitled "Laurel Hill Cemetery Removal Ordinance," and after such consideration the Committee presents the repealing ordinance to the Board and recommends that it do not pass for second reading.

The Committee also presents resolution submitting Ordinance 17.194 to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco at the next general election to be held in said City and County on November 2, 1937, and directing the Registrar of Voters to take all steps necessary to submit said ordinance to the electors as provided by law. The Committee recommends the adoption of said resolution.

Respectfully submitted,

PUBLIC WELFARE COMMITTEE.

By ADOLPH UHL, Chairman.

ARTHUR M. BROWN, Jr., Member.

ADOLPH E. SCHMIDT, Member.

#### Motion.

Supervisor Colman moved that the matter remain on Calendar and be made a *Special Order of Business for 2:30 P. M. next Monday.*

**Privilege of the Floor.**

Albert H. Reinhart, 1833 Fillmore street, representing Fillmore Street Merchants; Edw. Kenny, representing Geary Street Merchants, and Mr. Braunsweiger, representing the Park-Presidio Improvement Association, were heard in opposition to any further delay in the proceedings. Frank Deering, secretary, Laurel Hill Cemetery Association, was also heard.

**Motion Lost.**

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Colman's motion and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy—3.

Noes—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

**Refused Passage.**

Whereupon, the following bill was presented and *refused passage for second reading* by the following vote:

(Code No. 17.19)

Bill No. 1354, Ordinance No. 17.196, as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 17.194, entitled as follows: "Declaring That the Further Maintenance of Laurel Hill Cemetery Threatens and Endangers the Health, Safety, Comfort and Welfare of the Public; Ordering and Demanding the Disinterring and Removal of Human Bodies Therefrom and Fixing a Time Within Which Such Disinterring and Removal Must Be Performed; Declaring Certain Conditions Under Which the City and County Will Itself Disinter and Remove Said Bodies; Requiring and Empowering the Director of Public Health to Adopt and Promulgate Rules and Regulations for Such Disinterring and Removal; Providing for the Reservation of Lands for Memorial Mausoleums or Columbariums, Grounds, Vaults and Monuments."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 17.194, entitled as follows: "Declaring That the Further Maintenance of Laurel Hill Cemetery Threatens and Endangers the Health, Safety, Comfort and Welfare of the Public; Ordering and Demanding the Disinterring and Removal of Human Bodies Therefrom and Fixing a Time Within Which Such Disinterring and Removal Must Be Performed; Declaring Certain Conditions Under Which the City and County Will Itself Disinter and Remove Said Bodies; Requiring and Empowering the Director of Public Health to Adopt and Promulgate Rules and Regulations for Such Disinterring and Removal; Providing for the Reservation of Lands for Memorial Mausoleums or Columbariums, Grounds, Vaults and Monuments," is hereby entirely repealed.

Ayes—None.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

Referring Ordinance No. 17.194 to the Electors at the General Municipal Election to Be Held in the City and County of San Francisco on the Second Day of November, 1937.

(Code No. 17.19)

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 3337, as follows:

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors did, on the 19th day of April, 1937, enact Ordinance No. 17.194, entitled as follows: "Declaring That



the Further Maintenance of Laurel Hill Cemetery Threatens and Endangers the Health, Safety, Comfort and Welfare of the Public; Ordering and Demanding the Disinterring and Removal of Human Bodies Therefrom and Fixing a Time Within Which Such Disinterring and Removal Must Be Performed; Declaring Certain Conditions Under Which the City and County Will Itself Disinter and Remove Said Bodies; Requiring and Empowering the Director of Public Health to Adopt and Promulgate Rules and Regulations for Such Disinterring and Removal; Providing for the Reservation of Lands for Memorial Mausoleums or Columbariums, Grounds, Vaults and Monuments," which said ordinance was, on the 26th day of April, 1937, duly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, before the time when said ordinance became effective there was filed with this Board of Supervisors a petition signed by the qualified electors of the City and County equal in number to at least 10 per centum of the entire vote cast for all candidates for Mayor at the last preceding general municipal election at which a Mayor was elected, protesting against the passage of such ordinance; and

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors, in conformity with the provisions of Section 179 of the Charter, has reconsidered such ordinance and upon said reconsideration of said ordinance the said ordinance was not repealed; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said ordinance, numbered and entitled as aforesaid, is hereby submitted to the vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco at the next general election to be held in said City and County, to-wit: the general municipal election to be held on November 2, 1937, and the Registrar of Voters is directed to take all steps necessary to submit said ordinance to the electors as provided by law.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS

### Final Passage.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

#### **Authorizing Sale of Lot 2, Block 6745, Arlington and Wilder Streets.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

Bill No. 1344, Ordinance No. 12.172213, as follows:

Authorizing sale of Lot 2, Block 6745, Arlington and Wilder streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Wilder street, distant thereon 476.08 feet easterly from the easterly line of Diamond street, said point being in the westerly line of the property deeded by J. D. and Mathilde Heise to the City and County of San Francisco, recorded May 3, 1928, in Volume 1650 at page 317, Official Records of said City and County; thence easterly along said line of Wilder street, 83.176 feet; thence southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to said line of Wilder street, radius 10 feet, central angle 144 degrees 45 minutes 38 seconds, 25.266 feet to tangency with the northwesterly line of Arlington street; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line, 140.660 feet; thence continuing southwesterly along said line

of Arlington street on the arc of a curve to the left, radius 150 feet, central angle 2 degrees 28 minutes 17 seconds, 6.470 feet to the north-westerly boundary of Jones' Addition to Fairmount Tract, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "E & F", at page 169 of aforesaid Official Records; thence deflecting 163 degrees 29 minutes 29 seconds to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve and running north-easterly along last-named line, 77.317 feet to aforesaid westerly line of the property deeded by J. D. and Mathilde Heise; thence deflecting 54 degrees 57 minutes 20 seconds to the left and running northerly along last-named line, 42.824 feet to the southerly line of Wilder street and the point of beginning.

Containing 4,360 square feet, more or less.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

## NEW BUSINESS

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Islais Creek Reclamation Warrants.

(Code No. 12.04)

Resolution No. 3328, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District:

No. 781, to Director of Public Works, for \$334.05; No. 782, to Elizabeth Del Carlo, for \$21.96; No. 783, to Elizabeth Del Carlo, for \$38.16; No. 784, to Mary C. O'Connell, for \$85.98; No. 785, to Howard Investment Company, for \$26.87; No. 786, to City Construction Co., for \$14.94; No. 787, to Geo. Windeler Co., Ltd., for \$20.76; No. 788, to Geo. Windeler Co., Ltd., for \$6.00; No. 789, to Umberto Venturi, Vincenzo Salvo and Eve Fontana, for \$246.54; No. 790, to Charles O. Leage, for \$285.27; No. 791, to Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, for \$566.46; No. 792, to Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, for \$63.41; payable out of the funds of said district, be and the same are hereby approved; and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

#### Easement Acquisition for Guttenberg Street Sewer.

(Code No. 12.1011)

Also, Resolution No. 3329, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco hereby accepts that certain deed dated May 22, 1937, from Frank D. Pelicano to a sewer right of way over certain lands in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Guttenberg street sewer.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.



**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing Appropriation of \$1,500 for Equipment for Airport.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1352, Ordinance No. 9.051394, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriation of \$1,500 for purpose of providing necessary equipment for airport.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,500 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 663.231.64 to the credit of Appropriation No. 664.400.00, for the purpose of purchasing necessary equipment for the San Francisco Airport.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by E. J. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.

Approved by Forrest B. Gibbin, Secretary, Public Utilities Commission.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

**Final Passage.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Appropriation of \$1,500 for wages for Bureau of Sewer Repair.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1353, Ordinance No. 9.051393, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$1,500 to the credit of Appropriation No. 643.103.00, \$750 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 638.203.00 and \$750 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 642.103.00 for the purpose of providing funds for wages for the Bureau of Sewer Repair of the Department of Public Works; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,500 is hereby appropriated and set aside to the credit of Appropriation No. 643.103.00, \$750 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 638.203.00 and \$750 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 642.103.00, for the purpose of providing funds for wages for the Bureau of Sewer Repair of the Department of Public Works.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, that an actual emergency exists, as the Sewer Repair Bureau is without the required funds to continue its uninterrupted operations for the month of June, 1937.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Recommended by S. Hester, Acting Director of Public Works.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Authorizing Treasurer to Make Temporary Transfers of Funds.**

(Code No. 9.052)

Resolution No. 3330, as follows:

Authorizing the Treasurer to make such temporary transfers of funds as may be necessary for the meeting of obligations of the City and County until collections of first installment of taxes, 1937-1938.

Resolved, That, pursuant to the provisions of Section 31 of Article IV of the Constitution of the State of California, the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby authorized and directed to make, after the 1st day of July, 1937, such temporary transfers from funds in his custody as may be necessary for meeting the obligations incurred for the maintenance of the City and County functions of said City and County of San Francisco, from the 1st day of July, 1937, until the first installment of taxes for the fiscal year 1937-38 is collected, or is delinquent; that such temporary transfer of said funds shall not exceed 85 per cent of the first installment of taxes to accrue to the City and County for said fiscal year, and said sums so transferred shall be replaced to the funds from which the same were transferred on or before December 31, 1937, and before any other obligation of the said City and County is met from such taxes.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

**Land Purchase—McLaren Park Roadway.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3331, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Anne W. Rowell and Edward F. Rowell, Lot 2, Assessor's Block 6265, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for a roadway through the proposed McLaren Park, for the sum of \$320, payable from Appropriation No. 91.902.17. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

**Approving County Welfare Recommendations.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3332, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Director of the County Welfare Department, filed with the Board of Supervisors on June 11, 1937, are approved as follows:

Old Age Security Aid—Decreases; denials; cancellations; other transactions.

Blind Pensions—Cancellations; other transactions.

Widows' Pensions—Decreases; cancellations; other transactions.

And the Clerk of this Board is directed to indorse the approval of this Board upon the reports of said Director of County Welfare De-



partment and to transmit the same to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

**Release of Lien Filed Re Old Age Security.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3333, as follows:

Resolved, That the lien heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, on recommendation of the County Welfare Department, is released against the property described as follows:

Mrs. Amanda Elsa Young, recorded October 22, 1936, Book 14523, page 81, Official Record of the County of Los Angeles; legal description, Lot and Section No. 36, Belvedere Gardens.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Charles A. Derry, Member Board of Education.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3334, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Charles A. Derry, a member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of two weeks, commencing June 10, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

**Leave of Absence—Hon. John J. Ryan, Member Board of Trustees, Public Library.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3335, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. John J. Ryan, a member of the Board of Trustees of the Public Library, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing June 25, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Matthew Brady, District Attorney.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3336, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Matthew Brady, District Attorney, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of two days, June 19th and June 20th, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Phil C. Katz, Public Administrator.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3339, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Phil C. Katz, Public Administrator, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing June 18, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:



# Annual Salary Ordinance

Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 1938

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1345, Ordinance No. 9.053101, as follows:

An ordinance enumerating all positions continued and/or created by the Board of Supervisors in adopting the Annual Budget and Appropriation Ordinance for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1938; continuing, and/or creating and/or establishing these positions; enumerating and including therein all positions created by Charter or State law for which salaries are appropriated in the said Annual Appropriation Ordinance; specifying and fixing the compensation of incumbents therein, and providing for maximum compensation of persons appointed to positions herein enumerated which may become vacant during the fiscal year.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the provisions of Section 73 of the Charter the positions hereinafter enumerated under the respective departments are hereby created and/or established and/or continued for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1938. Positions created or authorized by Charter or State law are enumerated and included herein.

Appointing officers as specified in the Charter are hereby authorized to make or continue appointments, as needed, during the said fiscal year to positions enumerated in their respective sections of this ordinance, but in no case to exceed the number of positions or the rate of pay herein enumerated and established; provided that temporary appointments to positions defined by the rules of the Civil Service Commission as seasonal or temporary positions may be made by the respective appointing officers in excess of the number of permanent positions herein established or enumerated, but no appointment to such temporary or seasonal position shall be made until the Controller shall certify that funds are available and no such appointment shall continue beyond the period for which the Controller has certified the availability of funds. At the request of the Mayor or the Controller, the Civil Service Commission may investigate and report on the necessity for such temporary or seasonal services.

The Controller and the Civil Service Commission shall be immediately notified of a vacancy occurring in a position herein established and appointing officers shall not make appointments to such vacancies until the Controller shall certify that funds are available and until the Civil Service Commission shall verify the legality of the position and compensation, and the Mayor shall approve.

Inasmuch as Section 141 of the Charter provides that the Civil Service Commission shall be the judge of the classification of positions, any change hereafter made by the Civil Service Commission during the fiscal year 1937-38 in the class title or number of the classification of the duties of a position herein enumerated shall not be deemed an action requiring amendment of this ordinance, provided no change in number of positions or salaries of such positions or the creation of a new position are involved, but shall be deemed merely a clerical procedure and such change of class title and class number and the date thereof shall be reported to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, the Controller and the department concerned.

Section 2. Salary or wage rates herein specified are the maximum gross compensations fixed for the present incumbents of the respective positions herein enumerated, including the valuation of maintenance furnished.

In filling vacancies in positions herein enumerated or in appointing employees to temporary or seasonal positions as provided in Section 1 hereof, which are subject to the provisions of Section 151 of the

Charter, the person appointed to such vacancy or temporary or seasonal position shall be paid a wage or salary not to exceed the entrance salary or wage fixed for such position in the proposed schedule of compensations issued by the Civil Service Commission under date of April 9, 1930, or as such proposed schedule may be amended as provided by Charter or extended by the Civil Service Commission to include classifications not included therein and the salary shall remain at the said entrance rate during the current fiscal year; provided, however, that persons who have acquired permanent status in a position who are reemployed in the same position after layoff or leave of absence shall be paid the salary or wage received at the time laid off or granted such leave, provided that in the execution and performance of any contract awarded to a city department under the provisions of Sections 95 and 98 of the Charter, not less than the wage scale fixed by the Board of Supervisors in the Prevailing Wage Resolution and in effect at the time of the award of said contract shall be paid to employees performing work under such contract.

No maintenance shall be provided to any employee in a position subject to the provisions of Section 151 of the Charter in addition to the compensation herein fixed or provided. The compensations fixed for employees engaged in construction work outside the City and County of San Francisco which are not subject to Section 151 of the Charter includes the valuation of maintenance provided such employees and deductions for such accommodations shall be made and indicated on payrolls and timerolls.

Charges for any and all maintenance furnished employees in positions subject to Section 151 of the Charter as indicated herein shall be made and indicated on time rolls and pay rolls, and deductions for such maintenance shall be indicated and made on time rolls and pay rolls in accordance with the following schedule fixed by the Civil Service Commission for such maintenance; provided, however, that no charge shall be made for meals furnished cooks, bakers, waiters and other kitchen workers.

	For those receiving \$80 per month or less in cash	For those receiving more than \$80 per month in cash
1 meal per day .....	\$ 6.00 Per Mo.	\$10.00 Per Mo.
2 meals per day .....	9.00 Per Mo.	16.50 Per Mo.
3 meals per day .....	12.00 Per Mo.	22.50 Per Mo.
Room or House .....	8.00 Per Mo.	10.00 Per Mo.
Laundry . . . . .	2.00 Per Mo.	2.50 Per Mo.
Board, Room and Laundry .....	22.00 Per Mo.	35.00 Per Mo.
Single Meal .....	.25	.35

Per Mo.

Complete family maintenance furnished the Superintendent of Laguna Honda Home and the Superintendent of the San Francisco Hospital .....	\$150
Complete family maintenance furnished the Resident Physician and Superintendent of Hassler Health Home.....	75
House furnished the Superintendent and the Assistant Superintendent, Alameda Division of the Water Department, and the Assistant Superintendent of the Peninsula Division of the Water Department .....	25
House furnished the Superintendent of the Peninsula Division of the Water Department .....	50
House furnished the Head Pump Operator of the Peninsula and Alameda Division of the Water Department.....	25
House furnished the Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines, at the Water Department .....	15

The letters B., R. & L. used in this ordinance to indicate deduction shall be construed to mean Board, Room or House and Laundry and deductions made in accordance with the schedule herein fixed for such accommodations.



**Section 3. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	11		Supervisors .....	\$ 200
2	1	B88	Chief Assistant Clerk of the Board of Supervisors .....	400
3	1	B90	Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.....	550
4	1	B174	Bond and Ordinance Clerk.....	250
5	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
8	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
9	3	B413	Assistant Clerk—Board of Supervisors..	250
10	1	D4	Sergeant-at-Arms, Board of Supervisors	200
11	2	O1	Chauffeur .....	250

**Section 4. MAYOR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Mayor . . . . .	\$ 833.33
2	1	B74	Confidential Secretary to Mayor.....	350
3	1	B76	Executive Secretary to Mayor.....	400
4	1	B212	Special Messenger .....	175
5	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
6	1	B414	Head Clerk-Stenographer .....	225
7	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator.....	165
8	2	O1	Chauffeur .....	200
9	1	N404	Inspector of Complaints, Mayor's Office.	300

**Section 5. ASSESSOR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Assessor . . . . .	\$ 666.66
2	1	B52	Research Assistant .....	250
3	1	B120	Supervisor, Accounts and Records Assessor's Office .....	300
4	2	B222	General Clerk .....	250
5	1	B222	General Clerk .....	200
6	1	B418	Confidential Secretary (Ex. Sec. 28)....	200
7	8	B222	General Clerk .....	190
8	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	300
9	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	190
10	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
11	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	300
12	1	B235	Director of Service .....	250
13	1	B242	Blockbook Draftsman .....	225
14	1	B302	Addressing Machine Operator .....	155
15	1	B310a	Tabulating Alphabetic Key Punch Operator .....	155
16	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator .....	165
17	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
18	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
19	2	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
20	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	190
21	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
22	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.....	200
23	1	G4	Supervising Land Appraiser .....	300
24	1	G5	Chief Land Appraiser .....	330
25	4	G10	Supervising Building Appraiser .....	300
26	1	G11	Chief Building Appraiser .....	330

## Section 5. ASSESSOR (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
27	5	G16	Supervising Personal Property Appraisers .....	300
28	1	G17	Chief Personal Property Appraiser....	330
29	1	G20	Chief Assistant Assessor .....	400
30*	11	B222	General Clerks .....	160
31*	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	150
32			Seasonal Clerical Services and other temporary services at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.	

\*Occupants of these positions are paid from appropriations for temporary services and have acquired permanent status under the rule of the Civil Service Commission adopted pursuant to Section 148 of the Charter.

## Section 6. CITY ATTORNEY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		City Attorney .....	\$ 833.33
2	1	B222	General Clerk .....	200
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
4	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
5	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator .....	160
6	1	F702	Valuation Engineer .....	300
7	1	F706	Chief Valuation Engineer .....	750
8	1	K4	Attorney, Civil .....	300
9	2	K4	Attorney, Civil .....	250
10	2	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil .....	350
11	1	K8	Principal Attorney, Civil .....	800
12	1	K8	Principal Attorney, Civil .....	500
13	1	K12	Chief Attorney, Civil .....	600
14	1	K16	Special Counsel, Water Service .....	833.33
15			Seasonal Clerical Services .....	150

## Section 7. DISTRICT ATTORNEY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		District Attorney .....	\$ 666.66
2	2	B154	Criminal Law Clerk .....	200
3	3	B154	Criminal Law Clerk .....	190
4	1	B166	Chief Clerk, District Attorney's Office...	250
5	1	B162	Asst. Director, Bureau of Domestic Relations, District Attorney's Office.....	225
6	1	B163	Director, Bureau of Domestic Relations, District Attorney's Office.....	250
7	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
8	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
9	1	B222	General Clerk (part time) .....	75
10	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
11	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	150
12	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
13	1	B516	Senior Clerk-Typist .....	175
14	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil .....	375
15	5	K54	Attorney, Criminal .....	250
16	1	K54	Attorney, Criminal .....	190
17	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	425



## Section 7. DISTRICT ATTORNEY (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
18	3	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	375
19	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	300
20	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	250
21	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	225
22	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	200
23	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	190
24	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	150
25	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal .....	375
26	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal .....	300
27	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal .....	250
28		B420	Phonograph Reporter (as needed) .....\$12.50 day plus transcripts	

## Section 8. TREASURER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Treasurer .....	\$ 666.66
2	1	B10	Accountant .....	240
3	1	B14	Senior Accountant .....	300
4	1	B102	Teller .....	240
5	3	B102	Teller .....	210
6	2	B104	Senior Teller .....	250
7	1	B104	Senior Teller .....	240
8	1	B112	Assistant Cashier, Treasurer's Office....	375
9	1	B112	Assistant Cashier, Treasurer's Office....	350
10	1	B222	General Clerk .....	155
11	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175

## Section 9. SHERIFF

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Sheriff .....	\$ 666.66
2	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	175
3	1	B84	Under Sheriff .....	300
4	1	B98	Confidential Secretary to Sheriff (ex-empt Sec. 32) .....	275
5	1	B222	General Clerk .....	250
6	3	B222	General Clerk .....	215
7	1	B222	General Clerk .....	155
8	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	250
9	1	B362	Produce Buyer and Storekeeper.....	215
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185
11	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
12	2	C52	Elevator Operator .....	155
13	7	C154	Keeper .....	160
14	1	C156	Head Keeper .....	200
15	1	D2	Bailiff .....	215
16	26	D2	Bailiff .....	197
17	4	D3	Woman Bailiff .....	170
18	1	D5	Detention Hospital Bailiff.....	220
19	5	D52	Jail Matron .....	197
20	3	D52	Jail Matron .....	190
21	2	D52	Jail Matron .....	180
22	2	D54	Head Jail Matron .....	210
23	12	D60	Jailer .....	197

## Section 9. SHERIFF (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
24	4	D60	Jailer .....	190
25	6	D60	Jailer .....	180
26	3	D60	Jailer .....	170
27	1	D60	Jailer .....	225
28	6	D64	Captain of Watch .....	210
29	2	D66	Superintendent of Jail .....	275
30	8	D102	Writ Server .....	220
31	2	D102	Writ Server .....	197
32	1	I12	Cook .....	195
33	1	I14	Junior Chef .....	182
34	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil (part time) .....	200
35	1	L360	Physician .....	335
			(Deduct for B. L. & R.)	
36	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	180
37	1	O52	Farmer .....	200
38	1	O52	Farmer .....	145
39	3	O168	Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines..	220

## Section 10. PUBLIC DEFENDER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Public Defender .....	\$ 666.66
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
3	2	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	375

## Section 11. POLICE DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioner .....	\$ 100
2	1		Chief of Police .....	600
3	1		Deputy Chief of Police .....	450
4	1		Property Clerk .....	300
5	1		Police Surgeon .....	200
6	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	175
7	1		Department Secretary .....	400
8	1	B310a	Tabulating Alphabetic Key Punch Operator .....	150
8½	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator .....	150
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	230
11	1	B420	Phonographic Reporter .....	250
12	7	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
13	1		Director of Criminal Information .....	333.33
14	1		Director of Personnel .....	333.33
15	1		Director of Special Services .....	250

## BUREAU OF INSPECTORS

16	1		Captain of Inspectors .....	416.66
17	75		Inspector .....	230
18	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
19	1	D152	Criminologist .....	300
20	3	Q20	Police Women .....	200
21	6	Q60	Lieutenants .....	250**
22	1	Q62	Photographer, Police Department .....	225



## Section 11. POLICE DEPARTMENT (Continued)

## UNIFORM FORCE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
23	1		Supervising Captain .....	333.33
24	1		Captain of Traffic .....	333.33
25	1		Inspector of Motor Vehicles.....	230
26	1		Inspector of Junior Traffic.....	230
27	1		Inspector of Horses and Equipment....	230
28	1		Inspector of Repairs and Maintenance...	230
29	3	D52	Jail Matron .....	175
30	1	D52	Jail Matron .....	170
31	1	I14	Junior Chef .....	195
32	10	J70	Hostlers .....	180
33	3	O158	Motor Boat Operator.....	200
34	1	O158	Motor Boat Operator (Relief) at rate of	200
35	959	Q2	Policemen .....	200
36	25	Q30	Police Patrol Driver.....	200
37	181	Q50	Sergeant .....	220**
38	47	Q60	Lieutenant .....	250**
39	12	Q80	Captain .....	300**

\*\*In event of a vacancy in this rank the position may be abolished and the number of Policemen correspondingly increased without amendment of this ordinance and the Policeman's position may be filled subject to the provisions of Sections 1 and 2 hereof.

## Section 12. FIRE DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioner .....	\$ 100
2	1		Chief Engineer .....	600
3	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	225
4	1	B68	Chief Clerk, Fire Department.....	400
5	1	B306	Multigraph Operator .....	165
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
7	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
8	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	165
9	895	H2	Firemen .....	180-200*
10	28	H10	Chief's Operator .....	210
11	11	H15	Engineer of Fire Engines.....	220**
12	114	H20	Lieutenant .....	222.50**
13	74	H30	Captain .....	235**
14	23	H40	Battalion Chief .....	350**
15	1	H42	Chief, Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation .....	400
16	4	H50	Assistant Chief Engineer .....	400
17	1	L360	Physician (Part-time) .....	235
18	6	O166	Firemen of Stationary Steam Engines..	195
19	1	O166	Fireman of Stationary Steam Engines..	185
20	2	O168	Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines	230
21	4	O168	Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines	220
22	1	O172	Chief Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines .....	280
23	3	J4	Laborer, \$6 per day.....	
24	1	M4	Master Mechanic .....	416.66
25	12	O304	Hydrantman Gateman .....	215
26	1	O304	Hydrantman Gateman .....	205
27	1	O310	Foreman Hydrantman Gateman .....	255
28	1	U112	Pipe Calker, at \$7.50 per day .....	

## Section 12. FIRE DEPARTMENT (Continued)

## FIRE BOAT CREWS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
29	1	H120	Pilot of Fire Boats (Relief) .....	255
30	4	H120	Pilot of Fire Boats .....	255
31	9	H110	Marine Engineer (Fire Boats) .....	255
32	9	H102	Marine Stoker (Fire Boats) .....	205

\*At rates fixed by Charter.

\*\*In event of a vacancy in this rank, the position may be abolished and the number of positions for Firemen correspondingly increased without amendment of this ordinance and the Fireman's position may be filled subject to the provisions of Sections 1 and 2 hereof.

## Section 13. BOARD OF PERMIT APPEALS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5		Members of Board, \$15 per meeting.	
2	1	B61	Secretary, Board of Permit Appeals....\$	250

## Section 14. PARK DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Accountant . . . . .	\$ 200
2	1		Assistant Manager, Kezar Stadium.....	225
3	1		Athletic Organizer .....	475
4	10		Attendants .....	75
5	1		Attendants (men's) .....	140
6	1		Attendant, Coit Tower.....	50
7	1		Attendant, Coit Tower.....	100
8	1		Attendant, Bathhouse .....	135
9	1		Cashier, Chief .....	200
10	1		Cashier (as needed).....	135
11	2		Clerk-Stenographers, General .....	125
12	1		Cook (Children's Quarters).....	90
13	1		Engineer .....	175
14	1		Engineer, Chief .....	215
16	1		Fireman .....	175
17	1		Golf Starter .....	180
18	1		Golf Starter .....	175
19	1		Golf Starter, Assistant.....	165
20	1		Groundkeeper, Kezar Stadium.....	165
21	1		Harbor Master, Day .....	125
22	1		Harbor Master, Night.....	125
26	1		Manager (Lincoln Cafe) .....	135
27	1		Manager (Fleishhacker Booth) .....	175
28	1		Matron (as needed) .....	80
29	2		Pump Men .....	175
30	1		Secretary .....	400
32	1		Stenographer .....	115
33	1		Superintendent ..	725
34	1		Superintendent (Assistant in charge of Construction) .....	325
35	1		Superintendent (Assistant) .....	275
36	1		Superintendent (Assistant) .....	235
37	1		Superintendent of Motor Vehicles.....	250
38	1		Superintendent of Restaurant Activities	250



## Section 14. PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
40	1		Supervisor, Materials and Supplies.....	225
41	1		Timekeeper .....	150
42	1		Timekeeper (Assistant) .....	100
43	1		Utility (Children's Quarters) .....	175
44	1		Waitress, Head (Children's Quarters)..	95
45	2		Windmill Attendants .....	125
46	1		Zoo Director and Zoological Expert....	333.33
47	1		Golf Starter .....	175
48	1		Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
49	1		Inspector of Personnel .....	200
50	1		Superintendent (Assistant in charge of Golf Courses) .....	250
51	1		Superintendent (Assistant Botanist— Entomologist—Arboretum) .....	225
52	1		Manager Children's Quarters .....	150
53	1		Superintendent, Assistant (Construction)	250
54	1		Assistant Athletic Organizer .....	225

## Section 15. PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)

## TEMPORARY PER DIEM AS NEEDED

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Per Diem Rate
55			Animal Keepers .....	5
56			Apprentice . . . . .	3
57			Ball Field Boys (per hour) .....	.50
58			Booth Helpers (per hour) .....	.25
59			Booth Helpers (per hour) .....	.30
60			Boys to Attend Donkeys (per hour)....	.30
61			Boys to Attend Ponies (per hour).....	.20
62			Carpenters . . . . .	9
63			Carpenters (Assistants) .....	7
64			Cashiers (per hour) .....	.30
65			Cashier (Assistant) .....	3
66			Cashier .....	3.50
67			Cashier .....	4
68			Cashier .....	3
69			Chauffeur . . . . .	7
70			Chauffeurs . . . . .	7.50
71			Clerks .....	3
72			Clerks .....	4
73			Clerks .....	5
74			Cook .....	7.50
75			Cook .....	6.50
76			Cook, Relief .....	5.50
77			Cook .....	4
78			Cook .....	8
79			Dishwasher . . . . .	3.50
80			Dishwasher . . . . .	4
81			Foreman . . . . .	6
82			Foreman . . . . .	6.50
83			Foreman . . . . .	7
84			Foreman . . . . .	7.50
85			Foreman . . . . .	8
86			Foreman . . . . .	8.50
87			Foreman (Plaster Work) .....	12
88			Gardeners .....	5.50
89			Gardeners .....	6

## Section 15. PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)

## TEMPORARY PER DIEM AS NEEDED (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Per Diem Rate
90			Gardener . . . . .	6.50
91			Glaziers . . . . .	9
92			Janitor . . . . .	5
93			Janitress . . . . .	3
94			Kiddy-Kar Boys (per hour) . . . . .	.30
95			Laborers . . . . .	4
96			Laborers . . . . .	5
97			Laborers . . . . .	5.50
98			Laborers . . . . .	6
99			Laborers . . . . .	2.50
100			Laborers (per hour) . . . . .	.50
101			Laborers, Apprentice . . . . .	2.50
102			Laborers, Apprentice . . . . .	3
103			Laborers, Apprentice . . . . .	4
104			Master Painters . . . . .	15
105			Matron . . . . .	3
106			Manager . . . . .	5
107			Merry-Go-Round Boys (per hour) . . . . .	.30
108			Model Maker . . . . .	9
109			Model Caster . . . . .	8
110			Model Caster . . . . .	7
111			Modelers (Plaster) . . . . .	15
112			Mower Men . . . . .	6.50
113			Office Boy . . . . .	2.50
114			Operator Merry-Go-Round . . . . .	5
115			Pantryman (Harding Cafe) . . . . .	3.50
116			Painters . . . . .	9
117			Picnic Cafe Helpers (per hour) . . . . .	.30
118			Plasterers . . . . .	10
119			Plasterer's Tender . . . . .	7.50
120			Porter . . . . .	4
121			Porter . . . . .	3.50
122			Porter . . . . .	3
123			Rides Man . . . . .	3
124			Roofers . . . . .	8
125			Sheet Metal Workers . . . . .	8
126			Stableman . . . . .	5
127			Starters, Sub. (Golf Course) . . . . .	5
128			Starter, Assistant . . . . .	5
129			Stenographers . . . . .	4
130			Superintendent (Assistant in Charge of Golf Courses) . . . . .	8.50
131			Supply Agent . . . . .	5
132			Storeroom Keeper . . . . .	3.50
133			Teamsters . . . . .	6
134			Teamsters . . . . .	6.50
135			Tennis Courts Manager . . . . .	5.50
136			Tractor Driver . . . . .	6
137			Tractor Man . . . . .	6.50
138			Tractor Man . . . . .	9
139			Utility Helpers (per hour) . . . . .	.25
140			Utility . . . . .	4
141			Waiter . . . . .	3
142			Waiter . . . . .	3.50
143			Waiter . . . . .	4
144			Waitresses . . . . .	3
145			Waitresses . . . . .	3.50
146			Waitresses . . . . .	4



## Section 15. PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)

## TEMPORARY PER DIEM AS NEEDED (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Per Diem Rate
147			Waitresses . . . . .	5
148			Watchman, Night (H. F. Zoo) . . . . .	5
149			Yardman . . . . .	2.50
150			Yardman . . . . .	4.50
151			Yardman . . . . .	4
152			Yardman . . . . .	3.50
153			Yardman . . . . .	3

## PERSONAL SERVICES WAGES

154			Civil Engineer—Draftsman . . . . .	7.00
155			Civil Engineer—Designer . . . . .	7.50
156			Surveyor's Assistant . . . . .	7.00
157			Draftsman . . . . .	7.00
158			Surveyor . . . . .	8.00
159			Landscape Architect . . . . .	8.00
160			Inspector Construction . . . . .	8.00
161			Life Guards . . . . .	5.00
162			Bathhouse Attendants . . . . .	5.00
163			Kezar Stadium Attendants . . . . .	5.00
164			Booth Helpers . . . . .	3.00
165			Assistant Surveyor . . . . .	7.00
166			Steam Shovel Operator . . . . .	10
167			Construction Superintendent . . . . .	10
168			Timekeeper . . . . .	5.50
169			Dishwasher . . . . .	5
170			Waiter . . . . .	5
171			Cashier . . . . .	5
172			Manager . . . . .	7
173			Matron . . . . .	4

Other mechanical and craft classifications as needed for temporary construction activities at rates not to exceed the prevailing rate for the respective class.

## Section 16. RECREATION DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	2	A154	Carpenter at \$9 per day . . . . .	
2	1	A158	Sub-Foreman Carpenter at \$10 per day . . . . .	
3	1	A354	Painter at \$9 per day . . . . .	
4	1	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	\$ 185
5	1	B185	Business Manager, Recreation Dept. . . . .	300
6	2	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	155
7	2	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
8	1	B351	Supervisor of Recreation Supplies and Equipment . . . . .	200
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	175
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	165
11	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	155
12	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	150
13	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman . . . . .	235
14	1	F304	Supervisor of Playground Construction and Maintenance . . . . .	350
15	10	J4	Laborer . . . . .	150
16	1	J12	Labor Foreman . . . . .	175
17	49	J72	Playground Caretaker . . . . .	155
18	2	J72	Playground Caretaker . . . . .	145
19	3	O1	Chauffeur . . . . .	160
20	4	O58	Gardener . . . . .	145

## Section 16. RECREATION DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
21	4	O58	Gardener . . . . .	155
22	1	O62	Superintendent of Grounds, Recreation Department . . . . .	200
23	1	R2	Secretary and Supervisor of Spec. Activities . . . . .	250
24	1	R3	Assistant Superintendent, Rec. Dept. . . . .	260
25	1	R4	Superintendent, Rec. Dept. . . . .	400
26	14	R56	Playground Director (part time) . . . . .	75
27		R56	Playground Director (part time) . . . . .	65
28	1	R56	Playground Director . . . . .	185
29	4	R56	Playground Director . . . . .	175
30	20	R56	Playground Director . . . . .	160
31	22	R56	Playground Director . . . . .	155
32	4	R56	Playground Director . . . . .	145
33	1	R56	Playground Director (9½ mo.) . . . . .	185
34		R56	Playground Director, 65c per hour . . . . .	
35	1	R105	Supervisor of Athletics . . . . .	225
36	1	R106	Supervisor of Dramatics . . . . .	225
37	1	R107	Supervisor of Women's Activities . . . . .	225
38		R102	Camp Manager (2½ mo.) (deduct for B. R. & L.) . . . . .	260
39	1	R108	Supervisor of Music . . . . .	210
40	2	R112	Matron, Swimming Pool—7 mo. . . . .	110
41	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo. . . . .	145
42	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo. . . . .	190
43		R114	Swimming Instructor—5 mo. (same as Item 42) . . . . .	155
44	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo. . . . .	145
45	1	R116	Supervisor of Swimming . . . . .	195
46			Pianist (as needed) . . . . . per call	2.50
46a		R112	Matron, Swimming Pool, 65c per hour . . . . .	
46b		R114	Swimming Instructor, 65c per hour . . . . .	

## CAMP MATHER SEASONAL EMPLOYMENT, AS NEEDED

—All maintenance provided shall be charged for and deduction made from salaries in accordance with schedule specified herein.

47		A154	Carpenters at \$9 per day . . . . .	
48		A354	Painter at \$9 per day . . . . .	
49		A404	Plumber at \$9 per day . . . . .	
50	1	B4	Bookkeeper (less B. R. & L.) . . . . .	139
51		B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	150
52		B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	150
53		E154	Lineman at \$9 per day . . . . .	
54		I8	Head Baker (deduct R. & L.) . . . . .	137.50
55		I12	Cook (deduct R. & L.) . . . . .	162.50
56		I16	Chef (deduct R. & L.) . . . . .	210.50
57		J4	Laborer (less room) . . . . .	150
58		L352	Interne . . . . .	102.50
59	1	O1	Chauffeur (deduct B., R. & L.) . . . . .	160
60		P102	Registered Nurse . . . . .	102.50
61			Team Hire for Camp, at rates specified in Purchasers' Contract . . . . .	
62			Camp Guide (P. T.), less than \$80 . . . . .	
63			Life Guard (P. T.), less than \$80 . . . . .	
64	1	R56	Playground Director 2½ mos. (less B. R. & L.) . . . . .	210
65		R101	Camp Assistant, less than \$80 . . . . .	
66	1	R102	Camp Manager (9½ mos. at \$225; 2½ mos. at \$260 less B. R. & L.) . . . . .	



**Section 17. PUBLIC LIBRARY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A154	Carpenter .....	\$ 200
2	1	B72	Secretary, Board of Library Trustees..	250
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
4	8	B222	General Clerk .....	85
5	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
6	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	160
7	1	C52	Elevator Operator .....	110
8	1	C52	Elevator Operator (part time).....	65
9	1	C102	Janitress .....	75
10	1	C102	Janitress (part time).....	82.50
11	1	C102	Janitress .....	65
12	11		Janitress or Janitor (part time).....	55
13	7		Janitress or Janitor (part time).....	27.50
14	1	C104	Janitor .....	185
15	2	C104	Janitor .....	125
16	1	C152	Watchman .....	150
17	1	J54	Book Repairer .....	90
18	4	J54	Book Repairer .....	110
19	1	J54	Book Repairer .....	125
20	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	160

**DEPARTMENTAL TITLES**

21	7		Branch Librarian .....	175
22	5		Librarian .....	100
23	12		Librarian .....	130
24	15		Librarian .....	140
25	7		Librarian .....	150
26	19		Librarian .....	160
27	10		Librarian .....	175
28	1		Librarian .....	225
29	1		Librarian .....	250
30	1		City Librarian .....	400
31			Substitutes, 50c per hour.....	
32			Pages, 30c per hour .....	
33	1		Station Keeper (part time).....	50
34	4		Station Keepers (part time).....	15

**Section 18. WAR MEMORIAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B59	Secretary, Board of Trustees, War Memorial .....	\$ 275
2	1	B96	Managing Director, War Memorial.....	550
3	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
4	2	C52	Elevator Operator .....	145
5	1	C106	Janitor, Sub-Foreman .....	170
6	13	C104	Janitor .....	145
7	3	C104	Janitor .....	155
8	3	C152	Watchman .....	145
9	2	C152	Watchman .....	155
10	1	C202	Window Cleaner .....	170
11	1	E108	Electrician .....	250
12	1	E109	Stage Electrician, \$75 per week .....	
13	1	E130	Elevator Mechanic .....	280
14	3	O168	Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines....	220
15	1	A165	Stage Carpenter, \$75 per week .....	
16	1	C252	Opera House Attendant (part-time)....	75

## Section 18. WAR MEMORIAL (Continued)

## ART MUSEUM

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
17	2	C52	Elevator Operator .....	145
18	2	C104	Janitor . . . . .	145

## AS NEEDED

19	1	A170	Stage Property Man, \$12.50 per day....	
20	1	C251	Supervisor of Opera House Attendants, \$10 a performance .....	

## Section 19. ART COMMISSION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time)\$	75
2	1	B57	Secretary, Art Commission .....	250

## Section 20. CALIFORNIA PALACE OF THE LEGION OF HONOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Departmental Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Assistant Director .....	\$ 333.33
2	1		Engineer and Building Superintendent.	225
3	1		Organist (part time) .....	300
4	1		Supervisor . . . . .	200
5	1		Galleryman . . . . .	125
6	2		Galleryman . . . . .	130
7	2		Galleryman . . . . .	135
8	1		Head Janitor .....	135
9	1		Janitor's Assistant .....	130
10	1		Assistant Mechanic .....	140
11	1		Recorder . . . . .	135
12	1		Stenographer . . . . .	150
13	1		Stenographer . . . . .	135
14	1		Librarian . . . . .	125
15	1		Gallery Assistant .....	125
16	3		Caretaker . . . . .	110
17	3		Watchman (Special Police Officers) ....	135
18	1		Organ Repairer (as needed) .....	33
19			Seasonal Clerical and Mechanical Ser- vices (as needed) .....	150

## Section 21. M. H. deYOUNG MEMORIAL MUSEUM

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Director . . . . .	\$ 591.66
2	1		Supervisor of Exhibits .....	200
3	1		Recorder . . . . .	175
4	1		Secretary to Director .....	175
5	1		Museum Instructor .....	150
6	1		Museum Instructor .....	175
7	1		Museum Instructor and Special Expert (Curator of Decorative Arts) .....	150
8	1		Assistant Museum Instructor .....	125
9	1		Stenographer . . . . .	135
10	1		Head Gallery Man .....	200



## Section 21. M. H. deYOUNG MEMORIAL MUSEUM (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
11	1		Labeller . . . . .	140
12	1		Clerk . . . . .	125
13	1		Mechanic . . . . .	190
14	1		Assistant Mechanic . . . . .	140
15	1		Janitor . . . . .	130
16	1		Assistant Janitor . . . . .	125
17	1		Head Caretaker . . . . .	115
18	1		Checker . . . . .	110
19	3		Caretaker . . . . .	110
20	1		Secretary Board of Trustees . . . . .	240
21	4		Gallery Man . . . . .	125
22	5		Gallery Man . . . . .	130
23	5		Gallery Man . . . . .	135
24	4		Watchman (Special Police Officers) . . . . .	135
25	1		Curator of Prints . . . . .	125
26	1		Assistant Head Gallery Man . . . . .	150
27	1		Expert Repairman . . . . .	150
28	1		Utility Man . . . . .	140
29	1		Installation Man . . . . .	140
30	1		Lecturer, \$10 a Sunday . . . . .	
31			Seasonal Clerical and Mechanical Services (as needed) . . . . .	150

## Section 22. STEINHART AQUARIUM

Positions and rates of pay fixed by California Academy of Sciences and not included herein. Salaries audited by voucher.

## Section 23. MUNICIPAL COURT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	12		Judges . . . . .	\$ 625
2	1	B85	Jury Commissioner, Municipal Court...	450
3	12	B152	Court Room Clerk . . . . .	210
4	1	B154	Criminal Law Clerk . . . . .	200
5	1	B156	Senior Criminal Law Clerk . . . . .	250
6	4	B160	Civil Law Clerk . . . . .	200
7	4	B164	Senior Civil Law Clerk . . . . .	265
8	1	B165	Cashier, Municipal Court . . . . .	300
9	1	B170	Chief Assistant Clerk, Municipal Court..	300
10	1	B172	Clerk of Municipal Court.....	500
11	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	250
12	2	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	200
13	2	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
14	4	B420	Phonographic Reporter, \$12.50 per day, plus transcriptions.	
15	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	200
16	4	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	175
17	8	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	155

**Section 24. SUPERIOR COURT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	16		Judges . . . . .	\$ 500
2	1		Secretary-Jury Commissioner . . . . .	600*
3	1		Assistant Secretary-Jury Commissioner. . . . .	300*
4	1		Assistant Secretary-Jury Commissioner. . . . .	250*
5	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator. . . . .	190
6	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator. . . . .	150
7	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator. . . . .	125
8	8	B252	Court Interpreter . . . . .	175
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	200
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	175
11	4	B420	Phonographic Reporter, \$12.50 per day, plus transcriptions.	
12	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	150

\*(Titles fixed by State law.)

**Section 25. LAW LIBRARY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	K102	Assistant Law Librarian. . . . .	\$ 275
2	1	K104	Law Librarian . . . . .	475
3	1	O102	Bookbinder . . . . .	200

**Section 26. JUVENILE COURT—PROBATION OFFICE**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	\$ 225
2	1	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	175
3	1	B254	Interpreter-Typist . . . . .	210
4	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	150
5	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	185
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	160
7	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	175
8	1	L404	Psychologist . . . . .	180
9	1	T54	Collector, Juvenile Court . . . . .	180
10	1	T56	Probation Officer . . . . .	225
11	8	T56	Probation Officer . . . . .	210
12	2	T56	Probation Officer . . . . .	195
13	1	T56	Probation Officer . . . . .	185
14	2	T56	Probation Officer . . . . .	180
15	1	T57	Psychiatric Probation Officer . . . . .	180
16	1	T60	Senior Probation Officer . . . . .	240
17	1	T60	Senior Probation Officer . . . . .	235
18	1	T60	Senior Probation Officer . . . . .	225
19	1	T64	Referee (part time) . . . . .	250
20	1	T72	Chief Juvenile Probation Officer . . . . .	380
21	1	B420	Phonographic Reporter (as needed), \$12.50 per day plus transcriptions.	



**Section 27. JUVENILE COURT—DETENTION HOME**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	C104	Janitor .....	\$ 155
2	1	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R.).....	78
3	1	I12	Cook (deduct for R.).....	130
4	1	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for R. & B.).	135
5	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 2 M.).	161.50
6	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 2 M.).	135
7	2	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 2 M.).	145
8	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 1 M.).	145
9	6	T4	Woman Attendant (deduct for R. & B.).	122.50
10	1	T4	Woman Attendant (deduct for R.).....	100
11	1	T10	Assistant Superintendent (deduct for R. & B.) .....	175
12	1	T12	Superintendent, Juvenile Det. Home (deduct for R. & B.).....	207.50

**Section 28. ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	\$ 175
2	1	*B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	180
3	5	T56	Probation Officer .....	210
4	1	T56	Probation Officer .....	200
5	1	T58	Probation Officer-Stenographer .....	210
6	1	T70	Chief Probation Officer.....	325

\*Maximum entrance rate for this classification, \$155.

**Section 29. CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Chief Administrative Officer .....	\$ 1,000
2	1	B97	Executive Secretary, Chief Administrative Officer .	325
3	1	B210	Office Assistant (part time).....	79.50
4	1	B415	Confidential Secretary, Chief Administrative Officer .	175
5	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator (part time) .....	75

**Section 30. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—DIRECTOR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B95	Director of Finance and Records.....	\$ 500
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155

### Section 31. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS— TAX COLLECTOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B93	Tax Collector . . . . .	\$ 666.66
2	1	B92	Chief Clerk . . . . .	325
3	1	B89	Director, Bureau of Licenses . . . . .	250
4	1	B102	Teller . . . . .	240
5	1	B102	Teller . . . . .	215
6	1	B104	Senior Teller . . . . .	240
7	1	B108	Chief Teller Tax Collector's Office . . . . .	300
8	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	215
9	15	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	200
10	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	185
11	3	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
12	10	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	160
13	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	200
14	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	275
15	1	B237	Tax Redemption Clerk . . . . .	200
16	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	175
17	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	155
18	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	200
19	1	G152	License Adjuster . . . . .	250
20	2	G152	License Adjuster . . . . .	215
21	1	B91	Director Bureau of Delinquent Revenue . . . . .	400
22	1	K4	Attorney, Civil . . . . .	275
23			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed) . . . . .	150

### Section 32. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS— REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B62	Chief Clerk Elections . . . . .	\$ 350
2	1	B64	Chief Clerk Registrations . . . . .	350
3	1	B66	Registrar of Voters . . . . .	500
4	1	B210	Office Assistant (part time) . . . . .	79.50
5	2	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	250
6	5	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	225
7	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	200
8	2	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
9	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	250
10	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	250
11	1	B304	Senior Addressing Machine Operator . . . . .	225
12	1	B305	Voting Machine Adjuster . . . . .	175
13	1	B355	Custodian of Voting Machines . . . . .	250
14	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	200
15			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed) . . . . .	
16		B202	Judges of Election at \$7.50 per day . . . . .	
17		B204	Inspectors of Election at \$7.50 per day . . . . .	
18		B302	Addressing Machine Operator . . . . .	155
19		B305	Voting Machine Adjuster . . . . .	155
20		B222	General Clerk . . . . .	150
21		C104	Janitor at \$2.50 per evening . . . . .	



### Section 33. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS— RECORDER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B80	Chief Clerk .....	\$ 300
2	1	B81	Recorder . . . . .	666.66
3	1	B102	Teller . . . . .	210
4	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	199
5	5	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	200
6	4	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	215
7	2	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	215
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	200
9	15	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	200
10	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	175
11	5	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	155
12	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	165

### Section 34. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS— COUNTY CLERK

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	18	B152	Courtroom Clerk . . . . .	\$ 200
2	1	B156	Senior Criminal Law Clerk.....	220
3	1	B160	Civil Law Clerk . . . . .	250
4	4	B160	Civil Law Clerk . . . . .	200
5	1	B161	Cashier, County Clerk's Office.....	220
6	3	B164	Senior Civil Law Clerk.....	265
6½	1	B164	Senior Civil Law Clerk . . . . .	220
7	1	B168	Chief Clerk—County Clerk's Office.....	300
8	1	B169	County Clerk . . . . .	500
9	14	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	200
10	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
11	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	200
12	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	200
13	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	200

### Section 35. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS— PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	\$ 375
2	1	B173	Public Administrator . . . . .	666.66
3	1	B212	Special Messenger (part time) . . . . .	75
4	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	300
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	165
6	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	160
7	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	180
8	1	K4	Attorney, Civil (part time) . . . . .	300
9	1	K4	Attorney, Civil (part time) . . . . .	250
10	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil . . . . .	666.66

## Section 36. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B18	Chief Accountant, Purchasing Dept. ...\$	466.66
2	2	B222	General Clerk .....	200
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
4	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	250
5	2	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator .....	165
6	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator .....	155
7	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator.....	175
8	3	B352	Storekeeper . . . . .	150
9	1	B352	Storekeeper . . . . .	135
10	1	B354	General Storekeeper .....	220
11	2	B354	General Storekeeper .....	200
12	1	B354	General Storekeeper .....	180
13	1	B357	Chief Storekeeper, 7 mos. at \$325; 5 mos. at \$250.	
14	1	B358	Assistant Stationery Buyer.....	225
15	1	B360	Printing and Stationery Buyer.....	250
16	1	B362	Produce Buyer and Storekeeper.....	175
17	1	B362	Produce Buyer and Storekeeper.....	160
18	1	B382	Supervisor of Equipment and Supplies..	200
19	1	B364	Produce Buyer and General Storekeeper	300
20	1	B364	Produce Buyer and General Storekeeper	225
21	1	B366	Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies	250
22	2	B366	Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies	200
23	1	B370	R. R. Equipment Purchasing Agent.....	250
24	1	B371	Purchasing Agent—Water Service.....	325
25	1	B372	Purchasing Agent—Other Services.....	350
26	1	B374	Purchaser of Supplies .....	833.33
27	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
28	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
29	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
30	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
31	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	150
32	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
33	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	190
34	3	J4	Laborer, \$6 per day.....	
35	1	J12	Foreman Laborer .....	195
36	1	J66	Garageman . . . . .	150
37	1	J66	Garageman at \$6.50 per day.....	
38	1	N302	Inspector of General Supplies .....	215
39	1	N302	Inspector of General Supplies.....	200
40		B512	General Clerk-Typist (as needed) .....	150



### Section 37. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—INTER-DEPARTMENTAL SERVICE

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
41	2	A156	Patternmaker, \$10 per day.....	
42	5	A364	Car and Auto Painter, \$10 per day.....	
43	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	\$ 185
44	1	C152	Watchman .....	170
45	2	C152	Watchman .....	155
46	1	E104	Batteryman-Electrician, \$9 per day ....	
47	1	J62	Fire Hose Repairer.....	160
48	1	J66	Garageman .....	160
49	3	J66	Garageman, \$6.50 per day .....	
50	1	J67	Vulcanizer, \$7 per day .....	
51	1	M2	General Foreman Machinist .....	300
52	1	M3	Superintendent, Fire Equipment Repair Shop .....	350
53	24	M54	Auto Machinist, \$9 per day .....	
54	1	M60	Auto Fender and Body Worker, \$9 per day .....	
55	4	M104	Blacksmith Helper, \$7.08 per day.....	
56	1	M104	Blacksmith Helper, \$8 per day .....	
57	5	M108	Blacksmith, \$9 per day .....	
58	1	M154	Boilermaker's Helper, \$7.08 per day...	
59	1	M156	Boilermaker, \$9 per day .....	
60	2	M252	Machinist Helper, \$7.08 per day .....	
61	5	M254	Machinist, \$9 per day .....	
62	1	O1	Chauffeur, \$6.50 per day .....	
63	1	O108	Leatherworker, \$9 per day .....	
64	1	O152	Eng. H. & P. Engines, \$10 per day.....	

### Section 38. REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	\$ 175
2	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	275
3	1	G206	Chief Right of Way Agent .....	600

### INTERDEPARTMENTAL

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	\$ 160
5	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
6	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.....	200
7	5	G202	Division Right of Way Agent.....	300
8	1	G204	Assistant Chief Right of Way Agent....	375
9			Real Estate and Improvement Appraiser at rates fixed by special appropriation.	

### Section 39. REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT—EXPOSITION AUDITORIUM

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A154	Carpenter . . . . .	\$ 225
2	1	A354	Painter at \$9.75 per day . . . . .	
3	1	C2	Asst. Superintendent of Auditorium . . . . .	200
4	1	C4	Superintendent of Auditorium . . . . .	210
5	4	C104	Janitor . . . . .	155
6	1	C152	Watchman . . . . .	180
7	1	C152	Watchman . . . . .	155
8	1	E108	Electrician . . . . .	250
9	1	O168	Engineer—Stationary Steam Engines . . . . .	220
10	1		Organ Repairer (part time) . . . . .	75
11	1	C101	Dressing Room Maid (as needed) (part time), \$3 per day . . . . .	
12		A154	Carpenter (as needed) at \$9 per day . . . . .	
13		C104	Janitor (as needed) at \$5.80 per day . . . . .	

### Section 40. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—GENERAL OFFICE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Director of Public Works . . . . .	\$ 666.66
2	1	B10	Accountant . . . . .	250
3	1	B94	Chief Clerk, Department of Public Works . . . . .	400
4	1	B210	Office Assistant (5 mths. at \$190; 7 mths. at \$85) . . . . .	
5	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	200
6	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	165
7	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
8	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	300
9	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	225
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	155
11	5	B454	Telephone Operator . . . . .	150
12	1	B458	Chief Telephone Operator . . . . .	180
13	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	165

### Section 41. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—BUREAU OF ACCOUNTS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	8	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	200
2	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	165
3	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	155
4	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	200
5	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	325
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	185
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	165
8	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	185



**Section 42. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A8	Assistant Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair of Public Buildings.\$	300
2	1	A10	Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair of Public Buildings....	375
3	1	A160	Foreman Carpenter, D. P. W.....	250
4	1	A208	General Foreman Cement Finisher, D. P. W. ....	275
5	1	A358	General Foreman Painter, D. P. W.....	293.75
6	1	A408	General Foreman Plumber, D. P. W....	300
7	1	A460	Foreman Sheet Metal Worker, D. P. W.	300
8	1	A506	Foreman Steamfitter, D. P. W.....	275
9	1	E112	Foreman Electrician, D. P. W.....	275
10	13	C52	Elevator Operator .....	155
11	1	C52	Elevator Operator .....	154
12	2	C52	Elevator Operator .....	145
13	1	C54	Elevator Starter .....	180
14	1	C102	Janitress .....	155
15	1	C102	Janitress (Part time) .....	75
16	40	C104	Janitor .....	155
17	14	C104	Janitor .....	145
18	5	C104	Janitor .....	165
19	1	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor .....	190
20	2	C108	Foreman Janitor .....	175
21	1	C108	Foreman Janitor .....	180
22	1	C110	Head Janitor .....	260
23	3	C152	Watchman .....	155
24	2	C152	Watchman .....	145
25	3	C202	Window Cleaner .....	160
26	2	C202	Window Cleaner .....	170
27	1	C204	Sub-Foreman Window Cleaner.....	185
28	5	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Engines....	185
29	7	O168	Engineer Stationary Steam Engines....	220
30	1	O172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam Engines .....	300
31	1	O172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam Engines .....	280

**Section 43. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR**

Employments as required on miscellaneous repair of public buildings, including schools, as provided in Section 95 of the Charter. Number of employments is enumerated wherever the employee has attained permanent civil service tenure in this department. The employments are *not* established as continuing positions but "as needed" when the services are required and the funds are provided.

**INTERDEPARTMENTAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	
3		A58	Marble Setter's Helper.....day	\$ 6
4		A60	*Marble Setter .....	10
5		A62	Tile Setter .....	10
6	21	A154	Carpenter .....	9
7		A158	Sub-Foreman Carpenter .....	9.50
8		A162	Lather .....	10
9		A153	Hardwood Floorman .....	10

Section 43. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR (Continued)  
INTERDEPARTMENTAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	
10	10	A202	Cement Finisher Helper .....	day 8
11	3	A204	Cement Finisher .....	day 9
12	2	A252	Glazier .....	day 8.80
13	1	A252	Glazier .....	day 9.50
14	1	A302	Locksmith .....	day 9
15	1	A302	Locksmith .....	per month 200
16	27	A354	Painter .....	day 9.75
17		A380	Paper Hanger .....	day 10
18	1	A392	Plasterer .....	day 12
19	24	A404	Plumber .....	day 10
20	11	A456	Sheet Metal Worker .....	day 10
21	1	A458	Sub-Foreman Sheet Metal Worker .....	day 10.50
22	10	A504	Steamfitter .....	day 10
23	1	A551	Apprentice .....	day 7
24	1	A551	Apprentice .....	day 6.50
25	1	B222	General Clerk .....	day 7
26	1	C152	Watchman .....	per month 145
27	1	C202	Window Cleaner .....	per month 155
28	1	E108	Electrician .....	per month 225
29	6	E108	Electrician .....	day 10
30	1	J4	Laborer .....	day 6

Teams and trucks at rates established by  
purchaser's contract.

\*Maximum wage is \$9.

Section 44. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF BUILDING INSPECTION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	13	A106	Building Inspector .....	\$ 225
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	150
3	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
4	2	F558	Structural Engineer .....	300
5	1	F560	Superintendent Bureau of Building In- spection .....	500
6	1	M158	Boiler Inspector .....	250

Section 45. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF ENGINEERING

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 250
2	1	B222	General Clerk .....	225
3	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	250
4	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
5	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
6	1	F4	Second Assistant City Engineer.....	650
7	1	F10	City Engineer .....	650
8	1	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector.....	250
9	1	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector .....	225
10	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	160
11	2	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman .....	250
12	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman .....	240



**Section 45. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF ENGINEERING (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
13	1	F256	Cartographer and Art Designer.....	210
14	4	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	250
15	1	F260	Civil Engineering Designer .....	375
16	3	F260	Civil Engineering Designer.....	300
17	1	F262	Sanitary Engineering Designer.....	325
18	1	F270	Chief Civil Engineering Designer.....	475
19	1	F356	Electrical Engineering Inspector.....	250
20	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer .....	275
21	1	F502	Engineer Assessments and Complaints..	250
22	1	F506	Engineer Grades .....	300
23	1	F510	Engineer Street Improvement Investiga- tions .....	300
24	1	F510	Engineer, Street Improvement Investiga- tions .....	275
25	1	F514	Engineer Street Improvement Plans....	300
26	1	F518	Office Engineer .....	350
27	1	F518	Office Engineer .....	325
28	1	F552	Structural Draftsman .....	200
29	1	F604	Surveyor's Field Assistant .....	250
30	13	F604	Surveyor's Field Assistant .....	225
31	4	F610	Surveyors .....	275
32	1	F612	Office Surveyor .....	225
33	1	F614	Assistant Chief Surveyor .....	300
34	1	F616	Chief Surveyor .....	325
35	1	L116	Senior Engineering Chemist .....	400
36	4	N252	Street Inspector .....	225

**Section 46. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF ENGINEERING (Continued)**

**EMPLOYMENTS PREDICATED ON REVENUE AND BOND ISSUE  
MONEYS**

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and predicated on bond issues and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
37	1	B325	Blue Printer .....	\$ 215
38	1	B327	Photostat Operator .....	225
39	1	B332	Photographer .....	265
40	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
41	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
42	5	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector .....	250
43	27	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector .....	225
44	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector....	275
45	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector....	250
46	1	F208	Chief Civil Engineering Inspector, Minor	300
47	1	F210	Chief Civil Engineering Inspector, Major	400
48	2	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	175
49	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	160
50	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.....	250
51	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.....	225
52	2	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.....	200
53	5	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	250

Section 46. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF ENGINEERING (Continued)

EMPLOYMENTS PREDICATED ON REVENUE AND BOND  
ISSUE MONEYS (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
54	3	F260	Civil Engineering Designer .....	300
55	1	F260	Civil Engineering Designer .....	250
56	1	F262	Sanitary Engineering Designer .....	250
57	1	F262	Sanitary Engineering Designer .....	300
58	1	F354	Electrical Engineering Designer .....	250
59	4	F452	Mechanical Draftsman .....	200
60	1	F452	Mechanical Draftsman .....	225
61	6	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer .....	250
62	1	F460	Assistant Mechanical Engineer .....	250
63	1	F552	Structural Draftsman .....	200
64	1	F558	Structural Engineer .....	300
65	10	F604	Surveyor's Field Assistant .....	225
66	2	F610	Surveyor .....	250
67	1	L114	Engineering Chemist .....	225
68	1	O152	Engineer of Hoisting and Portable En- gines .....\$11.40 per day	
69		A106	Building Inspector .....	225
70		F654	Traffic Checker .....	155
71		F102	Architectural Draftsman .....	200
72		F106	Architectural Designer .....	250
73		F108	Architect .....	300
74		F352	Electrical Draftsman .....	200
75		F360	Assistant Electrical Engineer .....	250
76		F362	Electrical Engineer .....	300
77		F401	Junior Hydraulic Engineer .....	160
78		F404	Hydraulic Engineering Designer .....	250
79		F406	Assistant Hydraulic Engineer .....	250
80		F408	Hydraulic Engineer .....	300
81		F462	Mechanical Engineer .....	300
82		F554	Structural Engineer Designer .....	275
83		F556	Structural Engineer Inspector .....	250
84		B210	Office Assistant .....	85
85		B4	Bookkeeper .....	175
86		C152	Watchman .....	145
87		F202	Inspector P. W. Construction .....	225
88		F351	Junior Electrical Engineer .....	160
89		F262	Inspector Loading Zones .....	175
90		F664	Traffic Engineer .....	300
91		M256	Mechanical Inspector .....	225
92		J4	Laborer at \$6 per day .....	
93		J6	Water Pipe Welder at \$7.50 per day .....	
94		J12	Labor Foreman at \$7 per day .....	
95		M252	Machinist's Helper at \$7.08 per day .....	
96		M254	Machinist at \$9 per day .....	

Section 47. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
CENTRAL PERMIT BUREAU

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	* Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 225
2	1	B222	General Clerk .....	200
3	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	275
4	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
5	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	150



### Section 48. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF SEWER REPAIR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	O208	General Foreman Sewer Connections and Repairs .....	\$ 225
2	2	O214	Assistant Superintendent, Bureau of Sewer Repair .....	250
3	1	O216	Superintendent, Bureau of Sewer Repair	400

#### EMPLOYMENTS AS NEEDED

The occupants of the following positions have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
4	12	A52	Bricklayer's Hodcarrier at \$10 per day..	
5	7	A56	Bricklayer at \$12 per day.....	
6	20	J4	Laborer at \$6 per day.....	
7	4	O1	Chauffeur at \$8 per day.....	
8	35*	O204	Cribber at \$8.80 per day.....	
9	17	O210	Sewer Cleaner at \$9.50 per day.....	
10	1	O208	Gen. Foreman, Sewer Connection and Repair .....	\$ 250
Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established by purchaser's contract.				

\*Thirteen of these not included in budget estimates as compensations are paid by property owners.

### Section 49. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— SEWAGE PUMPING STATION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	O202	Sewer Pumping Station Attendant.....	\$ 180
2	1	U215	Head Pump Operator .....	185

### Section 50. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— DIVISION OF STREET CLEANING

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	4	C152	Watchman .....	\$ 155
2	3	C152	Watchman .....	145
3	237	J4	Laborer at \$6 per day .....	
4	3	J10	Laborer—Sub-Foreman at \$7 per day...	
5	9	J10	Laborer—Sub-Foreman at \$6.50 per day.	
6	2	J66	Garagemen .....	160
7	2	J108	District Director of Street Cleaning....	240
8	1	J108	District Director of Street Cleaning...	225
9	1	J112	Supervisor of Street Cleaning.....	325
10	13	O1	Chauffeur at \$8 per day.....	
11	10	O1	Chauffeur at \$7.50 per day.....	
12	6	O1	Chauffeur at \$8 per day.....	
13	7	O1	Chauffeur at \$7.50 per day.....	
14	1	O18	Sub. Sta. For. and Truck Driver at \$8 per day .....	
15	1	O58	Gardener at \$6 per day.....	
Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established by purchaser's contract.				

## Section 51. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF STREETS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	F220	General Superintendent of Streets.....\$	500
2	1	O298	Supervisor of Street Repair.....	325

### DIVISION OF STREET REPAIR

The occupants of the following positions have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
3	2	A202	Cement Finisher's Helper at \$8 per day	
4	2	A204	Cement Finisher at \$9 per day.....	
5	1	B210	Office Assistant at \$5.40 per day.....	
6	29	J4	Laborers at \$6 per day.....	
7	2	J12	Laborer, Foreman, at \$7 per day.....	
8	1	M254	Machinist at \$9 per day.....	
9	9	O1	Chauffeur at \$8 per day.....	
10	2	O1	Chauffeur at \$7.50 per day.....	
10½	2	O1	Chauffeur at \$8 per day .....	
11	5	O152	Engr. Hoisting Port. Engine at \$11.40 per day .....	
12	1	O168	Engineer, Sta. St. Eng.....\$	220
13	1	O252	Dryerman at \$9 per day.....	
14	1	O254	Foreman, Asph. Plant, at \$10 per day..	
15	4	O260	Rammer at \$7 per day.....	
16	2	O264	Paver at \$8 per day.....	
17	6	O268	Granite Cutters at \$9.50 per day.....	
18	2	O274	Asphalt Mixerman at \$9 per day.....	
19	26	O276	Asphalt Workers at \$7.50 per day.....	
20	11	O278	Asphalt Finishers at \$8 per day.....	
21	2	O282	Foreman, Asphalt Fin., at \$9 per day...	
22	1	O294	General Foreman, Street Repair.....	275
23	1	O294	General Foreman, Street Repair.....	250
24	1	O294	General Foreman, Street Repair.....	225

### BRIDGES

25	9	C153	Bridge Attendant .....	155
26	2	C153	Bridge Attendant .....	145
27	10	O168	Engineer Sta. St. Engines.....	220
28	1	O168	Engr. Sta. St. Engines (Relief) at rate of	220
29	1	O172	Chief Engineer Sta. St. Engines.....	275

Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established  
by purchaser's contract.

## Section 52. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF ARCHITECTURE INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICE

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	10	A106	Building Inspector .....	\$ 225
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
3	2	F102	Architectural Draftsman .....	200
4	1	F112	City Architect .....	600
5	1	B210	Office Assistant (part time).....	75
6	1	F104	Architectural Estimator .....	250



**Section 53. DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A354	Painter at \$9.75 per day.....	
2	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 225
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
4	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	250
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
6	4	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
7	1	E2	Line Inspector .....	225
8	16	E4	Electrical Inspector .....	250
9	1	E8	Chief Electrical Inspector.....	275
10	8	E52	Fire Dispatcher .....	225
11	1	E54	Chief Fire Dispatcher .....	275
12	1	E108	Electrician . . . . .	240
13	1	E108	Electrician at \$10 per day.....	
14	1	E110	Radio Maintenance Man at \$8 per day..	
15	1	E116	Superintendent of Plant, Department of Electricity .....	325
16	1	E154	Lineman . . . . .	220
17	10	E154	Lineman .....	215
18	2	E154	Lineman .....	200
19	1	E156	Cable Splicer at \$9.50 per day.....	
20	2	E160	Foreman Lineman .....	240
21	1	F366	Chief, Department of Electricity.....	500
22	3	J4	Laborer at \$6 per day.....	
23	1	J12	Labor Foreman .....	195
24	1	J66	Garageman . . . . .	162.50
25	1	J76	Traffic Button Maintenance Man at \$9 per day .....	
26	2	M254	Machinist . . . . .	225
27	5	M260	Instrument Maker .....	225
28	1	M264	Foreman Instrument Maker.....	250

**Section 54. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE****ADMINISTRATION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	\$ 170
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
3	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	215
4	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
5	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	135
6	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	160
7	1	C52	Elevator Operator .....	155
8	3	*I103	Institutional Help . . . . .	79.50
9	1	L14	Assistant Director of Public Health....	450
10	1	L18	Director of Public Health.....	833.33

\*Subject to reclassification and adjusted rate of pay within budgeted appropriation after reclassification.

**ACCOUNTING**

11	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	190
12	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	175
13	2	B4	Bookkeeper .....	150
14	1	B14	Senior Accountant .....	400
15	2	B222	General Clerk .....	190
16	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	170

Section 54. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)

## STATISTICS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
17	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
18	1	B222	General Clerk .....	185
19	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	190
20	2	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	190
21	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
22	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125

## MEAT INSPECTION

23	7	N56	Market Inspector .....	175
23 1/2	*1	N56	Market Inspector .....	175
24	6	N56	Market Inspector .....	200
25	1	N58	Chief Market Inspector .....	225
26	8	N60	Abattoir Inspector .....	200
27	3	N62	Veterinarian .....	201
28	6	N62	Veterinarian .....	200

\*Status declared permanent by Civil Service Commission.

## COMMUNICABLE DISEASES

29	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
30	6	J74	Rat Catcher .....	115
31	4	L370	Epidemiologist (part time).....	225
32	1	L371	Director, Bureau of Communicable Diseases (part time) .....	350
33	1	P52	Field Nurse .....	175

## SYPHILIS UNIT

34	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
35	1	P102	Registered Nurse .....	135
36	1	T152	Junior Social Service Investigator.....	150

## CLINICS

*Diagnostic Center*

37	2	L360	Physician (part time) .....	150
38	1	L364	Pediatrician (part time).....	100
39	1	P52	Field Nurse .....	165

*Bureau of Mental Hygiene*

40	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time)	75
41	1	L404	Psychologist .....	175
42	4	L404	Psychologist .....	150
43	1	L404	Psychologist (part time) .....	75
44	1	L408	Chief Psychologist (part time).....	200
45	1	L408	Chief Psychologist (part time).....	150

## BACTERIOLOGICAL LABORATORY

46	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
47	1	C102	Janitress .....	75
48	1	*I103	Institutional Help .....	79.50
49	2	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician..	125
50	1	L56	Bacteriologist .....	225
51	3	L56	Bacteriologist .....	175
52	1	L58	Director of Laboratories .....	275
53	1	L60	Bacteriological Milk Inspector .....	250
54	1	L64	Consultant, Bacteriologist (part time).	75

\*Subject to reclassification and adjusted rate of pay within budgeted appropriation after reclassification.



Section 54. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)

SCHOOL INSPECTION—MEDICAL

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
55	1	L252	Optometrist (part time) .....	150
56	1	L368	Director Bureau Child Hygiene .....	383.33
57	1	L364	Pediatrician . . . . .	250
58	1	L364	Pediatrician (part time) .....	175
59	11	L364	Pediatrician (part time) .....	150
60	1	L364	Pediatrician (part time) .....	75
61	1	L602	Audiometer Technician .....	125

DENTAL

62	1	B222	General Clerk (part time) .....	50
63	4	L152	Dental Hygienist .....	150
64	14	L156	Dentist (part time) .....	100
65	1	L160	Director of Dental Bureau (part time) ..	250

CHILD WELFARE—MEDICAL

66	1	L364	Pediatrician . . . . .	250
67	4	L364	Pediatrician (part time) .....	150
68	1	L364	Pediatrician at \$5 per day (part time) ..	

MILK AND FOOD INSPECTION

69	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
70	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
71	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	100
72	7	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector .....	200
73	10	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector .....	185
74	3	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector .....	175
75	3	N53	Assistant Chief Food Inspector .....	225
76	1	N54	Chief Food Inspector .....	325
77	1	N64	Dairy Inspector .....	300
78	2	N64	Dairy Inspector .....	225
79	2	N64	Dairy Inspector .....	200

CHEMICAL LABORATORY

80	1	L102	Food Chemist Assistant .....	125
81	1	L104	Food Chemist .....	225
82	1	L104	Food Chemist .....	175
83	1	L106	Senior Food Chemist .....	250

PLUMBING INSPECTION

84	1	A412	Plumbing Inspector .....	250
85	7	A412	Plumbing Inspector .....	240
86	1	A416	Chief Plumbing Inspector .....	325
87	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190

HOUSING INSPECTION

88	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
89	9	N204	Housing Inspector .....	200
90	1	N206	Chief Housing Inspector .....	275

INDUSTRIAL INSPECTION

91	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	100
92	3	N205	Industrial Inspector .....	200
93	1	N208	Chief Industrial Inspector .....	275

CITY PHYSICIANS

94	4	L360	Physician (part time) .....	300
95	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	250
96	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	200
97	4	L360	Physician (part time) .....	150
98	1	L362	Supervisor of City Physicians (part time)	300

Section 54. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)

FIELD NURSING, ADMINISTRATION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
99	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
100	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
101	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
102	1	P54	Supervising Field Nurse.....	230
103	8	P54	Supervising Field Nurse .....	200
104	1	P54	Supervising Field Nurse .....	175
105	1	P58	Director of Field Nursing .....	300
FIELD NURSING, SCHOOLS				
106	25	P52	Field Nurse .....	175
107	15	P52	Field Nurse .....	165
FIELD NURSING, OTHER				
108	12	P52	Field Nurse .....	175
109	7	P52	Field Nurse .....	165
110	1	P101	Chinese Visiting Nurse .....	175
TUBERCULOSIS BUREAU				
111	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
112	2	L360	Physician (part time) .....	100
113	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	200
114	2	P52	Field Nurse .....	165
115	7	P52	Field Nurse .....	175
116	2	P102	Registered Nurse .....	135

Section 56. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
LAGUNA HONDA HOME

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 100
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
3	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	135
4	1	I8	Head Baker .....	190
5	3	I12	Cook .....	165
6	1	I12	Cook (part time).....	75
7	1	I14	Junior Chef .....	175
8	1	I16	Chef .....	200
9	1	I22	Butcher .....	125
10	1	I24	Senior Butcher .....	235
11		I26	Hog Killer, \$9 per day (as needed)....	
12	4	I54	Waitress .....	110
13	1	I58	Dining Room Steward .....	140
14		I102	Inmate Help, not over .....	50
15	*	I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	79.50
16	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).	140
17	4	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).	135
18	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).	125
19	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).	120
20	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).	102.50
21	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).	87.50
22	1	I212a	Steward (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	235
22½	1	I212b	Stewardess (deduct for B., R. & L.) ....	235
23	1	I154	Laundress .....	100
24	1	I164	Marker and Distributor.....	130
25	1	I170	Washer (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	102.50
26	1	I174	Superintendent of Laundry .....	189
27	1	I254	Seamstress .....	100
28	1	I256	Head Seamstress (deduct for R.).....	125



**Section 56. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
LAGUNA HONDA HOME (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
29	1	I302	Instructor, Basketry (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	102.50
30	1	I304	Instructor, Weaving (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
31	1	L8	Assistant to Superintendent .....	275
32	1	L10	Superintendent (deduct \$150 for full family maintenance) .....	733.33
33	1	L54	Assistant Bacteriologist .....	100
34	1	L202	Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.) .....	137.50
35	1	L306	Senior Pharmacist .....	210
36	6	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	32
37	1	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	185
38	2	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) ...	97
39	1	L360	Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.) ....	235
40	1	L452	X-ray Technician .....	150
41	1	O1	Chauffeur (less B., R. & L.) .....	185
42	1	O52	Farmer (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	130
43	1	O54	Foreman, Building and Grounds (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	220
44	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
45	1	O60	Head Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	185
46	3	O168	Engineer Sta. Steam Engines .....	220
47	28	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	135
48	4	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	145
49	1	P118	Superintendent of Nurses (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	235
50	1	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135

\*Subject to reclassification and adjusted rate of pay within budgeted appropriation after reclassification.

**Section 57. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
ISOLATION HOSPITAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist (part time) .....	\$ 79.50
2	1	C152	Watchman (deduct for B., R. & L.) ....	145
3	1	I14	Junior Chef .....	175
4	1	I54	Waitress . . . . .	110
5	*	I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	79.50
6	1	I204	Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	85
7	1	I254	Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	125
8	2	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	32
9	1	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	47
10	1	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	385
11		P54	Student Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	32
12	7	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
13	1	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) ...	145
14	1	P116	Superintendent Isolation Hospital (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	235

\*Subject to reclassification and adjusted rate of pay within budgeted appropriation after reclassification.

Section 58. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 175
2	1	B222	General Clerk .....	160
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	125
4	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	250
5	1	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	190
6	1	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	160
7	7	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	100
9	12	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) .	79.50
10	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
11	2	B454	Telephone Operator .....	135
12	1	B454	Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	125
13	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
14	1	C6	Supt. of Building T. B. Hosp. (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	260
15	2	C152	Watchman . . . . .	145
16	2	E108	Electrician . . . . .	237.50
17	1	I6	Pastry Cook .....	175
18	8	I12	Cook . . . . .	165
19	1	I16	Chef . . . . .	200
20	8	I54	Waitress . . . . .	110
21	8	I56	Waiter . . . . .	110
22	*	I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	79.50
23	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
24	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	125
25	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	87.50
26	14	I152	Flat Work Ironer .....	90
27	17	I154	Laundress . . . . .	100
28	1	I156	Starcher . . . . .	130
29	1	I158	Sorter . . . . .	130
30	1	I164	Marker and Distributor .....	130
31	1	I166	Wringerman . . . . .	136.33
32	2	I170	Washer . . . . .	135
33	1	I172	Head Washer .....	155
34	1	I178	Superintendent of Laundry .....	200
35	1	I206	Porter Sub-Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	92
36	1	I208	Porter Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.)	100
37	1	I210	Head Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.) ....	140
38	2	I254	Seamstress . . . . .	90
39	1	I256	Head Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.)	150
40	1	J4	Laborer (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	87.50

\*Subject to reclassification and adjusted rate of pay within budgeted appropriation after reclassification.



**Section 59. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
41	1	L2	Assistant Superintendent (deduct for B., R. & L.).....\$	310
42	1	L6	Superintendent (deduct \$150 for full family maintenance) .....	733.33
43	1	L70	Physio-Therapist (part time).....	100
44	2	L72	Electro-Cardiograph Technician (part time) . . . . .	75
45	2	L156	Dentist (part time) .....	50
46	4	L202	Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.).....	137.50
47	1	L206	Chief Dietitian .....	175
48	1	L304	Pharmacist .....	225
49	1	L304	Pharmacist .....	200
50	2	L304	Pharmacist .....	190
51	1	L306	Senior Pharmacist .....	250
52	42	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	32
53	22	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	47
54	7	L356	Senior House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	59.50
55	1	L360	Physician (part time).....	75
56	3	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
57	1	L372	Resident Physician .....	200
58	1	L452	X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) (part time).....	79.50
59	4	L452	X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
60	1	L456	Senior X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	210
61	1	L458	Radiologist . . . . .	200
62	1	L458	Radiologist .....	100
63	1	M255	Bracemaker .....	77.50
64	1	O60	Head Gardener (deduct for R.) .....	150
65	4	O166	Fireman, Stationary Steam Engine ....	185
66	4	O168	Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine....	220
67	1	O172	Chief Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine (deduct for R.) .....	300

**Section 60. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
68	139	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)\$	135
69		P103	Special Nurses (as needed), 8 hrs. at \$5 per day or fraction of a day .....	
70		P103	Special Nurses (as needed), 10 hrs. at \$6 per day with meals.....	
71		P103	Special Nurses (as needed), 12 hrs., with meals, at \$7 per day .....	
72		P103	Special Nurses (Virulent Communicable Diseases and Violent Patients), 8 hrs. at \$6 per day .....	
73		P103	Special Nurses (Virulent Communicable Diseases and Violent Patients), 10 hrs. at \$9 per day .....	

Section 60. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
74		P103	Special Nurses (Virulent Communicable Diseases and Violent Patients), 12 hrs. at \$10 per day .....	
75		P103	Special Nurses, Additional Patient \$2 extra .....	
76	33	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)...	145
77	1	P104	Head Nurse .....	145
78	1	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	210
79	1	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	185
80	2	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	170
81	1	P122	Director of Institutional Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	285
82	4	P204	Anaesthetist (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	160
83	1	P206	Senior Anaesthetist (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	185
84	9	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	145
85	10	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
86	1	P210	Senior Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	185
87	1	P212	Head Nurse Obstetrical (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
88	1	P214	Head Nurse Pediatrics (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
89	1	P216	Head Nurse Psychiatric (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
90		P254	Student Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	32
91	2	P304	Instructor of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
92	1	P306	Senior Instructor of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	185
93	1	T152	Junior Social Service Investigator .....	160
94	3	T152	Junior Social Service Investigator ....	150
95	1	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	210
96	1	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	190
97	6	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	180
98	3	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	140

PSYCHIATRIC BUILDING

99	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
100	2	C152	Watchman . . . . .	145
101	2	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	47
102	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	75
103	1	L372	Resident Physician .....	250

Section 60a. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL SOCIAL SERVICE DIVISION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	\$ 175
2	1	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	180
3	1	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	190
4	1	T160	Senior Social Service Investigator ....	240



**Section 60b. OUT PATIENT MATERNITY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	\$ 300
2	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	190
3	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	100
4	2	P102	Registered Nurse .....	125

**Section 61. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
EMERGENCY HOSPITALS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	\$ 190
2	12	L504	Emergency Hospital Surgeon .....	200
3	1	L506	Assistant Chief Surgeon Emergency Hospitals .....	225
4	1	L508	Chief Surgeon .....	250
5	14	O6	Ambulance Driver .....	200
6	1	O6	Ambulance Driver .....	195
7	1	O6	Ambulance Driver .....	185
8	3	O6	Ambulance Driver .....	175
9	7	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward .....	165
10	4	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward .....	175
11	17	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward .....	200
12	1	P4	Chief Emergency Hospital Steward ....	250
13	13	P102	Registered Nurse .....	165
14	6	P102	Registered Nurse .....	135
15	1	P102	Registered Nurse (Relief) at \$5 per day	

**Section 62. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
HASSLER HEALTH HOME**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B454	Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	\$ 97.50
2	1	C152	Watchman (deduct for B., R. & L.) ....	87.50
3	2	I12	Cook . . . . .	165
4	1	I14	Junior Chef .....	182.50
5	*	I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.) less than .....	80
6	2	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	92.50
7	1	I254	Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.) ....	92.50
8	2	J4	Laborer (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
9	1	J4	Laborer . . . . .	125
10	1	L156	Dentist (part time) .....	50
11	1	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	32
12	1	L363	Resident Physician and Superintendent, Hassler Health Home (deduct \$75 a month for family maintenance) ....	350
13	1	O1	Chauffeur (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
14	1	O54	Foreman, Building and Grounds (deduct for 1 meal) .....	210
15	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
16	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	92.50
17	4	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135

Section 62. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
HASSLER HEALTH HOME (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
18	1	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	145
19	1	P112	Superintendent of Nursing, Hassler Health Home (deduct for B., R. & L.)	160
*Subject to reclassification and adjusted rate of pay within budgeted appropriation after reclassification.				

AS NEEDED

The occupants of the following positions have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are available:

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
20	1	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).....\$	85

Section 63. CORONER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B420	Phonographic Reporter .....	200
2	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
3	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
4	1	I106	Morgue Attendant .....	95
5	1	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician..	150
6	1	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician..	125
7	1	L62	Pathologist (part time).....	125
8	1	L102	Food Chemist Assistant .....	95
9	1	L110	Toxicologist (part time) .....	150
10	1	L502	Autopsy Surgeon .....	325
11	1	L502	Autopsy Surgeon .....	100
12	3	N4	Coroner's Investigator .....	215
13	1	N8	Coroner's Chief Investigator .....	250
14	1	N10	Coroner .....	666.66
15	3	O8	Morgue Ambulance Driver .....	200
16	1	O8	Morgue Ambulance Driver .....	175

Section 64. HORTICULTURAL INSPECTION DEPARTMENT—  
AGRICULTURAL COMMISSION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	150
2	1	N154	Horticultural Inspector .....	250
3	4	N154	Horticultural Inspector .....	175
4	1	N156	County Agricultural Commissioner ....	400

Section 65. SEALER OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	225
2	1	N356	Senior Inspector of Weights and Measures .....	250
3	3	N354	Inspector of Weights and Measures ....	225
4	1	N354	Inspector of Weights and Measures ....	175
5	1	N358	Sealer of Weights and Measures .....	325



**Section 66. PUBLIC WELFARE DEPARTMENT**

Pending classification of the positions by the Civil Service Commission and creation of the employments not later than October 14, 1937, the employments as established heretofore under the Citizens Emergency Relief Commission are continued.

**Section 66½. COUNTY WELFARE**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	2	B222	General Clerks . . . . .	\$ 150
2	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	200
3	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	185
4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	175
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	160
6	14	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	150
7	1	B510	Braille Typist . . . . .	150
8	6	T152	Junior Social Service Investigator . . . . .	165
9	1	T152	Junior Social Service Investigator . . . . .	155
10	8	T156	Social Service Investigator . . . . .	180
11	20	T156	Social Service Investigator . . . . .	150
12	1	T160	Senior Social Service Investigator . . . . .	215
13	1	T162	Director of County Welfare Department	300

**Section 67. CONTROLLER**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Controller . . . . .	\$ 833.33
2	1	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	200
3	8	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	185
4	4	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	180
5	5	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	175
6	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	225
7	3	B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	210
8	4	B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	200
9	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	190
10	1	B7	Asst. Supervisor of Disbursements . . . . .	240
11	1	B8	Supervisor of Disbursements . . . . .	275
12	1	B10	Accountant . . . . .	225
13	1	B14	Senior Accountant . . . . .	325
14	2	B14	Senior Accountant . . . . .	285
15	1	B21	Chief Assistant Controller . . . . .	625
16	1	B26	Supervisor of Budget Statistics . . . . .	250
17	1	B28	Supervisor of General Audits . . . . .	400
18	1	B30	Supervisor of Utilities Audits . . . . .	400
19	1	B55	Supervisor of Pay Rolls . . . . .	325
20	1	B210	Office Assistant (part time) . . . . .	79.50
21	3	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	200
22	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	190
23	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	185
24	3	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
25	2	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	250
26	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	200
27	1	B234	Head Clerk (6 months at \$200, 6 months at \$210) . . . . .	
28	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	300
29	2	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	225
30	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	240
31	1	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator . . . . .	190
32	3	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator . . . . .	175

## Section 67. CONTROLLER (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
33	3	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator .....	165
34	2	B302	Addressing Machine Operator .....	155
35	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator .....	175
36	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator .....	155
37	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator .....	175
38	3	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator .....	165
39	1	B312	Senior Bookkeeping Machine Operator..	185
40	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
41	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
42	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
43	1	B417	Executive Secretary to the Controller..	250
44	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator .....	155
45	3	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
46	1	K6	Senior Attorney—Civil .....	400
47			Seasonal Clerical Services at rates fixed in Salary Standardization Report.	
48			Field Bookkeepers or Accountants (Construction Work outside S. F.) (as needed) at rates fixed in Salary Standardization Report.	

## Section 68. CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5		Commissioners, \$15 per meeting.....	
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time).\$	75
3	1	B420	Phonographic Reporter (as needed), \$12.50 per meeting .....	
4	1	F158	City Planning Engineer and Secretary..	350
5	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	200
6	1	F255	City Planning Draftsman (as needed)..	200

## Section 69. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION — GENERAL OFFICE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5		Commissioners .....	\$ 100
2	1		Manager of Utilities .....	1,000
3	1	B22	Asst. Supervisor, Bureau of Accounts, Utilities Commission .....	410
4	1	B60	Secretary, Public Utilities Commission..	300
5	1	B53	Director of Public Relations .....	400
6	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
9	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
10	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
11	1	G106	Claims Adjuster .....	350
12	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	225
13	1	S112	Inspector, Municipal Railway .....	210
14	1	S112	Inspector, Municipal Railway .....	200



### Section 70. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	\$ 155
2	3	C104	Janitor .....	125
3	1	F51	Airport Attendant .....	155
4	4	F51	Airport Attendant .....	150
5	2	F51	Airport Attendant .....	145
6	3	F52	Crew Chief, Airport .....	160
7	4	F53	Control Tower Operator, Airport .....	165
8	1	F54	Meteorologist .....	200
9	1	F60	Assistant Superintendent .....	225
10	1	F62	Superintendent .....	500

#### TEMPORARY PERSONAL SERVICES

11	A154	Carpenter, \$9 per day .....	
12	A354	Painter, \$9 per day .....	
13	E108	Electrician, \$9 per day .....	
14	J4	Laborer, \$5 per day .....	

### Section 71. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—ENGINEERING

These positions are paid from appropriations for temporary or inter-departmental services. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3	A106	Building Inspector .....	\$ 225
2	1	B10	Accountant .....	275
3	1	B210	Office Assistant .....	85
4	1	B246	Map Clerk .....	155
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
7	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
8	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	160
9	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
10	1	F102	Architectural Draftsman .....	200
11	1	F104	Architectural Estimator .....	250
12	1	F106	Architectural Designer .....	250
13	1	F108	Architect .....	300
14	1	F202	Inspector, Public Works Construction..	200
15	6	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector .....	225
16	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector....	250
17	3	F214	Construction Engineer .....	300
18	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman ...	160
19	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman .....	250
20	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman .....	240
21	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman .....	225
22	6	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman .....	200
23	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	275
24	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	240
25	3	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	225
26	1	F260	Civil Engineering Designer .....	250
27	1	F320	Senior Civil Engineer .....	550
28	1	F351	Junior Electrical Engineer .....	160
29	2	F352	Electrical Engineering Draftsman .....	200
30	2	F354	Electrical Engineering Designer .....	250

Section 71. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
ENGINEERING (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
31	2	F356	Electrical Engineering Inspector .....	235
32	3	F356	Electrical Engineering Inspector .....	225
33	1	F362	Electrical Engineer .....	350
34	2	F362	Electrical Engineer .....	300
35		F370	Chief Electrical Engineer .....	650
36	1	F372	Manager and Chief Engineer, Electric Power Bureau .....	833.33
37	1	F401	Junior Hydraulic Engineer .....	160
38	4	F404	Hydraulic Engineering Designer .....	265
39	1	F404	Hydraulic Engineering Designer .....	250
40	1	F406	Assistant Hydraulic Engineer .....	330
41	1	F408	Hydraulic Engineer .....	400
42	2	F452	Mechanical Draftsman .....	200
43	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer .....	290
44	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer .....	250
45	1	F456	Designer Street Railway Equipment....	290
46	1	F462	Mechanical Engineer .....	300
47	1	F518	Office Engineer .....	350
48	1	F518	Office Engineer .....	300
49	1	F552	Structural Draftsman .....	225
50	1	F554	Structural Engineering Designer .....	275
51	1	F556	Structural Engineering Inspector .....	250
52	1	F605	Surveyor's Field Assistant .....	175
53	1	M256	Mechanical Inspector .....	225
54	1	N102	Light-Water Complaint Investigator ...	200
55	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	170
56	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	225
57	1	O152	Engineer, Hoisting and Portable Engines, \$11.40 per day .....	

CLASSIFICATIONS AS NEEDED

58	F12	Consulting Engineer, Utilities .....	650
59	F102	Architectural Draftsman .....	200
60	F104	Architectural Estimator .....	250
61	F106	Architectural Designer .....	250
62	F108	Architect .....	300
63	F202	Inspector Public Works Construction...	200
64	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	160
65	F256	Cartographer and Art Designer .....	210
66	F260	Civil Engineering Designer .....	250
67	F351	Junior Electrical Engineer .....	160
68	F352	Electrical Engineering Draftsman .....	200
69	F354	Electrical Engineering Designer .....	250
70	F460	Assistant Mechanical Engineer .....	250
71	F558	Structural Engineer .....	250
72	F610	Surveyor .....	250
73	M256	Mechanical Inspector .....	225
74	O1	Chauffeur .....	170
75		Seasonal Clerical Service (as needed)..	150
76		Street Lighting Foreman .....	200
77	E112	Electrical Foreman .....	225
78		Street Lighting Man .....	175
79	E108	Electrician at \$9 per day.....	
80	E154	Lineman at \$7.40 per day.....	
81	E156	Cable Splicer at \$9.50 per day.....	
82	A154	Carpenter at \$9 per day.....	
83	A204	Cement Finisher at \$9 per day.....	
84	J4	Laborer at \$6 per day.....	



**Section 72. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
MUNICIPAL RAILWAY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5	A154	Carpenter, \$9 per day.....	
2	9	A364	Car and Auto Painter, \$10 per day.....	
3	1	A370	Foreman Car and Auto Paint Shop....\$	275
4	1	B10	Accountant . . . . .	225
5	1	B14	Senior Accountant . . . . .	275
6	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	200
7	3	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
8	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	165
9	3	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	155
10	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	240
11	2	B308	Comptometer Operator . . . . .	155
12	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	250
13	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	175
14	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	155
15	2	B454	Telephone Operator . . . . .	150
16	2	C52	Elevator Operator . . . . .	145
17	1	C52	Elevator Operator (relief) . . . . .	145
18	26	C104	Janitor . . . . .	160
19	2	C104	Janitor . . . . .	155
20	7	C104	Janitor . . . . .	145
21	3	C104	Janitor, \$5.80 per day . . . . .	
22	2	C104	Janitor . . . . .	135
23	2	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor, \$6.30 per day....	
24	1	E106	Armature Winder, \$9 per day . . . . .	
25	1	E108	Electrician, \$10 per day . . . . .	
26	6	E154	Lineman, \$7.40 per day . . . . .	
27	1	E160	Foreman Lineman . . . . .	215
28	1	F216	Maintenance of Way Engineer . . . . .	300
29	4	J4	Laborer, \$6 per day . . . . .	
30	5	J66	Garageman, \$6.80 per day . . . . .	
31	48	J152	Trackman, \$6 per day . . . . .	
32	2	J160	Track Welder, \$6.30 per day . . . . .	
33	2	J162	Car Repairer Welder, \$7.50 per day ....	
34	3	J166	Track Foreman, \$6.30 per day . . . . .	
35	1	J168	General Foreman of Track Maintenance	250
36	1	M5	Assistant Master Mechanic . . . . .	300
37	1	M6	Master Mechanic . . . . .	350
38	3	M54	Auto Machinist, \$9 per day . . . . .	
39	1	M56	Garage Foreman, Municipal Railway...	250
40	2	M104	Blacksmith Helper, \$8 per day.....	
41	2	M108	Blacksmith, \$9 per day . . . . .	
42	49	M202	Car Repairer, \$6.80 per day . . . . .	
43	5	M206	Sub-Foreman Car Repairer, \$7.30 per day	
44	2	M208	Foreman Car Repairer, \$7.80 per day...	
45	6	M254	Machinist, \$9 per day . . . . .	
46	1	O1	Chauffeur, \$8 per day . . . . .	
47	1	S10	Manager Municipal Railway . . . . .	700
48	1	S60	Instructor Municipal Railway . . . . .	240
49	427	S102	Conductor, 75c per hour . . . . .	
50	420	S104	Motorman, 75c per hour . . . . .	
51	50	S106	Bus Operator, 80c per hour . . . . .	
52	5	S110	Junior Inspector Municipal Railway ....	175
53	8	S110	Junior Inspector Municipal Railway ...	170
54	12	S112	Inspector Municipal Railway . . . . .	200
55	5	S112	Inspector Municipal Railway . . . . .	180
56	2	S120	Day Dispatcher . . . . .	210
57	1	S124	Supervisor of Schedules . . . . .	210
58	2	S128	Division Superintendent Municipal Ry.	275

Section 72. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
MUNICIPAL RAILWAY (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
59	1	S130	Assistant Superintendent of Transportation, Municipal Railway .....	300
60	1	S132	Superintendent of Transportation, Municipal Railway .....	350
61	1	U108	Compressor Operator, portable, \$6.30 per day . . . . .	50
62	1	R108	Supervisor of Music (part time) .....	

Section 73. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT

1. EXECUTIVE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	\$ 175
2	1	U44	Manager, Water Department .....	833.33

2. ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL

3	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	200
4	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	275
5	3	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	190
6	1	B14	Senior Accountant .....	400
7	1	B24	Auditor .....	700
8	1	B109	Cashier .....	325
9	1	B210	Office Assistant .....	85
10	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
11	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
12	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
13	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155

3. WATER PURIFICATION DIVISION

14	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
15	1	F524	Water Purification Engineer .....	200
16	1	F524	Water Purification Engineer .....	180
17	3	F524	Water Purification Engineer .....	175
18	1	F526	Chief Water Purification Engineer.....	350
19	1	U215	Head Pump Operator (deduct room) ..	160

4. ENGINEERING

20	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
21	1	F2	Assistant Engineer—Water Service ....	500
22	1	F408	Hydraulic Engineer .....	375

5. HOUSE SERVICE

23	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	165
24	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
25	1	C52	Elevator Operator .....	160
26	4	C104	Janitor .....	155
27	1	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor .....	175
28	1	I122	House Mother (part time) .....	100

6. AGRICULTURAL DIVISION

29	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
30	1	V30	Assistant Superintendent .....	200
31	1	V40	Superintendent .....	600



**Section 73. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION SAN FRAN-  
CISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)**

**7. WATER SALES DIVISION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
32	1	B210	Office Assistant . . . . .	85
33	1	B210	Office Assistant . . . . .	95
34	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	200
35	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	250
36	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	160
37	1	N420	Consumers Complaint Investigator . . . . .	225
38	1	U80	Assistant Manager . . . . .	375
39	1	U88	Manager . . . . .	475

**8. SERVICE AND SUPPLY**

40	4	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
41	1	U61	Supervisor Service and Supply . . . . .	225
42	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	190
43	7	U122	Shut-off Man . . . . .	175
44	1	U123	Service Inspector . . . . .	185
45	1	U124	Special Complaint Inspector . . . . .	200
46	1	U126	Meter Inspector . . . . .	175
47	1	U132	Contractor's and Builder's Inspector . . . . .	225

**Section 74. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRAN-  
CISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)**

**9. CONSUMERS' PREMISES**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5	U126	Meter Inspector . . . . .	\$ 175
2	1	U128	Chief Meter Inspector . . . . .	215

**10. WATER SALES DIVISION—  
METER READING**

3	7	B247	Meter Reader . . . . .	175
4	8	B247	Meter Reader . . . . .	155

**11. CONSUMERS' ACCOUNTS**

5	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	190
6	4	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
7	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	165
8	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	160
9	8	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	155
10	5	B222	General Clerk (part time) . . . . .	75
11	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	215
12	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	200
13	3	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	180
14	1	B302	Addressing Machine Operator . . . . .	160
15	1	B302	Addressing Machine Operator . . . . .	155
16	12	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator . . . . .	175
17	5	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	155
18	1	U56	Assistant Supervisor — Consumers' Ac- counts . . . . .	290
19	1	U60	Supervisor Consumers' Accounts . . . . .	350
20	1	U62	Supervisor of Closing Bills . . . . .	225
21	1	U63	Chief Adjuster . . . . .	225

## Section 74. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)

12. WATER SALES DIVISION—  
COLLECTIONS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
22	1	B222	General Clerk .....	200
23	1	B222	General Clerk .....	180
24	13	B222	General Clerk .....	175
25	6	B222	General Clerk .....	165
26	1	B222	General Clerk .....	160
27	11	B222	General Clerk .....	155
28	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
29	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	250
30	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
31	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
32	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
33	1	U52	Supervisor of Collections .....	300

## 13. DOCKS AND SHIPPING

34	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
35	1	U51	Supervisor Docks and Shipping .....	275
36	1	U125	Hoseman—Ships and Docks .....	190
37	1	U125	Hoseman—Ships and Docks .....	160

14. CITY DISTRIBUTION DIVISION—  
GENERAL

38	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	180
39	1	B356	Senior Storekeeper .....	265
40	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
41	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
42	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	165
43	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	175
44	1	O58	Gardener .....	145
45	2	O58	Gardener .....	135
45½	1	O60	Head Gardener .....	150
46	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper .....	165
47	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper (deduct for house)...	165
48	2	U130	Reservoir Keeper (deduct for house)...	160
49	1	U142	Assistant Superintendent .....	350
50	1	U144	Superintendent .....	500

## 15. PUMPS

51	1	O162	Boiler Cleaner .....	165
52	3	O164	Oiler .....	175
53	4	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Engines....	185
54	4	O168	Engineer Stationary Steam Engines....	220
55	1	O170	Assistant Chief Engineer—Stationary Steam Engines .....	235

## 16. PUMPS—PENINSULA DIVISION

56	1	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Engines (de- duct for house) .....	185
57	1	O167	Engine Room Attendant (relief) .....	185
58	1	O172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam En- gines .....	265
59	4	U214	Pump Operator .....	165
60	1	U214	Pump Operator (deduct for house) ....	165
61	1	U215	Head Pump Operator .....	200
62	1	U215	Head Pump Operator (deduct \$10 for house) .....	200



Section 75. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT** (Continued)

17. **MILLBRAE STATION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	\$ 200
2	1	B354	General Storekeeper .....	150
3	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
4	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
5	1	C104	Janitress (part time) (less room and board) .....	45.50
6	1	C152	Watchman .....	150
7	1	C152	Watchman .....	145
8	1	I12	Cook (less house) .....	130
9	1	U228	Country Meterman .....	175
11	1	U236	Assistant Superintendent—Peninsula Division (deduct for house) .....	300
12	1	U246	Superintendent — Peninsula Division (deduct for house) .....	466.66

18. **PENINSULA DIVISION—RESERVOIRS**

13	1	I60	Housekeeper (part time) .....	35
14	2	I60	Housekeeper (part time) .....	20
15	4	U130	Reservoir Keeper (deduct for house)...	160
16	2	U212	Ranger (deduct for house) .....	145
17	1	U212	Ranger .....	135

19. **ALAMEDA SYSTEM**

18	1	B222	General Clerk .....	165
19	1	C152	Watchman .....	150
20	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper (deduct for house)...	160
21	1	U212	Ranger .....	145
22	1	U212	Ranger (deduct for house).....	145
23	1	U214	Pump Operator (part time).....	50
24	1	U215	Head Pump Operator (deduct \$25 for house) .....	200
25	1	U231	Assistant Superintendent (deduct for house) .....	225
26	1	U232	Superintendent (deduct for house) ....	300

20. **CITY DISTRIBUTION DIVISION**

27	8	U120	Gateman .....	day 7.75
28	3	J4	Laborer .....	day 6

21. **PENINSULA DIVISION—MILLBRAE STATION**

29	1	B454	Telephone Operator (part time)....	day 4
30	1	O58	Gardener .....	day 6
31	2	U206	Water Department Worker .....	day 6
32	1	U214	Pump Operator .....	day 6.25

22. **AGRICULTURAL DIVISION**

33	3	J4	Laborer .....	day 6
34	1	V8	Sub-Foreman Agriculture .....	day 6.50

23. **ALAMEDA DIVISION**

35	1	O58	Gardener .....	day 6
----	---	-----	----------------	-------

24. **GENERAL AND MISCELLANEOUS**

36	1	C104	Janitor .....	day 6
37			Teams and Trucks at rates fixed in Purchaser's Contracts .....	

Seasonal clerical employment as needed at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.

**Section 76. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—WATER DEPT.**  
**(FUNCTIONAL EMPLOYMENTS AS NEEDED)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Departmental Title	Per Day	Per Month
1	3	A154	Carpenter . . . . .	\$9.00	
2	1	A354	Painter . . . . .	9.75	
3	1	A404	Plumber . . . . .		\$225
4	1	A551	Apprentice . . . . .	7.00	
5	1	B315	Photographer—Water Service . . . . .		200
6	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .		155
7	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .		175
8	1	E154	Lineman . . . . .		200
9	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector . . . . .		250
10	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman . . . . .		160
11	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman . . . . .		175
12	2	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman . . . . .		225
13	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman . . . . .		250
14	1	F401	Junior Hydraulic Engineer . . . . .		160
15	1	F401	Junior Hydraulic Engineer . . . . .		175
16	1	F406	Assistant Hydraulic Engineer . . . . .		250
17	62	J4	Laborer . . . . .	6.00	
18	1	J66	Garageman . . . . .	6.50	
19	6	M54	Auto Machinist . . . . .	9.00	
20	4	M254	Machinist . . . . .	9.00	
21	1	M266	Foreman Meter Repairs . . . . .		200
22	1	M268	Foreman Machinist . . . . .		285
23	2	O1	Chauffeur . . . . .	6.50	
24	1	O1	Chauffeur . . . . .	7.50	
25	1	O116	Teamster . . . . .	6.50	
26	2	U104	Leadman . . . . .	6.00	
27	3	U108	Compressor Operator . . . . .	7.50	
28	13	U112	Pipe Caulker . . . . .	7.50	
29	5	U114	Main Pipe Foreman . . . . .	7.75	
30	15	U116	Service Man . . . . .	7.50	
31	1	U116	Service Man . . . . .	7.75	
32	1	U136	General Foreman (Service Meters) . . . . .		300
33	1	U140	General Foreman (Main Pipes) . . . . .		325
34	10	U206	Water Department Worker . . . . .	6.00	
35	2	U214	Pump Operator . . . . .	6.00	
36	1	U226	General Maintenance Foreman . . . . .		200
37	1	U227	General Maintenance Foreman (Less House) . . . . .		210
38	3	U230	Maintenance Foreman . . . . .		200
39	1	U52	Street Inspector . . . . .		175
40	1	F202	Inspector of Public Works Construction . . . . .		200

**Section 77. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**

**INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES—FOR CONSTRUCTION  
AND OTHER ACTIVITIES**

(The rates of pay herein specified are maximum rates. Lower rates may be paid.)

Item No.	Class No.	Departmental Title	Per Hour	Per Day	Per Month
1		Asst. Hydraulic Engineer . . . . .			\$325.00
2		Asst. Bacteriologist . . . . .	.50		
3		Asst. Biologist . . . . .	.50		
4		Asst. Elec. Eng. . . . .			300.00
5		Asst. Mechanical Engineer . . . . .			300.00
6		Auto Mechanic . . . . .		8.73	



## Section 77. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (Continued)

INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES—FOR CONSTRUCTION  
AND OTHER ACTIVITIES (Continued)

Item No.	Class No.	Departmental Title	Per Hour	Per Day	Per Month
7		Blacksmith . . . . .	1.091		
8		Blacksmith Helper . . . . .	.818		
9		Bacteriologist (part time) . . . . .			75.00
10		Biologist (part time) . . . . .			75.00
11		Boilermaker . . . . .	1.091		
12		Boilermaker Helper . . . . .	.75		
13		Brakeman . . . . .		6.00	
14		Bookkeeper . . . . .			175.00
15		Construction Supt. . . . .			300.00
16		Construction Foreman . . . . .			200.00
17		Clerical Service . . . . .	.50		
18		Clerical Service . . . . .		5.00	
19		Clerk (Experienced) . . . . .			337.50
19½		Cable Splicer . . . . .		9.50	
20		Carpenter . . . . .		9.00	
21		Carpenter Foreman . . . . .		10.00	
22		Cement Finisher . . . . .		8.00	
23		Cement Gun Operator . . . . .		7.00	
24		Clerk, General . . . . .			155.00
25		Cook . . . . .			212.50
26		Cook Helper . . . . .			137.50
27		Compressorman . . . . .		7.67	
28		Construction Engineer . . . . .			600.00
29		Concrete Man . . . . .		5.00	
30		Concrete Foreman . . . . .		8.00	
31		Chainman . . . . .			140.00
32		Chucktender . . . . .		5.25	
32½		Comptometer Operator . . . . .			150.00
33		Draftsman . . . . .			250.00
34		Detectorman . . . . .			212.50
35		Dishwasher . . . . .			112.50
36		Designer . . . . .			275.00
37		Driver . . . . .	.90		
38		Driver . . . . .	.95		
39		Driver . . . . .	1.00		
40		Driver . . . . .	1.10		
41		Driver . . . . .	1.15		
42		Driver . . . . .	1.25		
43		Driver . . . . .	1.35		
44		Driver . . . . .		5.50	
45		Driver . . . . .		6.00	
46		Driver . . . . .		6.50	
47		Driver . . . . .		7.00	
48		Driver . . . . .		7.50	
49		Driver . . . . .		8.00	
50		Driver . . . . .		9.20	
51		Driver . . . . .		10.00	
52		Driver (Tractor) . . . . .		8.00	
53		Diver . . . . . Per Dive 25.00			
54		Estimator . . . . .			175.00
55		Electrician . . . . .		8.73	
56		Engineer Mechanical . . . . .			350.00
57		Engineer Asst. . . . .			287.50
58		Electrician . . . . .		9.00	
59		Engineer (Asst. Const.) . . . . .			375.00
60	B327	Photostat Operator . . . . .			165.00

## Section 77. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (Continued)

INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES—FOR CONSTRUCTION  
AND OTHER ACTIVITIES

Item No.	Class No.	Departmental Title	Per Hour	Per Day	Per Month
61	F102	Architectural Draftsman ..			200.00
62	F106	Architectural Designer ....			250.00
63	F108	Architect . . . . .			375.00
63½	F108	Architect . . . . .			300.00
64	F260	Civil Engineering Designer			250.00
65	F352	Electrical Engineering Draftsman . . . . .			200.00
66	F354	Electrical Engineering Designer . . . . .			250.00
67	F356	Electrical Engineering Inspector . . . . .			225.00
68	F362	Electrical Engineer . . . . .			300.00
69	F404	Hydraulic Engineering Designer . . . . .			250.00
70	F452	Mechanical Draftsman ....			200.00
71	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer . . . . .			250.00
72	F552	Structural Draftsman . . . . .			200.00
73	F554	Structural Engineering Designer . . . . .			250.00
74	F556	Structural Engineering Inspector . . . . .			250.00
75	F558	Structural Engineer . . . . .			250.00
76	F614	Assistant Chief Surveyor...			275.00
77	F616	Chief Surveyor . . . . .			325.00

## Section 78. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (Continued)

INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES—FOR CONSTRUCTION  
AND OTHER ACTIVITIES (Continued)

(The rates of pay herein specified are maximum rates. Lower rates may be paid.)

Item No.	Class No.	Departmental Title	Per Hour	Per Day	Per Month
78		Foreman . . . . .			\$250.00
79		Foreman . . . . .		\$6.00	
80		Foreman . . . . .		6.25	
81		Foreman . . . . .		6.50	
82		Foreman . . . . .		7.00	
83		Foreman . . . . .		7.50	
84		Foreman . . . . .		8.00	
85		Foreman . . . . .		10.00	
86		Foreman, General . . . . .			300.00
87		Field Asst. . . . .			225.00
88		Fire Boss . . . . .			212.50
89		Form Man . . . . .		5.25	
90		Grout Gunman . . . . .		6.00	
91		Gunite Helper . . . . .		5.00	
92		Gunite Mixerman . . . . .		5.50	
93		Gate Tender . . . . .		5.75	
94		Graderman . . . . .		6.50	
95		Groundman . . . . .		6.00	
96		Hoistman . . . . .		9.00	
97		Hodcarrier . . . . .		9.00	
98		Hostler . . . . .		6.50	



## Section 78. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (Continued)

INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES—FOR CONSTRUCTION  
AND OTHER ACTIVITIES (Continued)

Item No.	Class No.	Departmental Title	Per Hour	Per Day	Per Month
99		Housesmith . . . . .		9.00	
100		Housesmith Foreman . . . . .		10.00	
101		Inspector . . . . .			250.00
102		Inspector, Engineer . . . . .			250.00
103		Inspector, Chief . . . . .			275.00
104		Janitress . . . . .			100.00
105		Janitor . . . . .			107.50
106		Jackhammerman . . . . .		5.00	
107		Kitchen Helper . . . . .			117.50
108		Laborer . . . . .		4.00	
109		Laborer . . . . .		4.25	
110		Laborer . . . . .		4.50	
111		Laborer . . . . .		4.75	
112		Laborer . . . . .		5.00	
113		Laborer . . . . .		5.50	
114		Laborer . . . . .		6.00	
115		Lineman . . . . .		8.73	
116		Lampman . . . . .			150.00
117		Lineman Helper . . . . .		7.73	
118		Mechanic, Camp . . . . .			225.00
119		Machinist . . . . .		8.73	
120		Machinist . . . . .	1.091		
121		Machinist Helper . . . . .	.818		
122		Machineman . . . . .		5.75	
123		Master Mechanic . . . . .			453.50
124		Mixerman . . . . .		5.50	
125		Motorman . . . . .		5.75	
126		Motorman (Gas) . . . . .		7.67	
127		Mucker . . . . .		4.50	
128		Miner . . . . .		6.00	
129		Nozzleman . . . . .		6.50	
130		Nurse . . . . .			162.50
131		Nipper . . . . .		5.25	
132		Pipe Joint Inspector . . . . .		6.50	
133		Plasterer . . . . .		12.00	
134		Painter . . . . .		9.00	
135		Plumber . . . . .		9.00	
136		Physician . . . . .			337.50
137		Porter . . . . .		4.50	
138		Powderman . . . . .		5.75	
139		Pumpman . . . . .		5.00	
140		Rigger . . . . .		7.00	
141		Rescueman . . . . .	.75		
142		Safety Man . . . . .			250.00
143		Steelworker . . . . .		9.00	
144		Surveyor . . . . .			200.00
145		Sanitary Engineer (part time) . . . . .			75.00
146		Steam Shovel Engineer . . . . .		10.00	
147		Steam Shovel Fireman . . . . .		7.00	
148		Steam Shovel Oiler . . . . .		6.00	
149		Steam Shovel Watchman . . . . .		7.00	
150		Superintendent . . . . .			500.00
151		Steamfitter . . . . .		10.00	
152		Skiptender . . . . .		5.75	
153		Sub Foreman . . . . .		6.50	
154		Special Agent . . . . .			225.00
155		Stenographer . . . . .			155.00

## Section 78. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (Continued)

INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES—FOR CONSTRUCTION  
AND OTHER ACTIVITIES (Continued)

Item No.	Class No.	Departmental Title	Per Hour	Per Day	Per Month
156		Storekeeper . . . . .			240.00
157		Tunnel Supt. . . . .			250.00
158		Tractor Driver . . . . .		\$7.50	
159		Tool Sharpener . . . . .		6.50	
160		Tool Sharpener Helper . . . .		5.25	
161		Tunnel Supt. Asst. . . . .			240.00
162		Templatemanager . . . . .		5.25	
163		Typist . . . . .			155.00
164		Timekeeper . . . . .			175.00
165		Waiter . . . . .			112.50
166		Water Pipe Welder . . . . .		7.50	
167		Welder . . . . .	1.091		
168		Welder Helper . . . . .	.75		
169		Watchman . . . . .			150.00
170		Waterboy . . . . .		3.50	

Trucks and teams at rates established by Purchasers Contracts.

Subject to prior approval of the Civil Service Commission, other classifications as needed for emergency work at rates not to exceed that prevailing for the particular classification.

## Section 79. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—

## HETCH HETCHY POWER OPERATIVE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	\$ 215
2	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	190
3	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	155
4	1	C104	Janitor . . . . .	130
5	1	C104	Janitor (part time) . . . . .	60
6	1	E107	Power House Electrician . . . . .	210
7	1	E120	Governorman . . . . .	150
8	3	E120	Governorman . . . . .	145
9	3	E120	Governorman . . . . .	140
10	1	E122	Power House Operator . . . . .	190
11	4	E122	Power House Operator . . . . .	185
12	3	E122	Power House Operator . . . . .	165
13	3	E122	Power House Operator . . . . .	150
14	1	E128	Superintendent Power House . . . . .	260
15	1	E128	Superintendent Power House . . . . .	210
16	1	O16	Truck Driver Laborer . . . . .	137.50
17	1	E151	Transmission Line Patrolman's Helper . .	137.50
18	2	E152	Transmission Line Patrolman . . . . .	210
19	1	E164	Foreman Lineman, Power Operative . .	250
20	1	I2	Kitchen Helper . . . . .	112.50
21	1	I12	Cook . . . . .	167.50
22	1	I60	Housekeeper . . . . .	117.50
23	1	J4	Laborer . . . . .	150
24	1	J4	Laborer . . . . .	135
25	1	F351	Junior Electrical Engineer . . . . .	160
26	1	F362	Electrical Engineer . . . . .	400
27	1	M254	Machinist . . . . .	210
28	1	O58	Gardener . . . . .	175



Section 80. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
HETCH HETCHY WATER SUPPLY**

INTRA- AND INTERDEPARTMENTAL EMPLOY-  
MENTS AS NEEDED

			Per Day	Per Month
1	A154	Carpenter . . . . .	\$ 9.00	
2	A164	Carpenter-Foreman . . . . .	10.00	
3	A172	Repair Foreman . . . . .		\$300
4	A204	Cement Finisher . . . . .	9.00	
5	A354	Painter . . . . .		210
6	A354	Painter . . . . .	9.00	
7	A404	Plumber . . . . .	10.00	
8	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .		175
9	B352	Storekeeper . . . . .		150
10	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .		150
11	E150	Lineman Helper . . . . .	7.73	
12	E154	Lineman . . . . .	8.73	
13	E156	Cable Splicer . . . . .	9.50	
14	F212	Assistant Engineer . . . . .		250
15	F605	Surveyor's Field Assistant . . . . .		187.50
16	F605	Surveyor's Field Assistant . . . . .		175
17	J4	Laborer . . . . .	6.00	
18	J4	Laborer . . . . .	5.50	
19	J12	Labor Foreman, Utilities . . . . .		210
20	J12	Labor Foreman, Utilities . . . . .		200
21	M54	Auto Machinist . . . . .	8.73	
22	M55	Sub-Foreman Auto Machinist . . . . .		210
23	M108	Blacksmith . . . . .		190
24	M108	Blacksmith . . . . .	9.00	
25	O16	Truck Driver-Laborer . . . . .	8.00	
26	O16	Truck Driver-Laborer . . . . .	7.50	
27	O16	Truck Driver-Laborer . . . . .	7.00	
28	O16	Truck Driver-Laborer . . . . .	6.50	
29	O16	Truck Driver-Laborer . . . . .	6.00	
30	O20	Brakeman . . . . .	6.00	
31	U226	General Maintenance Foreman . . . . .		200

Section 81. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
HETCH HETCHY WATER SUPPLY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	2	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	\$ 175
2	1	B14	Senior Accountant . . . . .	275
3	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	160
4	1	C152	Watchman . . . . .	125
5	1	F8	Utilities Engineer . . . . .	700
6	1	F212	Asst. Engineer, Power Operative Division . . . . .	237.50
7	1	O1	Chauffeur . . . . .	225
8	2	U130	Reservoir Keeper . . . . .	160
9	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper . . . . .	150
10	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper . . . . .	140
11	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper . . . . .	145
12	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper . . . . .	125
13	1	U206	Water Department Worker . . . . .	135
14	1	U206	Water Department Worker . . . . .	125

Section 82. **BOARD OF EDUCATION—  
CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES, 1937-38**

Rates of pay and positions herein established for employees of the Board of Education are fixed by action of the said Board and are included solely for the convenience of the Controller and the Civil Service Commission for checking payrolls.

Item No.	Department Title	Per Annum
1	Superintendent of Schools .....	\$ 10,000
2	Chief Deputy Superintendent .....	6,000
3	Deputy Superintendents .....	5,400
4	Assistant to Superintendent .....	3,000
5	Directors "A" 277 days' service.....	4,800
6	Directors "B" 238 days' service.....	4,500
7	Assts. to Director of Physical Education	3,300
8	Supervisors "AA" 277 days' service....	4,250
9	Supervisors Class "A" .....	3,900
10	Supervisors Class "B" .....	3,300
11	Supervisors Class "C" .....	3,036
12	Assistant Supervisor of Attendance....	1,800
13	Assistant Supervisor of Attendance....	1,932
14	Assistant Supervisor of Attendance....	2,016
15	Assistant Supervisor of Attendance....	2,100
16	Assistant Supervisor of Attendance....	2,280
17	Assistant Supervisor of Attendance....	2,364
18	Assistant Supervisor of Attendance....	2,448
19	Assistant Supervisor of Attendance....	2,544
20	Junior College President .....	7,000
21	Junior College Registrar and Director of Personnel .....	4,500
22	Junior College Dean of Men.....	4,200
23	Junior College Dean of Women.....	4,200
24	Junior College Assistant Dean of Men..	3,600
25	Junior College Assistant Dean of Women	3,600
26	Librarian .....	3,000
27	Assistant Registrar .....	3,000
28	Assistant to President .....	3,000
29	Principals .....	5,100
30	Principals .....	4,800
31	Principals .....	4,500
32	Principals .....	4,250
33	Principals .....	4,020
34	Principals .....	3,720
35	Principals .....	3,420
36	Principals .....	2,880
37	Principals—Evening Schools (base 192)	2,304
38	Principals—Evening Schools (4/5 of \$2,004) .....	1,603.20
39	Principals—Evening Schools (base 170)	2,040
40	Principals—Evening Schools (base 137)	1,429.94
41	Vice-Principals .....	3,900
42	Vice-Principals .....	3,708
43	Vice-Principals .....	3,600
44	Vice-Principals .....	3,504
45	Vice-Principals .....	3,456
46	Vice-Principals .....	3,408
47	Vice-Principals .....	3,392
48	Vice-Principals .....	3,308
49	Vice-Principals .....	3,276
50	Vice-Principals .....	3,212
51	Vice-Principals .....	3,204
52	Vice-Principals .....	3,192
53	Vice-Principals .....	3,108



Section 82. BOARD OF EDUCATION—  
CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES, 1937-38 (Continued)

Item No.	Department Title	Per Annum
54	Vice-Principals . . . . .	3,044
55	Vice-Principals . . . . .	3,036
56	Vice-Principals . . . . .	3,012
57	Vice-Principals . . . . .	3,000
58	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,976
59	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,904
60	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,880
61	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,848
62	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,844
63	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,820
64	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,808
65	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,764
66	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,748
67	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,736
68	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,700
69	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,680
70	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,676
71	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,664
72	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,604
73	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,580
74	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,508
75	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,500
76	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,448
77	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,436
78	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,416
79	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,400
80	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,376
81	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,364
82	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,332
83	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,316
84	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,304
85	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,280
86	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,220
87	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,232
88	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,136
89	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,100
90	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,076
91	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,044
92	Vice-Principals . . . . .	1,980
93	Vice-Principals . . . . .	1,984
94	Vice-Principals . . . . .	1,920
95	Vice-Principals . . . . .	1,800
96	Vice-Principals . . . . .	1,740
97	Teachers . . . . .	3,780
98	Teachers . . . . .	3,600
99	Teachers . . . . .	3,588
100	Teachers . . . . .	3,500
101	Teachers . . . . .	3,480
102	Teachers . . . . .	3,408
103	Teachers . . . . .	3,400
104	Teachers . . . . .	3,300
105	Teachers . . . . .	3,384
106	Teachers . . . . .	3,288
107	Teachers . . . . .	3,336
108	Teachers . . . . .	3,204
109	Teachers . . . . .	3,200
110	Teachers . . . . .	3,156
111	Teachers . . . . .	3,108
112	Teachers . . . . .	3,100

Section 82. BOARD OF EDUCATION—  
CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES, 1937-38 (Continued)

Item No.	Department Title	Per Annum
113	Teachers . . . . .	3,084
114	Teachers . . . . .	3,072
115	Teachers . . . . .	3,000
116	Teachers . . . . .	2,988
117	Teachers . . . . .	2,976
118	Teachers . . . . .	2,904
119	Teachers . . . . .	2,900
120	Teachers . . . . .	2,892
121	Teachers . . . . .	2,880
122	Teachers . . . . .	2,806
123	Teachers . . . . .	2,800
124	Teachers . . . . .	2,784
125	Teachers . . . . .	2,736
126	Teachers . . . . .	2,724
127	Teachers . . . . .	2,712
128	Teachers . . . . .	2,700
129	Teachers . . . . .	2,628
130	Teachers . . . . .	2,604
131	Teachers . . . . .	2,600
132	Teachers . . . . .	2,580
133	Teachers . . . . .	2,544
134	Teachers . . . . .	2,508
135	Teachers . . . . .	2,500
136	Teachers . . . . .	2,484
137	Teachers . . . . .	2,460
138	Teachers . . . . .	2,448
139	Teachers . . . . .	2,436
140	Teachers . . . . .	2,400
141	Teachers . . . . .	2,388
142	Teachers . . . . .	2,364
143	Teachers . . . . .	2,304
144	Teachers . . . . .	2,280
145	Teachers . . . . .	2,208
146	Teachers . . . . .	2,196
147	Teachers . . . . .	2,184
148	Teachers . . . . .	2,136
149	Teachers . . . . .	2,112
150	Teachers . . . . .	2,100
151	Teachers . . . . .	2,064
152	Teachers . . . . .	2,016
153	Teachers . . . . .	2,004
154	Teachers . . . . .	1,980
155	Teachers . . . . .	1,932
156	Teachers . . . . .	1,836
157	Teachers . . . . .	1,800
158	Teachers . . . . .	1,744
159	Teachers . . . . .	1,680
160	Teachers . . . . .	1,500
		Eve.
161	Principals—Evening Schools . . . . .	12.0000
162	Principals—Evening Schools . . . . .	10.4375
163	Teachers—Evening Schools . . . . .	9.5625
164	Teachers—Evening Schools . . . . .	7.8125
165	Teachers—Evening Schools . . . . .	7.0572
166	Teachers—Evening Schools . . . . .	6.5104
167	Teachers—Evening Schools . . . . .	5.8854
168	Teachers not full time on pro-rata of above rates.	



Section 82. BOARD OF EDUCATION  
CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES, 1937-38 (Continued)

SUBSTITUTES

169	Teachers at \$6.50 per day.
170	Teachers at \$5.00 per day.
171	Teachers at \$3.50 per evening.
172	Teachers at \$1.8055 per unit served.

SATURDAY MORNING CORRECTIVE WORK CLASSES

173	Teachers at \$4.50 per day.
174	Teachers at \$3.50 per day.
175	Teachers at \$1.50 per hour.
176	Teachers at \$2.50 per hour.
177	Laboratory Assistants as needed.
178	Yard Teachers at rate of \$120 in excess of rates above.
179	P. S. A. L. Teachers at rate of \$100 in excess of rates above.
180	P. S. A. L. Umpires and Referees, \$1.00 to \$3.00 per game as needed.
181	Junior College Unit Teachers \$100 per unit per annum. (\$2.60415 per unit per week.)

Section 83. BOARD OF EDUCATION NON-CERTIFICATED  
EMPLOYEES, 1937-38

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
200	1	A6	Superintendent of Maintenance and Repairs . . . . .	\$ 375
201	3	A154	Carpenter at \$9 per day . . . . .	
202	1	A162	Foreman Carpenter at \$10 per day . . . . .	
203	3	A354	Painter at \$9.75 per day . . . . .	
204	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	230
205		B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	190
206	1	B14	Senior Accountant . . . . .	375
207	1	B14	Senior Accountant . . . . .	275
208	1	B58	Secretary Board of Education (exempt)	425
209	1	B180	Law Clerk . . . . .	300
210	1	B210	Office Assistant (full time) . . . . .	95
211	1	B210	Office Assistant (full time) . . . . .	85
212	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	200
213	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	190
214	3	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
215	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	200
217	1	B308	Comptometer Operator . . . . .	175
218	1	B308	Comptometer Operator . . . . .	165
219	1	B308	Comptometer Operator . . . . .	140
220	2	B308	Comptometer Operators as needed at \$5 per day . . . . .	
221	1	B354	General Storekeeper . . . . .	230
222	1	B380	Armorer, R. O. T. C. (part time) . . . . .	75
223	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	215
224	48	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	175
225	5	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	165
226	9	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	160
227	8	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	150
228	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	140
229	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at \$4.20 per evening . . . . .	

Section 83. BOARD OF EDUCATION NON-CERTIFICATED  
EMPLOYEES, 1937-38 (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
230	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at \$3.30 per evening.....	
231	5	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at \$3.00 per evening.....	
232	26	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer at \$6 per day . . . . .	
233	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	215
234	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	200
235	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	180
236	1	B454	Telephone Operator . . . . .	175
237	1	B454	Telephone Operator . . . . .	150
238		B454	Telephone Operator (part time) for re- lief when needed, at \$2.00 per day....	
239	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	215
240	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	190
241	4	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	175
242	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	165
243	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	150
244	96	C102	Janitress . . . . .	140
245	4	C102	Janitress . . . . .	130
246	10	C102	Janitress (Substitutes, inferior posi- tions) rate of.....	130
247	131	C104	Janitor . . . . .	155
248	33	C104	Janitor . . . . .	145
249	1	C104	Janitor (part time).....	16
250	1	C104	Janitor (part time).....	10
251	23	C105	Special Janitor . . . . .	162.50
252	5	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor . . . . .	185
253	8	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor . . . . .	170
254	4	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor . . . . .	160
255	1	C112	Supervisor School Janitors.....	275
256	1	I12	Cook . . . . .	140
257	1	I12	Cook . . . . .	130
258	1	I12	Cook (part time).....	75
259	2		Pantry Maid (part time).....	75
260	11	J78	Stockman . . . . .	200
261	3	J78	Stockman . . . . .	160
262	1	J80	Foreman Stockman . . . . .	210
263	1	O1	Chauffeur . . . . .	215
264	1	O104	Moving Picture Operator.....	200
265	2	O122	Window Shade Worker.....	200
266	12	O168	Engineers, Stationary Steam Engines...	220
267		O168	Engineers, Stationary Steam Engines (part time; relief).....	125
268		O168	Engineers, Stationary Steam Engines, \$3 per evening as required.....	
269	1	O172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam En- gines . . . . .	310
270	1	O61	Foreman Gardener . . . . .	200
271	8	O58	Gardener . . . . .	145
272			Referees and Umpires, \$1 to \$3 per game as needed.	
273			Temporary clerical employment and other help as needed at rates fixed in salary ordinance.	

TRUCK RENTAL—CONTRACTUAL

274			Trucks over 2500 lbs. and not over 4500 lbs. at rate of \$265.00 per month for not more than 23 days per month.	
-----	--	--	---	--



**Section 84. CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioners . . . . .	\$ 100
2	3	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
3	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	180
4	1	B234	Head Clerk (3 mos. at \$215; 9 mos. at \$200) . . . . .	
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	175
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	155
7	3	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	155
8	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner . . . . .	350
9	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner . . . . .	275
10	2	G58	Civil Service Examiner . . . . .	250
11	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner . . . . .	200
12	1	G59	Assistant Personnel Expert . . . . .	350
13	1	G59	Assistant Personnel Expert . . . . .	225
14	1	G60	Personnel Expert . . . . .	450
15	1	G62	Chief Examiner and Secretary . . . . .	466.66

**AS NEEDED**

16			Examiners, Clerical and other Services at rates fixed in Salary Standardization Report . . . . .	
----	--	--	--	--

**Section 85. RETIREMENT SYSTEM**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B82	Secretary—Actuary, Ret. System (part time) . . . . .	\$ 450
2	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	190
3	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	200
4	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	250
5	1	B308	Comptometer Operator . . . . .	165
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	190
7	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	165
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	160
9	1	L360	Physician (part time) . . . . .	300
10	1	N410	Investigator . . . . .	200
11			Medical examiners and medical testimony as needed at fees fixed by Retirement Board . . . . .	
12			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed) . . . . .	150
13		B244	Actuarial Clerk (as needed) . . . . .	200
14			Consulting Actuary (as needed), \$50 per day . . . . .	
15		B308	Comptometer Operator (as needed) . . . . .	150
16		B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator (as needed) . . . . .	150
17		B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (as needed) . . . . .	150
18		B420	Phonographic Reporter (as needed), at \$12.50 per day plus transcriptions . . . . .	

**Communication.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

**PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**

June 15, 1937.

Subject: Change in Rate to conform with Standardization.

Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco:

Dear Sir: Just before final approval of the budget estimates by the Board of Supervisors, the Civil Service Commission, with the approval of the Board of Supervisors, amended the 1930 salary standardization report to provide higher rates of pay for certain crafts. These new rates are the rates of pay now applying in private employment for these crafts.

I was under the impression at the time that the action of the Civil Service Commission and the Board of Supervisors automatically put these higher rates into effect, but I find now that in the absence of a specific written recommendation from me, although I orally approved, the new rates were not incorporated in my budget estimates as finally approved by the Board of Supervisors. It is my wish that the employees concerned be paid wages that apply in private employment, as well as in other municipal departments.

I, therefore, ask your approval of this request that the Board of Supervisors substitute the following rates of pay, as indicated below, in the salary ordinance for the fiscal year 1937-38:

Sec.	Item	Classification	<i>Pro-</i> <i>Present posed</i>	
			Rate	New Rate
71	57	1 Engineer of Hoisting and Portable Engines . . . . .	\$ 10.00	\$ 11.40
72	2	9 Car and Auto Painters . . . . .	9.00	10.00
72	3	1 Foreman Car and Auto Painter . . . . .	250.00	275.00
			(\$11 per day)	
72	25	1 Electrician . . . . .	9.00	10.00
76	2	1 Painter . . . . .	9.00	9.75

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed) E. G. CAHILL,  
Manager of Utilities.

Approved: (Signed) ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

**Amendment.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved to amend Salary Ordinance as follows:

Sec.	Item	Classification	
71	57	1 Engineer of Hoisting and Portable Engines . . . . .	\$ 11.40
72	2	9 Car and Auto Painters . . . . .	10.00
72	3	1 Foreman Car and Auto Painter . . . . .	275.00
			(\$11 per day)
72	25	1 Electrician . . . . .	10.00
76	2	1 Painter . . . . .	9.75

Amendment carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Ratto, Reilly—2.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

Whereupon, the foregoing bill, as amended, was passed for second reading by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Ratto, Reilly—2.



**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Directing the City Attorney to Appear Before the Railroad Commission of the State of California, on Behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, and Oppose a Reduction in the Ferry Rates of the Southern Pacific Golden Gate Ferry, Ltd., Between San Francisco and Sausalito.**

(Code No. 15.093)

Resolution No. 3340, as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be, and he is hereby directed to appear for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco before the Railroad Commission of the State of California and oppose a reduction of the ferry rates charged by the Southern Pacific-Golden Gate Ferries, Ltd., between San Francisco and Sausalito.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

**Requesting the Governor, State Highway Commission, et al., to  
Illuminate Waldo Approach to Golden Gate Bridge.**

(Code No. 5.31)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 3341, as follows:

Whereas, the Waldo approach to the Golden Gate Bridge is the major northern highway into the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the Waldo approach is the main point of convergence for most of the automobile traffic originating in the northern and central counties of the State; and

Whereas, many foggy days during the year tend to greatly reduce visibility and increase the hazard to the motorist; and

Whereas, the Waldo approach in its present unilluminated state is a great danger to both life and limb; and

Whereas, the Waldo approach is under the jurisdiction of the State Highway Commission, which department is responsible for its proper maintenance; and

Whereas, the State Highway Commission, due to the tremendous yearly increase in gas tax revenues, should have on hand ample money to properly illuminate this dangerous section of highway; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco go on record as requesting that the State Highway Commission immediately take steps to properly illuminate the Waldo approach and thereby do away with this immediate and pressing danger to the motoring public; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to His Excellency, the Governor, the State Highway Commission, the State Chamber of Commerce, the Redwood Empire Association, the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, the California Automobile Association, and the Board of Supervisors of all the counties represented by Alta California, Inc.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

**W. P. A. Workers Complaint re Pensions.**

Supervisor Uhl announced that it had come to his attention that WPA workers, on reaching the age of 65 years, were being dropped from the WPA payrolls and told to apply for old age pensions. He requested the Clerk to write to Mr. Harry Hopkins, and inquire if such workers are being given proper notice that they will be dropped from the rolls of WPA when they reach the age of 65 years.

**Meetings Announced.**

Recessed meeting of the Board, June 17, 4 p. m., to confirm appointment to office of Controller, pursuant to letter from his Honor, the Mayor.

Fire, Safety and Police Committee, June 18, 1937, 10 a. m.

Joint Committee, Finance and Public Utilities, June 18, 1937, 3 p. m.

**Appointment of Successor to Late Controller Leonard S. Leavy.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

June 15, 1937.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Gentlemen: With reference to the appointment of a successor to our late Controller, Leonard S. Leavy, I deeply realize how necessary it is for this vacancy to be filled, and further realize how extremely important it is that mature consideration should be given the one who is to be named for appointment to this position.

Our late Controller was consigned to his final resting place but a few moments ago, and I am, at this time, in no position to make a recommendation as to his successor.

I would, therefore, respectfully ask that upon conclusion of your calendar today you recess until Thursday, at which time I will, in consonance with the provisions of the Charter, submit for your approval the name of the person whom, in my judgment, I believe best qualified to serve the City and County of San Francisco as its Controller.

Very truly yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

**RECESS.**

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors took a recess until 4 p. m. Thursday, June 17, 1937, for the purpose of giving consideration to the subject matter of the foregoing communication.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, June 21, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.





Thursday, June 17, 1937

Monday, June 21, 1937

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco







# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

THURSDAY, JUNE 17, 1937, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Thursday, June 17, 1937, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess for the purpose of giving consideration to the appointment of a successor to the late Controller Leonard S. Leavy.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

President Shannon in the chair.

### Appointment of Harold J. Boyd, Controller, vice Leonard S. Leavy, Deceased.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

June 17, 1937.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Gentlemen: May I express my appreciation to you for holding this recessed meeting at this time for the purpose of considering my nominee for the position of Controller of the City and County of San Francisco, vice Leonard S. Leavy, deceased.

I appoint Harold J. Boyd, now acting as chief assistant assessor, as Controller of the City and County of San Francisco, vice Leonard S. Leavy, deceased.

Section 63 of the Charter vests the Mayor with the power of appointing a Controller, subject to the confirmation and approval of the Board of Supervisors. I therefore request this confirmation of my appointment of Harold J. Boyd as Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

Respectfully,

(Signed) ANGELO J. ROSSI,  
Mayor.

### Confirming the Appointment of Harold J. Boyd as Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

(Code No. 9.011)

Thereupon, Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 3342, as follows:

Whereas, the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco has notified this Board of Supervisors that he has appointed Harold J. Boyd Controller of said City and County of San Francisco vice Leonard S. Leavy, deceased; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the appointment of said Harold J. Boyd as Controller of the City and County of San Francisco be, and the same is, hereby confirmed and approved.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.



### Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Uhl explained his vote by saying that he had known Harold Boyd as Chief Assistant Assessor and as such he filled the position without criticism, but when it comes to the position of Controller that's a different picture. He has not quite the qualifications for the office of Controller. The newspapers have dilated on the qualifications of the late Leonard S. Leavy, which Mr. Boyd lacks. That is one reason I cannot vote for Mr. Boyd's appointment as Controller. As a second objection, Supervisor Uhl referred to newspaper articles of July, 1929, criticising the acceptance of a monthly allowance to Mr. Boyd for automobile service as a part of his salary, when, as a matter of fact, he alleged, Mr. Boyd had no automobile.

Mr. Boyd, he declared, than whom no one is better informed on charter and procedure on salary increases, accepted that allowance, as I believe, illegally. Moreover, I believe the Mayor is politically inclined in making this appointment. I will therefore vote NO.

Supervisor Colman explained his vote by saying that there was nothing personal in what he was about to say but he had sufficient reasons for voting against Mr. Boyd's appointment as Controller. The Controller, he said, is a key position in San Francisco, and Mr. Boyd, I believe, has not the qualifications to carry out the duties of this most important office. The office requires a man of broad viewpoint, of fair, unbiased and unprejudiced outlook upon the problems which affect equally all citizens of San Francisco.

Mr. Boyd's activities as President of Municipal Employees in my opinion disqualifies him for the position of Controller. Activities of that kind would destroy to some extent at least the viewpoint of a man who is entrusted with the position of Controller of San Francisco.

Supervisor Schmidt, in explanation of his vote stated that he had fully intended to vote for Mr. Boyd until he learned of the newspaper article regarding automobile allowance accepted by Mr. Boyd, but that now in view of that information he could not see his way to so vote.

Supervisor Reilly eulogized the Mayor's appointee and declared that the automobile allowance must have been regular because the Board of Supervisors had voted it and Controller Leavy had approved it.

Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon, Brown and Roncovieri were also heard in support of the Mayor's recommendation.

### Appointment Confirmed.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing resolution confirming the appointment of Harold Boyd to the position of Controller vice Leonard S. Leavy, deceased, was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

### Promotion of Trade and Friendly Relations Between San Francisco and Interior Valleys.

Supervisor Shannon called attention to and read an article by R. W. Jimerson on the financial page of the San Francisco Examiner of Wednesday, June 16, 1937, in which the writer called attention to the result of a business survey in the central valleys of California, which indicated a drift of business and more important a drift of friendship away from San Francisco and toward Los Angeles, and deplored the breaking of the traditional bond of trade and friendship that had so long maintained between San Francisco and the interior valleys. He quoted:

Through the Sacramento and San Joaquin Valleys, business men,

bankers and local chamber of commerce executives make such comments as this:

"San Francisco is dead on its feet." "We don't want goodwill tours, we want people that will come down here and work with us to help solve our local problems." "The Los Angeles Chamber of Commerce has ten men in the field, experts in their line, building up trade relations with us, for every man that occasionally shows up from the San Francisco Chamber. "We would like to buy from San Francisco, but the manufacturers and jobbers there aren't on the job." "Why should we ship our produce to San Francisco when we know we will be victimized by racketeers?"

"Much of the antagonism toward San Francisco has developed because of the detrimental effects of the city's maritime labor troubles on agriculture. Inability to move fresh and processed fruits by water forced hundreds of growers, shippers, and co-operatives to hold their crops until the peak of the market was passed, entailing substantial losses.

"The adverse sentiment is rooted deeper than this, however. San Francisco for decades was the traditional champion of the valley region. Now there is a widespread belief that San Francisco no longer is interested in fighting the battles of its friends nor in handling their business. Because of these former ties of mutual interest, resentment now flares higher.

"The task of winning back the confidence and the business of the great Central Valley needs to be tackled NOW, with the best resources at San Francisco's command."

#### Referred.

Whereupon, on motion, the foregoing article was referred to the Chamber of Commerce for its consideration.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board, at the hour of 4:40 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

---

#### MONDAY, JUNE 21, 1937, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, June 21, 1937, 2 p. m.  
The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

#### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

#### APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of June 14 and 15, were considered read and approved.

#### MOTION TO RECONSIDER.

#### SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Hearing of Appeal Rezoning Northwest Corner Lombard Street  
and Van Ness Avenue.

Hearing of appeal from decision of City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1625, dated April 15, 1937, denying application to



rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the northwesterly corner of Lombard street and Van Ness avenue.

At the appointed hour the following resolution heretofore on June 18, requiring eight votes was presented and *refused adoption* by the following vote was taken up on Supervisor Shannon's motion to reconsider:

**Rezoning of Northwesterly Corner of Lombard Street and Van Ness Avenue.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 3338, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1625, dated April 15, 1937, denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the northwesterly corner of Lombard street and Van Ness avenue, is hereby disapproved.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

C. Collonan, W. W. Chapin, City Planning Commissioners and Mark Jorgensen, Secretary of City Planning were heard in support of the action of the Commission. J. J. Mooser, representing appellants, was heard in support of the proposed rezoning.

**Adopted.**

Whereupon, the roll was called and Resolution No. 3338, disapproving the action of the City Planning Commission, was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy—3.

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS.**

**Final Passage.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

**Authorized Compromise of Claim of May Wollner Cerf in the Sum of One Hundred Fifty-five (\$155) Dollars.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Bill No. 1346, Ordinance No. 6.022229, as follows:

Authorized compromise of claim of May Wollner Cerf in the sum of one hundred fifty-five (\$155) dollars.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended the settlement of the action of May Wollner Cerf against the City and County of San Francisco for the recovery of damages for personal injuries sustained by reason of a defective condition of a sidewalk, opposite 2253-2255 Franklin street, by the payment of the sum of one hundred and fifty-five (\$155) dollars in full settlement of all claims of said Mrs. May Wollner Cerf, said City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle said pending litigation by the payment of one hundred and fifty-five (\$155) dollars.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Compromise of Claim of Mrs. C. Robinett for \$100.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Bill No. 1347, Ordinance No. 6.022230, as follows:

Authorizing compromise of claim of Mrs. C. Robinett in the sum of one hundred (\$100) dollars.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That the City Attorney having recommended the settlement of the claim of Mrs. C. Robinett against the City and County of San Francisco for the recovery of damages for personal injuries sustained by reason of her being thrown from a bench to the ground at Union Square, San Francisco, California, on Sunday, May 2, 1937, when said bench was struck by an automobile operated by an employee of the Department of Electricity by the payment of the sum of one hundred (\$100) dollars in full settlement of all claims of said Mrs. C. Robinett, said City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle said claim by the payment of said sum of one hundred (\$100) dollars.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$12,287.54 for Extra Work in Connection with the Reconstruction of Sixth Street.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1348, Ordinance No. 9.051392, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$12,287.54 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 77.023.51 to the credit of Appropriation No. 77.023.01 for the purpose of paying for extra work in excess of 10 per cent of the certified estimated contract price in connection with the reconstruction of Sixth street, between Market and Townsend streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$12,287.54 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 77.023.51 to the credit of Appropriation No. 77.023.01 for the purpose of paying for extra work in excess of 10 per cent of the certified estimated contract price in connection with the reconstruction of Sixth street, between Townsend street and Market street.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Ordering the Improvement of Carolina Street, Between Twenty-second and Twenty-third Streets (Wherenot).**

(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1349, Ordinance No. 12.061175, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, May



21, 1937, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Carolina street, between Twenty-second street and Twenty-third street, where not already improved, by the construction of the following:

Item No.

Item

- (1) 10-inch unarmored concrete curb.
- (2) Unarmored concrete curb.
- (3) One-course concrete sidewalk.
- (4) 6-inch class "E" concrete pavement.
- (5) Asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 4-inch asphaltic concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered, respectively, as:

Lots 7, 13, 14 and 15 of Block 4160, and Lot 1 of Block 4161, all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **Changing Walk Widths on Evans Avenue and Other Streets in Hunter's Point District.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1350, Ordinance No. 12.0731106, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Sections 554, 555, 556, 557, 558, 559, 560 and 561 thereof, and by adding new sections to be numbered 1193, 1194, 1195 and 1196.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office May 25, 1937, by amending Sections 554, 555, 556, 557, 558, 559, 560, and 561 thereof, and by adding new sections thereto to be numbered 1193, 1194, 1195, and 1196, to read as follows:

*Section 554:*

The width of sidewalks on Evans avenue, the westerly side of, between Army and Napoleon streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Evans avenue, the easterly side of, be-

tween Army street and the first angle northerly from Napoleon street shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Evans avenue, the easterly side of, between Napoleon street and the first angle northerly therefrom shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Evans avenue between Napoleon and Lane streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Evans avenue between Lane street and its southeasterly termination shall be 10 feet.

*Section 555:*

The width of sidewalks on Fairfax avenue between Rankin street and a line parallel with Boalt street and 146.54 feet northwesterly therefrom shall be 15 feet.

The widths of sidewalks on Fairfax avenue, the northeasterly side of, between Boalt street and a line parallel with and 146.54 feet northwesterly therefrom shall be the width between the property line and a reverse curve connecting a 10-foot curb line at Boalt street with a 15-foot curb line at a point 146.54 feet northwesterly from Boalt street.

The width of sidewalks on Fairfax avenue between Boalt and Alvord streets shall be 10 feet.

*Section 556:*

The width of sidewalks on Galvez avenue between Toland street and Mendell street shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Galvez avenue between Lane and Jennings streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Galvez avenue between Hunter's Point boulevard and Donahue street shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Galvez avenue, the northeasterly side of, between Donahue street and Hunter's Point boulevard shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Galvez avenue, the southwesterly side of, between Donahue street and a line parallel with Coleman street and 243.56 feet southeasterly therefrom, shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Galvez avenue, the northeasterly side of, between Hunter's Point boulevard and Alvord street shall be 27 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Galvez avenue, the southwesterly side of, between lines respectively 243.56 feet and 343.56 feet southeasterly from Coleman street shall be the width between the property line and a reverse curve connecting a 10-foot curb line from the northwest to a 27-foot curb line to the southeast.

The width of sidewalks on Galvez avenue, the southeasterly side of, between a line parallel with Coleman street and 343.56 feet southeasterly therefrom and Alvord street shall be 27 feet.

*Section 557:*

The width of sidewalks on Hudson avenue between Toland and Selby streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Hudson avenue between Rankin and Lane streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Hudson avenue, the northeasterly side of, between Lane and Jennings streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Hudson avenue, the southwesterly side of, between Lane and Jennings streets shall be 30 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Hudson avenue between Jennings and Earl streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Hudson avenue between Earl and Alvord streets shall be 27 feet.

*Section 558:*

The width of sidewalks on Innes avenue between Toland and Mendell streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Innes avenue between Lane and Hawes streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Innes avenue between Hawes and Donahue streets shall be 10 feet.



The width of sidewalks on Innes avenue between Donahue and Alvord streets shall be 27 feet.

*Section 559:*

The width of sidewalks on Jerrold avenue between Bay Shore boulevard and Third street shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Jerrold avenue between Third and Mendell streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Jerrold avenue between Mendell and Lane streets shall be 22 feet 6 inches.

The width of sidewalks on Jerrold avenue between Lane and Earl streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Jerrold avenue between Earl and Alvord streets shall be 27 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Jerrold avenue between Alvord and Water Front streets shall be 15 feet.

*Section 560:*

The width of sidewalks on Kirkwood avenue between Selby and Mendell streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Kirkwood avenue between Mendell and Lane streets shall be 22 feet 6 inches.

The width of sidewalks on Kirkwood avenue between Lane and Earl streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Kirkwood avenue between Earl and Boalt streets shall be 27 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Kirkwood avenue between Boalt and Water Front streets shall be 10 feet.

*Section 561:*

The width of sidewalks on La Salle avenue between Quint and Mendell streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on La Salle avenue, the northeasterly side of, between Mendell and Keith streets shall be 35 feet.

The width of sidewalks on La Salle avenue, the southwesterly side of, between Mendell and Keith streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on La Salle avenue between Keith and Earl streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on La Salle avenue between Earl and Boalt streets shall be 27 feet.

The width of sidewalks on La Salle avenue between Boalt and Water Front streets shall be 10 feet.

*Section 1193:*

The width of sidewalks on Alvord street between Fairfax and Jerrold avenues shall be 19 feet.

*Section 1194:*

The width of sidewalks on Boalt street between Custer and Fairfax avenues shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Boalt street between Fairfax and McKinnon avenues shall be 19 feet.

*Section 1195:*

The width of sidewalks on Coleman street between Water Front street and Fairfax avenue shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Coleman street between Fairfax and McKinnon avenues shall be 19 feet.

*Section 1196:*

The width of sidewalks on Donahue street between Water Front street and Galvez avenue shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Donahue street between Innes avenue and its southwesterly termination shall be 10 feet.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Spur Track, Western Pacific Railroad Company, Wisconsin Street,  
Between Eighteenth and Mariposa Streets.**

(Code No. 12.20)

Also, Bill No. 1351, Ordinance No. 12.2021, as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors to the Western Pacific Railroad Company, to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a standard-gauge spur track upon and along Wisconsin street, between Eighteenth and Mariposa streets, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to the Western Pacific Railroad Company, to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a standard-gauge spur track along and upon Wisconsin street, between Eighteenth and Mariposa streets, said location more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in the center line of the existing main track of the Western Pacific Railroad Company, in block bounded by Eighteenth, Nineteenth, Wisconsin and Arkansas streets, City and County of San Francisco, said point of beginning being approximately 100 feet measured southeasterly along said center line from the southern line of Eighteenth street; thence northerly through a No. 8½ turnout to the right, a distance of 76.15 feet to a point; thence northerly on a curve to the right, having a radius of 459.28 feet a distance of 20 feet more or less to a point on the southern line of Eighteenth street, distant 17 feet easterly thereon from the eastern line of Wisconsin street; thence continuing northerly along the last mentioned curve, crossing Eighteenth street and along the easterly side of Wisconsin street, a distance of 162.13 feet to end of curve, said end of curve being distant 10 feet at right angles westerly from the eastern line of Wisconsin street; thence continuing northerly in a straight line, tangent to last mentioned curve, along said easterly side of Wisconsin street and 10 feet distant from the eastern line thereof, 309.12 feet to the southern line of Mariposa street.

Provided that all work is to be done in accordance with blue print entitled "Exhibit 'A,' the Western Pacific Railroad Company, San Francisco, Proposed Spur to Serve Safeway Stores, Inc.," and that any interference with the natural drainage of the above mentioned streets caused by the installation of the spur track shall be corrected by the Western Pacific Railroad Company to the satisfaction of the Department of Public Works, and provided further, that standard girder rail is to be installed in the crossing of Eighteenth street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**NEW BUSINESS.****Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Easement Acquisition, Alpha Street Sewer.**

(Code No. 12.1011)

Resolution No. 3343, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco hereby accepts that certain deed dated May 8, 1937, from Bernard Michel, et ux., to a sewer right of way over Lot 23, Assessor's Block 6199, San Francisco, Calif.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Confirming Sale of City Land on Boutwell Street.**

(Code No. 12.1721)

Also, Resolution No. 3344, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17211, Bill No. 94, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids would be received by him on June 2, 1937, for the sale of the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Portion of Lots 199 and 200 of Silver Terrace Homestead Association, as per map thereof filed February 19, 1874, in Book C and D, of Maps, page 155, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Boutwell street, distant thereon 205 feet northerly from the northerly line of Augusta street; running thence northerly along said line of Boutwell street, 45 feet to the northerly line of said Lot 200; thence at a right angle westerly along the northerly line of said Lot 200, a distance of 70.904 feet to the easterly line of Bayshore boulevard; thence southerly along the easterly line of Bayshore boulevard 51.400 feet to a point which is distant 205 feet northerly from the northerly line of Augusta street measured at a right angle thereto; thence easterly and parallel with the northerly line of Augusta street 46.065 feet to the point of beginning.

And, Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Alexander Neil offered to purchase said land for the sum of \$450 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said sum of \$450 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being \$450; and

Whereas, Alexander Neil has paid the sum of \$50 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Department of Public Works has recommended the sale of said land for the sum of \$450; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, for the conveyance of said land to Alexander Neil. The buyer must pay the balance of the purchase price within thirty (30) days after approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantee upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Cancellation of Delinquent Taxes, Church Property.**

(Code No. 9.0412)

Also, Resolution No. 3345, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the City Attorney, delinquent taxes, in the sum of \$423.40, together with interest and penalties thereon, levied against property assessed to W. A. Davis and W. J. Sims, described as Lot 5, Block 191, Assessor's Map, and being church property, be and are hereby cancelled.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Refunds of Erroneous Payments of Taxes.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 3346, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid to the following named, being refunds of erroneous payments of taxes:

*From Duplicate Tax Fund—Appropriation No. 905.*

- |   |          |
|---|----------|
| (1) Bank of America, per Vol. 3, Bill 1441, Lot 13, Block 473, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936 .....                     | \$108.98 |
| (2) Mrs. Nettie Galland, per Vol. 7, Bill 3, Block 1039, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936 .....                           | 95.36    |
| (3) Maritza Arishen, per Vol. 27, Bill 1110, Lot 28, Block 4097/4134, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936.....               | 14.14    |
| (4) Northern Counties Title Insurance Co., per Vol. 35, Bill 935, Lot 26, Block 5875, 1st Installment, fiscal year 1936.. | 2.27     |
| (5) Paolo Richichi, per Vol. 6, Bill 770, Lot 20, Block 927, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936 .....                       | 98.38    |

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Acquisition of Easement—Richmond Sewer Tunnel.**

(Code No. 12.1011)

Also, Resolution No. 3347, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Arthur Baldocchi, et ux., an easement beneath the surface of Lot 4-B, Assessor's Block 1677, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Richmond Sewer Tunnel, for the sum of \$20.70, payable from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 268662.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property. Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property for a particular description of said easement.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Providing for Notice in Official Newspaper, Refiling Statement of Real and Personal Property.**

(Code No. 1.0621)

Also, Resolution No. 3348, as follows:

A list of all persons resident of the City and County of San Francisco who have failed to file with the Assessor of the City and County



of San Francisco, a statement under oath, setting forth specifically all real and personal property not exempt from taxation owned by each of said persons on the first Monday of March, 1937, at twelve o'clock noon of said day, demand therefor was made by said Assessor, having been filed with the Board; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That each of the aforesaid persons, who have failed to file said statement, be and he or she is hereby required to file with the Clerk of the Board within ten days from and after date hereof, a statement under oath, setting forth specifically all the property owned or controlled or in the possession of said person on the first Monday of March, 1937; and be it

Further Resolved, That notice to all of the said persons herein referred to be given by the publication of a notice in the official newspaper, which said notice shall be in the words and figures following, to-wit:

To each individual person who has failed to file with the Assessor a verified statement setting forth all the real and personal property not exempt from taxation, owned by such person or in his possession or under his control at twelve o'clock noon on the first Monday of March, 1937.

You and each of you are hereby required to file with the undersigned Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco within ten days from and after the date hereof, a statement under oath, setting forth specifically all of the property owned or controlled by you or under your possession, at twelve o'clock noon on the first Monday of March, 1937.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Board of Supervisors to Act as a Board of Equalization.**

(Code No. 1.0621)

Resolution No. 3349, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors will meet on Tuesday, July 6, 1937, at 2 p. m., and examine the assessment books of real and personal property for the year 1937 and will thereafter be in session as a Board of Equalization from time to time until Monday, July 19, 1937, at 12 o'clock noon, for the purpose of hearing applications, verified by oath, for the correction of assessment books.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

#### **Authorizing Director of Property to Assist Board of Supervisors in Passing Upon Requests for Reductions in 1937-38 Assessments.**

(Code No. 1.0621)

Bill No. 1355, Ordinance No. 1.06216, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Property to aid and assist the Board of Supervisors, sitting as a Board of Equalization, and to employ the necessary appraisers to pass upon requests made for reductions in 1937-1938 assessments.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Property of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to aid, assist and advise the Board of Supervisors, sitting as a Board of Equalization, in passing upon requests made to said Board for the reduction of 1937-1938

assessments on taxable property in the City and County of San Francisco. Said services shall be rendered to said Board of Supervisors during the time that it sits as a Board of Equalization and for such time prior thereto as may be necessary to prepare for such investigation.

Section 2. The Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to employ nine independent expert real estate and building appraisers as needed subject to the provisions of Section 142 of the Charter to aid and assist him in advising the Board of Supervisors in regard to requests for reductions in said assessments.

Section 3. For the services herein provided one independent expert building appraiser shall receive not more than \$300. One independent expert real estate appraiser shall receive not more than \$250 and the remaining seven independent expert real estate and building appraisers shall receive not more than \$225 each, chargeable to 1937-1938 Appropriation No. 701.106.00, and there is hereby ordered transferred from 1937-1938 Appropriation No. 701.106.00 the sum of \$200 to the Director of Property to cover the incidental expense of his office relative to the service herein directed to be furnished by said Director of Property.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Release of Liens Filed Re Old Age Security.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Resolution No. 3353, as follows:

Resolved, That the liens heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, on recommendation of the County Welfare Department, are released against the properties described as follows:

Alice Fredericks, recorded August 10, 1936, in Vol. 3011, page 27, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco. Also duplicate lien filed on same date, in Vol. 3011, page 127.

Mrs. Louise Goodhart, recorded August 10, 1936, in Vol. 3011, page 32, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco, legal description, Lot 34, Block 7016.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police was taken up:

**Amending Taxicab Ordinance, Re Taxicab Stands.**

(Code No. 11.0111)

Bill No. 1358, Ordinance No. 11.011111, as follows:

Amending Section 3 of Ordinance No. 6979 (New Series) entitled, "Regulating the Use of Taxicabs, Automobiles and Public Vehicles for Hire, Fixing the Rate to Be Charged for the Transportation of Persons and Personal Baggage, Regulating the Use of Boats in the Waters of the Bay, Providing a Punishment for Any Violation Thereof, and Repealing Ordinance No. 1898 (New Series)," relating to taxicab stands.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:



Section 1. Section 3 of Ordinance No. 6979 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 3: (a) The Chief of Police may designate in writing stands on public streets (except around Union Square), to be occupied by taxicabs and automobiles and limousines as herein defined, after permit to operate said vehicle or vehicles has been issued and the license fee has been paid as in this or other ordinances provided.

(b) Before any designation of stands is made by the Chief of Police as provided in this section, the written consent of the tenant or lessee of the ground floor or portion of the ground floor fronting the space where such stand is to be located must first be obtained. In the event the ground floor or portion of the ground floor fronting the space where such stand is to be located is not occupied by a tenant or lessee, then the written consent of the owner of the building fronting the space where such stand is to be located must be first obtained. The stand permit shall specify the name and address of the permittee and the number of vehicles and class and character of service permitted thereunder. No vehicle licensed to operate pursuant to this ordinance, while awaiting employment by passengers, shall stand on any public street or place other than upon a stand designated and established in accordance with this ordinance and no such vehicle shall occupy such stand unless it is licensed so to do. It shall be unlawful for the owner or operator of any passenger vehicle for hire, other than the permittee, to use the stand designated under such permit.

(c) The Chief of Police shall designate the number of taxicabs or automobiles or limousines, as herein defined, that shall be allowed to stand at any one time at any of the places designated and authorized.

(d) Any stand permit may be revoked by the Chief of Police without notice to any person except the holder of the permit, and it shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to occupy a stand with a taxicab, automobile or limousine after such revocation and notice has been made.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **Acceptance of Santa Fe Avenue Between Silver Avenue and Its Southerly Termination.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1356, Ordinance No. 12.081128, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Santa Fe avenue, between Silver avenue and its southerly termination, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Santa Fe avenue, between Silver avenue and its southerly termination, including the curbs.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

**Intention to Close a Portion of the Intersection of Funston Avenue and Pacheco Street.**

(Code No. 12.0621)

Resolution No. 3350, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of the intersection of Funston avenue and Pacheco street be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of said intersection more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Funston avenue, distant thereon 12.711 feet northeasterly from the most westerly corner of Lot 9, Block 2049-B of Golden Gate Heights, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "J," pages 30 to 38, inclusive, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northeasterly along aforesaid line of Funston avenue produced northeasterly, 3.054 feet; thence easterly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 42.290 feet, central angle 85 degrees 40 minutes 23 seconds, 63.235 feet to tangency with the southwesterly line of Pacheco street at the northwesterly termination of that certain curve of 220-foot radius forming the northeasterly boundary of Lot 10 of aforesaid Block 2049-B; thence southwesterly along the northwesterly boundary of aforesaid Block 2049-B the following courses and distances: on the arc of a curve to the left, radius 10 feet, central angle 80 degrees 45 minutes 07 seconds, 14.094 feet; on the arc of a curve to the right, radius 30 feet, central angle 70 degrees 24 minutes 50 seconds, 36.869 feet; on the arc of a curve to the left, radius 10 feet, central angle 75 degrees 20 minutes 06 seconds, 13.148 feet to tangency with aforesaid line of Funston avenue at the point of beginning.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of said intersection shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of said intersection in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Intention to Change Grades on Goettingen Street and Ordway Street.**

(Code No. 12.0721)

Also, Resolution No. 3351, as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above City base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Order No. 6287 of the Director



of Public Works, dated June 2, 1937, making written recommendation of said action, filed with said Board June 7, 1937, to-wit:

*Goettingen Street:**Feet*

310 feet southerly from Mansell street.....	271
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Ordway street northerly line .....	309
Northerly curb line of Ordway street produced.....	309
Easterly line of, 10 feet northerly from Ordway street southerly line .....	311
Westerly line of, 10 feet northerly from Ordway street southerly line .....	311
10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Ordway street southerly line .....	311
12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Ordway street southerly line .....	311
10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 100 feet southerly from Ordway street .....	322.67
10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 150 feet southerly from Ordway street .....	326.41
10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 200 feet southerly from Ordway street .....	326
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)	
12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 100 feet southerly from Ordway street .....	322.67
12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 150 feet southerly from Ordway street .....	326.41
12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 200 feet southerly from Ordway street .....	326
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)	
10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 120 feet northerly from Ward street .....	322
10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 80 feet northerly from Ward street .....	318.50
10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 40 feet northerly from Ward street .....	312
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)	
12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 120 feet northerly from Ward street .....	322
12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 80 feet northerly from Ward street .....	318.50
12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 40 feet northerly from Ward street .....	312
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)	
Ward street northerly line .....	304
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*Ordway Street:**Feet*

Westerly line of, at Brussels street.....	252
(The same being the present official grade.)	
40 feet easterly from Goettingen street.....	300.34
Northerly line of, at Goettingen street.....	309
10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Goettingen street .....	309
10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Goettingen street easterly line .....	311
Southerly line of, 10 feet westerly from Goettingen street easterly line .....	311
Southerly line of, 12 feet easterly from Goettingen street westerly line .....	311

*Feet*

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Goettingen street westerly line .....	311
Northerly line of, at Somerset street .....	350
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Southerly line of, at Somerset street easterly line.....	352
(The same being the present official grade.)	

On Goettingen street, between a line parallel with Mansell street and 310 feet southerly therefrom, and Ward street, and on Ordway street, between Brussels and Somerset streets, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

These proceedings are instituted in accordance with the "Change of Grade Act of 1909."

The San Francisco News is hereby designated as the newspaper in which this resolution shall be published.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **Intention to Change Grades on Innes Avenue and Ingalls and Jennings Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0721)

Also, Resolution No. 3352, as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above City base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Order No. 6288 of the Director of Public Works, dated June 2, 1937, making written recommendation of said action, filed with said Board June 7, 1937, to-wit:

<i>Innes Avenue:</i>	<i>Feet</i>
80 feet westerly from Hawes street easterly line.....	32
(The same being the present official grade.)	
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 84 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	42.04
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 184 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	51.63
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 284 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	56.50
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)	
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	42.04
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Hawes street .....	51.63
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 300 feet northwesterly from Hawes street .....	56.50
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)	
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 324 feet northwesterly from Hawes street .....	57.50
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 424 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	64.37
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 524 feet northwesterly from Hawes street .....	80



*Feet*

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)

18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 340 feet northwesterly from Hawes street .....	57.50
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 440 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	64.37
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 540 feet northwesterly from Hawes street .....	80

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)

18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Ingalls street southeasterly line .....	92
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Ingalls street southeasterly line .....	92
Northeasterly line of, 19 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street southeasterly line .....	92.90
Southwesterly line of, 19 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street southeasterly line .....	92.90
Northeasterly line of, 19 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street northwesterly line .....	94.12
Southwesterly line of, 19 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street northwesterly line .....	94.12
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Ingalls street northwesterly line .....	95
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Ingalls street northwesterly line .....	95
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 90 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street .....	113
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 140 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	122.12
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 190 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	129.50

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 90 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street .....	113
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 140 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street .....	122.12
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 190 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	129.50

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)

18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 290 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street .....	142.50
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 340 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	148.67
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 390 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street .....	154.19

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)

18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 290 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street .....	142.50
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 340 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street .....	148.67
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 390 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	154.19

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

600 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	176
664 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	176
Keith street .....	85

(The same being the present official grade.)

*Ingalls Street:**Feet*

19 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, at Innes avenue northeasterly line .....	92.90
19 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, at Innes avenue northeasterly line .....	94.12
Southeasterly line of, 18 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue northeasterly line .....	92
Northwesterly line of, 18 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue northeasterly line .....	95
Southeasterly line of, 18 feet northeasterly from Innes avenue southwesterly line .....	92
Northwesterly line of, 18 feet northeasterly from Innes avenue southwesterly line .....	95
19 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, at Innes avenue southwesterly line .....	92.90
19 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, at Innes avenue southwesterly line .....	94.12
19 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, 50 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue .....	94.67
19 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, 50 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue .....	94.67
Jerrold avenue .....	100

(The same being the present official grade.)

On Innes avenue, between Hawes and Keith streets, and on Ingalls and Jennings streets, between Innes and Jerrold avenues, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

These proceedings are instituted in accordance with the "Change of Grade Act of 1909."

The San Francisco News is hereby designated as the newspaper in which this resolution shall be published.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

**Repealing Bill No. 1136, Ordering the Improvement of Barneveld Avenue and Rickard Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Bill No. 1357, Ordinance No. 12.061176, as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 12.061166, entitled, "Ordering the Performance of Certain Street Work to Be Done in the City and County of San Francisco, Approving and Adopting Specifications Therefor, Describing and Approving the Assessment District, and Authorizing the Director of Public Works to Enter Into Contract for Doing the Same," in effect November 20, 1936, ordering the improvement of Barneveld avenue and Rickard street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 12.061166, the title of which is recited



above, ordering the improvement of Barneveld avenue and Rickard street, is hereby repealed.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Daniel C. Murphy, Sheriff.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3354, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Daniel C. Murphy, Sheriff, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period not to exceed thirty days, commencing July 1, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Leave of Absence—Colonel William H. Tobin, Member Board of War Memorial Trustees.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3355, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Colonel William H. Tobin, a member of the Board of War Memorial Trustees, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of two months, commencing June 21, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Leave of Absence—Honorable Jesse C. Colman, Member Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Also, Resolution No. 3361, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Jesse C. Colman, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ten days, commencing June 22, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Joint Finance and Public Utilities Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing Preparation of Legislation for Rapid Transit System Bond Issue.**

(Code No. 15.094)

Resolution No. 3291, as follows:

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission, by its Resolution No. 1997, has reported to the Board of Supervisors a revised plan for a rapid transit system to be used and operated in conjunction with the Municipal Railway; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be, and he is hereby, directed to prepare and present to the Board of Supervisors the necessary resolutions and ordinances to submit to the electors at the election to be held on November 2, 1937, a proposition to issue general obligation bonds in an amount to be determined, for the purpose of defraying the cost of the acquisition and construction of said rapid transit system.

*June 18, 1937—In Joint Finance and Public Utilities Meeting. Resolution approved by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Roncovieri, Meyer, Colman.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon.

Absent—Supervisor Brown.

Supervisor McSheehy announced that he would present a minority report to the Board.

### Minority Report of Supervisor James B. McSheehy on "Rapid Transit."

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, California, June 21, 1937.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen:

On March 29, 1937, by a majority vote of the Board of Supervisors, the following motion was carried:

"That the Public Utilities Commission's subway and rapid transit proposal to be submitted to the voters in the form of a bond proposition, and that the Board go on record favoring its ratification by the voters."

This recommendation calls for a bond issue of \$52,700,000 as contained in the Public Utilities Commission report dated May 25, 1936.

On May 11, 1937 (one year later), Mr. E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, filed an amended report to that of May 25, 1936, calling for an expenditure of \$49,250,000, plus \$6,000,000 bond interest and redemption during construction, the same to be paid out of the annual tax rate, making a total of \$55,250,000. This amended report was referred to a Joint Committee of Finance and Public Utilities and, as a member of the Public Utilities Committee, I am submitting to you the following minority report:

Section 121 of the Charter, and Section 101 of the General Laws of the State of California, state clearly the duties of the Public Utilities Commission, and the duties of the Board of Supervisors.

The Public Utilities Commission and the Board of Supervisors have recognized the logic in the Charter and the State law and have functioned accordingly. Therefore, it is our duty to pass on the merits or demerits of all bond issues and, as one member of the Board, I beg to call your attention to three pertinent facts pertaining to the bond issue submitted by Mr. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.

1. Rapid Transit must be given to the people of San Francisco as soon as possible or they will be leaving our city and moving down the Peninsula or across the Bay. This fact is recognized by all. There is no difference of opinion on this issue.

2. Mr. Cahill's amended plan calls for several subways under the most important streets of our City, combined with a number of buses in the outlying districts, at a cost of \$49,250,000, plus an interest charge of \$6,000,000 during construction, making a total of \$55,250,000. He states that bond interest and redemption of bonds will become a fixed charge in the tax rate annually, and that is why he is not including the \$6,000,000 for interest during construction, and that it would be impossible for the Municipal Railway to pay the charge out of the present 5 cent fare. New York City subsidizes its investment of \$700,-



000,000 in subways by placing the interest and redemption charges in the yearly tax rate, and we would have to do the same.

The total bonded debt of San Francisco on March 1, 1937, was .....	\$165,583,800
Subway and rapid transit bonds as favored by the Board of Supervisors on March 29, 1937.....	52,700,000
Cutting through new streets as set in Public Utilities Commission report of March 29, 1937, and voted in favor of by a majority of the Board of Supervisors on May 24, 1937 .....	6,500,000

Total .....	\$224,783,800
-------------	---------------

Report of Controller on April 10, 1937, that the bonding limit of San Francisco was...	\$ 63,348,983
Plus outstanding bonded indebtedness of San Francisco at present.....	165,583,800

Total .....	<u>\$224,932,783</u>
-------------	----------------------

Total Bond Limit .....	\$228,932,783
Bonds as agreed .....	<u>224,783,800</u>

In excess of bonding limit.....	\$ 4,148,883
---------------------------------	--------------

According to press reports, at a meeting of the San Francisco Real Estate Board, a statement was made that on account of the increased cost of labor and material since the first estimate of \$52,700,000 for subways, this project would cost \$62,700,000. If this statement is correct, and both of these bond issues are carried, we would be bonded for \$5,851,117 more than the bonding limit of the City.

The original plan and the amended plan call for subways for the exclusive use of the Municipal Railway which carries 25 per cent of the traveling public; the Market Street Railway carries the other 75 per cent. How then can a plan of this kind give rapid transit to our citizens, when only 25 per cent of the people will be served and the credit of our City almost ruined in carrying bonds greater than our bonding limit?

3. As a member of the Public Utilities Committee of this Board, and as one who has given much study to rapid transit as it affects San Francisco, I would recommend the outright purchase of the properties of the Market Street Railway, its rolling stock is worn out to such a degree that it is a menace to the traveling public. This company has never lived up to the conditions of its franchises, and the City Engineer's report of September 1, 1936, shows that there should be spent \$1,118,171 for street work which, as yet, the company has made no attempt to do. Economy has always been the watchword of the Market Street Railway regardless of the danger and discomfort there may have been to the public.

Ways and means should be found to purchase the properties of this competing street railway at a "nuisance" value figure and supplant it with a city-wide system of buses in conjunction with our own Municipal Railway. The Market Street Railway has an assessed valuation of \$5,000,000 and I think it has a "nuisance" value of about that figure.

As a youth here in San Francisco I have ridden on horse cars; I have seen the horse cars supplanted by cable cars; and the cable cars in turn supplanted by the present electric cars which, in my opinion, should give way to the modern gas-motor buses similar to those used in New York and in a number of smaller cities throughout the United States.

The Market Street Railway operates daily 750 cars, and the Municipal Railway operates daily 250 cars. If we took over the Market Street Railway we would gradually supplant their cars with buses. Take a

ride on the "33" Line which starts at Third and Harrison streets and terminates at the Golden Gate Park, covering a cross section of the Mission District, and see what trackless trolley buses are doing and the territory they cover over the steepest hills of our City. I was told that the receipts are \$50 per day per bus, and that the expense of every kind amounts to \$25, leaving a profit of \$25 per day per bus. Go over to Oakland and see what the buses are doing there in giving rapid transit to the people of Oakland and Alameda.

Gentlemen, we have the right as I have stated, according to law, to approve or disapprove all bond issues. Therefore, I am submitting to you this minority report and ask its adoption in lieu of the majority report. My minority report calls for a bond issue of \$15,000,000, to be expended as follows:

- (a) \$10,000,000 for the purchase of 1000 buses.
- (b) \$5,000,000 for purchase of the Market Street Railway.
- (c) Each bus to be manned by two men, and that the same wages, rules and regulations that now govern the Municipal Railway will govern the operation of the buses.
- (d) Five cent fare for all buses and exchange of transfers throughout the entire City.
- (e) Remove all tracks from streets as soon as buses can be operated on these streets.
- (f) Cost of removal of tracks and repairs to streets can come out of the State Gasoline Tax and will not be part of the bond issue.
- (g) Forty-year bonds, interest and redemption to be paid annually the same as the present Municipal Railway bonds are paid, no difference.

Gentlemen, by your votes you are going to tell the citizens of San Francisco, whether you favor subway transportation or bus transportation. Subway transportation will mean "Amended Report" Bond Issue of \$49,250,000 which is practically our bond limit. About \$2,250,000 will have to be placed every year in our budget amounting to about 33 cents in our tax rate.

Subways will handle only 25 per cent of the traveling public. Market Street Railway will be just as it is on Market Street, and every other street, they will not make any repairs and will become a far greater "nuisance" than they are today—with the one man cars, etc. And I think the Railroad Commission will allow them to raise their fare to 7 cents, four tokens for 25 cents; and 75 per cent of the traveling public will be forced to ride on their lines.

Compare the Cahill Plan with the one I am suggesting, Bond Issue of \$15,000,000 as against \$49,250,000. \$2,250,000 or 33 cents in the tax rate every year for 40 years against buses that will pay their own interest and redemption. Subways will give transportation to only one-quarter of the traveling public; buses will give transportation to all of the traveling public. Last, but not least, remember the Market Street Railway will remain just as it is a "nuisance" not alone to those who are forced to travel on their cars, but all motorists who travel out streets used by the Market Street Railway on account of the deplorable condition of its tracks. Bus transportation will remove all these tracks and every street will become a boulevard.

Condemnation proceedings can be carried on against the Market Street Railway just the same as any other person occupying property that the City requires.

With these facts before you, I hope you will vote for my minority report, for I feel certain that if a bond issue of \$15,000,000 is placed on the ballot next November the people will vote for the same. I do not think they will vote for \$49,250,000 bond issue as outlined in the Public Utilities Commission Report dated May 11, 1937.

Remember it takes a two-thirds vote of all votes cast to carry a bond issue. Can you imagine that two-thirds of the voters will vote for a bond issue which will only serve one-fourth of the people and will cost the taxpayers for the next 40 years \$2,250,000 each year, or



a raise of 33 cents in the tax rate on each \$100 of assessable property, and the Market Street Railway will remain just as it is, a "nuisance" railroad carrying three-quarters of all the traveling people here in San Francisco, and I dare say will increase its fare to 7 cents or 4 tokens for 25 cents. This is not an engineering problem, it is one of common sense. Think it over.

Respectfully,

JAMES B. MCSHEEHY,  
Supervisor,  
Member, Public Utilities Committee.

#### Minority Report Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

After discussion, on motion of Supervisor Colman, Supervisor McSheehy's minority report setting up proposed plan for rapid transit was referred to the Public Utilities Commission for report and recommendation as a condition precedent required by the Charter.

#### Adopted.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

#### Reconsideration Denied.

After the Clerk had announced the vote Supervisor McSheehy changed his vote and moved for reconsideration at next meeting. President Shannon ruled the motion out of order on the ground that it was made after the vote was announced.

#### ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

##### Property Erroneously Assessed Withdrawn from Sale.

(Code No. 9.011)

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. 3356, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the Assessor in a communication dated June 19, 1937, the following property being erroneously assessed in 1936, must be withdrawn from sale and reassessed in 1937, in accordance with Section 3806, Political Code, and the Tax Collector is hereby directed not to offer the same for sale:

Vol.	Lot	Block	Page
16	28C	2161	106
17	26	2308	26
18	42/43	2507	130
22	13	3147	38
23	79	3549	78
25	37	3627	2
32	3	5395	80
43	31	7087	112
33	20/21	5623	106

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Clerk to File with U. S. Bureau of Public Roads Request in Study  
of Routing Road From Groveland to Mather.**

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 3357, as follows:

Whereas, there is before the U. S. Bureau of Public Roads, filed with the Western Division Engineer, 462 Federal Office Building, San Francisco, a resolution unanimously passed by the Utility Commission of the City and County of San Francisco, requesting the urgency of a study of routings into Yosemite Park giving additional traffic facilities for travel entering the Yosemite Park from the north; and

Whereas, it is to the best public interest of the people of the City and County of San Francisco that such a routing should include the present road between the towns of Groveland and Mather in Tuolumne County, as a relocation of the State Highway No. 120 which is impassable during a portion of the year and cannot be built to a standard of grade and alignment required by the Bureau of Public Roads; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, in session assembled in the City of San Francisco on this 21st day of June, A. D. 1937, that the Clerk of the Board be instructed to file with the United States Bureau of Public Roads a request to incorporate the above mentioned road between Groveland and Mather in its study of routes for the entrance into the Yosemite Park, and for the further development of the recreational area adjacent thereto.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Board of Supervisors to Urge Retention of KFRC Broadcasting  
Station in San Francisco.**

(Code No. 5.9)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 3358, as follows:

Whereas, it is reliably reported that radio station KFRC is contemplating centralization of production in Los Angeles; and

Whereas, such a proposal, if consummated, would result in great detriment to the City and County of San Francisco, in that:

1. It would result in a loss of employment to many radio stars, musicians and artisans now engaged in production for radio station KFRC in San Francisco;

2. It would result in a loss of the advertising of the City and County of San Francisco which accompanies the station announcement on each program;

3. It would be a challenge to the musical and cultural leadership which San Francisco has so long rightfully enjoyed.

Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby urge the operators of radio station KFRC to abandon any plan under consideration for the removal of their broadcasting facilities away from the City and County of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be forwarded to the Junior Chamber of Commerce, to the Down Town Association and to the various musical organizations with the request that they exert their influence for the retention of radio station KFRC in the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**Mayor to Appoint Citizens Committee for the Observance of Navy Day, October 27, 1937.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 3359, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a Committee of Citizens for the suitable observance of Navy Day, October 27, 1937.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Mayor Authorized to Appoint Citizens Committee for Suitable Observance of Constitution Day, September 17, 1937.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 3360, as follows:

Whereas, the Congress of the United States, on August 23, 1935, adopted a joint resolution providing for the observance of the 150th anniversary of the formation of the Constitution of the United States and for that purpose established a commission known as the United States Constitution Sesquicentennial Commission; and

Whereas, the said Commission has called on the Mayors and officials of the various cities of the United States to arrange for the local celebration of the 150th anniversary of the formation of the Constitution on Constitution Day, September 17, 1937, and has suggested that a committee be appointed for that purpose, to include representatives from the bar association, patriotic, fraternal, religious and civil organizations; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized to appoint a Citizens' Committee to arrange for the celebration in the City and County of San Francisco, on September 17, 1937, of the 150th anniversary of the formation of the Constitution of the United States.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Sales of Electric Energy in San Francisco.**

The Clerk read:

Report by Public Utilities Commission on sales of electric energy in San Francisco.

Whereupon, Supervisor Uhl requested report on average cost per KWH of electric energy sold in San Francisco, and cost per KWH used on Hyde street lamps.

**Committee to Attend State Highway Commission Meeting in Sacramento.**

Supervisor Ratto requested the President to appoint a committee to attend meeting of State Highway Commission in Sacramento, on July 9, for hearing on Funston avenue approach to the Golden Gate Bridge, the lighting of the Waldo approach, etc.

*So ordered.*

**Estimate of Cost of New Street from Fifth and Harrison to Sixth and Shipley Streets.**

Communication from City Engineer, pursuant to Resolution No. 3279,

giving estimated cost of \$391,000 for construction of street from Fifth and Harrison to Sixth street, at a point near Shipley.

*Referred to City Engineer for recommendation as to its feasibility and practicability in comparison with other proposals before the Board.*

**Committee to Attend Fourth of July Celebration at Santa Clara.**

Supervisor Colman moved that the President of the Board appoint a committee to participate in July 4th celebration at Santa Clara, pursuant to invitation read by the Clerk.

*Motion carried.*

**Appointment of J. J. Sullivan, War Memorial Trustee,  
Vice Harold Boyd.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

June 21, 1937.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco.

This is to advise you that I have this day, in consonance with provisions of Section 44 of the Charter, and subject to your confirmation, appointed to serve as Trustee on the War Memorial Board for the unexpired term of Harold J. Boyd, resigned, Mr. John J. Sullivan, 2101 Pacific avenue, president of the Board of Directors of the Veterans Home of California, and at present engaged in the real estate business of San Francisco.

Respectfully,

(Signed) ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Reilly, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved that the appointment be approved.

*Motion carried unanimously.*

**Protest Reductions in WPA Quotas.**

The following telegram was read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Calif., June 15, 1937.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco:

We protest mass reductions WPA quotas which have been suddenly ruthlessly levied on thousands of workers who have no other support than project work. Continued unemployment, increased cost of living levels, inability of private industry to absorb millions workers make it imperative that social cultural rehabilitation work be continued rather than destroyed. Social strength America depends on expansion this American program to prevent chaos destitution unAmerican poverty.

WPA SUPERVISORS COUNCIL OF SAN FRANCISCO.

*Referred to Public Welfare Committee.*

**Supervisor Colman Excused from Board of Equalization Session.**

Supervisor Colman asked to be excused from attendance at sessions of Board of Equalization, in order to participate in military activities, commencing July 6, 1937.

**Meetings Announced.**

Education, Parks and Recreation, June 29, 4 p. m.

Fire, Safety and Police, June 25, 10 a. m.

Health Committee, June 24, 10:30 a. m.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business the Board of Supervisors at 5:55 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.



MONDAY, JUNE 21, 1937

Approved by the Board of Supervisors.....

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

.....  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol 32

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 26

Monday, June 28, 1937

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.



THE HISTORY OF THE  
CITY OF LONDON  
FROM THE FOUNDATION  
TO THE PRESENT TIME  
BY  
JOHN STOW.  
1618.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

---

MONDAY, JUNE 28, 1937, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, June 28, 1937,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:  
Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly,  
Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Supervisor Roncovieri noted present at 2:20 p. m.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of June 17 and 21,  
1937, were considered read and approved.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore  
passed for second reading, were taken up:



# Annual Salary Ordinance

Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 1938

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1345, Ordinance No. 9.053101, as follows:

An ordinance enumerating all positions continued and/or created by the Board of Supervisors in adopting the Annual Budget and Appropriation Ordinance for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1938; continuing, and/or creating and/or establishing these positions; enumerating and including therein all positions created by Charter or State law for which salaries are appropriated in the said Annual Appropriation Ordinance; specifying and fixing the compensation of incumbents therein, and providing for maximum compensation of persons appointed to positions herein enumerated which may become vacant during the fiscal year.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the provisions of Section 73 of the Charter the positions hereinafter enumerated under the respective departments are hereby created and/or established and/or continued for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1938. Positions created or authorized by Charter or State law are enumerated and included herein.

Appointing officers as specified in the Charter are hereby authorized to make or continue appointments, as needed, during the said fiscal year to positions enumerated in their respective sections of this ordinance, but in no case to exceed the number of positions or the rate of pay herein enumerated and established; provided that temporary appointments to positions defined by the rules of the Civil Service Commission as seasonal or temporary positions may be made by the respective appointing officers in excess of the number of permanent positions herein established or enumerated, but no appointment to such temporary or seasonal position shall be made until the Controller shall certify that funds are available and no such appointment shall continue beyond the period for which the Controller has certified the availability of funds. At the request of the Mayor or the Controller, the Civil Service Commission may investigate and report on the necessity for such temporary or seasonal services.

The Controller and the Civil Service Commission shall be immediately notified of a vacancy occurring in a position herein established and appointing officers shall not make appointments to such vacancies until the Controller shall certify that funds are available and until the Civil Service Commission shall verify the legality of the position and compensation, and the Mayor shall approve.

Inasmuch as Section 141 of the Charter provides that the Civil Service Commission shall be the judge of the classification of positions, any change hereafter made by the Civil Service Commission during the fiscal year 1937-38 in the class title or number of the classification of the duties of a position herein enumerated shall not be deemed an action requiring amendment of this ordinance, provided no change in number of positions or salaries of such positions or the creation of a new position are involved, but shall be deemed merely a clerical procedure and such change of class title and class number and the date thereof shall be reported to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, the Controller and the department concerned.

Section 2. Salary or wage rates herein specified are the maximum gross compensations fixed for the present incumbents of the respective positions herein enumerated, including the valuation of maintenance furnished.

In filling vacancies in positions herein enumerated or in appointing employees to temporary or seasonal positions as provided in Section 1 hereof, which are subject to the provisions of Section 151 of the

Charter, the person appointed to such vacancy or temporary or seasonal position shall be paid a wage or salary not to exceed the entrance salary or wage fixed for such position in the proposed schedule of compensations issued by the Civil Service Commission under date of April 9, 1930, or as such proposed schedule may be amended as provided by Charter or extended by the Civil Service Commission to include classifications not included therein and the salary shall remain at the said entrance rate during the current fiscal year; provided, however, that persons who have acquired permanent status in a position who are reemployed in the same position after layoff or leave of absence shall be paid the salary or wage received at the time laid off or granted such leave, provided that in the execution and performance of any contract awarded to a city department under the provisions of Sections 95 and 98 of the Charter, not less than the wage scale fixed by the Board of Supervisors in the Prevailing Wage Resolution and in effect at the time of the award of said contract shall be paid to employees performing work under such contract.

No maintenance shall be provided to any employee in a position subject to the provisions of Section 151 of the Charter in addition to the compensation herein fixed or provided. The compensations fixed for employees engaged in construction work outside the City and County of San Francisco which are not subject to Section 151 of the Charter includes the valuation of maintenance provided such employees and deductions for such accommodations shall be made and indicated on payrolls and timerolls.

Charges for any and all maintenance furnished employees in positions subject to Section 151 of the Charter as indicated herein shall be made and indicated on time rolls and pay rolls, and deductions for such maintenance shall be indicated and made on time rolls and pay rolls in accordance with the following schedule fixed by the Civil Service Commission for such maintenance; provided, however, that no charge shall be made for meals furnished cooks, bakers, waiters and other kitchen workers.

	For those receiving \$80 per month or less in cash	For those receiving more than \$80 per month in cash
1 meal per day .....	\$ 6.00 Per Mo.	\$10.00 Per Mo.
2 meals per day .....	9.00 Per Mo.	16.50 Per Mo.
3 meals per day .....	12.00 Per Mo.	22.50 Per Mo.
Room or House .....	8.00 Per Mo.	10.00 Per Mo.
Laundry . . . . .	2.00 Per Mo.	2.50 Per Mo.
Board, Room and Laundry .....	22.00 Per Mo.	35.00 Per Mo.
Single Meal .....	.25	.35

Per Mo.

Complete family maintenance furnished the Superintendent of Laguna Honda Home and the Superintendent of the San Francisco Hospital .....	\$150
Complete family maintenance furnished the Resident Physician and Superintendent of Hassler Health Home.....	75
House furnished the Superintendent and the Assistant Superintendent, Alameda Division of the Water Department, and the Assistant Superintendent of the Peninsula Division of the Water Department .....	25
House furnished the Superintendent of the Peninsula Division of the Water Department .....	50
House furnished the Head Pump Operator of the Peninsula and Alameda Division of the Water Department.....	25
House furnished the Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines, at the Water Department .....	15

The letters B., R. & L. used in this ordinance to indicate deduction shall be construed to mean Board, Room or House and Laundry and deductions made in accordance with the schedule herein fixed for such accommodations.



**Section 3. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	11		Supervisors .....	\$ 200
2	1	B88	Chief Assistant Clerk of the Board of Supervisors .....	400
3	1	B90	Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.....	550
4	1	B174	Bond and Ordinance Clerk.....	250
5	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
8	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
9	3	B413	Assistant Clerk—Board of Supervisors..	250
10	1	D4	Sergeant-at-Arms, Board of Supervisors	200
11	2	O1	Chauffeur .....	250

**Section 4. MAYOR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Mayor ..	\$ 833.33
2	1	B74	Confidential Secretary to Mayor.....	350
3	1	B76	Executive Secretary to Mayor.....	400
4	1	B212	Special Messenger .....	175
5	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
6	1	B414	Head Clerk-Stenographer .....	225
7	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator.....	165
8	2	O1	Chauffeur .....	200
9	1	N404	Inspector of Complaints, Mayor's Office.	300

**Section 5. ASSESSOR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Assessor ..	\$ 666.66
2	1	B52	Research Assistant .....	250
3	1	B120	Supervisor, Accounts and Records Assessor's Office .....	300
4	2	B222	General Clerk .....	250
5	1	B222	General Clerk .....	200
6	1	B418	Confidential Secretary (Ex. Sec. 28)....	200
7	8	B222	General Clerk .....	190
8	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	300
9	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	190
10	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
11	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	300
12	1	B235	Director of Service .....	250
13	1	B242	Blockbook Draftsman .....	225
14	1	B302	Addressing Machine Operator .....	155
15	1	B310a	Tabulating Alphabetic Key Punch Operator .....	155
16	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator .....	165
17	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
18	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
19	2	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
20	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	190
21	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
22	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.....	200
23	1	G4	Supervising Land Appraiser .....	300
24	1	G5	Chief Land Appraiser .....	330
25	4	G10	Supervising Building Appraiser .....	300
26	1	G11	Chief Building Appraiser .....	330

## Section 5. ASSESSOR (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
27	5	G16	Supervising Personal Property Appraisers .....	300
28	1	G17	Chief Personal Property Appraiser....	330
29	1	G20	Chief Assistant Assessor .....	400
30*	11	B222	General Clerks .....	160
31*	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	150
32			Seasonal Clerical Services and other temporary services at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.	

\*Occupants of these positions are paid from appropriations for temporary services and have acquired permanent status under the rule of the Civil Service Commission adopted pursuant to Section 148 of the Charter.

## Section 6. CITY ATTORNEY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		City Attorney .....	\$ 833.33
2	1	B222	General Clerk .....	200
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
4	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
5	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator .....	160
6	1	F702	Valuation Engineer .....	300
7	1	F706	Chief Valuation Engineer .....	750
8	1	K4	Attorney, Civil .....	300
9	2	K4	Attorney, Civil .....	250
10	2	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil .....	350
11	1	K8	Principal Attorney, Civil .....	800
12	1	K8	Principal Attorney, Civil .....	500
13	1	K12	Chief Attorney, Civil .....	600
14	1	K16	Special Counsel, Water Service .....	833.33
15			Seasonal Clerical Services .....	150

## Section 7. DISTRICT ATTORNEY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		District Attorney .....	\$ 666.66
2	2	B154	Criminal Law Clerk .....	200
3	3	B154	Criminal Law Clerk .....	190
4	1	B166	Chief Clerk, District Attorney's Office...	250
5	1	B162	Asst. Director, Bureau of Domestic Relations, District Attorney's Office.....	225
6	1	B163	Director, Bureau of Domestic Relations, District Attorney's Office.....	250
7	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
8	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
9	1	B222	General Clerk (part time) .....	75
10	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
11	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	150
12	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
13	1	B516	Senior Clerk-Typist .....	175
14	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil .....	375
15	5	K54	Attorney, Criminal .....	250
16	1	K54	Attorney, Criminal .....	190
17	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	425



## Section 7. DISTRICT ATTORNEY (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
18	3	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	375
19	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	300
20	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	250
21	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	225
22	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	200
23	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	190
24	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	150
25	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal .....	375
26	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal .....	300
27	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal .....	250
28		B420	Phonographic Reporter (as needed) .....\$12.50 day plus transcripts	

## Section 8. TREASURER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Treasurer . . . . .	\$ 666.66
2	1	B10	Accountant . . . . .	240
3	1	B14	Senior Accountant . . . . .	300
4	1	B102	Teller . . . . .	240
5	3	B102	Teller . . . . .	210
6	2	B104	Senior Teller . . . . .	250
7	1	B104	Senior Teller . . . . .	240
8	1	B112	Assistant Cashier, Treasurer's Office....	375
9	1	B112	Assistant Cashier, Treasurer's Office....	350
10	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	155
11	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	175

## Section 9. SHERIFF

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Sheriff . . . . .	\$ 666.66
2	1	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	175
3	1	B84	Under Sheriff . . . . .	300
4	1	B98	Confidential Secretary to Sheriff (ex-empt Sec. 32) . . . . .	275
5	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	250
6	3	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	215
7	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	155
8	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	250
9	1	B362	Produce Buyer and Storekeeper.....	215
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	185
11	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	175
12	2	C52	Elevator Operator . . . . .	155
13	7	C154	Keeper . . . . .	160
14	1	C156	Head Keeper . . . . .	200
15	1	D2	Bailiff . . . . .	215
16	26	D2	Bailiff . . . . .	197
17	4	D3	Woman Bailiff . . . . .	170
18	1	D5	Detention Hospital Bailiff.....	220
19	5	D52	Jail Matron . . . . .	197
20	3	D52	Jail Matron . . . . .	190
21	2	D52	Jail Matron . . . . .	180
22	2	D54	Head Jail Matron . . . . .	210
23	12	D60	Jailer . . . . .	197

## Section 9. SHERIFF (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
24	4	D60	Jailer . . . . .	190
25	6	D60	Jailer . . . . .	180
26	3	D60	Jailer . . . . .	170
27	1	D60	Jailer . . . . .	225
28	6	D64	Captain of Watch . . . . .	210
29	2	D66	Superintendent of Jail . . . . .	275
30	8	D102	Writ Server . . . . .	220
31	2	D102	Writ Server . . . . .	197
32	1	I12	Cook . . . . .	195
33	1	I14	Junior Chef . . . . .	182
34	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil (part time) . . . . .	200
35	1	L360	Physician . . . . . (Deduct for B. L. & R.)	335
36	1	O1	Chauffeur . . . . .	180
37	1	O52	Farmer . . . . .	200
38	1	O52	Farmer . . . . .	145
39	3	O168	Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines..	220

## Section 10. PUBLIC DEFENDER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Public Defender . . . . .	\$ 666.66
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	165
3	2	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal . . . . .	375

## Section 11. POLICE DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioner . . . . .	\$ 100
2	1		Chief of Police . . . . .	600
3	1		Deputy Chief of Police . . . . .	450
4	1		Property Clerk . . . . .	300
5	1		Police Surgeon . . . . .	200
6	1	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	175
7	1		Department Secretary . . . . .	400
8	1	B310a	Tabulating Alphabetic Key Punch Operator . . . . .	150
8½	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator . . . . .	150
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	175
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	230
11	1	B420	Phonographic Reporter . . . . .	250
12	7	B454	Telephone Operator . . . . .	150
13	1		Director of Criminal Information . . . . .	333.33
14	1		Director of Personnel . . . . .	333.33
15	1		Director of Special Services . . . . .	250

## BUREAU OF INSPECTORS

16	1		Captain of Inspectors . . . . .	416.66
17	75		Inspector . . . . .	230
18	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	200
19	1	D152	Criminologist . . . . .	300
20	3	Q20	Police Women . . . . .	200
21	6	Q60	Lieutenants . . . . .	250**
22	1	Q62	Photographer, Police Department . . . . .	225



## Section 11. POLICE DEPARTMENT (Continued)

## UNIFORM FORCE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
23	1		Supervising Captain .....	333.33
24	1		Captain of Traffic .....	333.33
25	1		Inspector of Motor Vehicles.....	230
26	1		Inspector of Junior Traffic.....	230
27	1		Inspector of Horses and Equipment.....	230
28	1		Inspector of Repairs and Maintenance...	230
29	3	D52	Jail Matron .....	175
30	1	D52	Jail Matron .....	170
31	1	I14	Junior Chef .....	195
32	10	J70	Hostlers .....	180
33	3	O158	Motor Boat Operator.....	200
34	1	O158	Motor Boat Operator (Relief) at rate of	200
35	959	Q2	Policemen .....	200
36	25	Q30	Police Patrol Driver.....	200
37	181	Q50	Sergeant .....	220**
38	47	Q60	Lieutenant .....	250**
39	12	Q80	Captain .....	300**

\*\*In event of a vacancy in this rank the position may be abolished and the number of Policemen correspondingly increased without amendment of this ordinance and the Policeman's position may be filled subject to the provisions of Sections 1 and 2 hereof.

## Section 12. FIRE DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioner .....	\$ 100
2	1		Chief Engineer .....	600
3	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	225
4	1	B68	Chief Clerk, Fire Department.....	400
5	1	B306	Multigraph Operator .....	165
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
7	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
8	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	165
9	895	H2	Firemen .....	180-200*
10	28	H10	Chief's Operator .....	210
11	11	H15	Engineer of Fire Engines.....	220**
12	114	H20	Lieutenant .....	222.50**
13	74	H30	Captain .....	235**
14	23	H40	Battalion Chief .....	350**
15	1	H42	Chief, Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation .....	400
16	4	H50	Assistant Chief Engineer .....	400
17	1	L360	Physician (Part-time) .....	235
18	6	O166	Firemen of Stationary Steam Engines..	195
19	1	O166	Fireman of Stationary Steam Engines..	185
20	2	O168	Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines	230
21	4	O168	Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines	220
22	1	O172	Chief Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines .....	280
23	3	J4	Laborer, \$6 per day.....	
24	1	M4	Master Mechanic .....	416.66
25	12	O304	Hydrantman Gateman .....	215
26	1	O304	Hydrantman Gateman .....	205
27	1	O310	Foreman Hydrantman Gateman .....	255
28	1	U112	Pipe Calker, at \$7.50 per day .....	

## Section 12. FIRE DEPARTMENT (Continued)

## FIRE BOAT CREWS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
29	1	H120	Pilot of Fire Boats (Relief) .....	255
30	4	H120	Pilot of Fire Boats .....	255
31	9	H110	Marine Engineer (Fire Boats).....	255
32	9	H102	Marine Stoker (Fire Boats).....	205

\*At rates fixed by Charter.

\*\*In event of a vacancy in this rank, the position may be abolished and the number of positions for Firemen correspondingly increased without amendment of this ordinance and the Fireman's position may be filled subject to the provisions of Sections 1 and 2 hereof.

## Section 13. BOARD OF PERMIT APPEALS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5		Members of Board, \$15 per meeting.	
2	1	B61	Secretary, Board of Permit Appeals....\$	250

## Section 14. PARK DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Accountant . . . . .	\$ 200
2	1		Assistant Manager, Kezar Stadium.....	225
3	1		Athletic Organizer .....	475
4	10		Attendants .....	75
5	1		Attendants (men's) .....	140
6	1		Attendant, Coit Tower.....	50
7	1		Attendant, Coit Tower.....	100
8	1		Attendant, Bathhouse .....	135
9	1		Cashier, Chief .....	200
10	1		Cashier (as needed).....	135
11	2		Clerk-Stenographers, General .....	125
12	1		Cook (Children's Quarters).....	90
13	1		Engineer .....	175
14	1		Engineer, Chief .....	215
16	1		Fireman .....	175
17	1		Golf Starter .....	180
18	1		Golf Starter .....	175
19	1		Golf Starter, Assistant.....	165
20	1		Groundkeeper, Kezar Stadium.....	165
21	1		Harbor Master, Day .....	125
22	1		Harbor Master, Night.....	125
26	1		Manager (Lincoln Cafe) .....	135
27	1		Manager (Fleishhacker Booth) .....	175
28	1		Matron (as needed) .....	80
29	2		Pump Men .....	175
30	1		Secretary .....	400
32	1		Stenographer .....	115
33	1		Superintendent ..	725
34	1		Superintendent (Assistant in charge of Construction) .....	325
35	1		Superintendent (Assistant) .....	275
36	1		Superintendent (Assistant) .....	235
37	1		Superintendent of Motor Vehicles.....	250
38	1		Superintendent of Restaurant Activities	250



## Section 14. PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
40	1		Supervisor, Materials and Supplies.....	225
41	1		Timekeeper .....	150
42	1		Timekeeper (Assistant) .....	100
43	1		Utility (Children's Quarters) .....	175
44	1		Waitress, Head (Children's Quarters)..	95
45	2		Windmill Attendants .....	125
46	1		Zoo Director and Zoological Expert....	333.33
47	1		Golf Starter .....	175
48	1		Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
49	1		Inspector of Personnel .....	200
50	1		Superintendent (Assistant in charge of Golf Courses) .....	250
51	1		Superintendent (Assistant Botanist—Entomologist—Arboretum) .....	225
52	1		Manager Children's Quarters .....	150
53	1		Superintendent, Assistant (Construction)	250
54	1		Assistant Athletic Organizer .....	225

## Section 15. PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)

## TEMPORARY PER DIEM AS NEEDED

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Per Diem Rate
55			Animal Keepers .....	\$ 5
56			Apprentice . . . . .	3
57			Ball Field Boys (per hour) .....	.50
58			Booth Helpers (per hour) .....	.25
59			Booth Helpers (per hour) .....	.30
60			Boys to Attend Donkeys (per hour)....	.30
61			Boys to Attend Ponies (per hour).....	.20
62			Carpenters . . . . .	9
63			Carpenters (Assistants) .....	7
64			Cashiers (per hour) .....	.30
65			Cashier (Assistant) . . . . .	3
66			Cashier . . . . .	3.50
67			Cashier . . . . .	4
68			Cashier . . . . .	3
69			Chauffeur . . . . .	7
70			Chauffeurs . . . . .	7.50
71			Clerks . . . . .	3
72			Clerks . . . . .	4
73			Clerks . . . . .	5
74			Cook . . . . .	7.50
75			Cook . . . . .	6.50
76			Cook, Relief . . . . .	5.50
77			Cook . . . . .	4
78			Cook . . . . .	8
79			Dishwasher . . . . .	3.50
80			Dishwasher . . . . .	4
81			Foreman . . . . .	6
82			Foreman . . . . .	6.50
83			Foreman . . . . .	7
84			Foreman . . . . .	7.50
85			Foreman . . . . .	8
86			Foreman . . . . .	8.50
87			Foreman (Plaster Work) .....	12
88			Gardeners . . . . .	5.50
89			Gardeners . . . . .	6

## Section 15. PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)

## TEMPORARY PER DIEM AS NEEDED (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Per Diem Rate
90			Gardener . . . . .	6.50
91			Glaziers . . . . .	9
92			Janitor . . . . .	5
93			Janitress . . . . .	3
94			Kiddy-Kar Boys (per hour) . . . . .	.30
95			Laborers . . . . .	4
96			Laborers . . . . .	5
97			Laborers . . . . .	5.50
98			Laborers . . . . .	6
99			Laborers . . . . .	2.50
100			Laborers (per hour) . . . . .	.50
101			Laborers, Apprentice . . . . .	2.50
102			Laborers, Apprentice . . . . .	3
103			Laborers, Apprentice . . . . .	4
104			Master Painters . . . . .	15
105			Matron . . . . .	3
106			Manager . . . . .	5
107			Merry-Go-Round Boys (per hour) . . . . .	.30
108			Model Maker . . . . .	9
109			Model Caster . . . . .	8
110			Model Caster . . . . .	7
111			Modelers (Plaster) . . . . .	15
112			Mower Men . . . . .	6.50
113			Office Boy . . . . .	2.50
114			Operator Merry-Go-Round . . . . .	5
115			Pantryman (Harding Cafe) . . . . .	3.50
116			Painters . . . . .	9
117			Picnic Cafe Helpers (per hour) . . . . .	.30
118			Plasterers . . . . .	10
119			Plasterer's Tender . . . . .	7.50
120			Porter . . . . .	4
121			Porter . . . . .	3.50
122			Porter . . . . .	3
123			Rides Man . . . . .	3
124			Roofers . . . . .	8
125			Sheet Metal Workers . . . . .	8
126			Stableman . . . . .	5
127			Starters, Sub. (Golf Course) . . . . .	5
128			Starter, Assistant . . . . .	5
129			Stenographers . . . . .	4
130			Superintendent (Assistant in Charge of Golf Courses) . . . . .	8.50
131			Supply Agent . . . . .	5
132			Storeroom Keeper . . . . .	3.50
133			Teamsters . . . . .	6
134			Teamsters . . . . .	6.50
135			Tennis Courts Manager . . . . .	5.50
136			Tractor Driver . . . . .	6
137			Tractor Man . . . . .	6.50
138			Tractor Man . . . . .	9
139			Utility Helpers (per hour) . . . . .	.25
140			Utility . . . . .	4
141			Waiter . . . . .	3
142			Waiter . . . . .	3.50
143			Waiter . . . . .	4
144			Waitresses . . . . .	3
145			Waitresses . . . . .	3.50
146			Waitresses . . . . .	4



## Section 15. PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)

## TEMPORARY PER DIEM AS NEEDED (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Per Diem Rate
147			Waitresses . . . . .	5
148			Watchman, Night (H. F. Zoo) . . . . .	5
149			Yardman . . . . .	2.50
150			Yardman . . . . .	4.50
151			Yardman . . . . .	4
152			Yardman . . . . .	3.50
153			Yardman . . . . .	3

## PERSONAL SERVICES WAGES

154			Civil Engineer—Draftsman . . . . .	7.00
155			Civil Engineer—Designer . . . . .	7.50
156			Surveyor's Assistant . . . . .	7.00
157			Draftsman . . . . .	7.00
158			Surveyor . . . . .	8.00
159			Landscape Architect . . . . .	8.00
160			Inspector Construction . . . . .	8.00
161			Life Guards . . . . .	5.00
162			Bathhouse Attendants . . . . .	5.00
163			Kezar Stadium Attendants . . . . .	5.00
164			Booth Helpers . . . . .	3.00
165			Assistant Surveyor . . . . .	7.00
166			Steam Shovel Operator . . . . .	10
167			Construction Superintendent . . . . .	10
168			Timekeeper . . . . .	5.50
169			Dishwasher . . . . .	5
170			Waiter . . . . .	5
171			Cashier . . . . .	5
172			Manager . . . . .	7
173			Matron . . . . .	4

Other mechanical and craft classifications as needed for temporary construction activities at rates not to exceed the prevailing rate for the respective class.

## Section 16. RECREATION DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	2	A154	Carpenter at \$9 per day . . . . .	
2	1	A158	Sub-Foreman Carpenter at \$10 per day . . . . .	
3	1	A354	Painter at \$9 per day . . . . .	
4	1	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	\$ 185
5	1	B185	Business Manager, Recreation Dept. . . . .	300
6	2	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	155
7	2	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
8	1	B351	Supervisor of Recreation Supplies and Equipment . . . . .	200
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	175
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	165
11	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	155
12	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	150
13	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman . . . . .	235
14	1	F304	Supervisor of Playground Construction and Maintenance . . . . .	350
15	10	J4	Laborer . . . . .	150
16	1	J12	Labor Foreman . . . . .	175
17	49	J72	Playground Caretaker . . . . .	155
18	2	J72	Playground Caretaker . . . . .	145
19	3	O1	Chauffeur . . . . .	160
20	4	O58	Gardener . . . . .	145

## Section 16. RECREATION DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
21	4	O58	Gardener . . . . .	155
22	1	O62	Superintendent of Grounds, Recreation Department . . . . .	200
23	1	R2	Secretary and Supervisor of Spec. Activities . . . . .	250
24	1	R3	Assistant Superintendent, Rec. Dept....	260
25	1	R4	Superintendent, Rec. Dept. . . . .	400
26	14	R56	Playground Director (part time).....	75
27		R56	Playground Director (part time).....	65
28	1	R56	Playground Director . . . . .	185
29	4	R56	Playground Director . . . . .	175
30	20	R56	Playground Director . . . . .	160
31	22	R56	Playground Director . . . . .	155
32	4	R56	Playground Director . . . . .	145
33	1	R56	Playground Director (9½ mo.) . . . . .	185
34		R56	Playground Director, 65c per hour....	
35	1	R105	Supervisor of Athletics . . . . .	225
36	1	R106	Supervisor of Dramatics . . . . .	225
37	1	R107	Supervisor of Women's Activities.....	225
39	1	R108	Supervisor of Music . . . . .	210
40	2	R112	Matron, Swimming Pool—7 mo. . . . .	110
41	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo. . . . .	145
42	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo. . . . .	190
43		R114	Swimming Instructor—5 mo. (same as Item 42) . . . . .	155
44	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo. . . . .	145
45	1	R116	Supervisor of Swimming . . . . .	195
46			Pianist (as needed) . . . . . per call	2.50
46a		R112	Matron, Swimming Pool, 65c per hour..	
46b		R114	Swimming Instructor, 65c per hour....	

## CAMP MATHER SEASONAL EMPLOYMENT, AS NEEDED

—All maintenance provided shall be charged for and deduction made from salaries in accordance with schedule specified herein.

47		A154	Carpenters at \$9 per day . . . . .	
48		A354	Painter at \$9 per day . . . . .	
49		A404	Plumber at \$9 per day.....	
50	1	B4	Bookkeeper (less B. R. & L.) . . . . .	139
51		B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	150
52		B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	150
53		E154	Lineman at \$9 per day . . . . .	
54		I8	Head Baker (deduct R. & L.).....	137.50
55		I12	Cook (deduct R. & L.) . . . . .	162.50
56		I16	Chef (deduct R. & L.).....	210.50
57		J4	Laborer (less room) . . . . .	150
58		L352	Interne . . . . .	102.50
59	1	O1	Chauffeur (deduct B., R. & L.).....	160
60		P102	Registered Nurse . . . . .	102.50
61			Team Hire for Camp, at rates specified in Purchasers' Contract . . . . .	
62			Camp Guide (P. T.), less than \$80.....	
63			Life Guard (P. T.), less than \$80.....	
64	1	R56	Playground Director 2½ mos. (less B. R. & L.) . . . . .	210
65		R101	Camp Assistant (part time), less than \$80 . . . . .	
66	1	R102	Camp Manager (9½ mos. at \$225; 2½ mos. at \$260 less B. R. & L.) . . . . .	



## Section 17. PUBLIC LIBRARY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A154	Carpenter .....	\$ 200
2	1	B72	Secretary, Board of Library Trustees..	250
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
4	8	B222	General Clerk .....	85
5	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
6	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	160
7	1	C52	Elevator Operator .....	110
8	1	C52	Elevator Operator (part time).....	65
9	1	C102	Janitress .....	75
10	1	C102	Janitress (part time).....	82.50
11	1	C102	Janitress .....	65
12	11		Janitress or Janitor (part time).....	55
13	7		Janitress or Janitor (part time).....	27.50
14	1	C104	Janitor .....	185
15	2	C104	Janitor .....	125
16	1	C152	Watchman .....	150
17	1	J54	Book Repairer .....	90
18	4	J54	Book Repairer .....	110
19	1	J54	Book Repairer .....	125
20	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	160

## DEPARTMENTAL TITLES

21	7		Branch Librarian .....	175
22	5		Librarian .....	100
23	12		Librarian .....	130
24	15		Librarian .....	140
25	7		Librarian .....	150
26	19		Librarian .....	160
27	10		Librarian .....	175
28	1		Librarian .....	225
29	1		Librarian .....	250
30	1		City Librarian .....	400
31			Substitutes, 50c per hour.....	
32			Pages, 30c per hour .....	
33	1		Station Keeper (part time).....	50
34	4		Station Keepers (part time).....	15

## Section 18. WAR MEMORIAL

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B59	Secretary, Board of Trustees, War Memorial . . . . .	\$ 275
2	1	B96	Managing Director, War Memorial....	550
3	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
4	2	C52	Elevator Operator .....	145
5	1	C106	Janitor, Sub-Foreman .....	170
6	13	C104	Janitor . . . . .	145
7	3	C104	Janitor . . . . .	155
8	3	C152	Watchman . . . . .	145
9	2	C152	Watchman . . . . .	155
10	1	C202	Window Cleaner .....	170
11	1	E108	Electrician . . . . .	250
12	1	E109	Stage Electrician, \$75 per week .....	
13	1	E130	Elevator Mechanic . . . . .	280
14	3	O168	Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines....	220
15	1	A165	Stage Carpenter, \$75 per week .....	
16	1	C252	Opera House Attendant (part-time)....	75

## Section 18. WAR MEMORIAL (Continued)

## ART MUSEUM

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
17	2	C52	Elevator Operator .....	145
18	2	C104	Janitor . . . . .	145

## AS NEEDED

19	1	A170	Stage Property Man, \$12.50 per day....	
20	1	C251	Supervisor of Opera House Attendants, \$10 a performance .....	

## Section 19. ART COMMISSION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time)\$	75
2	1	B57	Secretary, Art Commission .....	250

## Section 20. CALIFORNIA PALACE OF THE LEGION OF HONOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Departmental Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Assistant Director .....	\$ 333.33
2	1		Engineer and Building Superintendent.	225
3	1		Organist (part time) .....	300
4	1		Supervisor . . . . .	200
5	1		Galleryman . . . . .	125
6	2		Galleryman . . . . .	130
7	2		Galleryman . . . . .	135
8	1		Head Janitor .....	135
9	1		Janitor's Assistant .....	130
10	1		Assistant Mechanic .....	140
11	1		Recorder . . . . .	135
12	1		Stenographer . . . . .	150
13	1		Stenographer . . . . .	135
14	1		Librarian . . . . .	125
15	1		Gallery Assistant .....	125
16	3		Caretaker . . . . .	110
17	3		Watchman (Special Police Officers) ....	135
18	1		Organ Repairer (as needed) .....	33
19			Seasonal Clerical and Mechanical Ser- vices (as needed) .....	150

## Section 21. M. H. deYOUNG MEMORIAL MUSEUM

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Director . . . . .	\$ 591.66
2	1		Supervisor of Exhibits .....	200
3	1		Recorder . . . . .	175
4	1		Secretary to Director .....	175
5	1		Museum Instructor .....	150
6	1		Museum Instructor .....	175
7	1		Museum Instructor and Special Expert (Curator of Decorative Arts) .....	150
8	1		Assistant Museum Instructor .....	125
9	1		Stenographer . . . . .	135
10	1		Head Gallery Man .....	200



## Section 21. M. H. deYOUNG MEMORIAL MUSEUM (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
11	1		Labeller . . . . .	140
12	1		Clerk . . . . .	125
13	1		Mechanic . . . . .	190
14	1		Assistant Mechanic . . . . .	140
15	1		Janitor . . . . .	130
16	1		Assistant Janitor . . . . .	125
17	1		Head Caretaker . . . . .	115
18	1		Checker . . . . .	110
19	3		Caretaker . . . . .	110
20	1		Secretary Board of Trustees . . . . .	240
21	4		Gallery Man . . . . .	125
22	5		Gallery Man . . . . .	130
23	5		Gallery Man . . . . .	135
24	4		Watchman (Special Police Officers) . . . . .	135
25	1		Curator of Prints . . . . .	125
26	1		Assistant Head Gallery Man . . . . .	150
27	1		Expert Repairman . . . . .	150
28	1		Utility Man . . . . .	140
29	1		Installation Man . . . . .	140
30	1		Lecturer, \$10 a Sunday . . . . .	
31			Seasonal Clerical and Mechanical Services (as needed) . . . . .	150

## Section 22. STEINHART AQUARIUM

Positions and rates of pay fixed by California Academy of Sciences and not included herein. Salaries audited by voucher.

## Section 23. MUNICIPAL COURT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	12		Judges . . . . .	\$ 625
2	1	B85	Jury Commissioner, Municipal Court...	450
3	12	B152	Court Room Clerk . . . . .	210
4	1	B154	Criminal Law Clerk . . . . .	200
5	1	B156	Senior Criminal Law Clerk . . . . .	250
6	4	B160	Civil Law Clerk . . . . .	200
7	4	B164	Senior Civil Law Clerk . . . . .	265
8	1	B165	Cashier, Municipal Court . . . . .	300
9	1	B170	Chief Assistant Clerk, Municipal Court..	300
10	1	B172	Clerk of Municipal Court.....	500
11	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	250
12	2	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	200
13	2	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
14	4	B420	Phonographic Reporter, \$12.50 per day, plus transcriptions.	
15	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	200
16	4	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	175
17	8	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	155

**Section 24. SUPERIOR COURT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	16		Judges . . . . .	\$ 500
2	1		Secretary-Jury Commissioner . . . . .	600*
3	1		Assistant Secretary-Jury Commissioner. . . . .	300*
4	1		Assistant Secretary-Jury Commissioner. . . . .	250*
5	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator . . . . .	190
6	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator . . . . .	150
7	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator . . . . .	125
8	8	B252	Court Interpreter . . . . .	175
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	200
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	175
11	4	B420	Phonographic Reporter, \$12.50 per day, plus transcriptions. . . . .	
12	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	150

\*(Titles fixed by State law.)

**Section 25. LAW LIBRARY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	K102	Assistant Law Librarian . . . . .	\$ 275
2	1	K104	Law Librarian . . . . .	475
3	1	O102	Bookbinder . . . . .	200

**Section 26. JUVENILE COURT—PROBATION OFFICE**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	\$ 225
2	1	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	175
3	1	B254	Interpreter-Typist . . . . .	210
4	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	150
5	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	185
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	160
7	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	175
8	1	L404	Psychologist . . . . .	180
9	1	T54	Collector, Juvenile Court . . . . .	180
10	1	T56	Probation Officer . . . . .	225
11	8	T56	Probation Officer . . . . .	210
12	2	T56	Probation Officer . . . . .	195
13	1	T56	Probation Officer . . . . .	185
14	2	T56	Probation Officer . . . . .	180
15	1	T57	Psychiatric Probation Officer . . . . .	180
16	1	T60	Senior Probation Officer . . . . .	240
17	1	T60	Senior Probation Officer . . . . .	235
18	1	T60	Senior Probation Officer . . . . .	225
19	1	T64	Referee (part time) . . . . .	250
20	1	T72	Chief Juvenile Probation Officer . . . . .	380
21	1	B420	Phonographic Reporter (as needed), \$12.50 per day plus transcriptions. . . . .	



**Section 27. JUVENILE COURT—DETENTION HOME**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	C104	Janitor .....	\$ 155
2	1	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R.).....	78
3	1	I12	Cook (deduct for R.).....	130
4	1	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for R. & B.).	135
5	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 2 M.).	161.50
6	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 2 M.).	135
7	2	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 2 M.).	145
8	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 1 M.).	145
9	6	T4	Woman Attendant (deduct for R. & B.).	122.50
10	1	T4	Woman Attendant (deduct for R.).....	100
11	1	T10	Assistant Superintendent (deduct for R. & B.) .....	175
12	1	T12	Superintendent, Juvenile Det. Home (deduct for R. & B.).....	207.50

**Section 28. ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	\$ 175
2	1	*B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	180
3	5	T56	Probation Officer .....	210
4	1	T56	Probation Officer .....	200
5	1	T58	Probation Officer-Stenographer .....	210
6	1	T70	Chief Probation Officer.....	325

\*Maximum entrance rate for this classification, \$155.

**Section 29. CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Chief Administrative Officer .....	\$ 1,000
2	1	B97	Executive Secretary, Chief Administrative Officer . . . . .	325
3	1	B210	Office Assistant (part time).....	79.50
4	1	B415	Confidential Secretary, Chief Administrative Officer . . . . .	175
5	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator (part time) .....	75

**Section 30. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—DIRECTOR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B95	Director of Finance and Records.....	\$ 500
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155

### Section 31. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS— TAX COLLECTOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B93	Tax Collector . . . . .	\$ 666.66
2	1	B92	Chief Clerk . . . . .	325
3	1	B89	Director, Bureau of Licenses . . . . .	250
4	1	B102	Teller . . . . .	240
5	1	B102	Teller . . . . .	215
6	1	B104	Senior Teller . . . . .	240
7	1	B108	Chief Teller Tax Collector's Office . . . . .	300
8	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	215
9	15	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	200
10	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	185
11	3	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
12	10	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	160
13	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	200
14	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	275
15	1	B237	Tax Redemption Clerk . . . . .	200
16	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	175
17	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	155
18	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	200
19	1	G152	License Adjuster . . . . .	250
20	2	G152	License Adjuster . . . . .	215
21	1	B91	Director Bureau of Delinquent Revenue . . . . .	400
22	1	K4	Attorney, Civil . . . . .	275
23			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed) . . . . .	150

### Section 32. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS— REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B62	Chief Clerk Elections . . . . .	\$ 350
2	1	B64	Chief Clerk Registrations . . . . .	350
3	1	B66	Registrar of Voters . . . . .	500
4	1	B210	Office Assistant (part time) . . . . .	79.50
5	2	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	250
6	5	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	225
7	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	200
8	2	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
9	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	250
10	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	250
11	1	B304	Senior Addressing Machine Operator . . . . .	225
12	1	B305	Voting Machine Adjuster . . . . .	175
13	1	B355	Custodian of Voting Machines . . . . .	250
14	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	200
15			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed) . . . . .	
16		B202	Judges of Election at \$7.50 per day . . . . .	
17		B204	Inspectors of Election at \$7.50 per day . . . . .	
18		B302	Addressing Machine Operator . . . . .	155
19		B305	Voting Machine Adjuster . . . . .	155
20		B222	General Clerk . . . . .	150
21		C104	Janitor at \$2.50 per evening . . . . .	



### Section 33. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS— RECORDER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B80	Chief Clerk .....	\$ 300
2	1	B81	Recorder . . . . .	666.66
3	1	B102	Teller . . . . .	210
4	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	199
5	5	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	200
6	4	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	215
7	2	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	215
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	200
9	15	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	200
10	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	175
11	5	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	155
12	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	165

### Section 34. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS— COUNTY CLERK

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	18	B152	Courtroom Clerk . . . . .	\$ 200
2	1	B156	Senior Criminal Law Clerk.....	220
3	1	B160	Civil Law Clerk . . . . .	250
4	4	B160	Civil Law Clerk . . . . .	200
5	1	B161	Cashier, County Clerk's Office.....	220
6	3	B164	Senior Civil Law Clerk.....	265
6½	1	B164	Senior Civil Law Clerk . . . . .	220
7	1	B168	Chief Clerk—County Clerk's Office.....	300
8	1	B169	County Clerk . . . . .	500
9	14	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	200
10	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
11	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	200
12	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	200
13	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	200

### Section 35. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS— PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	\$ 375
2	1	B173	Public Administrator . . . . .	666.66
3	1	B212	Special Messenger (part time) . . . . .	75
4	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	300
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	165
6	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	160
7	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	180
8	1	K4	Attorney, Civil (part time) . . . . .	300
9	1	K4	Attorney, Civil (part time) . . . . .	250
10	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil . . . . .	666.66

## Section 36. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B18	Chief Accountant, Purchasing Dept. ...\$	466.66
2	2	B222	General Clerk .....	200
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
4	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	250
5	2	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator .....	165
6	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator .....	155
7	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator.....	175
8	3	B352	Storekeeper . . . . .	150
9	1	B352	Storekeeper . . . . .	135
10	1	B354	General Storekeeper .....	220
11	2	B354	General Storekeeper .....	200
12	1	B354	General Storekeeper .....	180
13	1	B357	Chief Storekeeper, 7 mos. at \$325; 5 mos. at \$250.	
14	1	B358	Assistant Stationery Buyer.....	225
15	1	B360	Printing and Stationery Buyer.....	250
16	1	B362	Produce Buyer and Storekeeper.....	175
17	1	B362	Produce Buyer and Storekeeper.....	160
18	1	B382	Supervisor of Equipment and Supplies..	200
19	1	B364	Produce Buyer and General Storekeeper	300
20	1	B364	Produce Buyer and General Storekeeper	225
21	1	B366	Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies	250
22	2	B366	Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies	200
23	1	B370	R. R. Equipment Purchasing Agent.....	250
24	1	B371	Purchasing Agent—Water Service.....	325
25	1	B372	Purchasing Agent—Other Services.....	350
26	1	B374	Purchaser of Supplies .....	833.33
27	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
28	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
29	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
30	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
31	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	150
32	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
33	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	190
34	3	J4	Laborer, \$6 per day.....	
35	1	J12	Foreman Laborer .....	195
36	1	J66	Garageman . . . . .	150
37	1	J66	Garageman at \$6.50 per day.....	
38	1	N302	Inspector of General Supplies .....	215
39	1	N302	Inspector of General Supplies.....	200
40		B512	General Clerk-Typist (as needed).....	150



### Section 37. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—INTER-DEPARTMENTAL SERVICE

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
41	2	A156	Patternmaker, \$10 per day.....	
42	5	A364	Car and Auto Painter, \$10 per day.....	
43	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	\$ 185
44	1	C152	Watchman .....	170
45	2	C152	Watchman .....	155
46	1	E104	Batteryman-Electrician, \$9 per day ....	
47	1	J62	Fire Hose Repairer.....	160
48	1	J66	Garageman .....	160
49	3	J66	Garageman, \$6.50 per day .....	
50	1	J67	Vulcanizer, \$7 per day .....	
51	1	M2	General Foreman Machinist .....	300
52	1	M3	Superintendent, Fire Equipment Repair Shop .....	350
53	24	M54	Auto Machinist, \$9 per day .....	
54	1	M60	Auto Fender and Body Worker, \$9 per day .....	
55	4	M104	Blacksmith Helper, \$7.08 per day.....	
56	1	M104	Blacksmith Helper, \$8 per day .....	
57	5	M108	Blacksmith, \$9 per day .....	
58	1	M154	Boilermaker's Helper, \$7.08 per day...	
59	1	M156	Boilermaker, \$9 per day .....	
60	2	M252	Machinist Helper, \$7.08 per day .....	
61	5	M254	Machinist, \$9 per day .....	
62	1	O1	Chauffeur, \$6.50 per day .....	
63	1	O108	Leatherworker, \$9 per day .....	
64	1	O152	Eng. H. & P. Engines, \$10 per day.....	

### Section 38. REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	\$ 175
2	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	275
3	1	G206	Chief Right of Way Agent .....	600

### INTERDEPARTMENTAL

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	\$ 160
5	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
6	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.....	200
7	5	G202	Division Right of Way Agent.....	300
8	1	G204	Assistant Chief Right of Way Agent....	375
9			Real Estate and Improvement Appraiser at rates fixed by special appropriation.	

### Section 39. REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT—EXPOSITION AUDITORIUM

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A154	Carpenter . . . . .	\$ 225
2	1	A354	Painter at \$9.75 per day . . . . .	
3	1	C2	Asst. Superintendent of Auditorium . . . . .	200
4	1	C4	Superintendent of Auditorium . . . . .	210
5	4	C104	Janitor . . . . .	155
6	1	C152	Watchman . . . . .	180
7	1	C152	Watchman . . . . .	155
8	1	E108	Electrician . . . . .	250
9	1	O168	Engineer—Stationary Steam Engines..	220
10	1		Organ Repairer (part time) . . . . .	75
11	1	C101	Dressing Room Maid (as needed) (part time), \$3 per day . . . . .	
12		A154	Carpenter (as needed) at \$9 per day . . . . .	
13		C104	Janitor (as needed) at \$5.80 per day . . . . .	

### Section 40. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— GENERAL OFFICE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Director of Public Works . . . . .	\$ 666.66
2	1	B10	Accountant . . . . .	250
3	1	B94	Chief Clerk, Department of Public Works . . . . .	400
4	1	B210	Office Assistant (5 mths. at \$190; 7 mths. at \$85) . . . . .	
5	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	200
6	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	165
7	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
8	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	300
9	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	225
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	155
11	5	B454	Telephone Operator . . . . .	150
12	1	B458	Chief Telephone Operator . . . . .	180
13	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	165

### Section 41. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF ACCOUNTS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	8	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	200
2	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	165
3	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	155
4	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	200
5	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	325
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	185
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	165
8	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	185



**Section 42. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A8	Assistant Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair of Public Buildings.\$	300
2	1	A10	Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair of Public Buildings.....	375
3	1	A160	Foreman Carpenter, D. P. W.....	250
4	1	A208	General Foreman Cement Finisher, D. P. W. ....	275
5	1	A358	General Foreman Painter, D. P. W.....	293.75
6	1	A408	General Foreman Plumber, D. P. W.....	300
7	1	A460	Foreman Sheet Metal Worker, D. P. W.	300
8	1	A506	Foreman Steamfitter, D. P. W.....	275
9	1	E112	Foreman Electrician, D. P. W.....	275
10	13	C52	Elevator Operator .....	155
11	1	C52	Elevator Operator .....	154
12	2	C52	Elevator Operator .....	145
13	1	C54	Elevator Starter .....	180
14	1	C102	Janitress .....	155
15	1	C102	Janitress (Part time) .....	75
16	40	C104	Janitor .....	155
17	14	C104	Janitor .....	145
18	5	C104	Janitor .....	165
19	1	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor .....	190
20	2	C108	Foreman Janitor .....	175
21	1	C108	Foreman Janitor .....	180
22	1	C110	Head Janitor .....	260
23	3	C152	Watchman .....	155
24	2	C152	Watchman .....	145
25	3	C202	Window Cleaner .....	160
26	2	C202	Window Cleaner .....	170
27	1	C204	Sub-Foreman Window Cleaner.....	185
28	5	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Engines....	185
29	7	O168	Engineer Stationary Steam Engines....	220
30	1	O172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam Engines .....	300
31	1	O172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam Engines .....	280

**Section 43. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR**

Employments as required on miscellaneous repair of public buildings, including schools, as provided in Section 95 of the Charter. Number of employments is enumerated wherever the employee has attained permanent civil service tenure in this department. The employments are *not* established as continuing positions but "as needed" when the services are required and the funds are provided.

**INTERDEPARTMENTAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	
3		A58	Marble Setter's Helper.....day	\$ 6
4		A60	*Marble Setter .....	10
5		A62	Tile Setter .....	10
6	21	A154	Carpenter .....	9
7		A158	Sub-Foreman Carpenter .....	9.50
8		A162	Lather .....	10
9		A153	Hardwood Floorman .....	10

**Section 43. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR (Continued)  
INTERDEPARTMENTAL (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	
10	10	A202	Cement Finisher Helper .....day	8
11	3	A204	Cement Finisher .....day	9
12	2	A252	Glazier . . . . .day	8.80
13	1	A252	Glazier . . . . .day	9.50
14	1	A302	Locksmith . . . . .day	9
15	1	A302	Locksmith . . . . .per month	200
16	27	A354	Painter . . . . .day	9.75
17		A380	Paper Hanger . . . . .day	10
18	1	A392	Plasterer . . . . .day	12
19	24	A404	Plumber . . . . .day	10
20	11	A456	Sheet Metal Worker . . . . .day	10
21	1	A458	Sub-Foreman Sheet Metal Worker .day	10.50
22	10	A504	Steamfitter . . . . .day	10
23	1	A551	Apprentice . . . . .day	7
24	1	A551	Apprentice . . . . .day	6.50
25	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .day	7
26	1	C152	Watchman . . . . .per month	145
27	1	C202	Window Cleaner . . . . .per month	155
28	1	E108	Electrician . . . . .per month	225
29	6	E108	Electrician . . . . .day	10
30	1	J4	Laborer . . . . .day	6

Teams and trucks at rates established by  
purchaser's contract.

\*Maximum wage is \$9.

**Section 44. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF BUILDING INSPECTION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	13	A106	Building Inspector .....\$	225
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	150
3	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	175
4	2	F558	Structural Engineer . . . . .	300
5	1	F560	Superintendent Bureau of Building In- spection . . . . .	500
6	1	M158	Boiler Inspector . . . . .	250

**Section 45. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF ENGINEERING**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk .....\$	250
2	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	225
3	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	250
4	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	200
5	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	175
6	1	F4	Second Assistant City Engineer.....	650
7	1	F10	City Engineer . . . . .	650
8	1	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector.....	250
9	1	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector . . . . .	225
10	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	160
11	2	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman . . . . .	250
12	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman . . . . .	240



**Section 45. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF ENGINEERING (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
13	1	F256	Cartographer and Art Designer.....	210
14	4	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	250
15	1	F260	Civil Engineering Designer .....	375
16	3	F260	Civil Engineering Designer.....	300
17	1	F262	Sanitary Engineering Designer.....	325
18	1	F270	Chief Civil Engineering Designer.....	475
19	1	F356	Electrical Engineering Inspector.....	250
20	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer .....	275
21	1	F502	Engineer Assessments and Complaints..	250
22	1	F506	Engineer Grades .....	300
23	1	F510	Engineer Street Improvement Investiga- tions .....	300
24	1	F510	Engineer, Street Improvement Investiga- tions .....	275
25	1	F514	Engineer Street Improvement Plans....	300
26	1	F518	Office Engineer .....	350
27	1	F518	Office Engineer .....	325
28	1	F552	Structural Draftsman .....	200
29	1	F604	Surveyor's Field Assistant .....	250
30	13	F604	Surveyor's Field Assistant .....	225
31	4	F610	Surveyors .....	275
32	1	F612	Office Surveyor .....	225
33	1	F614	Assistant Chief Surveyor .....	300
34	1	F616	Chief Surveyor .....	325
35	1	L116	Senior Engineering Chemist .....	400
36	4	N252	Street Inspector .....	225

**Section 46. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF ENGINEERING (Continued)**

**EMPLOYMENTS PREDICATED ON REVENUE AND BOND ISSUE  
MONEYS**

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and predicated on bond issues and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
37	1	B325	Blue Printer .....	\$ 215
38	1	B327	Photostat Operator .....	225
39	1	B332	Photographer .....	265
40	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
41	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
42	5	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector .....	250
43	27	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector .....	225
44	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector....	275
45	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector....	250
46	1	F208	Chief Civil Engineering Inspector, Minor	300
47	1	F210	Chief Civil Engineering Inspector, Major	400
48	2	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	175
49	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	160
50	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.....	250
51	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.....	225
52	2	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.....	200
53	5	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	250

Section 46. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF ENGINEERING (Continued)

EMPLOYMENTS PREDICATED ON REVENUE AND BOND  
ISSUE MONEYS (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
54	3	F260	Civil Engineering Designer .....	300
55	1	F260	Civil Engineering Designer.....	250
56	1	F262	Sanitary Engineering Designer.....	250
57	1	F262	Sanitary Engineering Designer.....	300
58	1	F354	Electrical Engineering Designer.....	250
59	4	F452	Mechanical Draftsman .....	200
60	1	F452	Mechanical Draftsman .....	225
61	6	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer .....	250
62	1	F460	Assistant Mechanical Engineer .....	250
63	1	F552	Structural Draftsman .....	200
64	1	F558	Structural Engineer .....	300
65	10	F604	Surveyor's Field Assistant .....	225
66	2	F610	Surveyor .....	250
67	1	L114	Engineering Chemist .....	225
68	1	O152	Engineer of Hoisting and Portable En- gines .....	\$11.40 per day
69		A106	Building Inspector .....	225
70		F654	Traffic Checker .....	155
71		F102	Architectural Draftsman .....	200
72		F106	Architectural Designer .....	250
73		F108	Architect .....	300
74		F352	Electrical Draftsman .....	200
75		F360	Assistant Electrical Engineer .....	250
76		F362	Electrical Engineer .....	300
77		F401	Junior Hydraulic Engineer .....	160
78		F404	Hydraulic Engineering Designer .....	250
79		F406	Assistant Hydraulic Engineer .....	250
80		F408	Hydraulic Engineer .....	300
81		F462	Mechanical Engineer .....	300
82		F554	Structural Engineer Designer .....	275
83		F556	Structural Engineer Inspector .....	250
84		B210	Office Assistant .....	85
85		B4	Bookkeeper .....	175
86		C152	Watchman .....	145
87		F202	Inspector P. W. Construction .....	225
88		F351	Junior Electrical Engineer .....	160
89		F262	Inspector Loading Zones .....	175
90		F664	Traffic Engineer .....	300
91		M256	Mechanical Inspector .....	225
92		J4	Laborer at \$6 per day.....	
93		J6	Water Pipe Welder at \$7.50 per day.....	
94		J12	Labor Foreman at \$7 per day.....	
95		M252	Machinist's Helper at \$7.08 per day.....	
96		M254	Machinist at \$9 per day.....	

Section 47. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
CENTRAL PERMIT BUREAU

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 225
2	1	B222	General Clerk .....	200
3	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	275
4	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
5	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	150



**Section 48. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF SEWER REPAIR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	O208	General Foreman Sewer Connections and Repairs .....	\$ 225
2	2	O214	Assistant Superintendent, Bureau of Sewer Repair .....	250
3	1	O216	Superintendent, Bureau of Sewer Repair	400

**EMPLOYMENTS AS NEEDED**

The occupants of the following positions have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
4	12	A52	Bricklayer's Hodcarrier at \$10 per day..	
5	7	A56	Bricklayer at \$12 per day.....	
6	20	J4	Laborer at \$6 per day.....	
7	4	O1	Chauffeur at \$8 per day.....	
8	35*	O204	Cribber at \$8.80 per day.....	
9	17	O210	Sewer Cleaner at \$9.50 per day.....	
10	1	O208	Gen. Foreman, Sewer Connection and Repair .....	\$ 250
Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established by purchaser's contract.				

\*Thirteen of these not included in budget estimates as compensations are paid by property owners.

**Section 49. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
SEWAGE PUMPING STATION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	O202	Sewer Pumping Station Attendant.....	\$ 180
2	1	U215	Head Pump Operator .....	185

**Section 50. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
DIVISION OF STREET CLEANING**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	4	C152	Watchman .....	\$ 155
2	3	C152	Watchman .....	145
3	237	J4	Laborer at \$6 per day .....	
4	3	J10	Laborer—Sub-Foreman at \$7 per day...	
5	9	J10	Laborer—Sub-Foreman at \$6.50 per day.	
6	2	J66	Garagemen .....	160
7	2	J108	District Director of Street Cleaning....	240
8	1	J108	District Director of Street Cleaning...	225
9	1	J112	Supervisor of Street Cleaning.....	325
10	13	O1	Chauffeur at \$8 per day.....	
11	10	O1	Chauffeur at \$7.50 per day.....	
12	6	O1	Chauffeur at \$8 per day.....	
13	7	O1	Chauffeur at \$7.50 per day.....	
14	1	O18	Sub. Sta. For. and Truck Driver at \$8 per day .....	
15	1	O58	Gardener at \$6 per day.....	
Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established by purchaser's contract.				

### Section 51. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF STREETS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	F220	General Superintendent of Streets.....	\$ 500
2	1	O298	Supervisor of Street Repair.....	325

#### DIVISION OF STREET REPAIR

The occupants of the following positions have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
3	2	A202	Cement Finisher's Helper at \$8 per day	
4	2	A204	Cement Finisher at \$9 per day.....	
5	1	B210	Office Assistant at \$5.40 per day.....	
6	29	J4	Laborers at \$6 per day.....	
7	2	J12	Laborer, Foreman, at \$7 per day.....	
8	1	M254	Machinist at \$9 per day.....	
9	9	O1	Chauffeur at \$8 per day.....	
10	2	O1	Chauffeur at \$7.50 per day.....	
10½	2	O1	Chauffeur at \$8 per day .....	
11	5	O152	Engr. Hoisting Port. Engine at \$11.40 per day .....	
12	1	O168	Engineer, Sta. St. Eng.....	\$ 220
13	1	O252	Dryerman at \$9 per day.....	
14	1	O254	Foreman, Asph. Plant, at \$10 per day..	
15	4	O260	Rammer at \$7 per day.....	
16	2	O264	Paver at \$8 per day.....	
17	6	O268	Granite Cutters at \$9.50 per day.....	
18	2	O274	Asphalt Mixerman at \$9 per day.....	
19	26	O276	Asphalt Workers at \$7.50 per day.....	
20	11	O278	Asphalt Finishers at \$8 per day.....	
21	2	O282	Foreman, Asphalt Fin., at \$9 per day...	
22	1	O294	General Foreman, Street Repair.....	275
23	1	O294	General Foreman, Street Repair.....	250
24	1	O294	General Foreman, Street Repair.....	225

#### BRIDGES

25	9	C153	Bridge Attendant .....	155
26	2	C153	Bridge Attendant .....	145
27	10	O168	Engineer Sta. St. Engines.....	220
28	1	O168	Engr. Sta. St. Engines (Relief) at rate of	220
29	1	O172	Chief Engineer Sta. St. Engines.....	275

Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established by purchaser's contract.

### Section 52. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF ARCHITECTURE INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICE

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	10	A106	Building Inspector .....	\$ 225
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
3	2	F102	Architectural Draftsman .....	200
4	1	F112	City Architect .....	600
5	1	B210	Office Assistant (part time).....	75
6	1	F104	Architectural Estimator .....	250



**Section 53. DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A354	Painter at \$9.75 per day.....	
2	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 225
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
4	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	250
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
6	4	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
7	1	E2	Line Inspector .....	225
8	16	E4	Electrical Inspector .....	250
9	1	E8	Chief Electrical Inspector.....	275
10	8	E52	Fire Dispatcher .....	225
11	1	E54	Chief Fire Dispatcher .....	275
12	1	E108	Electrician . . . . .	240
13	1	E108	Electrician at \$10 per day.....	
14	1	E110	Radio Maintenance Man at \$8 per day..	
15	1	E116	Superintendent of Plant, Department of Electricity .....	325
16	1	E154	Lineman . . . . .	220
17	10	E154	Lineman .....	215
18	2	E154	Lineman .....	200
19	1	E156	Cable Splicer at \$9.50 per day.....	
20	2	E160	Foreman Lineman .....	240
21	1	F366	Chief, Department of Electricity.....	500
22	3	J4	Laborer at \$6 per day.....	
23	1	J12	Labor Foreman .....	195
24	1	J66	Garageman . . . . .	162.50
25	1	J76	Traffic Button Maintenance Man at \$9 per day .....	
26	2	M254	Machinist . . . . .	225
27	5	M260	Instrument Maker .....	225
28	1	M264	Foreman Instrument Maker.....	250

**Section 54. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE****ADMINISTRATION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	\$ 170
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
3	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	215
4	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
5	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	135
6	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	160
7	1	C52	Elevator Operator .....	155
8	3	*I103	Institutional Help . . . . .	79.50
9	1	L14	Assistant Director of Public Health....	450
10	1	L18	Director of Public Health.....	833.33

\*Subject to reclassification and adjusted rate of pay within budgeted appropriation after reclassification.

**ACCOUNTING**

11	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	190
12	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	175
13	2	B4	Bookkeeper .....	150
14	1	B14	Senior Accountant .....	400
15	2	B222	General Clerk .....	190
16	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	170

Section 54(a). **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)**

**STATISTICS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
17	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
18	1	B222	General Clerk .....	185
19	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	190
20	2	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	190
21	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
22	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125

**MEAT INSPECTION**

23	7	N56	Market Inspector . . . . .	175
23½	*1	N56	Market Inspector . . . . .	175
24	6	N56	Market Inspector .....	200
25	1	N58	Chief Market Inspector .....	225
26	8	N60	Abattoir Inspector .....	200
27	3	N62	Veterinarian .....	201
28	6	N62	Veterinarian .....	200

\*Status declared permanent by Civil Service Commission.

**COMMUNICABLE DISEASES**

29	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
30	6	J74	Rat Catcher .....	115
31	4	L370	Epidemiologist (part time).....	225
32	1	L371	Director, Bureau of Communicable Diseases (part time) .....	350
33	1	P52	Field Nurse .....	175

**SYPHILIS UNIT**

34	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
35	1	P102	Registered Nurse .....	135
36	1	T152	Junior Social Service Investigator.....	150

**CLINICS***Diagnostic Center*

37	2	L360	Physician (part time) .....	150
38	1	L364	Pediatrician (part time).....	100
39	1	P52	Field Nurse .....	165

*Bureau of Mental Hygiene*

40	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time)	75
41	1	L404	Psychologist .....	175
42	4	L404	Psychologist .....	150
43	1	L404	Psychologist (part time) .....	75
44	1	L408	Chief Psychologist (part time).....	200
45	1	L408	Chief Psychologist (part time).....	150

**BACTERIOLOGICAL LABORATORY**

46	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
47	1	C102	Janitress . . . . .	75
48	1	*I103	Institutional Help .....	79.50
49	2	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician..	125
50	1	L56	Bacteriologist . . . . .	225
51	3	L56	Bacteriologist . . . . .	175
52	1	L58	Director of Laboratories .....	275
53	1	L60	Bacteriological Milk Inspector .....	250
54	1	L64	Consultant, Bacteriologist (part time).	75

\*Subject to reclassification and adjusted rate of pay within budgeted appropriation after reclassification.



**Section 55. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)  
SCHOOL INSPECTION—MEDICAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
55	1	L252	Optometrist (part time) .....	150
56	1	L368	Director Bureau Child Hygiene .....	383.33
57	1	L364	Pediatrician . . . . .	250
58	1	L364	Pediatrician (part time) .....	175
59	11	L364	Pediatrician (part time) .....	150
60	1	L364	Pediatrician (part time) .....	75
61	1	L602	Audiometer Technician .....	125
<b>DENTAL</b>				
62	1	B222	General Clerk (part time) .....	50
63	4	L152	Dental Hygienist .....	150
64	14	L156	Dentist (part time) .....	100
65	1	L160	Director of Dental Bureau (part time)..	250
<b>CHILD WELFARE—MEDICAL</b>				
66	1	L364	Pediatrician . . . . .	250
67	4	L364	Pediatrician (part time).....	150
68	1	L364	Pediatrician at \$5 per day (part time)..	
<b>MILK AND FOOD INSPECTION</b>				
69	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
70	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
71	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	100
72	7	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector .....	200
73	10	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector .....	185
74	3	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector .....	175
75	3	N53	Assistant Chief Food Inspector .....	225
76	1	N54	Chief Food Inspector .....	325
77	1	N64	Dairy Inspector .....	300
78	2	N64	Dairy Inspector .....	225
79	2	N64	Dairy Inspector .....	200
<b>CHEMICAL LABORATORY</b>				
80	1	L102	Food Chemist Assistant .....	125
81	1	L104	Food Chemist .....	225
82	1	L104	Food Chemist .....	175
83	1	L106	Senior Food Chemist .....	250
<b>PLUMBING INSPECTION</b>				
84	1	A412	Plumbing Inspector .....	250
85	7	A412	Plumbing Inspector .....	240
86	1	A416	Chief Plumbing Inspector .....	325
87	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
<b>HOUSING INSPECTION</b>				
88	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
89	9	N204	Housing Inspector .....	200
90	1	N206	Chief Housing Inspector .....	275
<b>INDUSTRIAL INSPECTION</b>				
91	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	100
92	3	N205	Industrial Inspector .....	200
93	1	N208	Chief Industrial Inspector .....	275
<b>CITY PHYSICIANS</b>				
94	4	L360	Physician (part time) .....	300
95	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	250
96	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	200
97	4	L360	Physician (part time).....	150
98	1	L362	Supervisor of City Physicians (part time)	300

**Section 55(a). DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)**

**FIELD NURSING, ADMINISTRATION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
99	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
100	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
101	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
102	1	P54	Supervising Field Nurse.....	230
103	8	P54	Supervising Field Nurse .....	200
104	1	P54	Supervising Field Nurse .....	175
105	1	P58	Director of Field Nursing .....	300
<b>FIELD NURSING, SCHOOLS</b>				
106	25	P52	Field Nurse .....	175
107	15	P52	Field Nurse .....	165
<b>FIELD NURSING, OTHER</b>				
108	12	P52	Field Nurse .....	175
109	7	P52	Field Nurse .....	165
110	1	P101	Chinese Visiting Nurse .....	175
<b>TUBERCULOSIS BUREAU</b>				
111	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
112	2	L360	Physician (part time) .....	100
113	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	200
114	2	P52	Field Nurse .....	165
115	7	P52	Field Nurse .....	175
116	2	P102	Registered Nurse .....	135

**Section 56. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
LAGUNA HONDA HOME**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 100
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
3	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	135
4	1	I8	Head Baker .....	190
5	3	I12	Cook .....	165
6	1	I12	Cook (part time).....	75
7	1	I14	Junior Chef .....	175
8	1	I16	Chef .....	200
9	1	I22	Butcher .....	125
10	1	I24	Senior Butcher .....	235
11		I26	Hog Killer, \$9 per day (as needed)....	
12	4	I54	Waitress .....	110
13	1	I58	Dining Room Steward .....	140
14		I102	Inmate Help, not over .....	50
15	*	I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	79.50
16	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).	140
17	4	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).	135
18	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).	125
19	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).	120
20	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).	102.50
21	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).	87.50
22	1	I212a	Steward (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	235
22½	1	I212b	Stewardess (deduct for B., R. & L.) ....	235
23	1	I154	Laundress .....	100
24	1	I164	Marker and Distributor.....	130
25	1	I170	Washer (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	102.50
26	1	I174	Superintendent of Laundry .....	189
27	1	I254	Seamstress .....	100
28	1	I256	Head Seamstress (deduct for R.).....	125



Section 56(a). **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
LAGUNA HONDA HOME (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
29	1	I302	Instructor, Basketry (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	102.50
30	1	I304	Instructor, Weaving (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
31	1	L8	Assistant to Superintendent .....	275
32	1	L10	Superintendent (deduct \$150 for full family maintenance) .....	733.33
33	1	L54	Assistant Bacteriologist .....	100
34	1	L202	Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.) .....	137.50
35	1	L306	Senior Pharmacist .....	210
36	6	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	32
37	1	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	185
38	2	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) ...	97
39	1	L360	Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.) ....	235
40	1	L452	X-ray Technician .....	150
41	1	O1	Chauffeur (less B., R. & L.) .....	185
42	1	O52	Farmer (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	130
43	1	O54	Foreman, Building and Grounds (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	220
44	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
45	1	O60	Head Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	185
46	3	O168	Engineer Sta. Steam Engines .....	220
47	28	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	135
48	4	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	145
49	1	P118	Superintendent of Nurses (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	235
50	1	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135

\*Subject to reclassification and adjusted rate of pay within budgeted appropriation after reclassification.

Section 57. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
ISOLATION HOSPITAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist (part time) .....	\$ 79.50
2	1	C152	Watchman (deduct for B., R. & L.) ....	145
3	1	I14	Junior Chef .....	175
4	1	I54	Waitress . . . . .	110
5	*	I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	79.50
6	1	I204	Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	85
7	1	I254	Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	125
8	2	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	32
9	1	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	47
10	1	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	385
11		P54	Student Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	32
12	7	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
13	1	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) ...	145
14	1	P116	Superintendent Isolation Hospital (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	235

\*Subject to reclassification and adjusted rate of pay within budgeted appropriation after reclassification.

**Section 58. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 175
2	1	B222	General Clerk .....	160
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	125
4	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	250
5	1	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	190
6	1	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	160
7	7	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	100
9	12	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) .	79.50
10	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
11	2	B454	Telephone Operator .....	135
12	1	B454	Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	125
13	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
14	1	C6	Supt. of Building T. B. Hosp. (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	260
15	2	C152	Watchman . . . . .	145
16	2	E108	Electrician . . . . .	237.50
17	1	I6	Pastry Cook .....	175
18	8	I12	Cook . . . . .	165
19	1	I16	Chef . . . . .	200
20	8	I54	Waitress . . . . .	110
21	8	I56	Waiter . . . . .	110
22	*	I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	79.50
23	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
24	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	125
25	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	87.50
26	14	I152	Flat Work Ironer .....	90
27	17	I154	Laundress . . . . .	100
28	1	I156	Starcher . . . . .	130
29	1	I158	Sorter . . . . .	130
30	1	I164	Marker and Distributor .....	130
31	1	I166	Wringerman . . . . .	136.33
32	2	I170	Washer . . . . .	135
33	1	I172	Head Washer .....	155
34	1	I178	Superintendent of Laundry .....	200
35	1	I206	Porter Sub-Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	92
36	1	I208	Porter Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.)	100
37	1	I210	Head Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.) ....	140
38	2	I254	Seamstress . . . . .	90
39	1	I256	Head Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.)	150
40	1	J4	Laborer (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	87.50

\*Subject to reclassification and adjusted rate of pay within budgeted appropriation after reclassification.



**Section 59. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
41	1	L2	Assistant Superintendent (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	\$ 310
42	1	L6	Superintendent (deduct \$150 for full family maintenance) .....	733.33
43	1	L70	Physio-Therapist (part time).....	100
44	2	L72	Electro-Cardiograph Technician (part time) . . . . .	75
45	2	L156	Dentist (part time) .....	50
46	4	L202	Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.).....	137.50
47	1	L206	Chief Dietitian . . . . .	175
48	1	L304	Pharmacist . . . . .	225
49	1	L304	Pharmacist . . . . .	200
50	2	L304	Pharmacist . . . . .	190
51	1	L306	Senior Pharmacist .....	250
52	42	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	32
53	22	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	47
54	7	L356	Senior House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	59.50
55	1	L360	Physician (part time).....	75
56	3	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
57	1	L372	Resident Physician . . . . .	200
58	1	L452	X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) (part time).....	79.50
59	4	L452	X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
60	1	L456	Senior X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	210
61	1	L458	Radiologist . . . . .	200
62	1	L458	Radiologist . . . . .	100
63	1	M255	Bracemaker . . . . .	77.50
64	1	O60	Head Gardener (deduct for R.) .....	150
65	4	O166	Fireman, Stationary Steam Engine ....	185
66	4	O168	Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine....	220
67	1	O172	Chief Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine (deduct for R.) .....	300

**Section 60. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
68	139	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)\$	135
69		P103	Special Nurses (as needed), 8 hrs. at \$5 per day or fraction of a day .....	
70		P103	Special Nurses (as needed), 10 hrs. at \$6 per day with meals.....	
71		P103	Special Nurses (as needed), 12 hrs., with meals, at \$7 per day .....	
72		P103	Special Nurses (Virulent Communicable Diseases and Violent Patients), 8 hrs. at \$6 per day .....	
73		P103	Special Nurses (Virulent Communicable Diseases and Violent Patients), 10 hrs. at \$9 per day .....	

Section 60. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
74		P103	Special Nurses (Virulent Communicable Diseases and Violent Patients), 12 hrs. at \$10 per day .....	
75		P103	Special Nurses, Additional Patient \$2 extra .....	
76	33	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)...	145
77	1	P104	Head Nurse .....	145
78	1	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	210
79	1	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	185
80	2	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	170
81	1	P122	Director of Institutional Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	285
82	4	P204	Anaesthetist (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	160
83	1	P206	Senior Anaesthetist (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	185
84	9	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	145
85	10	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
86	1	P210	Senior Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	185
87	1	P212	Head Nurse Obstetrical (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
88	1	P214	Head Nurse Pediatrics (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
89	1	P216	Head Nurse Psychiatric (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
90		P254	Student Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	32
91	2	P304	Instructor of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
92	1	P306	Senior Instructor of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	185
93	1	T152	Junior Social Service Investigator.....	160
94	3	T152	Junior Social Service Investigator ....	150
95	1	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	210
96	1	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	190
97	6	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	180
98	3	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	140
PSYCHIATRIC BUILDING				
99	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
100	2	C152	Watchman . . . . .	145
101	2	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	47
102	1	L360	Physician (part time).....	75
103	1	L372	Resident Physician .....	250

Section 60a. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL SOCIAL SERVICE DIVISION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	T156	Social Service Investigator .....\$	175
2	1	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	180
3	1	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	190
4	1	T160	Senior Social Service Investigator ....	240



**Section 60b. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
OUT PATIENT MATERNITY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	\$ 300
2	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	190
3	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	100
4	2	P102	Registered Nurse .....	125

**Section 61. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
EMERGENCY HOSPITALS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	\$ 190
2	12	L504	Emergency Hospital Surgeon .....	200
3	1	L506	Assistant Chief Surgeon Emergency Hospitals . . . . .	225
4	1	L508	Chief Surgeon .....	250
5	14	O6	Ambulance Driver .....	200
6	1	O6	Ambulance Driver .....	195
7	1	O6	Ambulance Driver .....	185
8	3	O6	Ambulance Driver .....	175
9	7	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward .....	165
10	4	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward .....	175
11	17	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward .....	200
12	1	P4	Chief Emergency Hospital Steward ....	250
13	13	P102	Registered Nurse .....	165
14	6	P102	Registered Nurse .....	135
15	1	P102	Registered Nurse (Relief) at \$5 per day	

**Section 62. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
HASSLER HEALTH HOME**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B454	Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	\$ 97.50
2	1	C152	Watchman (deduct for B., R. & L.) ....	87.50
3	2	I12	Cook . . . . .	165
4	1	I14	Junior Chef .....	182.50
5	*	I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.) less than .....	80
6	2	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	92.50
7	1	I254	Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.) ....	92.50
8	2	J4	Laborer (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
9	1	J4	Laborer . . . . .	125
10	1	L156	Dentist (part time) .....	50
11	1	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	32
12	1	L363	Resident Physician and Superintendent, Hassler Health Home (deduct \$75 a month for family maintenance) ....	350
13	1	O1	Chauffeur (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
14	1	O54	Foreman, Building and Grounds (deduct for 1 meal) .....	210
15	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
16	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	92.50
17	4	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135

**Section 62. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
HASSLER HEALTH HOME (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
18	1	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	145
19	1	P112	Superintendent of Nursing, Hassler Health Home (deduct for B., R. & L.)	160
*Subject to reclassification and adjusted rate of pay within budgeted appro- priation after reclassification.				

**AS NEEDED**

The occupants of the following positions have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are available:

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
20	1	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).....\$	85

**Section 63. CORONER**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B420	Phonographic Reporter .....	200
2	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
3	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
4	1	I106	Morgue Attendant .....	95
5	1	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician..	150
6	1	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician..	125
7	1	L62	Pathologist (part time).....	125
8	1	L102	Food Chemist Assistant .....	95
9	1	L110	Toxicologist (part time) .....	150
10	1	L502	Autopsy Surgeon . . . . .	325
11	1	L502	Autopsy Surgeon .....	100
12	3	N4	Coroner's Investigator . . . . .	215
13	1	N8	Coroner's Chief Investigator .....	250
14	1	N10	Coroner . . . . .	666.66
15	3	O8	Morgue Ambulance Driver .....	200
16	1	O8	Morgue Ambulance Driver .....	175

**Section 64. HORTICULTURAL INSPECTION DEPARTMENT—  
AGRICULTURAL COMMISSION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	150
2	1	N154	Horticultural Inspector .....	250
3	4	N154	Horticultural Inspector .....	175
4	1	N156	County Agricultural Commissioner ....	400

**Section 65. SEALER OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	225
2	1	N356	Senior Inspector of Weights and Mea- sures . . . . .	250
3	3	N354	Inspector of Weights and Measures ....	225
4	1	N354	Inspector of Weights and Measures ....	175
5	1	N358	Sealer of Weights and Measures .....	325



**Section 66. PUBLIC WELFARE DEPARTMENT**

Pending classification of the positions by the Civil Service Commission and creation of the employments not later than October 14, 1937, the employments as established heretofore under the Citizens Emergency Relief Commission are continued.

**Section 66½. COUNTY WELFARE**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	2	B222	General Clerks . . . . .	\$ 150
2	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	200
3	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	185
4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	175
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	160
6	14	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	150
7	1	B510	Braille Typist . . . . .	150
8	6	T152	Junior Social Service Investigator . . . . .	165
9	1	T152	Junior Social Service Investigator . . . . .	155
10	8	T156	Social Service Investigator . . . . .	180
11	20	T156	Social Service Investigator . . . . .	150
12	1	T160	Senior Social Service Investigator . . . . .	215
13	1	T162	Director of County Welfare Department	300

**Section 67. CONTROLLER**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Controller . . . . .	\$ 833.33
2	1	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	200
3	8	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	185
4	4	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	180
5	5	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	175
6	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	225
7	3	B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	210
8	4	B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	200
9	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	190
10	1	B7	Asst. Supervisor of Disbursements . . . . .	240
11	1	B8	Supervisor of Disbursements . . . . .	275
12	1	B10	Accountant . . . . .	225
13	1	B14	Senior Accountant . . . . .	325
14	2	B14	Senior Accountant . . . . .	285
15	1	B21	Chief Assistant Controller . . . . .	625
16	1	B26	Supervisor of Budget Statistics . . . . .	250
17	1	B28	Supervisor of General Audits . . . . .	400
18	1	B30	Supervisor of Utilities Audits . . . . .	400
19	1	B55	Supervisor of Pay Rolls . . . . .	325
20	1	B210	Office Assistant (part time) . . . . .	79.50
21	3	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	200
22	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	190
23	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	185
24	3	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
25	2	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	250
26	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	200
27	1	B234	Head Clerk (6 months at \$200, 6 months at \$210) . . . . .	
28	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	300
29	2	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	225
30	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	240
31	1	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator . . . . .	190
32	3	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator . . . . .	175

## Section 67. CONTROLLER (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
33	3	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator .....	165
34	2	B302	Addressing Machine Operator .....	155
35	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator .....	175
36	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator .....	155
37	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator .....	175
38	3	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator .....	165
39	1	B312	Senior Bookkeeping Machine Operator..	185
40	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
41	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
42	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
43	1	B417	Executive Secretary to the Controller..	250
44	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator .....	155
45	3	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
46	1	K6	Senior Attorney—Civil .....	400
47			Seasonal Clerical Services at rates fixed in Salary Standardization Report.	
48			Field Bookkeepers or Accountants (Construction Work outside S. F.) (as needed) at rates fixed in Salary Standardization Report.	

## Section 68. CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5		Commissioners, \$15 per meeting.....	
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time).\$	75
3	1	B420	Phonographic Reporter (as needed), \$12.50 per meeting .....	
4	1	F158	City Planning Engineer and Secretary..	350
5	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	200
6	1	F255	City Planning Draftsman (as needed)..	200

## Section 69. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION — GENERAL OFFICE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5		Commissioners .....	\$ 100
2	1		Manager of Utilities .....	1,000
3	1	B22	Asst. Supervisor, Bureau of Accounts, Utilities Commission .....	410
4	1	B60	Secretary, Public Utilities Commission..	300
5	1	B53	Director of Public Relations .....	400
6	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
9	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
10	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
11	1	G106	Claims Adjuster .....	350
12	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	225
13	1	S112	Inspector, Municipal Railway .....	210
14	1	S112	Inspector, Municipal Railway .....	200



### Section 70. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	\$ 155
2	3	C104	Janitor .....	125
3	1	F51	Airport Attendant .....	155
4	4	F51	Airport Attendant .....	150
5	2	F51	Airport Attendant .....	145
6	3	F52	Crew Chief, Airport .....	160
7	4	F53	Control Tower Operator, Airport .....	165
8	1	F54	Meteorologist .....	200
9	1	F60	Assistant Superintendent .....	225
10	1	F62	Superintendent .....	500

#### TEMPORARY PERSONAL SERVICES

11	A154	Carpenter, \$9 per day .....	
12	A354	Painter, \$9 per day .....	
13	E108	Electrician, \$9 per day .....	
14	J4	Laborer, \$5 per day .....	

### Section 71. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—ENGINEERING

These positions are paid from appropriations for temporary or inter-departmental services. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3	A106	Building Inspector .....	\$ 225
2	1	B10	Accountant .....	275
3	1	B210	Office Assistant .....	85
4	1	B246	Map Clerk .....	155
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
7	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
8	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	160
9	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
10	1	F102	Architectural Draftsman .....	200
11	1	F104	Architectural Estimator .....	250
12	1	F106	Architectural Designer .....	250
13	1	F108	Architect .....	300
14	1	F202	Inspector, Public Works Construction..	200
15	6	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector .....	225
16	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector....	250
17	3	F214	Construction Engineer .....	300
18	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman ...	160
19	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman .....	250
20	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman .....	240
21	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman .....	225
22	6	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman .....	200
23	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	275
24	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	240
25	3	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	225
26	1	F260	Civil Engineering Designer .....	250
27	1	F320	Senior Civil Engineer .....	550
28	1	F351	Junior Electrical Engineer .....	160
29	2	F352	Electrical Engineering Draftsman .....	200
30	2	F354	Electrical Engineering Designer .....	250

Section 71. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
ENGINEERING (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
31	2	F356	Electrical Engineering Inspector .....	235
32	3	F356	Electrical Engineering Inspector .....	225
33	1	F362	Electrical Engineer .....	350
34	2	F362	Electrical Engineer .....	300
35		F370	Chief Electrical Engineer .....	650
36	1	F372	Manager and Chief Engineer, Electric Power Bureau .....	833.33
37	1	F401	Junior Hydraulic Engineer .....	160
38	4	F404	Hydraulic Engineering Designer .....	265
39	1	F404	Hydraulic Engineering Designer .....	250
40	1	F406	Assistant Hydraulic Engineer .....	330
41	1	F408	Hydraulic Engineer .....	400
42	2	F452	Mechanical Draftsman .....	200
43	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer .....	290
44	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer .....	250
45	1	F456	Designer Street Railway Equipment....	290
46	1	F462	Mechanical Engineer .....	300
47	1	F518	Office Engineer .....	350
48	1	F518	Office Engineer .....	300
49	1	F552	Structural Draftsman .....	225
50	1	F554	Structural Engineering Designer .....	275
51	1	F556	Structural Engineering Inspector .....	250
52	1	F605	Surveyor's Field Assistant .....	175
53	1	M256	Mechanical Inspector .....	225
54	1	N102	Light-Water Complaint Investigator ...	200
55	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	170
56	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	225
57	1	O152	Engineer, Hoisting and Portable Engines, \$11.40 per day .....	

CLASSIFICATIONS AS NEEDED

58	F12	Consulting Engineer, Utilities .....	650
59	F102	Architectural Draftsman .....	200
60	F104	Architectural Estimator .....	250
61	F106	Architectural Designer .....	250
62	F108	Architect .....	300
63	F202	Inspector Public Works Construction...	200
64	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	160
65	F256	Cartographer and Art Designer .....	210
66	F260	Civil Engineering Designer .....	250
67	F351	Junior Electrical Engineer .....	160
68	F352	Electrical Engineering Draftsman .....	200
69	F354	Electrical Engineering Designer .....	250
70	F460	Assistant Mechanical Engineer .....	250
71	F558	Structural Engineer .....	250
72	F610	Surveyor .....	250
73	M256	Mechanical Inspector .....	225
74	O1	Chauffeur .....	170
75		Seasonal Clerical Service (as needed)..	150
76		Street Lighting Foreman .....	200
77	E112	Electrical Foreman .....	225
78		Street Lighting Man .....	175
79	E108	Electrician at \$9 per day .....	
80	E154	Lineman at \$7.40 per day .....	
81	E156	Cable Splicer at \$9.50 per day .....	
82	A154	Carpenter at \$9 per day .....	
83	A204	Cement Finisher at \$9 per day .....	
84	J4	Laborer at \$6 per day .....	



**Section 72. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
MUNICIPAL RAILWAY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5	A154	Carpenter, \$9 per day.....	
2	9	A364	Car and Auto Painter, \$10 per day.....	
3	1	A370	Foreman Car and Auto Paint Shop....\$	275
4	1	B10	Accountant . . . . .	225
5	1	B14	Senior Accountant . . . . .	275
6	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	200
7	3	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
8	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	165
9	3	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	155
10	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	240
11	2	B308	Comptometer Operator . . . . .	155
12	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	250
13	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	175
14	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	155
15	2	B454	Telephone Operator . . . . .	150
16	2	C52	Elevator Operator . . . . .	145
17	1	C52	Elevator Operator (relief) . . . . .	145
18	26	C104	Janitor . . . . .	160
19	2	C104	Janitor . . . . .	155
20	7	C104	Janitor . . . . .	145
21	3	C104	Janitor, \$5.80 per day . . . . .	
22	2	C104	Janitor . . . . .	135
23	2	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor, \$6.30 per day....	
24	1	E106	Armature Winder, \$9 per day . . . . .	
25	1	E108	Electrician, \$10 per day . . . . .	
26	6	E154	Lineman, \$7.40 per day . . . . .	
27	1	E160	Foreman Lineman . . . . .	215
28	1	F216	Maintenance of Way Engineer . . . . .	300
29	4	J4	Laborer, \$6 per day . . . . .	
30	5	J66	Garageman, \$6.80 per day . . . . .	
31	48	J152	Trackman, \$6 per day . . . . .	
32	2	J160	Track Welder, \$6.30 per day . . . . .	
33	2	J162	Car Repairer Welder, \$7.50 per day ....	
34	3	J166	Track Foreman, \$6.30 per day . . . . .	
35	1	J168	General Foreman of Track Maintenance	250
36	1	M5	Assistant Master Mechanic . . . . .	300
37	1	M6	Master Mechanic . . . . .	350
38	3	M54	Auto Machinist, \$9 per day . . . . .	
39	1	M56	Garage Foreman, Municipal Railway...	250
40	2	M104	Blacksmith Helper, \$8 per day.....	
41	2	M108	Blacksmith, \$9 per day . . . . .	
42	49	M202	Car Repairer, \$6.80 per day . . . . .	
43	5	M206	Sub-Foreman Car Repairer, \$7.30 per day	
44	2	M208	Foreman Car Repairer, \$7.80 per day...	
45	6	M254	Machinist, \$9 per day . . . . .	
46	1	O1	Chauffeur, \$8 per day . . . . .	
47	1	S10	Manager Municipal Railway . . . . .	700
48	1	S60	Instructor Municipal Railway . . . . .	240
49	427	S102	Conductor, 75c per hour . . . . .	
50	420	S104	Motorman, 75c per hour . . . . .	
51	50	S106	Bus Operator, 80c per hour . . . . .	
52	5	S110	Junior Inspector Municipal Railway ....	175
53	8	S110	Junior Inspector Municipal Railway ...	170
54	12	S112	Inspector Municipal Railway . . . . .	200
55	5	S112	Inspector Municipal Railway . . . . .	180
56	2	S120	Day Dispatcher . . . . .	210
57	1	S124	Supervisor of Schedules . . . . .	210
58	2	S128	Division Superintendent Municipal Ry.	275

**Section 72. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
MUNICIPAL RAILWAY (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
59	1	S130	Assistant Superintendent of Transportation, Municipal Railway .....	300
60	1	S132	Superintendent of Transportation, Municipal Railway .....	350
61	1	U108	Compressor Operator, portable, \$6.30 per day . . . . .	
62	1	R108	Supervisor of Music (part time) .....	50
63			Seasonal Clerical Services at rates fixed in Salary Standardization Report.	

**Section 73. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN  
FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT**

**1. EXECUTIVE**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
2	1	U44	Manager, Water Department .....	833.33

**2. ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL**

3	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	200
4	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	275
5	3	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	190
6	1	B14	Senior Accountant .....	400
7	1	B24	Auditor .....	700
8	1	B109	Cashier .....	325
9	1	B210	Office Assistant .....	85
10	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
11	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
12	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
13	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155

**3. WATER PURIFICATION DIVISION**

14	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
15	1	F524	Water Purification Engineer .....	200
16	1	F524	Water Purification Engineer .....	180
17	3	F524	Water Purification Engineer .....	175
18	1	F526	Chief Water Purification Engineer.....	350
19	1	U215	Head Pump Operator (deduct room)..	160

**4. ENGINEERING**

20	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
21	1	F2	Assistant Engineer—Water Service ....	500
22	1	F408	Hydraulic Engineer .....	375

**5. HOUSE SERVICE**

23	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	165
24	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
25	1	C52	Elevator Operator .....	160
26	4	C104	Janitor .....	155
27	1	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor .....	175
28	1	I122	House Mother (part time) .....	100

**6. AGRICULTURAL DIVISION**

29	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
30	1	V30	Assistant Superintendent .....	200
31	1	V40	Superintendent .....	600



**Section 73. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION SAN FRAN-  
CISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)**

**7. WATER SALES DIVISION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
32	1	B210	Office Assistant . . . . .	85
33	1	B210	Office Assistant . . . . .	95
34	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	200
35	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	250
36	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	160
37	1	N420	Consumers Complaint Investigator . . . . .	225
38	1	U80	Assistant Manager . . . . .	375
39	1	U88	Manager . . . . .	475

**8. SERVICE AND SUPPLY**

40	4	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
41	1	U61	Supervisor Service and Supply . . . . .	225
42	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	190
43	7	U122	Shut-off Man . . . . .	175
44	1	U123	Service Inspector . . . . .	185
45	1	U124	Special Complaint Inspector . . . . .	200
46	1	U126	Meter Inspector . . . . .	175
47	1	U132	Contractor's and Builder's Inspector . . . . .	225

**Section 74. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRAN-  
CISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)**

**9. CONSUMERS' PREMISES**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5	U126	Meter Inspector . . . . .	\$ 175
2	1	U128	Chief Meter Inspector . . . . .	215

**10. WATER SALES DIVISION—  
METER READING**

3	7	B247	Meter Reader . . . . .	175
4	8	B247	Meter Reader . . . . .	155

**11. CONSUMERS' ACCOUNTS**

5	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	190
6	4	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
7	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	165
8	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	160
9	8	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	155
10	5	B222	General Clerk (part time) . . . . .	75
11	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	215
12	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	200
13	3	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	180
14	1	B302	Addressing Machine Operator . . . . .	160
15	1	B302	Addressing Machine Operator . . . . .	155
16	12	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator . . . . .	175
17	5	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	155
18	1	U56	Assistant Supervisor — Consumers' Ac- counts . . . . .	290
19	1	U60	Supervisor Consumers' Accounts . . . . .	350
20	1	U62	Supervisor of Closing Bills . . . . .	225
21	1	U63	Chief Adjuster . . . . .	225

## Section 74. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)

12. WATER SALES DIVISION—  
COLLECTIONS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
22	1	B222	General Clerk .....	200
23	1	B222	General Clerk .....	180
24	13	B222	General Clerk .....	175
25	6	B222	General Clerk .....	165
26	1	B222	General Clerk .....	160
27	11	B222	General Clerk .....	155
28	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
29	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	250
30	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
31	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
32	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
33	1	U52	Supervisor of Collections .....	300

## 13. DOCKS AND SHIPPING

34	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
35	1	U51	Supervisor Docks and Shipping .....	275
36	1	U125	Hoseman—Ships and Docks .....	190
37	1	U125	Hoseman—Ships and Docks .....	160

14. CITY DISTRIBUTION DIVISION—  
GENERAL

38	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	180
39	1	B356	Senior Storekeeper .....	265
40	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	160
41	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
42	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	165
43	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	175
44	1	O58	Gardener .....	145
45	2	O58	Gardener .....	135
45½	1	O60	Head Gardener .....	150
46	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper .....	165
47	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper (deduct for house)...	165
48	2	U130	Reservoir Keeper (deduct for house)...	160
49	1	U142	Assistant Superintendent .....	350
50	1	U144	Superintendent .....	500

## 15. PUMPS

51	1	O162	Boiler Cleaner .....	165
52	3	O164	Oiler .....	175
53	4	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Engines....	185
54	4	O168	Engine Stationary Steam Engines....	220
55	1	O170	Assistant Chief Engineer — Stationary Steam Engines .....	235

## 16. PUMPS—PENINSULA DIVISION

56	1	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Engines (de- duct for house) .....	185
57	1	O167	Engine Room Attendant (relief) .....	185
58	1	O172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam En- gines .....	265
59	4	U214	Pump Operator .....	165
60	1	U214	Pump Operator (deduct for house) ....	165
61	1	U215	Head Pump Operator .....	200
62	1	U215	Head Pump Operator (deduct \$10 for house) .....	200



**Section 75. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)**

**17. MILLBRAE STATION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	\$ 200
2	1	B354	General Storekeeper .....	150
3	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
4	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
5	1	C104	Janitress (part time) (less room and board) .....	45.50
6	1	C152	Watchman .....	150
7	1	C152	Watchman .....	145
8	1	I12	Cook (less house) .....	130
9	1	U228	Country Meterman .....	175
11	1	U236	Assistant Superintendent—Peninsula Division (deduct for house) .....	300
12	1	U246	Superintendent — Peninsula Division (deduct for house) .....	466.66

**18. PENINSULA DIVISION—RESERVOIRS**

13	1	I60	Housekeeper (part time) .....	35
14	2	I60	Housekeeper (part time) .....	20
15	4	U130	Reservoir Keeper (deduct for house)...	160
16	2	U212	Ranger (deduct for house) .....	145
17	1	U212	Ranger .....	135

**19. ALAMEDA SYSTEM**

18	1	B222	General Clerk .....	165
19	1	C152	Watchman .....	150
20	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper (deduct for house)...	160
21	1	U212	Ranger .....	145
22	1	U212	Ranger (deduct for house).....	145
23	1	U214	Pump Operator (part time).....	50
24	1	U215	Head Pump Operator (deduct \$25 for house) .....	200
25	1	U231	Assistant Superintendent (deduct for house) .....	225
26	1	U232	Superintendent (deduct for house) ....	300

**20. CITY DISTRIBUTION DIVISION**

27	8	U120	Gateman .....	day 7.75
28	3	J4	Laborer .....	day 6

**21. PENINSULA DIVISION—MILLBRAE STATION**

29	1	B454	Telephone Operator (part time)....	day 4
30	1	O58	Gardener .....	day 6
31	2	U206	Water Department Worker .....	day 6
32	1	U214	Pump Operator .....	day 6.25

**22. AGRICULTURAL DIVISION**

33	3	J4	Laborer .....	day 6
34	1	V8	Sub-Foreman Agriculture .....	day 6.50

**23. ALAMEDA DIVISION**

35	1	O58	Gardener .....	day 6
----	---	-----	----------------	-------

**24. GENERAL AND MISCELLANEOUS**

36	1	C104	Janitor .....	day 6
37			Teams and Trucks at rates fixed in Purchaser's Contracts .....	

Seasonal clerical employment as needed at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.

# Section 76. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT

## (FUNCTIONAL EMPLOYMENTS AS NEEDED)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Departmental Title	Per Day	Per Month
1	3	A154	Carpenter . . . . .	\$9.00	
2	1	A354	Painter . . . . .	9.75	
3	1	A404	Plumber . . . . .		\$225
4	1	A551	Apprentice . . . . .	7.00	
5	1	B315	Photographer—Water Service . . . . .		200
6	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .		155
7	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .		175
8	1	E154	Lineman . . . . .		200
9	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector . . . . .		250
10	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman . . . . .		160
11	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman . . . . .		175
12	2	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman . . . . .		225
13	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman . . . . .		250
14	1	F401	Junior Hydraulic Engineer . . . . .		160
15	1	F401	Junior Hydraulic Engineer . . . . .		175
16	1	F406	Assistant Hydraulic Engineer . . . . .		250
17	62	J4	Laborer . . . . .	6.00	
18	1	J66	Garageman . . . . .	6.50	
19	6	M54	Auto Machinist . . . . .	9.00	
20	4	M254	Machinist . . . . .	9.00	
21	1	M266	Foreman Meter Repairs . . . . .		200
22	1	M268	Foreman Machinist . . . . .		285
23	2	O1	Chauffeur . . . . .	6.50	
24	1	O1	Chauffeur . . . . .	7.50	
25	1	O116	Teamster . . . . .	6.50	
26	2	U104	Leadman . . . . .	6.00	
27	3	U108	Compressor Operator . . . . .	7.50	
28	13	U112	Pipe Caulker . . . . .	7.50	
29	5	U114	Main Pipe Foreman . . . . .	7.75	
30	15	U116	Service Man . . . . .	7.50	
31	1	U116	Service Man . . . . .	7.75	
32	1	U136	General Foreman (Service Meters) . . . . .		300
33	1	U140	General Foreman (Main Pipes) . . . . .		325
34	10	U206	Water Department Worker . . . . .	6.00	
35	2	U214	Pump Operator . . . . .	6.00	
36	1	U226	General Maintenance Foreman . . . . .		200
37	1	U227	General Maintenance Foreman (Less House) . . . . .		210
38	3	U230	Maintenance Foreman . . . . .		200
39	1	U52	Street Inspector . . . . .		175
40	1	F202	Inspector of Public Works Construction . . . . .		200

# Section 77. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

## INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES—FOR CONSTRUCTION AND OTHER ACTIVITIES

(The rates of pay herein specified are maximum rates. Lower rates may be paid.)

Item No.	Class No.	Departmental Title	Per Hour	Per Day	Per Month
1		Asst. Hydraulic Engineer..			\$325.00
2		Asst. Bacteriologist . . . . .	.50		
3		Asst. Biologist . . . . .	.50		
4		Asst. Elec. Eng. . . . .			300.00
5		Asst. Mechanical Engineer . . . . .			300.00
6		Auto Mechanic . . . . .		8.73	



## Section 77. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (Continued)

INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES—FOR CONSTRUCTION  
AND OTHER ACTIVITIES (Continued)

Item No.	Class No.	Departmental Title	Per Hour	Per Day	Per Month
7		Blacksmith . . . . .	1.091		
8		Blacksmith Helper . . . . .	.818		
9		Bacteriologist (part time) . . . . .			75.00
10		Biologist (part time) . . . . .			75.00
11		Boilermaker . . . . .	1.091		
12		Boilermaker Helper . . . . .	.75		
13		Brakeman . . . . .		6.00	
14		Bookkeeper . . . . .			175.00
15		Construction Supt. . . . .			300.00
16		Construction Foreman . . . . .			200.00
17		Clerical Service . . . . .	.50		
18		Clerical Service . . . . .		5.00	
19		Clerk (Experienced) . . . . .			337.50
19½		Cable Splicer . . . . .		9.50	
20		Carpenter . . . . .		9.00	
21		Carpenter Foreman . . . . .		10.00	
22		Cement Finisher . . . . .		8.00	
23		Cement Gun Operator . . . . .		7.00	
24		Clerk, General . . . . .			155.00
25		Cook . . . . .			212.50
26		Cook Helper . . . . .			137.50
27		Compressorman . . . . .		7.67	
28		Construction Engineer . . . . .			600.00
29		Concrete Man . . . . .		5.00	
30		Concrete Foreman . . . . .		8.00	
31		Chainman . . . . .			140.00
32		Chucktender . . . . .		5.25	
32½		Comptometer Operator . . . . .			150.00
33		Draftsman . . . . .			250.00
34		Detectorman . . . . .			212.50
35		Dishwasher . . . . .			112.50
36		Designer . . . . .			275.00
37		Driver . . . . .	.90		
38		Driver . . . . .	.95		
39		Driver . . . . .	1.00		
40		Driver . . . . .	1.10		
41		Driver . . . . .	1.15		
42		Driver . . . . .	1.25		
43		Driver . . . . .	1.35		
44		Driver . . . . .		5.50	
45		Driver . . . . .		6.00	
46		Driver . . . . .		6.50	
47		Driver . . . . .		7.00	
48		Driver . . . . .		7.50	
49		Driver . . . . .		8.00	
50		Driver . . . . .		9.20	
51		Driver . . . . .		10.00	
52		Driver (Tractor) . . . . .		8.00	
53		Diver . . . . . Per Dive 25.00			
54		Estimator . . . . .			175.00
55		Electrician . . . . .		8.73	
56		Engineer Mechanical . . . . .			350.00
57		Engineer Asst. . . . .			287.50
58		Electrician . . . . .		9.00	
59		Engineer (Asst. Const.) . . . . .			375.00
60	B327	Photostat Operator . . . . .			165.00

## Section 77. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (Continued)

INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES—FOR CONSTRUCTION  
AND OTHER ACTIVITIES

Item No.	Class No.	Departmental Title	Per Hour	Per Day	Per Month
61	F102	Architectural Draftsman ..			200.00
62	F106	Architectural Designer ....			250.00
63	F108	Architect . . . . .			375.00
63½	FT08	Architect . . . . .			300.00
64	F260	Civil Engineering Designer			250.00
65	F352	Electrical Engineering Draftsman . . . . .			200.00
66	F354	Electrical Engineering Designer . . . . .			250.00
67	F356	Electrical Engineering Inspector . . . . .			225.00
68	F362	Electrical Engineer . . . . .			300.00
69	F404	Hydraulic Engineering Designer . . . . .			250.00
70	F452	Mechanical Draftsman ....			200.00
71	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer . . . . .			250.00
72	F552	Structural Draftsman . . . . .			200.00
73	F554	Structural Engineering Designer . . . . .			250.00
74	F556	Structural Engineering Inspector . . . . .			250.00
75	F558	Structural Engineer . . . . .			250.00
76	F614	Assistant Chief Surveyor...			275.00
77	F616	Chief Surveyor . . . . .			325.00

## Section 78. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (Continued)

INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES—FOR CONSTRUCTION  
AND OTHER ACTIVITIES (Continued)

(The rates of pay herein specified are maximum rates. Lower rates may be paid.)

Item No.	Class No.	Departmental Title	Per Hour	Per Day	Per Month
78		Foreman . . . . .			\$250.00
79		Foreman . . . . .		\$6.00	
80		Foreman . . . . .		6.25	
81		Foreman . . . . .		6.50	
82		Foreman . . . . .		7.00	
83		Foreman . . . . .		7.50	
84		Foreman . . . . .		8.00	
85		Foreman . . . . .		10.00	
86		Foreman, General . . . . .			300.00
87		Field Asst. . . . .			225.00
88		Fire Boss . . . . .			212.50
89		Form Man . . . . .		5.25	
90		Grout Gunman . . . . .		6.00	
91		Gunite Helper . . . . .		5.00	
92		Gunite Mixerman . . . . .		5.50	
93		Gate Tender . . . . .		5.75	
94		Graderman . . . . .		6.50	
95		Groundman . . . . .		6.00	
96		Hoistman . . . . .		9.00	
97		Hodcarrier . . . . .		9.00	
98		Hostler . . . . .		6.50	



## Section 78. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (Continued)

INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES—FOR CONSTRUCTION  
AND OTHER ACTIVITIES (Continued)

Item No.	Class No.	Departmental Title	Per Hour	Per Day	Per Month
99		Housesmith . . . . .		9.00	
100		Housesmith Foreman . . . . .		10.00	
101		Inspector . . . . .			250.00
102		Inspector, Engineer . . . . .			250.00
103		Inspector, Chief . . . . .			275.00
104		Janitress . . . . .			100.00
105		Janitor . . . . .			107.50
106		Jackhammerman . . . . .		5.00	
107		Kitchen Helper . . . . .			117.50
108		Laborer . . . . .		4.00	
109		Laborer . . . . .		4.25	
110		Laborer . . . . .		4.50	
111		Laborer . . . . .		4.75	
112		Laborer . . . . .		5.00	
113		Laborer . . . . .		5.50	
114		Laborer . . . . .		6.00	
115		Lineman . . . . .		8.73	
116		Lampman . . . . .			150.00
117		Lineman Helper . . . . .		7.73	
118		Mechanic, Camp . . . . .			225.00
119		Machinist . . . . .		8.73	
120		Machinist . . . . .	1.091		
121		Machinist Helper . . . . .	.818		
122		Machineman . . . . .		5.75	
123		Master Mechanic . . . . .			453.50
124		Mixerman . . . . .		5.50	
125		Motorman . . . . .		5.75	
126		Motorman (Gas) . . . . .		7.67	
127		Mucker . . . . .		4.50	
128		Miner . . . . .		6.00	
129		Nozzleman . . . . .		6.50	
130		Nurse . . . . .			162.50
131		Nipper . . . . .		5.25	
132		Pipe Joint Inspector . . . . .		6.50	
133		Plasterer . . . . .		12.00	
134		Painter . . . . .		9.00	
135		Plumber . . . . .		9.00	
136		Physician . . . . .			337.50
137		Porter . . . . .		4.50	
138		Powderman . . . . .		5.75	
139		Pumpman . . . . .		5.00	
140		Rigger . . . . .		7.00	
141		Rescueman . . . . .	.75		
142		Safety Man . . . . .			250.00
143		Steelworker . . . . .		9.00	
144		Surveyor . . . . .			200.00
145		Sanitary Engineer (part time) . . . . .			75.00
146		Steam Shovel Engineer . . . . .		10.00	
147		Steam Shovel Fireman . . . . .		7.00	
148		Steam Shovel Oiler . . . . .		6.00	
149		Steam Shovel Watchman . . . . .		7.00	
150		Superintendent . . . . .			500.00
151		Steamfitter . . . . .		10.00	
152		Skiptender . . . . .		5.75	
153		Sub Foreman . . . . .		6.50	
154		Special Agent . . . . .			225.00
155		Stenographer . . . . .			155.00

## Section 78. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (Continued)

INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES—FOR CONSTRUCTION  
AND OTHER ACTIVITIES (Continued)

Item No.	Class No.	Departmental Title	Per Hour	Per Day	Per Month
156		Storekeeper . . . . .			240.00
157		Tunnel Supt. . . . .			250.00
158		Tractor Driver . . . . .		\$7.50	
159		Tool Sharpener . . . . .		6.50	
160		Tool Sharpener Helper . . . . .		5.25	
161		Tunnel Supt. Asst. . . . .			240.00
162		Templatemanager . . . . .		5.25	
163		Typist . . . . .			155.00
164		Timekeeper . . . . .			175.00
165		Waiter . . . . .			112.50
166		Water Pipe Welder . . . . .		7.50	
167		Welder . . . . .	1.091		
168		Welder Helper . . . . .	.75		
169		Watchman . . . . .			150.00
170		Waterboy . . . . .		3.50	

Trucks and teams at rates established by Purchasers Contracts.

Subject to prior approval of the Civil Service Commission, other classifications as needed for emergency work at rates not to exceed that prevailing for the particular classification.

Section 79. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
HETCH HETCHY POWER OPERATIVE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	\$ 215
2	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	190
3	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	155
4	1	C104	Janitor . . . . .	130
5	1	C104	Janitor (part time) . . . . .	60
6	1	E107	Power House Electrician . . . . .	210
7	1	E120	Governorman . . . . .	150
8	3	E120	Governorman . . . . .	145
9	3	E120	Governorman . . . . .	140
10	1	E122	Power House Operator . . . . .	190
11	4	E122	Power House Operator . . . . .	185
12	3	E122	Power House Operator . . . . .	165
13	3	E122	Power House Operator . . . . .	150
14	1	E128	Superintendent Power House . . . . .	260
15	1	E128	Superintendent Power House . . . . .	210
16	1	O16	Truck Driver Laborer . . . . .	137.50
17	1	E151	Transmission Line Patrolman's Helper . . . . .	137.50
18	2	E152	Transmission Line Patrolman . . . . .	210
19	1	E164	Foreman Lineman, Power Operative . . . . .	250
20	1	I2	Kitchen Helper . . . . .	112.50
21	1	I12	Cook . . . . .	167.50
22	1	I60	Housekeeper . . . . .	117.50
23	1	J4	Laborer . . . . .	150
24	1	J4	Laborer . . . . .	135
25	1	F351	Junior Electrical Engineer . . . . .	160
26	1	F362	Electrical Engineer . . . . .	400
27	1	M254	Machinist . . . . .	210
28	1	O58	Gardener . . . . .	175



**Section 80. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
HETCH HETCHY WATER SUPPLY**

**INTRA- AND INTERDEPARTMENTAL EMPLOY-  
MENTS AS NEEDED**

			Per Day	Per Month
1	A154	Carpenter . . . . .	\$ 9.00	
2	A164	Carpenter-Foreman . . . . .	10.00	
3	A172	Repair Foreman . . . . .		\$300
4	A204	Cement Finisher . . . . .	9.00	
5	A354	Painter . . . . .		210
6	A354	Painter . . . . .	9.00	
7	A404	Plumber . . . . .	10.00	
8	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .		175
9	B352	Storekeeper . . . . .		150
10	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .		150
11	E150	Lineman Helper . . . . .	7.73	
12	E154	Lineman . . . . .	8.73	
13	E156	Cable Splicer . . . . .	9.50	
14	F212	Assistant Engineer . . . . .		250
15	F605	Surveyor's Field Assistant . . . . .		187.50
16	F605	Surveyor's Field Assistant . . . . .		175
17	J4	Laborer . . . . .	6.00	
18	J4	Laborer . . . . .	5.50	
19	J12	Labor Foreman, Utilities . . . . .		210
20	J12	Labor Foreman, Utilities . . . . .		200
21	M54	Auto Machinist . . . . .	8.73	
22	M55	Sub-Foreman Auto Machinist . . . . .		210
23	M108	Blacksmith . . . . .		190
24	M108	Blacksmith . . . . .	9.00	
25	O16	Truck Driver-Laborer . . . . .	8.00	
26	O16	Truck Driver-Laborer . . . . .	7.50	
27	O16	Truck Driver-Laborer . . . . .	7.00	
28	O16	Truck Driver-Laborer . . . . .	6.50	
29	O16	Truck Driver-Laborer . . . . .	6.00	
30	O20	Brakeman . . . . .	6.00	
31	U226	General Maintenance Foreman . . . . .		200

**Section 81. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
HETCH HETCHY WATER SUPPLY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	2	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	\$ 175
2	1	B14	Senior Accountant . . . . .	275
3	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	160
4	1	C152	Watchman . . . . .	125
5	1	F8	Utilities Engineer . . . . .	700
6	1	F212	Asst. Engineer, Power Operative Division . . . . .	237.50
7	1	O1	Chauffeur . . . . .	225
8	2	U130	Reservoir Keeper . . . . .	160
9	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper . . . . .	150
10	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper . . . . .	140
11	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper . . . . .	145
12	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper . . . . .	125
13	1	U206	Water Department Worker . . . . .	135
14	1	U206	Water Department Worker . . . . .	125

Section 82. **BOARD OF EDUCATION—****CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES, 1937-38**

Rates of pay and positions herein established for employees of the Board of Education are fixed by action of the said Board and are included solely for the convenience of the Controller and the Civil Service Commission for checking payrolls.

Item No.	Department Title	Per Annum
1	Superintendent of Schools .....	\$ 10,000
2	Chief Deputy Superintendent .....	6,000
3	Deputy Superintendents .....	5,400
4	Assistant to Superintendent .....	3,000
5	Directors "A" 277 days' service.....	4,800
6	Directors "B" 238 days' service.....	4,500
7	Assts. to Director of Physical Education	3,300
8	Supervisors "AA" 277 days' service....	4,250
9	Supervisors Class "A" .....	3,900
10	Supervisors Class "B" .....	3,300
11	Supervisors Class "C" .....	3,036
12	Assistant Supervisor of Attendance....	1,800
13	Assistant Supervisor of Attendance....	1,932
14	Assistant Supervisor of Attendance....	2,016
15	Assistant Supervisor of Attendance....	2,100
16	Assistant Supervisor of Attendance....	2,280
17	Assistant Supervisor of Attendance....	2,364
18	Assistant Supervisor of Attendance....	2,448
19	Assistant Supervisor of Attendance....	2,544
20	Junior College President .....	7,000
21	Junior College Registrar and Director of Personnel .....	4,500
22	Junior College Dean of Men.....	4,200
23	Junior College Dean of Women.....	4,200
24	Junior College Assistant Dean of Men..	3,600
25	Junior College Assistant Dean of Women	3,600
26	Librarian .....	3,000
27	Assistant Registrar .....	3,000
28	Assistant to President .....	3,000
29	Principals .....	5,100
30	Principals .....	4,800
31	Principals .....	4,500
32	Principals .....	4,250
33	Principals .....	4,020
34	Principals .....	3,720
35	Principals .....	3,420
36	Principals .....	2,880
37	Principals—Evening Schools (base 192)	2,304
38	Principals—Evening Schools (4/5 of \$2,004) .....	1,603.20
39	Principals—Evening Schools (base 170)	2,040
40	Principals—Evening Schools (base 137)	1,429.94
41	Vice-Principals .....	3,900
42	Vice-Principals .....	3,708
43	Vice-Principals .....	3,600
44	Vice-Principals .....	3,504
45	Vice-Principals .....	3,456
46	Vice-Principals .....	3,408
47	Vice-Principals .....	3,392
48	Vice-Principals .....	3,308
49	Vice-Principals .....	3,276
50	Vice-Principals .....	3,212
51	Vice-Principals .....	3,204
52	Vice-Principals .....	3,192
53	Vice-Principals .....	3,108



Section 82. BOARD OF EDUCATION—  
CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES, 1937-38 (Continued)

Item No.	Department Title	Per Annum
54	Vice-Principals . . . . .	3,044
55	Vice-Principals . . . . .	3,036
56	Vice-Principals . . . . .	3,012
57	Vice-Principals . . . . .	3,000
58	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,976
59	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,904
60	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,880
61	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,848
62	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,844
63	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,820
64	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,808
65	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,764
66	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,748
67	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,736
68	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,700
69	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,680
70	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,676
71	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,664
72	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,604
73	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,580
74	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,508
75	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,500
76	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,448
77	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,436
78	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,416
79	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,400
80	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,376
81	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,364
82	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,332
83	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,316
84	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,304
85	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,280
86	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,220
87	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,232
88	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,136
89	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,100
90	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,076
91	Vice-Principals . . . . .	2,044
92	Vice-Principals . . . . .	1,980
93	Vice-Principals . . . . .	1,984
94	Vice-Principals . . . . .	1,920
95	Vice-Principals . . . . .	1,800
96	Vice-Principals . . . . .	1,740
97	Teachers . . . . .	3,780
98	Teachers . . . . .	3,600
99	Teachers . . . . .	3,588
100	Teachers . . . . .	3,500
101	Teachers . . . . .	3,480
102	Teachers . . . . .	3,408
103	Teachers . . . . .	3,400
104	Teachers . . . . .	3,300
105	Teachers . . . . .	3,384
106	Teachers . . . . .	3,288
107	Teachers . . . . .	3,336
108	Teachers . . . . .	3,204
109	Teachers . . . . .	3,200
110	Teachers . . . . .	3,156
111	Teachers . . . . .	3,108
112	Teachers . . . . .	3,100

Section 82. BOARD OF EDUCATION—  
CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES, 1937-38 (Continued)

Item No.	Department Title	Per Annum
113	Teachers . . . . .	3,084
114	Teachers . . . . .	3,072
115	Teachers . . . . .	3,000
116	Teachers . . . . .	2,988
117	Teachers . . . . .	2,976
118	Teachers . . . . .	2,904
119	Teachers . . . . .	2,900
120	Teachers . . . . .	2,892
121	Teachers . . . . .	2,880
122	Teachers . . . . .	2,806
123	Teachers . . . . .	2,800
124	Teachers . . . . .	2,784
125	Teachers . . . . .	2,736
126	Teachers . . . . .	2,724
127	Teachers . . . . .	2,712
128	Teachers . . . . .	2,700
129	Teachers . . . . .	2,628
130	Teachers . . . . .	2,604
131	Teachers . . . . .	2,600
132	Teachers . . . . .	2,580
133	Teachers . . . . .	2,544
134	Teachers . . . . .	2,508
135	Teachers . . . . .	2,500
136	Teachers . . . . .	2,484
137	Teachers . . . . .	2,460
138	Teachers . . . . .	2,448
139	Teachers . . . . .	2,436
140	Teachers . . . . .	2,400
141	Teachers . . . . .	2,388
142	Teachers . . . . .	2,364
143	Teachers . . . . .	2,304
144	Teachers . . . . .	2,280
145	Teachers . . . . .	2,208
146	Teachers . . . . .	2,196
147	Teachers . . . . .	2,184
148	Teachers . . . . .	2,136
149	Teachers . . . . .	2,112
150	Teachers . . . . .	2,100
151	Teachers . . . . .	2,064
152	Teachers . . . . .	2,016
153	Teachers . . . . .	2,004
154	Teachers . . . . .	1,980
155	Teachers . . . . .	1,932
156	Teachers . . . . .	1,836
157	Teachers . . . . .	1,800
158	Teachers . . . . .	1,744
159	Teachers . . . . .	1,680
160	Teachers . . . . .	1,500
		Eve.
161	Principals—Evening Schools . . . . .	12.0000
162	Principals—Evening Schools . . . . .	10.4375
163	Teachers—Evening Schools . . . . .	9.5625
164	Teachers—Evening Schools . . . . .	7.8125
165	Teachers—Evening Schools . . . . .	7.0572
166	Teachers—Evening Schools . . . . .	6.5104
167	Teachers—Evening Schools . . . . .	5.8854
168	Teachers not full time on pro-rata of above rates.	



Section 82. BOARD OF EDUCATION  
CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES, 1937-38 (Continued)

SUBSTITUTES

169	Teachers at \$6.50 per day.
170	Teachers at \$5.00 per day.
171	Teachers at \$3.50 per evening.
172	Teachers at \$1.8055 per unit served.

SATURDAY MORNING CORRECTIVE WORK CLASSES

173	Teachers at \$4.50 per day.
174	Teachers at \$3.50 per day.
175	Teachers at \$1.50 per hour.
176	Teachers at \$2.50 per hour.
177	Laboratory Assistants as needed.
178	Yard Teachers at rate of \$120 in excess of rates above.
179	P. S. A. L. Teachers at rate of \$100 in excess of rates above.
180	P. S. A. L. Umpires and Referees, \$1.00 to \$3.00 per game as needed.
181	Junior College Unit Teachers \$100 per unit per annum. (\$2.60415 per unit per week.)

Section 83. BOARD OF EDUCATION NON-CERTIFICATED  
EMPLOYEES, 1937-38

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
200	1	A6	Superintendent of Maintenance and Repairs . . . . .	\$ 375
201	3	A154	Carpenter at \$9 per day . . . . .	
202	1	A162	Foreman Carpenter at \$10 per day . . . . .	
203	3	A354	Painter at \$9.75 per day . . . . .	
204	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	230
205		B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	190
206	1	B14	Senior Accountant . . . . .	375
207	1	B14	Senior Accountant . . . . .	275
208	1	B58	Secretary Board of Education (exempt)	425
209	1	B180	Law Clerk . . . . .	300
210	1	B210	Office Assistant (full time) . . . . .	95
211	1	B210	Office Assistant (full time) . . . . .	85
212	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	200
213	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	190
214	3	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
215	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	200
217	1	B308	Comptometer Operator . . . . .	175
218	1	B308	Comptometer Operator . . . . .	165
219	1	B308	Comptometer Operator . . . . .	140
220	2	B308	Comptometer Operators as needed at \$5 per day . . . . .	
221	1	B354	General Storekeeper . . . . .	230
222	1	B380	Armorer, R. O. T. C. (part time) . . . . .	75
223	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	215
224	48	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	175
225	5	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	165
226	9	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	160
227	8	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	150
228	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	140
229	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at \$4.20 per evening . . . . .	

Section 83. BOARD OF EDUCATION NON-CERTIFICATED  
EMPLOYEES, 1937-38 (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
230	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at \$3.30 per evening.....	
231	5	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at \$3.00 per evening.....	
232	26	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer at \$6 per day . . . . .	
233	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	215
234	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
235	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	180
236	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	175
237	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
238		B454	Telephone Operator (part time) for re- lief when needed, at \$2.00 per day....	
239	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	215
240	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	190
241	4	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
242	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	165
243	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	150
244	96	C102	Janitress . . . . .	140
245	4	C102	Janitress . . . . .	130
246	10	C102	Janitress (Substitutes, inferior posi- tions) rate of.....	130
247	131	C104	Janitor . . . . .	155
248	33	C104	Janitor . . . . .	145
249	1	C104	Janitor (part time).....	16
250	1	C104	Janitor (part time).....	10
251	23	C105	Special Janitor .....	162.50
252	5	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor .....	185
253	8	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor .....	170
254	4	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor .....	160
255	1	C112	Supervisor School Janitors.....	275
256	1	I12	Cook . . . . .	140
257	1	I12	Cook . . . . .	130
258	1	I12	Cook (part time).....	75
259	2		Pantry Maid (part time).....	75
260	11	J78	Stockman . . . . .	200
261	3	J78	Stockman . . . . .	160
262	1	J80	Foreman Stockman .....	210
263	1	O1	Chauffeur . . . . .	215
264	1	O104	Moving Picture Operator.....	200
265	2	O122	Window Shade Worker.....	200
266	12	O168	Engineers, Stationary Steam Engines...	220
267		O168	Engineers, Stationary Steam Engines (part time; relief).....	125
268		O168	Engineers, Stationary Steam Engines, \$3 per evening as required.....	
269	1	O172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam En- gines . . . . .	310
270	1	O61	Foreman Gardener .....	200
271	8	O58	Gardener . . . . .	145
272			Referees and Umpires, \$1 to \$3 per game as needed.	
273			Temporary clerical employment and other help as needed at rates fixed in salary ordinance.	

## TRUCK RENTAL—CONTRACTUAL

274			Trucks over 2500 lbs. and not over 4500 lbs. at rate of \$265.00 per month for not more than 23 days per month.	
-----	--	--	---	--



## Section 84. CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioners . . . . .	\$ 100
2	3	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
3	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	180
4	1	B234	Head Clerk (3 mos. at \$215; 9 mos. at \$200) . . . . .	
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	175
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	155
7	3	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	155
8	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner . . . . .	350
9	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner . . . . .	275
10	2	G58	Civil Service Examiner . . . . .	250
11	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner . . . . .	200
12	1	G59	Assistant Personnel Expert . . . . .	350
13	1	G59	Assistant Personnel Expert . . . . .	225
14	1	G60	Personnel Expert . . . . .	450
15	1	G62	Chief Examiner and Secretary . . . . .	466.66

## AS NEEDED

16			Examiners, Clerical and other Services at rates fixed in Salary Standardization Report . . . . .	
----	--	--	--	--

## Section 85. RETIREMENT SYSTEM

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B82	Secretary—Actuary, Ret. System (part time) . . . . .	\$ 450
2	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	190
3	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	200
4	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	250
5	1	B308	Comptometer Operator . . . . .	165
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	190
7	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	165
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	160
9	1	L360	Physician (part time) . . . . .	300
10	1	N410	Investigator . . . . .	200
11			Medical examiners and medical testimony as needed at fees fixed by Retirement Board . . . . .	
12			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed) . . . . .	150
13		B244	Actuarial Clerk (as needed) . . . . .	200
14			Consulting Actuary (as needed), \$50 per day . . . . .	
15		B308	Comptometer Operator (as needed) . . . . .	150
16		B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator (as needed) . . . . .	150
17		B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (as needed) . . . . .	150
18		B420	Phonographic Reporter (as needed), at \$12.50 per day plus transcriptions . . . . .	

Finally passed by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of \$1,500 for Equipment for Airport.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1352, Ordinance No. 9.051394, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriation of \$1,500 for the purpose of providing necessary equipment for airport.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,500 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 663.231.64 to the credit of Appropriation No. 664.400.00, for the purpose of purchasing necessary equipment for the San Francisco Airport.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**NEW BUSINESS.**

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Release of Lien Filed Re Old Age Security.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Resolution No. 3362, as follows:

Resolved, That the lien heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, on recommendation of the County Welfare Department, is released against the property described as follows:

John G. Castlen, recorded August 10, 1936, in Vol. 3011, page 119, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Leona Castlen, recorded September 14, 1936, in Vol. 3011, page 136, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Zephyrin Marcy, recorded August 10, 1936, in Vol. 3011, page 40, Official Records of City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing Appropriation of \$6,412 From Surplus of Premiums Received From Bond Sales of the 1933 Airport Bond Issue to Appropriation No. 97-900-00, Airport Capital Additions.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1359, Ordinance No. 9.051395, as follows:

Authorizing appropriation of \$6,412 from surplus of premiums received from bond sales of the 1933 Airport Bond Issue to Appropriation No. 97-900-00, airport capital additions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated the sum of \$6,412 out of the surplus of premiums received from bond sales of the 1933 Airport Bond Issue to Appropriation No. 97-900-00, airport capital additions,



to defray cost of additions and betterments at the San Francisco Airport.

Approved as to form by Dion J. Holm, Assistant City Attorney.

Recommended by E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.

Approved by Public Utilities Commission Resolution No. 2043, Forrest B. Gibbon, Secretary, Public Utilities Commission.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

### Confirming Sale of City Land at Southwest Corner of Bay Shore Boulevard and Ware Street.

(Code No. 12.1721)

Resolution No. 3363, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17211, Bill No. 94, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids would be received by him on June 2, 1937, for the sale of the following described city-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Bay Shore boulevard which is perpendicularly distant 73.590 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Ware street and perpendicularly distant 200 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Paul avenue, said point of beginning being on the southwesterly line of Lot 32, Block 6 as per map of the Garden Tract Homestead Association, recorded in Map Book "C and D," pages 23 and 25, Records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence northwesterly along said line of Bay Shore boulevard 90.327 feet; thence northwesterly, westerly and southwesterly on a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 12 feet, central angle 145 degrees 02 minutes 30 seconds, a distance of 30.378 feet to tangency with said line of Ware street; thence southwesterly along said line of Ware street 67.153 feet to the southwesterly line of said Lot 32; thence at right angles southeasterly along the southwesterly line of said Lot 32, 73.590 feet to the southwesterly line of Bay Shore boulevard and the point of beginning.

Being portions of Lots 29, 30, 31 and 32 of Block 6 of the above mentioned Garden Tract Homestead Association.

And, Whereas, in response to said advertisement, N. T. Giacomini offered to purchase said land for the sum of \$1,350 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said sum of \$1,350 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being \$1,350; and

Whereas, N. T. Giacomini has paid the sum of \$135 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Department of Public Works has recommended the sale of said land for the sum of \$1,350; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, for the conveyance of said land to N. T. Giacomini and Mary C. Giacomini, his wife. The buyer must pay the balance of the purchase price within thirty (30) days after approval of this reso-

lution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantee upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Refund Erroneous Payment of Taxes.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 3364, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with request of the Assessor and approval of the Controller, refund in amount of \$26.49 be made to Arthur Stone, being taxes paid on an assessment of personal property in excess of actual cash value of property so assessed by reason of clerical error, said payment to be made from General Fund No. 60.969.00, and in accordance with Section 3804 of the Political Code.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Confirming Sale of School Lot on Fourteenth Avenue to Romano.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

Also, Resolution No. 3365, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.172212, Bill No. 1315, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids would be received by him on June 18, 1937, for the sale of the following described City-owned lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Fourteenth avenue, distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Ulloa street (formerly "U"); running thence southerly and along said easterly line of Fourteenth avenue 150 feet; thence at right angles easterly 92 feet, 6 inches to the northwesterly line of San Miguel Rancho; thence northeasterly and along said northwesterly line of San Miguel Rancho 161 feet 2 inches more or less to a point where said line is intersected by a line drawn at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Fourteenth avenue at the point of commencement; thence westerly and parallel with said southerly line of Ulloa street 152 feet 10 inches to the easterly line of Fourteenth avenue and the point of commencement.

Being portion of Outside Land Block No. 1186.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Wm. S. Romano offered to purchase said land for the sum of \$6,000 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said sum of \$6,000 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being \$6,000; and

Whereas, Wm. S. Romano has paid the sum of \$600 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Board of Education has recommended the sale of said land for said sum of \$6,000; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said land to Wm. S. Romano, or his assignee. The buyer must pay the balance of the purchase price within thirty days after approval of this resolution. The Director of



Property shall deliver said deed to the grantee upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Approval County Welfare Department Recommendations.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3366, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Director of the County Welfare Department, filed with the Board of Supervisors on June 25, 1937, are approved as follows:

Old Age Security Aid—New applications; increases.

Blind Pensions—New applications; increases.

Widows' Pensions—New applications; increases.

And the Clerk of this Board is directed to indorse the approval of this Board upon the reports of said Director of the County Welfare Department and to transmit the same to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Final Passage.**

The following emergency bill was presented by the Finance Committee:

#### **Appropriation of \$2,000 for Foodstuffs for County Jail.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1360, Ordinance No. 9.051396, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$2,000 out of the accrued surplus in the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 607.350.00 for the purpose of providing additional funds for the purchase of foodstuffs for the county jails; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the accrued surplus in the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 607.350.00 for the purpose of providing additional funds for the purchase of foodstuffs for the county jails.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as the Sheriff is without sufficient funds to provide foodstuffs for the operation of the county jails.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by Daniel C. Murphy, Sheriff.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Annual Audit of Controller's Books.**

(Code No. 9.061)

Resolution No. 3367, as follows:

Whereas, it is incumbent upon the Board of Supervisors, under the provisions of Section 68 of the Charter, to order an annual audit of the Controller's books of accounts, records and transactions to be made by one or more certified accountants; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby order an audit of the said Controller's books and accounts, records and transactions for the fiscal year 1936-1937, and for the purpose of making said audit does hereby engage and retain the firm of Lybrand, Ross Bros. and Montgomery, certified public accountants, and hereby fix the compensation of said firm as follows, to-wit: For supervising accountant, \$50 per day; for senior accountant, \$25 per day; for junior accountant, \$15 per day; together with such office expenses, including typewriting, as may be incidental to the preparation of a full report of the audit of said accountants; and be it

Further Resolved, That the report of such accountants shall be printed and a copy thereof furnished to the Mayor and to each member of the Board of Supervisors, to the Chief Administrative Officer, to the Controller and to such citizens as may apply therefor; and be it

Further Resolved, That the total expense of making such audit shall not exceed the sum of \$5,000 and shall be paid from Appropriation No. 701.248.00, fiscal year 1937-1938, Appropriation Ordinance.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Advance Grant of PWA Funds for Ferry Slip and Buildings at Yerba Buena Shoals.**

(Code No. 15.05)

Also, Resolution No. 3371, as follows:

Whereas, it is necessary to obtain from the Government of the United States an advance payment of grant in the amount of \$841,921.75 in order to prosecute to completion in an expeditious and economical manner the construction of ferry slips and buildings and paving of areas in conjunction therewith upon certain reclaimed lands (Yerba Buena Shoals) and the construction of buildings on other lands within the City and County of San Francisco necessary in connection with such construction, which project is known and referred to as PWA Docket Calif. 1427; and

Whereas, requisition for advance grant in the aforesaid sum has been duly made and filed with the Government of the United States through its Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works; now, therefore,

Be It Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco, the applicant and sponsor for aforesaid project in consideration of the grant advanced in trust by the Government of the United States in the sum of \$841,921.75 under PWA Docket Calif. 1427 hereby covenants to return to the Government of the United States any portion of the grant which the Federal Emergency Administrator of Public Works in his discretion will consider unearned upon the completion of the project.

And Be It Further Resolved, That his Honor, the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller are hereby authorized and directed to execute in behalf of the City and County of San Fran-



cisco such documents as the Government of the United States may require hereunder.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended and approved by Angelo J. Rossi Mayor and Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing the Director of Property to Aid and Assist the Board of Supervisors, Sitting as a Board of Equalization, and to Employ the Necessary Appraisers to Pass Upon Requests Made for Reductions in 1937-1938 Assessments.**

(Code No. 1.0621)

Bill No. 1364, Ordinance No. 1.06217, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Property to aid and assist the Board of Supervisors, sitting as a Board of Equalization, and to employ the necessary appraisers to pass upon requests made for reductions in 1937-1938 assessments.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Property of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to aid, assist and advise the Board of Supervisors, sitting as a Board of Equalization in passing upon requests made to said Board for the reduction of 1937-1938 assessments on taxable property in the City and County of San Francisco. Said services shall be rendered to said Board of Supervisors during the time that it sits as a Board of Equalization and for such time prior thereto as may be necessary to prepare for such investigation.

Section 2. The Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to employ fifteen independent expert real estate and building appraisers as needed subject to the provisions of Section 142 of the Charter to aid and assist him in advising the Board of Supervisors in regard to requests for reductions in said assessments.

Section 3. For the services herein provided one independent expert building appraiser shall receive not more than \$350. One independent expert real estate and building appraiser shall receive not more than \$275, and the remaining thirteen independent expert real estate and building appraisers shall receive not more than \$250, each chargeable to 1937-1938 Appropriation No. 701.106.01 and there is hereby ordered transferred from 1937-1938 Appropriation No. 701.106.01 the sum of \$200 to the Director of Property to cover the incidental expense of his office relative to the service herein directed to be furnished by said Director of Property

Section 4. Bill No. 1355, Ordinance No. 1.06216 is hereby repealed.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police was taken up:

**Amending Taxicab Ordinance—Rates of Fare.**

(Code No. 11.0111)

Bill No. 1361, Ordinance No. 11.011111, as follows:

Amending Section 23 of Ordinance No. 6979 (New Series) entitled, "Regulating the Use of Taxicabs, Automobiles and Public Vehicles for

Hire, Fixing the rate to Be Charged for the Transportation of Persons and Personal Baggage, Regulating the Use of Boats in the Waters of the Bay, Providing a Punishment for Any Violation Thereof and Repealing Ordinance No. 1898 (New Series)."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 23 of Ordinance No. 6979 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 23. The rates of fare for automobiles, whether offered for hire or furnished for special calls, shall not exceed the following: For automobiles having a capacity for four passengers, exclusive of driver, for the first half hour, or fraction thereof, two dollars and fifty cents; for each succeeding half hour, two dollars. For automobiles having a capacity for six or more passengers, exclusive of driver, for the first half hour or fraction thereof, three dollars; for each subsequent half hour, two dollars and fifty cents. Provided, however, that no automobile shall charge a rate of fare from any ferry or railroad terminal that shall exceed the metered mileage rate specified in the next succeeding section, and the distance from such terminal to the point of destination shall be measured by the shortest route along open public streets. *The rates of fare for limousines and automobiles as such terms are herein defined shall be not less than 30 cents per mile up to and including the fourth mile and \$1.25 for a continuous point to point trip.*

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Public Health Committee were taken up:

#### **Abatement Proceedings—259 Parker Avenue.**

(Code No. 17.09)

Resolution No. 3368, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Health, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, does hereby declare that the premises numbered, known and designated as 259 Parker avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, be and the same is hereby declared to be a public nuisance and the City Attorney of said City and County is hereby directed to abate the same in conformity with the provisions of Section 731 of the Code of Civil Procedure of the State of California.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Abatement Proceedings—78 Henry Street.**

(Code No. 17.09)

Also, Resolution No. 3369, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Health, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, does hereby declare that the premises numbered, known and designated as 78 Henry street, in the City and County of San Francisco, be and the same is hereby declared to be a public nuisance and the City Attorney of said City and County is hereby directed to abate the same in conformity with



the provisions of Section 731 of the Code of Civil Procedure of the State of California.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

**Closing and Abandoning Certain Portions of Newburg, Duncan and Twenty-seventh Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0622)

Resolution No. 3370, as follows:

Whereas, on the 2d day of November, 1936, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 2882, Code No. 12.0621, which resolution was presented to His Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 4th day of November, 1936, said resolution being in words and figures as follows:

**RESOLUTION NO. 2882**

(Code No. 12.0621)

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portions of Newburg, Twenty-seventh and Duncan streets be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all those portions of Newburg, Twenty-seventh and Duncan streets, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

*Parcel I. Newburg Street*

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Twenty-seventh street and the westerly line of Newburg street; thence southerly along said line of Newburg street, 228 feet to the northerly line of Duncan street; thence deflecting 133 degrees 10 minutes 01 seconds to the left and running northeasterly, 41.132 feet to the easterly line of Newburg street; then deflecting 46 degrees 49 minutes 59 seconds to the left and running northerly along the easterly line of Newburg street, 199.861 feet to the southerly line of Twenty-seventh street; thence at right angles westerly along said line of Twenty-seventh street, 30 feet to the point of beginning.

*Parcel II. Twenty-seventh Street*

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Twenty-seventh street and the easterly line of Diamond street; thence easterly along said line of Twenty-seventh street, 508.077 feet; thence deflecting 43 degrees 10 minutes 01 seconds to the left and running northeasterly, 7.825 feet; thence northeasterly, northerly and westerly on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 5 feet, central angle 136 degrees 49 minutes 59 seconds, 11.941 feet to tangency with a line parallel with and 14 feet at right angles northerly from said line of Twenty-seventh street; thence westerly along said parallel line, 510.364 feet to the easterly line of Diamond street produced northerly; thence at right angles southerly along last-named line, 14 feet to the point of beginning.

*Parcel III. Duncan Street*

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Duncan street and the easterly line of Diamond street; thence easterly along said line of Duncan street, 265 feet to the westerly line of Newburg street; thence deflecting 136 degrees 49 minutes 59 seconds to the right and running southwesterly, 4.640 feet; thence southwesterly and westerly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 40 feet, central angle 43 degrees 10 minutes 01 seconds,

30.136 feet to tangency with a line parallel with and 14 feet at right angles southerly from aforesaid line of Duncan street; thence westerly along said parallel line, 234.250 feet to the easterly line of Diamond street produced southerly; thence at right angles northerly along last-named line, 14 feet to the point of beginning.

*Parcel IV. Duncan Street*

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Duncan street and the westerly line of Castro street; thence westerly along said line of Duncan street, 221.914 feet; thence deflecting 43 degrees 10 minutes 01 seconds to the left and running southwesterly, 7.825 feet; thence southwesterly, southerly and easterly on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 5 feet, central angle 136 degrees 49 minutes 59 seconds, 11.941 feet to tangency with a line parallel with and 14 feet at right angles southerly from said line of Duncan street; thence easterly along said parallel line, 224.201 feet to the westerly line of Castro street produced southerly; thence at right angles northerly along last-named line, 14 feet to the point of beginning.

Said closing and abandonment of said portions of Newburg, Twenty-seventh and Duncan streets shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portions of Newburg, Twenty-seventh and Duncan streets, in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted*—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, November 2, 1936.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, November 4, 1936.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

And Whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution and the said Department of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 2882, Code No. 12.0621; and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that the portions of Newburg, Duncan and Twenty-seventh streets described in Resolution No. 2882, Code No. 12.0621 be closed and abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered, that the portions of Newburg, Duncan and Twenty-seventh streets specifically de-



scribed and proposed in said Resolution No. 2882, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing said portions of said streets described in Resolution No. 2882, Code No. 12.0621, shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco as proposed and provided in Resolution No. 2882, Code No. 12.0621; and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said portions of said streets described in Resolution No. 2882, Code No. 12.0621, shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works and that the Department of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

#### Prohibiting Parking at Certain Hours in Downtown Areas.

(Code No. 11.02)

Bill No. 1362, Ordinance No. 11.0231, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled, "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith," by adding a new section to Article V thereof to be designated Section 37(d), "Parking Prohibited in Certain Areas During Specified Hours," and repealing all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto a new section to be designated Section 3(d), to read as follows:

Section 37(d). It shall be unlawful for the driver of any vehicle to stop or park the same for a longer period of time than is necessary for the actual loading or unloading and delivering of passengers or materials between the hours 8 a. m. and 9:30 a. m., and between the hours 4:30 p. m. and 6 p. m. (Saturday afternoons, Sundays and holidays excepted) upon any street, avenue, lane, alley, court, public place or public way within the following described areas:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northerly property line of Market street with the westerly line of Taylor street; thence northerly along and including the westerly side of Taylor street to the northerly line of Bush street; thence easterly along and including the northerly side of Bush street to the westerly line of Kearny street; thence northerly along and including the westerly side of Kearny street to the northerly line of California street; thence easterly along and including the northerly side of California street to Battery street; thence southerly along and including the easterly side of Battery street to the northerly property line of Market street; thence westerly along the northerly property line of Market street to the point of commencement.

Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly property line of Market street with the easterly property line of Fremont street; thence southerly along the easterly property line of Fremont

street to the northerly property line of Howard street; thence westerly along the northerly property line of Howard street to the westerly property line of Twelfth street; thence northerly along the westerly property line of Twelfth street to the southerly property line of Market street; thence easterly along the southerly property line of Market street to the point of commencement.

This ordinance shall not be applicable to duly authorized public vehicle stands established under the ordinances of this City and County for the use of public passenger-carrying vehicles for hire.

Section 2. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith, are to the extent of such conflict, hereby repealed.

#### Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved to strike out the words "alley" and "lane" in the sixth line of Section 37(d).

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Aye—Supervisor Uhl—1.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—10.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the foregoing Bill was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—10.

No—Supervisor Uhl—1.

#### Prohibiting Parking at Certain Hours in Downtown Areas.

(Code No. 11.02)

The following Bill was taken up:

Bill No. 1363, Ordinance No. 11.0232, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled, "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith," by adding a new section to Article V thereof to be designated Section 37(e), "Parking Prohibited in Certain Areas During Specified Hours," and repealing all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto a new section to be designated Section 37(e), to read as follows:

Section 37(e). It shall be unlawful for the driver of any vehicle to stop or park the same for a longer period of time than is necessary for the actual loading or unloading and delivering of passengers or materials between the hours of 7:30 a. m. and 6 p. m. (Sundays and holidays excepted) at any place within the following described area:

Upon the north side of Market street between the westerly line of The Embarcadero and the westerly line of Franklin street; also on the south side of Market street between the westerly line of Beale street and the westerly line of Twelfth street.

This ordinance shall not be applicable to duly authorized public vehicle stands established under the ordinances of this City and County for the use of public passenger-carrying vehicles for hire.

Section 2. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith, are to the extent of such conflict, hereby repealed.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Mayor was taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Hon. George R. Reilly, Member Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3372, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, Hon. George R. Reilly, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing July 14, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Committee on Unification of Street Railways.**

(Code No. —)

The following resolution was presented by Supervisor Brown and *referred to the Public Utilities Committee*:

Resolution No. 3373, as follows:

Whereas, the proposed Cahill rapid transit plan involves a total cost to the taxpayers of \$55,250,000, including interest during construction; and

Whereas, present traffic and transportation conditions are unsatisfactory and will be almost intolerable during the nine months of the Exposition in 1939; and

Whereas, construction of the proposed subway system will cover a five-year period and it would thus appear that only nominal, if any, relief will be possible in time to meet conditions in 1939; and

Whereas, private railway lines operating in the City and County of San Francisco carry two and one-half patrons to one given service by the Municipal Railway; and

Whereas, it would appear that approval of the proposed large expenditure by a two-thirds vote prior to exhaustion of the possibilities of agreement for something approaching unification of service will be extremely difficult; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco that a committee of five, including the City Controller, Chairman of the Finance Committee, Chairman of the Public Utilities Committee, Chairman of the Streets Committee and the President of the Board, be and is hereby appointed and authorized to investigate the immediate possibilities of unification of the private and Municipal lines; the benefits of such unification and a basis, if any, upon which such consolidation may be satisfactorily negotiated; and be it

Further Resolved, That the committee herein authorized shall file with the Board of Supervisors prior to Monday, August 23, 1937, its report and recommendation which will permit official action by the Board of Supervisors in time to submit the proposed rapid transit plan, or a revision thereof, at the November 9, 1937, election; and be it

Further Resolved, that the Department of Public Works be instructed to broaden the present City-wide pedestrian and vehicular traffic survey which is to officially conclude on August 1, to include

pertinent information which may be helpful to the special committee in its studies and negotiations, without expense to the City.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Brown moved that copies of the foregoing be sent to all members of the Board of Supervisors. *So ordered.*

**Appropriation of \$73,064 for Police Signal Box Circuits.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Bill No. 1366, Ordinance No. 9.051398, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$73,064 out of the accrued surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 649,500.09 to make the necessary changes in the police signal box circuits as per recommendations of the Special Police Committee.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$73,064 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the accrued surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 649,500.09 to make the necessary changes in the police signal box circuits as per recommendations of the Special Police Committee.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by Ralph W. Wiley, Chief of Department of Electricity.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Appropriation of \$15,000 for New Elevator in Civic Auditorium.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Bill No. 1365, Ordinance No. 9.051397, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$15,000 out of the accrued surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 635,500.00 for the installation of a new elevator in the Civic Auditorium.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$15,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the accrued surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 635,500.00 for the installation of a new elevator in the Civic Auditorium.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by Jos. Phillips, Director of Property.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**Check on Toll Collection Devices on Golden Gate Bridge.**

(Code No. 12.111)

Resolution No. 3374, as follows:

Whereas, information has been received by Supervisor Uhl that the toll collection devices on the Golden Gate Bridge are inaccurate; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is vitally interested in the collection of all tolls covering pleasure automobiles, trucks and pedestrians; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Chief Administrative Officer instruct the City Engineer to make a check covering the toll collection devices on the Golden Gate Bridge and report to the Board of Supervisors whether the devices are in line with the specifications and the accuracy of said devices.

*Referred to Finance Committee.***Bond Issue Legislation for Rapid Transit and Dead-End Streets.**

The following legislation, prepared by the City Attorney, was read by the Clerk:

Resolution No. 3375, entitled:

"Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and completion of rapid transit system and that the cost thereof is too great to be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County of San Francisco."

Resolution No. 3376, entitled:

"Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the extension of Fifth street, across Market street to the intersection of Mason and Eddy streets, and the projection of Grant avenue, across Market street to Brannan, and that the cost of such improvement will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual revenue of the City and County."

**Motion.**

Supervisor Colman moved that the City Attorney be requested to prepare legislation necessary for submission to the electors, the question of bond issue for the cutting through of "dead-end" streets, as separate questions, i. e., one proposal for extension of Fifth street, and another for the projection of Grant avenue across Market street to Brannan street.

*Motion carried.***Action Deferred.**

Supervisor Mead moved to lay over until July 12, 1937, and make it a Special Order of Business for 3 P. M.

*Motion carried by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Reilly, Uhl—4.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Streets Committee to Report on "Bottleneck" at San Rafael.**

Supervisor Brown, after referring to the "bottleneck" at San Rafael, and inquiring as to what had been done in the matter, moved that the matter be referred to the Streets Committee and the committee report back to the Board as to the situation and what might be expected from it.

*Motion carried.*

**Contractors' Working Conditions Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.092)

Supervisor Mead presented:

Bill No. 1363, Ordinance No. 9.0927, as follows:

An ordinance amending Ordinance No. 9.0923, Bill No. 556, Code No. 9.092, entitled "Regulations in Regard to Contractors' Working Conditions Under Contract for Public Work or Improvements," by repealing Section 4 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 9.0923, Bill No. 556, Code No. 9.092, entitled "Regulations in Regard to Contractors' Working Conditions Under Contract for Public Work or Improvements," is hereby amended by repealing and deleting therefrom Section 4 of said ordinance, which section reads as follows:

"In all contracts for the construction of any public work or improvement which involves the use of any funds furnished, given or loaned by the government of the United States, all laws, rules and regulations of the said government of the United States, or of any of its departments, relative to the doing of such work and the conditions under which the same is to be performed, shall prevail over the conditions set forth in this ordinance when the same are in conflict."

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**Closing Washington Street for Presidio Open Air School Children.**

Supervisor Brown presented a communication from Mrs. K. Gebrels, requesting that Washington street, between Maple and Cherry, be closed to traffic between the hours of 10:30 and 11 a. m. and between 12 noon and 1 p. m. on school days, in order that closed portion of street may be used as a playground for pupils at Presidio Open Air School.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

**Protest W. P. A. Dismissal.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that telegram be sent to the President, to Harry L. Hopkins and to Mr. Nylander, at Washington, protesting the dismissal of 550 recreational W. P. A. workers and appealing for their immediate reinstatement, if possible.

*Motion carried.*

**Hearing—Old-Age Pensions.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that W. R. Lawson be invited to appear before the Board July 6, at 2:30 p. m., to discuss the matter of lay-off of W. P. A. workers who are eligible for old-age pensions.

*Motion carried.*

**Increase of Boxing License.**

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Communication from Pacific Association Athletic Union, re increase of boxing tax.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**Meetings Announced.**

Public Utilities Committee, June 30, 3 p. m.

Health Committee, July 2, 10 a. m.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors at 4:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.



MONDAY, JUNE 28, 1937.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 6, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 32

PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 27

Tuesday, July 6, 1937

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, JULY 6, 1937, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, July 6, 1937, 2 p. m.  
The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:  
Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly, Schmidt—4.

Supervisor Reilly noted present at 2:35 p. m.

Quorum present.

President Shannon in the chair.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of June 28, 1937, was considered read and approved.

### Delivery of the Assessment Roll.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

“RUSSELL L. WOLDEN, ASSESSOR  
of the

City and County of San Francisco

ROOM 101, CITY HALL, SAN FRANCISCO.

July 6, 1937.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall.

Gentlemen: This day, Tuesday, July 6 (the first Monday, July 5, being a legal holiday), there has been delivered in to the custody of the Clerk of your Board, forty-four (44) volumes (together with indexes for same), representing the 1937 Assessment Roll covering the taxable land and the taxable buildings in the City and County of San Francisco.

Very truly yours,

RUSSELL L. WOLDEN,  
Assessor.

RUSSELL L. WOLDEN, JR.,  
Chief Assistant Assessor.”

Filed with Board of Supervisors July 16, 1937, 11:18 a. m.

### Arbitrary List.

July 1, 1937.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall.

Gentlemen: Section 3633 of the Political Code of the State of California provides that on or before the first day of July, the Assessor must transmit to the Board of Supervisors a verified report in writ-



ing, separate from the Assessment Roll, containing a complete list of persons who have refused or neglected to furnish the property statement required by Section 3629 of the Political Code.

There is attached hereto and made a portion of this communication, the statement required by Section 3633.

The valuation estimates listed on the attached statement cover personal property exclusively, any real property of which the persons named in the attached list were the owners of record as of the first Monday of March, 1937, having been duly assessed by me.

The estimated valuation of the personal property covered by the attached list has been arbitrarily arrived at and in the judgment of the Assessor does not in any case exceed the true value of such property as nearly as such valuation may be ascertained.

Each person named in the attached list has been requested in writing by the Assessor to file the property statement required by Section 3629 of the Political Code of the State.

Very truly yours,

RUSSELL L. WOLDEN,

Assessor.

RUSSELL L. WOLDEN, JR.,

Chief Assistant Assessor.

A B C Fixit Shop, 1232 Pacific Ave.....	\$ 50
A B C Restaurant, 720 Howard.....	300
A 1 Carpenter Shop, 2175 Lombard.....	50
A B Fountain Lunch, 100 Market.....	90
A & G Coal Co., 4742 Mission.....	25
A & H Brands, Ltd., 3030 Twenty-fourth St.....	500
Abben Electric Works, 509 Washington.....	115
Abbey Chair Rental, 2271 Market.....	100
Aberdeen Tavern, 366 Third St.....	655
Ace Delivery Co., 309 Fifth St.....	25
Ace Photo Eng. Co., 682 Mission, No. 2F.....	100
Achenbach, M. S., 2618 Buchanan.....	750
Acheson, Thos. J., 235 Montgomery, No. 2808.....	50
Ackerman, F. W., 369 Pine, No. 406.....	150
Ackerman, J. H., 335 Powell, No. 305.....	330
Acme Adjustment Bureau, 110 Sutter, No. 501.....	150
Acme Bus. Mach. Co., 1686A Ellis.....	270
Acme Curtain Clean., 540 Sixth St.....	450
Acme Extr. & Syrup Co., 655 Battery.....	500
Acme Gravel Co., 1401 Potrero Ave.....	300
Acme Repair Co., 1695 Jackson, No. 2F.....	50
Acme Sign Co., 308 O'Farrell.....	50
Acme Stucco Co., 201 Utah.....	120
Acme Tavern, 801 Buchanan.....	405
Adams, A., 1347 Golden Gate Ave.....	70
Adams Constr. Co., 625 Market, No. 1419.....	250
Adams, F. P., 235 Montgomery, No. 950.....	150
Adams Golf Co., 143 Bush.....	230
Adams, J., 2511 Sacramento, No. 2.....	200
Adams, R. M., 2070 Pacific, No. 505.....	200
Adams, Van & Storage, 1610 Sacramento.....	25
Adams, W. F., 604 Front.....	400
Adcraft Letter Serv., 222 Kearny, No. 203.....	100
Adriatic Barber Shop, 448 Bay.....	150
Adua Cafe, 524 Union.....	250
Advance Automatic Sl., 1021 Golden Gate Ave.....	1250
Advance Fashions, 154 Sutter, No. 602.....	100
Advance Home Appli., 430 Haight.....	400
Advance Window Clean., 902 Bush.....	25
Advertising Display, 731 Octavia.....	50
Aetna Garage, 525 Jones.....	650
Agar, J. M., 358 Byxbee.....	200

Ah Lung, 870A Washington.....	50
Ah Woo, 27 Ross alley.....	200
Alabama Repair Shop, 930 Alabama.....	25
Albertus Cleaners, 1615 Ocean Ave.....	100
Albion Tavern, 21 Clay.....	250
Albright Metal Prod., 580 Minna.....	500
Albright's Garage, 834 Divisadero.....	250
Alexander Ham Barber, 635 O'Farrell.....	300
Alexander Ham Bty. S., 631 O'Farrell.....	105
Algier, Tony, 1304 Powell.....	150
Alioto, N., 2758 Taylor.....	200
Allen, C. H., 465 California, No. 631.....	50
Allen, J. M., 2100 Folsom.....	400
Allen, L., 364 Bush, No. 23.....	200
Allen, R. E., 909 Hyde, No. 519.....	150
Allen, R. E., 175 Dorantes Ave.....	300
Allen's Harmony Sys., 79 Haight.....	250
Allen's Van & Storage, 3213 Scott.....	25
Allied Chemical Co., 245 Sixth St.....	423
Allied Consumers, 117 Montgomery, No. 7.....	100
Allied Engineer Pro., 151 San Bruno Ave.....	520
Allied Petroleum Co., 114 Sansome, No. 525.....	50
Allied Print Trades, 544 Market, No. 906.....	100
Allison, C. B., 2034 Divisadero.....	120
Allum, L. M. Dr., 1145 Polk, No. 5.....	150
Allyn & Co., 235 Montgomery, No. 2908.....	250
Alma, Modiste, 212 Stockton, No. 305.....	105
Alma's Tavern, 1605 Market.....	350
Almyra Pharmacy, 4298 Twenty-fourth St.....	900
Alpine Van & Storage, 1787 McAllister.....	50
Als Smoke Shop, 177 Jessie.....	200
Alta Meat Market, 5507 Mission.....	200
Alta Print Shop, 46 Kearny, No. 409.....	250
Alter, H. K., 354 Pine, No. 606.....	200
Alvarado, J. B., 235 Montgomery, No. 1642.....	100
Amalgamated Lithos., 732 Harrison.....	50
Ambos, G., 1209 McAllister.....	115
Amendola, R., 299 Sixth Ave.....	65
Amer. Buffet, 16 Taylor.....	90
Amer. Bldg. Maint. Co., 467 O'Farrell.....	2,000
Amer. Carpenter Shop, 4327 Geary Blvd.....	100
Amer. Citizen, The, 509 Sansome, No. 4F.....	200
Amer. Gas Machine Co., 180 New Montgomery, No. 7.....	150
Amer. Letter Press, 760 Market, No. 1170.....	1,170
Amer. Magnesite Co., 48 Zoe.....	300
Amoroso, F. C. & Sons, 2131 Keith.....	35
Analytical Res. Lab., 1015 Divisadero.....	50
Anchorage, 2280 Chestnut.....	300
Andersen, A., 632 Precita.....	50
Anderson, C. C., 948 Laguna.....	300
Anderson, G., Lessee, 354 Bayshore.....	150
Anderson, Leslie, 233 Post, No. 308.....	400
Anderson, N. O., 1072 Folsom.....	100
Anderson's Cleaning, 1044 Hyde.....	210
Anderson's Moving, 4041 Geary Blvd.....	25
Anderson Undertaking, 1383 Valencia.....	920
Andre & Boland, 140 Valencia.....	100
Andrews Breeding Co., 505 Market.....	300
Andrews, Wm. A., 785 Market, No. 1504.....	200
Andrews, W. S., 260 California, No. 1006.....	100
Angelo's Barber Shop, 2370A Mission.....	135
Angelo's Cigar Store, 2370A Mission.....	70
Angelo, Cleaner & Dyer, 1619 McAllister.....	150
Anixter, R. T., 235 Montgomery, No. 420.....	100



Ansley Designers, 573 California.....	615
Antique Repair Shop, 2230 Union.....	321
Antone Beauty Parlor, 177 Post, No. 608.....	590
Appraisals & Brokerg., 870 Market, No. 455.....	150
Aptos Electric Const., 1104 Mission.....	250
Aptos Land & Water, 235 Montgomery, No. 1335.....	200
Aquatic Garage, 799 Beach.....	285
Arcade Pharmacy, 1094 Bush.....	1,650
Arcadia Beauty Studio, 254 Fillmore.....	150
Archies Shoe Shop, 2387 Ocean Ave.....	125
Argonne Van & Storage, 2425 Seventeenth St.....	135
Arguello Cleaners, 752 Arguello.....	90
Armstrong, G. H., Fu., 1388 South Van Ness.....	100
Army St. Carpenter Shop, 3155 Army.....	110
Arnold, B., 220 Montgomery, No. 709.....	100
Arnold, C. F., 355 Jules Ave.....	300
Arnott, J. & Son, 633 Taraval.....	400
Arnstein, A., 506 Gough.....	25
Arntz, W. P., 5538 Fulton.....	290
Arrow Cafe, 120 Mason.....	435
Art Barber Shop, 2869 Diamond.....	100
Art Flower Supply Co., 277 Eddy.....	150
Art & Style Studio, 2031 Vallejo St.....	150
Ash, J. E., 3993 Washington.....	410
Ashton, A., 22 Turk.....	130
Associated Advertis., 693 Mission, No. 410.....	100
Assoc. Amer. Artist, 101 Post, No. 605.....	100
Assoc. Health Service, 760 Market, No. 733.....	1,000
Associated Holdg. Prs., 311 Minna, No. 207.....	200
Atkins, G. E., 582 Market, No. 1205.....	100
Atkinson, M. C., 3134 Washington.....	80
Atkinson & Maund, 1215 Polk.....	1,500
Atlas Distrib. Co., 479 Waller.....	25
Atlas Garage, 1444 Green.....	350
Atlas Manufacturing, 665 Folsom.....	550
Atlas Tow Service, 375 O'Farrell.....	25
Attorney Printing Sup., 518 Market, No. 303.....	250
Atwood, P. G., 344 Santa Ana Ave.....	390
Austin Pennant Shop, 2331 Market.....	128
Austin, W. B., 701 Buena Vista Ave.....	1,125
Auto Armature Exchg., 715 Turk.....	800
Auto Driving School, 137 McCoppin.....	50
Automobile Sal. & Ser., 928 Van Ness Ave.....	400
Automotive Discount, 510 Van Ness Ave.....	200
Avary, H., 323 Geary, No. 707.....	900
Avenue Fruit Shop, 1837 Pacific.....	135
Avenue Jewelers, 519 Columbus Ave.....	950
Avenue Theatre, 2650 San Bruno Ave.....	3,925
Avila, A. E., 3801 Seventeenth St.....	135
Axe, E. W. & Co., 235 Montgomery, No. 909.....	200
B. C. D. Super Serv. Sta., 98 Ocean Ave.....	820
B. & B. Style Shop, 830 Market, No. 500.....	150
Baccei, L., 275 Columbus Ave.....	240
Bacchi, M., 2251 Greenwich.....	60
Bacchi, G., 3001 Fillmore.....	150
Bacchi, M., 3333 Fillmore.....	125
Bacher, Rudy, 4019 Geary.....	150
Back Stage Cafe, 236 Leavenworth.....	155
Bailey, D. P., 552 Hayes.....	285
Bailey, T., 405 Sansome, No. 402.....	150
Bait Shop, The, 987 North Point.....	50
Bait & Tackle Shop, 1657 O'Farrell.....	295
Baker, G. H., 582 Market, No. 1013.....	100
Baker, R. G., 369 Pine, No. 314.....	150

Baker, W. B. & Co., Inc., 15 Harriet.....	3,000
Balaine, A., 1359 Tenth Ave.....	100
Balboa Building, 593 Market, No. 223.....	500
Balboa Cleaners, 2035 Balboa.....	80
Balboa Super Serv. St., 2000 Lombard.....	860
Baldocchi, L., 1525 Irving.....	50
Balonis Tavern, 321 Leavenworth.....	170
Bangs, E. G., 580 Market, No. 406.....	109
Bank America Garage, 568 Commercial.....	250
Barbera, Andrew, Co., 544 Market, No. 504.....	100
Barkalow Bros. Co., 499 Geary, No. L.....	575
Barker, M. A., 693 Sutter, No. 204.....	100
Barnes, L. E., 400 Thirty-sixth Ave.....	305
Barney's Cafe, 1307 Hayes.....	200
Barney's Restaurant, 1906 Van Ness Ave.....	610
Barney's Richfield Se., 2299 Lombard.....	1,000
Barrel House, 46 Third St.....	555
Barrett Sales Co., 538 Howard.....	250
Barrett & Probert, 7 Front, No. 519.....	100
Barrick, W. C., 1364 Page.....	280
Barrow Book Shop, 1686 Market.....	1,100
Barry, M. L., 1410 Portola Dr.....	600
Barsotti, P., 1725 Filbert.....	200
Barsotti, S., 1900 Fillmore.....	100
Barstow's Bty. Studio, 905 Geneva Ave., No. 2.....	200
Barsuglia, A. D., 539 Montgomery.....	100
Bartevian, P., 67A Powell.....	100
Bartley, A. P., 163 Second St., No. 1F.....	40
Barusch, S., 1026 Market, No. 320.....	170
Barusch, W., 25 Taylor, No. 201.....	25
Baryborov, S. N., 870 Market, No. 775.....	200
Bastida, J., 2903 Sixteenth St.....	250
Basuino, L., 394 Geary.....	126
Bauer, C., 1722 Buchanan.....	525
Bauer, U., 253 Fourth St.....	125
Bauman, E. J., 4610 Geary Blvd.....	30
Baumann, A. J., 268 Market, No. 154.....	260
Bauman, H. C., 251 Kearny, No. 508.....	80
Baumgartner, M., 102 Jarboe Ave.....	70
Bay Auto Co., 1460 Market.....	150
Bay Bridge Rest., 11 Pacific Ave.....	208
Bay Bridge Tea House, 1133 Golden Gate Ave.....	30
Bay Bridge Wine Sto., 787 Haight.....	200
Bay Cities Finance, 68 Post, No. 404.....	100
Bay Cities Dup. Co., 625 Market, No. 703.....	295
Bay Cities Pub. Agcy., 690 Market, No. 418.....	100
Bay City Cleaners, 2491 Folsom.....	70
Bay City Oil Co., 177 Stillman.....	120
Bay City Sales Co., 565 Seventh St.....	100
Bay City Woodcarving, 1376 Mission.....	200
Bay Meadows Tavern, 98 Eddy.....	250
Bay Shore Auto Serv., 245 Bay Shore Blvd.....	30
Bay Shore Grotto, 281 Bay Shore Blvd.....	110
Bay View Barber Shop, 6220 Third St.....	80
Bay View Garage, 1520 Taylor.....	500
Bay View Shoe Rpr., 4922 Third St.....	160
Bay West Paper Co., 149 California, No. 530.....	234
Bayer, Leona M., 450 Sutter, No. 1033.....	200
Bayshore Coal Depot, 322 Bayshore.....	150
Bayshore Theatre, 2428 Bayshore Blvd.....	172
Bayview Cleaner, 4923 Third St.....	135
Bayview Lunch, Pier 28.....	75
Beacon Realty Co., 202 Clement.....	100
Bearing Agencies, 807 Ellis.....	100



Beauchaine, A., 221 Downey.....	200
Beauty Pattern Co., 149 New Montgomery, No. 610.....	300
Bechtold, A. R., 110 Sutter, No. 1001.....	25
Bechtold, A. R., 476 Fifteenth Ave.....	125
Beckstrom, R. W., 3112 Geary Blvd.....	100
Befco Automotive Eq., 758 Bryant.....	1,010
Behneman, H., 49 Sea Cliff Ave.....	600
Behneman, H. M. F., 384 Post, No. 302.....	150
Belaskey, Joseph, 404 Geary.....	100
Belfast Club, 600 Third St.....	300
Bell Cash & Carry, 6423 California.....	775
Bell Cleaners, 557 Haight.....	150
Bell Coffee Mill, 1985 Mission.....	100
Bell, S. H. Co., 1515 Third St.....	500
Belli Bros., 2498 Fillmore.....	300
Bellmer, F. E. Fu., 4131 Nineteenth St.....	70
Beltrami, A. G., 330A Guerrero.....	75
Ben Cleaners, 780 Jackson.....	150
Ben Cupman Serv. Sta., 1500 Fifteenth St.....	660
Ben Sheet Metal Wks., 282 Sixth St.....	152
Benards, 988 Market, No. 212.....	150
Benatar, J., 2017 Chestnut.....	105
Bender, S., 209 Post, No. 501.....	500
Benedict, Wm. F. & Assoc., 465 California, No. 308.....	100
Benelli, Harry, 4075A Eighteenth St.....	225
Bengston, H., 2316 Mission.....	50
Benjamin, C. Dr., 1726 Mission.....	200
Bennet Aviation F., 950 Mason, No. C.....	100
Bennett, M. E. & Co., 369 Pine, No. 424.....	135
Bennett, N. B., 717 Market, No. 201A.....	40
Bennett's Van & Stor., 1491 Ocean Ave.....	25
Benny's Market, Delic., 4127 California.....	485
Benny's Market, Meat, 4127 California.....	430
Ben's Barber Shop, 751 Lombard.....	25
Bensen Spec. Prod., Inc., 61 Fremont, No. 2.....	150
Bensons Shop, 141 Grant Ave.....	3,368
Benzinger, M., 135 Stockton, No. 739.....	550
Beraldo, D., 525 Gough.....	100
Berendsen, L. H., 883 Market, No. 208.....	100
Berg, W., 500 Sansome, No. 721.....	50
Bergemann, R. A., 235 Montgomery, No. 1020.....	100
Bergesen & Le Roy, 320 Fourth St.....	100
Berglof & Weideman, 105 Montgomery, No. 602.....	500
Bergman, G., 1922 Taraval.....	60
Berkeley Trans Co., Pier 7.....	100
Berman, M. J., 4779 Mission.....	135
Perman, T. E. & Co., 58 Second St., No. 5F.....	150
Bernal Garage, 1643 Valencia.....	650
Bernhardt & Cowen, 924 Taraval.....	200
Pernice Stylist, 2000 Van Ness Ave.....	250
Bernstein, W., 1165 Divisadero.....	50
Bernz, O. Co., Inc., 538 Howard.....	531
Berry, C. A., 528 Lyon.....	240
Berry, C. E., 733 Douglas.....	135
Berryhill, Mat, 7 Front, No. F203.....	250
Berwin, M., 704 Market, No. 906.....	40
Best, E. S., 703 Market, No. 802.....	125
Bestile Sales Co., 557 Market, No. 1F.....	225
Better More Prod. Co., 1963 Sutter.....	500
Beta Gamma, 39 Hillway Ave.....	30
Bettencourt, F. C., 490 Post, No. 1208.....	115
Bettis Krull & Co., 1276 Mission.....	1000
Betty May Studio, 1052 Guerrero.....	150
Beverly, H. T., 465 California, No. 418.....	140

Bianchi, Valentino, 498 Pacific Ave.....	150
Bigham, M., 1543 Steiner.....	70
Bill, P. R., 450 Sutter, No. 2533.....	200
Bill's Texas Chili, 104 Seventh St.....	105
Biltmore Clean & Dye, 69 Duboce Ave.....	400
Biltmore Garage, 351 Valencia.....	655
Biolite Co., 7 Front, No. F143.....	100
Birdsall, M., 133 Geary, No. 517.....	145
Bireleys, 3766 Twenty-fourth St.....	500
Bishop, G. L., 582 Market, No. 503.....	100
Bitonti, Sam, 1908 Mission.....	150
Bittner, Clement, 220 Montgomery, No. 443.....	100
Black, A. P., 114 Sansome, No. 516.....	40
Black Derby, 382 Ellis.....	300
Blaiotta, B. F., 708 Edinburgh.....	75
Blanchard, M. J., 2065 Sutter.....	75
Blanche's Sweet Shop, 415 Gough.....	150
Bliss, W. D., 2990 Vallejo.....	1,600
Bliss & Fairweather, 593 Market, No. 1008.....	360
Bloom, E. J., 582 Market, No. 714.....	150
Bloom, L., 709 Mission, No. 8F.....	300
Blox Hat Cleaners, 206 Market.....	33
Blue, F., 3441 Clay.....	200
Blue Bird Candy Shop, 4342 California.....	525
Blue Moon Cafe, 529 Broadway.....	250
Blue Moon Cheese Pr., 200 Davis, No. 211.....	250
Blue Moon Tavern, 301 Valencia.....	250
Blue Ribbon Inn, 2734 Army.....	270
Blum, H. F., 16 California, No. 407.....	100
Blum, M. M., 5446 Geary Blvd., No. 2.....	150
Blumenthal, Lena, 1715 Lyon.....	100
Boas Finance Co., 1201 Van Ness Ave.....	515
Bob's Cafe, 211 Clement.....	150
Bob's Coffee Shop, 519 California, No. A.....	85
Bob's Flower Shop, 2162 Polk.....	200
Bob's Smoke Shop, 3976 Twenty-fourth St.....	150
Bock Toa Hong Co., 859 Stockton.....	285
Boerner Leadlay Co., Fifth St. & Hooper.....	525
Boggio, L., 4746 Geary Blvd.....	140
Bohemian Garden, 1600 Market.....	160
Bohmert, A., 512 Arguello Blvd.....	225
Bok Chun Tung, 772 Commercial.....	250
Bon Marche Cleaners, 1589 Waller St.....	67
Bond Bros. & Co., 310 California, No. 312.....	247
Bonde, A. L. Fu., 2680A Folsom.....	100
Bonded Transfer Co., 1107 Polk.....	75
Bonfils, W. B., 3320 Baker.....	575
Bonos, P., 1250 Mason.....	55
Book Cellar Circ. Libr., 734A Bush.....	155
Book Club of California, 110 Sutter, No. 810.....	70
Booker, T., Mothers Nur., 2329 Sacramento.....	100
Boole, G. W., 1870 Jackson, No. 702.....	1,050
Booth, M., 1337 Gough.....	300
Booth, W. C., 340 Sansome, No. 224.....	500
Boquet Beer Tavern, 6215 Third St.....	100
Bordano, V. Fu., 855A Castro.....	100
Bordeaux, J. R., 486 California, No. 1024.....	55
Borg, L., 25 Taylor, No. 718.....	100
Borgfeldt, C. J., 469 Eddy.....	410
Borgman, E., 406 Sutter, No. 223.....	50
Boston Inn., 460 Folsom.....	120
Botsford, F. L., 901 California, No. 704.....	300



Bottini, A. A., 791 Monterey place.....	450
Boulevard Garage, 421 Arguello Blvd.....	285
Boulevard Tire Serv., 1183 Potrero Ave.....	305
Bouncers Cafe, 64 Townsend.....	280
Bouquet Cohn Cig. Co., 121 New Montgomery.....	12,000
Bouquet Service, Beale & Howard.....	650
Bourdette, J. W., 620 Market, No. 811.....	50
Bourp, Martin, 628 Montgomery, No. 411.....	100
Bow On Tong, 808 Clay.....	90
Bowen's Service Sta., 1138 Taraval.....	60
Bowman, J. F., 785 Third Ave.....	300
Boys Cafe, The, 42 Clay.....	250
Boys Dairy Lunch, The, 15 Market.....	70
Brackett, G., 1321 Seventeenth Ave.....	575
Bradbury, L., 1154 Chestnut.....	400
Bradford Nov. Mach. Co., 536 Golden Gate Ave.....	500
Bradley, Mark, 977 Valencia.....	120
Bradshaw, H., 149 Valencia.....	200
Brady, G., 870 Market, No. 517.....	100
Bragg Bros., 3478 Mission.....	100
Bramy, R. A., 220 Montgomery, No. 1060.....	50
Brandlein, R. & Co., 2141 Bryant.....	650
Brandt, J. Fu., 3267 Twenty-first St.....	160
Brandt Realty Co., 2827 Mission.....	150
Brazil Pharmacy, 645 Brazil.....	650
Brennan, C. H., 315 Montgomery, No. 821.....	150
Brenner, Albert W., 914 Geary.....	250
Brian, D. D., 235 Montgomery, No. 571.....	100
Bridge Cleaners, 2010 Fillmore.....	125
Bridge View, 349 Fairfax Ave.....	175
Bright, V. J., 165 Post, No. 405.....	60
Bringham, J. C. Co., 317 Market, No. 4F.....	5,213
Britton, N., 1175 Market, No. 173.....	150
Broder, L. A., Fu., 219 Eureka.....	100
Brokenshire, J., 1701 Lane.....	80
Brookman, D., 220 Montgomery, No. 349.....	150
Brookman, L. R., 127 Montgomery, No. 606.....	100
Brounstein Bros., 25 Fremont.....	3,585
Brown, A. A., 461 Market, No. 514.....	75
Brown, C. L., 747 Thirty-second Ave.....	355
Brown, Gilbert, 74 New Montgomery, No. 515.....	100
Brown, Gus, 2609 Mission.....	150
Brown, H., 3119 Clement.....	70
Brown, J. A., 690 Market, No. 607.....	75
Brown, M., 27 Biedeman.....	80
Brown, M., 635 Van Ness Ave South.....	25
Brown, O. O., 434 Cortland.....	90
Brown, W. S. K., 235 Montgomery, No. 1607.....	100
Browne, R. E., 135 Stockton, No. 607.....	550
Browne, Zona F., 408 Stockton, No. 505.....	100
Brownlee Millinery, 210 Post, No. 203.....	300
Prozzoni, G., 1932 Post.....	90
Bruce's Market, 1441 Sanchez.....	300
Brunetto, C., 1574 Fifteenth St.....	210
Bruno, M., 900 Columbus Ave.....	150
Brush, F. R., 254 Sutter, No. 2F.....	300
Bruton, E., 728 Montgomery, No. 2.....	25
Bryan, R., 5018 Geary Blvd.....	37
Bryant Woodyard, 2130 Bryant.....	100
Buchanan Engraving Co., 543 Clay, No. 2F.....	450
Buck Estate, M., 2004 Gough.....	250
Buckley, A., 77 Twenty-second Ave.....	300

Buddy Squirrel Nut, 791 Market.....	235
Buddy, Thomas, 163 Third St.....	50
Building Directory Co., 617 Montgomery, No. 320.....	220
Building Trades Temple, 200 Guerrero.....	1,000
Bullen & Damrell, 5 Third St., No. 828.....	100
Buon Gusto Cigar Store, 555 Broadway.....	190
Bullion Gold Mining, 235 Montgomery, No. 1158.....	100
Bumper Fender Serv., 617 Turk.....	250
Bunny's Waffle Shop, 147 Powell.....	500
Bunny's Waffle Shop, 400 Geary.....	815
Burd, W., 7 Front, No. F414.....	307
Burke, S. K., 1010 Monterey Blvd.....	310
Burman, S., 205 Ninth St.....	60
Burnrite Wood & Coal, 1203 Scott.....	85
Burns Club Buffet, 621 Divisadero.....	155
Burns, Frank, 1799 Dolores.....	250
Burns, James B., 111 Sutter, No. 309.....	150
Burns, James, Dr., 835 Market.....	100
Burt, F., 26 O'Farrell, No. 804.....	30
Busch, G., 564 Fulton.....	55
Bush, E. T., 1667 Silver.....	100
Bush Mason Clean Dye, 811 Bush.....	65
Bush Street Garage, 2405 Bush.....	305
Bushaw, O., 1379 O'Farrell.....	100
Bushnell Studio, 345 Sutter.....	2,500
Butler's Chir. Sup. Co., 2367 Market.....	300
Buzard Burkhardt Pine, 620 Market, No. 424.....	100
Byington, L. F., 593 Market, No. 916.....	175
Byrne Radio Store, 1650 Ocean Ave.....	150
Byrnes, C. W., 620 Market, No. 810.....	30
C & B Sales Co., 242 Gough.....	50
C & J Inn, 67 Sixth St.....	187
C & L Art Shop, 639 Larkin.....	150
C & L Garage, 560 Van Ness Ave., South.....	300
Cab Cafe, 247 Turk.....	300
Cable Co., 702 Sacramento.....	80
Cable Corner Lbr., 1157A Taylor.....	50
Cadwalader, B. L., 2174 Green.....	700
Cadwalader, C., 1942 Pacific.....	400
Cairo Restaurant, 77 Fourth St.....	220
Cal. Orch. Products Co., 414 Front.....	250
Calaveras Central Mines, 582 Market, No. 705.....	100
California Auto Park, 66 Spear.....	50
California Bakers, 465 California, No. 612.....	200
California Cap & Cloak Co., 109 New Montgomery, No. 6F....	650
California Club, 262 Third St.....	195
California Conf. Acquis., 333 Montgomery, No. 1003.....	50
California Federated Inst., 1581 Mission, No. 205.....	100
California Frame Mfg. Co., 431 Jessie.....	165
California Historical Society, 609 Sutter, No. 301.....	150
California Lunch, 264 Third St.....	120
California Pac. Title & Trust, 1962 Sutter.....	150
California Paper Co., 50 Hawthorne, No. 202.....	100
California Prune Control, 232 Drumm.....	100
California Retailers Assn., 703 Market, No. 10.....	160
California Roofing Co., 1921 Fifteenth St.....	165
California Roofing Co., Fu., 1917 Fifteenth St.....	50
California Shrimp Co., 550 Galvez.....	120
California State Fed. Labor, 1095 Market, No. 312.....	100
California Tavern, 500 Kearny.....	435
California Truck Lines, 36 Drumm.....	150
California Type & Add. Mach., 431 Bryant, No. 1.....	450



California Undertaking Co., 100 Fillmore.....	1,500
California Van & Storage, 2050 Divisadero.....	50
California Whls. Fur Clean., 165 Post, No. 517.....	200
California Wiping Mat. Co., 1282 Folsom.....	4,530
Califro, Thomas T., 26 Montgomery, No. 206.....	150
Calkins, J., 321 Bush, No. 201.....	100
Callahan, F. W., 1107 Mission.....	32
Calmar, Steamship Co., 48B Pier.....	100
Cambridge, A., 4238 Judah.....	570
Cameron, A. O., 1321 Seventh Ave.....	77
Cameron, J. H., 690 Market, No. 225.....	75
Camp, E. L., 7 Front, No. F217.....	100
Campaign Inc. & Feature, 111 Sutter, No. 740.....	100
Campana Bros., 1527 Powell.....	65
Campbell, A., 1418 Waller.....	90
Campbell, J. C. Den. Lab., 1026 Market, No. 212.....	650
Campbell Moore Lumber, 112 Market, No. 716.....	150
Campbell, T. H., 29 Drumm.....	105
Campbell, V., 2250 Thirtieth Ave.....	325
Campbell's Grocery, 1951 Ocean Ave.....	2,000
Candy Kitchen, 235 Ellis.....	130
Cane, A., 5 Third St., No. 913.....	200
Cane, Archibald, 870 Market, No. 349.....	150
Canedy, Otto Mfg. Co., 955 Folsom.....	300
Cannon, W., 1090 Chestnut, No. 1.....	300
Cannon, W. M., 690 Market, No. 712.....	150
Cansino, J., 465 Geary, No. B.....	55
Cantrell, R., 703 Market, No. 1202.....	250
Caporale, P., 3 Sutter.....	53
Capri Restaurant, 1326 Grant Ave.....	150
Car Earn Tavern, 2545 Twenty-fourth St.....	225
Caravella, M., 2838 Twenty-fourth St.....	350
Carlo's Cafe, 694 McAllister.....	80
Carlos, N., 358 Sutter, No. 303.....	75
Carpenter & Cab. Shop, 1662 Ellis.....	150
Carr, E., 228A Third St.....	35
Carr, L. J. & Co., 620 Market, No. 815.....	100
Carraro, L., 1819 Union.....	160
Carreras, E. E., 206 Sansome, No. 705.....	205
Carrillo, A. C., 830 Market, No. 801.....	80
Carroll, J. M., 264 Laguna Honda Blvd.....	520
Carroll, Walter, 678 Sutter.....	100
Carroll, Walter J., 111 Sutter, No. 504.....	150
Carroll's Creamery, 2534 Mission.....	200
Carsey, Harold, 233 Post, No. 504.....	100
Carson, J. G., 516 Sutter, No. 318.....	50
Carter, A., 467 Urbano Dr.....	200
Carter, H. R., 180 New Montgomery, No. 6F.....	250
Cascino, Sam, 322 Market.....	75
Casey Car Co., 4023 Geary Blvd.....	800
Casey, J. T., 47 Van Ness Ave. South.....	150
Casey, J. T. Auto Loan, 5 Van Ness Ave. South.....	500
Casiglia, A., 2602 Filbert.....	200
Casini, S. M., 345 Front, No. 207.....	75
Casorla, R., 135 Stockton, No. 731.....	200
Castro Food Shop, 498 Castro.....	325
Catz, Fred & Co., 149 California, No. 220.....	100
Cavagnaro, J. F., 550 Montgomery, No. 402.....	55
Cecile, 133 Geary, No. 709.....	250
Cedar Fruit Market, 2891 Mission.....	200
Cellopak Printing Co., 363 Clementina.....	500
Central Battery & Elec., 333 Taylor.....	200

Central Machinery Co., 74 New Montgomery, No. 644.....	100
Central Supply Co., 400 Jefferson.....	575
Central Trust House, 568 Golden Gate Ave.....	500
Cereghino, N. & Sons, 627 Vallejo.....	360
Chadderton, S., 824 Camino Del Mar.....	400
Chain Belt Co., Inc., 366 Brannan.....	6,000
Chairlot, Marguerite, 935 Leavenworth.....	100
Chamber, E. D., 2325 Humbolt.....	250
Chamberlin, G. E., 215 Embarcadero.....	50
Chamberlin, H., 235 Montgomery, No. 611.....	275
Chamberlin, S. S. Co., Pier 17.....	470
Chambers, L. C., 369 Pine, No. 316.....	50
Champion, E., 3398 Clay.....	200
Chan Kee Co., 1125 Stockton.....	2,500
Chandler & Quayle, 582 Market, No. 714.....	500
Chanslor & Lyon Stores, 180 New Montgomery, No. 6F.....	5,250
Chapman, Dalton B., 155 Montgomery, No. 710.....	100
Charis, 1445 Stockton, No. 210.....	500
Charles Service, 374 Brannan.....	150
Charleston Inn, 8 Sixth St.....	185
Charlie & Sams, 2746 Taylor.....	200
Chas. Law Book Co., 369 Pine, No. 411.....	200
Chase, L. F., 530 Funston Ave.....	300
Chases, 10 Geary.....	290
Cheastys, 233 Jones.....	200
Chemonite Wood Prese, 400 Sansome, No. 623.....	150
Chen, J., 811 Clay.....	250
Cherko Realty Co., 128 Hyde.....	40
Cherry, G., 557 Market, No. 1F.....	80
Cheseborough A. S., 1801 Franklin, No. 302.....	1,235
Chesnutt, J., 185 Eighth Ave.....	350
Cheung Wo Barber Sh., 707 Jackson.....	90
Chew, W., 37 Wentworth Pl.....	150
Chiappari Florists, 5460 Mission.....	100
Chicks Fountain Lunch, 3250 Fillmore.....	100
Chief Barber Shop, 537 Geary.....	227
Chief Cleaners & Hat, 4107 Nineteenth St.....	85
Chigris, M., 1339 Polk.....	150
Children's Studio, The, 545 Sutter, No. 206.....	80
China Cafe, 626 Jackson.....	175
China Draying Co., 156 Waverly Place.....	300
Chinese Flower Shop, 872 Howard.....	100
Ching Fong, 760 Commercial.....	150
Chipman, M., 628 Montgomery, No. 229.....	50
Choate, Wallie, 1461 Pine.....	150
Chong Hing & Co., 877 Washington.....	200
Chong, John Supply, 705 Jackson.....	175
Chong Kee & Co., 603 Jackson.....	130
Christie, W., 235 Montgomery, No. 2210A.....	200
Christin, C., 2660 Green.....	705
Christin, C. A., 235 Montgomery, No. 1535.....	300
Christine's Lunch, 1422 Franklin.....	100
Chung, T., 742 Jackson.....	50
Church Studio, 140 Geary, No. 10F.....	200
Churh, T. D., 402 Jackson, No. 2F.....	100
Ciccios Restaurant, 1707 Powell.....	355
Cidonio Co., 30A Andrews.....	150
Circle Lunch, 58 Belden.....	50
Citizens Protective, 333 Montgomery, No. 409.....	100
City Flower Shop, 40 Fifth St.....	60
Civic Center Tailor, 61 McAllister.....	155
Civic Welfare League, 681 Market, No. 937.....	50



Clancy Classy Tailor, 1026 Market, No. 216.....	150
Claremont Cleaners, 2809 Fourteenth Ave.....	100
Clark, A., 709 Mission, No. 705.....	25
Clark, B., 1355 Portola Dr.....	250
Clark, C., 1855 Oak.....	300
Clark, D., 291 Geary, No. 501.....	58
Clark, Henry R., 582 Market, No. 1209.....	100
Clark, R. T., 3522 Twentieth St.....	185
Clark, W., 100 North Gate.....	525
Clarke, J. P. Dr., 2623 Mission, No. 1.....	150
Clark, Setchel Lubin, 350 California.....	818
Classic Barber Shop, 3243 Twenty-fourth St.....	190
Classic Grill, 49 Third St.....	500
Clausen, H. C., 333 Montgomery, No. 410.....	40
Clay, E. A., 135 Stockton, No. 616.....	135
Clay Fillmore Garage, 2318 Fillmore.....	500
Clay Street Inn, 39 Clay.....	100
Clayton, V. S., 1428 Bush, No. R.....	475
Cleanwell Clean & Dye, 3582 San Bruno Ave.....	100
Cleverton, T. W. Jr., 200 Bush, No. 314.....	100
Clifford Traffic Co., 55 New Montgomery, No. 304.....	25
Cliff's Shop, 5838 Geary Blvd.....	200
Clift Hotel Barber Shop, 499 Geary, No. B.....	25
Climie, I., 1207 O'Farrell.....	300
Clipper Cleaner & Dye, 630 Broadway.....	100
Clipper Club, 315 O'Farrell.....	205
Club Alabam, 1820A Post.....	415
Club Four Fifty, 450 Geary.....	500
Club Latino Amer., 718 Golden Gate Ave.....	30
Club Marquard, 401 Geary.....	4,250
Club Pergola, 1957 Chestnut.....	200
Club Tailors & Cleaners, 1718 Clay.....	140
Club Tivoli, 70 Eddy.....	300
Coast Utilities Co., 948 Market, No. 307.....	75
Cobb, H. C., 49 Cervantes Blvd.....	420
Cobb Welding & Mach., 688 Brannan.....	1,200
Cobeney, C. E., 637 Market, No. 3080.....	150
Coddington Co., The, 222 Kearny, No. 403.....	220
Coffey, E. I., 220 Montgomery, No. 539.....	300
Coffey, H. D., 1392 Haight.....	75
Coffey, Humber, 909 Hyde, No. 119.....	200
Coghlan, N. C., 369 Pine, No. 518.....	300
Cohen & Kelly, 1174 Sutter.....	100
Cohn, A., 1878 Market.....	150
Cohn, G. D., 50 Arguello Blvd.....	305
Cohn, L., 1740 Folsom.....	200
Cohn, L., 3014 Clay.....	100
Cohn, Louis, 259 Geary, No. 505.....	500
Cohn & Co., M. D., 268 Market, No. 115.....	540
Colangelo, T., 1849 Sixteenth Ave.....	100
Colby Slipper Dyeing, 130 Geary, No. 4F.....	75
Cole, Jos. B., 3241 Scott.....	250
Cole St. Garage, 930 Cole St.....	430
Coleman's Furs, 478 Sutter.....	200
Coll, A. M., 735 Gough.....	350
Collegiate Beauty Shop, 815 Geary.....	250
Collegiate Garment Mfg., 585 Mission, No. 2F.....	400
Colletti, J., 3247 Mission.....	250
Collins Drug Store, 4504 Irving.....	615
Collins, F. T., 133 Geary, No. 601.....	175
Collins, G., 258 Midway.....	60
Collins, G., 235 Montgomery, No. 1016.....	250

Collins, G. D., 703 Market, No. 510.....	150
Collins, George M., 582 Market, No. 903.....	150
Collins, L., 582 Market, No. 1013.....	100
Colloff, H., 172 Parker Ave., No. 204.....	315
Colonial Beauty Salon, 592 O'Farrell.....	250
Colorprint Poster Co., 612 Natoma.....	200
Columbia Carpet Mill, 180 New Montgomery.....	500
Columbia Co., The, 405 Jackson.....	2,500
Columbia Metal Box, 1250 Folsom .....	553
Comaich, F. J., 785 Market, No. 1302.....	150
Commerce Corp., The, 117 Montgomery, No. 7.....	100
Commercial Art Co., 53 Third St.....	300
Commercial Pho. Copy, 111 Sutter, No. 640.....	375
Commission Mkt. Dr., 310 Clay, No. 15.....	50
Condare, M., 4055 Eighteenth St.....	50
Condon, L. H., 690 Market, No. 307.....	51
Conger, H. H. Co., 681 Market, No. 383.....	250
Connelly, J. A. Co., 564 Market, No. 424.....	100
Connich, A., 2660 Chestnut.....	1,065
Conrad & Schneider, 369 Fifth St.....	400
Consolidated Music Co., 200 Davis.....	200
Consumers Coal, 321 Brazil Ave.....	150
Contin Hot Barber Shop, 129 Ellis.....	430
Contin Music, Inc., 569 Mission.....	300
Cook, J. E., 235 Montgomery, No. 950.....	100
Cook, T. & Co., 174 Geary.....	320
Cooksey, L., 2400 Sutter.....	25
Coombes & McCarter, 1221 Van Ness Ave.....	200
Coon Hollow Liquor Store, 785 O'Farrell.....	600
Cooper Iron & Metal, 1144 Folsom.....	100
Cooperative Library, 499 Twenty-ninth St.....	100
Cooperative Wholesale, Inc., 259 Clara.....	4,876
Copren, J. V., 220 Montgomery, No. 808.....	300
Corbet & Selby, 1 Montgomery, No. 1201.....	100
Corbett, J. L., 1434 Thirty-first Ave.....	500
Corbett, O. M., 100 Bay Shore Blvd.....	100
Corbett's Cutrate Liq., 2901 Sixteenth St.....	400
Corner, The, 1355 Polk.....	440
Correi, S., 1985 Sutter.....	85
Cort Cleaners, The, 512 Ellis.....	125
Cortland Ave. Shoemaker, 803 Cortland.....	50
Cortland Ave. Coal Yd., 608 Cortland Ave.....	40
Cortopassi Bros., 742 Taraval.....	1,160
Costas Market, 1310 Grant Ave.....	350
Costello, W., 1625 Market.....	100
Cottrell Bros., 2362 Market.....	2,000
Courchene, F., 1553 Franklin.....	100
Courtright, L. J., 2120 Chestnut, No. 1.....	150
Coutts, G., 424 Cortland.....	160
Covarrubias, E., 4668 Mission.....	165
Covell & Higby, 510 Battery, No. 233.....	50
Covick Co., The, 703 Market, No. 410.....	180
Covitti, C., 6260 Third St.....	250
Corogram, Inc., 833 Market, No. 506.....	500
Cowen, M. S. Co., 149 California, No. 418.....	225
Cows Tale, 1409 Fillmore.....	200
Coyle's Grocery, 2320 Judah St.....	535
Crab Fish Pro. Assoc., 200 Jefferson.....	175
Crab Kettle Sea Food, 314 Bay Shore Blvd.....	75
Crabbe, J. H., 821 Market, No. 957.....	750
Craviotto Bros. Groc., 2399 Greenwich.....	650
Crawford Hilary, 592 Hayes.....	150
Credit Clearg. Co., 117 Montgomery, No. 7.....	150



Creditors Service Co., 703 Market, No. 812.....	150
Crescent Elec. Supply, 314 Seventh St.....	650
Crewes & McCrae, 1524 Bush.....	270
Crinos, G., 3372 Nineteenth St.....	150
Crisp, L., 3125 Laguna.....	61
Crittenden, W. C., 519 California, No. 419.....	90
Crivello Bros., 2584 Mission.....	920
Crljenko, Anna., 930 Fillmore.....	350
Croatin Unity, 821 Market, No. 751.....	85
Crocker, O. C., 143 Alpine Terrace.....	515
Crofton, A., 57 Post, No. 504.....	75
Cronin, D. F., 760 Market, No. 530.....	100
Cronin, M. I., 68 Post, No. 520.....	175
Crook, A. H., 620 Market, No. 209.....	150
Crowley, J. B., 86 Third St., No. 5F.....	72,930
Cruse, W. C., 7 Front, No. F150.....	100
Crystal Palace Lunch, 775 Lombard.....	100
Crystal Theatre Co., 25 Taylor, No. 400.....	45
Cub, The, 511 Valencia.....	135
Culbert, J., 154 Sutter, No. 405.....	300
Culhane, D., 978 Plymouth Ave.....	560
Cullinan, E., 3086 Jackson.....	650
Culp, H. S., 137 Hernandez Ave.....	750
Cummings, E. A., 2539 Balboa.....	32
Cummings Engraving &, 109 Stevenson, No. 4F.....	1,200
Cummiskey, H. H., 493 Vienna.....	60
Cunningham, D., 2311 California.....	150
Cunningham, L. A., 111 Sutter, No. 612.....	200
Cunningham Sheet Met., 2039 Fillmore.....	450
Curley Bates Co., 617 Mission, No. 2F.....	16,450
Curley, C. J., 65 Lunado Ave.....	225
Cutler, A., 801 Forty-second Ave.....	305
Dadian, C., 1175 Market, No. 121.....	150
Dahles, James, 98 Market.....	300
Dailey, G. A., 210 Post, No. 1015.....	75
Dalpoggetto, C., 1358 Grant Ave.....	600
Dalporto, F., 614 Irving St.....	310
Dam, F. H., 625 Market, No. 817.....	150
Dande Cleaners, 1318 Hayes.....	100
Daniels Garage, 1530 Fell.....	209
Dannenbaum Paint Co., 568 Hayes.....	350
Dante Cafe, 574 Green.....	245
Darmon, E. M., 628 Montgomery, No. 342.....	100
Darst, M. B., 46 Zoe.....	40
Davies Aircraft Co., 1563 Page.....	150
Davies Theatre, 934 Market.....	3,785
Davies & Dixon, 1175 Market, No. 118.....	200
Davis Clay Coffee Sh., 304 Davis.....	275
Davis, F. C., Pack. Co., 186 Sixth Ave.....	200
Davis, Harry E., 156 Montgomery, No. 204.....	150
Davis, Harry W., 490 Post, No. 1030.....	200
Davis, J. G., 187 Palm Ave.....	110
Davis, J. M., 235 Montgomery, No. 741.....	60
Davis, James T., 681 Market, No. 941.....	150
Davis, Marguerite, 133 Geary, No. 531.....	200
Davis, R., 14 Chenery.....	90
Davis, R. H., 2177 Pacific Ave.....	360
Davis, Ray E., 601 Lincoln Way.....	200
Davis & Leenaers, 234 Townsend.....	100
Davison, O., 383 Brannan, No. 10.....	100
Dawson, C. P., 22 Battery, No. 315.....	100
Dawson, E. A., 2624 Mission.....	80
Daychman, M., 501 San Bruno Ave.....	185
Dean & Dean, 1095 Market, No. 316.....	150

Deane, G. I., 2332 Steiner.....	150
Deburle, B., 2870 Twenty-fourth St.....	200
DeCloss, H. A., 552 Valencia.....	200
Dedrick, H. L., 2436 Clement.....	200
Deer Head Restaurant, 1309 Harrison.....	200
Defea, A. J., 1745 Pacific, No. 603.....	250
Deforest, J. G., 220 Montgomery, No. 1077.....	575
DeGeorge, T., 5029 Third St.....	750
Dehn, E. C. & Co., 5 Third St., No. 921.....	100
Delafontaine, V. F., 3699 Clay, No. 1.....	578
Delano Cafe, 546 Broadway.....	450
Deleon, Robert, 699 Second St., No. 106.....	100
Delhi, L. W., 795 Darien Way.....	220
Deligne, A., 800 Van Ness Ave.....	130
Della Lunch, 191 Fourth St.....	200
Dellara, George, 625 Market, No. 1112.....	150
Delmoral, J., 522 Oak.....	220
Delong Grocery, 1199 Sanchez.....	350
Del Rae Garage No. 2, 1125 Steiner.....	320
Del Rae Garage, 1220 Valencia St.....	320
Delsen Heating Co., 557 Market, No. 1F.....	500
DeLucca, Joe, 1143 McAllister.....	200
DeLucchi, R. & Co., 3275 San Bruno Ave.....	225
De Luxe Bootery, 1356 Stockton.....	625
De Luxe Coffee Shop, 607 Post.....	425
De Luxe Rentacar, 151 Jones.....	100
DeLyre, W. R., Dr., 709 Mission, No. 204.....	200
Demeteres Parfums Lab., 406 Sutter, No. 218.....	750
Dempsey Realty Co., 82 Sutter.....	50
Denault & Co., 235 Montgomery, No. 962.....	100
Dennis, M. L., 111 Sutter, No. 909.....	50
Dennison, R. E., 235 Montgomery, No. 2614.....	100
Denny, E. & Co., 674 Sacramento.....	245
Dental X-Ray Serv. La., 870 Market, No. 1001.....	275
Denver, 215 Third St.....	200
Depaoli, D. J., 731 Howard.....	105
Dependable Appli. Ser., 583 Haight.....	100
Depot Cafe, 709 Third.....	200
Depot Service Stat., 501 Fourth St.....	150
Depot Stand, 1400 Valencia.....	135
Derby, The, 60 Kearny.....	250
Design, Associated, 277 Pine.....	100
DeSoto Sedan Serv., 191 Valencia.....	30
De St. Germaine, E., 15 Eighteenth Ave.....	100
Detective Service, 690 Market, No. 901.....	75
Detoy, L., 153 Kearny, No. 208.....	400
Dewar, B., 2338 Forty-seventh Ave.....	100
Dewar, F. C., 369 Pine, No. 507.....	100
DeWitt, M., 683 Sutter, No. 9.....	25
DeWolf Realty Co., 4356 California.....	85
Diamond, I., 867 Market.....	250
Diamond, Wm. L., 154 Sutter, No. 505.....	500
Dibert, L. C. Co., 787 Brannan.....	600
Dickey Clay Mfg. Co., 100 Hooper.....	1,200
Dicks Coffee Shop, 1396 La Playa.....	385
Dicks Inn, 3321 Geary Blvd.....	375
Dicristina & Son, 3150 Eighteenth St.....	100
Dictagraph Prod Co., 457 Powell.....	3,000
Diehl, H. L., 161 Leland Ave.....	305
Dietz, Fannie, 1175 Market, No. 221.....	200
Digardi, J. F., 315 Montgomery, No. 821.....	200
Dill, M. M., 1199 Market.....	125
Diller, B., 1179 McAllister.....	375
Dining Room, The, 460 Brannan.....	200



Dinos Pharmacy, 4661 Mission.....	400
Dinty's Kitchen, 702 Market, No. B.....	460
Dinwiddie, C. G., 315 Montgomery, No. 716.....	100
Display Arts Co., 49 Main.....	115
Divers Den, 2481 Lombard.....	400
Dividen Sales Co., 557 Market, No. B.....	100
Dixon, H., 628 Montgomery, No. 305.....	100
Doane, A., 392 Twenty-second Ave.....	250
Dod, S. L., 135 Stockton, No. 806.....	150
Doelger, H., 320 Judah St.....	150
Doerr, M., 460 Seventh St.....	100
Dofsky, J., 224 Ellis.....	275
Doherty, B. J., 1725 Church.....	100
Doherty, J. W., 2008 Mission.....	100
Doherty Realty Fixt., 2977 Mission.....	100
Dollard, E. J., 209 California.....	500
Domenic's Cafe, 532 Green.....	500
Domeniconi, J. P., 802 Greenwich.....	125
Domino Club, 534A Geary.....	425
Dong, C. H., 949 Stockton.....	100
Dong Hing Co., 120 Waverly Pl.,.....	100
Donie Bern Beauty Shop, 830 Market, No. 205.....	250
Donkey, The, 98 Turk.....	325
Donnan, A. M., 170 Borica.....	300
Donofrio, V., 4344 Mission.....	920
Donohue, J., 386 Hayes.....	955
Dorian Inn, 600 Sixth St.....	155
Dority, H., 1640 Union.....	250
Dorn, G., 343 Clement.....	300
Dorn, G. E., 562 Tenth Ave.....	750
Dorn, W. E., 5 Third St., No. 1103.....	150
Double Eagle Laundry, 943 Geary.....	50
Dowd, Ira, 7 Front, No. F228.....	100
Dower, L., 1964 Hyde.....	380
Downtown Sandwich Shop, 324 Taylor.....	50
Downyflake Coffee Shop, 2615 Mission.....	517
Doyle, R. E., 235 Montgomery, No. 1641.....	150
Dray, K., 1354 Forty-seventh Ave.....	120
Drennan, A. A., 420 Market, No. 404.....	100
Dresden Service, 703 Market, No. 1213.....	100
Drew, J. F., 870 Market, No. 1148.....	200
Drobisch, W. E., 220 Montgomery, No. 468.....	475
Druger & Emanuel, 988 Market, No. 609.....	150
Drury, B., 111 Sutter, No. 501.....	250
Duane, W. H., 220 Montgomery, No. 490.....	150
Dublin Bar, 2000 Mission.....	250
Dudley Market, 174 Sixth St.....	1,475
Duffee, Dr. K. T., 3902 Twenty-fourth St.....	200
Duffy Corp., 761 Bryant.....	500
Duggan, Richard J., 490 Post, No. 1646.....	200
Duhem Motion Pic. Mfg., 135 Hayes.....	355
Duhem & Suzon, 2209 Van Ness Ave.....	565
Dummings, Frank, 450 Sutter, No. 1603.....	200
Duminie, L. P., 716 Mason.....	300
Duncan, C. S., 930 Chestnut.....	540
Duncan, E., 712 Montgomery, No. 3.....	75
Duncan, R., 2340 Gough.....	300
Dundon Iron Works, 318 Main.....	1,500
Dunkers Creamery, 943 Geneva Ave.....	300
Dunleavy & Sutton, 1518 Union.....	300
Dunn, B. J., 58 Sutter, No. 301.....	65
Dunn, G. F. U., 3322 Sixteenth St.....	500
Dunn, H. A. Jr., 311 California, No. 500.....	150

Dunn, L. C., 681 Market, No. 422.....	140
Dunn, M., 1598 Church.....	73
Dunn, P., 740 Lake.....	50
Dunne, A. C., 3905 Clay.....	710
Dunning, E. J., 315 Montgomery, No. 821.....	150
Dupuy, F. K., 110 Sutter, No. 303.....	250
Durable Stool & Rpr., 1552 Stockton.....	200
Durham Meat Co., 791 Monterey Blvd.....	350
Dutch Boy Tavern, 1135 Ocean Ave.....	95
Dwan & Co., 126 Post, No. 304.....	100
Eagle Clean & Dye, 1705 Ellis.....	65
Eames, E., 216 Pine, No. 614.....	45
Earl & Hall & Gerdes, 215 Market, No. 1030.....	300
East Clay Realty Co., 155 Montgomery, No. 311.....	100
Eastman, B. N., 4004 Balboa.....	250
Eastside Invest. Co., 825 Sansome.....	50
Eberhardt, W. P., 885 Folsom.....	90
Economical Cleaners, 819 Cole.....	25
Economy Cleaners, 2108 Clement.....	75
Eddas, H., 628 Montgomery, No. 227.....	60
Eddises, S. E., 1801 Franklin, No. 402.....	70
Eddy, Edward L., 870 Market, No. 424.....	100
Eddy's Hat Works, 454 Kearny.....	110
Edgerton & Riley, 235 Montgomery, No. 1644.....	100
Edgewater Beach Club, 2526 Great Highway.....	3,000
Ediphone, The, 681 Market, No. A4.....	15,600
Edson Vulcanizing Works, 599 Valencia.....	600
Edwards, T. M., 704 Market, No. 1100.....	70
Edys Character Candy, 2060 Chestnut.....	2,750
Edys Character Candy, 105 Powell.....	1,785
Egan, H., 916 Ortega.....	300
Ehrlich, J. W., 901 California, No. 701.....	305
Ehrlich, J. W., 690 Market, No. 512.....	200
Ehrman, S. M., 2970 Broadway.....	4,800
Eichelberger, F. R., 625 Market, No. 309.....	65
Eichler, D., 52 Fourth St.....	155
Eichler, L., 67 Fourth St.....	155
Eighth St. Garage, 38 Eighth St.....	200
Eisen, L., 177 Post, No. 434.....	100
Eithel, E., 311 California, No. 522.....	100
El Capitan Market, 2403 Mission.....	105
El Cortez Restaurant, 554 Geary.....	210
El Nido, 153 Maiden Lane.....	650
El Portal Liquor Store, 24 West Portal Ave.....	305
Eldridge, J. H., 433 California, No. 409.....	100
Eleanore Beauty Parlor, 1310 Forty-second Ave.....	150
Elie Sheetz Candies, 2399 Mission.....	370
Elite Cleaners, 316 Eddy.....	70
Elk Lumber Co., 620 Market, No. 424.....	260
Elk Transfer Co., 139 Seventh St.....	25
Elkins, L., 220 Montgomery, No. 785.....	200
Ellanay Frocks, 154 Sutter, No. 508.....	150
Elliott, R. H., 628 Montgomery, No. 410.....	100
Ellis, W., 235 Montgomery, No. 615.....	100
Elms, C. S., 605 Market, No. 1004.....	200
Elmstead Trading Co., 819 Harrison.....	200
Elsies Beauty Studio, 150 Powell, No. 309.....	220
Elsies Mfg. Co., 706 Sacramento.....	1,060
Elsies Pleat & Dress, 524 Geary.....	500
Elysium Foundation, 212 Stockton, No. 206.....	100
Embassy Garage, 639 Turk.....	200
Embassy Theatre, 1125 Market.....	2,330



Emery, E. E., 1235 Bay, No. 1.....	345
Emmett's Service, 1625 Pacific Ave.....	35
Emmons, O. O., 220 Montgomery, No. 420.....	100
Empire Theatre, 85 West Portal.....	3,000
Endicott Johnson Co., 504 Mission.....	25
Engberg, E., 400 Valencia.....	250
Engel, S. A., 4800 Cabrillo.....	25
Engelbart, F., 1575 Forty-third Ave.....	50
Enjoy Sandwich Shop, 2001 Chestnut.....	200
Enos, M., 2248 Francisco.....	500
Ensign, The, 1 Market.....	470
Epp, I. & Sons, 4745 Geary Blvd.....	75
Epstein, H., 2121 Balboa.....	160
Equipment & Mfg. Co., 476 Golden Gate Ave.....	400
Erbe Uniform Co., 149 New Montgomery, No. 605.....	850
Erie Tile Roofing, 155A Erie.....	100
Erikson, J. D., 153 Kearny, No. 209.....	75
Erkson, Geo., Mdse., 4300 Mission.....	100
Ernstam, E. G., 629 Commercial.....	175
Ersted, A. J., 740 Victoria.....	420
Espey, J. C., 519 California, No. 617.....	200
Esterlin, Frank J., 210 Post, No. 402.....	100
Estrade, J., 144 Valencia.....	240
Eureka Dist. News, Equipment, 548 Castro.....	1,500
Eureka Dist. News, Lessee, 548 Castro.....	150
Eureka Elec. Co., 3469 Mission.....	50
Eureka Valley Grill, 460 Castro.....	150
Evans, C. S., 620 Market, No. 610.....	895
Evans, Photo Co., 714 Market, No. 307.....	199
Evans, R. M., 733 Santiago.....	100
Evans, Samuel, 1374 Sutter.....	1,000
Everedy Shoe Store, 1376 Ninth Ave.....	900
Everson, G., 3577 Jackson.....	1,000
Evola, T., 2168 Mason.....	255
Ewald, J., 1340 Forty-seventh Ave.....	500
Excelsior Cleaners & Dyers, 4721 Mission.....	150
Excelsior Mer. B. B. Cl., 4736A Mission.....	75
Excelsior Ranch, 3745 Mission.....	3,750
Exchange Valet Serv., 462 Pine.....	150
Eyman, Andrew J., 111 Sutter, No. 1425.....	200
Eyre, D. A., 3930 Washington.....	300
Eyrle Import. Co., 7 Front, No. F215.....	100
Fabbris, J. M., 235 Bay Shore Blvd.....	50
Fabris, G. A., Fu., 277 Diamond.....	50
Fair Tailors & Clean., 532 Larkin.....	100
Fairbairn, T. L., 1139 Howard.....	210
Fairmont Flower Shop, 950 Mason, No. L.....	100
Fairmont Gown Shop, 950 Mason, No. L.....	500
Far Yuen Assn., 647 Jackson.....	100
Faria, J. W., 1217 Sutter.....	25
Farnocchia & Co., 25 Water.....	300
Farr & Ward, 68 Post, No. 517.....	150
Farrell, A., 346 Drumm.....	40
Farrell Cleaners, 408 Irving.....	100
Fariss, G. N., 403 Divisadero.....	70
Fashion Fur Co., 717 Market, No. 708.....	60
Fashion Restaurant, 825 Irving.....	250
Faso, A. J., 830 Market, No. 717.....	100
Faull, Jean, 690 Market, No. 1402.....	90
Fay, C., 2740 Divisadero.....	550
Faye, N., 174 Ellis.....	25
Federal Employees U., 821 Market, No. 746.....	115
Federal Knuckey Tck., 180 Twelfth St.....	1,900

Fed Land & Dev. Co., 1182 Market, No. 203.....	50
Federal Milk Co., 50 Fell.....	25
Feeney, T., 235 Montgomery, No. 1641.....	100
Feibusch, M., 112 Market, No. 730.....	100
Feist Realty & Inv., 111 Sutter, No. 418.....	100
Fellom Publishing Co., 74 New Montgomery, No. 639.....	95
Fenger, A., 160 Thirtieth Ave.....	765
Fenger Hall Co., Ltd., 235 Montgomery, No. 746.....	550
Ferguson, E. B., 5629 Mission.....	150
Fern Tavern, 1901 Mission.....	415
Ferne's Creamery, 716 Irving.....	150
Ferrari, J., 1425 Grant Ave.....	295
Ferrari, R., 2201 Market.....	75
Ferrera, A. J., 4653 Mission.....	3,000
Ferrera, S., 2987 Twenty-fourth St.....	300
Ferreras Variety Store, 5750 Mission.....	3,500
Ferry Auto & Truck, 415 Drumm.....	50
Ferry Cigar Store, 36 Market.....	75
Fertilgrowth Corp., 544 Market, No. 904.....	100
Fetterman, H., 710 Oak.....	75
Field, F., 905 Portola Drive.....	150
Fields Book Store, 1419 Polk.....	635
Fifth Street Market, 372 Fifth St.....	705
Fillmore Commcl. Mkt., 1220 Fillmore.....	205
Fillmore Dist. Soc. Cl., 614 Pacific Ave.....	50
Fillmore Inn, 3231 Fillmore.....	210
Fillmore Quality Market, 1735 Fillmore.....	195
Fillmore Lub. Rpr. Sh., 3333 Fillmore.....	50
Fimmen, E. M., 7 Front.....	100
Financial Corp. Calif., 26 O'Farrell, No. B.....	100
Financial Dist. Parking, 621 Sacramento.....	85
Finkel, Charles, 205 Fourth St.....	100
Finley McNeil Co., 235 Montgomery, No. 1641.....	100
Finocchio, J., 406 Stockton.....	400
Firenze Bakery, 450 Broadway.....	140
Firestone, F., 1135 Lake.....	355
First Lady Bakery, 1296 Harrison.....	200
Fischer, B., 1263 Forty-sixth Ave.....	60
Fischer & Schatz, 357 Clementina.....	100
Fish Packers, Inc., 400 Sansome, No. 623.....	100
Fisher, G. A., 3924 California.....	200
Fisher, W. O., 1475 Golden Gate Ave.....	300
Fitzgerald, Helen, 240 Stockton, No. 203.....	100
Fitzgerald, J. R., 196 St. Elmo Way.....	540
Fitzgerald, J. W., 826 Forty-sixth Ave.....	328
Fitzgerald, R. E., 235 Montgomery, No. 1350.....	75
Fitzpatrick, K., 486 California, No. 820.....	175
Five Star Weekly, 620 Folsom.....	1,500
Fixit Repair Shop, 2226 Taraval.....	100
Fletcher, I. P., 135 Stockton, No. 301.....	150
Floorcraft Carpet Co., 149 New Montgomery, No. 616.....	400
Florentine Beauty Shop, 608 Fillmore.....	195
Florenz Beauty Shop, 940 Geary.....	250
Flores Paramount Press, 5145 Third St.....	3,000
Flynn, J. L., 235 Montgomery, No. 1211.....	275
Foley, L. J., 199 Genesee.....	355
Folsom St. Wreckers, 1735 Folsom.....	55
Fong Toy, 839 Kearny.....	170
Foo Loy Co., 826 Washington.....	200
Foodmart Lunch Counter, 3535 Geary Blvd.....	200
Fook Lung Hing Kee, 845 Washington.....	1,025
Forbes Bros., 268 Market, No. 253.....	100
Forbes, Dale, 2211 Van Ness Ave.....	100



Force, S. B., 101 Post, No. 209.....	100
Ford, V., 209 Post, No. 607.....	110
Fordy, E. H., 1606 Divisadero.....	85
Forest Inn, 194 West Portal Ave.....	220
Fornari, F., 3657 Sacramento.....	220
Fotoloid Corp., 324 Commercial, No. 2F.....	200
Fountain Lunch, 502 Ellis.....	100
Four Mile Tavern, 5501 Third St.....	220
Fowler's Laboratory, 988 Market, No. 201.....	500
Fox, D. D., 319 Mason.....	250
Fox, W. R., 557 Market, No. 1F.....	200
Foxcroft Bldg., 68 Post.....	854
Fraemer, V., 935 Fillmore.....	100
Frahm, G. E., 291 Geary, No. 607.....	50
Frampton Co., Inc., The, 552 Mission.....	3,500
Francis, R., 33A McCoppin.....	400
Francis Valentine Co., 174 Eighth St.....	5,150
Franco American Hyg., 1407 Sutter.....	75
Frank, P., 70 Market.....	75
Franklin Market, 1559 Franklin.....	825
Franklin Market, 1559 Franklin.....	230
Franklin Storage Co., 1338 Mission.....	150
Franks Super Service, 550 Russia.....	125
Franz, A. T., 412 Kearny.....	50
Frederick, Ernest, 1294 Shotwell.....	75
Freed, J. E., 2481 San Bruno Ave.....	85
Freeman, W. L., 2615 Mission.....	250
Freeman's Restaurant, 78 Ellis.....	100
Freese, O., 1225 Divisadero.....	500
Fregosi, J., 4834 Mission.....	110
French, J. S., 465 California, No. 538.....	30
Fricke, G., 1003 Buchanan.....	600
Friedenberg, M., 516 Sutter, No. 923.....	150
Friedman, L. R., 235 Montgomery, No. 1350.....	825
Friedman, P., 212 Sutter, No. 4F.....	510
Friend, M. L., 177 Post, No. 533.....	100
Frigerio, Mrs. Fixt, 6279 Third St.....	75
Frinton, F. H., 450 Sutter, No. 2600.....	200
Frisco Cafe, 60 Sixth St.....	250
Fritsch, J., 1431 Lincoln Way.....	320
Fritschen, W., 450 Sutter, No. 1921.....	140
Frost & Johnson, 870 Market, No. 415.....	100
Fuel Oil Conversion, 1188 Harrison.....	75
Fuidge, R., 1892 Folsom.....	60
Fujisada, F., 1603 Buchanan.....	175
Fukui, T., 1718 Buchanan.....	100
Fuller, F. J. Glass Co., 2052 Market.....	175
Fulmer, Arthur P., 235 Montgomery, No. 1646.....	100
Fullmer, Charles C., 384 Post, No. 320.....	100
Fulton Inn, 1751 Fulton.....	200
Furney, O. A., 2057 Sutter.....	200
Furth, Francis, 133 Geary, No. 814.....	200
Futterman, Mark, 548 Valencia.....	250
Gaffney, G. F., 516 Sutter, No. 622.....	200
Gagan, K. G., 3337 Divisadero.....	300
Galbreath, A. J., 276 Claremont Blvd.....	225
Gallagher, E., 373A Van Ness Ave. South.....	200
Gallagher, T. J., 90 Fourth St.....	315
Gallatin, E., 630 Lombard, No. 4.....	90
Galli, Albert, 198 Monterey Blvd.....	475
Gally, J., 340 Divisadero.....	255
Galvez Wine Co., 840 Clement.....	300

Gambetz, L. R., 323 Geary, No. 405.....	200
Gancis Market, 679 Chenery.....	350
Garceau, G., 2504 Jackson.....	770
Garcia, Merl F. & Co., 924 Irving.....	100
Garden Fruit Co., 1985 Mission.....	115
Garden Shack, The, 1784 Haight.....	250
Gardenia Packing Co., 400 Sansome, No. 623.....	200
Gardiner, Paul H., 244 Kearny, No. 805.....	100
Garin Co., H. P., 405 Sansome, No. 3F.....	1,500
Garland Oil Co. of Calif., 220 Montgomery, No. 329.....	500
Garrett, L. J., 240 Stockton, No. 402.....	200
Garrison, L. H., 358 Sutter, No. 201.....	150
Gassman & Co., 1732 Polk.....	2,500
Gau & Son, P., 3425 Twenty-second St.....	175
Gautier, L. A., 135 Stockton, No. 511.....	175
Gazzera, S. M., 1701 Yosemite Ave.....	190
Geary Cellar, 411 Geary.....	295
Geary Radio Elec., 887 Geary.....	150
Geary Tap Room, 418 Geary.....	427
Gehlert Welding & B., 678 Brannan.....	415
Gehres, F. J., 2489 Mission, No. 22.....	200
Geis, G. D., 730 Van Ness Ave.....	250
Gen. Acceptance Corp., 333 Montgomery, No. 1200.....	500
Gen. Supply Co., 87 Clay.....	50
Gen. Supply Co., 1282 Folsom.....	250
Gen. Theat. Co., 25 Taylor, No. 208.....	25
Gen. X-Ray Lab., 870 Market, No. 501.....	400
Geneva Bty Salon, 978 Geneva Ave.....	250
George, Tom, 1790 Post.....	600
Georges Lunch, 235 Third St.....	300
Germicide Brush Co., 436 Market, No. 118.....	200
Gerniano, V., 308 Mason.....	30
Gerth Knollin Adv., 111 Sutter, No. 933.....	300
Gervas Barber Shop, 476 Eddy.....	86
Gettings, H. F., 417 Market, No. 241.....	500
Gianini, L. G., 235 Montgomery, No. 8 F. L.....	200
Gibbs, M., 2116 Fillmore.....	325
Gibson, A. T., 1000 Mason, No. 504.....	400
Gibson, M. E., 235 Montgomery, No. 950.....	100
Gibson, P. B., 785 Market, No. 706.....	25
Gibson Service Sta., 898 So. Van Ness Ave.....	870
Gibson, W., 535 Sacramento, No. 28.....	50
Gibson, W. W., 112 Market, No. 701.....	175
Gierdno, R., 102 Montgomery.....	100
Giesea, C. C., 2275 Broadway, No. 206.....	390
Giffin Leather Co., 111 Franklin.....	200
Gilbert, J. R., 244 Kearny, No. 805.....	100
Gilbeys, 209 Powell.....	1,035
Gill, H. D., 948 Market, No. 707.....	75
Gillen, L. C., 220 Montgomery, No. 886.....	600
Gilman, William H., 3406A Mission.....	200
Gin Wah Laundry, 23 Sanchez.....	355
Cinocchio, A. B., 1195 Bush, No. 101.....	200
Giovannini, A., Rest., 606 Front.....	250
Gitchell, G., 3015 Clement.....	535
Glaze, A. & Co., 121 Second St., No. 701.....	300
Gleason, M. J., 1349 Masonic Ave.....	700
Gleason, W., 100 Bush.....	275
Glee Club, 397 O'Farrell.....	300
Glen Park, Notions, 660 Chenery.....	250
Glen Tavern, 2816 Diamond.....	200
Glickman & Glickman, 235 Montgomery, No. 1705.....	150
Globe Hearing Aids, 210 Post, No. 822.....	500



Globe Realty Co., 2588 Mission, No. 200.....	100
Goewey, E., 486 California, No. 707.....	25
Gogerty, E. B., 564 Market, No. 319.....	50
Gold Corner Liq. St., 6301 Geary Blvd.....	650
Gold Edge Wax Co., 163 Second St., No. 1F.....	50
Gold, J. H., 870 Market, No. 1113.....	500
Gold Market, 1295 Fillmore.....	125
Gold Medal Tailor Sh., 3112 Fillmore.....	55
Goldberg Bowen Lbr., 242 Sutter.....	150
Goldberg, Bowen & Co., 242 Sutter.....	25,000
Goldberg, J., 486 California, No. 420.....	460
Goldberg, S. O., 1156 Golden Gate Ave.....	50
Goldberger, A. L., 704 Market, No. 402.....	500
Goldberg's Restaurant, 2031 Polk.....	250
G. G. Auto Elec. Supply, 714 Golden Gate Ave.....	202
G. G. Inn, 136 Turk.....	225
G. G. Kindergarten Assoc., 570 Union.....	50
G. G. Kindergarten Assoc., 824 Carolina.....	50
G. G. Paint & Paper Co., 5856 Geary Blvd.....	340
G. G. Tire Co., 720 Golden Gate Ave.....	255
G. G. Transp. Co., 206 Sansome, No. 905.....	150
G. G. Whole Florist, 195 Fifth St.....	200
Golden Bear Groc., 498 Taraval.....	850
Golden Bough Playhouse, 1337 Sutter.....	500
Golden Crust Pie Sh., 3233 Twenty-fourth St.....	150
Golden Gate Chamois, 1516 Folsom.....	1,000
Golden Harbor, The, 141 Fourth St.....	200
Golden Rule Clean., 3613 Sacramento.....	100
Golden State Buffet, 1551 Mission.....	300
Golden State Liq., Inc., 1098 Sutter.....	283
Golden Sys. Scalp Sp., 166 Geary, No. 71.....	25
Golden Vale Inn., 539 Valencia.....	100
Golden Yolk Egg Co., 6211 Geary Blvd.....	125
Goldfield Club, 2767 Lombard.....	460
Goldman, A. S., 235 Montgomery, No. 345.....	100
Goldman, A. S., Assoc., 235 Montgomery, No. 345.....	375
Goldman, H., 235 Montgomery, No. 420.....	100
Goldman, R. G., 220 Bush, No. 1304.....	100
Goldman, R. S., 220 Bush, No. 1304.....	100
Gomez Bros., 210 Post, No. 604.....	70
Gomez, I., 848 Pacific Ave.....	155
Gonzales, F. I., 870 Market, No. 1116.....	150
Goo Soo Ting, 649 Jackson.....	600
Gooday Mfg. Co., 1143 Stockton.....	750
Goodman Bros., 1030 Golden Gate Ave.....	1,000
Goodman, D. M., 3490 Twentieth St., No. 2.....	100
Goodman, E. A., 322 Market.....	100
Goodyear, M. A., 2164 Hyde, No. 8.....	400
Goodyear Shoe Rpr., 505 Ellis.....	125
Gordan Diagram Co., 156 Montgomery, No. 205.....	200
Gordon, A. E., Paint Co., 141 Morris.....	75
Gordon, A. H., 74 New Montgomery, No. 600.....	100
Gordon Buffet, 100 Seventh St.....	350
Gordon, C. A., 620 Market, No. 723.....	100
Gordon, John, 625 Market, No. 1120.....	100
Gordon Sewall Co., 285 Brannan.....	250
Gordon's Sea Food Gr., Ferry Bldg.....	1,600
Gore & Crossman, 310 Kearny.....	250
Gosling, H. S., 3221 Mission.....	500
Gottlich, Edward, 1629A Balboa.....	200
Gould, C. E., 690 Market, No. 1103.....	250
Gould & Kneass, 4300 Geary Blvd.....	150
Gouttes, S. S., Agency, 785 Market, No. 201.....	55
Graber, I., 585 Mission, No. 2F.....	500

Grabhorn Press, The, 648 Commercial.....	750
Graff, E., 233 Post, No. 507.....	145
Graham, C. H., 490 Magellan Ave.....	925
Graham Fuel Co., 880 Pennsylvania.....	750
Grambergu, Jean, 180 New Montgomery, No. 6.....	100
Granada Inn, 4722 Mission.....	60
Granada Tile Co., 1555 Page.....	600
Granat, L. J., 880 Twenty-seventh Ave.....	775
Grand View Repring, 1251 Leavenworth.....	100
Granelli & Co., 4885 Mission.....	250
Grant, E., 455 Faxon Ave.....	50
Grant Market, Fruit, 743 Market.....	200
Grapentin, R. M., 870 Market, No. 1115.....	200
Grattan, M., 305 West Portal Ave.....	100
Graupner, A. E., 351 California, No. 1110.....	100
Gravano, E., 2400 Bryant.....	300
Gravem, N. B., 101 Paloma Ave.....	500
Gray, R., 147 California.....	150
Gray, W. S., 366 Market.....	250
Grayson, R. J., 1301A Sutter.....	50
Great Wesn. Co., 699 Market.....	500
Greathead, J. F., 15 Hotaling Pl., No. 3F.....	50
Green Dance Shop, 1240 Ninth Ave.....	32
Green, H. L., 1465 Pine.....	425
Green, M. D., 149 California, No. 421.....	150
Green, N., 1829 Clement.....	75
Green, N. B., 55 New Montgomery, No. 506.....	100
Green Parrot Cl. & Dy., 559 Divisadero.....	120
Greenbach, J., 439 Roosevelt Way.....	500
Greenberg, L., 1641A Market.....	150
Greenhouse Florists, 1224 Polk.....	130
Greenwald, H., 3461 Sacramento.....	225
Greenwich Ter. Assn., 2151 Leavenworth.....	100
Greenwich Village, 196 O'Farrell.....	975
Greenwood Bldg., 149 New Montgomery.....	25
Greenwood Co., 617 Montgomery, No. 320.....	150
Gregory & Hammack, 1095 Market, No. 407.....	150
Grennan, Tom, 126 Post, No. 507.....	150
Greppi, A. & Co., 429 Davis.....	100
Griffin, E., 2626 Green.....	610
Griffin Moving & Sto., 4547A Eighteenth St.....	150
Griffith, A., 2820 Pacific Ave.....	5,000
Griffith, J. H., 68 Post, No. 516.....	100
Griffith, M., 231 Sansome, No. 607.....	100
Groper, M. J., 516 Sutter, No. 511.....	150
Grossman, G. E., 225 Irving, No. 10.....	100
Grove St. Garage, 1336 Grove.....	120
Grover, L. C., 155 Montgomery, No. 404.....	100
Gruenwald Club, 149 Powell, No. 2F.....	100
Gsell, H., 358 Brannan.....	200
Guarantee Auto Rpr., 1566 Howard.....	320
Guarantee Cleaners, 146 Taylor.....	90
Gubin, E. K., 235 Montgomery, No. 1717.....	100
Guey, Gee, 21 Nottingham Place.....	100
Gunn, G., 2130 Lyon.....	505
Gunn, G. A., 444 Market, No. 109.....	100
Gunning, J. A., 68 Post, No. 821.....	100
Gute Co., Ltd., The, 269 Seventh St.....	275
Guttmann Chick. Prod., 540 Hayes.....	147
Guy's Garage, 1522 Ellis.....	150
H & L Service, 36 Fell.....	350
Haas, H. C., 220 Montgomery, No. 436.....	150
Hachiya Co., 102A South Park.....	150
Hack, S. H., 717 Market, No. 715.....	50



Hadley, Geo., 235 Montgomery, No. 1035.....	200
Haeslop, A. G., 833 Market, No. 616.....	200
Haight Distrib. Co., 1348 Grove.....	225
Haight Scott Food Store, 800 Haight.....	500
Haight Shoe Rpr., 786 Haight.....	80
Haight St. Plum. & Htg., 1238 Masonic, No. B.....	250
Haight Super Mkt., 1400 Haight.....	700
Haircutters' Barber S., 140 Seventh St.....	560
Hair Tex Co., 209 Post, No. 606.....	165
Haircutting Shop, 2403 Bryant.....	100
Hale, H. H. Co., 75 Fremont, No. 1.....	25
Hall, A. H., 3855 Jackson.....	610
Hall, B. A. & Co., 12 Geary, No. 307.....	150
Hall, E. T., 220 Montgomery, No. 368.....	200
Hallesint Cafe, 731 Columbus Ave.....	250
Halley, J. L., 766 Thirty-third Ave.....	527
Hallinan, V., Ovens, 624 Fillmore.....	500
Halsam, E. J. Co., 333 Pine, No. 403.....	150
Hamblen Linotype Co., 291 Eighth St.....	675
Hamilton, Elwood, 826 Market.....	2,500
Hamilton's Men's Shop, 652 Market.....	5,000
Hamm, J. W., 68 Post, No. 401.....	150
Hamm, L. S., 988 Market, No. 712.....	500
Hanavan, D. R., 369 Pine, No. L.....	225
Hanavan, D. R., 30 Leidesdorff.....	225
Hangah Tea Room, 1 Pagoda Pl.....	100
Hankins & Hankins, 821 Market, No. 917.....	125
Hanna, J. L., 26 Presidio Ter.....	470
Hanrahan Co., 582 Market, No. 813.....	240
Hanrahan, W. F., 1075 California.....	150
Hansbury & Wright, 4420 Mission.....	130
Hansen, C. A., 587 Turk.....	675
Hansen, Elmer, 133 Geary, No. 731.....	250
Hansen, J. H., 703 Market, No. 1605.....	37
Happy Land Cleaners, 3137 Laguna.....	150
Harbison Walker Sales, 625 Market, No. 604.....	1,033
Harbor View Restaurant, 2799 Taylor.....	155
Harding Cleaners, 655 Divisadero.....	60
Harding Flower Shop, 620 Divisadero.....	31
Hargear, W. A. Jr., Co., 200 Bush, No. 218.....	145
Harman Pac. Co., 7 Front, No. F126.....	1,094
Harnett Allen Co., 1 Drumm, No. 900.....	200
Harper, C. K., 268 Market, No. 251.....	100
Harper, K. Co., 760 Market, No. 946.....	150
Harriman, L. G., 564 Market, No. 416.....	150
Harriman, L. S., 564 Market, No. 416.....	150
Harris, C. C., 7 Front, No. F510.....	200
Harris Globe Spec. De., 98 Jessie.....	150
Harris, Joseph, 605 Market, No. 911.....	150
Harris, Kerr Forster, 235 Montgomery, No. 901.....	100
Harris Machinery Co., 315 Montgomery, No. 825.....	200
Harris, Nat, 681 Market.....	300
Harris Seybold Potte., 7 Front, No. 5514.....	150
Harris, W., 60 Miraloma Dr.....	300
Harrison, G. D., 3276 Eighteenth St.....	100
Harrison, H. O., 1681 Market.....	60
Harrison, H. O. Co., 740 Van Ness Ave.....	340
Harrison, J., 1143 Polk.....	30
Harrison Junk Yard, 1357 Harrison.....	250
Harrison, V., 217 Third St.....	600
Harry's Welcome Inn, 2263 Mission.....	77
Hart, D., 1429 Francisco.....	425

Hart, H., 759 Third St.....	250
Hartman, H., 1107A Rhode Island.....	50
Hartman, L., 680 Golden Gate Ave.....	260
Hartsook Studios, 883 Market, No. 203 .....	750
Harvey, Lillian, 170 Geary.....	250
Harwood, A. J., 486 California, No. 716.....	100
Haryman, A. A. Co., 315 Montgomery, No. 926.....	50
Harzfeld, A. J., 105 Montgomery, No. 1100.....	50
Hass, J., 3828 Twenty-fourth St.....	25
Hastings, 100 Post.....	92,800
Hata, T., 678 Commercial.....	52
Haven, H. E., 220 Montgomery, No. 406.....	300
Hawes, C. D., 153 Albion Ave.....	100
Hayden, J. T., 490 Post, No. 728.....	350
Hayes, A. J., 244 California, No. 712.....	150
Hayes, J., 2733A Mission.....	150
Hayes, J. H., 15 Mesa Ave.....	360
Hayes, J. P. Glass Co., 1775 Geary.....	200
Hayes Street Garage, 354 Hayes.....	155
Hayes Valley Soc. Cl., 527 Laguna.....	100
Hayes Valley Tavern, 409 Gough.....	350
Hays, W. C., 681 Market, No. 201.....	65
Healey, W. W., 620 Market, No. 208.....	110
Hear All Co., 126 Post, No. 606.....	300
Hecht, J., 303 Seventeenth Ave.....	100
Hecker, H. O., 921 Front.....	183
Heckinger, A., 1630 Greenwich.....	645
Hecla Gas Furnace, 2087 Lombard.....	250
Heinemann Electric, 1264 Folsom.....	300
Heller, Nat O., 966 Market, No. 8.....	150
Hellmann, Wade & Co., 517 California.....	2,400
Henderson, H. G., 690 Market, No. 1103.....	25
Henderson Sign Co., 1040 Larkin.....	146
Hendrickson, Shuman &, 155 Sansome, No. 405.....	574
Henley, B., 2817 Scott.....	410
Hennessy, A. J., 14 Montgomery, No. 916.....	100
Henning, C., 5 Third St., No. 911.....	50
Hennings, M. R., 1370 Fourth Ave.....	100
Henry, K. M., 354 San Benito Way.....	365
Henry, L., 465 California, No. 814.....	200
Henry, M. W. Co., 1224 Powell.....	750
Henry's Tackle Shop, 1674 Geary.....	350
Herald Cleaners, 1846 Clement.....	70
Heringhi, Estate of F. G., 1980 Washington.....	400
Herold, R. Jr., Co., 60 Sansome.....	100
Herold & Co., 163 Sutter, No. 413.....	40
Herring Hall Marvin, 214 California.....	10,000
Hertz Shoe Clinic &, 835 Market.....	410
Hertz Shoe Repair, 754 Market.....	560
Hettman & Scampini, 485 California, No. 707.....	450
Hewlitt, George, 690 Market, No. 512.....	250
Hi Wo Hong Co., 718 Grant Ave.....	430
Hibbert, C. M., 4800 Cabrillo.....	40
Hickok Mfg. Co., Inc., 504 Mission.....	2,000
Hicks, Avery M., 450 Sutter, No. 1918.....	400
Higgins, Albert A., 214 Front, No. 605.....	150
Highway Barriers, Inc., 486 California, No. 202.....	150
Highway Service Mkt., 308 Bay Shore Blvd.....	125
Hild Floor Machine, 557 Market, No. B.....	225
Hiller Bros. & Hiller, 154 Sutter, No. 505.....	325
Hillman, E., 30 Bennington.....	25
Hillman, L. K., 1640 Van Ness Ave.....	200



Hills, William E., 111 Sutter, No. 1733.....	200
Hilltop Market, 1701 Jones.....	500
Hilzinger, J. I., 7527 Geary Blvd.....	100
Him Sing Chong & Co., 1102 Stockton.....	465
Hind, Greenwell & Dee, 58 Sutter, No. 454.....	125
Hines, J. S., 500 Sansome, No. 702.....	325
Hinman, E. O., 135 Stockton, No. 712.....	175
Hiram's Cleaning Shop, 931 Geary.....	170
Hirota, C. T., 1792 Sutter.....	300
Hirschberg, A., 3899 Seventeenth St.....	475
Hissman, H., 1327 Chestnut.....	500
Hitchcock, L. V., 200 Bush, No. 220.....	200
Hitler Laboratories, 453 Fulton.....	200
Hobbs, Wall & Co., 2350 Jerrold Ave.....	10,000
Hochman, J., 24A Embarcadero.....	200
Hoegler, R. J., 240 Montgomery, No. 5F.....	150
Hoffman, H., 209 O'Farrell.....	65
Hoffschneider, Bert, 500 Sansome, No. 201.....	9,000
Hogan, D. F., 15 Stockton, No. 401.....	150
Hogevoll, S. T., 821 Market, No. 909.....	200
Hoiland, A., 2415 Buchanan, No. 4.....	355
Hokokian, J., 2100 Chestnut.....	25
Holbrook, E., 2607 California.....	170
Holdaire Utilities, 320 Market, No. 320.....	200
Holden, W. M., 1182 Market, No. 426.....	100
Holdit Mfg. Co., 538 Howard.....	70
Holland Boot Black Stand, 215 Mason.....	25
Holland, J. P., 10 Shore View Ave.....	625
Holland, J. P. Co., 1834 McKinnon Ave.....	1,200
Hollands Mfg. Co., 555 Howard.....	406
Hollingberry Battery, 1299 Post.....	245
Hollingberry Battery, 2090 Lombard.....	245
Hollywood Cleaners, 1733 Leavenworth.....	100
Hollywood Lot, 116 Valencia.....	165
Hollywood Sportwear, 593 Mission.....	200
Holmes, G. L., 24 California, No. 209.....	122
Home Beauty Shop, 1627 Irving.....	1,250
Home Beauty Ldry., 1051 Bush.....	35
Home Beauty Studio, 2935 Baker.....	104
Home Fruit Market, 471 Castro.....	150
Home Garage, 3135 Twenty-Fourth St.....	150
Homemakers Furniture, 2074 Mission.....	1,200
Hong Lee Co., 767 Commercial.....	250
Hong, M., 406 Sutter, No. 314.....	130
Honig, L., 315 Cherry.....	700
Hook, Fred, 1901 Divisadero.....	200
Hoot Owl Inn, 518 Ellis.....	250
Hopewell Surg. & Elas., 124 Webster.....	295
Horn, Boris & Co., 133 Geary, No. 620.....	250
Horung, C. F., 3418 Seventeenth St.....	100
Hospital Workers Union, 2611 Twenty-fourth St.....	100
Houck, B., 102 Haight.....	75
Houghteling, W., 3222 Jackson.....	3,500
Houlihan, J., Inc., 564 Market, No. 518.....	350
Howard, J. R., 870 Market, No. 858.....	250
Hubbard, F. T., 17 Stoneman.....	965
Huber, E. F., 445 Hampshire.....	250
Hudnut Sales Co., Inc., 833 Market, No. 801.....	250
Hudson, F. S., 760 Market, No. 844.....	350
Hudson, R., 2850 Union.....	540
Hudson Sons Co., 155 Montgomery, No. 511.....	150
Huggard, J. W., 1060 Bryant.....	150

Hughes, J. V., 4111 Eighteenth St., No. 7.....	175
Huie Kao Yang Tong, 750 Washington.....	100
Hulting, F. Burt, 111 Sutter, No. 816.....	100
Hummel Furn. Mfg. Co., 180 New Montgomery, No. 6F.....	100
Hunken, J. C., 3601 Balboa.....	1,890
Hunken, J. C., 253 Clement.....	2,220
Hunt, L. W., 459 Magellan Ave.....	315
Hunter, P. S., 235 Montgomery, No. 912.....	250
Hunter, T. B., 3026 Clay.....	745
Hunter & Hudson, 564 Market, No. 710.....	255
Huse Tamale Inn, 1200 Webster.....	190
Hyams & Mayer Inc., 114 Sansome, No. 1200.....	200
Hyer Quality Painter, 1855 Bush, No. L.....	150
Hyman, A. A., 315 Montgomery, No. 826.....	150
Hyman, S., 693 Mission, No. 212.....	100
Ideal Barger Shop, 444 Judah.....	160
Ideal Bty. & Barber, 108A Carl.....	200
Ideal Service, 1498 Franklin.....	500
Ignatieff, A., 627 So. Van Ness Ave.....	100
Ilog, O., 1377 Minna.....	100
Imperial Inn, 408 Stockton.....	305
Imperial Val. Fruit, 1179 Sutter.....	500
Imperial Whls. Grocery, 330 Tenth St.....	6,000
Imperiale, P., 717 Market, No. 703.....	50
Income Invest. Co., 948 Market, No. 608.....	100
Income Invest. Sec., 333 Montgomery, No. 409.....	150
Independence Fund, 486 California, No. 805.....	150
Indep. Ice Cream Co., 1525 Union.....	2,425
Indian Motorcycle Ag., 16 Van Ness Ave. South.....	755
Indust. Parts & Supplies, 252 Townsend.....	500
Ingels, E. M., 112 Market, No. 811.....	150
Ingram, G., 1175 Market, No. M.....	50
Ingram & Co., 214 Front, No. 606.....	100
Inman, E. H., 3683 Fillmore.....	500
Inouye, K. & Co., 912A Howard.....	60
Insecticide Co., 760 Market, No. 666.....	376
Intern. Assn. Machists, 821 Market, No. 847.....	50
Intern. Emp. Agcy., 778 Howard.....	25
Internatl. Veg. Oil, 519 California, No. 614.....	150
Interstate Guar. Co., 235 Montgomery, No. 758.....	85
Interurban Exp. Corp., 240 Beale.....	50
Irene's Cake & Coffee, 1511 Irving.....	150
Ischer, S., 3157 Geary Blvd.....	180
Ishmael, J., 1899 Bush.....	105
Israel, E., 1740 Fillmore.....	250
Israel, Herbert M., 154 Sutter, No. 204.....	250
Ital. Line, 386 Post.....	480
Ital. Restaurant, 4461 Mission.....	160
Izumi, I., 1545 Post.....	160
J. A. B. E. Signs, 1122 Market.....	100
J. & J. Grocery, 1000 Cortland Ave.....	610
J. & M. Mfg. Co., 154 Sutter, No. 606.....	500
J. & P. Cleaners, 1204 Pacific Ave.....	300
Jack & Jill, 5348 Geary Blvd.....	500
Jack & Jill's, 621 Clement.....	500
Jackman, J. C., 2790 Green, No. 102.....	900
Jack's Place, 305 Fifth St.....	150
Jackson Columbus Ldr., 563 Jackson.....	400
Jackson, W. H., 101 Eastwood Drive.....	305
Jackson Engels Corp., 717 Market, No. 609.....	355
Jackson Street Ldry., 823 Jackson.....	400
Jacobs, A., 1736 Gough.....	150
Jacobs, Charles, 320 Market, No. 222.....	100
Jacobs, Dr. J. J., 295 Eighth Ave.....	75



Jacobsen, Dr. G., 948 Market, No. 301.....	80
Jacobson, A., 157 Third.....	125
Jaeger, W. J., Furn. Co., 180 New Montgomery, No. 7F.....	125
James, L. L., 235 Montgomery, No. 1650.....	385
Jamison Top Co., 1528 Franklin.....	395
Jan Fook, 725 Commercial.....	500
Jane Shop, 372 Sutter.....	445
Janitorial Supplies, 200 Davis, No. 9.....	50
Janitors' Union, 230 Jones, No. 3F.....	100
Jansen Soap & Chem., 1148 Buchanan.....	290
Janssen, E. A., 1138 Clement.....	250
Janssen Realty Co., 457 Locust.....	150
Jan Ying Com. Labor, 65 Wentworth Place.....	200
Jap. Assoc. Amer., 1407 Laguna.....	200
Jarrard, Sally, 995 Market, No. 342.....	100
Jarvis, G. O., 516 Sutter, No. 423.....	775
Jaske, F., 133 Geary, No. 823.....	125
Jay's Motor Co., 200 West Portal Ave.....	60
Jeanetta Sweet Shop, 485 Fourteenth St.....	150
Jean's Renovatory, 424 Geary.....	250
Jedco Manufacturing Co., 501 Seventh St.....	1,500
Jenkins, D., 3139 Mission.....	200
Jennings, Philip, 690 Market, No. 406.....	150
Jensen, C. E., 1435 Sixth Ave.....	200
Jenssen, N. O., 490 Post, No. 521.....	45
Jeromes, 145 Geary.....	4,565
Jeslyn, J. S., Mfg. Co., 154 Sutter, No. 403.....	500
Jhoe, Robert, 246 Market.....	300
Jim's Barber Shop, 2291 Chestnut.....	100
Joe's Barber Shop, 805 Geary.....	100
Joe's Elegant Shop, 735 Bush.....	100
John, W., 1015 Gough.....	386
Johnney's Hamburger, 185 Third St.....	100
Johnny's Tavern, 1346 Fillmore.....	600
John's Coffee Shop, 114 Fourth St.....	250
John's Repairing, 2801 San Bruno Ave.....	150
Johnson, A. R., 3901 Mission.....	150
Johnson, Albert L., 690 Market, No. 602.....	100
Johnson, E. C. & Co., 1131 Folsom.....	850
Johnson, Estate of E. D., 490 Post, No. 627.....	200
Johnson, G., 221 Liberty.....	40
Johnson, H., 251 Kearny, No. 403.....	25
Johnson, H. C., 821 Market, No. 603.....	75
Johnson, L., 4054 Twenty-fourth St.....	250
Johnson, O. R., 2785 Vallejo.....	540
Johnson, R. G., 73 Ellington Ave.....	25
Johnson, R. R., 120 Eighth Ave.....	310
Johnson & Burke, 320 Eighth St.....	150
Johnston, L. M., 830 Market, No. 702.....	100
Jolly Friars, 2138 Chestnut.....	1,035
Jones, Bettie, Miss, 267 Embarcadero.....	250
Jones, H. M., 785 Market, No. 1302.....	100
Jones, J. P., 1565 Ellis.....	35
Jones, Jeanette, 1300 Church.....	100
Jones Smoke Shop, 118 Jones.....	150
Jones Street Tailor, 209 Jones.....	65
Jones Wheel Alignment, 300 Ninth St.....	212
Jones & Dall, 260 California, No. 1006.....	300
Jones & Pettigrew, 144 Washington.....	505
Jordan, D. V., 105 Montgomery, No. 200.....	25
Jordan, F. D., 209 Post, No. 1212.....	100
Jordan & McLaren, 998 Harrison.....	100
Joseph, M., 1096 Golden Gate Ave.....	300
Joseph, M., 1090 Golden Gate.....	300

Judd, A. E., 2010 Sutter.....	150
Judge Engineering Co., 393 Ninth St.....	335
Judson Health Salon, 177 Post, No. 514.....	75
Jue, Harold J., 621 Kearny.....	500
Juilly, L. G., 516 Sutter, No. 304.....	140
Julio's Restaurant, 1335 Grant Ave.....	400
Jung Yee, 37 Spofford alley.....	100
K & D Sandwich Shop, 426 Market.....	225
Kaas, C. A., 420 Market.....	150
Kaffen's Smoke Shop, 5443 Geary Blvd.....	230
Kahn, H. V., 135 Cerritos Ave.....	960
Kahn, L. Co., 114 Sansome, No. 533.....	150
Kalley, Francis, 781 Market.....	350
Kalma Arts Ltng. Studio, 406 Geary, No. 2.....	25
Kalman's, C., 1115 Market.....	400
Kaltenbach, D., 582 Market, No. 201.....	80
Kane, H. M., 105 Montgomery, No. 200.....	100
Kane, W., 1609 Ellis.....	160
Kane's Studio, 45 Geary.....	85
Karpaty, N. A., 375 Sutter, No. 3F.....	2,015
Kate's Tavern, 1900C Fillmore.....	250
Kaufman, M., 1944 Clement.....	200
Kawano, H. Mrs., 1794 Sutter.....	100
Kay's Coffee Shop, 752A Stanyan.....	100
Kay's Furniture, 975 McAllister.....	355
Kay's Garage, 1650 Pacific Ave.....	360
Kay's Transfer, 1495 Harrison.....	100
Kazebeer, A. G., 220 Montgomery, No. 785.....	150
Kean, Ltd., 310 Geary.....	20,775
Keane, A. C., 465 California, No. 314.....	100
Kearns, R. P., 150 Post, No. 702.....	225
Kearns, R. P., 121 Stockton, No. 1F.....	300
Keating, B. E. Drayage, 57 Zoe.....	100
Kehoe, J. F., 405 Montgomery, No. 521.....	65
Keldsen, A., 520 Leavenworth.....	155
Kelleher, J. A., 10 Twenty-ninth St.....	30
Kelleher, M., 1589 Waller.....	50
Kelley, P., 2720 Vallejo.....	535
Kelley's Plumbing Supply, 1161 Scott.....	350
Kellogg, L., 2205 Sacramento, No. M.....	350
Kelly, F. S., 1436 Valencia.....	350
Kelso, O., 717 Market, No. 715.....	66
Kemitex Products, Inc., 60 Federal.....	1,000
Kempkey, A., 582 Market, No. 1217.....	250
Kenbrook, C. A. & Son, 315 Montgomery, No. 726.....	50
Kendall, E., 114 Tenth Ave.....	90
Kenealy, H., 130 Sutter, No. 6F.....	100
Kennedy, W. J., 870 Market, No. 1141.....	500
Kenney, W., 870 Market, No. 1253.....	85
Kenney, W., Dr., 1379 Sixth Ave.....	135
Kent & Hass, 525 Market, No. 602.....	50
Kenyon, M., 582 Market, No. 610.....	25
Kern, G., Fu., 119 Miramar Ave.....	250
Kerner Incinerator, 450 Clementina.....	290
Kerr Mfg. Co., 711 Mission.....	340
Kesler, L. E., 60 Borica.....	315
Key, J. L., 277 Pine.....	100
Keystone Engraving Co., 830 Market, No. 520.....	50
Keystone Grocers, 1804 San Jose Ave.....	1,000
Keystone Oil Refing., 111 Sutter, No. 1719.....	150
Keystone Water Co., 130 Russ.....	575
Kieffer, S. E., 57 Post, No. 813.....	275
Kiems, 264 O'Farrell.....	275



Killilea, T. F., 5731 Geary Blvd.....	200
Killilea, T. F., 639 Twenty-third Ave.....	200
Kimp, Wm. M., 7 Front, No. F160.....	150
Kin Quan Co., 1049 Stockton.....	185
Kindel & Graham Co., 782 Mission.....	19,420
King, C., 2896 Folsom.....	290
King Chinese Ldry., 3 Meacham.....	400
King & Malone, 311 California, No. 824.....	200
King & Stein & Co., 5606 Mission.....	500
Kirsten, O., 51 Tehama.....	380
Kjaer Equip. Co., 145 Second St.....	150
Kleen Rite Cleaners, 253 Leavenworth.....	100
Kleiber, P., 72 Santa Monica way.....	470
Kleiber Motor Co., 1400 Folsom.....	3,680
Klein, A. E., 1300 Van Ness Ave., South.....	150
Klein, L. D., 870 Market, No. 807.....	150
Klein, M., 400 Clement.....	445
Klein, P. A., 323 Geary, No. 711.....	210
Klindsmith, F., 46 Sixth St.....	150
Kline, A., 754 Golden Gate Ave.....	455
Kline, David S., 833 Market, No. 912.....	150
Kline, H. J. Co., 784 Minnesota.....	350
Klinger, M. H. Co., 440 Golden Gate Ave.....	100
Klute Distrib. Co., 863 Florida.....	100
Kneeter Cleaners, 1426 California.....	200
Knoph, M. Rollin, 870 Market, No. 516.....	200
Knowles, A., 982 Bryant.....	200
Knox, M. R., 999 Geary.....	280
Knox Coffee Shop, 667 Jackson.....	300
Ko Ket Sweet Shop, 624 Divisadero.....	290
Koesel, W. H., 833 Market, No. 612.....	50
Koffee Kup, The., 5424 Geary Blvd.....	3,500
Kohl Bldg., 486 California.....	1,450
Kohler, A. M., 394 Twenty-ninth St.....	185
Kolkmann, O. C., 332 Balboa.....	150
Korbel Realty Co., 1395 Haight.....	150
Korhummel, J., 2724 San Jose Ave.....	140
Korn, M. E., 465 Twentieth Ave.....	200
Kotch Massage System, 5334 Geary Blvd., No. 201.....	57
Kotzbeck, L., 2031 Fillmore.....	1,350
Kozlowski, J., 727 Natoma.....	240
Kramer, J., 2101 California, No. 3.....	335
Krause, L., 790 Twenty-fifth Ave.....	335
Kretz, J. A., 63 Chesley.....	25
Kristovich, T., 2832 Mission.....	30
Kroyden Co., 617 Market.....	400
Krug, A., 261 California.....	445
Krug, A. L., 261 California.....	310
Kruse, J. H., Est., 3145 Twenty-third St.....	27,517
Kteen, P., 3079 Sixteenth St.....	50
Kueffer Engraving Co., 523 Clay, No. 2F.....	900
Kuhlman, S., 4352A Twenty-sixth St.....	300
Kung Wo Chong Co., 732 Sacramento.....	150
Kurpinsky, A., 2509 Bay Shore Blvd.....	500
Kwong Kwong Co., 664 Jackson.....	100
Kyle, S. C., 216 Pine, No. 605.....	100
L. S. Garage, 1840 Taraval.....	400
L. & S. Grocery, 2750 Balboa.....	560
La Rue, Carman, 552 Geary.....	270
Lacampana Cafe, 440 Broadway.....	425
Lacey & Schulz, 2873 Twenty-second St.....	400
Lackenbach, A. C., 155 Montgomery, No. 504.....	100
Lafayette Fur Store, 242 Hyde.....	300

Lagan, J. F., 909 Hyde, No. 229.....	200
Lago, P. D., 2367 Twentieth Ave., No. 1.....	500
Lagomarsino Bros., 253 Clement.....	110
Lahane & Co., 1 Division.....	7,500
Laher Spring Co., 276 Eleventh St.....	8,500
Laine, G., 1792 San Jose Ave.....	1,450
Lamb, R. B., 220 Montgomery, No. 698.....	125
Lambert Co., No. Calif., 515 Van Ness Ave.....	8,902
Lamberton Co., 49 California.....	1,500
Lambertson Sales Co., 942 Mission.....	525
Lamode Deparee, 1854 Fillmore.....	65
Lamon Bonnington Co., 16 California, No. 504.....	100
Landels, E. D., 3216 Jackson.....	375
Landis, P., 2740 Pierce.....	325
Landmark Smoke Shop, 531 Montgomery.....	300
Lanfeld Foods, 828 Geary.....	2,250
Lang, R. D., 141 Junipero Serra.....	300
Lang Realty Corp., 39 Sutter.....	225
Lange, C. P., 253 Third.....	50
Langston, W. H., 870 Market, No. 1123.....	60
Lanyss, Ltd., 344 Sutter.....	1,000
Lapham, Louis, 2511 Pierce.....	100
Laprospereidad Cigar, 326 Jackson.....	250
Larkin Laundry, 730 Larkin.....	905
Larock, E. A., 74 New Montgomery, No. 542.....	150
Larris Bty Parlor, 1103 Stanyan.....	165
Larsen, Albert H., 333 Kearny, No. 506.....	100
Larson, L. P., 119 Madrone Ave.....	300
Lassa, John, 3014 Twenty-second St.....	100
Lassagne, Theodore, 620 Market, No. 806.....	150
Lathams Storage, 680 McAllister.....	25
Latin Amer. Gold Mng., 114 Sansome, No. 443.....	100
Laughlin, J. W., 127 Twenty-first Ave.....	405
Laumeister, M., 76 Hernandez Ave.....	625
Laundry Work. Int. Un., 1095 Market, No. 403.....	40
Laurenti, G., 1535 Folsom.....	245
Lavell & Moore, 740 O'Farrell.....	195
Lavelle Beauty Sal., M., 508 Geary.....	260
La Vie Studio, 420 Sutter, No. 203.....	150
Lawrence, H. W. Co., 260 California, No. 511.....	450
Lawyers Book Exch., 94 McAllister.....	900
Layer Herman & Co., 582 Market, No. 1006.....	100
Layman, E., 201 San Benito way.....	450
Layne & Bowler Corp., 625 Market, No. 1420.....	100
Leach, A. L., Co., 485 Van Ness Ave., South.....	300
Leafar Distri. Co., 709 Mission, No. 700.....	150
Lebraun, A. R., 704 Market, No. 908.....	50
Lee Bros. Laundry, 897 Sacramento.....	400
Leclair O'Callaghan C., 465 Arguello Blvd.....	277
Lee, A., 6 Presidio Terrace.....	1,000
Lee, R., 1053 Stockton.....	250
Lee & Quan, 867 Washington.....	100
Leep, L., 2146 Sutter.....	150
Lees Coffee Shop, 330 Ellis.....	260
Legeas, G., 3098 Alemany Blvd.....	85
Legeas M., Bty Shop, 4145 Eighteenth St.....	25
Legrande Restaurant, 471 Pine.....	145
Lehrke, M. E., 365 Santa Ana Ave.....	415
Leland Co., 157 Seventh St.....	1,500
Leland Coffee Shop, 1305 Polk.....	180
Lemcke, A. G., 2503 Union.....	315
Lemos, A. L., Co., 500 Sansome, No. 308.....	210
Lenahan, G. T., 870 Market, No. 1013.....	50



Lennon, M. B., 157 Commonwealth.....	1,500
Lennon, M. B., 384 Post, No. 709.....	525
Lennon, T., 2340 Washington.....	500
Lennon, T. J., 384 Post, No. 709.....	500
Lenore Coffee Shop., 158 Mason.....	515
Lenzart Co., 340 Sansome, No. 203.....	140
Leoni, E., 1212 Market.....	85
Leos Flower Shop, 697 Sutter.....	35
Leos Restaurant, 3848 Third St.....	140
Lepike, S., 964 Chenery.....	405
Lesh Grocery, 1823 Clement.....	590
Less, L., 287 Thirty-first Ave.....	330
Lesser, M. M., 322 Camino Del Mar.....	450
Levin, H., 859 Twenty-fifth Ave.....	380
Levinson, H. A., 785 Market, No. 1302.....	150
Levis Grocery, 99 Webster.....	260
Levison, G., 100 Locust.....	900
Levison, J., 2375 Broadway.....	350
Levison, J. B., 2420 Pacific Ave.....	1,585
Levy, George A., 320 Market, No. 208.....	100
Lew Yen, 29 Pagoda place.....	100
Lewertoff, F., 1670 Pine.....	2,019
Lewis, G., 2700 Twenty-fourth St.....	55
Lewis, G., 465 Bryant.....	25
Lewis, G. W., 1190 Potrero.....	180
Lewis, H. Emerson, 728 Montgomery, No. 7.....	100
Lewis, M. H. & Co., 315 Montgomery, No. 710.....	150
Ley, V. M., 1350 Polk.....	225
Liberty Bell Cleaner, 318 Leavenworth.....	100
Libia Restaurant, 133 Jackson.....	185
Lido Dress Shop, 559 Columbus Ave.....	460
Lieftuchter, E., 628 Montgomery, No. 328.....	100
Light, W., 2300 Twenty-third St.....	850
Lightning Cleaners, 145 Eddy.....	150
Likens, J. W., 133 Geary, No. 702.....	155
Lilienthal, Lee, & Co., 268 Market, No. 206.....	600
Lillo, J., 4 Petrarch place.....	44
Lima, A., 3462 Mission.....	435
Lincoln Market Del., 761 Market.....	135
Lincoln Market Fish, 761 Market.....	35
Lincoln Market Fruit, 761 Market.....	40
Lincoln Market Liq., 761 Market.....	85
Lincoln Market Meat., 761 Market.....	500
Lincoln Radio Serv., 1085 Valencia.....	300
Linderman, F., 110 Market, No. 608.....	75
Lindhout, Henry, 1596 Market.....	200
Lindy Electric, 463A Twenty-fourth Ave.....	25
Linn Hink Co., 905 Washington.....	500
Linney, J. F., 47 Belden.....	25
Lipman, George M., 785 Market, No. 508.....	100
Lippmann, Hubert M., 21 Columbus Ave., No. 225.....	75
Lissner, H. D., 26 O'Farrell, No. 1005.....	100
Little Shamrock Tvrn., 807 Lincoln way.....	190
Livermore Val. Winery, 1871 Fillmore.....	400
Livingston, L., 3050 Pacific.....	350
Lloyd, Alice, Bty Sh., 3329 Twenty-fourth St.....	150
Lloyd Lab., 490 Post, No. 1744.....	500
Local Sign Co., 2209 Bush.....	200
Lock Yan Flower Co., 1120 Stockton.....	70
Lock & Electric Repr., 462 Turk.....	150
Locke, F. S., 232 Pt. Lobos Ave.....	150
Lodi Winery, Inc., 544 Market, No. 504.....	100
Loftus, P. W., 821 Market, No. 618.....	100

Lohmann, W., & Co., 181 Townsend.....	500
Lombard Pharmacy, 1700 Lombard.....	2,008
Long, C. C., 1361 Valencia.....	150
Long, J. F., Co., 485 California, No. 923.....	100
Long, Myrtle, 1501 Sutter.....	100
Longley, E. G., 870 Market, No. 526.....	200
Longs Calif. Products, 121 Clay.....	1,000
Loop Book Store, 15 The Embarcadero.....	150
Lopez, J., 2635 Clement, No. 2.....	655
Lopez, Marcello, 826 Kearny.....	150
Lopiparo, P. Fu., 541A Hill.....	25
Lothrop, M., 620 Market, No. 806.....	100
Louis, C. A., 24 Leland Ave.....	50
Louis Restaurant S., 524 Broadway.....	500
Loupy, J., 325 Leavenworth.....	615
Loustau, L. & Co., 3654 Sacramento.....	3715
Lovell & Richardson, 235 Montgomery, No. 1020.....	275
Lowe, Eva, 1254 Mason.....	200
Lowe, F. B., 1132 Stockton.....	150
Lowe, Y. C., 1060 Stockton.....	150
Lower, F. B., 315 Montgomery, No. 628.....	100
Lucca Market, Meat, 4591 Mission.....	200
Lucchetti, A., 3044 Lyon.....	300
Lucerne on Lake, Inc., 235 Montgomery, No. 443.....	25
Luchi, F., 1758 Divisadero.....	475
Lucilles, 353 Columbus Ave.....	350
Ludwig, P. J., 843 Webster.....	150
Ludwigs Dance Studio, 532 Geary, No. 3.....	105
Lueders, D., 5539 Geary Blvd.....	145
Luhrs, E. H., 200 Bush, No. 1507.....	100
Lumino, Neon, 1691 Turk.....	300
Lummis Co., B. H., 24 California, No. 312.....	400
Lun Chop Suey, 2723 Lombard.....	200
Lun Fook, 24 Spofford Alley.....	50
Lundgren & Keegan, 935 Cole.....	260
Lushnikoff, P., 1494 California.....	50
Luthern Hospital Association, 115 Fredrick.....	190
Lux, E. M., 240 Stockton, No. 1015.....	200
Luxor, Clean & Press, 537 Jones.....	151
Lydias Beauty Shop, 411 Haight.....	100
Lyman, Richard, Jr., 111 Sutter, No. 1316.....	100
Lynch, A. J., 3330 Baker.....	1,610
Lynch, D. A., 1241 Broadway.....	65
Lynch, P., 969 Valencia.....	100
Lynch & Sons, 2164 Market.....	65
Lynn Co., W. R., 268 Market, No. 120.....	60
Lyons, C., 883 Haight.....	200
Lyons, I. L., 919 Fillmore.....	75
Lyons, L. N., 988 Market, No. 301.....	100
M. & J. Independent Serv., 4025 California.....	400
M. J. Coffee Shop, 96 Ninth Street.....	200
M. & B Cafe, 2330 Taylor.....	275
Mabels Beauty Shop, 1005 Market, No. 206.....	200
Mabson, Edw. D., 333 Kearny, No. 507.....	150
Macartney, J. H., 80 Tehama.....	265
MacDonald, H., 331 Capitol.....	165
MacDonald, H., 2425 Mission.....	150
MacDonald, L. D., 465 California, No. 330.....	150
Macedonia Tobacco Co., 574 Market.....	300
MacGregor Tool Co., 1316 Folsom.....	500
Macks, 2985 Twenty-first St.....	250
Macon, L. F., 51 Rodgewood Ave.....	300
Macon Fruit Market, 3105 Sixteenth St.....	50



Madame Maries Beauty Shop, 630 O'Farrell.....	35
Madera Wine Depot, 1101 Golden Gate Ave.....	350
Madson & Richards, 1674 Pacific Ave.....	355
Mae, F., 5 Third St., No. 721.....	160
Magee, D., 480 Post.....	1,024
Magic Foam of Calif., 163 Second St., No. 1F.....	55
Magnolia Grill, 515 Valencia.....	100
Maiden Lane Tearoom, 116 Maiden Lane.....	150
Maima Valet Shop, 3005 Steiner.....	150
Majestic Elect. Co., 604 Folsom.....	150
Majestic Line Co., 292 Second St.....	150
Majestic Portrt, Stud., 2482 Mission.....	100
Majestic Theatre, 2465 Mission.....	3,250
Mallando, M. R., 814 Larkin.....	100
Mallen, H., 517 Pine.....	25
Mallen, H., 55 St. Anne.....	25
Mallen, H., 565 Pine.....	25
Mallen, H. J., 34 Pine.....	25
Malm, W. R. & Co., 1467 Bush.....	800
Malouf, C., 1179 Market, No. 604.....	500
Man Fung Wo & Co., 921 Grant Ave.....	770
Mancuso, G., 552 Broderick.....	50
Mangels, W. Furn., 1360 Stevenson.....	50
Mangold, C., 4146 Eighteenth St.....	125
Manila Frame & Art, 444 Divisadero.....	130
Manila Pool Hall, 604 Jackson.....	600
Manila Restaurant, 606 Jackson.....	700
Mann, Albert B., 633 Bryant.....	150
Mannocir, F. D., 315 Montgomery, No. 426.....	150
Mantelli & Matteucci, 585 Columbus Ave.....	485
Manuel, I., 2090 Lombard.....	200
Manufacturers Cred. B., 74 New Montgomery, No. 600.....	125
Maples, E. T., 3535 Clement.....	870
Maragos, John, 260 Third St.....	300
Marasco, F. B., Dr., 470 Columbus Ave.....	200
Maravelias, J., 855 Kearny.....	210
Marchant Calculators, 625 Market, No. 211.....	715
Marchini, T. M., 425 Gough.....	329
Marglores, G., 664 Broadway.....	57
Marina Auto Elect. Sh., 3260 Fillmore.....	300
Marina Carpenter Shop, 2162 Union.....	100
Marina Cleaners, 2314 Polk.....	200
Marina Cyclery, 3207 Fillmore.....	175
Marina Garden, 2085 Chestnut.....	100
Marina Ital. Amer., 3142 Fillmore.....	56
Marnia Restaurant, 1105 Battery.....	125
Marina Chop Suey, 2268 Chestnut.....	100
Marion Grace Studio, 435 Powell, No. 3.....	200
Marionette Guild, 1749 Clay.....	200
Marioni Realty Co., 7, 2653 Mission.....	100
Marisch, N. A., 135 Stockton, No. 824.....	200
Maritime Elect. Co., 36 Sacramento.....	702
Markall, M., 33 Ritch.....	650
Market St. Assn., 870 Market, No. 420.....	100
Market St. Perm. Wave, 1065A Market.....	400
Market St. Press, 342 Market.....	600
Market St. Van & Stor., 1875 Mission.....	95
Marks, Dan, 805 Van Ness Ave.....	150
Marsh, L. Cody, 450 Sutter, No. 1525.....	250
Marsico, Katherine, 358 Sutter, No. 304.....	200
Marson & Co., 235 Montgomery, No. 1555.....	100
Martensen, M. E., 150 Post, No. 604.....	100
Martha Washington, 5542 Geary.....	200

Martha Washington Candy, 52 Geary.....	200
Martha Washington Candy, 252 Powell.....	200
Martha Washington Candy, 725 Larkin.....	200
Martin Jack, 704 Market, No. 1007.....	250
Martin Lewis W., 580 Market, No. 307.....	100
Martinelli Bros., 1202 Evans Ave.....	1,500
Martinez, S., 760 Market, No. 845.....	100
Martins Hole in Wall, 2048 Mission.....	150
Martinson, A., 2936 Divisadero.....	300
Marva Wave Co., 127 Montgomery, No. 505.....	200
Marvin, L. F., 1230 Geary.....	422
Maryon, Arthur C., 180 New Montgomery, No. 7.....	100
Marys Help Hospital, 145 Guerrero.....	3,000
Mas Lunch, 613 Howard.....	150
Mas Lunch, 447 Kearny.....	150
Mason Neilan Regul, 198 Second St.....	300
Master File Service, 550 Florida.....	25
Master Haircutters, 248 Fillmore.....	100
Mather C. C., 350 Kearny.....	100
Matts Salvage, 1024 Golden Gate Ave.....	115
Maurer, A., 1740 Union.....	1,040
Maurice Mer. Co., 2415 Mission.....	1,500
Maurices Tavern, 509 Mission.....	475
Mausner, A., 68 Post, No. 205.....	100
Maxie The Tailor, 815 Hayes.....	250
Mayer C. Maurice, 268 Market, No. 203.....	100
Mayfair Bty Salon, 391 Sutter, No. 101.....	205
McAlister, J. W., 140 Van Ness South.....	150
McAllister Gar., 1660 McAllister.....	55
McBoyle, A. J., 1448 Lake.....	700
McCaffrey, H., 2507 Folsom.....	155
McCann, W. D., 404 Post.....	20,500
McCann, C. J., 215 Mason.....	150
McCarthy, I. H., 681 Market, No. 575.....	150
McCarthy, T. W., 615 Twelfth Ave.....	650
McCarthy, Thomas E., 563 Valencia.....	100
McCarthys, 2434 Mission.....	400
McCay, G. L., 4027 Twenty-fourth St.....	200
McCluster, A., 75 San Rafael Way.....	340
McCord, E. J., 490 Post, No. 1014.....	160
McCormack, M. L., 236 Hugo.....	50
McCormick, Pease, 112 Market, No. 720.....	100
McCullough, A., 640 Twenty-seventh Ave.....	620
McDevitt, W., 2081 Sutter.....	1,500
McDonald, F., 315 Montgomery, No. 821.....	300
McDonald, I., 1055 California, No. 14.....	1,650
McDonnell, W. C. G., 235 Montgomery, No. 1240.....	150
McEnerney, P. R., 220 Montgomery, No. 886.....	150
McGables, 720 La Playa.....	150
McGerry, W. B. & Co., 220 Montgomery, No. 302.....	150
McGivan, W., 1400 Eddy.....	255
McGlory, Chas. A., 5 Third St. No. 1102.....	150
McGranaghan, M. Jas., 681 Market, No. 577.....	150
McGregor, L. F., 26 O'Farrell, No. 405.....	100
McGregor & Pond, 235 Montgomery, No. 1816.....	250
McGuffick, J. R. & Co., 457 Berry.....	650
McInerney, J., 1115 Filbert.....	325
McKay, J. J., 909 Hyde, No. 631.....	85
McKeil, David, 1162 Page.....	100
McKenzie, D., 519 California, No. 405.....	75
McKenzie, I., 428 Fillmore.....	130
McKenzie, I., 426 Fillmore.....	40
McKenzie, I., 424 Fillmore.....	45



McKenzie, W. R., 315 Montgomery, No. 1234.....	150
McKim, C., 799 Lincoln Way.....	1,635
McLaughlin, G., 1323 Thirty-ninth Ave.....	200
McMahon, M., 704 Market, No. 208.....	50
McManus, W. K., 524 Irving.....	125
McMurray, M., 135 Stockton, No. 941.....	200
McNalley Appliance, 2904 Mission.....	400
McNally Real Estate, 1543 Haight.....	150
McNear Brick Co., 116 New Montgomery, No. 419.....	50
McNear Brick Co., 417 Berry.....	75
McNelly, G., 449 Valencia.....	50
McNelly Lacquer Ser., 494 Hayes.....	320
McQuaid, F. J., 209 Post, No. 1004.....	150
McVicker & Edwards, 4134 Geary.....	150
McWilliams, R. L., 405 Montgomery, No. 1124.....	150
Mead, V. T., 2100 Green, No. 706.....	350
Meadows, H. J., 21 Columbus Ave., No. 212.....	650
Meagher, F. L., 870 Market, No. 1112.....	400
Meaghers Garage, 1021 Valencia.....	355
Meakin, E., 4 Ashbury Terrace.....	300
Mee Hing Chong, 672 Clay.....	200
Megas, S., 393 Eddy.....	150
Mehegan Garage, 2359 Pine.....	325
Mei, V., 1658 O'Farrell.....	125
Melind, L., Co., Calif., 593 Market, No. 232.....	500
Meller, R. B., Co., 417 Market, No. 316.....	85
Mellersh, T. C., 68 Post, No. 222.....	150
Mendoza Studio, 2512 Sacramento.....	200
Menges Surgical App., 2867 Mission.....	450
Mercantile Accept., 333 Montgomery, 12th fl.....	17,200
Mercantile Prop. Corp., 1735 Fillmore.....	200
Mercantile Prop. Co., 155 Montgomery, No. 501.....	340
Mercer, S., 1954 Hyde.....	200
Merchandis. Disp. Sale., 7 Front, No. F408.....	200
Merchants Linen Sup., 2140 O'Farrell.....	150
Merle, L. V., 2157 Jackson.....	660
Merle, Pierre V., 490 Post, No. 1406.....	200
Mero, Harry, 621 Kearny.....	350
Merrill, A. P., 450 Sutter, No. 1538.....	200
Merritt Studio, 251 Post, No. 516.....	100
Metro Art Service, 2051 Union.....	100
Metrop. Furn. Mfg. Co., 180 New Montgomery, No. 5F.....	90
Meyer Co., The, 285 Eddy.....	655
Meyer, E., 900 Cabrillo.....	600
Miami Buffet, 2722 Seventeenth St.....	500
Mibach, F., 550 Valencia.....	50
Middleton's Lunch, 607 Seventh St.....	220
Milano Cafe, 428 Broadway.....	200
Milk Prod. Co., 369 Pine, No. 318.....	150
Miller, B., 1164A Market.....	50
Miller, B. H., 1982 Ocean Ave.....	310
Miller, C. A., 943 Kearny.....	900
Miller Express Co., 3424 Twenty-fifth St.....	50
Miller, G. A., 139 Mallorca Way.....	500
Miller, H. A., 405 Montgomery, No. 1311.....	125
Miller, H. E., 450 Sutter, No. 838.....	150
Miller, H. M. A., 486 California, No. 618.....	1,500
Miller, I., & Sons, 833 Market, No. 1104.....	150
Miller, J., 645 Pacific.....	175
Miller, J. J., 406 Sutter, No. 322.....	77
Miller, R., 3320 Octavia, No. 3.....	350
Miller, R., 1432 Steiner.....	60
Miller, R. E., Inc., 1499 Market, No. 203.....	65

Miller, R. N., & Co., 235 Montgomery, No. 850.....	650
Miller & Day, 405 Montgomery, No. 213.....	150
Millers Beauty Shop, 177 Post, No. 604.....	180
Mills, J. K., 210 Post, No. 901.....	55
Millzner, R. J., 450 Sutter, No. 2215.....	200
Milo Lamp & Shade Co., 180 New Montgomery, No. 5.....	250
Milton Bros., 557 Arguello Blvd.....	32
Minaker, A. J., 870 Market, No. 812.....	350
Minas, Y., 870 Market, No. 546.....	550
Minenna, J. A., 1102 Market.....	125
Minnis, J. L., 2435 Twenty-second Ave.....	325
Mint Shaving Parlor, 489 Broadway.....	87
Mirons Flowers, 533 Geary.....	200
Miscel. Employees Un., 491 Jessie.....	155
Miss Rose Dance Stu., 437 Brazil Ave.....	100
Mission Bargain Sto., 2112 Mission.....	400
Mission Concrete Co., 125 Kissling.....	225
Mission Concrete Con., 272 Turk.....	225
Mission Dolores Rest., 3042 Sixteenth St.....	150
Mission Express Co., 561 Valencia.....	50
Mission Land & Catt., 156 Montgomery, No. 310.....	100
Mission Market, 4501 Mission.....	100
Mission Mortorcy. Serv., 296 Valencia.....	48
Mission Palace Mkt., 2431 Mission.....	250
Mission Palace Mkt., G., 2431 Mission.....	1,200
Mission Palace Mkt., D., 2431 Mission.....	300
Mission Palace Mkt., 2431 Mission.....	415
Mission Tennis Serv., 3696 Eighteenth St.....	80
Mission Van Ness Au., 97 Van Ness Ave., South.....	25
Mitchell, C. J., 235 Montgomery, No. 358.....	150
Mitchell W. M., 2588 Mission, No. 226.....	200
Mitchell, W. W., 701 Rockdale Dr.....	379
Mitchells Drug Store, 4504 Irving.....	750
Mittag & Volger, Inc., 591 Mission, No. 3F.....	5,000
Mivelli, M., 411 Geary.....	58
Model Cafe, 2223 Polk.....	250
Modern Cloak & Suit, 49 Fourth St., No. 425.....	250
Modern Fix. & Cabinet, 928 Folsom.....	750
Modern Shade Shop, 1042 Larkin.....	300
Modern Shirt Shop, 558A Kearny.....	350
Moderne Club, 555 Sutter.....	1,050
Moderne Inn., 5170 Mission.....	145
Modernette Bty Shop, 930 Hyde.....	290
Moe, E. G., 507 Misson, No. 202.....	100
Moeller, G., 641 Forty-eighth Ave.....	625
Moeller, G., & Sons, 6260 Geary Blvd.....	160
Mohawk Serv., St. A. Fix., 622 Golden Gate.....	815
Mohr, J. A., 2047 Fillmore.....	300
Moir Printing Co., 447 Sansome, No. 3F.....	775
Molina, N., 3044 Jackson.....	250
Moller Bty Sln., 275 Post, No. M.....	205
Mon Lee Laundry Co., 609 Jackson.....	275
Monroe & Anderson, 717 Market, No. 412.....	65
Monterey Serv. Sta., 2 Joost Ave.....	125
Montgomery, J. A., 85 Second St., No. 620.....	150
Montgomery, O., 450 Sutter, No. 1827.....	200
Montgomery Barber Sh., 123 Montgomery.....	210
Montgomery Garage, 831 Montgomery.....	430
Moo, Kee, 886A Washington.....	40
Moondream Buffet, 1113 Fillmore.....	200
Moore, C. L., 1260 Monterey Blvd.....	1,100
Moore, Courtney S., 351 California, No. 1120.....	150
Moore, D. M., Co., 259 Geary, No. 306.....	350



Moore, E. C., 184 Corona.....	300
Moore Elec. Supply, 180 New Montgomery, No. 7.....	250
Moore, P., 545 Sutter, No. 403.....	310
Moore & Roberts, 693 Mission, No. 901.....	100
Moose Tavern, 1625 Market.....	440
Morales, J., 1159 Market, No. 201.....	200
Moran, N., 564 Market, No. 623.....	695
Mork Brush Mfg. Co., 236 Eighth St.....	38,247
More, A. M., 690 Market, No. 324.....	600
Morehead Mfg. Co., 383 Brannan, No. 41.....	400
Moreland Motor Tru., 1415 Folsom.....	1,500
Moreno, G., 65 Buena Vista Ave., No. 202.....	100
Moresco, R., Grocery, 1901 Lombard.....	350
Morgan, A. C., 1551 Dolores.....	300
Morgan, Frank, 833 Market, No. 322.....	150
Morgan Recording Co., 220 Montgomery, No. 344.....	150
Morgans School, T., 435 Powell, No. 7.....	150
Morosi, P., 894 Broadway.....	255
Morrels Coffee Shop, 245 Front.....	150
Morris, C. W., 65 Dorantes Ave.....	300
Morris, C. W., 235 Montgomery, No. 1035.....	100
Morris, E. H., 133 Geary, No. 827.....	500
Morris, H., 739 Thirty-second Ave.....	300
Morris, J. A., 247 Carl.....	370
Morris, Jaffa & Sunsk, 1 Montgomery, No. 1021.....	350
Morris, L. A. Co., 58 Sutter, No. 324.....	200
Morris, M. Shop, 920 Sutter.....	250
Morris Printing, H. C., 523 Clay, No. 2F.....	350
Morris, T. V., 11 Commercial.....	350
Morrison, Robert, 540 Geary.....	150
Morton, C., 632 Page.....	150
Morton, T. H., 65 De Soto.....	415
Mortons Tavern, 425 Cortland Ave.....	285
Mosekian, John, 6009 Geary.....	75
Moser, J., 235 Montgomery, No. 1004.....	150
Mosier, W. L., 587B Castro.....	155
Moss Louis, 2044 Clement.....	200
Moss & Gingg, Inc., 465 California, No. 835.....	150
Motor Credit Co., 916 Van Ness Ave.....	100
Motor Facts Sales Co., 667 Valencia.....	100
Motor Truck Terminal, 885 Howard.....	60
Motor Truck Terminal, 1107 Battery.....	85
Motor Vehicle Exch., 1520 Market.....	50
Mt. Davidson Meat Mkt., 110 West Portal Ave.....	600
Mowat, J., 1866 Folsom.....	360
Moyes, A. F., 1258 Howard.....	100
Muldary, B. H., 235 Montgomery, No. 1640.....	200
Mulholland, J., 164 Taylor.....	250
Mullany Printing Co., 607 So. Van Ness Ave.....	650
Mullen, J. F., 865 Valencia.....	65
Mullin, G., 2755 Webster, No. 11.....	300
Munthe Equip. Co., 102 New Montgomery.....	150
Muny. Pier Bait Shop, 987 North Point.....	50
Murai & Furuichi, 1747 Post.....	200
Murata, T., 1620A Laguna.....	50
Murdock & Hayden, 465 California, No. 809.....	75
Murphy, D. H., 703 Market, No. 812.....	150
Murphy, F. W., 220 Bush, No. 1820.....	1,000
Murphy, J. J., 2701 Twenty-second St.....	125
Murphy, J. L., 821 Market, No. 606.....	90
Murphy, J. V., 1971 Jefferson.....	330
Murray, D. F., 100 Sixth St.....	500

Murray, E. V., 2217 Bush.....	400
Murray, T., F. U., 1986 Fifteenth St.....	25
Murray, W. S., 1355 Bay, No. 9.....	350
Murray Bros., 2725 Getry Blvd.....	100
Muth D. A., 12 Seventh St.....	125
Muti, Alfred, 217 Scott.....	100
Muti, F., 103 Fifth St.....	55
Mutual Dyers & Clean., 915 Clement.....	120
Mutual Motors, 234 Van Ness Ave.....	850
My Own Beauty Shop, 6029 California.....	128
My Rendezvous, 840 Sansome.....	275
Myers, A. M., 220 Montgomery, No. 324.....	150
Myers, Leslie, O., 870 Market, No. 1054.....	200
Myers, M. H. & Co., 220 Bush, No. 1700.....	100
Myers, R. E., 450 Thirty-eighth Ave.....	350
Mygrant, H. R., 678 Eddy.....	650
Myra Tea Shop, 270 Sutter, No. 5F.....	500
Myrick, 225 Bush, No. 325.....	150
Myrtles Beauty Studio, 2009 Irving.....	150
N. R. A. Shoe Repair, 1226 Geneva Ave.....	120
Nadler, B., 115 Julian Ave.....	415
Nagle, J. M., 870 Market, No. 588.....	70
Najarian, G. L. Co., 219 Sutter.....	6,000
Nangano Draying Co., 1653 Valencia.....	150
Napa Trans. Co., Pier 5.....	100
Nash, C. A., 3100 Pacific Ave.....	1,200
Nash, C. M., 1175 Market, No. 20A.....	250
Nast, E. H., 4112 Twenty-fourth St.....	400
Natalie Shop, The, 12 Tillman Pl.....	170
Natl. Economy Stores, 588 Haight.....	800
Nat. Elec. Co. 218 Fourth St.....	200
Natl. Sales Co., 1033 Golden Gate.....	400
Natl. Tennis String Co., 739 Market, No. 2F.....	500
Nat. Tire Stores, Inc., 274 Brannan, No. 502.....	3,225
Natl. Wooden Box Inst., 74 New Montgomery, No. 442.....	500
Natives Market, 4706 Third St.....	280
Nattware, 2022 Chestnut.....	400
Natural Lunch, 711 McAllister.....	37
Nayarit S. T. Mex. Rest., 835 Broadway.....	220
Neal Machinery Co., 58 Sutter, No. 353.....	150
Nedob Sales Co., 320 Market, No. 322.....	100
Needelman, B., 1220 Fillmore.....	850
Neel, Frank, 305U Carl.....	40
Neighborhood Cleaner, 1179 O'Farrell.....	150
Neimans, J. S., 696 Sutter.....	1,035
Nelsen's Coffee Shop, 481 Castro.....	250
Nelson, B., 42 Market.....	150
Nelson, C. Co., 230 California, No. 3F.....	850
Nelson, M., 2847 Army.....	750
Neutra, R. J., 251 Kearny, No. 505.....	100
Nevada Club, 344 Third St.....	350
Nevada Corp. Serv., 235 Montgomery, No. 1159.....	250
Nevil Storage Co., 3107 Mission.....	100
Nevins & Stevens, 27 Sixth St.....	350
New Boulevard Cafe, 1801 Lombard.....	275
New Bridge Tavern, 201 Third.....	200
New Custom House Gar., 900 Sansome.....	700
New Deal Grocery, 4800 California.....	485
New Food Shop, The, 1085 Pine.....	150
New French Laundry, 806 Divisadero.....	25
New Golden Gate Mkt., 1641 Haight.....	80
New Golden Gate Res., 311 Bay.....	255



New Guerrero Market, 1448 Guerrero.....	380
New Idria Quick M. Co., 220 Montgomery, No. 1000.....	100
New Italian Art Wks., 857 Alabama.....	50
New Liberty Market, 806 Twenty-second St.....	417
New Market, The, 708 Bush.....	340
New Mission Garage, 3330 Twentieth St.....	135
New Montgomery Gar., 2 Hunt.....	90
New Potrero Cleaner, 1467 Eighteenth St.....	135
New Rose Laundry, 149 Tehama.....	1,085
New Service Cl. & Dy., 272 Turk.....	165
New Square Deal C. & D., 1150 Geneva Ave.....	60
New Travelers Lunch, 301 Fifth St.....	200
N. Y. Shining Parlor, 215 Jones.....	100
Newell, J., 168 Third St.....	250
Newman, M., 3821 California.....	545
Newmeyer, E. G., Delicat., 2045 Divisadero.....	500
Newmeyer, W. L., 140 Geary, No. 807.....	25
Newton, Philip, 240 Stockton, No. 808.....	300
Newts Coffee Shop, 453 Bush.....	215
N. G. Bet Co., 815 Stockton.....	75
Nicholls, T. H., 68 Post, No. 512.....	100
Nichols Esther, 133 Geary, No. 509.....	250
Nichols, Chse, Rgs., Inc., 420 Market, No. M506.....	150
Nicklassen, N. C., 51A Downey.....	50
Nifty Lunch, 2815 Mission.....	200
Nile Sandwich Shop, 55 Grant Ave.....	250
Nimmo, G., 485 Buena Vista Ave.....	325
Ninth Ave. Liquor Store, 1377 Ninth Ave.....	600
Nippon Denpo Tsushin, 24 California, No. 621.....	50
Niswonger, J. O., 382 Fourteenth Ave.....	600
Nite Hawk, 2301 Market.....	330
Nixon, D. W., 516 Sutter, No. 320.....	170
Nixon, Frans., 1075 California.....	100
Nob Hill Frocks, 731 Market, No. 410.....	4,165
Noble, E. M., 4932 Anza.....	40
Nohrden E. E., 450 Sutter, No. 2037.....	250
Nolan, Estella, 2 Otis.....	50
Nolan, J. J., 6101 Geary Blvd.....	165
Nolin, U., 246 Ritch.....	50
Nolin, U., 1807 Sixteenth Ave.....	300
Nomiyama, K., 309A Judah.....	150
Noonan Bros., 2881 Mission.....	110
Noonan Bros. Transfer, 434 Ellis.....	50
Noonday Club, Inc., 450 Market.....	600
Norden Wine Store, 772A Howard.....	100
Norman Merle Cosmet, 944 Clement.....	350
Norrington, W., 287 Fell.....	105
Norris, Frances, 133 Geary, No. 521.....	100
Norris, L. H. & Son, 235 Montgomery, No. 1201.....	225
North Beach Club, 1516 Stockton.....	100
North Beach Press, 1310 Powell.....	175
North Point Market, 800 North Point.....	850
Northern Calif. Plumb., 785 Market, No. 206.....	75
Northern Fur Co., 259 Geary, No. 505.....	105
Norton, L. M., 235 Montgomery, No. 1160.....	100
Norton's Employ. Agcy., 2152 Sutter.....	100
Nosek, J., 617 Montgomery, No. 318.....	75
Novak, N., 510 Jones.....	125
Novies Cafe, 2014 Grant Ave.....	300
Novis, E., 507 Castro.....	195
Nowell, A. R. & Co., 405 Montgomery, No. 313.....	100
Nowlan Mkt. Veg. Shop, 482 Haight.....	300

Noyes, P. P., Third & Channel.....	580
Nu Deal Cooperative, 3018 Twenty-second St.....	100
Nuevo Mundo Barb. Shop, 789 Broadway.....	65
Numan Printing Co., 317 Hyde.....	200
Number One Brand Food, 425 Jackson.....	1,000
Numbering Mach. Hosp., 519 Sansome.....	25
Nunes Bros., 7 Front, No. F409.....	100
Nuttman, L. C., 2489 Mission, No. 5.....	425
O. K. Fruit Stand, 2637 Mission.....	100
Oakland Tribune, 681 Market, No. 209.....	100
O'Brien, A. J., 369 Pine, No. 507.....	150
O'Brien, J. B., 369 Pine, No. 322.....	150
O'Brien, L. B., 2964 Mission.....	115
Ocean Poultry Co., 1425 Ocean Ave.....	150
Ocean Poultry Co., 160 West Portal.....	150
O'Connor, G., 68 Post, No. 520.....	100
O'Connor, G. T., 870 Market, No. 953.....	260
O'Connor, Raymond J., 111 Sutter, No. 1425.....	100
O'Connor, S. M., 298 Anderson.....	50
Odeon Tavern, 714 Folsom.....	250
O'Donnell, J. R., 555 Howard.....	125
O'Donnell, L., 1514 Thirtieth Ave.....	300
O'Donnell, V. H., 220 Bush, No. 1820.....	250
Odorless Cleaners, 526 O'Farrell.....	150
O'Farrell, A., 350 Drumm.....	100
Office, The, 461 Montgomery.....	500
Offield, H., 170 Sutter.....	40
Ogata, S., 1582 O'Farrell.....	130
O'Grady, A. L., 220 Montgomery, No. 319.....	100
Ohio Carbon Co., 1052 Folsom.....	2,000
Ohio Parts Co., 476 Golden Gate.....	226
Ohmer Register Co., 329 Tehama.....	3,000
Old Friends Club, 79 Turk.....	100
Old Monk Olive Oil, 112 Market, No. 320.....	750
Old S. F. Electric Co., 2611 Bush.....	250
Old Vintage Tavern, 98 Mason.....	450
Olderman, S., 942 Market, No. 701.....	300
Oliva, S., 543 Marina Blvd.....	400
Oliver The Trapper, 2315 Mission.....	2,500
Olmo, F. Co., 3359 Mission.....	500
Olsen, Anna, 1026 McAllister.....	150
Olsen, Fred, Third St. & Islais Creek.....	150
Olsen, H. C., 101 Fillmore.....	265
Olsen, J. E., 830 Market, No. 620.....	90
Olsen, V. A., 358 Sutter, No. 402.....	75
Olson, A. C., 3572 Eighteenth St.....	100
O'Mara & Ormsbee, Inc., 235 Montgomery, No. 627.....	450
O'Meara, P. J., 50 Hawthorne, No. 204.....	50
O'Neil, L., 2765 McAllister.....	235
O'Neill, A. A., 86 Post, No. 610.....	150
O'Niell, A. P., 582 Market, No. 801.....	45
O'Neill, F. T., 605 Market, No. 800.....	160
O'Neill, T., 541 Tenth Ave.....	400
Onkka, C. A., 74 New Montgomery, No. 29.....	100
Onorato, S. E., Inc., 220 Bush.....	660
Ontiveros, E., 26 O'Farrell, No. 907.....	100
Oppenheim, M., 760 Market, No. 717.....	150
Orange Blossom Candy, 11 Pearl.....	600
Ore. Calif. Fast Freight, 675 Brannan.....	1,390
O'Reilly, B. C. N., 870 Market, No. 526.....	250
O'Rella, F. R., 909 Hyde, No. 208.....	170
Organic Sea Prod. Corp., 909 Harrison.....	250



Oriental Rug Cush. Co., 750 Folsom.....	3,000
Original G. G. Box Wd., 3315 Twenty-fifth St.....	100
Oro Mining Co., 68 Post, No. 216.....	100
Orpheum Cafe, 1168 Market.....	235
Orrick, W. H., 2698 Pacific Ave.....	500
Osaka Drug Co., 1594 Post.....	1,650
O'Shea, I. T., 275 Magellan.....	300
O'Shea Inc., 2100 Seventeenth St.....	295
O-Slend-O, 133 Geary, No. 621.....	355
Ostrander, Edward L., 347 Stockton, No. 3F.....	500
O'Sullivan, John F., 703 Market, No. 810.....	200
Ota, I., 1715 Buchanan.....	650
Otto Press Room, 447 Sansome, No. 5F.....	410
Our Gift Shop, 5031 Geary.....	102
Ovensano, H. C. & Co., 251 Kearny, No. 710.....	65
Overlach, T. W., 2617 California.....	55
Overton, P. G. & Co., 718 Mission, No. 319.....	360
Ovlen, U. T., 166 Geary.....	50
P. S. C. Club, 186 Eddy.....	150
P. & M. Manufacturers, 200 Davis.....	500
Pacher, F., 4350 California.....	80
Pac. American Co., 454 California.....	1,500
Pac. Assn. Athletic Un., 760 Market, No. 735.....	100
Pac. Broadcasting Corp., 1231 Market, No. 781.....	6,000
Pac. Cellograph Corp., 235 Pine.....	150
Pac. Coast Tile Co., 3729 Mission.....	500
Pac. Elect. Costruct., 1496 Mission.....	225
Pac. Empire Holdings, 311 Minna.....	225
Pac. Heat. & Sheet Metal, 2275 Market.....	125
Pac. Heights Tailor, 2285 Jackson.....	115
Pac. Klean Rite Auto, 130 Hayes.....	75
Pac. Manifolding Bk. Co., 525 Market, No. 207.....	3,500
Pac. Meat Market, 1066 Grant Ave.....	500
Pac. Nat. Agric. Credit, 351 California, No. 1202.....	250
Pac. Natl. Auto Assn., 25 West Portal.....	150
Pac. Radio & Elect. Service, 1321 Golden Gate Ave.....	150
Pac. Radio & Elect. Co., 2130 Mission.....	150
Pac. Railway Equip. Co., 55 New Montgomery, No. 305.....	750
Pac. School Mech. Dent., 1159 Market, No. 203.....	250
Pac. School Retailing, 177 Post, No. 802.....	150
Pac. Seed Co., 600 Front.....	380
Pac. Stationers, 595 Mission, No. 402.....	250
Pac. Supply Co., 1496 Mission.....	500
Pac. Tile & Porcelain, 308 Eleventh St.....	500
Pac. Truck Cng. Co., 1205 Harrison.....	600
Pac. Typewriter Serv., 7 Front, No. F328.....	45
Pac. Van Ness Garage, 1645 Pacific Ave.....	350
Pac. Venetian Bl. Fac., 1527 Pine.....	200
Pac. Westbound Conf., 244 California, No. 405.....	115
Pac. Wool Prod. Co., 840 Tennessee.....	3,500
Padilla, G., 2132 Fillmore.....	60
Paganini, D., 617 Montgomery, No. 406.....	150
Page & Greninger, 623 Valencia.....	720
Palabalm Lotion Co., 320 Market, No. 316.....	42
Palace Auction Gall., 1150 Sutter.....	500
Palace Food Store Th., 299 Eddy.....	250
Palace Sportland, 30 Montgomery.....	200
Pall Mall Parlor, 1568 Haight.....	695
Palm Garden, The, 1101 Valencia.....	200
Palm Tavern, 3988 Eighteenth St.....	200
Palombo, S., 597 Monterey Blvd.....	200
Panama Pacific Lines, Pier 35.....	565

Pancoast, D. A., Co., 116 New Montgomery, No. 451.....	150
Panetta, F. S., 647 Hyde.....	587
Panhandle Carpet Cln., 773 Divisadero.....	395
Panorama Oil Co., 690 Market, No. 1003.....	25
Paoli, R. P., 3161 Fillmore.....	350
Papa, Bill, 690 Broadway.....	25
Paper Mills Co., The, 930 Battery.....	2,117
Paragon Press, 216 Commercial.....	185
Paramount Cleaners, 696 Monterey Blvd.....	255
Paramount Riding Ac., 317 Broderick.....	110
Parentes Cafe, 592 Pacific Ave.....	165
Parenti, E., 371 Thirtieth Ave.....	160
Paris Beauty Salon, 3302 Balboa.....	195
Park Athletic Club, 1974 Page.....	150
Park Beauty Shop, 722 Cole.....	300
Park, W. M., & Co., 236 Ritch.....	3,500
Park Presidio Clean., 1751 Balboa.....	150
Parker, C. H., Co., Inc., 465 California, No. 1111.....	350
Parker, J. S., 849 Mason.....	350
Parker Laundry, J., 909 Sutter.....	33
Parker, W. L., 20 Chaves Ave.....	158
Parkman, H. L., Co., 163 Second St., No. 1F.....	100
Parkside Carpenter, 1712 Taraval.....	50
Parkside Dist. Clean., 1020 Taraval.....	135
Park Side Fr. Ldry., 1388 Haight.....	30
Parkside Garage, 1830 Taraval.....	250
Parkside Liquors, 949 Taraval.....	280
Parkside Meat Market, 354 Divisadero.....	260
Parkside Tavern, 934 Taraval.....	160
Parkview Theatre, 4510 Irving.....	260
Partinico, J., 2100 Chestnut.....	50
Pataky School of Dan., 545 Sutter, No. B.....	25
Patio Frocks, Inc., 2430 Mission.....	400
Patsy Tavern, 1582 Folsom.....	150
Patterson Shipping, 405 Montgomery, No. 1325.....	175
Patterson, W. D., 1108 Market.....	150
Patterson & Sullivan, 221 Pine.....	80
Pattys 970 Club, 970 Sutter.....	200
Paulding, J. I., Inc., 1264 Folsom.....	400
Pauls Restaurant, 225 California.....	670
Pauweis, T. H., 462 Seventh St.....	150
Peabody, V., 61 Pinehurst Way.....	400
Peacock Cleaners, 760 Market, No. 252.....	900
Peacock Van Ness Gar., 2110 Van Ness Ave.....	150
Peake, Don., Inc., 1158 Folsom.....	5,000
Pearl Oyster House, 442 Pine.....	495
Pearlman, Mkt., 6248 California.....	350
Pearls Pharmacy, 1001 Page.....	1,300
Pearson Bros., 571 Arguello Blvd.....	200
Peck, J. F., 620 Market, No. 814.....	350
Peckham, Avis W., 111 Sutter, No. 1730.....	150
Peerless Moving, 424 Haight.....	50
Peetz, C. M., 830 Market, No. 621.....	27
Pels, P., 2659 Mission.....	425
Peninou, A., 3419 Sacramento.....	3,705
Penney, L. H., & Co., 235 Montgomery, No. 2018.....	350
Penny, J. C., Co., 100 Bush, No. 2611.....	350
Penny Profit Groc., 499 Douglass.....	330
Peoples Candy Fcty., 2639 Mission.....	600
Peoples Food Store, 3401 Sacramento.....	250
Peoples Dairy Co., 3770 Twenty-fourth St.....	2,125
Peoples Furn. Co., 833 Mission.....	1,500
People Store, The, 4026 Twenty-fourth St.....	210



Perea, Elias, 2391 Folsom.....	100
Perfection Gear Co., 476 Golden Gate Ave.....	939
Perfecto Cleaners, 2115 Taraval.....	55
Perini, P. L., 325 Wayland.....	370
Perkins, R., 1182 Market, No. 210.....	400
Perkins, R. G., 2461 Twenty-seventh Ave.....	310
Perrin, L. M., 72 Merced Ave.....	375
Perry, G. D., 2726 Balboa.....	175
Perry Publishing Co., 350 Battery.....	1,500
Persons Dwan & Co., 74 New Montgomery, No. 516.....	50
Petaluma Market, 1071 McAllister.....	1,000
Peter, J., 1206 Mason.....	45
Peters Co., 3180 Misson.....	120
Peters, A., Co., 1544 Pine.....	315
Peters, F. W., 150 Powell, No. 204.....	235
Peters, F. W., Jr., 220 Montgomery, No. 1032.....	150
Peters, H., 2140 Fillmore.....	175
Petersen, C. W., 870 Market, No. 344.....	200
Petersen, H., 315 Montgomery, No. 926.....	150
Peterson, N. H., 582 Market, No. 611.....	150
Petes Lunch, 220 Church.....	130
Petes Lunch, 301 Fourth St.....	255
Petrolia Royalties, 785 Market, No. 912.....	300
Petrucci, S., 306 Clement.....	80
Petrusich, S. C., 3045 Mission, No. 1.....	205
Petty, E. S., 132 Twenty-eighth Ave.....	1,600
Pettinger, E., 717 Bay.....	110
Peyser, J. M., 690 Market, No. 1103.....	100
Pforr, A., Fu., 11 Broderick, No. C.....	200
Pforr, A., Fu., 11 Broderick.....	175
Phelan, P. J., 1200 Fillmore.....	445
Phelan, P. J., 1200 Fillmore.....	150
Phelps, R., 2430 Broadway.....	600
Pherson, R. E., 98 Mason.....	170
Philbrook, M., 1102 Van Ness Ave., South.....	100
Phillips, D. D., 150 Post, No. 604.....	200
Phillips Dress Shop, 2340 Judah.....	300
Phillips, F., 2549 Clement.....	180
Phillips, F. M., 718 Bryant.....	900
Phillips Glass Corp., 1001 Bryant.....	500
Phillips, M., 375 Sutter, No. 4F.....	350
Philosophers Inn., 824 Ulloa.....	150
Phleger, H., 3858 Jackson.....	500
Phyllis Frocks, 2258 Chestnut.....	700
Piateau, J., 2421 Mission.....	50
Piazza, J., 301 Holloway.....	200
Piccetti, A., 575A Haight.....	150
Pierce Beauty Salon, 3244 Pierce.....	200
Pierce, Mildred, Mrs., 516 Geary.....	150
Pierce & Olds, 369 Pine, No. 303.....	150
Piercy, M. E., 3548 Twenty-third St.....	100
Pierucci, V., 2601 Sutter.....	220
Pin Lung Co., 878 Sacramento.....	100
Pine St. Auto Sales, 1660 Pine.....	250
Ping Yick, 784 Jackson.....	97
Pirates Cave, 972 Market.....	2,500
Pisani Printing & Pub., 15 Columbus Ave.....	1,500
Pisco Tavern, 978 Market.....	460
Pitchel, Mary, 362 Third St.....	150
Pittman, M., 1189 Market.....	50
Pitts, L. C., 2294 Seventeenth Ave.....	380
Plansky, S., 198 Noe.....	150
Plant, T., 3536 Jackson.....	615

Platt, J. M., 510 Battery, No. 216.....	150
Pleasanton Cleaners, 804 Sutter.....	155
Plumbers & Gas Fitt., 200 Guerrero.....	75
Poat, A. A., 369 Pine, No. 515.....	150
Podesta, A., 1641 Haight.....	180
Polk Clean. & Dye Wks., 1121 Polk.....	130
Polk St. Beauty Shop, 1713 Polk.....	265
Polter, W., 1859 Ellis.....	140
Pond, Samuel, 235 Montgomery, No. 1816.....	150
Popin, W., 956 De Haro.....	325
Popin, W., 898 Carolina.....	280
Poppy Market, 5172 Third St.....	150
Popular Cafeteria, 468 Brannan.....	175
Porcaros Winery, 2773 Folsom.....	940
Portal, E. A., 813 Market.....	535
Portal Coffee Shop, 17 West Portal.....	200
Porter, Joseph B., 431 Jessie.....	100
Porter, M., 240 Stockton, No. 502.....	107
Porter, W. R., Dr., 995 Market, No. 419.....	200
Porterfield, R., 2102 Market.....	90
Porto De Oro Cigars, 339 Commercial.....	150
Portola Build. Mater., 250 Bay Shore Blvd.....	500
Portola Nursery, 1420 Wayland.....	250
Post Hyde Garage, 890 Post .....	1,050
Potomac Grocery, 557 Waller.....	250
Potrero Cafe, 199 Potrero Ave.....	152
Potter, E. H., 621 Eighth Ave.....	450
Powco Products, 639 Hyde.....	150
Powder Box, The, 1940 Irving.....	250
Powell Cut Rate Drug, 3771 Mission.....	800
Powell, H. A., 935 Market, No. 606.....	25
Powell's Beauty Shop, 933 Market.....	400
Pratt, F., 964A Market, No. 23.....	150
Pratt Industries, 538 Polk.....	500
Prawolsky, P., 693 Mission, No. 704.....	100
Presidio Florist, 2189 Union.....	160
Preston, E., 424 Kearny.....	25
Price, Arthur B., 615 Laguna.....	100
Prichard, C., 2629 Thirty-fourth Ave.....	50
Prime, S. ., 582 Market, No. 906.....	1,700
Pringle Co., 486 California, No. 905.....	200
Printing Employees, 111 Ellis, No. 4F.....	175
Printing Plates, Inc., 7 Front, F141.....	300
Produce Packers Co., 510 Battery, No. 230.....	150
Pruett, H. J., 2936 Scott.....	300
Public Clean. & Dye, 1808 McAllister.....	125
Public Dance Hall Co., 604 Montgomery, No. 201.....	25
Punnett Parez. & Hut., 58 Sutter, No. 555.....	300
Purchase Exchange Corp., 681 Market, No. 230.....	450
Purdy, W. J., 7 Front, No. F154.....	175
Pyramid Furnace Co., 70 Otis.....	250
Pyramid Investment Co., 821 Market, No. 741.....	60
Quaker Shirt Corp., 26 O'Farrell, No. 600.....	150
Quality Delicatessen, 864 Cole St.....	315
Quality Inn Rest., 200 Drumm.....	115
Quality Lunch Count., 200A Fourth St.....	81
Quealy, J. A., 85 St. Elmo Way.....	1,000
Quen Lung, 720 Jackson.....	470
Quick Lunch Inn, 224 Market.....	195
Quilici, Loui, 2975 Mission.....	150
Quinby, W. J. F. U., 388 Oak.....	100
Quinbys Candy Store, 214 Powell.....	60



Quinlan, J. C., 5 Third St., No. 609.....	200
Quinn, E., 1182 Market, No. 225.....	70
Quong Fat Shrimp Co., 515 Fairfax Ave.....	55
Quong Lee Laundry, 1829 Filbert.....	280
Quong Wo Laundry, 19 Ritch.....	800
Rabinowitz, R., 384 Post, No. 901.....	225
Rachoff Hat Co., 731 Market, No. 416.....	650
Racik, H., 229 Stevenson.....	100
Radio Equipment Serv., 552 Polk.....	200
Rado, M., 759A Third.....	185
Rafael, H., 535 Jackson.....	140
Rahmer, F., 419 Tenth St.....	230
Rainbow Coffee Shop, 942 Clement.....	250
Ralph & Sons, 765 Jackson.....	300
Ralph Buffett, 2736 Twentieth St.....	250
Rambeau, W. G. & Co., 235 Montgomery, No. 608.....	200
Ramp Barber Shop, 497 Fourth St.....	100
Randall, M., 1 Turk.....	25
Rapid Adver. Co., 24 California, No. 313.....	50
Rapid Transit Assn., 988 Market, No. 709.....	50
Rapken Holding Co., 656 Forty-fourth Ave.....	190
Rassier, Louis T., 135 Stockton, No. 941.....	150
Ratto, J. M. Grain Co., 931 Bryant.....	100
Raud, W. G. & Co., 133 Kearny, No. 404.....	2827
Ravani, E., 760 Market, No. 1058.....	95
Rawling, A. W. Inc., Ltd., 907 Post.....	80
Rawson, J., 150 Belvedere.....	100
Ray, D., 207 Avila .....	300
Raymond, A. J. Inc., 712 Sansome, No. 1F.....	400
Re Nu Window Shade Co., 1067 O'Farrell.....	350
Reagh, C., 220 Montgomery, No. 810.....	150
Reah, Glanton, 760 Market, No. 822.....	150
Reardons Groceteria, 4051 Balboa.....	300
Rebitt, C. A., 135 De Soto.....	300
Rebollini, Mike, 718 Fourteenth St.....	250
Red Mill, The, 4316 Judah.....	152
Pedmen's Hall, 3053 Sixteenth St.....	125
Redmond, J. J., 369 Pine, No. 210.....	50
Reed, D., 703 Market, No. 514.....	30
Reed, E. C., 785 Market, No. 1604.....	125
Reeves, J. W., 1671 Twenty-fourth Ave.....	325
Regalia, F., 1774 Mission.....	600
Reilly, T., 109 Oak.....	200
Reios, A., 3158 Twenty-third St.....	150
Reising, G., 739 Larkin.....	155
Reliable Grocery, 249 Cortland Ave.....	750
Reliable Home Mkt., 525 Castro.....	610
Reliable Home Mkt., L. Q., 525 Castro.....	..
Reliable Jewelry Co., 113 O'Farrell.....	200
Reliable Renovatory, 131 West Portal Ave.....	130
Reliance Garage, 557 Fulton.....	205
Reliance Realty Co., 124 Jones.....	75
Reliance Realty Co., 369 Turk.....	75
Remodel Shop, 177 Post, No. 805.....	100
Reves, R., 408 Stockton, No. 703.....	30
Rex Flavor & Extract, 400 Jackson.....	450
Rex Novelty, 211 Natoma.....	300
Rex Vending Co., 759 Ellis.....	509
Reynolds, G., 16 Entrada Ct.....	355
Rhodes & Schaller, 719 Turk.....	200
Ric Wil. Co., 74 New Montgomery, No. 417.....	160
Richardson Transfer, 548 Seventh St.....	100

Richer Upholstering, 2340 Polk.....	140
Richmond, G. T., 580 Twelfth Ave.....	210
Richmond Garage, 4499 Geary Blvd.....	500
Richmond Carpenter Shop, 2416 Clement.....	100
Richmond Economy Mkt., 5909 California.....	250
Richmond Hall, 309 Fourth Ave.....	105
Richmond Sign Serv., 4128 Geary Blvd.....	25
Ricklee Furn. Studio, 971 Sutter.....	250
Ricklee Furn. Clinic, 651 Divisadero.....	315
Riera Fish Co., 1985 Mission.....	150
Rijhoff, Victor E., 323 Geary, No. 515.....	200
Ringole, G. C., 703 Market, No. 703.....	150
Rio Delmar Ctry. Club, 235 Montgomery, No. 1062.....	150
Riser Modes, 130 Sutter, No. 603.....	200
Ritchey, W. A., 164 Oak.....	230
Ritz Beauty Salon, 5145 Geary.....	250
Ritz Clean. & Tailor, 105 Fifth St.....	100
Ritz Tailor, 1677 Washington.....	25
Robbins, R., 1817 California.....	200
Roberts, D., 2121 Market.....	25
Roberts, D. F., Inc., 2200 Great Hwy.....	675
Roberts, L. L., 1437 Lincoln Wy.....	75
Roberts, L. V., 2361 Union.....	150
Roberts, T. R., 1807 Fulton.....	70
Robinett, T., 56 Belden.....	25
Roche, J. C. & Co., 315 Montgomery, No. 520.....	150
Roche, Jr., H. J., 831 Montgomery.....	104
Roche Printing Co., 604 Commercial.....	200
Rock Rock Co., 1 Stone.....	250
Rock Sand Gravel Pro., 564 Market, No. 412.....	250
Rodway, H., 177 Post, No. 439.....	50
Roehr, H. L., 133 Geary, No. 532.....	110
Roesling, R. J., 244 California, No. 500.....	250
Rogers, J. B., 110 Sutter, No. 914.....	100
Rogers Sales Co., 116 New Montgomery, No. 327.....	75
Rognon Service Sta., 2750 Market.....	665
Rolph Mills & Co., 116 New Montgomery, No. 915.....	50
Roma Cafe, 242 Columbus Ave.....	250
Roman Appliance &, 2890 Mission.....	400
Romano, Frank, 381 Bush, No. 402.....	150
Romanti, L., 79 Sutter.....	50
Romero, J., 1397 Revere Ave.....	490
Ronan, C., 4910 Third St.....	180
Roncovieri & Murray, 231 Sansome, No. 502.....	40
Ronnebery, T., 620 Market, No. 826.....	25
Roofing Service, 1228 Twentieth Ave.....	150
Roosevelt Beauty Shop, 295 Eddy.....	250
Roosevelt Theatre, 2793 Twenty-fourth St.....	3,925
Root, L. L., 57 Post, No. 405.....	75
Rosati, Ciro, 727 Filbert .....	150
Rose, A., 588 Natoma .....	40
Rose Marie Novelty, 320 Market, No. 226 .....	25
Rose Valley Liquor, 1413 Fillmore .....	300
Rose, W. F., 220 Montgomery, No. 608.....	450
Rose & Co., 69 West Portal .....	100
Rose & Co., 323 Geary, No. 202 .....	100
Roselli, A. A., 1641 Ellis .....	115
Rosenbaum, H., 1501 Ellis .....	85
Rosenshine, A., 1000 Mason, No. 803 .....	3,025
Roserie, The, Branch No. 2, 1600 Divisadero .....	250
Rose's Coffee Shop, 401 Grove .....	645
Ross, F. E., 699 Marina Blvd. ....	700
Rossi, Elsie, Beauty Salon, 2035 Irving .....	200



Rossi Drug Store, 4661 Mission .....	835
Rossi-Greene Co., 300 Broadway .....	900
Rossiter, A. M., 1000 Vallejo .....	800
Rosso, James, 65 First St. ....	200
Roth, H. M., 333 Montgomery, No. 1007 .....	200
Roth, Maier Co., 2800 Third St. ....	7,150
Roturbine Pump Co., 980 Harrison .....	375
Rousseau, O. M., 321 Kearny .....	100
Royal Cafe, 192 Sixth St. ....	200
Royal Cafe, 734 Broadway .....	200
Royal Chem. & Eng. Co., 57 Clementina .....	35
Royce's Sales Co., 617 Market, No. 321 .....	250
Roy's Barber Shop, 1797 Lombard .....	50
Royster, H. N., 440 Battery .....	435
Rubco Battery Service, 454 Linden .....	120
Rubenstein, David, 111 Sutter, No. 834 .....	200
Rube's Pet Shop, 5237 Geary Blvd. ....	200
Rucker, H. B., 4250 Mission .....	495
Rude, Arthur, & Co., 149 California, No. 221 .....	150
Ruegg Co., The, 369 Pine, No. 303 .....	25
Rummy House Lunch, 192 Third St. ....	285
Russ Bldg. Beauty Salon, 235 Montgomery, No. 1126 .....	255
Russell, L., 703 Market, No. 404 .....	150
Russell, N., 430 Judah St. ....	120
Russian River Imp., 544 Market, No. 1003 .....	100
Rust Craft Pub. Co., 760 Market, No. 1064 .....	400
Ryder, Jr., W. B., 2000 Van Ness Ave., No. 610 .....	150
S. F. Adjustment Bureau, 870 Market, No. 465 .....	100
S. F. Armature Co., 219 Seventh St. ....	250
S. F. Bail Bond Co., 21 Columbus Ave., No. 233 .....	100
S. F. Brass Foundry, 48 Clementina .....	1,500
S. F. Building & Const., 1310 Fulton .....	100
S. F. Firebrick & Furn., 1135 Mission .....	100
S. F. Grading Co., 6565 Third St. ....	3,500
S. F. Photo Engrav. Un., 320 Market, No. 213 .....	125
S. F. Racing & Breed., 465 California, No. 634 .....	100
S. F. Slipper Dye Shop, 212 Stockton, No. 317.....	30
S. F. Soc. for Psy. Re., 609 Sutter, No. 305 .....	200
S. F. Wholesale Automobile, 1545 Pine .....	500
S. & H. Mfg. Co., 375 Sutter, No. 500 .....	350
S. & H. Smoke Shop, 64 Second St. ....	80
S. & S. Stamp Co., 130 Geary, Third Floor.....	475
S. & T. Photo Shop, 562 Market .....	130
Sabini, R. J., 580 Green .....	400
Sack's Hand Laundry, 447 Ellis .....	150
Sales, A., 2308 Union .....	150
Sales Associates, 46 Kearny, No. 417 .....	25
Sally Stanford Studio, 450 Geary, No. 100 .....	200
Sally's, 3232 Scott .....	200
Sals Shoe Repairing, 516 Columbus Ave.....	75
Salvage Purchasing, 748 Alabama .....	485
Sam Lee, 717 Clay .....	100
Samaritan Treatment, 1153 Bush .....	350
Samaniego, A. V., 870 Market, No. 870 .....	200
Sammon, Maurice & W. F., 36 Embarcadero .....	170
Sample Furniture Co., 1260 Market .....	750
Sampson, J., 198 Fourth St. ....	130
Sam's Shoe Repairing, 5674 Mission .....	200
Sam's Shoe Shop, 3420 Twenty-second St. ....	100
Samson Press, 265 Minna .....	170
Samuels, L., 2456 Mission .....	1,000
Samuels, L. T., Co., 220 Montgomery, No. 400 .....	250
Sancarlos Tamale, 626 Divisadero .....	285

Sanchez Inn, 10 Sanchez .....	160
Sanders, G. G., 220 Montgomery, No. 793 .....	350
Sanders Printing Co., 717 Market, No. 206 .....	150
Sandini Bros., 577 Arguello Blvd. ....	250
Sandy Radio Service, 4644 Geary .....	55
Sanford, H. G., 582 Market, No. 1306 .....	150
Sanford Floral Shop, 235 Montgomery .....	60
Sanford & Green, 53 Stevenson .....	50
Sanitary Coffee Shop, 1401 Divisadero .....	195
San Jose Cement Co., 593 Market, No. 605 .....	150
Sano, J. Y., 1761 Sutter .....	365
Santa Fe Press Bureau, 582 Market, No. 905 .....	100
Sarian, Oksen, 556A Kearny .....	100
Sarocka, J. M., 503 Market, No. 503 .....	150
Sarthou, J. P., 816 Union .....	80
Sato Canary Shop, 1768A Sutter .....	30
Saunders, C. E., 235 Montgomery, No. 1904 .....	125
Saunders, C. H., 1271A McAllister .....	46
Sausalito Land & Fer., 604 Mission, No. 1001 .....	40
Save Way Cleaners, 783 Haight .....	100
Sawyer, F. W., 1618 Eighteenth Ave. ....	145
Saxe, J. P., 901 Noe .....	510
Sayer, G., 2720 Twenty-fourth St. ....	330
Scafine, T., 1814 Polk .....	180
Scales, H. H., 758 Twenty-third Ave. ....	310
Scales, H. S., 7 Front, No. F432 .....	150
Scanlon, Lloyd, 216 Pine, No. 314 .....	100
Schacherl Bros., 1246 Golden Gate .....	580
Schaffer, A. D., 1 Montgomery, No. 1125 .....	400
Schafer's Mill, 732 Bryant .....	145
Schapp, A., 582 Market, No. 510 .....	100
Schary, E., 235 Montgomery, No. 344 .....	100
Schell, H. A., 225 Capistrano Ave. ....	475
Scheu, R. E., 870 Market, No. 450 .....	100
Scheufele, C., 2709 Twenty-second St. ....	230
Schigris, J., 1676 Bryant .....	200
Schiller & Sons, 921 Fillmore .....	250
Schindler, S., 815 Valencia .....	300
Schlesinger, J., 22 Presidio Terrace .....	250
Schlüssel, J., 235 Montgomery, No. 901 .....	200
Schmidt, W., 1481 Harirson .....	355
Schmidt, W., 576 Hayes .....	330
Schmiedell & Co., 104 Clay .....	250
Schneider, C., 408 Stockton, No. 701 .....	150
Schneider, H., 2725 Lombard .....	30
Scholten, H. F. J., 1803 Market .....	50
Scholtz, A., 732 Brannan .....	50
School Inv. Hose Mend., 883 Market, No. 206 .....	50
Schor Mfg. Co., 615 Washington .....	500
Schord, W. E., 5 Third St., No. 1024 .....	30
Schou Herb Auto Rpr., 1536 Pacific Ave. ....	100
Schreiber, C., 171 Collingwood .....	50
Schuetz Const. Co., 625 Market, No. 910 .....	250
Schuhmann, P., 559 Hayes .....	405
Schwartz, H., 1211 Jackson .....	117
Schwerin, R. L. & Co., 110 Market, No. 510 .....	150
Scientific Health Prod., 532 Geary, No. 2 .....	160
Scott, A. W., 690 Market, No. 320 .....	350
Scott, A. & Co., Inc., 510 Battery, No. 208 .....	445
Scott, D. A. & Co., 220 Montgomery, No. 627 .....	200
Scott, E. S., 10 Embarcadero, No. 3 .....	100
Scott St. French Laundry, 240 Scott .....	250
Scott, V. E., 291 Geary, No. 607 .....	100



Scott, W. A., 277 Ninth St.....	100
Scotty's Quick Lunch, 1572 California.....	70
Screen Adettes, Inc., 25 Taylor, No. 716.....	150
Screen Art Studio, 149 Hyde.....	150
Scudder, L. & Co., 369 Pine, No. 303.....	150
Scully Service Station, 4001 Mission.....	765
Sea Cliff Pharmacy, 2100 Balboa.....	535
Sea Foam Restaurant, 4522 Irving.....	50
Seal Rock Fur Shop, 147 Mason.....	175
Seaside Fountain, 2244 Taraval.....	250
Seawell, I. S., 1331 Twelfth Ave.....	90
Sehoney Distilling, 429 Ninth St.....	500
Seiffert, J. T., 466 Geary, No. 504.....	75
Seipel Irn. Iron Works, 1079 Folsom.....	2,500
Seit, Charles, 693 Mission, No. 507.....	200
Self Help Market, 641 Irving.....	250
Seligman, S. M., 1301 Plymouth Ave.....	140
Seligman, S. M., 557 Elizabeth.....	140
Sell Fast Display Co., 1441 Van Ness Ave.....	150
Sellon, Edward L., 905 Mission, No. 286.....	100
Selmi, D., 1525 Ocean Ave.....	95
Senate Buffet, 471 Turk.....	155
Sequoia Importing Co., 564 Market.....	685
Sequoia Mfg. Co., 420 Sutter, No. 203.....	250
Serkins, M. A., 1109 Market, No. 204.....	150
Serpa, A. F., 1649 Divisadero.....	220
Serv U Grocery, 101 Sickles Ave.....	425
Serveau, E., 2142 Fillmore.....	335
Service Upholstering, 1666 Market.....	60
Service Stationery, 709 Mission, No. 404.....	500
Setzer, A., 220 Montgomery, No. 1071.....	150
Seventy-nine Club, 79 Fourth St.....	400
Sevilla Club, 1215 Stockton.....	200
Shafer, E. W., 87 Farnsworth Lane.....	340
Shafran, J., 266 Thirty-second Ave.....	300
Shanghai Herb Co., 3307 Mission.....	135
Shanty's Tavern, 132 Turk.....	415
Shapiro, S. & Co., 130 Sutter, 6th Floor.....	500
Sharff, F., 574 Haight.....	50
Sharp, J. C., 6645 California.....	500
Shaver, M. C., 155 Sansome, No. 811.....	150
Shaw, Glover & Co., 235 Montgomery, No. 941.....	150
Shea, C. A., 637 Market, No. 7093.....	125
Shearer, H. A., 1095 Market, No. 214.....	50
Shelly, H., 229 Natoma.....	80
Shephard, 228 Seventeenth Ave.....	100
Sheridan, R. B. F., 802 Montgomery, No. 2.....	50
Sherman's Furn. Ref., 508 Natoma.....	140
Sherwood, E. W., 870 Market, No. 1005.....	175
Shields, C. A., 760 Market, No. 831.....	200
Shienberg, C., 1335 Fillmore.....	750
Shimoff, L. M., 220 Montgomery, No. 305.....	150
Shipper & Bernard, 220 Montgomery, No. 405.....	150
Shippers' Car Line Corp., 73 Main.....	150
Shoe & Textile Clerks' Union, 1095 Market, No. 417.....	100
Shokin, C. T., 450 Sutter, No. 1808.....	200
Shoko Co., 257 Alabama.....	600
Shop Rite Meats, 1538 Haight.....	100
Shortridge, S., 333 Montgomery, No. 410.....	300
Shoster, Goldie, 7 Front, No. F111.....	250
Siegel, A. A., 1008 Buchanan.....	250
Siegel, Karl, 628 Montgomery, No. 318.....	95
Siegrist, F. R., 604 Mission, No. 908.....	250

Sieroty, B., 234 Arguello Blvd.....	415
Sierra Ins. Agency, 988 Market, No. 615.....	150
Sietel, C., 3615 Mission.....	100
Sign Painting Shop, 3370 Nineteenth St.....	250
Signal Tavern, The, 3312 Twenty-sixth St.....	140
Silhouette Cleaners, 1034 Market.....	285
Silk Hat Harry, 2092 Divisadero.....	500
Silva's Barber Shop, 1821 Irving.....	150
Silver, J., 330 Market.....	165
Silver Sandwich Shop, 955 Larkin.....	130
Silverfield, S., 238 Twenty-ninth Ave.....	320
Simkins, William A., 220 Bush, No. 1802.....	150
Simons-Hustead Lab., 533 Post, No. 4.....	220
Simonsen, C., 1333 Tenth Ave.....	75
Simpson Display Craf., 220 Fifth St.....	653
Sinclair, Irving, 40 Montgomery.....	100
Sinclair, J. A., 2355 Montgomery, No. 1301.....	200
Sing Lee, 761 Commercial.....	25
Sing Lee Laundry, 1104 McAllister.....	875
Sinu-Zone Co., 690 Market, No. 409.....	500
Sinton & Brown, 74 New Montgomery, No. 515.....	150
Sixteenth St. Florist, 3179 Sixteenth St.....	90
Sixth St. Radio Exchange, 243 Sixth St.....	250
Skiatis, George, 343A Third St.....	50
Sklare, J. B., 335 Powell, No. 765.....	550
Slater, A. B., 964A Market, No. 15A.....	350
Slavin, J. M., 117 Eddy.....	130
Sloppy Joe's, 150 Ellis.....	430
Small, W. S., 329 Castenada Ave.....	400
Smallback, M., 4072 Twenty-fourth St.....	50
Smart Studio, 239 Geary, 4th Floor.....	660
Smiley, 580 Market, No. 302.....	150
Smith, B., 3151 Harrison.....	150
Smith, Bess, 3382 Clay.....	480
Smith, E., 184 Third St.....	50
Smith, E. B., 244 California, No. 712.....	25
Smith, Elsie, 166 Geary, No. 52.....	250
Smith, F. B., 58 Sutter, No. 341.....	75
Smith, F. D., 230 Cervantes Blvd.....	305
Smith, F. M., 692 Stanyan.....	145
Smith Garage, 1629 Pine.....	95
Smith, Grant, 220 Montgomery, No. 693.....	250
Smith, H., 309 Lake.....	500
Smith, H., 1130 Folsom.....	85
Smith, H. L., 785 Market, No. 915.....	50
Smith, J. H., 701 Marina Blvd.....	345
Smith, L. J., 3924 Sacramento.....	320
Smith, L. L., 399 Franklin.....	125
Smith, Lester, 484 Castro.....	100
Smith, M. J. Realty Co., 2104 Market.....	115
Smith, M. M., 1171 Market, No. 312.....	35
Smith, R. L., 74 New Montgomery, No. 414.....	100
Smith, Rae, 760 Market, No. 807.....	250
Smith, Rae, 111 Sutter, No. 1429.....	250
Smith Rice Co., Pier 14.....	50
Smith, Roberta, 712 Montgomery, No. 4.....	150
Smith's, Macia, Beauty Parlor, 2295 Chestnut, No. 3.....	200
Smoke Shop, 3327 Twenty-third St.....	100
Smoke Shop, 294 Turk.....	150
Smokerie, The, 2146 Chestnut.....	150
Smoke Shop, 5729 Geary Blvd.....	160
Smyth's Sporting Goods, 2221 Lombard.....	500
Smythe, A. L., 85 Second St., 1st Floor.....	100



Snow, J., Dyeing & Cleaning.....	1,595
Snow Remov. & Equip. Co., 757 Bryant.....	150
Snyder, M., 154 Sutter, No. 209.....	50
Socialista Party of California, 236 Van Ness Ave.....	50
Solar Lighting Fixtures, 760 Market, No. 249.....	250
Solberg, G., 838 Grant Ave., No. 305.....	110
Sommer, I. M., 709 Mission, 10th Floor.....	100
Son Loy Laundry, 315 Broderick.....	1,100
Sonney's Intern Roads, 177 Golden Gate Ave.....	200
Sonoma Express, Pier 5.....	150
Sontheimer, M., 36 Farnsworth.....	310
Soon Wo Laundry, 318 Divisadero.....	1,500
Sooy, J. W., 450 Sutter, No. 2438.....	260
Soper, C. L., 681 Market, No. 283.....	50
Sorelle, L. E., 5100 Mission.....	105
Sorelle, L. R., 291 Thirtieth St.....	105
Sorger, Cohn & Weiss, 140 Geary.....	200
Soules, G. H., 450 Sutter, No. 2032.....	200
Southern Kitchen, 1687 Geary.....	150
Southern Promotion, 461 Market, No. 420.....	50
Sowik, C., 1327 Twelfth Ave.....	25
Spalding, W. H., 143 Yerba Buena St.....	100
Spanish Fonda, 358 Sutter.....	150
Spaulding, J. & Co., 357 Tehama.....	230
Spear & Danielson, 544 Market, No. 504.....	500
Specialty Cleaning & Dyeing, 2211 Clement.....	150
Spector, S. N., 1727 Beach.....	475
Speed & Manufacturing Co., 646 Jessie.....	335
Speers, William A., 3004 Sixteenth St., No. 302.....	150
Spencer Electric Co., 570 Natoma.....	250
Spicer, M. V., 220 Montgomery, No. 1011.....	100
Spiller, Robert J., 3488 Mission.....	250
Spin-O Sales Service, 510 Larkin.....	150
Spiros, F., 578 California, No. 578.....	61
Spivock, William, 544 Golden Gate Ave.....	150
Spohn & Co., Ltd., 49 Main.....	3,420
Sport Club, The, 72 Ellis.....	255
Sprague, E. F., 354 Pine, No. 606.....	100
Spreckels Cake & Liquor, 753 Market.....	100
Spreckels Fruits & Vegetables, 753 Market.....	475
Spreckels Groceteria, 753 Market.....	345
Springer Co., S., 12 Geary, No. 608.....	60
Spudette Co., 481 Tehama.....	750
St. Charles Barber Shop, 699 South Van Ness Ave.....	75
St. Clair, O., 311 California, No. 500.....	150
St. Cosmas Guild, 408 Columbus Ave., No. 4.....	20
St. Francis Auto Sale, 1233 Van Ness Ave.....	200
St. Francis Cleaners, 648 Hyde.....	150
St. Francis Ice Co., 1300 Bryant.....	10,000
St. Francis Market, 63 West Portal Ave.....	150
St. Mary's Cleaners, 107 Crescent Ave.....	70
St. Paul's Bakery, 1550 Church.....	190
St. Theresa's Cleaners, 1246 Eighteenth St.....	60
Stacks, E. F., 1888 Mission.....	500
Stafford, W. C., 1380 Valencia.....	25
Stahl, E., 3053 Sixteenth St.....	95
Standard Beverages, 537 Gough.....	455
Standard Coffee Co., 1805 Ocean Ave.....	150
Standard Concrete Co., 3025 Geary Blvd.....	200
Standard Display Co., 143 Second St., No. 206.....	500
Standard Glass Co., 32 Julian Ave.....	255
Standard Heating Co., 1243 Ninth Ave.....	250
Standard Neon Mfg., 1189 Turk.....	500

Standard Specialty Co., 310 Sacramento.....	250
Standard Specialty Co., 2183 Bryant.....	500
Standard Typewriter Co., 711 Buchanan.....	150
Standing, James R., 711 Geary.....	100
Standish, H., 438 Valencia.....	45
Stanford Cafe, 375 Bush.....	390
Stanton, A., 1421 Broderick.....	200
Star Groceteria, 1800 Polk.....	800
Star Grocery, 441 Kearny.....	500
Star Laundry, 1068 Stockton.....	310
Star Lunch, 285 O'Farrell.....	150
Star Ornamental Iron, 227 Bay.....	1,500
Star Radio & Sd. Lab., 3691 Mission.....	500
Stark Co., J., 704 Market, No. 801.....	150
Starks & Ford, 1495 Harrison.....	250
Starr, C. F., 540 Laguna.....	75
State Creditors' Assn., 465 California, No. 633.....	150
State Manufacturing, 55 Rausch St.....	195
Stanger Mfg. Co., 3151 Eighteenth St.....	250
Steele, F. H., 2401 Jackson, No. 5.....	320
Stefani, F., 2160 Mission.....	108
Steffen, A., 2026 Mission.....	300
Steiger, G. J., 198 Judah St.....	280
Stein, L., 992A Pine.....	35
Stein-Hall Mfg. Co., 405 Montgomery, No. 718.....	500
Stein Mfg. Co., 355 Ninth St.....	900
Steinberger, C. A., 693 Mission, No. 710.....	150
Steiner, D. B., 580 Market, No. 305.....	100
Steinkellner, P. & Co., 459 Clementina.....	500
Steiss, C. F., 2000 Van Ness Ave., No. 604.....	150
Steno Service Bureau, 785 Market, No. 1308.....	155
Stenotype Report Co., 110 Sutter, No. 814.....	100
Sterling, Dave, 1601 Octavia.....	75
Sterling Electric Mt., 116 New Montgomery, No. 729.....	150
Sterling Garage, 3355 Twentieth St.....	108
Sternberg, D., 200 Davis, No. 210.....	750
Stetson-Renner Draying, 320 Battery.....	125
Stevens, M., 220 Montgomery, No. 320.....	150
Stevenson Co., 3301 Third St.....	148
Stevenson Inn, 227 Stevenson.....	75
Stewart, J. G., 332 Pine, No. 411.....	150
Stewart, J. V., 68 Post, No. 515.....	150
Stirling Construction Co., 1732 Clement.....	350
Stith, M., 1716A Polk.....	200
Stockholm, C. & Son, 235 Montgomery, No. 425.....	375
Stokes, George, 202 Ellis.....	150
Stone, D. D., 381 Bush, No. 302.....	275
Storm, Patricia, 435 Powell, No. 4.....	100
Stout, T., 1175 Market, No. 11.....	100
Stovel, L. J., 1224 Twentieth Ave.....	25
Stover Mfg. & Eng. Co., 538 Polk.....	2,000
Stras Cafe, 158 Taylor.....	113
Strohecker, A., 1252 Forty-seventh Ave.....	40
Struthers, M. T., 4250 Mission.....	35
Stuart, Theo. M., 235 Montgomery, No. 950.....	150
Stubo, H. M., 212 Stockton, No. 300.....	350
Style Rite Cleaners, 4126 Eighteenth St.....	80
Suey Hai Lung, 742 Washington.....	250
Suey On Tong, 745 Grant Ave.....	305
Sugarman Laboratory, 450 Sutter, No. 1439.....	750
Suhna, C., 3116 Twenty-third St.....	95
Sullivan, B., Furniture, 3518 Sixteenth St.....	100
Sullivan, G. X., 1902 Ocean Ave.....	600



Sullivan, H. F., 785 Market, No. 717.....	400
Sullivan, J. A., 785 Market, No. 717.....	75
Sullivan, M. L., 490 Post, No. 214.....	220
Sullivan, N., 2323 Hyde.....	575
Sullivan, R., 460 Thirty-ninth Ave.....	75
Sullivan, S., 486 California, No. 1202.....	25
Sum Wo Laundry, 1305 Sutter.....	40
Sumi, Y., 893 Golden Gate Ave.....	300
Sun, C., 773 Jackson.....	160
Sunderland, D., 80 West Clay.....	325
Sun Dried Fruit Co., 1179 Sutter.....	150
Sunny Tavern, 558 Monterey Blvd.....	130
Sunrise Cleaners, 425 Divisadero.....	115
Sunset Bakery, 1368 Irving.....	360
Sunset Battery Co., 175 Ninth St.....	210
Sunset Coal Yard, 1127 Irving.....	175
Sunset Commun. Imp. Cl., 1355 Twenty-fourth Ave.....	25
Sunset McKee Sales, 417 Market, No. 202.....	1,020
Sunset Sales & Service, 1850 Mission.....	100
Sunset Upholstering Co., 1511 California.....	300
Sunset Window Shade, 4355 California.....	210
Sunshine Creamery, 2425 Mission.....	250
Sunnyland Frock Co., 341 Market, 4th Floor.....	600
Super Cold Sales Co., 779 Mission.....	3,500
Superior Auto Repair, 945 Post.....	25
Superior Carpet Co., 62 Chesley.....	500
Superior Food Store, 2799 California.....	1,000
Superior Oil Sales, 501 Bayshore.....	500
Superior Tailors, 1005 Market, No. 411.....	100
Supertone Co., 841 Golden Gate Ave.....	150
Supreme Pyramid Sciots, 760 Market, No. 854.....	100
Surr, V., 486 California, No. 1022.....	125
Sushi, Gen, Resturant, 1670 Post.....	115
Suslow, B., 301 Broderick.....	370
Sutro, O., 2100 Pacific Ave., No. 19.....	300
Sutsos, P., 9 Golden Gate Ave.....	65
Sutter Beauty Salon, 816 Sutter.....	275
Svenson, F., 1602 Sacramento.....	250
Swanson's Studio, 1756 Haight.....	250
Swedberg & Hodges, 379 Third St.....	100
Sweet, F. B., 3309A Mission.....	45
Swenson, L. V. C., 149 New Montgomery, No. 608.....	900
Swett, W. G., 177 Post, No. 701.....	190
Sykes, F. C., 2076 Grove.....	375
Sykes, J., 486 California, No. 407.....	100
Symmes, Leslie, 351 California, No. 806.....	150
Sze Lee Wo & Co., 904 Grant Ave.....	600
Tabacco & Lutz, 7 Tracy Place.....	140
Taddeucci, A. F., 582 Market, No. 907.....	60
Tai Bark Inn, 732 Jackson.....	250
Tai Canton, 852 Clay.....	60
Tai Wah & Co., 840 Grant Ave.....	150
Taiyo Market, 1529A Geary.....	60
Talmadge, A. H., 200 Santa Paula Ave.....	600
Tamale Shop, 1279 Ninth Ave.....	200
Tammaro, V., 4338 Mission.....	100
Tani, M., 1613 Laguna.....	75
Taormina, L., 3776A Mission.....	150
Taraval Curtain & Drapery Co., 49 West Portal.....	310
Tavern Bon Gout, 799 Market.....	330
Tavern Circulating Library, 322 California.....	72
Tavern Library, 717 Monterey.....	150

Tavern Owners' Assn., 486 California, No. 622.....	125
Tavern, The, 701A Divisadero.....	120
Tavette, The, 164 Eighth St.....	300
Taylor, J. B., 111 Sutter, No. 629.....	100
Taylor, J. J., 1318 Polk, M.....	475
Taylor, R., 703 Market, No. 1809.....	25
Taylor, T. T., 2450 Thirty-fourth Ave.....	791
Tchavis, L., 3260 Twenty-fourth St.....	145
Techna Corp., 926 Howard.....	1,000
Teely, James L., 625 Market, No. 1217.....	100
Teitsel, G. G., 310 Kearny.....	100
Teleflash, 220 Montgomery, No. 1053.....	150
Telegraph Hill Neigh., 1736 Stockton.....	25
Teleguin, M., 878 Rhode Island.....	185
Telephone Answer Co., 210 Post, No. 915.....	60
Teller, C. W., 625 Market, No. 1402.....	150
Terrace Garden Shop, 14 Tillman Place.....	150
Terranova, C., 398 Eighth St.....	25
Textile Weaving Co., 760 Market, No. 748.....	50
Thacher, T. A., 3837 Clay.....	1,200
Thackaberry, M. N., 133 Eighth St.....	200
Theatrical Herald, 318 Turk.....	50
Theatrical Production Co., 283 Golden Gate Ave.....	570
Thebo, Starr & Ander, 55 New Montgomery, No. 522.....	125
Thelma's Beauty Shop, 581 Eddy.....	150
Theobald, A., 232 Powell.....	500
Thiemann & Johnston, 1001 Third St.....	25
Thierry, C., 2425D Mission.....	350
Third Street Tailor, 354A Third St.....	150
Thomas, B., 850 McAllister.....	100
Thomas, E. J., 564 Market, No. 220.....	200
Thomas, H. A., 70 Palm Ave.....	350
Thomas, R. D., 666 Post, No. 1448.....	300
Thomas, Russell, 114 Sansome, No. 335.....	100
Thomas Publishing Co., 465 California, No. 1032.....	100
Thompson Alch., Inc., 130 Sutter, No. 205.....	500
Thompson, F., 260 California, 2nd Floor.....	100
Thomson, L. P., 833 Market, No. 322.....	100
Thomson, Thomas F., 821 Market, No. 219.....	100
Thoreson Candy & Cigars, 4109 California.....	55
Thornley, E. L., 1101 Bay.....	150
Three-Sixty-Five Cl., 365 Market, 3rd Floor.....	575
Thrift Store, 1315 Fillmore.....	75
Tiedman Appliance Shop, 1105 Mission.....	200
Tiffany Bakery, 835 Geary.....	100
Tilden, Jacob Co., Inc., 444 Market, No. 404.....	25
Timms, Marie, Beauty Salon, 133 Geary, No. 618.....	300
Tip Top Cleaners, 1418 Stockton.....	90
Tip Top Shoe Repair, 1418 Stockton.....	185
Tissier, J. J., 544 Market, No. 602.....	50
Tivoli, 1741 O'Farrell.....	720
Tobias, Israel, 723 Howard.....	150
Tobin, E. J., 220 Bush, No. 1509.....	100
Tobin, W., 1014 Potrero Ave.....	125
Toepke, Will H., 74 New Montgomery, No. 537.....	100
Tofanelli, V., 894 Broadway.....	340
Tognazzini, R. E., 605 Market, No. 800.....	100
Toledo, H. E., 235 Gough.....	85
Tom's Restaurant, 723 Howard.....	75
Toner, E., 1036A Cole.....	100
Tonys Restaurant, 638 Broadway.....	360
Top Row Cafe, 3041 Geary Blvd.....	300



Topp, E. Capt., 83 McAllister.....	100
Toriggino, A., 220 Montgomery.....	150
Torrano, C., 558 California.....	78
Torregano, E. J., 220 Montgomery, No. 805.....	875
Torregano & Stark, 220 Montgomery, No. 805.....	950
Torrens, J. S., 2215 Beach.....	300
Tortola Cafe, 1247 Polk.....	550
Toschi, E., 673 Union.....	150
Toschi, F., 3211 Fillmore.....	395
Totoro, Philip, 156 Ellis.....	50
Tousey, F. R., 625 Market, No. 607.....	200
Tower Cafe, 1871 Geary.....	230
Townsend Club No. 3, 1377 Haight.....	50
Townsend Club No. 45, 3135 Twenty-second St.....	50
Toyo Printing Co., 1631A Post.....	1,500
Tracy, J. E., 838 Ellis.....	200
Traffic Service Bureau, 24 California, No. 520.....	45
Trafford Suction Co., 444 Market, No. 107.....	250
Tragardh, F. C., 693 Sutter, No. 206.....	25
Traner, W. J., 2056 Chestnut.....	100
Transfer Sweet Shop, 1611 McAllister.....	242
Trau, Eddie, 955 Post.....	100
Trautman, L. C., 250 Moncada Way.....	305
Travel Inn, 2007 Chestnut.....	400
Traverso, A., 546 Columbus Ave.....	1,000
Trayner, G. B., 235 Montgomery, No. 1661.....	100
Trevor, G. A., 1278 California.....	100
Tribble, C., 2447 Thirty-fifth Ave.....	150
Trimble, S. G., 279 Sixth Ave.....	350
Triple A Specialty Co., 341 Tenth St.....	75
Triplet's Tavern, 1723 Polk.....	240
Trondle, W., 133 Geary, No. 634.....	110
Tropical Fruit Co., 7 Front, No. F119.....	100
Tropical Oil Prod. Co., 210 Post, No. 911.....	350
Trost, R., 3300 Army.....	855
Truman, J. E., 220 Montgomery, No. 490.....	100
Tryon, A. I., 2200 Vallejo.....	375
Try Our Radio Service, 1248 Ninth Ave.....	100
Tully, J. W., 7 Presidio Ave.....	500
Tuohy, J. A., 1319 Steiner.....	25
Turner, J. T., 2626 Vallejo.....	1,300
Tux Laboratories, 422 Tehama.....	150
Tuxedo Buffet, 23 California.....	785
Tway, J. H. & Sons, 318 Brannan.....	210
Twelve-Eleven Cafe, 1211 Van Ness Ave.....	260
1204 Pacific Ave. Tailor, 1204 Pacific Ave.....	150
Twentieth Century, 81 Sixth St.....	25
Twenty-fourth Book Nook, 2859 Twenty-fourth St.....	100
Twin Peaks Grocery, 4396 Twenty-fourth St.....	300
Twin Peaks Restaurant, 1302 Castro.....	200
Twohy, J. A., 218 Valencia.....	85
Tyler, N. K., 281 Magellan.....	700
Tynan, J. J., 34 Shore View Ave.....	615
Ucovich, M., 276 Ninth St.....	400
Ungar's Coat Shop, 583 Market, 6th Floor.....	200
Union Bakery, 2698 Mission.....	300
Union Barber Shop, 79 Turk.....	120
Union Garage, 1550 Union.....	445
Union Liquor Store, 708 Howard.....	350
Union Liquor Store, 124 Third St.....	350
Union Manufacturing Co., 2126 Folsom.....	300
Union Piano Co., 49 Taylor.....	700

Union Supply Co., 781 Brannan.....	150
Unique Flower Shop, 573 O'Farrell.....	200
United Auto Top Co., 1156 Geary.....	300
United Cab Co., 1005 Kearny.....	30
United Dental X-Ray, 291 Geary, No. 608.....	280
United Electric Construction, 544 Golden Gate Ave.....	100
United Floral Co., 338 Grant Ave.....	115
United Glass Co., 1156 Geary.....	350
United Land Co., 111 Sutter, No. 1028.....	100
United Licensed Office, 112 Market, No. 214.....	100
United News Co., 16 Geary, No. 10.....	100
United Pattern Works, 283 Fremont.....	260
United Poultry Co., 2075 Chestnut.....	500
United Poultry Co., 2075 Chestnut.....	175
United Press Assn., 814 Mission, No. 405.....	400
United Pure Fruit Juice, 130 Steiner.....	100
United Rooms, 225 Kearny.....	150
United Royalties, Ltd., 550 Montgomery, No. 901.....	150
United Sanitary Sale, 420 Market, No. M305.....	100
U. S. Cafe, 188 Fourth St.....	175
U. S. Clothes Pin Co., 538 Howard.....	457
U. S. Veteran's Auto Repair, 650 Polk.....	50
Universal Laundry, 758 Stockton.....	25
Universal Transfer, 309 Turk.....	100
University Sandwich Shop, 2235 Fillmore.....	85
Unsworth, A., 265 Eddy.....	150
Up-to-Date Lunch, 532 Valencia.....	140
Used Car Market, 3715 Geary.....	250
Valencia Garage, 915 Valencia.....	500
Valencia Motors, 701 Valencia.....	200
Valencia Tavern, 1284 Valencia.....	242
Valente's Club Buffet, 709 Larkin.....	200
Valentine Tailoring Co., 690 Market, No. 123.....	35
Valento, P., 2830 Mission.....	100
Vallejo Photo Studio, 454 South Van Ness Ave.....	100
Van Bergen, G., 177 Post, No. 500.....	200
Van De Borden, H., 3026 Twenty-fourth St.....	25
Vanderburgh, W. W., 166 Geary, No. 97.....	300
Vandersal, M., 1198 O'Farrell.....	200
Van Deusen, Inc., 276 Shipley.....	10,000
Van Houten, R. C., 111 Sutter, No. 836.....	100
Vanity Beauty Shop, 133 Geary, No. 526.....	210
Vanity Fair Beauty Shop, 1387 California.....	232
Varsi, J. Co., 5549 Geary Blvd.....	400
Vaughn, E., 799 Mission.....	25
Venetian Art Repair, 545 Sutter, No. 402.....	125
Venezia Cafe, 5731 Mission.....	100
Venice Liquor House, 2734 Taylor.....	200
Verdi Barber Shop, 2623 San Bruno Ave.....	115
Verrett, Louis, 426 Pacific.....	150
Vertner Realty Co., 2600 Nineteenth Ave.....	25
Very Best Cleaners, 309 Clement.....	200
Veterans' Inn, 189 O'Farrell.....	470
Victor Tru Hold Co., 228 Ellis.....	200
Vincent & Co., Inc., 444 Market, No. 312.....	400
Violette, Inc., 278 Post, No. 402.....	4,680
Virden, August W., 220 Bush, No. 1708.....	100
Vitaline Photo Studio, 271 Columbus Ave.....	126
Vivaldo, C., Bay and Laguna.....	215
Vizzard, J. F., 444 Market, No. 309.....	150
Vlautin, P. Paul, Jr., 111 Sutter, No. 834.....	150
Vogt, Alfred, Furniture, 244 11th St.....	100
Vogue Beauty Salon, 44 West Portal Ave.....	315



Vogue Dress Co., 942 Market, No. 212.....	500
Von Becker, J., 546 Forty-fourth Ave.....	450
Von Meder, Foundation, 68 Post, No. 404.....	300
W. C. Advertising Co., 1424 Divisedaro.....	296
W. C. Affiliated Buyers, 1370 Mission.....	400
W. C. Finn Co., 68 Post, No. 726.....	65
W. C. Fruit & Produce, 225 Washington.....	350
W. C. Rug Cleaning Co., 980 Bryant.....	600
W. C. Vintners, 607 Sansome.....	575
Wagner, M., 2015 Chestnut.....	290
Wahrhaftig, J., 235 Montgomery, No. 1223.....	100
Wakefield, C., 3120 Seventeenth St.....	500
Wakefield, C. P., 3100 Seventeenth St.....	160
Wakeford, E. G., 225 Powell, No. L.....	185
Waldorf Restaurant, 376 Third St.....	145
Walker, G. W., 948 Market, No. 803.....	200
Walker's Radio Service, 228 Valencia.....	85
Wall, W. H., 550 Montgomery, No. 405.....	100
Walsh, P. J., 317 Lincoln Way.....	150
Walsh, W. A., 1724 Larkin.....	250
Walsh & Co., 235 Montgomery, No. 467.....	25
Walter's Barber Shop, 1607 Vallejo.....	52
Walters Publications, 935 Market, No. 604.....	150
Walton, S. L., 490 Post, No. 1415.....	150
Wanderer, J., 494 Eddy.....	100
Wankowski & Hamilton, 25 Kearny, No. 501.....	410
Ward, A. P., 2252 Clement.....	146
Ward, C. E., 24 California, No. 517.....	100
Ward, G. F., 2000 Van Ness Ave., No. 610.....	310
Ward, H. B., 350 Post, No. 611.....	150
Ward, W. J., 323 Geary, No. 200.....	150
Ware, Rance, 2212 Mission.....	100
Warfield Decorating, 210 Post, No. 821.....	100
Warfield Luggage Shop, 964 Market.....	4,000
Warren, J. M., 235 Montgomery, No. 426.....	150
Washington Lunch, 235 Third St.....	100
Washington Pharmacy, 1400 Leavenworth.....	1,050
Washington Street Garage, 555 Washington.....	310
Waskan, W. B., 988 Market, No. 415.....	150
Watanabe, M., 1750A Sutter.....	205
Waterman Piano Studio, 935 Market, No. 610.....	50
Watkins, D. E., 1350 Monterey Blvd.....	420
Watson, D. C., 582 Market, No. 512.....	250
Way, S. C., 490 Post, No. 617.....	175
Webb, P. W. D., 519 California, No. 627.....	25
Webb, William, 88 Seventh St.....	100
Webb Motor Co., 1665 Van Ness Ave.....	600
Weber, F., 1298 Church.....	370
Weddle, Grace, 165 Post, No. 407.....	50
Wedglock Binder Co., 543 Clay, 2nd Floor.....	120
Weeden, H. A., 878 Great Highway.....	100
Weeks, H. H., 593 Market, No. 910.....	150
Weidinger, J., 269 Fourteenth St.....	335
Weinberg Bros., 664 Turk.....	445
Weinberg, N., 1277 Mission.....	250
Weinstein, I., 33 Kearny.....	9,000
Weirick, H. W., Dr., 516 Sutter, No. 920.....	200
Weisler, A. L., 870 Market, No. 907.....	195
Weiss Printing Co., 653 Commercial.....	250
Welch, J. R., 1255 Twentieth Ave.....	100
Well, Betty, Cosmetics, 1182 Market, No. 403.....	100
Welleets, K., 6256 Third St.....	85

Wells Fargo Building, 85 Second St., No. 527.....	1,060
Wells, V., 988 Market, No. 508.....	30
Weniger, H., 143 Valencia.....	700
Werner, H., 4794 Mission.....	150
Wesco Machinery Mfg. Co., 530 Bryant.....	565
Wesendunk, P., 236 Clement.....	100
West Amer. Sales Co., 383 Brannan, No. 24.....	100
West Bay Townsend Club, 3004 Twenty-fourth St.....	50
West Coast Adjusters, 519 California, No. 623.....	100
West, G., 218 Pierce.....	40
West, N. R., 110 Sutter, No. 802.....	100
West Portal Wine, 180 West Portal Ave.....	300
Western Art Service, Inc., 268 Market, No. 201.....	115
Western Hard & Tool Co., 97 Ninth St.....	500
Western Mining News, 222 Kearny, No. 402.....	275
Western Motor Co., 824 Ellis.....	250
Western Real Estate, 503 Market, No. 701.....	100
Western Retailing News, 180 New Montgomery, No. M.....	100
Western Securities Research, 235 Montgomery, No. 530.....	300
Western Slope Sales Service, 833 Market, No. 320.....	100
Western Terminal Co., Pier 5.....	130
Westrup, C., 4007 Twenty-fourth St.....	700
Westwood Auto Elect., 1181 Plymouth Ave.....	230
Westwood Inn, 1545 Ocean Ave.....	160
Wetmore Bros., 452 Front.....	3,000
Weyro, Charles L., 3169 Twenty-first St.....	50
Whalen, J. H., 1175 Mission.....	100
Wharton, E., 996 South Van Ness Ave.....	300
Whitcomb Garage, 745 Stevenson.....	385
White, C. W., 220 Montgomery, No. 330.....	100
White, E. A., Furniture, 2305 Market.....	50
White, S., 576 40th Ave.....	35
White Spot, 604 Sixth St.....	150
White Star Electric Works, 340 Third St.....	350
White & Herzo, 813 Lincoln Way.....	200
White & White, 550 Montgomery, No. 305.....	240
Whittell Realty Co., 178 Eddy.....	75
Whiteman, L., 447 Sutter, No. 624.....	30
Whiteway Sales Co., 102A Powell.....	150
Whiting, H. H., 582 Market, No. 1201.....	150
Whitney, C. W. Co., 433 California, No. 505.....	75
Whittard, E. A. S., 220 Montgomery, No. 631.....	65
Whittier, W., 135A Taylor.....	50
Whitton, L. W., 199 San Felipe Ave.....	300
Wholesale Fruit, Etc., 345 Front, No. 305.....	150
Wicks, J. J., 693 Stevenson.....	50
Widman, Elmer, 833 Market, No. 810.....	100
Wihtahand Dry Goods, 630 Divisadero.....	1,000
Wilbur, B., 2811 Vallejo.....	540
Wilkening Mfg. Co., 476 Golden Gate Ave.....	6,000
Wilkie, N. P., 519 California, No. 519.....	100
Wilkinson & Keane, 2894 Mission.....	360
Will King's Grill, 35 Taylor.....	1,100
Willer, P., 3612 Seventeenth St.....	73
William Taylor Garage, 256 Turk.....	300
Williams, Angello, 1319 Market.....	100
Williams, E. H., 760 Market, No. 401.....	150
Williams, H. E., 485 California, No. 503.....	155
Williams, James, Cleaner, 784 O'Farrell.....	65
Wilson Agency, 870 Market, No. 418.....	100
Wilson Estate Co., 973 Market, No. 300.....	150
Wilson, George, 931 Larkin.....	150



Wilson, H. W., 135 Stockton, No. 824.....	150
Wilson, John C., 2524 Broadway.....	300
Wilson, Lloyd, 510 Twenty-fourth Ave.....	200
Wilson Luggage Factory, 395 Ninth St.....	500
Wilson, R. A. Co., 564 Market, No. 516.....	50
Wilson, R. C., 235 Montgomery, No. 660.....	100
Wilson, W. L., 1038 Polk.....	225
Wilson's Cafe & Restaurant, 4108 Twenty-fourth St.....	120
Wiltsee, E., 57 Post, No. 501.....	25
Wing Hing Young, 658 Jackson.....	100
Wing Sun, 740 Commercial.....	35
Wing Tai Yuen Co., 891 Sacramento.....	200
Wing Ying Chong, 1230 Powell.....	50
Wintermann, Jean, 235 Montgomery, No. 426.....	155
Wire Specialty Co., 727 Gough.....	135
Wise, A. D., 133 Geary, No. 612.....	50
Wise, A. W., 58 Sutter, No. 343.....	100
Wiseman, G. B., 1841 Market.....	255
Witter Mineral Springs, 995 Market, No. 1008.....	240
Wolf & Kilkeary, 544 Market, No. 1003.....	350
Wolfe & Co., F. L., 486 California, No. 817.....	100
Wolff, H., 46 Kearny, No. 201.....	250
Woll, F. K., 315 Montgomery, No. 825.....	50
Wolongiewicz, Frank, 870 Market, No. 1122.....	200
Wonder Bar, 951 Clement.....	380
Woo Sam, 764 Commercial.....	200
Wood, F., 484 Thirty-seventh Ave.....	375
Wood, G. R., 1144 Ellis.....	300
Wood Motor Co., 1303 Franklin.....	400
Wood, Ralph, Jr., 760 Market, No. 611.....	150
Woodmansee, F. W., 310 Kearny.....	100
Woolpert, Terry, Inc., 111 Sutter, No. 1411.....	100
Workers' School, 121 Haight.....	150
Workmen's Educational Society, 544A Valencia.....	50
World Lunch Fixtures, 736 Howard.....	150
Worthington Co., Inc., 224 Townsend.....	12,569
Worthington-Gamon Co., 224 Townsend.....	125
Wrenn, Joseph T., 291 Geary, No. 515.....	200
Wright, C. R., 405 Montgomery, No. 614.....	25
Wright, C. R., 84 Sotelo Ave.....	390
Wright, M., 7355 Geary.....	150
Wright, N. A. Co., 290 Tehama.....	100
Wright Beauty Shop, 177 Post, No. 538.....	500
Wright Bros., Ltd., 461 Market, No. 205.....	150
Wroten, J. A., 1896A Sutter.....	150
Wunderlich, R. H., 870 Market, No. 566.....	325
Wynne Novelty Co., 555 Golden Gate Ave.....	360
Yale Club, 232 Powell, 2nd Floor.....	200
Yamate Bros., 510 Battery, No. 341.....	60
Yankee Sport Shop, 843 Clay.....	500
Yard, W. S., 235 Montgomery, No. 901.....	75
Yarn Shop, 6242 Geary Blvd.....	250
Yarney, The, 2914 Steiner.....	250
Yearling, M. C., 240 Montgomery, No. 400.....	150
Yee, M., 2205 Market.....	100
Yee Lee Co., 1179 Sutter.....	150
Yee Lee Market, 1128 Grant Ave.....	600
Yee Sang Tong Co., 864 Washington.....	650
Yees, Allan; Yees, Chin, 2052 Polk.....	100
Yeon Woo Assn., 746 Sacramento.....	25
Yesson, G. M., 90 Golden Gate Ave.....	50
Yet Sun & Co., 54 Wentworth Place.....	75

Yet Wah Lung & Co., 837 Grant Ave.....	1,500
Yick, F. H. & Co., 670 Washington.....	100
Yick Keong Benevolent Assn., 137 Waverly Place.....	500
Yick Shew Tong & Co., 756 Grant Ave.....	585
Young, Alex., Jr., 140 Geary, 7th Floor.....	100
Young, B. L., Mrs., 1405 Octavia.....	300
Young, B. L., Mrs., 1407 Octavia.....	...
Young, C. E., 47 Sotelo.....	300
Young, C. E., 450 Sutter, No. 1618.....	200
Young, H., 1075 California.....	300
Young, H., 219 Arguello.....	350
Young, H. L., 74 New Montgomery, No. 409.....	100
Young, W. H., 58 Sutter, No. 558.....	50
Young Kee Radio Shop, 772 Jackson.....	225
Young, J. V., Plumbing, 159 Fourteenth St.....	620
Young, S., 437 Kearny.....	250
Young Yuk Lun, 1151 Stockton.....	1,000
Youngberg, Charles J., 1182 Market.....	100
Yount, G. B., 323 Geary, No. 307.....	250
Yu Yat Sun Co., 139 Waverly Place.....	115
Yuck Ball Low, 747 Grant Ave.....	800
Yuna's Fur Shop, 2110 Fillmore.....	295
Zagha Linen Shop, 293 Geary.....	3,000
Zanca, S., 409 Cortland Ave.....	75
Zanolini, I., 604 Montgomery, No. 215.....	100
Zerbato, Italio, 2014A Sutter.....	100
Zimmerman, Irving, 998 Folsom.....	250
Zimmerman, L., 1034 Folsom.....	220
Zitsch, H., 2489 Mission, No. 12.....	210
Zlodi Bros., 67 Fourth St.....	650
Zolezzii, Meat & Sausages, 83 Clay.....	320
Hotel Admiral, Inc., 190 Embarcadero.....	200
Hotel Alamo, 824 Kearny.....	400
Hotel Alpine, 480 Pine.....	2,000
Hotel Alps, 910 Montgomery.....	300
Hotel Anchor, 715 Howard.....	540
Hotel Angelus, 140 Ellis.....	1,900
Hotel Argue, 124 Turk.....	410
Hotel Atherton, 624 Post.....	750
Hotel Aunt Mary's, 238 Townsend.....	125
Hotel Aurora, 1951 Sutter.....	400
Hotel Cadiz, 691 Broadway.....	300
Hotel Caprin, 909 Howard.....	300
Hotel Casa Loma, 74 Eddy.....	180
Hotel Civic Center, 20 Twelfth St.....	2,470
Hotel Clover, 483 Broadway.....	420
Hotel Comfort, 744 Howard.....	200
Hotel Cove, 168 Eddy.....	400
Hotel De Espana, 785 Broadway.....	300
Hotel Delta, 92 Duboce.....	300
Hotel Denniston, 991 Valencia.....	350
Hotel Desmond, 42 Sixth St.....	160
Hotel Drexel, 52 McAllister.....	850
Hotel El Cortez, 550 Geary.....	12,900
Hotel Eleanor, 232 Townsend.....	150
Hotel Ellis, Rooms, 67 Columbus Ave.....	225
Hotle Elm, 926 Folsom.....	300
Hotel Embassy, 610 Polk.....	2,750
Hotel Fallon, 1693 Market.....	800
Hotel Fielding, 386 Geary.....	4,375
Hotel Franciscan, 350 Geary.....	3,300
Hotel Gartland, 909 Geary.....	1,780



Hotel Gem, 51 Third St.....	130
Hotel Great Eastern, 614 Pine.....	550
Hotel Hacienda, 580 O'Farrell.....	1,125
Hotel Helena, 625 Pacific Ave.....	310
Hotel Holding, 91 Turk.....	520
Hotel Kumamotoya, 1794 Sutter.....	345
Hotel La Playa, 706 La Playa.....	700
Hotel Lakewood, 851 O'Farrell.....	440
Hotel Lark, 102 Sixth St.....	240
Hotel La Salle, 225 Hyde.....	2,975
Hotel Le Grande, 473 Pine.....	360
Hotel Loma, 148 Sixth St.....	700
Hotel Madrone, 977 Pine.....	925
Hotel Manila, 28 Chatham Place.....	180
Hotel Model, 37 Sixth St.....	200
Hotel Monte Rio, 1208 Powell.....	260
Hotel Navarre, 417 Stockton.....	3,500
Hotel New Rex, 407 Broadway.....	275
Hotel Nippon, 1551 Laguna.....	650
Hotel Nottingham, 6 Nottingham.....	100
Hotel Phoenix, 269 Third.....	280
Hotel Presidio, 2721 Lombard.....	180
Hotel Progress, 264 Valencia.....	200
Hotel Pueblo, 857 Kearny.....	155
Hotel Reggy, Rooms, 1224 Stockton.....	150
Hotel Rondel, 3081 Sixteenth St.....	500
Hotel St. George, 2038 McAllister.....	150
Hotel Savoy, 851 Van Ness Ave.....	1,240
Hotel Service Bureau, 165 Post, No. 501.....	100
Hotel Service Bureau, 436 O'Farrell, 5th Floor.....	100
Hotel Shirley, The, 1544 Polk.....	400
Hotel Showa, 1019 Stockton.....	500
Hotel South San Francisco, 3848 Third St.....	40
Hotel Sparta, 314 Third St.....	410
Hotel Statler, 154 Ellis.....	1,725
Hotel Stetson, 337 O'Farrell.....	350
Hotel Sullivan House, 152 Sixth St.....	200
Hotel Sullivan House, 151 Third St.....	330
Hotel Sunshine, 392 Third St.....	200
Hotel Toscano, 1994 Lombard.....	100
Hotel Touraine, 2218 Mission.....	300
Hotel Turin, 672 Broadway.....	200
Hotel Twin Peaks, 2160 Market.....	750
Hotel Venetian, 734 Montgomery.....	440
Hotel Venice, 284 Fourth St.....	330
Hotel Vernon, 253 Mason.....	250
Hotel Waldorf, 128 Jones.....	200
Hotel Welcome, 691 Folsom.....	210
Hotel Western, 216 Fourth St.....	220
Hotel Worthy, 1884 Haight.....	650
Hotel Yamaguchiya, 2018 Bush.....	150
Joseph Dubeau (Apts.—S. L. C.), 195 Alhambra.....	420
Ciprian Palu (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 200 Alhambra.....	1,125
Myrtle Lieb (Apts.—S. L. C.), 100 Alma.....	75
James Smith (Apts.), 600 Alvarado.....	50
F. I. Clark (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1944 Anza.....	150
M. E. Myeres (Apts.—S. L. C.), 2045 Anza.....	50
Landgrieve, MacNevin & Jones (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 4935 Anza.....	270
Juana S. Tobin (Apts.—S. L. C.), 112 Arguello Blvd.....	150
Esther Preddy (Apts.—Furn.), 385 Arguello Blvd.....	100
L. W. Peterson (Apts.—S. L. C.), 555 Ashbury.....	100
Gladys C. Roach (Apts.—Furn.), 880 Ashbury.....	270

Myrtle F. Dozier (Apts.—S. L. C.), 206 Ashton.....	60
Estate of O. McHugh (Apts.—S. L. C.), 700 Baker.....	100
Estate of O. McHugh (Apts.—S. L. C.), 720 Baker.....	100
Estate of Makroth (Apts.—S. L. C.), 2710 Baker.....	100
Slevin & Co. (Apts.—S. L. C.), 2445 Balboa.....	150
Winona A. Mason (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 80 Bartlett.....	140
Grace Perego (Apts.), 1700 Bay.....	1,800
D. Oster (Apts.), 2130 Beach.....	240
L. A. Cotton (Apts.—S. L. C.), 2235 Beach.....	150
Wallace Estate (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1575 Broadway.....	350
J. B. Landfield (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 1815 Broadway....	200
Alec V. Donovan (Apts.—Furn.), 121 Broderick.....	300
Catherine E. Lynn (Apts.—S. L. C.), 900 Broderick.....	300
Henry J. Nolan (Apts.—S. L. C.), 901 Broderick.....	300
E. Baldwin (Apts.), 914 Broderick.....	195
Mary E. Turner (Apts.—S. L. C.), 3255 Broderick.....	340
L. Garbatenko (Apts.), 3655 Broderick.....	1,800
Four Family Club (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 4 Brooklyn Place	180
B. S. Fong (Apts.—Furn.), 10 Brooklyn Place.....	50
Maud D. Hopkins (Apts.), 518 Bryant.....	80
Daniel Cahill (Apts.—S. L. C.), 65 Buena Vista Ave.....	300
Ed. Henry (Apts.—Furn.), 625 Bush.....	2,915
Madelein Pratt (Apts.—S. L. C.), 721 Bush.....	450
R. C. Wax (Apts.—Furn.), 805 Bush.....	940
William Lauterwass (Apts.—S. L. C.), 835 Bush.....	520
Louis D. Stoff (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 1241 Bush.....	400
John C. Bateman (Apts.—Furn.), 2303 Bush.....	175
Trevor & Co. (Apts.—S. L. C.), 2315 Bush.....	75
C. Lynch (Apts.—Furn.), 700 Cabrillo.....	150
Oscar Thunberg (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1845 Cabrillo.....	450
Peter Guilian (Apts.—Furn.), 2045 Cabrillo.....	300
Nellie Collins (Apts.—Furn.), 1320 California.....	200
May C. Sarmiento (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 1337 California..	180
Robert M. Clunie (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1855 California.....	350
Dr. E. Williams (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1869 California.....	100
Kate E. Henesy (Apts.—C.), 2021 California.....	75
J. G. and Anna Green (Apts.—S. L. C.), 3852 California.....	400
J. G. and Anna Green (Apts.—S. L. C.), 4005 California.....	300
Carl Jorgenson (Apts.—S. L. C.), 4340 California.....	125
Emma and R. C. Ingbam (Apts.—S. L. C.), 4432 California....	100
Oscar M. Thunberg (Apts.—S. L. C.), 4635 California.....	150
Dan Levy (Apts.—S. L. C.), 6310 California.....	150
Ethel Neary (Apts.—S. L. C.), 945 Capp.....	80
Mendo Corp. (Apts.—Furn.), 560 Castro.....	160
E. A. Janssen (Apts.—S. L. C.), 560 Castro.....	120
Fred S. Nason (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1605 Castro.....	100
F. R. Vanties (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 1643 Castro.....	75
E. Zimmerman (Apts.—S. L. C.), 68 Cervantes Blvd.....	200
Mary Porta (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1401 Chestnut.....	75
Mrs. Leo Bunner (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1522 Chestnut.....	100
P. E. Eastman (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1525 Chestnut.....	360
Brizzolara & Raffeto (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 1525 Chestnut	80
Belinda Newsom (Apts.—S. L. C.), 2120 Chestnut.....	300
C. Micalizzi (Apts.—C.), 2295 Chestnut.....	20
J. B. Keenan (Apts.—S. L. C.), 2465 Chestnut.....	240
Peter Ridello (Apts.—Furn.), 2 Church.....	300
C. Dal Don (Apts.—S. L. C.), 210 Church.....	300
T. A. Lloyd (Apts.—S. L. C.), 626 Church.....	200
Joseph Kennedy (Apts.—S. L. C.), 768 Church.....	35
Thomas Mullins (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1168 Church.....	10
William J. and Alice Huber (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1475 Church....	70
Frank Rossi (Apts.—Furn.), 261 Clara.....	25
E. Steffens (Apts.—Furn.), 8 Clarion Alley.....	75



Wo Fung Co. (Apts.—Furn.), 870 Clay.....	40
Scott Manwell (Apts.—Furn.), 1123 Clay.....	450
R. N. Lockwood (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1357 Clay.....	250
William Proll (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1630 Clay.....	100
Henry Suma & Co. (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1714 Clay.....	300
Carmel McFadden (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1945 Clay.....	375
Falvey & Harriman (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1950 Clay.....	600
A. Kirkham (Apts.), 2558 Clay.....	120
Cal. Mutual Bldg. & Loan (Apts.—Furn.), 2735 Clay.....	215
M. C. Shadoan (Apts.—S. L. C.), 2835 Clay.....	75
Jamieson, Towle, Willoughby Corp. (Apts.—S. L. C.), 3065 Clay.....	240
I. Epp & Sons (Apts.—S. L. C.), 3401 Clay.....	775
A. Hanson (Apts.—S. L. C.), 550 Clayton.....	175
E. Rolkin (Apts.), 1349 Clayton.....	350
I. Epp & Sons (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1345 Clement.....	375
P. J. Boscus (Apts.), 2120 Clement.....	300
Milton Meyer, Real Estate (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 3215 Clement .....	500
Paul Lanning (Apts.—S. L. C.), 833 Cole St.....	75
Evelyn Gabriel (Apts.—S. L. C.), 3 Commonwealth.....	180
B. Levin (Apts.—S. L. C.), 12 Commonwealth.....	100
Charles E. Nordfelt (Apts.), 749 Diamond.....	25
Felice Moriano (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 2836 Diamond....	150
S. Cohen (Apts.—S. L. C.), 803 Divisadero.....	25
L. Fenmore (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 839 Divisadero.....	300
L. Fenmore (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 849 Divisadero.....	300
G. Forti (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1947 Divisadero.....	125
Remy Plante (Apts.—S. L. C.), 100 Dolores.....	100
(Apts.—Furn.), 718 Dolores.....	200
John J. Leonard (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1150 Dolores.....	150
Ira W. Coburn (Apts.—C.), 450 Duboce Ave.....	50
San Jose Pac. Bldg. & Loan (Apts.), 249 Eddy.....	3,000
J. Rosenthal, care Madison & Burke (Apts.—S. L. C.), 485 Eddy.....	80
Zlodi Bros. (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1269 Eddy.....	20
Mr. Casey, care Anglo Calif. Bank, 1459 Eddy.....	200
I. Bruce (Apts.—Furn.), 1819 Eddy.....	180
Sidney Chase (Apts.), 473 Elizabeth.....	80
Thomas Walsh (Apts.—S. L. C.), 747 Elizabeth.....	330
Matthew Bannon (Apts.), 516 Ellis.....	350
Oscar Pappen (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1893 Ellis.....	75
P. Solomon (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 566 Fell.....	460
M. M. Lipsitz (Apts.—Furn.), 854 Fell.....	100
F. P. Gamble (Apts.—S. L. C.), 2030 Fell.....	450
I. Epp & Sons (Apts.—S. L. C.), 2040 Fell.....	300
Madison & Burke (Apts.—S. L. C.), 2070 Fell.....	300
John Svabek (Apts.—S. L. C.), 2130 Fell.....	200
Central Bldg. & Loan Co. (Apts.), 1551 Filbert.....	200
R. Frederickson (Apts.), 733 Fillmore.....	360
C. H. Williams (Apts.—S. L. C.), 3501 Fillmore.....	800
C. H. Williams (Apts.—Furn.), 3501 Fillmore.....	500
Anna Hanson (Apts.—Furn.), 1468 Folsom.....	550
Theo. Bristovich (Apts.—Furn.), 2108 Folsom.....	120
Louise Neece (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1234 Francisco.....	300
Hazel Cohn (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1236 Francisco.....	100
Mrs. E. Hoffmeyer (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1250 Francisco.....	50
Mrs. Peter Gavello (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1530 Francisco.....	80
Carolyn Conradi (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1955 Franklin.....	75
Tudor Operating Co. (Apts.—S. L. C.), 2111 Franklin.....	225
Fred Bender (Apts.—S. L. C.), 360 Frederick St.....	130
(Apts.—S. L. C.), 582 Frederick.....	225
Oscar Hunberg (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1377 Fulton.....	160
Paula Dobson (Apts.—Furn.), 1616 Fulton.....	300
Mary T. O'Brien (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1851 Fulton.....	150

Henry Feldman (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1870 Fulton.....	22
Capital Co. (Apts.—Furn.), 676 Geary.....	3,500
Ira P. Bunster (Apts.—Furn.), 816 Geary.....	960
Eva Lutich (Apts.—Furn.), 946 Geary.....	250
E. J. Magruder (Apts.—Furn.), 1667 Geary.....	50
Charles Kollman (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 3838 Geary Blvd...	400
P. Dunne (Apts.), 4431 Geary Blvd.....	50
I. Epp & Sons (Apts.—Furn.), 4747 Geary Blvd.....	75
George Rueter (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 5029 Geary Blvd...	300
W. D. Brown, Agt. (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 5441 Geary Blvd.	300
(Apts.), 5445 Geary Blvd.....	50
Roy Sherman (Apts.—S. L. C.), 7415 Geary Blvd.....	100
A. M. Samuelson (Apts.), 905 Geneva Ave.....	40
I. Epp & Sons (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1460 Golden Gate Ave.....	525
Aidel Greenberg (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 1570 Golden Gate Ave. ....	285
May Oliphant (Apts.—Furn.), 1750 Golden Gate Ave.....	550
Mendo Corp. (Apts.—Furn.), 1819 Golden Gate Ave.....	475
James A. Arnott (Apts.), 1865 Golden Gate Ave.....	100
James King, deceased (Apts.—Furn.), 2850 Golden Gate Ave...	200
Edwards, D. H. and M. A. (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn), 145 Gough	230
F. Donohue, (Apts.—S. L. C.), 207 Gough.....	900
C. F. Horning, (Apts.—S. L. C.), 246 Gough.....	250
E. H. Hughes, (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1309 Gough.....	200
A. Esmiol, (Apts.—S. L. C.), 2901 Gough.....	500
M. Tufo, (Apts.), 2944 Gough.....	25
Mrs. M. Scala, (Apts.—S. L. C.), 2953 Gough.....	650
J. Panzine, (Apts.—S. L. C.), 3006 Gough.....	240
Dora Soporito (Apts.—Furn.), 3260 Gough.....	680
Landry C. Babin Co. (Apts.), 823 Grant Ave.....	100
Mrs. Margaret Grant (Apts.—Furn.), 2444 Great Highway.....	650
E. J. Holl, (Apts.—S. L. C.), 2060 Green.....	150
Mrs. F. Mullins, (Apts.—C.), 2134 Green.....	50
Madison & Burke (Apts.—S. L. C.), 2319 Green.....	100
H. E. Lawless (Apts.), 2887 Green.....	100
Chas. Kayser (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 525 Grove.....	240
E. Brosen (Apts.—S. L. C.), 597 Guerrero.....	150
Ida Novi (Apts.—S. L. C.), 696 Guerrero.....	60
Julius J. Thiebaut (Apts.—C.), 1445 Guerrero.....	30
S. Salomon (Apts.—S. L. C.), 214 Haight.....	40
L. R. Benny (Apts.—Furn.), 673 Haight.....	70
Ernest Johnson (Apts.—S. L. C.), 769 Haight.....	150
R. S. Knight (Apts.), 1007 Haight.....	150
(Apts), 1545 Hampshire.....	50
J. Kozlowski (Apts.—Furn.), 31 Harriet.....	100
Wm. Horstmeyer (Apts.—S. L. C.), 135 Hartford.....	125
Chas. Douglas (Apts.), 1161 Hayes.....	140
Martin Christiansen (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 69 Henry.....	205
(Apts)—S. L. C.), 1452 Howard.....	80
J. Waxman (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 1522 Howard.....	60
Mrs. L. Grolemond (Apts.—Furn.), 1554 Howard.....	50
John E. Steffen (Apts.—S. L. C.), 334 Hyde.....	150
Mr. Wilson, care United Realty Co. (Apts.—S. L. C.), 745 Hyde..	575
Mr. Wilson, care United Realty Co. (Apts.—Furn.), 745 Hyde..	600
Margaret and Helen Sheehy (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 810 Hyde .....	1,860
H. C. McMartin (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1127 Hyde.....	100
E. Solloway (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1140 Hyde.....	90
G. B. Cavagnaro (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1250 Hyde.....	100
Sophia Olson (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 1251 Hyde.....	210
Margaret Healy (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1326 Hyde.....	150
Estate of Rosetta Baker (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1601 Hyde.....	100
Sue Glassburn (Apts.—S. L. C.), 2008 Hyde.....	25



Jas. W. Doherty (Apts.—Furn.), 2140 Hyde.....	350
Jas. W. Doherty (Apts.—Furn.), 2150 Hyde.....	300
Anglo Calif. Sec. Co. (Apts.—S. L. C.), 2200 Hyde.....	100
Anglo Calif. Securities (Apts.—Furn.), 2238 Hyde.....	75
Herbert J. Langton (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1278 Jackson.....	150
V. H. LaPrede (Apts.—Furn.), 1515 Jackson.....	300
John P. Phillips (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1824 Jackson.....	50
Zelcol Inv. Co. (Apts.—S. L. C.), 3555 Jackson.....	100
L. N. Leboire (Apts.—S. L. C.), 3559 Jackson.....	250
F. S. Cornell (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1457 Jones.....	150
C. Lapoyade (Apts.—S. L. C.), 161 Jordan Ave.....	100
W. Van Herick (Apts.—S. L. C.), 16 Laguna.....	450
W Van Herick (Apts.—S. L. C.), 50 Laguna.....	800
Mary Freed (Apts.—Furn.), 611 Laguna.....	250
E. L. Elvin (Apts.—S. L. C.), 414 Lake.....	200
Mrs. A. G. Bell (Apts.—Furn.), 720 Lake.....	100
C. E. Condit (Apts.—S. L. C.), 2255 Lake.....	300
M. J. Getz (Apts.—S. L. C.), 626 Larkin.....	105
Mrs. Ray Payne (Apts.—Furn.), 626 Larkin.....	225
V. W. Sloan (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1030 Larkin.....	640
Chas. Kollman (Apts.—Furn.), 1130 Larkin.....	1,240
Fern Fairchild (Apts.—Furn.), 257 Leavenworth.....	280
J. W. Marchbank (Apts.—S. L. C.), 630 Leavenworth.....	400
C. F. Cerivieres (Apts.—Furn.), 808 Leavenworth.....	760
Frank Lee, care Gensler Lee Jwly. (Apts.—S. L. C.), 821 Leavenworth .....	380
G. W. Goss (Apts.), 1430 Leavenworth.....	75
M. Dempniak (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 1801 Leavenworth....	340
Henry Sipple (Apts.—C.), 195 Lexington.....	25
Richard Zieger (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 135 Lincoln Way..	180
L. A. Crosby (Apts.), 1432 Lombard.....	300
Theodore H. Frederiksen (Apts.—Furn.), 2265 Lombard.....	450
Promes Estate, care Umben, Kerner & Stevens (Apts.— L. and C.), 2149 Lyon.....	30
Kowolski Estate, care Coldwell, Cornwall & Banker (Apts.— S. L. C.), 124 Mason.....	200
Western Bldg. & Loan Co. (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 1323 Mason	500
Katie Pomerantz (Apts.—S. L. C.), 601 Masonic.....	160
Catherine Connolly (Apts.—S. L. C.), 644 Masonic Ave.....	50
Joe Waxman (Apts.—S. L. C.), 982 McAllister.....	300
Jacob Gold (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1629 McAllister.....	150
Estate of O. McHugh (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1780 McAllister.....	200
Celeste N. Henderson (Apts.—Furn.), 223 Missouri.....	130
Robert Trost (Apts.—S. L. C.), 3009 Mission.....	840
J. Chiapparo (Apts.—S. L. C.), 3227 Mission.....	50
S. Kuhlman (Apts.—Furn.), 60 Montezuma.....	300
Fred Suechting (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 892 Noe.....	175
C. A. Johnson (Apts.), 1700 North Point.....	1,200
M. B. Connelly (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 2260 North Point..	275
Mary E. Turner (Apts.—Furn.), 2290 North Point.....	375
Jenny Dittes (Apts.—Furn.), 400 Oak.....	200
Elizabeth Holsten (Apts.—S. L. C.), 744 Oak.....	225
R. A. Day (Apts.—Furn.), 799 Oak.....	400
D. A. Hulse (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 1101 Oak.....	290
Louis Edwards (Apts.—Furn.), 1140 Oak.....	260
Geo. J. Krueger (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1205 Oak.....	100
Mrs. R. Seligman (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1875 Oak.....	150
Smith Lumber Co. (Apts.—S. L. C.), 2041 Oak.....	50
T Cowan (Apts.—Furn.), 2065 Oak.....	1,000
Pauline L. Wilhelm (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1344 Ocean Ave.....	450
M. Dempniak (Apts.—Stoves & Furn.) 355 Octavia.....	900
Paul V. Doyle (Apts.—S. L. C.), 3233 Octavia.....	100
Beatrice La Croix (Apts.—S. L. C.), 646 O'Farrell.....	340

Teresa C. Matthews (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1857 O'Farrell.....	100
Joseph Y. Chew (Apts.—S. L. C.), 716 Pacific Ave.....	50
Calif. Co-op. Inv. Co. (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1745 Pacific.....	575
Wm. F. Hooke (Apts.—C.), 1925 Pacific.....	100
Grace E. Dorn (Apts.—Furn.), 150 Page.....	1,085
Victoria Granger (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 445 Page.....	400
Lucy Walter (Apts.—Furn.), 510 Page.....	180
Geo. Pinto (Apts.—S. L. C.), 728 Page.....	85
John V. Luczynski (Apts.—Furn.), 968 Page.....	200
Central Bldg. & Loan Assn. (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1333 Page.....	200
Jennie Einspruch (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1337 Page.....	120
Andrew C. Lawson (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1849 Page.....	300
W. Ellsworth (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1880 Page.....	300
M. M. Hynes (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 1938 Page.....	150
Lillie Butterfield (Apts.—S. L. C.), 130 Palm Ave.....	350
Mrs. M. Echlen (Apts.—S. L. C.), 133 Palm Ave.....	75
McGary Robson (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 750 Parnassus Ave.	300
Vivian Skogsberg (Apts.—Furn.), 3037 Pierce.....	225
Anza Home Bldg. (Apts.—S. L. C.), 3330 Pierce.....	1,100
Frances Stephens (Apts.—S. L. C.), 3481 Pierce.....	250
Ida Sanders (Apts.—S. L. C.), 935 Pine.....	240
C. D. Courtney (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 961 Pine.....	720
H. W. Hutton (Apts.—S. L. C.), 969 Pine.....	250
Helen L. Grobe (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 985 Pine.....	250
J. E. Tryon (Apts.—Furn.), 995 Pine.....	1,450
C. P. Murphy (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 1249 Pine.....	2,000
E. Kittleman (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1325 Pine.....	300
Chas. Penny (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1355 Pine.....	240
Worth & Wolter (Apts.—Furn.), 1385 Pine.....	450
G. E. Sheldon (Apts.—Furn.), 1575 Pine.....	360
Frances O'Reilly (Apts.—S. L. C.), 2737 Polk.....	120
Maria and F. Schumacher (Apts.—S. L. C.), 2757 Polk.....	100
Mercantile Properties Corp. (Apts.), 656 Post.....	150
G. M. Caesar (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 798 Post.....	990
Anna Kline (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1045 Post.....	225
Lois Vivaldo (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 1964 Post.....	400
R. D. Williamson (Apts.—Furn.), 1999 Post.....	230
Mary L. Sheldon (Apts.—S. L. C.), 830 Powell.....	250
Improved R. E. Co. (Apts.), 1466 Powell.....	20
A. DeBarbieri (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1856 Powell.....	125
A. H. Rohde (Apts.—C.), 246 Presidio Ave.....	50
Geo. Kaphanis (Apts.), 510 Presidio Ave.....	150
Louis Quilici (Apts.—S. L. C.), 30 Ramona.....	100
Charlotte Brown (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 77 Ramona.....	275
J. J. Cimino, agent (Apts.—S. L. C.), 66 Retiro Way.....	100
A. Cohn (Apts.—S. L. C.), 5 Rico Way.....	300
Landry C. Babin (Apts.—S. L. C.), 734 Sacramento.....	60
C. E. Jenkins (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1630 Sacramento.....	150
P. F. Reilly (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1658 Sacramento.....	360
Mary McCormick (Apts.—Furn.), 1660 Sacramento.....	100
J. P. Wallace (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1750 Sacramento.....	250
H. H. Traxler (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1940 Sacramento.....	150
J. Lyons (Apts.—C.), 2011 Sacramento.....	25
Elks Shasta Lodge (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 2826 Sacramento	100
H. S. Fonda (Apts.—C.), 3009 Sacramento.....	50
Walter E. McGuire, agent (Apts.—S. L. C.), 3906 Sacramento..	100
A. Bin and P. J. Ratto (Apts.—S. & C.), 2585 San Bruno Ave...	60
F. Podesta (Apts.—L. & C.), 720 San Jose Ave.....	150
J. Fregosi (Apts.—Furn.), 785 San Jose Ave.....	200
Antonio Conti (Apts.—S. L. C.), 5 Santa Rosa Ave.....	40
James Arnott and Son (Apts.—S. L. C.), 300 Santa Rosa Ave...	150
Amelia Kinghorn (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 1160 Scott.....	195
Elizabeth V. Whitaker (Apts.—S. L. C.), 2515 Scott.....	260



Edw. M. Wolff (Apts.—S. L. C.), 3730 Scott.....	300
Alice H. Kinsey (Apts.—S. L. C.), 235 Shrader.....	300
Howard A. Foy (Apts.—Furn.), 823 Shrader St.....	180
Fred Drinkhouse (Apts.—S. & C.), 1409 Shrader.....	200
Inger Anderson (Apts.—S. L. C.), 654 So. Van Ness Ave.....	40
John L. Squire (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1166 So. Van Ness Ave.....	150
Alex Sarlandt (Apts.—L. & C.), 120 Southern Height.....	30
(Apts.—Furn.), 776 Stanyan St.....	125
Wm. L. Bradley (Apts.—S. L. C.), 901 Stanyan.....	750
S. A. Giusti (Apts.—Furn.), 401 Steiner.....	250
Frank E. Lawson (Apts.—S. L. C.), 499 Steiner.....	110
Camille Bugatto (Apts.—Furn.), 730 Stockton.....	440
Bock Cho (Apts.—Furn.), 848 Stockton.....	50
Chas. W. Byrnes (Apts.), 683 Sutter.....	150
Central Bldg. & Loan Assn. (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 1062 Sutter .....	600
Michael Bowler (Apts.—Furn.), 1610 Sutter.....	630
O. Takayama (Apts.—C.), 1720 Sutter.....	25
Olga Shannon (Apts.—S. L. C.), 2495 Sutter.....	300
A. DeSilva (Apts.—S. L. C.), 520 Taylor.....	3,060
J. Musante (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1450 Taylor.....	35
Edna Belasco (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 1644 Taylor.....	240
Yee Chew (Apts.—Furn.), 120 Trenton.....	240
Josephine Guerin (Apts.—Furn.), 315 Turk.....	200
A. Penzinero (Apts.—S. L. C.), 320 Turk.....	480
Elvin A. Giacobbi (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 371 Turk.....	1,050
Geo. P. Lovejoy (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1350 Turk.....	375
Title Ins & Guaranty Co. (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1725 Turk.....	300
Gino Enright (Apts.—Furn.), 1758 Turk.....	100
Walter B. Luske (Apts.—Furn.), 1150 Union.....	200
P. Crosetti (Apts.—Furn.), 1959 Union.....	60
Mrs. Rose Berti (Apts.—S. L. C.), 2784 Union.....	50
A. Ferrari (Apts.—C.), 435 Utah.....	70
Chester R. McPhee (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1155 Valencia.....	80
Minnie Bailey (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 1341 Valencia.....	800
Giomi & Bernardi (Apts.—C.), 1281 Vallejo.....	50
Mary E. Bush (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1294 Vallejo.....	80
Paula Andersen (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 1485 Vallejo.....	380
Northern Co. Title Ins. Co. (Apts.—S. L. C.), 2146 Van Ness Ave.....	200
Mary L. Jones (Apts.—Furn.), 2219 Van Ness Ave.....	500
Violet I. Ranken (Apts.—S. L. C.), 2240 Van Ness Ave.....	75
Emco Inv. Co. (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 2440 Van Ness Ave.....	850
Margaret L. Piconi (Apts.—S. L. C.), 245 Vicksburg.....	80
C. Casson (Apts.—S. L. C.), 345 Vicksburg.....	100
Victor Raynal (Apts.—Furn.), 568 Waller.....	250
J. Protas (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1651 Waller St.....	75
Adam Dzurak (Apts.), 30 Washburn.....	40
Mercantile Mortgage Co. (Apts.—Furn.), 858 Washington.....	100
W. H. Archibald (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1390 Washington.....	275
Lottie Lloyd (Apts.—Furn.), 1400 Washington.....	500
Mendo Corp., agent (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1465 Washington.....	200
P. D. Smith (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1658 Washington.....	150
M. Camp (Apts.—Furn.), 1664 Washington.....	150
John F. Noonan Jr. (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1739 Washington.....	150
S. E. Erlanger (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1855 Washington.....	400
Geo. P. Sonne (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 2345 Washington....	540
M. I. Clancy (Apts.), 2380 Washington.....	125
E. Beyer (Apts.), 1404 Willard.....	100
(Apts.—S. L. C.), 1097 York.....	360
M. Soper (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 1280 Fourth Ave.....	150
Julia Jervis (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 1322 Fourth Ave.....	160
Mae Ellis (Apts.—S. & L.), 490 Fifth Ave.....	60
McGory Robson (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1382 Fifth Ave.....	150

James A. Sharp (Apts.—S. L. C.), 218 Sixth Ave.....	75
M. B. Woodworth (Apts.—S. L. C.), 755 Sixth Ave.....	200
A. P. Huzo (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1269 Sixth Ave.....	150
Hugh McPhee (Apts.—S. L. C.), 630 Seventh Ave.....	150
E. F. Williams (Apts.—S. L. C.), 425 Eighth Ave.....	175
S. F. Bank (Apts.—S. L. C.), 427 Eighth Ave.....	50
R. W. Arfsten (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 495 Eighth Ave....	300
W. H. Mather (Apts.—Furn.), 1285 Eighth Ave.....	700
Phillip Loger (Apts.—S. L. C.), 695 Ninth Ave.....	300
Mrs. J. Phelan (Apts.—S. L. C.), 775 Ninth Ave.....	50
I. Epp & Sons (Apts.—S. L. C.), 245 Eleventh Ave.....	300
R. Lessman (Apts.—S. L. C.), 315 Eleventh Ave.....	100
Meyer Terkeltaub (Apts.—C.), 407 Eleventh Ave.....	50
Pat Murray (Apts.—S. L. C.), 459 Eleventh Ave.....	50
A. Soscanino (Apts.—S. L. C.), 595 Twelfth Ave.....	300
G. Carlson (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1478 Eighteenth Ave.....	100
I. Epp & Sons (Apts.—S. L. C.), 195 Twentieth Ave.....	300
M. M. Gallick (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 271 Twentieth Ave...	180
K. Adler (Apts.—S. L. C.), 425 Twenty-second Ave.....	175
Central Bldg. & Loan (Apts.—S.), 2370 Twenty-sixth Ave.....	80
H. Fishgold (Apts.—S. L. C.), 405 Twenty-seventh Ave.....	240
(Apts.), 706 Twenty-eighth Ave.....	25
Eugene N. Skinner (Apts.—S. L. C.), 801 Twenty-eighth Ave...	500
M. Johnston (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1295 Thirty-ninth Ave.....	200
Mrs. F. Armstrong (Apts.), 518 Forty-sixth Ave.....	150
Mrs. F. Armstrong (Apts.), 520 Forty-sixth Ave.....	450
Dr. J. L. Lorenz (Apts.—Furn.), 482 Sixth St.....	204
Joe Simon (Apts.), 150 Ninth St.....	680
Norman Ellsworth (Apts.—S. L. C.), 288 Ninth St.....	360
Jas. Filippini (Apts.—Furn.), 120 Tenth St.....	250
M. Warshavsky (Apts.—Furn.), 165 Eleventh St.....	380
J. Jackson (Apts.—Furn.), 375 Fourteenth St.....	575
Doherty, agent (Apts.—S. L. C.), 569 Fourteenth St.....	80
R. Unger (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 811 Fourteenth St.....	290
Frank Cator (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1637 Fifteenth St.....	250
Hughes, Babcock & Hughes (Apts.—S. L. C.), 1818 Fifteenth St.	150
T. Johannes (Apts.—Furn.), 2020 Fifteenth St.....	275
Pat. Hurley (Apts.—Furn.), 3321 Seventeenth St.....	870
Mission Realty Co. (Apts.—S. L. C.), 3525 Seventeenth St.....	200
Martin Judnich (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 2314 Eighteenth St.	200
J. M. Curtin (Apts.—S. L. C.), 3990 Eighteenth St.....	400
Gisella Estermomey (Apts.—S. L. C.), 3995 Nineteenth St.....	175
Mission Realty Co. (Apts.—Furn.), 3605 Twentieth St.....	500
Western Bldg. & Loan Co. (Apts.—S. L. C. and Furn.), 3172 Twenty-fourth St. ....	400
Fred Nordholz (Apts.—S. L. C.), 3225 Twenty-fourth St.....	100
(Apts.—S. L. C.), 3638 Twenty-fourth St.....	....
D. J. Curtin (Apts.—S. L. C.), 4074 Twenty-fourth St.....	80
Coldwell, Cornwall & Banker (Apts.—S. L. C.), 3822 Twenty- sixth St. ....	100
A. Della Selva (Apts.—Furn.), 245 Thirtieth St.....	250

## SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

## W. P. A. Hearing—Old Age Pension.

June 28, 1937—Supervisor Uhl moved that W. R. Lawson, representing local W. P. A., be invited to appear before the Board of Supervisors, Tuesday, July 6, 1937, at 2:30 p. m., to discuss the matter of lay-off of W. P. A. workers who are eligible for old-age pensions.

Motion carried.



Wallace Day, representing W. R. Lawson, local W. P. A. director, appeared pursuant to the foregoing motion. He declared that people were not laid off just because they were eligible for old age pensions, but they were laid off by reason of the deficiency of the appropriation, for other reasons entirely. Those who are least efficient naturally have to give way. In every case, however, the person effected is directed to the proper agency for relief to which he or she is entitled. Those who are eligible for old age pensions are advised to take them.

Mary Woods was also heard relative to lay off of choral singers in the Recreation Department.

Josephine Randall, superintendent, Recreation Department, was heard in explanation.

Thereupon, Supervisor Uhl requested a transcript of the discussion.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police was taken up:

#### Amending Taxicab Ordinance, Re Taxicab Stands.

(Code No. 11.0111)

Bill No. 1358, Ordinance No. 11.011111, as follows:

Amending Section 3 of Ordinance No. 6979 (New Series) entitled, "Regulating the Use of Taxicabs, Automobiles and Public Vehicles for Hire, Fixing the Rate to Be Charged for the Transportation of Persons and Personal Baggage, Regulating the Use of Boats in the Waters of the Bay, Providing a Punishment for Any Violation Thereof, and Repealing Ordinance No. 1898 (New Series), relating to taxicab stands."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 3 of Ordinance No. 6979 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 3: (a) The Chief of Police may designate in writing stands on public streets (except around Union Square), to be occupied by taxicabs and automobiles and limousines as herein defined, after permit to operate said vehicle or vehicles has been issued and the license fee has been paid as in this or other ordinances provided.

(b) Before any designation of stands is made by the Chief of Police as provided in this section, the written consent of the tenant or lessee of the ground floor or portion of the ground floor fronting the space where such stand is to be located must first be obtained. In the event the ground floor or portion of the ground floor fronting the space where such stand is to be located is not occupied by a tenant or lessee, then the written consent of the owner of the building fronting the space where such stand is to be located must be first obtained. The stand permit shall specify the name and address of the permittee and the number of vehicles and class and character of service permitted thereunder. No vehicle licensed to operate pursuant to this ordinance, while awaiting employment by passengers, shall stand on any public street or place other than upon a stand designated and established in accordance with this ordinance and no such vehicle shall occupy such stand unless it is licensed so to do. It shall be unlawful for the owner or operator of any passenger vehicle for hire, other than the permittee, to use the stand designated under such permit.

(c) The Chief of Police shall designate the number of taxicabs or automobiles or limousines, as herein defined, that shall be allowed to stand at any one time at any of the places designated and authorized.

(d) Any stand permit may be revoked by the Chief of Police with-

out notice to any person except the holder of the permit, and it shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to occupy a stand with a taxicab, automobile or limousine after such revocation and notice has been made.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

**Acceptance of Santa Fe Avenue Between Silver Avenue and Its Southerly Termination.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1356, Ordinance No. 12.081128, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Santa Fe avenue, between Silver avenue and its southerly termination, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Santa Fe avenue, between Silver avenue and its southerly termination, including the curbs.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

**Repealing Bill No. 1136, Ordering the Improvement of Barneveld Avenue and Rickard Street.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1357, Ordinance No. 12.061176, as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 12.061166, entitled, "Ordering the Performance of Certain Street Work to Be Done in the City and County of San Francisco, Approving and Adopting Specifications Therefor, Describing and Approving the Assessment District, and Authorizing the Director of Public Works to Enter Into Contract for Doing the Same," in effect November 20, 1936, ordering the improvement of Barneveld avenue and Rickard street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 12.061166, the title of which is recited above, ordering the improvement of Barneveld avenue and Rickard street, is hereby repealed.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

**NEW BUSINESS.**

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:



**Cancellation, Tax Sale and Assessments.**

(Code Nos. 9.0411 and 9.0412)

Resolution No. 3377, as follows:

Whereas, the Tax Collector has reported that Lot 2, Block 202, now recorded in the names of the City and County of San Francisco and the State of California, was sold to the State for delinquent taxes on June 29, 1934, and assessed for subsequent years in the name of the California Pacific Title & Trust Co., and that being City and State property this sale and the following assessments should be cancelled:

Lot 2, Block 202:

<i>Year</i>	<i>Tax</i>	<i>Del. Pen.</i>	<i>Cost</i>
1933 .....	\$ 3,288.60	\$230.20	\$0.50
1934 .....	3,651.12		
1935 .....	3,479.42		
1936 .....	3,575.88		
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
	\$13,995.02	\$230.20	\$0.50

and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Tax Collector be authorized to cancel this sale in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804-A of the Political Code.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

**Cancellation of Taxes, State Property.**

(Code No. 9.022)

Also, Resolution No. 3378, as follows:

Whereas, the Tax Collector has reported that the following described property is now recorded in the name of the Veterans' Welfare Board of the State of California, and being State property, taxes thereon, as follows, should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the following taxes are hereby cancelled in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804 of the Political Code, to-wit:

<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Assessed to</i>	<i>Deed Recorded</i>	<i>1936 Taxes</i>
12-13	2816	A. L. Wise .....	7/15/36	\$48.44 (2d)
10	2817	W. O. Granicher .....	7/16/36	43.52 (2d)
10A	6467	L. J. and E. M. Grady.....	7/18/36	5.68 (2d)
41	3054	C. W. and M. L. Annand.....	7/23/37	35.57 (2d)

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

**Palo Alto Avenue Sewer.**

(Code No. 12.1011)

Also, Resolution No. 3379, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain deed dated April 17, 1937, from M. Laurence Montgomery, et ux., to a sewer easement in Assessor's Block 2721, San Francisco, California, required for the Palo Alto avenue sewer.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

**Refunds of Erroneous Payments of Taxes.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Resolution No. 3380, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid to the following named, being refunds of erroneous payments of taxes:

*From Duplicate Tax Fund—Appropriation No. 905.*

(1) Clarence B. Eaton, Vol. 37, Bill 1067, Lot 35, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936, \$1.70; per Vol. 41, Bill 289, Lot 15, Block 6702, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936, \$4.54.....	\$ 6.24
(2) Clarence B. Eaton, per Vol. 34, Bill 2151, Lots 18, 19, 20, Block 5726, 1st Installment, fiscal year 1936.....	24.22
(3) M. Bertolino, per Vol. 33, Bill 2206, Lot 28, Block 5625, both Installments, fiscal year 1936.....	3.78
(4) Kernan Robson, per Vol. 28, Bill 984, Block 4617, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936, \$1.89; per Vol. 28, Bill 1110, Lot 2, Block 4640, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936, \$3.22....	5.11
(5) Gladys H. Burke, per Vol. 24, Bill 2786, Lot 63, Block 3620, 1st Installment, fiscal year 1936.....	9.08
(6) Julian Anixter, per Vol. 15, Bill 1256, Lot 7A, Block 1916, 1st Installment, fiscal year 1936.....	41.89
(7) Hibernia Savings and Loan Society, per Vol. 14, Bill 2245, Lot 45, Block 1846, 1st Installment, fiscal year 1936, overpayment .....	6.62
(8) Hibernia Savings and Loan Society, per Vol. 11, Bill 3296, Lot 20, Block 1616, both Installments, fiscal year 1936....	107.84
(9) Hibernia Savings and Loan Society, per Vol. 10, Bill 1728, Lot 9, Block 1498, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936.....	17.03
(10) Hibernia Savings and Loan Society, per Vol. 35, Bill 2509, Lot 36, Block 5959, 1st Installment, fiscal year 1936.....	6.94
(11) Hibernia Savings and Loan Society, per Vol. 10, Bill 1730, Lot 11, Block 1498, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936.....	17.03
(12) Claude T. Lindsay, per Vol. 42, Bill 1865, Lot 6A/9, Block 6967, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936.....	7.95
(13) Mildred King, per Vol. 35, Bill 24, Lot 36/37, Block 5811, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936.....	4.54

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

**Re-referred.**

The following resolution was on motion *re-referred to the Finance Committee*:

**Inspection of Toll Collection Devices, Golden Gate Bridge.**

(Code No. 12.111)

Resolution No. 3374, as follows:

Whereas, information has been received by Supervisor Uhl that the toll collection devices on the Golden Gate Bridge are inaccurate; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is vitally interested in the collection of all tolls covering pleasure automobiles, trucks and pedestrians; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Chief Administrative Officer instruct the City Engineer to make a check covering the toll collection devices on the Golden Gate Bridge and report to the Board of Supervisors whether the devices are in line with the specifications and the accuracy of said devices.



**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

**Change of Grades, Alabama and Ripley Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0722)

Bill No. 1368, Ordinance No. 12.072227, as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Alabama and Ripley streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 26th day of April, 1937, by Resolution No. 3234, declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Alabama and Ripley streets.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said Resolution of Intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days have elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

<i>Alabama Street:</i>	<i>Feet</i>
Ripley street northerly line .....	132.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Easterly line of, 15 feet southerly from the northerly line of Ripley street .....	133.25
15 feet northerly from the southerly line of Ripley street at the easterly line of Alabama street produced from the north .....	135.75
15 feet northerly from the southerly line of Ripley street at the easterly line of Alabama street produced from the south .....	135.75
(The same being the present official grade.)	
11.78 feet southwesterly from the last described point (measured along the curb) .....	136.87
11.78 feet southwesterly from the last described point (measured along the curb) .....	138.37
11.78 feet southerly from the last described point (measured along the curb) .....	140.64
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
Westerly line of, 15 feet southerly from the northerly line of Ripley street .....	133.25
(The same being the present official grade.)	
15 feet northerly from the southerly line of Ripley street at the westerly line of Alabama street produced from the south .....	135.75
(The same being the present official grade.)	
11.78 feet southeasterly from the last described point (measured along the curb) .....	136.87
11.78 feet southeasterly from the last described point (measured along the curb) .....	138.37
11.78 feet southerly from the last described point (measured along the curb) .....	140.64
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 9 feet northerly from Waltham street northerly line.....	169.51
Waltham street northerly line produced.....	171.58
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*Ripley Street:*

Northerly line of, 110 feet easterly from Alabama street..	136.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Southerly line of, 132.50 feet easterly from Alabama street.	140.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Northerly line of, at Alabama street.....	132.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Alabama street easterly line .....	133.25
15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Alabama street easterly line (produced from the north).....	135.75
15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Alabama street easterly line (produced from the south).....	135.75
(The same being the present official grade.)	
11.78 feet southwesterly from the last described point (measured along the curb) .....	136.87
11.78 feet southwesterly from the last described point (measured along the curb) .....	138.37
(The last two described being points on a vertical curve.)	
15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Alabama street westerly line .....	133.25
(The same being the present official grade.)	
15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Alabama street westerly line (produced from the south).....	135.75
(The same being the present official grade.)	
11.78 feet southeasterly from the last described point (measured along the curb) .....	136.87
11.78 feet southeasterly from the last described point (measured along the curb) .....	138.37
(The last two described being points on a vertical curve.)	

On Alabama street between the northerly line of Ripley street and the northerly line of Waltham street produced, and on Ripley street between the westerly line of Alabama street and at points respectively 110 feet and 132.5 feet easterly from Alabama street be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the elevations above given therefor.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

**Re-referred.**

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up and *re-referred to said Committee:*

**Amending Section 39 of Traffic Ordinance.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Bill No. 1369, Ordinance No. 11.0233, as follows:

Amending Section 39, Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series) entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 39, Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 39. Between the hours of 7 a. m., and 6 p. m., of any day except Sundays and legal holidays and subject to all special provisions of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), as amended, it shall be unlawful



for the operator of any vehicle to park such vehicle for a period longer than in this section provided:

(1) 60 minutes in a business district where signs have been erected and maintained not more than 200 feet apart in each block to designate said 60 minute parking limits.

(2) 3 hours in any business district wherein signs have not been erected and maintained as provided in subdivision (1) of this section.

(3) 3 hours in any residential district as such district is defined by the Vehicle Code of the State of California.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

**Approving Map Showing the Widening of Polk Street at Fell Street.**

(Code No. 12.0821)

Resolution No. 3381, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Map Showing the Widening of Polk Street at Fell Street," approved the 16th day of June, 1937, by Director of Public Works Order No. 6353, be, and is hereby, approved and made official and the parcel shown hatched thereon is hereby declared to be an open public street to be known as Polk street.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

**Approving Map Showing the Widening of Lower Terrace and Portion Thereof, Closed and Abandoned.**

(Code No. 12.0821)

Also, Resolution No. 3382, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Map Showing the Widening of Lower Terrace; also Portion of Lower Terrace Closed and Abandoned," approved the 16th day of June, 1937, by Director of Public Works Order No. 6352, be and is hereby approved and made official and the parcels shown hatched thereon are hereby declared to be an open public street to be known as Lower Terrace.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

**Approving Map Showing the Realignment of Bay Street, Near Fillmore.**

(Code No. 12.0821)

Also, Resolution No. 3383, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Map Showing the Realignment of Bay Street from Fillmore Street to a Point 236 Feet East of Laguna Street," approved the 18th day of June, 1937, by Director of Public Works Order No. 6388, be, and is hereby, approved and made official and the parcels shown hatched thereon are hereby declared to be an open public street to be known as Bay street.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

**Street Acquisition—Lower Terrace.**

(Code No. 12.064)

Also, Resolution No. 3384, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Resolution No. 3233 of this board, the City and County of San Francisco accepted and recorded a certain deed from Della I. Belding, et vir., on April 30, 1937, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the land described in said deed be and is hereby declared to be an open public street as a part of Lower Terrace.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-vieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

**Designating Certain Streets of Major Importance.**

(Code No. 5.31)

Also, Resolution No. 3385, as follows:

Resolved, that the following additional streets be, and are hereby, designated as streets of major importance from which moneys accruing to the credit of the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund shall be expended:

Castro street from Eighteenth street to Divisadero street.

Divisadero street from Castro street, extended to Fell street.

Stockton street from Market street to Columbus avenue.

It is further Resolved, That copy of this resolution be sent to the State Department of Public Works and to John H. Skeggs, Division Engineer thereof.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-vieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of the Streets Committee were taken up.

**Ordering the Construction of a Sewer in Kirkwood Avenue Between Phelps and Quint Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Bill No. 1370, Ordinance No. 12.061177, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 22, 1937, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Fran-



cisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Kirkwood avenue, between Phelps and Quint streets, by the construction of the following items:

Item No.	Item
1.	12-inch V. C. P. sewer, in place
2.	12x6-inch "Wye" branches, in place
3.	Brick manholes, complete

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered respectively, as:

Lots 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 of Block 5280; and Lots 1, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, and 48 of Block 5289; all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

#### Ordering the Construction of Sidewalks on Ardenwood Way and at Certain Other Locations.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1371, Ordinance No. 12.061178, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 22, 1937, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of:

Ardenwood way (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Sloat boulevard and 87' north.

Kirkham street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Fourteenth and Fifteenth avenues.

Kirkham street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Fifteenth and Sixteenth avenues.

Moraga street (S.½) between Sixteenth avenue and 120' east of Seventeenth avenue.

Moraga street (S.½) between Seventeenth avenue and 32' 6" west.

Moraga street (S.½) between 32' 6" and 82' 6" east of Eighteenth avenue.

Noriega street (S.½) between Eighteenth avenue and 32' 6" east.

Ortega street (N.½) between Sixteenth avenue and 32' 6" west.

Ortega street (N.½) between Seventeenth avenue and 140' 10" east.

Pacheco street (N.½) between Tenth avenue and 82' 6" east.

Seventeenth avenue (E.½) between Irving street and 142' 6½" south.

Seventeenth avenue (W.½) between Moraga street and 325' south.

Sloat boulevard (N.½) between Ardenwood way and 28.19' west.

Tenth avenue (E.½) between Quintara street and 325' north

by the construction of two-course concrete sidewalks six (6) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width are not already constructed.

And the improvement of:

Eighth avenue (W.½) between Noriega street and 100' north.

Fifteenth avenue (W.½) between Judah street and 25' south.

Fourteenth avenue (E.½) between 100' and 125' north of Kirkham street.

Irving street (S.½) between 82' 6" and 107' 6" west of Eleventh avenue.

Irving street (N.½) between 65' and 102' 6" west of Fifteenth avenue.

Kirkham street (N.½) between 20th avenue and 120' west.

Rivera street (N.½) between Nineteenth and Twentieth avenues.

Rivera street (S.½) between Seventeenth avenue and 100' east.

Second avenue (W.½) between Irving street and 35' south.

Seventeenth avenue (W.½) between 28' and 92' south of Rivera street.

Seventeenth avenue (E.½) between Rivera street and 57' 4½" south.

Seventeenth avenue (E.½) between 28' and 59' north of the north line of Santiago street produced

Seventeenth avenue (W.½) between 125' and 200' north of Taraval street.

Tenth avenue (W.½) between Ortega street and 25' north.

Tenth avenue (W.½) between 125' and 150' north of Ortega street.

Tenth avenue (E.½) between 100' and 125' south of Noriega street.

Twentieth avenue (W.½) between Lawton street and 60' north.

by the construction or reconstruction of two-course concrete sidewalks six (6) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks six (6) feet in width are defective or not already constructed to the official grade.

And the improvement of:

Eighth avenue (E.½) between 225' and 250' north of Judah street.

Eighth avenue (E.½) between 50' and 100' south of Noriega street.

Eighth avenue (E.½) between 225' and 350' south of Noriega street.

Eighth avenue (W.½) between 250' and 287' 6" south of Noriega street.

Eighth avenue (W.½) between 100' and 125' north of Ortega street.

Hugo street (N.½) between Fifth avenue and 95' east.

Irving street (N.½) between Second avenue and 95' east.

Judah street (N.½) between Seventh avenue and 100' west.

Ninth avenue (W.½) between Irving street and 450' north.

Ninth avenue (W.½) between 100' and 125' south of Judah street.

Ninth avenue (W.½) between 100' and 125' north of Kirkham street.

Ninth avenue (W.½) between 100' and 175' south of Kirkham street.

Ninth avenue (W.½) between Lawton street and 100' north.

Ortega street (N.½) between 32' 6" and 57' 6" west of Eighth avenue.

Second avenue (E.½) between 110' and 135' north of Irving street.

Seventh avenue (W.½) between 75' and 125' south of Irving street.

Sixteenth avenue (W.½) between 100' and 125' north of Irving street.



Taraval street (N.½) between 35' and 110' west of Eighteenth avenue.

Taraval street (S.½) between 40' and 95' west of Nineteenth avenue.

Tenth avenue (W.½) between 175' and 225' north of Irving street.

Tenth avenue (W.½) between 150' and 175' north of Judah street.

Tenth avenue (W.½) between 325' and 350' north of Kirkham street.

Tenth avenue (E.½) between 100' and 250' south of Noriega street.

Twelfth avenue (W.½) between 100' and 525' south of Lincoln way.

Twentieth avenue (E.½) between 150' and 175' south of Lincoln way.

Twentieth avenue (W.½) between Kirkham street and 450' north.

by the construction or reconstruction of two-course concrete sidewalks of the full official width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks of the full official width are defective or not already constructed to

And the improvement of:

Eleventh avenue (W.½) between 300' and 350' south of Lincoln way. the official grade.

by the construction or reconstruction of a two-course concrete sidewalk twelve (12) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks twelve (12) feet or more in width are defective or not already constructed to the official grade.

And the improvement of:

Irving street (N.½) between Eleventh avenue and 95' west.

by the construction or reconstruction of a two-course concrete sidewalk nine (9) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks nine (9) feet or more in width are defective or not already constructed to the official grade.

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered, respectively, as:

Block No.	Lot No.	Block No.	Lot No.
1771	4, 5	2053	16, 19, 21, 22, 23, 24
1838	14A, 17	2054	27
1839	5A, 6	2125	4-L
2032	1, 3, 5, 5-A, 5-B, 27, 28	2129	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8
2033A	1	2541	14

Block No.	Lot No.	Block No.	Lot No.
1735	20	2041	15
1757	1	2046	36
1766	48	2047	16, 20
1833	14	2198	11, 13, 16, 17
1838	1	2332	1-A, 1-B
1840	21	2333A	22, 38, 39
1866	18	2346	8

Block No.	Lot No.	Block No.	Lot No.
1731	40	1833	4, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14
1734	15	1843	7
1738	2, 11, 12, 17	1844	2, 17
1740	13, 14	1855	2, 3, 3-A, 17
1741	4, 5, 7, 10, 11, 13, 17, 18	2044	3-B, 4, 4-A, 10, 11
1746	11	2045	8, 16, 18
1750	13, 17	2046	32, 33, 35, 36
1762	4, 5, 20, 32	2347	10, 11
1765	13	2406	32, 32-A

Block No.	Lot No.	Block No.	Lot No.
1739	10, 11	1739	21

All being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of

the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

**Creating Underground Districts: Army Street Between Bryant and  
San Jose Avenue, Castro Between Waller and Duboce Avenue  
and Richardson Avenue Between Lombard Street and Lyon  
Street.**

(Code No. 12.20)

Also, Bill No. 1372, Ordinance No. 12.2022, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for  
Placing Wires and Conduits Underground in the City and County of  
San Francisco," by adding new sections thereto to be known as Sec-  
tions VVV, WWW and XXX.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Fran-  
cisco, as follows:

Section 1. Order No. 214 (Second Series), the title of which is re-  
cited above, is hereby amended by adding new sections to be known  
as Sections VVV, WWW and XXX.

Section VVV. An additional district to those heretofore described  
within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead  
wires after the permanent improvement by physical widening of the  
roadway of Army street from Bryant street to San Jose avenue, is  
hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 88, Army street from Bryant street  
to San Jose avenue.

Section WWW. An additional district to those heretofore described  
within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead  
wires after the permanent improvement by physical widening of the  
roadway of Castro street between Waller street and Duboce avenue, is  
hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 89, Castro street from Waller  
street to Duboce avenue.

Section XXX. An additional district to those heretofore described  
within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead  
wires after August 1, 1937, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Richardson avenue between Lombard street and Lyon street.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

**Closing and Abandoning a Portion of Adam Street.**

(Code No. 12.0622)

Resolution No. 3386, as follows:

Whereas, on the 24th day of May, 1937, the Supervisors of the City  
and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution  
No. 3288, Code No. 12.0621, which resolution was presented to His  
Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regularly ap-  
proved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the  
25th day of May, 1937, said resolution being in words and figures as  
follows:



*Intention to Close a Portion of Adam Street.*

Resolution No. 3288, Code No. 12.0621.

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of Adam street be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Adam street more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

All that portion of Adam street lying between the southerly line of Army street and its present southerly termination northerly from Bay Shore boulevard.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Adam street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expenses of said closing and abandonment, amounting to one hundred dollars (\$100) be paid by the Bothin Estate Company.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Adam street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted*—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, May 24, 1937.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, May 25, 1937.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

And Whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution and the said Department of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 3288, Code No. 12.0621; and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that the portion of Adam street described in Resolution No. 3288, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered, that the portion of Adam street specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 3288, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing said portion of Adam street described in Resolution No. 3288, Code No. 12.0621, amounting to one hundred dollars (\$100) be paid by the Bothin Estate Company as proposed and provided in Resolution No. 3288, Code No. 12.0621; and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said portion of said street described in Resolution No. 3288, Code No. 12.0621, shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works and that the Department of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

### Supervisor Brown Excused.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, Supervisor Brown's request that he be excused for vacation for next ten days was concurred in.

### Release of Lien Filed Re Old Age Security.

(Code No. 19.02)

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. 3387, as follows:

Resolved, That the lien heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, on recommendation of the County Welfare Department, is released against the property described as follows:

Edward J. Clark, recorded August 10, 1936, in Vol. 3011, page 119, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

### Controller to Furnish Statement of Expenditures and Unappropriated Balance for Rapid Transit Facilities.

(Code No. 9.051)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 3388, as follows:

Whereas, on November 26, 1934, the Board of Supervisors passed Ordinance No. 9.051155, entitled, "Appropriation of \$25,000 Out of the Municipal Railway Operative Fund to Provide Funds to Defray the Cost of a Study, Report, Recommendation and Estimate of Cost Thereon by the Public Utilities Commission of Terminal and Rapid Transit Facilities in San Francisco and on the Peninsula Connected With the San Francisco Bay Bridge;" and

Whereas, on the 14th day of December, 1936, the Board of Supervisors passed Ordinance No. 9.051328, entitled, "Appropriating \$50,000 From the Municipal Railway Operative Fund to Defray the Cost of Making Plans and Specifications for the Construction of a Rapid Transit System for San Francisco That Will Be an Extension and Improvement to the Existing Municipal Railway System;" now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller is hereby respectfully requested to report to the Board of Supervisors an itemized statement of expenditures and the unappropriated balance of the funds appropriated by Ordinance No. 9.051155 and Ordinance No. 9.051328.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Schmidt, Shannon—4.



**Opening School Playgrounds During Vacation Period.**

(Code Nos. 21.2 and 23.04)

Supervisor Meyer for Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 2389, as follows:

Whereas, during the school vacation a large number of young children are compelled to find recreation in the public streets by reason of the fact that many of the playgrounds attached to the public schools are closed; and

Whereas, with the co-operation of the Recreation Department with the Board of Education said playgrounds could be opened for the accommodation of the children residing in the vicinity of said schools, provided that the necessary attendants can be obtained to supervise said playgrounds; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Recreation Department and the Department of Education are hereby requested to report to the Board of Supervisors under what conditions the school playgrounds may be made available to the children of the City during the vacation period.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence, Captain Michael Riordan, Member of the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement Board.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3390, as follows:

Resolved, That on the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Michael Riordan, member of the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement Board, is hereby granted a leave of absence of sixty (60) days, commencing July 14, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

**Leave of Absence, Honorable Philip Lee Bush, Member of Board of Education.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3391, as follows:

Resolved, That on the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Philip Lee Bush, member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence of ten (10) days, commencing July 7, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

### Amending Ordinance by Changing the Compensation Now Paid Transferred Employee.

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1373, Ordinance No. 9.053102, as follows:

An ordinance amending Ordinance No. 9.053101 by changing the compensation fixed for the position enumerated under Item 2 of Section 44 thereof to correspond to the compensation now paid the employee being transferred to the said position.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 44 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

#### Section 44. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF BUILDING INSPECTION

Item No.	Number of Employees	Class No.	Class Title—	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	13	A106	Building Inspector .....	\$ 225
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
3	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
4	2	F558	Structural Engineer .....	300
5	1	F560	Superintendent Bureau of Building Inspection. . . . .	500
6	1	M158	Boiler Inspector .....	250

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

### Authorizing the Director of Property to Select an Additional Independent Expert Real Estate and Building Appraiser to Pass Upon Requests Made for Reductions in 1937-1938 Assessments.

(Code No. 1.0621)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Bill No. 1375, Ordinance No. 1.06218, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Property to select an additional independent expert real estate and building appraiser to pass upon requests made for reductions in 1937-1938 assessments.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to employ one independent expert real estate and building appraiser as needed subject to the provisions of Section 142 of the Charter to aid and assist him in advising the Board of Supervisors in regard to requests for reductions in said assessments. Said appraiser shall receive not more than \$250, payable from Appropriation No. 701.106.01.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

### Amending Salary Ordinance to Provide for Uninterrupted Operation of Welfare Department.

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1374, Ordinance No. 9.053103, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 66 of the Salary Ordinance to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Welfare Department.



Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 66 of the Salary Ordinance is hereby amended to read: "Pending classification of the positions by the Civil Service Commission and creation of the employments not later than October 14, 1937, the employments as established heretofore under the Citizens' Emergency Relief Commission are continued. Provided, that pending the complete classification of the said positions should a vacancy occur in any of the positions hereby continued, such vacancy may be filled at a rate not to exceed the entrance rate established for said classification in the April 9, 1930, report issued by the Civil Service Commission."

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

#### Letter of Thanks.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Mrs. Leonard S. Leavy, expressing her heartfelt appreciation for the compilation of the memory book in honor of her late husband, Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ordered *filed*.

#### Proposed Amendment to the Traffic Ordinance.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Secretary Michael Riordan of the Board of Police Commissioners, recommending amendment to Section 39 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), with reference to time allowed parked automobiles, business and residential sections.

*Re-referred to Streets Committee.*

#### Unification of Street Railways.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Hurford Sharon, Secretary of the San Francisco Transportation Committee, urging the approval of the resolution introduced by Supervisor Arthur M. Brown, Jr., authorizing the investigation of the immediate possibilities of unification of the private and municipal street car lines, and the basis, if any, upon which such consolidation may be satisfactorily negotiated.

*Read by the Clerk.*

#### Redwood Empire Inter-County Conference.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Lem Yocom, Chairman, Humboldt County Board of Supervisors, inviting San Francisco to send delegates to an inter-county conference to be held in Eureka on Friday, July 9, at 10 a. m., for the purpose of discussing the financing of the Redwood Empire Counties' Exhibit at the Golden Gate International Exposition in 1939.

Ordered *filed*. Clerk to acknowledge.

#### Regarding the Routing of Roads in Yosemite National Park.

Communication from Lawrence C. Merriam, Acting Superintendent, National Park Service, acknowledging resolution regarding the routing of roads in Yosemite National Park.

Also, communication from C. D. Curtiss, Chief of Bureau of Public Roads, U. S. Department of Agriculture, acknowledging receipt of resolution requesting that the road between Groveland and Mather be incorporated in the studies of the Bureau of the Routes for en-

trance into Yosemite National Park, and for further development of the recreational area adjacent.

Ordered *filed*.

### Unification of Street Railways.

The following matter was read by the Clerk:

Report of the Public Utilities Committee, opposing passage of resolution presented by Supervisor Brown, requesting the appointment of a committee to study the matter of unification of street railways and report thereon prior to August 23 was read as follows:

#### Report of the Public Utilities Committee Re Resolution on the Unification of Street Railways, Introduced by Supervisor Arthur M. Brown, Jr.

On June 30th, 1937, the Public Utilities Committee met and considered the resolution introduced by Supervisor Brown requesting the appointment of a committee for the purpose of investigating the possibility of the unification of the private and municipal street railway lines.

After hearings from Mr. Ross of the Controller's office, Manager of Utilities Cahill and interested citizens, the committee, by the following vote, recommended against the passage of the resolution:

Aye—Supervisor McSheehy.

Noes—Supervisors Meyer, Colman.

FRED W. MEYER,  
Chairman of the Committee.

Don Nickelson, representing the Triangle District Merchants, was heard in favor of the resolution.

Supervisor Brown moved as an amendment that a representative of the Public Utilities Commission be added to the proposed committee.

*So ordered.*

Whereupon, Supervisor McSheehy urged the adoption of the resolution. After discussion, the roll was called and the resolution *adopted*, as follows:

### Committee to Study Unification of Street Railways.

(Code No. 15.091)

Supervisor Brown presented:

Resolution No. 3373, as follows:

Whereas, the proposed Cahill rapid transit plan involves a total cost to the taxpayers of \$51,000,000, including interest during construction; and

Whereas, present traffic and transportation conditions are unsatisfactory and will be almost intolerable during the nine months of the Exposition in 1939; and

Whereas, construction of the proposed subway system will cover a five-year period and it would thus appear that only nominal, if any, relief, will be possible in time to meet conditions in 1939; and

Whereas, private railway lines operating in the City and County of San Francisco carry two and one-half patrons to one given service by the Municipal Railway; and

Whereas, it would appear that approval of the proposed large expenditure by a two-thirds vote prior to exhaustion of the possibilities of agreement for something approaching unification of service will be extremely difficulty; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that a committee of six, including the City Controller, Chairman of the Finance Committee, Chairman of the Public Utilities Committee, Chairman of the Streets Committee, the President of the Board of Supervisors, and a representative of the Public Utilities Com-



*mission to be appointed by the Commission*, be and is hereby appointed and authorized to investigate the immediate possibilities of unification of the private and Municipal lines; the benefits of such unification and a basis, if any, upon which such consolidation may be satisfactorily negotiated; and be it

Further Resolved, That the committee herein authorized shall file with the Board of Supervisors prior to Monday, August 23, 1937, its report and recommendations which will permit official action by the Board of Supervisors in time to submit the proposed rapid transit plan, or a revision thereof, at the November 2, 1938, election; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Department of Public Works be instructed to broaden the present City-wide pedestrian and vehicular traffic survey, which is to officially conclude on August 1st, to include pertinent information which may be helpful to the special committee in its studies and negotiations, without expense to the City.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Uhl—6.

No—Supervisor Meyer—1.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced, Supervisor Meyer changed his vote from "No" to "Aye" and moved for reconsideration at the next meeting of the Board.

#### Hearing on Bail Bond Ordinance.

Supervisor McSheehy announced that he had been in consultation with the City Attorney on the subject of the bail bond ordinance he introduced last week and that there will be a hearing before the Judiciary Committee July 9, 1937, at 4 p. m.

#### Board of Equalization

Supervisor Uhl moved to meet as a Board of Equalization on Friday, July 9, 1937, at 10 a. m.

*So ordered.*

#### Meeting Announcements.

Board of Equalization, July 9, 10 a. m.

Judiciary Committee, July 9, 4 p. m. (in chambers of Board).

#### RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors at 5:05 p. m. recessed until Friday, July 9, 1937, at 10 a. m., when it will sit as a Board of Equalization to consider applications for reductions or corrections of assessments on the Assessment Roll for 1937-1938.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, July 12, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Friday, July 9, 1937  
Monday, July 12, 1937  
Tuesday, July 13, 1937  
Thursday, July 15, 1937  
Friday, July 16, 1937  
Monday, July 19, 1937

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

Sitting as a  
Board of Equalization



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

FRIDAY, JULY 9, 1937, 10 A. M.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess of Tuesday, July 6, 1937, to sit as a Board of Equalization in accordance with the motion of Supervisor Uhl and proceeded and heard all applicants who had filed sworn statements and petition for correction or reduction of assessments of their property as shown on the assessment roll for the fiscal year 1937-1938.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Ratto, Schmidt—4.

Excused—Supervisors Ratto, Schmidt, Brown (on vacation), Colman (at Monterey Military Camp).

Supervisor Shannon presiding.

### Consideration of Applications for Correction or Reduction of Assessments.

The following numbered applications were taken up, the applicants or their agents called, and where appearance was made, duly heard and the applications acted upon as follows:

	Lot	Block	Building	Land
1 Margaret Grant .....	20A	1845	650	1,009
Appraisers recommend no reduction. Action deferred temporarily.				
2 Frances Fink .....	3	253	6,000	4,560
Appraisers recommend \$1,000 reduction on building. Action deferred temporarily.				
3 James G. Nicklen .....	12	2519	100	700
No appearance. Appraisers recommend no reduction. Action deferred temporarily.				
4 A. F. Hilton (Brown, attorney)...	1	1504	10,1\$\$	4,050
Appraisers recommend no reduction. Action deferred temporarily.				
5 C. Y. Chan .....	33	156	850	600
Appraisers recommend \$100 reduction on building. Action deferred temporarily.				
6 Katherine Allen (a) .....	20	3540	1,250	1,340
Appraisers recommend no reduction. Referred to Supervisor Shannon to investigate.				
7 Edwin W. Casey .....	17	1011	1,400	2,600
Represented by his father. Appraisers recommend no reduction. Supervisor Uhl moved to take under advisement. Action deferred temporarily.				
8 Charles George Dickow .....	7C	1685	2,850	1,080
Appraisers recommend no reduction. Action deferred temporarily.				
9 Rose Saia .....	6	67	2,450	1,300
Appraisers recommend no reduction. Supervisor Uhl moved to take under advisement. Action deferred temporarily.				



		Lot	Block	Building	Land
10	Rose Saia .....	7	67	1,500	1,20
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Assessor explained that building should be increased to \$4,800 as the amount indicated is only a progress assessment. Correction ordered made.				
11	Wm. W. Briare.....	10	1632	5,900	1,88
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Figure on building corrected to read \$2,900. Action deferred temporarily.				
12	Julia B. Brown .....	5	6550	150	1,44
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Action deferred temporarily.				
13	Mary J. Ward .....	5	4338	1,600	63
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Action deferred temporarily.				
14	Christine Casson .....	20A	1537	2,250	1,32
	Appraisers recommend \$250 reduction on building. Action deferred temporarily.				
15	Catherine F. Donovan .....	22	3655	2,200	63
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Supervisor Uhl moved to take under advisement. Action deferred temporarily.				
16	Jacob J. Mallick .....	5	6908	2,800	1,30
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Action deferred temporarily.				
17	F. Vizzard .....	23	6516	8,100	1,90
	Appraisers recommend \$600 reduction on building. Action deferred temporarily.				
18	Void.				
19	Fanny M. Klang.....	14A	1167	5,400	1,10
	Appraisers recommend \$400 reduction on building. Supervisor Uhl moved to take under advisement. Action deferred temporarily.				
19A	Jacob Egitkonoff (a).....	21	1758	2,500	90
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Supervisors McSheehy-Uhl moved a reduction of \$200. Re-referred to Appraisers.				
20	Frank Mullan .....	23	752	3,350	3,00
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Supervisor Uhl moved to take under advisement. Action deferred temporarily.				
21	Bernard Becker .....	10	1522	3,800	1,20
	No report. Action deferred temporarily.				
22	Matilda Dautel .....	21	6727	750	30
	Appraisers recommend \$250 reduction. Action deferred temporarily.				
23	E. C. Guiney.....	36	6941	1,400	40
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Previous owner had a veterans' exemption of \$1,000. Supervisor Uhl moved a reduction on building from \$1,400 to \$1,300. Action deferred temporarily.				
24	J. A. Chevalier .....	21/22	5355	2,750	40
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Supervisor Roncovieri moved re-reference to Appraisers. So ordered.				
25	August Peterson .....	10	271	10,000	2,20
	Appraisers recommend \$1,000 reduction. No objection and so ordered.				
26	T. A. Sperry .....	3	6415	900	20
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Referred to Supervisor Uhl. Under advisement.				
27	Alice E. Sperry.....	4	6344	1,050	20
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Moved and carried application be denied. Motion carried.				

		<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
28	Karoline Schweizer .....	18	1193	3,000	2,340
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Supervisor McSheehy moved reduction of \$300. Motion <i>carried</i> .				
29	Margaret Riordan .....	41	3762	400	600
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Supervisors Uhl-McSheehy moved reduction of \$200. Motion <i>carried</i> .				
30	Anna Yackley .....	27	4208	1,300	780
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
31	B. A. Wernquist .....	7	3277	1,600	900
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
32	Edward J. Coakley .....	22	753	700	1,790
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
33	Florence R. Edwards .....	18	558	6,150	3,320
	Appraisers recommend reduction of \$200 additional to Assessor's reduction of \$550, making it \$5,400.				
	Supervisor Uhl moved reduction as recommended. No objection and so <i>ordered</i> .				
34	Mary Peterson .....	24	4217	1,400	500
	Appraisers recommend \$100 reduction.				
	Supervisor Roncovieri moved approval. No objection and so <i>ordered</i> .				
35	Alice E. Sperry .....	28A	1799	.....	780
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
36	E. G. Tegil.....	1B	544	10,500	3,030
	<i>Re-referred to Appraisers</i> . Subsequently granted a reduction of \$2,000.				
37	J. F. Lohsen.....	24/24A	845	1,700	1,040/1,040
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Assessor has already reduced it to \$1,400. Application <i>denied</i> .				
38	Hannah Dhue .....	10E	1652	3,600	1,250
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Supervisor Uhl-Shannon moved reduction from \$3,600 to \$3,300. No objection and so <i>ordered</i> .				
39	Joie Exnicios .....	1	577	29,000	3,780
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
40	Meta Jensen .....	31A	1650	5,000	1,250
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				

#### Referred.

Nos. 7, 19, 23, 26 were referred to Supervisor Uhl to investigate.

No. 6 was referred to Supervisor Shannon.

Nos. 19A and 2436 were re-referred to the Appraisers.

#### Applications Granted.

On motion duly made and *carried*, the following reductions were *granted*: No. 2, \$1,000; No. 5, \$100; No. 14, \$250; No. 17, \$600; No. 19, \$400; No. 22, \$250; No. 25, \$1,000; No. 28, \$300; No. 29, \$200; No. 33, \$200; No. 34, \$100; No. 38, \$300.

#### Applications Denied.

Thereupon, on motion duly made and *carried*, the following numbered applications were *denied* to-wit: 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 20, 21, 23, 27, 30, 32, 35, 37, 39 and 40.

On motion duly made and *carried* it was agreed to take up applications numbered 1 to 150 at the next session of the Board of Equalization.

#### RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Equalization at 12 o'clock noon took a recess until 2 p.m. this afternoon.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.



FRIDAY, JULY 9, 1937.

FRIDAY, JULY 9, 1937, 2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess to hear applicants who had filed sworn statements and petition for correction or reduction of assessments of their property as shown on the assessment roll for the fiscal year 1937-1938.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Ratto, Schmidt—5.

Supervisors Brown and Coleman excused.

Supervisor Shannon presiding.

### Consideration of Applications for Correction or Reduction of Assessments.

The following applicants or their agents who appeared were duly sworn, and testified regarding requested reductions in their assessments, to-wit:

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
41	Anna C. Weske .....	25	438A	4,250	1,15
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Supervisors Uhl-Meyer moved reduction to \$3,950.				
	Motion carried.				
42	Hamilton Murray .....	17	560	3,450	4,78
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Referred to Supervisor Uhl to investigate. Action deferred temporarily.				
43	T. Vaio .....	4	544	1,500	1,72
	Appraisers have no report. Action deferred temporarily.				
44	George Yee .....	21	241	4,000	3,42
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Action deferred temporarily.				
45	Kenneth Fung .....	21	193	22,500	5,40
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Referred to Supervisor Uhl. Action deferred temporarily.				
46	Sophie Johnson .....	44	1728	3,400	6
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Referred to Supervisor Uhl. Action deferred temporarily.				
47	Emily Springer .....	50	3533	2,900	5
	Referred to Supervisor Uhl. Action deferred temporarily.				
48	Dominic Camiccia .....	21	162	4,950	5,4
	Appraisers recommend reduction of \$750.				
	Supervisor Meyer moved that the reduction be approved. No objection and so ordered.				
49	Felicitas Luna .....	12	570	2,100	3,3
	Appraisers recommend reduction of \$100.				
	Action deferred temporarily.				
	Subsequently, reduced to \$1,200 on recommendation of Committee of Appraisers.				
50	Josephine Spinali .....	8	74	1,200	1,1
	Appraisers have no report.				
	Supervisor Uhl will move a reduction of \$100. Over until Monday.				
51	Olga D. Laib.....	27A	1008	4,650	2,80
	Appraisers recommend reduction of \$150. Action deferred temporarily.				
52	Olga D. Laib .....	27	1008	14,400	2,70
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Referred to Supervisor Uhl to investigate. Action deferred temporarily.				
	Subsequently reduced to \$13,000.				
53	Mrs. M. Brash .....	5	205	8,100	24,00
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Action deferred temporarily.				
54	Geo. J. C. Fitschen (a).....	19	5296	1,200	9
	Appraisers' report not ready. Action deferred temporarily.				

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
55	G. Morosi .....	8-3/9	4275	2,150	1,380
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Action deferred temporarily.				
56	A. Don Duncan .....	10	947	7,500	1,760
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Action deferred temporarily.				
57	A. Don Duncan .....	20	277	15,000	6,300
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Action deferred temporarily.				
58	Angelo Paoni .....	11	982	3,200	2,170
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Action deferred temporarily.				
59	Carl T. Foorman .....	43	3731	11,000	6,450
	Appraisers recommend reduction of \$2,000. No objection and it was so ordered.				
60	Budie Jacobs .....	72	3603	1,350	890
	Appraisers recommend reduction of \$350. No objection and it was so ordered.				
61	M. B. Woodworth .....	10	1649	13,500	3,750
	Appraisers' report not ready. Action deferred temporarily.				
62	Laura M. Landers .....	27	1535	2,900	1,250
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Action deferred temporarily.				
63	Laura M. Landers .....	8C	2199	1,500	860
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Action deferred temporarily.				
64	Laura M. Landers .....	13	1889	1,800	400
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved a reduction of \$150. Motion lost by the following vote: Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Shannon, Uhl—4. Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.				
65	Laura M. Landers .....	4	1889	1,500	400
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Supervisor Uhl to investigate. Action deferred temporarily.				
66	Louise Basso .....	3S	3211	1,150	340
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
67	Louise Basso .....	3/4	3210	1,650	590
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
68	Louise Basso .....	14A	6953	1,050	450
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
69	Emilie Wenter .....	9	4214	1,800	750
	Appraisers recommend reduction of \$200. Supervisor Uhl moved a \$200 reduction. No objection and it was so ordered.				
70	Marie Eiben .....	1	840	7,500	2,430
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Re-referred to appraisers for recheck and report Tuesday morning.				
71	Sylvia J. Welton .....	29	1617	1,800	900
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
72	Nettie Elkind .....	29	780	1,650	4,800
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
73	Pietro G. Ghio .....	14	42	850	800
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
74	Sidney L. Strickland .....	5	606	11,000	2,720
	Over until Tuesday on Supervisor Uhl's request.				
75	Mabel Q. Burdick .....	49	1549	1,700	1,200
	Over until Tuesday on Supervisor Uhl's request.				
76	A. Melodia .....	8A	7102	4,400	580
	Appraisers recommend reduction of \$500. No objection and it was so ordered.				



FRIDAY, JULY 9, 1937.

		<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
77	M. Mills .....	28	1008	1,500	2,68
	Appraisers recommend reduction of \$500. No objection and it was <i>so ordered</i> .				
78	J. P. and C. M. Stocker.....	53A	2612	1,400	25
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Supervisors McSheehy and Uhl moved reduction of \$100. No objection and it was <i>so ordered</i> .				
79	Frieda Mathis .....	1B	496	5,000	1,58
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
80	H. G. Brackett .....	29	1191	13,050	...
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Supervisor Uhl moved to reduce to \$12,000. No objection and it was <i>so ordered</i> .				
81	Richard H. Eisert .....	3A	547	9,000	7,38
	Appraisers' report not ready. Action deferred <i>temporarily</i> .				
82	J. Farley .....	32	2646	.....	4
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. <i>Re-referred to appraisers</i> .				
83	Emma R. Schulz .....	23	678	500	2,2
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
84	F. Mussio .....	9	64	9,500	2,6
	Appraisers recommend \$300 reduction on building. No objection and was <i>so ordered</i> .				
85	Alma S. Allen .....	11	6449	1,600	3
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
86	Emma Gill .....	9	1361	2,000	2,1
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Supervisor Uhl moved reduction of \$300. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
87	Ambrose D. Theodores .....	33	1015	2,350	1,5
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
88	Eva Mehegan .....	29	659	3,250	4,0
	Appraisers recommend \$250 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
89	Eva Mehegan .....	30/31	659	3,450	4,0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Supervisors Shannon and Uhl moved reduction of \$450. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
90	Carlotta Obarrio .....	23	1336	4,050	3,0
	<i>Referred to appraisers for report Tuesday</i> . Subsequently reduced \$250.				
91	George L. Hagemann .....	8	205	1,800	4,9
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
92	Adelaide Paganini .....	8	116	2,000	1,7
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
93	E. W. C. Witzmann .....	6 & 7	170	3,800	13,9
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. <i>Re-referred to appraisers</i> . Subsequently, appraisers recommended a reduction of \$3,370 on the land and there being no objection it was <i>so ordered</i> .				
94	Ellen Murphy .....	4	3562	700	3,0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
95	A. M. Keating .....	13	1212	4,000	3,0
	Appraisers recommend \$500 reduction. Supervisor Uhl moved approval. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> . Subsequently, reduced to \$2,000 on recommendation of Appraiser J. Cobby and on motion of Supervisor Uhl.				
96	Agnes M. Keating .....	12	1212	1,800	4,0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
97	Harold H. Puce .....	5	823	6,000	9,210
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Reduced \$1,000 by the assessor. No further reduction recommended.				
	Appraiser agrees to a reduction of \$5,500 on building. No objection and so ordered.				
98	H. J. Bazerque .....	2	310	20,000	31,010
	Appraisers recommend \$2,500 reduction on building.				
	Supervisor Uhl moved to reduce \$3,500.				
	Re-referred to appraisers for recheck on both buildings.				
	Subsequently, Building reduced to \$17,500.				
99	H. W. Anderson .....	5	798	2,100	2,280
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
100	H. W. Anderson .....	7	755	1,400	3,750
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
101	F. Guida .....	18	5308	1,100	80
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
102	Theresa Giannone .....	29	1151	150	1,220
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
103	Theresa Giannone .....	4	1092	50	960
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
104	Theresa Giannone .....	37	466A	4,700	1,470
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
105	E. McCulloch .....	19	3538	3,000	2,030
	Appraisers recommend \$250 reduction. No objection and so ordered.				
106	Lulu B. Skellenger .....	21	1026	8,100	2,610
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Referred to Supervisor Uhl. Over temporarily.				
107	Lulu B. Skellenger .....	13	862	1,900	1,950
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
108	Lulu B. Skellenger .....	21	1204	2,700	1,470
	Appraisers recommend \$300 reduction.				
	Supervisor McSheehy moved reduction from \$2,700 to \$2,400. No objection and so ordered.				
109	Louis Raoul .....	18	834	18,000	6,190
	Appraisers recommend \$1,000 reduction. No objection and so ordered.				
110	Sidney Green .....	7	773	2,150	3,250
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Assessor has reduced the property \$250 this year. No further reduction recommended. Application denied.				
111	Julia Arata .....	20/21	164	4,500	3,110
	Appraisers recommend \$250 reduction.				
	Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved a reduction of \$500. No objection and so ordered.				
112	M. Bilafer .....	54	1260	4,200	1,590
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Referred to Supervisor Uhl.				
	Subsequently, reduced \$200 on recommendation of Appraisers and motion of Supervisor Uhl.				
113	Marie Murphy .....	12	1840	2,300	750
	No report. Over until Monday.				
114	Elizabeth G. Abadie .....	7A	324	.....	7,500
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Referred to Assessor for investigation for next year. Application denied.				
115	Nick Contaruck .....	25	159	2,300	780
	No report. Over until Monday.				
116	Mary J. Dolan and Vera C. Clausen	2-2A	769	4,100	4,400
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
117	Vera C. Clausen .....	58	3516	2,250	1,850
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				



		Lot	Block	Building	La
118	Vera C. Clausen .....	58	3761	2,700	1,2
	<i>Re-referred to Appraisers.</i>				
119	Vera C. Clausen .....	17	2989	1,650	5
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
120	Mary J. Dolan .....	16	121	4,000	1,7
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
121	Rachel Lewis .....	10	761	2,500	4,8
	Appraisers recommend \$500 reduction. Assessor has reduced it from \$28,000 to \$25,000 and this is additional.				
	Supervisor McSheehy moved approval. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
122	Jas. Basch .....	1	681	3,800	4,6
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
123	Mrs. E. N. Richardson .....	4/5	1771	.....	12,1
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
124	Geo. H. and B. P. Briggs.....	14	713	5,750	3,8
	No report. <i>Action deferred</i> .				
125	M. D. Ahnden et al.....	13	735	4,200	7,3
	No report. <i>Action deferred</i> .				
126	J. and I. Ostrom.....	12	735	2,700	5,8
	Appraisers recommended \$600 reduction. No objection, <i>so ordered</i> .				
127	Mary and S. Cohen.....	4	1563	1,350	1,1
	No report. <i>Action deferred</i> .				
128	Lily O. Nestor .....	1/2	1027	4,950	11,1
	No report. <i>Action deferred</i> .				
129	J. and M. Dillon .....	8	4035	850	6
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
130	B. Gross .....	23/26	1707	3,450	3,7
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. <i>Referred to Supervisor Uhl</i> .				
131	Mark Sena .....	15	193	12,600	4,9
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. <i>Referred to Supervisor Uhl</i> .				
132	M. J. Kelly .....	7	1126	16,000	7,0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
133	Dora Landgrebe .....	70	3548	1,900	10
	Appraisers recommend \$200 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
134	Antonio Paganini .....	9/10	540	4,300	4,10
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. <i>Referred to Supervisor Uhl</i> .				
135	Joseph Coleman .....	17	721	2,000	2,10
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. <i>Referred to Supervisor Uhl</i> .				
136	F. Cavalli .....	4	217	9,800	5,0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. <i>Referred to Supervisor Uhl</i> .				
137	Patrick J. O'Shea .....	2	1150	700	1,0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
138	Fanny Levine .....	30	1238	2,950	1,0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. <i>Referred to Supervisor Uhl and subsequently recommended for a \$950 reduction.</i>				
	Supervisor Uhl moved a reduction of \$950, as recommended by J. F. Cobby, Appraiser. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
139	M. D. F. Engle.....	35	1203	450	1,0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Supervisor McSheehy moved reduction from \$450 to \$300. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
140	N. A. Pchelkin .....	7	1553	4,300	1,0
	Appraisers recommend \$300 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
141	C. and E. White.....	2	828	2,100	1,0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
142	John J. Kisich .....	35	3532	11,000	10,0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
143	James M. Barden .....	2D	1839	1,750	710
	Appraisers recommend \$250 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
144	V. Scerri .....	24	5520	2,400	300
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
145	Josie Geraldini .....	3A	25	3,450	1,620
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
146	Joseph Galletta .....	15	931	4,500	1,520
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
147	Edward Henken .....	1	6545	1,800	640
	Appraisers recommend \$200 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
148	Henry Bignasca .....	12	147	3,150	8,100
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
149	Mary Gnile .....	7A	3984	2,350	.....
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
150	Joseph Harowitz .....	4	2412	1,800	600
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
151	Cancelled.				
152	W. R. Lynn .....	1	7225	5,500	3,790
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
153	Fred Windeler .....	40	3775	2,250	1,300
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
154	K. Haydon .....	13	588	1,600	3,140
	Appraisers recommend \$300 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
155	Hazel Asebo .....	44	3607	1,100	1,930
	Appraisers recommend \$300 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
156	Daniel Hennessy .....	14	1620	3,600	1,140
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. <i>Referred to Supervisor Uhl</i> .				

#### Action Deferred.

Nos. 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 61, 62, 63, 65, 70, 74, 75, 81, 90, 112, 113, 115, 118, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 156.

Subsequently, the foregoing numbered applications were taken up and acted upon as follows:

#### Applications Granted.

Nos. 41, \$700; 47, \$250; 48, \$750; 49, \$800; 51, \$150; 52, \$1,400; 54, \$300; 59, \$2,000; 60, \$350; 61, \$500; 69, \$200; 74, \$500; 76, \$500; 77, \$500; 78, \$100; 80, \$1,050; 81, \$1,000; 84, \$300; 86, \$300; 88, \$250; 89, \$450; 90, \$250; 93, \$3,370; 95, \$500; 97, \$500; 98, \$2,500; 105, \$250; 108, \$300; 109, \$1,000; 111, \$500; 112, \$200; 121, \$500; 124, \$750; 125, \$200; 126, \$600; 136, \$800; 138, \$950; 139, \$150; 140, \$300; 143, \$250; 147, \$200; 154, \$300; 155, \$300; 156, \$200.

#### Applications Denied.

Nos. 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 50, 53, 55, 56, 57, 58, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 70, 71, 72, 73, 75, 79, 82, 83, 85, 87, 91, 92, 94, 96, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 106, 107, 110, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 122, 123, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 134, 135, 137, 141, 142, 144, 145, 146, 148, 149, 150, 152, 153, 156.

#### RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Equalization at 5:45 p. m. took a recess until 10 a. m. Monday, July 12, 1937.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.



## MONDAY, JULY 12, 1937, 10 A. M.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess of Friday, July 9, 1937, and after the transaction of other business proceeded to sit as a Board of Equalization and heard all applicants who had filed sworn statements for correction or reduction of assessments on the assessment roll for the fiscal year 1937-1938.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Reilly, Schmidt—5.

President Shannon presiding.

## Consideration of Applications for Correction or Reduction of Assessments.

The following applicants or their agents who appeared were duly sworn, and testified regarding requested reductions in their assessments, to-wit:

- 24 Appraiser recommends no reduction. *Action deferred.*
- 50 Appraiser recommends no reduction. *Referred to Supervisor Uhl.*
- 61 Appraiser recommends \$500 reduction. *Action deferred.*
- 70 Appraiser recommends no reduction. *Application denied.*
- 124 Appraiser recommends \$750 reduction. *Action deferred.*
- 125 Appraiser recommends no reduction.  
Supervisor Uhl moved a reduction of \$200. *Action deferred.*
- 126 Appraiser recommends \$200 reduction.  
Supervisor Meyer moved approval. *Action deferred.*
- 127 Appraiser recommends no reduction. *Action deferred.*
- 128 Appraiser recommends no reduction. *Action deferred.*
- 130 Appraiser recommends no reduction. *Referred to Supervisor Uhl.*
- 131 Appraiser recommends no reduction. *Referred to Supervisor Uhl.*
- 134 Appraiser recommends no reduction. *Referred to Supervisor Uhl.*
- 135 Appraiser recommends no reduction. *Referred to Supervisor Uhl.*
- 136 Appraiser recommends no reduction. *Referred to Supervisor Uhl.*
- 137 Appraiser recommends no reduction. *Action deferred.*
- 138 Appraiser recommends no reduction. *Referred to Supervisor Uhl.*
- 140 Appraiser recommends \$300 reduction. *Reduction granted.*
- 141 Assessor has reduced this \$850 this year. *Application denied.*
- 144 Appraiser recommends no reduction. *Action deferred.*
- 145 Appraiser recommends no reduction. *Action deferred.*
- 146 Appraiser recommends no reduction. *Action deferred.*
- 147 Appraiser recommends \$200 reduction. *Reduction granted.*
- 148 Appraiser recommends no reduction. *Action deferred.*
- 149 Appraiser recommends no reduction. *Action deferred.*
- 150 Appraiser recommends no reduction. *Action deferred.*
- 152 Appraiser recommends no reduction. *Action deferred.*
- 153 Appraiser recommends no reduction. *Action deferred.*
- 154 Appraiser recommends \$300 reduction. *Reduction granted.*
- 155 Appraiser recommends \$100 reduction.  
Supervisor Meyer moved reduction of \$200. *Action deferred.*
- 156 Appraiser recommends no reduction. *Referred to Supervisor Uhl.*
- 157 Appraiser recommends no reduction. *Action deferred.*
- 158 Appraiser recommends no reduction. *Action deferred.*
- 160 Appraiser recommends no reduction. *Action deferred.*
- 161 Appraiser recommends \$150 reduction. *Reduction granted.*
- 164 Appraiser recommends no reduction. *Action deferred.*
- 166 Appraiser recommends no reduction. *Referred to Supervisor Uhl.*
- 167 Appraiser recommends no reduction. *Action deferred.*
- 168 Appraiser recommends no reduction. *Action deferred.*
- 169 Appraiser recommends \$10,500 to \$7,500 reduction. *Reduction granted.*
- 170 Appraiser recommends no reduction. *Application denied.*
- 172 Appraiser recommends no reduction. *Referred to Supervisor Uhl.*
- 173 Appraiser recommends no reduction. *Action deferred.*

182 Appraiser recommends no reduction. *Action deferred.*

183 Appraiser recommends \$580 reduction. *Re-referred to Appraisers.*

### RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Equalization took a recess until tomorrow, Tuesday, July 13, 1937, at 10 a. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

### TUESDAY, JULY 13, 1937, 10 A. M.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess of Monday, July 12, 1937, and after the transaction of other business proceeded to sit as a Board of Equalization in accordance with the motion of Supervisor Roncovieri and heard all applicants who had filed sworn statements and petition for correction or reduction of assessments of their property as shown on the assessment roll for the fiscal year 1937-1938.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

### Consideration of Applications for Correction or Reduction of Assessments.

The following applicants or their agents who appeared were duly sworn, and testified regarding requested reductions in their assessments, to-wit:

No. 412 J. C. Berendsen (Graystone Hotel), Lot 12, Block 310, building assessed at \$30,000, land at \$81,230. Applicant stated that building contained eighty rooms, third class hotel with rooms renting as low as \$2.50 per week. One store is paying \$225 a month which used to pay \$1,000 a month. He asked for a substantial reduction in the assessment.

Director of Property Phillips declared that the property has already received this year a reduction in land value—that whole district has been reduced.

*Action deferred until Thursday or Friday.* (Subsequently the building assessment as on motion of Supervisor Uhl reduced from \$30,000 to \$25,000.

### BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

Thereupon, the Board of Equalization recessed and reassembled as a Board of Supervisors, the following members being present:

Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

### Re Appropriation of \$75,000 for Making Test Holes for Proposed Subway.

Harold Boyd, Controller, having been invited on motion of Supervisor Uhl to attend this meeting for the purpose of discussing the appropriation of \$75,000 out of Municipal Railway Funds for the purpose of making test holes along the route of the proposed subway on Market street, declared that the request for information on this subject has only been received in his office at 7:47 a. m. of Thursday last week and that he had not had time to get it together and asked for further time.

*So ordered.*

### RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors at 12:15 p. m. took a recess to reassemble as a Board of Equalization at 2:30 p. m. today.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.



## TUESDAY, JULY 13, 1937, 2:30 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess of three days and proceeded sit as a Board of Equalization and heard all applicants who had filed sworn statements and petition for correction or reduction of assessments of their property shown on the assessment roll for the fiscal year 1937-1938.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Reilly, Schmidt—5.

## Consideration of Applications for Correction or Reduction of Assessments.

The following applicants or their agents who appeared were duly sworn, and testified regarding requested reductions in their assessments, to-wit:

		Lot	Block	Building	La
157	Harry Saltzman .....	22-24	1648	14,450	4,20
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
158	D. F. Doaling .....	7	1243	9,000	3,20
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
159	Harry Harris .....	31	187	1,000	2,00
	Appraisers recommend \$100 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
160	R. Gianfermo .....	21	481	3,950	1,40
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
161	Seraphine Von Reimers .....	35	1256	1,150	1,80
	Appraisers recommend \$150 reduction on building. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
162	Mrs. John W. Marchbank .....	13	304	14,500	4,00
	Appraisers recommend \$500 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
163	Mrs. M. Smith .....	26	6978	550	10
	Appraisers recommend \$150 reduction.				
	Supervisor Uhl moved reduction of \$150. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
164	Marie Eiben .....	10	2518	.....	10
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
165	H. Cooper .....	28	5713	1,350	2,00
	Appraisers recommend reduction from \$1,350 to \$1,200. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
166	Charles Pronsolino .....	3	118	4,450	1,00
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Supervisor Uhl moved reduction from \$4,450 to \$4,100. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
167	G. Delucchi .....	3	121	11,600	2,00
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
168	Mabel R. Bazeley .....	12	2399	1,900	0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
169	Richard M. Lana .....	21	186	10,500	2,00
	Appraisers recommend reduction.				
	Supervisor Uhl moved reduction from \$10,500 to \$7,500. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
170	Gus Casner .....	1A	1422	3,300	1,00
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
171	Frank S. O'Brien .....	16	1717	3,450	0
	Appraisers recommend \$450 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
172	John J. Bowen, Jr. ....	11	473	5,250	1,00
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Referred to Supervisor Uhl and subsequently reduced from \$5,250 to \$4,850.				

		<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
173	Emilie Melville .....	55	3568	2,200	1,040
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
174	G. C. Whitaker .....	3/4	961	15,750	9,230
	Appraisers recommend \$1,000 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
175	Olga G. Sternberg .....	15A	418A	2,700	1,330
	Appraisers recommend \$200 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
176	Sam E. Friedman .....	9	849	1,900	2,710
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
177	Sam E. Friedman .....	8/9	772	3,700	11,940
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
178	Sam E. Friedman .....	8	773	2,250	3,200
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
179	Sam E. Friedman .....	21	1077	2,900	2,680
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	<i>Referred to Supervisor Uhl.</i>			
	<i>Subsequently denied.</i>				
180	Maria Grosso .....	9	1521	3,500	1,200
	Appraisers recommend \$250 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
181	C. Malatesta .....	25	1239	850	1,620
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Supervisor Uhl moved a reduction from \$850 to \$700 on building.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
182	Robt. Milligan .....	11	1259	.....	1,440
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
183	Lugene E. Hazer .....	17	808	17,600	8,310
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	On motion of Supervisor Uhl <i>laid over until later meeting.</i>				
	<i>Subsequently denied.</i>				
184	J. C. Hunken .....	15	713	4,000	4,430
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
185	S. Ripoll .....	1E	632	1,900	3,300
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
186	J. A. Dold .....	1(O)	1190	3,000	1,430
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			

## RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Equalization at 4 p. m. took a recess until Thursday, July 15, 1937, at 10 a. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.



## THURSDAY, JULY 15, 1937—10 A. M.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess of July 13, 1937, and proceeded to sit as a Board of Equalization and heard all applicants who had filed sworn statements and petition for correction or reduction of assessments of their property as shown on the assessment roll for the fiscal year 1937-1938.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:  
Supervisors Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.  
President Shannon presiding.

## Consideration of Applications for Correction or Reduction of Assessments.

The following applicants or their agents who appeared were duly sworn, and testified regarding requested reductions in their assessments, to-wit:

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
187	C. Yates .....	14	611	5,300	3,02
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application denied.			
188	A. F. Lahde .....	8B	2612	2,200	57
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application denied.			
189	G. H. Connell .....	26	2698	2,000	88
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application denied.			
190	Geo. H. Connell .....	27	2695	2,100	1,02
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application denied.			
191	Herbert Bossier .....	29	6800	1,800	60
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Corrected to read \$1,600 on building.			
192	Ida Epsteen .....	8	805	2,500	2,6
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Corrected to read \$2,200 on building.			
193	J. Magnani .....	65/66	2619	400	5
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application denied.			
194	Carmen Sacherz .....	12	779	2,000	2,8
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Assessor has already reduced it \$35.			
195	D. F. Dinneen .....	34	3140	.....	3
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application denied.			
196	G. Guglielmone .....	21/22	134	4,400	1,4
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Supervisor Ratto moved reduction of \$400 on building.	Action deferred.			
	Subsequently denied.				
197	G. Guglielmone (duplicate of above).				
198	G. Guglielmone .....	31	130	2,000	1,6
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application denied.			
199	Thomas A. Allen .....	16-2A-2E	3789	.....	149,20
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Referred to Appraisers for recheck.			
	Appraiser Thomas declared that entire district should receive attention from assessor. Harold Boyd said situation is complicated. Southern Pacific Railroad has vacant land in vicinity in litigation. We are in agreement that there should be a revaluation of land in this location.				
200	Bernhard Herzberg .....	43/45	1723	4,650	3,60
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application denied.			
201	M. B. De Bor .....	5	1174	8,400	2,00
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application denied.			
202	Giovanni Moscone .....	5	486A	3,950	1,00
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application denied.			
203	Mrs. L. Flecchia .....	16	614	2,550	4,00
	Appraisers recommend reduction from \$2,550 to \$2,250 on building.	objection and so ordered.			
204	Helen Picallo .....	30	1535	1,550	1,00
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application denied.			

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
205	Helen Picallo .....	5	1363	100	1,350
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
206	Mrs. Elsa Miles .....	15	688	2,800	4,030
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
207	Augustin Bacigalupi .....	1	171	17,000	24,910
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. <i>Referred to Appraisers for recheck</i> on motion of Supervisor Meyer. Subsequently <i>denied</i> .				
208	Pierina Demattei .....	11/12	6048	3,200	720
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
209	B. M. Vladov .....	17	1075	1,200	1,580
	Appraisers recommend \$200 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
210	Morris Rosen .....	1A	6501	1,150	280
	Appraisers recommend \$150 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
211	Jos. Hamerslough .....	46A	3778	8,100	3,040
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
212	Clifford S. Chadderton .....	2	1312	5,600	4,000
	Supervisor Uhl moved a \$1,700 reduction. Appraisers recommend \$300 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
213	Edna M. Sammet .....	1G	2329	1,600	500
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Supervisor Shannon moved a reduction of \$100. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
214	V. Genovesi .....	23	5882	1,300	250
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
215	Morris Rosen .....	1B	6501	2,150	280
	Appraisers recommend \$150 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
216	Rose Miller .....	12	317	58,000	12,560
	Appraiser recommends \$3,000 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
217	Fred Giorgine .....	2	115	1,700	1,160
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Supervisor Uhl moved reduction from \$1,700 to \$1,600. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
218	P. Gogna .....	19/20	144	.....	820
	Appraisers recommend reduction on Lot 19 to 400 and Lot 20 to \$100, making total assessment of \$500. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
219	P. Gogna .....	21	144	500	600
	Appraisers recommend \$150 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
220	P. Gogna .....	24	145	300	980
	Appraisers recommend \$100 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
221	Tom Lonich .....	52	3555	2,700	890
	Appraisers recommend \$700 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
222	Elizabeth Ellsworth .....	3	3640	400	1,420
	Appraisers recommend \$100 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
223	Elizabeth Ellsworth .....	2A	3640	.....	230
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
224	Luisa Zanassi .....	20	220	2,000	1,780
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Supervisor Uhl moved reduction from \$2,000 to \$1,800. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
225	Aaron Lewis .....	19	473	17,500	3,750
	Appraisers recommend \$500 reduction. Reduced to \$17,000.				
226	Peter Materse .....	20	6923	1,600	1,200
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
227	Philip Rabinovich .....	25	1237	2,250	2,330
	Appraisers recommend \$250 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
228	Rita Bogdon .....	13	1049	1,000	1,560
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				



		Lot	Block	Building	Land
229	Sebastian A. Giusti .....	11	164	8,100	15,360
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
230	Gladys P. Williamson .....	7C	6351	1,300	180
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
231	P. Gogna .....	6	162	4,050	3,230
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
232	D. J. McCarthy .....	9B	598	9,500	12,160
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	On motion of Supervisor McSheehy			
	<i>referred to Appraisers for recheck.</i>	<i>Subsequently reduced from \$9,500 to</i>			
	<i>\$8,000.</i>				
233	P. Gogna .....	350/150	165	9,500	3,750
	Appraisers recommend \$500 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
234	P. Gogna .....	5	166	4,000	890
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
235	P. Gogna .....	25	175	3,150	2,430
	Appraisers recommend \$150 reduction.	Reduced from \$3,150 to \$3,000.			
236	P. Gogna .....	26	227	4,000	2,630
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
237	P. Gogna .....	14	195	3,600	3,340
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
238	C. A. Gordon .....	33	1427	950	2,230
	Appraisers recommend reduction from \$950 to \$750.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
239	Walter H. Duane .....	1D	943	4,350	1,280
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
240	Minna Vielbaum .....	33	6774	1,300	320
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
241	Fred C. Drewes .....	38A	6656	3,200	600
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
242	Florence L. Schraemli .....	9A	1825	1,800	600
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
243	Lawrence Bajada .....	19	4760	3,800	750
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
244	Isabella Zaroni .....	13	6662	1,450	620
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
245	Herman Doscher .....	10	1551	1,350	1,250
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
246	Herman Doscher .....	2	5682	1,800	520
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
247	Ella Allen .....	6/7	774	13,500	6,320
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
248	David H. Steiner .....	11	963	9,000	8,030
	Appraisers recommend \$500 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
249	David H. Steiner .....	20	258	3,800	5,680
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
250	D. H. Steiner .....	21	3704	70,000	33,750
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
251	S. Johnson .....	11A	252	15,000	5,920
	Appraisers recommend \$500 reduction.	Supervisor McSheehy moved approval.			
		No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
252	Albert Ferro .....	7	3044	3,600	1,780
	Appraisers recommend \$200 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
253	Marie F. Baltgalw .....	49	3581	900	1,200
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
254	Victor Langlois .....	18/19	6204	1,400	300
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
255	S. Bertone .....	8	155	8,700	5,200
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application	denied.		
256	S. Bertone .....	11	257	12,600	7,610
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application	denied.		
257	S. Bertone .....	21/22	271	45,000	5,560
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application	denied.		
258	S. Bertone .....	9-10-10A-10B	7063	2,900	1,010
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application	denied.		
259	S. Bertone .....	11/12	7102	900	630
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application	denied.		
260	S. Bertone .....	21	7102	.....	400
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application	denied.		
261	S. Bertone .....	13	7063	.....	790
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application	denied.		
262	P. Furlong .....	1/3	1764	8,900	16,080
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application	denied.		
263	Patrick Furlong .....	43	1764	900	5,250
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application	denied.		
264	Elizabeth Reilly .....	12	6979	1,050	320
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application	denied.		
265	Wm. Pisar .....	25	1601	.....	1,440
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application	denied.		
266	Mary Buchanan .....	10	4067	2,450	830
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application	denied.		
267	Minnie Nissen .....	37	3574	450	1,370
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application	denied.		
268	Mellie Newmann .....	3/4	1440	950	3,000
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application	denied.		
269	May A. Large .....	33	3703	15,000	21,250
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application	denied.		
270	W. McWhirter .....	2B	1839	1,750	7,500
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application	denied.		
271	Clarence L. Reinke .....	2	6923	2,100	1,020
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application	denied.		
272	F. Ulrich .....	17	1568	400	1,140
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application	denied.		
273	Hulda S. Karjailaimen .....	62	3602	5,700	1,410
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application	denied.		
274	Nikola Eterovich .....	3C	6969	2,500	1,290
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application	denied.		
275	Melissa B. Gately .....	1	4044	1,700	6,800
	Appraisers recommend \$500 reduction.	No objection	and so ordered.		
276	Melissa B. Gately .....	9	4108-4123	.....	750
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application	denied.		
277	Victor Rousseau .....	31	1583	3,600	900
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application	denied.		
278	Mary Alioto .....	12	40	3,600	1,980
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application	denied.		
279	Anita Nathhorst .....	22	323	42,000	13,760
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application	denied.		
280	Catherine Reese .....	13A	784	4,500	2,450
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application	denied.		
281	S. Ephron .....	6	1218	5,200	4,710
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application	denied.		
282	Elizabeth P. Ode .....	20	1563	700	1,130
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application	denied.		



		<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
283	J. E. Steffens .....	19	334	8,200	4,27
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Supervisor McSheehy moved reference to Appraisers for recheck. <i>Action deferred.</i> Subsequently application <i>denied.</i>				
284	James O'Neill .....	40/41	1758	13,000	2,20
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Supervisor Uhl moved a reduction of \$500. No objection and <i>so ordered.</i>				
285	Helen M. Voss .....	28	1019	1,550	1,88
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied.</i>				
286	E. F. Davis .....	77	3565	5,300	2,65
	Appraisers recommend \$200 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered.</i>				
287	David Freidenvich .....	44	1332	4,500	2,10
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied.</i>				
288	M. Weir .....	24	1610	1,850	2,19
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Rechecked and application <i>denied.</i>				
289	Rene Codis .....	9	319	6,800	4,81
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied.</i>				
290	T. Marinos .....	28	1266	3,600	1,35
	Appraisers recommend \$300 reduction.				
	Supervisor Uhl moved reduction of \$300. No objection and <i>so ordered.</i>				
291	Marie Siek .....	17	1460	5,600	1,63
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied.</i>				
292	H. M. Colety .....	5	231	5,400	10,28
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied.</i>				
293	Robert P. Troy .....	16	664	600	2,43
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Supervisor McSheehy moved to reduce to \$500. No objection and <i>so ordered.</i>				
294	Robert P. Troy .....	1	225	2,200	16,69
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Supervisor McSheehy moved reference to Appraisers for land reduction. Subsequently <i>denied.</i>				
295	Robert C. Riegg .....	22	6912	2,800	1,00
	Appraisers recommend \$300 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered.</i>				
296	Henry H. Traxler .....	1	3718	1,000	22,40
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied.</i>				
297	B. Lazzareschi .....	15	532	4,400	2,29
	Appraisers recommend no reduction, already reduced by Assessor.				
298	B. Lazzareschi .....	14	532	3,700	2,21
	Appraisers recommend no reduction, already reduced by Assessor.				
299	James B. Spencer .....	38	3727	2,700	98
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Supervisor McSheehy moved a reduction of \$300. No objection and <i>so ordered.</i>				
300	Eugenia Rossi .....	17	158	1,800	1,24
	Appraisers recommend \$300 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered.</i>				
301	H. F. Schmidt .....	24	5358	9,000	20
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved a reduction of \$500. No objection and <i>so ordered.</i>				
302	Doretta Swain .....	29	1222	5,800	1,65
	Appraisers recommend \$400 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered.</i>				
303	F. F. Ashton .....	36	3548	6,850	2,96
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Supervisor McSheehy moved a reduction of \$350 on building. No objection and <i>so ordered.</i>				
304	David Danehy .....	1	1536	1,300	3,16
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied.</i>				

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
305	H. O. Oettel .....	9	1707	1,150	750
	Appraisers recommend \$150 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
306	Anna E. Kopp .....	16B	2409	3,600	810
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
307	Selma Blass .....	42	1731	1,350	1,500
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
308	Ernest Herbstritt .....	68	3596	2,250	880
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
309	Dorothy Johnson .....	21	125	13,500	5,890
	Appraisers recommend \$1,000 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
310	Johanna Wellnitz .....	3	3011	2,700	1,020
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
311	E. Hermann .....	26	1643	1,350	1,570
	Appraisers recommend \$150 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
312	C. H. Magner .....	7	1782	2,000	700
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
313	M. Parodi .....	24	7120	.....	620
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
314	M. Parodi .....	18/20	7120	.....	420
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
315	Antonio Venturino .....	2/3	7131	.....	400
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
316	C. A. March .....	18/19	1205	3,600	3,760
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
317	Lillie Tanzer .....	5/6	1732	950	2,000
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
318	Marie Dalmon .....	65/66	3588	19,000	3,340
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
319	Genevieve Hottel .....	16	1212	10,800	7,800
	Appraisers recommend \$500 reduction. Assessors recommended \$800.				
	Supervisor Uhl moved approval. No objection and property <i>reduced to</i> \$10,000.				
320	Jos. Bonzani .....	6	6637	2,300	830
	Appraisers recommend \$100 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
321	J. Beck .....	16	3541	900	1,610
	Appraisers recommend \$150 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
322	George B. Germano .....	38	183	5,000	2,040
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
323	R. Cademartori .....	21	100	5,000	1,930
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
324	R. Cademartori .....	27	187	13,500	3,500
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
325	P. R. Thayer .....	1	775	8,500	10,350
	Appraisers recommend \$500 reduction additional. Assessor has already reduced it \$1,500 this year. Building will be given to wreckers.				
	Supervisor Uhl moved to reduce from \$8,500 to \$6,000. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
326	L. R. Bunney .....	75	3606	3,600	1,340
	Appraisers recommend \$200 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
327	Mary A. McCual .....	26	3633	3,000	1,500
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
328	Luigi Ferrari .....	27	104	3,250	2,670
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
329	John Maloney .....	95	3755	1,450	600
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				



		<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
330	Mary Cooke .....	4	277	4,000	4,150
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
331	I. Lassalle .....	4	809	16,650	6,470
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
332	Cora F. Moore .....	3	1053	500	1,790
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
333	Mrs. A. Domenici .....	2	508	5,050	2,390
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
334	Mrs. A. Domenici .....	3	508	11,250	1,440
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
335	Mrs. A. Domenici .....	19	531	1,100	1,240
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
336	Ethel Elvin .....	28A	5672	.....	1,250
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
337	Mary Connolly .....	25	1688	1,400	1,070
	Appraisers recommend \$200 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
338	Chas. Hunn .....	31	5671	300	400
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
339	Kenneth A. Woodin .....	17	1727	1,550	800
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
340	Josephine Hallett .....	26	6018	1,400	400
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
341	Mary Cooke .....	36	1270	1,150	2,170
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
342	G. J. C. Fitschen .....	20	5296	1,100	830
	Appraisers recommend \$100 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
343	Alice J. Keating .....	20	1570	3,400	1,090
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
344	W. Kern .....	1	6514	15,000	4,610
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
345	B. C. Pollak .....	6	480	3,800	1,710
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
346	J. E. Bakelind .....	23	2394	2,000	530
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
347	Bessie E. Sanborn .....	3	1335	4,900	4,390
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved a reduction of \$300. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
	Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved a reduction of \$300. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
348	Lee Yen .....	20	242	6,500	4,640
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
349	David Cohn .....	17/18	771	4,950	8,260
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Assessor has already reduced it this year. Application <i>denied</i> .			
350	Margaret C. Dolan .....	59	3617	5,000	2,660
	Appraisers recommend \$250 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
351	Katherine A. Redington .....	3	1191	3,600	1,350
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
352	Josephine Parr .....	4	575	13,500	9,140
	Appraisers recommend \$500 reduction.	Assessor reduced it to \$13,500 this year and this is an additional reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
353	Emma Lazzari .....	9	2065	.....	660
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
354	Emma Lazzari .....	15	1159	2,450	1,230
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
355	Emma Lazzari .....	10A	1159	4,400	1,610
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			

		<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
356	E. Strohmeier .....	10	1207	700	2,310
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
357	Emily Winter .....19/20 &	10	6568	300	680
	Appraisers recommend correction to read \$200.	No objection and so ordered.			
358	P. Lalla .....	3	6952	1,950	350
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
359	E. Lalla .....	9A	3211	1,500	520
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
360	F. Cirimele .....	11	2349	5,400	750
	Appraisers recommend \$400 reduction.	No objection and so ordered.			
361	I. M. Rey .....	20A	550	9,000	4,100
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
362	Genevieve Franusich .....	24	1178	9,000	1,790
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
363	Robert L. Lewis .....	3	300	10,500	5,410
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
364	G. H. Butler .....	23	1383	3,400	1,500
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
365	H. E. West .....	4	1014	2,250	1,870
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
366	Margt. E. O'Neill .....	23	182	.....	1,470
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
367	Frances E. Egan .....	9	278	2,400	2,490
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Referred to Supervisor Uhl.			
367a	J. E. Bennett .....	37	1434	3,400	1,250
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
368	J. Brennan .....	6	830	3,400	2,280
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
369	A. Bortano .....	27	525	10,500	1,800
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
370	J. S. MacMullen .....	12	1672	1,000	1,250
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
371	M. Van Hecke ..... 18A/19		6466	1,800	600
	Appraisers recommend \$200 reduction.	No objection and so ordered.			
372	M. Turich .....	29	1177	2,050	1,630
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
373	R. W. Israel .....	15	1129	2,000	2,110
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
374	F. L. Vail .....	2	1174	5,400	1,550
	Appraisers recommend \$400 reduction.	No objection and so ordered.			
375	C. A. Anderson .....	23	1235	6,000	2,170
	Appraisers recommend \$500 reduction.	No objection and so ordered.			
376	C. A. Anderson .....	11	709	5,000	2,920
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Assessor has already reduced it this year.			
	Supervisor Uhl moved reduction from \$5,000 to \$4,000.	No objection and so ordered.			
377	J. Reibman .....	15	701	2,500	2,880
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
378	R. Frommer .....	17/18	1026	1,000	4,540
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
379	E. H. Kellberg .....	213	668	25,000	44,130
	Appraisers recommend \$5,000 reduction.	No objection and so ordered.			



		<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
380	E. H. Kellberg .....	26	1099	100	3,75
	Appraisers recommend \$100 reduction.				
	Supervisor Uhl moved approval. No objection and so ordered.				
381	Mary F. Bailey .....	6	6524	1,900	99
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
382	Mary Bailey .....	33	6653	1,800	60
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
383	A. J. Malfino .....	32	2920	1,650	66
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
384	A. J. Malfino .....	7	3259	2,550	1,18
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
385	Geo. Karahadian .....	2/3	1441	2,100	2,25
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
386	Anna Keating .....	16A	6722	1,300	26
	Appraisers recommend \$150 reduction. No objection and so ordered.				
387	Theo. T. Killeen .....	10	2405	1,100	93
	Appraisers recommend \$100 reduction. No objection and so ordered.				
388	Gus Niederholzer .....	5/6	2859	4,200	1,10
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved a reduction of \$200. No objection and so ordered.				
389	L. and A. Foge .....	3	1716	1,550	75
	Appraisers recommend \$100 reduction. No objection and so ordered.				
390	L. and A. Foge .....	32	2698	4,700	1,81
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
391	Rose Raffo .....	11	1017	3,600	1,23
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
392	M. A. McGarvey .....	31	1849	3,600	1,17
	Appraisers recommend \$100 reduction. No objection and so ordered.				
393	M. A. McGarvey .....	3	1275	3,250	89
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
394	Helen J. Marks .....	23	1155	1,800	1,98
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Supervisor Uhl moved reduction of \$300. No objection and so ordered.				
395	Geo. D. Lundy .....	44	3574	600	1,22
	Appraisers recommend reduction to nominal assessment. Reduced from \$600 to \$10.				
396	B. G. Denison .....	6R	1624	12,500	2,00
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
397	Stasia O'Brien .....	42	6555	900	41
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
398	Eliz. Carl .....	14	3598	1,900	1,31
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
399	Eliz. Carl .....	19	792	6,300	3,21
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
400	Lulu Brune .....	9	524	6,700	5,31
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
401	L. Brune .....	8	524	3,000	2,91
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
402	C. Silvey .....	17	2423	1,600	51
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved a reduction of \$100. No objection and so ordered.				
403	L. and A. Foge .....	10	1752	10,800	2,00
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				

		<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
404	N. A. and M. H. Dickey.....	8	6908	4,000	1,730
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
405	Jeremiah Kelleher .....	29A	1450	3,400	1,250
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
406	Nick Triffon .....	20B	3581	1,150	1,250
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
407	Anna Coffe .....	3	664	42,500	5,690
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Supervisor McSheehy moved reduction of \$1,000. No objection and so ordered.				
408	Anna Coffe .....	1	664	27,300	7,900
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Supervisor McSheehy moved a reduction of \$800. No objection and so ordered.				
409	H. C. Bacheller .....	19	1638	1,850	1,250
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
410	M. L. Sinclair .....	1	938	15,200	2,500
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Supervisor Uhl moved a reduction of \$500. No objection and so ordered.				
411	L. W. David .....	7	766	6,000	15,400
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
412	J. C. Berendsen .....	12	310	30,000	81,230
	Appraisers recommend \$5,000 reduction . No objection and so ordered.				
413	E. W. Pohle .....	25	1258	12,000	2,660
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
414	Arthur E. Nathanson .....	38	2005	.....	350
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Rechecked and application <i>denied</i> .			
415	A. Nathanson .....	3	2005	.....	300
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Rechecked and application <i>denied</i> .			
416	Jacob Ozanic .....	7	278	5,700	3,110
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
417	Margaret C. Fallon .....	16	6905	7,500	2,000
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
418	J. Wilhelm .....	34	1648	4,950	1,250
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
419	Antonio Cheso .....	8/9	4757	1,650	300
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
420	Mary Cerruti .....	24	4761	550	400
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
421	Luigi Minotti .....	7/8	4758	250	400
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
422	R. A. Spiegl .....	8	688	3,400	15,130
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
423	Fortunato L. Poligono .....	27	151	10,800	2,300
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
424	C. H. Erb .....	4	1459	1,250	1,100
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
425	Valentine Mei .....	42	180	4,650	4,400
	Appraisers recommend \$350 reduction.	No objection and so ordered.			
426	Valentine Mei .....	7	553	1,800	1,870
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
427	M. Tehaney .....	3	1845	3,800	900
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
428	Anna Chew .....	4	2431	1,600	380
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			



		Lot	Block	Building	Land
429	Charles V. Lodge .....	22	3511	2,350	1,770
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
430	Elizabeth M. Grecco .....	15	581	29,000	8,000
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
431	K. Doherty .....	27	4271	1,650	1,000
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
432	John J. Driscoll .....	28	1663	1,700	1,250
	Appraisers recommend \$200 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
433	Harry Chinazzo .....	15	5324	2,000	300
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
434	A. L. Drysdale .....	12	839	6,750	2,700
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
435	Rose F. Nieto .....	38	1014	2,250	2,120
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
436	Sadie Maurino .....	16A	1157	5,400	1,930
	Appraisers recommend \$400 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
437	Anders R. Gustofson .....	14	3630	5,300	1,220
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
438	Helen Mullen .....	111	3730	1,800	750
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
439	Robert G. Clement .....	34	2804	600	590
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
440	H. C. McCarthy .....	34	1767	1,350	950
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
441	M. V. McGee .....				1,120
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
442	Paul Hartman .....	46	3570	6,300	2,750
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
443	J. Baker .....	16	3617	2,800	1,380
	Appraisers recommend \$100 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
444	G. W. Wells, Trustee .....	12	195	13,000	7,000
	Appraisers recommend reduction to \$10,000. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
445	Willie Leong .....	1	159	15,000	11,930
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
446	S. J. Tener .....	6	1603	1,800	950
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
447	G. Cavagnaro .....	14	144	1,200	3,220
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
448	G. Cavagnaro .....	24	104	2,100	2,200
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Supervisor Uhl moved a reduction from \$2,100 to \$1,250. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
449	A. Chiappellona .....	20	116	7,650	6,630
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved a reduction of \$250. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
450	A. Wolf .....	2	1666	1,450	1,200
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
451	Edward Forsans .....	14	6633	3,000	870
	Appraisers recommend \$250 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
452	Angelina Ghiradelli .....	21	3548	6,000	1,540
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
453	Angelina Ghiradelli .....	47A	3553	700	1,310
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
454	Michale Simonetti .....	20	4143	1,000	1,060
	Appraisers recommend \$200 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
455	John R. Douglas et ux.....	1A	6634	500	820
	Appraisers recommend \$100 reduction. No objection and so ordered.				
456	Genevieve Bellina .....	31	3522	1,250	530
	Appraisers recommend \$250 reduction. No objection and so ordered.				
457	A. S. Flick .....	11	1273	5,000	1,620
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
458	Bertel Michelsen .....	12	600	4,000	6,200
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
459	Geo. R. McDonough .....	10	1625	2,900	1,240
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
460	Edna C. Sweeney.....	138	3732	4,300	3,500
	Appraisers recommend \$400 reduction. No objection and so ordered.				
461	Edna C. Sweeney .....	107	3732	1,200	1,080
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
462	Thos. J. Trodden .....	40	185	5,000	1,220
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
463	Rino Poncetta .....	19	1280	2,500	1,320
	Appraisers recommend \$250 reduction. No objection and so ordered.				
464	G. P. Haggerty.....	1	202	150,000	135,000
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
465	I. T. Budaeff .....	11	1029	5,400	1,800
	Appraisers recommend reduction to \$4,500.				
	Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved reduction to \$4,500. No objection and so ordered.				
466	Helen Kafkas .....	34	3733	2,500	880
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
467	M. C. Livingstone .....	9	1660	1,450	1,250
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
468	M. C. Livingstone .....	26	1267	2,250	1,500
	Appraisers recommend \$250 reduction. No objection and so ordered.				
469	Susan E. Heise .....	28	3563	10,000	3,490
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
470	Susan E. Heise .....	2	3563	6,000	7,950
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
471	M. S. Meagher .....	22	3633	200	1,500
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
472	T. F. Donahue.....	33	681	1,000	1,350
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
473	E. T. Heath .....	31	1220	2,200	1,500
	Appraisers recommend \$200 reduction. No objection and so ordered.				
474	P. Petroni .....	6/7	4263	1,100	1,500
	Appraisers recommend \$300 reduction. No objection and so ordered.				
475	Carlo Ghielmetti .....	27	1807	250	600
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
476	Carlo Ghielmetti .....	4	1702	1,350	900
	Appraisers recommend \$250 reduction. No objection and so ordered.				
477	Emma Sacchi .....	29	1640	900	1,250
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
478	W. C. Smith .....	21A	1352	12,150	2,700
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied. (Supervisor Uhl recheck.)				
479	Ida Johnson .....	17	656	1,100	2,320
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
480	A. C. Hutchinson .....	24A	6514	9,900	2,000
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				



		Lot	Block	Building	La.
481	Edna R. Wilkey .....	21	1256	1,700	2,3
	Appraisers recommend \$300 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
482	Mary Chernotsky .....	60/61	3731	2,400	1,3
	Appraisers recommend \$200 reduction on each parcel. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
483	Norman Bott .....	25/26	1367	16,200	3,0
	Appraisers recommend \$2,450 reduction.				
484	Carolina Linder .....	20	753	10,800	1,7
	Appraisers recommend \$300 reduction.				
	Supervisor McSheehy moved a reduction of \$800, making it \$10,000. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
485	Thomas Dunne .....	19	1350-4101	1,350	3
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
486	Della Apperley .....	9	1735	1,300	1,2
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
487	Daisy M. Godkin .....	19	1262	900	1,6
	Appraisers recommend \$100 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
488	Sophie Klang .....	6A	1647	1,150	1,2
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
489	F. L. Lange .....	5	215	2,800	2,3
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
490	Kate Curtin .....	103	3731	1,500	7
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Supervisor Uhl moved a reduction of \$150. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
491	H. Beienburg (Cancelled).				
492	Kate Curtin .....	17	1566	1,400	1,0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
493	B. Anderson .....	33	5616	550	4
	Appraisers recommend \$100 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
494	Margaret C. Fahey .....	23	1639	4,000	1,5
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
495	H. M. Keane .....	13	3598	2,050	1,3
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
496	Ethel M. Jenkins .....	16	1195	3,450	1,3
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
497	Wm. J. Wider .....	17	5957	1,300	3
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
498	Oscar Lundgreen .....	13	650	400	1,9
	Appraisers recommend \$200 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
499	Evelyn Ragan .....	50	1728	3,400	8
	Appraisers recommend \$200 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
500	Maria Starr .....	23	1767	1,450	8
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
501	Maria Starr .....	23A	1767	1,450	8
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
502	Constance Pullen .....	19	1014	1,600	1,9
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
503	Louis Charles .....	17	572	13,400	9,0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
504	Margaret M. O'Brien .....	4	1613	.....	2,0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
505	E. F. Driscoll .....	6	95	1,000	1,0
	Appraisers recommend \$200 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
506	Lucille McKeever .....	14	2691	1,700	0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
507	Hedwig S. Oppenheimer .....	2	258	4,000	9,870
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
508	Rachael E. Dorthy .....	1	1854	4,800	1,460
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
509	Rachael Dorthy .....	13	1762	2,450	1,000
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
510	Marie Carlson .....	33	1831	1,500	650
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
511	L. M. Fisher .....	32	3608	4,410	850
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
512	M. L. Balk .....	31	152	1,450	1,510
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
513	D. E. Stuart .....	16/17	5672	.....	960
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
514	Jos. S. Gosbey .....	11A	302	34,500	14,390
	Appraisers recommend \$1,000 reduction.	No objection and so <i>ordered</i> .			
515	S. Anker .....	27	3534	44,000	4,170
	Appraisers recommend \$4000 reduction.	No objection and so <i>ordered</i> .			
516	M. McDonagh .....	34	1658	3,400	1,130
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
517	M. Mammen .....	3A	1465	3,600	1,000
	Appraisers recommend \$100 reduction.	No objection and so <i>ordered</i> .			
518	Helen Farbman .....	7	776	5,900	4,400
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
519	Mrs. William Figari .....	18	3160	1,450	380
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
520	Owen Brady .....	12	3545	4,500	1,870
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
521	Margaret T. Austin .....	19	1256	6,000	2,600
	Appraisers recommend \$1,000 reduction.	No objection and so <i>ordered</i> .			
522	D. M. Scoville, Gen. Mgr.....	34	3524	2,200	1,000
	Appraisers recommend \$150 reduction.	No objection and so <i>ordered</i> .			
523	F. V. Leigh .....	4	6919	2,100	1,100
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
524	Laura Caroline Griffith .....	15	1261	2,000	2,560
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
525	S. Casriel .....	27	1418	3,300	1,300
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
526	F. A. Fattorini .....	10	3610	1,950	2,820
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
527	Agnes Weston .....	18	1149	300	1,160
	Appraisers recommend \$50 reduction.	No objection and so <i>ordered</i> .			
528	M. J. Naughton .....	23	3545	3,850	1,390
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
529	Norman J. Beechinor .....	8	6511	3,000	890
	Appraisers recommend \$300 reduction.	No objection and so <i>ordered</i> .			
530	J. Matzger .....	8	3751	950	4,980
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
531	J. Matzger .....	140	3751	3,100	1,200
	Appraisers recommend \$1,100 reduction.	Supervisor Uhl moved approval. No objection and so <i>ordered</i> .			
	Supervisor Uhl moved approval.				
532	J. Matzger .....	141	3751	3,100	2,800
	Appraisers recommend \$1,100 reduction.	Supervisor Uhl moved approval. No objection and so <i>ordered</i> .			
	Supervisor Uhl moved approval.				
533	J. Matzger .....	43	3751	2,500	3,560
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			



		Lot	Block	Building	Land
534	Henry Jacobs .....	3C	1459	1,250	1,10
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
535	S. Henry .....	23B	686	1,400	3,20
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
536	S. Henry .....	23A	686	1,400	2,84
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
537	Douglas Lancaster .....	35	1368	1,550	1,35
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
538	Lena A. Soldate .....	30/31	220	14,000	5,12
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
539	I. O. Meyer.....	16	1354	6,300	2,30
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
540	Allen Breed .....	8A/9	1336	4,050	5,40
	Appraisers recommend \$800 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
541	M. B. Anway .....	4C	2540	4,500	1,22
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	<i>Referred to Supervisor Uhl. Application denied.</i>			
542	M. B. Anway .....	4D	2540	4,050	1,22
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
543	Frank B. Lorigan, Atty. in fact for M. B. Lorigan.....	14B	71	22,500	5,12
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
544	Frank B. Lorigan, Atty. in fact for Wilhelmina Street .....	4	215	14,000	3,50
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Note correction July 19, 10 a. 1			
	\$500 reduction <i>granted</i> .				
545	Wm. Kearney .....	6	1226	2,700	1,50
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Supervisor McSheehy moved reduction	of \$300. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
546	Mae Watson .....	27A	6343	1,050	3
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
547	Hazel M. Laurson.....	27B	6343	1,050	3
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
548	John Bonelli .....	6	144	2,250	1,60
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
549	P. Carson .....	26A	1663	1,800	1,20
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
550	W. L. Kern .....	20	1843	1,350	9
	Appraisers recommend \$100 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
551	John Grey .....	18/19	2071	.....	2
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
552	John Grey .....	5	2071	.....	10
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
553	Claus Henning .....	31	4087	500	1,00
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
554	Mancesco Soracco .....	16	90	2,500	1,50
	Appraisers recommend \$500 reduction.	(Note correction July 19, 10 a. 1)			
	Application <i>denied</i> .				
555	Alice Murphies .....	17	1844	450	1,50
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
556	Catherine J. Madden .....	39	1848	3,250	1,00
	Appraisers recommend \$100 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
557	Isabel H. Stephens .....	22	1352	3,150	2,50
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
558	Gustav Thylin .....	5	1284	1,700	1,50
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
559	Myrtie Merguire .....	6	825	900	1,00
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
560	Henry Sturka .....	41	1430	1,950	1,250
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
61	B. Ervin .....	19A	7141	550	400
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
62	B. Ervin .....	16	5527	1,150	400
	Appraisers recommend \$150 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
63	C. R. Jones .....	70A	3564	1,600	1,590
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
64	R. Broock .....	19	3606	1,050	1,470
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
65	Rafael Pujolar .....	9	1453	5,400	1,250
	Appraisers recommend \$400 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
66	J. W. Buchanan .....	11/12	826	5,600	3,580
	Appraisers recommend \$100 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
67	Ed. W. Rancke .....	11	4172 & 4187	3,600	2,250
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
68	Geo. Dumas .....	7	770	2,000	2,740
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
69	Eugene Schnell .....	1	2610	5,800	2,600
	Appraisers recommend \$800 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
70	Hilma H. Hapala .....	5D	1473	1,500	900
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
71	C. H. Desky, attorney for Home Owners Loan Corp. ....	10	1585	2,000	1,080
	Assessor recommends correction—\$400 reduction on building and \$180 on land. Reduced \$400. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
72	C. H. Desky, attorney for Home Owners Loan Corp. ....	16	1312	3,150	2,380
	Appraisers recommend \$1,000 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
73	C. H. Desky, attorney for Home Owners Loan Corp. ....	1	690g	3,400	1,930
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
74	C. H. Desky, attorney for Home Owners Loan Corp. ....	25	1555	2,000	1,930
	Appraisers recommend \$250 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
75	C. H. Desky, attorney for Home Owners Loan Corp. ....	1	1751	3,050	1,530
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
76	C. H. Desky, attorney for Home Owners Loan Corp. ....	36	1255	3,500	5,280
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
77	C. H. Desky, attorney for Home Owners Loan Corp. ....	17	3613	1,150	570
	Appraisers recommend \$150 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
78	C. H. Desky, attorney for Home Owners Loan Corp. ....	16	1158	2,000	1,880
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
79	C. H. Desky, attorney for Home Owners Loan Corp. ....	18	1422	1,200	2,090
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
80	C. H. Desky, attorney for Home Owners Loan Corp. ....	18	1150	1,250	1,250
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			

## RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Equalization at 11:55 a. m. took a recess until 2:30 p.m. today.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.



## THURSDAY, JULY 15—2:30 P. M.

The Board of Equalization reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Reilly, Schmidt—6.

## Consideration of Applications for Correction or Reduction of Assessments.

The following applicants or their agents who appeared were duly sworn, and testified regarding requested reductions in their assessments, to-wit:

		Lot	Block	Building	La
581	Nelle McCabe .....	46	1267	2,150	1,6
	Appraisers recommend \$150 reduction. No objection and so ordered.				
582	Hermenda Osoners .....	10	3742	.....	28,9
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
583	E. Hall .....	2	3102	4,700	1,5
	Appraisers recommend \$200 reduction. No objection and so ordered.				
584	A. L. Orr .....	31	2611	2,500	1,2
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
585	Ethel McClure .....	46	1708	1,350	8
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
586	Mary Bulman .....	38	7100	150	5
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
587	Mary Bulman .....	37	7100	100	3
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
588	M. Joseph .....	25	247	7,000	2,4
	Appraisers recommend \$500 reduction. No objection and so ordered.				
589	Geo. D. Harrison .....	28	3574	1,200	1,7
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
590	Rene Rothschild .....	46	1064	3,950	2,8
	Appraisers recommend \$350 reduction.				
	Supervisor Uhl moved approval. So ordered.				
591	Louise Usher .....	28	3649	650	3
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
592	W. C. Trevor .....	12	247	4,500	4,0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
593	Eliza Neiman .....	11	803	2,100	2,0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
594	C. E. Smith .....	5	1187	3,450	1,0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
595	Charles W. Baker .....	17	3272	3,450	1,0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
596	J. Rathmann .....	21	1232	3,350	1,0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
597	Leon J. Schneider .....	7	279	11,000	6,0
	Appraisers recommend \$400 reduction. No objection and so ordered.				
598	Olga Deegan .....	21	154	6,500	3,0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
599	Mrs. A. Dal Don .....	25	1830	.....	0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
600	Emma Kenney .....	23	2988A	2,100	0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
601	James Phillips .....	7	736	3,000	3,0
	Appraisers recommend \$300 reduction. No objection and so ordered.				

		<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
302	Amelia J. Ihler.....	1(I)	1276	3,200	1,210
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
303	Deborah Ezaoui .....	6	1608	2,950	950
	Appraisers recommend \$100 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
304	E. B. Welch .....	11A	1608	1,100	950
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
305	Helen E. McClatchy .....	11B	6509	2,600	650
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
306	Margent Bacigalupi .....	32	1616	.....	990
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
307	W. T. Kiernan .....	21	182	12,000	6,140
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
308	W. T. Kiernan .....	46	1603	10,800	3,770
	Appraisers recommend \$300 reduction.				
	Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved to reduce to \$10,000. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
309	Catherine Hayden .....	7	322	9,000	5,940
	Appraisers recommend \$500 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
310	C. Firpo .....	39	183	2,500	3,380
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
311	Chas. L. Tilden .....	3	3794	.....	397,000
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
312	Louisa Vignola .....	23	3577	600	2,070
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
313	Louisa Vignola .....	12	3578	8,300	3,300
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
314	Geo. J. Mauer .....	6	1225	1,450	1,580
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
315	A. L. Monahan .....	46	1639	4,700	2,880
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
316	Chas. Cazenave (formerly 341C California street) .....	.....	.....	.....	1,230
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
317	Marie Lamanet .....	40	1430	400	1,250
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
318	Marie Lamanet Cazenave .....	10	1019	5,400	1,350
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
319	Bertha Gottlieb .....	15	1689	750	900
	Appraisers recommend \$100 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
320	Helen M. Slattery .....	11/12	697	15,500	6,060
	Appraisers recommend \$1,000 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
321	Mary D. Sammon .....	1	5832	2,400	800
	Appraisers recommend \$100 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
322	H. Weiss .....	63/64	6941	1,800	3,320
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
323	V. Lichtenstein .....	30	999	2,450	1,940
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
324	Mary D. Sammon.....	34	6691	1,926	630
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
325	M. V. McGee .....	6A	2642	.....	1,120
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
326	Samuel Axelrod .....	21/22	770	15,000	7,820
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
327	A. N. Foucar.....	3/4	2603	4,250	3,020
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			



		Lot	Block	Building	Lot
628	Bessie W. Murphy.....	1	6518	...	3, 0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
629	Adele Queyriel .....	3	1204	2,550	1, 0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
630	Walter Knickerbocker .....	7	652	6,400	4, 8
	Appraisers recommend \$300 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
631	Rose Applebaum .....	38/39	3567	7,800	4, 0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
632	Anna Balzarini .....	25/26	5932	1,300	0
	Appraisers recommend \$100 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
633	G. De Martini .....	31	132	7,250	13, 0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
634	Enrichetta Madrigalle .....	12	6012	1,450	0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
635	Philomena Winter .....	18	6515	1,600	3, 0
	Appraisers recommend \$200 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
636	Craig Smith .....	9	316	27,000	16, 0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
637	Rosa Hartman .....	15	712	12,000	4, 0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
638	Anne Wallace .....	14B	698	150	0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
639	Marjorie D. Shannon .....	8	3588	1,450	2, 0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
640	Agnes M. Jackson .....	49	1375	1,000	1, 0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
641	E. M. McClure .....	14	694	14,500	5, 0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
642	E. M. McClure.....	8	612	8,200	4, 0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
643	E. M. McClure .....	15	336	28,000	13, 0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
644	E. M. McClure .....	48	1555	3,000	3, 0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
645	J. Walkers .....	7	761	1,600	4, 0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
646	Louis Poch .....	52	3584	1,100	0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
647	L. E. Knight .....	1C	1923	1,500	0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
648	J. Feigin .....	1	823	21,600	5, 0
	Appraisers recommend \$600 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
649	J. J. Kerwin .....	1F	2316	1,800	0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
650	O. Gelton .....	14	4265	3,000	0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
651	Agnes M. Jackson .....	50	1375	.....	1, 0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
652	Agnes M. Jackson .....	47	7086	.....	...
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
653	Agnes M. Jackson.....	39	1076	.....	...
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
654	Mary A. Wiseman .....	2	1269	3,800	1, 0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			

		<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
655	Oscar T. Barber .....	....	1608-9	500	.....
	(Personal property. Improvements revert to city at end of lease and takes care of foundling babies since 1868)				
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
656	Mary Dineen .....	26	1660	3,250	1,250
	Appraisers recommend \$250 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
657	Mahlen C. Harrison.....	89/90	3725	11,700	23,010
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				

## RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Equalization at 5:07 p. m. took a recess until tomorrow, Friday, July 16, 1937, at 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

## FRIDAY, JULY 16, 1937—2:30 P. M.

The Board of Equalization reassembled at 2:30 p. m. and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Schmidt—4.

## Consideration of Applications for Correction or Reduction of Assessments.

The following applicants or their agents who appeared were duly sworn, and testified regarding requested reductions in their assessments, to-wit:

		<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
658	Mahlen Harrison .....	33/34	3725	7,300	1,290
	Appraisers recommend \$800 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
659	Loretta G. Enright .....	10	1128	800	2,360
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
660	Wm. J. Farrell .....	11	853	3,600	2,020
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
661	M. E. Darbey .....	23	2405	2,000	800
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
662	John McKay .....	12	546	4,000	1,530
	Appraisers recommend \$700 reduction additional, making it \$3,300. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
663	O. E. Schaich .....	7	3607	6,500	2,400
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
664	Mrs. A. Carlson .....	45	3617	900	980
	Appraisers recommend \$100 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
665	Minnie Erickson .....	4	1387	5,850	1,330
	Appraisers recommend \$500 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
666	G. Armanasco.....	18	114	5,000	1,210
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
667	Eliza R. Willey .....	39/40	6727	1,400	500
	Appraisers recommend \$300 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
668	Monika Stentz .....	64/65	3574	1,150	2,170
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
669	Alice M. Britz .....	11	1934	700	800
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
670	Amedeo Dell Artino .....	9	231	4,700	14,740
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
71	A. Dell Artino .....	16	660	6,000	2,000
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
72	A. Dell Artino .....	21	247	2,250	3,300
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				



		Lot	Block	Building	La
673	J. Parma .....	31	119	2,700	1,5
	Appraisers recommend \$200 reduction.	No objection and so ordered.			
674	Walter F. Beigel .....	2C	1828	2,000	6
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application denied.			
675	Edna G. Iverson .....	1	1720	2,550	1,1
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application denied.			
676	Joseph Foppiano .....	3/4	97	15,300	3,3
	Appraisers recommend \$800 reduction.	No objection and so ordered.			
677	Adolph Foppiano .....	10	97	9,750	3,6
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application denied.			
678	Adolph Foppiano .....	7	146	2,000	9,0
	Appraisers recommend \$200 reduction.	No objection and so ordered.			
679	Adolph Foppiano .....	24	146	1,300	6
	Appraisers recommend \$100 reduction.	No objection and so ordered.			
680	Mary A. Daly .....	2	1189	4,500	1,3
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application denied.			
681	Louis Gabiati .....	25A/27	4792	700	6
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application denied.			
682	Louis Gabiati .....	21	175	2,000	5,2
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application denied.			
683	Louis Gabiati .....	20	175	.....	2,8
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application denied.			
684	E. Kittleman .....	1C	278	15,000	4,1
	Appraisers recommend \$500 reduction.	No objection and so ordered.			
685	Louis Licca .....	31	6718	3,200	5
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application denied.			
686	Mrs. A. Doassans .....	14	1002	1,000	2,2
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application denied.			
687	Mrs. A. Doassans .....	17	1002	1,000	2,0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application denied.			
688	Mrs. A. Doassans .....	2	980	2,100	2,1
	Appraisers recommend \$100 reduction.	No objection and so ordered.			
689	Mrs. A. Doassans .....	8	1181	1,850	2,0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application denied.			
690	Mille L. Cohn .....	20	1633	2,900	1,8
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application denied.			
691	Anna Dunn .....	11	441A	3,950	1,4
	Appraisers recommend \$400 reduction.	No objection and so ordered.			
692	E. Mains .....	37/38	1066	1,300	1,2
	Appraisers recommend \$300 reduction.	No objection and so ordered.			
693	Mrs. A. Doassans .....	7	215	2,700	2,4
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application denied.			
694	M. Williams .....	8/9	1213	47,500	5,3
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application denied.			
695	W. P. Barry .....	1A	914	14,400	1,0
	Appraisers recommend \$1,000 reduction.	No objection and so ordered.			
696	A. Garibaldi .....	36	1519	400	2,2
	Appraisers recommend \$100 reduction.	No objection and so ordered.			
697	Bernhard Diller .....	22	781	5,000	3,0
	Appraisers recommend \$500 reduction.	No objection and so ordered.			
698	A. C. Weidner .....	22	462A	4,250	1,2
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application denied.			
699	A. G. Lang, Exc. Est. G. E. Lask	9	1184	5,300	7,0
	Appraisers recommend \$2,300 reduction.	No objection and so ordered.			
700	C. C. Peters .....	12/13/14	592	14,900	16,2
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application denied.			

		<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
701	F. B. Marshall .....	52	3707	1,003,240	1,536,550
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
702	Fannie Wolmar .....	6	1055	1,800	1,160
	Appraisers recommend \$300 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
703	F. D. Stutz .....	19	1546	1,700	1,780
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
704	Golden Gate Music Co.....	..	....	4,050	.....
	Over 50 per cent of personal property located outside of San Francisco.				
	New assessment should be \$2,050.				
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
705	S. Bayless .....	7	3589	4,500	5,620
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
706	Louise Kelly .....	11	41	.....	12,510
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
707	Louise S. Kelly .....	4	692	16,000	10,000
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
708	Mrs. S. Kelly .....	2	346	5,000	11,000
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
709	Mrs. Frank S. Kelly .....	20	324	21,000	20,210
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
710	Mrs. Frank S. Kelly.....	8	6531	5,000	5,290
	Appraisers recommend \$500 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
711	Mrs. Frank S. Kelly .....	18	3643	2,600	5,010
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
712	Mrs. Frank S. Kelly .....	13	6531	3,200	2,150
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
713	M. D. Newell .....	6	2203	.....	640
	Appraisers recommend \$240 reduction on land.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
714	Jacob J. Klotz .....	1M	1173	3,600	1,420
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
715	Jacob J. Klotz .....	43	1727	.....	1,360
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
716	B. Puffer .....	2	1664	5,000	1,810
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
717	Edw. McSheehy .....	9	1079	2,100	3,600
	Appraisers recommend \$500 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
718	Henry L. Prising .....	39	1765	2,000	5,400
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
719	Madeline O'Connor .....	4	1014	2,250	1,870
	Appraisers recommend \$250 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
720	Mabel J. Coe .....	11	1331	2,000	2,620
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
721	Marie C. Petersen .....	12	6530	2,700	7,710
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
722	Elizabeth Madden .....	36	3753	2,250	980
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
723	Emma Lewis .....	2A	1385	3,300	1,330
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
724	Wimar Wurm .....	9	816	14,500	11,700
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
725	A. Perlite .....	6	64	3,250	2,090
	Appraisers recommend \$250 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
726	S. A. Dewing .....	7	741	.....	.....
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			



		<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>La</i>
727	Helene Blemburg .....	32	3595	2,250	1,5
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Supervisor McSheehy moved a reduction of \$1,000.				
	Supervisor Uhl moved as amendment reduction of \$250.				
	Supervisor Meyer moved as an amendment to the amendment a reduction of \$500. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
728	Grace B. Selfridge .....	13	533	2,000	7,2
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
729	Doris Byrne .....	22	1266	1,600	1,3
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
730	Mary Signoratti .....	35	6504	1,450	4
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
731	Mrs. C. A. Milly .....	9	5307	800	8
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Supervisor Shannon moved reduction to \$600. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
732	Rose Galbetz .....	31	1222	2,950	1,6
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
733	Peter Cardano .....	16	163	2,900	5
	Appraisers recommend \$200 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				

#### Motion.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Clerk be directed to apply to the State Board of Equalization for a twenty-day extension of time. No second.

#### RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Equalization at 4:45 p. m. took a recess until 10 a. Monday, July 19, 1937.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk

#### MONDAY, JULY 19, 1937—10 A. M.

The Board of Equalization reassembled pursuant to recess of Friday, July 1937.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—5.

#### Motion.

Director of Property Phillips corrected recommendation made at previous meeting. He declared that \$500 reduction recommended for application No. 554 was intended for application No. 544, Wilhelmina Street. Frank B. Longan, attorney.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the correction be approved. No objection and it was *so ordered*.

#### Consideration of Applications for Correction or Reduction of Assessments.

The following applicants or their agents who appeared were duly sworn, and testified regarding requested reductions in their assessments, to-wit:

		<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>La</i>
734	Anita D. Roth .....	18	588	21,600	10,0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
735	W. F. Riley .....	1C	1174	5,400	1,0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
736	W. F. Riley .....	13F	1188	1,350	1,0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
737	W. F. Riley .....	6	1620	4,050	1,0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				

		<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
738	W. F. Riley .....	14	2926	3,300	790
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
739	Mark Dobrin .....	11	1100	1,450	1,440
	Appraisers recommend \$250 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
740	Mark Dobrin .....	35	1204	2,050	1,500
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
741	R. E. Delaney .....	51	1848	1,650	1,000
	Appraisers recommend \$150 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
742	Anita Ottoboni .....	2	7143	.....	1,020
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
743	M. O'Dowd .....	11	1559	4,300	1,190
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
744	M. O'Dowd .....	9/10/11	1826	.....	1,800
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
745	Ingborg Erickson .....	22	6597	600	900
	Appraisers recommend \$100 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
746	Rosa Daniell .....	28	550	1,350	1,550
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
747	Rosa Daniell .....	12	273	3,000	1,980
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
748	Rosa Daniell .....	20	728	1,350	1,840
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
749	A. Hammersmith .....	1	3912	6,500	57,500
	Appraisers recommend \$500 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
	Supervisor Meyer moved approval.				
750	F. Gandolfo .....	16	39	4,350	1,090
	Appraisers recommend \$350 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
751	Charles Vignie .....	7	320	13,000	5,020
	Appraisers recommend \$500 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
752	Albert Guibert .....	46	3581	2,000	1,200
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
753	V. Sabella .....	5	40	6,150	1,410
	Appraisers recommend \$150 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
754	Kate Nolan .....	58	3519	1,400	560
	Appraisers recommend \$400 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
755	J. Latorre .....	4	40	3,450	1,340
	Appraisers recommend \$250 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
756	Mary A. Faustini.....	67/68	3537	1,150	2,480
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
757	Monona D. Renz.....	3	3161	1,650	460
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Supervisors Uhl and McSheehy moved reduction of \$150. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
	Supervisors Uhl and McSheehy moved reduction of \$150.				
758	H. A. Lundstrom.....	18	1003	2,400	5,060
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
759	A. M. Curley .....	45	3513	1,100	860
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
760	A. M. Curley .....	29	722	1,250	2,250
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
761	Anna McGrath .....	18/19	220	2,800	2,520
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
762	Wm. E. Moeller .....	1M	1712	1,350	750
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
763	Jane McCourtney .....	16B-16D	1157	10,800	3,860
	Appraisers recommend \$800 reduction on two buildings.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			



		Lot	Block	Building	Land
764	J. M. Walker .....	29	1602	1,500	90
	Appraisers recommend \$200 reduction. No objection and so ordered.				
765	Edw. L. Wagner .....	15	1238	1,300	1,88
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
766	Edw. L. Wagner .....	13	151	1,700	94
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
767	Margaret Maghetti .....	1/2	758	5,200	6,03
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
768	S. Vahanian .....	12	1570	1,600	84
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
769	William Kiernan .....	10	200	4,500	6,93
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
770	Clara S. Solomon.....	30/31	1896	1,000	1,50
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
771	M. E. Kerr .....	2	6082	1,150	50
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
772	F. Levi .....	27	1417	5,200	1,25
	Appraisers recommend \$200 reduction. Supervisor Uhl moved the reduction be granted. No objection and so ordered.				
773	Mary A. Nendek.....	31A	124	2,250	1,84
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
774	Andrew Helbing .....	16	279	9,300	6,71
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Supervisor Uhl moved reduction of \$500.				
	Motion carried by the following vote:				
	Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.				
	Noes—Supervisors Colman, Mead—2.				
	Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly, Roncovieri—3.				
775	A. Helbing .....	2B/2D	499	143,000	21,8
	Appraisers recommend \$1,000 reduction.				
	Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved a reduction \$2,500.				
	Motion lost by the following vote:				
	Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Uhl—3.				
	Noes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Shannon—5.				
	Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly, Roncovieri—3.				
776	A. Helbing .....	2A	672	45,000	8,9
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Supervisor Uhl moved a reduction of \$2,500.				
	Supervisor Colman moved as an amendment a reduction of \$1,000.				
	Amendment carried.				
777	A. Helbing .....	9	690	2,250	10,9
	Appraisers recommend \$500 reduction. No objection and so ordered.				
778	A. Helbing .....	12	718	19,500	8,7
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Supervisor McSheehy moved reduction of \$1,000.				
	Motion carried by the following vote:				
	Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.				
	Noes—Supervisors Colman, Mead—2.				
	Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly, Roncovieri—3.				
779	F. Smith .....	12	872	54,500	6,2
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				
780	R. Sangiacomo .....	33	1536	1,800	1,2
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application denied.				

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
81	Guy E. Sturgis.....	19/20	482	20,000	3,810
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
82	Guy E. Sturgis .....	2	479	15,300	4,790
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
83	Guy E. Sturgis .....	1	1657	5,850	2,480
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
84	Guy E. Sturgis .....	5	1018	2,900	2,830
	Appraisers recommend \$200 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
85	J. P. Wallace .....	9	622	15,000	6,350
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
86	J. P. Wallace .....	23	334	.....	5,030
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
87	R. H. Olivere.....	1	2130A	2,000	340
	Appraisers recommend \$100 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
88	Cecilia Dettmer .....	15	709	3,350	6,380
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
89	Mary C. Donnelly .....	2	708	1,200	4,000
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
90	Mrs. E. A. Bornemann .....	8A	1526	3,000	1,250
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
91	R. Sangiacomo .....	22A	1686	3,800	1,100
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
92	C. A. West .....	7B	275	8,500	4,620
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
93	Edw. L. Primat .....	2(O)	2200	1,500	550
	Appraisers recommend \$150 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
94	F. Manifior .....	34	182	3,150	1,150
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
95	Neil McCullion, Administrator...	57	3775	5,100	1,920
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
96	Virginia Paganini .....	38	1527	1,800	5,000
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
97	N. D. Taylor .....	8	1129	2,350	1,820
	Appraisers recommend \$150 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
98	G. Depaoli .....	36/37	6520	7,800	4,500
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
99	G. Depaoli .....	4	733	7,650	8,900
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
100	F. Labardie .....	53	3555	3,150	890
	Appraisers recommend \$650 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
101	Herbert Baird .....	20	213	8,000	3,520
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
102	Herbert Baird .....	5	772	1,800	3,330
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
103	Louise S. Quinn .....	16	1332	1,750	1,750
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
104	Edna Raffo .....	27	1333	1,550	1,810
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
105	Arthur L. Morrison .....	3A	651	5,500	1,950
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
106	T. M. W. West.....	14	619	10,000	3,080
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
107	S. Herske .....	9	347	22,500	9,560
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			



	<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>La</i>
808 Esther Fleisher .....	14	1403	150	1,1
Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				

Attorney Jos. E. Brown representing the following numbered applications were heard and said applications acted upon as follows:

#### Denied.

Nos. 344, 416, 538, 761, 767, 781, 782, 783, 789, 792, 801, 802, 806, 807, 810, 812, 829, 831, 833, 838, 847, 848, 872.

#### Reductions Granted on Recommendation of Appraiser's Committee.

Nos. 664, \$100; 665, \$500; 784, \$200; 797, \$150; 837, \$200; 858, \$400; 867, \$500.

#### Motions.

776 No reduction recommended.

Supervisor Uhl moved reduction of \$1,000. No objection and *so ordered*.

841 Supervisor Uhl moved reduction of \$700. No objection and *so ordered*.

#### Phillips' Recommendation.

##### Granted.

Nos. 52, \$1,400; 395, \$590; 514, \$1,000; 695, \$1,000; 717, \$500; 684, \$500; 694, \$400; 697, \$500; 702, \$300; 725, \$250; 733, \$200; 739, \$200; 741, \$150; 763, \$800; 764, \$200; 787, \$100; 793, \$150; 814, \$500; 835, \$150; 837, \$200; 863, \$90; 828, \$139.

##### Denied.

No. 378, no reduction. No. 896, no reduction.

#### Uhl's Reports.

95 Appraiser W. J. Cobby agreed to \$2,000 reduction. No objection and *so ordered*.

138 Appraiser W. J. Cobby agreed to \$950 reduction. No objection and *so ordered*.

#### RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Equalization at 12:30 p. m. took a recess until the afternoon after the calendar of the Board of Supervisors is completed.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

#### MONDAY, JULY 19, 1937—4:40 P. M.

Upon conclusion of its calendar, the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into a Board of Equalization for the purpose of hearing the application (No. 701) of the Palace Hotel for a reduction of assessment.

#### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly, Roncovieri—3.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

#### Hearing of Application of Palace Hotel for Reduction of Assessment.

Chas. J. Husband, representing the Palace Hotel, was duly sworn and heard.

Supervisor Uhl asked Mr. Husband for his credentials and was told by Mr. Husband that he had a contract for three years with the Palace Hotel to represent in tax adjustment matters.

Chas. J. Husband, thereupon stated that the land comprising the Palace Hotel property was assessed at \$1,536,550. He asked that it be reduced to \$1,115,900. The improvements, he said, were assessed for \$1,300,240. He asked a reduction

\$688,750. Personal property assessed at \$174,043, he asked that it be reduced to \$68,875. He discussed basic front foot values on Market street north and south side between Third and Fourth and other locations as used by the Assessor and contended that in comparison the assessment of the Palace Hotel property was out of line.

### Motions.

Supervisor Brown moved that the matter be taken in the hands of the Board. No objection and *so ordered*.

Thereupon, Supervisor Brown asked Director of Property Phillips if after the statement of Mr. Husband he still considered the assessment of the Palace Hotel property an equitable one.

Director of Property Phillips, through Mr. Geo. Thomas, his assistant who had rechecked the assessment of the Palace Hotel, declared that the assessment was equitable. Mr. Phillips concurred in Mr. Thomas's conclusions.

Harold Boyd, Controller and former Chief Deputy Assessor being asked declared that there was no rigid rule as to values assessed by the Assessor. In support of his statement he read a court decision entitled "Harrison Lumber Company vs. City of Los Angeles."

### Application Denied.

Thereupon, on motion of Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, Application No. 701, F. B. Marshall (Palace Hotel) was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

### Consideration of Applications for Correction or Reduction of Assessments.

The following numbered applications were taken up, the applicants or their agents called and said applications acted upon as follows:

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
9	J. H. Kruse .....	18	1619	3,850	1,000
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
0	A. Grossman .....	10	3567	5,500	2,160
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
1	A. Grossman .....	4	3522	5,650	1,160
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
2	Edward L. Plumb .....	20	1663	8,550	1,620
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
3	Nicholas Raven .....	27	620	3,050	3,060
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
4	H. Lynch .....	39	3568	3,000	1,930
	Appraisers recommend \$500 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
5	H. Lynch .....	19	1182	1,700	1,510
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
6	Inger M. Christensen .....	11B	544	18,000	2,910
	Appraisers recommend \$1,000 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
7	Anna Roth .....	4	3639	1,550	9,070
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
8	H. Lynch .....	13A	7140	150	350
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
9	Henry O. Eggert .....	22	3701	30,000	25,650
	Appraisers recommend \$1,500 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
0	H. O. Eggert .....	14	274	10,000	7,010
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			



		Lot	Block	Building	La
821	Janet Rowan Hale .....	14	147	5,000	4,80
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.			Application <i>denied</i> .	
822	Madel J. Catching .....	2	280	25,000	10,50
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.			Application <i>denied</i> .	
823	Guilio Pinotti .....	29	76	3,050	3,00
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.			Application <i>denied</i> .	
824	E. Fleisher .....	19	710	2,200	3,00
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.			Application <i>denied</i> .	
825	Marguerite Novitzky .....	16	1391	1,550	1,00
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.			Application <i>denied</i> .	
826	Anna M. Kerrigan .....	5	1925	1,900	00
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.			Application <i>denied</i> .	
827	Geo. Chalmers .....	14	814	215,000	57,00
	Appraisers recommend \$5,000 reduction.				
	Supervisor McSheehy moved approval.			No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .	
828	Attilio Sanguinetti .....	3/4	223	3,200-3,800	7,00
	Appraisers recommend reduction on Lot 3 to \$2,560 and Lot 4 to \$3,000.				
	Total \$5,610. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
829	Como Montgomery .....	9	335	5,500	5,00
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.			Application <i>denied</i> .	
830	E. J. Lewis .....	25	1009	5,850	2,00
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.			Application <i>denied</i> .	
831	Wm. F. Itsell .....	21	706	4,150	...
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.			Application <i>denied</i> .	
832	Mary C. Devlin .....	2	1030	700	1,00
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.			Application <i>denied</i> .	
833	C. Brady .....	3	848	9,800	2,00
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.			Application <i>denied</i> .	
834	Jeanne Bairos .....	8	1234	2,000	1,00
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.			Application <i>denied</i> .	
835	Jeanne Bairos .....	11	1235	900	2,00
	Appraisers recommend \$150 reduction.			No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .	
836	Giacomo Ponza .....	13	163	5,000	10,00
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.			Application <i>denied</i> .	
837	Carl Muller .....	51	1256	1,800	1,00
	Appraisers recommend \$200 reduction.			No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .	
838	Carl Muller .....	23	1232	2,300	1,00
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.			Application <i>denied</i> .	
839	K. A. Bocklund .....	38	6021	2,200	00
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.			Application <i>denied</i> .	
840	Mrs. Margaret E. Hill.....	13	1154	600	3,00
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.			Application <i>denied</i> .	
841	Marie J. Sigrist.....	13B	1200	16,700	3,00
	Appraisers recommend \$700 reduction.			No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .	
842	T. Goldstein .....	1	3633	400	2,00
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.			Application <i>denied</i> .	
843	Michael J. Minan .....	5	6200	200	00
	Appraisers recommend \$50 reduction.			No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .	
844	Jos. Girbony .....	10	4030	2,900	00
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.			Application <i>denied</i> .	
845	M. A. Hall .....	6	278	3,200	3,00
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.			Application <i>denied</i> .	

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
46	Louis Pisano .....	5	5912	350	90
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
47	M. Camiccia .....	5A	125	6,300	1,480
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
48	M. Camiccia .....	48	1529	11,000	7,500
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
49	Margaret Bunner .....	2	463A	3,950	1,150
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
50	Mrs. M. Bunner .....	14	441B	16,000	2,910
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
51	George Harrington .....	1K	1838	1,400	850
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
52	Mae Frazee .....	9	6732	500	300
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
53	Mae E. Frazee .....	8	1237	1,100	1,680
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
54	Francisco Antoni .....	9	1725	3,450	800
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
55	Frank Antoni .....	30	1217	1,800	1,650
	Appraisers recommend \$200 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
56	Francisco Antoni .....	31/32	1217	2,050	3,300
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
57	L. F. Neary .....	1	3754	5,000	7,560
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
58	August Fruchtenicht .....	16	829	3,000	2,360
	Appraisers recommend \$400 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
59	T. J. De Rago .....	6	842	4,000	2,180
	Appraisers recommend \$600 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
60	T. J. De Rago .....	16	720	2,200	3,160
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
61	C. R. Peterson .....	20	39	.....	10,490
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
62	A. Hussenot .....	56	1275	300	960
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
63	H. B. Murphy .....	12	1458	100	1,150
	Appraisers recommend reduction to \$10.	Building has been torn down.			
	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
64	Paul G. De Martini .....	11	213	5,000	2,800
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
65	Paul De Martini .....	10	596	2,500	2,230
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
66	Hermiena F. Lankow .....	37	2961A	1,350	530
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
67	W. R. Towne .....	15	574	25,000	8,000
	Appraisers recommend \$500 reduction.	No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .			
68	Otto Fisher .....	21	808	1,450	1,850
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
69	G. De Maestri .....	13	508	18,000	2,120
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
70	G. De Maestri .....	7B/7C	792	17,700	4,180
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
71	T. Stout .....	10	213	5,200	2,800
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			
72	Caroline Christiansen .....	1A	848	13,500	3,110
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.	Application <i>denied</i> .			



		<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Lot</i>
873	James M. Sullivan .....	9	1256	2,900	3,0
	Appraisers recommend \$500 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
874	James M. Sullivan .....	17	1336	3,050	3,0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
875	A. R. Larson .....	7B	6346	4,000	2,0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
876	J. Walter Steinkamp .....	5	1782	1,800	0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
877	Minnie A. Bernhard .....	26	1007	5,850	2,0
	Appraisers recommend \$350 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
878	Katherine Renna .....	17E	3534	18,000	1,0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
879	Katherine Renna .....	17A	3534	16,000	2,0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
880	Eugenia Costello .....	29-29A	445A	10,600	3,0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
881	Eugenia Costello .....	45/46	3502	.....	11,0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
882	L. M. Bernhardt .....	34	1569	1,350	0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
883	K. Knoll .....	8	2886	2,550	0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
884	K. Knoll .....	11	3530	1,700	1,0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
885	Italia Baldi .....	45	6769	1,100	30
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
886	Elizabeth A. Feld .....	25	1738	1,000	130
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
887	Hilda Holmberg .....	24	4260	3,450	30
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
888	Mary A. Mulcahy .....	11	1246	4,750	230
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
889	Ruth Connell .....	14	2392	1,400	0
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
890	Nicholine P. McGowan .....	1	869	11,000	230
	Appraisers recommend no reduction.				
	Supervisor Uhl moved to reduce from \$11,000 to \$10,000. Motion <i>lost</i> by the following vote:				
	Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—4.				
	Noes—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Ratto—3.				
	Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.				
891	Goldie Offenbach .....	7	1187	4,500	120
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
892	M. E. Hill .....	13	1154	600	1,0
	Appraisers recommend \$500 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
893	Mary F. Bruno .....	1	54	3,200	150
	Appraisers recommend \$200 reduction. No objection and <i>so ordered</i> .				
894	Frank Carredetti .....	21	7032A	1,650	10
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
895	W. Chennick .....	21	1005	2,250	310
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
896	S. G. Fisher .....	.....	.....	12,000	2190
	Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application <i>denied</i> .				
	(See attached letter.)				
	(Part to be purchased by State.)				

**Motions.**

- 578 C. H. Desky, attorney representing H. O. L. C., consideration of motion of Supervisor Uhl to raise assessment on application No. 578 from \$2,000 to \$2,500.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—4.  
Noes—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri—4.  
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Reilly—3.

- 890 Nicolene P. McGowan, 201 Waller street. Appraisers recommend no reduction.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved to reduce from \$11,000 to \$10,000.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—4.  
Noes—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Ratto—3.  
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

- 776 A. Helbing, 1405 Franklin street. Appraisers recommend no reduction.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved a reduction from \$45,000 to \$42,500. Appraisers agreed to a \$1,000 reduction.

Supervisor Colman moved a reduction of \$1,000. No objection and it was *so ordered*.

- 778 A. Helbing, 920 Van Ness avenue. Appraisers recommend no reduction.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved a reduction of \$1,000.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.  
Noes—Supervisors Colman, Mead—2.  
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly, Roncovieri—3.

- 774 A. Helbing, 1267 Bush street.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved a reduction of \$500.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.  
Noes—Supervisors Colman, Mead—2.  
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly, Roncovieri—3.

- 775 A. Helbing. Appraisers recommend no reduction.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved a reduction of \$2,500.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Uhl—3.  
Noes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Shannon—5.  
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly, Roncovieri—3.

**Report of Director of Property Jos. Phillips.**

The Appraisers' Committee, through Director of Property Jos. Phillips, reported the following applications with recommended reductions and denials as follows:

**Recommended Reductions.**

Nos. 49, \$300; 112, \$200; 126, \$400; 326, \$200; 483, \$2,450; 710, \$500; 751, \$500; 54, \$400; 800, \$650; 819, \$1,500; 843, \$50; 855, \$200; 873, \$500; 877, \$350; 859, \$00; 893, \$200.



**Recommended Denials.**

Nos. 518, 336, 332.

**Report Approved.**

On motion duly made and *carried* the foregoing report was *approved*.

Attorney Brown, representing the following numbered applications, was heard and said applications were acted upon as follows:

**Denied.**

Nos. 761, 767, 789, 792, 801, 802, 806, 807, 847, 872, 878, 879.

**Reductions Granted on Recommendation of Appraisers' Committee.**

Nos. 777, \$500; 797, \$150; 841, \$700; 858, \$400.

**Arbitrary List Approved.**

Supervisor Meyer moved that the Arbitrary List as corrected be approved. Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.  
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

**Clerical Error List Accepted.**

Supervisor Meyer moved that the Assessment Clerical Error List be accepted. Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.  
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

Supervisor Meyer moved that recommendations heretofore made by Supervisor Shannon, McSheehy, Ratto, Uhl, Mead and Meyer, and recommended by the Special Appraisers' Committee, be approved.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.  
No—Supervisor Colman—1.  
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

**Applications Denied.**

Supervisor Meyer moved that all applications heard and not granted be denied. Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.  
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business the Board of Equalization at the hour of 6:15 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco

Monday, July 12, 1937

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.



THE HISTORY OF THE  
CITY OF BOSTON

FROM THE FIRST SETTLEMENT TO THE PRESENT TIME

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JULY 12, 1937, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 12, 1937, 2 p. m.  
The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:  
Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.  
Supervisors Brown and Colman excused.

### Telegram.

Telegram from Supervisor Colman, requesting to be excused from meetings of the Board for the reason that he is attending military camp at Monterey was read by the Clerk and on motion of Supervisor Meyer leave of absence was *granted*.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of July 6, 1937, was considered read and approved.

### MOTION TO RECONSIDER.

The following resolution is up on Supervisor Meyer's motion to reconsider vote whereby the same was *adopted* on July 6, 1937:

#### Committee to Study Unification of Street Railways.

(Code No. 15.091)

Resolution No. 3373, as follows:

Whereas, the proposed Cahill rapid transit plan involves a total cost to the taxpayers of \$51,000,000, including interest during construction; and

Whereas, present traffic and transportation conditions are unsatisfactory and will be almost intolerable during the nine months of the Exposition in 1939; and

Whereas, construction of the proposed subway system will cover a five-year period and it would thus appear that only nominal, if any, relief will be possible in time to meet conditions in 1939; and

Whereas, private railway lines operating in the City and County of San Francisco carry two and one-half patrons to one given service by the Municipal Railway; and

Whereas, it would appear that approval of the proposed large expenditure by a two-thirds vote prior to exhaustion of the possibilities of agreement for something approaching unification of service will be extremely difficult; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, That a committee of *six*, including the City Controller, Chairman of the Finance Committee, Chairman of the Public Utilities Committee, Chairman of the Streets Committee, the President of the



Board of Supervisors, *and a representative of the Public Utilities Commission to be appointed by the Commission*, be and is hereby appointed and authorized to investigate the immediate possibilities of unification of the private and Municipal lines; the benefits of such unification and a basis, if any, upon which such consolidation may be satisfactorily negotiated; and be it

Further Resolved, That the committee herein authorized shall file with the Board of Supervisors prior to Monday, August 23, 1937, its report and recommendations which will permit official action by the Board of Supervisors in time to submit the proposed rapid transit plan, or a revision thereof, at the November 2, 1938, election; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Department of Public Works be instructed to broaden the present City-wide pedestrian and vehicular traffic survey, which is to officially conclude on August 1, to include pertinent information which may be helpful to the special committee in its studies and negotiations, without expense to the City.

*July 6, 1937—Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Uhl—6.

No—Supervisor Meyer—1.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

Supervisor Meyer having changed from *No* to *Aye*, the vote now stands:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

**Opinion of City Attorney Re Initiation of Proceedings by the Board of Supervisors to Acquire Privately-Owned Railway Lines.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

July 9, 1937.

To Supervisor Frederick Meyer.

Dear Sir: I am in receipt of a resolution pending adoption by the Board of Supervisors, the pertinent portions of which read as follows:

“Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco that a committee of five, including the City Controller, Chairman of the Finance Committee, Chairman of the Public Utilities Committee, Chairman of the Streets Committee and the President of the Board, be and is hereby appointed and authorized to investigate the immediate possibilities of unification of the private and Municipal lines; the benefits of such unification and a basis, if any, upon which such consolidation may be satisfactorily negotiated; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Committee herein authorized shall file with the Board of Supervisors prior to Monday, August 23, 1937, its report and recommendations which will permit official action by the Board of Supervisors in time to submit the proposed rapid transit plan, or a revision thereof, at the November 2, 1938, election; and be it”

*Opinion.*

It would appear that the effect of the resolution would be to initiate proceedings to acquire an existing utility. I have heretofore advised the Board of Supervisors that the Charter provisions are not such that a utility can be acquired or constructed without the joint action of both the Public Utilities Commission and the Board of Supervisors; the former recommending the acquisition or construction of the utility, and the latter making provision for the necessary funds to defray the cost thereof.

However, it appears to me that Section 119 of the Charter definitely places upon the Public Utilities Commission the duty and obligation of making a report to the Board of Supervisors on the acquisition or construction of the utility before the Board can take any action to-

wards determining the question as to whether or not the same should be acquired.

Note the language of the section:

"It is the declared purpose and intention of the people of the city and county, when public interest and necessity demand, that public utilities shall be gradually acquired and ultimately owned by the city and county. Whenever the Board of Supervisors, as provided in Sections 101 to 104, inclusive, of this charter, shall determine that the public interest or necessity demands the acquisition, construction or completion of any public utility or utilities by the city and county, or whenever the electors shall petition the supervisors, as provided in Sections 105, 179 and 180 of this charter, for the acquisition of any public utility or utilities, the supervisors must procure a report from the public utilities commission thereon."

Therefore, if the Board of Supervisors should determine that public interest or necessity demands the acquisition of the privately-owned railway systems in the City and County of San Francisco, their first duty is to procure a report from the Public Utilities Commission as to the estimated value of said systems to be acquired and the condition under which the same may be acquired.

In view of the foregoing, you are advised that the provisions of the resolution, above mentioned, cannot be legally carried into effect.

Respectfully submitted,

JNO. J. O'TOOLE,  
City Attorney.

#### Motion.

Whereupon, Supervisor Meyer moved that the vote whereby the foregoing resolution was *adopted* be reconsidered.

No objection and it was *so ordered*.

Thereupon, Supervisor Meyer moved that resolution No. 3373 be repealed.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

#### Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution, presented by Supervisor Shannon, was *adopted* by the following vote:

#### Public Utilities Commission to Investigate Possibilities of Unification of Street Railway Systems.

(Code No. 15.091)

Resolution No. 3405, as follows:

Whereas, there is pending before the Board of Supervisors the proposition of initiating suitable proceedings for the financing of a rapid transit system as recommended by the Public Utilities Commission; and

Whereas, in the consideration of said proposition it is necessary for the Board of Supervisors to ascertain under what conditions the Municipal Railway and the privately owned street railway systems operating in the City and County of San Francisco could be unified so that the same might be operated as one system; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Public Utilities Commission be and it is hereby directed to investigate the immediate possibilities of unification of the privately owned street railway systems with the Municipal Railway, the benefits which will accrue from said unification and the basis, if any, upon which consolidation may be satisfactorily negotiated, such unification to be effected either by a suitable operating agreement between the Public Utilities Commission and the privately owned street



railway systems, or by the acquisition of said last mentioned systems, and to make to this Board of Supervisors a report of said investigation on or before the 9th day of August, 1937; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Director of Public Works be requested to broaden his present City-wide pedestrian and vehicular traffic survey, which is to officially conclude on August 1, 1937, so as to include all pertinent information relative to pedestrian and vehicular traffic conditions which may be helpful to the Board of Supervisors in dealing with said rapid transit problem.

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

### SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

#### Action Deferred.

The following matters were, on motion, *laid over one week*:

#### Bond Issue Legislation—Rapid Transit.

(Code No. 15.059)

Resolution No. 3375, as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of subways and surface rapid transit lines to connect with existing municipal and other railway lines and establishment of bus lines and the acquisition of the necessary cars, buses and equipment to be used in connection with said subways and surface rapid transit and bus lines, together with the required car and bus storage facilities, shops and buildings and the land necessary therefor, and all other things necessary, convenient or incidental to the construction, operation and maintenance of said rapid transit system within the City and County of San Francisco, including the necessary easements and rights of way, and further determining and declaring that the estimated cost of said improvement is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and declared that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of a subway in Market street from Fremont street westerly to the vicinity of Church street with a subway in Fremont street from Market street to the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge terminal in the vicinity of Howard and Fremont streets; a subway through the Mission District commencing at a point in the vicinity of Market street and Van Ness avenue and extending to Mission street over the most advisable route and continuing southerly in Mission street to the right of way of the Southern Pacific Company at a point in the vicinity of Mission and Twenty-fifth streets; thence under the said right of way to a point in the vicinity of Twenty-seventh and Dolores streets; a subway in Montgomery street southerly from Columbus avenue to Market street, thence in Market street to Geary street and thence in Geary street to a point in the vicinity of Pierce street; a surface street railway commencing at the end of the subway in the vicinity of Twenty-seventh and Dolores streets over and along or near the right of way of the Southern Pacific Company to its intersection with Ocean avenue; and to establish and maintain bus lines to be operated in connection with and as feeders to said subways and surface railway lines existing or to be hereafter acquired, all to constitute a rapid transit system and to be operated in connection with the present municipal

railway system to relieve traffic congestion in the City and County of San Francisco and to accelerate the transportation of passengers between the business and residential sections of the City and County. Together with the acquisition of all lands, easements and rights of way necessary, convenient or incident to the construction, maintenance and operation of said subways and surface lines, including buses and all facilities necessary for the housing and servicing the cars and buses used in the operation of said subway, surface railway and bus lines, including the land necessary for said purpose. Together with all equipment, devices and facilities of every kind and nature necessary or incident or convenient to the acquisition, maintenance and operation of said subways, surface or bus lines, and the necessary changes in the present street railway equipment to make the same adaptable for use in connection with said subways and surface lines.

Section 2. The estimated cost of making the improvement described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of \$.....

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of \$....., no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the sum of \$.....

Section 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

#### Bond Issue Legislation—Dead End Streets.

(Code No. 12.08)

Also, Resolution No. 3376, as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of the extension of Fifth street diagonally across Market street to the intersection of Mason and Eddy streets and the projection of Grant avenue across Market street to then constitute a new street between Third and Fourth streets from Market street to Brannan street, and that the estimated cost of said improvement is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and declared that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of the establishing, laying out, opening, widening, extending, straightening, construction, improving and altering of streets and public ways, and the establishing, modifying, and changing the grade thereof; the construction of the necessary pavements, curbs, sidewalks, culverts and other structures, and the acquisition of any lands or rights of way and other property necessary for such purposes, including construction of extension of Fifth street diagonally across Market street to the intersection of Mason and Eddy streets and the projection of Grant avenue across Market street to then constitute a new street between Third and Fourth streets from Market to Brannan streets, all designed for the purpose of better accommodating traffic in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The estimated cost of making the improvement described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of \$.....

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of \$..... no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the sum of \$.....

Section 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.



June 28, 1937—Supervisor Colman moved that the City Attorney be requested to prepare legislation necessary for submission to the electors, the question of bond issue for the cutting through of "dead-end" streets, as separate question, i.e., one proposal for extension of Fifth street, and another for the projection of Grant avenue across Market street to Brannan street.

*So ordered.*

Whereupon, the subject matter was continued until July 12, 1937, at 3 p. m.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

#### **Authorizing Appropriation of \$6,412 From Surplus of Premiums Received From Bond Sales of the 1933 Airport Bond Issue to Appropriation No. 97-900-00, Airport Capital Additions.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1359, Ordinance No. 9.051395, as follows:

Authorizing appropriation of \$6,412 from surplus of premiums received from bond sales of the 1933 Airport Bond Issue to Appropriation No. 97-900-00, airport capital additions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated the sum of \$6,412 out of the surplus of premiums received from bond sales of the 1933 Airport Bond Issue to Appropriation No. 97-900-00, airport capital additions, to defray cost of additions and betterments at the San Francisco Airport.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

#### **Authorizing the Director of Property to Aid and Assist the Board of Supervisors, Sitting as a Board of Equalization, and to Employ the Necessary Appraisers to Pass Upon Requests Made for Reductions in 1937-1938 Assessments.**

(Code No. 1.0621)

Also, Bill No. 1364, Ordinance No. 1.06217, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Property to aid and assist the Board of Supervisors, sitting as a Board of Equalization, and to employ the necessary appraisers to pass upon requests made for reductions in 1937-1938 assessments.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Property of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to aid, assist and advise the Board of Supervisors, sitting as a Board of Equalization in passing upon requests made to said Board for the reduction of 1937-1938 assessments on taxable property in the City and County of San Francisco. Said services shall be rendered to said Board of Supervisors during the time that it sits as a Board of Equalization and for such time prior thereto as may be necessary to prepare for such investigation.

Section 2. The Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to employ fifteen independent expert real estate and building appraisers as needed subject to the provisions of Section 142 of the

Charter to aid and assist him in advising the Board of Supervisors in regard to requests for reductions in said assessments.

Section 3. For the services herein provided one independent expert building appraiser shall receive not more than \$350. One independent expert real estate and building appraiser shall receive not more than \$275, and the remaining thirteen independent expert real estate and building appraisers shall receive not more than \$250, each chargeable to 1937-1938 Appropriation No. 701.106.01 and there is hereby ordered transferred from 1937-1938 Appropriation No. 701.106.01 the sum of \$200 to the Director of Property to cover the incidental expense of his office relative to the service herein directed to be furnished by said Director of Property

Section 4. Bill No. 1355, Ordinance No. 1.06216, is hereby repealed.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

#### **Appropriation of \$15,000 for New Elevator in Civic Auditorium.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1365, Ordinance No. 9.051397, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$15,000 out of the accrued surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 635.500.00 for the installation of a new elevator in the Civic Auditorium.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$15,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the accrued surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 635.500.00 for the installation of a new elevator in the Civic Auditorium.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

#### **Appropriation of \$73,064 for Police Signal Box Circuits.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1366, Ordinance No. 9.051398, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$73,064 out of the accrued surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 649.500.09 to make the necessary changes in the police signal box circuits as per recommendations of the Special Police Committee.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$73,064 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the accrued surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 649.500.09 to make the necessary changes in the police signal box circuits as per recommendations of the Special Police Committee.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

#### **Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police was taken up:



**Amending Taxicab Ordinance—Rates of Fare.**

(Code No. 11.0111)

Bill No. 1361, Ordinance No. 11.011111, as follows:

Amending Section 23 of Ordinance No. 6979 (New Series) entitled, "Regulating the Use of Taxicabs, Automobiles and Public Vehicles for Hire, Fixing the rate to Be Charged for the Transportation of Persons and Personal Baggage, Regulating the Use of Boats in the Waters of the Bay, Providing a Punishment for Any Violation Thereof and Repealing Ordinance No. 1898 (New Series)."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 23 of Ordinance No. 6979 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 23. The rates of fare for automobiles, whether offered for hire or furnished for special calls, shall not exceed the following: For automobiles having a capacity for four passengers, exclusive of driver, for the first half hour, or fraction thereof, two dollars and fifty cents; for each succeeding half hour, two dollars. For automobiles having a capacity for six or more passengers, exclusive of driver, for the first half hour or fraction thereof, three dollars; for each subsequent half hour, two dollars and fifty cents. Provided, however, that no automobile shall charge a rate of fare from any ferry or railroad terminal that shall exceed the metered mileage rate specified in the next succeeding section, and the distance from such terminal to the point of destination shall be measured by the shortest route along open public streets. *The rates of fare for limousines and automobiles as such terms are herein defined shall be not less than 30 cents per mile up to and including the fourth mile and one dollar and twenty-five cents (\$1.25) for a continuous point to point trip.*

**Amendment.**

Supervisor Mead, seconded by Supervisor Ratto, moved to amend Section 23, in line 15 thereof, by inserting the words "not less than" before the words "one dollar," and in line 16, after the word "trip," insert the words "beyond the fourth mile."

Amendment *carried*.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

Whereupon, the foregoing ordinance as amended was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

**Final Passage.**

The following recommendations of Street Committee were taken up:

**Prohibiting Parking at Certain Hours in Downtown Areas.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Bill No. 1362, Ordinance No. 11.0231, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled, "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith," by adding a new section to Article V thereof to be designated Section 37(d), "Parking Prohibited in Certain Areas During Specified Hours," and repealing all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto a new section to be designated Section 37(d), to read as follows:

Section 37(d). It shall be unlawful for the driver of any vehicle to stop or park the same for a longer period of time than is necessary for the actual loading or unloading and delivering of passengers or materials between the hours 8 a. m. and 9:30 a. m., and between the hours 4:30 p. m. and 6 p. m. (Saturday afternoons, Sundays and holidays excepted) upon any street, avenue, lane, alley, court, public place or public way within the following described areas:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northerly property line of Market street with the westerly line of Taylor street; thence northerly along and including the westerly side of Taylor street to the northerly line of Bush street; thence easterly along and including the northerly side of Bush street to the westerly line of Kearny street; thence northerly along and including the westerly side of Kearny street to the northerly line of California street; thence easterly along and including the northerly side of California street to Battery street; thence southerly along and including the easterly side of Battery street to the northerly property line of Market street; thence westerly along the northerly property line of Market street to the point of commencement.

Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly property line of Market street with the easterly property line of Fremont street; thence southerly along the easterly property line of Fremont street to the northerly property line of Howard street; thence westerly along the northerly property line of Howard street to the westerly property line of Twelfth street; thence northerly along the westerly property line of Twelfth street to the southerly property line of Market street; thence easterly along the southerly property line of Market street to the point of commencement.

This ordinance shall not be applicable to duly authorized public vehicle stands established under the ordinances of this City and County for the use of public passenger-carrying vehicles for hire.

Section 2. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are, to the extent of such conflict, hereby repealed.

#### Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Uhl moved to strike out all reference to "alleys" and "lanes."

Aye—Supervisor Uhl—1.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Whereupon, the foregoing Bill was *finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

No—Supervisor Uhl—1.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

#### Prohibiting Parking at Certain Hours in Downtown Areas.

(Code No. 11.02)

Also, Bill No. 1363, Ordinance No. 11.0232, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled, "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith," by adding a new section to Article V thereof to be designated Section 37(e), "Parking Prohibited in Certain Areas During Specified Hours," and repealing all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto a new section to be designated Section 37(e), to read as follows:



Section 37(e). It shall be unlawful for the driver of any vehicle to stop or park the same for a longer period of time than is necessary for the actual loading or unloading and delivering of passengers or materials between the hours of 7:30 a. m. and 6 p. m. (Sundays and holidays excepted) at any place within the following described area:

Upon the north side of Market street between the westerly line of The Embarcadero and the westerly line of Franklin street; also on the south side of Market street between the westerly line of Beale street and the westerly line of Twelfth street.

This ordinance shall not be applicable to duly authorized public vehicle stands established under the ordinances of this City and County for the use of public passenger-carrying vehicles for hire.

Section 2. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are, to the extent of such conflict, hereby repealed.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovich, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

### NEW BUSINESS.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

#### Islais Creek Reclamation Warrants.

(Code No. 12.04)

Resolution No. 3392, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District:

No. 793, to Director of Public Works, for \$334.05; No. 794, to The San Francisco News, for \$22.45; No. 795, to H. Moffat Co., for \$1,287.21; No. 796, to Fred J. Morris and Brougham F. Morris, for \$14.75; No. 797, to B. Matheson, for \$11.50; No. 798, to Ludwig Rom, for \$136.60; payable out of the funds of said district, be and the same are hereby approved; and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovich, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

#### Authorizing Sale of Water Department Land in Block 6745, Arlington and Wilder Streets.

(Code No. 12.17252)

Bill No. 1376, Ordinance No. 12.1725211, as follows:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

PARCEL 1. Commencing at a point on the southerly boundary line

of Block Number Four of the Fairmount Extension Homestead Association, distant thereon 279.682 feet easterly from the easterly line of Diamond street, and also distant at right angles 100 feet southerly from the southerly line of Wilder street; running thence easterly 50 feet along said southerly boundary line of said Block Number Four; thence at right angles northerly 100 feet to the southerly line of Wilder street; thence at right angles westerly along said southerly line of Wilder street 50 feet; and thence at right angles southerly 100 feet to the southerly boundary line of said Block Number Four and the point of commencement.

Being Lots Numbers 18 and 19 in Block Number Four, as the same are laid down, delineated and numbered upon a certain map on file in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, entitled, "Map of the Fairmount Extension Homestead Association," recorded in Book C and D of Maps, at page 93, on August 2, 1872.

PARCEL 2. Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Lot 13, Block 14 of the Fairmount Extension Homestead Association, as per map entitled "Map of the Mission and Thirtieth Streets Extension Homestead Union," recorded April 23, 1872, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco in Book E and F of Maps at page 37; said point being the point of intersection of said westerly line with the northerly line of Arlington street; running thence from said point of commencement northerly along said westerly line of Lot 13 a distance of 116.09 feet to the most northerly corner thereof; thence easterly along the northerly line of hereinabove mentioned Block 14, a distance of 141.712 feet to the most easterly corner of Lot 15; thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of said Lot 15, a distance of 3.910 feet to an intersection with the northerly line of Arlington street; thence along said northerly line on the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 150 feet a distance of 5.648 feet; thence continuing along said northerly line southwesterly 160.186 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the right with a radius of 51 feet, a distance of 12.331 feet to the point of commencement.

Excepting therefrom a strip of land 20 feet wide, lying 10 feet on each side of the following described center line: Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 95.09 feet northeasterly from the northerly line of Bosworth street, as shown on map of Arlington street realignment, recorded in Map Book "M" at page 64, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting 88 degrees 30 minutes to the right from said line of Arlington street and running northwesterly, 69.18 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 20 feet, 13.59 feet, more or less, to the westerly line of aforesaid Lot 13.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco. Said property may be sold as a whole or subdivided.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Widening of Nineteenth Avenue.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Resolution No. 3394, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties those certain parcels of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required



for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sum set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project No. 5-A:

George Smith and Ellen Smith, portion of Lots 4 and 5, Assessor's Block 1774, \$1,803.

Mable N. Hanna, portion of Lot 10, Assessor's Block 1774, \$2,233.80.

Mary Piccardo, portion of Lot 9, Assessor's Block 1774, \$3,340.

The above sums include damages in full to the improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within thirty days after recording the deeds to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above-named parties for a particular description of said parcel of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved by Joseph Phillips, Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

#### **Land Purchase—Grattan Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 3393, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Arthur F. Nicoll, et ux., Lot 21, Assessor's Block 1283, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Grattan School Playground for the sum of \$4,700, payable from Appropriation No. 613.600.11. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

#### **Approving County Welfare Recommendations.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3395, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Director of the County Welfare Department, filed with the Board of Supervisors on July 9, 1937, are approved as follows:

Old Age Security Aid—Decreases; Cancellations; Denials; Other Transactions.

Blind Pensions—Decreases; Cancellations; Decreases.

Widows' Pensions—Cancellations; Other Transactions.

And the Clerk of this Board is directed to indorse the approval of this Board upon the reports of said Director of County Welfare Department and to transmit the same to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

#### **Land Acquisition—Anza Street.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3396, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain deed dated January 21, 1936, from American Trust Company

to a portion of Lot 2, Assessor's Block 1140A, San Francisco, required for Anza street.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Appropriation \$1,600, Reconstruction of Clarence Place Northerly  
from Townsend Street.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1377, Ordinance No. 9.051399, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$1,600 out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 748.998.00 for the reconstruction of Clarence place northerly from Townsend street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,600 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 748.998.00 for the purpose of reconstructing Clarence place northerly from Townsend street.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of San Francisco.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Department of Public Works.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Clerk to Advertise Sale of Water Distribution Bonds.**

(Code No. 15.021)

Resolution No. 3397, as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper, a notice of sale that, on the 26th day of July, 1937, the Board of Supervisors will receive sealed proposals up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on said date, for the purchase of: \$810,000 Water Distributions Bonds, 1933.

Said bonds bear interest at the rate of four per cent (4%) per annum, comprising 42 bonds of \$1,000 denomination each, maturing December 1, 1937; 48 bonds of \$1,000 denomination each, maturing December 1 each year, 1938 to 1953, inclusive. Interest payable June 1 and December 1.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

**Clerk to Advertise Sale of Sewer Bonds.**

(Code No. 12.122)

Also, Resolution No. 3398, as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby



directed to advertise in the official newspaper a notice of sale that, on the 26th day of July, 1937, the Board of Supervisors will receive sealed proposals up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on said date, for the purchase of \$547,000 Sewer Bonds, 1933.

Said bonds bear interest at the rate of four per cent (4%) per annum, comprising 27 bonds of \$1,000 denomination each, maturing December 1, 1937; 20 bonds of \$1,000 denomination each, maturing December 1 each year, 1938 to 1963, inclusive. Interest payable June 1 and December 1.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

#### **Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Appropriation \$275, Transfer of Minnie T. McKenna, General  
Clerk-Typist.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1378, Ordinance No. 9.051, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$275 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation 760.102.00 to the credit of appropriation No. 739.101.00 for the purpose of accommodating the transfer of Minnie T. McKenna, General Clerk-Typist, from the office of the Controller to the Department of Public Works in accordance with Civil Service Resolution of June 2, 1937.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$275 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation 760.102.00 to the credit of appropriation No. 739.101.00 for the purpose of accommodating the transfer of Minnie T. McKenna, General Clerk-Typist, from the office of the Controller to the Department of Public Works in accordance with Civil Service Resolution of June 2, 1937.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by Wm. J. Worden, Director, Department of Public Works.

Recommended as to funds available by Harold Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor and Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

#### **Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee was taken up:

**Establishing Set-Back Lines Third Street, Channel Street to Burke  
Avenue.**

(Code No. 13.03)

Resolution No. 3399, as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 1658 of the City Planning Commission establishing set-back lines on Third street from Channel street to Burke avenue is hereby approved.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of his Honor the Mayor was taken up:

**Leave of Absence—William P. Wobber, Member City Planning Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3400, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable William P. Wobber, a member of the City Planning Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing July 12, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Mayor to Appoint Committee, Opening of Furniture Exchange.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Meyer presented:

Resolution No. 3402, as follows:

Whereas, on August 2, next, there will be officially opened in San Francisco one of the largest mercantile buildings west of Chicago, known as the Western Furniture Exchange and Merchandise Mart, and constructed on Market street at Tenth during the past year, representing an investment of private capital of almost \$3,000,000; and

Whereas, this magnificent structure stands as a testimony in stone and steel to San Francisco's pre-eminence as the home furnishing style and trade center of the Pacific Slope; and

Whereas, through the attraction of this institution and the facilities it makes possible, home furnishing buyers of the entire West will make some 50,000 visits to San Francisco annually, bringing a flow of between \$35,000,000 and \$50,000,000 in commerce to this city; and

Whereas, over 2,000 homes are maintained in the San Francisco Bay region through employment resulting from the activities of the Western Furniture Exchange and Merchandise Mart and the market it has created; and

Whereas, the forthcoming Fall Market Week which signalizes the official opening of the new Mart on August 2 will be attended by thousands of the home furnishing trade leaders from all parts of Western America, further emphasizing San Francisco's importance in that great industry, and contributing substantially to this City's economic advancement; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor be empowered to appoint a Citizens' Committee to arrange for a fitting and proper observance of the opening of this great institution and for the welcoming of the several thousand visitors here to participate in the first great Market in the new Mart.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.



**Resolution to Amend Federal Reserve Act, Interest on Public Money.**

(Code No. 5.02)

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. 3403, as follows:

Whereas, the Federal Reserve Act of 1935 as amended provides that no member bank shall directly or indirectly by any device whatsoever, pay interest on any deposit payable on demand after August 23, 1937; and

Whereas, H. R. Bill 7186, introduced by Mr. Steagall, will amend the Federal Reserve Act to permit the payment of interest on demand accounts after August 23, 1937, as required by the Public Deposit Act of the State of California; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That telegrams or air mail letters be sent by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to Congressman Henry B. Steagall, Chairman of the House Banking Committee, and to Congressmen Thomas F. Ford, Richard J. Welch and Franck R. Havenner, and to Senators Hiram Johnson and William G. McAdoo, urging them to support H. R. Bill 7186.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

**Abolishing Position of Chief Clerk, Tax Collector's Office.**

(Code No. 4.08)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 3404, as follows:

Whereas, there was created by the Board of Supervisors several years ago, the position of Chief Clerk, Tax Collector's office; and

Whereas, such position has not been filled for a period of upward of five years; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the position of Chief Clerk, Tax Collector's office, is hereby abolished.

*Referred to Finance Committee.***Use of Auditorium, W. P. A. Activities.**

Supervisor Reilly presented request from F. J. McConnel, for use of Civic Auditorium for an exposition of W. P. A. activities.

*Referred to Finance Committee.***Extension of Time, Payment of Dog Licenses.**

Supervisor Shannon presented a request for extension of time for obtaining dog licenses to August 1, 1937.

*Request approved by Board.***Policemen for Enforcement of Parking Ordinance.**

Subsequent to the final passage of the ordinances prohibiting parking on portions of Market street and in the Triangle District, Chief of Police Quinn and Captain Goff appeared and declared that in order to enforce the ordinance the Department would require 189 additional policemen for which there was no provision in the budget.

Supervisor Uhl requested that the Clerk obtain from the Chief of Police a statement as to how he figures his need for 189 men for enforcement of traffic ordinance as recently amended with respect to parking on Market street and in the Triangular District; also, where he is going to place these men.

**Applications for Liquor Licenses.**

Applications for liquor licenses, received by the Board, were *referred to the Finance Committee.*

**Express Bus Plan.**

Supervisor Uhl presented a proposed express bus plan for rapid transit which was *referred to the Public Utilities Committee* for consideration.

**Use of School Yards for Playgrounds.**

Question of opening of school yards for use of children during vacation periods was discussed and the Clerk was directed to inform the Mayor. Miss Randall, of the Recreation Department, informed the Board that a meeting of the Commission would be called, so that action looking to an emergency appropriation could be had.

**Proposed Amendment—Wage Scale—Private Employment on Public Contract.**

(Code No. 9.092)

Supervisor Mead presented:

Resolution No. ———, as follows:

Resolved, That the highest general prevailing rate of wages paid in private employment to various crafts in the City and County of San Francisco, including wages paid on holidays and for overtime work, is hereby determined and declared to be as herein set forth. Except as herein otherwise specifically provided, the wages herein fixed are based on an eight-hour day, 8 a. m. to 5 p. m., five days per week.

**DIVISION 1—BUILDING TRADES**

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Asbestos Workers .....	\$1.12½	Double time after 8 hours.
Bricklayers (6-hour day)....	1.50	Double time after 6 hours.
Bricklayers' tenders and hod carriers (6-hour day).....	1.12½	Double time after 6 hours.
Carpenters & cabinet makers	1.12½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Cement Finishers .....	1.12½	Double time after 8 hours.
Concrete Laborers .....	.79	Time and one-half first 4 hours, after 8 hours; double thereafter
Electrical Workers .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours.
<b>Electrical Workers:</b>		
Fixture Hangers .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Elevator Constructors .....	1.40	Double time after 8 hours
Elevator Constructors' Helpers	.98	Double time after 8 hours
<b>Engineers:</b>		
Compressor operators (on steel erection) .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Derricks and Asphalt Plant	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Building Material Hoists...	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Pile Drivers, Locomotive Cranes .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Roller Engineers, Dragline and Clamshell Operators, Concrete Mixers and Mechanical Finishers, Asphalt Burners and Concrete Busters .....	1.43	Double time after 8 hours
Trench Machine .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Firemen (Asphalt Plants).	1.10	Double time after 8 hours
Truck Crane Engineers....	1.66⅔	Double time after 8 hours
Caterpillar Engineer, 50 h.p. and Over .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours



Operators of Power Shovels and/or Other Excavating Equipment with Power Shovel Control When Used on Excavating Operations (6-hour day) .....	1.66 $\frac{2}{3}$	Double time after 6 hours
Shovel Firemen, Watchman and Oiler (6-hour day) ...	1.16 $\frac{2}{3}$	Double time after 6 hours
Glass Workers, Including Art Glass .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 2 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
<b>Gunitite Workers:</b>		
<i>Craft</i> .....	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Nozzle Men .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Rod Men .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Finishers .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Ground Wire Men.....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Gun Men .....	.90	Double time after 8 hours
Mixer Men .....	.87 $\frac{1}{2}$	Double time after 8 hours
Rebound Men .....	.75	Double time after 8 hours
Foremen (\$1 per day above highest craftsman) .....		Double time after 8 hours
Hardwood Floormen .....	1.12 $\frac{1}{2}$	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
<b>Housesmiths:</b>		
Reinforced Concrete .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
<b>Iron Workers:</b>		
Bridge, Structural, Rigger. ....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Derrick Engineers .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Bronze and Ornamental (in- cluding erection of steel and iron fences).....	1.25*	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
<b>Laborers:</b>		
.....		Overtime after 8 hours; and Sat- urday morning time and one- half after the first 4 hours; dou- ble thereafter
Construction and General..	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Mixer Operators .....	.87 $\frac{1}{2}$	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Lathers (6-hour day) wood and/or metal .....	1.45	Double time after 6 hours
Linoleum and Carpet Layers. ....	1.12 $\frac{1}{2}$	Double time after 8 hours
<b>Marble Trades:</b>		
Shopmen and Helpers.....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Polishers .....	.78 $\frac{3}{4}$	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Bed Rubbers .....	.84 $\frac{3}{8}$	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Cutters, Copers, Carborun- dum Men .....	.90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Carvers .....	1.02 $\frac{1}{2}$	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Masons and Setters.....	1.12 $\frac{1}{2}$	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Mosaic and Terazzo Workers. ....	1.12 $\frac{1}{2}$	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Mosaic and Terazzo Workers' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half after 8 hours

Painters .....	1.10	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Painters, Structural Iron Work .....	1.37½	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Painters, Varnishers and Polishers .....	1.10	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Pile Drivers .....	1.33⅓	Double time after 8 hours
Plasterers (6-hour day) .....	1.50	Double time after 6 hours
Plasters' Tenders and Hod Carriers (6-hour day) .....	1.25	Double time after 6 hours
Plumbers (including pipe calking) .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Ornamental Plasterers:		
Casters (6-hour day) .....	1.50*	Double time after 6 hours
Model Makers (6-hour day) .....	1.50*	Double time after 6 hours
Modelers (6-hour day) .....	2.00	Double time after 6 hours
Roofers and Waterproofers .....	1.12½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Sheet Metal Workers .....	1.12½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Sprinkler Fitters .....	1.12½	Double time after 8 hours
Steam Fitters .....	1.25**	Double time after 8 hours
Stone Cutters:		
Soft and Granite .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Stone Setters:		
Soft and Granite, including granite curbs .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Stone Derrickmen .....	1.12½	Double time after 8 hours
Tile Setters (32-hour week) ..	1.25	Time and one-half first 4 hours double thereafter
Tile Setter Helpers (32-hour week) .....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours double thereafter
Upholsterers .....	1.00	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Truck Drivers:		
2 yards or less, 7-hour day ..	6.00	Time and one-half after 7 hours
3 yards or less, 7-hour day ..	6.50	Time and one-half after 7 hours
4 yards or less, 7-hour day ..	7.00	Time and one-half after 7 hours
5 yards or less, 7-hour day ..	7.00	Time and one-half after 7 hours
6 yards or more, 7-hour day ..	7.50	Time and one-half after 7 hours
Tractor Driver (up to 35 h.p.) (6-hour day) .....	8.00	Time and one-half after 6 hours
Tractor Driver (over 35 h.p. to 50 h.p.) (6-hour day) .....	9.00	Time and one-half after 6 hours
(Working time for truck drivers, tractor drivers and all engineers shall be reckoned by half day and full day.)		

#### DIVISION 2—BUILDING TRADE—SHOP RATES

Cabinet Workers, Millmen, Machine and Bench Hands (shop) .....	1.10
Varnishers and Polishers (shop) .....	1.10

\*New rate effective January 1, 1937.

\*\*All overtime, including holidays, shall be paid for at the rate of double time, provided, however, that emergency work be permitted on Saturdays between the hours of 8:00 a. m. and 12:00 noon for straight time. An "emergency" is defined as a condition in which life or property is endangered.



## DIVISION 3—FURNITURE TRADES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Carpet Layers, Cutters and Measurers .....	9.00	Double time after 8 hours
Carpet Seamstresses (large machines) .....	6.00	Double time after 8 hours
Carpet Seamstresses (small machines) .....	5.50	Double time after 8 hours
Carpet Layers' Apprentices:		
2 months out.....	4.50	Double time after 8 hours
1st 6 months out.....	5.00	Double time after 8 hours
2d 6 months out.....	5.50	Double time after 8 hours
3d 6 months out.....	6.00	Double time after 8 hours
4th 6 months out.....	6.50	Double time after 8 hours
5th 6 months out.....	7.00	Double time after 8 hours
6th 6 months out.....	7.50	Double time after 8 hours
Shade and Drapery Makers and Hangers (including Venetian blinds) .....	8.00	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Upholsterers .....	8.00	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Furniture Handlers, Packers and Strippers .....	6.00	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Drapery Seamstresses .....	4.65	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter

## DIVISION 4—METAL TRADES—FIELD RATES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Blacksmiths .....	1.10	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Boilermakers .....	1.37½	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Boilermakers' Helpers .....	1.10	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Machinists .....	1.10	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Machinists' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Machinist (maintenance) ...	1.12½	Time and one-half after 8 hours

## DIVISION 5—METAL TRADES—SHOP RATES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Pattern Makers (based on 7-hour day) .....	1.25	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 7 hours; double thereafter
Molders and Coremakers.....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Blacksmiths .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Blacksmiths' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Boilermakers .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Boilermakers' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Machinists .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Machinists' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Structural and Ornamental Ironworkers (shop) .....	.90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Toolmaker .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter

## DIVISION 6—STREET WORK

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Timberman (tunnel) .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Mucker .....	.90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Asphalt Rakers and Ironers..	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Asphalt Shovelers .....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Cribbers .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Laborers, street work.....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Jackhammer Men .....	.90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter

## DIVISION 7—MISCELLANEOUS TRADES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Well Drillers .....	1.10	
Well Drillers (hand tool fore- men) .....	1.10	
Diamond Drillers .....	1.10	
Powderman .....	1.10	
Washers, Polishers and Greas- ers (garagemen) .....	.75	

## DIVISION 8—DREDGE BOATS

(8-hour day—4-hour Saturday)

*Per Month*

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Dredge Captain .....	\$215	Double time after 8 hours
Head Leverman .....	215	Double time after 8 hours
Leverman .....	190	Double time after 8 hours
Fireman .....	150	Double time after 8 hours
Deckhand .....	150	Double time after 8 hours
Bargeman .....	150	Double time after 8 hours

## DIVISION 9—CULINARY WORKERS

(8-hour day—5-day week)

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Head Cook .....	\$37.50	\$1.50 hour
Other Cooks .....	32.50	\$1.50 hour
Cooks' Helpers .....	25.00	\$1.50 hour (Short shifts, not less than 3 hours, \$1 hour)
Waiters .....	21.00	\$0.75 hour
Waitresses .....	21.00	\$0.75 hour
Dishwasher and Vegetable Man (straight shift).....	17.50	\$0.50 hour
Dishwasher and Vegetable Man (broken shift).....	20.00	\$0.50 hour

Wherever welding processes are involved the rate paid for such shall be as herein fixed for the crafts performing the work.

Saturdays and holiday work at double time. Holidays are New Year's Day, Decoration Day, Fourth of July, Labor Day, Admission Day, Thanksgiving and Christmas.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*



**RECESS.**

Whereupon, the Board at 5:30 took a recess until 10 a. m. tomorrow, Controller and Manager of Utilities to appear as to money being spent for subway test holes.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 19, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

**Vol. 32**

**No. 29**

**Tuesday, July 13, 1937**

**Monday, July 19, 1937**

# **Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors**

**City and County of San Francisco**



**The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.**





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

---

TUESDAY, JULY 13, 1937, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, July 13, 1937, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled pursuant to recess for the purpose of hearing Manager of Utilities Cahill and Controller Harold Boyd as to moneys being spent for subway test holes.

### CALLING THE ROLL

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

Excused—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Reilly—3.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

Harold Boyd appeared and declared that he had not received the query as to the expenditure of \$75,000 Municipal Railway Fund for test holes, etc., until 9:47 a. m. Thursday of last week; that he had put a man to work on the statement, but as yet it was not completed. He hoped to have it this afternoon.

Manager of Utilities Cahill did not appear.

### ADJOURNMENT

Whereupon the Board of Supervisors adjourned at 10:05 a. m. to reassemble as a Board of Equalization.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

---

MONDAY, JULY 19, 1937, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 19, 1937, 2 p. m.  
The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 2:55 p. m.

Supervisor Reilly excused.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of July 12, 1937, was considered read and approved.



**SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.**

The following matter was taken up:

**Supervisor Schmidt's Resolution Re 25c Bridge Toll.**

(Code No. ———)

Resolution No. 3018, as follows:

Whereas, it is only fair and equitable that posterity bear some part of the financial burden of the cost of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, and in pursuance of that thought, the San Francisco Chronicle has proposed a refinancing plan whereby the present tolls may be reduced from sixty-five cents to twenty-five cents on each passenger automobile; and

Whereas, the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge is only another great unit connecting up the State system of highways and it is proposed that it be financed out of gas tax funds in the same manner as those other great highway projects of the State of California, the Redwood Highway and the Feather River Canyon Highway, by an allocation of gas tax funds for the amortization of the bonds; and

Whereas, it is deemed practical that this may be done on the basis proposed, to-wit: that the annual \$2,000,000 bond amortization charges be guaranteed from gas tax funds and that the maintenance charges be met, as now, from gas tax supplied highway maintenance funds, and that the \$2,000,000 annual interest charges on the bonds be met from the proposed twenty-five cent toll, certain to be sufficient because of the increased traffic anticipated; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco goes on record as heartily in favor of the plan proposed by the San Francisco Chronicle and urges that the State Highway Commission proceed at once to put it into effect.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Schmidt, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved adoption of the resolution.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

**SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.****Bond Issue Legislation—Rapid Transit.**

(Code No. 15.059)

Resolution No. 3375, as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of subways and surface rapid transit lines to connect with existing municipal and other railway lines and establishment of bus lines and the acquisition of the necessary cars, buses and equipment to be used in connection with said subways and surface rapid transit and bus lines, together with the required car and bus storage facilities, shops and buildings and the land necessary therefor, and all other things necessary, convenient or incidental to the construction, operation and maintenance of said rapid transit system within the City and County of San Francisco, including the necessary easements and rights of way, and further determining and declaring that the estimated cost of said improvement is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and declared that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of a subway in Market street from Fremont street westerly to the vicinity of Church street with a subway in Fremont street from Market street to the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge terminal in the vicinity of Howard and Fremont streets; a subway through the Mission District commencing at a point in the vicinity of Market street and Van Ness avenue and extending to Mission street over the most advisable route and continuing southerly in Mission street to the right of way of the Southern Pacific Company at a point in the vicinity of Mission and Twenty-fifth streets; thence under the said right of way to a point in the vicinity of Twenty-seventh and Dolores streets; a subway in Montgomery street southerly from Columbus avenue to Market street, thence in Market street to Geary street and thence in Geary street to a point in the vicinity of Pierce street; a surface street railway commencing at the end of the subway in the vicinity of Twenty-seventh and Dolores streets over and along or near the right of way of the Southern Pacific Company to its intersection with Ocean avenue; and to establish and maintain bus lines to be operated in connection with and as feeders to said subways and surface railway lines existing or to be hereafter acquired, all to constitute a rapid transit system and to be operated in connection with the present municipal railway system to relieve traffic congestion in the City and County of San Francisco and to accelerate the transportation of passengers between the business and residential sections of the City and County. Together with the acquisition of all lands, easements and rights of way necessary, convenient or incident to the construction, maintenance and operation of said subways and surface lines, including buses and all facilities necessary for the housing and servicing the cars and buses used in the operation of said subway, surface railway and bus lines, including the land necessary for said purpose. Together with all equipment, devices and facilities of every kind and nature necessary or incident or convenient to the acquisition, maintenance and operation of said subways, surface or bus lines, and the necessary changes in the present street railway equipment to make the same adaptable for use in connection with said subways and surface lines.

Section 2. The estimated cost of making the improvement described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of \$.....

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of \$6,353,300, no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the sum of \$.....

Section 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

#### Motion.

Supervisor Colman moved to postpone action on the foregoing until August 2, 1937, making it a Special Order of Business for 2:30 p. m. on that day.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment to Supervisor Colman's motion that the matter be re-referred to the Finance Committee with instructions to report back to the Board with breakdown of figures on August 2, 1937, and that the matter be made a Special Order of Business for 2:30 p. m. on that day.

Motion *carried* and Clerk directed, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, to notify Mr. Cahill in writing of the hearing, requesting his presence at that time and quoting in notice Section 21 of the Charter referring to the power of subpoena given to the Board of Supervisors; also asking him for an answer to Supervisor McSheehy, suggestion made four weeks ago in reference to rapid transit when he urged purchase of Market Street Railway Company at \$5,000,000 its assessed



value and investment of \$15,000,000 for the purchase of buses. Supervisor Uhl also wants an answer at the same time to the question offered by himself on the same subject.

### Bond Issue Legislation—Dead End Streets.

(Code No. 12.08)

Resolution No. 3376, as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of the extension of Fifth street diagonally across Market street to the intersection of Mason and Eddy streets and the projection of Grant avenue across Market street to then constitute a new street between Third and Fourth streets from Market street to Brannan street, and that the estimated cost of said improvement is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and declared that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of the establishing, laying out, opening, widening, extending, straightening, construction, improving and altering of streets and public ways, and the establishing, modifying, and changing the grade thereof; the construction of the necessary pavements, curbs, sidewalks, culverts and other structures, and the acquisition of any lands or rights of way and other property necessary for such purposes, including construction of extension of Fifth streets diagonally across Market street to the intersection of Mason and Eddy streets and the projection of Grant avenue across Market street to then constitute a new street between Third and Fourth streets from Market to Brannan streets, all designed for the purpose of better accommodating traffic in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The estimated cost of making the improvement described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of \$.....

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of \$..... no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the sum of \$.....

Section 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

June 28, 1937—Supervisor Colman moved that the City Attorney be requested to prepare legislation necessary for submission to the electors, the question of bond issue for the cutting through of "dead-end" streets, as separate question, i. e., one proposal for extension of Fifth street, and another for the projection of Grant avenue across Market street to Brannan street.

*So ordered.*

### Motion.

Supervisor Meyer moved that action on the foregoing be postponed until August 2, 1937.

### Privilege of the Floor.

Andrew J. Gallagher, representing the Southern Promotion Association, and D. Nicholson, representing the Triangle District, were heard in opposition to any further delay.

W. J. Varley and M. Schultz were also heard.

### Action Deferred.

Thereupon Supervisor McSheehy seconded by Supervisors Meyer and Shannon moved as an amendment to the motion that the matter be

rereferred to the Finance Committee with instructions to report to the board with breakdown of figures on August 2, 1937, at 4 p. m.

Amendment *carried*.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

### Amending Salary Ordinance by Changing the Compensation Now Paid Transferred Employee.

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1373, Ordinance No. 9.053102, as follows:

An ordinance amending Ordinance No. 9.053101 by changing the compensation fixed for the position enumerated under Item 2 of Section 44 thereof to correspond to the compensation now paid the employee being transferred to the said position.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 44 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

#### Section 44. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF BUILDING INSPECTION

Item No.	Number of Employees	Class No.	Class Title—	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	13	A106	Building Inspector .....	\$ 225
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
3	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
4	2	F558	Structural Engineer .....	300
5	1	F560	Superintendent Bureau of Building Inspection. . . . .	500
6	1	M158	Boiler Inspector .....	250

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

### Amending Salary Ordinance to Provide for Uninterrupted Operation of Welfare Department.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1374, Ordinance No. 9.053103, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 66 of the Salary Ordinance to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Welfare Department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 66 of the Salary Ordinance is hereby amended to read: "Pending classification of the positions by the Civil Service Commission and creation of the employments not later than October 14, 1937, the employments as established heretofore under the Citizens' Emergency Relief Commission are continued. Provided, that pending the complete classification of the said positions should a vacancy occur in any of the positions hereby continued, such vacancy may be filled at a rate not to exceed the entrance rate established for said classification in the April 9, 1930, report issued by the Civil Service Commission."

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.



**Authorizing the Director of Property to Select an Additional Independent Expert Real Estate and Building Appraiser to Pass Upon Requests Made for Reductions in 1937-1938 Assessments.**

(Code No. 1.0621)

Also, Bill No. 1375, Ordinance No. 1.06218, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Property to select an additional independent expert real estate and building appraiser to pass upon requests made for reductions in 1937-1938 assessments.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to employ one independent expert real estate and building appraiser as needed subject to the provisions of Section 142 of the Charter to aid and assist him in advising the Board of Supervisors in regard to requests for reductions in said assessments. Said appraiser shall receive not more than \$250, payable from Appropriation No. 701.106.01.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

**Change of Grades, Alabama and Ripley Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0722)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1368, Ordinance No. 12.072227, as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Alabama and Ripley streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 26th day of April, 1937, by Resolution No. 3234, declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Alabama and Ripley streets.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said Resolution of Intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days have elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

<i>Alabama Street:</i>	<i>Feet</i>
Ripley street northerly line .....	132.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Easterly line of, 15 feet southerly from the northerly line of Ripley street .....	133.25
15 feet northerly from the southerly line of Ripley street at the easterly line of Alabama street produced from the north .....	135.75
15 feet northerly from the southerly line of Ripley street at the easterly line of Alabama street produced from the south . . . . .	135.75
(The same being the present official grade.)	
11.78 feet southwesterly from the last described point (measured along the curb) .....	136.87

11.78 feet southwesterly from the last described point (measured along the curb) .....	138.37
11.78 feet southerly from the last described point (measured along the curb) .....	140.64
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
Westerly line of, 15 feet southerly from the northerly line of Ripley street .....	133.25
(The same being the present official grade.)	
15 feet northerly from the southerly line of Ripley street at the westerly line of Alabama street produced from the south .....	135.75
(The same being the present official grade.)	
11.78 feet southeasterly from the last described point (measured along the curb) .....	136.87
11.78 feet southeasterly from the last described point (measured along the curb) .....	138.37
11.78 feet southerly from the last described point (measured along the curb) .....	140.64
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 9 feet northerly from Waltham street northerly line.....	169.51
Waltham street northerly line produced.....	171.58
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*Ripley Street:*

Northerly line of, 110 feet easterly from Alabama street..	136.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Southerly line of, 132.50 feet easterly from Alabama street.	140.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Northerly line of, at Alabama street.....	132.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Alabama street easterly line .....	133.25
15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Alabama street easterly line (produced from the north).....	135.75
15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Alabama street easterly line (produced from the south).....	135.75
(The same being the present official grade.)	
11.78 feet southwesterly from the last described point (measured along the curb) .....	136.87
11.78 feet southwesterly from the last described point (measured along the curb) .....	138.37
(The last two described being points on a vertical curve.)	
15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Alabama street westerly line .....	133.25
(The same being the present official grade.)	
15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Alabama street westerly line (produced from the south).....	135.75
(The same being the present official grade.)	
11.78 feet southeasterly from the last described point (measured along the curb) .....	136.87
11.78 feet southeasterly from the last described point (measured along the curb) .....	138.37
(The last two described being points on a vertical curve.)	

On Alabama street between the northerly line of Ripley street and the northerly line of Waltham street produced, and on Ripley street



between the westerly line of Alabama street and at points respectively 110 feet and 132.5 feet easterly from Alabama street be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the elevations above given therefor.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

### Ordering the Construction of a Sewer in Kirkwood Avenue Be- tween Phelps and Quint Streets.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1370, Ordinance No. 12.061177, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 22, 1937, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Kirkwood avenue, between Phelps and Quint streets, by the construction of the following items:

Item No.	Item
1.	12-inch V. C. P. sewer, in place
2.	12x6-inch "Wye" branches, in place
3.	Brick manholes, complete

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered respectively, as:

Lots 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 of Block 5280; and Lots 1, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, and 48 of Block 5289; all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

## Ordering the Construction of Sidewalks on Ardenwood Way and at Certain Other Locations.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1371, Ordinance No. 12.061178, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 22, 1937, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of:

Ardenwood way (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Sloat boulevard and 87' north.

Kirkham street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Fourteenth and Fifteenth avenues.

Kirkham street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Fifteenth and Sixteenth avenues.

Moraga street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Sixteenth avenue and 120' east of Seventeenth avenue.

Moraga street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Seventeenth avenue and 32' 6" west.

Moraga street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 32' 6" and 82' 6" east of Eighteenth avenue.

Noriega street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Eighteenth avenue and 32' 6" east.

Ortega street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Sixteenth avenue and 32' 6" west.

Ortega street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Seventeenth avenue and 140' 10" east.

Pacheco street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Tenth avenue and 82' 6" east.

Seventeenth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Irving street and 142' 6  $\frac{1}{2}$ " south.

Seventeenth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Moraga street and 325' south.

Sloat boulevard (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Ardenwood way and 28.19' west.

Tenth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Quintara street and 325' north

by the construction of two-course concrete sidewalks six (6) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width are not already constructed.

And the improvement of:

Eighth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Noriega street and 100' north.

Fifteenth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Judah street and 25' south.

Fourteenth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 100' and 125' north of Kirkham street.

Irving street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 82' 6" and 107' 6" west of Eleventh avenue.

Irving street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 65' and 102' 6" west of Fifteenth avenue.

Kirkham street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 20th avenue and 120' west.

Rivera street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Nineteenth and Twentieth avenues.



Rivera street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Seventeenth avenue and 100' east.

Second avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Irving street and 35' south.

Seventeenth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 28' and 92' south of Rivera street.

Seventeenth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Rivera street and 57' 4 $\frac{1}{2}$ " south.

Seventeenth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 28' and 59' north of the north line of Santiago street produced

Seventeenth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 125' and 200' north of Taraval street.

Tenth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Ortega street and 25' north.

Tenth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 125' and 150' north of Ortega street.

Tenth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 100' and 125' south of Noriega street.

Twentieth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Lawton street and 60' north.

by the construction or reconstruction of two-course concrete sidewalks six (6) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks six (6) feet in width are defective or not already constructed to the official grade.

And the improvement of:

Eighth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 225' and 250' north of Judah street.

Eighth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 50' and 100' south of Noriega street.

Eighth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 225' and 350' south of Noriega street.

Eighth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 250' and 287' 6" south of Noriega street.

Eighth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 100' and 125' north of Ortega street.

Hugo street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Fifth avenue and 95' east.

Irving street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Second avenue and 95' east.

Judah street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Seventh avenue and 100' west.

Ninth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Irving street and 450' north.

Ninth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 100' and 125' south of Judah street.

Ninth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 100' and 125' north of Kirkham street.

Ninth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 100' and 175' south of Kirkham street.

Ninth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Lawton street and 100' north.

Ortega street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 32' 6" and 57' 6" west of Eighth avenue.

Second avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 110' and 135' north of Irving street.

Seventh avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 75' and 125' south of Irving street.

Sixteenth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 100' and 125' north of Irving street.

Taraval street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 35' and 110' west of Eighteenth avenue.

Taraval street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 40' and 95' west of Nineteenth avenue.

Tenth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 175' and 225' north of Irving street.

Tenth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 150' and 175' north of Judah street.

Tenth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 325' and 350' north of Kirkham street.

Tenth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 100' and 250' south of Noriega street.

Twelfth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 100' and 525' south of Lincoln way.

Twentieth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 150' and 175' south of Lincoln way.

Twentieth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Kirkham street and 450' north.

by the construction or reconstruction of two-course concrete sidewalks of the full official width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks of the full official width are defective or not already constructed to the official grade.

And the improvement of:

Eleventh avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 300' and 350' south of Lincoln way.

by the construction or reconstruction of a two-course concrete sidewalk twelve (12) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks twelve (12) feet or more in width are defective or not already constructed to the official grade.

And the improvement of:

Irving street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Eleventh avenue and 95' west,

by the construction or reconstruction of a two-course concrete sidewalk nine (9) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks nine (9) feet or more in width are defective or not already constructed to the official grade.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered, respectively, as:

Block No.	Lot No.	Block No.	Lot No.
1771	4, 5	2053	16, 19, 21, 22, 23, 24
1838	14A, 17	2054	27
1839	5A, 6	2125	4-L
2032	1, 3, 5, 5-A, 5-B, 27, 28	2129	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8
2033A	1	2541	14

Block No.	Lot No.	Block No.	Lot No.
1735	20	2041	15
1757	1	2046	36
1766	48	2047	16, 20
1833	14	2198	11, 13, 16, 17
1838	1	2332	1-A, 1-B
1840	21	2333A	22, 38, 39
1866	18	2346	8

Block No.	Lot No.	Block No.	Lot No.
1731	40	1833	4, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14
1734	15	1843	7
1738	2, 11, 12, 17	1844	2, 17
1740	13, 14	1855	2, 3, 3-A, 17
1741	4, 5, 7, 10, 11, 13, 17, 18	2044	3-B, 4, 4-A, 10, 11
1746	11	2045	8, 16, 18
1750	13, 17	2046	32, 33, 35, 36
1762	4, 5, 20, 32	2347	10, 11
1765	13	2406	32, 32-A

Block No.	Lot No.	Block No.	Lot No.
1739	10, 11	1739	21

All being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

**Creating Underground Districts: Army Street Between Bryant and San Jose Avenue, Castro Between Waller and Duboce Avenue and Richardson Avenue Between Lombard Street and Lyon Street.**

(Code No. 12.20)

Also, Bill No. 1372, Ordinance No. 12.2022, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for Placing Wires and Conduits Underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding new sections thereto to be known as Sections VVV, WWW and XXX.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Order No. 214 (Second Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding new sections to be known as Sections VVV, WWW and XXX.

Section VVV. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after the permanent improvement by physical widening of the



roadway of Army street from Bryant street to San Jose avenue, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 88, Army street from Bryant street to San Jose avenue.

Section WWW. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after the permanent improvement by physical widening of the roadway of Castro street between Waller street and Duboce avenue, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 89, Castro street from Waller street to Duboce avenue.

Section XXX. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after August 1, 1937, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Richardson avenue between Lombard street and Lyon street.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Authorizing Purchase of Land Required for Sunset Reservoir.

(Code No. 12.17152)

Resolution No. 3406, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from John J. Palmer, Lot 6, Assessor's Block 2109, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Sunset Reservoir, for the sum of \$750, \$50 from Appropriation No. 693.905.05.57 and the balance of \$700 payable from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 262443.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

#### Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Widening of Nineteenth Avenue.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3407, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties those certain parcels of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project No. 5-A:

William Thom and Minnie Thom, portion of Lot 8, As- sessor's Block 2199 .....	\$3,350
Norman S. Nelson, portion of Lot 13, Assessor's Block 1773 .....	87

The above sums include damages in full to the improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the

grantors within 30 days after recording the deeds to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above-named parties for a particular description of said parcel of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

### Refunds Erroneous Payments of Taxes.

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 3408, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid to the following named, being refunds of erroneous and over payments of taxes:

#### *From Duplicate Tax Fund, Appropriation No. 905*

- |   |          |
|---|----------|
| (1) Jack C. Ng, per Vol. 2, Bill 307, Lot 5, Block 179, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936, over payment .....  | \$ 18.39 |
| (2) Edna G. Rice, per Vol. 9, Bill 1195, Lot 9, Block 1379, both Installments, fiscal year 1936, over payment.....  | 2.28     |
| (3) Home Owners' Loan Corp., per Vol. 12, Bill 1614, Lot 49, Block 1653, both Installments, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment .....                           | 147.20   |
| (4) Peter E. Vukicevich, per Vol. 17, Bill 1938, Lot 9, Block 2353, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment.  | 21.38    |
| (5) Syndicate Mortgage Co., per Vol. 20, Bill 1430, Lot 27, Block 2885, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment .....                               | 12.68    |
| (6) California Pacific Title & Trust Co., per Vol. 24, Bill 2883, Lot 17, Block 3622, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment .....                 | 9.07     |
| (7) Pacific Can Co., per Vol. 32, Bill 1295½, Lot 2A, Block 5423A, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment .....                                    | 2.08     |
| (8) Bank of America, per Vol. 34, Bill 2607, Lot 13, Block 5802, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment..  | 50.52    |
| (9) Emile C. Peters, per Vol. 37, Lot 45, Block 6246, both installments, fiscal year 1935, Bill 1785, and fiscal year 1936, Bill 1762, erroneous payments ..... | 50.89    |

#### *From General Fund, Appropriation No. 60.969.00*

- |   |        |
|---|--------|
| (10) Wells Fargo Investment Co., per Page 166, Line 22, 1936 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls, erroneously assessed. | 568.70 |
|---|--------|

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

### Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee for the Promotion of Exposition of W. P. A. Activities.

(Code No. 5.93)

Also, Resolution No. 3409, as follows:

Resolved, That the proposal to hold an Exposition of W. P. A. activities to inform the citizens of San Francisco of the great benefits derived from the W. P. A. program is endorsed by the Board of Supervisors, and that this exposition be held in the Civic Auditorium at a most convenient date to be agreed upon with the Director of Property and the committee in charge of the Exposition; and be it



Further Resolved, That His Honor the Mayor be requested and authorized to appoint a citizens' committee which will include the City and County of San Francisco; all other sponsors of W. P. A. projects; the San Francisco Labor Council; the Building Trades Council, and the organizations representing W. P. A. employes to promote and conduct the proposed Exposition.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Appropriation of \$28,000 for Sponsor's Contribution for Street Work Done by W. P. A.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1379, Ordinance No. 9.051401, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$28,000 out of the accrued surplus of the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 748.804.00 for the purpose of providing sponsor's contribution for the sponsorship of street work to be done by W. P. A. forces.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$28,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the accrued surplus of the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 748.804.00 for the purpose of providing sponsor's contribution for the sponsorship of street work to be done by W. P. A. forces.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Recommended by Wm. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

#### Appropriation of \$12,000 as City Contribution to the Improvement of Pacheco Street.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1380, Ordinance No. 9.051402, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$12,000 out of surplus existing in unappropriated County Road Fund to credit of Appropriation No. 748.911.00, being City and County contribution toward improvement of Pacheco street between Fifteenth avenue and Ortega street, Fourteenth avenue between Aerial way and Mandalay lane, Cragmont avenue between Twelfth avenue and Quintara street, Twelfth avenue between Cragmont avenue and Rockridge drive, Quintara street between Cragmont avenue and Fourteenth avenue and Fourteenth avenue between Quintara street and Fanning way. This work is to be performed under public proceedings and City and County of San Francisco is to contribute 50 per cent of the cost.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$12,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the unappropriated County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 748.911.00, being City and County

contribution toward improvement of Pacheco street between Fifteenth avenue and Ortega street, Fourteenth avenue between Aerial way and Mandalay lane, Cragmont avenue between Twelfth avenue and Quintara street, Twelfth avenue between Cragmont avenue and Rockridge drive, Quintara street between Cragmont avenue and Fourteenth avenue and Fourteenth avenue between Quintara street and Fanning way. This work is to be performed under public proceedings and the City and County of San Francisco is to contribute 50 per cent of the cost.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of San Francisco.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Inspection of Toll Collection Devices, G. G. Bridge.

(Code No. 12.111)

Resolution No. 3374, as follows:

Whereas, information has been received by Supervisor Uhl that the toll collection devices on the Golden Gate Bridge are inaccurate; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is vitally interested in the collection of all tolls collected covering pleasure automobiles, trucks and pedestrians; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Chief Administrative Officer instruct the City Engineer to make a check covering toll collection devices on the Golden Gate Bridge and report to the Board of Supervisors whether the devices are in line with the specifications and the accuracy of said devices.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

#### Release of Lien Filed Re Old Age Security.

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3410, as follows:

Resolved, That the lien heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, on recommendation of the County Welfare Department, is released against the property described as follows:

Edward J. Clark, recorded July 15, 1937, Recorder's Receipt M 2632.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

#### Land Purchase—Richardson Avenue.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3411, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Max E. Glucksman portion of Lot 10, Assessor's Block 934, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Richardson avenue, for the sum of \$5,500, \$375 payable from Appro-



priation No. 951.905.07, Project No. 4½ and the balance of \$5,125 payable from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 271153. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

As a further consideration it is understood that the grantor shall receive a deed to the portion of Lot 9F, Assessor's Block 934 outside the right of way.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named party for a particular description of said land.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

#### **Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Judiciary Committee was taken up:

#### **Civil Service Commission to Join Civil Service Assembly of United States and Canada.**

(Code No. 4.01)

Bill No. 1381, Ordinance No. 4.01, as follows:

Authorizing the Civil Service Commission to become a member of the Civil Service Assembly of the United States and Canada and to represent the City and County in said Assembly and providing for the payment of expenses in connection therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That it will be for the interest and benefit of the City and County of San Francisco that the Civil Service Commission thereof become a member of the Civil Service Assembly of the United States and Canada for and on behalf of the said City and County, and the Civil Service Commission is hereby authorized and directed to join said Civil Service Assembly of the United States and Canada and to represent the City and County in said Assembly.

Section 2. That the annual expense of said membership be paid out of such funds as may be annually set aside and appropriated for the purpose.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Reilly—2.

#### **ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Accepting Offer of the United States of America to City and County of San Francisco to Aid by Way of Grant in Financing the Construction of Waterworks.**

(Code No. 15.033)

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Resolution No. 3412, as follows:

A resolution accepting the offer of the United States of America to the City and County of San Francisco to aid by way of grant in financing the construction of waterworks, consisting of stream diversion works at Moccasin, in Tuolumne County, and including a sanitary drainage system, conduit invert lining and the construction of build-

ings and an addition to a building used in connection with said waterworks.

Be It Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. That the offer of the United States of America to the City and County of San Francisco to aid by way of grant in financing the construction of waterworks, consisting of stream diversion works at Moccasin, in Tuolumne County, and including a sanitary drainage system, conduit invert lining and the construction of buildings and an addition to a building used in connection with said water works, a copy of which said offer reads as follows, to-wit:

"FEDERAL EMERGENCY ADMINISTRATION  
OF PUBLIC WORKS

P. W. 73421-6.

Washington, D. C.

Dated: June 23, 1937.

Docket No. Calif. 1130-R.

"City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco, California.

"1. Subject to the Rules and Regulations (PWA Form No. 179, as amended to October 7, 1935), which are made a part hereof, the United States of America hereby offers to aid in financing the construction of waterworks, consisting of stream diversion works at Moccasin, in Tuolumne County, and including a sanitary drainage system, conduit invert lining and the construction of buildings and an addition to a building used in connection with said waterworks (herein called the 'Project'), by making a grant to City and County of San Francisco in the amount of 45 per cent of the cost of the project upon completion, as determined by the Federal Emergency Administrator of Public Works, but not to exceed, in any event, the sum of \$153,450.

"2. Upon the acceptance of this offer by the City and County of San Francisco, the contract created by the acceptance of the offer made by the United States of America to said City and County, dated October 7, 1935, shall be cancelled. The cancellation of this contract shall not impair or vitiate any act performed or proceedings taken thereunder prior to such cancellation. Such acts or proceedings may be continued under the contract created by the acceptance of this offer.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
Federal Emergency Administrator of Public Works.

By (Signed) HORATIO B. HACKETT,  
Assistant Administrator."

be and the same is hereby in all respects accepted.

Section 2. That said City and County of San Francisco agrees to abide by all the rules and regulations relating to such grant, a copy of which said rules and regulations was annexed to the Government's offer and made a part thereof.

Section 3. That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco be, and he is hereby authorized and directed forthwith to send to the Federal Emergency Administrator of Public Works three certified copies of this resolution and three certified copies of the proceedings of this Board of Supervisors in connection with the adoption of this resolution, and such further documents and/or proofs in connection with the acceptance of said offer as may be required by the Federal Emergency Administrator of Public Works.

It is hereby covenanted that work on the project described in the offer will be completed by April 15, 1938.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended and approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Recommended and approved by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.



**Federal Funds Held in Trust for Government.**

(Code No. 5.2)

Also, Resolution No. 3413, as follows:

Be It Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco (herein called the "City") that all funds which the City shall receive from the United States of America, through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works (herein called the "Government"), as a grant to aid in financing the construction of the project covered by the offer of the Government, dated December 28, 1935, and accepted by the City on January 13, 1936 (PWA State File No. Calif. 1427), which funds represent an amount in excess of twenty-five per centum of the previously approved estimated cost of said project, shall be held by the City in trust for the benefit of the Government, subject to the following uses and purposes:

*First:* The funds hereby declared to be held in trust shall be used for the purpose of paying only proper costs incurred in connection with the construction of said project.

*Second:* No portion of the funds hereby declared to be held in trust shall be expended until all other funds received by or available to the City to aid in financing the construction of said project from whatever source derived shall have been expended.

*Third:* The funds hereby declared to be held in trust shall be expended only after the prior written approval of the State Director for California of the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, which approval shall be evidenced by and endorsed upon vouchers which shall form the basis for each and every withdrawal from such trust funds, that such expenditure is in accordance with the Rules and Regulations of the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, all applicable Federal Statutes, and the provisions of this resolution.

*Fourth:* The City hereby pledges its full faith and credit to repay, from any unexpended balance of the funds hereby declared to be held in trust, and in the event of a deficiency in such unexpended balance from its general funds, the amount by which all payments made on account of the grant by the Government to aid in financing the construction of the project hereinabove referred to, shall exceed forty-five per centum of the cost of said project upon completion thereof, as determined by the Federal Emergency Administrator of Public Works, whose determination is hereby acknowledged to be binding upon the City.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended and approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Recommended and approved by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

**Tribute to Retiring Police Commissioners.**

Supervisor Colman voiced his appreciation of the services of Theodore F. Roche and Frank J. Foran on the Police Commission: "I want to pay a tribute to two San Francisco men outstanding in public service—Mr. Roche and Mr. Foran, who recently resigned from the Police Commission under unpleasant circumstances. They can stand before the people with clear conscience and with the knowledge that they have performed a difficult task to the best of their ability, with the knowledge that they have been good and faithful public servants."

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Mayor was taken up:

**Leave of Absence, Hon. J. C. Colman, Member Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3414, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Jesse C. Colman, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of fifteen days, beginning July 20th, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

**Request for Progress Plan for Re-Routing Cars to Plan X Terminal.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Clerk request from the Public Utilities Commission a progress plan as to what is being done, what the cost will be and how the different street car lines will be re-routed on the completion of "Plan X" terminal.

**Repayment of Unemployment Relief Money to State.**

The Clerk read a communication from Harry B. Riley, State Controller, inquiring as to what method the City will adopt in repaying money borrowed from the Unemployment Relief Fund of 1933.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**Request of W. P. A. for Financial Aid in Proposed Protest March to San Francisco.**

Communication from Workers Alliance of California, requesting financial aid for some 300 W. P. A. workers, on their march to San Francisco July 25 in protest to W. P. A. layoffs.

*Referred to the Mayor.*

**Meeting Announcements.**

Judiciary Committee, July 23, 4 p. m.

Fire, Safety and Police Committee, July 23, 10 a. m.

Supervisor Schmidt, on his request, was permitted to absent himself from next Monday's meeting.

**RECESS.**

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors adjourned, to reassemble immediately as a Board of Equalization, for the purpose of hearing the application of the Palace Hotel and others for reduction of assessment.


J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 26, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.





Monday, July 26, 1937

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.



THE HISTORY OF THE  
CITY OF BOSTON  
FROM 1630 TO 1880  
BY  
JOHN B. HENNING

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JULY 26, 1937, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 26, 1937,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:  
Supervisors Brown, Meyer, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon,  
Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly, Schmidt—4.

Supervisor Mead appeared and was noted present at 2:35 p. m.

Supervisors Colman, Reilly and Schmidt excused on leave.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of July 13 and July 19,  
1937, were considered read and approved.

### SALE OF BONDS—3 P. M.

Proposals for the purchase of the following bonds of the City and  
County were presented, opened, read, and *referred to the Finance Com-*  
*mittee, to-wit:*

\$810,000 Water Distribution Bonds, 1933, in denomination of—42  
bonds of \$1,000 denomination each, maturing December 1, 1937; 48  
bonds of \$1,000 denomination each, maturing December 1, each year,  
1938 to 1953, inclusive—four per cent per annum—interest payable  
June 1 and December 1.

\$547,000 Sewer Bonds, 1933, in denomination of—27 bonds of \$1,000  
denomination each, maturing December 1, 1937; 20 bonds of \$1,000  
denomination each, maturing December 1 each year, 1938 to 1963,  
inclusive—four per cent per annum—interest payable June 1 and  
December 1.

### Bids.

The bids made are as follows:

1. Bankamerica Company, Blyth & Co., Inc.; American Trust Com-  
pany, Pressprich & Co., by Bankamerica Company, by A. K. Browne.  
For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$1,517,158.86.

2. William R. Staats Co., Kaiser & Co., Griffith-Wagenseller & Durst,  
Union Bank & Trust Co. of Los Angeles, William R. Staats Co., by  
W. Leonard Renick, Jr.

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$1,518,515.16.

3. J. L. Osborne & Co., by J. L. Osborne.

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$1,513,460.74.

4. Lehman Brothers, Phelps, Fenn & Co., Schwabacher & Co., George



B. Gibbons & Co., E. H. Rollins & Sons, Dulin & Co., Stone and Youngberg, by Lehman Brothers (Account Managers).

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$1,514,412.

5. Graham, Parsons & Co.; F. S. Moseley & Co.; Payne, Webber & Co.; Brush, Slocumb & Co.; Morse Bros. & Co., Inc.; Gibbons, Newlands & Hess, by Brush, Slocumb & Co., by Waite H. Stephenson.

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$1,512,998.

6. Brown, Harriman & Co., Inc.; Weeden & Co., by Brown, Harriman & Co., Inc.; Ivan W. Wing.

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$1,518,152.

7. The Anglo California National Bank, Heller, Bruce & Co., Kelley, Richardson & Co.; Chicago Mercantile Commerce Bank & Trust Co., St. Louis; The Boatmen's National Bank, St. Louis; Wells, Dickey Company, Minneapolis, by The Anglo California National Bank, per Alger Jacobs, representative.

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$1,518,289.

8. Halsey, Stuart & Co., Inc.; Bancamerica-Blair Corporation; Stone and Webster and Blodget, Inc.; First of Michigan Corporation; Banks, Huntley & Co., by Halsey, Stuart & Co., Inc.

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$1,515,565.45.

9. R. H. Moulton & Company, Bankers Trust Company; Dean Witter & Co.; The First Boston Corporation; Security-First National Bank of Los Angeles, by R. H. Moulton & Company, syndicate manager, by V. E. Breeden.

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$1,516,610.

10. The National City Bank of New York (managers); Estabrook & Company; C. F. Childs and Company; Mackey, Dunn and Co., Inc., by C. F. Childs & Company, by Benj. R. Brindley, assistant vice-president.

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$1,507,221.

11. Lazard Freres & Company, Incorporated; Dick & Merle-Smith; B. J. Van Ingen & Co., Inc.; The Milwaukee Company; Commerce Trust Company; Donnellan & Co., by Donnellan & Co., Ross Thomson.

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$1,508,846.94.

12. Harris Trust Company; Northern Trust Company; Chase National Bank; Edward B. Smith & Co., by Edward B. Smith & Co.

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$1,522,281.24.

### Adopted.

Subsequently, during the proceedings, the following recommendation of the Finance Committee was *adopted*.

### Sale of \$810,000 Water Distribution Bonds, 1933; \$547,000 Sewer Bonds, 1933.

(Code Nos. 15.021, 12.122)

Resolution No. 3431, as follows:

Whereas, due notice was given as provided by the charter of the City and County of San Francisco that sealed proposals for the purchase of certain bonds of said City and County would be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m., on Monday, July 26, 1937, and opened and considered by said Board at said time.

The bonds offered are described as follows:

\$810,000 Water Distribution Bonds, 1933. Said bonds bear interest at the rate of four per cent (4%) per annum, comprising 42 bonds of \$1,000 denomination each, maturing December 1, 1937; 48 bonds of \$1,000 denomination each, maturing December 1, each year, 1938 to 1953, inclusive. Interest payable June 1 and December 1.

\$547,000 Sewer Bonds, 1933. Said bonds bear interest at the rate of four per cent (4%) per annum, comprising twenty-seven (27) bonds of \$1,000 denomination each, maturing December 1, 1937; twenty

(20) bonds of \$1,000 denomination each, maturing December 1 each year, 1938 to 1963, inclusive. Interest payable June 1 and December 1.

No alternative bids will be considered by the Board of Supervisors.

Whereas, sundry bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered; therefore

Resolved, That the bid of Harris Trust Company, Northern Trust Company, Chase National Bank, Edward B. Smith and Co., by Edward B. Smith and Co. Bid for the whole amount.

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$1,522,281.24 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery.

A certified check (or deposit) in the sum of \$10,000 payable to the order of J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, accompanies this proposal, which money or check will be forfeited by the undersigned in case he fails to accept and pay for the bonds bid for by him if his bid is accepted; otherwise to be returned to him.

Dated July 26, 1937.

.....(Bidder sign here)

Harris Trust Company, Northern Trust Company, Chase National Bank, Edward B. Smith and Co., by Edward B. Smith and Co., be and the same is hereby accepted, and said bonds are hereby struck off and sold to said Harris Trust Company, Northern Trust Company, Chase National Bank, Edward B. Smith and Co., by Edward B. Smith and Co., in accordance with the foregoing bid.

That all other bids for said bonds be rejected and the Clerk is hereby directed to return certified checks accompanying the same.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent Supervisors Colman, Reilly, Schmidt—3.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Authorizing Sale of Water Department Land in Block 6745, Arlington and Wilder Streets.**

(Code No. 12.17252)

Bill No. 1376, Ordinance No. 12.1725211, as follows:

Authorizing Sale of Water Department Land in Block 6745, Arlington and Wilder streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

PARCEL 1. Commencing at a point on the southerly boundary line of Block Number Four of the Fairmount Extension Homestead Association, distant thereon 279.682 feet easterly from the easterly line of Diamond street, and also distant at right angles 100 feet southerly from the southerly line of Wilder street; running thence easterly 50 feet along said southerly boundary line of said Block Number Four; thence at right angles northerly 100 feet to the southerly line of Wilder street; thence at right angles westerly along said southerly line of Wilder street 50 feet; and thence at right angles southerly 100 feet to the southerly boundary line of said Block Number Four and the point of commencement.



Being Lots Numbers 18 and 19 in Block Number Four, as the same are laid down, delineated and numbered upon a certain map on file in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, entitled, "Map of the Fairmount Extension Homestead Association," recorded in Book C and D of Maps, at page 93, on August 2, 1872.

PARCEL 2. Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Lot 13, Block 14 of the Fairmount Extension Homestead Association, as per map entitled "Map of the Mission and Thirtieth Streets Extension Homestead Union," recorded April 23, 1872, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco in Book E and F of Maps at page 37; said point being the point of intersection of said westerly line with the northerly line of Arlington street; running thence from said point of commencement northerly along said westerly line of Lot 13 a distance of 116.09 feet to the most northerly corner thereof; thence easterly along the northerly line of hereinabove mentioned Block 14, a distance of 141.712 feet to the most easterly corner of Lot 15; thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of said Lot 15, a distance of 3.910 feet to an intersection with the northerly line of Arlington street; thence along said northerly line on the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 150 feet a distance of 5.648 feet; thence continuing along said northerly line southwesterly 160.186 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the right with a radius of 51 feet, a distance of 12.331 feet to the point of commencement.

Excepting therefrom a strip of land 20 feet wide, lying 10 feet on each side of the following described center line: Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 95.09 feet northeasterly from the northerly line of Bosworth street, as shown on map of Arlington street realignment, recorded in Map Book "M" at page 64, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting 88 degrees 30 minutes to the right from said line of Arlington street and running northwesterly, 69.18 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 20 feet, 13.59 feet, more or less, to the westerly line of aforesaid Lot 13.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco. Said property may be sold as a whole or subdivided.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly, Schmidt—4.

**Appropriation \$1,600, Reconstruction of Clarence Place Northerly from Townsend Street.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1378, Ordinance No. 9.051400, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$1,600 out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 748.998.00 for the reconstruction of Clarence place northerly from Townsend street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,600 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 748.998.00 for the purpose of reconstructing Clarence place northerly from Townsend street.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly, Schmidt—4.

**Appropriation \$275, Transfer of Minnie T. McKenna, General Clerk-Typist.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1378, Ordinance No. 9.051400, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$275 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation 760.102.00 to the credit of appropriation No. 739.101.00 for the purpose of accommodating the transfer of Minnie T. McKenna, General Clerk-Typist, from the office of the Controller to the Department of Public Works in accordance with Civil Service Resolution of June 2, 1937.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$275 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation 760.102.00 to the credit of appropriation No. 739.101.00 for the purpose of accommodating the transfer of Minnie T. McKenna, General Clerk-Typist, from the office of the Controller to the Department of Public Works in accordance with Civil Service Resolution of June 2, 1937.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly, Schmidt—4.

**Amending Taxicab Ordinance—Rates of Fare.**

(Code No. 11.0111)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Bill No. 1361, Ordinance No. 11.011112, as follows:

Amending Section 23 of Ordinance No. 6979 (New Series) entitled, "Regulating the Use of Taxicabs, Automobiles and Public Vehicles for Hire, Fixing the rate to Be Charged for the Transportation of Persons and Personal Baggage, Regulating the Use of Boats in the Waters of the Bay, Providing a Punishment for Any Violation Thereof and Repealing Ordinance No. 1898 (New Series)."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 23 of Ordinance No. 6979 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 23. The rates of fare for automobiles, whether offered for hire or furnished for special calls, shall not exceed the following: For automobiles having a capacity for four passengers, exclusive of driver, for the first half hour, or fraction thereof, two dollars and fifty cents; for each succeeding half hour, two dollars. For automobiles having a capacity of six or more passengers, exclusive of driver, for the first half hour or fraction thereof, three dollars; for each subsequent half hour, two dollars and fifty cents. Provided, however, that no automobile shall charge a rate of fare from any ferry or railroad terminal that shall exceed the metered mileage rate specified in the next succeeding section, and the distance from such terminal to the point of destination shall be measured by the shortest route along open public streets. *The rates of fare for limousines and automobiles as such terms are herein defined shall be not less than 30 cents per mile up to and including the fourth mile and not less than one dollar and twenty-five cents (\$1.25) for a continuous point to point trip beyond the fourth mile.*

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly, Schmidt—4.



**NEW BUSINESS.****Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Confirming Sale of School Land, Twenty-fourth Avenue and Moraga Street.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

Resolution No. 3415, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.172211, Bill No. 1288, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids would be received by him on June 25, 1937, for the sale of the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Twenty-fifth avenue, distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Moraga street (formerly "M" street) and running thence southerly along said line of Twenty-fifth avenue 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 240 feet to the westerly line of Twenty-fourth avenue; thence at a right angle northerly along said line of Twenty-fourth avenue 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 240 feet to the easterly line of Twenty-fifth avenue and the point of commencement.

Being portion of Outside Land Block 884.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, August Hallgren, et ux., offered to purchase said land for the sum of \$12,000 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said sum of \$12,000 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being \$12,000; and

Whereas, August Hallgren, et ux., have paid the sum of \$1,200 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Board of Education has recommended the sale of said land; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said land to August Hallgren, et ux. The buyers must pay the balance of the purchase price within thirty days after approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantees upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly, Schmidt—4.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Authorizing Claim of Jules Brotschneider and Marie Brotschneider.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Bill No. 1382, Ordinance No. 6.022229, as follows:

Authorizing claim of Jules Brotschneider and Marie Brotschneider in the sum of Eleven Hundred Dollars (\$1,100).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended the settlement of the Action of Jules Brotschneider and Marie Brotschneider, against

the City and County of San Francisco for the recovery of damages during the reconstruction of the grade and particularly that portion thereof located at 921 Duncan street, including the falling of the retaining wall and damage by water, it being understood that Jules Brotschneider and Marie Brotschneider will construct a new retaining wall and hold themselves responsible for any damage occurring to their property as a result of this construction, and that this release covers the Second Cause of Action in Case No. 267338, Superior Court and claim filed February 10, 1937, said City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle said pending litigation and claim by the payment of the sum of Eleven Hundred (\$1,100) Dollars, and the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby authorized and directed to draw his warrant for said sum.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly, Schmidt—4.

### Authorizing Compromise of Claim of Jane E. Ramm.

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Bill No. 1383, Ordinance No. 6.022230, as follows:

Authorizing compromise of claim of Jane E. Ramm in the sum of One Hundred Fifty (\$150) Dollars.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended the settlement of the action of Jane E. Ramm against the City and County of San Francisco for the recovery of damages for personal injuries sustained by reason of a defective sidewalk condition at the intersection of Thirty-fifth avenue and Judah street, on December 12, 1934, by the payment of the sum of One Hundred Fifty (\$150) Dollars in full settlement of all claims of said Jane E. Ramm, said City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle said pending litigation by the payment of said sum of One Hundred Fifty (\$150) Dollars.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly, Schmidt—4.

### Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

### Authorizing Settlement of Damage Claims Caused by the Construction of Nineteenth Avenue.

(Code No. 6.0222)

Resolution No. 3416, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works that the total sum of \$478.50 be paid to Foster & Kleiser Company in settlement of all claims of damage to the advertising structures located as follows in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, caused or to be caused by the widening of Nineteenth avenue:

Nineteenth EL 50' No. Taraval—1 unill. panel.

Nineteenth EL 25' S. Taraval—2 unill. panels.

Nineteenth & Ulloa NE—1 unill. panel.

Nineteenth EL 125' N. Ulloa—1 unill. panel.

Nineteenth EL 250' N. Ulloa—1 6-sheet.

Nineteenth & Wawona SW—5 unill. panels.

Nineteenth & Sloat Blvd. NW—1 6-sheet.

Said sum shall be paid from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project No. 5-A.

Approved by the Director of Property.



**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Authorizing Lease of City-Owned Block on De Haro Street.**

(Code No. 12.1739)

Bill No. 1384, Ordinance No. 12.17397, as follows:

Authorizing Lease of City-owned block on De Haro street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 93 of the Charter, and in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Director of Property is hereby authorized to arrange for leasing the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Fifteenth street with the easterly line of Rhode Island street; running thence northerly along the easterly line of Rhode Island street, 400 feet to the southerly line of Alameda street; thence easterly along last named line, 154.5 feet to the southwesterly line of Eighth street; thence southeasterly along last named line 70 feet  $\frac{1}{2}$  inch to the westerly line of De Haro street; thence southerly along last named line 346.75 feet to the northerly line of Fifteenth street; thence westerly along last named line 200 feet to the point of commencement.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly, Schmidt—4.

**Appropriation of \$78,910.37 for Financing P. W. A. Docket No. 1130.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1385, Ordinance No. 9.051403, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation out of the unappropriated balance of the 1932 Hetch Hetchy Bond Fund in the amount of \$78,910.37 to the credit of Appropriation No. 92.235.01 to provide funds for financing "PWA" Docket No. 1130.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$78,910.37 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the unappropriated balance of the 1932 Hetch Hetchy Bond Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 92.235.01 to provide funds for financing "PWA" Docket No. 1130.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly, Schmidt—4.

**Appropriation of \$5,000 for Street Lights in Castro Street Extension.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1386, Ordinance No. 9.051404, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$5,000 out of surplus existing in Unappropriated County Road Fund to credit of Appropria-

tion No. 748.914.00 for the installation of street lights in Castro Street Extension from Waller street to Divisadero street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$5,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Unappropriated County Road Fund to credit of Appropriation No. 748.914.00 for the installation of street lights in Castro Street Extension from Waller street to Divisadero street.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly, Schmidt—4.

#### **Appropriation of \$5,000 for Streets Lights in Army Street.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1387, Ordinance No. 9.051405, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$5,000 out of surplus existing in Unappropriated County Road Fund to credit of Appropriation No. 748.913.00 for the installation of street lights in Army street from Bryant to Harrison streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$5,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated County Road Fund to credit of Appropriation No. 748.913.00 for the installation of street lights in Army street from Bryant to Harrison streets.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly, Schmidt—4.

#### **Appropriation of \$1,800 for Additional Position of Physician in the Syphilis Unit at San Francisco Hospital.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1388, Ordinance No. 9.051406, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$1800 out of the reserve existing in Appropriation No. 750.101.05-1 to the credit of Appropriation No. 750.101.05-1 for the purpose of creating an additional position of physician in the Syphilis Unit of the Department of Public Health.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1800 is hereby appropriated and set aside out



of the reserve existing in Appropriation No. 750.101.05-1 to the credit of appropriation No. 750.101.05-1 for the purpose of creating an additional position of physician in the Syphilis Unit of the Department of Public Health.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly, Schmidt—4.

### **Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Department of Public Health.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1389, Ordinance No. 9.053104, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 54(a) of Ordinance No. 9.053101 by eliminating the position of T152 Junior Social Service Investigator at \$150 per month and creating one additional position of L360 Physician at \$150 per month.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 54(a) of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

#### **Section 54(a). DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH— CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)**

##### **STATISTICS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
17	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
18	1	B222	General Clerk .....	185
19	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	190
20	2	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	190
21	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
22	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125

##### **MEAT INSPECTION**

23	7	N56	Market Inspector .....	175
23½	*1	N56	Market Inspector .....	175
24	6	N56	Market Inspector .....	200
25	1	N58	Chief Market Inspector .....	225
26	8	N60	Abattoir Inspector .....	200
27	3	N62	Veterinarian .....	201
28	6	N62	Veterinarian .....	200

\*Status declared permanent by Civil Service Commission.

##### **COMMUNICABLE DISEASES**

29	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
30	6	J74	Rat Catcher .....	115
31	4	L370	Epidemiologist (part time).....	225
32	1	L371	Director, Bureau of Communicable Diseases (part time) .....	350
33	1	P52	Field Nurse .....	175

##### **SYPHILIS UNIT**

34	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
35	1	P102	Registered Nurse .....	135
36	1	L360	Physician . . . . .	150

Section 54(a). DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)

## CLINICS

*Diagnostic Center*

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
37	2	L360	Physician (part time) .....	150
38	1	L364	Pediatrician (part time).....	100
39	1	P52	Field Nurse .....	165

*Bureau of Mental Hygiene*

40	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time)	75
41	1	L404	Psychologist .....	175
42	4	L404	Psychologist .....	150
43	1	L404	Psychologist (part time) .....	75
44	1	L408	Chief Psychologist (part time).....	200
45	1	L408	Chief Psychologist (part time).....	150

## BACTERIOLOGICAL LABORATORY

46	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
47	1	C102	Janitress . . . . .	75
48	1	*I103	Institutional Help .....	79.50
49	2	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician..	125
50	1	L56	Bacteriologist . . . . .	225
51	3	L56	Bacteriologist . . . . .	175
52	1	L58	Director of Laboratories .....	275
53	1	L60	Bacteriological Milk Inspector .....	250
54	1	L64	Consultant, Bacteriologist (part time).	75

\*Subject to reclassification and adjusted  
rate of pay within budgeted appro-  
priation after reclassification.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri,  
Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly, Schmidt—4.

## Action Deferred.

The following bill was *laid over one week*:

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Creating Two Positions Part-  
Time Physician**  
(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1390, Ordinance No. 9.053105, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 55 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 by  
adding Item 97 ½, creating two positions of L360 Physician (part time)  
at \$75 per month.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Fran-  
cisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 55 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended  
to read as follows:

Section 55. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)

## SCHOOL INSPECTION—MEDICAL

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
55	1	L252	Optometrist (part time) .....	150
56	1	L368	Director Bureau Child Hygiene .....	383.33
57	1	L364	Pediatrician . . . . .	250



**Section 55. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
58	1	L364	Pediatrician (part time) .....	175
59	11	L364	Pediatrician (part time) .....	150
60	1	L364	Pediatrician (part time) .....	75
61	1	L602	Audiometer Technician .....	125
<b>DENTAL</b>				
62	1	B222	General Clerk (part time) .....	50
63	4	L152	Dental Hygienist .....	150
64	14	L156	Dentist (part time) .....	100
65	1	L160	Director of Dental Bureau (part time) ..	250
<b>CHILD WELFARE—MEDICAL</b>				
66	1	L364	Pediatrician . . . . .	250
67	4	L364	Pediatrician (part time) .....	150
68	1	L364	Pediatrician at \$5 per day (part time) ..	
<b>MILK AND FOOD INSPECTION</b>				
69	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
70	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
71	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	100
72	7	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector .....	200
73	10	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector .....	185
74	3	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector .....	175
75	3	N53	Assistant Chief Food Inspector .....	225
76	1	N54	Chief Food Inspector .....	325
77	1	N64	Dairy Inspector .....	300
78	2	N64	Dairy Inspector .....	225
79	2	N64	Dairy Inspector .....	200
<b>CHEMICAL LABORATORY</b>				
80	1	L102	Food Chemist Assistant .....	125
81	1	L104	Food Chemist .....	225
82	1	L104	Food Chemist .....	175
83	1	L106	Senior Food Chemist .....	250
<b>PLUMBING INSPECTION</b>				
84	1	A412	Plumbing Inspector .....	250
85	7	A412	Plumbing Inspector .....	240
86	1	A416	Chief Plumbing Inspector .....	325
87	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
<b>HOUSING INSPECTION</b>				
88	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
89	9	N204	Housing Inspector .....	200
90	1	N206	Chief Housing Inspector .....	275
<b>INDUSTRIAL INSPECTION</b>				
91	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	100
92	3	N205	Industrial Inspector .....	200
93	1	N208	Chief Industrial Inspector .....	275
<b>CITY PHYSICIANS</b>				
94	4	L360	Physician (part time) .....	300
95	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	250
96	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	200
97	4	L360	Physician (part time) .....	150
97 1/2	2	L360	Physician (part time) .....	75
98	1	L362	Supervisor of City Physicians (part time)	300

## Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Change of Compensation,  
Head Clerk, San Francisco Hospital.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1391, Ordinance No. 9.053106, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 58, Item 4, of Ordinance No. 9 053101 by changing the compensation of this position from \$250 per month to \$285 per month, less board, room and laundry.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 58 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 58. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 175
2	1	B222	General Clerk .....	160
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	125
4	1	B234	Head Clerk (deduct for B., R. and L.) ..	285
5	1	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	190
6	1	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	160
7	7	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	100
9	12	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) ..	79.50
10	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
11	2	B454	Telephone Operator .....	135
12	1	B454	Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	125
13	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
14	1	C6	Supt. of Building T. B. Hosp. (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	260
15	2	C152	Watchman .....	145
16	2	E108	Electrician .....	237.50
17	1	I6	Pastry Cook .....	175
18	8	I12	Cook .....	165
19	1	I16	Chef .....	200
20	8	I54	Waitress .....	110
21	8	I56	Waiter .....	110
22	*	I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	79.50
23	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	102.50
24	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	125
25	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	87.50
26	14	I152	Flat Work Ironer .....	90
27	17	I154	Laundress .....	100
28	1	I156	Starcher .....	130
29	1	I158	Sorter .....	130
30	1	I164	Marker and Distributor .....	130
31	1	I166	Wringerman .....	136.33
32	2	I170	Washer .....	135
33	1	I172	Head Washer .....	155
34	1	I178	Superintendent of Laundry .....	200
35	1	I206	Porter Sub-Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	92
36	1	I208	Porter Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	100
37	1	I210	Head Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.) ....	140



Section 58. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
38	2	I254	Seamstress . . . . .	90
39	1	I256	Head Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.)	150
40	1	J4	Laborer (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	87.50
*Subject to reclassification and adjusted rate of pay within budgeted appropriation after reclassification.				

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly, Schmidt—4.

**Authorizing Street Work, Under Assessment, and Appropriating \$4,000 as City's Contribution.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1399, Ordinance No. 12.061180, as follows:

Authorizing performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco; approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same; extending City aid in the sum of four thousand dollars (\$4,000), and making an appropriation therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 25, 1936, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per cent (7%) per annum.

The improvement of Girard street between the northerly line of Ward street and the northerly line of Mansell street, including the intersection of Girard and Ordway streets and the intersection of Girard and Mansell streets, and the improvement of Mansell street between the westerly line of San Bruno avenue and the easterly line of Girard street, by grading to official line and grade and by the construction of sewers and appurtenances. The construction will consist of the following items:

Item No.	Item
1.	Excavation.
2.	Embankment.
3.	18-inch V, C. P. Sewer, in place.

Item No.	Item
4.	15-inch V. C. P. Sewer, in place.
5.	12-inch V. C. P. Sewer, in place.
6.	8-inch V. C. P. Sewer, in place.
7.	18x6-inch Wye Branches, in place.
8.	12x6-inch Wye Branches, in place.
9.	8x6-inch Wye Branches, in place.
10.	Brick Manholes, complete.
11.	Brick Catchbasins, complete.
12.	10-inch V. C. P. Culvert, in place.

The Director of Public Works does hereby set forth the district to be benefited by said contemplated work, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered, respectively, as:

Lots 5, 5A, 6, 7, 8 and 8A of block 6155; lots 9A, 10, 10A, 11, 11A, 12, 13 and 13A of block 6156; lots 1, 11, 12, 12A, 13, 13A, 14, 15, 15A, 15B, 16, 17 and 13 of block 6157; lots 1, 2, 2A, 3, 3A, 4, 4A, 5, 6, 6A, 7 and 8 of block 6158; lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 of block 6171; and lots 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 of block 6172, all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Section 2. The sum of four thousand dollars (\$4,000) is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the County Road Fund, to the credit of Appropriation 648.988.00, for the purpose of extending City aid for this improvement pursuant to Section 6 of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of San Francisco.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly, Schmidt—4.

#### **Appropriation of \$120, Salary Increases, Operating Room Nurses, Department of Public Health.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1392, Ordinance No. 9.051407, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$120 out of the reserve existing in Appropriation No. 753.101.02 to the credit of Appropriation No. 751.101.00 for the purpose of reallocating funds so that the salary increases allowed to operating room nurses may be granted in the order of seniority in the Department of Public Health.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$120 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the reserve existing in Appropriation No. 753.101.02 to the credit of Appropriation No. 751.101.00 for the purpose of reallocating funds so that the salary increases allowed to operating room nurses may be



granted in the order of seniority in the Department of Public Health.

Recommended by J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly, Schmidt—4.

### Amend Annual Salary Ordinance, Operating Room Nurses, San Francisco Hospital.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1393, Ordinance No. 9.053107, as follows:

An ordinance amending Ordinance No. 9.053101 by amending Section 56(a), Item 50, to read 1 P208 Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) at \$145 per month instead of \$135 per month; by changing Section 60, Item 84, from 9 to 8 P208 Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) at \$145 per month, and by adding Item 84½ to Section 60 to read 1 P208 Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) at \$135 per month.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Sections 56(a) and 60 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 are hereby amended to read as follows:

#### Section 56(a). DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH— LAGUNA HONDA HOME (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
29	1	I302	Instructor, Basketry (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	102.50
30	1	I304	Instructor, Weaving (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
31	1	L8	Assistant to Superintendent .....	275
32	1	L10	Superintendent (deduct \$150 for full family maintenance) .....	733.33
33	1	L54	Assistant Bacteriologist .....	100
34	1	L202	Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.) .....	137.50
35	1	L306	Senior Pharmacist .....	210
36	6	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	32
37	1	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	185
38	2	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) ...	97
39	1	L360	Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.) ....	235
40	1	L452	X-ray Technician .....	150
41	1	O1	Chauffeur (less B., R. & L.) .....	185
42	1	O52	Farmer (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	130
43	1	O54	Foreman, Building and Grounds (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	220
44	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
45	1	O60	Head Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	185
46	3	O168	Engineer Sta. Steam Engines .....	220
47	28	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	135
48	4	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	145
49	1	P118	Superintendent of Nurses (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	235
50	1	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	145

\*Subject to reclassification and adjusted rate of pay within budgeted appropriation after reclassification.

Section 60. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
68	139	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)\$	135
69		P103	Special Nurses (as needed), 8 hrs. at \$5 per day or fraction of a day .....	
70		P103	Special Nurses (as needed), 10 hrs. at \$6 per day with meals.....	
71		P103	Special Nurses (as needed), 12 hrs., with meals, at \$7 per day .....	
72		P103	Special Nurses (Virulent Communicable Diseases and Violent Patients), 8 hrs. at \$6 per day .....	
73		P103	Special Nurses (Virulent Communicable Diseases and Violent Patients), 10 hrs. at \$9 per day .....	
74		P103	Special Nurses (Virulent Communicable Diseases and Violent Patients), 12 hrs. at \$10 per day .....	
75		P103	Special Nurses, Additional Patient \$2 extra .....	
76	33	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)...	145
77	1	P104	Head Nurse .....	145
78	1	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	210
79	1	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	185
80	2	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	170
81	1	P122	Director of Institutional Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	285
82	4	P204	Anaesthetist (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	160
83	1	P206	Senior Anaesthetist (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	185
84	8	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	145
84½	1	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
85	10	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
86	1	P210	Senior Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	185
87	1	P212	Head Nurse Obstetrical (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
88	1	P214	Head Nurse Pediatrics (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
89	1	P216	Head Nurse Psychiatric (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
90		P254	Student Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	32
91	2	P304	Instructor of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
92	1	P306	Senior Instructor of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	185
93	1	T152	Junior Social Service Investigator.....	160
94	3	T152	Junior Social Service Investigator ....	150



**Section 60. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
95	1	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	210
96	1	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	190
97	6	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	180
98	3	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	140
<b>PSYCHIATRIC BUILDING</b>				
99	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
100	2	C152	Watchman . . . . .	145
101	2	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	47
102	1	L360	Physician (part time).....	75
103	1	L372	Resident Physician .....	250

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly, Schmidt—4.

**Action Deferred.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up and on motion *laid over one week*:

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$2,400 to Create Three Additional  
Positions at San Francisco Hospital.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1394, Ordinance No. 9.051408, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$2,400 out of the reserve existing in Appropriation No. 753.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 753.101.00 for the purpose of creating one additional position of radiologist at \$100 per month and position of two house officers at \$50 per month, at the San Francisco Hospital.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,400 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the reserve existing in Appropriation No. 753.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 753.101.00 for the purpose of creating one additional position of radiologist at \$100 per month and positions of two house officers at \$50 per month, at the San Francisco Hospital.

Recommended by J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

**Amend Annual Salary Ordinance, Department of Public Health.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1395, Ordinance No. 9.053108, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 59 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 by adding Item 53½, creating two positions of L354 House Officer at \$50 per month; deleting Item 61; and increasing the number of positions established under Item 62 from one to two.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 59 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 59. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
41	1	L2	Assistant Superintendent (deduct for B., R. & L.).....\$	310
42	1	L6	Superintendent (deduct \$150 for full family maintenance) .....	733.33
43	1	L70	Physio-Therapist (part time).....	100
44	2	L72	Electro-Cardiograph Technician (part time) . . . . .	75
45	2	L156	Dentist (part time) .....	50
46	4	L202	Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.).....	137.50
47	1	L206	Chief Dietitian .....	175
48	1	L304	Pharmacist .....	225
49	1	L304	Pharmacist .....	200
50	2	L304	Pharmacist .....	190
51	1	L306	Senior Pharmacist .....	250
52	42	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	32
53	22	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	47
53½	2	L354	House Officer .....	50
54	7	L356	Senior House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	59.50
55	1	L360	Physician (part time).....	75
56	3	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
57	1	L372	Resident Physician .....	200
58	1	L452	X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) (part time).....	79.50
59	4	L452	X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
60	1	L456	Senior X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	210
62	2	L458	Radiologist . . . . .	100
63	1	M255	Bracemaker . . . . .	77.50
64	1	O60	Head Gardener (deduct for R.) .....	150
65	4	O166	Fireman, Stationary Steam Engine ....	185
66	4	O168	Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine....	220
67	1	O172	Chief Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine (deduct for R.) .....	300

Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Authorizing Appropriation of \$1,750 for the Payment of Compensation and Expenses to Inspect the Valley Ford Creameries, Ltd.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1396, Ordinance No. 9.051409, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$1750 out of the surplus existing in the accrued revenue for milk inspection, General Fund; \$875, to the credit of Appropriation 750.102.11 and \$875 to the credit of appropriation 750.200.11 for the payment of compensation and expenses to inspect the Valley Ford Creameries, Ltd., at Valley Ford, California.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1750 is hereby appropriated and set aside out



of the surplus existing in the accrued revenue for milk inspection, General Fund; \$875 to the credit of Appropriation 750.102.11 and \$875 to the credit of Appropriation 750.200.11 for the payment of compensation and expenses to inspect the Valley Ford Creameries, Ltd., at Valley Ford, California.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by Harold Boyd, Controller.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Reilly, Schmidt—3.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Approving County Welfare Recommendations.

(Code No. 19.02)

Resolution No. 3417, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Director of the County Welfare Department, filed with the Board of Supervisors on July 23, 1937, are approved as follows:

Old-age security aid—New applications; increases.

Widows' pensions—New applications; increases.

Blind pensions—New applications; increases.

And the Clerk of this Board is directed to indorse the approval of this board upon the reports of said Director of County Welfare Department and to transmit the same to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Reilly, Schmidt—3.

#### Refunds of Erroneous Payments of Taxes.

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 3418, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid to the following named; being refunds of erroneous payments of taxes:

*From General Fund—Appropriation 60.969.00.*

1. Murray Baldwin, taxes paid on assessment of personal property in excess of actual cash value of property so assessed by reason of clerical error, per Assessor's Receipt 20858...\$49.69
2. Nellie Moody, taxes paid on assessment of personal property in excess of actual cash value of property so assessed by reason of clerical error, per Assessor's Receipt 23560..... 68.12
3. Leonard J. and Edna M. Grady, per Lot 10A, Block 6467, Page 106, Vol. 38, Bill 2274, first installment, fiscal year 1936, erroneous payment, property being in name of Veterans Welfare Board, \$5.68; penalty, 62 cents..... 6.30

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Reilly, Schmidt—3.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Providing for Per Diem Traveling Expenses for Officers and Employees of City and County.**

(Code No. 9.056)

Bill No. 1397, Ordinance No. 9.0565, as follows:

Providing for the amount per diem for the fiscal year 1937-1938 for officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco for traveling expenses, exclusive of transportation and Pullman charges, and excluding traveling expenses incurred in the discharge of routine duty, and directing the Controller to establish rules for payment of claims for traveling expenses.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. During the fiscal year 1937-1938 any officer or employee of the City and County of San Francisco, except in the discharge of routine duties, shall, under the authority of law or ordinance, leave the City and County for the purpose of performing any official duty for or on behalf of the City and County; or for the purpose of rendering any service to, or for the City and County, or for the purpose of officially representing said City and County, or any commission or department thereof, said officer or employee shall be allowed as the expense incident to said service the actual cost of transportation, including Pullman charges, together with an amount not to exceed fifteen dollars per day for each and every day while said officer or employee is absent on said official business.

Section 2. The Controller shall establish rules for the payment of all amounts payable pursuant to Section 1 hereof, and for the presentation of such vouchers as he shall deem proper in connection with expenditures made pursuant to said section. No allowance shall be made for traveling expense provided for in this ordinance unless appropriations for such expense have been made by annual or supplemental appropriation ordinance enacted in accordance with the provisions of the Charter.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Reilly, Schmidt—3.

**Re-referred.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was on motion *re-referred to said committee*:

**Proposed Amendment—Wage Scale—Private Employment on Public Contract.**

(Code No. 9.092)

Resolution No. 3419, as follows:

Resolved, That the highest general prevailing rate of wages paid in private employment to various crafts in the City and County of San Francisco, including wages paid on holidays and for overtime work, is hereby determined and declared to be as herein set forth. Except as herein otherwise specifically provided, the wages herein fixed are based on an eight-hour day, five days per week.



## DIVISION 1—BUILDING TRADES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Asbestos Workers .....	\$1.12½	Double time after 8 hours.
Bricklayers (6-hour day)...	1.75	Double time after 6 hours.
Bricklayers' tenders and hod carriers (6-hour day).....	1.25	Double time after 6 hours.
Carpenters & cabinet makers	1.12½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Cement Finishers .....	1.12½	Double time after 8 hours.
Concrete Laborers .....	.79	Time and one-half first 4 hours, after 8 hours; double thereafter
Electrical Workers .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours.
<b>Electrical Workers:</b>		
Fixture Hangers .....	1.37½	Double time after 8 hours
Elevator Constructors .....	1.40	Double time after 8 hours
Elevator Constructors' Helpers	.98	Double time after 8 hours
<b>Engineers:</b>		
Compressor operators (on steel erection) .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Derricks and Asphalt Plant.	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Building Material Hoists...	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Pile Drivers, Locomotive Cranes .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Roller Engineers, Dragline and Clamshell Operators, Concrete Mixers and Mechanical Finishers, Asphalt Burners and Concrete Busters .....	1.43	Double time after 8 hours
Trench Machine .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Firemen (Asphalt Plants).	1.10	Double time after 8 hours
Truck Crane Engineers....	1.66⅔	Double time after 8 hours
Caterpillar Engineer, 50 h.p. and Over .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Operators of Power Shovels and/or Other Excavating Equipment with Power Shovel Control When Used on Excavating Operations (6-hour day) .....	1.66⅔	Double time after 6 hours
Shovel Firemen, Watchman and Oiler (6-hour day)...	1.16⅔	Double time after 6 hours
<b>Glass Workers, Including Art Glass .....</b>		
Glass .....	1.21	Time and one-half first 2 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
<b>Gunitite Workers:</b>		
Nozzle Men .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Rod Men .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Finishers .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Ground Wire Men.....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Gun Men .....	.90	Double time after 8 hours
Mixer Men .....	.87½	Double time after 8 hours
Rebound Men .....	.75	Double time after 8 hours
Foremen (\$1 per day above highest craftsman) .....		Double time after 8 hours
Hardwood Floormen .....	1.12½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
<b>Housesmiths:</b>		
Reinforced Concrete .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours

**Iron Workers:**

Bridge, Structural, Rigger. 1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Derrick Engineers ..... 1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Bronze and Ornamental (including erection of steel and iron fences)..... 1.25	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter

**Laborers:**

.....	Overtime after 8 hours; and Saturday morning time and one-half after the first 4 hours; double thereafter
Construction and General.. .75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Mixer Operators ..... .87½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Lathers (6-hour day) wood and/or metal ..... 1.45	Double time after 6 hours
Linoleum and Carpet Layers. 1.12½	Double time after 8 hours

**Marble Trades:**

Shopmen and Helpers..... .75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Polishers ..... .78¾	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Bed Rubbers ..... .84¾	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Cutters, Copers, Carborundum Men ..... .90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Carvers ..... 1.02½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Masons and Setters..... 1.12½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Mosaic and Terazzo Workers. 1.12½	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Mosaic and Terazzo Workers' Helpers ..... .75	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Painters . . . . . 1.21 3/7	Time and one-half after 7 hours
Painters, Structural Iron Work ..... 1.37½	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Painters, Varnishers and Polishers ..... 1.10	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Pile Drivers ..... 1.40	Double time after 8 hours
Plasterers (6-hour day)..... 1.50	Double time after 6 hours
Plasterers' Tenders and Hod Carriers (6-hour day)..... 1.25	Double time after 6 hours
Plumbers and Gas Fitters (including pipe calking).. 1.37 ½	Double time after 8 hours; Saturday morning at straight time on existing installations.

**Ornamental Plasterers:**

Casters (6-hour day) ..... 1.50	Double time after 6 hours
Model Makers (6-hour day) 1.50	Double time after 6 hours
Modelers (6-hour day)..... 2.00	Double time after 6 hours
Roofers and Waterproofers... 1.12½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Sheet Metal Workers ..... 1.25	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Sprinkler Fitters ..... 1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Steam Fitters ..... 1.37 ½	Double time after 8 hours
Stone Cutters:	
Soft and Granite..... 1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter



## Stone Setters:

Soft and Granite, including granite curbs .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Stone Derrickmen .....	1.12½	Double time after 8 hours
Tile Setters .....	1.37½	Double time after 8 hours

Tile Setters' Helpers .....	.87½	Double time after 8 hours
-----------------------------	------	---------------------------

Upholsterers .....	1.00	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
--------------------	------	---

## Truck Drivers:

2 yards or less (7-hour day)	6.00	Time and one-half after 7 hours
3 yards or less (7-hour day)	6.50	Time and one-half after 7 hours
4 yards or less (7-hour day)	7.00	Time and one-half after 7 hours
5 yards or less (7-hour day)	7.00	Time and one-half after 7 hours
6 yards or more (7-hour day) .....	7.50	Time and one-half after 7 hours

## Tractor Driver (up to 35 h.p.)

(6-hour day) .....	8.00	Time and one-half after 6 hours
--------------------	------	---------------------------------

## Tractor Driver (over 35 h.p. to

50 h.p.) (6-hour day) .....	9.00	Time and one-half after 6 hours
-----------------------------	------	---------------------------------

(Working time for truck drivers, tractor drivers and all engineers shall be reckoned by half day and full day.)

## DIVISION 2—BUILDING TRADE—SHOP RATES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>
Cabinet Workers, Millmen, Machine and Bench Hands (shop) . . . . .	\$1.10
Varnishers and Polishers (shop) .....	1.10

## DIVISION 3—FURNITURE TRADES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Carpet Layers, Cutters and Measurers .....	9.00	Double time after 8 hours
Carpet Seamstresses (large machines) .....	6.00	Double time after 8 hours
Carpet Seamstresses (small machines) .....	5.50	Double time after 8 hours
Carpet Layers' Apprentices:		
2 months out.....	4.50	Double time after 8 hours
1st 6 months out.....	5.00	Double time after 8 hours
2d 6 months out.....	5.50	Double time after 8 hours
3d 6 months out.....	6.00	Double time after 8 hours
4th 6 months out.....	6.50	Double time after 8 hours
5th 6 months out.....	7.00	Double time after 8 hours
6th 6 months out.....	7.50	Double time after 8 hours
Shade and Drapery Makers and Hangers (including Venetian blinds) .....	8.00	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Upholsterers .....	8.00	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Furniture Handlers, Packers and Strippers .....	6.00	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Drapery Seamstresses .....	4.65	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter

## DIVISION 4—METAL TRADES—FIELD RATES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Blacksmiths .....	1.10	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Boilermakers .....	1.37½	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Boilermakers' Helpers .....	1.10	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Machinists .....	1.10	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Machinists' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Machinist (maintenance) ...	1.12½	Time and one-half after 8 hours

## DIVISION 5—METAL TRADES—SHOP RATES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Pattern Makers (based on 7-hour day) .....	1.25	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 7 hours; double thereafter
Molders and Coremakers.....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Blacksmiths .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Blacksmiths' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Boilermakers .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Boilermakers' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Machinists .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Machinists' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Structural and Ornamental Ironworkers (shop) .....	.90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Toolmaker .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter

## DIVISION 6—STREET WORK

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Timberman (tunnel) .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Mucker .....	.90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Asphalt Rakers and Ironers..	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Asphalt Shovelers .....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Cribbers .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Laborers, street work.....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Jackhammer Men .....	.90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter

## DIVISION 7—MISCELLANEOUS TRADES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>
Well Drillers .....	1.10
Well Drillers (hand tool foremen) .....	1.10
Diamond Drillers .....	1.10
Powderman .....	1.10
Washers, Polishers and Greasers (garagemen) .....	.75



## DIVISION 8—DREDGE BOATS

(8-hour day—4-hour Saturday)

*Per Month*

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Dredge Captain .....	\$270	Double time after 8 hours
Leverman . . . . .	245	Double time after 8 hours
Fireman . . . . .	170	Double time after 8 hours
Deckhand . . . . .	170	Double time after 8 hours
Bargeman . . . . .	170	Double time after 8 hours

## DIVISION 9—CULINARY WORKERS

(8-hour day—5-day week)

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Head Cook .....	\$37.50	\$1.50 hour
Other Cooks .....	32.50	\$1.50 hour
Cooks' Helpers .....	25.00	\$1.50 hour (Short shifts, not less than 3 hours, \$1 hour)
Waiters .....	21.00	\$0.75 hour
Waitresses .....	21.00	\$0.75 hour
Dishwasher and Vegetable Man (straight shift).....	17.50	\$0.50 hour
Dishwasher and Vegetable Man (broken shift).....	20.00	\$0.50 hour

Wherever welding processes are involved the rate paid for such shall be as herein fixed for the crafts performing the work.

Saturdays and holiday work at double time. Holidays are New Year's Day, Decoration Day, Fourth of July, Labor Day, Admission Day, Thanksgiving and Christmas.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Release of Lien Filed Re Old Age Security.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Resolution No. 3420, as follows:

Resolved, That the lien heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, on recommendation of the County Welfare Department, is released against the property described as follows:

Katie Martens, recorded March 1, 1937, in Vol. 3011, page 199, Official Records, City and County of San Francisco; legal description, Lot 29, Block 2055, Assessor's Maps.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Reilly, Schmidt—3.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Street Work.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Bill No. 1398, Ordinance No. 12.061179, as follows:

Authorizing performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco; approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, au-

thorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same; extending City aid in the sum of eight hundred and fifty dollars (\$850), and making an appropriation therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 2, 1937, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and the rate of interest to be charged for on all deferred payments shall be seven per cent (7%) per annum. *The improvement of:*

Funston avenue (westerly one-half) between Taraval street and 100 feet south;

Funston avenue (easterly one-half) between 220 feet and 250 feet south of Taraval street;

Funston avenue (easterly one-half) between 440 feet and 545 feet 10  $\frac{3}{8}$  inches south of Taraval street, and

Funston avenue (westerly one-half) between Ulloa street and 100 feet north, by the construction of the following:

Item

No.

Item

- 1 6-inch vitrified clay pipe side sewers.
- 2 Class "E" concrete center strip pavement.
- 3 Asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 4-inch asphaltic concrete base and 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.

*And the improvement of:*

Funston avenue (easterly one-half) between Ulloa street and 58.93 feet north by the reconstruction of the following:

Item

No.

Item

- 4 Remove existing asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a concrete base and an asphaltic concrete wearing surface, and replace with Class "E" concrete center strip pavement.

The Director of Public Works does hereby set forth the district to be benefited by said contemplated work, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered, respectively, as:

Lots 1 and 15 of Block 2412, and

Lots 6 and 12A of Block 2413,

all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and con-



stitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Section 2. The sum of eight hundred fifty dollars (\$850) is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the County Road Fund, to the credit of Appropriation 748.916.02, for the purpose of extending City Aid for this improvement pursuant to Section 6 of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of San Francisco.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Reilly, Schmidt—3.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up

#### Designating Certain Restricted Traffic Streets in the Marina.

(Code No. 11.02)

Bill No. 1400, Ordinance No. 11.0234, as follows:

Amending Section 25, Article IV, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled, "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 25, Article IV, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 25. *Restricted Traffic Streets.* The following streets are designated as restricted traffic streets:

Bay street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the east line of Laguna street.

Cervantes boulevard from Fillmore street to Marina boulevard.

Fell street from the west line of Baker street to the east line of Stanyan street.

Francisco street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the east line of Laguna street.

The upper two roadways of the Great Highway from the south line of Fulton street to the north line of Sloat boulevard.

*Marina boulevard from the east line of Laguna street to the west line of Lyon street.*

Park-Presidio drive from Golden Gate Park to the Presidio of San Francisco.

Sunset boulevard from the south line of Lincoln way to the south line of Sloat boulevard, thence southerly to Lake Merced boulevard and its termination at Skyline boulevard.

It shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle designed or used for the transportation of commodities or materials to operate said vehicles upon any restricted traffic street for a distance greater than one block or for any purpose other than to make a pickup or delivery within said block.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Reilly, Schmidt—3.

**Acceptance of Streets in Francisco Heights Tract.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1401, Ordinance No. 12.081129, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Rossi avenue between Turk and Anza streets; Almaden court between Anza street and the northerly termination of Almaden court; Loraine court between Anza street and the northerly termination of Loraine court; Beaumont street between Turk street and St. Rose's avenue; Stanyan street between Turk street and Anza street; Willard street between Turk and Edward street, including the curbs and the street lighting system installed therein.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Rossi avenue between Turk and Anza streets;

Almaden court between Anza street and the northerly termination of Almaden court;

Loraine court between Anza street and the northerly termination of Loraine court;

Beaumont street between Turk street and St. Rose's avenue;

Stanyan street between Turk street and Anza street;

Willard street between Turk street and Edward street, including the curbs.

Section 2. The street lighting system installed in the aforementioned streets, as well as the deeds thereto, are hereby accepted on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Reilly, Schmidt—3.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

**Naming a Certain Street, "Storrie Street."**

(Code No. 12.08)

Resolution No. 3421, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain street located off the south line of Market street, between Ord and Hattie streets, and appearing on the City map as Twin Peaks Tunnel right of way, be and is hereby named "Storrie Street."

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Reilly, Schmidt—3.

**Land Acquisition—Twenty-fourth Street Widening.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3422, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept and record the following deeds to certain lands in San Francisco, Cali-



fornia, required for the widening of Twenty-fourth street between Michigan and Louisiana streets:

Deed dated September 13, 1928, from the Western Pacific Railroad Company, to portions of Assessor's Blocks 4242 and 4243.

Deed dated August 1, 1928, from J. D. and A. B. Spreckels Investment Company to portion of Assessor's Block 4242.

In consideration for the property described in said deeds, the City and County of San Francisco closed portions of Michigan and Georgia streets by Resolution No. 31413 (New Series), adopted by this Board on September 16, 1929.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Reilly, Schmidt—3.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

#### Changing Width of Sidewalks on Goettingen Street Between Mansell and Ward Streets.

(Code No. 12.0731)

Bill No. 1402, Ordinance No. 12.0731107, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Three Hundred and Ninety-seven (397) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office July 2nd, 1937, by amending Section Three Hundred and Ninety-seven (397) thereof, to read as follows:

#### *Section 397:*

The width of sidewalks on Goettingen street between Silver avenue and Dwight street shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Goettingen street between Dwight and Olmstead streets shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Goettingen Street between Dwight Street and Olmstead Street, showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks."

The width of sidewalks on Goettingen street, the westerly side of, between Olmstead and Mansell streets shall be 20 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Goettingen street, the easterly side of, between Olmstead and Mansell streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Goettingen street between Mansell and Ward streets shall be as shown on that certain map, entitled "Map of Goettingen Street, Mansell Street to Ward Street, showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks."

The width of sidewalks on Goettingen street, the westerly side of, between Ward street and Harkness street, shall be 20 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Goettingen street, the easterly side of, between Ward and Harkness streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Goettingen street between Harkness street and its southerly termination shall be 10 feet.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Reilly, Schmidt—3.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Polk Street From Market to Post Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1403, Ordinance No. 12.0731108, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and ninety-eight (1198).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office July 12, 1937, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and ninety-eight (1198) to read as follows:

*Section 1198:*

The width of sidewalks on Polk street between Market and Grove streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Polk street between Grove and McAllister streets shall be 22 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Polk street between McAllister and Post streets shall be 10 feet.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Reilly, Schmidt—3.

**Changing Sidewalk Widths on Innes Avenue and Jennings Street.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1404, Ordinance No. 12.0731109, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and ninety-nine (1199), and by amending Section Five Hundred and Fifty-eight (558) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office July 12, 1937, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and ninety-nine (1199), and by amending Section Five Hundred and Fifty-eight (558) thereof, to read as follows:

*Section 1199:*

The width of sidewalks on Ingalls street between Hudson and Jer-  
old avenues shall be 19 feet.

*Section 558:*

The width of sidewalks on Innes avenue between Toland and Men-  
ell streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Innes avenue between Lane and Jennings  
streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Innes avenue between Jennings and  
Hawes streets shall be 27 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Innes avenue between Hawes and Dona-  
hue streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Innes avenue between Donahue and Alvord  
streets shall be 27 feet.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Reilly, Schmidt—3.



**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Third Street Between Channel and  
Burke Avenue and Between Burke and San Bruno Avenues.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1405, Ordinance No. 12.0731110, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Sections Ninety (90) and One Hundred and Twenty-six (126) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office July 1, 1937, by amending Sections Ninety (90) and One Hundred and Twenty-six (126) thereof to read as follows:

*Section 90:*

The width of sidewalks on Third street between Channel street and Burke avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

*Section 126:*

The width of sidewalks on Third street between Burke avenue and San Bruno avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Reilly, Schmidt—3.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

**Intention to Close and Abandon Locksley Avenue Between Moraga  
Street and Seventh Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.0621)

Resolution No. 3423, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of Locksley avenue be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Locksley avenue lying between the southerly line of Moraga street and the easterly line of Seventh avenue, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Seventh avenue with the northwesterly line of Locksley avenue, which point is perpendicularly distant 179.199 feet southerly from the southerly line of Moraga street; thence southerly along the prolongation of the easterly line of Seventh avenue 321.940 feet to the southeasterly line of Locksley avenue; thence deflecting 169 degrees 11 minutes to the left and running northeasterly along said line of Locksley avenue 94.124 feet; thence deflecting 2 degrees 51 minutes to the right and continuing northeasterly along said line of Locksley avenue 149.476 feet; thence deflecting 1 degree 08 minutes to the left and continuing northeasterly along said line of Locksley avenue 227.706 feet; thence deflecting 33 degrees 49 minutes 13 seconds to the right and continuing northeasterly along said line of Locksley avenue 59.641 feet to the southerly line of Moraga street; thence deflecting 136 degrees 21 minutes 13 seconds to the left and running westerly along said line of Moraga street 101.419 feet to the northwesterly line of Locksley avenue; thence deflecting 43 degrees 38 minutes 47 seconds to the left and running

southwesterly along said line of Locksley avenue 7.535 feet; thence deflecting 33 degrees 49 minutes 13 seconds to the left and running southwesterly along said line of Locksley avenue 178.246 feet to the point of beginning.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Locksley avenue shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost, and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Locksley avenue in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-vieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Reilly, Schmidt—3.

### Intention to Change Grades on the Southeasterly Sidewalk Area of Third Street Between Jamestown and Le Conte Avenues.

(Code No. 12.0721)

Also, Resolution No. 3424, as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above City base, as herein-after stated, in accordance with Order No. 6507 of the Director of Public Works dated July 7, 1937, making written recommendation of said action, filed with said board July 14, 1937, to-wit:

<i>Third street:</i>	<i>Feet</i>
Southeasterly line of, at Jamestown avenue southwesterly line (The same being the present official grade) .....	59.80
At a point 15 feet northwesterly at right angles to the south-easterly line of, at Jamestown avenue southwesterly line (The same being the present official grade) .....	59.80
At a point 15 feet northwesterly at right angles to the southeast-erly line of, 12.67 feet northeasterly from Key avenue north-easterly line (The same being the present official grade)....	77.40
Southeasterly line of, at Key avenue northeasterly line (The same being the present official grade).....	79.00
Southeasterly line of, 15.59 feet southwesterly from Key avenue northeasterly line (The same being the present official grade)..	78.80
At a point 15 feet northeasterly at right angles to the south-westerly line of Key avenue at Third street southeasterly line (The same being the present official grade).....	80.00
Southeasterly line of, at Key avenue southwesterly line (The same being the present official grade).....	81.50
At a point 15 feet northwesterly at right angles to the southeast-erly line of, at Key avenue southwesterly line (The same being the present official grade).....	81.35
Southeasterly line of, at Le Conte avenue northeasterly line (The same being the present official grade).....	100.70
At a point 15 feet northwesterly at right angles to the south-easterly line of, at Le Conte avenue northeasterly line (The same being the present official grade).....	99.35

On Third street between the southeasterly line of and a line parallel with and 15 feet northwesterly therefrom, extending from the south-



westerly line of Jamestown avenue to the northeasterly line of Le Conte avenue, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

These proceedings are instituted in accordance with the "Change of Grade Act of 1909."

The San Francisco News is hereby designated as the newspaper in which this resolution shall be published.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Reilly, Schmidt—3.

#### **Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

#### **Changing Grades on Union and Calhoun Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0722)

Bill No. 1406, Ordinance No. 12.072228, as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Union street between Montgomery and Sansome streets and on Calhoun street between Green and Union streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 7th day of June, 1937, by Resolution No. 3315, Code No. 12.0721, declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Union street between Montgomery and Sansome streets and on Calhoun street between Green and Union streets; and

Whereas, said resolution has been published and posted in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than thirty days have elapsed since the first publication of intention; therefore, be it

Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Union street between Montgomery and Sansome streets and on Calhoun street between Green and Union streets are hereby changed and established at the points specified and at the elevations above City base as shown upon that certain diagram entitled "Grade Map of Union Street between Montgomery and Sansome Streets; and Calhoun Street between Union and Green Streets," approved by the Board of Supervisors on June 7, 1937, by Resolution No. 3315, Code No. 12.0721.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Reilly, Schmidt—3.

#### **Adopted.**

The following recommendation of His Honor the Mayor was taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Charles J. Brennan, Chief Engineer, Fire Department.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3425, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Charles J. Brennan, Chief Engineer, Fire Department, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of eighteen days, commencing August 10, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Reilly, Schmidt—3.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Judiciary Committee was taken up:

**Regulating the Conduct and Maintenance of Parks; Providing for the Issuance of Permits in Parks; Regulating Traffic in Parks; Providing a Penalty for the Violation of This Ordinance and Repealing All Ordinances or Parts of Ordinances in Conflict Herewith.**

Code No. 23.011.

Bill No. 1018, Ordinance No. 23.0113.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

**ARTICLE 1.**

**DEFINITIONS.**

Sec. 1. Parks defined.

Sec. 2. Board of Park Commissioners defined.

Sec. 3. Superintendent defined.

Sec. 4. Secretary defined.

Sec. 5. Person defined.

**SEC. 1. Parks Defined.** When used in this chapter the word "park" shall include all grounds, roadways, avenues, the Great Highway, parks and areas under the control, management, or direction of the Park Commission under the provisions of Section 41 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

**SEC. 2. Board of Park Commissioners Defined.** When used in this chapter the words "Board of Park Commissioners," "Park Commissioners" or "Commissioners" refer to the Park Commission of the City and County of San Francisco.

**SEC. 3. Superintendent Defined.** When used in this chapter the word "superintendent" refers to the Superintendent of Parks appointed by the Park Commission pursuant to Section 40 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, unless a different meaning is clear.

**SEC. 4. Secretary Defined.** When used in this chapter the word "secretary" shall mean the Secretary of the Park Commission.

**SEC. 5. Person Defined.** The word "person" when used in this chapter includes persons, associations, partnerships, firms and corporations.



ARTICLE 2.  
REGULATIONS.

- Sec. 6. Rules of Park Commission to be obeyed.
- Sec. 7. Signs and notices to be obeyed.
- Sec. 8. Public may be excluded.
- Sec. 9. Bridle paths—Use of by pedestrians prohibited.
- Sec. 10. Riding and driving horses or vehicles.
- Sec. 11. Performance of labor in parks.
- Sec. 12. Organized games and flying kites.
- Sec. 13. Peddling, vending merchandise.

**SEC. 6. Rules of Park Commission to Be Obeyed.** No person shall obey or violate any of the rules or regulations of the Park Commission, governing the use and enjoyment by the public of any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, or governing the use and enjoyment of any building, structure, equipment, apparatus or appliances thereon, which rules or regulations, at the time, are posted in some conspicuous place in the park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, or in or near the building, structure, equipment, apparatus or appliance to which the rule or regulation applies.

**SEC. 7. Signs and Notices to Be Obeyed.** No person shall disobey any instruction, sign, or notice posted by the Park Commission in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, or in any building, structure, construction, or erection thereon for the control, management, or direction of such park, square, avenue, grounds, recreation center, building, structure, construction, or erection.

**SEC. 8. Public May Be Excluded.** In an emergency or when the Superintendent of Parks shall determine that the public interest, or public health, or public morals, or public safety demands such action, any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, or any part or portion thereof, may be closed against the public, and all persons may be excluded therefrom until such emergency or other reason upon which such determination of the Superintendent of Parks is based has ceased, and upon the cessation thereof the park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center or part or portion thereof so closed shall be again reopened to the public by the Superintendent of Parks or by order of the Park Commission.

**SEC. 9. Bridle Paths—Use of by Pedestrians Prohibited.** No person shall walk upon any bridle path, or upon any drive in Golden Gate Park, except to cross the same.

**SEC. 10. Riding and Driving Horses or Vehicles.** No person shall ride or drive any horse or other animal, or drive or propel any vehicle within any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, except upon the roads, driveways, bridle paths, paths, and highways provided and designated by the Park Commission for such use, and must ride or drive such horse or other animal or drive or propel such vehicle in a careful manner, at lawful rate of speed, and in accordance with the rules and regulations made by the Park Commission.

**SEC. 11. Performance of Labor in Parks.** No person shall perform any labor in or upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center such as taking up or replacing soil, turf, ground, or pavement, structure, tree, shrub, plant, grass, flower and the like, except under the supervision and control of the Superintendent of Parks and by virtue of a permit issued by the Park Commission to some person, authorizing such labor.

**SEC. 12. Organized Games and Flying Kites.** No person shall fly a kite or play or participate in any organized game, such as baseball, football, hockey, and the like, in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, except at such places designated for such use by the Park Commission.

**SEC. 13. Peddling, Vending Merchandise.** No person shall bring, or cause to be brought into, for the purposes of sale or barter, or have for sale, or sell or exchange, or offer for sale or exchange any goods, wares, or merchandise, or other thing in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, without first having obtained a permit, concession, license or lease from the Park Commission to do so.

### ARTICLE 3.

#### ADVERTISING.

**Sec. 14. Handbills, circulars—Distribution and posting prohibited.**

**Sec. 15. Advertising vehicles to use transverse roads only.**

**SEC. 14. Handbills, Circulars—Distribution and Posting Prohibited.** It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to distribute, cause to be distributed, or suffer, allow or permit the distribution of any handbill, dodger, circular, booklet, card, pamphlet, sheet, or written or printed notice advertising any commodity, article, merchandise, business, activity, person or thing in or upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, or to post or affix, cause to be posted or affixed, or permit to be posted or affixed to any tree, shrub, plant, fence, building, structure, monument, wall, tablet, apparatus, bridge, post, pole, bench, corral, gate, animal cage, aviary, or other physical object within any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center any handbill, dodger, circular, booklet, card, pamphlet, sheet, or written or printed notice advertising any commodity, article, merchandise, business, person, thing, or activity.

**SEC. 15. Advertising Vehicles to Use Transverse Roads Only.** No vehicle having thereon or attached thereto any exposed advertising matter, name of owner, name of maker of said vehicle, or sign, or notice advertising any commodity, article, merchandise, business, activity, person or thing shall be allowed within any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center; provided, however, that trucks, drays, wagons, motor delivery vehicles, and other vehicles may use (1) the transverse road extending across Golden Gate Park between Lincoln way at Nineteenth avenue and Fulton street at Park Presidio boulevard, and/or Twenty-fifth avenue, and (2) upon Stanyan street between Fell street and Oak street.

### ARTICLE 4.

#### ANIMALS AND BIRDS.

**Sec. 16. Animals at large.**

**Sec. 17. Animals led or driven.**

**Sec. 18. Dogs.**

**Sec. 19. Dogs excluded from Zoological Park, Children's Playgrounds, etc.**

**Sec. 20. Feeding fish, birds, animals, prohibited.**

**Sec. 21. Disturbing animals, birds, fish, prohibited.**

**SEC. 16. Animals at Large.** No person shall let loose or permit to run at large any horse, mule, ass, cattle, goat, sheep, swine, or other animal, or owl of any kind, in or upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center.



**SEC. 17. Animals Led or Driven.** No person shall lead, drive, entice, conduct or otherwise bring any horse, mule, ass, cattle, goat, sheep, swine, or other animal or fowl of any kind in or upon any park, square, avenue, ground or recreation center, except horses attached to vehicles being driven by the person in charge or horses being used for riding purposes.

**SEC. 18. Dogs.** No person having in charge a dog shall permit, allow or suffer such dog to enter upon or remain in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, unless said dog is fastened to and restrained by a chain or leash not more than eight feet long.

**SEC. 19. Dogs Excluded from Zoological Park, Children's Playground Etc.** No person having in charge any dog shall permit, allow, or suffer such dog to enter upon or remain within the Zoological Park in the Herbert Fleishhacker Playfield, or to enter or remain within any play area reserved for children, or to enter any sandbox or play apparatus, or to drink from the water of any lake or pond in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, except at such place or places as are maintained and designated by the Park Commission as drinking places for dogs.

**SEC. 20. Feeding Fish, Birds, Animals, Prohibited.** No person shall feed or offer food to any fish, bird, animal or reptile in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center; and no person shall give or offer any tobacco or other noxious substance to any fish, bird, animal or reptile in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center.

**SEC. 21. Disturbing Animals, Birds, Fish, Prohibited.** No person shall hunt, chase, shoot, trap, discharge or throw missiles at, molest, disturb, snare, catch, injure or destroy any animal, bird, fish or reptile in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center.

## ARTICLE 5.

### NUISANCES.

**Sec. 22. Rubbish to be put into receptacles.**

**SEC. 22. Rubbish to Be Put Into Receptacles.** No person shall throw, place, cast, deposit, or dump, or cause to be thrown, placed, cast, deposited, or dumped any ashes, refuse, offal, vegetables, garbage, dross, cinders, shells, straw, shavings, paper, scraps, dirt, dead animal, dead fish, dead fowl, dead bird or dead reptile, glass, crockery, bones, tin cans or like matter, empty box, carton, waste paper, remains of food, newspaper, filth or rubbish of any kind in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, except to place the same in the cans or receptacles provided for such matter.

## ARTICLE 6.

### DISORDERLY CONDUCT.

**Sec. 23. Boxing and wrestling contests prohibited.**

**Sec. 24. Disorderly conduct.**

- (a) Profane, indecent, or insulting language.
- (b) Indecent acts and dress.
- (c) Throwing missiles.
- (d) Firearms, discharging, carrying.
- (e) Firecrackers, torpedoes.
- (f) Fires only at places designated.
- (g) Gambling, games of chance.

- (h) Acts tending to breach of the peace.
- (i) Begging, soliciting, etc.
- (j) Fortune telling, palmistry.
- (k) Climbing trees, fences, structures.
- (l) Aircraft landing, etc.

- Sec. 25. Intoxicated persons.
- Sec. 26. Bathing restrictions.
- Sec. 27. Polluting ponds.
- Sec. 28. Undressing at beach.
- Sec. 29. Minors—parent, guardian.
- Sec. 30. Eject offenders.

**SEC. 23. Boxing and Wrestling Contests Prohibited.** No person shall engage in any boxing contest, prize fight, wrestling contest, or promote, or participate in any such contest in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center.

**SEC. 24. Disorderly Conduct.** No person shall, in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center:

- (a) Use profane, indecent, or insulting language;
- (b) Do any obscene or indecent act, or be indecently clad;
- (c) Throw stones or other missiles;
- (d) Fire or carry firearms;
- (e) Fire or carry any firecracker, torpedo or fireworks;
- (f) Make any fire, except at places designated by the Park Commission for such use;
- (g) Play any game of chance, or have, or use, or operate any gaming table, or instrument, or apparatus, or equipment for such use;
- (h) Do any act tending to a breach of the public peace;
- (i) Beg, or solicit contributions, gifts, or subscriptions;
- (j) Tell fortunes, read horoscopes, practice palmistry for compensation;
- (k) Climb upon any wall, fence, shelter, seat, statue, building, structure, construction or erection;
- (l) Bring, land, or cause to descend or alight any aeroplane, airship, flying machine, dirigible, dirigible balloon, balloon, parachute or other instrumentality, or machine, or apparatus for aviation, except by permission of the Park Commission.

**SEC. 25. Intoxicated Persons.** No person who is intoxicated, noisy, disorderly, boisterous, or publicly offensive shall be admitted into or permitted to remain within any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center; and the Superintendent of Parks, manager, keeper, or person in charge shall refuse admittance to any such person and shall eject any such person therefrom.

**SEC. 26. Bathing Restrictions.** No person shall bathe in the waters of any lake, pond, pool, tank or reservoir in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, except at places designated by the Park Commission for such purpose; nor in the waters of the Pacific Ocean bordering the Ocean Beach west of the Great Highway and the Skyline boulevard or north of the northerly line of Fort Funston Military Reservation, or west of the easterly boundary line of Lincoln Park.

**SEC. 27. Polluting Ponds.** No person shall throw or place or cause to be thrown or placed any dirt, filth, or foreign matter into the waters of, or near the waters of any lake, pond, pool, tank or reservoir in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, and it is unlawful to pollute in any manner the water of any of said lakes, ponds, pools, tanks, or reservoirs.



**SEC. 28. Undressing at Beach.** No person shall undress on the Great Highway or the Skyline boulevard along the Ocean Beach north of the northerly boundary line of Fort Funston Military Reservation and west of the easterly boundary line of Lincoln Park, except at such place or places as have been designated by the Park Commission for such purpose.

**SEC. 29. Minors—Parent, Guardian.** No parent, guardian or custodian of a minor shall permit or allow such minor to do any act or thing, in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, prohibited by the provisions of this chapter.

**SEC. 30. Eject Offenders.** All persons doing any act or thing injurious to any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center shall be removed therefrom by the Superintendent of Parks, manager, or keeper or by the police.

#### ARTICLE 7.

##### MEETINGS AND ASSEMBLIES.

Sec. 31. Holding meetings, discussions.

Sec. 32. Parades, picnics, etc.

Sec. 33. Funeral processions—routes.

**SEC. 31. Holding Meetings, Discussions.** It shall be unlawful to hold any public meeting, or group, or collection, or assemblage of persons, or to hold or engage or participate in any public discussion, or debate, where five or more persons are assembled for that purpose, in or upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, without first having obtained a permit to hold said meeting, or group, or collection, or assemblage from the Park Commission, except at such place or places as shall have been designated by the Park Commission for such use.

**SEC. 32. Parades, Picnics, Etc.** Any lodge, society, social organization, social club, or other organization of persons, having twenty-five or more members, desiring to resort to or use any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center for a parade, picnic, or other similar recreation purpose, shall notify the Superintendent of Parks or the Secretary of the Park Commission of such intended use, and shall obtain a permit therefor from the Park Commission, not less than one day prior to such use.

**SEC. 33. Funeral Processions—Routes.** No funeral procession, hears, dead wagon, or other vehicle designed for or carrying the body of a deceased person shall enter into or upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, except by (1) the transverse road across Golden Gate Park between Lincoln way at Nineteenth avenue and Fulton street at Park Presidio boulevard, and/or Twenty-fifth avenue, or (2) upon Stanyan street between Fulton street and Oak street.

#### ARTICLE 8.

##### MALICIOUS MISCHIEF.

Sec. 34. Injuring trees, shrubs, plants.

Sec. 35. Removing trees, plants, turf, etc.

Sec. 36. Bathing apparel and apparatus, not to be removed.

Sec. 37. Defacing buildings, structures, prohibited.

**SEC. 34. Injuring Trees, Shrubs, Plants.** No person shall cut, break, dig up, pull up, pluck or in any manner injure any tree, bush, shrub, flower, or plant growing in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, or in any

building or structure within any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center.

**SEC. 35. Removing Trees, Plants, Turf, Etc.** No person shall remove or take away any tree, wood, bush, turf, flower, plant, grass, soil or rock, or anything of like kind from any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center.

**SEC. 36. Bathing Apparel and Apparatus, Not to Be Removed.** No person shall take, carry away or remove from any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center any bathing suit, bathing cap, towel, bathing apparatus, or other personal property provided by the Park Commission for bathing purposes.

**SEC. 37. Defacing Buildings, Structures, Prohibited.** No person shall destroy, mutilate, deface, cut, scratch, mark upon, write upon, print upon, paint upon, or otherwise mar, or mutilate, or deface, or injure any building or structure, rest room, toilet, apartment, or any wall, fence, door, fixture, or any part thereof, or any furniture, equipment, or apparatus therein, within any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center.

## ARTICLE 9.

### PERMITS.

- Sec. 38. Yacht Harbor mooring permits.
- Sec. 39. Erecting obstruction.
- Sec. 40. Building materials.
- Sec. 41. Red lanterns at danger points.
- Sec. 42. Permits issued by Secretary of Park Commission.
- Sec. 43. Ground to be restored to condition.
- Sec. 44. Amusements, shows, etc.—permit required.

**SEC. 38. Yacht Harbor Mooring Permits.** Yacht Harbor, at the foot ofcott and Divisadero streets, is hereby reserved as mooring grounds for non-commercial water craft and boats; but no boat or water craft may enter or moor within said Yacht Harbor until a permit for such entry and mooring shall have been obtained from the Park Commission authorizing such entry or mooring. After the required permit shall have been issued by the Park Commission the boat or water craft shall be allowed to enter and use only the mooring space designated in the permit, and shall at all times be subject to and shall obey all rules and regulations made by the Park Commission or the control, management and government of Yacht Harbor.

**SEC. 39. Erecting Obstruction.** No person shall build, construct, erect, put up or maintain any building, structure, fence, wall, monument, dome or other thing in or upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center without first having obtained from the Park Commission a permit to do so, and any such building, structure, fence, wall, monument, dome or other thing shall not prevent, hinder, or impair the full and free use and enjoyment by the public of such park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center for park purposes.

**SEC. 40. Building Materials.** No person shall place, pile, deposit, or leave any building material or any article or thing whatsoever upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center without first having obtained a permit to do so from the Park Commission.

**SEC. 41. Red Lanterns at Danger Points.** Every person, firm, or corporation by whom, or under whose direction or authority, either as principal, contractor or employer, any part or portion of any park, square, avenue,



grounds, or recreation center is made dangerous, must erect and, so long as the danger continues, maintain around the portion of the park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center so made dangerous, a good and substantial barrier, and shall maintain at both ends of such barrier, during every night, from sunset until daylight, lighted red lanterns or road flares sufficient to give warning of said danger.

**SEC. 42. Permits Issued by Secretary of Park Commission.** The Secretary of the Park Commission shall receive all fees, bonds, and deposits from applicants for permits, and, from time to time, shall make report in writing to the Park Commission of the permits issued.

**SEC. 43. Ground to Be Restored to Condition.** Where work has been done in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, under any permit, the permittee shall repair, reconstruct, and put the ground in as good condition in all respects when the work shall have been completed or terminated or such permit shall have expired, as said ground was in when said permit was granted or said work commenced; the condition of the ground, site or place in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center where any work has been done pursuant to any permit, shall be made satisfactory to the Park Commission, and on the completion of said work the permittee shall forthwith remove all buildings, structures, machinery or equipment used in connection with said work from said park.

**SEC. 44. Amusements, Shows, Etc. Permit Required.** No person shall set up or maintain any exhibition, place of amusement, concert hall, moving picture, show, showstand, performance, concert, entertainment or amusement in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center without first having obtained a permit to do so from the Park Commission.

#### ARTICLE 10.

#### TRAFFIC REGULATIONS AND PENALTY.

Sec. 45. Trucks, drays, delivery vehicles, prohibited.

Sec. 46. Soliciting passengers for vehicles prohibited.

Sec. 47. Pleasure vehicles only in parks.

Sec. 48. Violation a misdemeanor—penalty.

Sec. 49. Saving clause.

Sec. 50. Repeal.

**SEC. 45. Trucks, Drays, Delivery Vehicles, Prohibited.** No dray, truck, auto truck, wagon, motor delivery vehicle, or other vehicle carrying, or used in carrying goods, wares, merchandise, manure, soil, building material, or other article or thing of commerce or trade shall enter into or upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, except by (1) the transverse road across Golden Gate Park between Lincoln way at Nineteenth avenue and Fulton street at Park Presidio boulevard and/or Twenty-fifth avenue, or (2) upon Stanyan street between Fell street and Oak street. Transportation of garbage into, upon, or within any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center is prohibited.

**SEC. 46. Soliciting Passengers for Vehicles Prohibited.** No person shall solicit or arrange or contract or make any agreement for or establish or maintain any stand or other equipment for procuring custom or passengers for any carriage, coach, motor wagon, automobile, auto bus, auto stage, or other vehicle, let or used for hire, in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center without first having obtained a permit to do so from the Park Commission.

**SEC. 47. Pleasure Vehicles Only in Parks.** No person shall bring or cause to be brought into, or to enter upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, any truck, delivery wagon, automobile delivery truck, garbage truck, or vehicle or conveyance of any kind customarily used for commercial purposes or for advertising, except as provided in this chapter.

**SEC. 48. Violation a Misdemeanor—Penalty.** Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and shall be punished by a fine of not more than five hundred (\$500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

**SEC. 49. Saving Clause.** If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this Ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this Ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this Ordinance, and each section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

**SEC. 50. Repeal.** All Ordinances or parts of Ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Reilly, Schmidt—3.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

### Cancellation Tax Sale, State Property.

(Code No. 9.0412)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 3426, as follows:

Whereas, the Tax Collector has reported that the Lot 14B, Block 1914, formerly assessed to Claude and Agnes Lindsay, but recorded August 15, 1936, in the name of the Veterans' Welfare Board, was sold to the State for second instalment of taxes, Fiscal Year 1936, Sale No. 1016, June 25, 1937. Being State property this sale should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel the foregoing sale in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Reilly, Schmidt—3.

### In Memoriam—Dr. Joseph M. Toner.

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 3427, as follows:

Whereas, our Heavenly Father has summoned Dr. Joseph M. Toner to his eternal reward; and



Whereas, in our grief at his passing, it affords a brief respite to recall that:

Dr. Toner was born and spent his life, except for those periods devoted to his education, in San Francisco;

He dedicated his life to the welfare of his fellowmen, both in the alleviation of physical suffering and in their service as a public official, the latter successively as physician for the Fire Department, Coroner, Member of the Board of Supervisors and Director of Institutions for the State of California;

He performed his services and filled his offices conscientiously, efficiently and with such zeal as to reflect credit upon himself and his profession;

He was courteous, affable, sympathetic and indulgent;

He was a loving and faithful husband and a good father;

He was a gentleman in Christ and a rigid adherent to His Tenets;

Whereas, the family and friends, as well as San Franciscans as a whole, will sorely miss, and long mourn the absence of the genial Doctor Toner; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors notes with sincere regret the passing of our former colleague, Dr. Joseph M. Toner, and does hereby tender its heartfelt condolences to his widow and family in this, their great bereavement; and be it

Further Resolved, That when the Board of Supervisors adjourns this day, it does so out of respect to the memory of the late Dr. Joseph M. Toner.

*Adopted* unanimously by rising vote.

**Highway Commission to Provide Funds to Break Traffic  
Bottlenecks to Golden Gate Bridge.**

(Code No. 5.41)

Supervisor Mead presented for Supervisor Schmidt:

Resolution No. 3428, as follows:

Whereas, operation of the Golden Gate Bridge has conclusively shown that the bottlenecks at the northerly approach thereto constitute a hazardous and intolerable condition; and

Whereas, because traffic over this bridge has exceeded even the most optimistic estimates, and further, because the Golden Gate International Exposition will, when opened in 1939, attract thousands of automobilists into San Francisco via this bridge, it is imperative that immediate steps be taken to facilitate vehicular travel over this route; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby memorialize the Highway Commission of the State of California and does urge that immediate and decisive steps be taken to make the necessary funds available and to construct facilities which will eliminate the conditions created by the aforementioned bottlenecks; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to the Governor of the State of California and to the Highway Commission.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncivieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Reilly, Schmidt—3.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Stephen J. Roche, Member City Employees' Retirement Board.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3429, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Stephen J. Roche, a member of the City Employees' Retirement Board, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period beginning August 15th and ending October 15, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Reilly, Schmidt—3.

**Leave of Absence—Robert C. Owens, Librarian, San Francisco Law Library.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3430, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Robert C. Owens, Librarian in the San Francisco Law Library, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period beginning August 6 to 22, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Reilly—2.

**Making Bryant Street an Arterial.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to have the City Engineer check up on condition of traffic on Potrero, and the possibilities of making Bryant street an arterial street, to alleviate conditions.

**Report Requested on Street Widening.**

Supervisor Uhl requested from the City Engineer a list of streets that are being widened and a list of streets that are next to be widened. He is particularly anxious about Third street.

**Proposed Charter Amendment—Limit of Street Work Done by Board of Public Works Without Bids.**

Supervisor Uhl requested that the proper committee study the charter provision, with view to amending same, which limits the amount of street work which may be done by the Department of Public Works, without taking bids, to \$1,000.

**Report on Cutting Through Thirty-first Avenue.**

Supervisor Uhl also requested report on the advisability of cutting through Thirty-first avenue.

**Unification of Street Railways.**

Report of Public Utilities Commission on Possibilities of Unification of Street Railways, by Public Utilities Commission, received. Copies ordered for each member of the Board.

**Extension of Stockton Street Municipal Car to Third and Townsend.**

Supervisor Uhl requested that the Public Utilities Commission be requested to report the feasibility of extending the "F" car line from its present terminal to Third and Townsend streets.



**Rapid Transit Plan Opposed.**

Received from Street and Electric Railway and Motor Coach Employees of America, resolution opposing proposed rapid transit plan.

*Referred to Joint Finance and Public Utilities Committee. Copy to Mr. E. G. Cahill.*

**Pedestrian Traffic Survey Report.**

Supervisor Uhl requested that copy of WPA Pedestrian Traffic Survey Report, to be received about August 1st, be furnished for each member of the Board.

**Report on Rapid Transit Expenditures.**

Supervisor McSheehy requested that copy of Controller's report on Rapid Transit Expenditures, Ordinances No. 9.05115 and No. 9.051328, be sent to each member of the Board.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business the Board of Supervisors at 3:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 2, 1937.


Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, August 2, 1937

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

---

MONDAY, AUGUST 2, 1937, 2 P. M.

---

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 2, 1937, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:  
Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Quorum present.

President Shannon being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

Supervisor Shannon appeared and was noted present at 2:30 p. m.

President Shannon in the chair at 2:30 p. m.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of July 26, 1937, was considered read and approved.

### SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

The following matter at meeting of July 19, 1937, was laid over for consideration at meeting of August 2, 1937:

#### Bond Issue Legislation—Rapid Transit.

(Code No. 15.059)

Resolution No. 3375, as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of subways and surface rapid transit lines to connect with existing municipal and other railway lines and establishment of bus lines and the acquisition of the necessary cars, buses and equipment to be used in connection with said subways and surface rapid transit and bus lines, together with the required car and bus storage facilities, shops and buildings and the land necessary therefor, and all other things necessary, convenient or incidental to the construction, operation and maintenance of said rapid transit system within the City and County of San Francisco, including the necessary easements and rights of way, and further determining and declaring that the estimated cost of said improvement is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:



Section 1. It is hereby determined and declared that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of a subway in Market street from Fremont street westerly to the vicinity of Church street with a subway in Fremont street from Market street to the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge terminal in the vicinity of Howard and Fremont streets; a subway through the Mission District commencing at a point in the vicinity of Market street and Van Ness avenue and extending to Mission street over the most advisable route and continuing southerly in Mission street to the right of way of the Southern Pacific Company at a point in the vicinity of Mission and Twenty-fifth streets; thence under the said right of way to a point in the vicinity of Twenty-seventh and Dolores streets; a subway in Montgomery street southerly from Columbus avenue to Market street, thence in Market street to Geary street and thence in Geary street to a point in the vicinity of Pierce street; a surface street railway commencing at the end of the subway in the vicinity of Twenty-seventh and Dolores streets over and along or near the right of way of the Southern Pacific Company to its intersection with Ocean avenue; and to establish and maintain bus lines to be operated in connection with and as feeders to said subways and surface railway lines existing or to be hereafter acquired, all to constitute a rapid transit system and to be operated in connection with the present municipal railway system to relieve traffic congestion in the City and County of San Francisco and to accelerate the transportation of passengers between the business and residential sections of the City and County. Together with the acquisition of all lands, easements and rights of way necessary, convenient or incident to the construction, maintenance and operation of said subways and surface lines, including buses and all facilities necessary for the housing and servicing the cars and buses used in the operation of said subway, surface railway and bus lines, including the land necessary for said purpose. Together with all equipment, devices and facilities of every kind and nature necessary or incident or convenient to the acquisition, maintenance and operation of said subways, surface or bus lines, and the necessary changes in the present street railway equipment to make the same adaptable for use in connection with said subways and surface lines.

Section 2. The estimated cost of making the improvement described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of \$49,250,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of \$49,250,000, no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the sum of \$49,250,000.

Section 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

### COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE.

#### Motions.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Board resolve itself into Committee of the Whole for consideration of the resolution.

Supervisor Meyer moved adoption of the resolution.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Sherman, residing at 851 Leo way, Oakland, and representing the San Francisco Transportation Committee; Cleve F. Shaffer, residing at 680 Sutter street; G. V. Schultz, residing at 1684 Ellis street, representing the United German Societies; Mr. Schapp, residing at 165 Borica street, and representing the Steuben Society; Geo. Skallen, residing at the Clift Hotel, and representing the Civic League; Cornealius Murphy, residing at 2474 Washington street and representing

the Owners and Lessees Apartment Association; Thos. E. Corr, 112b Lyon street, were heard at length on the pending question.

### Motion.

Supervisor Brown moved that the matter be taken in the hands of the Board.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Committee of the Whole arise and report.

Motion *carried*.

### Committee of the Whole Arises.

Whereupon, the Committee of the Whole arose and reported to the Board.

### Motions.

Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Ratto, moved to amend in Section 1, beginning on line 7, striking out from the words "a sub-way through" down to and including the words "avenue on line 20," also insert the figures "\$1,600,000" at the end of Section 2 and in the second line of Section 3.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Ratto, Uhl—3.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

Supervisor Meyer, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved to insert the figures "\$49,250,000" at the end of Section 2 and the same amount in the second line of Section 3, as well as at the end of said Section 3.

Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved as an amendment to insert "\$51,000,000" in the places indicated in lieu of "\$49,250,000."

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Meyer's motion and the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon—7.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Uhl—4.

### Resolution Refused Adoption.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Meyer's motion to adopt the resolution as amended, and the resolution was *refused passage* by the following vote (8 votes being required):

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon—7.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Uhl—4.

### Motion to Reconsider.

Before the result of the foregoing ballot was announced, Supervisor Colman changed his vote from *Aye* to *No* and moved for reconsideration at the next meeting.



**UNFINISHED BUSINESS.****Final Passage.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Appropriation of \$28,000 for Sponsor's Contribution for Street Work Done by W. P. A.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1379, Ordinance No. 9.051401, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$28,000 out of the accrued surplus of the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 748.804.00 for the purpose of providing sponsor's contribution for the sponsorship of street work to be done by W. P. A. forces.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$28,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the accrued surplus of the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 748.804.00 for the purpose of providing sponsor's contribution for the sponsorship of street work to be done by W. P. A. forces.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Appropriation of \$12,000 as City Contribution to the Improvement of Pacheco Street and Other Streets.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1380, Ordinance No. 9.951402, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$12,000 out of surplus existing in unappropriated County Road Fund to credit of Appropriation No. 748.911.00, being City and County contribution toward improvement of Pacheco street between Fifteenth avenue and Ortega street, Fourteenth avenue between Aerial way and Mandalay lane, Cragmont avenue between Twelfth avenue and Quintara street, Twelfth avenue between Cragmont avenue and Rockridge drive, Quintara street between Cragmont avenue and Fourteenth avenue and Fourteenth avenue between Quintara street and Fanning way. This work is to be performed under public proceedings and City and County of San Francisco is to contribute 50 per cent of the cost.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$12,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the unappropriated County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 748.911.00, being City and County contribution toward improvement of Pacheco street between Fifteenth avenue and Ortega street, Fourteenth avenue between Aerial way and Mandalay lane, Cragmont avenue between Twelfth avenue and Quintara street, Twelfth avenue between Cragmont avenue and Rockridge drive, Quintara street between Cragmont avenue and Fourteenth avenue and Fourteenth avenue between Quintara street and Fanning way. This work is to be performed under public proceedings and the City and County of San Francisco is to contribute 50 per cent of the cost.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

## Civil Service Commission to Join Civil Service Assembly of United States and Canada.

(Code No. 4.01)

On recommendation of Judiciary Committee.

Bill No. 1381, Ordinance No. 4.011, as follows:

Authorizing the Civil Service Commission to become a member of the Civil Service Assembly of the United States and Canada and to represent the City and County in said Assembly and providing for the payment of expenses in connection therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That it will be for the interest and benefit of the City and County of San Francisco that the Civil Service Commission thereof become a member of the Civil Service Assembly of the United States and Canada for and on behalf of the said City and County, and the Civil Service Commission is hereby authorized and directed to join said Civil Service Assembly of the United States and Canada and to represent the City and County in said Assembly.

Section 2. That the annual expense of said membership be paid out of such funds as may be annually set aside and appropriated for the purpose.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

## NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

### Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Creating Two Positions Part-Time Physician

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1390, Ordinance No. 9.053105, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 55 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 by adding Item 97 ½, creating two positions of L360 Physician (part time) at \$75 per month.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 55 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

### Section 55. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH— CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)

#### SCHOOL INSPECTION—MEDICAL

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
55	1	L252	Optometrist (part time) .....	150
56	1	L368	Director Bureau Child Hygiene .....	383.33
57	1	L364	Pediatrician . . . . .	250
58	1	L364	Pediatrician (part time) .....	175
59	11	L364	Pediatrician (part time) .....	150
60	1	L364	Pediatrician (part time) .....	75
61	1	L602	Audiometer Technician .....	125

#### DENTAL

62	1	B222	General Clerk (part time) .....	50
63	4	L152	Dental Hygienist .....	150
64	14	L156	Dentist (part time) .....	100
65	1	L160	Director of Dental Bureau (part time) ..	250



**Section 55. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)**

**CHILD WELFARE—MEDICAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
66	1	L364	Pediatrician . . . . .	250
67	4	L364	Pediatrician (part time) . . . . .	150
68	1	L364	Pediatrician at \$5 per day (part time) . .	

**MILK AND FOOD INSPECTION**

69	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	190
70	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	125
71	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	100
72	7	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector . . . . .	200
73	10	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector . . . . .	185
74	3	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector . . . . .	175
75	3	N53	Assistant Chief Food Inspector . . . . .	225
76	1	N54	Chief Food Inspector . . . . .	325
77	1	N64	Dairy Inspector . . . . .	300
78	2	N64	Dairy Inspector . . . . .	225
79	2	N64	Dairy Inspector . . . . .	200

**CHEMICAL LABORATORY**

80	1	L102	Food Chemist Assistant . . . . .	125
81	1	L104	Food Chemist . . . . .	225
82	1	L104	Food Chemist . . . . .	175
83	1	L106	Senior Food Chemist . . . . .	250

**PLUMBING INSPECTION**

84	1	A412	Plumbing Inspector . . . . .	250
85	7	A412	Plumbing Inspector . . . . .	240
86	1	A416	Chief Plumbing Inspector . . . . .	325
87	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	190

**HOUSING INSPECTION**

88	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	125
89	9	N204	Housing Inspector . . . . .	200
90	1	N206	Chief Housing Inspector . . . . .	275

**INDUSTRIAL INSPECTION**

91	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	100
92	3	N205	Industrial Inspector . . . . .	200
93	1	N208	Chief Industrial Inspector . . . . .	275

**CITY PHYSICIANS**

94	4	L360	Physician (part time) . . . . .	300
95	1	L360	Physician (part time) . . . . .	250
96	1	L360	Physician (part time) . . . . .	200
97	4	L360	Physician (part time) . . . . .	150
97 1/2	2	L360	Physician (part time) . . . . .	75
98	1	L362	Supervisor of City Physicians (part time)	300

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$2,400 to Create Three Additional Positions at San Francisco Hospital.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1394, Ordinance No. 9.051408, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$2,400 out of the reserve existing in Appropriation No. 753.101.00 to the credit of Appro-

priation No. 753.101.00 for the purpose of creating one additional position of radiologist at \$100 per month and position of two house officers at \$50 per month, at the San Francisco Hospital.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,400 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the reserve existing in Appropriation No. 753.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 753.101.00 for the purpose of creating one additional position of radiologist at \$100 per month and positions of two house officers at \$50 per month, at the San Francisco Hospital.

Recommended by J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

### Amend Annual Salary Ordinance, Department of Public Health.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1395, Ordinance No. 9.053108, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 59 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 by adding Item 53½, creating two positions of L354 House Officer at \$50 per month; deleting Item 61; and increasing the number of positions established under Item 62 from one to two.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 59 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

### Section 59. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH— SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
41	1	L2	Assistant Superintendent (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	\$ 310
42	1	L6	Superintendent (deduct \$150 for full family maintenance) .....	733.33
43	1	L70	Physio-Therapist (part time).....	100
44	2	L72	Electro-Cardiograph Technician (part time) .....	75
45	2	L156	Dentist (part time) .....	50
46	4	L202	Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.).....	137.50
47	1	L206	Chief Dietitian .....	175
48	1	L304	Pharmacist .....	225
49	1	L304	Pharmacist .....	200
50	2	L304	Pharmacist .....	190
51	1	L306	Senior Pharmacist .....	250
52	42	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	32
53	22	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	47
53½	2	L354	House Officer .....	50
54	7	L356	Senior House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	59.50
55	1	L360	Physician (part time).....	75
56	3	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
57	1	L372	Resident Physician .....	200



**Section 59. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
58	1	L452	X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) (part time).....	79.50
59	4	L452	X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
60	1	L456	Senior X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	210
62	2	L458	Radiologist . . . . .	100
63	1	M255	Bracemaker . . . . .	77.50
64	1	O60	Head Gardener (deduct for R.) .....	150
65	4	O166	Fireman, Stationary Steam Engine ....	185
66	4	O168	Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine....	220
67	1	O172	Chief Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine (deduct for R.) .....	300

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Action Deferred.**

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

**Authorizing Settlement of Damage Claims Caused by the Construction of Nineteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Resolution No. 3416, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works that the total sum of \$478.50 be paid to Foster & Kleiser Company in settlement of all claims of damage to the advertising structures located as follows in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, caused or to be caused by the widening of Nineteenth avenue:

Nineteenth EL 50' No. Taraval—1 unill. panel.

Nineteenth EL 25' S. Taraval—2 unill. panels.

Nineteenth & Ulloa NE—1 unill. panel.

Nineteenth EL 125' N. Ulloa—1 unill. panel.

Nineteenth EL 250' N. Ulloa—1 6-sheet.

Nineteenth & Wawona SW—5 unill. panels.

Nineteenth & Sloat Blvd. NW—1 6-sheet.

Said sum shall be paid from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project No. 5-A.

Approved by the Director of Property.

**Re-Referred.**

The following matter was *re-referred to the Finance Committee*:

**Proposed Amendment—Wage Scale—Private Employment on Public Contract.**

(Code No. 9.024)

Resolution No. 3419, as follows:

Resolved, That the highest general prevailing rate of wages paid in private employment to various crafts in the City and County of San Francisco, including wages paid on holidays and for overtime work, is hereby determined and declared to be as herein set forth. Except as herein otherwise specifically provided, the wages herein fixed are based on an eight-hour day, five days per week.

## DIVISION 1—BUILDING TRADES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Asbestos Workers .....	\$1.12½	Double time after 8 hours.
Bricklayers (6-hour day)...	1.75	Double time after 6 hours.
Bricklayers' tenders and hod carriers (6-hour day).....	1.25	Double time after 6 hours.
Carpenters & cabinet makers	1.12½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Cement Finishers .....	1.12½	Double time after 8 hours.
Concrete Laborers .....	.79	Time and one-half first 4 hours, after 8 hours; double thereafter
Electrical Workers (including Fixture Hangers) .....	1.37½	Double time after 8 hours
Elevator Constructors .....	1.40	Double time after 8 hours
Elevator Constructors' Helpers	.98	Double time after 8 hours
<b>Engineers:</b>		
Compressor operators (on steel erection) .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Derricks and Asphalt Plant.	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Building Material Hoists...	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Pile Drivers, Locomotive Cranes .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Roller Engineers, Dragline and Clamshell Operators, Concrete Mixers and Mechanical Finishers, Asphalt Burners and Concrete Busters .....	1.43	Double time after 8 hours
Trench Machine .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Firemen (Asphalt Plants).	1.10	Double time after 8 hours
Truck Crane Engineers....	1.66⅔	Double time after 8 hours
Caterpillar Engineer, 50 h.p. and Over .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Operators of Power Shovels and/or Other Excavating Equipment with Power Shovel Control When Used on Excavating Operations (6-hour day) .....	1.66⅔	Double time after 6 hours
Shovel Firemen, Watchman and Oiler (6-hour day)...	1.16⅔	Double time after 6 hours
Glass Workers, Including Art Glass .....	1.21	Time and one-half first 2 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
<b>Gunitite Workers:</b>		
Nozzle Men .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Rod Men .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Finishers .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Ground Wire Men.....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Gun Men .....	.90	Double time after 8 hours
Mixer Men .....	.87½	Double time after 8 hours
Rebound Men .....	.75	Double time after 8 hours
Foremen (\$1 per day above highest craftsman) .....		Double time after 8 hours
Hardwood Floormen .....	1.12½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
<b>Housesmiths:</b>		
Reinforced Concrete .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours



**Iron Workers:**

Bridge, Structural, Rigger. 1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Derrick Engineers ..... 1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Bronze and Ornamental (including erection of steel and iron fences) ..... 1.25	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter

**Laborers:**

.....	Overtime after 8 hours; and Saturday morning time and one-half after the first 4 hours; double thereafter
Construction and General.. .75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Mixer Operators ..... .87½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter

Lathers (6-hour day) wood and/or metal ..... 1.45

Linoleum and Carpet Layers. 1.12½ Double time after 8 hours

**Marble Trades:**

Shopmen and Helpers..... .75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Polishers ..... .78¾	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Bed Rubbers ..... .84¾	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Cutters, Copers, Carborundum Men ..... .90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Carvers ..... 1.02½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Masons and Setters..... 1.12½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Mosaic and Terazzo Workers. 1.12½	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Mosaic and Terazzo Workers' Helpers ..... .75	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Painters . . . . . 1.21 3/7	Time and one-half after 7 hours
Painters, Structural Iron Work ..... 1.37½	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Painters, Varnishers and Polishers ..... 1.10	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Pile Drivers ..... 1.40	Double time after 8 hours
Plasterers (6-hour day) ..... 1.50	Double time after 6 hours
Plasterers' Tenders and Hod Carriers (6-hour day)..... 1.25	Double time after 6 hours
Plumbers and Gas Fitters (including pipe calking).. 1.37½	Double time after 8 hours; Saturday morning at straight time on existing installations.

**Ornamental Plasterers:**

Casters (6-hour day) ..... 1.50	Double time after 6 hours
Model Makers (6-hour day) 1.50	Double time after 6 hours
Modelers (6-hour day)..... 2.00	Double time after 6 hours
Roofers and Waterproofers... 1.12½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Sheet Metal Workers ..... 1.25	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Sprinkler Fitters ..... 1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Steam Fitters ..... 1.37½	Double time after 8 hours

**Stone Cutters:**

Soft and Granite..... 1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
----------------------------	--

**Stone Setters:**

Soft and Granite, including		
granite curbs .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Stone Derrickmen .....	1.12½	Double time after 8 hours
Tile Setters .....	1.37½	Double time after 8 hours
Tile Setters' Helpers .....	.87½	Double time after 8 hours
Upholsterers .....	1.00	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter

**Truck Drivers:**

2 yards or less (7-hour day)	6.00	Time and one-half after 7 hours
3 yards or less (7-hour day)	6.50	Time and one-half after 7 hours
4 yards or less (7-hour day)	7.00	Time and one-half after 7 hours
5 yards or less (7-hour day)	7.00	Time and one-half after 7 hours
6 yards or more (7-hour day) .....	7.50	Time and one-half after 7 hours

Tractor Driver (up to 35 h.p.) (6-hour day) .....	8.00	Time and one-half after 6 hours
--	------	---------------------------------

Tractor Driver (over 35 h.p. to 50 h.p.) (6-hour day) .....	9.00	Time and one-half after 6 hours
--	------	---------------------------------

(Working time for truck drivers, tractor drivers and all engineers shall be reckoned by half day and full day.)

**DIVISION 2—BUILDING TRADE—SHOP RATES**

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>
Cabinet Workers, Millmen, Machine and Bench Hands (shop) . . . . .	\$1.10
Varnishers and Polishers (shop) .....	1.10

**DIVISION 3—FURNITURE TRADES**

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Carpet Layers, Cutters and Measurers .....	9.00	Double time after 8 hours
Carpet Seamstresses (large machines) .....	6.00	Double time after 8 hours
Carpet Seamstresses (small machines) .....	5.50	Double time after 8 hours
Carpet Layers' Apprentices:		
2 months out.....	4.50	Double time after 8 hours
1st 6 months out.....	5.00	Double time after 8 hours
2d 6 months out.....	5.50	Double time after 8 hours
3d 6 months out.....	6.00	Double time after 8 hours
4th 6 months out.....	6.50	Double time after 8 hours
5th 6 months out.....	7.00	Double time after 8 hours
6th 6 months out.....	7.50	Double time after 8 hours
Shade and Drapery Makers and Hangers (including Venetian blinds) .....	8.00	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Upholsterers .....	8.00	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Furniture Handlers, Packers and Strippers .....	6.00	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Drapery Seamstresses .....	4.65	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter



## DIVISION 4—METAL TRADES—FIELD RATES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Blacksmiths .....	1.10	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Boilermakers .....	1.37½	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Boilermakers' Helpers .....	1.10	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Machinists .....	1.10	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Machinists' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Machinist (maintenance) ...	1.12½	Time and one-half after 8 hours

## DIVISION 5—METAL TRADES—SHOP RATES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Pattern Makers (based on 7-hour day) .....	1.25	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 7 hours; double thereafter
Molders and Coremakers.....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Blacksmiths .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Blacksmiths' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Boilermakers .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Boilermakers' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Machinists .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Machinists' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Structural and Ornamental Ironworkers (shop) .....	.90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Toolmaker .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter

## DIVISION 6—STREET WORK

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Timberman (tunnel) .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Mucker .....	.90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Asphalt Rakers and Ironers..	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Asphalt Shovelers .....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Cribbers .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Laborers, street work.....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Jackhammer Men .....	.90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter

## DIVISION 7—MISCELLANEOUS TRADES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>
Well Drillers .....	1.10
Well Drillers (hand tool foremen) .....	1.10
Diamond Drillers .....	1.10
Powderman .....	1.10
Washers, Polishers and Greasers (garagemen) .....	.75

## DIVISION 8—DREDGE BOATS

(8-hour day—4-hour Saturday)

*Per Month*

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Dredge Captain .....	\$270	Double time after 8 hours
Leverman . . . . .	245	Double time after 8 hours
Fireman . . . . .	170	Double time after 8 hours
Deckhand . . . . .	170	Double time after 8 hours
Bargeman . . . . .	170	Double time after 8 hours

## DIVISION 9—CULINARY WORKERS

(8-hour day—5-day week)

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Head Cook .....	\$37.50	\$1.50 hour
Other Cooks .....	32.50	\$1.50 hour
Cooks' Helpers .....	25.00	\$1.50 hour
		(Short shifts, not less than 3 hours, \$1 hour)
Waiters .....	21.00	\$0.75 hour
Waitresses .....	21.00	\$0.75 hour
Dishwasher and Vegetable Man (straight shift).....	17.50	\$0.50 hour
Dishwasher and Vegetable Man (broken shift).....	20.00	\$0.50 hour

Wherever welding processes are involved the rate paid for such shall be as herein fixed for the crafts performing the work.

Saturdays and holiday work at double time. Holidays are New Year's Day, Decoration Day, Fourth of July, Labor Day, Admission Day, Thanksgiving and Christmas.

## Adopted.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

## Transfer of City Land in Rossi Playground for Street Purposes.

(Code No. 12.175)

Resolution No. 3432, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with resolution adopted by the San Francisco Recreation Commission on July 7, 1937, that jurisdiction of the following described parcels of City-owned land situated in San Francisco, California, be and are hereby transferred from said Commission to the San Francisco Department of Public Works to be used for street purposes:

PARCEL 1. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Edward street, distant thereon 391.302 feet easterly from the easterly line of Arguello boulevard; thence deflecting 55 degrees 11 minutes 21 seconds to the right from said northerly line, and running southeasterly along said line of Edward street, 60.898 feet; thence deflecting 55 degrees 11 minutes 21 seconds to the left and running easterly 26.485 feet to the easterly line of Willard street north; thence northwesterly on the arc of a curve to the left, whose tangent deflects 101 degrees 32 minutes 56 seconds to the left from the preceding course, radius 62.516 feet, central angle 78 degrees 27 minutes 04 seconds, 85.599 feet to tangency with the northerly line of Edward street at the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lot 1, Block 1140 "A" of Francisco Heights, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "N", pages 17 to 21, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

PARCEL 2. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Anza street and the westerly line of Rossi avenue; thence southerly along said line of Rossi avenue, 11.124 feet; thence northwesterly on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to said line of Rossi avenue, radius 20 feet, central angle 33 degrees 47 minutes 34



seconds, 11.796 feet to the southerly line of Anza street; thence deflecting 123 degrees 47 minutes 34 seconds to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve and running easterly along said line of Anza street, 3.379 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lot 1, Block 1140 "A" of Francisco Heights, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "N", pages 17 to 21, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Said Parcels 1 and 2 are required for the realignment of Edward street and Rossi avenue, respectively, and are hereby declared to be open public streets as parts of said streets.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

#### **Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

#### **Authorizing Sale of School Lot on Francisco Street West of Larkin Street.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

Bill No. 1407, Ordinance No. 12.172214, as follows:

Authorizing sale of school lot on Francisco street west of Larkin street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Francisco street, distant thereon 137.5 feet westerly from the westerly line of Larkin street; running thence westerly along the southerly line of Francisco street, 137.5 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 137.5 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 137.5 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 137.5 feet to the southerly line of Francisco street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 29.

Section 2. The above described land shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco. The property may be sold as a whole or subdivided.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

#### **Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

#### **Land Purchase—Mission High School.**

(Code No. 12.1712)

Resolution No. 3433, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Oscar F. Olson, et ux., portion of Lot 31, Assessor's Block 3579, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Mission High School, for the sum of \$3,500, payable from

Appropriation No. 770.600.01. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

The above amount includes damages in full to the improvements now wholly or partially located on the above described property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors.

It is understood that if a retaining wall is necessary in the development of the area deeded to the City that no cost thereof shall be assessed against the grantors.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for a particular description of said parcel of land.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

### Refunds Erroneous Payments of Taxes.

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 3434, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid to the following, being refunds of erroneous payments of taxes:

#### *From Duplicate Tax Fund—Appropriation No. 905.*

- |   |          |
|---|----------|
| (1) City Title Insurance Co., per Vol. 14, Bill 664, Lot 12, Block 1808, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936.....  | \$ 35.95 |
| (2) E. B. DeSurville Co., per Vol. 37, Bill 1606, Lot 2, Block 6242, both Installments, fiscal year 1936.....   | 4.55     |
| (3) Baldwin and Howell, per Vol. 9, Bill 404, Lot 7, Block 1360, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936.....  | 10.41    |
| (4) Felizima Delucchi, per Vol. 11, Lot 28, Block 1561, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1935, Bill 1180, \$83.40; both Installments, fiscal year 1936, Bill 1182, \$163.84.....   | 247.24   |
| (5) Mrs. Charles Heisler, per Vol. 35, Bill 2062, Lot 4, Block 5942, 1st Installment, fiscal year 1936.....   | 7.38     |
| (6) Anglo California Securities Co., per Vol. 37, Bill 116, Lot 12, Block 6152, 1st Installment, \$1.32; per Vol. 21, Bill 1355, Lot 4, Block 3041, 2d Installment, \$20.06; per Vol. 17, Bill 753, Lot 16B, Block 2317, 2d Installment, \$42.76. All fiscal year 1936 .....  | 64.14    |
| (7) Bank of America, Appraisal and Tax Research Division, per Vol. 12, Bill 2012, Lot 1B, Block 1663, 1st Installment, \$48.06; per Vol. 22, Bill 728, Lot 21, Block 3145, 2d Installment, \$79.65; per Vol. 21, Bill 2424, Lot 35, Block 3087, 2d Installment, \$2.84; per Vol. 31, Bill 163, Lot 18, Block 4993, both Installments, \$5.68; per Vol. 15, Bill 1136, Lot 1D, Block 1912, 2d Installment, \$41.25; all fiscal year 1936 ..... | 177.48   |
| (8) A. J. Herzig, per Vol. 18, Bill 3068, Lot 6, Block 2527A, 2d Installment, \$17.41; per Vol. 18, Bill 3071, Lot 9, Block 2527A, 2d Installment, \$13.81; per Vol. 18, Bill 3069, Lot 7, Block 2527A, 2d Installment, \$13.81; per Vol. 18, Bill 3062, Lot 14, Block 2526B, both Installments, \$45.40; per Vol. 18, Bill 3035, Lot 1, Block 2526A, both Installments, \$43.52. All fiscal year 1936.....                                   | 133.95   |
| (9) John Crowley, per Vol. 12, Bill 143, Lot 2, Block 1620, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936; over payment.....   | 24.60    |

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.



**City Attorney to Compromise Claims.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Resolution No. 3435, as follows:

Whereas, on the 14th day of April, 1937, George H. Kelly sustained damages to his Chevrolet coupe in the sum of \$104.95, and P. V. and V. M. Natraia sustained damages to their automobile in the sum of \$121.03, while the said respective automobiles were parked at the curb on Howard street near the intersection thereof with Fifth street, when Fire Truck No. 1 overturned upon the aforesaid automobiles causing said damages; and

Whereas, the City Attorney believes there is liability on the part of the City and County of San Francisco and has entered into an agreement and compromise subject to approval of their respective claims against the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and empowered to compromise and settle the aforesaid claims, to-wit: payment to George H. Kelly for \$104.95 and payment to P. V. and V. M. Natraia for the sum of \$121.03, out of Appropriation No. 760.705.02 of the General Fund.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Purchase of Land for Grattan School Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 3436, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Mary Kilkenny, Lot 22, Assessor's Block 1283, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Grattan School Playground for the sum of \$4,500 payable from Appropriation No. 613.600.11. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Purchase of Land for the Grattan School Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 3437, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Pearl Meade, Lot 4, Assessor's Block 1283, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Grattan School Playground for the sum of \$3,400 payable from Appropriation No. 613.600.11. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Widening of Nineteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3438, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties those certain parcels of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, re-

quired for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sum set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project No. 5-A:

Connell J. Furay, et ux., portion of Lot 17, Assessor's Block 1773 .....	\$112.00
Herman F. Gessler, portion of Lot 12, Assessor's Block 1773 .....	90.00

The above sums include damages in full to the improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within 30 days after recording the deed to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for particular descriptions of said parcels of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

#### **Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Widening of Nineteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3439, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties that certain parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sum set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project No. 5-A:

Jesse W. Trask and Alma H. Trask, portion of Lot 34, Assessor's Block 1835 .....	\$3,700.00
--	------------

The above sum includes damages in full to the improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within 30 days after recording the deed to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for a particular description of said parcel of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

#### **Cancellation of Mutilated and Defaced 1929 Sewer Bonds.**

The following proceedings occurred re cancellation of mutilated and defaced 1929 Sewer Bonds and the issuance of duplicate bonds in lieu thereof:

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco:

Gentlemen: I hereby make report to your Honorable Board as to the cancellation of the hereinafter mentioned 1929 Sewer Bonds and the issuance of duplicate bonds in lieu thereof. Said report being as follows:

That under and pursuant to the provisions of Resolution No. 2969, adopted by your Honorable Board on the 7th day of December, 1936, the following numbered and designated 1929 Sewer Bonds have been surrendered to me. The said bonds being numbered and designated as follows:

11 bonds due January 1, 1940, numbered 679, 680, 690, 692, 693, 694, 695, 696, 697, 698 and 700.



- 9 bonds due January 1, 1941, numbered 782, 787, 790, 792, 793, 794, 795, 796 and 800.
- 11 bonds due January 1, 1942, numbered 887, 888, 891, 892, 893, 895, 896, 897, 898, 899 and 900.
- 8 bonds due January 1, 1943, numbered 978, 981, 986, 989, 997, 998, 999 and 1000.
- 15 bonds due January 1, 1944, numbered 1078, 1080, 1083, 1085, 1086, 1087, 1089, 1090, 1093, 1094, 1095, 1097, 1098, 1099 and 1100.
- 11 bonds due January 1, 1945, numbered 1181, 1189, 1178, 1192, 1193, 1195, 1196, 1197, 1198, 1199 and 1200.
- 14 bonds due January 1, 1946, numbered 1278, 1279, 1281, 1282, 1283, 1284, 1285, 1287, 1288, 1289, 1290, 1291, 1292, and 1294.
- 13 bonds due January 1, 1947, numbered 1378, 1379, 1380, 1382, 1383, 1384, 1385, 1386, 1387, 1389, 1397, 1398 and 1399.
- 11 bonds due January 1, 1948, numbered 1478, 1483, 1485, 1486, 1488, 1489, 1490, 1491, 1492, 1493 and 1499.
- 15 bonds due January 1, 1949, numbered 1578, 1579, 1580, 1581, 1583, 1584, 1586, 1587, 1588, 1589, 1591, 1594, 1596, 1597 and 1600.
- 8 bonds due January 1, 1950, numbered 1679, 1681, 1682, 1685, 1689, 1690, 1696, 1698.
- 15 bonds due January 1, 1951, numbered 1783, 1784, 1786, 1787, 1788, 1789, 1790, 1791, 1792, 1793, 1794, 1795, 1796, 1797 and 1798.
- 15 bonds due January 1, 1953, numbered 1979, 1981, 1982, 1983, 1984, 1985, 1986, 1988, 1990, 1991, 1993, 1995, 1997, 1999 and 2000.
- 12 bonds due January 1, 1954, numbered 2078, 2079, 2080, 2081, 2082, 2083, 2084, 2086, 2087, 2090, 2092, 2094.
- 10 bonds due January 1, 1955, numbered 2178, 2179, 2180, 2183, 2184, 2185, 2188, 2190, 2191, 2198.

That said bonds were surrendered for the reason that, without any bad faith upon the part of the owners and holders thereof, they had become so mutilated and defaced so as to impair their value; that upon the surrender of said bonds, and under and pursuant to the provisions of the aforesaid resolution, new and duplicate bonds of the same numbers, amounts and maturities were duly signed and executed by the proper officials of the City and County of San Francisco and were delivered to Bankamerica Company and R. W. Pressprich & Co., the owners and holders thereof. That upon the delivery of the aforesaid duplicate bonds each of the said original bonds numbered and designated, and surrendered as aforesaid, was by me marked "cancelled and void", and that said bonds so marked "cancelled and void" now remain in my office not to be sold or delivered to any person.

Dated, San Francisco, California, July 30, 1937.

DUNCAN MATHESON,

Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

### Cancelling 1929 Sewer Bonds.

(Code No. 12.122)

Resolution No. 3445, as follows:

Duncan Matheson, Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, having reported to this Board that under and pursuant to Resolution No. 2969 adopted by this Board on the 7th day of December, 1936, that mutilated and defaced bonds numbered, designated and set forth in said resolution, had been surrendered to him and that under and pursuant to the terms of said resolution he cancelled said bonds and issued to the owners and holders thereof duplicate bonds in like numbers, amounts and maturities; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the report of Duncan Matheson, Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, as to the surrender and cancella-

tion of said mutilated and defaced bonds and the issuance of new bonds in lieu thereof, be and the same is hereby approved and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to make in the minutes of this Board a record of the cancellation of said original bonds and of the issuance of duplicate bonds to the owners and holders thereof; and be it

Further Resolved, That said duplicate bonds issued under and pursuant to the provisions of said Resolution No. 2969, be and the same are approved and ratified.

The said bonds in this resolution hereinbefore referred to are of one thousand dollar denomination and are numbered and mature as follows:

- 11 bonds due January 1, 1940, numbered 679, 680, 690, 692, 693, 694, 695, 696, 697, 698 and 700.
- 9 bonds due January 1, 1941, numbered 782, 787, 790, 792, 793, 794, 795, 796 and 800.
- 11 bonds due January 1, 1942, numbered 887, 888, 891, 892, 893, 895, 896, 897, 898, 899 and 900.
- 8 bonds due January 1, 1943, numbered 978, 981, 986, 989, 997, 998, 999 and 1000.
- 15 bonds due January 1, 1944, numbered 1078, 1080, 1083, 1085, 1086, 1087, 1089, 1090, 1093, 1094, 1095, 1097, 1098, 1099 and 1100.
- 11 bonds due January 1, 1945, numbered 1181, 1189, 1178, 1192, 1193, 1195, 1196, 1197, 1198, 1199 and 1200.
- 14 bonds due January 1, 1946, numbered 1278, 1279, 1281, 1282, 1283, 1284, 1285, 1287, 1288, 1289, 1290, 1291, 1292 and 1294.
- 13 bonds due January 1, 1947, numbered 1378, 1379, 1380, 1382, 1383, 1384, 1385, 1386, 1387, 1389, 1397, 1398 and 1399.
- 11 bonds due January 1, 1948, numbered 1478, 1483, 1485, 1486, 1488, 1489, 1490, 1491, 1492, 1493 and 1499.
- 15 bonds due January 1, 1949, numbered 1578, 1579, 1580, 1581, 1583, 1584, 1586, 1587, 1588, 1589, 1591, 1594, 1596, 1597 and 1600.
- 8 bonds due January 1, 1950, numbered 1679, 1681, 1682, 1685, 1689, 1690, 1696 and 1698.
- 15 bonds due January 1, 1951, numbered 1783, 1784, 1786, 1787, 1788, 1789, 1790, 1791, 1792, 1793, 1794, 1795, 1796, 1797 and 1798.
- 15 bonds due January 1, 1953, numbered 1979, 1981, 1982, 1983, 1984, 1985, 1986, 1988, 1990, 1991, 1993, 1995, 1997, 1999 and 2000.
- 12 bonds due January 1, 1954, numbered 2078, 2079, 2080, 2081, 2082, 2083, 2084, 2086, 2087, 2090, 2092 and 2094.
- 10 bonds due January 1, 1955, numbered 2178, 2179, 2180, 2183, 2184, 2185, 2188, 2190, 2191 and 2198.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

#### **Appropriation of \$165 for Transfer of Tabulating Machine Operator From Purchaser to Police Department.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1408, Ordinance No. 9.051410, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$165 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 733.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 709.101.00 for the purpose of accommodating the transfer of a tabulating machine operator from the office of the Purchaser to the Police Department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$165 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 733.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 709.101.00 for the purpose of accommo-



dating the transfer of a tabulating machine operator from the office of the Purchaser to the Police Department.

Recommended by T. A. Brooks, Purchaser of Supplies.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of San Francisco.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Amend Annual Salary Ordinance.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1409, Ordinance No. 9.053109, as follows:

An ordinance amending Ordinance No. 9.053101 by changing the maximum monthly rate of Item No. 8½, Section 11, from \$150 to \$165.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 11 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

#### Section 11. POLICE DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioner . . . . .	\$ 100
2	1		Chief of Police . . . . .	600
3	1		Deputy Chief of Police . . . . .	450
4	1		Property Clerk . . . . .	300
5	1		Police Surgeon . . . . .	200
6	1	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	175
7	1		Department Secretary . . . . .	400
8	1	B310a	Tabulating Alphabetic Key Punch Operator . . . . .	150
8½	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator . . . . .	165
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	175
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	230
11	1	B420	Phonographic Reporter . . . . .	250
12	7	B454	Telephone Operator . . . . .	150
13	1		Director of Criminal Information . . . . .	333.33
14	1		Director of Personnel . . . . .	333.33
15	1		Director of Special Services . . . . .	250
BUREAU OF INSPECTORS				
16	1		Captain of Inspectors . . . . .	416.66
17	75		Inspector . . . . .	230
18	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	200
19	1	D152	Criminologist . . . . .	300
20	3	Q20	Police Women . . . . .	200
21	6	Q60	Lieutenants . . . . .	250**
22	1	Q62	Photographer, Police Department . . . . .	225
UNIFORM FORCE				
23	1		Supervising Captain . . . . .	333.33
24	1		Captain of Traffic . . . . .	333.33
25	1		Inspector of Motor Vehicles . . . . .	230
26	1		Inspector of Junior Traffic . . . . .	230
27	1		Inspector of Horses and Equipment . . . . .	230
28	1		Inspector of Repairs and Maintenance . . . . .	230
29	3	D52	Jail Matron . . . . .	175
30	1	D52	Jail Matron . . . . .	170

## Section 11. POLICE DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
31	1	I14	Junior Chef . . . . .	195
32	10	J70	Hostlers . . . . .	180
33	3	O158	Motor Boat Operator . . . . .	200
34	1	O158	Motor Boat Operator (Relief) at rate of	200
35	959	Q2	Policemen . . . . .	200
36	25	Q30	Police Patrol Driver . . . . .	200
37	181	Q50	Sergeant . . . . .	220**
38	47	Q60	Lieutenant . . . . .	250**
39	12	Q80	Captain . . . . .	300**

\*\*In event of a vacancy in this rank the position may be abolished and the number of Policemen correspondingly increased without amendment of this ordinance and the Policeman's position may be filled subject to the provisions of Sections 1 and 2 hereof.

(Item No. 8½ is transfer of tabulating numerical key punch operator from Purchaser of Supplies to Police Department. Salary Police Ordinance was set at \$150. The operator is receiving \$165 in the position from which the transfer is to be made. The money to be transferred from the Purchaser of Supplies to Police Department to absorb the differential.)

Passed for second reading by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Adopted.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

#### Land Purchase—San Francisco Airport.

(Code No. 12.17154)

Resolution No. 3446, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Mills Estate Incorporated to Parcel No. 8 of the San Francisco Airport lands in San Mateo County, California, as per agreement recorded September 4, 1930, Volume 559, page 1, Official Records of San Mateo County, and that the sum of \$105,000 be paid for said parcel from Appropriation No. 764.600.00. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

#### Amendment to Meat and Food Inspection Ordinance.

(Code No. 17.04)

Bill No. 1410, Ordinance No. 17.045, as follows:

Amending Sub-section (a) of Section 11 of Ordinance No. 17.044, entitled: "Providing for the Inspection of Meat and Meat Food Products Sold or Delivered or Offered for Sale or Delivery Within the City and County of San Francisco; Authorizing the Director of Public Health to Adopt, Promulgate and Enforce Regulations Governing Such Inspection; Providing for the Payment and Collection of Fees to Defray the Cost of Such Inspection; Defining Certain Terms Used in



This Ordinance; and Providing Penalties for the Violation of This Ordinance; and Repealing Certain Ordinances in Conflict Herewith. An Emergency Ordinance."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Sub-section (a) of Section 11 of Ordinance No. 17.044, the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section (a). All persons, firms and corporations killing, dressing and making ready for market in the City and County of San Francisco any of the animals mentioned in Section 2 of this ordinance shall pay the sum of one (1c) cent per each one hundred pounds of the meat of said animals as weighed after said animals have been killed and dressed; provided that the minimum monthly sum paid shall be not less than ten (\$10) dollars.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Adopted.

The following recommendation of Public Utilities Committee was taken up:

#### **Granting Bus Permit to Market Street Railway Company—Geneva Avenue.**

(Code No. 15.09)

Resolution No. 3440, as follows:

Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company, a corporation, be and it is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate automobile buses over and on the hereinafter described route in the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Commencing at the intersection of Mission street and Geneva avenue, thence northeasterly along Mission street to Amazon street, thence southeasterly along Amazon street to London street, thence southwesterly along London street to Geneva avenue, thence southeasterly along Geneva avenue to Naples street, thence southwesterly along Naples street to Prague street, thence easterly and northerly along Prague street to Geneva avenue, thence southeasterly along Geneva avenue to the County line; and returning, from the County line at Geneva avenue, thence northwesterly along Geneva avenue to Prague street, thence southerly and westerly along Prague street to Naples street, thence northeasterly along Naples street to Geneva avenue, thence northwesterly along Geneva avenue to Mission street and the point of commencement.

That said permit be and the same is hereby granted upon the terms and conditions hereinafter set forth, and the acceptance or use of said permit by said Market Street Railway Company shall be deemed to be an assent to all of said terms and conditions, and for the holding of said permit subject thereto, which said terms and conditions are as follows:

(a) That nothing herein contained shall be considered to be a franchise granted to said Market Street Railway Company to operate said buses, nor shall the same ever become or ripen into a franchise, and that the permit herein granted shall at all times be revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, and upon the revocation of the same all rights and privileges herein granted shall cease and determine, and the said permit or any of the privileges thereby granted, or exercised thereunder, shall not, in any proceeding instituted by the City of San Francisco to acquire the system of said Market Street Railway Company, be deemed an asset or thing of value to said system.

(b) That said buses will at all times be operated by skilled oper-

ators, and in the operation of the same the said Market Street Railway Company will comply with all state and municipal rules, laws and regulations relating to the operation of said buses.

(c) That on said buses operated under this permit a fare of five (5) cents for each passenger shall be charged, which such fare when paid on said bus shall entitle the passenger to a transfer to the street railway lines of the Market Street Railway Company on Mission street at Geneva avenue and on Bay Shore boulevard (formerly San Bruno avenue) at the county line of San Mateo County upon the payment therefor of two (2) cents, as ordered and authorized by the Railroad Commission of the State of California by Order No. 29889, dated June 21, 1937, and Supplemental Order No. 29890, dated June 23, 1937, and Market Street Railway Company shall accept transfers from passengers transferring from Mission street at Geneva avenue and from Bay Shore boulevard (formerly San Bruno avenue) at the county line of San Mateo County to said bus line, as ordered and authorized by the Railroad Commission of the State of California as above referred to.

(d) That the permit herein granted shall not be assigned or transferred without consent of the Board of Supervisors, and before the same shall become effective the Market Street Railway Company shall file with the Board of Supervisors a written acceptance of said permit, in which it shall agree to accept said permit, subject to all the conditions herein contained.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of His Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Chief Ralph W. Wiley, Member Department of Electricity.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3441, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Chief Ralph W. Wiley, Department of Electricity, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of one week, commencing August 23, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Arthur M. Brown, Jr., Member Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3442, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Arthur M. Brown, Jr., a member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing August 4, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Dewey Mead, Member Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3443, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor



the Mayor, Honorable Dewey Mead, a member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of eight weeks, commencing August 15, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Albert A. Greenbaum, Member of the Art Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3444, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Albert A. Greenbaum, a member of the Art Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing July 30, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Steuben Society Favors Cahill Plan.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from A. Schapp, president James Lick Unit 79 of San Francisco of the Steuben Society of America, dated July 28, 1937, stating that society was whole heartedly in support of the Cahill Plan of Rapid Transit in the sum of \$49,250,000, and urging that the matter be placed on the November ballot.

**Final Passage.**

The following bill was presented by the Finance Committee and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Appropriating \$78,910.37 for Financing PWA Docket No. 1130,  
O'Shaughnessy Dam.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1385, Ordinance No. 9.051403, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation out of the unappropriated balance of the 1932 Hetch Hetchy Bond Fund in the amount of \$78,910.37 to the credit of Appropriation 92.235.01 to provide funds for financing "PWA" Docket No. 1130; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$78,910.37 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the unappropriated balance of the 1932 Hetch Hetchy Bond Fund to the credit of Appropriation 92.235.01 to provide funds for financing "PWA" Docket No. 1130.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as immediate action is required in order to comply with time limitations as established by law in respect to Docket No. 1130.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**RECESS.**

At 6:30 p. m. the Board of Supervisors took a recess until 8:45 p. m. this evening to take up the question of a bond issue for the projection of Fifth street and Grant avenue across Market street.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

**REASSEMBLED.**

In Board of Supervisors Monday, August 2, 1937, 8:45 p. m.  
The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 8:45 p. m.

**CALLING THE ROLL.**

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto—2.

Supervisor McSheehy was noted present at 9:45 p. m.

Supervisor Ratto was noted present at 9:15 p. m.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

**Request for Appropriation From Work Relief Fund for Elimination of Traffic Hazards and Bottlenecks at Marin Approaches of Golden Gate Bridge.**

(Code No. 5.2)

(Code No. 12.111)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 3433, as follows:

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby urge Mr. Wm. R. Lawson, local W.P.A. Administrator, and Harry L. Hopkins, Federal Administrator of W.P.A., to exert every effort to the end that a direct appropriation from the \$415,000,000 Work Relief Fund may be made available for the elimination, on a contract basis, of the traffic hazards and bottlenecks on the Marin County approaches to the Golden Gate Bridge, and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to the aforementioned officials of the Works Progress Administration.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee for Observance of Pulaski Day, October 10, 1937.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 3432, as follows:

Resolved, That His Honor the Mayor be requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to arrange for the proper celebration of Pulaski Day, October 10, 1937.

Count Casimir Pulaski, the great Polish patriot of the American Revolution, contributed much to American independence. An exile from his own country, he came to America in 1777, joined the Revolutionary Army under Washington, distinguished himself at Brandywine, fought at Germantown, and was the defender of the City of Charleston during the winters of 1777 and 1778; was made a Briga-



dier and Chief of Cavalry by Congress, and fell mortally wounded at Savannah, later dying October 11, 1779.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncoviari, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

#### **Confirmation of Appointment of Dr. Alanson Weeks to Trusteeship of War Memorial.**

Communication from His Honor the Mayor, dated July 28, 1937, requesting that, in consonance with the provisions of Section 44 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors to confirm the appointment by the Mayor of Dr. Alanson Weeks, 945 Green street, to serve as Trustee on the War Memorial Board for the unexpired term of Colbert Coldwell, resigned.

*Unanimously confirmed by Board.*

#### **Recommended Citizens' Committee for Marconi Statue Fund.**

The following was read by Clerk:

Communication from Ralph Turner of The Call-Bulletin, dated July 30, 1937, recommending the Board's approval of the appointment of the following Citizens' Committee for Marconi Statue Fund:

Mayor Angelo J. Rossi, honorary chairman; Herbert Fleishhacker, Sr., chairman; Andrea Rainaldi, Italian consul-general; Frederick Wagner, publisher, The Call-Bulletin; Clarence Lindner, publisher, The Examiner; W. N. Burkhardt, publisher, The News; Paul C. Smith, executive editor, The Chronicle; Ettore Patrizi, publisher, L'Italia; Guglielmo Torchia, general manager, La Voce del Popolo; Dr. Grace McCann Morley, San Francisco Art Museum; M. L. Perasso, Italian Chamber of Commerce; Peter Maloney, South of Market Boys; Sylvester Andriano, attorney; John Francis Neylan, attorney; A. Cubbiociotti, recording secretary, Sons of Italy, and others to be appointed later.

*Committee made official by unanimous consent.*

#### **Appropriation of \$54,000 for Standardization of Salaries.**

(Code No. 9.051)

The Finance Committee presented:

Bill No. 1410, Ordinance No. 9.051411, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of five thousand four hundred (\$5,400) dollars from the Emergency Reserve Fund (702,900.00) to the Civil Service Commission Fund, No. 771.102.00 for the purpose of providing funds for defraying the expenses of the necessary work of the Civil Service Commission to enable said Commission to make a report to the Board of Supervisors in re the standardization of salaries of the employees of the City and County of San Francisco; and declaring that an emergency exists; and passing this ordinance as an emergency measure.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Fund No. 702,900.00 the sum of five thousand four hundred (\$5,400) dollars to the Civil Service Commission Fund No. 771.102.00 for the purpose of defraying the expenses of the Civil Service Commission in forthwith making a report to the Board of Supervisors regarding the standardization of the salaries of the employees of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund for the reason that an emergency exists and it is necessary for the uninterrupted operation of said Civil Service Commission that said appropriation be made.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure for the reason that the same must become effective immediately and this Board of Supervisors does, by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature and character of said emergency being as follows, to-wit:

That the immediate standardization of salaries of the employees of the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with the provisions of the Charter, Section 151 thereof, is necessary and the amount of said appropriation is necessary for the uninterrupted operation of the Civil Service Commission and in order to permit said Civil Service Commission to forthwith make a report as to the standardization of the salaries of the employees of the City and County of San Francisco.

**Opinion of City Attorney Re Emergency Appropriation for Civil Service Commission for Standardization of Salaries.**

The following was read by the Clerk:

August 2, 1937.

Dear Sirs: I have the request of your Finance Committee asking that I advise you if the appropriation for \$5,400 requested by the Civil Service Commission can be made from the Emergency Reserve Fund. It is noted that the Commission has made request upon the Mayor for this appropriation and that the Mayor requests your Board to pass the necessary ordinance making the appropriation from the Emergency Reserve Fund, and that the Controller certifies as to the availability of funds.

Therefore, the only question to be determined is whether the appropriation, under existing conditions, can legally be made from the Emergency Reserve Fund.

*Opinion.*

Section 79 of the Charter provides for the creation of the Emergency Reserve Fund for the purpose of meeting any emergencies as same are defined in Sections 16 and 25 of the Charter. Section 79 also provides that appropriations from such Reserve Fund shall be made only on the recommendation of the department head, the board or commission in charge of such department, the recommendation of the Mayor and the enactment of an ordinance by a three-fourths vote of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 25 deals with emergencies which involve or threaten the life, property or welfare of the citizens or the property of the city and county. Therefore, the present appropriation does not come within the provisions of this section.

Section 16 defines an emergency measure as one necessary for the preservation of public peace, property, health or safety, or provision for the uninterrupted operation of any city and county department or office, or action required to comply with time limitations as established by law.

The Supreme Court of California, in the case of *Collins v. Marsh*, 176 Cal. 639, defines an emergency as

"an unforeseen occurrence or combination of circumstances which calls for immediate action or remedy; a pressing necessity; an exigency."

In the case of *Los Angeles Dredging Co. v. Long Beach*, 210 Cal. 348, the Supreme Court said:

"To say what constitutes an emergency is not an easy task. The term depends greatly upon the special circumstances of each case and the authorities are not very helpful in the present inquiry. By definition the term emergency implies a sudden or unexpected necessity requiring speedy action."

I give you these definitions of the term "emergency" for the reason that, in my opinion, the existence of the emergency must be determined by you, and while the declaration or finding of the existence of an emergency by your Board is not binding upon the courts, it is prima facie evidence of its existence.



In the instant case it appears from the letter addressed by the Civil Service Commission to the Mayor, copy of which you attach to your request, that the appropriation is asked for the purpose of standardizing salaries as required by the Charter.

Section 151 of the Charter makes it the duty of the Board of Supervisors, in conjunction with the Civil Service Commission, to standardize salaries, the first step in the proceeding being the report or recommendation of the Civil Service Commission. I understand that the appropriation is to be used for making this report. There is no time set by the Charter provision within which to complete the standardization but the Charter seems to make it mandatory that salaries be standardized.

The Civil Service Commission excuses itself for not providing funds for its work in the matter of standardization of salaries upon the ground that when it realized the acute necessity that standardization be completed before next year the budget estimates had been practically completed and the appropriation ordinance had been practically adopted and that the several meetings had before the Board of Supervisors in the matter of the adoption of the Appropriation Ordinance and the adoption of the Salary Ordinance showed that salary standardization should be effected before the budget estimates for the coming fiscal year were submitted in accordance with the Charter.

As I have already stated, the matter of the determination of the existence of an emergency rests with your Board. I have given you the general rules whereby you may determine the fact of the existence of an emergency and if, at the present time, you believe that in order to enable the Civil Service Commission to perform its duty and therefore continue without interruption the operation of its department, the appropriation comes within the emergency provision of Section 16 of the Charter, by a three-fourths vote of your Board you may pass the ordinance.

I return to you the communication addressed to you by his Honor, the Mayor, and also copies of the communications addressed to the Mayor by the Civil Service Commission on this subject.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) JOHN J. O'TOOLE,  
City Attorney

#### Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the foregoing matter be referred to the Civil Service Committee and that it be made a Special Order in the Board for Monday, August 9, 1937, at 5 p. m.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto—4.

#### SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.

The following matter at meeting of July 19, 1937, was laid over for consideration at meeting of August 2, 1937:

#### Bond Issue Legislation—Dead End Streets.

(Code No. 12.08)

Resolution No. 3376, as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of the extension of Fifth street diagonally across Market street to the intersection of Mason and Eddy streets and the projection of Grant avenue across Market street to then constitute a new street between Third and Fourth streets from Market street to Brannan street, and that the

estimated cost of said improvement is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and declared that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of the establishing, laying out, opening, widening, extending, straightening, construction, improving and altering of streets and public ways, and the establishing, modifying, and changing the grade thereof; the construction of the necessary pavements, curbs, sidewalks, culverts and other structures, and the acquisition of any lands or rights of way and other property necessary for such purposes, including construction of extension of Fifth street diagonally across Market street to the intersection of Mason and Eddy streets and the projection of Grant avenue across Market street to then constitute a new street between Third and Fourth streets from Market to Brannan streets, all designed for the purpose of better accommodating traffic in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The estimated cost of making the improvement described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of \$.....

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of \$..... no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the sum of \$.....

Section 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

June 28, 1937—Supervisor Colman moved that the City Attorney be requested to prepare legislation necessary for submission to the electors, the question of bond issue for the cutting through of "dead-end" streets, as separate question, i. e., one proposal for extension of Fifth street, and another for the projection of Grant avenue across Market street to Brannan street.

*So ordered.*

#### Motion.

On motion duly made and *carried*. Rule 35 was suspended in order that the citizens who wished to speak might have the privilege of the floor.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

A. J. Gallagher, 1485 Eighteenth street, representing the Southern Promotion Association; Timothy Healy, 640 Taylor street, representing Market Street Merchants; Cleve Shaffer, Sutter street, representing citizens; W. J. Varley, 133 Rey avenue, representing Central Council of Civic Clubs, and D. V. Nicholson, 2841 Vallejo, representing the Tiangle Merchants, were heard at length on the pending question.

#### Motions.

Supervisor Uhl moved to lay matter over until 4 p. m. next Monday, Clerk to get report from Chief Administrative office.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—5.  
Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt—6.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Resolution No. 3376 as presented and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.  
Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Uhl—6.



Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved submission to the people of a proposed bond issue for \$1,908,500 for extending Fifth street across Market to Eddy and Mason streets.

Supervisor Shannon objected to consideration of the matter and moved that it be referred to committee.

Supervisor Schmidt, seconded by Supervisor Brown, moved to rescind action whereby Resolution No. 3376 was defeated.

Chair declared that he would not reconsider action with the opinion of the City Attorney and ruled the motion out of order until he has the City Attorney's advice.

#### Appeal From the Decision of the Chair.

Supervisors Colman and Brown appealed from the decision of the Chair.

Supervisor McSheehy, being called upon, put the question: Shall the decision of the Chair be the decision of the Board, and the roll was called. The Chair was *sustained* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovi-eri, Uhl—7.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt—3.

Excused from voting—Supervisor Shannon—1.

#### Referred.

Whereupon, the question of separate bond issue for Fifth street was *referred to the Finance Committee*. Also, on motion of Supervisor Ratto, the question of Grant avenue was *referred to the Finance Committee*.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors, at 11:25 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 9, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 32

No. 32

Monday, August 9, 1937


# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

---

MONDAY, AUGUST 9, 1937, 2 P. M.

---

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 9, 1937,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:  
Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Supervisor Brown noted present at 2:20 p. m.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of August 2, 1937, was  
considered read and approved.

### HEARING OF APPEAL

#### Rezoning of Cordelia Street Between Pacific Street and Broadway.

Hearing of appeal from decision of the City Planning Commission  
granting an application to rezone from Commercial District to Light  
Industrial District, property located on Cordelia street between Pa-  
cific street and Broadway.

On motion duly made and *carried* the foregoing matter was made a  
Special Order of Business for 4 p. m. this day. Subsequently at the  
appointed hour, the Board of Supervisors proceeded and heard the  
interested parties, to-wit:

R. M. J. Armstrong, representing the new Century Beverage Co.,  
was heard in opposition to the appellants. He favored the decision  
of the City Planning Commission.

Wm. G. Hogan, representing Madison and Burke, Realtors, was also  
heard in opposition to appellants.

Antonio Cassiantelli, 15 Cordelia street property owner, was heard  
in opposition to the rezoning.

Mr. Leonard, representing Laborers Union, also spoke in the interest  
of Mr. Cassiantelli.

Mr. A. Campidonico, 2336 Bay street, representing the new Beverage  
Company, was heard urging the rezoning.

### Resolution Defeated.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *refused*  
*adoption* by the following vote:



**Disapproving Decision of City Planning Commission Granting Application to Rezone Cordelia Street Between Pacific Street and Broadway.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. ———, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1652, dated June 17, 1937, granting application to rezone from Commercial District to Light Industrial District, property located on Cordelia street between Pacific street and Broadway, is hereby disapproved.

Aye—Supervisor Reilly—1.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

**MOTION TO RECONSIDER**

The following matter was taken up:

**Bond Issue Legislation—Rapid Transit.**

(Code No. 15.059)

Resolution No. 3375, as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of subways and surface rapid transit lines to connect with existing municipal and other railway lines and establishment of bus lines and the acquisition of the necessary cars, buses and equipment to be used in connection with said subways and surface rapid transit and bus lines, together with the required car and bus storage facilities, shops and buildings and the land necessary therefor, and all other things necessary, convenient or incidental to the construction, operation and maintenance of said rapid transit system within the City and County of San Francisco, including the necessary easements and rights of way, and further determining and declaring that the estimated cost of said improvement is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and declared that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of a subway in Market street from Fremont street westerly to the vicinity of Church street with a subway in Fremont street from Market street to the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge terminal in the vicinity of Howard and Fremont streets; a subway through the Mission District commencing at a point in the vicinity of Market street and Van Ness avenue and extending to Mission street over the most advisable route and continuing southerly in Mission street to the right of way of the Southern Pacific Company at a point in the vicinity of Mission and Twenty-fifth streets; thence under the said right of way to a point in the vicinity of Twenty-seventh and Dolores streets; a subway in Montgomery street southerly from Columbus avenue to Market street, thence in Market street to Geary street and thence in Geary street to a point in the vicinity of Pierce street; a surface street railway commencing at the end of the subway in the vicinity of Twenty-seventh and Dolores streets over and along or near the right of way of the Southern Pacific Company to its intersection with Ocean avenue; and to establish and maintain bus lines to be operated in connection with and as feeders to said subways and surface railway lines existing or to be hereafter acquired, all to constitute a rapid transit system and to be operated in connection with the present municipal railway system to relieve traffic congestion in the City and County of San Francisco and to accelerate the transportation of passengers

between the business and residential sections of the City and County. Together with the acquisition of all lands, easements and rights of way necessary, convenient or incident to the construction, maintenance and operation of said subways and surface lines, including buses and all facilities necessary for the housing and servicing the cars and buses used in the operation of said subway, surface railway and bus lines, including the land necessary for said purpose. Together with all equipment, devices and facilities of every kind and nature necessary or incident or convenient to the acquisition, maintenance and operation of said subways, surface or bus lines, and the necessary changes in the present street railway equipment to make the same adaptable for use in connection with said subways and surface lines.

Section 2. The estimated cost of making the improvement described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of \$49,250,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of \$49,250,000, no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the sum of \$49,250,000.

Section 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

*July 12, 1937—Over one week.*

*July 19, 1937—Over until August 2, 1937, and made a Special Order for 2:30 p. m.*

*August 2, 1937—Refused passage by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon—7.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Uhl—4.

*Requiring eight votes, the resolution was refused adoption.*

Before the result of the foregoing ballot was announced, Supervisor Colman changed his vote from *Aye* to *No* and moved for reconsideration at this meeting.

The vote now stands:

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Uhl—5.

#### Motion to Reconsider.

Supervisor Colman moved for reconsideration of the action of last Monday, whereby Resolution No. 3376 was defeated.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Motion.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved for a segregation of the resolution and a separate vote on section 1, endorsing the Cahill Plan, and a separate vote on sections 2 and 3, providing for the amount of the bond issue.

Motion *carried*.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Section 1, and the motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—10.

No—Supervisor Uhl—1.

#### Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved as an amendment, that the figures \$51,000,000 be inserted in lieu of



\$49,250,000 at the end of Section 2; in the second line of Section 3, and at the end of Section 3.

Amendment *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

#### Resolution Adopted.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Resolution No. 3375, providing for a Bond Issue of \$49,250,000 for Rapid Transit, and the same was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt—8.

Noes—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—3.

#### Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Roncovieri explained his vote as follows:

Mr. President, Members of the Board of Supervisors:

What I am about to say on this momentous question is uttered with no sense of embarrassment or tinge of apology; nor am I fearful of dire and calamitous results if my attitude in the matter should, at the moment, appear to some to needlessly obstruct the submission of the subway bond issue to the people.

To those within sound of my voice, to those who will read of my expressed opinion in the Press tomorrow, to those who will hear by word of mouth, however distorted the version of my stand on this matter may be, I say to you, as your Chairman of Finance, as your Supervisor at large, as your friend and neighbor, as your co-bearer of the present day burden of taxation, that when I oppose the submission of the Public Utilities Commission *Plan of Financing* the subway, I do so without malice or prejudice.

This Board of Supervisors knows—and the citizens of San Francisco know—that I have unswervingly advocated the construction of the rapid transit subway system, *together with the method of financing it as recommended by Messrs. Ridgway and Brahdy*. The Public Utilities Commission, however, has seen fit to change and wholly alter the *Ridgway-Brahdy Plan of financing* the construction of the subway rapid transit project. This *new idea* of the Public Utilities Commission on the subject of finance, is a complete reversal and right about face from the original *Ridgway-Brahdy recommendations* which Mr. Cahill, representing the Commission has been strenuously advocating for more than a year up to May 7, 1937.

I do not—I cannot—approve these unwarranted changes in the original *Ridgway-Brahdy Plan of finance*. The recent alterations made by the Public Utilities Commission in the original, well-considered *Ridgway-Brahdy Plan*, if approved by this Board, will impose on the present day taxpayers an increase in the tax rate of more than 94.4 cents on each \$100 of assessed valuation, amounting to more than \$7,557,000 in excess over the original *Ridgway-Brahdy Plan* during the first ten years of the life of the bonds.

No intelligent person can deny that the placing of this crushing and totally *unnecessary* tax burden upon the already overburdened backs of our present-day taxpayers will positively insure the defeat of the bond issue, and consequently of the splendid rapid transit project. I shall not be a party to insulting the intelligence of the taxpayers by voting for a plan which unnecessarily imposes upon them more than 94.4 cents in the tax rate which amounts in cash to more than \$7,557,000 in excess of the *Ridgway-Brahdy Plan of financing* the project.

The taxpayers are still struggling to get themselves out of the worst financial slough of depression the world has ever known. And yet,

*the members of this Board are asked to add the huge increase of 94.4 cents, or more than \$7,557,000 to the present agony of the people's tax load!!*

**TAXES! TAXES!! AND MORE TAXES!!!**—Federal, State, and Municipal. Is there to be no end to the orgy of increasing taxation? I refuse absolutely to be a party to the piling up of totally *unnecessary* taxes by submitting to the voters such a poor substitute for the well-conceived and sensible Ridgway-Brahdy Plan of financing.

Right now I warn you: You will imperil the success of the rapid transit project if you should persist in the action you took last Monday of substituting the Public Utilities Commission's *unfair plan* for the Ridgway-Brahdy Plan. You cannot tie a millstone around the necks of our taxpayers and expect them to swim across the swollen flood-stream of increasing taxation. Give the taxpayer a chance for his life. *Do not treat him as the forgotten man!* But if you will not change your attitude and obey the dictates of reason that is your responsibility.

So convinced am I that the construction of a subway system is the only feasible One Hundred Percent Solution of our transportation problems, and so desirous am I that it shall come to pass, that I most earnestly plead with you, with all the emphasis at my command, to vote for the original Ridgway-Brahdy Plan of financing it advocated until recently by Mr. Cahill himself, and which I am advocating as the best way to finance the project. I am asking you to vote for the same plan which has been made a part of our charter, and which is generally used to finance great public projects such as the two great bridges across the Bay, the Hetch Hetchy Dam, the Hetch Hetchy Power Project, the Water Distribution System, and many others. It is accepted in all financial circles as being the soundest method of financing public projects, and is in accordance with the best accounting practice.

The Ridgway-Brahdy recommendation remains the same today as when it was made over a year ago. We have never been told why the Public Utilities Commission has seen fit to repudiate it, although the Commission at first accepted it and recommended it to the Board of Supervisors continuously for over a year. No one knows why the Commission suddenly turned its back on the Ridgway-Brahdy Plan. Not one word of explanation has ever been given to this Board of Supervisors.

The Ridgway-Brahdy Plan places the financing of the project before the people in its most favorable aspect respecting taxes, and if presented to the people they may favorably take this plan of financing, because it will never during the entire life of the bonds, increase the requirements for bond interest and redemptions over the requirements for the present fiscal year, and because it will cost \$7,557,000 less during the first ten years than the new plan proposed by the Commission. But if you deliberately reject the Ridgway-Brahdy Plan which I am advocating, and in its stead you vote to place the new Public Utilities Commission Plan on the ballot, I predict you will find the taxpayers resentful of the handicap you place upon them, and they will repudiate and overwhelmingly reject the bond issue.

Gentlemen, I have fought the good fight solely in the interests of presenting to the people a fair and honest financial set-up for the project in all its phases, one that would be to the advantage of the people in every way. I am, therefore, constrained to stand my ground, in the firm conviction that the original Ridgway-Brahdy Plan of financing the project should prevail over the ill-considered substitute of the Public Utilities Commission.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Gentlemen, a favorable vote upon the motion to reconsider the vote of last Monday on Rapid Transit has just been taken, placing that problem before us just as it was one week ago.

I, therefore, rise to vote on the question of the submission of the Cahill Plan of Rapid Transit, but before my vote is cast, I wish to



explain my reasons for casting my vote in favor of submission of the Cahill Plan, in view of the fact that I have consistently, in the past, opposed it and have championed the purchase of the Market Street Railway at a nuisance value of \$5,000,000, and have suggested a bond issue of \$15,000,000—\$10,000,000 for 1,000 new, modern motor buses similar to those used in New York, and \$5,000,000 as a nuisance value for the Market Street Railway.

All agree that some form of rapid transit must be put in operation in San Francisco or a great many of our people will leave San Francisco and reside in Alameda and Marin Counties on account of the rapid transit facilities afforded them by the two great bay bridges.

Section 121 of the Charter has been so written that the sole authority for the approval of any public utility plan presented by petition, individual citizen, or members of the Board of Supervisors, is entirely in the hands of the Manager of the Public Utilities Commission.

Section 101 of the General Laws of the State of California calls for the approval of all bond issues by a two-thirds majority of the Board of Supervisors.

*At this point too much stress cannot be put on the fact that the Supervisors have no choice in the matter other than to submit or refuse to submit the plan chosen by the Public Utilities Commission; for instance, on this momentous occasion we are confronted with a choice of submitting or refusing to submit the so-called Cahill Plan of Rapid Transit, the terms of Section 121 above referred to precluding the possibility of a choice of rapid transit other than the selection made by the Public Utilities Commission.*

Knowing the great necessity of rapid transit, and as 7 members of this Board have voted in favor of the submission of the Cahill Plan of Rapid Transit and my vote is numbered among the 4 opposing members, the change of front of but one member would give the people of San Francisco a chance to decide for themselves on this all important matter. I deem it my duty to cast aside my personal opinion in regard to the Cahill Plan and allow the people the right to judge of its merits themselves. As a citizen of this city I will go to the polls on election day and vote against the Cahill Plan of Rapid Transit, but as a Supervisor I hereby cast my vote in favor of its submission to the people, and request that this statement be read into the record of the minutes of proceedings of this Board.

Supervisor Uhl explained his vote by saying:

This will load down the taxpayers with a burden in round figures of \$50,000,000, and it may not stop there, it is absolutely out of reason, out of the question, when a modern system, in my opinion, a modern express bus system will cover the situation and meet the needs and requirements for speeding up transportation at a cost of less than \$7,000,000. Therefore, I feel that a bond issue of \$50,000,000 should not be undertaken at all, at least until we have exhausted every other possibility. Again, at last Monday's meeting—I would just as soon talk of this later on, but nevertheless it has a bearing on this subject. You recall that at last Monday's meeting I made the statement that the engineers brought here from New York, at considerable expense, advocated buses in the residential section, and that Mr. Cahill had not included that in the original report that he made. On May 4th, 1937, I wrote a letter to him asking him what studies had been made regarding buses for the outlying districts, and he wrote back on the same day stating that no study had been made. That was on May 4th. Then a week later, on May 11th, I think it was, Mr. Cahill then came in here proposing 14 bus routes, and yet he says there has been no study or consideration made there of what might be done with buses or what the losses in that case would be. I asked him to check over the line of buses which I laid out, and he comes out with a terrific criticism and attack on me. He comes out and says that they lost \$10,000 on their buses, and that it is absolutely unreasonable. In

saying that they lost this \$10,000 he doesn't stop to think that possibly a bus line on Third street might be successfully operated, or that it is perfectly possible they might operate on Cabrillo, the gentleman simply ignores it in an arrogant sort of manner, which I think is entirely uncalled for, and therefore I think that there should be a change in our Charter in regard to these matters. Now, when it comes to roll call on this I am going to vote no, I think it is entirely unreasonable when there is such an amount of money involved.

**SUPERVISOR BROWN:** I realize that I am voting on Section 1, but I will be for the affirmative, and in doing so, I want this Board and the people here to understand my reasons. This question is a most vital one to the City, and since this is the only way we can find out how the people feel, I think we have to find out. The question of the Public Utilities Commission's stand on refusing to submit the \$16,000,000 proposition is just as much a matter of opinion as the opinion which I now express on this \$52,000,000 plan, and I feel that I have the right, if I am so inclined, to go out and work against the passage of this bond issue after submitting it to the people, because I feel that a \$52,000,000 bond issue at this time is excessive.

**SUPERVISOR COLMAN:** In voting for it, I was not going to speak at all. However, I think I should speak, because if the Board presents it to the people it stands better than a reasonable chance of success. I have heard a great deal of comment all over the City regarding it, and a great deal of demand all over the city for its submission to the people, and I feel it is our duty to submit it. There is not a doubt as to the necessity for something being done, and not a doubt that this is the best plan that has been presented to us, and there is certain to be a large number who will wish to present it to the people, and if my services are asked in that connection I shall be very glad to go out to the best of my ability and urge the people to vote for it.

**SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT:** I desire to explain my vote on Section Number 1, which practically explains my vote as regards final submission.

I want to be consistent, and as I know that the people in the past have voted for the referendum and recall that to me is a mandate of the people that in matters of this nature they wish an opportunity to express themselves. I think that the people realize that we are not voting a bond issue here, we are voting merely to give the people of San Francisco an opportunity to express their opinion as regards this matter, whether they want to vote for this project to the extent of a two-thirds majority or not. Therefore, I am voting in favor of this first section and also submission, merely to give the people an opportunity to vote on it; I personally feel it is the democratic thing to do.

#### **SPECIAL ORDER—5 P. M.**

##### **Adopted.**

The following matter, laid over from last meeting, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Appropriation for the Purpose of Defraying Expenses of the Necessary Work of Civil Service Commission to Make Report to the Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1410, Ordinance No. 9.051411, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of five thousand four hundred (\$5,400) dollars from the Emergency Reserve Fund (702,900.00) to the Civil Service Commission Fund, No. 771.102.00, for the purpose of providing funds for defraying the expenses of the necessary work of the Civil Service Commission to enable said Commission to make a report to the Board of Supervisors in re the standardization of salaries of the



employees of the City and County of San Francisco; and declaring that an emergency exists; and passing this ordinance as an emergency measure.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Fund No. 702,900.00 the sum of five thousand four hundred (\$5,400) dollars to the Civil Service Commission Fund No. 771,102.00 for the purpose of defraying the expenses of the Civil Service Commission in forthwith making a report to the Board of Supervisors regarding the standardization of the salaries of the employees of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund for the reason that an emergency exists and it is necessary for the uninterrupted operation of said Civil Service Commission that said appropriation be made.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure for the reason that the same must become effective immediately and this Board of Supervisors does, by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective forthwith; the nature and character of said emergency being as follows, to-wit:

That the immediate standardization of salaries of the employees of the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with the provisions of the Charter, Section 151 thereof, is necessary and the amount of said appropriation is necessary for the uninterrupted operation of the Civil Service Commission, and in order to permit said Civil Service Commission to forthwith make a report as to the standardization of the salaries of the employees of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### PROPOSED BOND ISSUES

The following matters submitted without recommendation of Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Extension of Fifth Street From Market to Mason Streets.

(Code No. 12.121)

Resolution No. 3457, as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of the extension of Fifth street diagonally across Market street to the intersection of Mason and Eddy streets, and that the estimated cost of said improvement is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and declared that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement, consisting of the establishing, laying out, opening, widening, extending, straightening, construction, improving and altering of streets and public ways, and the establishing, modifying and changing the grade thereof; the construction of the necessary pavements, curbs, sidewalks, culverts and other structures, and the acquisition of any lands or rights of way and other property necessary for such purposes, including construction of extension of Fifth street diagonally across Market street to the intersection of Mason and Eddy streets, all designed for the

purpose of better accommodating traffic in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The estimated cost of making the improvement described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of \$1,908,500.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of \$1,908,500 no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the sum of \$1,908,500.

Section 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

### Motion.

Supervisor Colman moved the adoption of the resolution providing for the issuance of bonds for the extension of Fifth street across Market street to Eddy and Mason streets.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Meyer, moved to rescind the action of last week, whereby the resolution providing for the extension of Fifth street and the extension of Grant avenue was defeated.

Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Colman, raised the point of order that the motion was out of order on the ground that the Board was considering No. 4 on the Calendar, providing for the Fifth Street Bond Issue alone.

The Chair overruled the objection.

Whereby, Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Colman, appealed from the decision of the Chair on the ground that the motion to rescind was not in order since the Board was considering No. 4 on the Calendar.

Supervisor McSheehy, being called upon by the Chair, put the appeal:

"The decision of the Chair has been appealed from. 'Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the decision of the Board?'"

The roll was called and the Chair *overruled* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—5.  
Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Uhl—5.  
Excused from voting—Supervisor Shannon—1.

### Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Ratto, in explanation of his vote, said that he intended to vote *No* on the resolution for Fifth street, as he believed the Grant avenue project should have prior consideration for the reason that the property is as cheap today as it ever will be. Later, the property to be acquired for this extension will be tremendously increased in value and its acquisition may be prohibitive. We should go forward by taking in the most congested district. That is, the vicinity of Grant avenue.

Supervisor Roncovieri, in explanation of how he intended to vote, said he would vote *No* because the two streets are separated. He would be glad to vote for the two together, but is opposed to voting for only one of them at a time.

Supervisor Reilly made the same explanation of his vote.

On the suggestion of the Controller the figure in the resolution was changed from \$1,908,500 to \$1,909,000, so that the bonds could be issued evening in \$1,000 denominations.



**Resolution Defeated.**

Whereupon, the roll was called on the resolution providing for a bond issue of \$1,909,000 for the extension of Fifth street from Market to Eddy and Mason streets, and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Uhl—6.

Before the result of the foregoing ballot was announced, Supervisor Colman changed his vote from *aye* to *no*, and moved for reconsideration at the next meeting.

The vote then stood:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Supervisor Uhl, thereupon, moved for immediate reconsideration.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

(At this point of the proceedings, Supervisor Brown was excused from the meeting.)

**Extension of Grant Avenue From Market Street to Brannan Street.**

(Code No. 12.121)

Also, Resolution No. 3458, as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of the projection of Grant avenue across Market street to then constitute a new street between Third and Fourth streets from Market street to Brannan street, and that the estimated cost of said improvement is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and declared that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of the establishing, laying out, opening, widening, extending, straightening, construction, improving and altering of streets and public ways, and the establishing, modifying, and changing the grade thereof; the construction of the necessary pavements, curbs, sidewalks, culverts and other structures, and the acquisition of any lands or rights of way and other property necessary for such purposes, including the projection of Grant avenue across Market street to then constitute a new street between Third and Fourth streets from Market to Brannan streets, all designed for the purpose of better accommodating traffic in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The estimated cost of making the improvement described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of \$4,694,800.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of \$4,694,800 no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the sum of \$4,694,800.

Section 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Ratto moved to amend by providing for the projection of Grant avenue across Market street to Howard street at an estimated cost of \$3,260,000.

*Amendment failed for want of a second.*

**Resolution Defeated.**

Whereupon, the roll was called on Resolution No. 3458, providing for the extension of Grant avenue from Market street to Brannan street, and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Shannon—4.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

**Bond Issue, \$3,000,000—Airport.**

(Code No. 15.051)

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

Resolution No. 3459, as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the improvement and enlargement of the San Francisco Airport in San Mateo county, and the acquisition and construction of additions thereto so as to provide the necessary facilities for the landing and taking off, and the housing and harboring of land planes engaged in transcontinental traffic and seaplanes engaged in transoceanic traffic, together with all other planes engaged in air commerce generally, and so as to provide a suitable base for a detachment of the United States Coast Guard to aid in the operation of said airplanes. The improvement of said Airport to consist in providing additional operating areas for both said land and sea planes, hangars, shops and other structures including harbors, channels, wharves, jetties, piers, ramps, breakwaters, lighting facilities, drainage and sewer facilities, radio meteorological facilities, buildings and equipment for utility service, buildings for the accommodation of passengers and patrons, houses and homes for laborers and employees, together with the necessary additional land for providing for all and singular the above mentioned, and the grading, filling, draining and paving said additional land and lands now under the jurisdiction of said Airport, together with the doing and acquisition of all other things and matters which will enable said San Francisco Airport to meet the requirements and regulations of the Department of Commerce of the United States, as well as of air transport companies, relative to the operation, landing, taking off, housing and harboring of air craft, and that the estimated cost of said improvements is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and declared that public interest and necessity demand the improvement and enlargement of the San Francisco Airport in San Mateo county, and the acquisition and construction of additions thereto so as to provide the necessary facilities for the landing and taking off, and the housing and harboring of land planes engaged in transcontinental traffic and seaplanes engaged in transoceanic traffic, together with all other planes engaged in air commerce generally, and so as to provide a suitable base for a detachment of the United States Coast Guard to aid in the operation of said airplanes. The improvement of said Airport to consist in providing additional operating areas for both said land and sea planes, hangars, shops and other structures including harbors, channels, wharves, jetties, piers, ramps, breakwaters, lighting facilities, drainage and



sewer facilities, radio meteorological facilities, buildings and equipment for utility service, buildings for the accommodation of passengers and patrons, houses and homes for laborers and employees, together with the necessary additional land for providing for all and singular the above mentioned, and the grading, filling, draining and paving said additional land and lands now under the jurisdiction of said Airport, together with the doing and acquisition of all other things and matters which will enable said San Francisco Airport to meet the requirements and regulations of the Department of Commerce of the United States, as well as of air transport companies, relative to the operation, landing, taking off, housing and harboring of air craft, and that the estimated cost of said improvements is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of such improvement and enlargement of said Airport and the acquisition and construction of additions thereto, as described in Section 1 hereof, is the sum of \$3,000,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of the said sum of \$3,000,000, no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of \$3,000,000.

Section 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

#### Motion.

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Meyer, moved to amend the resolution by changing the figure from \$3,000,000 to \$2,850,000.

Bernard M. Doolin, Superintendent of Airport, was granted the privilege of the floor, and stated that unless this improvement was made, the Airport would lose the air mail base, the Pacific-American Airline and other Coast service which will have to then most likely go to Los Angeles.

George Allen, representing the Central Council of Civic Clubs, was also heard in a statement to the effect that his organization was in favor of placing the matter on the ballot so that the electors might express themselves on it.

Thereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Colman's motion to make the figure \$2,850,000 instead of \$3,000,000, and the amendment carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Noes—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Resolution Adopted.

Whereupon, the roll was called on the resolution as amended, and in words and figures following, was *adopted* by the following vote:

**Airport, \$2,850,000.**

(Code No. 15.051)

Resolution No. 3459, as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the improvement and enlargement of the San Francisco Airport in San Mateo County, and the acquisition and construction of additions thereto so as to provide the necessary facilities for the landing and taking off, and the housing and harboring of land planes engaged in transcontinental traffic and seaplanes engaged in transoceanic traffic, together with all other planes engaged in air commerce generally, and so as to provide a suitable base for a detachment of the United States

Coast Guard to aid in the operation of said airplanes, the improvement of said Airport to consist in providing additional operating areas for both said land and sea planes, hangars, shops and other structures including harbors, channels, wharves, jetties, piers, ramps, breakwaters, lighting facilities, drainage and sewer facilities, radio meteorological facilities, buildings and equipment for utility service, buildings for the accommodation of passengers and patrons, houses and homes for laborers and employees, together with the necessary additional land for providing for all and singular the above mentioned, and the grading, filling, draining and paving said additional land and lands now under the jurisdiction of said Airport, together with the doing and acquisition of all other things and matters which will enable said San Francisco Airport to meet the requirements and regulations of the Department of Commerce of the United States, as well as of air transport companies, relative to the operation, landing, taking off, housing and harboring of air craft, and that the estimated cost of said improvements is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and declared that public interest and necessity demand the improvement and enlargement of the San Francisco Airport in San Mateo County, and the acquisition and construction of additions thereto so as to provide the necessary facilities for the landing and taking off, and the housing and harboring of land planes engaged in transcontinental traffic and seaplanes engaged in transoceanic traffic, together with all other planes engaged in air commerce generally, and so as to provide a suitable base for a detachment of the United States Coast Guard to aid in the operation of said airplanes. The improvement of said Airport to consist in providing additional operating areas for both said land and sea planes, hangars, shops and other structures including harbors, channels, wharves, jetties, piers, ramps, breakwaters, lighting facilities, drainage and sewer facilities, radio meteorological facilities, buildings and equipment for utility service, buildings for the accommodation of passengers and patrons, houses and homes for laborers and employees, together with the necessary additional land for providing for all and singular the above mentioned, and the grading, filling, draining and paving said additional land and lands now under the jurisdiction of said Airport, together with the doing and acquisition of all other things and matters which will enable said San Francisco Airport to meet the requirements and regulations of the Department of Commerce of the United States, as well as of air transport companies, relative to the operation, landing, taking off, housing and harboring of air craft, and that the estimated cost of said improvements is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of such improvement and enlargement of said Airport and the acquisition and construction of additions thereto, as described in Section 1 hereof, is the sum of \$2,850,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of the said sum of \$2,850,000, no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of \$2,850,000.

Section 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Noes—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.



**Sewer Construction and Reconstruction, \$5,000,000.**

(Code No. 12.122)

Also, Resolution No. 3456, as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of municipal improvements consisting of reconditioning and rebuilding existing sewers, the construction of new sewers, reconstruction and extension of main sewers and sewage plants within the City and County of San Francisco, together with all appurtenances and lands that may be necessary for the reconstruction and extension of sewers in the east of Van Ness Avenue District, west of Van Ness Avenue District, south of Market and Mission Streets Districts, Sunset-Parkside and west of Twin Peaks Districts, and Park-Presidio District, and that the estimated cost of said improvements is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and declared that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of municipal improvements consisting of reconditioning and rebuilding existing sewers, reconstruction and extension of main sewers and sewage plants within the City and County of San Francisco, together with all appurtenances and lands that may be necessary for the reconstruction and extension of sewers in the east of Van Ness Avenue District, west of Van Ness Avenue District, south of Market and Mission Streets Districts, Sunset-Parkside and west of Twin Peaks Districts, and Park-Presidio District, and that the estimated cost of said improvements is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of such improvements described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of \$5,000,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of \$5,000,000, no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of \$5,000,000.

Section 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Mr. Leonard, representing the Labors' Unions, and George Allen, representing the Central Council of Civic Clubs, were heard in favor of submitting the proposed issue to the electors.

Dr. Geiger also stressed the absolute necessity for such Sewer reconstruction on the basis of public health.

**Resolution Adopted.**

Whereupon, the roll was called and the resolution *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

Supervisor Roncovieri appeared subsequently and moved to rescind the action, whereby the foregoing resolution was adopted in order that he might vote for it.

No objection, and it was *so ordered*.

Thereupon, the roll was again called and the resolution again *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Civic Center Court Building, \$3,220,000.**

(Code No. 12.123)

Also, Resolution No. 3460, as follows:

Declaring and determining that public interest and necessity demand the construction by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement, to-wit, a Courts Building to be erected in the Civic Center of San Francisco on land owned by the City and County for the purpose of providing appropriate quarters for the Superior and Municipal Courts of the City and County of San Francisco and for other Courts which may be hereafter created, and for the County Clerk and for the Clerk of the Municipal Court and for such other officers and agencies of the City and County of San Francisco as may be accommodated therein; and further declaring that the estimated cost of said improvements is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest and necessity demand that more adequate housing facilities be afforded the Courts and other agencies of the City and County of San Francisco and that to meet such purpose the said City and County shall construct a municipal improvement, to-wit, a Courts Building, in the Civic Center of San Francisco on land owned by the said City and County for the purpose of providing appropriate quarters for the Superior and for the Municipal Courts and for other courts that may be hereafter created and for the County Clerk and for the Clerk of the Municipal Court and for such other agencies or officers of the City and County of San Francisco as may be accommodated therein; and it is further declared and determined that the estimated cost of said improvement is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of such improvement described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of \$3,220,000.00.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of \$3,220,000.00 no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of \$3,220,000.00.

Section 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Controller Harold J. Boyd was heard at length as to the necessity for this improvement.

**Motion Defeated.**

Whereupon, the roll was called on the adoption of the foregoing resolution, and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Bond Issue, \$1,600,000—Health Department.**

(Code No. 12.123)

Also, Resolution No. 3463, as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the improvement of the San Francisco Hospital to make the same effective and efficient and more becoming and for the remodeling and increasing the quarters for X-ray, to facilitate the taking care of the aged persons and the infirm and indigents who attend the hos-



pitals conducted by San Francisco, and that the estimated costs of said improvements are and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and declared that public interest and necessity demand the improvement of the San Francisco Hospitals to make the same effective and efficient and more becoming and for the remodeling and increasing the quarters for X-ray, to facilitate the taking care of the aged persons and the infirm and indigents who attend the hospitals conducted by San Francisco, all designed for the better purpose of making San Francisco a better and a fairer place for the people to live, and that the estimated costs of said improvements are and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Section 2. The estimated costs of making the improvements described in Section 1 hereof and the sums to be expended therefrom are \$400,000 for the Hassler Health Home, \$1,000,000 for the Laguna Honda Home, and \$200,000 for the San Francisco Hospital, making a total of \$1,600,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of \$1,600,000, no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the sum of \$1,600,000.

Section 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

#### Amendment.

Supervisor Shannon moved as an amendment, that the following language be inserted in lieu of Section 2, to-wit:

"Section 2. The estimate costs of making the improvements described in Section 1 hereof is \$1,600,000."

No objection, *it was so ordered.*

George Allen, representing the Central Council of Civic Clubs, and M. Leonard, representing the Secretary of the San Francisco Labor Council, were heard in favor of the proposed bond issue.

#### Resolution Adopted.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the resolution in words and figures following *adopted* by the following vote:

#### Bond Issue, \$1,600,000—Health Department.

(Code No. 12.123)

Resolution No. 3463, as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the improvement of the San Francisco Hospitals to make the same effective and efficient and more becoming and for the remodeling and increasing the quarters for X-ray, to facilitate the taking care of the aged persons and the infirm and indigents who attend the hospitals conducted by San Francisco, and that the estimated costs of said improvements are and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and declared that public interest and necessity demand the improvement of the San Francisco Hospitals to make the same effective and efficient and more becoming and for the remodeling and increasing the quarters for X-ray, to facilitate the taking care of the aged persons and the infirm and indigents who attend the hospitals conducted by San Francisco, all de-

signed for the better purpose of making San Francisco a better and a fairer place for the people to live, and that the estimated costs of said improvements are and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Section 2. The estimated costs of making the improvements described in Section 1 hereof is \$1,600,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of \$1,600,000 no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the sum of \$1,600,000.

Section 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS

### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Authorizing Claim of Jules Brotschneider and Marie Brotschneider.

(Code No. 6.0222)

Bill No. 1382, Ordinance No. 6.022229, as follows:

Authorizing claim of Jules Brotschneider and Marie Brotschneider in the sum of Eleven Hundred Dollars (\$1,100).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended the settlement of the Action of Jules Brotschneider and Marie Brotschneider, against the City and County of San Francisco for the recovery of damages during the reconstruction of the grade and particularly that portion thereof located at 921 Duncan street, including the falling of the retaining wall and damage by water, it being understood that Jules Brotschneider and Marie Brotschneider will construct a new retaining wall and hold themselves responsible for any damage occurring to their property as a result of this construction, and that this release covers the Second Cause of Action in Case No. 267338, Superior Court and claim filed February 10, 1937, said City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle said pending litigation and claim by the payment of the sum of Eleven Hundred (\$1,100) Dollars, and the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby authorized and directed to draw his warrant for said sum.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Authorizing Compromise of Claim of Jane E. Ramm.

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Bill No. 1383, Ordinance No. 6.022230, as follows:

Authorizing compromise of claim of Jane E. Ramm in the sum of One Hundred Fifty (\$150) Dollars.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended the settlement of the action of Jane E. Ramm against the City and County of San Francisco for the recovery of damages for personal injuries sustained by reason of a defective sidewalk condition at the intersection of Thirty-fifth avenue and Judah street, on December 12, 1934, by the



payment of the sum of One Hundred Fifty (\$150) Dollars in full settlement of all claims of said Jane E. Ramm, said City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle said pending litigation by the payment of said sum of One Hundred Fifty (\$150) Dollars.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing Lease of City-Owned Block on De Haro Street.**

(Code No. 12.1739)

Also, Bill No. 1384, Ordinance No. 12.17397, as follows:

Authorizing Lease of City-owned block on De Haro street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 93 of the Charter, and in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Director of Property is hereby authorized to arrange for leasing the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Fifteenth street with the easterly line of Rhode Island street; running thence northerly along the easterly line of Rhode Island street, 400 feet to the southerly line of Alameda street; thence easterly along last named line, 154.5 feet to the southwesterly line of Eighth street; thence southeasterly along last named line 70 feet  $\frac{1}{2}$  inch to the westerly line of De Haro street; thence southerly along last named line 346.75 feet to the northerly line of Fifteenth street; thence westerly along last named line 200 feet to the point of commencement.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Appropriation of \$5,000 for Street Lights in Castro Street Extension.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1386, Ordinance No. 9.051404, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$5,000 out of surplus existing in Unappropriated County Road Fund to credit of Appropriation No. 748.914.00 for the installation of street lights in Castro Street Extension from Waller street to Divisadero street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$5,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Unappropriated County Road Fund to credit of Appropriation No. 748.914.00 for the installation of street lights in Castro Street Extension from Waller street to Divisadero street.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Appropriation of \$5,000 for Street Lights in Army Street.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1387, Ordinance No. 9.051405, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$5,000 out of surplus existing in Unappropriated County Road Fund to credit of Appropria-

tion No. 748.913.00 for the installation of street lights in Army street from Bryant to Harrison streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$5,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated County Road Fund to credit of Appropriation No. 748.913.00 for the installation of street lights in Army street from Bryant to Harrison streets.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Appropriation of \$1,800 for Additional Position of Physician in the Syphilis Unit of the Department of Public Health.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1388, Ordinance No. 9.051406, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$1800 out of the reserve existing in Appropriation No. 750.101.05-1 to the credit of Appropriation No. 750.101.05-1 for the purpose of creating an additional position of physician in the Syphilis Unit of the Department of Public Health.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1800 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the reserve existing in Appropriation No. 750.101.05-1 to the credit of appropriation No. 750.101.05-1 for the purpose of creating an additional position of physician in the Syphilis Unit of the Department of Public Health.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Department of Public Health.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1389, Ordinance No. 9.053104, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 54(a) of Ordinance No. 9.053101 by eliminating the position of T152 Junior Social Service Investigator at \$150 per month and creating one additional position of L360 Physician at \$150 per month.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 54(a) of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 54(a). DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)**

**STATISTICS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
17	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$190
18	1	B222	General Clerk .....	185
19	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	190
20	2	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	190
21	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
22	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125



Section 54(a). DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)

MEAT INSPECTION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
23	7	N56	Market Inspector . . . . .	175
23½	*1	N56	Market Inspector . . . . .	175
24	6	N56	Market Inspector . . . . .	200
25	1	N58	Chief Market Inspector . . . . .	225
26	8	N60	Abattoir Inspector . . . . .	200
27	3	N62	Veterinarian . . . . .	201
28	6	N62	Veterinarian . . . . .	200

\*Status declared permanent by Civil Service Commission.

COMMUNICABLE DISEASES

29	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	125
*30	6	J74	Rat Catcher . . . . .	115
31	4	L370	Epidemiologist (part time) . . . . .	225
32	1	L371	Director, Bureau of Communicable Diseases (part time) . . . . .	350
33	1	P52	Field Nurse . . . . .	175

SYPHILIS UNIT

34	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	125
35	1	P102	Registered Nurse . . . . .	135
36	1	L360	Physician . . . . .	150

CLINICS

*Diagnostic Center*

37	2	L360	Physician (part time) . . . . .	150
38	1	L364	Pediatrician (part time) . . . . .	100
39	1	P52	Field Nurse . . . . .	165

*Bureau of Mental Hygiene*

40	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) . . . . .	75
41	1	L404	Psychologist . . . . .	175
42	4	L404	Psychologist . . . . .	150
43	1	L404	Psychologist (part time) . . . . .	75
44	1	L408	Chief Psychologist (part time) . . . . .	200
45	1	L408	Chief Psychologist (part time) . . . . .	150

BACTERIOLOGICAL LABORATORY

46	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	190
47	1	C102	Janitress . . . . .	75
48	1	*I103	Institutional Help . . . . .	79.50
49	2	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician.. . . .	125
50	1	L56	Bacteriologist . . . . .	225
51	3	L56	Bacteriologist . . . . .	175
52	1	L58	Director of Laboratories . . . . .	275
53	1	L60	Bacteriological Milk Inspector . . . . .	250
54	1	L64	Consultant, Bacteriologist (part time) . . . . .	75

\*Subject to reclassification and adjusted rate of pay within budgeted appropriation after reclassification.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Change of Compensation,  
Head Clerk, San Francisco Hospital.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1391, Ordinance No. 9.053106, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 58, Item 4, of Ordinance No. 9.053101 by changing the compensation of this position from \$250 per month to \$285 per month, less board, room and laundry.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 58 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 58. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 175
2	1	B222	General Clerk .....	160
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	125
4	1	B234	Head Clerk (deduct for B., R. and L.) ..	285
5	1	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	190
6	1	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	160
7	7	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	100
9	12	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) ..	79.50
10	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
11	2	B454	Telephone Operator .....	135
12	1	B454	Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	125
13	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
14	1	C6	Supt. of Building T. B. Hosp. (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	260
15	2	C152	Watchman .....	145
16	2	E108	Electrician .....	237.50
17	1	I6	Pastry Cook .....	175
18	8	I12	Cook .....	165
19	1	I16	Chef .....	200
20	8	I54	Waitress .....	110
21	8	I56	Waiter .....	110
22	*	I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	79.50
23	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	102.50
24	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	125
25	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	87.50
26	14	I152	Flat Work Ironer .....	90
27	17	I154	Laundress .....	100
28	1	I156	Starcher .....	130
29	1	I158	Sorter .....	130
30	1	I164	Marker and Distributor .....	130
31	1	I166	Wringerman .....	136.33
32	2	I170	Washer .....	135
33	1	I172	Head Washer .....	155
34	1	I178	Superintendent of Laundry .....	200
35	1	I206	Porter Sub-Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	92
36	1	I208	Porter Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	100
37	1	I210	Head Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.) ....	140
38	2	I254	Seamstress .....	90



**Section 58. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
39	1	I256	Head Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.)	150
40	1	J4	Laborer (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	87.50
*Subject to reclassification and adjusted rate of pay within budgeted appropriation after reclassification.				

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Appropriation of \$120, Salary Increases, Operating Room Nurses,  
Department of Public Health.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1392, Ordinance No. 9.051407, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$120 out of the reserve existing in Appropriation No. 753.101.02 to the credit of Appropriation No. 751.101.00 for the purpose of reallocating funds so that the salary increases allowed to operating room nurses may be granted in the order of seniority in the Department of Public Health.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$120 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the reserve existing in Appropriation No. 753.101.02 to the credit of Appropriation No. 751.101.00 for the purpose of reallocating funds so that the salary increases allowed to operating room nurses may be granted in the order of seniority in the Department of Public Health.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Amend Annual Salary Ordinance, Operating Room Nurses, San  
Francisco Hospital.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1393, Ordinance No. 9.053107, as follows:

An ordinance amending Ordinance No. 9.053101 by amending Section 56(a), Item 50, to read 1 P208 Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) at \$145 per month instead of \$135 per month; by changing Section 60, Item 84, from 9 to 8 P208 Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) at \$145 per month, and by adding Item 84½ to Section 60 to read 1 P208 Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) at \$135 per month.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Sections 56(a) and 60 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 are hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 56(a). DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
LAGUNA HONDA HOME (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
29	1	I302	Instructor, Basketry (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	\$ 102.50
30	1	I304	Instructor, Weaving (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
31	1	L8	Assistant to Superintendent .....	275

Section 56(a). DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
LAGUNA HONDA HOME (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
32	1	L10	Superintendent (deduct \$150 for full family maintenance) .....	733.33
33	1	L54	Assistant Bacteriologist .....	100
34	1	L202	Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.) .....	137.50
35	1	L306	Senior Pharmacist .....	210
36	6	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	32
37	1	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	185
38	2	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) ...	97
39	1	L360	Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.) ....	235
40	1	L452	X-ray Technician .....	150
41	1	O1	Chauffeur (less B., R. & L.) .....	185
42	1	O52	Farmer (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	130
43	1	O54	Foreman, Building and Grounds (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	220
44	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
45	1	O60	Head Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	185
46	3	O168	Engineer Sta. Steam Engines .....	220
47	28	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
48	4	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	145
49	1	P118	Superintendent of Nurses (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	235
50	1	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	145

\*Subject to reclassification and adjusted rate of pay within budgeted appropriation after reclassification.

Section 60. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
68	139	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) \$	135
69		P103	Special Nurses (as needed), 8 hrs. at \$5 per day or fraction of a day .....	
70		P103	Special Nurses (as needed), 10 hrs. at \$6 per day with meals .....	
71		P103	Special Nurses (as needed), 12 hrs., with meals, at \$7 per day .....	
72		P103	Special Nurses (Virulent Communicable Diseases and Violent Patients), 8 hrs. at \$6 per day .....	
73		P103	Special Nurses (Virulent Communicable Diseases and Violent Patients), 10 hrs. at \$9 per day .....	
74		P103	Special Nurses (Virulent Communicable Diseases and Violent Patients), 12 hrs. at \$10 per day .....	
75		P103	Special Nurses, Additional Patient \$2 extra .....	
76	33	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) ...	145
77	1	P104	Head Nurse .....	145
78	1	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	210
79	1	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	185
80	2	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	170



**Section 60. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
81	1	P122	Director of Institutional Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	285
82	4	P204	Anaesthetist (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	160
83	1	P206	Senior Anaesthetist (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	185
84	8	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	145
84½	1	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
85	10	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
86	1	P210	Senior Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	185
87	1	P212	Head Nurse Obstetrical (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
88	1	P214	Head Nurse Pediatrics (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
89	1	P216	Head Nurse Psychiatric (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
90		P254	Student Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	32
91	2	P304	Instructor of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
92	1	P306	Senior Instructor of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	185
93	1	T152	Junior Social Service Investigator.....	160
94	3	T152	Junior Social Service Investigator ....	150
95	1	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	210
96	1	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	190
97	6	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	180
98	3	T156	Social Service Investigator .....	140
<b>PSYCHIATRIC BUILDING</b>				
99	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
100	2	C152	Watchman . . . . .	145
101	2	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	47
102	1	L360	Physician (part time).....	75
103	1	L372	Resident Physician .....	250

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing Appropriation of \$1,750 for the Payment of Compensation and Expenses to Inspect the Valley Ford Creameries, Ltd.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1396, Ordinance No. 9.051409, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$1,750 out of the surplus existing in the accrued revenue for milk inspection, General Fund; \$875 to the credit of Appropriation 750.102.11 and \$875 to the credit of Appropriation 750.200.11 for the payment of compensation and expenses to inspect the Valley Ford Creameries, Ltd., at Valley Ford, California.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,750 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the accrued revenue for milk inspection, General Fund; \$875 to the credit of Appropriation 750.102.11 and \$875 to the credit of Appropriation 750.200.11 for the payment of com-

pensation and expenses to inspect the Valley Ford Creameries, Ltd., at Valley Ford, California.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Providing for Per Diem Traveling Expenses for Officers and Employees of City and County.**

(Code No. 9.056)

Also, Bill No. 1397, Ordinance No. 9.0565, as follows:

Providing for the amount per diem for the fiscal year 1937-1938 for officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco for traveling expenses, exclusive of transportation and Pullman charges, and excluding traveling expenses incurred in the discharge of routine duty, and directing the Controller to establish rules for payment of claims for traveling expenses.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. During the fiscal year 1937-1938 any officer or employee of the City and County of San Francisco, except in the discharge of routine duties, shall, under the authority of law or ordinance, leave the City and County for the purpose of performing any official duty for or on behalf of the City and County; or for the purpose of rendering any service to, or for the City and County, or for the purpose of officially representing said City and County, or any commission or department thereof, said officer or employee shall be allowed as the expense incident to said service the actual cost of transportation, including Pullman charges, together with an amount not to exceed fifteen dollars per day for each and every day while said officer or employee is absent on said official business.

Section 2. The Controller shall establish rules for the payment of all amounts payable pursuant to Section 1 hereof, and for the presentation of such vouchers as he shall deem proper in connection with expenditures made pursuant to said section. No allowance shall be made for traveling expense provided for in this ordinance unless appropriations for such expense have been made by annual or supplemental appropriation ordinance enacted in accordance with the provisions of the Charter.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Street Work.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1398, Ordinance No. 12.061179, as follows:

Authorizing performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco; approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same; extending City aid in the sum of eight hundred and fifty dollars (\$850), and making an appropriation therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 2, 1937, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San



Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and the rate of interest to be charged for on all deferred payments shall be seven per cent (7%) per annum.

*The improvement of:*

Funston avenue (westerly one-half) between Taraval street and 100 feet south;

Funston avenue (easterly one-half) between 220 feet and 250 feet south of Taraval street;

Funston avenue (easterly one-half) between 440 feet and 545 feet 10 $\frac{3}{8}$  inches south of Taraval street, and

Funston avenue (westerly one-half) between Ulloa street and 100 feet north, by the construction of the following:

Item

No.

Item

- 1 6-inch vitrified clay pipe side sewers.
- 2 Class "E" concrete center strip pavement.
- 3 Asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 4-inch asphaltic concrete base and 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.

*And the improvement of:*

Funston avenue (easterly one-half) between Ulloa street and 58.93 feet north by the reconstruction of the following:

Item

No.

Item

- 4 Remove existing asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a concrete base and an asphaltic concrete wearing surface, and replace with Class "E" concrete center strip pavement.

The Director of Public Works does hereby set forth the district to be benefited by said contemplated work, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered, respectively, as:

Lots 1 and 15 of Block 2412, and

Lots 6 and 12A of Block 2413,

all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Section 2. The sum of eight hundred fifty dollars (\$850) is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the County Road Fund, to the credit of Appropriation 748.916.02, for the purpose

of extending City Aid for this improvement pursuant to Section 6 of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing Street Work, Under Assessment, and Appropriating \$4,000 as City's Contribution.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1399, Ordinance No. 12.061180, as follows:

Authorizing performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco; approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same; extending City aid in the sum of four thousand dollars (\$4,000), and making an appropriation therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 25, 1936, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per cent (7%) per annum.

The improvement of Girard street between the northerly line of Ward street and the northerly line of Mansell street, including the intersection of Girard and Ordway streets and the intersection of Girard and Mansell streets, and the improvement of Mansell street between the westerly line of San Bruno avenue and the easterly line of Girard street, by grading to official line and grade and by the construction of sewers and appurtenances. The construction will consist of the following items:

Item No.	Item
1.	Excavation.
2.	Embankment.
3.	18-inch V. C. P. Sewer, in place.
4.	15-inch V. C. P. Sewer, in place.
5.	12-inch V. C. P. Sewer, in place.
6.	8-inch V. C. P. Sewer, in place.
7.	18x6-inch Wye Branches, in place.
8.	12x6-inch Wye Branches, in place.
9.	8x6-inch Wye Branches, in place.
10.	Brick Manholes, complete.
11.	Brick Catchbasins, complete.
12.	10-inch V. C. P. Culvert, in place.



The Director of Public Works does hereby set forth the district to be benefited by said contemplated work, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered, respectively, as:

Lots 5, 5A, 6, 7, 8 and 8A of block 6155; lots 9A, 10, 10A, 11, 11A, 12, 13 and 13A of block 6156; lots 1, 11, 12, 12A, 13, 13A, 14, 15, 15A, 15B, 16, 17 and 18 of block 6157; lots 1, 2, 2A, 3, 3A, 4, 4A, 5, 6, 6A, 7 and 8 of block 6158; lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 of block 6171; and lots 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 of block 6172, all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Section 2. The sum of four thousand dollars (\$4,000) is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the County Road Fund, to the credit of Appropriation 648.988.00, for the purpose of extending City aid for this improvement pursuant to Section 6 of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### **Designating Certain Restricted Traffic Streets in the Marina.**

(Code No. 11.02)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1400, Ordinance No. 11.0234, as follows:

Amending Section 25, Article IV, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled, "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 25, Article IV, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 25. *Restricted Traffic Streets.* The following streets are designated as restricted traffic streets:

Bay street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the east line of Laguna street.

Cervantes boulevard from Fillmore street to Marina boulevard.

Fell street from the west line of Baker street to the east line of Stanyan street.

Francisco street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the east line of Laguna street.

The upper two roadways of the Great Highway from the south line of Fulton street to the north line of Sloat boulevard.

*Marina boulevard from the east line of Laguna street to the west line of Lyon street.*

Park-Presidio drive from Golden Gate Park to the Presidio of San Francisco.

Sunset boulevard from the south line of Lincoln way to the south line of Sloat boulevard, thence southerly to Lake Merced boulevard and its termination at Skyline boulevard.

It shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle designed or used for the transportation of commodities or materials to operate said vehicles upon any restricted traffic street for a distance greater

than one block or for any purpose other than to make a pickup or delivery within said block.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### **Acceptance of Streets in Francisco Heights Tract.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1401, Ordinance No. 12.081129, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Rossi avenue between Turk and Anza streets; Almaden court between Anza street and the northerly termination of Almaden court; Loraine court between Anza street and the northerly termination of Loraine court; Beaumont street between Turk street and St. Rose's avenue; Stanyan street between Turk street and Anza street; Willard street between Turk and Edward street, including the curbs and the street lighting system installed therein.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Rossi avenue between Turk and Anza streets;

Almaden court between Anza street and the northerly termination of Almaden court;

Loraine court between Anza street and the northerly termination of Loraine court;

Beaumont street between Turk street and St. Rose's avenue;

Stanyan street between Turk street and Anza street;

Willard street between Turk street and Edward street, including the curbs.

Section 2. The street lighting system installed in the aforementioned streets, as well as the deeds thereto, are hereby accepted on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### **Changing Width of Sidewalks on Goettingen Street Between Mansell and Ward Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1402, Ordinance No. 12.0731107, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Three Hundred and Ninety-seven (397) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office July 2nd, 1937, by amending Section Three Hundred and Ninety-seven (397) thereof, to read as follows:

*Section 397:*

The width of sidewalks on Goettingen street between Silver avenue and Dwight street shall be 10 feet.



The width of sidewalks on Goettingen street between Dwight and Olmstead streets shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Goettingen Street between Dwight Street and Olmstead Street, showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks."

The width of sidewalks on Goettingen street, the westerly side of, between Olmstead and Mansell streets shall be 20 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Goettingen street, the easterly side of, between Olmstead and Mansell streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Goettingen street between Mansell and Ward streets shall be as shown on that certain map, entitled "Map of Goettingen Street, Mansell Street to Ward Street, showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks."

The width of sidewalks on Goettingen street, the westerly side of, between Ward street and Harkness street, shall be 20 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Goettingen street, the easterly side of, between Ward and Harkness streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Goettingen street between Harkness street and its southerly termination shall be 10 feet.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### **Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Polk Street From Market to Post Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1403, Ordinance No. 12.0731108, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and ninety-eight (1198).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office July 12, 1937, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and ninety-eight (1198) to read as follows:

#### *Section 1198:*

The width of sidewalks on Polk street between Market and Grove streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Polk street between Grove and McAllister streets shall be 22 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Polk street between McAllister and Post streets shall be 10 feet.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### **Changing Sidewalk Widths on Innes Avenue and Jennings Street.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1404, Ordinance No. 12.0731109, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and ninety-nine (1199), and by amending Section Five Hundred and Fifty-eight (558) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office July 12, 1937, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and ninety-nine (1199), and by amending Section Five Hundred and Fifty-eight (558) thereof, to read as follows:

*Section 1199:*

The width of sidewalks on Ingalls street between Hudson and Jerrold avenues shall be 19 feet.

*Section 558:*

The width of sidewalks on Innes avenue between Toland and Mendell streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Innes avenue between Lane and Jennings streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Innes avenue between Jennings and Hawes streets shall be 27 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Innes avenue between Hawes and Donahue streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Innes avenue between Donahue and Alvord streets shall be 27 feet.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Third Street Between Channel and Burke Avenue and Between Burke and San Bruno Avenues.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1405, Ordinance No. 12.0731110, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Sections Ninety (90) and One Hundred and Twenty-six (126) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office July 1, 1937, by amending Sections Ninety (90) and One Hundred and Twenty-six (126) thereof to read as follows:

*Section 90:*

The width of sidewalks on Third street between Channel street and Burke avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

*Section 126:*

The width of sidewalks on Third street between Burke avenue and San Bruno avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Changing Grades on Union and Calhoun Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0722)

Also, Bill No. 1406, Ordinance No. 12.072228, as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Union street between Montgomery and Sansome streets and on Calhoun street between Green and Union streets.



Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 7th day of June, 1937, by Resolution No. 3315, Code No. 12.0721, declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Union street between Montgomery and Sansome streets and on Calhoun street between Green and Union streets; and

Whereas, said resolution has been published and posted in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than thirty days have elapsed since the first publication of intention; therefore, be it

Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Union street between Montgomery and Sansome streets and on Calhoun street between Green and Union streets are hereby changed and established at the points specified and at the elevations above City base as shown upon that certain diagram entitled "Grade Map of Union Street between Montgomery and Sansome Streets; and Calhoun Street between Union and Green Streets," approved by the Board of Supervisors on June 7, 1937, by Resolution No. 3315, Code No. 12.0721.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Regulating the Conduct and Maintenance of Parks; Providing for the Issuance of Permits in Parks; Regulating Traffic in Parks; Providing a Penalty for the Violation of This Ordinance and Repealing All Ordinances or Parts of Ordinances in Conflict Herewith.**

Code No. 23.011.

On recommendation of Education, Parks and Recreation Committee.

Bill No. 1018, Ordinance No. 23.0113.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

#### ARTICLE 1.

#### DEFINITIONS.

Sec. 1. Parks defined.

Sec. 2. Board of Park Commissioners defined.

Sec. 3. Superintendent defined.

Sec. 4. Secretary defined.

Sec. 5. Person defined.

**SEC. 1. Parks Defined.** When used in this chapter the word "park" shall include all grounds, roadways, avenues, the Great Highway, parks and are under the control, management, or direction of the Park Commission under the provisions of Section 41 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

**SEC. 2. Board of Park Commissioners Defined.** When used in this chapter the words "Board of Park Commissioners," "Park Commissioners" or "Commissioners" refer to the Park Commission of the City and County of San Francisco.

**SEC. 3. Superintendent Defined.** When used in this chapter the word "superintendent" refers to the Superintendent of Parks appointed by the Park Commission pursuant to Section 40 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, unless a different meaning is clear.

**SEC. 4. Secretary Defined.** When used in this chapter the word "secretary" shall mean the Secretary of the Park Commission.

**SEC. 5. Person Defined.** The word "person" when used in this chapter includes persons, associations, partnerships, firms and corporations.

## ARTICLE 2.

### REGULATIONS.

- Sec. 6. Rules of Park Commission to be obeyed.
- Sec. 7. Signs and notices to be obeyed.
- Sec. 8. Public may be excluded.
- Sec. 9. Bridle paths—Use of by pedestrians prohibited.
- Sec. 10. Riding and driving horses or vehicles.
- Sec. 11. Performance of labor in parks.
- Sec. 12. Organized games and flying kites.
- Sec. 13. Peddling, vending merchandise.

**SEC. 6. Rules of Park Commission to Be Obeyed.** No person shall disobey or violate any of the rules or regulations of the Park Commission, governing the use and enjoyment by the public of any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, or governing the use and enjoyment of any building, structure, equipment, apparatus or appliances thereon, which rules or regulations, at the time, are posted in some conspicuous place in the park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, or in or near the building, structure, equipment, apparatus or appliance to which the rule or regulation applies.

**SEC. 7. Signs and Notices to Be Obeyed.** No person shall disobey any instruction, sign, or notice posted by the Park Commission in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, or in any building, structure, construction, or erection thereon for the control, management, or direction of each park, square, avenue, grounds, recreation center, building, structure, construction, or erection.

**SEC. 8. Public May Be Excluded.** In an emergency or when the Superintendent of Parks shall determine that the public interest, or public health, or public morals, or public safety demands such action, any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, or any part or portion thereof, may be closed against the public, and all persons may be excluded therefrom until such emergency or other reason upon which such determination of the Superintendent of Parks is based has ceased, and upon the cessation thereof the park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center or part or portion thereof closed shall be again reopened to the public by the Superintendent of Parks by order of the Park Commission.

**SEC. 9. Bridle Paths—Use of by Pedestrians Prohibited.** No person shall walk upon any bridle path, or upon any drive in Golden Gate Park, except to cross the same.

**SEC. 10. Riding and Driving Horses or Vehicles.** No person shall ride or drive any horse or other animal, or drive or propel any vehicle within any



park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, except upon the road driveways, bridle paths, paths, and highways provided and designated by the Park Commission for such use, and must ride or drive such horse or other animal or drive or propel such vehicle in a careful manner, at lawful rate of speed, and in accordance with the rules and regulations made by the Park Commission.

**SEC. 11. Performance of Labor in Parks.** No person shall perform any labor in or upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center such as taking up or replacing soil, turf, ground, or pavement, structure, tree, shrub, plant, grass, flower and the like, except under the supervision and control of the Superintendent of Parks and by virtue of a permit issued by the Park Commission to some person, authorizing such labor.

**SEC. 12. Organized Games and Flying Kites.** No person shall fly a kite or play or participate in any organized game, such as baseball, football, hockey and the like, in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, except at such places designated for such use by the Park Commission.

**SEC. 13. Peddling, Vending Merchandise.** No person shall bring, or cause to be brought into, for the purposes of sale or barter, or have for sale, sell or exchange, or offer for sale or exchange any goods, wares, or merchandise, or other thing in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, without first having obtained a permit, concession, license or lease from the Park Commission to do so.

### ARTICLE 3.

#### ADVERTISING.

**Sec. 14. Handbills, circulars—Distribution and posting prohibited.**

**Sec. 15. Advertising vehicles to use transverse roads only.**

**SEC. 14. Handbills, Circulars—Distribution and Posting Prohibited.** It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to distribute, cause to be distributed, or suffer, allow or permit the distribution of any handbill, dodger, circular, booklet, card, pamphlet, sheet, or written or printed notice advertising any commodity, article, merchandise, business, activity, person or thing in or upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, or to post or affix, cause to be posted or affixed, or permit to be posted or affixed to any tree, shrub, plant, fence, building, structure, monument, wall, table, apparatus, bridge, post, pole, bench, corral, gate, animal cage, aviary, or other physical object within any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center any handbill, dodger, circular, booklet, card, pamphlet, sheet, or written or printed notice advertising any commodity, article, merchandise, business, person, thing, or activity.

**SEC. 15. Advertising Vehicles to Use Transverse Roads Only.** No vehicle having thereon or attached thereto any exposed advertising matter, name of owner, name of maker of said vehicle, or sign, or notice advertising any commodity, article, merchandise, business, activity, person or thing shall be allowed within any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center; provided, however, that trucks, drays, wagons, motor delivery vehicles, and other vehicles may use (1) the transverse road extending across Golden Gate Park between Lincoln way at Nineteenth avenue and Fulton street at Park Presidio boulevard, and/or Twenty-fifth avenue, and (2) upon Stanyan street between Fell street and Oak street.

## ARTICLE 4.

## ANIMALS AND BIRDS.

- Sec. 16. Animals at large.
- Sec. 17. Animals led or driven.
- Sec. 18. Dogs.
- Sec. 19. Dogs excluded from Zoological Park, Children's Playgrounds, etc.
- Sec. 20. Feeding fish, birds, animals, prohibited.
- Sec. 21. Disturbing animals, birds, fish, prohibited.

**SEC. 16. Animals at Large.** No person shall let loose or permit to run at large any horse, mule, ass, cattle, goat, sheep, swine, or other animal, or fowl of any kind, in or upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center.

**SEC. 17. Animals Led or Driven.** No person shall lead, drive, entice, conduct or otherwise bring any horse, mule, ass, cattle, goat, sheep, swine, or other animal or fowl of any kind in or upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, except horses attached to vehicles being driven by the person in charge or horses being used for riding purposes.

**SEC. 18. Dogs.** No person having in charge a dog shall permit, allow, or suffer such dog to enter upon or remain in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, unless said dog is fastened to and restrained by a chain or leash not more than eight feet long.

**SEC. 19. Dogs Excluded from Zoological Park, Children's Playgrounds, etc.** No person having in charge any dog shall permit, allow, or suffer such dog to enter upon or remain within the Zoological Park in the Herbert Gleishhacker Playfield, or to enter or remain within any play area reserved for children, or to enter any sand box or play apparatus, or to drink from the water of any lake or pond in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, except at such place or places as are maintained and designated by the Park Commission as drinking places for dogs.

**SEC. 20. Feeding Fish, Birds, Animals, Prohibited.** No person shall feed or offer food to any fish, bird, animal or reptile in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center; and no person shall give or offer any tobacco or other noxious substance to any fish, bird, animal or reptile in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center.

**SEC. 21. Disturbing Animals, Birds, Fish, Prohibited.** No person shall hunt, chase, shoot, trap, discharge or throw missiles at, molest, disturb, snare, catch, injure or destroy any animal, bird, fish or reptile in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center.

## ARTICLE 5.

## NUISANCES.

- Sec. 22. Rubbish to be put into receptacles.

**SEC. 22. Rubbish to Be Put Into Receptacles.** No person shall throw, place, cast, deposit, or dump, or cause to be thrown, placed, cast, deposited, or dumped any ashes, refuse, offal, vegetables, garbage, dross, cinders, shells, straw, shavings, paper, scraps, dirt, dead animal, dead fish, dead fowl, dead bird or dead reptile, glass, crockery, bones, tin cans or like matter, empty box, carton, waste paper, remains of food, newspaper, filth or rubbish of any kind in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, except to place the same in the cans or receptacles provided for such matter.



**ARTICLE 6,  
DISORDERLY CONDUCT.**

Sec. 23. Boxing and wrestling contests prohibited.

Sec. 24. Disorderly conduct.

- (a) Profane, indecent, or insulting language.
- (b) Indecent acts and dress.
- (c) Throwing missiles.
- (d) Firearms, discharging, carrying.
- (e) Firecrackers, torpedoes.
- (f) Fires only at places designated.
- (g) Gambling, games of chance.
- (h) Acts tending to breach of the peace.
- (i) Begging, soliciting, etc.
- (j) Fortune telling, palmistry.
- (k) Climbing trees, fences, structures.
- (l) Aircraft landing, etc.

Sec. 25. Intoxicated persons.

Sec. 26. Bathing restrictions.

Sec. 27. Polluting ponds.

Sec. 28. Undressing at beach.

Sec. 29. Minors—parent, guardian.

Sec. 30. Eject offenders.

**SEC. 23. Boxing and Wrestling Contests Prohibited.** No person shall engage in any boxing contest, prize fight, wrestling contest, or promote, or participate in any such contest in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center.

**SEC. 24. Disorderly Conduct.** No person shall, in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center:

- (a) Use profane, indecent, or insulting language;
- (b) Do any obscene or indecent act, or be indecently clad;
- (c) Throw stones or other missiles;
- (d) Fire or carry firearms;
- (e) Fire or carry any firecracker, torpedo or fireworks;
- (f) Make any fire, except at places designated by the Park Commission for such use;
- (g) Play any game of chance, or have, or use, or operate any gaming table, or instrument, or apparatus, or equipment for such use;
- (h) Do any act tending to a breach of the public peace;
- (i) Beg, or solicit contributions, gifts, or subscriptions;
- (j) Tell fortunes, read horoscopes, practice palmistry for compensation;
- (k) Climb upon any wall, fence, shelter, seat, statue, building, structure, construction or erection;
- (l) Bring, land, or cause to descend or alight any aeroplane, airship, flying machine, dirigible, dirigible balloon, balloon, parachute or other instrumentality, or machine, or apparatus for aviation, except by permission of the Park Commission.

**SEC. 25. Intoxicated Persons.** No person who is intoxicated, noisy, disorderly, boisterous, or publicly offensive shall be admitted into or permitted to remain within any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center; and the Superintendent of Parks, manager, keeper, or person in charge shall refuse admittance to any such person and shall eject any such person therefrom.

**SEC. 26. Bathing Restrictions.** No person shall bathe in the waters of any lake, pond, pool, tank or reservoir in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or

recreation center, except at places designated by the Park Commission for such purpose; nor in the waters of the Pacific Ocean bordering the Ocean Beach west of the Great Highway and the Skyline boulevard or north of the northerly line of Fort Funston Military Reservation, or west of the easterly boundary line of Lincoln Park.

**SEC. 27. Polluting Ponds.** No person shall throw or place or cause to be thrown or placed any dirt, filth, or foreign matter into the waters of, or near to the waters of any lake, pond, pool, tank or reservoir in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, and it is unlawful to pollute in any manner the water of any of said lakes, ponds, pools, tanks, or reservoirs.

**SEC. 28. Undressing at Beach.** No person shall undress on the Great Highway or the Skyline boulevard along the Ocean Beach north of the northerly boundary line of Fort Funston Military Reservation and west of the easterly boundary line of Lincoln Park, except at such place or places as have been designated by the Park Commission for such purpose.

**SEC. 29. Minors—Parent, Guardian.** No parent, guardian or custodian of a minor shall permit or allow such minor to do any act or thing, in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, prohibited by the provisions of this chapter.

**SEC. 30. Eject Offenders.** All persons doing any act or thing injurious to any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center shall be removed therefrom by the Superintendent of Parks, manager, or keeper or by the police.

## ARTICLE 7.

### MEETINGS AND ASSEMBLIES.

**Sec. 31.** Holding meetings, discussions.

**Sec. 32.** Parades, picnics, etc.

**Sec. 33.** Funeral processions—routes.

**SEC. 31. Holding Meetings, Discussions.** It shall be unlawful to hold any public meeting, or group, or collection, or assemblage of persons, or to hold or engage or participate in any public discussion, or debate, where five or more persons are assembled for that purpose, in or upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, without first having obtained a permit to hold said meeting, or group, or collection, or assemblage from the Park Commission, except at such place or places as shall have been designated by the Park Commission for such use.

**SEC. 32. Parades, Picnics, Etc.** Any lodge, society, social organization, social club, or other organization of persons, having twenty-five or more members, desiring to resort to or use any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center for a parade, picnic, or other similar recreation purpose, shall notify the Superintendent of Parks or the Secretary of the Park Commission of such intended use, and shall obtain a permit therefor from the Park Commission, not less than one day prior to such use.

**SEC. 33. Funeral Processions—Routes.** No funeral procession, hearse, lead wagon, or other vehicle designed for or carrying the body of a deceased person shall enter into or upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, except by (1) the transverse road across Golden Gate Park between Lincoln way at Nineteenth avenue and Fulton street at Park Presidio boulevard, and/or Twenty-fifth avenue, or (2) upon Stanyan street between Fell street and Oak street.



## ARTICLE 8.

## MALICIOUS MISCHIEF.

- Sec. 34. Injuring trees, shrubs, plants.  
Sec. 35. Removing trees, plants, turf, etc.  
Sec. 36. Bathing apparel and apparatus, not to be removed.  
Sec. 37. Defacing buildings, structures, prohibited.

**SEC. 34. Injuring Trees, Shrubs, Plants.** No person shall cut, break, dig up, pull up, pluck or in any manner injure any tree, bush, shrub, flower, or plant growing in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, or in any building or structure within any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center.

**SEC. 35. Removing Trees, Plants, Turf, Etc.** No person shall remove or take away any tree, wood, bush, turf, flower, plant, grass, soil or rock, or any thing of like kind from any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center.

**SEC. 36. Bathing Apparel and Apparatus, Not to Be Removed.** No person shall take, carry away or remove from any park, square, avenue, grounds or recreation center any bathing suit, bathing cap, towel, bathing apparatus or other personal property provided by the Park Commission for bathing purposes.

**SEC. 37. Defacing Buildings, Structures, Prohibited.** No person shall destroy, mutilate, deface, cut, scratch, mark upon, write upon, print upon, paint upon, or otherwise mar, or mutilate, or deface, or injure any building or structure, rest room, toilet, apartment, or any wall, fence, door, fixture, or any part thereof, or any furniture, equipment, or apparatus therein, within any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center.

## ARTICLE 9.

## PERMITS.

- Sec. 38. Yacht Harbor mooring permits.  
Sec. 39. Erecting obstruction.  
Sec. 40. Building materials.  
Sec. 41. Red lanterns at danger points.  
Sec. 42. Permits issued by Secretary of Park Commission.  
Sec. 43. Ground to be restored to condition.  
Sec. 44. Amusements, shows, etc.—permit required.

**SEC. 38. Yacht Harbor Mooring Permits.** Yacht Harbor, at the foot of Scott and Divisadero streets, is hereby reserved as mooring grounds for non-commercial water craft and boats; but no boat or water craft may enter or moor within said Yacht Harbor until a permit for such entry and mooring shall have been obtained from the Park Commission authorizing such entry or mooring. After the required permit shall have been issued by the Park Commission the boat or water craft shall be allowed to enter and use only the mooring space designated in the permit, and shall at all times be subject to and shall obey all rules and regulations made by the Park Commission for the control, management and government of Yacht Harbor.

**SEC. 39. Erecting Obstruction.** No person shall build, construct, erect, put up or maintain any building, structure, fence, wall, monument, dome or other thing in or upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center without first having obtained from the Park Commission a permit to do so, and at

each building, structure, fence, wall, monument, dome or other thing shall not prevent, hinder, or impair the full and free use and enjoyment by the public of each park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center for park purposes.

**SEC. 40. Building Materials.** No person shall place, pile, deposit, or have any building material or any article or thing whatsoever upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center without first having obtained a permit to do so from the Park Commission.

**SEC. 41. Red Lanterns at Danger Points.** Every person, firm, or corporation by whom, or under whose direction or authority, either as principal, contractor or employer, any part or portion of any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center is made dangerous, must erect and, so long as the danger continues, maintain around the portion of the park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center so made dangerous, a good and substantial barrier, and shall maintain at both ends of such barrier, during every night, from sunset until daylight, lighted red lanterns or road flares sufficient to give warning of said danger.

**SEC. 42. Permits Issued by Secretary of Park Commission.** The Secretary of the Park Commission shall receive all fees, bonds, and deposits from applicants for permits, and, from time to time, shall make report in writing to the Park Commission of the permits issued.

**SEC. 43. Ground to Be Restored to Condition.** Where work has been done in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, under any permit, the permittee shall repair, reconstruct, and put the ground in as good condition in all respects when the work shall have been completed or terminated or such permit shall have expired, as said ground was in when said permit was granted or said work commenced; the condition of the ground, site or place in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center where any work has been done pursuant to any permit, shall be made satisfactory to the Park Commission, and on the completion of said work the permittee shall forthwith remove all buildings, structures, machinery or equipment used in connection with said work from said park.

**SEC. 44. Amusements, Shows, Etc. Permit Required.** No person shall set up or maintain any exhibition, place of amusement, concert hall, moving picture, show, showstand, performance, concert, entertainment or amusement in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center without first having obtained a permit to do so from the Park Commission.

## ARTICLE 10.

### TRAFFIC REGULATIONS AND PENALTY.

- SEC. 45.** Trucks, drays, delivery vehicles, prohibited.
- SEC. 46.** Soliciting passengers for vehicles prohibited.
- SEC. 47.** Pleasure vehicles only in parks.
- SEC. 48.** Violation a misdemeanor—penalty.
- SEC. 49.** Saving clause.
- SEC. 50.** Repeal.

**SEC. 45. Trucks, Drays, Delivery Vehicles, Prohibited.** No dray, truck, auto truck, wagon, motor delivery vehicle, or other vehicle carrying, or used in carrying goods, wares, merchandise, manure, soil, building material, or other article or thing of commerce or trade shall enter into or upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, except by (1) the transverse road across Golden Gate Park between Lincoln way at Nineteenth avenue at Fulton street at Park Presidio boulevard and/or Twenty-fifth avenue, or



(2) upon Stanyan street between Fell street and Oak street. Transportation of garbage into, upon, or within any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center is prohibited.

**SEC. 46. Soliciting Passengers for Vehicles Prohibited.** No person shall solicit or arrange or contract or make any agreement for or establish or maintain any stand or other equipment for procuring custom or passengers for any carriage, coach, motor wagon, automobile, auto bus, auto stage, or other vehicle, let or used for hire, in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center without first having obtained a permit to do so from the Park Commission.

**SEC. 47. Pleasure Vehicles Only in Parks.** No person shall bring or cause to be brought into, or to enter upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, recreation center, any truck, delivery wagon, automobile delivery truck, garbage truck, or vehicle or conveyance of any kind customarily used for commercial purposes or for advertising, except as provided in this chapter.

**SEC. 48. Violation a Misdemeanor—Penalty.** Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and shall be punished by a fine of not more than five hundred (\$500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

**SEC. 49. Saving Clause.** If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this Ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this Ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this Ordinance, and each section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

**SEC. 50. Repeal.** All Ordinances or parts of Ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovi, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### **Employees' Retirement.**

(Code No. 4.07)

Also, Bill No. 1125, Ordinance No. 4.073, entitled:

"An ordinance carrying into effect Sections 158 to 172, both inclusive, of the Charter, which provide, through a retirement system for officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco, for the payment of retirement allowances to aged and disabled employees and for the payment of death benefits and compensation insurance benefits; defining terms. Providing for the procedure for the collection of benefits and the duties of the retirement board, creating the retirement fund, providing for membership in the retirement system, providing for contributions by the members and by the City and County, providing for service and disability retirement and fixing allowances and conditions of payment, providing for death benefits and the administration of benefits under the Workmen's Compensation Act, providing for the effect of Workmen's Compensation benefits on other benefits, providing for the validity of this Ordinance and repealing Ordinance No. 5561 (Sew Series), Ordinance No. 9134 (New

Series), and Ordinance No. 4.072 (Bill No. 529), and all ordinances or part of ordinances in conflict therewith."

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## NEW BUSINESS

### Adopted.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

### Authorizing Settlement of Damage Claims Caused by the Construction of Nineteenth Avenue.

(Code No. 6.0222)

Resolution No. 3416, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works that the total sum of \$478.50 be paid to Foster & Kleiser Company in settlement of all claims of damage to the advertising structures located as follows in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, caused or to be caused by the widening of Nineteenth avenue:

Nineteenth EL 50' No. Taraval—1 unill. panel.

Nineteenth EL 25' S. Taraval—2 unill. panels.

Nineteenth & Ulloa NE—1 unill. panel.

Nineteenth EL 125' N. Ulloa—1 unill. panel.

Nineteenth EL 250' N. Ulloa—1 6-sheet.

Nineteenth & Wawona SW—5 unill. panels.

Nineteenth & Sloat Blvd. NW—1 6-sheet.

Said sum shall be paid from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project No. 5-A.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

### Amending Section 4 of Ordinance No. 9102 (New Series) Relating to New York Deposits With Fiscal Agent.

(Code No. 9.0243)

Bill No. 1417, Ordinance No. 9.0243, as follows:

Amending Section 4 of Ordinance No. 9102 (New Series) entitled: "Designating the National City Bank of New York as the Fiscal Agent of the City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York for the purpose of providing payment in the City of New York, of coupons, for the redemption of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, and providing for the interest on funds on deposit with said fiscal agent", by providing that interest on moneys deposited with said fiscal agent shall be payable subject to the rules of the Federal Reserve System and when such payment is permitted by the laws of the United States.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 4 of Ordinance No. 9102 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

"Section 4. The designation and appointment of the National City Bank of New York as the fiscal agent of the City and County of San Francisco is made, provided the said fiscal agent shall pay into the



treasury of the City and County of San Francisco, monthly, interest at the rate of one-half of one per cent per annum on all daily balances of funds deposited with said fiscal agent; *the said payment of said interest to be subject to the rules of the Federal Reserve System and only when such payment is permitted by the laws of the United States.*"

Section 2. Ordinance No. 9.0242 heretofore enacted by this Board and amending Section 4 of the above named ordinance is hereby repealed.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Adopted.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

### Wage Scale—Private Employment on Public Contract.

(Code No. 9.024)

Resolution No. 3419, as follows:

Resolved, That the highest general prevailing rate of wages paid in private employment to various crafts in the City and County of San Francisco, including wages paid on holidays and for overtime work, is hereby determined and declared to be as herein set forth. Except as herein otherwise specifically provided, the wages herein fixed are based on an eight-hour day, five days per week.

### DIVISION 1—BUILDING TRADES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Asbestos Workers .....	\$1.12½	Double time after 8 hours.
Bricklayers (6-hour day)...	1.75	Double time after 6 hours.
Bricklayers' tenders and hod carriers (6-hour day).....	1.25	Double time after 6 hours.
Carpenters & cabinet makers	1.12½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Cement Finishers .....	1.12½	Double time after 8 hours.
Concrete Laborers .....	.79	Time and one-half first 4 hours, after 8 hours; double thereafter
Electrical Workers (including Fixture Hangers) .....	1.37½	Double time after 8 hours
Elevator Constructors .....	1.40	Double time after 8 hours
Elevator Constructors' Helpers	.98	Double time after 8 hours
<b>Engineers:</b>		
Compressor operators (on steel erection) .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Derricks and Asphalt Plant.	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Building Material Hoists...	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Pile Drivers, Locomotive Cranes .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Roller Engineers, Dragline and Clamshell Operators, Concrete Mixers and Mechanical Finishers, Asphalt Burners and Concrete Busters .....	1.43	Double time after 8 hours
Trench Machine .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Firemen (Asphalt Plants).	1.10	Double time after 8 hours
Truck Crane Engineers....	1.66⅔	Double time after 8 hours
Caterpillar Engineer, 50 h.p. and Over .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours

Operators of Power Shovels and/or Other Excavating Equipment with Power Shovel Control When Used on Excavating Operations (6-hour day) .....	1.66 $\frac{2}{3}$	Double time after 6 hours
Shovel Firemen, Watchman and Oiler (6-hour day)...	1.16 $\frac{2}{3}$	Double time after 6 hours
Glass Workers, Including Art Glass .....	1.21	Time and one-half first 2 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
<b>Gunite Workers:</b>		
Nozzle Men .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Rod Men .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Finishers .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Ground Wire Men.....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Gun Men .....	.90	Double time after 8 hours
Mixer Men .....	.87 $\frac{1}{2}$	Double time after 8 hours
Rebound Men .....	.75	Double time after 8 hours
Foremen (\$1 per day above highest craftsman) .....		Double time after 8 hours
Hardwood Floormen .....	1.12 $\frac{1}{2}$	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
<b>Housesmiths:</b>		
Reinforced Concrete .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
<b>Iron Workers:</b>		
Bridge, Structural, Rigger.	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Derrick Engineers .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Bronze and Ornamental (in- cluding erection of steel and iron fences).....	1.25	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
<b>Laborers:</b>		
.....		Overtime after 8 hours; and Sat- urday morning time and one- half after the first 4 hours; dou- ble thereafter

**Hours of Work for Laborers:** Hours of work for laborers shall not exceed eight hours in any one day, said eight hours to commence at 8:00 a. m. and to continue for eight continuous hours thereafter, provided, however, that where shift work is necessary the following conditions shall prevail:

First shift shall commence at 8:00 a. m. and continue for eight hours of continuous work, and the second shift shall commence at 5:00 p. m. and continue for eight hours continuous work. Provided further, that the time not exceeding one hour allowed for meals during any one shift shall not be deemed to break the continuity of work. Where more than two shifts are employed, each shift shall work seven hours of continuous work.

Compensation for all service between 8:00 a. m. and 5:00 p. m., 75 cents per hour. Where second shift only is used, 75 cents per hour. Where three shifts are used, eight hours' pay at 75 cents per hour for seven hours' work, except in shift work all time before 8:00 a. m. and after 5:00 p. m. overtime rate shall prevail.

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Construction and General..	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Mixer Operators .....	.87 $\frac{1}{2}$	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Lathers (6-hour day) wood and/or metal .....	1.45	Double time after 6 hours
Linoleum and Carpet Layers.	1.12 $\frac{1}{2}$	Double time after 8 hours



## Marble Trades:

Shopmen and Helpers.....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Polishers .....	.78¾	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Bed Rubbers .....	.84¾	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Cutters, Copers, Carborun- dum Men .....	.90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Carvers .....	1.02½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Masons and Setters.....	1.12½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Mosaic and Terazzo Workers.	1.12½	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Mosaic and Terazzo Workers' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Painters . . . . .	1.21 3/7	Time and one-half after 7 hours
Painters, Structural Iron Work .....	1.37½	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Painters, Varnishers and Pol- ishers .....	1.10	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Pile Drivers .....	1.40	Double time after 8 hours
Plasterers (6-hour day).....	1.50	Double time after 6 hours
Plasterers' Tenders and Hod Carriers (6-hour day).....	1.25	Double time after 6 hours
Plumbers and Gas Fitters (including pipe calking) ..	1.37 ½	Double time after 8 hours; Sat- urday morning at straight time on existing installations.
Ornamental Plasterers:		
Casters (6-hour day) .....	1.50	Double time after 6 hours
Model Makers (6-hour day)	1.50	Double time after 6 hours
Modelers (6-hour day).....	2.00	Double time after 6 hours
Roofers and Waterproofers...	1.12½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Sheet Metal Workers .....	1.25	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Sprinkler Fitters .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Steam Fitters .....	1.37 ½	Double time after 8 hours
Stone Cutters:		
Soft and Granite.....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Stone Setters:		
Soft and Granite, including granite curbs .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Stone Derrickmen .....	1.12½	Double time after 8 hours
Tile Setters .....	1.37 ½	Double time after 8 hours
Tile Setters' Helpers .....	.87 ½	Double time after 8 hours
Upholsterers .....	1.00	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Dump Truck Drivers (7-hour Day)		
2 yards or less, water level per day.....		\$ 7.00
3 yards per day, water level per day.....		7.50
4 yards per day, water level per day.....		8.00
5 yards per day, water level per day.....		8.00
6 yards per day, water level per day.....		8.50
7 yards or over, water level per day.....		9.00

Truck Drivers of Concrete Mixer Trucks (7-hour Day)	
2 yards or less per day.....	\$7.50
3 yards per day .....	8.00
4 yards per day .....	8.50
5 yards per day.....	8.50
6 yards per day .....	9.00

Tractor Driver (up to 35 h.p.)		
(6-hour day) .....	8.00	Time and one-half after 6 hours
Tractor Driver (over 35 h.p. to 50 h.p.) (6-hour day).....	9.00	Time and one-half after 6 hours

(Working time for truck drivers, tractor drivers and all engineers shall be reckoned by half day and full day. Overtime for truck drivers at time and a half after seven hours.)

#### DIVISION 2—BUILDING TRADE—SHOP RATES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>
Cabinet Workers, Millmen, Machine and Bench Hands (shop) . . . . .	\$1.10
Varnishers and Polishers (shop) .....	1.10

#### DIVISION 3—FURNITURE TRADES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Carpet Layers, Cutters and Measurers .....	9.00	Double time after 8 hours
Carpet Seamstresses (large machines) .....	6.00	Double time after 8 hours
Carpet Seamstresses (small machines) .....	5.50	Double time after 8 hours
Carpet Layers' Apprentices:		
2 months out.....	4.50	Double time after 8 hours
1st 6 months out.....	5.00	Double time after 8 hours
2d 6 months out.....	5.50	Double time after 8 hours
3d 6 months out.....	6.00	Double time after 8 hours
4th 6 months out.....	6.50	Double time after 8 hours
5th 6 months out.....	7.00	Double time after 8 hours
6th 6 months out.....	7.50	Double time after 8 hours
Shade and Drapery Makers and Hangers (including Venetian blinds) .....	8.00	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Upholsterers .....	8.00	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Furniture Handlers, Packers and Strippers .....	6.00	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Drapery Seamstresses .....	4.65	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter

#### DIVISION 4—METAL TRADES—FIELD RATES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Blacksmiths .....	1.10	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Boilermakers .....	1.37½	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Boilermakers' Helpers .....	1.10	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Machinists .....	1.10	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Machinists' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Machinist (maintenance) ...	1.12½	Time and one-half after 8 hours



## DIVISION 5—METAL TRADES—SHOP RATES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Pattern Makers (based on 7-hour day) .....	1.25	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 7 hours; double thereafter
Molders and Coremakers.....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Blacksmiths .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Blacksmiths' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Boilermakers .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Boilermakers' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Machinists .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Machinists' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Structural and Ornamental Ironworkers (shop) .....	.90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Toolmaker .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter

## DIVISION 6—STREET WORK

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Timberman (tunnel) .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Mucker .....	.90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Asphalt Rakers and Ironers..	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Asphalt Shovelers .....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Cribbers .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Laborers, street work.....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Jackhammer Men .....	.90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter

## DIVISION 7—MISCELLANEOUS TRADES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>
Well Drillers .....	1.10
Well Drillers (hand tool foremen) .....	1.10
Diamond Drillers .....	1.10
Powderman .....	1.10
Washers, Polishers and Greasers (garagemen) .....	.75

## DIVISION 8—DREDGE BOATS

(8-hour day—4-hour Saturday)

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Dredge Captain .....	\$270	Double time after 8 hours
Leverman . . . . .	245	Double time after 8 hours
Fireman . . . . .	170	Double time after 8 hours
Deckhand . . . . .	170	Double time after 8 hours
Bargeman . . . . .	170	Double time after 8 hours

## DIVISION 9—CULINARY WORKERS

(8-hour day—5-day week)

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Head Cook .....	\$37.50	\$1.50 hour
Other Cooks .....	32.50	\$1.50 hour
Cooks' Helpers .....	25.00	\$1.50 hour
		(Short shifts, not less than 3 hours, \$1 hour)
Waiters .....	21.00	\$0.75 hour
Waitresses .....	21.00	\$0.75 hour
Dishwasher and Vegetable Man (straight shift).....	17.50	\$0.50 hour
Dishwasher and Vegetable Man (broken shift).....	20.00	\$0.50 hour

Wherever welding processes are involved the rate paid for such shall be as herein fixed for the crafts performing the work.

Saturdays and holiday work at double time. Holidays are New Year's Day, Decoration Day, Fourth of July, Labor Day, Admission Day, Thanksgiving and Christmas.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Approving County Welfare Recommendations.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3455, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Director of the County Welfare Department, filed with the Board of Supervisors on June 11, 1937, are approved as follows:

Old Age Security Aid—Decreases; cancellations; other transactions.

Blind Pensions—Cancellations.

Widows' Pensions—Decreases; cancellations; other transactions.

And the Clerk of this Board is directed to indorse the approval of this Board upon the reports of said Director of County Welfare Department and to transmit the same to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Action Deferred.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Contracting With S. A. Dewing for Stenographic Reporting for the Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 1.04)

Resolution No. 3461, as follows:

Resolved, That S. A. Dewing and his associates are hereby retained and employed as shorthand reporters for the Board of Supervisors to report the proceedings of said Board and the proceedings of its committees when authorized to do so and to make transcriptions of such proceedings, or such portions thereof as this board may direct, and that said S. A. Dewing and his associates be compensated for their said services as follows:

For services in attending and reporting meetings of the board or committees of said board, at the rate of Twelve Dollars and fifty cents (\$12.50) for each four-hour period, or fraction thereof, computed from the hour of convening until the hour of recess or adjournment; provided, that whenever such meetings of the board or com-



mittees thereof shall continue for more than four hours on any one calendar day, compensation therefor shall be at the rate of Three Dollars (\$3.00) per hour for each additional hour or fraction thereof. Provided further, that where committee meetings do not exceed two hours only one-half a per diem shall be paid.

Transcription rates to be as follows:

Original, 20 cents per folio.

Original and 1 copy, 30 cents per folio.

Original and 2 copies, 33 cents per folio.

Original and 3 copies, 38 cents per folio.

Original and 4 copies, 40 cents per folio.

All over five copies, 8 cents per folio for each copy.

Be it Further Resolved, That this resolution, when the provisions thereof are accepted by S. A. Dewing, shall constitute a contract between the Board of Supervisors and S. A. Dewing.

#### Motion.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the rate be fixed at \$10 per period in lieu of \$12.50.

#### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the subject matter was *laid over one week*.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

#### Land Purchase—Mission High School Playground.

(Code No. 12.1714)

Resolution No. 3462, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from James F. Conlan and Juliet Conlan Lots 20 and 21, Assessor's Block 3579, and an undivided two-thirds interest in Lot 18, Assessor's Block 3579, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Mission High School, for the sum of \$6,750, payable from Appropriation No. 770.600.01. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of City Planning Committee was *laid over two weeks*:

#### Approving City Planning Commission Resolution No. 1654, Rezoning Southwesterly Corner of Chestnut and Webster Streets.

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 3449, as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 1654 of the City Planning Commission, passed June 17, 1937, rezoning from Commercial District to Second Residential District, property described as follows:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Chestnut street with the westerly line of Webster street running thence westerly along said line of Chestnut street, 42 feet, 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 87 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 42 feet 6 inches to the westerly line of Webster street; thence northerly to the southerly line of Chestnut street and the point of commencement is hereby approved.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

**Changing Grades on Goettingen Street and on Ordway Street.**

(Code No. 12,0722)

Bill No. 1411, Ordinance No. 12.072229, as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Goettingen street between a line parallel with Mansell street and 310 feet southerly therefrom and Ward street; also on Ordway street between Brussels street and Somerset street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 21st day of June, 1937, by Resolution No. 3351, Code No. 12.0721, declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Goettingen street between a line parallel with Mansell street and 310 feet southerly therefrom and Ward street; also on Ordway street between Brussels street and Somerset street; and

Whereas, said resolution has been published and posted in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than thirty days have elapsed since the first publication of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

<i>Goettingen Street:</i>	<i>Feet</i>
310 feet southerly from Mansell street.....	271
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Ordway street northerly line .....	309
Northerly curb line of Ordway street produced.....	309
Easterly line of, 10 feet northerly from Ordway street	
southerly line .....	311
Westerly line of, 10 feet northerly from Ordway street	
southerly line .....	311
10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Ordway street	
southerly line .....	311
12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Ordway street	
southerly line .....	311
10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 100 feet south-	
erly from Ordway street .....	322.67
10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 150 feet south-	
erly from Ordway street .....	326.41
10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 200 feet south-	
erly from Ordway street .....	326
(Vertical curve passing through the last three de-	
scribed elevations.)	
12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 100 feet south-	
erly from Ordway street .....	322.67
12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 150 feet south-	
erly from Ordway street .....	326.41
12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 200 feet south-	
erly from Ordway street .....	326
(Vertical curve passing through the last three de-	
scribed elevations.)	
10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 120 feet north-	
erly from Ward street .....	322
10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 80 feet north-	
erly from Ward street .....	318.50
10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 40 feet north-	
erly from Ward street .....	312



	<i>Feet</i>
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)	
12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 120 feet northerly from Ward street .....	322
12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 80 feet northerly from Ward street .....	318.50
12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 40 feet northerly from Ward street .....	312
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)	
Ward street northerly line .....	304
(The same being the present official grade.)	
<i>Ordway Street:</i>	<i>Feet</i>
Westerly line of, at Brussels street.....	252
(The same being the present official grade.)	
40 feet easterly from Goettingen street.....	300.34
Northerly line of, at Goettingen street.....	309
10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Goettingen street .....	309
10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Goettingen street easterly line .....	311
Southerly line of, 10 feet westerly from Goettingen street easterly line .....	311
Southerly line of, 12 feet easterly from Goettingen street westerly line .....	311
10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Goettingen street westerly line .....	311
Northerly line of, at Somerset street .....	350
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Southerly line of, at Somerset street easterly line.....	352
(The same being the present official grade.)	
On Goettingen street, between a line parallel with Mansell street and 310 feet southerly therefrom, and Ward street, and on Ordway street, between Brussels and Somerset streets, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.	

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Changing Grades on Innes Avenue, Ingalls Street and Jennings Street.

(Code No. 12.0722)

Also, Bill No. 1412, Ordinance No. 12.072230, as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Innes avenue between Hawes and Keith streets; also on Ingalls and Jennings streets between Innes and Jerrold avenues.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 21st day of June, 1937, by Resolution No. 3352, Code No. 12.0721, declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Innes avenue between Hawes and Keith streets; also on Ingalls and Jennings streets between Innes and Jerrold avenues; and

Whereas, said resolution has been published and posted in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than thirty days have elapsed since the first publication of intention; therefore

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

<i>Innes Avenue:</i>	<i>Feet</i>
80 feet westerly from Hawes street easterly line.....	32
(The same being the present official grade.)	
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 84 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	42.04
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 184 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	51.63
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 284 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	56.50
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)	
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	42.04
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Hawes street .....	51.63
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 300 feet northwesterly from Hawes street .....	56.50
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)	
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 324 feet northwesterly from Hawes street .....	57.50
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 424 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	64.37
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 524 feet northwesterly from Hawes street .....	80
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)	
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 340 feet northwesterly from Hawes street .....	57.50
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 440 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	64.37
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 540 feet northwesterly from Hawes street .....	80
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)	
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Ingalls street southeasterly line .....	92
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Ingalls street southeasterly line .....	92
Northeasterly line of, 19 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street southeasterly line .....	92.90
Southwesterly line of, 19 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street southeasterly line .....	92.90
Northeasterly line of, 19 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street northwesterly line .....	94.12
Southwesterly line of, 19 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street northwesterly line .....	94.12
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Ingalls street northwesterly line .....	95
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Ingalls street northwesterly line .....	95
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 90 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street .....	113
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 140 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	122.12
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 190 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	129.50
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	



	<i>Feet</i>
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 90 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street .....	113
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 140 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street .....	122.12
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 190 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	129.50
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)	
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 290 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street .....	142.50
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 340 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	148.67
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 390 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street .....	154.19
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)	
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 290 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street .....	142.50
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 340 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street .....	148.67
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 390 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	154.19
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
600 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	176
664 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	176
Keith street .....	85
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*Ingalls Street:*

	<i>Feet</i>
19 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, at Innes avenue northeasterly line .....	92.90
19 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, at Innes avenue northeasterly line .....	94.12
Southeasterly line of, 18 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue northeasterly line .....	92
Northwesterly line of, 18 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue northeasterly line .....	95
Southeasterly line of, 18 feet northeasterly from Innes avenue southwesterly line .....	92
Northwesterly line of, 18 feet northeasterly from Innes avenue southwesterly line .....	95
19 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, at Innes avenue southwesterly line .....	92.90
19 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, at Innes avenue southwesterly line .....	94.12
19 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, 50 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue .....	94.67
19 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, 50 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue .....	94.67
Jerrold avenue .....	100
(The same being the present official grade.)	

On Innes avenue, between Hawes and Keith streets, and on Ingalls and Jennings streets, between Innes and Jerrold avenues, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

**Closing and Abandoning a Portion of the Intersection of Funston Avenue and Pacheco Street.**

(Code No. 12.0622)

Resolution No. 3452, as follows:

Whereas, on the 21st day of June, 1937, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 3350, Code No. 12.0621, which resolution was presented to his Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 21st day of June, 1937, said resolution being in words and figures as follows:

*Intention to Close a Portion of the Intersection of Funston Avenue and Pacheco Street.*

(Code No. 12.0621)

Resolution No. 3350, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of the intersection of Funston Avenue and Pacheco Street be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of said intersection more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Funston Avenue, distant thereon 12.711 feet northeasterly from the most westerly corner of Lot 9, Block 2049-B of Golden Gate Heights, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "J," pages 30 to 38 inclusive, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northeasterly along aforesaid line of Funston Avenue produced northeasterly, 3.054 feet thence easterly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 42.290 feet, central angle 85 degrees 40 minutes 23 seconds, 63.235 feet to tangency with the southwesterly line of Pacheco Street at the northwesterly termination of that certain curve of 220-foot radius forming the northeasterly boundary of Lot 10 of aforesaid Block 2049-B; thence southwesterly along the northwesterly boundary of aforesaid Block 2049-B the following courses and distances: On the arc of a curve to the left, radius 10 feet, central angle 80 degrees 45 minutes 07 seconds, 14.094 feet; on the arc of a curve to the right, radius 30 feet, central angle 70 degrees 24 minutes 50 seconds, 36.869 feet; on the arc of a curve to the left, radius 10 feet, central angle 75 degrees 20 minutes 06 seconds, 13.148 feet to tangency with aforesaid line of Funston Avenue at the point of beginning.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of said intersection shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of said intersec-



tion in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

Adopted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, June 21, 1937.

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved San Francisco, June 21, 1937.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

And whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution and the said Department of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 3350, Code No. 12.0621; and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that the portion of the intersection of Funston Avenue and Pacheco Street described in Resolution No. 3350, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered, that the portion of the intersection of Funston Avenue and Pacheco Street specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 3350, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing said portion of the intersection of Funston Avenue and Pacheco Street described in Resolution No. 3350, Code No. 12.0621 shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco as proposed and provided in Resolution No. 3350, Code No. 12.0621; and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said portion of said street described in Resolution No. 3350, Code No. 12.0621 shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works and that the Department of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

**Ordering the Improvement of Wherenots on Thirty-fifth Avenue and Quintara Street.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Bill No. 1413, Ordinance No. 12.061181, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications

therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the director of public works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 21st, 1937, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following listed streets, where not already improved:

Thirty-Fifth Avenue, between Quintara Street and Rivera Street.

Quintara Street, between Thirty-Fifth Avenue and Thirty-Sixth Avenue.

by the construction of the following:

*Item No.*

*Item.*

1. 6-inch V. C. P. side sewers in place.
2. Unarmored concrete curb.
3. Asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered respectively as:

Lots 18, 19, 20, 21 and 22 of Block 2155

Lot 20 of Block 2183

all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Ordering the Improvement of Moraga Street Between Thirty-first and Thirty-second Avenues and Certain Other Streets. (Wherenots.)**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1414, Ordinance No. 12.061182, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the director of public works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication



filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 21st, 1937, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following listed streets, where not already improved:

Moraga Street between Thirty-First and Thirty-Second Avenues.  
 Thirty-Eighth Avenue between Santiago Street and Taraval Street.  
 Forty-Second Avenue between Lawton Street and Moraga Street.  
 Forty-Third Avenue between Moraga Street and Noriega Street.  
 Forty-Fifth Avenue between Taraval Street and Ulloa Street.  
 Forty-Sixth Avenue between Taraval Street and Ulloa Street.  
 Forty-Seventh Avenue between Taraval Street and Ulloa Street.

by the construction of the following:

*Item No.*

*Item.*

1. Asphalt-concrete pavement consisting of a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.
2. Armored concrete curbs.
3. 6-inch vitrified clay pipe side sewers.
4. 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Lot 1 of Block 1901.  
 Lots 5, 9 and 10 of Block 1902.  
 Lots 1B and 1C of Block 2006.  
 Lot 4 of Block 2007.  
 Lots 1, 17, 18 and 19 of Block 2018.  
 Lot 8 of Block 2367.  
 Lots 8, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20 and 21 of Block 2378.  
 Lot 4 of Block 2379; and  
 Lot 9 of Block 2380;

all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **Ordering the Improvement of the Crossing of Quintara Street and Thirty-fifth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1415, Ordinance No. 12.061183, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications

therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the director of public works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 21st, 1937, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of Quintara Street and Thirty-Fifth Avenue by grading to official line and subgrade, and by the construction of the following:

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>Item.</i>
1.	Grading (excavation).
2.	Unarmored concrete curbs.
3.	One-course concrete sidewalk.
4.	Brick catchbasins, complete.
5.	10-inch vitrified clay pipe culverts, in place.
6.	Asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered respectively as:

Lots 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37 and 38 of Block 2154.

Lots 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21 and 22 of Block 2155.

Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 18 of Block 2182.

Lots 22, 23, 24, 25, 26 and 27 of Block 2183.

all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

**Approving Map Showing the Widening of San Jose Avenue From Bernal Avenue to Ocean Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.08)

Resolution No. 3453, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Map Showing the Widening of San Jose Avenue from Bernal Avenue to Ocean Avenue,"



approved the 23rd day of July, 1937, by Director of Public Works, Order No. 6632, be and is hereby approved and made official and parcels numbered 1 to 43 inclusive, shown hatched thereon, are hereby declared to be an open public street to be known as San Jose avenue.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Approving Map Showing the Widening of Portola Drive From a Line 120 Feet Easterly From Stanford Heights Avenue to Twenty-fourth Street.**

(Code No. 12.08)

Also, Resolution No. 3454, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Map Showing the Widening of Portola Drive from a line 120 feet easterly from Stanford Heights Avenue to Twenty-fourth Street," approved the 23rd day of July, 1937, by Director of Public Works, Order No. 6632, be and is hereby approved and made official and parcels numbered 1 to 59 inclusive, and lettered A, B, C, D, E, F, H, J and K, shown hatched thereon, are hereby declared to be an open public street to be known as Portola drive, and parcels G, I and L, shown hatched thereon, are hereby declared to be open public streets to be known respectively as Burnett avenue, La Place avenue and Kenyon avenue.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

**Accepting the Roadway of Ulloa Street Between Laguna Honda Boulevard and Woodside Avenue, Including the Intersection of Ulloa Street and Sydney Way.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Bill No. 1416, Ordinance No. 12.081130, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Ulloa street between Laguna Honda boulevard and Woodside avenue, including the intersection of Ulloa street and Sydney way.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphalt, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Ulloa street between Laguna Honda boulevard and Woodside avenue, including the intersection of Ulloa street and Sydney way.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of His Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Mr. Philip Lee Bush, Member of the Board of Education.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3450, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mr. Philip Lee Bush, member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ten days, commencing August 4, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Leave of Absence—Hon. John J. Lerman, Park Commissioner.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3451, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. John J. Lerman, Park Commissioner, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing August 10, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Replacement of Supervisors' Automobile.**

(Code No. 1.06)

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. 3464, as follows:

Whereas, the Purchaser of Supplies has advised the Board of Supervisors that it will cost approximately \$605.00 to repair and recondition Buick automobile, motor number 2878140, assigned to this Board; and

Whereas, said automobile was purchased in August, 1934, and the speedometer registers 44,913 miles, and the current trade-in value on the purchase of a new automobile is approximately \$450.00; and

Whereas, it is deemed advisable to dispose of said automobile in its present condition and purchase new equipment in its place and stead, therefore, be it

Resolved, that the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized and directed to requisition the Purchaser of Supplies for a replacement of the aforementioned automobile.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Mayor was taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Hon. David Supple, Member Board of Permit Appeals.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3465, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. David Supple, member of the Board of Permit Appeals,



is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of two weeks, commencing August 12, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt—4.

#### **Appropriation of \$20,000 Toward Purchase of Muir Woods Toll Road.**

(Code No. 5.31)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 3466, as follows:

Whereas, for many years the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, Marin County Board of Supervisors, The Redwood Empire Association, the Golden Gate Bridge Directors, and all Chambers of Commerce within one hundred miles of this City, have appealed to the California Legislature to make provision for the purchase of the Muir Woods Toll Road, in order that the citizens of California in particular and the world in general might enjoy, free of charge, this great scenic wonderland; and

Whereas, as a result of said petitions the recent Legislature passed and the Governor signed a measure, appropriating the sum of \$25,000 as the state's share of the purchase price, with the condition that an additional \$25,000 would be subscribed by other counties contiguous to Muir Woods, and on the further condition that said purchase be concluded on or before November 2nd, 1937; and

Whereas, for the greater use and prosperity of the Golden Gate Bridge, it is advisable that Muir Woods Road be made toll free, it being evident that thousands of citizens and tourists are prohibited from enjoyment of same because of the bridge fee and the toll; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of Marin County, in addition to subscribing \$5,000 in cash, and agreeing to rebuild the road where needed, has also agreed to bear the cost of maintenance forever, making in all a commitment of near \$50,000; and

Whereas, counts taken at the Toll Gate show that the great majority of vehicles using Muir Woods Toll Road have their origin in San Francisco, or are tourists within this County; therefore be it

Resolved, That the Joint Finance and Streets Committee, in a spirit of community good will, is requested to investigate the matter and to, if funds permit, recommend an appropriation of \$20,000 out of the County Road Fund as San Francisco's contribution to the purchase of said road.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

#### **Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee for Columbus Day.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 3467, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor is hereby authorized and requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to make arrangements for the proper observance of Columbus Day, Tuesday, October 12, 1937.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt—4.

**In Memoriam—Matt I. Sullivan.**

(Code No. 5.91)

The following, signed by all members, was read by the Clerk:

Resolution No. 3468, as follows:

The death of Matt I. Sullivan takes out of San Francisco one of its most unselfish citizens. His life was devoted to this City and State. He gave unstintedly of his great ability and character that this community might be bettered for humanity and made more attractive as an abiding place of families. A great and impartial jurist, his efforts were spent to promote justice, peace and contentment for his fellow men.

His service as Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of the State of California; his devotion to duty as a member of the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco, and his services, freely given, in many civic activities, stamped his name indelibly on the pages of this City's history.

His kindness and generosity to those in trouble enshrined his memory in many hearts. His thousands of friends in high and lowly places mourn his passing.

The Board of Supervisors, with great sorrow and regret, notes the end of a splendid Christian, patriotic life, and, as a mark of respect, adjourns this day in honor of the memory of the late Matt I. Sullivan.

*Adopted unanimously by rising vote.*

**Delegates to Redwood Empire Association Exposition Commission.**

The following was read by the Clerk:

Communication from Clyde Edmondson, Managing Director of the Redwood Empire, requesting to be informed as soon as possible of the names of new commissioners from San Francisco County to represent this City on the Redwood Empire Exposition Commission.

President Shannon declared that he would consult the Chief Administrative Officer.

**"Stop" Cane Ordinance for the Blind.**

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Communication from Frank Look, submitting draft of "Stop" Cane Ordinance for the benefit of the blind, and requesting its adoption by the Board.

*Referred to Police Committee.*

**Delegate to A. O. H. State Convention.**

The Clerk read:

Communication from the Ancient Order of Hibernians inviting delegation from the Board of Supervisors to attend its State Convention at Sacramento August 15, 16 and 17, 1937.

President Shannon appointed Supervisor Reilly Chairman of the delegation, other members to be appointed later.

**Closing Portions of Connecticut, Missouri and Texas Streets.**

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Communication from Harrigan, Weidemuller, stating that it has a proposition to erect a million dollar plant for the Federated Metals Co. if it can be arranged to close portions of Connecticut, Missouri and Texas streets in the vicinity.

Mr. Harrigan was heard in explanation.

**Referred.**

Whereupon the matter was referred to the Streets Committee for consideration Wednesday at 2:30 p. m.



**Motion.**

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that the Finance Committee be authorized, in conjunction with the Controller, to prepare maturity dates for the bonds to be passed upon next Monday.

*Motion carried.*

Supervisor Uhl, referring to the Miller McClintock City-Wide Traffic Survey, moved that the City Engineer give us an estimate of the cost of clearing up traffic jams on Harrison street, Portrero avenue and other streets in the southern section of the City.

*No objection, so ordered.*

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business the Board of Supervisors at 7:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 16, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, August 16, 1937

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, AUGUST 16, 1937, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 16, 1937, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of August 9, 1937, was considered read and approved.

### PROPOSED BOND ISSUES

The following bill, presented without recommendation from Finance Committee, was taken up:

#### RAPID TRANSIT BONDS, 1938.

(Code No. 15.094)

Bill No. 1423, Ordinance No. 15.0941, as follows:

CALLING AND PROVIDING FOR A SPECIAL ELECTION TO BE HELD IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ON TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 1937, FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUBMITTING TO THE VOTERS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION TO INCUR A BONDED DEBT OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO TO THE AMOUNT OF \$49,250,000.00 FOR THE ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION AND COMPLETION OF A MUNICIPAL IMPROVEMENT CONSISTING OF SUBWAYS AND SURFACE RAPID TRANSIT LINES TO CONNECT WITH EXISTING MUNICIPAL AND OTHER RAILWAY LINES AND ESTABLISHMENT OF BUS LINES AND THE ACQUISITION OF THE NECESSARY CARS, BUSES AND EQUIPMENT TO BE USED IN CONNECTION WITH SAID SUBWAYS AND SURFACE RAPID TRANSIT AND BUS LINES, TOGETHER WITH THE REQUIRED CAR AND BUS STORAGE FACILITIES, SHOPS AND BUILDINGS AND THE LAND NECESSARY THEREFOR, AND ALL OTHER THINGS NECESSARY, CONVENIENT OR INCIDENTAL TO THE CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF SAID RAPID TRANSIT SYSTEM WITHIN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, INCLUDING THE NECESSARY EASEMENTS AND RIGHTS OF WAY, AND PROVIDING FOR THE FORM, DENOMINATION AND RATE OF INTEREST, FIXING PAYMENT OF INTEREST AND RETIREMENT OF SAID BONDS, AND PRESCRIBING NOTICE TO BE GIVEN OF SUCH ELECTION.

Be it ordained by the people of the city and county of San Francisco, as follows:



Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the city and county of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 2nd day of November, 1937, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said city and county a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the city and county of San Francisco to the amount of \$49,250,000.00 for the acquisition, construction and completion by the city and county of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of a subway in Market street from Fremont street westerly to the vicinity of Church street with a subway in Fremont street from Market street to the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge terminal in the vicinity of Howard and Fremont streets; a subway through the Mission District commencing at a point in the vicinity of Market street and Van Ness avenue and extending to Mission street over the most advisable route and continuing southerly in Mission street to the right of way of the Southern Pacific Company at a point in the vicinity of Mission and Twenty-fifth streets; thence under the said right of way to a point in the vicinity of Twenty-seventh and Dolores streets; a subway in Montgomery street southerly from Columbus avenue to Market street, thence in Market street to Geary street and thence in Geary street to a point in the vicinity of Pierce street; a surface street railway commencing at the end of the subway in the vicinity of Twenty-seventh and Dolores streets over and along or near the right of way of the Southern Pacific Company to its intersection with Ocean Avenue; and to establish and maintain bus lines to be operated in connection with and as feeders to said subways and surface railway lines existing or to be hereafter acquired, all to constitute a rapid transit system and to be operated in connection with the present municipal railway system to relieve traffic congestion in the city and county of San Francisco and to accelerate the transportation of passengers between the business and residential sections of the city and county. Together with the acquisition of all lands, easements and rights of way necessary, convenient or incident to the construction, maintenance and operation of said subways and surface lines, including buses and all facilities necessary for the housing and servicing of the cars and buses used in the operation of said subway, surface railway and bus lines, including the land necessary for said purpose. Together with all equipment, devices and facilities of every kind and nature necessary or incident or convenient to the acquisition, maintenance and operation of said subways, surface or bus lines, and the necessary changes in the present street railway equipment to make the same adaptable for use in connection with said subways and surface lines.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 3375, passed by more than two-thirds of said board and approved by the Mayor in the sum of \$49,250,000.00, and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the city and county in addition to the other annual expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxation for that purpose. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvements described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the city and county of San Francisco to the amount required therefor.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held, shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the state of California providing for and governing elections in the city and county of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Municipal Election to be held Tuesday, November 2, 1937, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for the said General Municipal Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respec-

tively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at such special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear the following:

"Rapid Transit Bonds 1938 to incur a bonded debt in the sum of \$49,250,000.00 for constructing subways and acquiring a rapid transit system."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the construction and acquisition of lands as described in Section One hereof. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1938, shall bear interest at a rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually; shall be of the denomination of \$1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States, either at the office of the Treasurer of the city and county of San Francisco, or at the office of the fiscal agent of said city and county in the city of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "Rapid Transit Bonds, 1938," and shall be numbered from 1 to 49,250, both inclusive, and shall be payable \$1,370,000 thereof 5 years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and \$1,368,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year for 35 years, until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the city and county of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bonds and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the interest and principal of



said bonds the Board of Supervisors shall at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of the city and county of San Francisco set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco News, a newspaper published daily in the city and county of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said city and county.

#### OFFICE OF CONTROLLER

August 12, 1937.

The following statement was read into the record by Supervisor Roncovieri:

Hon. Alfred Roncovieri, Supervisor, City and County of San Francisco.

Dear Sir: Transmitted herewith is an estimate of annual requirements from taxes for bond interest and redemption of the proposed rapid transit issue—interest at 4 per cent—forty years—for the ten-year period ending June 30, 1947, under the Cahill-Colman plan and the Roncovieri plan.

This information was compiled at the request of Supervisor Shannon and, at his suggestion, a copy is supplied to each member of the Board.

Yours very truly,

HAROLD J. BOYD, Controller.

ESTIMATE OF ANNUAL REQUIREMENTS FROM TAXES OF THE PROPOSED RAPID TRANSIT BOND  
ISSUE, INTEREST AT FOUR PERCENT—FORTY YEARS FOR THE TEN-YEAR  
PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 1947.

Fiscal Year	Annual Requirements from Taxes under Cahill-Colman Plan		Annual Requirements from Taxes under the Roncovieri Plan		Excess Cost of Cahill-Colman Plan over Roncovieri Plan	
	Amount	Cents in the Tax Rate	Amount	Cents in the Tax Rate	Amount	Cents in the Tax Rate
1937-1938.....	\$ 8,000.00	.0010			\$ 8,000.00	.0010
1938-1939.....	172,000.00	.0215			172,000.00	.0215
1939-1940.....	946,000.00	.1183		.0625	446,000.00	.0558
1940-1941.....	1,578,000.00	.1973	\$ 500,000.00		548,000.00	.0686
1941-1942.....	1,850,000.00	.2312	1,030,000.00	.1287	300,000.00	.0374
1942-1943.....	3,312,600.00	.4140	1,550,000.00	.1938	1,548,600.00	.1935
1943-1944.....	3,255,940.00	.4070	1,764,000.00	.2205	1,215,940.00	.1520
1944-1945.....	3,201,120.00	.4001	2,040,000.00	.2550	1,161,120.00	.1451
1945-1946.....	3,146,400.00	.3933	2,040,000.00	.2550	1,106,400.00	.1383
1946-1947.....	3,091,680.00	.3865	2,040,000.00	.2550	1,051,680.00	.1315
	\$20,561,740.00	\$2.5702	\$13,004,000.00	1.6255	\$ 7,557,740.00	.9447

This schedule is premised upon the assumption that the total interest and redemption requirements for the ten-year period, other than that portion which is to be paid from the proceeds from the sale of bonds will have to be met from taxes.

The foregoing computation of the annual requirements for bond interest and redemption for the ten years ended June 30, 1947, is also predicated on bond sales at par on the various dates as shown in the Controller's report dated June 28, 1937, with interest at four per cent. The first redemptions under the plans as shown above are as follows:

Cahill-Colman plan .....	January 1, 1943
Roncovieri plan .....	January 1, 1948

For the purpose of estimating the cents in the tax rate, we have considered that one cent is equivalent to \$80,000 in the tax levy, which is based upon present assessed values which are subject to change.



**Supervisor Uhl's Statement on Bus Project.**

Supervisor Uhl read the following statement into the record:

San Francisco, California,  
July 21, 1937.

To the Honorable The Public Utilities Commission,  
City Hall.

Gentlemen: I respectfully submit for your approval the following proposal for bus transportation with the request that, if approved by your commission, you will request the Supervisors to place the necessary bond issue to finance same on the November ballot.

**A Considerable Number of Bus Routes Tentatively Proposed by Mr. Cahill are Embodied in the Proposed Bus Plan Herewith Submitted, Including Trackless Trolley "H" Line.**

*It is not contemplated that this bus proposal will take the place of the Municipal car lines on Market street. It merely contemplates an express bus service supplementing present service whereby there will be a saving of approximately 10 minutes to the outlying districts; a 2 minute headway at peak hours, and a 4 minute headway (or less), at off-peak hours.*

There will be no bus operation on the north side of Market street except on the block between Sansome and Battery streets, the block between Mason and Taylor streets, and the block between Montgomery and Kearny. No parking on Market street and on Post and Geary streets at peak hours makes this possible.

Two bus routes will traverse Market street on the south side for several blocks, namely Route No. 6 from Market and Castro to Fourth street, returning via Mission, north side, and McCoppin street; and No. 5 from Market and Valencia to Sixth street, returning via Mission, north side, and McCoppin street. No bus operating on the south side of Mission street.

Mr. Cahill contends bus operation into the down town section at peak hours will add to the traffic congestion. With parking regulations that is not likely.

It is proposed to route buses into the Triangle District on Post street, and into the financial district on Bush street, and that persons who now walk from office buildings on Montgomery and Sansome street, and from the Triangle District, to Market street, will prefer to take express buses at Bush and Montgomery to boarding a street car on Market street. This will relieve much congestion on Municipal cars at the peak hours on Market street.

After all, a speeding-up of transportation at peak hours is our problem. With no parking on Post and Geary streets between 4:30 p. m. and 6 p. m. there is no reason why an express bus cannot be operated between 5 and 6 p. m. in an easterly direction on Geary boulevard via Presidio avenue, down Post to Market, returning via Market street, Geary boulevard, Hyde street, Post street, Presidio avenue, and Geary boulevard. Passengers could be picked up at Post and Market, Geary and Kearny, and then making no stop until reaching First avenue.

Your commission is respectfully requested to observe outgoing and incoming Greyhound bus operations on Mission street between 5 and 6 p. m. At times two Greyhound buses leave the terminal at Mission and Fifth streets at peak hour 5:15 p. m. for San Jose via Mission street. These buses follow one another within a block's distance. They cut in and out of traffic and, despite the heavy traffic on Mission street between Fifth street and the County line, the buses do not exceed their schedule of 23 minutes by more than a few minutes. Certainly operation of the Greyhound buses on Mission street will convince anyone with an open mind that buses are practical.

Bus operation is flexible. If a change in route is found to be desirable the route can be changed. A recent example of this is the change in route of the Greyhound bus formerly operating along Mission, New Montgomery (left turn), Montgomery, Columbus avenue to Hyde street ferry. Present route, Mission, Sixth, Golden Gate avenue, Van Ness avenue, Lombard street to Golden Gate Bridge.

**Why Not Give This Proposed Bus Plan a Trial? It Will Require Five Years to Build the Subway. Improved Transportation Facilities Are Needed Now, Not Five Years From Now.**

Should the Public Utilities Commission fail to favorably recommend this bus plan with slight modifications and additions, the Commission leaves the citizens favoring the bus plan no alternative than to submit to the voters the matter of policy which requires an initiative petition, and if the petition is approved by the voters the routes can only then be changed by a vote of the electorate.

Let's presume the rapid transit plan is submitted to the voters, and let's for argument's sake say it is defeated. Then what? Would it not be advisable to place this bus proposal as an alternate to the rapid transit plan on the ballot and permit the voters to decide the same?

The following important bus routes herein referred to in detail are included in the proposed plan:

*Richmond District:*

1. Forty-eighth avenue and Cabrillo street to down town terminal at Turk and Market streets.
2. Thirty-fifth avenue and California street to Market and Second streets.
3. Between 5 and 6 p. m., Geary boulevard and Forty-eighth avenue to down town terminal at Post and Market streets.

*Sunset District:*

Forty-eighth avenue and Lincoln way to Third and Townsend streets terminal.

*Marina District:*

Chestnut and Divisadero streets to Plan "X" Terminal.

*Mission District:*

1. Alemany boulevard and County line to Market and Fifth streets terminal, with bus "feeder" Geneva and Alemany boulevard via Naples to Excelsior and Alemany boulevard.
2. Twenty-fourth and Jersey streets to Third and Twenty-second streets.
3. Third street and County line to Market and Second streets terminal.
4. Thirtieth and Noe streets to Third and Twenty-second streets.
5. Howard street, Van Ness avenue south, Ingleside District to Ferry Building terminal.

*Upper Market and St. Francis Boulevard:*

Nineteenth avenue and Sloat boulevard to Market and Second streets terminal.

*Ingleside District:*

Nineteenth avenue and Sloat boulevard via Market and Fourth streets terminal.

*Crosstown:*

Thirty-fifth avenue and Lake street via Twenty-fifth avenue and Nineteenth avenue to Alemany boulevard terminal.



There is no gainsaying the fact that the street cars in San Francisco are obsolete, antiquated and noisy, and that San Francisco has lagged behind in the matter of improved transportation facilities.

*Therefore, as the first step toward improved transportation facilities, immediate operation of an up-to-date, modern bus system is recommended.*

**Bus Transportation Is No Longer in the Experimental Stage Judged by the Following Authentic Statements.**

Following is an excerpt from the Saturday, May 1, 1937, issue of the "Automotive Daily News":

*New Bus Equipment Ups Chicago Passenger Traffic.*

Chicago—Increases up to 40 per cent in passenger traffic, increase in safety as measured by reduced number of accidents, decreased driver fatigue, and maintenance of schedules with greater ease, are some of the immediate results following on the purchase by Chicago Motor Coach Co. of new equipment, consisting of 194 new coaches, both double-deck and single deck. These coaches have now piled up a total of nearly four million miles of routine service.

Every line on which new equipment has been placed in operation has shown increases in traffic far beyond those of Chicago's other transportation system. That the new equipment is in a large measure responsible for this increase is indicated by the fact that the few lines still operating with the older equipment have shown a very small increase.

None of the lines are as yet equipped 100 per cent with new equipment. The largest increase in passengers (40 per cent) is on the line with the greatest number of new buses, while the other lines have increases virtually in direct proportion to the number of new units, ranging down to about 29 per cent above last year.

The following is data obtained from the City of New York on the subject at hand:

City Omnibus Corporation is the largest single transportation substitution in all history.

676 motor coaches replaced 585 street cars which had been operating over 140 miles of tracks.

The first of the changeovers took place February 1, 1935, when the Madison avenue line, 11 miles long, was motorized. The coach service proved sensationally popular with the public, and riding increased 90 per cent during the first year of operation.

During the first year of operation, revenues increased 87.29 per cent.

Madison avenue, once snarled in traffic due to slow moving street cars, overnight became a boulevard. On close check the riding time of motor coaches along the route was equal to that of private cars. The substitution of coaches was enthusiastically acclaimed by the press, the public and the merchants, and brought the statement from Mayor La Guardia, "Madison avenue, since the substitution of buses for trolleys, has become one of the show boulevards of the world."

The street cars on Madison avenue operated at three miles per hour; in peak service about six cars per block were used.

After modernizing the avenue and removing car tracks, the bus speed is seven miles per hour during the peak and the buses operate on a one-half minute headway. This applies to the congested district during the peak hour. Note, the buses in this particular district travel over twice as fast as the old street cars.

Following is an actual record covering Madison avenue supplied by the operator:

Number of coaches per day—typical week.....	104
Total miles operated—typical week day.....	9,448
Number of passengers per week day.....	124,342
Maximum number of passengers per hour-peak service....	10,020

Next came the motorization of Eighth and Ninth avenues. Seventy-five Yellow coaches replacing street cars on  $9\frac{1}{2}$  miles of route. From the first day of operation these lines proved highly successful, it being necessary to add 25 more coaches to take care of increased riding. Revenue for both lines increased 82.61 per cent.

Then followed motorization of the following:

Broadway, Seventh avenue, Lexington avenue, Thirty-fourth street, One Hundred Sixteenth street, Spring-Delancy street, Fourteenth street, Seventy-ninth street, Eighty-sixth, ninety-sixth streets.

Since February 1, 1935.

Statistics reported by the Transit Commission of New York for the third quarter of 1936 says in part "The street surface railway lines combined carried a total of 133,821,000 passengers during the quarter of 23,623,000 (15%) fewer than September, 1935.

The total bus traffic of the City of New York reported for the quarter ended September 30, 1936, amounted to 139,923,000 passengers, representing an increase of 46,091,000 passengers or 49.1 per cent over the corresponding period of 1935.

We have a further example of bus transportation right at home, namely, bus operation by the East Bay Rapid Transit Company, which company now operates buses over 35 routes. The most recent routes established are operated between East Bay points and Fourth and Mission streets in San Francisco. From this latter point passengers are landed across the Bay at Richmond in 30 minutes, whereas at times it takes as much as 49 minutes to land passengers from Fifth and Mission streets to the County line. In the next 12 months, swift, luxurious electric trains will be in operation between the East Bay and San Francisco, each car seating 104 passengers. *It, therefore, behooves San Francisco to meet this progressive competition at once; and as a first step, installation of express bus service to the outlying sections of San Francisco must be inaugurated.*

The Greyhound Company operates what is comparable to an express bus service between Fifth and Mission streets and the County line. The bus leaves Fifth and Mission streets at peak hour 5:15 p. m., arrives at the County line in from 27 to 29 minutes. The schedule in off-peak hours is 23 minutes. Whereas, the schedule of the Market Street Railway in off-peak hours is 31 minutes, but at peak hour 5:15 p. m. requires from 37 minutes to 49 minutes to traverse the same route. The Greyhound bus picks up passengers at Sixteenth street, Twenty-second street, and Twenty-fourth street.

The plan embodies the Cahill recent proposal of trackless trolley operation on Van Ness avenue. A change from trolley to trackless trolley is necessary in order that persons can board or alight from the bus at the curb, which is one of the most important features of bus operation. In this connection, with the narrowing of sidewalk widths now in vogue, the wider streets are a menace to life and limb. Since 1925 eight people while standing in safety zones waiting for street cars have been killed.

Following are the bus routes contemplated in the proposal, in detail:

ROUTE NO. 1—From Geneva avenue and County line via Third street to Market; thence via Market, Second street, Howard to Third street and returning to Geneva avenue.

Northbound at peak hours passenger load between Geneva avenue and Evans street; from Evans street to Market street no stop for taking on passengers. Returning, the bus loads at Market and Third streets, Market and Second streets, Mission and Second streets, takes on no further passengers until arriving at Third and Evans streets.

ROUTE NO. 2—From terminal San Jose avenue and Sickles street, thence via Alemany boulevard, Bay Shore boulevard, Potrero avenue, Brannan street, Sixth street to Market street; thence Market to Fifth street, and returning via Fifth, Brannan, Potrero, Bay Shore, Alemany, Huron to Sickles street.



Express service *at peak hours* taking on passengers between Sickles street and Silver avenue; no passengers taken on between Silver avenue and Market street; returning, passengers taken on at Market and Sixth streets, Market and Mission streets at Fifth, no further passengers taken on until reaching Silver avenue.

ROUTE NO. 3—Terminal, Embarcadero at Market street, thence via Embarcadero, Howard street, Van Ness Avenue South, Army street, Mission street, Ocean avenue to Nineteenth avenue and Sloat boulevard, where passengers can transfer via Sloat boulevard to the Ocean or crosstown. It might be preferable to route this line to Ocean via Sloat boulevard in place of transferring passengers.

*At peak hours*, express service taking on passengers between Nineteenth avenue and Army street. No passengers taken on between Army street and the Embarcadero; returning passengers taken on from Embarcadero to Seventh, no further passengers taken on until reaching Army street.

ROUTE NO. 4—From terminal at Nineteenth avenue and Sloat boulevard via St. Francis boulevard, Santa Clara, Monterey boulevard, Bernal Cut, San Jose avenue, Twenty-second street, Valencia street, Market street to Second street; returning via Second street, Mission, McCoppin, Market, San Jose avenue, Bernal Cut, Monterey boulevard, Santa Clara and St. Francis boulevard to Nineteenth avenue and Sloat boulevard.

*At peak hours* express service taking on passengers between Nineteenth avenue and San Jose avenue; no further passengers taken on from San Jose avenue and Randall street to Second and Mission streets. Returning, passengers will be taken on at Second and Market streets, Second, Third and Fourth and Mission streets, and then no further passengers taken on until reaching San Jose avenue and Randall street.

ROUTE NO. 5—Forty-eighth avenue and Lincoln way via Lincoln way, Stanyan street, Oak street, Divisadero street, Ellis street, Fourth, Townsend and Third, return Townsend, Fourth, Ellis, Divisadero street, Oak street, Stanyan street, Lincoln way.

*At peak hours*, express bus service taking on passengers eastbound between Forty-eighth avenue and Stanyan street. No further passengers taken on between Stanyan street and Market street. Returning, loading passengers at Mission and Fourth streets, Market and Ellis streets; no further passengers taken on until reaching Stanyan and Lincoln way.

ROUTE NO. 6—From Forty-eighth avenue and Cabrillo street via Cabrillo street to Arguello boulevard, Turk street, Masonic avenue, Golden Gate avenue, Leavenworth street, Turk street, Market street; returning via Golden Gate avenue, Masonic avenue, Turk street, Parker, Arguello boulevard, Cabrillo street to Forty-eighth avenue.

*At peak hours*, express bus service picking up passengers between Forty-eighth avenue and Arguello boulevard. No passengers picked up between Arguello boulevard and Market street. Returning passengers picked up at Turk and Market streets, Golden Gate avenue and Market street; no further passengers picked up between Golden Gate avenue and Market street, Arguello boulevard and Cabrillo street.

ROUTE NO. 7—Thirty-second avenue and California street, thence via California street, Presidio avenue, Bush to Battery; returning via Market, Sansome, Bush, Presidio avenue, California street to Thirty-second avenue.

*At peak hours*, express bus service picking up passengers between Thirty-second avenue and Presidio avenue; no picking up passengers between Presidio avenue and Market street. Returning, passengers picked up at Battery street and Market street, Sansome street and

Market street, Bush and Montgomery streets; no further passengers picked up between Bush and Montgomery streets, and California and Presidio avenue.

ROUTE NO. 8—Chestnut and Divisadero streets via Divisadero to Beach and Laguna streets, Bay street, Columbus avenue, Montgomery street, Pine street, Davis street, Fremont street, Howard street, First street, returning via Fremont, Front street, Pine street, Montgomery street, Columbus avenue, Bay street, Laguna street, Beach street, Divisadero street and Chestnut street.

ROUTE NO. 9—Thirtieth and Noe streets via Noe, Army and Third to Twenty-second street. Returning via same route.

ROUTE NO. 10—Jersey and Douglas via Jersey, Chattanooga, Twenty-second, Harrison, Sixteenth to Third and Twenty-second streets. Returning via foregoing route.

ROUTE NO. 11—Forty-eighth avenue and Sloat boulevard via Sloat boulevard, Portola drive, Market street to Second street. Return Second street, Mission street, McCoppin street, Market street, Portola drive, Sloat boulevard to Forty-eighth avenue.

At peak hours, express service picking up passengers on Market street, at Second street, on Mission street at Second street, Third street and Fourth street. No stop until reaching Market and Seventeenth streets.

Morning express service at peak hours, loading passengers between Forty-eighth avenue and Eureka street. No stops for picking up passengers until Market and Second streets.

ROUTE NO. 12—Lake and Twenty-fifth avenue via Twenty-fifth avenue, across Park to Nineteenth avenue to Alemany boulevard and Plymouth avenue; return same route.

ROUTE NO. 13—

ROUTE NO. 14—"Feeder" bus from Alemany boulevard via Geneva avenue, Naples street, Excelsior avenue, Alemany boulevard, Ocean to San Jose avenue.

ROUTE NO. 15—"Feeder" bus Innes street to Hunter's Point.

ROUTE NO. 16—"Feeder" bus from Forty-eighth avenue and Cabrillo street via Forty-eighth avenue, Sloat boulevard to Nineteenth avenue and return.

ROUTE NO. 17—"Feeder" bus, Eighth avenue at Lincoln way via Eighth avenue to Laguna Honda station.

ROUTE NO. 18—"Feeder" bus, Silver avenue from Alemany boulevard to Third street and return.

ROUTE NO. 19—"Feeder" bus, Forty-eighth avenue and Noriega street via Noriega street to Nineteenth avenue and Lincoln way and return.

ROUTE NO. 20—Mission and Richland via Richland, Andover to Bayshore and return.

RICHMOND—Only at peak hours, express bus service for route Geary, Presidio avenue, Post, Market to Geary, Hyde, Post, Presidio, Geary.

Passengers picked up at Market and Geary, Kearny and Geary; no further stops between Geary and Kearny and a point on Geary boulevard between First and Tenth avenues.

#### EQUIPMENT:

Approximate equipment needed:

350	41 passenger buses at \$12,500.....	\$4,375,000
40	25 passenger buses at \$7,000.....	280,000
20	21 passenger buses at \$4,000.....	80,000
	Garages .....	1,400,000
	Trackless Trolley "H" Line.....	500,000

Total ..... \$6,635,000



**FINANCING:**

Bond issue 5 years.

Kindly advise when the Public Utilities Commission will consider this matter so that I may be present.

Respectfully submitted,

ADOLPH UHL,  
Supervisor.

**Discussion.**

**THE PRESIDENT:** Is there objection to number one on the calendar? Roll call.

**SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI:** Just a minute. I would ask the members of the Board if they received a copy of an official tabulation prepared by Mr. Boyd, who sent me this one?

**SUPERVISOR UHL:** I did.

**SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI:** I understand it was prepared at the request of our President and covers the annual requirements of the rapid transit bond issue, with interest at 4 per cent for 40 years, for the 10 year period ending July 30, 1947, on both the Cahill-Colman plan, and the Ridgway-Roncovieri plan. This information was compiled at the request of Supervisor Shannon, and at his suggestion a copy was supplied to each member of the Board. I would ask if any member of the Board did not receive it. If you read it, if you studied it, and if you analyzed it, how can you ever vote for this Cahill-Colman plan? What argument can you give? The new Cahill-Colman plan will require for bond interest and redemption during the first 10 years a total sum of \$20,561,740; that is what the Cahill-Colman plan will force the taxpayers to pay, represented by a total tax rate of \$2.57. That is the burden you are asked to impose on the backs of the taxpayers if you adopt the Cahill-Colman plan of financing. About 18 months ago Mr. Cahill advocated the Ridgway-Brahdy plan of financing, but Mr. Cahill has switched to the Colman plan, and he has never explained why.

The total sum to be produced under the Ridgway-Brahdy plan will be, in ten years, \$13,004,000, and the tax rate will be \$1.62, and the difference between the two plans will be \$7,557,740, or 94.47 cents in the tax rate in favor of the Ridgway-Brahdy plan and against the new Cahill-Colman plan. When you vote today as the matter stands on the calendar, remember, gentlemen, that you will be voting a burden in excess of the original plan in the sum of \$7,557,740, and totally unnecessary, that's the worst of it! I can't see how anybody expects this bond issue to go over with the people under the Cahill-Colman plan of financing. You have just heard the gentlemen who spoke say they don't want any subway under the very best plan. Be assured you will have an uphill fight presenting the bond issue in its very best form. Presented at its worst financially, what will happen? It will be so overwhelmingly defeated that it will stand as the one bond issue having received the greatest number of "No" votes of any yet presented to the people. That is my prediction!

Now, I want you all to remember, because it is a fact, that Supervisor Meyer, in December of last year, introduced a resolution, or six motions, I won't repeat all of them, but one I shall repeat, and it is:

"I move that the Public Utilities Commission's subway and rapid transit proposal be submitted to the voters in the form of a bond proposition and that the Board go on record favoring its ratification by the voters."

From time to time since December, the matter came before the Board, and on March 29, the record shows that the public Utilities Commission's subway plan was before us then on the same motion. In that connection, Mr. Brown said:

"In the first place, the question before us today is a question of policy. It does not specifically offer to the people, to vote on the \$52,000,000 bond issue. It states that the Board of Supervisors is in favor

of submitting such a proposal to the people. With that I can have very little argument."

And throughout the discussion he mentions again the \$52,000,000, he mentions it two or three or four times. Then I mentioned it as \$52,700,000. I stated to the President:

"Is it your interpretation of the motion that is before us that we are voting in favor of a \$52,700,000 bond issue?"

And our President answered: "No."

And again:

"Supervisor Roncovieri: There is some mention I know, of that amount, but in voting for this, are we binding ourselves individually to vote for it when it comes up later—because it must come up later—for a \$52,700,000 bond issue, or could the amount be changed?"

"The President: I feel that the amount could be changed."

"The Clerk: That is correct."

Then several others spoke, Mr. McSheehy, and others, I will not read you what they said, but the amount was uppermost with all of us. That amount was \$52,700,000 in March, the 29th, to be exact. What happens between March 29 and May 7? Mr. Cahill walks in here with a new financial plan and subtracts from the \$52,700,000 the sum of \$6,000,000 that he originally included for interest during construction—we all know that. Mr. Cahill, for some reason unexplained up to this moment, and I challenge him to explain it right now, or his representative—changed his original proposal to include interest during construction by reducing the \$52,700,000 to \$46,700,000. What he wanted, in my opinion, was to make room for the purchasing of some buses, so he increased the \$46,700,000 by \$2,550,000, making the new total \$49,250,000, and this sum omits all interest during the five years of construction.

Who would buy anything and pay for it before receiving it? When you buy an automobile, suppose that it takes one month before its delivery, you do not pay for it before you get it, and yet you want the people of San Francisco to begin paying for the subway immediately when they can make no use of it whatsoever. Mr. Colman wants to save the taxpayers of the future, forty years from now, from paying this bill. I want to pass this bill to future generations. That is the entire difference between the Cahill-Colman plan and the original Ridgway-Brahdy plan of financing the project which I am advocating.

You cannot escape paying interest—I do not contend that my plan, the Ridgway-Brahdy plan, would escape paying interest. That would be ridiculous. But the question is, shall we of this generation pay now, and impose upon the present taxpayers this huge sum of \$20,561,000, and a tax increase of \$2.57, or shall we pass this burden on to those who are coming in the future, and will outnumber us, and who are going to enjoy the subway? Because I believe that a subway that will last for centuries and that future generations will enjoy, I refuse to be a party to imposing upon the present generation, the people living now, that unnecessary heavy financial load, when there is another simple method that is used in every similar case. The method which has been used in New York for the building of all of the subways, and which was used for the financing of our two great bridges, and for our water distribution system, Hetch Hetchy, and so forth. My plan of financing is nothing new. You can and should adopt this best and most simple method in the place of the one proposed here, and relieve our present-day taxpayers from this excessive burden of taxation.

Well, I believe in submitting anything that makes sense to the people when a substantial body of our people ask for it. But I will never vote to submit anything that is stupid, and insults the intelligence of the people, or anything that is not proper. And when I am asked to submit something that is going to cost the people \$7,557,740 in excess of another plan, and going to add 94.47 cents to their tax rate, I know that I am insulting their intelligence. I shall never do this. Therefore, I refuse to change my vote from right to wrong. I am convinced that I am right, and nothing can influence me to change my position.



I don't know how you will vote, but if there are eight members who will vote to insult the intelligence of our people, all right, go ahead—I am against you!

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. President—

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Pardon me, may I make an amendment to that which is before us?

THE PRESIDENT: Proceed.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I should like to amend it so that the sum of \$49,250,000 be changed to \$51,000,000, which will include interest during construction.

THE PRESIDENT: I will second that motion.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Speaking against the amendment. I want to show Supervisor Roncovieri, in the record of March 29th, which he has, on page 475, under remarks I made there,—you see them at the bottom of the page?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Page 475?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Yes. "Now there is no question in my mind, and I think no question in the minds of any members of the Board as to the necessity of this thing. I don't want to go into the details again, and I agree with Supervisor Roncovieri that the definite amount has not yet been figured, and I would like to reserve the right to appear before the finance committee to discuss the \$6,000,000 saving mentioned at the time, which is a saving of \$6,000,000."

I quote that to show that even prior to that time I suggested the elimination of the \$6,000,000 as an unnecessary expense, the payment of interest on interest, so you see your statement is not correct; it had been considered on March 29th, as appears in the record, part of which you read today, Supervisor Roncovieri.

And you did not refer back to the original plan; the original plan stated \$6,000,000, and if you felt that was the wise thing to do that is what should be done, but to propose to add only \$1,750,000, and Mr. Cahill amended it and took out \$6,000,000 interest on interest and added some \$2,000,000,—I have forgotten the exact amount,—for the extension of buses.

Now it is perfectly true that in the earlier years, for the first 10 years it means 94 cents in favor of your plan, but before the bond issue is paid off, and the interest paid off, that 94 cents disappears, and your plan will cost the taxpayers of San Francisco 80 cents more than will the plan advocated by Mr. Cahill. The original cost given by the Controller was \$6,300,000, and each cent in the tax rate is \$80,000, so this multiplied by 80, you get \$6,400,000, which is approximately 80 cents in the tax rate that your plan will cost over the plan advocated by Mr. Cahill.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: 40 years from now.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: If you go on to the 15th year, or the third 5 years period, you will find more interest and redemption under your plan, and the fourth 5 years the same, and the fifth 5 years, and so on, from the end of the 10th year to the end of the 40th year. Now if you think it is wise financing to put off what you have to pay, and simply put it off to the future and adding a burden to that, why, I can't agree with you.

Today the Controller tells me, if I recall correctly, that we owe about \$60,000,000, \$15,000,000 of which is for bond interest and redemption, divided about fifty-fifty for each. Imagine how much better off we would have been had we adopted the pay-as-you-go plan,—we would then not have this enormous debt and we could take care of these things,—we could then have appropriated this money and paid outright for the various improvements, but if this policy is to continue we will soon have nothing but bond interest and redemption in the budget, and I can then readily visualize that our budget will jump from 25 per cent of the budget for bond interest and redemption to a much higher figure.

Now you have departed entirely from the original plan, which was

to include interest on interest during construction; but as you put it you seek to bring about a condition where our requirements will be no greater than they are now, and that is your determination, that the amount of \$1,750,000 will do that, which was not the original figure at all.

Now, of course, that plan of yours has no value today, because you are advocating additional bond issues to go on the ballot, and if they were to pass the added requirements will be eventually greater, so the plan you advocate would have no value. So, gentlemen, in the name of sound financing, and to preserve the credit of the city, I urge you to vote down this motion,—which we have already done twice before.

**SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI:** I would like to conclude if no one else is going to speak.

**THE PRESIDENT:** If I may be permitted to speak from the chair: The statement I am going to make is really more an explanation of my vote, because I know that no matter what is said there are eight votes going to vote for submission, but I do desire to call your attention to the tabulation that I had made by the Controller, and a copy of which was sent to each member of the Board; and if you have it before you you can follow me.

My principal thought is to save the taxpayer of today, and in connection with that I would like to read you an article from last Saturday's Examiner. Save their homes. Contending that the rapid increase in foreclosure of Home Owners' Corporation mortgages is depriving those Americans of their homes at a loss to the government, etcetera. (Reading.)

Note they are putting off the payment from 10, 15 to 25 years, to help the people of today. The article goes on, and that is the purpose of my position in this matter, I am trying to help the people of today, who are having a difficult time trying to get along, to meet their interest, and no matter how small it is they are anxious to help them, even to a small degree.

Now under the plan that has been prepared at my suggestion by the Controller, in 1939, only two years away, there will be practically 12 cents added to the tax rate; in 1940, 20 cents; and in 1942, 41 cents. It is a terrific burden on the people of the present generation, and it is the people of today that we who are opposed to this are going to vote against, and I feel that the proper thing to do would be to spread it over a greater period of years, and adopt the plan as suggested by Mr. Roncovieri and let the future generations pay for it.

The press says, let the people decide. Let the people decide to have our main thoroughfare torn up for five years; let the people decide to have 20 to 40 cents added to their tax rate in the next three to five years; let the people decide to let the Public Utilities Commission sleep on the job for the next five years and let the present intolerable traffic conditions continue; let the people decide that it is time to change the charter so that ideas can emanate from other sources than from the Public Utilities Commission.

**SUPERVISOR COLMAN:** What paper is that, Mr. President?

**THE PRESIDENT:** Those are my statements.

**SUPERVISOR COLMAN:** I figured you were reading from The Examiner.

**THE PRESIDENT:** That was a paper by Shannon.

**SUPERVISOR COLMAN:** Mr. President—

**THE PRESIDENT:** (Interrupting) Is there any other member desires to speak?

**SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY:** The subject is—Supervisor Roncovieri's amendment, is it?

**THE PRESIDENT:** Correct.

**SUPERVISOR COLMAN:** I want to point out to the Board Supervisor Shannon quoted from Mr. Boyd's report the various amounts, 23 cents in 1941-1942 is correct.



THE PRESIDENT: How is that, Supervisor Colman?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I say, you quoted from this statement from Mr. Boyd that the tax rate under what he calls the Cahill-Colman plan in 1941-42 is 23 cents.

THE PRESIDENT: Right.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: But you did not mention there that it was .1938 under the Roncovieri plan, and the difference there is but 4 cents, and so forth, 41 cents against 22 cents, and 40 cents against 25. I would like to read some of the figures I compiled. I didn't have time to ask the Controller to do it, but he can check me, and after the 35 years, according to the figures I have, or after the 3rd five years, I should say—

THE PRESIDENT: (Interrupting) What do you mean, 3rd five years?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: From the 10th to the 15th years, would require \$1.88, whereas under the Roncovieri plan it would require \$2.20; and the 4th five years it would require, under the Cahill plan, \$1.70, and the 4th five years under the Roncovieri plan would require \$1.99; the 20th to the 25th years would require, under the Cahill plan, \$1.53, and under the Roncovieri plan \$1.58; and so on, until in the 40 years the Roncovieri plan must cost the taxpayers 80 cents more than the other plan.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Mr. Colman has made the very argument that I made in the beginning. I stated that we would pay more, we could not escape paying interest, and of course we can not; and he says that later on the interest under my plan will grow. He is right, he is perfectly right. I am trying to protect for the next 10 years the living taxpayer, and for one living taxpayer today, for one of our population there will probably be two and maybe three taxpayers in the future, with an increase in the assessment rolls, and the one cent which Supervisor Colman says today produces \$80,000, one cent then may produce \$150,000 or \$200,000 as the city grows. It will be much easier on those that are coming, the future, to pay the bills, than for us, under either plan, Mr. Colman's or mine. The burden is heaviest during the first ten years, and, as you state, it runs right up from the beginning, .001 the first year, the next year it is .215 and under my plan nothing, nothing. Then you get 11 cents, or nearly 12 cents, and then .1973, .2312, and 41 cents in about five years; six years from now, the people will have to pay 41 cents, and then 40 and then 40 and then 39. Under my plan it will be 6 cents in the 3rd year, against your 12; it will be 12 cents against your 19; and in the 7th year it will be 19 cents against your 23 cents, and then 22 cents against your 41 cents; and never exceed 25½, that is the highest.

Now I think there is an emergency here, and they all understand,—surely everybody understands buying on the installment plan does cost more, the merchant has to charge interest,—if you want to buy a refrigerator or an automobile, or anything of that kind you buy it on time, and the interest has to be paid, and that is exactly what we are doing, we are buying a subway on time, on the installment plan—only I want the installments to be as small as possible upon the present generation, because while it is true some of them will go a little higher than the other plan, yet there will be more people then, and more wealth to pay the bill.

We are still paying, we are paying now \$14,761,000 for bonds, but approximately \$8,000,000 of that comes out of the utilities and does not affect the tax rate, and approximately \$6,000,000 affects the tax rate. On top of that, under the plan proposed the amount will increase terribly; and under my plan never will the requirements for bond interest and redemption exceed the bond interest and redemption of the present year, never. It is \$14,761,000 and next year it will be less, and the next year a little less, less, less, less, less as the years come and go, it goes down to nothing,—but under this proposed plan it jumps up immediately to \$15,000,000 for several years. I can't get that, gentle-

men,—possibly I should not address myself to Supervisor Colman, but I honestly and conscientiously think he is wrong,—and you, Supervisor Colman, conscientiously think you are right. Go ahead and present it to the people and you will see what they will do to your plan of financing.

THE PRESIDENT: Call the roll, on the amendment.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Brown?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Colman?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Mead?

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Meyer?

SUPERVISOR MEYER: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Ratto?

SUPERVISOR RATTO: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Reilly?

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Roncovieri?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Schmidt?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Shannon?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: No.

THE CLERK: Seven "Noes," four "Ayes."

THE PRESIDENT: Motion lost. Call the roll on the motion, on the main question.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Mr. Chairman, and members of the Board: I voted last week—I very, very carefully prepared a statement as to why I voted, setting forth my reasons. That statement was not prepared in five minutes, nor in one evening; it took me several evenings at home to compile that statement and put it together. I made up my mind I would not say one word here today. \* \* \*

I have served a great number of years on this Board and I have voted alone more than any man on this Board here today. I have voted alone Monday in and Monday out, and no one knows better than you do the stand I have taken on many subjects. Just why you should use that word—I don't know just why you and two other members of this Board, and especially one other member, are taking the stand you are here today. I don't know, I don't know. Two members of this Board, and I am referring to Supervisor Roncovieri and yourself, and we have served together, we three, a great many years on this Board. I have seen you differ time in and time out, and I have seen you vote with the majority and then vote with the minority, not once but a great many times, but I never used the word you used here today. I want to say to you, Mr. Chairman, and to the members of this Board, there is one particular reason that perhaps I did not—or that I have overlooked in my statement of a week ago, and I want the record to show that reason here today. I read to you Section 121 of our Charter, clearly stating the duties and powers of the Manager of Utilities, Mr. Cahill, and clearly stating the authority that that man has, and showing conclusively that we have really no authority whatsoever to tell the people of San Francisco just what plan they shall adopt in reference to this utility, and this important bond issue that we are about to vote for here today, the plan is entirely in the hands of Mr. Cahill and the Public Utilities Commission. Several members of this Board, myself included, submitted to this Board an entirely different plan, and Mr. Cahill turned that plan down; and Mr. Cahill



turned Supervisor Roncovieri's plan down one week ago, because I asked him a direct question.

Now, members of this Board, what position do we find ourselves in here today? As one member of this Board I have differed with certain members, and differed with them time in and time out, and one member in particular, but we admire that man for his loyalty. He came on this Board entirely through the popularity of Supervisor Uhl, and he has followed Supervisor Uhl's views on almost all subjects, subjects of any importance, but today Doctor Schmidt differs with Supervisor Uhl, and he does so because he believes in Section 121 of the Charter.

Now our position is a simple one,—rapid transit is needed by the people of San Francisco, and if they do not get it today they will get it some day, they must have rapid transit. All right, by a majority vote, or at least by four votes of this Board we can stop rapid transit from going on the ballot. What will happen? An election will take place in November, and the administration as it is constituted today, and especially Mr. Cahill, representing the Public Utilities Commission, will tell the people, "well, I offered you a plan and the Board of Supervisors could not agree on the plan, and therefore I can't do anything." Well, the press offered Mr. Cahill a plan and he wouldn't agree on it, and therefore we can not do anything, and therefore the people are suffering. Now let the people decide. I am going to the polls next November and I am going to vote against the Cahill plan, and if over one-third of our people do likewise it will be a mandate to Mr. Cahill to change that plan and he will change the plan, because he will be told to change the plan. Now if we do not place him in that position we will place the people of San Francisco in a position that they will get no rapid transit whatsoever, and they can not get it because of the difference of opinion between this Board and Mr. Cahill, so I am going to say to the people of San Francisco, "Go to the polls next November and express yourselves on this all-important subject, and by your mandate tell Mr. Cahill that he is wrong in proposing the plan that he has proposed to this Board."

So that is the reason why I am voting for the submission of the rapid transit plan as outlined by Mr. Cahill.

\* \* \* \* \*

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. President, \* \* \*

I have consistently been in favor of this proposal for rapid transit, consistently; if my memory is incorrect I will change my remarks; but I believe our President has cast three votes already prior to the one he is about to cast; one opposed to rapid transit; one in favor of it; and one opposed to it. What his fourth vote will be I don't know, that is his privilege. I am not criticizing him whatsoever, nor have I ever criticized any of the actions of the members of this Board in the manner in which our President has seen fit to criticize us, but, Supervisor McSheehy, take my advice and be too big to notice these remarks and just forget them.

I am explaining my vote in favor of rapid transit. I do that with the firm conviction that this bond issue has a very possible chance of passing with the people of San Francisco. We have presented to them a real plan by which the rapid transit problem can be solved. No other plan approaches this in its practicability or in the efficient manner in which the difficult matter has been treated. It can win. If it does not win we, well, we will have done our duty; we have not been idle, we have recognized conditions and we have told the voters just exactly what the situation is, and we will give them the benefit of our advice if called upon by the commission or whoever will have charge of the campaign, and I will be very happy to lend my assistance over the radio or any place desired to help convince them that this will be a great benefit for them, and that the financing of it is sound, as sound as can be. There are enough, and more than enough people who ride on the street cars to decide this problem if they are sufficiently interested in their own profit, particularly in the business of getting

down to their places of work and getting home in the evening; and if they think of it with the intelligence that is characteristic of the voters of San Francisco, I think it has a very good chance of success.

**SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI:** I want to call the attention of the Board to this: That repeatedly various members rise and say that we are compelled to accept, under the Charter, the Cahill plan. That is true only as to the engineering, as to the subway, but not as to financing, that is a responsibility of this Board.

#### Proposed Amendment.

Thereupon, Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved as an amendment to Bill No. 1423, Calling and Providing for a Special Election for the Issuance of Rapid Transit Bonds, that the amount of the \$49,250,000 be changed to \$51,000,000, which will include interest during construction.

Whereupon, the roll was called on the foregoing amendment, and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

The question being on the main issue, S. W. Douglass, representing Carmen's Union Division No. 1004, Market Street Railway employees, speaking also, he said for the Municipal Car Men's Organization, placed such organizations on record against the proposed subway plan of Public Utilities Manager Cahill.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the roll was called on the foregoing Bill No. 1423 and the same was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Reilly, Ratto, Schmidt—8.

Noes—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—3.

#### HOSPITAL BONDS, 1938.

The following bill, recommended by the Finance Committee, was taken up:

(Code No. 12.123)

Bill No. 1424, Ordinance No. 12.1232, as follows:

CALLING AND PROVIDING FOR A SPECIAL ELECTION TO BE HELD IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ON TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 1937, FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUBMITTING TO THE VOTERS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION TO INCUR A BONDED DEBT OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO TO THE AMOUNT OF \$1,600,000 FOR THE ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION AND COMPLETION OF A MUNICIPAL IMPROVEMENT CONSISTING OF ENLARGING AND REMODELING OF THE HASSLER HEALTH HOME, LAGUNA HONDA HOME AND THE SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL, AND INCREASING THE QUARTERS FOR THE USE OF X-RAY, ALL DESIGNED TO AID AND FACILITATE THE TAKING CARE OF THE AGED PERSONS AND THE INFIRM AND INDIGENTS WHO ATTEND THE HOSPITALS CONDUCTED BY SAN FRANCISCO, AND PROVIDING FOR THE FORM, DENOMINATION AND RATE OF INTEREST FIXING THE PAYMENT OF INTEREST AND RETIREMENT OF SAID BONDS AND PRESCRIBING NOTICE TO BE GIVEN OF SUCH ELECTION.

Be it ordained by the people of the city and county of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the city and county of San Francisco on Tuesday, the second day of



November, 1937, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said city and county the proposition to incur a bonded debt of the city and county of San Francisco to the amount of \$1,600,000 for the acquisition, construction and completion by the city and county of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of enlarging and remodeling the Hassler Health Home, Laguna Honda Home and the San Francisco Hospital, and increasing the quarters for the use of X-ray, all designed to aid and facilitate the taking care of the aged persons and the infirm and indigents who attend the hospitals conducted by San Francisco.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 3463, passed by more than two-thirds of said board, and approved by the Mayor in the sum of \$1,600,000, and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the city and county in addition to the other annual expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvements described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the city and county of San Francisco to the amount required therefor.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the city and county of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Municipal Election to be held Tuesday, November 2, 1937, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said General Municipal Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Hospital Bonds, 1938, to incur a bonded debt in the sum of \$1,600,000 for improving the Hassler Health Home, Laguna Honda Home, the San Francisco Hospital and increasing the X-ray facilities to aid in the care of their inmates."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machine shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material

placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the buildings, municipal improvements, other properties and structures described herein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1938, shall bear interest at a rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of \$1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States, either at the office of the Treasurer of the city and county of San Francisco or at the office of the fiscal agent of said city and county in the city of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "Hospital Bonds, 1938," and shall be numbered from 1 to 1,600, both inclusive, and shall be payable \$160,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and \$160,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year for nine (9) years, until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the city and county of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bonds and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said city and county set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco News, a newspaper published daily in the city and county of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said city and county.

Supervisor Colman, in explanation of his vote, said that if this issue was for any other purpose he would vote against it because he did not think it a proper way to finance it. It should be done in making up the budget and would in the end result in a saving to the taxpayers.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing Bill No. 1424 was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**SEWER BONDS, 1938.**

The following bill, recommended by the Finance Committee, was taken up:

(Code No. 12.122)

Bill No. 1425, Ordinance No. 12.1224, as follows:

CALLING AND PROVIDING FOR A SPECIAL ELECTION TO BE HELD IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ON TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 1937, FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUBMITTING TO THE VOTERS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION TO INCUR A BONDED DEBT OF THE CITY AND COUNTY TO THE AMOUNT OF \$5,000,000 FOR THE ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION AND COMPLETION BY THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO OF MUNICIPAL IMPROVEMENTS CONSISTING OF RECONDITIONING AND REBUILDING EXISTING SEWERS, THE CONSTRUCTION OF NEW SEWERS, RECONSTRUCTION AND EXTENSION OF MAIN SEWERS AND SEWAGE PLANTS WITHIN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, TOGETHER WITH ALL APPURTENANCES AND LANDS THAT MAY BE NECESSARY FOR THE RECONSTRUCTION AND EXTENSION OF SEWERS IN THE EAST OF VAN NESS AVENUE DISTRICT, WEST OF VAN NESS AVENUE DISTRICT, SOUTH OF MARKET AND MISSION STREETS DISTRICTS, SUNSET-PARKSIDE AND WEST OF TWIN PEAKS DISTRICTS, AND PARK-PRESIDIO DISTRICT, FIXING PAYMENT OF INTEREST AND RETIREMENT OF SAID BONDS AND PRESCRIBING NOTICE TO BE GIVEN OF SUCH ELECTION.

BE IT ORDAINED by the people of the city and county of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the city and county of San Francisco on Tuesday the 2nd day of November, 1937, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said city and county a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the city and county of San Francisco to the amount of \$5,000,000 for the acquisition, construction and completion by the city and county of San Francisco of municipal improvements consisting of reconditioning and rebuilding existing sewers, the construction of new sewers, reconstruction and extension of main sewers and sewage plants within the city and county of San Francisco, together with all appurtenances and lands that may be necessary for the reconstruction and extension of sewers in the east of Van Ness avenue district, west of Van Ness avenue district, south of Market and Mission streets districts, Sunset-Parkside and west of Twin Peaks districts, and Park-Presidio district.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 3456, passed by more than two-thirds of said board, and approved by the Mayor in the sum of \$5,000,000, and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the city and county in addition to the other annual expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the city and county of San Francisco to the amount required therefor.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such elections shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Municipal Election to be held Tuesday, November 2, 1937, and the voting precincts, polling places and of-

ficers of election for said General Municipal Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Sewer Bonds, 1938, to incur a bonded debt in the sum of \$5,000,000 for constructing new and reconstructing old sewers within the city and county."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the municipal improvements, other properties and structures described herein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1938, shall bear interest at a rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of \$1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States either at the office of the Treasurer of the city and county of San Francisco or at the office of the fiscal agent of said city and county in the city of New York. Said bonds shall be designated as "Sewer Bonds, 1938," and shall be numbered from 1 to 5,000, both inclusive, and shall be payable \$125,000 thereof one year from date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and \$125,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same date in each succeeding year for 9 years, and \$375,000 thereof in each succeeding year for 10 years, until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bonds and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as



before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said city and county set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco News, a newspaper published daily in the city and county of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said city and county.

Supervisor Colman, in explanation of his vote, said that if this issue was for any other purpose he would vote against it because he did not think it a proper way to finance it. It should be done in making up the budget and would in the end result in a saving to the taxpayers.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the foregoing Bill No. 1425 was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### AIRPORT BONDS, 1938.

The following bill, recommended by the Finance Committee was taken up:

(Code No. 15.051)

Bill No. 1426, Ordinance No. 15.0512, as follows:

CALLING AND PROVIDING FOR A SPECIAL ELECTION TO BE HELD IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ON TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 1937, FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUBMITTING TO THE VOTERS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION TO INCUR A BONDED DEBT OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO TO THE AMOUNT OF \$2,850,000 FOR THE ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION AND COMPLETION OF A MUNICIPAL IMPROVEMENT CONSISTING OF THE ENLARGEMENT OF THE SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT IN SAN MATEO COUNTY AND THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF ADDITIONS THERETO, SO AS TO PROVIDE THE NECESSARY FACILITIES FOR THE LANDING AND TAKING OFF AND THE HOUSING AND HARBORING OF LAND PLANES ENGAGED IN TRANSCONTINENTAL TRAFFIC AND SEAPLANES ENGAGED IN TRANSOCEANIC TRAFFIC, TOGETHER WITH ALL OTHER PLANES ENGAGED IN AIR COMMERCE GENERALLY, AND SO AS TO PROVIDE A SUITABLE BASE FOR A DETACHMENT OF THE UNITED STATES COAST GUARD TO AID IN THE OPERATION OF SAID AIRPLANES. THE IMPROVEMENT OF SAID AIRPORT TO CONSIST IN PROVIDING ADDITIONAL OPERATING AREAS FOR BOTH SAID LAND AND SEA PLANES, HANGARS, SHOPS AND OTHER STRUCTURES INCLUDING HARBORS, CHANNELS, WALLS, JETTIES, PIERS, RAMPS, BREAKWATERS, LIGHTING FACILITIES, DRAINAGE AND SEWER FACILITIES, RADIO AND METEOROLOGICAL FACILITIES, BUILDINGS AND EQUIPMENT FOR UTILITY SERVICE, BUILDINGS FOR THE ACCOMMODATION OF PASSENGERS AND PATRONS, HOUSES AND HOMES FOR LABORERS AND EMPLOYEES, TOGETHER WITH THE NECESSARY ADDITIONAL LAND FOR PROVIDING FOR ALL AND SINGULAR THE ABOVE MENTIONED, AND THE GRADING, FILLING, DRAINING AND PAVING SAID ADDITIONAL LAND

AND LANDS NOW UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF SAID AIRPORT, TOGETHER WITH THE DOING AND ACQUISITION OF ALL OTHER THINGS AND MATTERS WHICH WILL ENABLE SAID SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS AND REGULATIONS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE OF THE UNITED STATES, AS WELL AS OF AIR TRANSPORT COMPANIES RELATIVE TO THE OPERATION, LANDING, TAKING OFF, HOUSING AND HARBORING OF AIRCRAFT, AND PROVIDING FOR THE FORM AND DENOMINATION AND RATE OF INTEREST, FIXING THE PAYMENT OF INTEREST AND RETIREMENT OF SAID BONDS, AND PRESCRIBING NOTICE TO BE GIVEN OF SUCH ELECTION.

Be it ordained by the people of the city and county of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. 'A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the city and county of San Francisco on Tuesday, the second day of November, 1937, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said city and county a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the city and county of San Francisco to the amount of \$2,850,000 for the acquisition, construction and completion by the city and county of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of the enlargement of the San Francisco Airport in San Mateo county, and the acquisition and construction of additions thereto so as to provide the necessary facilities for the landing and taking off, and the housing and harboring of land planes engaged in transcontinental traffic and seaplanes engaged in transoceanic traffic, together with all other planes engaged in air commerce generally, and so as to provide a suitable base for a detachment of the United States Coast Guard to aid in the operation of said airplanes. The improvement of said airport to consist in providing additional operating areas for both said land and sea planes, hangars, shops and other structures including harbors, channels, wharves, jetties, piers, ramps, breakwaters, lighting facilities, drainage and sewer facilities, radio and meteorological facilities, buildings and equipment for utility service, buildings for the accommodation of passengers and patrons, houses and homes for laborers and employees, together with the necessary additional land for providing for all and singular the above mentioned, and the grading, filling, draining and paving said additional land and lands now under the jurisdiction of said airport, together with the doing and acquisition of all other things and matters which will enable said San Francisco Airport to meet the requirements and regulations of the Department of Commerce of the United States, as well as of air transport companies, relative to the operation, landing, taking off, housing and harboring of air craft.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 3459, passed by more than two-thirds of said board, and approved by the Mayor in the sum of \$2,850,000, and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the city and county of San Francisco in addition to the other annual expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the city and county of San Francisco to the amount required therefor.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the city and county of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.



Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Municipal Election to be held Tuesday, November 2, 1937, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said General Municipal Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Airport Bonds, 1938, to incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of \$2,850,000 for the enlargement and improvement of San Francisco Airport in San Mateo county."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the buildings, lands, other properties and structures described herein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1938, shall bear interest at the rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of \$1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States either at the office of the Treasurer of the city and county of San Francisco or at the office of the fiscal agent of said city and county in the city of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "Airport Bonds, 1938," and shall be numbered from 1 to 2,850, both inclusive, and shall be payable \$285,000 thereof 2 years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and \$285,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same date in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the city and county of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bonds and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. There-

after, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said city and county set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco News, a newspaper published daily in the city and county of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said city and county.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Bill No. 1426 and the same was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### MOTION TO RECONSIDER.

The following matter was taken up:

#### PROPOSED BOND ISSUE.

Without recommendation of Finance Committee.

#### Extension of Fifth Street From Market to Mason Streets.

(Code No. 12.121)

Resolution No. 3457, as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of the extension of Fifth street diagonally across Market street to the intersection of Mason and Eddy streets, and that the estimated cost of said improvement is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and declared that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement, consisting of the establishing, laying out, opening, widening, extending, straightening, construction, improving and altering of streets and public ways, and the establishing, modifying and changing the grade thereof; the construction of the necessary pavements, curbs, sidewalks, culverts and other structures, and the acquisition of any lands or rights of way and other property necessary for such purposes, including construction of extension of Fifth street diagonally across Market street to the intersection of Mason and Eddy streets, all designed for the purpose of better accommodating traffic in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The estimated cost of making the improvement described in section 1 hereof is the sum of \$1,909,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of



\$1,909,000 no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the sum of \$1,909,000.

Section 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

#### Resolution Defeated.

August 9, 1937—The roll was called on the foregoing resolution providing for a bond issue of \$1,909,000 for the extension of Fifth street from Market to Eddy and Mason streets, and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Uhl—6.

Before the result of the foregoing ballot was announced, Supervisor Colman changed his vote from *Aye* to *No*, and moved for reconsideration at the next meeting.

The vote then stood:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

#### Motion to Reconsider.

Supervisor Colman moved for reconsideration of the vote whereby the above Resolution No. 3457 was defeated at the last meeting of the Board.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Thereupon, Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Reilly, moved that the action previously taken at the meeting of August 9th, whereby resolution of intention to extend Grant avenue across Market to Brannan street was defeated, be rescinded.

Whereupon, Supervisor Colman raised the point of order that the motion was out of order.

Chairman Shannon ruled the motion in order.

Thereupon, Supervisor Colman appealed from the decision of the Chair and Supervisor McSheehy, being called upon, put the question: "Shall the decision of the Chair be the decision of the Board—a vote AYE sustains the Chair, a vote NO overrules the Chair.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the Chair sustained by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—6.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Uhl—4.

Excused from voting—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion that action on resolution of intention providing for the extension of Grant avenue, defeated at last meeting, be now rescinded and the motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Uhl—2.

Supervisor Reilly moved that both dead-end streets, Fifth street and Grant avenue, be put on the ballot separately.

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Ratto, moved as an amendment that Fifth street be put on the ballot separately.

Supervisor Meyer, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved as an amendment to the amendment that we put both streets on together at an estimated cost of \$6,604,000.

### Privilege of the Floor.

Andrew J. Gallagher, representing the Southern Promotion Association, Don V. Nicholson, representing the Triangle District Merchants, and George Christopher, representing the South of Market Merchants, were heard in favor of the proposed extension.

Wm. Varley, representing the Central Council of Civic Clubs; Marshal Hale and Timothy Healey, representing the Market Street Merchants, were heard in opposition to such extension.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisors Meyer-Shannon's amendment to the amendment that both streets at an estimated cost of \$6,604,000 be put on the ballot together, and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Uhl—5.

Thereupon, the roll was called on Supervisors Colman-Ratto amendment that Fifth street be put on the ballot separately and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Thereupon, the Chair declared that eight votes would be required on the main question which was Supervisor Reilly's motion.

The roll was called and the motion *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Uhl—5.

Supervisor Meyer, seconded by Supervisor Ratto, moved that the Board now take up the matter of the extension of Grant avenue.

Supervisor Brown raised the point of order that No. 5 on the calendar was the matter before the Board.

Chairman Shannon ruled the point of order out of order and that the motion of Supervisor Meyer was properly before the body.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Meyer's motion and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—7.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy—3.

Absent—Supervisor Uhl—1.

Thereupon, the question of the adoption of the resolution of intention providing for the issuance of \$4,694,000 for the extension of Grant avenue across Market street southerly to Brannan street was taken up for consideration.

Supervisor Brown objected to consideration of the resolution and moved its reference to Committee.

Chair ruled resolution in order.

Whereupon, Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Colman, appealed from the decision of the Chair, and Supervisor McSheehy, being



called upon, put the question "Shall the decision of the Chair be the decision of the Board—a vote AYE sustains the Chair, a vote NO overrules the Chair."

The roll was called and the Chair was *sustained* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt—6.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Uhl—4.

Excused from voting—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Thereupon, the roll was called on Supervisors Meyer-Ratto's resolution of intention to extend Grant avenue and the same (requiring eight votes), was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—7.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Uhl—4.

#### Explanation of Vote In Re Grant Avenue.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: I would like to explain my vote. I am going to vote now in favor of submitting Grant Avenue, because I feel that both of these extensions should be submitted to the people, and submitted separately; but if Grant Avenue is defeated, in order to be consistent in my attitude to submit both streets, I can't then vote to submit Fifth Street—that is, if Grant Avenue is defeated.

Thereupon, the roll being called on No. 5 on the calendar, providing for the extension of Fifth street from Market street to Eddy and Mason streets the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—7.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Uhl—4.

(Supervisors Mead and Brown excused at 6:20 p. m.)

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

##### Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Creating Two Positions Part-Time Physician.

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1390, Ordinance No. 9.053105, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 55 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 by adding Item 97 ½, creating two positions of L360 Physician (part time) at \$75 per month.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 55 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

#### Section 55. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH— CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)

##### SCHOOL INSPECTION—MEDICAL

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
55	1	L252	Optometrist (part time) .....	\$ 150
56	1	L368	Director Bureau Child Hygiene .....	383.33
57	1	L364	Pediatrician . . . . .	250
58	1	L364	Pediatrician (part time) .....	175

Section 55. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
59	11	L364	Pediatrician (part time) .....	150
60	1	L364	Pediatrician (part time) .....	75
61	1	L602	Audiometer Technician .....	125
DENTAL				
62	1	B222	General Clerk (part time) .....	50
63	4	L152	Dental Hygienist .....	150
64	14	L156	Dentist (part time) .....	100
65	1	L160	Director of Dental Bureau (part time) ..	250
CHILD WELFARE—MEDICAL				
66	1	L364	Pediatrician . . . . .	250
67	4	L364	Pediatrician (part time) .....	150
68	1	L364	Pediatrician at \$5 per day (part time).	
MILK AND FOOD INSPECTION				
69	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
70	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
71	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	100
72	7	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector .....	200
73	10	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector .....	185
74	3	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector .....	175
75	3	N53	Assistant Chief Food Inspector .....	225
76	1	N54	Chief Food Inspector .....	325
77	1	N64	Dairy Inspector .....	300
78	2	N64	Dairy Inspector .....	225
79	2	N64	Dairy Inspector .....	200
CHEMICAL LABORATORY				
80	1	L102	Food Chemist Assistant .....	125
81	1	L104	Food Chemist .....	225
82	1	L104	Food Chemist .....	175
83	1	L106	Senior Food Chemist .....	250
PLUMBING INSPECTION				
84	1	A412	Plumbing Inspector .....	250
85	7	A412	Plumbing Inspector .....	240
86	1	A416	Chief Plumbing Inspector .....	325
87	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
HOUSING INSPECTION				
88	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
89	9	N204	Housing Inspector .....	200
90	1	N206	Chief Housing Inspector .....	275
INDUSTRIAL INSPECTOR				
91	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	100
92	3	N205	Industrial Inspector .....	200
93	1	N208	Chief Industrial Inspector .....	275
CITY PHYSICIANS				
94	4	L360	Physician (part time) .....	300
95	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	250
96	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	200
97	4	L360	Physician (part time) .....	150
97½	2	L360	Physician (part time) .....	75
98	1	L362	Supervisor of City Physicians (part time)	300

Finally passed by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**Supplemental Appropriation of \$2,400 to Create Three Additional Positions at San Francisco Hospital.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1394, Ordinance No. 9.051408, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$2,400 out of the reserve existing in Appropriation No. 753.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 753.101.00 for the purpose of creating one additional position of radiologist at \$100 per month and position of two house officers at \$50 per month, at the San Francisco Hospital.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,400 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the reserve existing in Appropriation No. 753.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 753.101.00 for the purpose of creating one additional position of radiologist at \$100 per month and positions of two house officers at \$50 per month, at the San Francisco Hospital.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Amend Annual Salary Ordinance, Department of Public Health.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1395, Ordinance No. 9.053108, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 59 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 by adding Item 53½, creating two positions of L354 House Officer at \$50 per month; deleting Item 61; and increasing the number of positions established under Item 62 from one to two.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 59 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 59. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)**

**STATISTICS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
41	1	L2	Assistant Superintendent (deduct for B., R. & L.).....\$	310
42	1	L6	Superintendent (deduct \$150 for full family maintenance) .....	733.33
43	1	L70	Physio-Therapist (part time).....	100
44	2	L72	Electro-Cardiograph Technician (part time) . . . . .	75
45	2	L156	Dentist (part time) .....	50
46	4	L202	Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.).....	137.50
47	1	L206	Chief Dietitian .....	175
48	1	L304	Pharmacist .....	225
49	1	L304	Pharmacist .....	200
50	2	L304	Pharmacist .....	190
51	1	L306	Senior Pharmacist .....	250
52	42	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	32
53	22	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	47
53½	2	L354	House Officer .....	50
54	7	L356	Senior House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	59.50
55	1	L360	Physician (part time).....	75
56	3	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
57	1	L372	Resident Physician .....	200

**Section 59. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
58	1	L452	X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) (part time) .....	79.50
59	4	L452	X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
50	1	L456	Senior X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	210
62	2	L458	Radiologist .....	100
63	1	M255	Bracemaker .....	77.50
64	1	O60	Head Gardener (deduct for R.) .....	150
65	4	O166	Fireman, Stationary Steam Engine ....	185
66	4	O168	Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine....	220
67	1	O172	Chief Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine (deduct for R.) .....	300

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Sale of School Lot on Francisco Street West of Larkin Street.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

Also, Bill No. 1407, Ordinance No. 12.172214, as follows:

Authorizing sale of school lot on Francisco street west of Larkin street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Francisco street, distant thereon 137.5 feet westerly from the westerly line of Larkin street; running thence westerly along the southerly line of Francisco street, 137.5 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 137.5 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 137.5 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 137.5 feet to the southerly line of Francisco street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 29.

Section 2. The above described land shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco. The property may be sold as a whole or subdivided.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Appropriation of \$165 for Transfer of Tabulating Machine Operator From Purchaser to Police Department.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1408, Ordinance No. 9.051410, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$165 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 733.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 709.101.00 for the purpose of accommodating the transfer of a tabulating machine operator from the office of the Purchaser to the Police Department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:



Section 1. The sum of \$165 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 733.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 709.101.00 for the purpose of accommodating the transfer of a tabulating machine operator from the office of the Purchaser to the Police Department.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Amend Annual Salary Ordinance.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1409, Ordinance No. 9.053109, as follows:

An ordinance amending Ordinance No. 9.053101 by changing the maximum monthly rate of Item No. 8½, Section 11, from \$150 to \$165.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 11 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

#### Section 11. POLICE DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioner . . . . .	\$ 100
2	1		Chief of Police . . . . .	600
3	1		Deputy Chief of Police . . . . .	450
4	1		Property Clerk . . . . .	300
5	1		Police Surgeon . . . . .	200
6	1	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	175
7	1		Department Secretary . . . . .	400
8	1	B310a	Tabulating Alphabetic Key Punch Operator . . . . .	150
8½	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator . . . . .	165
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	175
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	230
11	1	B420	Phonographic Reporter . . . . .	250
12	7	B454	Telephone Operator . . . . .	150
13	1		Director of Criminal Information . . . . .	333.33
14	1		Director of Personnel . . . . .	333.33
15	1		Director of Special Services . . . . .	250
BUREAU OF INSPECTORS				
16	1		Captain of Inspectors . . . . .	416.66
17	75		Inspector . . . . .	230
18	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	200
19	1	D152	Criminologist . . . . .	300
20	3	Q20	Police Women . . . . .	200
21	6	Q60	Lieutenants . . . . .	250**
22	1	Q62	Photographer, Police Department . . . . .	225

#### UNIFORM FORCE

23	1		Supervising Captain . . . . .	333.33
24	1		Captain of Traffic . . . . .	333.33
25	1		Inspector of Motor Vehicles . . . . .	230
26	1		Inspector of Junior Traffic . . . . .	230
27	1		Inspector of Horses and Equipment . . . . .	230
28	1		Inspector of Repairs and Maintenance . . . . .	230
29	3	D52	Jail Matron . . . . .	175
30	1	D52	Jail Matron . . . . .	170
31	1	I14	Junior Chef . . . . .	195
32	10	J70	Hostlers . . . . .	180
33	3	O158	Motor Boat Operator . . . . .	200
34	1	O158	Motor Boat Operator (Relief) at rate of . . . . .	200

## Section 11. POLICE DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
35	959	Q2	Policemen .....	200
36	25	Q30	Police Patrol Driver .....	200
37	181	Q50	Sergeant .....	220**
38	47	Q60	Lieutenant .....	250**
39	12	Q80	Captain .....	300**

\*\*In event of a vacancy in this rank the position may be abolished and the number of Policemen correspondingly increased without amendment of this ordinance and the Policeman's position may be filled subject to the provisions of Sections 1 and 2 hereof.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## Amendment to Meat and Food Inspection Ordinance.

(Code No. 17.04)

Also, Bill No. 1410, Ordinance No. 17.045, as follows:

Amending Sub-section (a) of Section 11 of Ordinance No. 17.044, entitled: "Providing for the Inspection of Meat and Meat Food Products Sold or Delivered or Offered for Sale or Delivery Within the City and County of San Francisco; Authorizing the Director of Public Health to Adopt, Promulgate and Enforce Regulations Governing Such Inspection; Providing for the Payment and Collection of Fees to Defray the Cost of Such Inspection; Defining Certain Terms Used in This Ordinance; and Providing Penalties for the Violation of This Ordinance; and Repealing Certain Ordinances in Conflict Herewith. An Emergency Ordinance."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Sub-section (a) of Section 11 of Ordinance No. 17.044, the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section (a). All persons, firms and corporations killing, dressing and making ready for market in the City and County of San Francisco any of the animals mentioned in Section 2 of this ordinance shall pay the sum of one (1c) cent per each one hundred pounds of the meat of said animals as weighed after said animals have been killed and dressed; provided that the minimum monthly sum paid shall be not less than ten (\$10) dollars.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## NEW BUSINESS.

## Adopted.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Contracting With S. A. Dewing for Stenographic Reporting for the Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 1.06)

Resolution No. 3461, as follows:

Resolved, That S. A. Dewing and his associates are hereby retained and employed as shorthand reporters for the Board of Supervisors to report the proceedings of said Board and the proceedings of its committees when authorized to do so and to make transcriptions of such



proceedings, or such portions thereof as this board may direct, and that said S. A. Dewing and his associates be compensated for their said services as follows:

For services in attending and reporting meetings of the board or committees of said board, at the rate of Twelve Dollars and fifty cents (\$12.50) for each four-hour period, or fraction thereof, computed from the hour of convening until the hour of recess or adjournment; provided, that whenever such meetings of the board or committees thereof shall continue for more than four hours on any one calendar day, compensation therefor shall be at the rate of Three Dollars (\$3.00) per hour for each additional hour or fraction thereof. Provided further, that where committee meetings do not exceed two hours only one-half a per diem shall be paid.

Transcription rates to be as follows:

Original, 20 cents per folio.

Original and 1 copy, 30 cents per folio.

Original and 2 copies, 33 cents per folio

Original and 3 copies, 36 cents per folio.

Original and 4 copies, 40 cents per folio.

All over five copies, 8 cents per folio for each copy.

Be it Further Resolved, That this resolution, when the provisions thereof are accepted by S. A. Dewing, shall constitute a contract between the Board of Supervisors and S. A. Dewing.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

#### **Recreation Department Revolving Fund Ordinance Amendment.**

(Code No. 23.031)

Bill No. 1418, Ordinance No. 23.0311, as follows:

Amending Section 2 of Ordinance 23.031 establishing a revolving fund for the general offices of the Recreation Department, and also a revolving fund for the San Francisco Recreation Camp under the jurisdiction of the Recreation Department, and making an appropriation for the first mentioned fund, and providing for the administration of said funds and for the reimbursement thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 of Ordinance 23.031 is hereby amended to read as follows:

"Section 2. There is also established a revolving fund to be known as the 'San Francisco Recreation Camp Revolving Fund,' under the direction and jurisdiction of the Recreation Department, which said revolving fund shall not exceed the sum of four thousand five hundred (\$4,500) dollars, and from which said revolving fund there shall be paid the expenses incident to the operation and maintenance of the San Francisco Recreation Camp which cannot be conveniently paid by warrants drawn upon the treasury of the City and County of San Francisco, including such amounts as must be paid for the purpose of fresh fruits, vegetables, meats, and other supplies for said camp, when it is necessary to pay therefor upon the delivery of said supplies, whether said supplies are purchased through the Purchaser of Supplies or as emergency purchases made by said Recreation Department direct."

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Appropriation of \$500 for Recreation Department's Obligations.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1419, Ordinance No. 9.051412, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$500 out of the surplus existing in the Recreation Fund for the fiscal year 1932-1933 for the purpose of paying obligations of the Recreation Department for the fiscal year 1934-1935 not otherwise provided for.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$500 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the Recreation Fund for the fiscal year 1932-1933 for the purpose of paying obligations of the Recreation Department for the fiscal year 1934-1935 not otherwise provided for.

Section 2. This ordinance shall not become effective until Ordinance No. 23.0311 is adopted.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Appropriation of \$30,000 for Widening Market Street,  
Between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-sixth Streets.**

(Code No. 9.051.)

Also, Bill No. 1420, Ordinance No. 9.051413, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$30,000 out of surplus existing in the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 777.934.00, for acquisition of property necessary for the widening of Market street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-sixth streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$30,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside, out of the surplus existing in the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 777.934.00, for acquisition of property necessary for the widening of Market street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-sixth streets.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Ordering Taraval Street Assessment District.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1421, Ordinance No. 9.051414, as follows:

Authorizing performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco; approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same; extending City aid in the sum of Sixteen Thousand Dollars (\$16,000), and making an appropriation therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 19, 1937, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.



That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per cent (7%) per annum.

The improvement of Taraval street between Twelfth avenue and Montalvo avenue, including the intervening intersections, by the construction of the following items:

*Item No.*

*Item.*

1. Grading (excavation).
2. Unarmored Concrete Curb.
3. Two-course Concrete Sidewalk.
4. Brick Catchbasins, complete.
5. 10-inch V. C. P. Culvert, in place.
6. 8-inch Class "E" 5 sacks Concrete Pavement.
7. Asphalt-Concrete Pavement, consisting of a 6-inch Class "E" 5 sack concrete base and a 2-inch Asphaltic Concrete Wearing Surface.
8. Asphaltic Concrete Conform Pavement.
9. 4-inch White Lacquer Traffic Lines.
10. Ornamental Street Lighting System, complete.

The Director of Public Works does hereby set forth the district to be benefited by said contemplated work, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered respectively as:

Lots 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14 of Block 2341;

Lots 1, 17, 18, 18A, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26 and 27 of Block 2413;

Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52 and 53 of Block 2919;

Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42 and 43 of Block 2919A;

Lots 1, 2, 3, 3A, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 38A and 39 of Block 2920;

Lots 1A, 1B, 1C, 1D, 1E, 1F, 1G, 1H, 1I, 1J, 1K, 1M, 2, 3, 4 and 5 of Block 2925;

Lots 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 and 17 of Block 2928, and

Lots 13A and 14 of Block 2979, all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Section 2. The sum of Sixteen Thousand Dollars (\$16,000) is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the following funds: from the surplus existing in the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 648.981.00, Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000), and the sum of Eleven Thousand Dollars (\$11,000) as set up in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance of 1936-37, Appropriation No. 648.981.00, for the purpose of extending city aid for this improvement, pursuant to Section 6 of the Street Improvement Ordinance No. 1934.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Authorizing the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco to Determine the Amounts of Municipal Funds Which Shall Be Deposited with the Various Banks of the State of California in Active Accounts as Well as the Amounts Which Shall Be Deposited in Inactive Accounts.**

(Code No. 9.021.)

Resolution No. 3469, as follows:

Whereas, there is a conflict between the Federal and State laws relative to the deposit of public funds in the hands of the several Treasurers of the several counties of the State of California; and

Whereas, it is provided by an act of the Legislature of the State of California passed by the 1937 session of the State Legislature that until said conflict between the Federal and State laws is adjusted, the Treasurer, with the consent of the governing body of any county or city and county, shall determine what amount of public funds shall be deposited in active accounts as well as the amount which may be deposited in inactive accounts in the several banks in the State of California; now therefore, be it

Resolved, that the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby give to the Treasurer of the said City and County its consent that he shall determine the amount of public funds coming into his hands which shall be deposited in the several banks in the State of California in active accounts as well as the amounts which shall be deposited in inactive accounts; and that the said Treasurer is hereby authorized to enter into the necessary agreements as required by law for the deposit of funds under his jurisdiction in either active or inactive accounts.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Refund of Erroneous Payment of Taxes, Balboa Beverage Company.**

(Code No. 9.059.)

Also, Resolution No. 3470, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amount be and it is hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, 1937-38, to the following named; being refund of amount paid as erroneous payment of taxes, to-wit:

1. Balboa Beverage Company, as per Volume 1, Page 20, Line 17,  
1937 Unsecured Personal Property Roll.....\$ 5.68

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Appropriation of \$2,150, Food, Juvenile Detention Home.**

(Code No. 9.051.)

Bill No. 1422, Ordinance No. 9.051415, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$2,150 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation 702.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 724.350.00, to provide for the food requirements of the Juvenile Detention Home.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:



Section 1. The sum of \$2,150 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation 702.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation 724.350.00 to provide for the food requirements of the Juvenile Detention Home.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund to produce funds to adequately feed those children who are brought to the Juvenile Detention Home.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of City Planning Committee was taken up:

**Set Back Lines Westerly Side of San Jose Avenue, Between Dolores Street and Army Street.**

(Code No. 13.03.)

Resolution No. 3471, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1669, passed August 5, 1937, reading as follows:

Resolved, That subject to approval of the Board of Supervisors in accordance with Section 117 of Charter, the following building set-back lines be, and the same are hereby approved:

On the westerly side of San Jose avenue, from the easterly line of Dolores street to the southerly line of Twenty-seventh street, set-back line to be 40 feet. On the westerly side of San Jose avenue, set-back line to be a line described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Army street distant thereon 40 feet westerly from the westerly line of San Jose avenue; thence southerly, parallel with said line of San Jose avenue, 130.6 feet; thence southerly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 230 feet, central angle  $20^{\circ} 25' 35''$ , 82.0 feet; thence southerly tangent to the preceding curve, 18.3 feet to a point on the northerly line of Twenty-seventh street distant thereon 42.7 feet westerly from the westerly line of San Jose avenue. At the southeast corner of Army street and San Jose avenue, set-back line to be the arc of a 28-foot radius curve concave to the southeast, tangent to the southerly line of Army street and the easterly line of San Jose avenue, is hereby approved.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

**Intention to Close Portions of Connecticut, Missouri and Texas Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0621.)

Resolution No. 3472, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portions of Connecticut, Missouri, and Texas streets, south of Army street, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all those portions of Connecticut, Missouri, and Texas streets, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

*Connecticut Street:* All that portion of Connecticut street lying between the northerly line of Marin street and the southerly line of Army street;

*Missouri Street:* All that portion of Missouri street lying between the northerly line of Marin street and its northerly termination south of Amy street;

*Texas Street:* All that portion of Texas street lying between the northerly line of Marin street and its northerly termination south of Army street.

Said closing and abandonment of said portions of said streets shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost, and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the sum of \$5,500, which sum is to be paid by the American Smelting and Refining Company to the City and County of San Francisco for the portions of streets to be closed.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portions of said streets, in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

### Budget—Board of Education.

The following was presented and ordered printed in the Journal:

August 14, 1937.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Sirs: I herewith report to you as provided in Chapter IV, Junior College Tuition Fund, Sections 4.270 to 4.278 inclusive, of the School Code of California, the following sum of money which must be raised by city and county school taxes levied at the time and in the manner prescribed by law:

Junior College Tuition Fund (County) .....\$3,055.00

In addition, since the City and County of San Francisco is now a unified school district, I am reporting to you in the accompanying budget which I have approved as submitted by the Board of Education, as provided in Sections 4.360 to 4.367 inclusive, Sections 4.370 to 4.379 inclusive, and Part 6, specifically Section 2.2001, of the School Code of California, the following sums of money that must be raised by special district taxes levied at the time and in the manner prescribed by law:

Common School Fund .....\$4,720,329.00  
Special School Tax ..... 936,570.00

---

\$5,656,899.00

Respectfully yours,

JOSEPH P. NOURSE,  
Superintendent of Schools, City and County of San Francisco.





BUDGET ITEMS

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	Kinder- garten Fund	Elem. School Teachers Salary Fund	Elem. School General Fund	Elem. School Main- tenance Tax Fund	Elem. School Building Tax Fund	High School Teachers Salary Fund	High School General Fund	District Junior College Fund	Total Funds
(Entries in Dollars only)									
V ESTIMATED INCOME									
A. <i>Cash Balance, July 1, 1937 (Se- cure from County Auditor) . . .</i>	\$ . . . . .	\$ . . . . .	\$ . . . . .	\$ 220,425	\$ 49,037	\$ . . . . .	\$ 1,054,515	\$ . . . . .	\$ 1,323,977
B. <i>Estimated Revenue Other Than District Taxes:</i>									
1. State Apportionments . . . . .		1,998,992	505,044			1,561,360	587,976		4,653,372
2. Tuition from other Dis- tricts . . . . .			530				59,182		59,712
3. Other Revenues . . . . .			102,652				3,042		105,694
C. <i>Total, Balance and Revenues . .</i>	\$ . . . . .	\$ 1,998,992	\$ 608,226	\$ 220,425	\$ 49,037	\$ 1,561,360	\$ 1,704,715	\$ . . . . .	\$ 6,142,755
VI DISTRICT TAXES REQUIRED (IV - V C) . . . . .	\$ 298,419	\$ . . . . .	\$ . . . . .	\$ 1,687,235	\$ 254,508	\$ . . . . .	\$ 3,419,792	\$ . . . . .	\$ 5,659,954*
VII ASSESSED VALUATION, 1937-1938 . . . . .									\$ . . . . .
VIII TAX RATES REQUIRED PER \$100 (Item VI divided by 90% of Item VII) . . . . .									*Cols. 1 + 4 + 5 + 7 + 8.

To the County Superintendent of Schools:—

The foregoing budget was adopted by the governing Board of the above named unified school district for the school year 1937-1938 at a regular meeting held August 3, 1937.

To the County Board of Supervisors:—

I hereby certify that I have examined and approved the budget submitted herewith.

Signed: J. A. ORMOND, Secretary

Date August 14, 1937 by....., deputy  
County superintendent of schools



**Islais Creek Reclamation District Warrants.**

(Code No. 12.04)

The Finance Committee presented:

Resolution No. 3473, as follows:

Be is resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District:

No.	To	For
799	Director of Public Works .....	\$334.05
800	Mary Reilly .....	7.66
801	J. P. Cosgrove-John Brandt-Richard J. Welch .....	56.82
802	Krieg Tanning Co. ....	691.38

payable out of the funds of said District, be and the same are hereby approved; and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead—2.

**Provision for Printing and Issuing of Code and Code Amendments.**

(Code No. 1.07)

Resolution No. 3474, as follows:

Whereas, no provision has been made, or is being made for the printing and issuance of Code Amendments for the purpose of keeping up to date and current the codification of the ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and

Whereas, unless such provision is made, the advantages of codification of said ordinances will soon be lost and the said Codes will become practically useless, and

Whereas, such provision should be made now in order that the work may be carried on from the time of the completion of the codification of said ordinances; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, make provision for the printing and issuance of Code Amendments to the codification of the ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and that this matter be referred to the proper committee for consideration and report.

*Referred to the Finance Committee.*

**Favoring and Urging Completion of Codification of Ordinances as W. P. A. Project.**

(Code No. 1.07)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 3475, as follows:

Whereas, Project No. 5418 covering the codification of ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, was on Tuesday, August 10th, 1937, discontinued, and

Whereas, said Project No. 5418 of the W. P. A. was about 75 per cent complete at the time of its discontinuance, and

Whereas, the completion of such codification is a matter of vital importance to the people of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, That this Board go on record as

favoring the completion of the codification of the ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, as a Works Progress Proposal for a rewrite, and be it further resolved that copies of this resolution be sent to the Works Progress Administration, to the Welfare Commission and to the press.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead—2.

**Authorizing City Attorney to Institute Proceedings Before Railroad Commission for Reduction in Carquinez Bridge Tolls.**

(Code No. 5.3)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 3476, as follows:

Whereas, on August 27, 1937, a State law will become effective, vesting in the Railroad Commission of the State of California, the authority to regulate tolls on privately owned bridges, and

Whereas, much of the automobile traffic between San Francisco and Sacramento, as well as other Valley points, traverses the Carquinez Bridge, and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board of Supervisors that the present toll rates in force on the Carquinez Bridge, which are fixed at sixty cents per car, ten cents for the driver and ten cents for each passenger, constitute an unreasonable and exorbitant charge and should be lowered, at least, to twenty-five cents per car, with no additional charge for driver or passengers, up to five; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and is hereby authorized and requested to, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, institute proceedings before the Railroad Commission of the State of California to the end that the toll rates on the Carquinez Bridge may be lowered in accordance with the foregoing suggestion, and be it

Further resolved, That copies of this resolution be forwarded to the various Boards of Supervisors interested in this matter, with the request that they adopt similar resolutions in support hereof.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead—2.

**Mayor to Appoint Committee—Armistice Day.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 3477, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested to appoint a Citizens Committee to arrange for the proper observance of Armistice Day, November 11, 1937.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead—2.

**Mayor to Appoint Committee—Admission Day.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 3478, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested to



appoint a Citizens Committee to arrange for the proper observance of Admission Day, September 9, 1937.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncoviari, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead—2.

**Mayor Requested to Appoint "Hospitality Committee."**

(Code No. —)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 3479, as follows:

Whereas, since the completion of the great bay bridges, San Francisco has been visited by many prominent and distinguished personages from all parts of the world and an ever-increasing number of such visitors may be expected as the Golden Gate International Exposition nears completion, and

Whereas, San Francisco's reputation as "The City That Knows How" is at stake unless something is done officially to give recognition to our visitors and to point out to them the attractions of our city; therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be authorized and requested to appoint a suitable "Hospitality Committee," whose duty it shall be to greet these visitors to San Francisco and inform them of its advantages as a place of business, of its delight as a place of recreation and of its manifold attractions as a place of residence, to the end that they might know with what good reason San Francisco is known as "The City Loved Around The World."

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncoviari, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead—2.

**Limiting the Heights of Buildings Hereafter to Be Erected in a Certain District in the City and County of San Francisco and Establishing the Boundaries of Said District and Providing Penalties for the Violation of Its Provisions.**

(Code No. —)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. —, as follows:

Limiting the heights of buildings hereafter to be erected in a certain district in the City and County of San Francisco and establishing the boundaries of said district and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. No building, except as hereinafter provided, shall be erected in the City and County of San Francisco to a height greater than 84 feet within the territory bounded by: North Point street on the north, by Columbus avenue on the northeast, by Union street on the south and by Polk street on the west; save and excepting therefrom such buildings as may hereafter be erected on lots fronting on the south line of North Point street, the southwest line of Columbus avenue, the north line of Union street and the east line of Polk street, provided, further, that no building for human occupancy within said district shall be more than six stories in height.

Section 2. No additions to or alteration or improvement of any building within the above described district shall be made which shall increase the height of any building as limited by this ordinance.

Section 3. No limitation of the height of buildings in the City and County of San Francisco, as provided by this ordinance, shall apply to public buildings, churches, schools, steeples, towers, domes, cupolas, belfries (not used for human occupancy), nor to chimneys, gas holders, skylights, ventilators, penthouses, water tanks, flagstaffs, railings, weather vanes, nor to other similar structures such as are usually erected or maintained above the roof line of buildings.

Section 4. The method of determining the height of buildings for the purpose of this ordinance, shall be the same as provided in Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), and the provisions of section 18 shall apply within said territory.

Section 5. No building permit shall be issued by the Department of Public Works for the erection or alteration of any building or structure contrary to the provisions of this ordinance, and any permit so issued shall be void.

Section 6. Any person, firm, or corporation violating any provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine not exceeding \$500 or by imprisonment for a term of not exceeding six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Such persons, firm or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each and every day during any portion of which any violation of this ordinance is committed, continued or permitted by such person, firm or corporation, as herein provided.

*Referred to City Planning Commission.*

#### **Conditioning of Golden Gate Park Drives and Land's End Trail.**

Supervisor Schmidt requested that communication be sent by the Clerk to the Park Commission, concerning two matters, as follows:

1. Referring to condition of South Drive, Golden Gate Park: What is the status of the South Drive as regards to future improvements? Have future improvements been taken into consideration, and if so, when can they be expected? Are WPA funds available for future improvements?

2. Regarding lower trail, at Land's End: No signs are located along trail telling the people of the dangerous condition. Does the Park Commission have in mind the improvement of the trail to the end that it will make the trail safe?

#### **Tax Rate Ordinance Announcement.**

Supervisor Shannon announced that the Tax Rate Ordinance will be before the Board on August 30, 1937.

#### **Committee to State Fair, Supervisors' Day.**

Supervisor Meyer moved that the Chair appoint a committee of Supervisors to attend the State Fair on Supervisors' Day, September 9, 1937.

Supervisor Ratto moved that a committee be appointed to attend meetings in Sacramento, on September 3, to discuss highway projects in the Redwood Empire Counties.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

#### **San Francisco's Sheriff's Posse Commended.**

Supervisor Colman, under his name on roll call, referred to recent horse show at Menlo Park, participated in by a contingent of horsemen from this city and known as the San Francisco Sheriff's Posse. He commended the representation on its handsome mounts, the superb horsemanship of the riders, a splendid exhibition entitled to every encouragement.



**Conference and Meeting, Redwood Empire, to Prevent Diversion  
of Highway Funds.**

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Communication from Redwood Empire Supervisors' Unit advising as to preliminary conference at 9 a. m., Hotel Senator, and Highway Commission meeting, 10 a. m., both to be held in Sacramento Friday, September 3, 1937, requesting that delegation be sent from San Francisco and that this Board of Supervisors take official action to prevent diversion of funds already budgeted for highway projects in Redwood Empire counties.

Supervisor Ratto moved that committee be appointed to attend.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board, at 6:45 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 23, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

**Vol. 32**

**No. 34**

**Monday, August 23, 1937**

# **Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors**

**City and County of San Francisco**



**The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.**



THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO  
LIBRARY  
520 UNIVERSITY DRIVE  
CHICAGO, ILL. 60607  
1960-1961

J  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, AUGUST 23, 1937, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 23, 1937, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors Colman, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer—3.

Quorum present.

Supervisors Brown and Mead excused on leave.

Supervisor Meyer appeared and was noted present at 2:45 p. m.

President Shannon presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of August 16, 1937, was considered read and approved.

### Hearing of Appeal—Rezoning Southwest Webster and Chestnut Streets.

Hearing of appeal of property owners against action of the City Planning Commission rezoning from commercial district to second residential district property located on the southwest corner of Chestnut and Webster streets.

Julian Pardini, representing protesting property owners, was heard at length.

### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the foregoing hearing was *continued two weeks and made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m. Monday, September 6, 1937.*

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

#### Amending Section 4 of Ordinance No. 9102 (New Series) Relating to New York Deposits by Fiscal Agent.

(Code No. 9.024)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1417, Ordinance No. 9.0243, as follows:

Amending Section 4 of Ordinance No. 9102 (New Series) entitled: "Designating the National City Bank of New York as the Fiscal Agent of the City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of



New York for the purpose of providing payment in the City of New York, of coupons, for the redemption of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, and providing for the interest on funds on deposit with said fiscal agent", by providing that interest on moneys deposited with said fiscal agent shall be payable subject to the rules of the Federal Reserve System and when such payment is permitted by the laws of the United States.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 4 of Ordinance No. 9102 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 4. The designation and appointment of the National City Bank of New York as the fiscal agent of the City and County of San Francisco is made, provided the said fiscal agent shall pay into the treasury of the City and County of San Francisco, monthly, interest at the rate of one-half of one per cent per annum on all daily balances of funds deposited with said fiscal agent; *the said payment of said interest to be subject to the rules of the Federal Reserve System and only when such payment is permitted by the laws of the United States.*

Section 2. Ordinance No. 9.0242 heretofore enacted by this Board and amending Section 4 of the above named ordinance is hereby repealed.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer—3.

### **Changing Grades on Goettingen Street and on Ordway Street.**

(Code No. 12.07222)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1411, Ordinance No. 12.072229, as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Goettingen street between a line parallel with Mansell street and 310 feet southerly therefrom and Ward street; also on Ordway street between Brussels street and Somerset street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 21st day of June, 1937, by Resolution No. 3351, Code No. 12.0721, declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Goettingen street between a line parallel with Mansell street and 310 feet southerly therefrom and Ward street; also on Ordway street between Brussels street and Somerset street; and

Whereas, said resolution has been published and posted in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than thirty days have elapsed since the first publication of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

#### **Goettingen Street:**

	<b>Feet</b>
310 feet southerly from Mansell street.....	271
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Ordway street northerly line .....	309
Northerly curb line of Ordway street produced.....	309
Easterly line of, 10 feet northerly from Ordway street	
southerly line .....	311
Westerly line of, 10 feet northerly from Ordway street	
southerly line .....	311

	<i>Feet</i>
10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Ordway street southerly line .....	311
12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Ordway street southerly line .....	311
10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 100 feet southerly from Ordway street .....	322.67
10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 150 feet southerly from Ordway street .....	326.41
10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 200 feet southerly from Ordway street .....	326
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)	
12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 100 feet southerly from Ordway street .....	322.67
12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 150 feet southerly from Ordway street .....	326.41
12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 200 feet southerly from Ordway street .....	326
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)	
10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 120 feet northerly from Ward street .....	322
10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 80 feet northerly from Ward street .....	318.50
10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 40 feet northerly from Ward street .....	312
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)	
12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 120 feet northerly from Ward street .....	322
12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 80 feet northerly from Ward street .....	318.50
12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 40 feet northerly from Ward street .....	312
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)	
Ward street northerly line .....	304
(The same being the present official grade.)	
<i>Ordway Street:</i>	<i>Feet</i>
Westerly line of, at Brussels street.....	252
(The same being the present official grade.)	
40 feet easterly from Goettingen street.....	300.34
Northerly line of, at Goettingen street.....	309
10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Goettingen street .....	309
10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Goettingen street easterly line .....	311
Southerly line of, 10 feet westerly from Goettingen street easterly line .....	311
Southerly line of, 12 feet easterly from Goettingen street westerly line .....	311
10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Goettingen street westerly line .....	311
Northerly line of, at Somerset street .....	350
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Southerly line of, at Somerset street easterly line.....	352
(The same being the present official grade.)	
On Goettingen street, between a line parallel with Mansell street and 310 feet southerly therefrom, and Ward street, and on Ordway street, between Brussels and Somer-	



set streets, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer—3.

### Changing Grades on Innes Avenue, Ingalls Street and Jennings Street.

(Code No. 12.0722)

Also, Bill No. 1412, Ordinance No. 12.072230, as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Innes avenue between Hawes and Keith streets; also on Ingalls and Jennings streets between Innes and Jerrold avenues.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 21st day of June, 1937, by Resolution No. 3352, Code No. 12.0721, declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Innes avenue between Hawes and Keith streets; also on Ingalls and Jennings streets between Innes and Jerrold avenues; and

Whereas, said resolution has been published and posted in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than thirty days have elapsed since the first publication of intention; therefore

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

<i>Innes Avenue:</i>	<i>Feet</i>
80 feet westerly from Hawes street easterly line.....	32
(The same being the present official grade.)	
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 84 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	42.04
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 184 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	51.63
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 284 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	56.50
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)	
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	42.04
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Hawes street .....	51.63
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 300 feet northwesterly from Hawes street .....	56.50
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)	
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 324 feet northwesterly from Hawes street .....	57.50
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 424 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	64.37
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 524 feet northwesterly from Hawes street .....	80
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)	
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 340 feet northwesterly from Hawes street .....	57.50

*Feet*

18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 440 feet northwesterly from Hawes street.....	64.37
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 540 feet northwesterly from Hawes street .....	80
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)	
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Ingalls street southeasterly line .....	92
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Ingalls street southeasterly line .....	92
Northeasterly line of, 19 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street southeasterly line .....	92.90
Southwesterly line of, 19 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street southeasterly line .....	92.90
Northeasterly line of, 19 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street northwesterly line .....	94.12
Southwesterly line of, 19 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street northwesterly line .....	94.12
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Ingalls street northwesterly line .....	95
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Ingalls street northwesterly line .....	95
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 90 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street .....	113
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 140 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	122.12
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 190 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	129.50
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 90 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street .....	113
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 140 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street .....	122.12
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 190 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	129.50
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)	
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 290 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street .....	142.50
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 340 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	148.67
18 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 390 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street .....	154.19
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.)	
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 290 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street .....	142.50
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 340 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street .....	148.67
18 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 390 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	154.19
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
600 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	176
664 feet northwesterly from Ingalls street.....	176
Keith street .....	85
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*Ingalls Street:**Feet*

19 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, at Innes avenue northeasterly line .....	92.90
19 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, at Innes avenue northeasterly line .....	94.12



	<i>Feet</i>
Southeasterly line of, 18 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue northeasterly line .....	92
Northwesterly line of, 18 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue northeasterly line .....	95
Southeasterly line of, 18 feet northeasterly from Innes avenue southwesterly line .....	92
Northwesterly line of, 18 feet northeasterly from Innes avenue southwesterly line .....	95
19 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, at Innes avenue southwesterly line .....	92.90
19 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, at Innes avenue southwesterly line .....	94.12
19 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, 50 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue .....	94.67
19 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, 50 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue .....	94.67
Jerrold avenue .....	100
(The same being the present official grade.)	

On Innes avenue, between Hawes and Keith streets, and on Ingalls and Jennings streets, between Innes and Jerrold avenues, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer—3.

#### **Ordering the Improvement of Wherenots on Thirty-fifth Avenue and Quintara Street.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1413, Ordinance No. 12.061181, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 21st, 1937, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following listed streets, where not already improved:

Thirty-fifth Avenue, between Quintara Street and Rivera Street.

Quintara Street, between Thirty-fifth Avenue and Thirty-sixth Avenue.

by the construction of the following:

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>Item.</i>
1.	6-inch V. C. P. side sewers in place.
2.	Unarmored concrete curb.
3.	Asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered respectively as:

Lots 18, 19, 20, 21 and 22 of Block 2155

Lot 20 of Block 2183

all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer—3.

### **Ordering the Improvement of Moraga Street Between Thirty-first and Thirty-second Avenues and Certain Other Streets. (Wherenots.)**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1414, Ordinance No. 12.061182, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 21st, 1937, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following listed streets, where not already improved:

Moraga Street between Thirty-first and Thirty-second Avenues.  
 Thirty-eighth Avenue between Santiago Street and Taraval Street.  
 Forty-second Avenue between Lawton Street and Moraga Street.  
 Forty-third Avenue between Moraga Street and Noriega Street.  
 Forty-fifth Avenue between Taraval Street and Ulloa Street.



Forty-sixth Avenue between Taraval Street and Ulloa Street.  
 Forty-seventh Avenue between Taraval Street and Ulloa Street.  
 by the construction of the following:

*Item No.*

*Item.*

1. Asphalt-concrete pavement consisting of a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.
2. Armored concrete curbs.
3. 6-inch vitrified clay pipe side sewers.
4. 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Lot 1 of Block 1901.

Lots 5, 9 and 10 of Block 1902.

Lots 1B and 1C of Block 2006.

Lot 4 of Block 2007.

Lots 1, 17, 18 and 19 of Block 2018.

Lot 8 of Block 2367.

Lots 8, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20 and 21 of Block 2378.

Lot 4 of Block 2379; and

Lot 9 of Block 2380;

all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer—3.

### **Ordering the Improvement of the Crossing of Quintara Street and Thirty-fifth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1415, Ordinance No. 12.061183, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 21st, 1937, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be

paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of Quintara Street and Thirty-fifth Avenue by grading to official line and subgrade, and by the construction of the following:

- | <i>Item No.</i> | <i>Item.</i>   |
|-----------------|--|
| 1.              | Grading (excavation).  |
| 2.              | Unarmored concrete curbs.  |
| 3.              | One-course concrete sidewalk.  |
| 4.              | Brick catchbasins, complete.   |
| 5.              | 10-inch vitrified clay pipe culverts, in place.  |
| 6.              | Asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface. |

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered respectively as:

Lots 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37 and 38 of Block 2154.

Lots 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21 and 22 of Block 2155.

Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 18 of Block 2182.

Lots 22, 23, 24, 25, 26 and 27 of Block 2183.

all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer—3.

#### Accepting the Roadway of Ulloa Street Between Laguna Honda Boulevard and Woodside Avenue, Including the Intersection of Ulloa Street and Sydney Way.

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1416, Ordinance No. 12.081130, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Ulloa street between Laguna Honda boulevard and Woodside avenue, including the intersection of Ulloa street and Sydney way.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphalt, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Ulloa street between Laguna Honda boulevard and Woodside avenue, including the intersection of Ulloa street and Sydney way.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer—3.



**NEW BUSINESS.****Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**City Attorney to Settle Damage Claim of Frank Licursi.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Resolution No. 3480, as follows:

Whereas, on the 20th day of May, 1937, Frank Licursi, 256 Oneida avenue, sustained damages to his Dodge coupe in the sum of \$135 as the result of being struck by fire apparatus, Engine No. 38, while said Dodge was parked on Mission street, near Onondaga avenue; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of the City Attorney that there is liability on the part of the City and County of San Francisco, he has entered into an agreement and compromise of said claim; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be, and he is, hereby authorized to settle said claim by the payment of \$135 to said Frank Licursi out of the funds heretofore provided in appropriation No. 760.702.05.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer—3.

**Land Purchase Sunset Reservoir.**

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 3481, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Jessie E. Hatch, et vir, to Lot 12 in Assessor's Block 2107, San Francisco, California, required for Sunset Reservoir, and that the sum of \$300 be paid for said lot, \$50 from Appropriation No. 93.905.05-57 and the balance of \$250 from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court case No. 262443.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer—3.

**Authorizing Memorandum of Agreement Re: First Quarter Cent. Gasoline Tax Fund and Authorizing Chief Administrative Officer to Execute Same.**

(Code No. 5.31)

Also, Resolution No. 3483, as follows:

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby approve the Memorandum of Agreement covering portions of the State Highway system upon which in the regular order moneys allocated by the State out of the quarter cent gas tax funds shall be expended during the biennium 1937-1939.

**Project Statement.**

Projects 5A, 5B, 5C—Nineteenth avenue from Lincoln way to Sloat boulevard, Route 56.

Project 6B—Park-Presidio boulevard, Route 56, Fulton street to the southerly boundary of the Presidio.

Projects 19, 19A, 19B—State High Routes described general maintenance work, Route 2, Route 55, Route 56, Route 58.

Project 20—Alemany boulevard, Route 2, Bayshore boulevard to Mission street.

Project 21—Funston avenue project to Golden Gate Bridge, Route 56. It is further resolved, that the Chief Administrative Officer be and is hereby authorized and requested to execute the foregoing Memorandum of Agreement for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, and transmit to the District Engineer of the State Department of Public Works.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer—3.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

#### Ordering the Improvement of Sidewalks on Carl and Other Streets.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Bill No. 1427, Ordinance No. 12.061184, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the Assessment District, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, August 10, 1937, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of:

Carl street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 132 feet 6 inches and 157 feet 6 inches west of Stanyan street

Carl street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 152 feet 5 inches and 203 feet 5 inches west of Willard street

Carl street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 175.54 feet and 371.852 feet east of Hillway avenue

Clipper street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Diamond street and 80 feet west

Clipper street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 80 feet and 106 feet 6 inches west of Diamond street

Frederick street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Stanyan street and 32 feet 6 inches west

Frederick street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 150 feet and 205 feet east of Willard street

Frederick street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 95 feet and 121 feet 6 inches east of Arguello boulevard

Market street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Diamond and Eureka streets.



Mission street (NW.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Bosworth street and 39 feet 4 $\frac{1}{2}$  inches northeast

Mission street (NW.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 30 feet and 191 feet southwest of Kingston street

Mission street (NW.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Seneca and Onondaga avenues

Parnassus avenue (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 130 feet and 205 feet east of Willard street

Parnassus avenue (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 63.598 feet and 90.705 feet west of Hillway avenue

San Jose avenue (SE.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 75.14 feet and 100.14 feet southwest of San Juan avenue

San Jose avenue (SE.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 200.16 feet and 225.17 feet southwest of San Juan avenue

San Jose avenue (SE.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 135.01 feet and 166.11 feet southwest of Santa Ynez avenue

San Jose avenue (SE.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Whipple avenue and 50.60 feet northeast

San Jose avenue (SE.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Naglee avenue and 56.79 feet southwest

San Jose avenue (SE.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Naglee avenue and 56 feet 6 inches northeast

San Jose avenue (SE.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Foote avenue and 80.59 feet southwest

Willard street (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 100 feet and 125 feet south of Frederick street; by the construction or reconstruction of two-course concrete sidewalks of the full official width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks are defective or not already constructed to the official grade,

And the improvement of:

Beverly street (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Garfield street and 100 feet north

Cayuga avenue (SE.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 150 feet and 175 feet northeast of Rosseau street

Cayuga avenue (SE.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) opposite Danton street

Cayuga avenue (NW.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Badger street and 25 feet southwest

Cayuga avenue (NW.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Santa Ynez avenue and 216.48 feet northeast

Cayuga avenue (NW.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 108.67 feet and 134.12 feet southwest of San Juan avenue

Diamond street (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Alvarado street and 26 feet 6 inches north

Diamond street (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Twenty-sixth street and 114 feet south

Diamond street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Army street and 167 feet north

Douglass street (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Twenty-seventh street and 114 feet north

Lakeview avenue between Margaret and Capitol avenues

Monticello street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Sargent street and 150 feet north

Ralston street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Sargent street and 100 feet north

Ralston street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Sargent and Randolph streets

Regent street (SW.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 450 feet and 500 feet southeast of San Jose avenue

Rhine street (NW.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Flournoy street and 100 feet southwest

Romain street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Grand View avenue and 115 feet (more or less) east

Romain street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Grand View avenue and 72 feet 3 inches east

Romain street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Market street and Corbett avenue

Shrader street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Seventeenth street and its southern termination; by the construction of two-course concrete sidewalks six (6) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width are not already constructed,

And the improvement of:

Market street (SW.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Glendale street and 103.456 feet southeast

Mission street (NW.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Lawrence avenue and 168 feet southwest

Niagara avenue (SW.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between San Jose avenue and 147 feet northwest

Ocean avenue (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Howth street and 281 feet 7 inches west

Surrey street (NE.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) fronting Lot 19 of Block No. 6730; by the construction or reconstruction of two-course concrete sidewalks six (6) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks are defective or not already constructed to the official grade,

And the improvement of:

Lakeview avenue (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Majestic avenue and 50 feet east; by the construction or reconstruction of two-course concrete sidewalks nine (9) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks are defective or not already constructed to the official grade,

And the improvement of:

Market street (SW.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Iron alley and Glendale street; by the construction or reconstruction of two-course concrete sidewalks five (5) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks are defective or not already constructed to the official grade.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Block No. 1265, Lot No. 26; Block No. 1266, Lot Nos. 1, 23, 31; Block No. 1274, Lot Nos. 12, 13, 31; Block No. 1275, Lot Nos. 45, 50, 51, 52; Block No. 1275A, Lot No. 13; Block No. 2649, Lot Nos. 5, 6, 28, 29; Block No. 3202, Lot Nos. 27, 32; Block No. 3212, Lot No. 38; Block No. 6545, Lot No. 7A; Block No. 6556, Lot No. 29; Block No. 6660, Lot Nos. 9, 13, 14; Block No. 6721, Lot Nos. 3, 4; Block No. 6968, Lot Nos. 1, 2, 3, 6; Block No. 7063, Lot Nos. 10, 10A, 16; Block No. 7095, Lot Nos. 8, 9, 25, 26.

Block No. 1289, Lot Nos. 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 19, 20; Block No. 2748, Lot No. 12; Block No. 2753, Lot No. 24; Block No. 2755, Lot Nos. 1A, 1B, 18, 19, 19A, 20; Block No. 2771, Lot No. 22; Block No. 3209, Lot Nos. 3, 20B, 20C, 20D, 20E; Block No. 6561, Lot Nos. 3, 5, 5A, 7; Block No. 6562, Lot Nos. 19, 20; Block No. 6583, Lot No. 15; Block No. 6783, Lot No. 2; Block No. 6786, Lot Nos. 5, 50; Block No. 6998, Lot Nos. 25, 26, 27; Block No. 7018, Lot Nos. 22, 23, 24; Block No. 7019, Lot Nos. 20, 21, 23; Block No. 7020, Lot No. 16; Block No. 7050, Lot Nos. 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23; Block No. 7051, Lot Nos. 13, 15, 16, 18; Block No. 7052, Lot Nos. 1, 15, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28; Block No. 7058, Lot Nos. 1, 14, 15, 16, 17, 20; Block No. 7059, Lot Nos. 27, 29; Block No. 7077, Lot No. 18; Block No. 7079, Lot Nos. 16, 17, 18; Block No. 7084, Lot Nos. 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18.

Block No. 7015, Lot Nos. 20A, 21, 22, 23, 24; Block No. 7016, Lot Nos. 18, 19, 20, 25, 26; Block No. 7017, Lot Nos. 18, 19, 20, 24, 25, 26; Block No. 7159, Lot Nos. 16, 17; Block No. 7169, Lot Nos. 22, 24, 25.

Block No. 2746, Lot No. 1; Block No. 6730, Lot No. 19; Block No. 6947, Lot Nos. 1, 1B, 2; Block No. 7026, Lot Nos. 1, 4; Block No. 7143, Lot No. 1.

Block No. 7048, Lot Nos. 27, 28.

Block No. 2717, Lot Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24.

all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer—3.



**Ordering the Improvement of the Intersection of Barneveld Avenue and Rickard Street.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1428, Ordinance No. 12.061185, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the Assessment District, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, August 10, 1937, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the southerly intersection of Barneveld avenue and Rickard street by the construction of the following items:

*Item No.*

*Item*

1. Grading (excavation).
2. Brick catchbasin, complete.
3. 10-inch V. C. P. culvert, in place.
4. Armored concrete curb.
5. Two-course concrete sidewalk.
6. Asphalt concrete pavement, consisting of a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Lots 1, 1A, 2, 10, 11, 12 and 13 of Block 5855, and Lots 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13 of Block 5856, all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer—3.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Third Street Between Howard and Channel Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1429, Ordinance No. 12.0731111, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of

Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section One Hundred and Fifty-one (151) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office, August 12, 1937, by amending Section One Hundred and Fifty-one (151) thereof to read as follows:

Section 151. The width of sidewalks on Third street between Market and Howard streets shall be 14 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Third street, the southwesterly side of, between Howard and Townsend streets, shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Third street, the southwesterly side of, between Townsend and King streets, shall be as shown on that certain map, entitled "Map showing street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks on the southwesterly line of Third street between Townsend and King streets."

The width of sidewalks on Third street, the southwesterly side of, between King and Channel streets, shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Third street, the northeasterly side of, between Howard and Berry streets, shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Third street, the northeasterly side of, between Berry and Channel streets, shall be abolished.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer—3.

#### **Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Oregon Street Between the Embarcadero and Drumm Street.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1430, Ordinance No. 12.0731112, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred (1200).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 7, 1937, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred (1200) to read as follows:

Section 1200. The width of sidewalks on Oregon street between The Embarcadero and Drumm street, shall be 3 feet 6 inches.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer—3.

#### **Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

#### **Authorizing Acquisition of a Right of Way for Somerset Street Sewer by Condemnation Proceedings.**

(Code No. 6.0211)

Resolution No. 3482, as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of a perpetual easement for and as a surface drainage and sewer right of way over, under, along, upon, and in the following described parcels



of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Parcel No. 1. A strip of land extending 78 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Alpha street and being 3 feet wide, measured at right angles to and northeasterly from the southwesterly line of Lot No. 18 in Block No. 62, as said lot and block are delineated and described on that certain map, entitled, "Map of Reis Tract, San Francisco, California," filed for record in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on the 19th day of May, 1904, and recorded in Map Book No. 1, at pages 241 and 242 thereof. Said lot being also known as Lot No. 33 in Block No. 6199 on the Assessor's Maps of said City and County.

Parcel No. 2. A strip of land 3 feet wide, the center line of which is parallel with and distant 75 feet southeasterly at right angles from the southeasterly line of Alpha street, across Lot No. 10 in Block No. 62, as said lot and block are delineated and described on that certain map entitled "Map of Reis Tract, San Francisco, California," filed for record in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on the 19th day of May, 1904, and recorded in Map Book No. 1, at pages 241 and 242 thereof. Said lot also being known as Lot No. 25 in Block No. 6199 on the Assessor's maps of said City and County.

The taking of a right of way easement to said property is necessary for the construction, maintenance, and operation of a sewer for drainage and sanitary purposes tributary to the Somerset Street Sewer.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco.

Approved: E. J. Riordan, Acting Director of Property.

Form approved: Robert McMahon, Deputy City Attorney.

Funds available: August 14, 1937, Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer—3.

#### **Closing and Abandoning Locksley Avenue Between Moraga Street and Seventh Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.0622)

Also, Resolution No. 3484, as follows:

Whereas, on the 26th day of July, 1937, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 3423, Code No. 12.0621, which resolution was presented to His Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 27th day of July, 1937, said resolution being in words and figures as follows:

*Intention to close and abandon Locksley avenue between Moraga street and Seventh avenue.*

Resolution No. 3423

(Code No. 12.0621)

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of Locksley avenue be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Locksley avenue lying between the southerly line of Moraga street and the easterly line of Seventh avenue, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Seventh avenue with the northwesterly line of Locksley avenue, which point is

perpendicularly distant 179.199 feet southerly from the southerly line of Moraga street; thence southerly along the prolongation of the easterly line of Seventh avenue 321.940 feet to the southeasterly line of Locksley avenue; thence deflecting 169 degrees 11 minutes to the left and running northeasterly along said line of Locksley avenue 94.124 feet; thence deflecting 2 degrees 51 minutes to the right and continuing northeasterly along said line of Locksley avenue 149.476 feet; thence deflecting 1 degree 08 minutes to the left and continuing northeasterly along said line of Locksley avenue 227.706 feet; thence deflecting 33 degrees 49 minutes 13 seconds to the right and continuing northeasterly along said line of Locksley avenue 59.641 feet to the southerly line of Moraga street; thence deflecting 136 degrees 21 minutes 13 seconds to the left and running westerly along said line of Moraga street 101.419 feet to the northwesterly line of Locksley avenue; thence deflecting 43 degrees 38 minutes 47 seconds to the left and running southwesterly along said line of Locksley avenue 7.535 feet; thence deflecting 33 degrees 49 minutes 13 seconds to the left and running southwesterly along said line of Locksley avenue 178.246 feet to the point of beginning.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Locksley avenue shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California, and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost, and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Locksley avenue in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

Adopted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, July 26, 1937.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Reilly, Schmidt.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk.

Approved: San Francisco, July 27, 1937.

Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

And Whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution and the said Department of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 3423, Code No. 12.0621; and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that Locksley avenue as described in Resolution No. 3423, Code No. 12.0621 be closed and abandoned; now therefore be it

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered, that Locksley avenue as specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 3423, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned, and be it

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing Locksley avenue as described in Resolution No. 3423, Code No. 12.0621, shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County



of San Francisco as proposed and provided in Resolution No. 3423, Code No. 12.0621, and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of Locksley avenue as described in Resolution No. 3423, Code No. 12.0621, shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works and that the Department of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer—3.

**Requesting State Officials to Apply for Federal Aid for Elimination of Golden Gate Bridge Bottlenecks; Also Opposing Diversion of Present State Budget Allocations.**

(Code No. 5.31)

Also, Resolution No. 3486, as follows:

Whereas, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors has already joined others in urging the California Highway Commission to eliminate traffic hazards caused by "bottlenecks" in and about San Rafael and north of the Golden Gate Bridgehead; and

Whereas, we are informed that an effort may be made to "borrow" or transfer funds already budgeted to other important State Highway projects in northbay counties, to finance projects in and about San Rafael; and

Whereas, allocations already budgeted to projects should not be disturbed, for the reason that budgeted highway sectors are inadequate, narrow, below standard and dangerous and traffic hazards thereon should be eliminated, as now scheduled in the budget; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors hereby opposes the transfer of State Highway funds now budgeted, for above reasons and because relief of traffic congestions on these northerly highways is of great importance to San Francisco, the Golden Gate Bridge, the Redwood Empire and the motoring public; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco respectfully urges the State Director of Public Works and California Highway Commission to actively and officially negotiate with the Federal Government to obtain special allocation from the \$415,000,000 work relief fund earmarked for highways; also to obtain special dispensation from WPA officials, also, works program funds, under the jurisdiction of the State Highway Commission from the United States Bureau of Public Roads, permitting expenditure of said Federal funds to construct and reconstruct highways in and about San Rafael, also to build the Funston avenue approach in San Francisco in accordance with State Highway standards, as advocated by our Redwood Empire Association; and be it

Further Resolved, That a delegation be appointed to represent the City and County of San Francisco at the Highway Commission hearing on above subjects, in Sacramento on September 3rd; and be it

Further Resolved, That certified copies of this resolution be transmitted to the State Director of Public Works, the chairman and members of the California Highway Commission, the State Highway Engi-

neer, Commissioners Paul Jasper of Fortuna and Ray Judah of Santa Cruz, and the Redwood Empire Association.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer—3.

**City Attorney to Settle Damage Claim of Mary, Agnes and Leo Sullivan.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Resolution No. 3489, as follows:

The City Attorney having recommended the settlement of the claim of Mary F. Sullivan, Agnes Sullivan and Leo Sullivan, amounting to one hundred and fifty-two dollars and 75/100 (\$152.75), for damages done to the premises at No. 613 Howard street, San Francisco, by a riot growing out of the waterfront strike on February 15, 1937. Said claim having been filed in the office of the Controller on the 22nd day of March, 1937. Therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized to settle said claim by the payment of one hundred and fifty-two dollars and 75/100 (\$152.75) to said claimants out of appropriation No. 760.705.01.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer—3.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Harry A. Milton, Member Board of Trustees, War Memorial.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3485, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, Hon. Harry A. Milton, member of the Board of Trustees of the War Memorial, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of three weeks, commencing August 18, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer—3.

**Leave of Absence—Carl L. Maritzen, Member of the Board of Permit Appeals.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3487, as follows:

Resolved, That on the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, that Hon. Carl L. Maritzen, member of the Board of Permit Appeals, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of fifteen (15) days, commencing September 1, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer—3.



### Election of Trustees, Islais Creek Reclamation District, Required by State Law.

(Code No. 12.04)

The following resolution presented by President Shannon was taken up:

Resolution No. 3488, as follows:

Whereas, by the provisions of that act of the Legislature of the State of California, known as the Islais Creek Reclamation District Act, approved April 6, 1925, it is necessary for land holders of said district to hold an election for the election of three trustees of said district on the third Tuesday in October, 1937, to-wit, on Tuesday, October 19, 1937; and

Whereas, certain land holders in said district, on August 21, 1937, filed with the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, within which city and county said Islais Creek Reclamation District wholly lies, their verified petition to said Board requesting it to direct what notice of said election shall be given and requesting it to appoint from the land holders of said district one inspector and two judges of election to constitute a board of election for such district at said election, and requesting it to fix a place for holding such election in or near said district; and

Whereas, said verified petition was signed by twenty per cent of the land owners in the said district holding title or evidence of title to and owning at least twenty per cent in value of the lands in the said district, as the value thereof is determined from the next preceding assessment roll of the City and County of San Francisco, and

Whereas, under the provisions of said act and of Section 3491 of the Political Code of the State of California, it now becomes the duty of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to fix a place for holding such election, to direct what notice of such election shall be given, and to appoint from the land holders of said district one inspector and two judges of election to constitute a board of election for such district at said election; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco that Tuesday, the 19th day of October, 1937, be and the same is hereby fixed as the time, and room No. 363, in the City Hall in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, be and the same is hereby fixed as the place for holding said election, and that Harry Lorentzen, a land holder of said district, be and he is hereby appointed inspector, and Edward Wenzel and Timothy E. Treacy, land holders in said district, be and they are hereby appointed judges of election to constitute a board of election for such district at said election, and that notice of said election be given by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco in substantially the form hereinafter provided by publication once a week for at least one month prior to said 19th day of October, 1937, in the San Francisco News, a newspaper of general circulation published in said City and County of San Francisco and having general circulation in said City and County, and that the notice of said election so to be published as aforesaid be substantially in the following form:

#### "Notice of Election of Trustees of Islais Creek Reclamation District.

"Pursuant to the provisions of an act of the Legislature of the State of California, known as the Islais Creek Reclamation District Act, approved April 6, 1925, and to the provisions of Resolution No. .... of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, passed ....., 1937, notice is hereby given that an election will be held on Tuesday, the 19th day of October, 1937, at room No. 363 in the City Hall in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, being a place near said district, at which three trustees will be elected by the bona fide owners of land in said Islais Creek Reclamation District as the Trustees of said district, to hold office for a term

of four years. At said election each bona fide owner of lands in the district shall be entitled to vote in person or by proxy and shall have the right to cast one vote for each one dollar's worth of real estate owned by him or her in the district, the value thereof to be determined from the next preceding assessment roll of the City and County of San Francisco, and a majority of the votes cast at such election shall elect. The rights of the land holders in said district to vote at said election will be governed by the provisions of Section 3491 of the Political Code of the State of California. The polls at such election will be open from 10 a. m. until 4 p. m., on Tuesday, the 19th day of October, 1937.

"This notice is given by direction of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

"Dated, ....., 1937.

"J. S. DUNNIGAN,

"Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and  
and County of San Francisco."

And, Be It Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to issue to the inspector and two judges of election, appointed by this resolution to constitute the board of election for such district at such election, appropriate certificates of their appointment prior to the time of such election.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer—3.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Education, Parks and Recreation Committee  
was taken up:

## **PARK ORDINANCE.**

(Code No. 23.011.)

Bill No. 1018, Ordinance No. 23.0113.

Regulating the conduct and maintenance of parks; providing for the issuance of permits in parks; regulating traffic in parks; providing a penalty for the violation of this ordinance and repealing all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

### **ARTICLE 1.**

#### **DEFINITIONS.**

- S. 1. Parks defined.
- S. 2. Board of Park Commissioners defined.
- S. 3. Superintendent defined.
- S. 4. Secretary defined.
- S. 5. Person defined.

**SEC. 1. Parks Defined.** When used in this chapter the word "park" shall include all grounds, roadways, avenues, the Great Highway, parks and areas under the control, management, or direction of the Park Commission under the provisions of Section 41 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

**SEC. 2. Board of Park Commissioners Defined.** When used in this chapter the words "Board of Park Commissioners," "Park Commissioners" or "Com-



missioners" refer to the Park Commission of the City and County of San Francisco.

**SEC. 3. Superintendent Defined.** When used in this chapter the word "superintendent" refers to the Superintendent of Parks appointed by the Park Commission pursuant to Section 40 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, unless a different meaning is clear.

**SEC. 4. Secretary Defined.** When used in this chapter the word "secretary" shall mean the Secretary of the Park Commission.

**SEC. 5. Person Defined.** The word "person" when used in this chapter includes persons, associations, partnerships, firms and corporations.

## ARTICLE 2.

### REGULATIONS.

**Sec. 6. Rules of Park Commission to be obeyed.**

**Sec. 7. Signs and notices to be obeyed.**

**Sec. 8. Public may be excluded.**

**Sec. 9. Bridle paths—Use of by pedestrians prohibited.**

**Sec. 10. Riding and driving horses or vehicles.**

**Sec. 11. Performance of labor in parks.**

**Sec. 12. Organized games and flying kites.**

**Sec. 13. Peddling, vending merchandise.**

**SEC. 6. Rules of Park Commission to Be Obeyed.** No person shall disobey or violate any of the rules or regulations of the Park Commission, governing the use and enjoyment by the public of any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, or governing the use and enjoyment of any building, structure, equipment, apparatus or appliances thereon, which rules or regulations, at the time, are posted in some conspicuous place in the park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, or in or near the building, structure, equipment, apparatus or appliance to which the rule or regulation applies.

**SEC. 7. Signs and Notices to Be Obeyed.** No person shall disobey any instruction, sign, or notice posted by the Park Commission in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, or in any building, structure, construction, or erection thereon for the control, management, or direction of such park, square, avenue, grounds, recreation center, building, structure, construction, or erection.

**SEC. 8. Public May Be Excluded.** In an emergency or when the Superintendent of Parks shall determine that the public interest, or public health or public morals, or public safety demands such action, any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, or any part or portion thereof, may be closed against the public, and all persons may be excluded therefrom until such emergency or other reason upon which such determination of the Superintendent of Parks is based has ceased, and upon the cessation thereof the park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center or part or portion thereof so closed shall be again reopened to the public by the Superintendent of Parks or by order of the Park Commission.

**SEC. 9. Bridle Paths—Use of by Pedestrians Prohibited.** No person shall walk upon any bridle path, or upon any drive in Golden Gate Park, except to cross the same.

**SEC. 10. Riding and Driving Horses or Vehicles.** No person shall ride or drive any horse or other animal, or drive or propel any vehicle within a

park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, except upon the roads, driveways, bridle paths, paths, and highways provided and designated by the Park Commission for such use, and must ride or drive such horse or other animal or drive or propel such vehicle in a careful manner, at lawful rate of speed, and in accordance with the rules and regulations made by the Park Commission.

**SEC. 11. Performance of Labor in Parks.** No person shall perform any labor in or upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center such as taking up or replacing soil, turf, ground, or pavement, structure, tree, shrub, plant, grass, flower and the like, except under the supervision and control of the Superintendent of Parks and by virtue of a permit issued by the Park Commission to some person, authorizing such labor.

**SEC. 12. Organized Games and Flying Kites.** No person shall fly a kite or play or participate in any organized game, such as baseball, football, hockey, and the like, in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, except at such places designated for such use by the Park Commission.

**SEC. 13. Peddling, Vending Merchandise.** No person shall bring, or cause to be brought into, for the purposes of sale or barter, or have for sale, or sell or exchange, or offer for sale or exchange any goods, wares, or merchandise, or other thing in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, without first having obtained a permit, concession, license or lease from the Park Commission to do so.

### ARTICLE 3.

#### ADVERTISING.

**Sec. 14. Handbills, circulars—Distribution and posting prohibited.**

**Sec. 15. Advertising vehicles to use transverse roads only.**

**SEC. 14. Handbills, Circulars—Distribution and Posting Prohibited.** It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to distribute, cause to be distributed, or suffer, allow or permit the distribution of any handbill, dodger, circular, booklet, card, pamphlet, sheet, or written or printed notice advertising any commodity, article, merchandise, business, activity, person or thing in or upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, or to post or affix, cause to be posted or affixed, or permit to be posted or affixed on any tree, shrub, plant, fence, building, structure, monument, wall, tablet, apparatus, bridge, post, pole, bench, corral, gate, animal cage, aviary, or other physical object within any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center any handbill, dodger, circular, booklet, card, pamphlet, sheet, or written or printed notice advertising any commodity, article, merchandise, business, person, thing, or activity.

**SEC. 15. Advertising Vehicles to Use Transverse Roads Only.** No vehicle having thereon or attached thereto any exposed advertising matter, name of owner, name of maker of said vehicle, or sign, or notice advertising any commodity, article, merchandise, business, activity, person or thing shall be allowed within any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center; provided, however, that trucks, drays, wagons, motor delivery vehicles, and other vehicles may use (1) the transverse road extending across Golden Gate Park between Lincoln way at Nineteenth avenue and Fulton street at Park Presidio boulevard, and/or Twenty-fifth avenue, and (2) upon Stanyan street between Fell street and Oak street.



ARTICLE 4.  
ANIMALS AND BIRDS.

Sec. 16. Animals at large.

Sec. 17. Animals led or driven.

Sec. 18. Dogs.

Sec. 19. Dogs excluded from Zoological Park, Children's Playgrounds, etc.

Sec. 20. Feeding fish, birds, animals, prohibited.

Sec. 21. Disturbing animals, birds, fish, prohibited.

**SEC. 16. Animals at Large.** No person shall let loose or permit to run at large any horse, mule, ass, cattle, goat, sheep, swine, or other animal, or fowl of any kind, in or upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center.

**SEC. 17. Animals Led or Driven.** No person shall lead, drive, entice, conduct or otherwise bring any horse, mule, ass, cattle, goat, sheep, swine, or other animal or fowl of any kind in or upon any park, square, avenue, ground or recreation center, except horses attached to vehicles being driven by the person in charge or horses being used for riding purposes.

**SEC. 18. Dogs.** No person having in charge a dog shall permit, allow or suffer such dog to enter upon or remain in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, unless said dog is fastened to and restrained by a chain or leash not more than eight feet long.

**SEC. 19. Dogs Excluded from Zoological Park, Children's Playground Etc.** No person having in charge any dog shall permit, allow, or suffer such dog to enter upon or remain within the Zoological Park in the Herbert Fleishhacker Playfield, or to enter or remain within any play area reserved for children, or to enter any sand box or play apparatus, or to drink from the water of any lake or pond in any park, square, avenue, grounds, recreation center, except at such place or places as are maintained and designated by the Park Commission as drinking places for dogs.

**SEC. 20. Feeding Fish, Birds, Animals, Prohibited.** No person shall feed or offer food to any fish, bird, animal or reptile in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center; and no person shall give or offer any tobacco or other noxious substance to any fish, bird, animal or reptile in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center.

**SEC. 21. Disturbing Animals, Birds, Fish, Prohibited.** No person shall hunt, chase, shoot, trap, discharge or throw missiles at, molest, disturb, snare, catch, injure or destroy any animal, bird, fish or reptile in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center.

ARTICLE 5.  
NUISANCES.

Sec. 22. Rubbish to be put into receptacles.

**SEC. 22. Rubbish to Be Put Into Receptacles.** No person shall throw, place, cast, deposit, or dump, or cause to be thrown, placed, cast, deposited, or dumped any ashes, refuse, offal, vegetables, garbage, dross, cinders, shells, straw, shavings, paper, scraps, dirt, dead animal, dead fish, dead fowl, dead bird or dead reptile, glass, crockery, bones, tin cans or like matter, empty box, carton, waste paper, remains of food, newspaper, filth or rubbish of any kind in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, except to place the same in the cans or receptacles provided for such matter.

## ARTICLE 6.

## DISORDERLY CONDUCT.

Sec. 23. Boxing and wrestling contests prohibited.

Sec. 24. Disorderly conduct.

- (a) Profane, indecent, or insulting language.
- (b) Indecent acts and dress.
- (c) Throwing missiles.
- (d) Firearms, discharging, carrying.
- (e) Firecrackers, torpedoes.
- (f) Fires only at places designated.
- (g) Gambling, games of chance.
- (h) Acts tending to breach of the peace.
- (i) Begging, soliciting, etc.
- (j) Fortune telling, palmistry.
- (k) Climbing trees, fences, structures.
- (l) Aircraft landing, etc.

Sec. 25. Intoxicated persons.

Sec. 26. Bathing restrictions.

Sec. 27. Polluting ponds.

Sec. 28. Undressing at beach.

Sec. 29. Minors—parent, guardian.

Sec. 30. Eject offenders.

**SEC. 23. Boxing and Wrestling Contests Prohibited.** No person shall engage in any boxing contest, prize fight, wrestling contest, or promote, or participate in any such contest in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center.

**SEC. 24. Disorderly Conduct.** No person shall, in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center:

- (a) Use profane, indecent, or insulting language;
- (b) Do any obscene or indecent act, or be indecently clad;
- (c) Throw stones or other missiles;
- (d) Fire or carry firearms;
- (e) Fire or carry any firecracker, torpedo or fireworks;
- (f) Make any fire, except at places designated by the Park Commission for such use;
- (g) Play any game of chance, or have, or use, or operate any gaming table, or instrument, or apparatus, or equipment for such use;
- (h) Do any act tending to a breach of the public peace;
- (i) Beg, or solicit contributions, gifts, or subscriptions;
- (j) Tell fortunes, read horoscopes, practice palmistry for compensation;
- (k) Climb upon any wall, fence, shelter, seat, statue, building, structure, construction or erection;
- (l) Bring, land, or cause to descend or alight any aeroplane, airship, flying machine, dirigible, dirigible balloon, balloon, parachute or other instrumentality, or machine, or apparatus for aviation, except by permission of the Park Commission.

**SEC. 25. Intoxicated Persons.** No person who is intoxicated, noisy, disorderly, boisterous, or publicly offensive shall be admitted into or permitted to remain within any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center; and the Superintendent of Parks, manager, keeper, or person in charge shall refuse admittance to any such person and shall eject any such person therefrom.

**SEC. 26. Bathing Restrictions.** No person shall bathe in the waters of any lake, pond, pool, tank or reservoir in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or



recreation center, except at places designated by the Park Commission for such purpose; nor in the waters of the Pacific Ocean bordering the Ocean Beach west of the Great Highway and the Skyline boulevard or north of the northerly line of Fort Funston Military Reservation, or west of the easterly boundary line of Lincoln Park.

**SEC. 27. Polluting Ponds.** No person shall throw or place or cause to be thrown or placed any dirt, filth, or foreign matter into the waters of, or near to the waters of any lake, pond, pool, tank or reservoir in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, and it is unlawful to pollute in any manner the water of any of said lakes, ponds, pools, tanks, or reservoirs.

**SEC. 28. Undressing at Beach.** No person shall undress on the Great Highway or the Skyline boulevard along the Ocean Beach north of the northerly boundary line of Fort Funston Military Reservation and west of the easterly boundary line of Lincoln Park, except at such place or places as have been designated by the Park Commission for such purpose.

**SEC. 29. Minors—Parent, Guardian.** No parent, guardian or custodian of a minor shall permit or allow such minor to do any act or thing, in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, prohibited by the provisions of this chapter.

**SEC. 30. Eject Offenders.** All persons doing any act or thing injurious in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center shall be removed therefrom by the Superintendent of Parks, manager, or keeper or by the police.

## ARTICLE 7.

### MEETINGS AND ASSEMBLIES.

Sec. 31. Holding meetings, discussions.

Sec. 32. Parades, picnics, etc.

Sec. 33. Funeral processions—routes.

**SEC. 31. Holding Meetings, Discussions.** It shall be unlawful to hold any public meeting, or group, or collection, or assemblage of persons, or to hold or engage or participate in any public discussion, or debate, where five (5) or more persons are assembled for that purpose, in or upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, without first having obtained a permit to hold said meeting, or group, or collection, or assemblage from the Park Commission, except at such place or places as shall have been designated by the Park Commission for such use.

**SEC. 32. Parades, Picnics, Etc.** Any lodge, society, social organization, social club, or other organization of persons, having twenty-five (25) or more members, desiring to resort to or use any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center for a parade, picnic, or other similar recreation purpose, shall notify the Superintendent of Parks or the Secretary of the Park Commission of such intended use, and shall obtain a permit therefor from the Park Commission, not less than one day prior to such use.

**SEC. 33. Funeral Processions—Routes.** No funeral procession, hearse, dead wagon, or other vehicle designed for or carrying the body of a deceased person shall enter into or upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, except by (1) the transverse road across Golden Gate Park between Lincoln way at Nineteenth avenue and Fulton street at Park Presidio boulevard, and/or Twenty-fifth avenue, or (2) upon Stanyan street between Fulton street and Oak street.

## ARTICLE 8.

## MALICIOUS MISCHIEF.

Sec. 34. Injuring trees, shrubs, plants.

Sec. 35. Removing trees, plants, turf, etc.

Sec. 36. Bathing apparel and apparatus, not to be removed.

Sec. 37. Defacing buildings, structures, prohibited.

**SEC. 34. Injuring Trees, Shrubs, Plants.** No person shall cut, break, dig up, pull up, pluck or in any manner injure any tree, bush, shrub, flower, or plant growing in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, or in any building or structure within any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center.

**SEC. 35. Removing Trees, Plants, Turf, Etc.** No person shall remove or take away any tree, wood, bush, turf, flower, plant, grass, soil or rock, or anything of like kind from any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center.

**SEC. 36. Bathing Apparel and Apparatus, Not to Be Removed.** No person shall take, carry away or remove from any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center any bathing suit, bathing cap, towel, bathing apparatus, or other personal property provided by the Park Commission for bathing purposes.

**SEC. 37. Defacing Buildings, Structures, Prohibited.** No person shall destroy, mutilate, deface, cut, scratch, mark upon, write upon, print upon, paint upon, or otherwise mar, or mutilate, or deface, or injure any building or structure, rest room, toilet, apartment, or any wall, fence, door, fixture, or any part thereof, or any furniture, equipment, or apparatus therein, within any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center.

## ARTICLE 9.

## PERMITS.

Sec. 38. Yacht Harbor mooring permits.

Sec. 39. Erecting obstruction.

Sec. 40. Building materials.

Sec. 41. Red lanterns at danger points.

Sec. 42. Permits issued by Secretary of Park Commission.

Sec. 43. Ground to be restored to condition.

Sec. 44. Amusements, shows, etc.—permit required.

**SEC. 38. Yacht Harbor Mooring Permits.** Yacht Harbor, at the foot of Cott and Divisadero streets, is hereby reserved as mooring grounds for non-commercial water craft and boats; but no boat or water craft may enter or moor within said Yacht Harbor until a permit for such entry and mooring shall have been obtained from the Park Commission authorizing such entry or mooring. After the required permit shall have been issued by the Park Commission the boat or water craft shall be allowed to enter and use only the mooring space designated in the permit, and shall at all times be subject to and shall obey all rules and regulations made by the Park Commission or the control, management and government of Yacht Harbor.

**SEC. 39. Erecting Obstruction.** No person shall build, construct, erect, put up or maintain any building, structure, fence, wall, monument, dome or other thing in or upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center without first having obtained from the Park Commission a permit to do so, and any



such building, structure, fence, wall, monument, dome or other thing shall not prevent, hinder, or impair the full and free use and enjoyment by the public of such park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center for park purposes.

**SEC. 40. Building Materials.** No person shall place, pile, deposit, or leave any building material or any article or thing whatsoever upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center without first having obtained a permit to do so from the Park Commission.

**SEC. 41. Red Lanterns at Danger Points.** Every person, firm, or corporation by whom, or under whose direction or authority, either as principal, contractor or employer, any part or portion of any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center is made dangerous, must erect and, so long as the danger continues, maintain around the portion of the park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center so made dangerous, a good and substantial barrier, and shall maintain at both ends of such barrier, during every night, from sunset until daylight, lighted red lanterns or road flares sufficient to give warning of said danger.

**SEC. 42. Permits Issued by Secretary of Park Commission.** The Secretary of the Park Commission shall receive all fees, bonds, and deposits from applicants for permits, and, from time to time, shall make report in writing to the Park Commission of the permits issued.

**SEC. 43. Ground to Be Restored to Condition.** Where work has been done in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, under any permit, the permittee shall repair, reconstruct, and put the ground in as good condition in all respects when the work shall have been completed or terminated or such permit shall have expired, as said ground was in when said permit was granted or said work commenced; the condition of the ground, site or place in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center where any work has been done pursuant to any permit, shall be made satisfactory to the Park Commission, and on the completion of said work the permittee shall forthwith remove all buildings, structures, machinery or equipment used in connection with said work from said park.

**SEC. 44. Amusements, Shows, Etc. Permit Required.** No person shall set up or maintain any exhibition, place of amusement, concert hall, moving picture, show, showstand, performance, concert, entertainment or amusement in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center without first having obtained a permit to do so from the Park Commission.

## ARTICLE 10.

### TRAFFIC REGULATIONS AND PENALTY.

- Sec. 45. Trucks, drays, delivery vehicles, prohibited.
- Sec. 46. Soliciting passengers for vehicles prohibited.
- Sec. 47. Pleasure vehicles only in parks.
- Sec. 48. Violation a misdemeanor—penalty.
- Sec. 49. Saving clause.
- Sec. 50. Repeal.

**SEC. 45. Trucks, Drays, Delivery Vehicles, Prohibited.** No dray, truck, auto truck, wagon, motor delivery vehicle, or other vehicle carrying, or used in carrying goods, wares, merchandise, manure, soil, building material, or other article or thing of commerce or trade shall enter into or upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, except by (1) the transverse road across Golden Gate Park between Lincoln way at Nineteenth avenue

and Fulton street at Park Presidio boulevard and/or Twenty-fifth avenue, or (2) upon Stanyan street between Fell street and Oak street. Transportation of garbage into, upon, or within any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center is prohibited.

**SEC. 46. Soliciting Passengers for Vehicles Prohibited.** No person shall solicit or arrange or contract or make any agreement for or establish or maintain any stand or other equipment for procuring custom or passengers for any carriage, coach, motor wagon, automobile, auto bus, auto stage, or other vehicle, let or used for hire, in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center without first having obtained a permit to do so from the Park Commission.

**SEC. 47. Pleasure Vehicles Only in Parks.** No person shall bring or cause to be brought into, or to enter upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, any truck, delivery wagon, automobile delivery truck, garbage truck, or vehicle or conveyance of any kind customarily used for commercial purposes or for advertising, except as provided in this chapter.

**SEC. 48. Violation a Misdemeanor—Penalty.** Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and shall be punished by a fine of not more than five hundred (\$500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

**SEC. 49. Saving Clause.** If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this Ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this Ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this Ordinance, and each section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

**SEC. 50. Repeal.** All Ordinances or parts of Ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer—3.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

### Bond Issue for Extension of Grant Avenue.

(Code No. 12.121)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 3491, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco submit to the electors of the said City and County, at the general municipal election to be held on the 2d day of November, 1937, the following declaration of policy:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco should pass the necessary resolution and should enact the necessary ordinances and should take all necessary proceedings to submit to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco at



the first special or general election to be held in said City and County after the approval of this resolution by the electors, a proposition to issue bonds of the City and County of San Francisco in an amount not to exceed Four Million Seven Hundred Thousand (\$4,700,000) Dollars for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion by the said City and County of a municipal improvement consisting of the establishing, laying out, opening, widening, extending, straightening, construction, improving and altering the streets and public ways and the establishing, modifying and changing the grade thereof; the construction of the necessary pavements, curbs, sidewalks, culverts and other structures and the acquisition of any lands or rights of way or other property necessary for such purposes, including the projection of Grant avenue across Market street to then constitute a new street between Third and Fourth streets from Market to Brannan streets, all designed for the purpose of better accommodating traffic in the City and County of San Francisco; be it

Further Resolved, That the Registrar of the City and County of San Francisco take all necessary proceedings for the purpose of submitting to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco the aforesaid declaration of policy at the general municipal election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 2d day of November, 1937.

#### **Bond Issue for Extension of Fifth Street.**

(Code No. 12.121)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 3492, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco submit to the electors of the said City and County, at the general municipal election to be held on the 2d day of November, 1937, the following declaration of policy:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco should pass the necessary resolution and should enact the necessary ordinances and should take all necessary proceedings to submit to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco at the first special or general election to be held in said City and County after the approval of this resolution by the electors, a proposition to issue bonds of the City and County of San Francisco in an amount not to exceed Two Million (\$2,000,000) Dollars for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion by the said City and County of a municipal improvement consisting of the establishing, laying out, opening, widening, extending, straightening, construction, improving and altering the streets and public ways and the establishing, modifying and changing the grade thereof; the construction of the necessary pavements, curbs, sidewalks, culverts and other structures and the acquisition of any lands or rights of way or other property necessary for such purposes, including the construction of an extension of Fifth street diagonally across Market street to the intersection of Mason and Eddy streets, all designed for the purpose of better accommodating traffic in the City and County of San Francisco; be it

Further Resolved, That the Registrar of the City and County of San Francisco take all necessary proceedings for the purpose of submitting to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco the aforesaid declaration of policy at the general municipal election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 2d day of November, 1937.

#### **Motion.**

Supervisor Schmidt, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved suspension of the rules for immediate consideration of the proposed resolutions.

No objection and so ordered.

### Privilege of the Floor.

Wm. J. Varney, representing Central Council of Civic Clubs, urged that extensions of streets in outlying districts also be put in bond issue. He mentioned particularly Brunswick street and Persia avenue.

Robert P. Troy, representing Third street property owners, was heard in opposition to Grant avenue extension.

Wm. P. Coughlin, representing the Associated General Contractors, was heard on development of Supervisor Uhl's suggestion for diagonal extension of street parallel to Fifth street with an overhead structure from the Bridgehead to Mason and Market streets.

Timothy Healy, attorney representing Market street merchants; Clive Shaffer, City Attorney John J. O'Toole and D. V. Nichol森, representing the Triangle District, were also heard at length on the pending question.

### Adopted.

Whereupon, Supervisor Meyer, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved the adoption of Resolution No. 3491, providing for the extension of Grant avenue and the motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

No—Supervisor Uhl—1.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead—2.

Thereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Meyer's motion for the extension of Fifth street, Resolution No. 3492, and the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

No—Supervisor Uhl—1.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead—2.

### Explanation of Vote.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I wish to explain my vote. I have always felt that where a matter is not shifting a load to the taxpayers' shoulders that I have always been willing, and always will be in the future, to submit such matter to the electorate, especially when it does not involve a bond issue. However, I feel that the people elected me to look after their affairs, and I feel I am taking the same personal interest in those matters as though they were my own. As you know, I have been opposed—Mr. Nicholson says I did vote for Fifth street the first time it came up, but it was not after hearing all the arguments pro and con. I expressed my position about it at that time by bringing in an amendment relative to the extension of Mason street. However, I can't go along with the extension of Fifth street.

The charter makes it very clear that the Board of Supervisors shall, by a two-thirds vote, place these matters on the ballot, and therefore I feel in voting for this matter—if I were to vote for this matter, which I can not—that I would be reversing the rule there as far as the bond issue is concerned, and the mandate upon the Board of Supervisors by that vote of the people.

Other reasons why I do not feel favorable to this are these—one that has not been really brought out in the Board meetings, and that is it was agreed at one time when Mr. Verdier, president of the City of Paris, was speaking, that the retail triangle district would be perfectly willing to pay on an assessment district, and I asked him the direct question if this improvement is a benefit to the merchants, wouldn't it be fair that they pay a portion of that cost, and he said yes. Therefore I can't go along with the extension of Fifth street;



and without talking to the people on Grant avenue, I looked into it very carefully, and checked it personally, and I feel that the congestion, the normal increase on Grant avenue is going to be more than sufficient to strain the arteries in that particular location without adding to them on Grant avenue; and knowing from members of my family that they go off the First street ramp, off the bridge, and into the retail triangular district by that route, which is more accessible, rather than to come off at Fifth street, coming up, having to cross over in to Mason street, and turn right in to the triangle district. That method is just as convenient as the present route, coming up to Sixth and into Taylor, and turning right, and you can make it in the same or less time, and why should we burden our taxpayers with an unnecessary bond issue? I certainly am going to use my prerogative and vote against submission to the people because of the fact that the Charter calls for a two-thirds vote.

**SUPERVISOR COLMAN:** Explaining my vote, I think that the circumstances surrounding this particular matter before us are different from any that I can recall in my experience on the Board. I think it only fair first to point out what appear to me to be certain weaknesses in the proposal; that is, that it lacks the element of conclusiveness. Should a major vote in favor of the submission of the bond issue, which is by no means final as to what their attitude would be in the final result, it is no assurance of success in the bond issue. Further, should it go against the measure possibly the proponents are in somewhat a worse position than by waiting until the matter could be presented. I myself have advocated that it might be better to sit down afterwards in calmness and work it out, another plan, with a consolidation of the various forces on these very important questions. However, the question is before us as to whether we shall ask the people to advise us on this matter. Again I point out the circumstances surrounding it—concerning our position on this question, is a little different. The Board has struggled with this problem for many meetings, and we could not come to an agreement. We failed to get eight votes. It is too bad that that is the fact, but that is the situation. On Grant avenue it may have been my vote that prevented the eighth vote, and possibly my vote for Grant avenue might have brought about the eighth vote for Fifth street. However, in my vote I followed what I thought was a consistent policy. Throughout the whole discussion of the matter I always favored the submission of Fifth street, and always opposed Grant avenue. But now that the impasse is here, and when I am elected to go to the people who elected me for advice, I can not advance one single logical argument as to how I can refuse that request.

To refer to the election for revenue bonds, I might call attention to the fact that Supervisor Uhl, although opposed to the matter, stated he would still vote for the submission of the bonds, and I can't help but quote Supervisor Uhl from the record of Monday, March 29, 1937, on the question of submitting the rapid transit bonds: "Supervisor Uhl: I want to explain my vote. I have taken the position on this Board that I am elected by the people, and that when a representative group desire a matter submitted to the people I am perfectly willing to submit the same, although I am personally opposed to it, as in this instance now, and I want this made a part of the record in our journal."

That was a bond issue, and that has been the policy of Supervisor Uhl up to this particular question, there is no doubt about that. I don't question that. However, any man has a right to change his mind. The difference between this proposal and Mr. Varley's proposal is—I think the Board has considered this proposal carefully, and in all fairness I would say to Mr. Varley, if he brings in a proposal to widen and cut through another street, that I would insist upon reference, that the matter go to the engineer for report as to cost or feasibility, as to just what would be accomplished by it, and a recommendation from him. That having come in, then the matter would

be up to me to decide as I saw fit, but by no stretch of the imagination—and I think it is only fair to state to Mr. Varley—by no stretch are the proposals he has submitted in their present condition comparable to the propositions we have submitted here. Now I hope the matter will go to the people. If we are subject to criticism it is only on one round, that we are unable to solve the matter ourselves, and the people expected us to solve it; and yet under the conditions that arose, cross-currents, cross-purposes, it was quite natural that we could not quite agree. To Mr. Troy—is he here?

MR. TROY: I am listening.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I wanted to state that had you asked me how I stood on the bond issue, I should have told you, and will tell you now, that I am in favor of the rapid transit bonds, strongly, and earnestly, and will not only vote for them myself, but will urge everyone else who may be influenced to do the same.

MR. TROY: Thank you.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I hope this matter may go before the voters, and in that way we can get advice which will guide our future action.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: I would like to explain my vote. As I see the problem before us I can not agree with Supervisor Uhl to some extent. I believe first that whether you favor or do not favor the bond issues, that is one problem. I believe here that a far more important question is before us, as to whether or not you favor letting the people of San Francisco decide problems, or passing upon declarations of policy on problems affecting public matters. I hope the Board will vote in favor of submitting to the people this matter, so as to receive their declaration of policy on this problem, which is a very important public matter.

The freeholders, Supervisor Uhl, of whom you were a member—and I believe Mr. Nanry was also very active and interested in the drawing up of the new Charter—provides the machinery, and provided the necessary routine for six members of the Board presenting to the people for a declaration of policy problems of this kind, when the Board itself cannot necessarily obtain the two-thirds vote necessary to present a bond issue. You were a freeholder, Supervisor Uhl, you sat with the committees, with the other members of the freeholders, and the Charter was drafted, and it was submitted, and I can't see how you can consistently refuse to submit this problem to the people. The very Charter itself gives them that right, that six members of the Board can submit these problems to the public on a declaration of policy—

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask you a question?

SUPERVISOR REILLY: You may.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Doesn't the Charter also provide a two-thirds vote of the Supervisors to place the matter on the ballot?

SUPERVISOR REILLY: It does on bond issues; but the freeholders, in their wisdom, probably realizing that 11 members of the Board might not be able to get together on a two-thirds vote, then decided we will adopt another policy to protect the voters, and allow six members of the Board to ask for a declaration of policy; and that very thing that was inserted by the freeholders, in my opinion, was inserted for the very thing which is now happening, that two-thirds of this Board could not get together. I favored Grant avenue, and I favored Fifth street, to be submitted both on the ballot, but I didn't favor putting one against the other, or leaving one off and putting the other one on; and if you get right down to brass tacks you don't do anything else but submit both of them, and all we have done is delay the procedure. So in the final analysis, if the declaration of policy is favorable, all we have done is delay them two years, because I believe it must be ratified by the Legislature—the proposal of submitting a bond issue—I may be in error there. I believe, however, the submission of a declaration of policy is a vital problem affecting a legislative body of this kind. After all, where have the people to go?—Where can they go? How can



they declare a policy on a public issue if we don't submit it to them? You as one member of the Board—and I respect your viewpoint highly—I have heard you time and time again say to the people, and on the platform, that when you found the Board would not agree on certain problems you believed in coming right out before the people of San Francisco and asking them to declare a policy which would guide the members of the Board, and I respected you for that; and I believe that today this is a record vote, not in favor or against the two problems confronting us, but it is a record vote, when we declare ourselves now as to whether in the future we believe that the people have a right to declare themselves as to policy on public matters. It is very vital to me, not because I favor the two propositions here—the issues are entirely separate now. We have delayed the bond issue any way, because if the declaration of policy is favorable it is still delayed for another year or more; so that is apart from the problem before us now. However, here a group of citizens have come in before us, and asked us to allow the people to declare a public policy on these two vital proposals, and you as a freeholder, Supervisor Uhl, and I trust my word may have some weight in your vote today—you as a freeholder so drafted, so constructed, and so studied a Charter to provide that when the members of the Board could not agree, that six of them could ask the public to decide a declaration of policy. That is very vital to me as a young man in the Board of Supervisors. That is very vital to me in the legislative field, because I sincerely believe that any time this Board so stagnates itself—and I may be responsible for it—but when we find we can not get together, the only democratic, the only sensible and fair proposition is to present it to the people and let them declare a policy. And once a majority declares a policy, once they tell us what to do, I believe we should follow their dictates.

I, too, will present myself before the voters for reelection. I know that I have been criticized, but I care not for criticism, Supervisors, members—I will stand on my two feet, I will take my stand, and I care not who likes it, and I care not who dislikes it, because if the people don't want me it is up to them, and I will bow to the will of the voters of San Francisco graciously, regardless of how the outcome might be; and whatever they say, when that policy is declared, that is also a policy as to where they stand electing members to this Board. And I say the question is not favoring or disfavoring the bond issues, it is a question of principle, a question of practical government—it is a question of a legislative body asking for a declaration of policy, and I believe that this Board should unanimously allow the people to decide what they want, at the next election.

#### **Building of Auxiliary Naval Vessels in Pacific Coast Yards.**

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 3490, as follows:

Whereas, the Navy Department has been authorized to build six auxiliary vessels at a cost not to exceed \$50,000,000, fifty per cent to be built in navy yards and fifty per cent to be built in private yards; and

Whereas, it is reported that eastern navy yards are now occupied to their full capacity and Pacific Coast yards are reserved for maintenance and fleet repair purposes; and

Whereas, Pacific Coast private yards are idle and are capable of building any of the auxiliaries under consideration; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco go on record favoring a communication embodying these circumstances, be addressed to the Honorable Secretary of the Navy and that he be requested to allocate the building of one or more of

these auxiliaries to private Pacific Coast yards, providing that such Pacific Coast yards or any one of them shall be the lowest bidder under the terms of the Act authorizing such construction.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead—2.

### **Streets Committee to Prepare Legislation for One-Way Streets.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 3493, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the report of Miller McClintock, Traffic Consultant, that Pine street be made a one-way vehicular traffic eastbound street from Presidio avenue to Mason street, and that Bush street be made a one-way street for vehicular traffic westbound from Mason street to Presidio avenue.

Also, That Turk street be made a one-way street for eastbound vehicular traffic from St. Joseph avenue to Jones street, and that Eddy street be made a one-way street from Jones street to St. Joseph avenue for westbound vehicular traffic.

These streets also to be lane-marked and provided with progressive signal control.

The Streets Committee is authorized and directed to have prepared the necessary legislation to have this recommendation made effective.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

### **Approving Map of Montclair Terrace; Accepting Certain Streets Therein and Approving and Accepting Bond for Taxes in Connection Therewith.**

(Code No. 12.0841)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 3494, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain map entitled "Map of Montclair terrace, San Francisco, California, composed of three sheets", be and is hereby approved and adopted as the official map of Montclair terrace, San Francisco, California, and the portion of land designated thereon as Montclair terrace is hereby accepted on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco and declared to be an open public street to be known as Montclair terrace, and the portion of land designated thereon as "Lane" is hereby accepted on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco and declared to be a lane dedicated to public use; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain deed dated the 19th day of August, 1937, from the San Francisco Bank, to those parcels of land comprising the aforementioned street and lane as delineated upon said map; and be it

Further Resolved, That that certain bond in the sum of \$1,000, executed the 19th day of August, 1937, between the San Francisco Bank as principal, and C. L. Smith and W. A. Scheffauer as sureties, running to the City and County of San Francisco, conditioned for the payment of all taxes or special assessments collected as taxes which are at the time of filing said map, a lien against the land or any part thereof as shown upon said map but not yet payable, be and is hereby approved and accepted, the said sum of \$1,000 being an estimate by the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco of the amount



of taxes which are a lien upon the property described in said map, and which are not yet payable.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead—2.

**Leave of Absence—Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.**

(Code No. 4.053)

On recommendation of the Mayor:

Resolution No. 3495, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of two weeks, commencing August 20, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead—2.

**Leave of Absence—Dr. Howard M. McKinley, Member of the  
Civil Service Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

On recommendation of the Mayor:

Resolution No. 3496, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Dr. Howard M. McKinley, member of the Civil Service Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period, September 17th to October 5th, inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead—2.

**Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee to Celebrate Inauguration  
of T. W. A. Airplane Service from San Francisco to the East.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 3497, as follows:

Whereas, the TWA is about to inaugurate a schedule of passenger planes between San Francisco and the east; and

Whereas, this means a great deal to the future of San Francisco; therefore,

Resolved, That his Honor, the Mayor, be respectfully requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to celebrate the initiation of this service on or about September 5, 1937.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead—2.

**Submitting to Electors Proposed Amendment to Garbage  
Ordinance Respecting Rates for Collection.**

(Code No. 17.08)

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 3498, as follows:

Resolved, That at the municipal election of the City and County of San Francisco to be held on November 2, 1937, there be submitted to the electors an amendment to the ordinance providing for the collection and disposition of refuse in the City and County of San Francisco: providing for the licensing of refuse collectors by the Director of Public Health; fixing the maximum rates or charges for the collection of refuse by licensed refuse collectors from homes, apartment houses, stores, etc.; dividing the City and County of San Francisco into collection routes; providing penalties for the violation of the provisions of said ordinance and providing for the repeal of the initiative ordinance passed by the people of the City and County of San Francisco on June 14, 1927, approved, passed and adopted by the people of the City and County of San Francisco at the general election held on November 8, 1932; by adding to section 7 of said ordinance a provision making it unlawful for any refuse collector, either directly or indirectly, by granting rebates, discounts, allowances, gifts or free services, or other thing or consideration of value, to discriminate in rates between different users or customers of refuse service and also making it unlawful for any person to knowingly solicit, receive or accept the benefit of such discrimination on behalf of himself or any other person.

Bill No. ———, Ordinance No. ———, as follows:

Providing for an amendment to the ordinance providing for the collection and disposition of refuse in the City and County of San Francisco, for the licensing of refuse collectors, fixing the maximum rates or charges for the collection of such refuse, dividing the City and County of San Francisco into collection routes and providing penalties for the violation of the provisions of said ordinance and repealing the initiative ordinance passed by the people of the City and County of San Francisco on June 14, 1927, passed by the people of the City and County of San Francisco at the general election held on November 8, 1932, by adding to section 7 of said ordinance a provision making it unlawful for any refuse collector, either directly or indirectly, by granting rebates, discounts, allowances, gifts or free services, or other thing or consideration of value, to discriminate in rates between different users or customers of refuse service and also making it unlawful for any person to knowingly solicit, receive or accept the benefit of such discrimination on behalf of himself or any other person.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 7 of the above described ordinance passed by the people of the City and County of San Francisco at the general election held on November 8, 1932, relating to the collection and disposition of refuse in said City and County of San Francisco, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 7. It shall be unlawful for any refuse collector to charge a greater rate for the collection and disposition of refuse than that fixed in Section 6 of this ordinance.

Nothing herein contained shall be taken or construed as preventing a refuse collector from charging a less rate or charge for the collection of refuse than that fixed in Section 6 of this ordinance; provided, however, that it shall be unlawful for any refuse collector, either directly or indirectly, by granting rebates, discounts, allowances, gifts or free services, or other thing or consideration of value, to discriminate in rates or charges between different users or customers of refuse service,



or organizations or associations of users or customers thereof, entitled to like rates, terms or charges under the provisions of this ordinance and regardless of whether classified or unclassified under the provisions thereof.

It shall also be unlawful for any person to knowingly solicit, receive, or accept the benefit of, such discrimination on behalf of himself or any other person, firm, company, corporation, organization or association.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee meeting Thursday, August 26, 1937, at 4 p. m.*

#### Mayor Veto.

The following resolution, heretofore *adopted* and returned by the Mayor without his approval, was taken up:

#### Mayor Requested to Appoint "Hospitality Committee."

August 19, 1937.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Gentlemen: As long as the Mayor's office has been in existence and on occasions as important as those now confronting and approaching our City, the Mayor has represented San Francisco as its official host.

At this time I see no particular reason for departing from this time-honored custom, and therefore, I am returning to your Honorable Board, your Resolution No. 3479, Code No. 5.93, with my disapproval endorsed thereon.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

(Code No. 5.93)

Resolution No. 3479, as follows:

Whereas, since the completion of the great bay bridges, San Francisco has been visited by many prominent and distinguished personages from all parts of the world and an ever-increasing number of such visitors may be expected as the Golden Gate International Exposition nears completion; and

Whereas, San Francisco's reputation as "The City That Knows How" is at stake unless something is done officially to give recognition to our visitors and to point out to them the attractions of our City; therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be authorized and requested to appoint a suitable "Hospitality Committee," whose duty it shall be to greet these visitors to San Francisco and inform them of its advantages as a place of business, of its delight as a place of recreation and of its manifold attractions as a place of residence, to the end that they might know with what good reason San Francisco is known as "The City Loved Around The World."

#### Veto Sustained.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the action of the Mayor, in disapproving Resolution No. 3479 (Mayor to appoint "Hospitality Committee") be confirmed by the Board.

*Motion carried.*

#### Report Requested on Result of Non-Parking on Market Street.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that Captain Goff, Director Worden, Ralph Wiley, E. G. Cahill, and the City Engineer, be present at the Board meeting, August 30, 1937, and report to the Board the true condition as to the effect of recent "no parking" regulations on Market street.

*Motion carried.*

**Castro Divisional Highway.**

Supervisor McSheehy requested that the matter of Castro-Divisional Highway be presented to the Board at the next meeting, for the Board's consideration.

Motion *carried*.

**Summary of Assessment Roll Submitted by State Board of Equalization.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

August 19, 1937.

Mr. John S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Dear Sir: For your information there is attached hereto a summary of the Assessment Roll submitted by the State Board of Equalization.

You will note that there is an increase of \$2,075,510 in property subject to local tax levy.

Yours very truly,

HAROLD J. BOYD, Controller.

**CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,  
OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER.**

*Comparative Statement of Property Assessed by the State Board of  
Equalization for the Fiscal Years Indicated.*

	1936-37	1937-38	Increase	Decrease
Land .....	\$ 17,673,140	\$ 17,441,550	.....	\$ 231,590
Buildings .....	22,620,170	41,501,170	\$ 18,881,000	.....
Tangible Personal Property .....	47,647,260	31,073,360	.....	16,573,900
Total Tangible Property .....	87,940,570	90,016,080	18,881,000	16,805,490
Solvent Credits ..	38,772,180	35,886,580	.....	2,885,600
Total Operative Roll .....	\$126,712,750	\$125,902,660	\$ 18,881,000	\$ 19,691,090

**Redwood Empire Exposition Commission.**

The following was presented by Supervisor Shannon and ratified by the Board:

August 18, 1937.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco,  
City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Dear Friends: We of the Northbay are desirous that the City and County of San Francisco participate officially with the Northbay Counties Boards of Supervisors in the Redwood Empire Exposition Commission.

This Commission is an incorporated organization separate and apart from the Redwood Empire Association and is concerned strictly with participation in the Golden Gate International Exposition in 1939.

There now exists an even greater unanimity between San Francisco and Northbay Counties—cemented by completion of the Golden Gate Bridge—which more closely intensifies relations and destinies of the nine Redwood Empire Counties.

It is, therefore, respectfully suggested that San Francisco be officially represented on the Redwood Empire Exposition Commission by the President of the Board of Supervisors and Chief Administrative Officer of the City and County of San Francisco, thus insuring repre-



sensation from both the legislative and administrative branches of the city and county government.

Assuring you of the cooperation of your Northbay neighbors, thanking you for your interest and expressing compliments, regards and greetings, I am

Sincerely yours,

J. P. KELLY, President.

**Communication from Works Progress Administration Re Relief of Traffic Congestion Caused by Opening of Golden Gate Bridge.**

The following was read and ordered *filed*:

August 6, 1937.

Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, Room 235, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Dear Mr. Dunnigan: I wish to acknowledge receipt of your recent communication with reference to the need of additional highway work in connection with the increased traffic which has been produced by the opening of the Golden Gate Bridge.

The Works Progress Administration is very much interested in developing additional projects in localities where relief labor is available and is ready at any time to consider project proposals which will meet the requirements and limitations of the present program. The principal limitations applying to construction projects are as follows:

(a) Proposals must be initiated by a public agency legally empowered to undertake the work proposed.

(b) The Works Progress Administration is unable to furnish funds for the purchase of materials or the rental of equipment in any substantial amount.

(c) At least 95 per cent of the workers employed on a project must be certified as to need by the State Relief Administration.

(d) The work must be performed on a force account basis and not by contract.

Your reference to the \$415,000,000.00 for highway purposes mentioned in the recent Relief Act is noted. The wording of the Act in this connection is as follows:

"Provided that the appropriation shall be available for the following classes of public projects, Federal and Non-Federal, and the amounts to be used for each class shall not, except as hereinafter provided, exceed the respective amount stated, namely: (a) Highways, Roads and Streets, \$415,000,000.00;"

The exception referred to in the above clause is a subsequent provision that the President is empowered to make certain adjustments as between the amounts specified for each class of project.

The wording of the new Act is very similar to the wording of the Emergency Relief Appropriation Act of 1936 which specified a similar limitation of \$413,250,000.00 for highway, road and street projects. The limitation so specified merely controls the total amount which may be expended during the fiscal year for projects classified under the general category of "Highways, Roads and Streets." As far as we have been advised, there is no provision which would permit the use of any part of this fund for any purpose except the prosecution of work projects conducted as a part of our general program.

Referring to the list of possible projects contained in your communication, it would appear that certain units which are located where substantial numbers of relief workers reside might possibly be undertaken as a part of our program in the usual manner, if necessary sponsorship and sponsors' contributions could be obtained. This office will be glad to offer all possible assistance in helping to solve the serious traffic problem now confronting the North Bay Region, and

will be ready at any time to offer suggestions and advice with reference to specific proposals which may be offered.

Yours very truly,

RALPH G. WADSWORTH,  
Deputy Administrator.

**Communication from Market Street Railway Company Re Result  
of Downtown Parking Ordinance.**

The following was read and ordered *filed*:

August 23, 1937.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of  
San Francisco, City Hall, Civic Center, San Francisco.

Dear Sirs: The average time of our street cars on Market street between the Ferry building and Ninth street during the evening peak has been substantially reduced since the ban on automobile parking went into effect. The time consumed by such a trip at present when compared with the time before the ban went into effect indicates an average saving of 3.6 minutes or 16 per cent.

This saving of time is accomplished by the ban on automobile parking in Market and other streets. The saving of time to the street car rider is surprising and we are certain that even better results will be accomplished when the automobilists who use the streets become more accustomed to the new conditions.

The above holds true without giving any consideration to better signal light control. When this important factor is better regulated to the needs of traffic we are certain that further savings will, to a surprising degree, be accomplished.

Since there is a possibility that you will give this matter some attention at this afternoon's session we thought you should have the above information before you.

Respectfully submitted,

SAMUEL KAHN, President.

**Arguments Authorized for Bond Issues.**

Supervisor Colman moved the Board authorize the printing and inclusion with the ballots, of arguments in favor of bond issues ordered submitted to the people. (To be taken up with Finance Committee and the Controller.)

Motion *carried*.

**In Memoriam—Jas. Rolph, Jr.**

PRESIDENT SHANNON: Under my name, members of the Board, I would like to move that when we adjourn today we do so out of respect to the memory of our late Mayor and Governor, James Rolph, Junior.

Had he lived, today, August 23rd, would have been his sixty-eighth birthday. We all remember him so fondly, and yet it shows to all of us how soon after we pass out of this life we are forgotten. I noticed in the paper this morning that the American Legion members remembered his birthday with a suitable activity yesterday; but I have often remarked how seldom his name is mentioned, and yet we all realize how much he did for the City and County of San Francisco during his lifetime; how well he conducted his office; how he fully saw to the completion of this structure in a little over a year's time—and in everything he did, he had nothing but the praise of the people of San Francisco, and particularly of the press of San Francisco. He was a lovable character, and his equal has never been found, or ever will be found, in American politics.

And I ask that the Board signify by a rising vote at this time that when we adjourn today we do so out of respect to the memory of Ex-Mayor and Ex-Governor James Rolph, Junior.

(*Unanimous rising vote.*)



**Meeting Announcements.**

Judiciary Committee, August 27, 4 p. m.

Health Committee, August 31, 10 a. m.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board, at 5:15 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 30, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

**Vol. 32**

**No. 35**

**Monday, August 30, 1937**

# **Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors**

**City and County of San Francisco**



**The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.**





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, AUGUST 30, 1937, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 30, 1937,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:  
Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly,  
Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of August 23, 1937, was  
considered read and approved.

### Hearing of Protests—Assessment for Sewers and Improvement of Conkling Street and Silver Avenue.

Hearing of protests against assessment for the improvement of  
Conkling street northerly from Silver avenue and of Silver avenue  
between Scotia and Layton streets by G. J. Treacy, described in  
Resolution of Intention of Board of Public Works No. 5202.

*Protest filed August 28, 1937, by Mrs. Edmund O'Connell, 41 Conkling  
Street.*

City Engineer Casey and Edw. O'Connell, protesting property owner,  
were heard.

### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the foregoing mat-  
ter was *continued one week, City Engineer to report.*

### Discussion re Golden Gate Bridge Fiesta Deficit.

On motion of Supervisor Brown, the following discussion was made  
part of the record:

THE CLERK: Number one on the Calendar, San Francisco Tax  
Rate Ordinance.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Dunnigan, will you kindly read this  
amendment drawn by Mr. O'Toole?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Mr. Chairman, I would like to offer an  
amendment to the Tax Rate Ordinance as it appears on the Calendar.  
The third from the last item under Subparagraph B of Section 1,  
the first section, which reads: "For special tax levied for publicity  
and advertising pursuant to the Political Code of the State, the rate of  
.027561,"—I desire to change the figure to read ".033561," or an in-  
crease of .006. If I receive a second, I shall be glad to give my reasons.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I will second the motion, to bring out  
the reasons.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: This increases the tax levy for the special  
fund for publicity and advertising purposes, the fund administered



by the Chief Administrative Officer of the City and County, by .006, and it will provide, roughly, about \$60,000, more or less, additional to the fund as it now stands. The purpose of the increase is to make available for publicity and advertising expenses during the current fiscal year this additional sum, if these funds are made available, and if they are expended for publicity and advertising purposes, in reimbursing the Chamber of Commerce for expenditures which the Chamber of Commerce will incur during the current fiscal year, and the Chamber of Commerce proposes to absorb the deficit of the Golden Gate Bridge Fiesta Committee—

SUPERVISOR UHL (interrupting): May I interrupt just long enough to make a suggestion, so that we won't have to go over this twice? I should like to have Mr. Cleary sent for.

THE CLERK: Mr. Cleary is on his vacation.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Then send for Mr. Toomey.

THE PRESIDENT: Very well, send for Mr. Toomey. Proceed, Supervisor Brown.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I think it is only fair that the Board should be advised as to the reason for the existence of a deficit in the Golden Gate Bridge Fiesta Committee Fund.

First, I should like to bring to your attention the fact that the Fiesta was started as the result of the action of this Board, by a resolution being introduced here asking the Mayor to appoint a committee for the purpose of holding the Fiesta. In due course, the Mayor did make such appointment of a committee to hold the Fiesta. The fiesta committee suggested a program comprised of a very ambitious series of events, commensurate, they thought, with the importance of the opening of the Golden Gate Bridge to San Francisco. The budget for these events was very carefully studied and very carefully laid out. In the midst of the fiesta committee's plans, the hotel strike, with which you are all familiar, took place. The result of that hotel strike on the fiesta budget was tragic. The fiesta committee held a meeting to consider whether or not they should continue with the fiesta or whether they should abandon the idea, and it was concurred in by all that it would be unthinkable to abandon the fiesta for any such reason, and that the City and County of San Francisco should be well able to put on the proper celebration, strike or no strike.

At that time they had a meeting, held here at the City Hall, and the budget was very carefully gone over again, and some \$8,000 pruned off the budget in an effort to keep it within the funds available. The resulting budget, as reduced, was adhered to by the fiesta committee, the fiesta committee did not squander the funds unwisely, the committee adhered strictly to the reduced budget as laid out.

There were three sources, three principal sources which contributed to the difficulties of the fiesta committee. The first of these was entirely unlooked for, there had been certain work proposed, such as the building of the grandstands, the stages, and electric work which it was thought should be undertaken by the W. P. A. project, and was so undertaken. In the course of this work it became evident that the necessary manpower was not available in the W. P. A. to perform the necessary labor, it was found that we could not get sufficient carpenters, electricians, painters, plumbers, all those different crafts, that they were not represented on W. P. A. work in sufficient numbers and the fiesta committee was faced with an expenditure of \$15,000 for that type of work which it had not looked for. However, the real important difficulty came about during the strike situation. In the first place, we had to cancel 20 to 25 thousand dollars in pledged subscriptions. I won't detail the various pledges, but that information is available to anyone desiring it. Some of them had very good reason for cancelling their pledges, but there were others who were not so sympathetic, but nevertheless we cancelled them. Finally, and most important, the ticket sales for the fiesta were handled by identically the same committee that handled the ticket sales for the Bay Bridge

Fiesta, with the same man in charge and the same people employed and working under this man. There were seven events for the Golden Gate Bridge Fiesta for which admissions were charged; there were two of them for the Bay Bridge, for which admission was charged. The Golden Gate Bridge Fiesta sales totaled \$6,000 less than the sales for the Bay Bridge celebration. The man who undertook this work was an expert, he had had many successes in San Francisco, and the difficulty arose solely and primarily from the fact that the people who ordinarily come to San Francisco for these events and who are able to spend money for such events, did not materialize. That fact can not be better shown than by the figures of the cancellations, the records show that there were 41,000 cancellations of hotel reservations made prior to the fiesta, and not taken up—

SUPERVISOR UHL (interrupting): May I ask right there, how much prior to the Fiesta?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Well, that was during a period of several months prior to the Fiesta, the cancellations started coming in with the commencement of the hotel strike, and in addition to that there was a corollary loss from the loss of the ticket sales due to the fact that we had relied on the returns from the sale of concessions, returns for parking, food and so forth. Those results were most disappointing, compared to what we had every reason to expect, and compared to what the concessionnaires expected.

I don't think that I need to touch on the results accomplished by the Fiesta Committee in the face of all of these handicaps, because the press at the time was kind enough to pass on it most favorably. Suffice it to say that we have, on the advice of the California Automobile Association, a statement to the effect that there are now in San Francisco 60,000 odd more out of town automobile licenses than ever before. The bridges are the magnets which are bringing this about, bringing these people to San Francisco, and the result of the expenditure of these sums of money is apparent in view of that fact. Besides that, the fame of the Golden Gate Bridge has been spread all over the country, I have seen photographs and read stories galore from all over the country; in fact, there was recently in the New York Times, one of our largest papers, several fine illustrations of the Golden Gate Bridge in the rotogravure section. The Fiesta was, in the opinion of one writer, who expressed it to us, he said San Francisco would have been justified in spending \$100,000 to get the results that were obtained by the Fiesta.

Now because of the fact the Fiesta Committee was created by the City and County of San Francisco for a quasi official purpose, and because of the fact the people of the City and County of San Francisco were well pleased with the results obtained, they now feel that the only right and just thing for the city to do is to absorb this deficit.

I would like to read three short clippings from the San Francisco Press. This one, from the San Francisco Examiner (Saturday, August 28th): (Reading.)

"The City's Just Debt. San Francisco's Golden Gate Bridge Fiesta was a tremendous success, and gave everyone in the city a fine time.

"But it was not a financial achievement. Owing to the hotel strike pending at the time, the expected out of town throngs of visitors did not materialize, grandstand seats were not sold in the number anticipated, and there is a deficit of \$60,000 left from the great show.

"The city should meet that debt, and a way has been found to do that. Supervisor Arthur M. Brown, Junior, has a resolution before the Board of Supervisors adding six-tenths of a cent to the tax rate for the city's advertising and publicity fund, the money raised by this levy to go toward paying off that deficit.

"No matter how much citizens may hate to raise tax money, this debt is a moral obligation on the city which profited both in civic



prestige and in individual enjoyment of the people, and it is a debt which should be paid by this convenient and legal method."

This one is from the San Francisco Call of today:

"The Fiesta Deficit. The problem is one that concerns official and private citizens alike. San Francisco has contracted an obligation, due to conditions over which it had no control, that must be paid. It is known that the supervisors, as a body, are sympathetic to this problem, and they will have done the community a great service if it can be solved."

Now this one is from the San Francisco Chronicle of this morning:

"Pay it off. A legal way seems to have been found by which the city can pay off that troublesome Golden Gate Bridge Fiesta deficit. By all means, this should be done, and as promptly as possible. We sincerely hope the supervisors adopt this plan today.

"The city may not be legally responsible for this debt. But it is an unquestioned moral obligation. The Bridge Fiesta was a community affair. The labor and material still unpaid was furnished in good faith. It is entitled to its money. By paying off this debt the city will only be upholding the credit and reputation of San Francisco.

"This unfortunate deficit was due to conditions over which the Fiesta managers had only partial control. The hotel strike was the cause. This warfare resulted in cancelled subscriptions and a lowered attendance. The Fiesta Committee was caught. The money pledged to it had been committed before the cancellations fell in.

"Under these circumstances it is only right and just that the city should step in and pay off the debt. The problem at first was to find a legal method to make the appropriation. The Supervisors' Finance Committee has now worked out the way. It is to increase the city's budget item for advertising and promotion by the amount needed, include that amount in the city's annual contribution to the Chamber of Commerce and let that organization pay off the Fiesta shortage. Roundabout, but it seems to be legal.

"We hope it is legal and that the Supervisors can and will today authorize paying off this debt, which is a blot on the city as long as it stands."

Now it is unthinkable that the obligation, moral though it may be, should remain unpaid. We have used every means possible to develop funds for the meeting of this deficit. We went to Washington and attempted to get a coin bill through the Congress. The coin bill did pass the House and from there went to the Senate Committee, but unfortunately met a block there on account of the debate on the court bill. However, there is still a remote possibility when the special session for October of this year is called, that we can get that coin bill passed, but it is only a remote possibility. In the meantime, all of these creditors are entitled to every consideration and should be reimbursed, and the one and only place it appears that we can get it is through the Finance Committee, who have considered it favorably, and we hope that the local press will be inclined to go along with this increase, which, though it is a considerable fraction of a cent, is, to my way of thinking, the most practical manner of meeting the situation, because most of the credit which the city has received locally and throughout the nation has been through the manner in which the opening of these great bridges was handled.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. O'Toole.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Present.

\* \* \* \* \*

SUPERVISOR UHL: Mr. City Attorney, through the Chair—Mr. President—Supervisor Brown made the statement just now this is an obligation of the City and County of San Francisco, the deficit of the Fiesta Committee, and I should like to ask your opinion on that, legal opinion, whether the expenditures and financial obligations

of the Citizens' Relief Committee is an obligation of the City and County of San Francisco.

THE PRESIDENT: You mean the fiesta committee?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Yes, the fiesta committee.

MR. O'TOOLE: Now you have got to distinguish between your obligations; they are of two kinds, moral and legal; it is not a legal obligation.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I don't think that is fair, Mr. O'Toole. I said it was a moral obligation of the city.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I didn't hear you, Mr. O'Toole.

MR. O'TOOLE: I say, there are two kinds of obligations, one moral and the other legal; and the obligations of the fiesta committee, while they might be a moral obligation they are not a legal obligation on the city.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Brown, may I ask, I haven't been conversant, except by the newspapers, and I see in the papers you are asking for \$60,000. Of course, this amount in the tax rate would raise this \$60,000. Shortly after the Fiesta was over you said there was apt to be about a \$40,000 deficit, and you also stated that the Golden Gate Bridge District gave the committee \$20,000, and now you say that there is a deficit of \$60,000, 60 and 20 would be 80, and how do you account for that discrepancy between the 40,000 and the 80,000?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Well, at the time I made the statement that seemed to be the deficit, but after that time other expenditures developed that had not been reported to the committee. It may seem like a considerable difference, but the facts are as I have stated. The affairs of the fiesta committee were so ramified, there were so many different things undertaken that it was impossible to get a final accounting from the various activities until some time after the fiesta was over.

One example lay in the printing of the programs. It was anticipated that the program sales would be sufficient to pay for the programs and leave something over, and a final analysis showed that there was no money available from that source.

THE PRESIDENT: What was the cost of the expenses of the fiesta?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: About \$220,000.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask this question, through the Chair—one question brings up another—Supervisor Brown, as I understood your statement just now to the President, it is that other people went out and created obligations which were not in the original budget set up, is that correct?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: No, the budget set up was adhered to. We had hoped to be able to save a very considerable amount in the final budget set up, but conditions made it impossible.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Then there were no obligations incurred that were not in the original budget set up?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: There are some obligations I am dubious about, one or two cases I think there will be an argument about, but they are not important.

SUPERVISOR UHL: When it became known that the hotel strike was on what means were taken then to economize in order to avoid debt?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Well, for example, the question of decoration of the city. Now the total budget for decorating, as originally set up, was \$100,000. The decorations were finally cut down to around \$50,000, and when I say decorations I mean all of the decorations down town, and so forth, and that was a saving there of \$50,000.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I would like to ask a question, through the Chair, of Mr. Toomey: Mr. Toomey, I presume this matter was handled in the same way that the Fourth of July Committee, of which I was the chairman, was handled?

MR. TOOMEY: Exactly the same.



SUPERVISOR UHL: Would you kindly advise what your approval was, what was allocated?

MR. TOOMEY: The sum of \$10,000 was allocated to the Golden Gate Bridge Fiesta Committee, with a small supplemental appropriation to take care of some incidental expenses, which would be less than \$250 in addition thereto.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Would you tell us, what were you told at the time the total expenditures would be?

MR. TOOMEY: I don't recall. And Mr. Cleary is away, and I haven't any recollection of any statement being submitted to the Chief Administrative Officer on that subject.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Whatever amount Mr. Cleary pledged, however, and the only amount pledged from publicity and advertising was \$250, and that was paid?

MR. TOOMEY: That is correct.

THE PRESIDENT: If this is passed by the Board is it liable in any way to affect the validity of the tax rate?

MR. O'TOOLE: I think possibly we had better go into this now, and while there is a formal opinion on the typewriter, but I don't believe it will be ready for this afternoon. It may be.

When the finance committee adjourned on Friday, they put up to me: first, the proposition as to whether or not they could increase the allotment under section 4041 of the Political Code, which provides for a tax levy not to exceed 4 cents. Now that is the only question submitted to me. What they were going to do with the money if they got it, and, next, could they include \$40,000 for supplemental aid for widows and orphans heretofore carried by the Community Chest, which I understand was in the budget in the sum of \$50,000, and either by the Board or the Mayor of the City and County from the budget. Next was, what would be the right of the Board to provide an additional amount in the budget to meet the mandates of the state law, such as the increase for old age pension, pension for the blind, increased age limit for minors, and the additional burden which has been put upon us by reason of the formation of the new Welfare Commission, which will deal with indigent relief. In other words, it comes down to the old question—which we fought out at Sacramento—what are employables and what are non-employables. So there are three questions, the power of the Board to increase the tax rate for amounts which are not mandatory but discretionary with the Board, and as to whether they could provide for amounts to meet the mandates of the laws passed by the last legislature.

Now I have given that matter my very careful consideration, and while I think that possibly this opinion will be available before the meeting is over, I think I can give you the gist of the whole opinion. Now our budget procedure, as provided for in the Charter, is very similar to the budget procedure provided for under Section 3714 of the Political Code. As a matter of fact, when you get right down to it, the only difference is that the State budget procedure says that you shall not exceed a certain amount provided for in the budget, and our Charter says that it must equal.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Must what?

MR. O'TOOLE: Must equal it, Supervisor; that is the only difference. Now the Supreme Court, in the case of Ryan versus Byram (4 California 2d 596) decided this matter on the 18th of November, 1935, and in this case they considered the right of the Board of Supervisors, in levying their annual tax rate, to provide for expenditures beyond the amount provided for in the budget. I am going into this now, and while you should have had the opinion the request came too late, but here is the opinion of the court—just reading from the syllabus, referring to Section 3714 of the Political Code, which is the State budget procedure, and I think the case is right in point:

"Under the provisions of Section 3714 of the Political Code, a county board of supervisors must adopt a budget as a condition precedent to

a valid tax levy, and a levy based on items not included within a previously approved and adopted budget is invalid to the extent that it includes items not a part of the budget."

Now, our Charter provides—that is, the section which provides for the duties of the Chief Administrative Officer provides—

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY (Interrupting): What section is that, Mr. O'Toole?

MR. O'TOOLE: I am quoting now from Section 60, right at the end of the first paragraph of Section 60:

"And to provide for the budgeting and control of publicity and advertising expenditures of the City and County."

Now, as I said, under the State law you may levy up to 4 cents for publicity and advertising, and if the Controller presented a gross amount for publicity and advertising you could levy a tax up to 4 cents to produce the amount, and in that respect I think the Board would have the same control over the publicity and advertising it has over any other function. In other words, it is a contractual appropriation. I don't know the exact amount the Chief Administrative Officer budgeted, I think \$200,000, and this amount is in addition to that, and therefore applying the reasons of the Supreme Court in this Los Angeles case, all I can say is that I don't believe it can be done. While I know that the people of San Francisco have got the benefit of whatever work the Golden Gate Fiesta Committee did, and possibly approved of it to a very great extent, nevertheless I don't think at the present time you have the power to amend your budget heretofore submitted and approved by you for publicity and advertising, and any amount you include over and above the amount necessary to produce that budget amount would be illegal, and any person might protest the payment of that amount, and I am inclined to believe such a protest would be good. Now, coming next to the amount put in to supplement the budget for widows and orphans, that was on the Community Chest for a number of years—

THE PRESIDENT (Interrupting): May I interrupt to tell you your letter has not been read.

MR. O'TOOLE: I haven't any letter to read; I told you it is on the typewriter now.

THE PRESIDENT: You have an amendment to the ordinance.

MR. O'TOOLE: I haven't any, I will discuss that in a moment.

THE PRESIDENT: That is why I would like to have it read, Mr. Clerk.

THE CLERK (Reading): "Bill No. 1430A, Ordinance No. 9.051419, Code No. 9.051.

Amending the annual appropriation ordinance for the fiscal year 1937-38, Ordinance No. 9.051382, by making changes in certain revenue and mandatory expenditures necessitated by modifications to the Statutes of the State of California by legislative enactment of the 1937 legislature; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The following items of appropriated revenues are hereby amended to read:

*State of California*

Needy aged State aid.....	\$ 1,559,163
Aid to needy blind State aid.....	106,250
Federal Aid .....	31,875
Widows' pensions State aid.....	167,342
Maintenance of minors State aid.....	150,898
From taxes, General Fund .....	12,469,048
Indigent sick and dependent poor .....	500,000

Section 2. The following expenditure appropriations are hereby amended to read:

723.200.00—Maintenance of minors .....	\$ 566,322
756.894.01—Widows' pensions .....	309,681



756.804.02—Maintenance of aged .....	3,118,325
756.804.03—Blind pensions .....	212,500
756.804.00—Indigent sick and dependent poor.....	1,500,000

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as immediate action is necessary to comply with the time limitations established by law for the fixing of the tax rate."

THE PRESIDENT: This is a proposed amendment to the appropriation ordinance?

MR. O'TOOLE: I think those last figures, that the Board may understand, Mr. President, those last figures do not mean an additional appropriation, the last figures mean the total amount you will have to levy for those purposes.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I would like to ask, for the purpose of informing the Board, all those figures represent increases over the appropriation ordinance passed by the Board last June?

MR. O'TOOLE: Yes, sir. Mandatory appropriations, but they are mandatory only in this regard: For instance, the State law does not say that you shall appropriate so much money, but they do say, for instance, that in the case of minors they have increased the age during which minors shall be cared for from 16 to 18, and they have provided in the old age pensions, that a person instead of having only a total income of \$35, that is taking the total which they receive and which they are able to earn, they shall not have in excess of \$35, but now they are entitled to \$35 in addition to income up to \$50; and the same thing for the children of dependent widows, they are now entitled to aid up to the time they are 18 years old. Now while that does not provide, frankly, a definite amount, it does provide a definite obligation upon the city, because if those funds are not provided for they must be provided from any funds of the City which are available, because if you did not provide for them you would get no refund from the State, therefore I take it the amounts are mandatory upon the city or they would not get their subventions from the State.

Now, as I was saying on the other matters, those are not mandatory. For instance, the one providing for supplemental aid to widows and children, which was formerly carried by the Community Chest. That, I think, comes directly within the opinion I gave this Board some two or three years ago—you recall, Supervisor Gallagher—when we were very anxious to put something in the budget for the livestock pavilion, and I held that was a matter which could not be added to the budget, and that amount was stricken out, and at that time it was the desire of all members of the Board to increase the tax levy to an amount sufficient to take care of that proposition, and I ruled at that time, three years ago, that it could not be done.

Now this \$40,000 comes directly within that rule, and that matter of publicity and advertising I think is illegal, but where changes are made in the state laws which have made it mandatory, and which compel the spending of additional money which could not have been taken into consideration at the time of the passing of the budget, they may be taken care of by an amendment to the budget and an increase in the tax rate.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Well, I should like to refresh your memory on one point. Last year the Fiesta took place after the budget hearing, and there was no way of telling with any degree of certainty at the time of the budget making just what the result of the Fiesta would be. The Fiesta produced a deficit. I went and talked to Mr. Leavy about the situation and Mr. Leavy told me at that time that it would not be practical to increase the Publicity and Advertising Fund for last year's budget, that there was a special levy for publicity and advertising, and that was presumably the only source of revenue for Publicity and Advertising Funds. He told me then that, in his opinion, the method proposed here today was the only method

by which the situation might be met. I do not share that opinion now, and I hope other methods may be found.

MR. O'TOOLE: I think, as far as Mr. Leavy is concerned, he had the idea in his mind that if additional obligations were reported in for last year, they might be handled in that way. More than four years ago I ruled that matters of publicity and advertising could not be taken care of out of the succeeding budget for publicity and advertising, and I think under the decision I have just recited to you, if the Board had left those in abeyance, they might desire to ask for additional money to take care of a particular thing which they could not determine, as in the Los Angeles case where they could not determine the exact amount necessary for reserve, and they held it in abeyance, but here the Board has not done that, and you have completed your budget procedure and said that it was so much, and I think when you have done that you have no other recourse.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: That is probably true; but here is a method proposed to meet certain other expenditures which were not contemplated at the time of budget making, and there may be a question of mandate involved, a question of principal involved, but at the time the budget was made up there was no way of determining what these expenditures would be, and what they would add to the expenditures. Here, by administrative action, by recommendation of the Board at the time, concurred in by the Mayor, there comes to us a proposal to increase the budget on certain items and that increase is proposed prior to the fixing of the tax levy, and you advise us that because of the mandatory nature of the items that is legal. Now it seems to me there is just as much of a mandate on this Board of Supervisors and the officials of this city in this case as there is in the other—

MR. O'TOOLE (interrupting): Let me stop you right now, as long as you are figuring on anything for taking care of a deficit which occurred during last year, it is absolutely impossible to do it out of the revenues for the coming year. Perhaps if you were figuring on giving this to the Chamber of Commerce and they as a private corporation were to expend these moneys and that is something you are allowing to the Chamber of Commerce, or whatever organization was taking care of the advertising and publicity for the coming year, and you had considered that as something that would take place this year, that could have been considered at the time you were considering your annual budget, or it might have been considered by the Mayor, and if it was not considered by the Mayor this Board might have made a suggestion to the Mayor, or the Chief Administrative Officer may have made the suggestion, to place this amount in the budget, that the advertising and publicity budget was not sufficient, but when you adopt your budget for the matter of publicity and advertising you have closed the matter just as effectively as if the Park Commission should come in and state, "We want \$100,000 to put in a new road in the park," or "to put in a new conservatory." Your budget procedure is over, it has passed into the past year, and it is only those things which have been made a mandate by the law which was changed since you finished your budget procedure that may be added at this time.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Well, I would like to make it perfectly clear to the Board that this matter of the deficit of the Fiesta Committee was well known to city officials; that several city officials were members of the Fiesta Committee; that I personally called the matter to the attention of at least two, and asked what they wished to do, whether they wanted to go ahead or stop. In fact, before it even started I called one of the city officials and told that official that the Fiesta Committee stood ready to call the whole thing off, and this city official said he thought the committee should proceed and they would endeavor to meet the deficit if it should develop.

The Fiesta Committee has tried to act in perfectly good faith with



the city, and as far as the Fiesta Committee is able to do it they intend to see that these bills are paid. Now if it is possible for the tax levy to be increased in one direction, then I feel it should be equally possible to have it increased in another direction. The people to whom this money is owing are the business men of San Francisco, and they are entitled to every consideration by the city government. If we proceed to increase the levy for the purposes stated by Mr. O'Toole, I think it should be equally possible also to increase them for this purpose.

Now I should like very much to have this matter go over for one week and to have a little more time given to it to see if something can not be worked out. Of course, according to our charter the tax levy has to be passed by September 15, and that gives ample time to pass the levy. I hope the Board will go along on this, and I ask that the matter go over for one week so that a little further investigation and study can be given to it, so I will move, Mr. Chairman, that number one on the calendar go over for one week and remain on the calendar.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Second the motion.

THE PRESIDENT: I should like to ask Mr. O'Toole if we have time to pass the matter if it goes over for one week?

MR. O'TOOLE: What is that?

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Brown has moved the Board that number one on the calendar, the tax rate matter, go over for one week, and I am asking if that will leave sufficient time?

MR. O'TOOLE: Yes; the dead-line is the 15th; and it must be adopted on or before the 15th of September. Now I am informed by our Controller that the purpose of adopting the tax rate today would be to the end that you might authorize the issuance of tax anticipation notes, which will be necessary to meet your payrolls which will become due on the 15th of September. Now the Controller will have to tell you the procedure in connection with that, but you will have to first pass the tax rate and then there is the tax anticipation notes, and then the question of whether they are sold or not. Now, Mr. Ross told me on Saturday that unless the funds for those notes were made available before the 15th of this coming month there would not be a sufficient amount of money on hand to meet your payroll for the first half of September.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Does this require two passages or one?

THE PRESIDENT: Any day before the 15th.

MR. O'TOOLE: Yes, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, then, if we put it over a week—

MR. O'TOOLE: A week would be the 7th, and then you would have to pass it as an emergency measure.

THE PRESIDENT: If it goes over a week you would need nine votes?

MR. O'TOOLE: Yes, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: Will all members of the Board be here on Tuesday, next?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: No.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: No.

MR. O'TOOLE: Now you have got to pass it before the 15th; that is mandatory, irrespective of your tax anticipation notes, or whether or not there is any money to pay the salaries for the first half of September, it is mandatory to pass it by the 15th. Why not hold another meeting this week?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: The thought just occurred to me, according to the advice of our City Attorney, it is going to be legally impossible to do anything to help out these business men of San Francisco as regards any funds in the hands of the committee—

MR. O'TOOLE: God knows, we have done everything we could.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: The thought occurs to me that this fiesta

was a remarkably good thing, and that it was, after all, a preliminary piece of advertising as regards the coming Golden Gate International Exposition as I look at it—at least that is my feeling in the matter—that it is more or less preliminary to that, and it seems to me that it was good business for the City of San Francisco, and for the coming exposition, to hold this fiesta for the opening of the Golden Gate Bridge, which it celebrated, and for the Golden Gate International Exposition to be held and celebrated in 1939, and the thought occurs to me that the Golden Gate Exposition Company ought to do its part to meet this deficit. I just offer that suggestion, because he has got to go outside of the finances of the City and County of San Francisco to get it.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I trust and hope that a postponement will be allowed in this matter for one week, and we have an opinion from our City Attorney, and we all respect his opinion, that we may do that, and during that week something might happen by which this matter might be presented in a different light.

MR. O'TOOLE: What?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: It is a moral obligation of the city to meet a deficit of this kind, which, under the conditions that created that deficit was something unforeseen by all, and I trust and hope that in the wisdom of the Board here they will allow one week's postponement, and I trust and hope that the members of the Board will attend next Monday's meeting. I think they should attend, because as I said it is a moral obligation, and I think most of us will agree that a moral obligation is really a legal obligation. Of course, our charter throws safeguards around the expenditures of money, and we have to comply with the charter and with the state law, but I hope and trust in the wisdom of this Board you will pass this postponement for one week.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I am very desirous of being present so that I may vote on this matter, but I will not be here next week, and I want to ask Supervisor Brown if this meeting is postponed until Friday, or a recess taken until Friday, if that will be all right, because I want to be here.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: If you want to offer that as an amendment to my motion I shall be very glad to accept it.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Then I move that when we recess we recess until two o'clock on Friday.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I second the motion.

THE PRESIDENT: It has been moved and seconded that when we recess we do so to meet on Friday at two o'clock.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Didn't you make the statement, Mr. O'Toole, that you believed any taxpayer could protest the payment on the increase as proposed by Supervisor Brown?

MR. O'TOOLE: I think they could, Supervisor. It is invalid to the extent it includes items not a part of the budget.

SUPERVISOR UHL: It is then necessary for Supervisor Brown and the City Attorney to work together and find some new means, which to me seems almost impossible to make this levy this year, and therefore I think, that inasmuch as many of the people of San Francisco appreciate that this fiesta was a very fine affair, it might be desirable for Supervisor Brown to come in with a matter to be placed in the budget for the benefit of those creditors, and I know that the creditors will be only too glad to wait for their money until next July.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I don't represent the creditors, I don't know—

SUPERVISOR UHL: I do. I have been in that position.

MR. O'TOOLE: Supervisor, you can't do that, because the mere fact that this Board, or the people themselves might desire to pay a bill which was prohibited by the constitution, you can't do it.

SUPERVISOR UHL: In other words, you would require a charter amendment?



MR. O'TOOLE: Under Chapter 18 of Article 11, you can't pay last year's bills out of this year's money; if that could be done I think every member of the Board would vote for it, and possibly the whole of the people of San Francisco would give approval to it, but I don't think it can be done; unless, as one Supervisor has suggested, some agency of the city might be willing to advance it on the ground that they would be given money on something for that nature in the future.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I don't want this matter to pass without exhausting every possibility.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: May I ask a question, Mr. O'Toole: Is it absolutely impossible—I suppose I am wrong—to take it out of the 200,000—

MR. O'TOOLE (interrupting): Well, if there was any surplus in a particular fund you might be able to accomplish the same thing Mr. Brown is trying to accomplish. In other words, he is not trying to pay these particular bills, he is trying to provide for somebody else to pay them.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: There may be surpluses sufficient out of the budget of last year?

MR. O'TOOLE: It is extremely doubtful. You have to provide a certain levy, under the state law, and whether you can supplement that by levy not covered by the law, I doubt very much.

THE PRESIDENT: I would like to have the membership of the Board polled to find out if the members will be here Friday. This is such an important matter we cannot afford to take any chances. Mr. Clerk, call the roll.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Brown?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Colman?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Mead?

SUPERVISOR MEAD: No.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Meyer?

SUPERVISOR MEYER: Aye.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Ratto?

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Mr. President, I will be at the meeting of the highway commission on Friday relative to Funston Avenue approach, and the bottle-neck proposition, but I could be back here by three o'clock.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I move that we make it three o'clock Friday afternoon.

THE PRESIDENT: Just a minute.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: How about four o'clock on Friday?

THE PRESIDENT: Friday I will not be here at two o'clock, Friday, gentlemen.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Make it eight o'clock in the evening.

THE PRESIDENT: Eight o'clock Friday night?

SUPERVISOR MEYER: Mr. Chairman, after all, it is a moral obligation, and we have the vote here today—is it possible for us to go on record and take care of it later?

THE PRESIDENT: In the face of Mr. O'Toole's position we cannot jeopardize our tax rate; I don't think there is a member of this Board but what is willing to help the committee, we realize what wonderful advertising it was, and especially to the city, but we can't take a chance in the face of Mr. O'Toole's opinion, with the tax rate, that is out of all consideration.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I think what Supervisor Meyer meant was that if the Board would execute some sort of an agreement that next year it would assume this obligation that would give a basis on which Mr. Brown might finance the thing for us down town.

THE PRESIDENT: Next year's finances can't take care of the bills of last year; that is the charter provisions, that you cannot pay the bills of one year out of the tax rate of another.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: You remember we had an agreement with the Chamber of Commerce by which this Board agreed to pay to the Chamber of Commerce a certain amount each year, and the Chamber of Commerce paid \$50,000 for us, and I believe the last payment was due or is due for the Sunnyvale Base, and the Chamber of Commerce paid for that, and we executed an agreement on it.

THE PRESIDENT: That was not a previous year's bill.

MR. O'TOOLE: You have discussed the matter so much anything you do now would possibly be illegal, because you are doing this now not for something in the future but for something in the past.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: You think it is entirely illegal?

MR. O'TOOLE: As I understood the proposition when presented to me, you were going to levy a tax this year to give an additional allowance to some organization to the end that this organization would afford a certain amount of publicity and advertising for the city, which ordinarily they provide out of their own funds, and in return they would meet the obligations incurred by the fiesta committee during the past year, provided they wanted to meet them, but we were not to give them money for something in the past, we were to give them a certain amount of money for advertising in the future.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Could we, next year, put in the budget \$60,000 to pay certain expenses incurred by the Chamber of Commerce by the—

MR. O'TOOLE: To be incurred.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Could we, next year, place in the budget \$60,000 for publicity and advertising to be incurred?

MR. O'TOOLE: For publicity and advertising to be incurred during the coming year.

THE PRESIDENT: We have an election in November, and some of us might not be here next year.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Very true, but I think, Mr. President, I think if the members of this Board of Supervisors would pass such a resolution, I believe that that would be ample ground upon which the Fiesta Committee could finance their whole problem, and, I might call your attention, that, God willing, and everybody willing, the majority of the present Board would be in office next year, it is the year five run and six remain, and eliminating things over which we have no control, we have a majority and possibly some might be re-elected. This is not without precedent, and I quote the Sunnyvale case. I think, Supervisor Brown, that might be the solution to your problem.

THE PRESIDENT: Would you have prepared such a resolution?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Can't we act on that today, act on your resolution and act on the tax rate? Mr. O'Toole says that he has tried every way and can see no way between Friday and today, and he sees nothing but your plan which will accomplish something.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Supervisor Brown, is that satisfactory to you, as chairman of the Fiesta Committee?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: If that is the only way it can be done, but I had and I still have hopes and think that if we were to postpone the matter until Friday night some means might be found.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Might I ask a question? What has been the advertising by the Chamber of Commerce this year?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: About \$200,000.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: My idea is simply, as you say, I feel, the same as other members of the Board, that I would like to see this taken care of, and I would ask the Clerk to prepare such a resolution; I confess I will have to have the Clerk draw it up.

THE PRESIDENT: Will you repeat your motion, Supervisor?



SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I move that this Board go on record as stating their intention of including in next year's budget an additional \$60,000 for the publicity and advertising fund, this amount to be expended by the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce for publicity and advertising to be incurred during the next fiscal year.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I will second that motion.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Does that express it properly, Mr. O'Toole?

MR. O'TOOLE: I think you have covered the point, as far as you can go.

THE PRESIDENT: Leave that in the form of a motion.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Isn't that a matter that should go to committee to be thoroughly threshed out?

THE PRESIDENT: I think we are all conversant with the situation.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Supervisor Brown says that this year's Chamber of Commerce expenditures were \$200,000, because if the amount is not as much as that I wouldn't vote for it, not if it is not over \$60,000.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I can assure you it is over \$60,000.

MR. BOYD: It is about \$115,000, I am advised.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Would you kindly repeat that, Mr. Boyd?

MR. BOYD: The Chamber of Commerce is spending in excess of \$115,000 for pamphlets, advertising matter, booklets, and so on; they do that every year.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there objection to Supervisor Colman's motion?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Yes, yes. I feel just as you feel, and I think that every member of this Board feels the same way, that it is a moral obligation of this Board of Supervisors, but you have just heard the opinion from our City Attorney, and now Supervisor Colman, in good faith, makes a motion, rather a complicated one, and I don't think that we should act on that motion, because we might be doing the matter an injustice. In a matter of this kind, I feel that any matter as important as this requires some thought, and I believe an attorney who is familiar with the Charter and with the State law should be given an opportunity to study this, because we all know how one word in a matter of this kind can be taken advantage of. I have no objection to the motion being considered by next Friday night, and I say Friday night because we will meet next Friday, but I would not want to pass on that matter today. I don't think that we, sitting here as Supervisors of this great city, should attempt to pass a matter of this kind after the advice of our City Attorney. This is merely a motion, pure and simple, so if you will let the matter rest until Friday night, and in that time it can be reduced to writing, and in the meantime Supervisor Brown can consult with the Chamber of Commerce and with those who are conversant with the Charter and the State law, and if I am in order and can receive a second—if Supervisor Roncovieri will agree to it, I will ask him to withdraw the motion that was made, and we can recess until Friday night at eight o'clock, and then Supervisor Colman can present it in written form, and we can take it up, and if it is right I will naturally go along with it.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I understand that the motion is to meet Friday night at eight o'clock; and I hope that motion will prevail, for the reason that I have had informal discussions with the Chamber of Commerce on this subject, but I believe we should have a formal meeting with them.

THE PRESIDENT: I should like to ask Mr. Mead if he can be here?

SUPERVISOR MEAD: I can not be here.

THE PRESIDENT: I doubt very much if I will be here; I think

I will be taken out of town on official business, and that will leave nine members to decide the matter.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Could we meet Saturday morning? Would that be legal, Mr. O'Toole?

MR. O'TOOLE: Saturday morning is perfectly legal, but I think you ought to hear from Mr. Boyd on the matter of the effect of delaying those tax anticipation notes.

MR. BOYD: If you will meet on Saturday morning——

THE CLERK (Interrupting): You would have to conclude your business before 12 o'clock noon.

MR. BOYD: If the Board would meet promptly at 10 o'clock, Saturday morning, it is conceivable you could do it, but it would be far better, if possible, for the Board to meet Thursday for this vote; the time presses from a fiscal standpoint.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Poll the Board for Thursday?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Can't we meet, say Wednesday, at 2 o'clock?

THE PRESIDENT: I would like to make a statement. We seem to be almost unanimous in the thought that we will have to adhere to the City Attorney's opinion regarding the tax rate, and that we will have to pass the tax rate; and Supervisor Colman has made a motion putting us on record in favor of furnishing this \$60,000. Now it takes six votes to pass Supervisor Colman's motion—why should we meet again to consider that motion? I think six members are ready to vote on it right now, and let Supervisor McSheehy vote "No" on it if he wants to, and wants more time. I think we are losing time in this matter of the tax rate, which I think is the most important thing we have to do, based on Mr. O'Toole's statement and his opinion, and he has given a lot of thought to it, and he sees no avenue of escape. We should pass the tax rate today, and Supervisor Colman's motion is before us, and it is just a motion, so let those who are against it vote against it and those who are in favor of it vote for it and get the matter of the tax rate behind us. Those of us who are fortunate enough to be here today, don't know where we will be tomorrow if we postpone the matter; Supervisor Mead can't be here, we know that, he has made arrangements to come to some of these meetings because his vote is necessary, but you are dealing with something that is very close to the margin, and we have the tax anticipation notes to take care of, and our whole fiscal system.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Don't you think the citizens are entitled to some hearing in this matter? We have present today some citizens, and I think they might wish to be heard, if there is going to be no postponed meeting.

THE PRESIDENT: On what subject?

SUPERVISOR UHL: On the inclusion of \$60,000; the citizens haven't had an opportunity to be heard on this subject, have they? Just what is the parliamentary situation?

THE PRESIDENT: A motion is before us placing this Board on record as being in favor of including \$60,000 in next year's budget for publicity and advertising to be given to the Chamber of Commerce.

SUPERVISOR UHL: And you don't believe the citizens should be given an opportunity to talk on it?

THE PRESIDENT: To what end?

SUPERVISOR UHL: In other words, you want us to pledge ourselves to give \$60,000——

THE PRESIDENT (Interrupting): I don't. Supervisor Colman does. To what end do you want the citizens given the floor?

SUPERVISOR UHL: We are representing them, and if they have an objection.

THE PRESIDENT: If the citizens have been paying attention to the City Attorney they know that it can't be included this year, try as hard as Mr. Brown could he couldn't get it in this year; and it



has not been voted on. I don't see what anybody can say regarding the tax rate we have before us.

**SUPERVISOR UHL:** Then you are simply taking the question up at this time on the tax rate?

**THE PRESIDENT:** The question before the Board is Supervisor Colman's motion.

**SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY:** I am going to make an amendment to Supervisor Colman's motion, and my amendment will be: That when we recess we do so to reconvene tonight at 8 o'clock. I make this amendment, and I am doing it for this purpose: I want to vote, if I can, to meet this moral obligation, but I want to do it in an intelligent way. You are asking us to pledge ourselves for a \$60,000 increase in next year's budget. Between now and 8 o'clock tonight Supervisor Brown will have a chance to consult with some of the directors of the Golden Gate Bridge, and perhaps with some attorney, and I may have a chance to consult with our City Attorney, and we will know far better by 8 o'clock tonight than we know now. Therefore, I am not going to attempt to make a point of order that this motion be ruled out of order, because it is not written, and you can not make a motion of that kind unless it is in writing, you can't do it, it is just an oral motion, but I won't attempt to raise that point of order because I feel we should do something in this matter, and certain members of the Board, it is true, have appointments during the week; I have two myself. And I move that this matter remain as is and we come back at 8 o'clock tonight and we will be advised and we will—

**MR. O'TOOLE (Interrupting):** May I say now, that I will not be present to give any advice tonight.

**SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI:** I understand the motion—I understand Supervisor Colman's motion to be that this Board pledges itself to include in the budget for the next fiscal year the sum of \$60,000, to be—then there is plenty of time to consider that, and I would move now that—I would ask that consideration of the motion by Supervisor Colman be postponed for two weeks—we can postpone the consideration of his motion for two weeks, and that would give everybody plenty of time. Go on and pass this tax rate today, and two weeks from now we will take up this motion. That has been done on this Board before.

**THE PRESIDENT:** Is there any objection to the motion to postpone consideration of Supervisor Colman's motion for two weeks?

**SUPERVISOR BROWN:** The purpose in asking for a delay in this matter was to exhaust every possibility in getting this thing done this year before this tax rate was passed. Now if the Board places itself in the position of passing the tax rate before any provision is made for this, I think that that removes one remedy which the creditors may have. I think that the two matters are related, and it seems to me that no action should be taken on one and not the other—either pass the motion by Supervisor Colman or postpone the meeting. I appreciate the effort that is being made here to help the situation, and I hope you will do one of two things, either pass Supervisor Colman's motion today and subsequently pass the tax rate, or postpone them both until eight o'clock tonight; if all members can be here. I understand that Supervisor Mead can not.

**SUPERVISOR COLMAN:** I don't agree with Supervisor Brown. And on thinking it over I see no objection to Supervisor Roncovieri's motion. The tax rate ought to be passed today, I am convinced by the City Attorney's statement, and as far as I am concerned I could not vote to include it this year. I can not go contrary to an opinion as clean cut as that. That being the case, I don't think there is anything Supervisor Brown can do to get the tax rate amended, and therefore we ought to pass the tax rate now. Two weeks will give opportunity to contact the Chamber of Commerce, and everybody else,

and it may be that some better plan will be evolved. In any event, I don't see any harm from a two weeks' delay on my motion.

THE PRESIDENT: Do you accept that as a part of your motion, continuation of consideration of your motion for two weeks?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any objection? (No response.) So ordered.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Supervisor Brown withdrew his amendment, I believe an amendment was made by Supervisor Brown, or a motion, and seconded by Supervisor Colman, to keep the record straight.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: In view of the City Attorney's definite statement it was illegal, with the consent of the maker I withdrew.

THE PRESIDENT: Very well. So ordered.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I should like to ask that the remarks of the members of the Board of Supervisors on the subject of the Golden Gate Fiesta situation be made a part of the record.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any objection? (No response.) So ordered.

#### Provision in Next Year's Budget to Cover Golden Gate Bridge Fiesta Deficit.

Supervisor Colman moved that the Board of Supervisors goes on record as stating its intention to include in next year's budget, an additional \$60,000 to the Publicity and Advertising Fund, this amount to be expended by the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce for publicity and advertising to be incurred during the next fiscal year. The Clerk to draw proper resolution.

*Over two weeks in Board (motion of Supervisor Roncovieri).*

*Special Order of Business 3 p. m. (motion of Supervisor Brown).*

#### Final Passage.

The following was presented by Supervisor Roncovieri:

#### Amendment of Annual Appropriation Ordinance, Statutory Mandate.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1430A, Ordinance No. 9.051419, as follows:

Amending the Annual Appropriation Ordinance for the fiscal year 1937-38, Ordinance No. 9.051382, by making changes in certain revenue and mandatory expenditure appropriations necessitated by modifications to the statutes of the State of California by legislative enactment of the 1937 Legislature; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The following items of appropriated revenues are hereby amended to read:

#### *State of California.*

Needy Aged, State Aid .....	\$ 1,559,163
Aid to Needy Blind, State Aid.....	106,250
Federal Aid .....	31,875
Widows' Pensions, State Aid .....	167,342
Maintenance of Minors, State Aid.....	150,898
From Taxes, General Fund .....	12,469,048
Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor .....	500,000

Section 2. The following expenditure appropriations are hereby amended to read:

723.206.00 Maintenance of Minors .....	\$ 566,322
756.804.01 Widows' Pensions .....	309,681
756.804.02 Maintenance of Aged .....	3,118,325
756.804.03 Blind Pensions .....	212,500
756.804.00 Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor.....	1,500,000



Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as immediate action is necessary to comply with the time limitations established by law for the fixing of the tax rate.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Final Passage.

The following matter, without recommendation of Finance Committee, was taken up:

#### San Francisco Tax Rate Ordinance—1937-1938.

(Code No. 9.032)

Bill No. 1431, Ordinance No. 9.0327, as follows:

Providing revenue and levying taxes for City and County purposes and for the support and maintenance of the common schools of the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1938. An emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Under and pursuant to the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and of the laws of the State of California, and in conformity therewith, a tax is hereby levied for City and County purposes, and for the support and maintenance of the common schools of said City and County, including special City and County school building purposes, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1938, on all the property, real and personal, in the City and County of San Francisco, except such property as is by law exempt from taxation, in the sum of three and eight hundred seventy-one thousandths (\$.871) dollars on each one hundred dollars' valuation of said taxable property as the same appears upon the assessment roll of the said City and County for said fiscal year, which said sum of three and eight hundred seventy-one thousandths (\$.871) dollars on each one hundred dollars' valuation as aforesaid is hereby apportioned to the funds and accounts and for the purposes designated as follows:

- (a) For the General Fund to meet the general expenses of the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with Section 78 of the Charter (limit \$1.65) the rate of....\$1.083931
- |  |         |
|--|---------|
| Emergency Reserve .....                                  | .013131 |
| County Road .....  | .000012 |
| Unemployment Relief Loan State of California.....        | .012395 |
| Unemployment Relief Loan Interest State of California... | .003776 |
| Relief of indigent sick and dependent poor.....          | .183923 |

\$1.297168

- (b) For the General Fund, to meet the expenses of the City and County of San Francisco not subject to the limitations of Section 78 of the Charter, including the cost of elections, the constructing, maintaining and improving of streets, sewers and buildings, obligations imposed by State, legislative or constitutional enactment, and obligations imposed by vote of the people of the City and County of San Francisco, other than the items herein specifically provided for, including one-half (\$.005) cent to meet the expenses of the Civil Service Commission and including one-half (\$.005) cent for the Art Commission for the purpose of maintaining a symphony orchestra, the rate of .....
- |  |         |
|--|---------|
| orchestra, the rate of .....                 | .427155 |
| For interest on tax anticipation notes ..... | .000976 |

For the Library Fund, to meet the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving libraries, the rate of.....	.054914
For the Park Fund, to meet the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving parks, the rate of.....	.126173
For the Recreation Fund, to meet the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving playgrounds, the rate of..	.070000
For maintenance and operation of War Memorial.....	.011501
For M. H. de Young Memorial Museum Fund.....	.010792
For California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund.....	.009514
For Retirement System for City employees.....	.213227
For the payment of interest on and the amount due for the redemption of the several outstanding bond issues of the City and County.....	.909315
To pay an aliquot part equal to one-tenth of the whole of final judgments against the City and County of San Francisco, pursuant to writs of mandate served upon the members of the Board of Supervisors, to-wit: One-tenth of the amount of each of the judgments referred to in the writs of mandate issued in the proceedings in the Supreme Court of the State of California.....	.012758
For special tax levied for publicity and advertising pursuant to the Political Code of the State, the rate of.....	.024259
For Workmen's Compensation Fund .....	.006177
Airport Fund .....	.016837
<hr/>	
Total for Municipal Purposes.....	\$3.190766

#### COMPULSORY SCHOOL TAX

For the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving schools:

For Junior College Fund (County).....	.000403
For Common School Fund .....	.569097
For Special School Tax Fund .....	.110734

Total School Tax .....\$ .680234

Total Tax Rate .....\$3.871

This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does by the vote by which this ordinance is passed specifically declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective immediately, the nature of said emergency being as follows:

That the passage of said ordinance is required as a prerequisite to the issuance of tax anticipation warrants in accordance with the provisions of Section 81 of the Charter, and that the issuance of said notes immediately is necessary in order to provide funds for the operation of the police and fire departments, and for the maintenance of hospitals and relief homes in San Francisco and for the care of the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County, and for the preservation of the public peace, property, health and safety of the people of said City and County, as well as for the uninterrupted operation of the various departments of the government of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Final Passage.

The following matter, without recommendation of Finance Committee, was taken up:



**Authorizing Tax Anticipation Notes.**

(Code No. 9.033)

Bill No. 1432, Ordinance No. 9.0339, as follows:

Determining that funds are needed for the immediate requirements of the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1937-38 in accordance with appropriations made, as authorized by the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, for said fiscal year; determining that funds therefor cannot be made available as provided in the first two paragraphs of Section 81 of said Charter; authorizing the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco to borrow on its behalf the sum of \$8,000,000 solely for the purpose of anticipating receipt of income, and to cause to be issued notes or other evidences of indebtedness evidencing the amount or amounts so borrowed, which notes shall be payable exclusively out of taxes levied and collected by said City and County for said fiscal year 1937-38, providing that the principal amount of said notes together with the interest thereon shall constitute a first lien and charge against the taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year in which such money shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes; and providing for the sale of said notes or evidences of indebtedness as provided by law and for the payment of the principal thereof and the interest thereon.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby find and determine: That the sum of \$8,000,000 is needed for the immediate requirements of said City and County in the fiscal year 1937-38, to-wit: To meet and pay the appropriations heretofore made for said fiscal year as authorized by the Charter of said City and County, and which will become due and payable prior to June 30, 1938, and which may be paid in advance of receipt of the income for said fiscal year; that funds therefor cannot be made available as provided in the first two paragraphs of Section 81 of said Charter; that the Controller of said City and County has recommended that said sum of \$8,000,000 be borrowed for the purposes herein mentioned, and the Mayor of said City and County of San Francisco has approved said recommendation made by said Controller; and that the estimated aggregate amount of all taxes actually levied by said City and County for said fiscal year is \$32,081,469, and that said sum of \$8,000,000 does not exceed twenty-five (25) per centum of said estimated aggregate amount of all taxes actually levied for said fiscal year.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to borrow on behalf of said City and County, solely for the purpose of anticipating receipt of income, the sum of \$8,000,000 in lawful money of the United States of America, and to issue in the form hereinafter set forth notes of said City and County for the sum or sums so borrowed, payable as hereinafter provided.

Section 3. (a) As evidence of the sum to be so borrowed as aforesaid, there is hereby authorized to be issued by said City and County of San Francisco tax anticipation notes of said City and County of San Francisco in the aggregate principal amount of \$8,000,000. Said notes shall be in the denomination of not less than \$1,000 each, nor more than \$100,000 each, and such denominations shall be fixed by resolution of this Board adopted at or after the time of the public sale of said notes as hereinafter provided. All of said notes shall be signed on behalf of said City and County of San Francisco by the President of said Board of Supervisors, and by the Controller of said City and County, and countersigned by the Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco, and the seal of said City and County shall be affixed thereto. Said notes shall bear such interest as may

be hereafter fixed by resolution of said Board of Supervisors at or after the time said notes are sold, as hereinafter provided which said interest shall in no case exceed six (6) per cent per annum, and full authority is hereby given to said Board of Supervisors to fix by resolution the rate of interest on said notes and each or any of them. Said interest shall be payable at the maturity of said notes.

(b) The principal amount of said notes, together with the interest thereon, issued and delivered under authority of this ordinance, shall be payable exclusively out of the taxes levied and collected by said City and County for the fiscal year 1937-38, and shall constitute a first lien and charge against the taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year in which said money shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes; and the amount of taxes so levied and collected shall be applied to the payment of said notes before any part thereof is used for any other purpose; provided, however, that taxes levied for the payment of principal of, or interest on, any bonded indebtedness of said City and County now outstanding or hereafter created shall be applied to the purpose for which such taxes were levied, unless the money borrowed by such notes issued against such tax levies is in fact applied to the payment of the principal and interest of such bonded indebtedness. If at the time said notes, or any of them, become due and payable the funds in the City Treasury available for the payment thereof shall be insufficient for the payment in full of all of said notes then outstanding, such funds shall be applied pro rata to the payment of the principal and interest of all of the notes then issued and outstanding without preference or priority of any one note over any other by reason of prior issuance, or otherwise. Any of said notes not paid prior to June 30, 1938, shall, nevertheless, be paid out of moneys received from the taxes of the fiscal year 1937-38; irrespective of the date of the receipt thereof, it being the intent and purpose of this ordinance to provide for the payment of all notes issued hereunder out of the taxes levied for said fiscal year 1937-38; and to provide that such notes shall be payable out of said taxes for said fiscal year, irrespective of the actual date of the collection thereof.

(c) Each of said notes shall be substantially in the following form, to-wit:

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
TAX ANTICIPATION NOTE  
FISCAL YEAR 1937-1938

No..... \$.....  
San Francisco, ....., 193....

On the.....day of....., 193...., the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, promises to pay to the bearer hereof, out of the funds hereinafter mentioned, at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco, the sum of.....dollars, in lawful money of the United States of America, with interest thereon at the rate of.....per cent per annum from date until paid.

This note is one of an issue of notes aggregating in principal amount the sum of eight million (\$8,000,000) dollars authorized to be issued under and pursuant to an ordinance of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, enacted under authority of Section 81 of the Charter of said City and County. Both the principal of and interest on this note and all other notes of said issue are payable exclusively out of taxes levied and collected by said City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1937-38, without preference or priority of any one note over any other note of this issue by reason of prior issuance, or otherwise, and said notes issued and delivered under authority of said ordinance shall constitute a first lien and



charge against said taxes collected during the half of said fiscal year 1937-38, in which the money represented by said notes, respectively, shall be borrowed, and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes and the amount of taxes so levied and collected shall be applied to the payment of said notes before any part thereof is used for any other purpose; provided, however, that taxes levied for the payment of principal of, or interest on, any bonded indebtedness of said City and County now outstanding or hereafter created shall be applied to the purpose for which such taxes were levied, unless the money borrowed by such notes issued against such tax levies is in fact applied to the payment of the principal and interest of such bonded indebtedness.

Any of said notes not paid at or prior to maturity shall, nevertheless, be paid out of moneys received from the taxes for said fiscal year 1937-38 irrespective of the date the same shall be so received.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that this note is issued in strict conformity with the Constitution and laws of the State of California, and with the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and with proceedings of said City and County of San Francisco authorizing the same, and that all acts, conditions and things required to exist, happen and to be performed precedent to, and in the issuance of, this note, have existed, happened and been performed in regular and due time, form and manner as required by law, and that this note, together with all indebtedness and obligations of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or the Charter of said City and County.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this note to be signed by the President of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, and by the Controller of said City and County and to be countersigned by the Treasurer thereof, and the seal of said City and County to be affixed thereto the day and year first above written.

.....  
President of the Board of Supervisors of  
the City and County of San Francisco.

.....  
Controller of the City and County of San  
Francisco.

Countersigned:

.....  
Treasurer of the City and County of San  
Francisco.

(d) Said Board of Supervisors, on behalf of said City and County of San Francisco, hereby confirms all recitals, declarations, certificates and promises contained in said notes, and each thereof, issued under and pursuant to this ordinance.

(e) At the time of the sale of any of said notes as hereinafter provided, and prior to the delivery thereof, the Treasurer of the said City and County of San Francisco shall date the same as of the date of delivery thereof and insert therein the denomination thereof and the rate of interest thereon as provided by resolution of said Board of Supervisors.

Section 4. The aforesaid notes shall be issued and offered for sale by the Board of Supervisors at such time (prior to May 15, 1938), as may from time to time be provided by resolution of said Board, so as to meet the immediate requirements of said City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid. Each such sale shall be made to the bidder offering to accept and pay for the note or notes so sold at the lowest net interest cost to said City and County computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to December 21, 1937 (in the case of such notes as shall be sold in the first half of said fiscal year),

or to May 15, 1938 (in the case of such notes as shall be sold in the second half of said fiscal year); provided, however, that none of said notes shall be sold for less than the face amount thereof and accrued interest thereon to the date of delivery thereof.

Section 5. The principal and interest of all of said notes issued and sold as aforesaid shall be paid only upon the surrender thereof. All of said notes not sold prior to May 15, 1938, shall be cancelled.

Section 6. If any section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or void, such decision shall not affect the validity of any other portion of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional or void for any reason.

Section 7. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does by the vote by which this ordinance is passed specifically declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective immediately, the nature of said emergency being as follows:

That said ordinance authorizes the issuance of tax anticipation notes in accordance with the provisions of Section 81 of the Charter, and that the issuance of said notes immediately is necessary in order to provide funds for the operation of the Police and Fire Departments, and for the maintenance of hospitals and relief homes in San Francisco and for the care of the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County, and for the preservation of the public peace, property, health and safety of the people of said City and County, as well as for the uninterrupted operation of the various departments of the government of the City and County of San Francisco.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Adopted.

The following matter, without recommendation of Finance Committee, was taken up:

### Sale of \$3,500,000 Tax Anticipation Notes.

(Code No. 9.033)

Resolution No. 3499, as follows:

Whereas, Ordinance No. 9.0339 heretofore enacted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, authorized the issuance of certain Tax Anticipation Notes, the same to be issued as needed for the immediate requirements of the City and County in order to meet and pay the appropriations heretofore made for the current fiscal year, as authorized by the Charter of the City and County, which appropriations will become due and payable prior to June 30, 1938, and which may be paid in advance of receipt of the income for said fiscal year; and

Whereas, in order to meet the said immediate requirements of the said City and County it is necessary to forthwith issue and offer for sale Tax Anticipation Notes as authorized by said ordinance above mentioned to the amount of three million five hundred thousand (\$3,500,000) dollars; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, the Controller of said City and



County, and the Treasurer thereof, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and issue for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, the aforesaid Tax Anticipation Notes, to the amount of three million five hundred thousand (\$3,500,000) dollars, and that said notes be offered for sale by this Board of Supervisors; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be and he is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco a notice of the sale of said Tax Anticipation Notes to the amount of three million five hundred thousand (\$3,500,000) dollars, and that sealed bids or offers for all or any part of said notes will be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Tuesday, the 7th day of September, 1937, and that said Tax Anticipation Notes will be sold to the bidder offering to accept and pay for said note or notes so sold at the lowest net interest cost to the City and County of San Francisco computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to December 21, 1937; and be it

Further Resolved, That Orrick, Palmer & Dahlquist, attorneys at law, are employed to furnish legal opinion on validity of the above mentioned Tax Anticipation Notes authorized by Ordinance No. 9.0339, the fee therefor to be \$1,000.

Recommended by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following matter heretofore presented, without recommendation of Finance Committee, and passed for second reading, was taken up:

## RAPID TRANSIT BONDS, 1938.

(Code No. 15.004)

Bill No. 1423, Ordinance No. 15.0041, as follows:

CALLING AND PROVIDING FOR A SPECIAL ELECTION TO BE HELD IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ON TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 1937, FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUBMITTING TO THE VOTERS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION TO INCUR A BONDED DEBT OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO TO THE AMOUNT OF \$49,250,000.00 FOR THE ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION AND COMPLETION OF A MUNICIPAL IMPROVEMENT CONSISTING OF SUBWAYS AND SURFACE RAPID TRANSIT LINES TO CONNECT WITH EXISTING MUNICIPAL AND OTHER RAILWAY LINES AND ESTABLISHMENT OF BUS LINES AND THE ACQUISITION OF THE NECESSARY CARS, BUSES AND EQUIPMENT TO BE USED IN CONNECTION WITH SAID SUBWAYS AND SURFACE RAPID TRANSIT AND BUS LINES, TOGETHER WITH THE REQUIRED CAR AND BUS STORAGE FACILITIES, SHOPS AND BUILDINGS AND THE LAND NECESSARY THEREFOR, AND ALL OTHER THINGS NECESSARY, CONVENIENT OR INCIDENTAL TO THE CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF SAID RAPID TRANSIT SYSTEM WITHIN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, INCLUDING THE NECESSARY EASEMENTS AND RIGHTS OF WAY, AND PROVIDING FOR THE FORM, DENOMINATION AND RATE OF INTEREST, FIXING PAYMENT OF INTEREST AND RETIREMENT OF SAID BONDS, AND PRESCRIBING NOTICE TO BE GIVEN OF SUCH ELECTION.

Be it ordained by the people of the city and county of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the city and county of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 2nd day of November, 1937, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said city and county a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the city and county of San Francisco to the amount of \$49,250,000.00 for the acquisition, construction and completion by the city and county of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of a subway in Market street from Fremont street westerly to the vicinity of Church street with a subway in Fremont street from Market street to the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge terminal in the vicinity of Howard and Fremont streets; a subway through the Mission District commencing at a point in the vicinity of Market street and Van Ness avenue and extending to Mission street over the most advisable route and continuing southerly in Mission street to the right of way of the Southern Pacific Company at a point in the vicinity of Mission and Twenty-fifth streets; thence under the said right of way to a point in the vicinity of Twenty-seventh and Dolores streets; a subway in Montgomery street southerly from Columbus avenue to Market street, thence in Market street to Geary street and thence in Geary street to a point in the vicinity of Pierce street; a surface street railway commencing at the end of the subway in the vicinity of Twenty-seventh and Dolores streets over and along or near the right of way of the Southern Pacific Company to its intersection with Ocean avenue; and to establish and maintain bus lines to be operated in connection with and as feeders to said subways and surface railway lines existing or to be hereafter acquired, all to constitute a rapid transit system and to be operated in connection with the present municipal railway system to relieve traffic congestion in the city and county of San Francisco and to accelerate the transportation of passengers between the business and residential sections of the city and county. Together with the acquisition of all lands, easements and rights of way necessary, convenient or incident to the construction, maintenance and operation of said subways and surface lines, including buses and all facilities necessary for the housing and servicing of the cars and buses used in the operation of said subway, surface railway and bus lines, including the land necessary for said purpose. Together with all equipment, devices and facilities of every kind and nature necessary or incident or convenient to the acquisition, maintenance and operation of said subways, surface or bus lines, and the necessary changes in the present street railway equipment to make the same adaptable for use in connection with said subways and surface lines.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 3375, passed by more than two-thirds of said board and approved by the Mayor in the sum of \$49,250,000.00, and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the city and county in addition to the other annual expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxation for that purpose. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvements described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the city and county of San Francisco to the amount required therefor.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held, shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the state of California providing for and governing elections in the city and county of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Municipal Election to be held



Tuesday, November 2, 1937, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for the said General Municipal Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at such special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear the following:

"Rapid Transit Bonds 1938 to incur a bonded debt in the sum of \$49,250,000.00 for constructing subways and acquiring a rapid transit system."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the construction and acquisition of lands as described in Section One hereof. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1938, shall bear interest at a rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually; shall be of the denomination of \$1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States, either at the office of the Treasurer of the city and county of San Francisco, or at the office of the fiscal agent of said city and county in the city of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "Rapid Transit Bonds, 1938," and shall be numbered from 1 to 49,250, both inclusive, and shall be payable \$1,370,000 thereof 5 years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and \$1,368,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year for 35 years, until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the city and county of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bonds and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such reg-

istered owner or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the interest and principal of said bonds the Board of Supervisors shall at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of the city and county of San Francisco set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco News, a newspaper published daily in the city and county of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said city and county.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt—8.

Noes—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—3.

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee heretofore passed for second reading were taken up:

### HOSPITAL BONDS, 1938.

(Code No. 12.123)

Bill No. 1424, Ordinance No. 12.1232, as follows:

CALLING AND PROVIDING FOR A SPECIAL ELECTION TO BE HELD IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ON TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 1937, FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUBMITTING TO THE VOTERS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION TO INCUR A BONDED DEBT OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO TO THE AMOUNT OF \$1,600,000 FOR THE ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION AND COMPLETION OF A MUNICIPAL IMPROVEMENT CONSISTING OF ENLARGING AND REMODELING OF THE HASSLER HEALTH HOME, LAGUNA HONDA HOME AND THE SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL, AND INCREASING THE QUARTERS FOR THE USE OF X-RAY, ALL DESIGNED TO AID AND FACILITATE THE TAKING CARE OF THE AGED PERSONS AND THE INFIRM AND INDIGENTS WHO ATTEND THE HOSPITALS CONDUCTED BY SAN FRANCISCO, AND PROVIDING FOR THE FORM, DENOMINATION AND RATE OF INTEREST FIXING THE PAYMENT OF INTEREST AND RETIREMENT OF SAID BONDS AND PRESCRIBING NOTICE TO BE GIVEN OF SUCH ELECTION.

Be it ordained by the people of the city and county of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the city and county of San Francisco on Tuesday, the second day of November, 1937, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said city and county the proposition to incur a bonded debt of the city and county of San Francisco to the amount of \$1,600,000 for the acquisition, construction and completion by the city and county of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of enlarging and remodeling the Hassler Health Home, Laguna Honda Home and the San Francisco Hospital, and increasing the quarters for the use of X-ray, all designed to aid and facilitate the taking care of the aged persons and the infirm and indigents who attend the hospitals conducted by San Francisco.



Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 3463, passed by more than two-thirds of said board, and approved by the Mayor in the sum of \$1,600,000, and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the city and county in addition to the other annual expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvements described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the city and county of San Francisco to the amount required therefor.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the city and county of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Municipal Election to be held Tuesday, November 2, 1937, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said General Municipal Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Hospital Bonds, 1938, to incur a bonded debt in the sum of \$1,600,000 for improving the Hassler Health Home, Laguna Honda Home, the San Francisco Hospital and increasing the X-ray facilities to aid in the care of their inmates."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machine shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the buildings, municipal

improvements, other properties and structures described herein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1938, shall bear interest at a rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of \$1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States, either at the office of the Treasurer of the city and county of San Francisco or at the office of the fiscal agent of said city and county in the city of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "Hospital Bonds, 1938," and shall be numbered from 1 to 1,600, both inclusive, and shall be payable \$160,000 thereof one year from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and \$160,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year for nine (9) years, until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the city and county of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bonds and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said city and county set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco News, a newspaper published daily in the city and county of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said city and county.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### SEWER BONDS, 1938.

(Code No. 12.122)

Also, Bill No. 1425, Ordinance No. 12.1224, as follows:

CALLING AND PROVIDING FOR A SPECIAL ELECTION TO BE HELD IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ON TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 1937, FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUBMITTING TO THE VOTERS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION TO INCUR A BONDED DEBT OF THE CITY AND COUNTY TO THE AMOUNT OF \$5,000,000 FOR THE ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION AND COMPLETION BY THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO OF MUNICIPAL IMPROVEMENTS CONSISTING OF RECONDITIONING AND REBUILDING EXISTING SEWERS, THE CONSTRUCTION OF NEW SEWERS, RECONSTRUCTION AND EXTENSION OF MAIN SEWERS AND SEWAGE PLANTS WITHIN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, TOGETHER WITH ALL APPURTENANCES AND LANDS THAT MAY BE NECESSARY FOR THE RECONSTRUCTION AND EXTENSION OF SEWERS IN THE EAST OF VAN NESS AVENUE DISTRICT, WEST OF VAN NESS



AVENUE DISTRICT, SOUTH OF MARKET AND MISSION STREETS DISTRICTS, SUNSET-PARKSIDE AND WEST OF TWIN PEAKS DISTRICTS, AND PARK-PRESIDIO DISTRICT, FIXING PAYMENT OF INTEREST AND RETIREMENT OF SAID BONDS AND PRESCRIBING NOTICE TO BE GIVEN OF SUCH ELECTION.

BE IT ORDAINED by the people of the city and county of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the city and county of San Francisco on Tuesday the 2nd day of November, 1937, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said city and county a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the city and county of San Francisco to the amount of \$5,000,000 for the acquisition, construction and completion by the city and county of San Francisco of municipal improvements consisting of reconditioning and rebuilding existing sewers, the construction of new sewers, reconstruction and extension of main sewers and sewage plants within the city and county of San Francisco, together with all appurtenances and lands that may be necessary for the reconstruction and extension of sewers in the east of Van Ness avenue district, west of Van Ness avenue district, south of Market and Mission streets districts, Sunset-Parkside and west of Twin Peaks districts, and Park-Presidio district.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 3456, passed by more than two-thirds of said board, and approved by the Mayor in the sum of \$5,000,000, and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the city and county in addition to the other annual expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the city and county of San Francisco to the amount required therefor.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such elections shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Municipal Election to be held Tuesday, November 2, 1937, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said General Municipal Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Sewer Bonds, 1938, to incur a bonded debt in the sum of \$5,000,000 for constructing new and reconstructing old sewers within the city and county."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose

stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the municipal improvements, other properties and structures described herein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1938, shall bear interest at a rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of \$1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States either at the office of the Treasurer of the city and county of San Francisco or at the office of the fiscal agent of said city and county in the city of New York. Said bonds shall be designated as "Sewer Bonds, 1938," and shall be numbered from 1 to 5,000, both inclusive, and shall be payable \$125,000 thereof one year from date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and \$125,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same date in each succeeding year for 9 years, and \$375,000 thereof in each succeeding year for 10 years, until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bonds and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said city and county set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco News, a newspaper published daily in the city and county of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said city and county.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**AIRPORT BONDS, 1938.**

(Code No. 15.051)

Also, Bill No. 1426, Ordinance No. 15.0512, as follows:

CALLING AND PROVIDING FOR A SPECIAL ELECTION TO BE HELD IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ON TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 1937, FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUBMITTING TO THE VOTERS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO A PROPOSITION TO INCUR A BONDED DEBT OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO TO THE AMOUNT OF \$2,850,000 FOR THE ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION AND COMPLETION OF A MUNICIPAL IMPROVEMENT CONSISTING OF THE ENLARGEMENT OF THE SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT IN SAN MATEO COUNTY AND THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF ADDITIONS THERETO, SO AS TO PROVIDE THE NECESSARY FACILITIES FOR THE LANDING AND TAKING OFF AND THE HOUSING AND HARBORING OF LAND PLANES ENGAGED IN TRANSCONTINENTAL TRAFFIC AND SEAPLANES ENGAGED IN TRANSOCEANIC TRAFFIC, TOGETHER WITH ALL OTHER PLANES ENGAGED IN AIR COMMERCE GENERALLY, AND SO AS TO PROVIDE A SUITABLE BASE FOR A DETACHMENT OF THE UNITED STATES COAST GUARD TO AID IN THE OPERATION OF SAID AIRPLANES. THE IMPROVEMENT OF SAID AIRPORT TO CONSIST IN PROVIDING ADDITIONAL OPERATING AREAS FOR BOTH SAID LAND AND SEA PLANES, HANGARS, SHOPS AND OTHER STRUCTURES INCLUDING HARBORS, CHANNELS, WALLS, JETTIES, PIERS, RAMPS, BREAKWATERS, LIGHTING FACILITIES, DRAINAGE AND SEWER FACILITIES, RADIO AND METEOROLOGICAL FACILITIES, BUILDINGS AND EQUIPMENT FOR UTILITY SERVICE, BUILDINGS FOR THE ACCOMMODATION OF PASSENGERS AND PATRONS, HOUSES AND HOMES FOR LABORERS AND EMPLOYEES, TOGETHER WITH THE NECESSARY ADDITIONAL LAND FOR PROVIDING FOR ALL AND SINGULAR THE ABOVE MENTIONED, AND THE GRADING, FILLING, DRAINING AND PAVING SAID ADDITIONAL LAND AND LANDS NOW UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF SAID AIRPORT, TOGETHER WITH THE DOING AND ACQUISITION OF ALL OTHER THINGS AND MATTERS WHICH WILL ENABLE SAID SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS AND REGULATIONS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE OF THE UNITED STATES, AS WELL AS OF AIR TRANSPORT COMPANIES RELATIVE TO THE OPERATION, LANDING, TAKING OFF, HOUSING AND HARBORING OF AIRCRAFT, AND PROVIDING FOR THE FORM AND DENOMINATION AND RATE OF INTEREST, FIXING THE PAYMENT OF INTEREST AND RETIREMENT OF SAID BONDS, AND PRESCRIBING NOTICE TO BE GIVEN OF SUCH ELECTION.

Be it ordained by the people of the city and county of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the city and county of San Francisco on Tuesday, the second day of November, 1937, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said city and county a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the city and county of San Francisco to the amount of \$2,850,000 for the acquisition, construction and completion by the city and county of San Francisco of a municipal improvement consisting of the enlargement of the San Francisco Airport in San Mateo county, and the acquisition and construction of additions thereto so as to provide the necessary facilities for the landing and taking off, and the housing and harboring of land planes engaged in transcontinental traffic and seaplanes engaged in transoceanic traffic, together with all other planes engaged in air commerce generally, and so as to provide a suitable base for a detachment of the United States Coast Guard to aid in the operation

of said airplanes. The improvement of said airport to consist in providing additional operating areas for both said land and sea planes, hangars, shops and other structures including harbors, channels, wharves, jetties, piers, ramps, breakwaters, lighting facilities, drainage and sewer facilities, radio and meteorological facilities, buildings and equipment for utility service, buildings for the accommodation of passengers and patrons, houses and homes for laborers and employees, together with the necessary additional land for providing for all and singular the above mentioned, and the grading, filling, draining and paving said additional land and lands now under the jurisdiction of said airport, together with the doing and acquisition of all other things and matters which will enable said San Francisco Airport to meet the requirements and regulations of the Department of Commerce of the United States, as well as of air transport companies, relative to the operation, landing, taking off, housing and harboring of air craft.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein was fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 3459, passed by more than two-thirds of said board, and approved by the Mayor in the sum of \$2,850,000, and such sum is too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the city and county of San Francisco in addition to the other annual expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of the municipal improvement described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the city and county of San Francisco to the amount required therefor.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the city and county of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the General Municipal Election to be held Tuesday, November 2, 1937, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said General Municipal Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively, as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth by the Registrar of Voters of polling places and election officers for the said General Municipal Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said General Municipal Election.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Airport Bonds, 1938, to incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of \$2,850,000 for the enlargement and improvement of San Francisco Airport in San Mateo county."

To vote for the proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes"



under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923, and amendments thereto.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the buildings, lands, other properties and structures described herein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials."

Said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1938, shall bear interest at the rate not to exceed 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of \$1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in lawful money of the United States either at the office of the Treasurer of the city and county of San Francisco or at the office of the fiscal agent of said city and county in the city of New York. Said bonds shall be designated "Airport Bonds, 1938," and shall be numbered from 1 to 2,850, both inclusive, and shall be payable \$285,000 thereof 2 years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and \$285,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same date in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 7. Bonds issued hereunder may be converted into registered bonds upon presentation to the Treasurer of the city and county of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of said bonds and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

Section 8. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said city and county set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in The San Francisco News, a newspaper published daily in the city and county of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said city and county.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Establishing Revolving Funds—Recreation Commission.**

(Code No. 23.031)

Also, Bill No. 1418, Ordinance No. 23.0311, as follows:

Amending Section 2 of Ordinance 23.031 establishing a revolving fund for the general offices of the Recreation Department, and also a

revolving fund for the San Francisco Recreation Camp under the jurisdiction of the Recreation Department, and making an appropriation for the first mentioned fund, and providing for the administration of said funds and for the reimbursement thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 of Ordinance 23.031 is hereby amended to read as follows:

"Section 2. There is also established a revolving fund to be known as the 'San Francisco Recreation Camp Revolving Fund,' under the direction and jurisdiction of the Recreation Department, which said revolving fund shall not exceed the sum of four thousand five hundred (\$4,500) dollars, and from which said revolving fund there shall be paid the expenses incident to the operation and maintenance of the San Francisco Recreation Camp which cannot be conveniently paid by warrants drawn upon the treasury of the City and County of San Francisco, including such amounts as must be paid for the purpose of fresh fruits, vegetables, meats, and other supplies for said camp, when it is necessary to pay therefor upon the delivery of said supplies, whether said supplies are purchased through the Purchaser of Supplies or as emergency purchases made by said Recreation Department direct."

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Supplemental Appropriation, Recreation Department.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1419, Ordinance No. 9.051412, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$500 out of the surplus existing in the Recreation Fund for the fiscal year 1932-1933 for the purpose of paying obligations of the Recreation Department for the fiscal year 1934-1935 not otherwise provided for.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$500 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the Recreation Fund for the fiscal year 1932-1933 for the purpose of paying obligations of the Recreation Department for the fiscal year 1934-1935 not otherwise provided for.

Section 2. This ordinance shall not become effective until Ordinance No. 23.0311 is adopted.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Appropriation of \$30,000 for Widening Market Street, Between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-sixth Streets.**

(Code No. 9.051.)

Also, Bill No. 1420, Ordinance No. 9.051413, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$30,000 out of surplus existing in the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund to the credit of Appropriation 777.934.00, for acquisition of property necessary for the widening of Market street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-sixth streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$30,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside, out of the surplus existing in the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund to the credit of Appropriation 777.934.00, for acqui-



sition of property necessary for the widening of Market street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-sixth streets.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Ordering Street Work—Taraval Street.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1421, Ordinance No. 9.051414, as follows:

Authorizing performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco; approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same; extending City aid in the sum of Sixteen Thousand Dollars (\$16,000), and making an appropriation therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 19, 1937, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per cent (7%) per annum.

The improvement of Taraval street between Twelfth avenue and Montalvo avenue, including the intervening intersections, by the construction of the following items:

*Item No.*

*Item.*

1. Grading (excavation).
2. Unarmored Concrete Curb.
3. Two-course Concrete Sidewalk.
4. Brick Catchbasins, complete.
5. 10-inch V. C. P. Culvert, in place.
6. 8-inch Class "E" 5 sacks Concrete Pavement.
7. Asphalt-Concrete Pavement, consisting of a 6-inch Class "E" 5 sack concrete base and a 2-inch Asphaltic Concrete Wearing Surface.
8. Asphaltic Concrete Conform Pavement.
9. 4-inch White Lacquer Traffic Lines.
10. Ornamental Street Lighting System, complete.

The Director of Public Works does hereby set forth the district to be benefited by said contemplated work, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered respectively as:

Lots 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14 of Block 2341;

Lots 1, 17, 18, 18A, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26 and 27 of Block 2413;

Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52 and 53 of Block 2919;

Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42 and 43 of Block 2919A;

Lots 1, 2, 3, 3A, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 38A and 39 of Block 2920;

Lots 1A, 1B, 1C, 1D, 1E, 1F, 1G, 1H, 1I, 1J, 1K, 1M, 2, 3, 4 and 5 of Block 2925;

Lots 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 and 17 of Block 2928, and

Lots 13A and 14 of Block 2979, all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Section 2. The sum of Sixteen Thousand Dollars (\$16,000) is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the following funds: from the surplus existing in the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 648.981.00, Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000), and the sum of Eleven Thousand Dollars (\$11,000) as set up in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance of 1936-37, Appropriation No. 648.981.00, for the purpose of extending city aid for this improvement, pursuant to Section 6 of the Street Improvement Ordinance No. 1934.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **Appropriation of \$2,150, Food, Juvenile Detention Home.**

(Code No. 9.051.)

Also, Bill No. 1422, Ordinance No. 9.051415, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$2,150 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation 702.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation 724.350.00, to provide for the food requirements of the Juvenile Detention Home.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,150 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation 702.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation 724.350.00 to provide for the food requirements of the Juvenile Detention Home.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide funds to adequately feed those children who are brought to the Juvenile Detention Home.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **NEW BUSINESS**

#### **Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

#### **Purchase of Land, Bernal Heights Boulevard, for \$200.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Resolution No. 3500, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed



from Reuben D. Smith, et ux., to Lots 8 and 35 in Assessor's Block 5627, San Francisco, California, required for Bernal Heights boulevard, and that the sum of \$200 be paid for said property from Appropriation No. 88.902.17.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Appropriation of \$1,805 for Creation of Position of Machinist at \$9 Per Day in the Purchasing Department.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1433, Ordinance No. 9.051416, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$1,805 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 733.209.10 to the credit of Appropriation No. 733.209.10 for the purpose of creating one position of machinist at \$9 per day in the Purchasing Department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,805 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 733.209.10 to the credit of Appropriation No. 733.209.10.

Section 2. There is hereby created in the Purchasing Department one position of machinist at \$9 per day, the compensation of which is provided by funds appropriated in Section 1 hereof.

Section 3. The position of Fire Hose Repairman, at \$160 per month, heretofore established in the Purchasing Department, is hereby abolished.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved by T. A. Brooks, Purchaser of Supplies.

Approved by Thos. A. Toomey, Acting Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Amendment to Salary Ordinance, Creating Position of Machinist, at \$9 Per Day, for Purchasing Department; Eliminating Position of Fire Hose Repairer.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1434, Ordinance No. 9.053110, as follows:

An ordinance amending Ordinance No. 9.053101 by deleting Item 47 (one position of Fire Hose Repairer at \$160 per month) and adding one position (Machinist at \$9 per day) to the number of employments heretofore established under Item 61 of Section 37 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 37 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

### Section 37. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—INTER-DEPARTMENTAL SERVICE

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided:

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
41	2	A156	Patternmaker, \$10 per day.....	
42	5	A364	Car and Auto Painter, \$10 per day.....	
43	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	\$ 185
44	1	C152	Watchman .....	170
45	2	C152	Watchman .....	155
46	1	E104	Batteryman-Electrician, \$9 per day ....	
48	1	J66	Garageman .....	160
49	3	J66	Garageman, \$6.50 per day .....	
50	1	J67	Vulcanizer, \$7 per day .....	
51	1	M2	General Foreman Machinist .....	300
52	1	M3	Superintendent, Fire Equipment Repair Shop .....	350
53	24	M54	Auto Machinist, \$9 per day .....	
54	1	M60	Auto Fender and Body Worker, \$9 per day .....	
55	4	M104	Blacksmith Helper, \$7.08 per day.....	
56	1	M104	Blacksmith Helper, \$8 per day .....	
57	5	M108	Blacksmith, \$9 per day .....	
58	1	M154	Boilermaker's Helper, \$7.08 per day...	
59	1	M156	Boilermaker, \$9 per day .....	
60	2	M252	Machinist Helper, \$7.08 per day .....	
61	6	M254	Machinist, \$9 per day .....	
62	1	O1	Chauffeur, \$6.50 per day .....	
63	1	O108	Leatherworker, \$9 per day .....	
64	1	O152	Eng. H. & P. Engines, \$10 per day.....	

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Appropriation of \$750 for Part-Time Secretarial Telephone Operator in Controller's Office at \$75 Per Month.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1435, Ordinance No. 9.051417, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$750 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 760.102.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 760.101.00, for the purpose of creating the position of Secretarial Telephone Operator (part-time), at the rate of \$75 per month, in the office of the Controller.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$750 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 760.102.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 760.101.00, for the purpose of creating the position of Secretarial Telephone Operator (part-time), at the rate of \$75 per month, in the office of the Controller.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



### Appropriation of \$25,000 for Purchase of Land for O'Shaughnessy Boulevard.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1436, Ordinance No. 9.051418, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$25,000 out of the accrued surplus in the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 777.935.00 for the purpose of purchasing the remaining lands necessary for the O'Shaughnessy boulevard.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$25,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the accrued surplus in the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 777.935.00 for the purpose of purchasing the remaining lands necessary for the O'Shaughnessy boulevard.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director Department of Public Works.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

### Tax Refunds—Erroneous Payments.

(Code No. 9.059)

Resolution No. 3501, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid to the following named, being refunds of erroneous payments of taxes:

#### *From Duplicate Tax Fund—Appropriation No. 905.*

- |  |         |
|--|---------|
| (1) Rudolph Mohr, Jr., per Vol. 44, Bill 220, Lot 3, Block 7101A, 1st Installment, fiscal year 1936.....                             | \$34.62 |
| (2) Mrs. Lucille Allen, per Vol. 41, Bill 2647, Lot 23, Block 6795D, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936.....                           | 6.93    |
| (3) Bank of America, Loan Supervision Department, per Vol. 39, Bill 2378, Lot 26, Block 6564, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936 ..... | 30.84   |
| (4) Frank Roth, c/o R. tum Suden, per Vol. 25, Bill 438, Lot 2/3, Block 3639, both Installments, fiscal year 1936....                | 124.50  |
| (5) Max A. Busse, c/o H. H. Traxler, per Vol. 18, Bill 560, Lot 33/34, Block 2403, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936.....             | 79.09   |
| (6) Michael J. King, per Vol. 11, Bill 1745, Lot 4, Block 1575, 1st Installment, fiscal year 1936 .....                              | 20.81   |
| (7) Frances Rodriquez, per Vol. 18, Bill 70, Lot 23, Block 2392, 1st Installment, fiscal year 1936 .....                             | 32.73   |

#### *From General Fund—Appropriation No. 760.969.00.*

- |   |       |
|---|-------|
| (8) Denver Chemical Co., per Vol. 6, page 26, line 11, 1937 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls, erroneous overpayment, due to erroneous assessment ..... | 56.72 |
| (9) John McLaren, per Vol. 8, page 70, line 16, 1937 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls, erroneous duplicate assessment.                                 | 13.24 |

- (10) Bessie Ziev, per Vol. 10, page 195, line 13, 1937 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls, erroneous duplicate assessment. 3.41

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Correction of Personal Property Assessment, Golden Gate Music Corporation.**

(Code No. 9.01)

Also, Resolution No. 3502, as follows:

Resolved, That pursuant to the provisions of Section 3804a and Section 3881 of the Political Code of California, the recommendation of the Assessor and the approval of the Controller, the City Attorney having consented thereto, the tangible personal property assessment of the Golden Gate Music Corporation, entered in Vol. 2, page 61, line 2 of the 1937 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls be corrected as follows:

Tangible personal property, assessed value, to read \$2,050 instead of \$4,050.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Confirming the Sale of Water Department Land on Arlington Street to Harold B. Barber.**

(Code No. 12.17252)

Also, Resolution No. 3503, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.1725211, Bill No. 1376, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids would be received by him on August 18, 1937, for the sale of the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Lot 13, Block 14 of the Fairmount Extension Homestead Association, as per map entitled "Map of the Mission and 30th Streets Extension Homestead Union," recorded April 23, 1872, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco in Book E and F of Maps at page 37; said point being the point of intersection of said westerly line with the northerly line of Arlington street; running thence from said point of commencement northerly along said westerly line of Lot 13 a distance of 116.09 feet to the most northerly corner thereof; thence easterly along the northerly line of hereinabove mentioned Block 14, a distance of 141.712 feet to the most easterly corner of Lot 15; thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of said Lot 15, a distance of 3.910 feet to an intersection with the northerly line of Arlington street; thence along said northerly line on the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 150 feet a distance of 5.648 feet; thence continuing along said northerly line southwesterly 160.186 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the right with a radius of 51 feet, a distance of 12.331 feet to the point of commencement.

Excepting therefrom a strip of land 20 feet wide, lying 10 feet on each side of the following described centerline: Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 95.09 feet northeasterly from the northerly line of Bosworth street, as shown on map of Arlington street realignment recorded in Map Book "M" at page 64, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting 88 degrees 30 minutes to the right from said line of Arlington street and running northwesterly 69.18 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 20 feet, 13.59 feet, more or less, to the westerly line of aforesaid Lot 13.



Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Harold B. Barber, et ux., offered to purchase said land for the sum of \$1,250 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said sum of \$1,250 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being \$1,250; and

Whereas, Harold B. Barber, et ux., have paid the sum of \$125 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission has recommended the sale of said land; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and it is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the deed for the conveyance of said land to Harold B. Barber, et ux.

The buyers shall pay the balance of the purchase price within thirty days after approval of this resolution.

The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the Grantees upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Confirming Sale of Water Department Land on Wilder Street  
to Andrew Berwick.  
(Code No. 12.17252)**

Also, Resolution No. 3504, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.1725211, Bill No. 1376, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids would be received by him on August 18, 1937, for the sale of the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the southerly boundary line of Block Number Four of the Fairmount Extension Homestead Association, distant thereon 279.682 feet easterly from the easterly line of Diamond street and also distant at right angles 100 feet southerly from the southerly line of Wilder street; running thence easterly 50 feet along said southerly boundary line of said Block Number Four; thence at right angles northerly 100 feet to the southerly line of Wilder street; thence at right angles westerly along said southerly line of Wilder street 50 feet, and thence at right angles southerly 100 feet to the southerly boundary line of said Block Number Four and the point of commencement.

Being Lots Numbers 18 and 19 in Block Number Four, as the same are laid down, delineated and numbered upon a certain map on file in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, entitled "Map of the Fairmount Extension Homestead Association," recorded in Book C and D of Maps, at page 93, on August 2, 1872.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Andrew Berwick, et ux., offered to purchase said land for the sum of \$1,000 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said sum of \$1,000 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being \$1,000; and

Whereas, Andrew Berwick, et ux., have paid the sum of \$100 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission has recommended the sale of said land; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said land to Andrew Berwick, et ux.

The buyers shall pay the balance of the purchase price within thirty days after approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the Grantees upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **Releasing Liens, Old Age Security.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3505, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, California, does hereby release any and all liens heretofore created by the recording of a notice of lien pursuant to Section 4 of the California Old Age Security Act, as amended by Chapter 633 of the Statutes of 1935, and that the President and Clerk of this Board are hereby authorized and directed to execute and record, on behalf of the Board, the following:

#### *Release of Liens Under Old Age Security Act.*

Pursuant to authority conferred by a resolution of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, adopted August 30, 1937, all liens heretofore created by the recording of a notice of aid pursuant to Section 4 of the Old Age Security Act as amended by the Statutes of 1935, Chapter 633, are hereby released.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **Approving County Welfare Recommendations.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3506, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Director of the County Welfare Department, filed with the Board of Supervisors on August 27, 1937, are approved as follows:

Old Age Security Aid—Cancellation; denials.

Blind Pensions—Cancellations.

Widows' Pensions—Cancellations.

And the Clerk of this Board is directed to indorse the approval of this Board upon the reports of said Director of County Welfare Department and to transmit the same to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### **Authorizing the Preparation and Mailing of Arguments Approving Bond Issues.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

(Code No. 3.02)

Resolution No. 3507, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco has by ordinance called a special election to be held in said



City and County on Tuesday, the 2d day of November, 1937, at which said special election there has been ordered submitted to the electors by the Board of Supervisors the matter of the approval of bonded indebtedness of Rapid Transit Bonds 1938, \$49,250,000; Sewer Bonds 1938, \$5,000,000; Hospital Bonds 1938, \$1,600,000, and Airport Bonds 1938, \$2,850,000; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to the provisions of Section 183 of the Charter, the Registrar of Voters be, and he is hereby directed, to include a copy of printed arguments approving these Bond Issues with each sample ballot mailed or delivered to the electors of the City and County.

#### Motion.

Supervisor Colman moved as an amendment that the money for mailing arguments be provided by the City.

#### Referred.

Whereupon, the resolution was *referred to the Finance Committee.*

#### Submission to Electors of Proposed Amendment to Ordinance Providing for Collection and Disposition of Refuse, etc.

The following recommendation of Judiciary Committee was taken up:

(Code No. 17.08)

Resolution No. 3498, as follows:

Resolved, That at the municipal election of the City and County of San Francisco to be held on November 2, 1937, there be submitted to the electors an amendment to the ordinance providing for the collection and disposition of refuse in the City and County of San Francisco; providing for the licensing of refuse collectors by the Director of Public Health; fixing the maximum rates or charges for the collection of refuse by licensed refuse collectors from homes, apartment houses, stores, etc.; dividing the City and County of San Francisco into collection routes; providing penalties for the violation of the provisions of said ordinance and providing for the repeal of the initiative ordinance passed by the People of the City and County of San Francisco on June 14, 1927, approved, passed and adopted by the People of the City and County of San Francisco at the general election held on November 8, 1932; by adding to section 7 of said ordinance a provision making it unlawful for any refuse collector, either directly or indirectly, by granting rebates, discounts, allowances, gifts or free services, or other thing or consideration of value, to discriminate in rates between different users or customers of refuse service and also making it unlawful for any person to knowingly solicit, receive or accept the benefit of such discrimination on behalf of himself or any other person.

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. —, as follows:

Providing for an amendment to the ordinance providing for the collection and disposition of refuse in the City and County of San Francisco, for the licensing of refuse collectors, fixing the maximum rates or charges for the collection of such refuse, dividing the City and County of San Francisco into collection routes and providing penalties for the violation of the provisions of said ordinance and repealing the initiative ordinance passed by the People of the City and County of San Francisco on June 14, 1927, passed by the People of the City and County of San Francisco at the general election held on November 8, 1932, by adding to section 7 of said ordinance a provision making it unlawful for any refuse collector, either directly or indirectly, by granting rebates, discounts, allowances, gifts or free services, or other thing or consideration of value, to discriminate in rates between different users or customers of refuse service and also

making it unlawful for any person to knowingly solicit, receive or accept the benefit of such discrimination on behalf of himself or any other person.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 7 of the above described ordinance passed by the People of the City and County of San Francisco at the general election held on November 8, 1932, relating to the collection and disposition of refuse in said City and County of San Francisco, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 7. It shall be unlawful for any refuse collector to charge a greater rate for the collection and disposition of refuse than that fixed in Section 6 of this ordinance.

Nothing herein contained shall be taken or construed as preventing a refuse collector from charging a less rate or charge for the collection of refuse than that fixed in Section 6 of this ordinance; provided, however, that it shall be unlawful for any refuse collector, either directly or indirectly, by granting rebates, discounts, allowances, gifts or free services, or other thing or consideration of value, to discriminate in rates or charges between different users or customers of refuse service, or organizations or associations of users or customers thereof, entitled to like rates, terms or charges under the provisions of this ordinance and regardless of whether classified or unclassified under the provisions thereof.

It shall also be unlawful for any person to knowingly solicit, receive, or accept the benefit of, such discrimination on behalf of himself or any other person, firm, company, corporation, organization or association.

#### Committee of the Whole.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole for the purpose of hearing all persons concerned, with President Shannon in the Chair.

Supervisor Mead at this point in the proceedings was excused from the meeting.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Earl Carroll, representing Owners and Lessees Apartment House Association, and Byron Johnson, president, Owners and Lessees Apartment House Association, were heard at length in opposition to the proposed measure.

J. C. Simpson, representing Apartment House Owners and Managers Association; Eugene Fritz, president, Apartment House Owners and Managers Association, and Miss Russella Ward, secretary, Apartment House Owners and Managers Association, were heard in favor of the measure.

Wm. Lurie, representing the Scavengers Protective Association, was also heard.

#### Committee of the Whole Arises.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the Committee of the Whole arose and reported to the Board.

#### Action Deferred.

Thereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the foregoing matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for 4 p. m.*, with the understanding that the Board would then decide on some action.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendations of His Honor the Mayor were taken up:



**Leave of Absence, Hon. George Filmer, Member Public Utilities Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3508, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable George Filmer, member of the Public Utilities Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence of twenty-one days, commencing September 9th, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Leave of Absence—Miss Margaret Ennis—Member of the Board of Permit Appeals.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3509, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, that Miss Margaret Ennis, a member of the Board of Permit Appeals, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of fifteen (15)) days, commencing September 1, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Permitting United Artists Theatre to Use Municipal Street Car for Advertising Reopening of Theatres.**

(Code No. 1.06101)

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 3510, as follows:

Resolved, That the United Artists Theatre be and is hereby granted permission to advertise the reopening of the United Artists and Embassy Theatres, from Thursday, September 2d to Saturday, September 4th, inclusive, 1937, on the outside of one Municipal Railway trolley car, provided said car, when used for said advertising purpose, is not to be used to carry passengers.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer—2.

**Proposed Reduction in Gas Rates.**

(Code No. 6.0219)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 3511, as follows:

Whereas, it appears from the Annual Report of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company that said company is receiving more than a fair return on its investment used in regard to the distribution of gas;

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby directed to institute such proceedings before the Railroad Commission of the State of California as may be necessary to effect a reduction in the gas

rates charged by the Pacific Gas and Electric Company in the City and County of San Francisco.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

**Deficit in Milk Fund for Needy School Children.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 3512, as follows:

Whereas, the San Francisco School Milk Fund caring for 4500 needy school children has been exhausted; and

Whereas, if no financial provision is made to replenish said Milk Fund, a large number of needy school children will be deprived of nourishment necessary for their proper nutrition and growth; and

Whereas, supplying such food should be considered not only from a humanitarian standpoint but also from the standpoint of health conservation and well being of the community; and

Whereas, it is the duty of a community to protect the physical welfare of its underprivileged children; and

Whereas, this situation should be given immediate attention and the necessary funds supplied to meet any anticipated deficit; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco go on record respectfully requesting his Honor the Mayor to give thought and consideration to allocating enough money out of the Mayor's Emergency Reserve Fund to cover any anticipated deficit in the Health Department's Milk Fund for needy school children.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**Conference of City Officials and Delegates of 45 Northern California Supervisors re Bridge-Highway Situation.**

(Code No. 5.31)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 3513, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to an order of the Railroad Commission of the State of California, the privately-owned ferry companies operating between San Francisco and East Bay points, and between San Francisco and Sausalito have reduced their fares to thirty cents for a one-way trip and fifty cents for a round trip; and

Whereas, an appreciably reduced income is already apparent in the amount of tolls collected upon the Golden Gate Bridge and the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, which reductions in income can, in a large measure, be attributed to the greatly reduced fares of the competing privately-owned ferries; and

Whereas, this reduction in revenues will continue, and may increase in seriousness, working to the detriment of the political subdivisions financially responsible for the successful operation of the Golden Gate Bridge and to the detriment of the State Toll Bridge Authority operating the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, unless steps are taken to cope with the situation; and

Whereas, it is unreasonable to expect that automobilists will prefer the Bridges as a means of transportation across the Bay at much higher tolls than are offered by the privately-owned competing ferry companies; and

Whereas, the forty-five northern counties of the State, comprising Highway Group Number One, contribute to the maintenance of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge out of their share of the State Highway Maintenance Fund; and

Whereas, the nine counties comprising the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District have bound themselves together to guarantee the payment of any deficits from the operation of that bridge, and are therefore vitally interested in its financial success; and



Whereas, the forty-five northern counties of California, comprising State Highway Group Number One, and the counties comprising the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, have a vital interest in meeting this thirty-cent competition; and

Whereas, it seems apparent that a practical way to meet said ferry competition would be to reduce bridge tolls to twenty-five cents, which reduction would also lower the barrier to the ready movement of northern county residents as well as affording their agricultural and manufactured products freer access into the great markets of the San Francisco Metropolitan Bay area; and

Whereas, a twenty-five cent toll would also make more accessible the counties of Northern California to not only the residents of, but also the tourists visiting, the San Francisco Metropolitan Bay Area; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco be respectfully requested to appoint a committee of San Francisco City officials whose duty it shall be to call a conference of official delegates to be chosen from the Boards of Supervisors of the forty-five counties of Northern California, including the nine counties of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, to discuss the Bridge-Highway situation and to devise ways and means to cope with the condition and take such cooperative steps as may be deemed necessary to protect and conserve the mutual interests of all concerned; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to His Excellency the Governor, the State Highway Commission, the State Toll Bridge Authority, the Board of Directors of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District and the Boards of Supervisors of the said forty-five counties of Northern California.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

#### **Creation of Advisory Committee to Study State Legislation.**

(Code No. 5.35)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 3514, as follows:

Whereas, measures of importance to this City and County are submitted from time to time to the California Legislature; and

Whereas, chapter 26 of the Statutes of 1935 authorizes the legislative body of any city and county to present to the Legislature and its Committees directly or through duly authorized representatives such information as is deemed necessary for the purpose of aiding in the passage of any legislation which in the judgment of said legislative body of said city and county may be deemed beneficial thereto, or for the purpose of preventing the passage of any legislation which said legislative body of said city and county may deem detrimental to said city and county; and

Whereas, it is deemed advisable to create a committee in the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of studying proposed legislation in the interim between State legislative sessions, and such legislation as may be presented to the California Legislature for consideration and approval and to make reports of said studies to the Board of Supervisors for its consideration; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That there is hereby created a committee to be known as Advisory Committee on State Legislation for the purposes hereinabove recited, to be made up of the following membership: President of Board of Supervisors, Chairman; the Chairman of Finance Committee of Board of Supervisors; Chairman of Legislative and Judiciary Committee of Board of Supervisors; Chief Administrative Officer; City Attorney; Assessor; and Controller. The Mayor shall be an ex-officio member of the Committee.

The Advisory Committee on State Legislation shall have the power by majority vote to increase the membership of its Committee when it is so deemed necessary; and, be it

Further Resolved, That said Committee, or its duly authorized representative, is hereby authorized to represent the conclusions of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco before the Legislature of the State of California, or any committee thereof, concerning any proposed legislation deemed beneficial or detrimental to said City and County;

And the Chairman of said Committee or any member of the Committee designated by him, is authorized and empowered to attend any conference or public or quasi public meetings, where such matters may be discussed as considered, as the representative of the City and County of San Francisco.

Expenses of said Committee to be paid out of such funds as may be provided from time to time by appropriation for said purposes.

*Over two weeks.*

#### **In Memoriam, Manuel Silva.**

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Board, when it adjourns, does so out of respect to the memory of the late Manuel Silva, and that the Clerk be authorized to draw up the resolution of sympathy.

*Motion carried unanimously by a rising vote.*

#### **Parking Prohibition on Market Street.**

Communication from San Francisco Real Estate Board, transmitting resolution re Parking on Market Street, was read by the Clerk.

Hearing of reports from City officials relative to conditions pursuant to enactment of recent amendments to Traffic Ordinance respecting parking prohibition on Market street, was continued by the Board and made a special order of business for 2:30 p. m., September 13.

#### **Announcement.**

Meeting of Public Welfare Committee, September 2, 10 a. m.

#### **ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board, at 6:30 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 7, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.





Vol. 32

No. 36

Tuesday, September 7, 1937

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 7, 1937, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, September 7, 1937,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—3. (Excused on leave.)

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of August 30, 1937, was considered read and approved.

### Hearing of Protests—Assessment for Sewers and Improvement of Conkling Street and Silver Avenue.

Hearing of protests against assessment for the improvement of Conkling street northerly from Silver avenue and of Silver avenue between Scotia and Layton streets by G. J. Treacy, described in Resolution of Intention of Board of Public Works No. 5202.

*Protest filed August 28, 1937, by Edmund O'Connell, 44 Conkling street.*

### Action Deferred.

After hearing Mr. Edmund O'Connell, property owner; Andrew J. Gallagher and Wm. Pidge, Assistant City Engineer, the foregoing matter was, on motion of Supervisor Uhl, *laid over one week*, City Engineer to report on condition of manhole and street.

## SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

### Notice of Sale \$3,500,000 of Tax Anticipation Notes.

Sealed bids for the purchase of Tax Anticipation Notes issued by the City and County of San Francisco, pursuant to Ordinance No. 9.0339, in the amount of three million five hundred thousand dollars (\$3,500,000) to be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Tuesday, September 7, 1937, and will be opened by said Board at said time.

The Tax Anticipation Notes are described as follows, to-wit:

Notes in the amount of three million five hundred thousand dollars (\$3,500,000) in denominations of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) each to be dated as of the day of delivery thereof and to be payable to bearer on December 21, 1937, and issued under authority of Ordinance No. 9.0339 and payable exclusively out of taxes levied by said City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1937-1938 without



preference or priority of any one note over any other note. All of said notes shall constitute a first lien and charge against said taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year 1937-1938, in which said money represented by said notes respectively shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes and before any part thereof is used for any other purpose. Any of said notes not paid at maturity shall nevertheless be paid out of moneys received from the taxes for said fiscal year 1937-1938 irrespective of the date the same shall be so received.

Said notes shall bear interest at the rate or rates not to exceed six (6) per cent per annum as shall be named by the bidder, said interest to be paid at maturity of said notes.

The said notes will be sold and awarded to the bidder or bidders offering to purchase the same at the lowest rate or rates of interest computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to December 21, 1937. If two or more bidders offer to purchase said notes at the same lowest rate or rates of interest, the Board of Supervisors shall determine which bid shall be accepted. Interest shall be computed on the basis of three hundred sixty-five (365) days per year.

The right is reserved by the Board of Supervisors to reject any and all bids.

All proposals for the purchase of said notes shall be accompanied by a deposit of five (5) per cent of the amount of the bid in lawful money of the United States, or by the deposit of a certified check for said five (5) per cent payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, provided that no deposit exceed the sum of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000); which deposit of money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the notes bid for by him if his bid is accepted.

The approval of Messrs. Orrick, Palmer & Dahlquist, attorneys at law, San Francisco, California, as to the legality of the aforesaid notes, will be furnished to the successful bidder or bidders for said notes without cost.

#### Bids.

The following proposals for the purchase of the three million five hundred thousand dollars (\$3,500,000) Tax Anticipation Notes were received, opened, read and referred to the Finance Committee:

##### *The Anglo California National Bank of San Francisco.*

For the three million five hundred thousand dollars (\$3,500,000) par value Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco, as advertised in your regular printed notice of sale, we hereby bid you par plus a premium of \$31.

The notes above bid for are more particularly described as follows:

Three million five hundred thousand dollars (\$3,500,000) par value Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco, California; in denomination of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) each; said notes to be dated as of the day of delivery thereof, and to be payable to bearer on December 21, 1937; said notes to bear interest at the rate of one (1) per cent per annum, said interest to be paid at maturity of said notes. Certified check for \$10,000 on the Anglo California National Bank filed with the Clerk.

##### *R. H. Moulton & Company, Weeden & Co., V. E. Breeden.*

For \$3,500,000 City and County of San Francisco Tax Anticipation 1 per cent notes of the denomination of \$10,000 each to be dated September 8, 1937, and maturing December 21, 1937, interest payable at maturity at the office of the treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, we will pay you \$3,500,000, being the par value of said notes, together with a premium of \$210.

Certified check for \$10,000 on the Bank of California filed with the Clerk.

**Adopted.**

Subsequently, during the proceedings the following recommendation of the Finance Committee was presented, read and *adopted*.

**Sale of \$3,500,000 Tax Anticipation Notes.**

(Code No. 9.033)

Resolution No. 3524 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given as provided by Ordinance No. 9.0339 that sealed proposals for the purchase of three million five hundred thousand (\$3,500,000) dollars tax anticipation notes of the City and County of San Francisco would be received and considered up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Tuesday, September 7, 1937; and

Whereas, two bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the bid of R. H. Moulton & Co., V. E. Breeden is hereby accepted as follows: For three million five hundred thousand (\$3,500,000) dollars City and County of San Francisco Tax Anticipation One Per Cent Notes of the denomination of ten thousand (\$10,000) dollars each to be dated September 8, 1937, and maturing December 21, 1937, interest payable at maturity at the office of the treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, we will pay you \$3,500,000, being the par value of said notes, together with a premium of \$210.

This bid is made subject to immediate acceptance, and with the understanding that the notes are to be delivered to us on September 8, 1937, and that upon delivery you will furnish us free of charge with the final legal opinion of Messrs. Orrick, Palmer & Dahlquist, approving the legality of these notes in all respects.

As evidence of our good faith, and to comply with your published notice of sale, we hand you herewith a cashier's check of the Bank of California, N. A., for \$10,000 payable to the order of J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors, said check to be used in part payment for the notes when taken up by us, or to be returned promptly in the event that we are not awarded the issue.

Respectfully submitted,

R. H. MOULTON & COMPANY,  
WEEDEN & CO.,  
V. E. BREEDEN.

The Clerk is directed to return the check to the unsuccessful bidder.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

**SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.****Action Deferred.**

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

**Hearing of Appeal—Rezoning Southwest Webster and Chestnut Streets.**

Hearing of appeal of property owners against action of the City Planning Commission rezoning from commercial district to second residential district property located on the southwest corner of Chestnut and Webster streets.



**SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.**

The following recommendation of Judiciary Committee was taken up:

**Submission to Electors of Proposed Amendment to Ordinance  
Providing for Collection and Disposition of Refuse, Etc.**

(Code No. 17.08)

Resolution No. 3498, as follows:

Resolved, That at the municipal election of the City and County of San Francisco to be held on November 2, 1937, there be submitted to the electors an amendment to the ordinance providing for the collection and disposition of refuse in the City and County of San Francisco; providing for the licensing of refuse collectors by the Director of Public Health; fixing the maximum rates or charges for the collection of refuse by licensed refuse collectors from homes, apartment houses, stores, etc.; dividing the City and County of San Francisco into collection routes; providing penalties for the violation of the provisions of said ordinance and providing for the repeal of the initiative ordinance passed by the people of the City and County of San Francisco on June 14, 1927, approved, passed and adopted by the people of the City and County of San Francisco at the general election held on November 8, 1932; by adding to section 7 of said ordinance a provision making it unlawful for any refuse collector, either directly or indirectly, by granting rebates, discounts, allowances, gifts or free services, or other thing or consideration of value, to discriminate in rates between different users or customers of refuse service and also making it unlawful for any person to knowingly solicit, receive or accept the benefit of such discrimination on behalf of himself or any other person.

Bill No. ———, Ordinance No. ———, as follows:

Providing for an amendment to the ordinance providing for the collection and disposition of refuse in the City and County of San Francisco, for the licensing of refuse collectors, fixing the maximum rates or charges for the collection of such refuse, dividing the City and County of San Francisco into collection routes and providing penalties for the violation of the provisions of said ordinance and repealing the initiative ordinance passed by the people of the City and County of San Francisco on June 14, 1927, passed by the people of the City and County of San Francisco at the general election held on November 8, 1932, by adding to section 7 of said ordinance a provision making it unlawful for any refuse collector, either directly or indirectly, by granting rebates, discounts, allowances, gifts or free services, or other thing or consideration of value, to discriminate in rates between different users or customers of refuse service and also making it unlawful for any person to knowingly solicit, receive or accept the benefit of such discrimination on behalf of himself or any other person.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 7 of the above described ordinance passed by the people of the City and County of San Francisco at the general election held on November 8, 1932, relating to the collection and disposition of refuse in said City and County of San Francisco, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 7. It shall be unlawful for any refuse collector to charge a greater rate for the collection and disposition of refuse than that fixed in Section 6 of this ordinance.

Nothing herein contained shall be taken or construed as preventing a refuse collector from charging a less rate or charge for the collection of refuse than that fixed in Section 6 of this ordinance; provided, however, that it shall be unlawful for any refuse collector, either directly

or indirectly, by granting rebates, discounts, allowances, gifts or free services, or other thing or consideration of value, to discriminate in rates or charges between different users or customers of refuse service, or organizations or associations of users or customers thereof, entitled to like rates, terms or charges under the provisions of this ordinance and regardless of whether classified or unclassified under the provisions thereof.

It shall also be unlawful for any person to knowingly solicit, receive, or accept the benefit of, such discrimination on behalf of himself or any other person, firm, company, corporation, organization or association.

**Amendment—Presented by Supervisor George Reilly.**

(Code No. ———)

Resolution No. ———, as follows:

*Resolved: That at the municipal election of the City and County of San Francisco to be held on November 2, 1937, there be submitted to the electors an amendment to the ordinance providing for the collection and disposition of refuse in the City and County of San Francisco: providing for the licensing of refuse collectors by the Director of Public Health; fixing the maximum rates or charges for the collection of refuse by licensed refuse collectors from homes, apartment houses, stores, etc.; dividing the City and County of San Francisco into collection routes: providing penalties for the violation of the provisions of said ordinance and providing for the repeal of the initiative ordinance passed by the people of the City and County of San Francisco on June 14, 1927, approved, passed and adopted by the people of the City and County of San Francisco at the general election held on November 8, 1932; by adding to section 4 of said ordinance a provision requiring public hearings before the Director of Public Health on all applications for permits to collect refuse to determine the sufficiency of the signatures on and the truth of the statements concerning inadequate service in the petition or contract referred to by such applications, and by adding to section 7 of said ordinance a provision making it unlawful for any refuse collector, either directly or indirectly, by granting rebates, discounts, allowances, gifts or free services, or other thing or consideration of value, to discriminate in rates between different users or customers of refuse service and also making it unlawful for any person to knowingly solicit, receive or accept the benefit of such discrimination on behalf of himself or any other person.*

Bill No. ———, Ordinance No. ———, as follows:

Providing for an amendment to the ordinance providing for the collection and disposition of refuse in the City and County of San Francisco, for the licensing of refuse collectors, fixing the maximum rates or charges for the collection of such refuse, dividing the City and County of San Francisco into collection routes and providing penalties for the violations of the provisions of said ordinance and repealing the initiative ordinance passed by the people of the City and County of San Francisco on June 14, 1927, passed by the people of the City and County of San Francisco at the general election held on November 8, 1932, by adding to section 4 of said ordinance a provision providing for public hearings before the Director of Public Health on all applications for permits to collect refuse to determine the sufficiency of the signatures on and the truth of the statements concerning inadequate service in the petition or contract referred to by such application, and by adding to section 7 of said ordinance a provision making it unlawful for any refuse collector, either directly or indirectly, by granting rebates, discounts, allowances, gifts or free services, or other thing or consideration of value, to discriminate in rates between different users or customers of refuse service and also making it unlawful for any person to knowingly solicit, receive or



accept the benefit of such discrimination on behalf of himself or any other person.

*Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:*

#### SECTION I.

Section 4 of the above described ordinance passed by the People of the City and County of San Francisco at the general election held on November 8, 1932, relating to the collection and disposition of refuse in said City and County of San Francisco, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 4. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, other than a refuse collector licensed by the Director of Public Health as in this ordinance provided, to transport through the streets of the City and County of San Francisco any refuse as in this ordinance defined, or to collect or to dispose of the same, except waste paper, or other refuse having a commercial value. It is provided, however, that a license for a refuse collector, as provided in section 8 hereof, shall be distinguished from a permit to operate, in the City and County of San Francisco on a certain designated route, as hereinafter provided.

Upon the conviction of any person, firm or corporation for any violation of the provisions of this ordinance, the permit of such person, firm or corporation issued under the provisions of this ordinance, shall be forthwith and immediately terminated and cancelled as of the date of the conviction.

The City and County of San Francisco herewith divided and established into routes for the collection of refuse, as designated on a map of the City and County of San Francisco, attached hereto, each said route to include only the side of the street or streets bounding each route as designated by a number on said map, said routes being numbered one to ninety-seven, inclusive, and said map and said routes are marked Exhibit A, and attached hereto and made a part of this ordinance. (See Page 1519 for Exhibit A.)

Any person, firm or corporation desiring to transport through the streets of the City and County of San Francisco, any refuse as herein defined, or to collect or dispose of the same, shall make application to the Director of Public Health for permission so to do. Said application for such permit shall contain the name of the person, firm or corporation, any of the particular route or routes, designated in said map of routes, proposed to be served by said person, firm or corporation, and a statement that said person, firm or corporation will abide by all the provisions of this ordinance, and will not charge a greater rate for the collection and disposition of said refuse than that fixed in this ordinance.

The Director of Public Health shall grant such application for a permit, but may refuse the same when the route proposed is already adequately served by a licensed refuse collector. An application for a permit must be granted, however, by the said Director of Public Health, and it is mandatory on said director to grant the same, when it shall appear in any said application for a route or routes by a person, firm or corporation, that twenty per cent or more of the householders, business men, apartment house owners, hotel keepers, institutions or residents in said route or routes, using refuse service, and paying for same, or obligated to do so, have signed a petition or contract in which they have stated that they are inadequately served by any refuse collector who is then collecting refuse on said route, [and it shall appear to said Director, after a public hearing, that such petition or contract was signed by twenty per cent or more of the householders, business men, apartment house owners, hotel keepers, institutions or residents in said route or routes using refuse service and paying for same or obligated to do so, and that the statements in said petition or contract are true.] That inadequate service is herein defined as the failure, on the part of any refuse collector to properly collect refuse on said route, or the overcharging for the collection of


same, or for insolence toward persons whose refuse has been collected, or the collection by any refuse collector whose license has been revoked as provided in section 9 hereof. Such permit so granted by the Director of Public Health shall not be exclusive, however, and one or more persons, firms or corporations may be given a permit to collect on the same route.

Persons, firms or corporations desiring to transport through the streets of the City and County of San Francisco only waste paper or other refuse having a commercial value, and to collect and dispose of same, need not obtain a permit therefor under the provisions of this ordinance from the Director of Public Health as for the collection of other refuse.

## SECTION II.

Section 7. It shall be unlawful for any refuse collector to charge a greater rate for the collection and disposition of refuse than that fixed in section 6 of this ordinance.

Nothing herein contained shall be taken or construed as preventing a refuse collector from charging a less rate or charge for the collection of refuse than that fixed in section 6 of this ordinance; *provided, however, that it shall be unlawful for any refuse collector, either directly or indirectly, by granting rebates, discounts, allowances, gifts*



# MAP OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO



*or free services, or other thing or consideration of value, to discriminate in rates or charges between different users or customers of refuse service, or organizations or associations of users or customers thereof, entitled to like rates, terms or charges under the provisions of this ordinance and regardless of whether classified or unclassified under the provisions thereof.*

*It shall also be unlawful for any person to knowingly solicit, receive, or accept the benefit of, such discrimination on behalf of himself or any other person, firm, company, corporation, organization or association.*

#### **Proposed Amendments.**

The following proposed amendments were read by the Clerk:

#### **Proposed Amendment to Ordinance Providing for Collection and Disposition of Refuse, Etc., Presented by Jos. A. Brown, Attorney for Owners' and Lessees' Apartment Association.**

Bill No. ———, Ordinance No. ———, as follows:

Providing for an amendment to the ordinance providing for the collection and disposition of refuse in the City and County of San Francisco, for the licensing of refuse collectors, fixing the maximum rates or charges for the collection of such refuse, dividing the City and County of San Francisco into collection routes and providing penalties for the violation of the provisions of said ordinances and repealing the initiative ordinance passed by the People of the City and County of San Francisco on June 14, 1927, passed by the People of the City and County of San Francisco at the general election held on November 8, 1932, by adding to section 9 of said ordinance a provision to prevent any monopolistic control of rates or prices for the collection and disposition of refuse in the City and County of San Francisco by authorizing any fifty or more persons to form groups or cooperative organizations for the collection and distribution of their own garbage on a non-profit basis.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 9-A. In order to prevent any monopoly or monopolistic control of rates or prices for the collection and disposition of refuse in the City and County of San Francisco it is hereby made mandatory and not discretionary for the Director of Public Health to grant a permit to any group of fifty or more persons to collect and dispose of garbage upon a cooperative basis in the City and County of San Francisco applying for such permit.

Section 9-B. Any fifty or more persons who shall apply for such permit shall be entitled to the issuances thereof and none of the provisions of section 4 of said ordinance shall apply to such cooperative groups in so far as they require route designations, maps, requirements or twenty per cent signatures. Nor shall any other provisions of such ordinance apply to such cooperative groups in so far as they may be inconsistent with the absolute right to a permit and license and which permit and license shall be valid in any part of the City and County of San Francisco where any members of such group have a place of business or a lease on real property or any interest therein where garbage or refuse is accumulated on such premises.

Section 9-C. Any such cooperative group of fifty or more persons shall have access to such disposal grounds or facilities as are available to other refuse collectors under section 5 of said ordinance upon same terms and conditions as such other collectors of refuse subject to the maximum charge of \$1.50 per ton as set forth in said section.

Section 9-D. Nothing herein contained shall be taken or construed as preventing any such cooperative group from charging a less rate or charge for the collection of refuse than that fixed in section 6 of this

ordinance nor from granting returns of collection charges over costs of operation.

Section 9-E. Any and all provisions of the initiative measure governing refuse collection and disposal adopted by the voters at an election held November 8, 1932, which are in any way in conflict with the amendments herein proposed are hereby repealed.

### COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE.

On motion the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into Committee of the Whole for the purpose of hearing all parties concerned.

Sam Bush, attorney representing Apartment House Owners and Managers Association; Jos. A. Brown, representing Owners and Lessees Apartment House Association; Eugene J. Fritz, representing Apartment House Owners and Managers Association; Byron Johnson, president, Owners and Lessees Apartment House Association; B. Crowley, representing Board of Health, and Dr. Morgan, representing Apartment House Owners and Managers, were heard at length.

### Committee of the Whole Arises.

Thereupon, the Committee of the Whole arose and reported to the Board.

Whereupon the Roll was called on Resolution No. 3498, known as the Bush Amendment, and recommended by the Judiciary Committee, and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt—2.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Thereupon, the Roll was called on Supervisor Reilly's amendment and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt—2.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Whereupon, the Roll was called on Mr. Brown's amendment, and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Aye—Supervisor Uhl—1.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendation of Education, Parks and Recreation Committee heretofore passed for second reading was taken up:

### PARK ORDINANCE.

(Code No. 23.011.)

Bill No. 1018, Ordinance No. 23.0113.

regulating the conduct and maintenance of parks; providing for the issuance of permits in parks; regulating traffic in parks; providing a penalty for the violation of this ordinance and repealing all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:



**ARTICLE 1.**  
**DEFINITIONS.**

- Sec. 1. Parks defined.
- Sec. 2. Board of Park Commissioners defined.
- Sec. 3. Superintendent defined.
- Sec. 4. Secretary defined.
- Sec. 5. Person defined.

**SEC. 1. Parks Defined.** When used in this chapter the word "park" shall include all grounds, roadways, avenues, the Great Highway, parks and areas under the control, management, or direction of the Park Commission under the provisions of Section 41 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

**SEC. 2. Board of Park Commissioners Defined.** When used in this chapter the words "Board of Park Commissioners," "Park Commissioners" or "Commissioners" refer to the Park Commission of the City and County of San Francisco.

**SEC. 3. Superintendent Defined.** When used in this chapter the word "superintendent" refers to the Superintendent of Parks appointed by the Park Commission pursuant to Section 40 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, unless a different meaning is clear.

**SEC. 4. Secretary Defined.** When used in this chapter the word "secretary" shall mean the Secretary of the Park Commission.

**SEC. 5. Person Defined.** The word "person" when used in this chapter includes persons, associations, partnerships, firms and corporations.

**ARTICLE 2.**  
**REGULATIONS.**

- Sec. 6. Rules of Park Commission to be obeyed.
- Sec. 7. Signs and notices to be obeyed.
- Sec. 8. Public may be excluded.
- Sec. 9. Bridle paths—Use of by pedestrians prohibited.
- Sec. 10. Riding and driving horses or vehicles.
- Sec. 11. Performance of labor in parks.
- Sec. 12. Organized games and flying kites.
- Sec. 13. Peddling, vending merchandise.

**SEC. 6. Rules of Park Commission to Be Obeyed.** No person shall obey or violate any of the rules or regulations of the Park Commission, governing the use and enjoyment by the public of any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, or governing the use and enjoyment of any building, structure, equipment, apparatus or appliances thereon, which rules or regulations, at the time, are posted in some conspicuous place in the park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, or in or near the building, structure, equipment, apparatus or appliance to which the rule or regulation applies.

**SEC. 7. Signs and Notices to Be Obeyed.** No person shall disobey any instruction, sign, or notice posted by the Park Commission in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, or in any building, structure, or erection thereon for the control, management, or direction of such park, square, avenue, grounds, recreation center, building, structure, construction, or erection.

**SEC. 8. Public May Be Excluded.** In an emergency or when the Superintendent of Parks shall determine that the public interest, or public health, public morals, or public safety demands such action, any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, or any part or portion thereof, may be closed against the public, and all persons may be excluded therefrom until such emergency or other reason upon which such determination of the Superintendent of Parks is based has ceased, and upon the cessation thereof the park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center or part or portion thereof closed shall be again reopened to the public by the Superintendent of Parks by order of the Park Commission.

**SEC. 9. Bridle Paths—Use of by Pedestrians Prohibited.** No person shall walk upon any bridle path, or upon any drive in Golden Gate Park, except to cross the same.

**SEC. 10. Riding and Driving Horses or Vehicles.** No person shall ride or drive any horse or other animal, or drive or propel any vehicle within any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, except upon the roads, driveways, bridle paths, paths, and highways provided and designated by the Park Commission for such use, and must ride or drive such horse or other animal or drive or propel such vehicle in a careful manner, at lawful rate of speed, and in accordance with the rules and regulations made by the Park Commission.

**SEC. 11. Performance of Labor in Parks.** No person shall perform any labor in or upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center such as taking up or replacing soil, turf, ground, or pavement, structure, tree, shrub, plant, grass, flower and the like, except under the supervision and control of the Superintendent of Parks and by virtue of a permit issued by the Park Commission to some person, authorizing such labor.

**SEC. 12. Organized Games and Flying Kites.** No person shall fly a kite or play or participate in any organized game, such as baseball, football, hockey, and the like, in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, except at such places designated for such use by the Park Commission.

**SEC. 13. Peddling, Vending Merchandise.** No person shall bring, or cause to be brought into, for the purposes of sale or barter, or have for sale, or sell or exchange, or offer for sale or exchange any goods, wares, or merchandise, or other thing in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, without first having obtained a permit, concession, license or lease from the Park Commission to do so.

### ARTICLE 3.

#### ADVERTISING.

**SEC. 14. Handbills, circulars—Distribution and posting prohibited.**

**SEC. 15. Advertising vehicles to use transverse roads only.**

**SEC. 14. Handbills, Circulars—Distribution and Posting Prohibited.** It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to distribute, cause to be distributed, or suffer, allow or permit the distribution of any handbill, leaflet, circular, booklet, card, pamphlet, sheet, or written or printed notice advertising any commodity, article, merchandise, business, activity, person or thing in or upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, or to post or affix, cause to be posted or affixed, or permit to be posted or affixed to any tree, shrub, plant, fence, building, structure, monument, wall, tablet, apparatus, bridge, post, pole, bench, corral, gate, animal cage, aviary, or other physical object within any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center



any handbill, dodger, circular, booklet, card, pamphlet, sheet, or written printed notice advertising any commodity, article, merchandise, business, person, thing, or activity.

**SEC. 15. Advertising Vehicles to Use Transverse Roads Only.** No vehicle having thereon or attached thereto any exposed advertising matter, name, owner, name of maker of said vehicle, or sign, or notice advertising any commodity, article, merchandise, business, activity, person or thing shall be allowed within any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center; provided, however, that trucks, drays, wagons, motor delivery vehicles, and other vehicles may use (1) the transverse road extending across Golden Gate Park between Lincoln way at Nineteenth avenue and Fulton street at Park Presidio boulevard and/or Twenty-fifth avenue, and (2) upon Stanyan street between Fell street and Oak street.

#### ARTICLE 4.

#### ANIMALS AND BIRDS.

Sec. 16. Animals at large.

Sec. 17. Animals led or driven.

Sec. 18. Dogs.

Sec. 19. Dogs excluded from Zoological Park, Children's Playgrounds, etc.

Sec. 20. Feeding fish, birds, animals, prohibited.

Sec. 21. Disturbing animals, birds, fish, prohibited.

**SEC. 16. Animals at Large.** No person shall let loose or permit to run at large any horse, mule, ass, cattle, goat, sheep, swine, or other animal, or fowl of any kind, in or upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center.

**SEC. 17. Animals Led or Driven.** No person shall lead, drive, entice, conduct or otherwise bring any horse, mule, ass, cattle, goat, sheep, swine, or other animal or fowl of any kind in or upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, except horses attached to vehicles being driven by the person in charge or horses being used for riding purposes.

**SEC. 18. Dogs.** No person having in charge a dog shall permit, allow, or suffer such dog to enter upon or remain in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, unless said dog is fastened to and restrained by a chain or leash not more than eight feet long.

**SEC. 19. Dogs Excluded from Zoological Park, Children's Playgrounds, Etc.** No person having in charge any dog shall permit, allow, or suffer such dog to enter upon or remain within the Zoological Park in the Herbert Fleishhacker Playfield, or to enter or remain within any play area reserved for children, or to enter any sand box or play apparatus, or to drink from the water of any lake or pond in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, except at such place or places as are maintained and designated by the Park Commission as drinking places for dogs.

**SEC. 20. Feeding Fish, Birds, Animals, Prohibited.** No person shall feed or offer food to any fish, bird, animal or reptile in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center; and no person shall give or offer any tobacco or other noxious substance to any fish, bird, animal or reptile in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center.

**SEC. 21. Disturbing Animals, Birds, Fish, Prohibited.** No person shall hunt, chase, shoot, trap, discharge or throw missiles at, molest, disturb, snare, catch, injure or destroy any animal, bird, fish or reptile in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center.

**ARTICLE 5.**  
**NUISANCES.**

Sec. 22. Rubbish to be put into receptacles.

**SEC. 22. Rubbish to Be Put Into Receptacles.** No person shall throw, place, cast, deposit, or dump, or cause to be thrown, placed, cast, deposited, or dumped any ashes, refuse, offal, vegetables, garbage, dross, cinders, shells, saw, shavings, paper, scraps, dirt, dead animal, dead fish, dead fowl, dead bird or dead reptile, glass, crockery, bones, tin cans or like matter, empty box, carton, waste paper, remains of food, newspaper, filth or rubbish of any kind in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, except to place the same in the cans or receptacles provided for such matter.

**ARTICLE 6.**  
**DISORDERLY CONDUCT.**

Sec. 23. Boxing and wrestling contests prohibited.

Sec. 24. Disorderly conduct.

- (a) Profane, indecent, or insulting language.
- (b) Indecent acts and dress.
- (c) Throwing missiles.
- (d) Firearms, discharging, carrying.
- (e) Firecrackers, torpedoes.
- (f) Fires only at places designated.
- (g) Gambling, games of chance.
- (h) Acts tending to breach of the peace.
- (i) Begging, soliciting, etc.
- (j) Fortune telling, palmistry.
- (k) Climbing trees, fences, structures.
- (l) Aircraft landing, etc.

Sec. 25. Intoxicated persons.

Sec. 26. Bathing restrictions.

Sec. 27. Polluting ponds.

Sec. 28. Undressing at beach.

Sec. 29. Minors—parent, guardian.

Sec. 30. Eject offenders.

**SEC. 23. Boxing and Wrestling Contests Prohibited.** No person shall engage in any boxing contest, prize fight, wrestling contest, or promote, or participate in any such contest in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center.

**SEC. 24. Disorderly Conduct.** No person shall, in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center:

- (a) Use profane, indecent, or insulting language;
- (b) Do any obscene or indecent act, or be indecently clad;
- (c) Throw stones or other missiles;
- (d) Fire or carry firearms;
- (e) Fire or carry any firecracker, torpedo or fireworks;
- (f) Make any fire, except at places designated by the Park Commission for such use;
- (g) Play any game of chance, or have, or use, or operate any gaming table, or instrument, or apparatus, or equipment for such use;
- (h) Do any act tending to a breach of the public peace;
- (i) Beg, or solicit contributions, gifts, or subscriptions;
- (j) Tell fortunes, read horoscopes, practice palmistry for compensation;



- (k) Climb upon any wall, fence, shelter, seat, statue, building, structure, construction or erection;
- (l) Bring, land, or cause to descend or alight any aeroplane, airship, flying machine, dirigible, dirigible balloon, balloon, parachute or other instrumentality, or machine, or apparatus for aviation, except by permission of the Park Commission.

**SEC. 25. Intoxicated Persons.** No person who is intoxicated, noisy, disorderly, boisterous, or publicly offensive shall be admitted into or permitted to remain within any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center; and the Superintendent of Parks, manager, keeper, or person in charge shall refuse admittance to any such person and shall eject any such person therefrom.

**SEC. 26. Bathing Restrictions.** No person shall bathe in the waters of any lake, pond, pool, tank or reservoir in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, except at places designated by the Park Commission for such purpose; nor in the waters of the Pacific Ocean bordering the Ocean Beach west of the Great Highway and the Skyline boulevard or north of the northerly line of Fort Funston Military Reservation, or west of the easterly boundary line of Lincoln Park.

**SEC. 27. Polluting Ponds.** No person shall throw or place or cause to be thrown or placed any dirt, filth, or foreign matter into the waters of, or near to the waters of any lake, pond, pool, tank or reservoir in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, and it is unlawful to pollute in any manner the water of any of said lakes, ponds, pools, tanks, or reservoirs.

**SEC. 28. Undressing at Beach.** No person shall undress on the Great Highway or the Skyline boulevard along the Ocean Beach north of the northerly boundary line of Fort Funston Military Reservation and west of the easterly boundary line of Lincoln Park, except at such place or places as have been designated by the Park Commission for such purpose.

**SEC. 29. Minors—Parent, Guardian.** No parent, guardian or custodian of a minor shall permit or allow such minor to do any act or thing, in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, prohibited by the provisions of this chapter.

**SEC. 30. Eject Offenders.** All persons doing any act or thing injurious to any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center shall be removed therefrom by the Superintendent of Parks, manager, or keeper or by the police.

## ARTICLE 7.

### MEETINGS AND ASSEMBLIES.

Sec. 31. Holding meetings, discussions.

Sec. 32. Parades, picnics, etc.

Sec. 33. Funeral processions—routes.

**SEC. 31. Holding Meetings, Discussions.** It shall be unlawful to hold any public meeting, or group, or collection, or assemblage of persons, or to hold or engage or participate in any public discussion, or debate, where five (5) or more persons are assembled for that purpose, in or upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, without first having obtained a permit to hold said meeting, or group, or collection, or assemblage from the Park Commission, except at such place or places as shall have been designated by the Park Commission for such use.

**SEC. 32. Parades, Picnics, Etc.** Any lodge, society, social organization, social club, or other organization of persons, having twenty-five (25) or more

members, desiring to resort to or use any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center for a parade, picnic, or other similar recreation purpose, shall notify the Superintendent of Parks or the Secretary of the Park Commission of such intended use, and shall obtain a permit therefor from the Park Commission, not less than one day prior to such use.

**SEC. 33. Funeral Processions—Routes.** No funeral procession, hearse, head wagon, or other vehicle designed for or carrying the body of a deceased person shall enter into or upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, except by (1) the transverse road across Golden Gate Park between Lincoln way at Nineteenth avenue and Fulton street at Park Presidio boulevard, and/or Twenty-fifth avenue, or (2) upon Stanyan street between Fell street and Oak street.

## ARTICLE 8.

### MALICIOUS MISCHIEF.

- Sec. 34. Injuring trees, shrubs, plants.
- Sec. 35. Removing trees, plants, turf, etc.
- Sec. 36. Bathing apparel and apparatus, not to be removed.
- Sec. 37. Defacing buildings, structures, prohibited.

**SEC. 34. Injuring Trees, Shrubs, Plants.** No person shall cut, break, dig up, pull up, pluck or in any manner injure any tree, bush, shrub, flower, or plant growing in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, or in any building or structure within any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center.

**SEC. 35. Removing Trees, Plants, Turf, Etc.** No person shall remove or take away any tree, wood, bush, turf, flower, plant, grass, soil or rock, or anything of like kind from any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center.

**SEC. 36. Bathing Apparel and Apparatus, Not to Be Removed.** No person shall take, carry away or remove from any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center any bathing suit, bathing cap, towel, bathing apparatus, or other personal property provided by the Park Commission for bathing purposes.

**SEC. 37. Defacing Buildings, Structures, Prohibited.** No person shall destroy, mutilate, deface, cut, scratch, mark upon, write upon, print upon, paint upon, or otherwise mar, or mutilate, or deface, or injure any building or structure, rest room, toilet, apartment, or any wall, fence, door, fixture, or any part thereof, or any furniture, equipment, or apparatus therein, within any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center.

## ARTICLE 9.

### PERMITS.

- Sec. 38. Yacht Harbor mooring permits.
- Sec. 39. Erecting obstruction.
- Sec. 40. Building materials.
- Sec. 41. Red lanterns at danger points.
- Sec. 42. Permits issued by Secretary of Park Commission.
- Sec. 43. Ground to be restored to condition.
- Sec. 44. Amusements, shows, etc.—permit required.



**SEC. 38. Yacht Harbor Mooring Permits.** Yacht Harbor, at the foot of Scott and Divisadero streets, is hereby reserved as mooring grounds for no commercial water craft and boats; but no boat or water craft may enter or moor within said Yacht Harbor until a permit for such entry and mooring shall have been obtained from the Park Commission authorizing such entry or mooring. After the required permit shall have been issued by the Park Commission the boat or water craft shall be allowed to enter and use only the mooring space designated in the permit, and shall at all times be subject to and shall obey all rules and regulations made by the Park Commission for the control, management and government of Yacht Harbor.

**SEC. 39. Erecting Obstruction.** No person shall build, construct, erect, put up or maintain any building, structure, fence, wall, monument, dome or other thing in or upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center without first having obtained from the Park Commission a permit to do so, and any such building, structure, fence, wall, monument, dome or other such thing shall not prevent, hinder, or impair the full and free use and enjoyment by the public of such park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center for park purposes.

**SEC. 40. Building Materials.** No person shall place, pile, deposit, or leave any building material or any article or thing whatsoever upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center without first having obtained a permit to do so from the Park Commission.

**SEC. 41. Red Lanterns at Danger Points.** Every person, firm, or corporation by whom, or under whose direction or authority, either as principal contractor or employer, any part or portion of any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center is made dangerous, must erect and, so long as the danger continues, maintain around the portion of the park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center so made dangerous, a good and substantial barrier, and shall maintain at both ends of such barrier, during every night, from sunset until daylight, lighted red lanterns or road flares sufficient to give warning of said danger.

**SEC. 42. Permits Issued by Secretary of Park Commission.** The Secretary of the Park Commission shall receive all fees, bonds, and deposits from applicants for permits, and, from time to time, shall make report in writing to the Park Commission of the permits issued.

**SEC. 43. Ground to Be Restored to Condition.** Where work has been done in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, under any permit, the permittee shall repair, reconstruct, and put the ground in good condition in all respects when the work shall have been completed or terminated or such permit shall have expired, as said ground was in when said permit was granted or said work commenced; the condition of the ground, site or place in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center where any work has been done pursuant to any permit, shall be made satisfactory to the Park Commission, and on the completion of said work the permittee shall forthwith remove all buildings, structures, machinery or equipment used in connection with said work from said park.

**SEC. 44. Amusements, Shows, Etc. Permit Required.** No person shall set up or maintain any exhibition, place of amusement, concert hall, moving picture, show, showstand, performance, concert, entertainment or amusement in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center without first having obtained a permit to do so from the Park Commission.

## ARTICLE 10.

## TRAFFIC REGULATIONS AND PENALTY.

- Sec. 45. Trucks, drays, delivery vehicles, prohibited.  
Sec. 46. Soliciting passengers for vehicles prohibited.  
Sec. 47. Pleasure vehicles only in parks.  
Sec. 48. Violation a misdemeanor—penalty.  
Sec. 49. Saving clause.  
Sec. 50. Repeal.

**SEC. 45. Trucks, Drays, Delivery Vehicles, Prohibited.** No dray, truck, auto truck, wagon, motor delivery vehicle, or other vehicle carrying, or used in carrying goods, wares, merchandise, manure, soil, building material, or other article or thing of commerce or trade shall enter into or upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, except by (1) the transverse road across Golden Gate Park between Lincoln way at Nineteenth avenue and Fulton street at Park Presidio boulevard and/or Twenty-fifth avenue, or (2) upon Stanyan street between Fell street and Oak street. Transportation of garbage into, upon, or within any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center is prohibited.

**SEC. 46. Soliciting Passengers for Vehicles Prohibited.** No person shall solicit or arrange or contract or make any agreement for or establish or maintain any stand or other equipment for procuring custom or passengers for any carriage, coach, motor wagon, automobile, auto bus, auto stage, or other vehicle, let or used for hire, in any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center without first having obtained a permit to do so from the Park Commission.

**SEC. 47. Pleasure Vehicles Only in Parks.** No person shall bring or cause to be brought into, or to enter upon any park, square, avenue, grounds, or recreation center, any truck, delivery wagon, automobile delivery truck, garbage truck, or vehicle or conveyance of any kind customarily used for commercial purposes or for advertising, except as provided in this chapter.

**SEC. 48. Violation a Misdemeanor—Penalty.** Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and shall be punished by a fine of not more than five hundred (\$500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

**SEC. 49. Saving Clause.** If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this Ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this Ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this Ordinance, and each section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

**SEC. 50. Repeal.** All Ordinances or parts of Ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, 8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.



**Ordering the Improvement of Sidewalks on Carl and Other Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1427, Ordinance No. 12.061184, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the Assessment District, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, August 10, 1937, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of:

Carl street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 132 feet 6 inches and 157 feet 6 inches west of Stanyan street

Carl street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 152 feet 5 inches and 203 feet 5 inches west of Willard street

Carl street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 175.54 feet and 371.852 feet east of Hillway avenue

Clipper street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Diamond street and 80 feet west

Clipper street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 80 feet and 106 feet 6 inches west of Diamond street

Frederick street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Stanyan street and 32 feet 6 inches west

Frederick street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 150 feet and 205 feet east of Willard street

Frederick street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 95 feet and 121 feet 6 inches east of Arguello boulevard

Market street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Diamond and Eureka streets.

Mission street (NW.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Bosworth street and 39 feet 4 $\frac{1}{2}$  inches northeast

Mission street (NW.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 30 feet and 191 feet southwest of Kingston street

Mission street (NW.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Seneca and Onondaga avenues

Parnassus avenue (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 130 feet and 205 feet east of Willard street

Parnassus avenue (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 63.598 feet and 90.705 feet west of Hillway avenue

San Jose avenue (SE.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 75.14 feet and 100.14 feet southwest of San Juan avenue

San Jose avenue (SE.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 200.16 feet and 225.17 feet southwest of San Juan avenue

San Jose avenue (SE.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 135.01 feet and 166.11 feet southwest of Santa Ynez avenue

San Jose avenue (SE.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Whipple avenue and 50.60 feet northeast

San Jose avenue (SE.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Naglee avenue and 56.79 feet southwest

San Jose avenue (SE.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Naglee avenue and 56 feet 6 inches northeast

San Jose avenue (SE.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Foote avenue and 80.59 feet southwest

Willard street (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 100 feet and 125 feet south of Frederick street; by the construction or reconstruction of two-course concrete sidewalks of the full official width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks are defective or not already constructed to the official grade,

And the improvement of:

Beverly street (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Garfield street and 100 feet north

Cayuga avenue (SE.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 150 feet and 175 feet northeast of Rosseau street

Cayuga avenue (SE.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) opposite Danton street

Cayuga avenue (NW.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Badger street and 25 feet southwest

Cayuga avenue (NW.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Santa Ynez avenue and 216.48 feet northeast

Cayuga avenue (NW.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 108.67 feet and 134.12 feet southwest of San Juan avenue

Diamond street (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Alvarado street and 26 feet 6 inches north

Diamond street (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Twenty-sixth street and 114 feet south

Diamond street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Army street and 167 feet north

Douglass street (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Twenty-seventh street and 114 feet north

Lakeview avenue between Margaret and Capitol avenues

Monticello street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Sargent street and 150 feet north

Ralston street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Sargent street and 100 feet north

Ralston street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Sargent and Randolph streets

Regent street (SW.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 450 feet and 500 feet southeast of San Jose avenue

Rhine street (NW.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Flornoy street and 100 feet southwest

Romain street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Grand View avenue and 115 feet (more or less) east

Romain street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Grand View avenue and 72 feet 3 inches east

Romain street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Market street and Corbett avenue

Shrader street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Seventeenth street and its southerly termination; by the construction of two-course concrete sidewalks six (6) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width are not already constructed,

And the improvement of:

Market street (SW.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Glendale street and 103.456 feet southeast

Mission street (NW.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Lawrence avenue and 168 feet southwest

Niagara avenue (SW.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between San Jose avenue and 147 feet northwest

Ocean avenue (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Howth street and 281 feet 7 inches west

Surrey street (NE.  $\frac{1}{4}$ ) fronting Lot 19 of Block 6730; by the construction or reconstruction of two-course concrete sidewalks six (6) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks are defective or not already constructed to the official grade,



And the improvement of:

Lakeview avenue (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Majestic avenue and 50 feet east; by the construction or reconstruction of two-course concrete sidewalks nine (9) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks are defective or not already constructed to the official grade,

And the improvement of:

Market street (SW.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Iron alley and Glendale street; by the construction or reconstruction of two-course concrete sidewalks five (5) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks are defective or not already constructed to the official grade.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Block No. 1265, Lot No. 26; Block No. 1266, Lot Nos. 1, 23, 30, 31; Block No. 1274, Lot Nos. 12, 13, 31; Block No. 1275, Lot Nos. 45, 50, 51, 52; Block No. 1275A, Lot No. 13; Block No. 2649, Lot Nos. 5, 6, 28, 29; Block No. 3202, Lot Nos. 27, 32; Block No. 3212, Lot No. 38; Block No. 6545, Lot No. 7A; Block No. 6556, Lot No. 29; Block No. 6660, Lot Nos. 9, 13, 14; Block No. 6721, Lot Nos. 3, 4; Block No. 6968, Lot Nos. 1, 2, 3, 6; Block No. 7063, Lot Nos. 10, 10A, 16; Block No. 7095, Lot Nos. 8, 9, 25, 26.

Block No. 1289, Lot Nos. 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 19, 20; Block No. 2748, Lot No. 12; Block No. 2753, Lot No. 24; Block No. 2755, Lot Nos. 1A, 1B, 18, 19, 19A, 20; Block No. 2771, Lot No. 22; Block No. 3209, Lot Nos. 3, 20B, 20C, 20D, 20E; Block No. 6561, Lot Nos. 3, 5, 5A, 7; Block No. 6562, Lot Nos. 19, 20; Block No. 6583, Lot No. 15; Block No. 6783, Lot No. 2; Block No. 6786, Lot Nos. 5, 50; Block No. 6998, Lot Nos. 25, 26, 27; Block No. 7018, Lot Nos. 22, 23, 24; Block No. 7019, Lot Nos. 20, 21, 23; Block No. 7020, Lot No. 16; Block No. 7050, Lot Nos. 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23; Block No. 7051, Lot Nos. 13, 15, 16, 18; Block No. 7052, Lot Nos. 1, 15, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28; Block No. 7058, Lot Nos. 1, 14, 15, 16, 17, 20; Block No. 7059, Lot Nos. 27, 29; Block No. 7077, Lot No. 18; Block No. 7079, Lot Nos. 16, 17, 18; Block No. 7084, Lot Nos. 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18.

Block No. 7015, Lot Nos. 20A, 21, 22, 23, 24; Block No. 7016, Lot Nos. 18, 19, 20, 25, 26; Block No. 7017, Lot Nos. 18, 19, 20, 24, 25, 26; Block No. 7159, Lot Nos. 16, 17; Block No. 7169, Lot Nos. 22, 24, 25.

Block No. 2746, Lot No. 1; Block No. 6730, Lot No. 19; Block No. 6947, Lot Nos. 1, 1B, 2; Block No. 7026, Lot Nos. 1, 4; Block No. 7143, Lot No. 1.

Block No. 7048, Lot Nos. 27, 28.

Block No. 2717, Lot Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24.

all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

#### **Ordering the Improvement of the Intersection of Barneveld Avenue and Rickard Street.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1428, Ordinance No. 12.061185, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the Assessment District, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, August 10, 1937, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the southerly intersection of Barneveld avenue and Rickard street by the construction of the following items:

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>Item</i>
1.	Grading (excavation).
2.	Brick catchbasin, complete.
3.	10-inch V. C. P. culvert, in place.
4.	Armored concrete curb.
5.	Two-course concrete sidewalk.
6.	Asphalt concrete pavement, consisting of a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on a .6-inch Class "F" concrete base.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Lots 1, 1A, 2, 10, 11, 12 and 13 of Block 5855, and Lots 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13 of Block 5856, all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

#### **Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Third Street Between Howard and Channel Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1429, Ordinance No. 12.0731111, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section One Hundred and Fifty-one (151) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office, August 12, 1937, by amending Section One Hundred and Fifty-one (151) thereof to read as follows:

Section 151. The width of sidewalks on Third street between Market and Howard streets shall be 14 feet.



The width of sidewalks on Third street, the southwesterly side of, between Howard and Townsend streets, shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Third street, the southwesterly side of, between Townsend and King streets, shall be as shown on that certain map, entitled "Map showing street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks on the southwesterly line of Third street between Townsend and King streets."

The width of sidewalks on Third street, the southwesterly side of, between King and Channel streets, shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Third street, the northeasterly side of, between Howard and Berry streets, shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Third street, the northeasterly side of, between Berry and Channel streets, shall be abolished.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

### **Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Oregon Street Between the Embarcadero and Drumm Street.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1430, Ordinance No. 12.0731112, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred (1200).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 7, 1937, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred (1200) to read as follows:

Section 1200. The width of sidewalks on Oregon street between The Embarcadero and Drumm street, shall be 3 feet 6 inches.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

### **NEW BUSINESS.**

#### **Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

#### **Approving County Welfare Recommendations.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Resolution No. 3515, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Director of the County Welfare Department, filed with the Board of Supervisors on August 31, 1937, are approved as follows:

Old Age Security Aid—New applications, effective September 1, 1937; increases, effective September 1, 1937; decreases, effective September 1, 1937; other transactions, effective as noted.

Blind Pensions—New applications, effective September 1, 1937; increases, effective September 1, 1937; decreases, effective September 1, 1937; denials, effective September 1, 1937.

Widows' Pensions—New applications, effective September 1, 1937; increases, effective September 1, 1937; decreases, effective September 1, 1937.

And the Clerk of this Board is directed to indorse the approval of this Board upon the reports of said Director of County Welfare De-

partment and to transmit the same to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

**Confirming Sale of City Land at Corner of Arlington Street and Wilder Street to Harold B. Barber.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

Also, Resolution No. 3516, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.172213, Bill No. 1344, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids would be received by him on August 18, 1937, for sale of the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Wilder street, distant thereon 476.08 feet easterly from the easterly line of Diamond street, said point being in the westerly line of the property deeded by J. D. and Mathilde Heise to the City and County of San Francisco, recorded May 3, 1928, in Volume 1650, at page 317, Official Records of said City and County; thence easterly along said line of Wilder street, 83.176 feet; thence southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to said line of Wilder street, radius 10 feet, central angle 144 degrees 45 minutes 38 seconds, 25.266 feet to tangency with the northwesterly line of Arlington street; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line, 140.660 feet; thence continuing southwesterly along said line of Arlington street on the arc of a curve to the left, radius 150 feet, central angle 2 degrees 28 minutes 17 seconds, 6.470 feet to the northwesterly boundary of Jones' Addition to Fairmount Tract, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "E and F," at page 169 of aforesaid Official Records; thence deflecting 163 degrees 20 minutes 29 seconds to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve and running northeasterly along last-named line, 77.317 feet to aforesaid westerly line of the property deeded by J. D. and Mathilde Heise; thence deflecting 54 degrees 57 minutes 20 seconds to the left and running northerly along last named line, 42.824 feet to the southerly line of Wilder street and the point of beginning.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Harold B. Barber, et ux., offered to purchase said land for the sum of \$1,250 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said sum of \$1,250 is more than ninety per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being \$1,250; and

Whereas, Harold B. Barber, et ux., have paid the sum of \$125 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Department of Public Works has recommended the sale of said land; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and it is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said land to Harold B. Barber, et ux.

The buyer shall pay the balance of the purchase price within thirty days after the approval of this resolution.

The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantees upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.



**Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2 Right of Way Purchase.**

(Code No. 15.0241)

Also, Resolution No. 3517, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Parrott Investment Company to a right of way easement over that certain tract of land in San Mateo County, California, described in deed recorded in Book 97, at page 400, Official Records of San Mateo County, required for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2, and that the sum of \$825 be paid for said easement, \$325 from Appropriation No. 93.903.05-57 and the balance of \$500 be paid from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Mateo County, Superior Court Case No. 26556.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Widening of Nineteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3518, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties those certain parcels of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project No. 5-A:

Marie H. Kaehler, portions of lots 26, 27 and 28, Assessor's Block 1835 .....	\$10,950
Stephen Schivo, portions of lots 8 and 9, Assessor's Block 1834 .....	236
Veterans' Welfare Board and John C. Cleveland, et ux., portion of lot 3, Assessor's Block 1834.....	2,429
P. J. O'Reilly, et ux., portions of lots 7 and 8, Assessor's Block 1774 .....	4,200
Anna Schivo, portions of lots 7 and 10, Assessor's Block 1834 .....	277

The above sums include damages in full to the improvement now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within 30 days after recording the deed to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for particular descriptions of said parcels of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

**Land Purchase—Nineteenth Avenue Widening.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3519, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties the following described parcels of land

situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project No. 5-A.

Simon Leibo, et ux., portion of Lot 4, Assessor's Block  
1834 .....\$2,075.00  
The Protestant Episcopal Bishop of California, part of  
Lot 14, Assessor's Block 1773 ..... 1,755.40

The above sums include damages in full to the improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within 30 days after recording the deed to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for particular descriptions of said parcels of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

#### **Land Purchase—San Bruno Avenue and Third Street.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3520, as follows:

Whereas, by deed dated November 11, 1930, the Southern Pacific Company conveyed a right of way over certain land to the City and County of San Francisco, required for the widening of the intersection of San Bruno avenue and Third street; and

Whereas, on September 22, 1930, this Board adopted Resolution No. 33142 (New Series) authorizing acceptance of said deed; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the sum of \$182.45 be paid to Southern Pacific Company as the purchase price of said land, payable from Appropriation No. 748.917.17.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

#### **Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

#### **Ordering the Improvement of Twenty-ninth Avenue Between Pacheco and Quintara Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Bill No. 1437, Ordinance No. 12.061186, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 31, 1937, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work



to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Twenty-ninth avenue between the north property line of Pacheco street and the south property line of Quintara street, by grading to official line and sub-grade, and by the construction of the following:

Item No.	Item
1.	Grading (Excavation).
2.	Grading (Embankment).
3.	6-inch Vitrified clay pipe side sewers, in place.
4.	8-inch Vitrified clay pipe sewer, in place.
5.	15-inch Vitrified clay pipe sewer, in place.
6.	18-inch Vitrified clay pipe sewer in place.
7.	8-inch x 6-inch "Y" branches in place.
8.	10-inch Vitrified clay pipe culverts, in place.
9.	Brick manholes, complete.
10.	Catchbasins, complete.
11.	Asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.
12.	Unarmored concrete curb.
13.	Two-course concrete sidewalk.
14.	Water main.
15.	Water services.
16.	1½-inch black enameled conduit.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Lot 1 of Block 2105; Lots 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, and 31 of Block 2106; Lots 1, 1A, and 2 of Block 2148; Lots 1, 1A, 1B, 1C, 1D, 1E, 1F, 1G, 1H, 1I, 1J, 1K, 2, 3, 3A, 3B, 3C, 3D, 17, and 18 of Block 2149; Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 52 of Block 2188, and Lots 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 19A, 20, 21, and 22 of Block 2189; all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

### Accepting Certain Streets in University Heights.

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1438, Ordinance No. 12.081131, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Temescal terrace, Kittredge terrace, Tamalpais terrace, Chabot terrace, Roselyn terrace and Annapolis terrace, including the curbs and the street lighting system installed therein.

Be it Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having

been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit: Temescal terrace, Kittredge terrace, Tamalpais terrace, Chabot terrace, Roselyn terrace, Annapolis terrace, including the curbs.

Section 2. The street lighting system installed in the aforementioned streets, as well as the deeds thereto, are hereby accepted on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

### **Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

#### **Acceptance of Deed to Sewer Right of Way—Geneva Avenue Outlet Sewer.**

(Code No. 12.1011)

Resolution No. 3522, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain deed from J. W. Macdonald, dated August 11, 1937, to a sewer right of way across Assessor's Block 6316, San Francisco, required for the Geneva Avenue Outlet Sewer.

It is understood that the construction of the sewer in said right of way shall be done at no cost or expense to the grantor.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

#### **Designating Certain Additional Streets of Major Importance.**

(Code No. 5.31)

Also, Resolution No. 3523, as follows:

Resolved, That the following additional streets be, and are hereby designated as streets of major importance from which moneys accruing to the credit of the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund shall be expended:

Eighth street between Townsend street and Sixteenth street, Eleventh street between Market street and Division street, Great highway (old Skyline boulevard) between Sloat boulevard and Skyline boulevard (Sloat-Skyline connection), Guerrero street between Market street and San Jose avenue, Laguna street between Marina boulevard and Bay street, Laguna Honda boulevard between Woodside avenue and Dewey boulevard, Marina boulevard between Cervantes boulevard and Laguna street, Ninth street between Market street and Division street, Sixteenth street between Sixth street and Eighth street, Sloat boulevard between Great Highway and Skyline boulevard (Sloat-Skyline connection), Stenley street between Orizaba avenue at Alemany boulevard and Junipero Serra boulevard, Valencia street between Market street and Mission street, Washington street between Montgomery street and The Embarcadero, Webster street between Duboce avenue and Broadway, Woodside avenue between Portola drive and Laguna Honda boulevard.

Further Resolved, That copy of this resolution be sent to the State



Department of Public Works, State Building, Civic Center, San Francisco, California, and to John H. Skeggs, Division Engineer thereof.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of His Honor the Mayor was taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Mr. Allison E. Schofield, Member Board of War Memorial Trustees.**

(Code No. 5.053)

Resolution No. 3521, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mr. Allison E. Schofield, member of the Board of War Memorial Trustees, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of two weeks, commencing September 15, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Declaring Geneva Avenue Southeasterly From Mission Street to Its Intersection With San Mateo County Line a Major Thoroughfare.**

(Code No. 5.31)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 3526, as follows:

Declaring that Geneva avenue as extending southeasterly from Mission street to its intersection with the county line of San Mateo County is a major thoroughfare connecting the Bay Shore Highway with Mission street, and the same is being improved for the benefit of traffic and not for the benefit of the adjoining property.

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby find and declare that Geneva avenue extending in a southeasterly direction from its intersection with Mission street to its intersection with the county line of San Mateo County is a major street connecting the Bay Shore Highway with Mission street, said Mission street and said Bay Shore Highway each being a portion of the state highway system of the State of California, and that the improvements now being prosecuted are of major importance and are being made, not for the purpose of benefiting the surrounding property, but for facilitating general traffic between Mission street and Bay Shore Highway, and that it would be unfair to compel adjoining property owners to bear any portion of the cost of the improvements now being made on said Geneva avenue, which said improvements consist of acquiring certain privately owned property and paving and re-paving said Geneva avenue.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

**Convention of Methodist Episcopal Church, South.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 3527, as follows:

Whereas, the annual conference or convention of the Methodist Episcopal Church, South, for the State of California will be held in San Francisco at the Glide Memorial Church October 27th to October 31st, inclusive; and

Whereas, several hundred ministers and members of the Methodist Episcopal Church, South, will be in attendance at this convention; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to arrange a suitable reception and entertainment for the delegates who will be in attendance at this convention.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

**Construction of Funston Avenue Approach to Golden Gate Bridge.**

(Code No. 12.111)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 3528, as follows:

Whereas, the failure to construct the Funston Avenue Approach to the Golden Gate Bridge has produced an intolerable situation which denies to many thousands of San Francisco motorists a direct and accessible road to the Golden Gate Bridge; and

Whereas, this inconvenience will tend to adversely affect the income of said bridge; and

Whereas, the people of San Francisco are vitally concerned with the financial success of the Golden Gate Bridge; and

Whereas, it seems inexcusable that a solution to the problem has not been presented by the State Highway Commission acceptable to the United States War Department; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That His Excellency, Governor Merriam, be requested to investigate and determine the responsibility for the delay, for the purpose of obviating further delay and speeding up the construction of the vitally necessary Funston Avenue Approach in a manner satisfactory to the United States War Department and to the many thousands of motorists who are now denied the facilities which the Funston Avenue Approach would afford.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

**The Irish Pipers' Band Picnic.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 3529, as follows:

Whereas, the Irish Pipers' Band will hold a picnic in San Francisco on October 10th; and

Whereas, this organization, devoted to the perpetuation of "The Old Country Music," plans to expand in numbers and to present to visitors to the Golden Gate International Exposition in 1939, the best musical organization of its kind in the world; now, therefore, be it



Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested to appoint a committee of citizens to assist in the plans for this picnic and to render such service as is possible toward the achievement of their goal in 1939.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

#### **Leave of Absence—Supervisor Jesse C. Colman.**

(Code No. 4.053)

On recommendation of Mayor:

Resolution No. 3531, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Jesse C. Colman, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing September 9, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

#### **Armistice Day Celebration.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 3530, as follows:

Whereas, on November 11 patriotic Americans will celebrate Armistice Day and in honor of those brave Americans who laid down their lives in the service of our country; now therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor, Angelo J. Rossi, be respectfully requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to prepare for the proper observance of Armistice Day.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

#### **Governor's Day at State Fair.**

Supervisor Meyer moved that a suitable committee be appointed to attend the Fair at Sacramento, on Governor's Day, September 9, 1937.

*Carried.*

Chair appointed Supervisors Meyer and Brown.

#### **Public Inspection of Civil Service Papers.**

Supervisor Reilly presented proposed Charter amendment relating to public inspection of Civil Service examination papers, questions and answers.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

#### **Terms of Police Commissioner, Etc.**

Supervisor Schmidt called out from Committee, two proposed Charter amendments relative to terms of the Police Commissioners and the Mayor, and asked that they be made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m. at the next meeting of the Board.

*So ordered.*

**Submission of Charter Amendments.**

Supervisor Meyer moved that the Clerk request an opinion from the City Attorney as to when proposed Charter amendments can be submitted to the people for their vote.

*Motion carried.*

**Bus Line Extension.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that Mr. Cahill be requested to report to the Board on what is involved and what is necessary to extend bus line two blocks from Twenty-fifth and Diamond street.

*Motion carried.*

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board of Supervisors at 6:25 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.


Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco





**Vol. 32**

**No. 37**

**Monday, September 13, 1937**

# **Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors**

**City and County of San Francisco**



**The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.**



Journal of the  
Board of Directors

2

S

C

C

be

R

S

PI

q

D

SI

W

the

the

S

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

---

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 1937—2 P. M.

---

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, September 13, 1937,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:  
Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt,  
Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly—3.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

Supervisor Reilly was noted present at 2:25 p. m.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of September 7, 1937, was  
considered read and approved.

### Hearing of Protests—Assessment for Sewers and Improvement of Conkling Street and Silver Avenue.

Hearing of protests against assessment for the improvement of  
Conkling street northerly from Silver avenue and of Silver avenue  
between Scotia and Layton streets by G. J. Treacy, described in  
Resolution of Intention of Board of Public Works No. 5202.

*Protest filed August 28, 1937, by Edmund O'Connell, 44 Conkling  
Street.*

### Adopted.

The Clerk having announced that the appellant had withdrawn his  
protest against the foregoing assessment, the following resolution was  
*adopted* by the following vote:

### Denying Appeal From Assessment for Improvement of Conkling Street.

(Code No. 12.0613)

Resolution No. 3537, as follows:

Resolved, That the appeal of Mrs. Edmond O'Connell, 44 Conkling  
street, from the assessment recorded by the Department of Public  
Works on August 11, 1937, for the improvement of Conkling street  
between Silver avenue and the northerly termination of Conkling street,  
be and the same is hereby denied, and the assessment confirmed.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri,  
Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly—3.



**SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.****Consideration of Reports on Result of Ordinance Prohibiting Parking on Market Street and Other Downtown Streets.**

In accordance with request previously made, Captain Charles Goff reported on conditions resulting from enforcement of recent enacted legislation prohibiting parking on Market street and other downtown streets. He stated that there was a decided improvement in traffic conditions, but that the time since the effectiveness of questioned legislation was too short to warrant definite recommendations, and that the ordinance should be given a fair trial. He expressed the opinion that between First and Seventh streets, the operation of the ordinance was good.

Communications from the Manager of Utilities and from the Market Street Railway Company were read, showing the resultant speeding up of street car traffic due to parking ban on Market street.

**Motion.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Roncovieri moved that copy of letter from the Market Street Railway Company be sent to the Manager of Utilities, and that he be requested to explain the discrepancies between the time reported as saved by street cars of the Municipal Railway and the cars of the Market Street Railway Company.

*So ordered.*

**Protests.**

Supervisor McSheehy presented protests of approximately 125 merchants and property owners who requested the elimination of the parking ban.

**Further Consideration Continued.**

Whereupon, Supervisor McSheehy moved that further consideration of the entire matter be continued until September 27, and made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m., and that Captain Charles Goff, the Manager of Utilities, the Chief of the Department of Electricity and the City Engineer be requested to be present at that time.

*Motion carried.*

**SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.****Hearing of Appeal—Rezoning Southwest Corner Webster and Chestnut Streets.**

Hearing of appeal of property owners against action of the City Planning Commission rezoning from commercial district to second residential district property located on the southwest corner of Chestnut and Webster streets.

**Refused Adoption.**

After hearing from J. A. Pardini, attorney, representing the appellant, and from Milton A. Meyer, representing the City Planning Commission, the following resolution (which required eight votes for approval) was *refused adoption* by the following vote:

**Approving City Planning Commission Resolution No. 1654, Rezoning Southwesterly Corner of Chestnut and Webster Streets.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 3449, as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 1654 of the City Planning Commission, passed June 17, 1937, rezoning from Commercial District to Second Residential District, property described as follows:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Chestnut street with the westerly line of Webster street running thence westerly along said line of Chestnut street, 42 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 87 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 42 feet 6 inches to the westerly line of Webster street; thence northerly to the southerly line of Chestnut street and the point of commencement, is hereby approved.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Meyer, Ratto—2.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead—3.

### SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

#### Motion by Supervisor Colman.

Supervisor Colman moved that the Board of Supervisors will recommend in the 1938-1939 budget that an additional \$60,000 be included in the Publicity and Advertising Fund, to be expended through the Chamber of Commerce for publicity and advertising during the fiscal year 1938-1939, and that his Honor the Mayor and the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to include this additional sum in the budget estimates.

Tabled.

After a brief discussion, the foregoing matter, on motion by Supervisor Brown, was *tabled*.

### SPECIAL ORDERS—3 P. M.

Called out of committee by Supervisor Schmidt, September 7, 1937.

#### Limiting Tenure of Office of Mayor to Two Terms of Four Years.

(Code No. 2.01)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 3163, as follows:

Whereas, an unduly prolonged tenure of office of an elective Chief Executive is contrary to the spirit of democratic government and leads to the intrenchment of selfish groups and factions, and to the formation of political dynasties which become overbearing and dictatorial in nature; and

Whereas, an outstanding precedent regarding tenure of office in governmental executive positions was established by the illustrious George Washington when he refused a third term; and

Whereas, it appears that the civic interests of the community will best be served if the same individual cannot hold the office of Mayor for longer than two terms of four years each; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco draft a Charter Amendment for presentation to the electorate of San Francisco at the next municipal election which will limit the occupancy of the office of Mayor by the same individual to two terms of four years each.

#### Limiting Tenure of Office of Police Commissioner to Six Years.

(Code No. 11.01)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 3164, as follows:

Whereas, the police activities of any community are so interwoven with the well-being, liberties, rights and protection of the public; and

Whereas, all police power and police activities should be wielded for the good of the public at large; and



Whereas, any usurpation of police power by politically intrenched groups is inimical to the welfare and well-being of the citizens of the community; and

Whereas, it appears obvious from the standpoint of human nature and experience that any man holding the office of Police Commissioner over a prolonged period of time is apt to become biased, arrogant and dictatorial in his attitude toward public sentiment and public desires; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco draft a Charter Amendment for presentation to the electorate at the next municipal election limiting the tenure of office of a Police Commissioner to one term of six (6) years; and be it

Further Resolved, That upon the adoption of such Charter Amendment by the people, any Police Commissioner who has held office for a period of six (6) years prior to the adoption of such Charter Amendment shall automatically be dropped from said Police Commission.

Supervisor McSheehy, Chairman of the Judiciary Committee, presented the foregoing resolutions, with the Committee's recommendation "do not pass."

#### Refused Passage.

After brief discussion by Supervisor Schmidt, the roll was called to approve the Committee's recommendation "do not pass", as follows:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead—2.

The Committee's recommendation was *adopted* and Resolution No. 3163 was *refused passage*.

The roll was called on Resolution No. 3164, as follows:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead—2.

The Committee's recommendation was *adopted* and Resolution No. 3164 was *refused passage*.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

##### Appropriation of \$1,805 for Creation of Position of Machinist at \$9 Per Day in the Purchasing Department.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1433, Ordinance No. 9.051416, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$1,805 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 733.209.10 to the credit of Appropriation No. 733.209.10 for the purpose of creating one position of machinist at \$9 per day in the Purchasing Department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,805 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 733.209.10 to the credit of Appropriation No. 733.209.10.

Section 2. There is hereby created in the Purchasing Department one position of machinist at \$9 per day, the compensation of which is provided by funds appropriated in Section 1 hereof.

Section 3. The position of Fire Hose Repairman, at \$160 per month,

heretofore established in the Purchasing Department, is hereby abolished.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly—3.

**Amendment to Salary Ordinance, Creating Position of Machinist, at \$9 Per Day, for Purchasing Department; Eliminating Position of Fire Hose Repairer.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1434, Ordinance No. 9.053110, as follows:

An ordinance amending Ordinance No. 9.053101 by deleting Item 47 (one position of Fire Hose Repairer at \$160 per month) and adding one position (Machinist at \$9 per day) to the number of employments heretofore established under Item 61 of Section 37 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 37 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

### Section 37. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—INTER-DEPARTMENTAL SERVICE

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided:

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
41	2	A156	Patternmaker, \$10 per day.....	
42	5	A364	Car and Auto Painter, \$10 per day.....	
43	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	\$ 185
44	1	C152	Watchman .....	170
45	2	C152	Watchman .....	155
46	1	E104	Batterymen-Electrician, \$9 per day ....	
48	1	J66	Garageman .....	160
49	3	J66	Garageman, \$6.50 per day .....	
50	1	J67	Vulcanizer, \$7 per day .....	
51	1	M2	General Foreman Machinist .....	300
52	1	M3	Superintendent, Fire Equipment Repair Shop .....	350
53	24	M54	Auto Machinist, \$9 per day .....	
54	1	M60	Auto Fender and Body Worker, \$9 per day .....	
55	4	M104	Blacksmith Helper, \$7.08 per day.....	
56	1	M104	Blacksmith Helper, \$8 per day .....	
57	5	M108	Blacksmith, \$9 per day .....	
58	1	M154	Boilermaker's Helper, \$7.08 per day...	
59	1	M156	Boilermaker, \$9 per day .....	
60	2	M252	Machinist Helper, \$7.08 per day .....	
61	6	M254	Machinist, \$9 per day .....	
62	1	O1	Chauffeur, \$6.50 per day .....	
63	1	O108	Leatherworker, \$9 per day .....	
64	1	O152	Eng. H. & P. Engines, \$10 per day.....	

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly—3.



**Appropriation of \$25,000 for Purchase of Land for O'Shaughnessy Boulevard.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1436, Ordinance No. 9.051418, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$25,000 out of the accrued surplus in the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 777.935.00 for the purpose of purchasing the remaining lands necessary for the O'Shaughnessy boulevard.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$25,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the accrued surplus in the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 777.935.00 for the purpose of purchasing the remaining lands necessary for the O'Shaughnessy boulevard.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly—3.

**Appropriation of \$750 for Part-Time Secretarial Telephone Operator in Controller's Office at \$75 Per Month.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1435, Ordinance No. 9.051417, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$750 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 760.102.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 760.101.00, for the purpose of creating the position of Secretarial Telephone Operator (part-time), at the rate of \$75 per month, in the office of the Controller.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$750 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 760.102.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 760.101.00, for the purpose of creating the position of Secretarial Telephone Operator (part-time), at the rate of \$75 per month, in the office of the Controller.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly—3.

**NEW BUSINESS.****Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Easement Acquisition—Somerset Street Sewer.**

(Code No. 12.1011)

Resolution No. 3532, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco hereby accepts those certain deeds, dated August 21, 1935, from Ludwig Lang; dated January 28, 1937, from Christina Prince, administratrix of the estate of W. E. Prince, deceased; dated April 28, 1937, from Herman Wolter, Ludwin Lang and Horace A. Wilson et ux.; dated April 28, 1937, from Horace A. Wilson et ux., and dated April 28, 1937, from

F. H. Elvander et ux., Wm. F. Zarmuhlen et ux., John Witt et ux. and Salvator Arrabito et ux., to a sewer right of way over lots Nos. 19, 20, 21, 22, 24, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31 and 32, in block 6199 as per Assessor's Lot and Block Numbers, San Francisco, California.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly—3.

**Controller and Treasurer to Deliver \$100,000 Bonds to California Toll Bridge Authority.**

(Code No. 12.112)

Also, Resolution No. 3533, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, under and pursuant to Resolution No. 1470, adopted by the Board of Supervisors on the 18th day of June, 1934, agreed with the California Toll Bridge Authority that, in consideration of the change of the location of the westerly terminus of the transbay bridge, it would deliver to the said California Toll Bridge Authority \$390,000 worth of bonds issued by said California Toll Bridge Authority and which the said City and County of San Francisco had heretofore agreed to purchase, the said bonds to be delivered as the same were acquired by the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has acquired \$100,000 worth of bonds of said California Toll Bridge Authority; and

Whereas, the said California Toll Bridge Authority has complied with all and singular the terms and conditions of the aforesaid agreement; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller and the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco are hereby directed to deliver to the said California Toll Bridge Authority the said \$100,000 worth of bonds of said California Toll Bridge Authority now in the custody of said officers of said City and County, taking such receipt therefor as will show the delivery of said bonds to said California Toll Bridge Authority in conformity with the terms of said agreement.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly—3.

**Confirming Lease of City-Owned Block at Corner of Fifteenth and Rhode Island Streets.**

(Code No. 12.1739)

Also, Resolution No. 3534, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17397, Bill No. 1384, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids or offers would be received by him on August 30, 1937, for leasing the following described City-owned land, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Fifteenth street with the easterly line of Rhode Island street; running thence northerly along the easterly line of Rhode Island street, 400 feet to the southerly line of Alameda street; thence easterly along last named line, 154.5 feet to the southwesterly line of Eighth street; thence southeasterly along last named line 70 feet  $\frac{1}{2}$  inch to the westerly line of De Haro street; thence southerly along last named line 346.75 feet to the northerly line of Fifteenth street; thence westerly along last named line 200 feet to the point of commencement.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement James E. Colston offered



to lease said land for a period of twenty years at the following amounts of rental:

\$350 per month for the first five years  
 425 per month for the second five years  
 475 per month for the third five years  
 500 per month for the last five years

Whereas, James E. Colston has paid the sum of \$600 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, no higher bids were made or received, and the Department of Public Works has recommended that said property be leased upon the above mentioned terms; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary lease to James E. Colston or his assignee.

The lessee shall abide by all laws and ordinances regulating, controlling or relating in any way to the lessee's use of the premises.

The Director of Property and the City Attorney shall approve the form of the lease.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly—3.

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Amendment to Salary Ordinance—Transfer of Tax Redemption and General Clerks.

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1439, Ordinance No. 9.053111, as follows:

An ordinance amending Ordinance No. 9.053101 by eliminating one B-237, Tax Redemption Clerk, at \$200 per month, and one B-222, General Clerk at \$185 per month from Section 31 of said ordinance and adding one B-237, Tax Redemption Clerk at \$200 per month and one B-222, General Clerk, at \$185 per month to Section 67; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 31 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

#### Section 31. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS— TAX COLLECTOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B93	Tax Collector . . . . .	\$ 666.66
2	1	B92	Chief Clerk . . . . .	325
3	1	B89	Director, Bureau of Licenses . . . . .	250
4	1	B102	Teller . . . . .	240
5	1	B102	Teller . . . . .	215
6	1	B104	Senior Teller . . . . .	240
7	1	B108	Chief Teller Tax Collector's Office . . . . .	300
8	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	215
9	15	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	200
11	3	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
12	10	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	160
13	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	200
14	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	275
16	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	175

Section 31. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—  
TAX COLLECTOR (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
17	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
18	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
19	1	G152	License Adjuster .....	250
20	2	G152	License Adjuster .....	215
21	1	B91	Director Bureau of Delinquent Revenue	400
22	1	K4	Attorney, Civil .....	275
23			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed) ..	150

Section 2. Section 67 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 67. CONTROLLER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Controller .....	\$ 833.33
2	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	200
3	8	B4	Bookkeeper .....	185
4	4	B4	Bookkeeper .....	180
5	5	B4	Bookkeeper .....	175
6	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	225
7	3	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	210
8	4	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	200
9	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	190
10	1	B7	Asst. Supervisor of Disbursements ....	240
11	1	B8	Supervisor of Disbursements .....	275
12	1	B10	Accountant .....	225
13	1	B14	Senior Accountant .....	325
14	2	B14	Senior Accountant .....	285
15	1	B21	Chief Assistant Controller .....	625
16	1	B26	Supervisor of Budget Statistics .....	250
17	1	B28	Supervisor of General Audits .....	400
18	1	B30	Supervisor of Utilities Audits .....	400
19	1	B55	Supervisor of Pay Rolls .....	325
20	1	B210	Office Assistant (part time) .....	79.50
21	3	B222	General Clerk .....	200
22	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
23	2	B222	General Clerk .....	185
24	3	B222	General Clerk .....	175
25	2	B228	Senior Clerk .....	250
26	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
27	1	B234	Head Clerk (6 months at \$200, 6 months at \$210) .....	
28	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	300
29	2	B234	Head Clerk .....	225
30	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	240
30½	1	B237	Tax Redemption Clerk .....	200
31	1	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator .....	190
32	3	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator .....	175
33	3	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator .....	165
34	2	B302	Addressing Machine Operator .....	155
35	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator .....	175
36	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator .....	155
37	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator .....	175
38	3	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator .....	165
39	1	B312	Senior Bookkeeping Machine Operator ..	185
40	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
41	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175



## Section 67. CONTROLLER (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
42	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
43	1	B417	Executive Secretary to the Controller..	250
44	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator .....	155
45	3	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
46	1	K6	Senior Attorney—Civil .....	400
47			Seasonal Clerical Services at rates fixed in Salary Standardization Report.	
48			Field Bookkeepers or Accountants (Construction Work outside S. F.) (as needed) at rates fixed in Salary Standardization Report.	

Section 3. This amendment provides for the transfer of Edith Rutledge, B-237, Tax Redemption Clerk, and Maurice Hudson, B-222, General Clerk, from the office of the Tax Collector to the office of the Controller for the purpose of performing the same duties, to comply with the opinion of the Attorney General No. NS-460, and the opinion of the City Attorney dated August 20, 1937, with respect to Section 3819a of the Political Code (Stats. 1935, page 2192) and Chapter 884 of the Statutes of 1937. The transfer of said employees to be made so that they will maintain their seniority as of the respective dates of their original appointments in the office of the Controller.

Section 4. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as this transfer must be accomplished without delay to provide for the uninterrupted performance of the functions involved.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly—3.

### Transfer of Funds, Etc., Incidental to Redemption of Property Sold for Taxes.

(Code No. 9.052)

Also, Bill No. 1441, Ordinance No. 9.0527, as follows:

An ordinance providing for the transfer of funds, equipment and records primarily incidental to the redemption of property sold for taxes from the office of the Tax Collector to the office of the Controller; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The funds, equipment and records in the office of the Tax Collector primarily incidental to the redemption of property sold for taxes are hereby transferred to the office of the Controller for the same purpose to comply with the opinion of the Attorney General No. NS-460, and the opinion of the City Attorney, dated August 20, 1937, with respect to Section 3819a of the Political Code (Stats. 1935, page 2192) and Chapter 884 of the Statutes of 1937.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as this transfer must be accomplished without delay to provide for the uninterrupted continuance of the functions involved.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly—3.

**Repealing Procedure Ordinance for Redemption of Real Estate.**

(Code No. 9.034)

Also, Bill No. 1440, Ordinance No. 9.0342, as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 9.0341, entitled, "Establishing the procedure for the redemption of real estate which has been sold to the State for delinquent taxes in accordance with Section 3819a of the Political Code and providing for the transfer of employees engaged in this work from the office of the Controller to the Tax Collector, together with co-relating equipment and records;" an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 9.0341, the title of which is recited above, establishing procedure for redemption of real estate which has been sold to the State for delinquent taxes and providing for transfer of employees from office of Controller to office of Tax Collector, together with co-relating equipment and records, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, as this transfer must be accomplished without delay to provide for the uninterrupted continuance of the functions involved.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly—3.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Land Purchase—Mission High School Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1712)

Resolution No. 3535, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from James Walsh and Sarah Walsh, his wife, an undivided 11/15 interest in Lot 17, Assessor's Block 3579, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Mission High School, for the sum of \$3,500, payable from Appropriation No. 770.600.01. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly—3.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

**Closing and Abandoning Portions of Connecticut, Missouri and Texas Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0622)

Resolution No. 3525, as follows:

Whereas, on the 16th day of August, 1937, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 3472, Code No. 12.0621, which resolution was presented to his Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the



17th day of August, 1937, said resolution being in words and figures as follows:

*Intention to Close Portions of Connecticut, Missouri and Texas Streets.*

(Code No. 12.0621)

Resolution No. 3472, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portions of Connecticut, Missouri and Texas streets, south of Army street, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all those portions of Connecticut, Missouri and Texas streets more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

*Connecticut Street*—All that portion of Connecticut street lying between the northerly line of Marin street and the southerly line of Army street;

*Missouri Street*—All that portion of Missouri street lying between the northerly line of Marin street and its northerly termination south of Army street;

*Texas Street*—All that portion of Texas street lying between the northerly line of Marin street and its northerly termination south of Army street.

Said closing and abandonment of said portions of said streets shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the sum of \$5,500, which sum is to be paid by the American Smelting and Refining Company to the City and County of San Francisco for the portions of streets to be closed.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portions of said streets, in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted*—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, August 16, 1937.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, August 17, 1937.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

And whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution and the said Department of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 3472, Code No. 12.0621; and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that those portions of Connecticut, Missouri and Texas streets described

in Resolution No. 3472, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered, that the portions of Connecticut, Missouri and Texas streets specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 3472, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing said portions of Connecticut, Missouri and Texas streets described in Resolution No. 3472, Code No. 12.0621, be paid out of the sum of \$5,500, which sum is to be paid by the American Smelting and Refining Company to the City and County of San Francisco for the portions of said streets to be closed, as proposed and provided in Resolution No. 3472, Code No. 12.0621; and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said portions of Connecticut, Missouri and Texas streets described in Resolution No. 3472, Code No. 12.0621, shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works and that the Department of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly—3.

#### **Adopted.**

The following matter, presented by President Shannon on August 30, 1937, and laid over two weeks, was taken up:

#### **Creation of Advisory Committee to Study State Legislation.**

(Code No. 5.35)

Resolution No. 3514, as follows:

Whereas, measures of importance to this City and County are submitted from time to time to the California Legislature; and

Whereas, chapter 26 of the Statutes of 1935 authorizes the legislative body of any city and county to present to the Legislature and its Committees directly or through duly authorized representatives such information as is deemed necessary for the purpose of aiding in the passage of any legislation which in the judgment of said legislative body of said city and county may be deemed beneficial thereto, or for the purpose of preventing the passage of any legislation which said legislative body of said city and county may deem detrimental to said city and county; and

Whereas, it is deemed advisable to create a committee in the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of studying proposed legislation in the interim between State legislative sessions, and such legislation as may be presented to the California Legislature for consideration and approval and to make reports of said studies to the Board of Supervisors for its consideration; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That there is hereby created a committee to be known as Advisory Committee on State Legislation for the purposes hereinabove recited, to be made up of the following membership: President of Board of Supervisors, Chairman; the Chairman of Finance Committee of Board of Supervisors; Chairman of Legislative and Judiciary Committee of Board of Supervisors; Chief Administrative Officer; City Attorney; Assessor; Treasurer; and Controller. The Mayor shall be an ex-officio member of the Committee.



The Advisory Committee on State Legislation shall have the power by majority vote to increase the membership of its Committee when it is so deemed necessary; and, be it

Further Resolved, That said Committee, or its duly authorized representative, is hereby authorized to represent the conclusions of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco before the Legislature of the State of California, or any committee thereof, concerning any proposed legislation deemed beneficial or detrimental to said City and County;

And the Chairman of said Committee or any member of the Committee designated by him, is authorized and empowered to attend any conference or public or quasi public meetings, where such matters may be discussed or considered, as the representative of the City and County of San Francisco.

Expenses of said Committee to be paid out of such funds as may be provided from time to time by appropriation for said purposes.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Reilly—3.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

### Seventeenth Annual Convention, Redwood Empire Association.

Supervisor Brown presented communication from Redwood Empire Supervisors' Unit, inviting attendance of Supervisors at the Seventeenth Annual Convention of the Redwood Empire Association, at Sonoma Mission Inn, Boyes Springs, October 8 and 9, 1937, and moved that the Chair be authorized to appoint a committee of three, or as many as wish, to attend.

*Motion carried.*

## CHARTER AMENDMENT.

### Inspection of Civil Service Examination Papers.

Supervisor McSheehy, Chairman of the Judiciary Committee, returned to the Board proposed charter amendment presented by Supervisor Reilly on September 7, 1937, with recommendation that it be submitted to the voters, as follows:

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County at the election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the second day of November, 1937, a proposal to amend, as herein set forth, the Charter, by adding thereto a new section to be known as 147.1, relating to public inspection of Civil Service examination papers, questions and answers:

After the Civil Service Commission has prepared and published a list of eligibles, arranged in order of relative excellence, that list shall not be finally approved for two weeks, during which time all examination papers, questions and answers, and all marks and grades given on any test shall be open to public inspection and copying by any citizen, provided that the identity of the examiner giving any mark or grade in an oral test shall not be disclosed; and provided further that the Commission may require the payment of a fee of not more than fifty cents for the inspection of all of the papers relating to the examination of one person; and provided further that a participant may examine his own examination papers without charge.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

Mr. Cameron King and Mr. Vid Larsen addressed the Board, urging the submission of the proposed charter amendment to the electors. Letter from the Civil Service Commission, protesting the submission of the proposed amendment, copies of which were given to each member of the Board, was read, and Secretary James J. Maher, of the Civil Service Commission, and E. R. Zion, Chief Examiner, supplemented the letter by oral statements of the objections of the Commission.

**Ordered Submitted.**

Whereupon, the proposed amendment, redrafted by the City Attorney, was *ordered submitted* as follows:

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. 1****INSPECTION OF CIVIL SERVICE  
EXAMINATION PAPERS.**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 147.1, relating to public inspection of civil service examination papers, questions and answers.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County at the general election to be held on the 2nd day of November, 1937, in the City and County of San Francisco, a proposal to amend as herein set forth the Charter by adding thereto a new section to be known as Section 147.1, relating to public inspection of civil service examination papers, questions and answers.

**INSPECTION OF CIVIL SERVICE EXAMINATION PAPERS.**

Section 147.1. After the Civil Service Commission has prepared and published or posted a list of eligibles, arranged in order of relative excellence, as the result of any examination held by said commission, said list shall not be finally approved for two weeks after the date of the publication or posting of said list, during which time all examination papers, questions and answers, and all marks and grades given on any test given in said examination shall be open to public inspection and copying by any citizen, provided that the identity of the examiner giving any mark or grade in an oral test shall not be disclosed; and provided further that the Commission may require the payment of a fee of not more than One Dollar (\$1.00) for the inspection of all of the papers relating to the examination of any one person participating in said examination; and provided further that a participant may examine his own examination papers without charge. The Civil Service Commission shall have power to correct any error which in its judgment may have occurred in the rating of any participant in said examination, and to alter said published or posted list of eligibles and to make changes accordingly therein which in the opinion of said commission may be justified by any re-examination of said papers, questions, answers, marks or grades given in said examination; provided



that said changes shall be made within a period of not more than six (60) days after the date of the publication or posting of said list.

**Ordered Submitted**—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, September 13, 1937.

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovie Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mead.

I hereby certify that the foregoing charter amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

### CHARTER AMENDMENT.

#### POLICE PENSIONS.

Supervisor McSheehy presented proposed amendment to Section 168 of the Charter, affecting retirement of future members of the Police Department:

### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. —

#### POLICE PENSIONS.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County by amending Section 168 thereof, relating to future members of the Police Department.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the municipal election to be held on the 2nd day of November, 1937, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County, as follows:

Section 168: Subdivision (a). Persons who are members of the police department on the effective date of this amendment and future members of the department shall, on and after the effective date of this amendment become members of the retirement system, under the conditions set forth herein. Members of the police department who did not exercise affirmatively the option provided in subdivision (h) of Section 166 of this charter shall, on and after the effective date of this amendment, become members of the retirement system under the provisions of this section.

The retirement board as constituted under section 159 of the charter of the City and County of San Francisco shall be the sole authority relating to the distribution and investment of such funds or fund as may be established, provided that all investments shall be of the character legal for insurance companies in California, and provided further, that if any section, or part of any section in this charter is so far as it should conflict with this section or any part of this section as amended, shall be superseded by the contents of this section.

Subdivision (b). Any member of the department who shall have reached the age of fifty years and who shall have served in the department for twenty-five years in the aggregate in any capacity or rank whatever, on his request, or by order of the board of police commissioners if it is

seemed for the good of the department, or any member who has arrived at the age of sixty-three years shall be retired by the retirement board forthwith, and such member shall thereafter, during his lifetime, be paid in equal monthly installments, from the police retirement fund a limited pension as follows:

For twenty-five years aggregate service (the member having reached the age of fifty years) fifty per cent (50%) of the average monthly rate of salary which such member is receiving at the date of his retirement; and an additional one and one-half (1½%) per cent of such average salary for each year over twenty-five years, up to and including thirty (30) years service in the aggregate, served by such member before retirement.

Subdivision (c). In determining the aggregate number of years of service mentioned in subdivision "b" of this section, the following periods of time shall be considered and allowed:

1. Time spent on leave of absence without pay from the department for service in the army, the navy, or the marine corps in time of war.
2. Time other than the foregoing, spent on leave of absence without pay from the department; provided, however, that only one year of such time shall be considered and allowed.

As a condition precedent to the allowance of the time mentioned in this subdivision (c), a member must comply with the following: Within sixty days after the effective date of this amendment, he shall pay to the retirement board five per cent (5%) of the total salary he would have earned in the department had he been on active duty therein, rather than on said leave of absence without pay. The basis for computing the amount to be paid shall be the salary attached to the rank held by him while on said leave of absence.

Subdivision (d). Whenever any member of the police department shall become so physically or mentally disabled by reason of bodily injuries received in, or by reason of sickness caused by the discharge of the duties of such member in the department, as to render necessary his retirement from active service, the board of police commissioners shall recommend to the retirement board that such member be retired from further service in the department, but in the interim, he shall receive the salary attached to this rank. Such member, if retired, shall, during his lifetime, be paid from said pension fund a pension in an amount to be determined by the retirement board, but such pension shall be not less than fifty per cent (50%) of the salary attached to the rank held by such member in the department at the date of such retirement order. Such pension shall be paid in equal monthly installments; provided, however, that any pension granted to any member of the police department for disability or sickness as provided for in this subdivision, shall cease, when the disability or sickness ceases, and then such member shall be restored to active duty in the department to the same rank such member held at the time of retirement; provided further, that the retirement board shall have power to hear and determine all matters pertaining to the granting and termination of any pension award as provided for in this subdivision. Said board shall make its findings in writing, based upon the report of at least three regularly licensed practicing physicians, and such other evidence concerning such disability as it may have before it. Said board shall deter-



mine the degree of disability and such determination shall govern the amount of pension to be awarded to such disabled member which shall not be less than fifty per cent (50%) as hereinabove provided; and provided further, that upon the written request of any such retired member or upon its own motion, said board shall have the power at any time prior to the restoration of such retired member to active service, to consider new evidence pertaining to the case of such retired member, and to increase the amount of such pension award to be thereafter paid.

Subdivision (e). Whenever any member of the police department shall die as the result of any injury received during the performance of his duty or from sickness caused by the discharge of such duty, or after retirement or while eligible to retirement from such department on account of years of service; then an annual pension shall be paid in equal monthly installments to his widow, or child or children, or dependent parent or parents, in an amount equal to one-half ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) of the average monthly rate of salary which such deceased member shall have received in the department immediately preceding the time of his death or the date of this retirement from active duty in such department. Said pension shall be paid to the widow during her lifetime or until she remarries, and thereafter a pension shall be paid in equal monthly installments in an amount equal to one-half ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) of the average monthly rate of salary which such deceased member shall have received in such department, immediately preceding the time of his death or the date of his retirement from active duty in such department, to the legally appointed guardian of the child or children of such deceased member until such child or children shall have attained the age of eighteen years, or to his child or children, should there be no widow until such child or children have attained the age of eighteen years, or to his dependent parent or parents during their lifetime or during such dependence, should there be no widow or child.

Provided, further, however, that no widow of a pensioner retired on account of years of service, shall be entitled to a pension unless she shall have been married to such deceased pensioner at least five years prior to the date of his retirement, and provided further, that no widow of a member of the police department eligible for retirement from such department, who dies from causes other than those arising out of or from the performance of his duties, shall be entitled to a pension unless she shall have been married to such deceased member for at least five years prior to the date of his death, and provided, further, that if such widow, child or children shall marry, then the pension paid to the person so marrying shall cease, and provided further that should the dependency of such parent or parents terminate, then the pension paid to such dependent parent or parents shall cease.

Subdivision (f). All pensions granted in accordance with the provisions of this section shall remain in full force and effect for the period granted and any decrease of salaries of active members of the police department shall not in anywise affect the amount of the pensions to be paid to retired members, or to any other person pensioned pursuant to the provisions of this section.

Subdivision (g). For the purpose of providing and maintaining a fund to meet the payments of demands drawn for the payments of pensioners,

a fund is hereby created to be known as the police pension fund. The retirement board shall annually prepare a budget consisting of the estimated requirements for the next succeeding fiscal year to pay and discharge:

- (a) Service Pensions;
- (b) Disability Pensions;
- (c) Widows' Pensions;
- (d) Minors' Pensions;
- (e) Dependents' Pensions; and
- (f) Administration Expense.

There shall be included in said budget a sum equal to five per centum of the estimated total payroll of the police department for those members of said department included within the pension provisions of this section for the next succeeding fiscal year.

The mayor shall approve and the board of supervisors shall adopt in the annual appropriation ordinance, the budget as submitted by the retirement board.

In addition, each member of the police department included within the pension provisions of this section shall contribute to said police pension fund in the manner as hereinafter in this section provided.

The administrative head of the police department shall cause to be shown on each and every payroll of said department a deduction of five per cent (5%) of the amount of salary of his rank as shown on each such payroll of each such member whose name appears thereon, and shall certify to the controller on each such payroll the amount to be deducted from the compensations of each such member whose name appears hereon, and shall cause to be drawn a payroll check in favor of the retirement board for the total amount of the deduction shown on each payroll of such department, and said retirement board shall deposit said payroll check to the credit of the police pension fund. It shall be the duty of the administrative head of the police department to cause to be furnished a copy of each and every such payroll hereinbefore mentioned to the said retirement board; each member shall be deemed to consent and agree to each deduction made as provided for herein, and the payment of each payroll check to such member shall be a full and complete discharge and acquittance of all claims and demands whatsoever for the services rendered by such member during the period covered by such payroll, except such claims as such member may have to the benefits provided for in this section.

If subsequent to the fiscal year, it shall be determined that such contribution by the city and county, together with the member's contribution, was not sufficient to meet such liability, then the city and county shall make up such additional contribution as may be necessary to make up the deficit.

Subdivision (h). When any member of the department shall die from natural causes and before being eligible for retirement, there shall be paid to his estate or beneficiary, a death benefit, the amount of which and the conditions for the payment of which shall be determined in the manner prescribed by the board of supervisors for the death benefit of other members of the retirement system.



Subdivision (i). Should a member be separated from the department through any cause other than death or retirement, then such contributions with interest shall be refunded to him by the retirement board at such time as he terminates his services from the department.

Subdivision (j). Upon the completion of the period of service mentioned in subdivision (b) of this section, entitling such member to retire under its provisions, such member shall be entitled to a certificate showing the aggregate number of years served by such member in the department, which certificate shall be irrevocable and shall serve as evidence of such service; such member shall be entitled to retire at any time thereafter from active service from the department in accordance with subdivision (b) of this section hereinbefore referred to, which right shall be a fully matured, absolute, vested property right, reserved for such member, as a constituted and granted right to retire and no event or occurrence of whatsoever kind or nature, political or otherwise, whether prior pending or subsequent, shall ever operate as a forfeiture thereof, provided, however, that if such member shall continue in the service of said department he shall nevertheless be entitled to all the benefits of the additional percentage as provided for by subdivision (b) of this section hereinbefore referred to, in computing the aggregate number of years of service in such department up to the time of his actual retirement.

Subdivision (k). Any member of the police department, retired under the provisions of subdivision (b) of this section, shall not be eligible to hold any salaried position, either elective or appointive, in the federal state, county, or municipal governments, unless he waives during such period of service all benefits granted under this section.

In the event that any clause, section or phrase of this charter amendment shall be adjudged unconstitutional, the remainder thereof shall continue in full force and effect.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee. Actuarial report to be requested from the Retirement System in time for hearing on Wednesday, September 15, 1937, at 4 p. m.*

**Reimbursement of Amounts Diverted From Projects in Humboldt, Mendocino and San Mateo Counties for Elimination of San Rafael Bottleneck.**

Supervisor Ratto moved that the Board go on record favoring the "earmarking" of funds, to be derived from tax on Diesel fuel, by the State Highway Commission, for the reimbursement of amounts taken from projects in Humboldt, Mendocino and San Mateo counties, for the elimination of the San Rafael bottleneck.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**Funston Avenue Bridge Approach.**

Supervisor Ratto requested information as to the present situation respecting Federal money anticipated for the construction of the Funston avenue bridge approach.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**Underground District, Geneva Avenue, and Amendment to Underground Ordinance.**

Supervisor Reilly requested that the Streets Committee report on matter of underground district along Geneva avenue; also on legislation pending in Streets Committee, amending the Underground Ordinance by requiring ten miles of underground installation each year instead of two and one-half miles.

### Fees in Park Tennis Courts.

Supervisor Schmidt read letter published in the Safety Valve of the San Francisco Chronicle, August 16, 1937, regarding the charging of fees for the use of park tennis courts, and requested that the Park Commission be asked if statements made therein are true, or what the Commission proposes to do with respect to the matter.

### W. P. A. "White Collar" Projects.

Supervisor Uhl requested that the Public Welfare Department report to the Board the present status of "White Collar" persons available for W. P. A. projects during the coming year, said request to be accompanied by transcript of statements made by Mr. Percy Frye, who had been granted the privilege of the floor.

### Mayor's Contingent Fund.

Controller Harold J. Boyd called the Board's attention to Section 35 of the previous Charter, providing for a Mayor's Contingent Fund, and the lack of such provision in the present Charter, but the need therefor.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee. Controller Boyd to confer with Supervisor McSheehy.*

### Golden Gate International Exhibit by Alta California Counties.

Communication from Alta California Inc., addressed to President Warren Shannon, requesting the sponsorship by San Francisco County for Project No. 2, Golden Gate International Exposition Exhibit, to be constructed by funds made available by the Federal Works Progress Administration, the entire sponsor's contribution therefor to be paid by the Alta California counties, was read by the Clerk.

### Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution, presented by President Shannon, was *adopted* by the following vote:

### Sponsoring Golden Gate International Exhibit by Alta California Counties.

(Code No. 5.93)

Resolution No. 3536, as follows:

Whereas, Alta California, Inc., is an existing non-profit corporation, the membership in said corporation being comprised of persons representing fifteen (15) of the northern counties of the State of California, the representatives of the City and County of San Francisco being included in said membership; and

Whereas, it is the desire of said Alta California, Inc., to construct a composite exhibit of the resources and attractions of said counties embraced in the membership of said Alta California, Inc.; and

Whereas, it is the desire of said Alta California, Inc., to obtain aid from the Works Progress Administration of the Government of the United States to help in the construction and preparation of said exhibit; and

Whereas, said aid will not be granted unless the application therefor can be sponsored by one of the member counties of said Alta California, Inc.; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco, as a member of said Alta California, Inc., act as sponsor for said project and that the Mayor of said City and County and the Controller thereof be, and they are, hereby authorized to execute, for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, the necessary papers and documents



applying for the necessary funds from the said Works Progress Administration for the purpose of constructing and preparing said exhibit, the said application to be made only upon condition that no financial obligation shall be entailed by the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead—2.

#### **Muir Woods Toll Road.**

Supervisor Uhl presented communication addressed to himself, by Supervisor Pettersen, Marin County, urging appropriation of \$20,000 by San Francisco County Board of Supervisors as a contribution toward the purchase of Muir Woods Toll, whereupon Supervisor Uhl was informed by the Clerk that the matter was pending in Finance Committee and that the City Attorney has questioned as to the legality of such appropriation.

**Adopted.**

The following resolutions, on recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, were *adopted*:

#### **Leave of Absence—Hon. J. Emmet Hayden, Member Art Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3538, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. J. Emmet Hayden, member of the Art Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of fifteen days, commencing September 14, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead—2.

#### **Leave of Absence—Dr. T. B. W. Leland, Coroner.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3539, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Dr. T. B. W. Leland, Coroner of the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of fifteen days, commencing September 14, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead—2.

#### **Extensions of Leaves of Absence—Mrs. Sigmund Stern and Miss Alicia Mosgrove.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3540, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mrs. Sigmund Stern and Miss Alicia Mosgrove, members of the Recreation Commission, are hereby granted extensions of their

leaves of absence with permission to leave the State of California, from September 20th to October 5th.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead—2.

### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 6:10 p. m.,  
adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, September 20, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Super-  
visors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan,  
hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the  
Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and  
approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.






Vol. 32

No. 38

Monday, September 20, 1937

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.



J

I  
T

T  
S  
Sch  
A  
C  
P

T  
app

T  
pas

B  
O  
City  
tion  
autl  
doit

B  
as f

S  
filed  
1937  
the  
Frat  
Ord  
to b  
and  
by c

whic  
T  
Imp  
disc  
imp  
paid  
paym  
men

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 20, 1937, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, Monday, September 20, 1937, 2 p. m.  
The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer—2.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of September 13, 1937, approved.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of the Streets Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

#### Ordering the Improvement of Twenty-ninth Avenue Between Pacheco and Quintara Streets.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Bill No. 1437, Ordinance No. 12.061186, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 31, 1937, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the



preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Twenty-ninth avenue between the north property line of Pacheco street and the south property line of Quintara street, by grading to official line and sub-grade, and by the construction of the following:

Item No.	Item
1.	Grading (Excavation).
2.	Grading (Embankment).
3.	6-inch Vitrified clay pipe side sewers, in place.
4.	8-inch Vitrified clay pipe sewer, in place.
5.	15-inch Vitrified clay pipe sewer, in place.
6.	18-inch Vitrified clay pipe sewer in place.
7.	8-inch x 6-inch "Y" branches in place.
8.	10-inch Vitrified clay pipe culverts, in place.
9.	Brick manholes, complete.
10.	Catchbasins, complete.
11.	Asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.
12.	Unarmored concrete curb.
13.	Two-course concrete sidewalk.
14.	Water main.
15.	Water services.
16.	1½-inch black enameled conduit.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Lot 1 of Block 2105; Lots 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, and 31 of Block 2106; Lots 1, 1A, and 2 of Block 2148; Lots 1, 1A, 1B, 1C, 1D, 1E, 1F, 1G, 1H, 1I, 1J, 1K, 2, 3, 3A, 3B, 3C, 3D, 17, and 18 of Block 2149; Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 52 of Block 2188, and Lots 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 19A, 20, 21, and 22 of Block 2189; all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer—2.

### Accepting Certain Streets in University Heights.

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1438, Ordinance No. 12.081131, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Temescal terrace, Kittredge terrace, Tamalpais terrace, Chabot terrace, Roselyn terrace and Annapolis terrace, including the curbs and the street lighting system installed therein.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit: Temescal terrace, Kittredge terrace, Tamalpais terrace, Chabot terrace, Roselyn terrace, Annapolis terrace, including the curbs.

Section 2. The street lighting system installed in the afore-

mentioned streets, as well as the deeds thereto, are hereby accepted on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer—2.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Approval Warrants—Islais Creek Reclamation District.

(Code No. 12.04)

Resolution No. 3541, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District:

No. 803, to Director of Works, for \$334.05; No. 804, to Reinhart Lumber & Planing Mill Co., for \$675.79; No. 805, to Wm. Taaffe & Co., Edward J. Taaffe and Page Taaffe, for \$315.37; payable out of the funds of said District, be and the same are hereby approved; and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer—2.

#### Refund of Taxes, Erroneous Payment.

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 3542, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amount be and is hereby authorized to be paid out of General Fund, Appropriation No. 60.969.00, being refund of taxes paid on erroneous assessment:

L. H. Penny & Co., per Vol. A, page 144, line 23, erroneous assessment of personal property, \$13.24.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer—2.

### Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

#### San Francisco Hospital Fees.

(Code No. 18.01)

Resolution No. 3543, as follows:

Resolved, Pursuant to communication of the Chief Administrative Officer and the recommendation of the Controller (Sections 7 and 8 of Ordinance No. 18.012), it is hereby determined and fixed that the proper and reasonable amount to be charged to persons legally obligated for institutional services rendered in San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division and Hassler Health Home, shall be as follows:

General Departments, \$4.99 a day.

Tubercular Division, \$3.18 a day.

Isolation Division, \$5.80 a day.



**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Land Purchase—Corona Heights Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Resolution No. 3544, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Josephine Cockrill and Ellie Maude Cockrill, Lot 28, Assessor's Block 2621, San Francisco, California, required for the Corona Heights Playground, for the sum of \$210, payable from Appropriation No. 713.600.12. The City attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer—2.

**Land Purchase—Corona Heights Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 3546, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from A. H. Witte, Lots 35 and 36, Assessor's Block 2621, San Francisco, California, required for the Corona Heights Playground, for the sum of \$325, payable from Appropriation No. 713.600.12. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer—2.

**Land Purchase—Stanley Street Parkway.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3547, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Stanley Street Parkway as an approach to Alemany boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their names, payable from Appropriation No. 83.919.17:

Margaret M. Corley, Lot 34, Assessor's Block 7135.....\$300

Daniel C. Monaghan, et al., Lot 37, Assessor's Block 7136.. 300

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer—2.

**Authorizing Mailing of Arguments Approving Bond Issues.**

(Code No. 3.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3507, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco has by ordinance called a special election to be held in said

City and County on Tuesday, the 2d day of November, 1937, at which said special election there has been ordered submitted to the electors by the Board of Supervisors the matter of the approval of bonded indebtedness of Rapid Transit Bonds 1938, \$49,250,000; Sewer Bonds 1938, \$5,000,000; Hospital Bonds 1938, \$1,600,000, and Airport Bonds 1938, \$2,850,000. Also appearing on the ballot will be an ordinance ordering the removal of Laurel Hill Cemetery; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to the provisions of Section 183 of the Charter, the Registrar of Voters be, and he is hereby directed, to include a copy of printed arguments approving these bond issues with each sample ballot mailed or delivered to the electors of the City and County.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer—2.

#### Final Passage.

The following matter was taken up:

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$61,424.17 as San Francisco's Contribution Toward Widening Valencia Street; W. P. A. Project; an Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1442, Ordinance No. 9.051420, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$61,424.17 from the surplus existing in the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 777.936.00, being San Francisco's contribution toward the improvement of Valencia street from Market street to Mission street by the reduction of sidewalk widths on the easterly and westerly side by five feet. This work is to be prosecuted by the Works Progress Administration.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$61,424.17 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 777.936.00, being San Francisco's contribution toward the improvement of Valencia street from Market street to Mission street by the reduction of sidewalk widths on the easterly and westerly side by five feet. This work is to be prosecuted by the Works Progress Administration.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this Ordinance is passed, that an actual emergency exists, as the Works Progress Administration (unemployed forces) will be laid off unless this money is provided to purchase the necessary materials, equipment, etc., in connection with the improvement of Valencia street.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Public Works Director.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer—2.



**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$15,000 for Construction of Gutter Strips Along Market Street at Various Locations.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1443, Ordinance No. 9.051421, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$15,000, out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 748.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 748.918.00, for the purpose of constructing 6½-foot concrete gutter strips on the north side of Market street between Grant avenue and Powell street, Taylor street to Leavenworth street, and the southerly side of Market street between Sixth and Seventh streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$15,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 748.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 748.918.00, for the purpose of constructing 6½-foot concrete gutter strips on the north side of Market street between Grant avenue and Powell street, Taylor Street to Leavenworth street, and the southerly side of Market street between Sixth and Seventh streets.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved by Thos. A. Toomey, Acting Chief Administrative Officer.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Public Works Director.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer—2.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

**Changing Grades on Third Street.**

(Code No. 12.0722)

Bill No. 1444, Ordinance No. 12.072231, as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Third street between the southeasterly line thereof and a line parallel with and fifteen (15) feet northwesterly therefrom, extending from the southwesterly line of Jamestown avenue to the northeasterly line of Le Conte avenue.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 26th day of July, 1937, by Resolution No. 3424, Code No. 12.0721, declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Third street between the southeasterly line thereof and a line parallel with and fifteen (15) feet northwesterly therefrom, extending from the southwesterly line of Jamestown avenue to the northeasterly line of Le Conte avenue; and

Whereas, said resolution has been published and posted in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than thirty days have elapsed since the first publication of intention; therefore

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named street at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

<i>Third street:</i>	<i>Feet</i>
Southeasterly line of, at Jamestown avenue southwesterly line (The same being the present official grade) .....	59.80
At a point 15 feet northwesterly at right angles to the southeasterly line of, at Jamestown avenue southwesterly line (The same being the present official grade) .....	59.80
At a point 15 feet northwesterly at right angles to the southeasterly line of, 12.67 feet northeasterly from Key avenue northeasterly line (The same being the present official grade)....	77.40
Southeasterly line of, at Key avenue northeasterly line (The same being the present official grade).....	79.00
Southeasterly line of, 15.59 feet southwesterly from Key avenue northeasterly line (The same being the present official grade)..	78.80
At a point 15 feet northeasterly at right angles to the southwesterly line of Key avenue at Third street southeasterly line (The same being the present official grade).....	80.00
Southeasterly line of, at Key avenue southwesterly line (The same being the present official grade).....	81.50
At a point 15 feet northwesterly at right angles to the southeasterly line of, at Key avenue southwesterly line (The same being the present official grade).....	81.35
Southeasterly line of, at Le Conte avenue northeasterly line (The same being the present official grade).....	100.70
At a point 15 feet northwesterly at right angles to the southeasterly line of, at Le Conte avenue northeasterly line (The same being the present official grade).....	99.35

On Third street between the southeasterly line of and a line parallel with and 15 feet northwesterly therefrom, extending from the southwesterly line of Jamestown avenue to the northeasterly line of Le Conte avenue, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon. Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer—2.

### Ordering Sewer Construction—Hunters Point District.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1445, Ordinance No. 12.061187, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 10, 1937, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time



of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of:

Boalt street between the southwesterly lines of Innes avenue and Fairfax avenue.

Coleman street between the southwesterly lines of Kirkwood avenue and Galvez avenue.

Donahue street between the southwesterly lines of Kirkwood avenue and Innes avenue.

Galvez avenue between Boalt and Coleman streets.

Hudson avenue between Alvord and Donahue streets.

Innes avenue between Boalt and Donahue streets.

Jerrold avenue between Coleman and Donahue streets, and Kirkwood avenue between Coleman and Donahue streets; by grading to official line and sub-grade, and by the construction of the following:

Item No.	Item
1.	Grading (excavation).
2.	Grading (embankment).
3.	8-inch V. C. P. sewer, in place.
4.	12-inch V. C. P. sewer, in place.
5.	15-inch V. C. P. sewer, in place.
6.	18-inch V. C. P. sewer, in place.
7.	8x6-inch "Y" branches, in place.
8.	12x6-inch "Y" branches, in place.
9.	18x6-inch "Y" branches, in place.
10.	Brick manholes, complete.
11.	Brick catchbasins, complete.
12.	10-inch V. C. P. culvert, in place.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Lots 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10, of Block 4616.

Lots 1, 2, 3, 3A, 3B, 4, 4A, 4B and 5, of Block 4617.

Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 6A, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 17, 18, 19, 20 and 21, of Block 4633.

Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 14A, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 20A, 21, 21A and 21B, of Block 4634.

Lots 2, 3, 3A, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13, of Block 4635.

Lots 1, 1A, 1B, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 17A and 18, of Block 4640.

Lots 1, 1A, 2, 3, 3A, 4, 4A, 5, 5A, 6, 7, 8, 9, 9A, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 and 17, of Block 4641.

Lots 1, 2, 2A, 2B, 3, 3A, 3B, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 17A, 18, 18A, 19 and 20, of Block 4642.

Lots 1, 2, 3, 3A, 4, 4A, 4B, 5 and 5A, of Block 4656.

Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 5A, 5B, 6, 6A, 7, 7A, 8, 8A, 9, 9A, 9B, 10, 10A, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 15A, 16, 16A, 17 and 18, of Block 4657.

Lots 1, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 14A, 15, 15A and 16, of Block 4658.

Lots 10, 11, 12, 12A, 13 and 13A, of Block 4659.

Lots 7, 8, 9, 9A, 9B, 10, 11, 12, 12A, 13 and 14, of Block 4666.

Lots 1, 2, 2A, 3, 3A, 3B, 4, 4A, 4B, 5, 5A, 5B, 6, 6A, 7, 7A, 7B, 8, 8A, 9, 9A, 10, 10A, 10B, 11, 11A, 11B, 12, 12A, 12B, 13, 13A, 13B, 14, 14A, 15, 15A and 16, of Block 4667.

Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 14, 15 and 16, of Block 4668.

Lots 1, 14, 15 and 16, of Block 4681.

Lots 1, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 18, of Block 4682; and

Lots 10, 11, 12 and 13, of Block 4683; all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San

Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer—2.

**Ordering the Construction of Sidewalks on Forty-second Avenue  
and at Other Locations.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1446, Ordinance No. 12.161188, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 31, 1937, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of:

Forty-second avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Judah and Kirkham streets.

Forty-second avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Judah and Kirkham streets.

Irving street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Thirty-second avenue and 82 feet 6 inches west.

Irving street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Thirty-third avenue and 107 feet 6 inches east.

Kirkham street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Thirty-first avenue and 157 feet 6 inches west.

Kirkham street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Thirty-second avenue and 57 feet 6 inches west.

Kirkham street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Thirty-third avenue and 57 feet 6 inches east.

Kirkham street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 91 feet and 145 feet east of Thirty-fourth avenue.

Kirkham street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Thirty-eighth and Thirty-ninth avenues.

Kirkham street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Forty-first and Forty-second avenues.

Kirkham street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Forty-second and Forty-third avenues.

Kirkham street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Forty-third and Forty-fourth avenues.



Kirkham street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Forty-fourth and Forty-fifth avenues.

Lawton street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Thirtieth avenue and 82 feet 6 inches west.

Santiago street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Thirty-third avenue and 132 feet 6 inches west.

Twenty-third avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Kirkham street and 450 feet south.

Twenty-third avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 175 feet and 200 feet north of Ortega street.

Twenty-fourth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Lawton street and 50 feet north.

Twenty-fifth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Kirkham street and 425 feet south.

Twenty-sixth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 125 feet and 425 feet south of Lawton street.

Twenty-seventh avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Kirkham and Lawton streets.

Twenty-seventh avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Lawton street and 100 feet south.

Twenty-seventh avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Noriega street and 218 feet north.

Twenty-eighth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Lawton street and 100 feet south.

Twenty-ninth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Rivera street and 50 feet south.

Thirtieth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Lawton street and 475 feet south.

Thirtieth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Lawton street and 275 feet south.

Thirty-first avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 100 feet and 350 feet south of Lawton street.

Thirty-second avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Lawton street and 100 feet south.

Thirty-second avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Moraga street and 25 feet north.

Thirty-third avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Rivera street and 475 feet south.

By the construction of two-course concrete sidewalks six (6) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width are not already constructed, and the improvement of:

Fortieth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 125 feet and 175 feet south of Irving street.

Forty-first avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 175 feet and 500 feet south of Lincoln way.

Forty-third avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 300 feet and 325 feet south of Lincoln way.

Forty-eighth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 112 feet 6 inches and 137 feet 6 inches south of Kirkham street.

Irving street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 118 feet 6 inches and 150 feet 6 inches west of Twenty-third avenue.

Irving street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Thirty-eighth avenue and 90 feet east.

Irving street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 57 feet 6 inches and 129 feet west of Forty-first avenue.

Irving street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 32 feet 6 inches and 63 feet 9 inches east of Forty-second avenue.

Irving street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Forty-third avenue and 32 feet 6 inches west.

Judah street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 32 feet 6 inches and 95 feet east of Forty-first avenue.

Judah street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 84 feet and 167 feet 6 inches east of La Playa.

Lawton street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Twenty-seventh avenue and 132 feet 6 inches west.

Lincoln way (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 32 feet 6 inches and 57 feet 6 inches west of Forty-seventh avenue.

Twenty-third avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Irving street and 100 feet south.

Twenty-fourth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Kirkham street and 100 feet north.

Twenty-sixth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Santiago street and 100 feet north.

Twenty-seventh avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 150 feet and 175 feet north of Santiago street.

Twenty-eighth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 200 feet and 225 feet south of Ulloa street.

Thirtieth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 150 feet and 175 feet north of Judah street.

Thirty-third avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 237 feet 6 inches and 275 feet north of Taraval street.

Thirty-ninth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 75 feet and 125 feet south of Irving street.

Thirty-ninth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 225 feet and 250 feet north of Judah street.

Thirty-ninth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 350 feet and 375 feet south of Judah street.

Thirty-ninth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Kirkham street and 25 feet north.

By the construction or reconstruction of two-course concrete sidewalks six (6) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks six (6) feet in width are defective or not already constructed to the official grade, and the improvement of:

Forty-eighth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 162 feet 6 inches and 187 feet 6 inches south of Lawton street.

Great Highway (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 112 feet  $7\frac{5}{8}$  inches and 237 feet  $10\frac{5}{8}$  inches north of Moraga street.

Judah street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Forty-second avenue and 140 feet east.

Judah street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 57 feet 6 inches and 107 feet 6 inches east of La Playa.

La Playa (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Lawton street and 137 feet 6 inches north.

La Playa (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 87 feet 6 inches and 137 feet 6 inches south of Lawton street.

Twenty-third avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 125 feet and 150 feet south of Lincoln way.

Twenty-eighth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Taraval street and 100 feet south.

By the construction or reconstruction of two-course concrete sidewalks of the full official width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks of the full official width are defective or not already constructed to the official grade, and the improvement of:

La Playa (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 62 feet 6 inches and 87 feet 6 inches north of Kirkham street, by the construction or reconstruction of a two-course concrete sidewalk twelve (12) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks twelve (12) feet or more in width are defective or not already constructed to the official grade.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Block No.	Lot No.
1787	1, 28, 29, 30, 30A, 33, 34.
1809	13, 15, 16.
1810	19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25.
1811	1, 1A, 2, 5, 7, 8, 11, 13A, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25.
1812	18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 23A, 24, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41.
1815	7K, 7L, 8, 8A.
1821	15, 16, 21, 22.
1822	14, 15, 16A.
1869	1, 7, 10, 15.



Block No.	Lot No.
1870	6, 10, 11, 20, 23.
1873	1, 1A, 1B, 1C, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16.
1879	8F, 9.
1912	2F, 4.
1913	1, 2, 2A, 7, 8, 10, 12, 13, 14, 30, 32, 33, 34, 36, 37, 38, 39, 46, 47.
1914	16, 17, 18, 20.
1916	1, 8.
1917	5, 5A, 7, 8, 10, 10A.
2023	28, 29, 32, 33, 34, 36.
2059	32.
2317	17, 18, 21, 22, 25, 26, 27, 33, 34.
2321	27, 27A.
2362	1, 42 (State property), 43.

---

Block No.	Lot No.
1703	49.
1707	18.
1708	33.
1710	28, 30, 34, 40.
1727	22.
1777	37, 38.
1784	8.
1792	2K.
1794	1C, 2, 10A.
1795	3, 4, 17.
1796	35, 38, 39.
1805	44A, 45.
1814	12.
1815	8A.
1829	17.
1873	16, 17, 17A.
1894	4.
2322	10.
2324	5.
2361	27.
2429	7.

---

Block No.	Lot No.
1728	42.
1803	18, 19.
1812	41, 41A, 41B, 42.
1894	21, 22, 23, 24.
1895	7, 26, 27, 28, 29, 39, 40.
2398	40.

---

Block No.	Lot No.
1805	24.

All being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the Assessment Book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer—2.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Public Health Committee was taken up:

**Abatement Proceedings—256 Montana Street.**

(Code No. 17.09)

Resolution No. 3548, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Health, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, does hereby declare that the premises numbered, known and designated as 256 Montana street, in the City and County of San Francisco, be and the same is hereby declared to be a public nuisance and the City Attorney of said City and County is hereby directed to abate the same in conformity with the provisions of Section 731 of the Code of Civil Procedure of the State of California.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer—2.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of His Honor the Mayor was taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Albert A. Greenbaum, Member Art Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3545, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mr. Albert A. Greenbaum, member of the Art Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty (30) days, commencing September 15, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer—2.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.****Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence, Honorable Harry K. Wolff, Member of Civil Service Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3549, as follows:

Resolved, That, on the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Harry K. Wolff, member of the Civil Service Commission, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence of twenty-two (22) days from and after September 28, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer—2.



**Leave of Absence, Milton A. Maxwell, Member of Civil Service Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3550, as follows:

Resolved, That, on the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Milton A. Maxwell, member of the Civil Service Commission be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence of eighteen (18) days from and after September 30, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer—2.

**Appointing Committee to Study Feasibility and Advisability of Staging County Fair in Civic Center.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Presented by Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. 3551, as follows:

Whereas, under the present horse racing law large sums of money derived from the State tax on wagering are available for County Fairs; and

Whereas, in its immediate environs San Francisco has two flourishing race tracks which produce much of this tax money; and

Whereas, under the present conditions San Francisco gets no benefit from this State income; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That a committee be appointed with a view of studying the feasibility and advisability of staging a San Francisco County Fair in the Civic Center.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

**St. Mary's Park Playground.**

(Code No. 23.04)

Presented by Supervisor Reilly:

Resolution No. 3552, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco some eight or nine years ago acquired land in St. Mary's Park for the establishment of a playground to be known as St. Mary's Park Playground; and

Whereas, considerable sums of money have been expended in an endeavor to make said playground available for the residents of this City and County and particularly for the residents of St. Mary's Park; and

Whereas, to date, this playground is not completed, nor does its completion appear imminent; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, The Committee on Education, Parks and Playgrounds be and is hereby authorized and requested to inquire into this situation and to take such steps as are legally possible to the end that St. Mary's Park Playground may be made available to the residents in the neighborhood for the purposes originally contemplated, and this with all possible expedition; and be it

Further Resolved, That said Committee be and is hereby requested to report its findings and recommendation to this Board at the earliest possible date.

*Referred to Education, Parks and Recreation Committee.*

**Motion by Supervisor James B. McSheehy re Reduction in  
Water Costs.**

Whereas, the average daily consumption of water within the City and County of San Francisco for the year 1935-1936 was 52,276,000 gallons; 110,000 individual accounts of the Water Department showed \$6,513,507 total revenue for consumption of water; of which amount \$1,000,000 was applied to the redemption of bonds; \$167,535 was expended for additions and betterments to the system; \$1,253,477 was transferred to the General Fund and, after payment of operating expenses, there resulted a net profit for the year 1935-1936 of \$2,-422,982.66; and

Whereas, there is in storage at present approximately 120,000,000,000 gallons of water representing a 5-year supply; and from Hetch Hetchy sources and Spring Valley sources there is a daily inflow of approximately 128,000,000 gallons, of which amount approximately 70,000,000 gallons is permitted to overflow into the Pacific Ocean; and

Whereas, on May 1, 1928, the City and County of San Francisco acquired the Spring Valley Water Company's system for \$41,000,000 for which a bond issue was voted; and during the campaign preceding the bond election it was promised there would be a substantial reduction of water rates, and said promise has not been fulfilled, but instead the schedule of rate charges in effect is the highest of any city in the United States, based on a per capita charge; and

Whereas, of the 110,000 consumers in San Francisco 77,000 are in what is known as the "3300 cubic foot a month" class, paying approximately 34½ cents per 1000 gallons of water plus a meter charge of 70 cents per month; and

Whereas, prior to the year 1921 and under the management of the old Spring Valley Water Company the ratepayers paid on what was known as a "flat rate" charge computed on the estimated consumption according to the number of fixtures, bathrooms, toilets, sinks, etc., contained in each house, which said "flat rate" was in effect for approximately 60 years; and

Whereas, the years 1919 and 1920 were what is commonly termed "dry" years, and San Francisco had to depend entirely on the Spring Valley Water Sheds for water, said Spring Valley Water Company did apply to the California State Railroad Commission for permission to place meters throughout the city *so that if there was any waste of water by consumers they would have to pay for same*, and meters were installed and resulted in a great reduction in consumption; and in addition thereto the Spring Valley Water Company was permitted to increase its rates by adding a meter charge; and

Whereas, in the San Francisco Examiner of August 16, 1937, and in The San Francisco News of September 11, 1937, there appeared announcements that San Jose and Palo Alto were about to enter into contracts with the Manager of Utilities of San Francisco to purchase water for 13 1/3 cents per 1000 gallons, despite the fact that, after ratepayers and taxpayers of San Francisco have invested over \$100,000,000 in Hetch Hetchy and Spring Valley Systems, they were, and are required to continue to pay 34½ cents per 1000 gallons; and

Whereas, there appeared in the Admission Day Parade on September 9, 1937, in Santa Monica, a large float calling attention to the fact that Los Angeles was selling water to its consumers for 17½ cents per 1000 gallons; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the President of this Board appoint a committee of three Supervisors to request from the Chief Administrative Officer the sum of Two Thousand (\$2,000) Dollars for the purpose of employing engineers to ascertain the amount of water now in storage; the amount of water daily consumed in San Francisco; the amount of water flowing daily into the Pacific Ocean; the amount of money collected monthly for the use of meters; and such other information as may be required to present to his Honor the Mayor, showing that



(a) Reduction of water rates should be made.

(b) Meters should be taken out and water should be paid for at a "flat rate" instead of at the present rates with added meter charge, so that citizens would be encouraged to use water more freely, to plant trees, shrubs, and otherwise beautify their homes.

(c) San Franciscans should receive a preference to the use of water now allowed to flow into the Pacific Ocean, and should receive the advantage of the greatly reduced rates offered to the residents of San Jose and Palo Alto by the Manager of Utilities, which rates are in fact only one-third of the rates now charged in San Francisco; and through such reduction in rates San Francisco will be enabled to advertise to the world that this city is selling water for less than the 17 1/3 cent rate per 1000 gallons emblazoned on the Los Angeles float in the Admission Day Parade at Santa Monica, instead of suffering through comparison between our present 34 1/2 cent rate per 1000 gallons and the rate of 17 1/3 cents announced by the City of Los Angeles; and be it

Further Resolved, That this Committee report back its findings to the Board of Supervisors within thirty (30) days, and that they be transmitted to his Honor the Mayor, for such action as he deems best.

#### **Motion to Refer to Joint Committee.**

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Brown, moved that the foregoing amendment be referred to Joint Committee of Public Utilities and Finance.

#### **Motion Failed.**

After discussion, the roll was called on motion of reference to Joint Committee, as follows:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Ratto, Roncovieri—4.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer—2.

*Motion failed.*

#### **Original Motion Carried.**

Whereupon, the roll was called on original motion, as follows:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Ratto, Roncovieri—4.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Meyer—2.

*Motion carried.*

#### **Appointment of Committee.**

Whereupon, the Chair announced that he would appoint Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer and Reilly as members of committee to investigate San Francisco's water supply.

#### **Memorandum Regarding San Francisco's Water Supply.**

Supervisor Ratto presented the following memorandum, respecting San Francisco's water supply:

"At the present time we are selling approximately 65,000,000 gallons of water per day.

"We are delivering into Spring Valley Lakes from 31,000,000 to 49,000,000 gallons per day, all from the Calaveras Reservoir. No water comes from Hetch Hetchy at the present time, because the Raker Act forces us to use up all our local sources of supply before we may take any water from Tuolumne River sources. Since February, no water has run into Spring Valley Lakes from rainfall sources. In other words, we are actually lowering Spring Valley Lakes water content every day, so that while we had 60,000,000 gallons in the spring of the year in Spring Valley Lakes, we now have 46,000,000 gallons in Spring Valley Lakes. This process will proceed throughout the fall, so

that by the time the winter rains come, the Spring Valley Lakes will be materially lower than they are at present. E. G. Cahill."

*Referred to Special Committee just appointed.*

#### **Referred to Building Committee.**

Supervisor Uhl requested to have referred to the Building Committee, matter of two libraries which were to be constructed, one on Cortland avenue and the other in West Portal, the money for which was presumed to be from P. W. A. He requested information as to the status of these projects at the present time, and what suggestions can be offered to see that these districts are provided with libraries.

#### **Information Requested re Hetch Hetchy.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Public Utilities Commission be requested to supply the following information:

Revenue received by the Hetch Hetchy Water System from completion of the system to date.

The number of gallons of Hetch Hetchy water delivered into the San Francisco Water System, and the amount of money paid by the San Francisco Water Department to Hetch Hetchy Water System.

Will Hetch Hetchy water be delivered to our sister communities?

Will the revenue to be derived from the sale of Hetch Hetchy water be credited to the Hetch Hetchy Water System?

*Motion carried.*

#### **Expression of Appreciation.**

The following communication from Dr. Alvan Elinger, Ship's Surgeon for the Johnson Line, addressed to Dr. J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health, was presented by Supervisor Schmidt, and ordered to be made part of the record:

September 4, 1937.

Dr. J. C. Geiger,  
San Francisco.

It is indeed hard for me to express my deep appreciation of your kindness during my sojourn in San Francisco.

May I simply say that your many courtesies are but typical of all San Francisco. You may be sure that my stay in your great city shall long be remembered.

On behalf of Sweden and myself I may say that we shall be anxiously looking forward to the opportunity of reciprocating.

Sincerely yours,

Dr. Alvan Elinger.

#### **Inviting President Roosevelt to Visit San Francisco.**

Supervisor Ratto moved that his Honor, the Mayor, be requested to extend an invitation to the President of the United States to visit San Francisco during his trip to the West Coast, and that the Mayor be authorized to appoint a Citizens' Committee to arrange for an appropriate reception to the President in the event the invitation to visit San Francisco is accepted.

*Motion carried.*

#### **Funston Avenue Approach to the Golden Gate Bridge.**

Supervisor Ratto presented communication from George W. Gerhard of the Civic League of Improvement Clubs and Associations, requesting information as to status of Funston Avenue Approach to the Golden Gate Bridge. Whereupon, the President appointed Supervisor Ratto as a committee of one to invite Col. John Skeggs to be present at the next meeting of the Board, to give his views on the matter.



**Annual Conference, United States Conference of Mayors.**

Communication from the United States Conference of Mayors, inviting members of the Board to attend the Annual Conference of the United States Conference of Mayors, to be held at Washington, D. C., November 15 to 17, 1937, was read by the Clerk.

**Motion.**

Whereupon, Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Mayor be requested to appoint the proper committee to represent the City and County of San Francisco at the Conference.

*Motion carried.*

**Down Town Parking.**

Communication from the Down Town Association, requesting that the Board ask the City Attorney to give an opinion, as soon as possible, as to the constitutionality of Judge Michelson's decision in regard to the parking ban in the down town district, was read by the Clerk, and referred to the City Attorney with request for desired opinion.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 4:20 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, September 27, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 32

No. 39

Monday, September 27, 1937

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

---

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 27, 1937, 2 P. M.

---

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, September 27, 1937,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon—2.

Quorum present.

President Shannon being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was elected President pro tem.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of May 20, 21 and 24 and September 20, 1937, were considered read and approved.

### SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Consideration of reports on result of ordinance prohibiting parking on Market street and other downtown streets.

### Discussion.

THE CHAIRMAN: It is one minute to three. We will take up the special order now.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I move we sit as a committee of the whole if you so desire.

THE CHAIRMAN: I think that would be the better way.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I so move.

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any objection to sitting as a committee of the whole? So ordered.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I move that the present chairman act as chairman.

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any objection? So ordered.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: May I ask what the parliamentary situation is? Are we simply hearing reports, or is there some legislation before us?

THE CHAIRMAN: If you ask me, Supervisor, I think the ground work is being laid more to create legislation to rescind the present ordinance.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I am going to make a motion to amend.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Then, sitting as a committee of the whole, with reference to the no-parking ordinance—Supervisor Uhl, I recognize you.

SUPERVISOR UHL: At this time—I am going to make a motion a little later—regarding the non-parking on Market street, I would to hear, through the Chair, from Captain Goff. He was asked to kindly return today and give us his report or offer suggestions regarding this



parking on Market street. I would like that taken up separately because it is an independent ordinance from the triangle district. I would like, through the Chair, to hear from Captain Goff, what he would have to say as to his observations made during the last two weeks on Market street, whether or not we should follow the suggestion I made to change the boundaries east to either Third or New Montgomery or Second, and west to Seventh. Will you kindly let us hear from you on that, Captain?

THE CHAIRMAN: Supervisor Uhl, may I say to you—Captain Goff, as I understand it, I think we had a resolution several weeks ago and you were asked to make a report here today. Have you a report to make?

CAPTAIN GOFF: I didn't draw up any written report, Mr. Chairman.

THE CHAIRMAN: Then you can make a verbal report, as requested by Supervisor Uhl.

CAPTAIN GOFF: Yes. I started to make that investigation, we started in making a house to house canvass, but, I suppose, owing to the strike there has developed an unusual condition and we could not arrive accurately as to just what the conditions would be under normal business conditions, but I did make considerable study of the situation. I found that collisions had dropped off 40 per cent with the Municipal Railway, I found that in 15 days the collisions had dropped off 50 per cent with all vehicles. I found that the running time on various runs averaged from 8 to 14 miles per hour with an automobile, and I found that many of the street cars at times were held to the schedules loading passengers, especially at Van Ness avenue, so it was hard to get an accurate estimate of the correct loading time. It would take, no doubt, the engineers on that. I found there was not any general opposition to the ordinance but owing to the conditions I thought it would be best to continue it for at least a couple weeks until conditions became normal so we could make an accurate house to house canvass, and survey because conditions are abnormal at the present time.

There is an increase in automobile traffic on Market street, increase in street cars, and an increase in passengers on the street. We are now in the process of making a complete report on changes on some of the alleys, to the parking. For instance, on one side of the alley that is of sufficient width, to permit parking on one side without interference in case of fire with the fire department. Owing to this explanation, I would ask that it be continued for two weeks more so that a more accurate analysis could be made under usual business conditions.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I would like to hear, through the Chair, from Mr. Wiley, please. May I say this to you, that in checking, personally, on the question of the non-parking—you have been absent—you were away from the last meeting—I noticed, for example, on Market street I will come to a stop signal on Market at Ninth, and when that signal says "Go" just as I get to Tenth street comes up the Stop at Tenth street. Just as I get to Tenth street at Van Ness I missed there. I wonder if those conditions can not be corrected, through synchronization of those two or three short blocks, as in the long block from First to Second? The same thing on Kearny happens, I reach Bush street and it is "Go" and by the time I reach Sutter street it is "Stop", and by the time I get to Post street it is "Stop" again. I would like to hear from you, if there are any suggestions you could offer to speed up that traffic?

MR. WILEY: Supervisor Uhl, and gentlemen: As Supervisor stated, we had two or three meetings, and talked on this question of control of traffic, and I pointed out to Supervisor Uhl the difficulties of traffic control in San Francisco, and, answering you specifically on this particular point, we find this condition today: That we did not have, for example, several months or a year ago, that is the increase in

the amount of traffic that is crossing Market street at various intersections. For example, we find that today there are more vehicles by about—Mr. Casey, the City Engineer, has the figures—15 or 20 per cent that crosses Market street at Tenth than the number of vehicles on Market street itself, so naturally, the only obvious thing to do is to give Tenth street more time to permit that large amount of flow to cross Market, and any time you give a larger length of time to your cross-town at any place on Market street you cut down your speed on Market. We find that that is the case at Tenth, at Eighth, at Sixth, and at Fourth. We also, as you know, made some experiments on lower Market and I think you will agree they worked out to better advantage. But the whole matter of traffic control is simply this: That you can not take any one street—you can not take Market street, for example, and think of Market street only when you are thinking of traffic control because to get higher speed on Market street or to permit that cross to run through at a longer length of opening signal, you are doing it to the detriment and holding back your tremendously heavy cross-town traffic which crosses Market from your retail to your industrial and wholesale district, and vice versa.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I interrupt to ask this question: Did you approve or disapprove of the hand control at that peak hour which brings that condition about?

MR. WILEY: Of course, that is kind of putting me on the spot.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I don't mean to do that.

MR. WILEY: I personally disapprove of the hand control on any intersection except when some particular emergency arises and I do not call peak traffic a matter of emergency. I am referring to a case of fire or some unforeseen tie-up of traffic. An officer takes over the control of an intersection from the automatic—he is in the middle of an intersection which is surrounded on four sides with other intersections—they are tied in, synchronized, and when he takes it over, mentally he thinks he is doing a good job and he possibly is at his one particular intersection, but he is gumming up the control four ways from that intersection.

Market street: As long as there has been so much said about Market street traffic, I would like you gentlemen to know that regardless of the pros and cons of parking or not parking on Market street, it was not the intention at any time when parking was banned on Market street, to speed up the traffic on Market street. By that I mean speed in number of miles per hour which automobile traffic can move. But the idea was that we would then have a clear, single lane both east and west and we would get a larger volume of traffic through but not through at any higher miles per hour. You will also note there was considerable said in the paper about it, speeding up the traffic and so forth, due to the signals. We speeded the signals up so fast the street cars could not unload and load. They could not keep up with the progressive timing. The result was we had to go back and make two different trials, which we had known before—we had made before. We had to finally go back to the old system which is a signal speed of 14.6 miles per hour. If you will start on Market street on a Sunday, for example, when you do not have any right hand movement or any particularly heavy traffic, you can drive from the Ferry Building to Twelfth street at 14.6 miles per hour—the signals will open up progressively in front of you; but you don't do it, practically for the simple reason that two or three times, depending upon the density of the traffic, you would have such a tremendous amount of right turns and the right turns can not flow through the pedestrians. The result is that you are held back at two or three intersections on Market street—you will stop—then you have to wait through a whole cycle. The result is it brings your average speed down to about 11 or 12 miles per hour, which, considering that at each intersection you have



the pedestrians leaving the safety zones, and walking to the curb, and vice versa, we think that is fairly good speed for Market street.

I don't know whether I have answered your question or not.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I would like to ask, then, the question of you, through the Chair—I have pointed out to you that where I will find the Go signal at Ninth street, going west, when I come to Tenth I hit the Stop signal, and when I come to Van Ness unfortunately I hit the Stop signal. Can those be synchronized where the blocks are short?

MR. WILEY: It is possible, though, since we have given Tenth street more time—and that is what threw it out—because Market street at Tenth—if the signals were open longer you wouldn't have to stop, but since we have had to give Tenth street more time—it is possible we might be able to synchronize those two blocks but we have been more or less hesitant about attempting those things until we have a little bit better information as to the traffic flow. The City Engineer is making charts at the present time which will give us the traffic flow at every intersection on which we have signals, and I would rather wait for a few weeks until we have had time to study those traffic flows, and then adjust the signals to conform to the density of traffic.

SUPERVISOR UHL: You tried that synchronizing down at the lower end and it worked satisfactorily.

MR. WILEY: We originally tried it for everything east of First, but we were stopped and lost time at Beale, so then we synchronized First and Fremont, and then reversed the next three, 180 degrees from First and Beale, and we find that increased the speed down there.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Will you also make a study on Kearny street? Will you kindly do that? More trouble comes in there—naturally, if you go a block and stop it ruins the whole flow.

MR. WILEY: Your triangle district north of Market is a different condition again. Let me say this: You will have to admit, as everybody will, that progressive timing south of Market on your east and west streets, is perfect. You wouldn't want anything finer. You can drive there at 22 miles an hour without a stop. The next question is, why you can not drive on your north and south streets at the same speed, such as Fifth and Fourth. The answer is, as I explained to you, the blocks are 270 feet shorter north and south than they are east and west, and naturally your timing must be the same way and naturally your miles per hour is more. The same thing applies when you get north of Market. We have extremely short blocks north and south—it seems to me like they are 343 as against east and west block length of around 480. Now, we have fair progressive timing on the east and west blocks at somewhere around nine and a fraction or 10 miles an hour, but your north and south blocks being shorter gives you naturally a shorter period.

SUPERVISOR UHL: But is not this the fact, that you have on one of those streets, either Geary, Post or Sutter, you have given more time on one of the crossings at Grant Avenue than the other two, and that has reflected back on Kearny street?

MR. WILEY: That is correct.

SUPERVISOR UHL: If the adjustment can be made, I think the public would appreciate it; either give them all that additional time so as to synchronize Sutter and Post and giving either Geary—which ever one has had the advantage from the others, the same advantage in timing there.

MR. WILEY: As I said before, you can only do so much with automatic signals. You can do something which will give you a faster speed on Market and get more cars through, but then you do it to the detriment of your triangle district, and south of Market. We can speed up the signals in the triangle district north of Market, for example—why, you can drive on the street through there at 10 or 15 miles an hour and get an open signal at every intersection, but your cycle length is so short at the end of an hour's time that you are

actually not getting as much traffic through, not getting as much volume through as you are with the slow timing.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Does that same thing apply on east and west, Howard street, south of Market? And north and south—in other words, what influenced you in placing 22 miles an hour east and west south of Market, which reflects 16 minutes north and south? Couldn't you just as well have placed that at 25?

MR. WILEY: The answer is that the City Fathers years ago, that laid out the city, laid out a fine block length, 580 feet long, which works out perfectly for a 60 second cycle. That is the reason it happens to give you a speed of 22 miles per hour.

SUPERVISOR UHL: What would happen at 25 miles an hour?

MR. WILEY: You can drive at 25 miles an hour now. You start at the lower end of the cycle, then you can drive 25 miles an hour without being stopped, but it comes back to the same question again, if you speed up the signals so you get an approximate 25 miles an hour then you are going to fight certain traffic due to right and left hand turns which will not permit you to keep up with your progressive timing.

SUPERVISOR UHL: You believe 22 miles an hour—

MR. WILEY: I think that is as fast as you can possibly run it, and getting there very nicely. But, there is just one thing more I would like to say in a general answer to the question you have asked, and which I have seen in the paper several times—you speak about driving up to an intersection and having the Stop signal against you. That is true, but you will find in your triangle district, or any of those districts, it is better to be held up for two or three seconds, but drive fast between intersections,—it is better to be held up at each intersection you come to than it is to attempt this synchronization or instantaneous timing and then have to wait through an entire half cycle. The reason for progressive timing, about the period we are using at the present time, north of Market, is essential at the present time. Yet, if you drive today in the triangle district, bounded roughly by Powell on the west, and Market and Bush, there are only three streets in that triangle district in which there are any street car lines or lines with which automobile traffic is absolutely dependent upon the speed of your street cars, because your cars block the street cars. The street cars have to stop to let off and take on passengers, and the result is your automobile traffic can not proceed any faster than the street cars, with the exception of three streets, that is Montgomery, Grant avenue and Post. It is a very easy matter, too—in 30 seconds time we can change the entire triangle district to what is known as instantaneous or synchronized timing but the over-all length of time it would take you to go from one end of the street is longer. You might think you are making better speed but you are not.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I am going to ask you to kindly check up that section in there, south of Sutter street, taking in Kearny up to Powell. I would like to come and talk it over with you because I feel we could make a vast improvement on Kearny street where there is a very heavy flow of traffic. It even catches the street car. He will get a Go on Sutter street, he stops at Post, and he stops at Market. He isn't benefited by it.

MR. WILEY: The trouble in that whole triangle district is just this: That you have such heavy right and left hand turns, and, as I stated in my report, when I came back from the east, until such time as the right and left hand turns are cut out in that triangle district you are not going to speed up traffic. It is a common sight for privately owned automobiles driven by chauffeurs to let the ladies off at down town stores, and all they do to kill time is go around the block for an hour. They are all most polite fellows—they will stop to let the traffic go when making a right hand turn, going through the pedestrians. In the meantime all the cars behind them are being



the pedestrians leaving the safety zones, and walking to the curb, and vice versa, we think that is fairly good speed for Market street.

I don't know whether I have answered your question or not.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I would like to ask, then, the question of you, through the Chair—I have pointed out to you that where I will find the Go signal at Ninth street, going west, when I come to Tenth I hit the Stop signal, and when I come to Van Ness unfortunately I hit the Stop signal. Can those be synchronized where the blocks are short?

MR. WILEY: It is possible, though, since we have given Tenth street more time—and that is what threw it out—because Market street at Tenth—if the signals were open longer you wouldn't have to stop, but since we have had to give Tenth street more time—it is possible we might be able to synchronize those two blocks but we have been more or less hesitant about attempting those things until we have a little bit better information as to the traffic flow. The City Engineer is making charts at the present time which will give us the traffic flow at every intersection on which we have signals, and I would rather wait for a few weeks until we have had time to study those traffic flows, and then adjust the signals to conform to the density of traffic.

SUPERVISOR UHL: You tried that synchronizing down at the lower end and it worked satisfactorily.

MR. WILEY: We originally tried it for everything east of First, but we were stopped and lost time at Beale, so then we synchronized First and Fremont, and then reversed the next three, 180 degrees from First and Beale, and we find that increased the speed down there.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Will you also make a study on Kearny street? Will you kindly do that? More trouble comes in there—naturally, if you go a block and stop it ruins the whole flow.

MR. WILEY: Your triangle district north of Market is a different condition again. Let me say this: You will have to admit, as everybody will, that progressive timing south of Market on your east and west streets, is perfect. You wouldn't want anything finer. You can drive there at 22 miles an hour without a stop. The next question is, why you can not drive on your north and south streets at the same speed, such as Fifth and Fourth. The answer is, as I explained to you, the blocks are 270 feet shorter north and south than they are east and west, and naturally your timing must be the same way and naturally your miles per hour is more. The same thing applies when you get north of Market. We have extremely short blocks north and south—it seems to me like they are 343 as against east and west block length of around 480. Now, we have fair progressive timing on the east and west blocks at somewhere around nine and a fraction or 10 miles an hour, but your north and south blocks being shorter gives you naturally a shorter period.

SUPERVISOR UHL: But is not this the fact, that you have on one of those streets, either Geary, Post or Sutter, you have given more time on one of the crossings at Grant Avenue than the other two, and that has reflected back on Kearny street?

MR. WILEY: That is correct.

SUPERVISOR UHL: If the adjustment can be made, I think the public would appreciate it; either give them all that additional time so as to synchronize Sutter and Post and giving either Geary—which ever one has had the advantage from the others, the same advantage in timing there.

MR. WILEY: As I said before, you can only do so much with automatic signals. You can do something which will give you a faster speed on Market and get more cars through, but then you do it to the detriment of your triangle district, and south of Market. We can speed up the signals in the triangle district north of Market, for example—why, you can drive on the street through there at 10 or 15 miles an hour and get an open signal at every intersection, but your cycle length is so short at the end of an hour's time that you are

actually not getting as much traffic through, not getting as much volume through as you are with the slow timing.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Does that same thing apply on east and west, Howard street, south of Market? And north and south—in other words, what influenced you in placing 22 miles an hour east and west south of Market, which reflects 16 minutes north and south? Couldn't you just as well have placed that at 25?

MR. WILEY: The answer is that the City Fathers years ago, that laid out the city, laid out a fine block length, 580 feet long, which works out perfectly for a 60 second cycle. That is the reason it happens to give you a speed of 22 miles per hour.

SUPERVISOR UHL: What would happen at 25 miles an hour?

MR. WILEY: You can drive at 25 miles an hour now. You start at the lower end of the cycle, then you can drive 25 miles an hour without being stopped, but it comes back to the same question again, if you speed up the signals so you get an approximate 25 miles an hour then you are going to fight certain traffic due to right and left hand turns which will not permit you to keep up with your progressive timing.

SUPERVISOR UHL: You believe 22 miles an hour—

MR. WILEY: I think that is as fast as you can possibly run it, and getting there very nicely. But, there is just one thing more I would like to say in a general answer to the question you have asked, and which I have seen in the paper several times—you speak about driving up to an intersection and having the Stop signal against you. That is true, but you will find in your triangle district, or any of those districts, it is better to be held up for two or three seconds, but drive fast between intersections,—it is better to be held up at each intersection you come to than it is to attempt this synchronization or instantaneous timing and then have to wait through an entire half cycle. The reason for progressive timing, about the period we are using at the present time, north of Market, is essential at the present time. Yet, if you drive today in the triangle district, bounded roughly by Powell on the west, and Market and Bush, there are only three streets in that triangle district in which there are any street car lines or lines with which automobile traffic is absolutely dependent upon the speed of your street cars, because your cars block the street cars. The street cars have to stop to let off and take on passengers, and the result is your automobile traffic can not proceed any faster than the street cars, with the exception of three streets, that is Montgomery, Grant avenue and Post. It is a very easy matter, too—in 30 seconds time we can change the entire triangle district to what is known as instantaneous or synchronized timing but the over-all length of time it would take you to go from one end of the street is longer. You might think you are making better speed but you are not.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I am going to ask you to kindly check up that section in there, south of Sutter street, taking in Kearny up to Powell. I would like to come and talk it over with you because I feel we could make a vast improvement on Kearny street where there is a very heavy flow of traffic. It even catches the street car. He will get a Go on Sutter street, he stops at Post, and he stops at Market. He isn't benefited by it.

MR. WILEY: The trouble in that whole triangle district is just this: That you have such heavy right and left hand turns, and, as I stated in my report, when I came back from the east, until such time as the right and left hand turns are cut out in that triangle district you are not going to speed up traffic. It is a common sight for privately owned automobiles driven by chauffeurs to let the ladies off at down town stores, and all they do to kill time is go around the block for an hour. They are all most polite fellows—they will stop to let the traffic go when making a right hand turn, going through the pedestrians. In the meantime all the cars behind them are being



held up. As long as you have right and left hand movements in the triangle district and the heavy pedestrian traffic crossing there, which naturally cars can not plow through them, you are going to get no better speed than at the present time.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Through the Chair, I am going to ask that Mr. Wiley, if you will, kindly confer with Captain Goff, along the lines of those left hand turns. I know what it is—the signal says Go and before they can get a left hand turn because of the oncoming traffic, the signal says Stop. I see last week, in the papers, that Los Angeles is going out a great distance on prohibiting left hand turns. It is one of the factors that slows up our traffic movement very materially. Therefore, I would like a report from Mr. Wiley and Captain Goff, at the next meeting, bearing on that left hand turn situation, within the triangle district.

If there is no one else cares to be heard, I am going to follow the request of Captain Goff——

THE CHAIRMAN: There are a number to be heard.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: May I ask you what you meant when you said the condition now was not normal, Captain Goff?

CAPTAIN GOFF: On account of the strike, the waterfront strike.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: The traffic is now lighter than normal.

CAPTAIN GOFF: Yes, on account of there is very few heavy trucking vehicles at all. The store people, some are not purchasing as much and there is less patronage to the stores, especially in the district near the Embarcadero.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I thought I understood Mr. Wiley to say there was a big increase. That is in other sections of the city.

CAPTAIN GOFF: There is a big increase in west bound traffic. There is a big increase in all traffic, but it is not shopping traffic, the traffic we are dealing with, that shops through the stores.

MR. WILEY: What I said was, the increased amount of traffic north and south crossing Market, cross-town traffic has increased. Possibly there are more automobiles.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: What is the reason for that?

MR. WILEY: Undoubtedly due possibly to the bridge.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: That is a normal condition—that will continue to increase, then.

MR. WILEY: Well, up to a certain point.

CAPTAIN GOFF: Tenth street has increased about 60 per cent, more than 60 per cent—more than doubled.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: What is the decrease, would say, below normal?

CAPTAIN GOFF: The decrease below normal is our trucking; there is hardly any trucking going on.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: How would you put that in percentage of trucking?

CAPTAIN GOFF: Well, I have not counted up the trucking, but I would say it was around 20 per cent.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Your suggestion is, then, to wait until things are normal and you can get a more intelligent picture of the conditions.

CAPTAIN GOFF: Both from the storekeepers, and from all parties.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask for one more check up? Captain Goff, I have sat at First and Market streets for a considerable length of time checking, and I find by the hand control—what is the cycle there,—30 seconds or 35 seconds?

CAPTAIN GOFF: I think about 35 seconds.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I have checked there twice and I find on hand control he has held it as long as a minute, 65 seconds on one particular case. I wish you would check with Mr. Wiley on the question of hand control. It throws out the whole system, as I see it.

CAPTAIN GOFF: That is true. One of the things we have to deal with is the periodical mass of traffic, and another is loading up

of street cars. My men try to take advantage of the loading up of street cars. For instance, if the signal would go automatically they might head off a street car that just had one more passenger to load and we try to take them, being the heavy volume of traffic, the street cars. We try to wait until the street car is loaded and then speed it up.

**SUPERVISOR RATTO:** About two weeks ago when I took up the left hand turns in the triangle district, at that time you stated that you had made a survey and stated that owing to the fact that you allowed left hand turns sometimes you eliminated a lot of congestion. Have you made any further study?

**CAPTAIN GOFF:** Yes; here is what I find, owing to the location of that triangle district, and to the stores being in just a few blocks, if we stop left hand turns in that little district then you have to make right hand turns all around the block to get back again and the right hand turns take up practically as much time as left hand turns. It is a peculiarly situated district in there, that shopping district. People come down Geary street—they want to shop perhaps at Livingstons, and the White House, and they make a left hand turn, and if they go down Geary street and could not make a left hand turn in to Kearny, it would take a long time to route people, to get them to come down to O'Farrell street, to make a left hand turn at O'Farrell and Market where the turn table is, blocked by the cable car standing there. Then we find that whenever they make a left hand turn, if some of the shoppers are ahead of them, they take their time, and the ordinance stipulates that pedestrians have the right of way when they are traveling in the proper direction to the signal. So, under the law you have got to wait. If you waited until your pedestrian movement was through you would have to wait for hours because it is continuous in that shopping district. So that is just another one of the difficulties we have to deal with. If we do like they do in New York, where they have a lot of man power at every intersection, and they just form a line across those streets and prohibit the pedestrians from moving until the automobiles are through—which is here a violation of the regulations. That is another thing. As Mr. Wiley just stated, at those heavy points like Fourth street and Sixth street your turning movement there, your cross-movement, are the difficulty. Fourth, and Fifth, and Sixth, are some of the heaviest movements in the city, and that is what retards your movement of traffic.

**SUPERVISOR RATTO:** At that time when we had the meeting, where you stated there might be some intersections in the triangle district that we might eliminate the left hand turns—I wish you would look into that.

**CAPTAIN GOFF:** We will also look into that. We are looking into the feasibility of making turns in the middle of the block.

**SUPERVISOR COLMAN:** A few weeks ago we asked that a letter be directed to the Public Utilities Commission asking them what the result was in the speed of the Municipal cars after the no parking ban went into effect. I would like to inquire if we received an answer? I was told Mr. Cahill had answered that request, and I would suggest that his letter be read to us on that subject.

**THE CLERK:** Here is one as of today; this is his second one. (Letter from Mr. Cahill read by the Clerk.)

**SUPERVISOR UHL:** Mr. President, and members of the Board, I have before me the supplemental report of Mr. Cahill under date of May 11, 1937, in which he says that traveling literally—on page four—traveling literally at less than pedestrians' walking speed, they average only 2.37 miles. That is from the Ferry up to Kearny street—I am reading—"We were already 12 minutes behind schedule on reaching Kearny street."

**SUPERVISOR RATTO:** 12 minutes?

**SUPERVISOR UHL:** Yes, sir, 12 minutes. Now he says here in



March, April and May—this was May 11th—he says now on May 11th it was 9.1 minutes, and yet in his report to us he has 12 minutes behind schedule. Also he says in August and September it was 9.1. Therefore, I would ask the Clerk to kindly call Mr. Cahill's office about this, and advise us which is correct. That shows a saving of 3 minutes—in other words, in the report of May 11th he says 12 minutes behind schedule from the Ferry to Kearny street, and here he tells us now 9.1—so if there is a 3 minute saving—

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Could I perhaps move that Mr. Cahill be sent for to explain that?

SUPERVISOR UHL: I wish you would.

THE CHAIRMAN: Will you kindly send for Mr. Cahill, please?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I would ask that the letter of the Market Street Railroad be read, on the same subject. What is the saving he tells us?

(Letter of August 23, 1937, from the Market Street Railway Company, was read by the Clerk.)

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: What was the distance in which he saves 3.6 minutes?

THE CLERK: The Ferry Building to Ninth street.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Mr. Cahill was asked why the Municipal cars saved only that much time.

SUPERVISOR UHL: There is an interference of automobile traffic there—there is no interference of automobile traffic on the Market Street tracks that there is on the Municipal tracks so you must make due allowance for that interference on the Municipal car lines.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: That may be the answer, but we did not get it from Mr. Cahill. We got it from Mr. Uhl.

SUPERVISOR UHL: If there is any citizen that would like to talk on this matter, I would move the privilege of the floor to him.

THE CHAIRMAN: May I say, Supervisor Uhl, Mr. Casey, our engineer, was also asked at the time—I wonder if Mr. Casey has anything to offer on this matter?

MR. CASEY: In accordance with the request of the Board, and with, of course, no funds being available, but with such help as we could gather, we made some observations. We think they are observations that you might desire. I might state, to clear up the point that Supervisor Colman was talking on a few moments ago, concerning the increased traffic, I might state that I am sure Chief Wiley refers to the normal increase of traffic which we observed in our various traffic counts a year ago, and then just recently, and that those counts were made before this waterfront situation developed. There has been no rigid check downtown since the waterfront trouble developed. Even if there were, I don't think it would have any bearing on the type of traffic that crosses Market street at Sixth or Tenth or Twelfth streets or further out.

It is a fact that on Tenth street there are 14,000 cars in 12 hours, across Market, at Tenth; 7,000 each way. On Market street there are 5,000 which are crossing Tenth on Market.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Let's get that again.

MR. CASEY: 7,000 cars in each direction on Tenth street. On Market street there are 5,000 cars in each direction that cross Tenth and Market. You can see from that, Supervisor Uhl, that Mr. Wiley has to function with on that traffic signal, as he says he has to function there with. There were field observations made by me starting on August 16th and completed on August 21st, and I don't think it will take more than six or seven minutes to read them. I would be glad to read them if you care to hear them.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Before you do that, could we ask Mr. Cahill a question?

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask a question, through the Chair?

THE CHAIRMAN: I recognize Mr. Uhl. May I ask that you allow Mr. Cahill, first, Mr. Casey?

MR. CASEY: Yes.

SUPERVISOR UHL: We are discussing the question of possible improved time noticed on the Municipal line on Market street during the non-parking. May I ask, what is the schedule of the Municipal cars between the Ferry and Kearny street at the peak hour?

MR. CAHILL: I will have to go back to my office and get that. I haven't got that at my finger tips.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I would like to ask you, the combined questions at the same time, because they all refer to that. That is the first important question I would like to ask you. The next, so you can check—you wrote a letter to the Board under date of September 27th in which you say the average delay behind schedule made by the Municipal Railway cars at peak load hours during the months of March, April and May, 1937, between the Ferry and Kearny street, was 9.1 minutes. Whereas, in your supplemental report to us under date of May 11, 1937, which comes as one of the methods referred to in this letter of yours of September 27th, where you say the average delay behind schedule was 9.1 minutes, in your May 11th letter I read that from the Ferry to Kearny you say the cars were all 12 minutes behind schedule on reaching Kearny street. Which of the two figures is correct?

MR. CAHILL: The average is 9.1 minutes.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Why did you set up in this that the average was 12 minutes behind schedule?

MR. CAHILL: I can't remember what that document is.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Kindly show that to Mr. Cahill, will you, please?

MR. CAHILL: I don't know; I will have to take this and the figures, and put them together. The actual situation is that as far as the parking ordinance is concerned, there is no decrease in the running time of the Municipal Railway cars on account of the parking ordinance, between the Ferry and Kearny street, during the time the no-parking ordinance has been in existence, and it has varied from 1.4 minutes to 1.7 minutes saving at Van Ness avenue. In other words, it takes about 28 minutes to get from the Ferry to Van Ness avenue on the Municipal Railways cars, and that has been lessened by 1.2 minutes or rather 1.4 minutes to 1.7 minutes, which, when you consider that at the peak load hours the time of from 50 to 60 minutes to make a trip in one direction from the Ferry to the terminus of the K, L and N lines, it is not of any consequence at all. So, as far as the Municipal Railway is concerned, it doesn't make any difference whether you continue the policy of the parking ordinance.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Through the Chair, may I ask you for a letter covering these points, Mr. Cahill: What is the schedule at peak hour from the Ferry to Kearny street—what has been during the past week the time, leaving the Ferry at 5 o'clock, at what time did that car, designating the number of the car, reach Kearny street? This explanation from you here in your supplemental report of May 11, 1937, on page four, where you say they were all 12 minutes behind schedule on reaching Kearny street, and in your letter of September 27, 1937, you say 9.1 minutes—if you will kindly explain that difference in figures I would appreciate the information.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Mr. Chairman, I am under the impression that Mr. Cahill probably made a mistake. Maybe I am in error—Did I understand Mr. Cahill to say 28 minutes from the Ferry to Twelfth street?

MR. CAHILL: 28 minutes from the Ferry to Van Ness avenue.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: During the peak hour?

MR. CAHILL: Yes.

SUPERVISOR REILLY: Yet during the day the time would be less?

MR. CAHILL: Yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: Are there any other questions any members



wish to ask Mr. Cahill? Hearing none, Mr. Cahill is excused. Now, members of the Board, there are a number of citizens here—Oh, Mr. Casey, you really had the floor. Did you have anything further to present to the Board?

MR. CASEY: Well, I will, Mr. Chairman, present in probably five or six minutes the data we gathered in accordance with the request of this Board of Supervisors.

THE CHAIRMAN: Members of the Board, Mr. Casey, our engineer, has certain data to present to the Board which we have asked him for.

MR. CASEY: These observations were taken between August 16th and August 21st, 1937, since the parking ban, of course, has been established. The first observations are:

"Speed and volume—Automobiles: Off peak hours before parking ban, average time in either direction from The Embarcadero to Twelfth street was 11.75 minutes, or an average speed of 10.25 miles per hour."

SUPERVISOR UHL: That is from the corner of Van Ness?

MR. CASEY: From The Embarcadero to Twelfth.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Before the ban?

MR. CASEY: Before the ban, off peak hours. The volume of automobile traffic in either direction was 467 per hour average. For off peak hours after parking ban the average time in either direction, from the Embarcadero to Twelfth street, was 11.69 miles per hour.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: As against what?

MR. CASEY: As against 10¼ miles per hour. The volume of automobile traffic in either direction is 506 per hour, a slight increase of some 40 cars per hour using Market street at the same period, after.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I interrupt long enough to say that if it had been the same number of cars, 467 instead of 506, their speed would have been greater?

MR. CASEY: Well, probably negligibly, though,—

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Taking it during peak hours—

MR. CASEY: Yes, we will have that next. The speed increase is 1.44 miles per hour average. The volume increase is 39 autos per hour.

Peak hour before parking ban 4:30 p. m. to 6 p. m., average time westbound from the Embarcadero to Twelfth street, was 9.3 miles per hour. The volume of automobile traffic westbound was 654 per hour.

Peak hour after parking ban 4:30 to 6 p. m., average time westbound was 8.3 miles per hour. Volume of automobile traffic westbound 770 average per hour. The speed decreased 1 mile per hour, and volume increased 116 automobiles per hour westbound. That is the information we received for automobiles in accordance with your request.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Do you mean that they were slower after the ban during the peak hours than before the ban?

SUPERVISOR UHL: There were 137 more cars, a greater volume of cars.

MR. CASEY: Right.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Where would you say that those cars, that increase of practically 20 per cent in the automobiles, where would you say they came in from?

MR. CASEY: The increased traffic?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Yes. They couldn't left turn.

MR. CASEY: Now, Supervisor— I—at the outset I stated that we, merely with the few men we could gather, just went into the machine and took what we saw at various spots to give us this cross-section. This is at the best meagre information; in order to get right into the seat of the information it will take quite a number of men, and get all the system, and then make comparisons with my report on August 1, 1936, and also compared with the information received

by Doctor McClintock when he obtained his information in March, April, May and June, 1937.

SUPERVISOR UHL: You say the speed was reduced from 9.3 to 8.3?

MR. CASEY: About one mile per hour.

SUPERVISOR UHL: To me it would evidence the fact that the no-parking ban has made possible that additional 20 per cent of cars traveling on Market street.

MR. CASEY: There is no question about it.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Thus, it has been favorable to have the no-parking in that area.

MR. CASEY: I am not here making an argument in favor of the parking ban. I am in favor of it personally, but I am honestly giving you the information we have gathered in the field between August 16th and August 21st. But I might state further that this increase of traffic causing manually operated signals takes a little longer time for the Market street traffic than otherwise.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Are you making a further study on this matter?

MR. CASEY: You see, the traffic signals are manually operated in the evening hours, and they are stopped perhaps a little longer, holding the Market street traffic up, and that would tend to reduce the miles per hour, and we may have just hit a bad day. To get a real average of this thing you will have to do it two or three times a month. This was only taken between August 16th and August 21st.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Mr. Chairman, through the Chair, two weeks ago in discussing this matter, Captain Goff rather agreed that we could reduce the area for the day for no parking from perhaps Third or New Montgomery to Seventh street, and then make it no parking at the peak hours west of Seventh and east of Third or New Montgomery. Have you made any observation or study along that line, Mr. Casey, whether it would be desirable?

MR. CASEY: No.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Can you perhaps do it?

MR. CASEY: We can make any kind of a study you want, under any conditions we find in the field. If you want an extensive report, that will cost money. If you want us to go down there at the times we can spare some of our men, we can do it.

SUPERVISOR UHL: That is the idea, whether or not we could reduce the parking ban on Market street in some areas.

MR. CASEY: I don't think the City Engineer's office should be asked to make an investigation to recommend for or against parking on any street, if it is a function of the police department.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I don't mean to have you conflict with them. If in your report you could give us a picture of the conditions existing, say beyond Seventh street to the west, and east of New Montgomery—if you could give us that it would be appreciated.

MR. CASEY: We could do that. Street car speeds. When I said, Mr. President, that I would take five or seven minutes to present this I meant if I presented it continuously without questions. I don't say that I have any objections to the questions.

THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead.

MR. CASEY: Street car speeds. Off peak hours after parking ban, the average time for both Municipal and Market Street Railway cars, 14.3 minutes, or 8.37 miles per hour, from the Embarcadero to Twelfth street in off peak hours.

Peak hour after parking ban, 4:30 p. m. to 6 p. m., average time westbound for both Municipal and Market Street Railway cars, 19.7 minutes, or 6.07 miles per hour.

Peak hour after parking ban, 4:30 p. m. to 6 p. m., average time eastbound, 15.2 minutes, or 7.88 miles per hour.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Will you kindly repeat those figures?

MR. CASEY: I will be glad to. Off peak hours after parking ban, the average time for both Municipal and Market Street Railway cars,



14.3 minutes, or 8.37 miles per hour, from The Embarcadero, the Municipal and Market Street.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: 14.3?

MR. CASEY: 14.3, or 8.37 miles per hour.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Did you hear Mr. Cahill a few minutes ago, he said 28 minutes.

MR. CASEY: This is off peak hours. Peak hour, after parking ban, on the peak hours between 4:30 and 6 p. m., the average time westbound for both Municipal and Market Street Railway cars, was 19.7.

SUPERVISOR UHL: That is compared with the 28 minutes of Mr. Cahill's statement.

MR. CASEY: Peak hour, after parking ban, 4:30 to 6 p. m., average time, eastbound, 15.2 minutes, or 7.88 miles per hour. That is eastbound. No data on street car speeds before parking ban. We had no information on that, we did not have that information.

Market street signal timing operates on a 70-second cycle during off peak hours and during peak hours the cycle lengthens to 80 seconds. Signals are operated manually during the evening peak hours, at the following crossings: Drumm, First, Montgomery, Kearny, Stockton, and Taylor streets. Manual operation is used during heavy pedestrian movements.

From the W. P. A. traffic survey, the following information is presented, showing curb use along both sides of Market street from Spear to Seventh street, before the enactment of the no-parking ordinance.

Total curb space, 10,829 lineal feet. Safety zones, fire hydrants, cross-walks and loading zones, 6,642 lineal feet.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: May I interrupt you to ask—you said that the running time of both Market Street and the Municipal cars were the same as the result of your investigation?

MR. CASEY: Yes, the average, yes.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: That is what you actually found out?

MR. CASEY: Yes. We put men on the cars with stop watches to obtain this information, our employees.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Well, I think that is an interesting statement in view of the letter received from Mr. Kahn. Now, as to the actual time of running, that information is available in the hands of the superintendent of the Municipal Railway. He keeps that every day. I realize Mr. Casey has made his just twice, but it seems as though Mr. Casey might have discovered the fact if there was an increase in the time of the Market Street Railroad—that would have shown itself to your men?

MR. CASEY: Oh, I see,—yes, it would.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Apparently there is a discrepancy which comes out of this, that Mr. Casey does not confirm the statement of Mr. Kahn in his letter, when Mr. Kahn points out that the Market Street Railroad have effected savings by the parking ban greater than the Municipal lines. Now, as to which time is actually correct, I think the better way to find that out, and I will attempt to do it, is to get the daily records which are a matter of record. After all, that is something that he has only taken twice and they have it taken every day since that period of time.

MR. CASEY: Correct. Because of better sight distance made possible by the parking ban, accidents have been substantially reduced. We made no further comments on that because we left that up to Captain Goff.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: That, by the way, is definitely true. I think Captain Goff's statement—I have it—substantially, for the Market Street Railroad, and by Mr. Cahill, too. There is no doubt about it.

MR. CASEY: 61.38 per cent of the curb space is not available for parking on Market street at any time, because it is used by safety

zones, fire hydrants, cross-walks and loading zones. That is another piece of information we obtained from our maps and investigation.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: What percentage?

MR. CASEY: There are 10,829 lineal feet of curb space on Market street between The Embarcadero and Twelfth. Of that amount of curb the safety zones, fire hydrants, cross-walks, and loading zones, take up 6,642 lineal feet, or 61 per cent. That is between Spear street and Seventh street. That is correct—but the parking is not so disastrous on Market because 61 per cent of the curb space is not available for parking use any way.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: So there is really only about 39 per cent of the space available for parking?

MR. CASEY: That is right. I think that is all I have, unless there are questions.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I want to be certain that I get that correctly,—that 19.7 minutes was from the Ferry to where?

MR. CASEY: From the Ferry to Twelfth street, the average time westbound for both Municipal and Market Street Railway cars was 19.7 or 6.07 miles per hour between 4:30 and 6.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Now, will somebody refresh my memory as to what Mr. Cahill said concerning the 28 minutes? That is from the Ferry to Van Ness Avenue?

THE CHAIRMAN: Aren't they the same thing?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: No, Twelfth street is a block further out.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. Cahill made the statement that during the peak period it was 28 minutes.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: A slight discrepancy.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: That is not correct; it is a heavy discrepancy. I would like to have a copy of Mr. Casey's statement. I presume every member of the Board—I presume each member of the Board, and also Mr. Cahill's statement regarding the time and so forth.

THE CLERK: If you will direct that that be printed in the Journal you will all have copies of it.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I move that the entire proceeding from the beginning, on this very subject, be printed.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I'd send each member a copy.

THE CLERK: It will be printed in the Journal.

THE CHAIRMAN: It will be printed, it is so told us. I would like to have the entire proceedings.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I would like to have a question of Mr. Cahill. That is, what is the schedule on the peak from the Ferry to Van Ness avenue? I would like him to kindly give us the time at the peak hour during the past week, what it has been from the Ferry to Van Ness avenue. In other words, that will then be checked against the figures Mr. Casey has given. If my memory serves me correctly, the inspector told me it is 12 minutes from the Ferry to Van Ness avenue, and their running on the peak hour about 7 minutes behind schedule, which will make 19 minutes, which Mr. Casey has quoted. However, by asking that question to the Public Utilities Commission we will get it correct.

---

At the conclusion of the discussion, on motion of Supervisor Uhl, the Clerk, in conjunction with Supervisor Uhl, was directed to prepare an amendment to the ordinance limiting the parking ban on Market street to a district between First street and Eighth street except during peak hours when the ban will be effective from The Embarcadero to Twelfth street as at present.



## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

None.

## NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

## Refunds of Erroneous and Duplicate Payments of Taxes.

(Code No. 9.059)

Resolution No. 3553, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid to the following named, being refunds of erroneous and duplicate payments of taxes:

*From Duplicate Tax Fund—Appropriation No. 905.*

- |   |         |
|---|---------|
| (1) Bank of America, per Vol. 41, Bill 2601, Lot 16, Block 6795C, 2d installment, fiscal year 1936 .....  | \$ 6.81 |
| (2) Christine Kelly, per Vol. 38, Bill 1466, Lot 11, Block 6417, both installments, fiscal year 1936 .....  | 61.68   |
| (3) A. J. Herzig, per Vol. 18, Bill 3049, Lot 1, Block 2526B, 2d installment, fiscal year 1936 .....  | 15.89   |
| (4) Northern Counties Title Insurance Co., per Vol. 18, Bill 344, Lot 2, Block 2399, 2d installment, fiscal year 1936...  | 10.25   |
| (5) J. Harold Johnson, per Vol. 16, Bill 2852, Lot 3/5, Block 2189, 2d installment, fiscal year 1936 .....  | 59.41   |
| (6) Northern Counties Title Insurance Co., per Vol. 15, Bill 2972, Lot 28, Block 2041, 2d installment, fiscal year 1936..   | 23.65   |
| (7) Bank of America, per Vol. 15, Bill 1462, Lot 42, Block 1921, 2d installment, fiscal year 1936 .....   | 20.81   |
| (8) Bank of America, per Vol. 15, Bill 1255, Lot 6J, Block 1916, 2d installment, \$33.68; per Vol. 11, Bill 2295, Lot 36A, Block 1587, 1st installment, \$30.27; both fiscal year 1936..... | 63.95   |
| (9) Edwards and Co., per Vol. 2, page 21, line 20, Personal Property Rolls, 1937 .....  | 44.53   |

*From General Fund—Appropriation No. 760.969.00.*

- |  |      |
|--|------|
| (10) Harry Newman, unsecured personal property, per Assessor's Receipt No. 15982 ..... | 2.27 |
|--|------|

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon—2.

## Land Purchase—LaSalle Avenue.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3554, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from H. D. C. Hodgkin and Bertha L. Hare, Lot 6, Assessor's Block 5291, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the opening of LaSalle avenue, for the sum of \$12,600.17, payable from Appropriation No. 748.930.00. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon—2.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$637,840.89 to Complete Enlargement of O'Shaughnessy Dam.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1447, Ordinance No. 9.051422, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$637,840.89 out of the surplus existing in the 1933 Hetch Hetchy Dam Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 94.900.00 to provide funds for the completion of the enlargement of O'Shaughnessy Dam.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$637,840.89 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the 1933 Hetch Hetchy Dam Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 94.900.00 for the purpose of providing funds for the completion of the enlargement of O'Shaughnessy Dam.

Approved as to form by Dion R. Holm, Deputy City Attorney.

Recommended by E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.

Approved by Public Utilities Commission Resolution No. 2202, Forrest B. Gibbon, Secretary, Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon—2.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Land Purchase—Sunset Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Resolution No. 3555, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Georges Le Roy, et al., that certain lot situated on the west side of Twenty-eighth avenue, 200 feet south of Lawton street, San Francisco, California, 200 feet frontage by 120 feet in depth, required for Sunset Playground; for the sum of \$8,000, plus interest on said sum at the rate of 5 per cent per year from March 18, 1937, until the date of recording the deed to the City, payable from Appropriation No. 713.600.08. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon—2.

**Land Purchase—Nineteenth Avenue Widening.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3556, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the estate of Marie Jeanne Jacquemet, deceased, portion of Lot 3, Assessor's Block 1774, San Francisco, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sum of \$3,282, payable from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project No. 5-A.

The above sum includes damages in full to the improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantor within 30 days after recording the deed to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office



of the Director of Property for particular description of said parcel of land.

City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon—2.

#### **Action Deferred.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was, on motion, *laid over one week*:

#### **San Francisco Hospital Fees.**

(Code No. 18.01)

Resolution No. 3543, as follows:

Resolved, Pursuant to communication of the Chief Administrative Officer and the recommendation of the Controller (Sections 7 and 8 of Ordinance No. 18.012), it is hereby determined and fixed that the proper and reasonable amount to be charged to persons legally obligated for institutional services rendered in San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division and Hassler Health Home, shall be as follows:

General Departments, \$4.99 a day.

Tubercular Division, \$3.18 a day.

Isolation Division, \$5.80 a day.

#### **Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

#### **Approval of Public Welfare Department Recommendations.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Resolution No. 3561, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Public Welfare Department containing the names and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security Aid, Blind Pensions and Widows' Pensions for the month of October, 1937 (and prior thereto), including amounts, decreases, cancellations and denials and other transactions, are hereby approved, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to transmit this approval to the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon—2.

#### **Motion of Supervisor Roncovieri—Tax Rate, 1937-38.**

In order that the taxpayers of San Francisco may be advised of the detailed purposes and related subdivisions of the \$3.871 tax rate levied for the fiscal year 1937-1938, it is moved that the Tax Collector be authorized to have printed and appended to all tax bills of the City and County of San Francisco affected by said rate the attached schedule which the Controller prepared at my request.

The cost of printing said schedule is to be a charge against the printing funds of the Board of Supervisors.

*City and County of San Francisco, Detail of  
1937-1938 Tax Rate.*

Bond interest and redemption (payments A/c interest and principal

on bond issues heretofore approved by the people): Rate, \$.909315; tax yield, \$6,884,931.58; per cent, 23.49.

Schools, rate \$.680234; tax yield, \$5,150,431.76; per cent, 17.57.

Maintenance of Minors, Widows' Pensions, Laguna Honda Home, Blind Pensions, Maintenance of the Aged, San Francisco Hospital, Hassler Health Home, Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor, State Unemployment Relief Loan, State Unemployment Relief Loan Interest, rate \$.657145; tax yield, \$4,975,607.08; per cent, 16.98.

Parks, Libraries, Recreation, rate, \$.251087; tax yield, \$1,901,120.93; per cent, 6.48.

Total rate of above, \$2.497781.

Police, Mayor, Board of Supervisors, Fire, Public Works, Health, Tax Collector, Assessor, Employees' Retirement, City Attorney, Director of Finance and Records, Lighting of Streets and Buildings, Juvenile Courts, Recorder, Registrar of Voters, County Clerk, District Attorney, Treasurer, Municipal Courts, Superior Courts, Sheriff, Public Defender, de Young Museum, Controller, Purchasing Department, Public Administrator, California Palace Legion of Honor, Board of Permit Appeals, Art Commission, War Memorial, Real Estate Department, Airport, Steinhart Aquarium, Coroner, Publicity and Advertising, Department of Electricity, Law Library, Tax Judgments, Public Pound, Juvenile Detention Home, Emergency Reserve, Civil Service, Adult Probation Department, Tax Anticipation Notes Interest, City Planning, County Roads, Chief Administrative Officer, Department of Weights and Measures, Agricultural Inspection, rate, \$1.373219; tax yield, \$10,397,410.18; per cent, 35.48.

Total tax rate, \$3.871; tax yield, \$29,309,501.53; per cent, 100.

Approved by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

*Adopted* (with the understanding that Clerk would report cost at the next meeting) by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon—2.

#### **Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee was taken up:

#### **Fixing Date for Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of Northwesterly Corner of Anza Street and Arguello Boulevard.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 3557, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission granting an application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the northwesterly corner of Anza street and Arguello boulevard, is hereby set for Monday, October 4, 1937, at 2 o'clock p. m.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon—2.

#### **Action Deferred.**

The following recommendation of the City Planning Committee was, on motion, *laid over one week*:

#### **Establishing Set-Back Lines on Army Street, Nineteenth Avenue and Junipero Serra Boulevard.**

(Code No. 13.03)

Resolution No. 3558, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its



Resolutions Nos. 1675, 1676 and 1689, establishing set-back lines on certain streets, are approved as follows:

Resolution No. 1675, westerly side of Junipero Serra boulevard from Sloat boulevard to Nineteenth avenue;

Resolution No. 1676, Nineteenth avenue, Sloat boulevard to Junipero Serra boulevard;

Resolution No. 1689, Army street from Bryant street to San Jose avenue.

(The foregoing resolutions are available at the office of the City Planning Commission.)

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Honorable John P. McLaughlin, Member Public Utilities Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3559, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable John P. McLaughlin, a member of the Public Utilities Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing Sunday, October 3, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon—2.

**Leave of Absence—William J. Quinn, Chief of Police.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3560, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, William J. Quinn, Chief of Police, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing September 27, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon—2.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of his Honor the Mayor was taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3562, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, is

hereby granted a leave of absence during the period September 27-September 30th, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon—2.

### In Memoriam, William H. Crocker.

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisors Brown and McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 3563, as follows:

Whereas, Almighty God has summoned William Henry Crocker, one of San Francisco's leading citizens, to his eternal reward; and

Whereas, this kindly, courteous, philanthropic gentleman devoted his life to the growth and prosperity of San Francisco and to the progress, welfare and comfort of its inhabitants; and

Whereas, quietly and without ostentation, Mr. Crocker pursued his earthly course, conducting his business on the most ethical standards and dispensing unstintedly and effectively of his fortune to worthy charitable endeavors; and

Whereas, Mr. Crocker will long be missed and sorely mourned by those who knew him personally, as well as by San Franciscans as a whole; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors notes with sincere regret the passing of William Henry Crocker, and hereby extends its heartfelt sympathy to his bereaved family; and be it

Further Resolved, That when this Board of Supervisors adjourns this day, it does so out of respect to the memory of the late William Henry Crocker.

*Adopted* unanimously by rising vote.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the entire membership of the Board was appointed to attend the funeral and represent the City.

### Traffic School Courses.

(Code No. 11.02)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 3564, as follows:

Whereas, under the auspices of the Works Progress Administration the San Francisco Traffic School has been performing a most laudable and necessary work in the education of traffic violators; and

Whereas, a review of the accomplishments of this endeavor shows that over 4000 persons have received compulsory instructions in driving, rules of the road and other important phases of the subject, with the gratifying result that less than eight-tenths of one per cent of those having taken the course are found in the columns of traffic violator repeaters; and

Whereas, the already appalling death rate, resulting from automobile operation, is on the increase and presents a problem which must be immediately and effectively dealt with; and

Whereas, many judges of the Municipal and Superior Courts, the Police Department, the California Safety Council, the City and County Federation of Women's Clubs, the American Legion, the California State Automobile Association, Mr. Ray Ingels, Director of Motor Vehicles of the State of California, the Department of Education of the State of California, the press and many other representative organizations have highly commended the work of the San Francisco Traffic



School and have urged that courses on the subject be made available to those who desire voluntarily to take them; and

Whereas, similar courses of instruction on this subject are now an established part of the curriculum in the San Francisco Public Schools for day students; and

Whereas, outside communities, not having available such a course of instruction, but recognizing its value, have required the attendance of traffic violators in their jurisdictions at the San Francisco Traffic School; and

Whereas, many requests have been received by the San Francisco Traffic School for the establishment of courses in this subject, which shall be available not only to traffic violators, but also to those who desire to become proficient in the subject so as to avoid becoming a violator; and

Whereas, if the Department of Education of the City and County of San Francisco were to include courses in this subject as a part of its educational program, the sum of approximately \$15,000 annually would cover the cost of salaries and equipment necessary to make available to all persons, at convenient hours, courses in this subject as at present conducted by the San Francisco Traffic School, and of this sum the Department of Education of the State of California would contribute subventions ranging from fifty (50%) per cent to, in some cases, practically the total cost of instruction, depending upon the type thereof; and

Whereas, because an ounce of prevention is worth a pound of cure; because the traffic education of many individuals is surprisingly scant; because it is imperative that steps be taken for the preservation of human life; because the establishment of this service will result in a decrease in property damage occasioned by traffic violations; because a saving will result to the City and County of San Francisco in services rendered by the Police Department and the Emergency Hospitals as a consequence of fewer accidents, and because increased driving efficiency will ultimately effect reductions in insurance premiums; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Joint Committee on Streets and Traffic and Finance be and is hereby authorized to hold a hearing on this subject; to request all the interested parties to attend; to inquire of the Board of Education of the City and County of San Francisco whether it would be willing to establish a traffic school under its jurisdiction which would be available to all, and, if its assent is received, to discuss ways and means whereby the necessary money may be made available for the continuation of this most valuable educational feature.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon—2.

**City Attorney to Intervene in Hearing Before Railroad Commission  
for Fair and Equitable Rates on Golden Gate Bridge.**

(Code No. 12.111)

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. 3565, as follows:

Whereas, the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District has made application to the Railroad Commission of the State of California for a rehearing in the matter of the rates recently established for foot passengers and automobile traffic between Sausalito and San Francisco; and

Whereas, it appears to the Board of Supervisors of the City and

County of San Francisco that the rates established by the Railroad Commission are inequitable, illogical and without justification in that:

5 foot passengers traveling via ferry from San Francisco to Sausalito and return are required to pay twenty-five (25c) cents each or a total for the five of.....\$1.25

and

5 passengers while in an automobile traveling via ferry from San Francisco to Sausalito and return are required to pay for both car and five (5) passengers, only the sum of ..... .50

Whereas, these rates are obviously disproportionate and grossly unfair and have been invoked solely with the idea of competing on an unfair basis with the publicly owned Golden Gate Bridge, which according to statements of Mr. Sydney Taylor, Traffic Engineer of the Golden Gate Bridge, recently published in the press, is suffering a deficit as a result thereof, and

Whereas, if this condition is permitted to continue to increase in gravity, the City and County of San Francisco as well as the other constituent counties of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District will be required to make up such deficits through their tax levies, eighty-seven (87%) percent of which will be borne by the taxpayers of the City and County of San Francisco; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and instructed to intervene in the aforementioned application for a rehearing, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, and to present to the Railroad Commission such arguments as will make for the establishment of a fair and equitable rate such as will not jeopardize the investment in the Golden Gate Bridge and create an additional tax burden upon the rate payers of the City and County of San Francisco, as well as the other counties comprising the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon—2.

**Requesting State Railroad Commission to Investigate Telephone Rates Existing in San Francisco Metropolitan Bay Area Cities With View to Reduction.**

(Code No. 15.08)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 3566, as follows:

Whereas, the sister communities of the San Francisco Bay Area are geographically closely associated; and

Whereas, the new bridges have done a great deal to make all sections of the San Francisco Metropolitan Bay Area more accessible to the inhabitants thereof; and

Whereas, more frequent use of telephone communication between all San Francisco Bay Cities should be encouraged in order to more closely cement the social and business relationships existing between them; and

Whereas, cheap telephone rates will do a great deal to foster such social and business relations between the local cities; and

Whereas, the telephone company serving Southern California has greatly reduced rates between neighboring cities; and

Whereas, the new bridges obviate the necessity of expensive cables which formerly were a factor in maintaining high rates; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco go on record requesting that the State Railroad Commission investigate the telephone rates existing between the sister cities of the San Francisco Metropolitan Bay Area and see if the toll



system now existing for ordinary social and business calls cannot be eliminated; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be forwarded to the State Railroad Commission and the local telephone company.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

**Requesting Park Commission to Set Aside Palace of Fine Arts for  
San Francisco Museum of Science and Industry.**

(Code No. 24.052)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 3567, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco should do everything in its power to encourage the development of Science and Industry; and

Whereas, other large cities at home and abroad have found the development of museums of science and industry to have not only cultural and educational value, but also serve as great tourist attractions; and

Whereas, our city, from a standpoint of business, should do everything possible to foster and increase its tourist attractions; and

Whereas, we have in the Palace of Fine Arts a building apparently suitable for such a purpose; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco go on record requesting information of the Park Commission as to the availability and feasibility of using the Palace of Fine Arts as the building for a San Francisco Museum of Science and Industry.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon—2.

**Authorizing the Mailing of Arguments Approving Charter Amendment No. 1, Public Inspection of Civil Service Papers.**

(Code No. 3.02)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 3568, as follows:

Whereas, a general municipal election will be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 2nd day of November, 1937, at which Charter Amendment No. 1, Public Inspection of Civil Service Papers, has been ordered submitted to the electors by the Board of Supervisors; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to the provisions of Section 183 of the Charter, the Registrar of Voters be, and he is hereby directed, to include a copy of printed argument approving said Charter Amendment No. 1.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Shannon—2.

**In Memoriam, Anna Woodward Wardwell.**

On motion of Supervisor Uhl, the Board of Supervisors this day adjourns as a mark of respect to the memory of Anna Woodward Wardwell.

Noted for her civic activities in San Francisco and environs, the passing of Anna Woodward Wardwell marks the close of a career filled with patient and unswerving loyalty to the city of her choice.

**City Attorney's Opinion Requested on Legality of Motion.**

Supervisor Colman asked that the Clerk request from the City Attorney an opinion as to whether motion by Supervisor McSheehy, re: reduction in water costs, at meeting of the Board on September 20, was legally carried and if same is legally in effect. The Supervisor requests this opinion by the next meeting of the Board.

**Parking Ban on Market Street.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that ordinance prohibiting parking on Market street be amended to change the portion of Market street where parking ban is now effective. (He will confer with the Clerk regarding the drafting of amendment.)

*Amendment referred to Streets Committee.*

**Schedule of Municipal Cars on Market Street.**

Supervisor Uhl requested that Mr. Cahill furnish information as to scheduled time of Municipal Cars from the Ferry to Van Ness avenue; also, the time, at peak hours, during the past week, for cars between the Ferry and Van Ness avenue.

**Meeting Announcements.**

Health Committee, October 1, 1937, 10:30 a. m.

Public Welfare Committee, October 1, 10 a. m.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business the Board of Supervisors at the hour of 5:58 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, October 4, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.





Vol. 32

No. 40

Monday, October 4, 1937

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.



J

L  
2 p  
T

T  
S  
Ror  
Q  
P

T  
con

H  
sion  
to C  
of A

S  
to t  
Plat  
E  
dist  
F  
in s  
C  
also

T  
D

R  
R  
Res  
Res

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

---

MONDAY, OCTOBER 4, 1937, 2 P. M.

---

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 4, 1937,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:  
Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly,  
Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of September 27 was  
considered read and approved.

### Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of Northwesterly Corner of Anza Street and Arguello Boulevard.

Hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission granting an application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the northwesterly corner of Anza Street and Arguello Boulevard, fixed for 2 P. M. this day.

### Privilege of the Floor.

S. W. Molkenbuhr, attorney representing property owners opposed to the re-zoning, was heard in opposition to the decision of the City Planning Commission.

Edw. Kenny and Geo. Gearhardt, also representing interests in the district, were heard in opposition to the proposed zone change.

Floyd R. Eichelberger, attorney representing applicant, was heard in support of the Commission's decision.

C. Collonan and W. W. Chapin, City Planning Commissioners, were also heard.

### City Planning Commission Overruled.

Thereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Disapproving Decision of City Planning Commission in Rezoning  
From Second Residential District to Commercial District, Property  
Located at the Northwesterly Corner of Anza Street and  
Arguello Boulevard.

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 3576, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1672, dated August 19, 1937, rezoning from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the



northwesterly corner of Anza street and Arguello boulevard, is hereby disapproved.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up:

### Supplemental Appropriation of \$15,000 for Construction of Gutter Strips Along Market Street at Various Locations.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1443, Ordinance No. 9.051421, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$15,000, out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 748.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 748.918.00, for the purpose of constructing 6½-foot concrete gutter strips on the north side of Market street between Grant avenue and Powell street, Taylor street to Leavenworth street, and the southerly side of Market street between Sixth and Seventh streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$15,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 748.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 748.918.00, for the purpose of constructing 6½-foot concrete gutter strips on the north side of Market street between Grant avenue and Powell street, Taylor Street to Leavenworth street, and the southerly side of Market street between Sixth and Seventh streets.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Changing Grades on Third Street.

(Code No. 12.0722)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1444, Ordinance No. 12.072231, as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Third street between the southeasterly line thereof and a line parallel with and fifteen (15) feet northwesterly therefrom, extending from the southwest-erly line of Jamestown avenue to the northeasterly line of Le Conte avenue.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 26th day of July, 1937, by Resolution No. 3424, Code No. 12.0721, declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Third street between the south-easterly line thereof and a line parallel with and fifteen (15) feet northwesterly therefrom, extending from the southwesterly line of Jamestown avenue to the northeasterly line of Le Conte avenue; and

Whereas, said resolution has been published and posted in the man-ner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than thirty days have elapsed since the first pub-lication of intention; therefore

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Fran-cisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named street at the points

hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as herein-after stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

<i>Third street:</i>	<i>Feet</i>
Southeasterly line of, at Jamestown avenue southwesterly line (The same being the present official grade) .....	59.80
At a point 15 feet northwesterly at right angles to the southeasterly line of, at Jamestown avenue southwesterly line (The same being the present official grade) .....	59.80
At a point 15 feet northwesterly at right angles to the southeasterly line of, 12.67 feet northeasterly from Key avenue northeasterly line (The same being the present official grade)....	77.40
Southeasterly line of, at Key avenue northeasterly line (The same being the present official grade).....	79.00
Southeasterly line of, 15.59 feet southwesterly from Key avenue northeasterly line (The same being the present official grade)..	78.80
At a point 15 feet northeasterly at right angles to the southwesterly line of Key avenue at Third street southeasterly line (The same being the present official grade).....	80.00
Southeasterly line of, at Key avenue southwesterly line (The same being the present official grade).....	81.50
At a point 15 feet northwesterly at right angles to the southeasterly line of, at Key avenue southwesterly line (The same being the present official grade).....	81.35
Southeasterly line of, at Le Conte avenue northeasterly line (The same being the present official grade).....	100.70
At a point 15 feet northwesterly at right angles to the southeasterly line of, at Le Conte avenue northeasterly line (The same being the present official grade).....	99.35

On Third street between the southeasterly line of and a line parallel with and 15 feet northwesterly therefrom, extending from the southwesterly line of Jamestown avenue to the northeasterly line of Le Conte avenue, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Ordering Sewer Construction—Hunters Point District.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1445, Ordinance No. 12.061187, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 10, 1937, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be



imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of:

Boalt street between the southwesterly lines of Innes avenue and Fairfax avenue.

Coleman street between the southwesterly lines of Kirkwood avenue and Galvez avenue.

Donahue street between the southwesterly lines of Kirkwood avenue and Innes avenue.

Galvez avenue between Boalt and Coleman streets.

Hudson avenue between Alvord and Donahue streets.

Innes avenue between Boalt and Donahue streets.

Jerrold avenue between Coleman and Donahue streets, and Kirkwood avenue between Coleman and Donahue streets; by grading to official line and sub-grade, and by the construction of the following:

Item No.	Item
1.	Grading (excavation).
2.	Grading (embankment).
3.	8-inch V. C. P. sewer, in place.
4.	12-inch V. C. P. sewer, in place.
5.	15-inch V. C. P. sewer, in place.
6.	18-inch V. C. P. sewer, in place.
7.	8x6-inch "Y" branches, in place.
8.	12x6-inch "Y" branches, in place.
9.	18x6-inch "Y" branches, in place.
10.	Brick manholes, complete.
11.	Brick catchbasins, complete.
12.	10-inch V. C. P. culvert, in place.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Lots 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10, of Block 4616.

Lots 1, 2, 3, 3A, 3B, 4, 4A, 4B and 5, of Block 4617.

Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 6A, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 17, 18, 19, 20 and 21, of Block 4633.

Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 14A, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 20A, 21, 21A and 21B, of Block 4634.

Lots 2, 3, 3A, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13, of Block 4635.

Lots 1, 1A, 1B, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 17A and 18, of Block 4640.

Lots 1, 1A, 2, 3, 3A, 4, 4A, 5, 5A, 6, 7, 8, 9, 9A, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 and 17, of Block 4641.

Lots 1, 2, 2A, 2B, 3, 3A, 3B, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 17A, 18, 18A, 19 and 20, of Block 4642.

Lots 1, 2, 3, 3A, 4, 4A, 4B, 5 and 5A, of Block 4656.

Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 5A, 5B, 6, 6A, 7, 7A, 8, 8A, 9, 9A, 9B, 10, 10A, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 15A, 16, 16A, 17 and 18, of Block 4657.

Lots 1, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 14A, 15, 15A and 16, of Block 4658.

Lots 10, 11, 12, 12A, 13 and 13A, of Block 4659.

Lots 7, 8, 9, 9A, 9B, 10, 11, 12, 12A, 13 and 14, of Block 4666.

Lots 1, 2, 2A, 3, 3A, 3B, 4, 4A, 4B, 5, 5A, 5B, 6, 6A, 7, 7A, 7B, 8, 8A, 9, 9A, 10, 10A, 10B, 11, 11A, 11B, 12, 12A, 12B, 13, 13A, 13B, 14, 14A, 15, 15A and 16, of Block 4667.

Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 14, 15 and 16, of Block 4668.

Lots 1, 14, 15 and 16, of Block 4681.

Lots 1, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 18, of Block 4682; and

Lots 10, 11, 12 and 13, of Block 4683; all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San

Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Ordering the Construction of Sidewalks on Forty-second Avenue  
and at Other Locations.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1446, Ordinance No. 12.061188, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 31, 1937, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of:

Forty-second avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Judah and Kirkham streets.

Forty-second avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Judah and Kirkham streets.

Irving street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Thirty-second avenue and 82 feet 6 inches west.

Irving street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Thirty-third avenue and 107 feet 6 inches east.

Kirkham street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Thirty-first avenue and 157 feet 6 inches west.

Kirkham street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Thirty-second avenue and 57 feet 6 inches west.

Kirkham street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Thirty-third avenue and 57 feet 6 inches east.

Kirkham street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 91 feet and 145 feet east of Thirty-fourth avenue.

Kirkham street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Thirty-eighth and Thirty-ninth avenues.

Kirkham street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Forty-first and Forty-second avenues.

Kirkham street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Forty-second and Forty-third avenues.

Kirkham street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Forty-third and Forty-fourth avenues.

Kirkham street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Forty-fourth and Forty-fifth avenues.



Lawton street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Thirtieth avenue and 82 feet 6 inches west.

Santiago street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Thirty-third avenue and 132 feet 6 inches west.

Twenty-third avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Kirkham street and 450 feet south.

Twenty-third avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 175 feet and 200 feet north of Ortega street.

Twenty-fourth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Lawton street and 50 feet north.

Twenty-fifth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Kirkham street and 425 feet south.

Twenty-sixth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 125 feet and 425 feet south of Lawton street.

Twenty-seventh avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Kirkham and Lawton streets.

Twenty-seventh avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Lawton street and 100 feet south.

Twenty-seventh avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Noriega street and 218 feet north.

Twenty-eighth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Lawton street and 100 feet south.

Twenty-ninth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Rivera street and 50 feet south.

Thirtieth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Lawton street and 475 feet south.

Thirtieth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Lawton street and 275 feet south.

Thirty-first avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 100 feet and 350 feet south of Lawton street.

Thirty-second avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Lawton street and 100 feet south.

Thirty-second avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Moraga street and 25 feet north.

Thirty-third avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Rivera street and 475 feet south.

By the construction of two-course concrete sidewalks six (6) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width are not already constructed, and the improvement of:

Fortieth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 125 feet and 175 feet south of Irving street.

Forty-first avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 175 feet and 500 feet south of Lincoln way.

Forty-third avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 300 feet and 325 feet south of Lincoln way.

Forty-eighth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 112 feet 6 inches and 137 feet 6 inches south of Kirkham street.

Irving street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 118 feet 6 inches and 150 feet 6 inches west of Twenty-third avenue.

Irving street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Thirty-eighth avenue and 90 feet east.

Irving street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 57 feet 6 inches and 120 feet west of Forty-first avenue.

Irving street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 32 feet 6 inches and 62 feet 9 inches east of Forty-second avenue.

Irving street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Forty-third avenue and 32 feet 6 inches west.

Judah street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 32 feet 6 inches and 95 feet east of Forty-first avenue.

Judah street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 84 feet and 167 feet 6 inches east of La Playa.

Lawton street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Twenty-seventh avenue and 132 feet 6 inches west.

Lincoln way (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 32 feet 6 inches and 57 feet 6 inches west of Forty-seventh avenue.

Twenty-third avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Irving street and 100 feet south.

Twenty-fourth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Kirkham street and 100 feet north.

Twenty-sixth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Santiago street and 100 feet north.

Twenty-seventh avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 150 feet and 175 feet north of Santiago street.

Twenty-eighth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 200 feet and 225 feet south of Ulloa street.

Thirtieth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 150 feet and 175 feet north of Judah street.

Thirty-third avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 237 feet 6 inches and 275 feet north of Taraval street.

Thirty-ninth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 75 feet and 125 feet south of Irving street.

Thirty-ninth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 225 feet and 250 feet north of Judah street.

Thirty-ninth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 350 feet and 375 feet south of Judah street.

Thirty-ninth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Kirkham street and 25 feet north.

By the construction or reconstruction of two-course concrete sidewalks six (6) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks six (6) feet in width are defective or not already constructed to the official grade, and the improvement of:

Forty-eighth avenue (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 162 feet 6 inches and 187 feet 6 inches south of Lawton street.

Great Highway (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 112 feet  $7\frac{5}{8}$  inches and 237 feet  $10\frac{5}{8}$  inches north of Moraga street.

Judah street (S.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Forty-second avenue and 140 feet east.

Judah street (N.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 57 feet 6 inches and 107 feet 6 inches east of La Playa.

La Playa (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Lawton street and 137 feet 6 inches north.

La Playa (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 87 feet 6 inches and 137 feet 6 inches south of Lawton street.

Twenty-third avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 125 feet and 150 feet south of Lincoln way.

Twenty-eighth avenue (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Taraval street and 100 feet south.

By the construction or reconstruction of two-course concrete sidewalks of the full official width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks of the full official width are defective or not already constructed to the official grade, and the improvement of:

La Playa (E.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between 62 feet 6 inches and 87 feet 6 inches north of Kirkham street, by the construction or reconstruction of a two-course concrete sidewalk twelve (12) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks twelve (12) feet or more in width are defective or not already constructed to the official grade.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Block No.	Lot No.
1787	1, 28, 29, 30, 30A, 33, 34.
1809	13, 15, 16.
1810	19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25.
1811	1, 1A, 2, 5, 7, 8, 11, 13A, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25.
1812	18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 23A, 24, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41.
1815	7K, 7L, 8, 8A.
1821	15, 16, 21, 22.
1822	14, 15, 16A.
1869	1, 7, 10, 15.
1870	6, 10, 11, 20, 23.
1873	1, 1A, 1B, 1C, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16.



Block No.	Lot No.
1879	8F, 9.
1912	2F, 4.
1913	1, 2, 2A, 7, 8, 10, 12, 13, 14, 30, 32, 33, 34, 36, 37, 38, 39, 46, 47.
1914	16, 17, 18, 20.
1916	1, 8.
1917	5, 5A, 7, 8, 10, 10A.
2023	28, 29, 32, 33, 34, 36.
2059	32.
2317	17, 18, 21, 22, 25, 26, 27, 33, 34.
2321	27, 27A.
2362	1, 42 (State property), 43.
1703	49.
1707	18.
1708	33.
1710	28, 30, 34, 40.
1727	22.
1777	37, 38.
1784	8.
1792	2K.
1794	1C, 2, 10A.
1795	3, 4, 17.
1796	35, 38, 39.
1805	44A, 45.
1814	12.
1815	8A.
1829	17.
1873	16, 17, 17A.
1894	4.
2322	10.
2324	5.
2361	27.
2429	7.

---

Block No.	Lot No.
1728	42.
1803	18, 19.
1812	41, 41A, 41B, 42.
1894	21, 22, 23, 24.
1895	7, 26, 27, 28, 29, 39, 40.
2398	40.

---

Block No.	Lot No.
1805	24.

All being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the Assessment Book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### NEW BUSINESS.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

Approval of Supplemental Recommendations, Public Welfare Department, for October, 1937.

(Code No. 19.02)

Resolution No. 3569, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Public Welfare Department, containing the additional names of persons and amounts to be

paid as Old Age Security and Blind Pensions and Widows' Pensions for the month of October, 1937, and also denials are hereby approved, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to transmit this approval to the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Stanley Street Parkway—Land Purchase.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3570, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Inez Molinari to Lot 14, Assessor's Block 7128, San Francisco, required for Stanley Street Parkway, and that the sum of \$250.00 be paid for said lot from Appropriation No. 83.919.17.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Appropriation \$225, from Emergency Reserve Fund, for Payment of Vacation and Sick Leaves, Telephone Operators of Department of Public Works.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1448, Ordinance No. 9.051423, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$225.00 from Emergency Reserve Fund, App. No. 702.900.00 to the credit of App. No. 736.102.00 for the payment of vacation and sick leave of telephone operators in the Department of Public Works.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$225.00 is hereby set aside from Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 702.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 736.102.00, for the payment of vacation and sick leave of Telephone Operators for the fiscal year 1937/1938.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund, as it is necessary to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Telephone Exchange, and insufficient money was set up in the Salary Ordinance for the fiscal year 1937/1938 to provide for vacation and sick leave.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Funds are available, Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Bernal Heights Boulevard—Land Purchase.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Resolution No. 3571, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department



of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Max Breithaupt, et ux., to Lots 1, 2, and 3, Assessor's Block 5629, required for Bernal Heights Boulevard, and that the sum of \$300.00 be paid for said property from Appropriation No. 88.902.17.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Nineteenth Avenue Widening—Land Purchase.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3572, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain parcels of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth Avenue for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project 5A:

Theodore Helfrich et ux. ....\$2425.00

Portion of Lot 14, Assessor's Block 1925.

Frank N. Wright ..... 3165.00

Portion of Lot 1-B, Assessor's Block 1834.

The above sums include damages in full to the improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the Grantors within thirty days after recording the deeds to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for particular descriptions of said parcels of land.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Refunds of Erroneous Payments of Taxes.

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 3573, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with Section 3804 of the Political Code and the recommendation of the Tax Collector, the City Attorney having consented thereto, the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid to the following named, being refunds of erroneous payments of taxes:

*From General Fund—Appropriation No. 60.969.00*

1. A. L. Wise, per Vol. 20, Lots 12 and 13, Block 2816, Property now belonging to Veterans' Welfare Bureau of the State of California ..... \$58.30
2. W. O. Granicher, per Vol. 20, Page 24, Lot 10, Block 2817, 1st installment, fiscal year 1936. Property now belonging to Veterans' Welfare Bureau of the State of California..... 53.56

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Wage Scale—Private Employment on Public Contract.**

(Code No. 9.092)

Also, Resolution No. 3574, as follows:

Resolved, That the highest general prevailing rate of wages paid in private employment to various crafts in the City and County of San Francisco, including wages paid on holidays and for overtime work, is hereby determined and declared to be as herein set forth. Except as herein otherwise specifically provided, the wages herein fixed are based on an eight-hour day, five days per week.

**DIVISION 1—BUILDING TRADES**

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Asbestos Workers .....	\$1.12½	Double time after 8 hours.
Bricklayers (6-hour day)...	1.75	Double time after 6 hours.
Bricklayers' tenders and hod carriers (6-hour day).....	1.25	Double time after 6 hours.
Carpenters & cabinet makers	1.12½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Cement Finishers .....	1.12½	Double time after 8 hours.
Concrete Laborers .....	.79	Time and one-half first 4 hours, after 8 hours; double thereafter
Electrical Workers (including Fixture Hangers) .....	1.37½	Double time after 8 hours
Elevator Constructors .....	1.40	Double time after 8 hours
Elevator Constructors' Helpers	.98	Double time after 8 hours
<b>Engineers:</b>		
Compressor operators (on steel erection) .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Derricks and Asphalt Plant.	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Building Material Hoists...	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Pile Drivers, Locomotive Cranes .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Roller Engineers, Dragline and Clamshell Operators, Concrete Mixers and Mechanical Finishers, Asphalt Burners and Concrete Busters .....	1.43	Double time after 8 hours
Trench Machine .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Firemen (Asphalt Plants).	1.10	Double time after 8 hours
Truck Crane Engineers....	1.66⅔	Double time after 8 hours
Caterpillar Engineer, 50 h.p. and Over .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Operators of Power Shovels and/or Other Excavating Equipment with Power Shovel Control When Used on Excavating Operations (6-hour day) .....	1.66⅔	Double time after 6 hours
Shovel Firemen, Watchman and Oiler (6-hour day)...	1.16⅔	Double time after 6 hours
Glass Workers, Including Art Glass .....	1.21	Time and one-half first 2 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
<b>Gunitite Workers:</b>		
Nozzle Men .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Rod Men .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Finishers .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Ground Wire Men.....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Gun Men .....	.90	Double time after 8 hours
Mixer Men .....	.87½	Double time after 8 hours
Rebound Men .....	.75	Double time after 8 hours



<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Gunit Workers—Contd.		
Foremen (\$1 per day above highest craftsman) .....		Double time after 8 hours
Hardwood Floormen .....	1.12½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Housesmiths:		
Reinforced Concrete .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Iron Workers:		
Bridge, Structural, Rigger. ....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Derrick Engineers .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Bronze and Ornamental (including erection of steel and iron fences) .....	1.25	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Laborers:		
.....	...	Overtime after 8 hours; and Saturday morning time and one-half after the first 4 hours; double thereafter

Hours of Work for Laborers: Hours of work for laborers shall not exceed eight hours in any one day, said eight hours to commence at 8:00 a. m. and to continue for eight continuous hours thereafter, provided, however, that where shift work is necessary the following conditions shall prevail:

First shift shall commence at 8:00 a. m. and continue for eight hours of continuous work, and the second shift shall commence at 5:00 p. m. and continue for eight hours continuous work. Provided further, that the time not exceeding one hour allowed for meals during any one shift shall not be deemed to break the continuity of work. Where more than two shifts are employed, each shift shall work seven hours of continuous work.

Compensation for all service between 8:00 a. m. and 5:00 p. m., 75 cents per hour. Where second shift only is used, 75 cents per hour. Where three shifts are used, eight hours' pay at 75 cents per hour for seven hours' work, except in shift work all time before 8:00 a. m. and after 5:00 p. m. overtime rate shall prevail.

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Laborers—Contd.		
Construction and General..	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Mixer Operators .....	.87½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Lathers (6-hour day) wood and/or metal .....	1.45	Double time after 6 hours
Linoleum and Carpet Layers. ....	1.12½	Double time after 8 hours
Marble Trades:		
Shopmen and Helpers.....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Polishers .....	.78¾	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Bed Rubbers .....	.84¾	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Cutters, Copers, Carborundum Men .....	.90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Carvers .....	1.02½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
*Masons and Setters.....	1.31¼	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter

\*On bona fide contracts as approved by Joint Arbitration Board rate shall be \$1.25 hr. until December 1, 1937.

Mosaic and Terazzo Workers.	1.12½	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Mosaic and Terazzo Workers' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Painters . . . . .	1.21 3/7	Time and one-half after 7 hours
Painters, Structural Iron Work .....	1.37½	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Painters, Varnishers and Polishers .....	1.10	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Pile Drivers .....	1.40	Double time after 8 hours
Plasterers (6-hour day) .....	1.50	Double time after 6 hours
Plasterers' Tenders and Hod Carriers (6-hour day) .....	1.25	Double time after 6 hours
Plumbers and Gas Fitters (including pipe calking) ..	1.37 ½	Double time after 8 hours; Saturday morning at straight time on existing installations.
Ornamental Plasterers:		
Castors (6-hour day) .....	1.50	Double time after 6 hours
Model Makers (6-hour day) .....	1.50	Double time after 6 hours
Modelers (6-hour day) .....	2.00	Double time after 6 hours
Roofers and Waterproofers ...	1.12½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Sheet Metal Workers .....	1.25	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Sprinkler Fitters .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Steam Fitters .....	1.37 ½	Double time after 8 hours
Stone Cutters:		
Soft and Granite .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Stone Setters:		
Soft and Granite, including granite curbs .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Stone Derrickmen .....	1.12½	Double time after 8 hours
Tile Setters .....	1.37 ½	Double time after 8 hours
Tile Setters' Helpers .....	.87 ½	Double time after 8 hours
Upholsterers .....	1.00	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Dump Truck Drivers (7-hour Day)		
2 yards or less, water level per day .....		\$ 7.00
3 yards, water level per day .....		7.50
4 yards, water level per day .....		8.00
5 yards, water level per day .....		8.00
6 yards, water level per day .....		8.50
7 yards or over, water level per day .....		9.00
Truck Drivers of Concrete Mixer Trucks (7-hour Day)		
2 yards or less per day .....		\$7.50
3 yards per day .....		8.00
4 yards per day .....		8.50
5 yards per day .....		8.50
6 yards per day .....		9.00
Tractor Driver (up to 35 h.p.)		
(6-hour day) .....	8.00	Time and one-half after 6 hours
Tractor Driver (over 35 h.p. to 50 h.p.) (6-hour day) .....		
	9.00	Time and one-half after 6 hours
(Working time for truck drivers, tractor drivers and all engineers shall be reckoned by half day and full day. Overtime for truck drivers at time and a half after seven hours.)		



## DIVISION 2—BUILDING TRADE—SHOP RATES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>
Cabinet Workers, Millmen, Machine and Bench Hands (shop) . . . . .	\$1.10
Varnishers and Polishers (shop) . . . . .	1.10

## DIVISION 3—FURNITURE TRADES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Carpet Layers, Cutters and Measurers— from Aug. 1, 1937, to Jan. 1, 1938 . . . . .	\$9.50	Double time after 8 hours
from Jan. 1, 1938, to July 31 1938 . . . . .	10.00	
Carpet Seamstresses (large machines)— from Aug. 1, 1937, to Jan. 1, 1938 . . . . .	6.30	Double time after 8 hours
from Jan. 1, 1938, to July 31, 1938 . . . . .	6.60	
Carpet Seamstresses (small machines)— from Aug. 1, 1937, to Jan. 1, 1938 . . . . .	5.75	Double time after 8 hours
from Jan. 1, 1938, to July 31, 1938 . . . . .	6.05	
Carpet Layers' Apprentices:		
1st 6 months out . . . . .	5.00	Double time after 8 hours
2d 6 months out . . . . .	5.50	Double time after 8 hours
3d 6 months out . . . . .	6.25	Double time after 8 hours
4th 6 months out . . . . .	7.00	Double time after 8 hours
5th 6 months out . . . . .	8.00	Double time after 8 hours
6th 6 months out . . . . .	9.00	Double time after 8 hours
Shade and Drapery Makers and Hangers (including Venetian Blinds)— from Aug. 1, 1937, to Jan. 1, 1938 . . . . .	8.40	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
from Jan. 1, 1938, to July 31, 1938 . . . . .	8.80	
Upholsterers— from Aug. 1, 1937, to Jan. 1, 1938 . . . . .	8.40	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
from Jan. 1, 1938, to July 31, 1938 . . . . .	8.80	
Furniture Handlers, Packers and Strippers— from Aug. 1, 1937, to Jan. 1, 1938 . . . . .	6.30	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
from Jan. 1, 1938, to July 31, 1938 . . . . .	6.60	
Drapery Seamstresses— from Aug. 1, 1937, to Jan. 1, 1938 . . . . .	4.90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
from Jan. 1, 1938, to July 31, 1938 . . . . .	5.10	

## DIVISION 4—METAL TRADES—FIELD RATES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Blacksmiths .....	1.10	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Boilermakers .....	1.37½	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Boilermakers' Helpers .....	1.10	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Machinists .....	1.10	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Machinists' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Machinist (maintenance) ...	1.12½	Time and one-half after 8 hours

## DIVISION 5—METAL TRADES—SHOP RATES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Pattern Makers (based on 7-hour day) .....	1.25	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 7 hours; double thereafter
Molders and Coremakers.....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Blacksmiths .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Blacksmiths' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Boilermakers .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Boilermakers' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Machinists .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Machinists' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Structural and Ornamental Ironworkers (shop) .....	.90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Toolmaker .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter

## DIVISION 6—STREET WORK

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Timberman (tunnel) .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Mucker .....	.90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Asphalt Rakers and Ironers..	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Asphalt Shovelers .....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Cribbers .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Laborers, street work.....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Jackhammer Men .....	.90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter

## DIVISION 7—MISCELLANEOUS TRADES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>
Well Drillers .....	1.10
Well Drillers (hand tool foremen) .....	1.10
Diamond Drillers .....	1.10
Powderman .....	1.10
Washers, Polishers and Greasers (garagemen) .....	.75



## DIVISION 8—DREDGE BOATS

(8-hour day—4-hour Saturday)

*Per Month*

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Dredge Captain .....	\$270	Double time after 8 hours
Leverman . . . . .	245	Double time after 8 hours
Fireman . . . . .	170	Double time after 8 hours
Deckhand . . . . .	170	Double time after 8 hours
Bargeman . . . . .	170	Double time after 8 hours

## DIVISION 9—CULINARY WORKERS

(8-hour day—5-day week)

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Head Cook .....	\$37.50	\$1.50 hour
Other Cooks .....	32.50	\$1.50 hour
Cooks' Helpers .....	25.00	\$1.50 hour
		(Short shifts, not less than 3 hours, \$1 hour)
Waiters .....	21.00	\$0.75 hour
Waitresses .....	21.00	\$0.75 hour
Dishwasher and Vegetable Man (straight shift).....	17.50	\$0.50 hour
Dishwasher and Vegetable Man (broken shift).....	20.00	\$0.50 hour

Wherever welding processes are involved the rate paid for such shall be as herein fixed for the crafts performing the work.

Saturdays and holiday work at double time. Holidays are New Year's Day, Decoration Day, Fourth of July, Labor Day, Admission Day, Thanksgiving and Christmas.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Amending Salary Ordinance, Assessor—Storekeeper.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1451, Ordinance No. 9.053113, as follows:

An ordinance amending section 5 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 by adding thereto item 16½, 1 B352 Storekeeper (part time) at \$79.50.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 5 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 5. ASSESSOR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Assessor .....	\$ 666.66
2	1	B52	Research Assistant .....	250
3	1	B120	Supervisor, Accounts and Records	
			Assessor's Office .....	300
4	2	B222	General Clerk .....	250
5	1	B222	General Clerk .....	200
6	1	B418	Confidential Secretary (Ex. Sec. 28)....	200
7	8	B222	General Clerk .....	190
8	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	300

## Section 5. ASSESSOR (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
9	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	190
10	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
11	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	300
12	1	B235	Director of Service .....	250
13	1	B242	Blockbook Draftsman .....	225
14	1	B302	Addressing Machine Operator .....	155
15	1	B310a	Tabulating Alphabetic Key Punch Operator .....	155
16	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator .....	165
16½	1	B352	Storekeeper (part time) .....	79.50
17	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
18	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
19	2	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
20	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	190
21	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
22	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.....	200
23	1	G4	Supervising Land Appraiser .....	300
24	1	G5	Chief Land Appraiser .....	330
25	4	G10	Supervising Building Appraiser .....	300
26	1	G11	Chief Building Appraiser .....	330
27	5	G16	Supervising Personal Property Appraisers .....	300
28	1	G17	Chief Personal Property Appraiser....	330
29	1	G20	Chief Assistant Assessor .....	400
30*	11	B222	General Clerk .....	160
31*	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	150
32			Seasonal Clerical Services and other temporary services at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.	

\*Occupants of these positions are paid from appropriations for temporary services and have acquired permanent status under the rule of the Civil Service Commission adopted pursuant to Section 148 of the Charter.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinances recommended by the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Supplemental Appropriation \$3770, for Creation of Positions, Controller's Office, Pursuant to Establishment of Public Welfare Department. Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1449, Ordinance No. 9.051424, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$3,770.00 out of Appropriation No. 756.804.00, Public Welfare Department, \$2,970.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 760.101.00 for the purpose of creating the positions of Bookkeeper at the rate of \$175 per month and General Clerk Typist at the rate of \$155 per month in the office of the Controller; and \$800.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 733.328.60 for the printing of aid warrants, an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,770.00 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of Appropriation No. 756.804.00, Public Welfare Department, \$2,970.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 760.101.00 for the purpose



of creating the positions of Bookkeeper at the rate of \$175 per month and General Clerk Typist at the rate of \$155 per month in the office of the Controller; and \$800.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 733.328.60 for the printing of aid warrants; for the purpose of assuming functions formerly performed by the personnel of the Relief Division, whose salaries were paid from relief funds, and which functions have been transferred to the office of the Controller.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists in order to comply with the time limitations established by law, under the provisions of Charter Amendment Number 4 of the election of March 9, 1937.

Approved as to form, Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved by F. McAuliffe, Public Welfare Commission.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available, Harold J. Boyd, controller.

Recommended by F. McAuliffe, Director of Public Welfare.

Finally passed by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Amendment, Annual Salary Ordinance, Creating Positions Pursuant to Establishment of Public Welfare Department.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1450, Ordinance No. 9.053112, as follows:

An ordinance amending Ordinance No. 9.053101 by adding item 44½ to section 67 and reducing the compensation of item 45½ thereof to conform to salary of occupant, and by adding to item 5 one position of B4 Bookkeeper at \$175, and by adding to item 45½ one position of B512 General Clerk-Typist at \$155.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 67 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

#### Section 67. CONTROLLER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Controller . . . . .	\$ 833.33
2	1	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	200
3	8	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	185
4	4	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	180
5	6	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	175
6	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	225
7	3	B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	210
8	4	B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	200
9	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	190
10	1	B7	Asst. Supervisor of Disbursements . . . . .	240
11	1	B8	Supervisor of Disbursements . . . . .	275
12	1	B10	Accountant . . . . .	225
13	1	B14	Senior Accountant . . . . .	325
14	2	B14	Senior Accountant . . . . .	285
15	1	B21	Chief Assistant Controller . . . . .	625
16	1	B26	Supervisor of Budget Statistics . . . . .	250
17	1	B28	Supervisor of General Audits . . . . .	400
18	1	B30	Supervisor of Utilities Audits . . . . .	400
19	1	B55	Supervisor of Pay Rolls . . . . .	325
20	1	B210	Office Assistant (part time) . . . . .	79.50
21	3	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	200

## Section 67. CONTROLLER (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
22	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
23	2	B222	General Clerk .....	185
24	3	B222	General Clerk .....	175
25	2	B228	Senior Clerk .....	250
26	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	200
27	1	B234	Head Clerk (6 months at \$200, 6 months at \$210) .....	
28	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	300
29	2	B234	Head Clerk .....	225
30	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	240
30½	1	B237	Tax Redemption Clerk .....	200
31	1	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator .....	190
32	3	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator .....	175
33	3	B301	Pay Roll Machine Operator .....	165
34	2	B302	Addressing Machine Operator .....	155
35	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator . . . . .	175
36	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator . . . . .	155
37	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator .....	175
38	3	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator .....	165
39	1	B312	Senior Bookkeeping Machine Operator..	185
40	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
41	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
42	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
43	1	B417	Executive Secretary to the Controller..	250
44	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator .....	155
44½	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator (part time) .....	75
45	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
45½	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	155
46	1	K6	Senior Attorney—Civil .....	400
47			Seasonal Clerical Services at rates fixed in Salary Standardization Report.	
48			Field Bookkeepers or Accountants (Construction Work outside S. F.) (as needed) at rates fixed in Salary Standardization Report.	

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists in order to comply with the time limitations established by law, under the provisions of Charter Amendment No. 4 of the election of March 9, 1937.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Amendment, Annual Salary Ordinance, District Attorney, by Creating Position of Special Officer, at \$150 per Month.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1452, Ordinance No. 9.053114, as follows:

An ordinance amending section 7 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 by adding thereto item 13½, 1 D6 Special Officer at \$150.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 7 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:



## Section 7. DISTRICT ATTORNEY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		District Attorney .....	\$ 666.66
2	2	B154	Criminal Law Clerk .....	200
3	3	B154	Criminal Law Clerk .....	190
4	1	B166	Chief Clerk, District Attorney's Office...	250
5	1	B162	Asst. Director, Bureau of Domestic Relations, District Attorney's Office.....	225
6	1	B163	Director, Bureau of Domestic Relations, District Attorney's Office.....	250
7	1	B222	General Clerk .....	190
8	1	B222	General Clerk .....	175
9	1	B222	General Clerk (part time) .....	75
10	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
11	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	150
12	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	150
13	1	B516	Senior Clerk-Typist .....	175
13½	1	D6	Special Officer .....	150
14	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil .....	375
15	5	K54	Attorney, Criminal .....	250
16	1	K54	Attorney, Criminal .....	190
17	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	425
18	3	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	375
19	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	300
20	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	250
21	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	225
22	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	200
23	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	190
24	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal .....	150
25	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal .....	375
26	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal .....	300
27	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal .....	250
28		B420	Phonographic Reporter	

(as needed) .....\$12.50 day plus transcripts

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists in order to comply with the time limitations established by law, under the provisions of Charter Amendment No. 4 of the election of March 9, 1937.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### Amendment to Salary Ordinance, Enumerating Employments Public Welfare Department.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1453, Ordinance No. 9.053115, as follows:

An ordinance amending section 66 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 by deleting the statement concerning the classification of positions by the Civil Service Commission and by enumerating the employments established in the Public Welfare Commission, Indigent Relief Division, in accordance with the Charter Amendment creating the Public Welfare Department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 66 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 66. **PUBLIC WELFARE DEPARTMENT—  
INDIGENT RELIEF**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	\$ 175
2	1	B210	Office Assistant .....	85
3	5	B222	General Clerk .....	150
4	1	B236	W.P.A. Project Supervisor, Public Welfare Department .....	200
5	1	B239	Statistician .....	180
6	1	B310b	Tabulating Numerical Key Punch Operator .....	150
7	16	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	150
8	18	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	150
9	1	L360	Physician .....	150
10	32	T152	Junior Social Service Investigator ....	150
11	1	T158	Supervisor of Inquiries .....	180
12	3	T161	Case Supervisor .....	225
13	1	*T163	Director of Public Welfare.....	500
14	1	T166	Director of Indigent Relief.....	250
15	1	T218	Supervisor of Single Men's Registry...	180

*\*Effective September 10, 1937.*

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists in order to comply with the time limitations established by law, under the provisions of Charter Amendment No. 4 of the election of March 9, 1937.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Supplemental Appropriation, \$308, for Employment of Temporary Nurse, Sick Leave Relief, Juvenile Detention Home. An Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1454, Ordinance No. 9.051425, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$308 out of Appropriation No. 723.101.00, Juvenile Court, to the credit of Appropriation No. 724.102.00, Juvenile Detention Home, for the purpose of providing funds to pay for the employment of a temporary nurse to relieve the regular employee who is on sick leave with pay for three months, an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$308 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of Appropriation No. 723.101.00, Juvenile Court, to the credit of Appropriation No. 724.102.00, Juvenile Detention Home, for the purpose of providing funds to pay for the employment of a temporary nurse to relieve the regular employee who is on sick leave with pay for three months.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as immediate action is required in order to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the department.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Funds available, Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Recommended by R. R. Miller, Chief Probation Officer.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.



**Amending Salary Ordinance—Board of Health, Institutional Help.**  
(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1455, Ordinance No. 9.053116, as follows:

An ordinance amending sections 54(a), 56, 57, 58, 59, and 62 of Ordinance 9.053101 by retitling in accordance with civil service classifications and by enumerating and fixing revised rates of pay for positions heretofore established under the title of Institutional Help.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Sections 54(a), 56, 57, 58, 59, and 62 of Ordinance 9.053101 are hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 54(a). DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)  
STATISTICS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
17	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$190
18	1	B222	General Clerk .....	185
19	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	190
20	2	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	190
21	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
22	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125

**MEAT INSPECTION**

23	7	N56	Market Inspector .....	175
23½	*1	N56	Market Inspector .....	175
24	6	N56	Market Inspector .....	200
25	1	N58	Chief Market Inspector .....	225
26	8	N60	Abattoir Inspector .....	200
27	3	N62	Veterinarian .....	201
28	6	N62	Veterinarian .....	200

\*Status declared permanent by Civil Service Commission.

**COMMUNICABLE DISEASES**

29	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
30	6	J74	Rat Catcher .....	115
31	4	L370	Epidemiologist (part time).....	225
32	1	L371	Director, Bureau of Communicable Diseases (part time) .....	350
33	1	P52	Field Nurse .....	175

**SYPHILIS UNIT**

34	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
35	1	P102	Registered Nurse .....	135
36	1	T152	Junior Social Service Investigator.....	150

**CLINICS**

*Diagnostic Center*

37	2	L360	Physician (part time) .....	150
38	1	L364	Pediatrician (part time).....	100
39	1	P52	Field Nurse .....	165

*Bureau of Mental Hygiene*

40	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time)	75
41	1	L404	Psychologist .....	175
42	4	L404	Psychologist .....	150
43	1	L404	Psychologist (part time) .....	75
44	1	L408	Chief Psychologist (part time).....	200
45	1	L408	Chief Psychologist (part time).....	150

**BACTERIOLOGICAL LABORATORY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
46	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 190
47	1	C102	Janitress .....	75
48	1	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician (part time) .....	79.50
49	2	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician..	125
50	1	L56	Bacteriologist .....	225
51	3	L56	Bacteriologist .....	175
52	1	L58	Director of Laboratories .....	275
53	1	L60	Bacteriological Milk Inspector .....	250
54	1	L64	Consultant, Bacteriologist (part time).	75

**Section 56. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
LAGUNA HONDA HOME**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 100
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
3	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	135
3½	8	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R. & L.)...	75
4	1	I8	Head Baker .....	190
4½	2	I10	Cook's Assistant (deduct for R & L.)...	95
5	3	I12	Cook .....	165
6	1	I12	Cook (part time).....	75
7	1	I14	Junior Chef .....	175
8	1	I16	Chef .....	200
9	1	I22	Butcher .....	125
10	1	I24	Senior Butcher .....	235
11		I26	Hog Killer, \$9 per day (as needed)	
12	4	I54	Waitress .....	110
13	1	I58	Dining Room Steward .....	140
14		I102	Inmate Help, not over .....	50
15	72	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	85
16	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).	140
17	4	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).	135
18	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).	125
19	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).	120
20	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).	102.50
21	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).	87.50
22	1	I212a	Steward (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	235
22½	1	I212b	Stewardess (deduct for B., R. & L.) ....	235
23	1	I154	Laundress .....	100
24	1	I164	Marker and Distributor.....	130
25	1	I170	Washer (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	102.50
26	1	I174	Superintendent of Laundry .....	189
26½	27	I204	Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	85
27	1	I254	Seamstress .....	100
28	1	I256	Head Seamstress (deduct for R.).....	125

**Section 57. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
ISOLATION HOSPITAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist (part time) .....	\$ 79.50
2	1	C152	Watchman (deduct for B., R. & L.)....	145
2½	4	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R. & L.)....	75
2¾	1	I10	Cook's Assistant (deduct for R. & L.)..	95
3	1	I14	Junior Chef .....	175
4	1	I54	Waitress .....	110



**Section 57. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
ISOLATION HOSPITAL (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
5	3	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	85
6	13	I204	Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	85
7	1	I254	Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	125
8	2	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	32
9	1	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	47
10	1	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	385
11		P54	Student Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	32
12	7	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
13	1	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)...	145
14	1	P116	Superintendent Isolation Hospital (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	235

**Section 58. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk .....	\$ 175
2	1	B222	General Clerk .....	160
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	125
3½	19	B222	General Clerk (deduct for B., R. & L.) (part time) .....	79.50
4	1	B234	Head Clerk (deduct for B., R. & L.)...	285
5	1	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	190
6	1	B238	Hospital Statistician .....	160
7	7	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	125
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	100
9	12	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) .	79.50
10	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	190
11	2	B454	Telephone Operator .....	135
12	1	B454	Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	125
13	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	\$ 175
14	1	C6	Supt. of Building T. B. Hosp. (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	260
15	2	C152	Watchman .....	145
16	2	E108	Electrician .....	237.50
16½	94	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R. & L.)..	75
17	1	I6	Pastry Cook .....	175
17½	4	I10	Cook's Assistant (deduct R. & L.).....	95
18	8	I12	Cook .....	165
19	1	I16	Chef .....	200
20	8	I54	Waitress .....	110
21	8	I56	Waiter .....	110
21½		I102	Inmate Help, not over .....	50
22	167	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	85
23	1	I120	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
24	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	125
25	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	87.50
26	14	I152	Flat Work Ironer .....	90
27	17	I154	Laundress .....	100
28	1	I156	Starcher .....	130
29	1	I158	Sorter .....	130
30	1	I164	Marker and Distributor .....	130
31	1	I166	Wringerman .....	136.33

Section 58. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
32	2	I170	Washer . . . . .	135
33	1	I172	Head Washer . . . . .	155
34	1	I178	Superintendent of Laundry . . . . .	200
34½	158	I204	Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	85
35	1	I206	Porter Sub-Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	92
36	1	I208	Porter Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	100
37	1	I210	Head Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	140
38	2	I254	Seamstress . . . . .	90
39	1	I256	Head Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	150
40	1	J4	Laborer (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	87.50

Section 59. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
41	1	L2	Assistant Superintendent (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	\$ 310
42	1	L6	Superintendent (deduct \$150 for full family maintenance) . . . . .	733.33
43	1	L70	Physio-Therapist (part time) . . . . .	100
44	2	L72	Electro-Cardiograph Technician (part time) . . . . .	75
45	2	L156	Dentist (part time) . . . . .	50
46	4	L202	Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.) . . . . .	137.50
47	1	L206	Chief Dietitian . . . . .	175
48	1	L304	Pharmacist . . . . .	225
49	1	L304	Pharmacist . . . . .	200
50	2	L304	Pharmacist . . . . .	190
51	1	L306	Senior Pharmacist . . . . .	250
52	42	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	32
53	22	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	47
53½	2	L354	House Officer . . . . .	50
54	7	L356	Senior House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	59.50
55	1	L360	Physician (part time) . . . . .	75
56	3	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	135
57	1	L372	Resident Physician . . . . .	200
58	1	L452	X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) (part time) . . . . .	79.50
59	4	L452	X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	135
60	1	L456	Senior X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) . . . . .	210
62	2	L458	Radiologist . . . . .	100
63	1	M255	Bracemaker . . . . .	77.50
64	1	O60	Head Gardener (deduct for R.) . . . . .	150
65	4	O166	Fireman, Stationary Steam Engine . . . . .	185
66	4	O168	Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine . . . . .	220
67	1	O172	Chief Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine (deduct for R.) . . . . .	300



**Section 62. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
HASSLER HEALTH HOME**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B454	Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	\$ 97.50
2	1	C152	Watchman (deduct for B., R. & L.) ....	87.50
2½	7	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R. & L.)...	75
3	2	I12	Cook . . . . .	165
4	1	I14	Junior Chef . . . . .	182.50
5	3	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	85
6	2	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	92.50
6½	10	I204	Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	85
7	1	I254	Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.)....	92.50
8	2	J4	Laborer (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
9	1	J4	Laborer . . . . .	125
10	1	L156	Dentist (part time) .....	50
11	1	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	32
12	1	L363	Resident Physician and Superintendent, Hassler Health Home (deduct \$75 a month for family maintenance) ....	350
13	1	O1	Chauffeur (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	160
14	1	O54	Foreman, Building and Grounds (deduct for 1 meal) .....	210
15	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	135
16	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	92.50
17	4	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
18	1	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	145
19	1	P112	Superintendent of Nursing, Hassler Health Home (deduct for B., R. & L.)	160

**AS NEEDED**

The occupants of the following positions have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are available:

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
20	1	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) .....	\$ 85

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists in order to comply with the time limitations established by law, under the provisions of Charter Amendment No. 5 of the election of March 9, 1937.

Section 3. The rates herein fixed for the classes of Orderly, Porter, Kitchen Helper, and Cook's Assistant shall be effective October 1, 1937.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee was taken up:

**Fixing Date for Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of Northeast Corner  
of Nineteenth Avenue and Ulloa Street.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 3575, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission denying application to rezone from First Residential District to Commercial District, property located

at the northeast corner of Nineteenth avenue and Ulloa street, is hereby set for Monday, October 11, 1937, at 2 o'clock p. m.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Referred.**

The following resolution was *referred to the Streets and City Planning Committee*:

**Establishing Set-Back Lines on Army Street, Nineteenth Avenue and Junipero Serra Boulevard.**

(Code No. 13.03)

Resolution No. 3558, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolutions Nos. 1675, 1676 and 1689, establishing set-back lines on certain streets, are approved as follows:

Resolution No. 1675, westerly side of Junipero Serra boulevard from Sloat boulevard to Nineteenth avenue;

Resolution No. 1676, Nineteenth avenue, Sloat boulevard to Junipero Serra boulevard;

Resolution No. 1689, Army street from Bryant street to San Jose avenue.

(The foregoing resolutions are available at the office of the City Planning Commission.)

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Public Health Committee was taken up:

**San Francisco Hospital Fees.**

(Code No. 18.01)

Resolution No. 3543, as follows:

Resolved, Pursuant to communication of the Chief Administrative Officer and the recommendation of the Controller (Sections 7 and 8 of Ordinance No. 18.012), it is hereby determined and fixed that the proper and reasonable amount to be charged to persons legally obligated for institutional services rendered in San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division and Hassler Health Home, shall be as follows:

General Departments, \$4.99 a day.

Tubercular Division, \$3.18 a day.

Isolation Division, \$5.80 a day.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Relative to Proposed Reduction in Water Rates.**

The following communication of Supervisor McSheehy was read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, California,  
October 1, 1937.

Mr. A. J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer,  
City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Mr. Cleary: By motion adopted by the Board of Supervisors on Monday, September 20, 1937, the undersigned committee of three



members was appointed to request the appropriation of \$2,000 from the Publicity and Advertising Fund under your jurisdiction for the purpose of financing an investigation of the present high water rates in San Francisco (which rates are today the highest of all cities of comparable size in the United States), and to report back its findings to the Board of Supervisors within thirty (30) days, and which findings are to be transmitted to His Honor the Mayor for such action as he deems best.

Contained in the motion are eight paragraphs setting forth:

1. The receipts, disbursements and profits of the San Francisco Water Department for the fiscal year 1935-1936;

2. The amount of water delivered to San Francisco, and the amount available and unused and which eventually finds its way into the Pacific Ocean;

3. Purchase of the Spring Valley Water Company's properties with a positive assurance of a substantial reduction of water rates;

4. Placing of a meter charge which never existed for sixty years prior to 1921;

5. Installation of meters in 1921 as an emergency measure to restrict the use of water;

6. Permission of the Railroad Commission of the State of California for installation of meters because of a great shortage of water and a threatened water famine;

7. That contracts are about to be entered into with San Jose and Palo Alto by which these cities are to purchase water from the San Francisco Water Department for 13 $\frac{1}{4}$  cents per 1,000 gallons although San Franciscans are compelled to pay nearly three times that amount;

8. In the Admission Day parade, September 9, 1937, at Santa Monica, a float carried a large sign announcing that the City of Los Angeles is selling water at 17 $\frac{1}{2}$  cents per 1,000 gallons to its consumers.

The eight points as outlined above are followed with directions to the Committee to report back its findings to the Board of Supervisors within thirty (30) days—and further directs that such findings be then transmitted to His Honor the Mayor for such action as he deems best.

In pursuing these investigations this committee has reason to believe the findings will justify His Honor the Mayor in recommending to the Public Utilities Commission a substantial reduction in water rates, removal of the meters, and installation of a flat rate charge for water.

The Public Utilities Commission, through its Manager of Utilities Mr. E. G. Cahill, forwarded to the Board of Supervisors a statement which was introduced on Monday, September 20, 1937, indicating that they are not in favor of removal of the meters or of making a substantial reduction in water rates for the citizens of San Francisco; but appear only to be interested in selling the unused water to our sister cities to the south for the purpose of increasing revenue to be reflected in further reductions of the city's tax rate. You will note in this year's budget (1937-1938), that \$1,900,000 of the net profits of the San Francisco Water Department is reflected in a reduction of the city tax rate. However, the real beneficiaries of this reduction in taxes are the owners of large holdings of property that have wells and do not consume city water in any manner proportionate to the consumption by home owners.

The Press of San Francisco has quoted you as saying that the sum requested should come from the Public Utilities Commission. Common sense would tell one that the maker of a condition would not countenance an appropriation for the purpose of investigating a condition that he himself created. Los Angeles has seen fit to expend at least \$1,000 for the purpose of constructing a float to tell the people of California (including the people of San Francisco), the advantages which accrue to that city in offering a low water rate to all of its consumers.

If it was good publicity for Los Angeles to expend this sum for the purpose of advertising this fact to the world, why is it not good publicity for San Francisco to make a similar expenditure so that eventually we may advertise to the world that we are selling water at a rate low enough to attract new residents and new industries.

The late Senator Phelan and the late Governor Rolph, both former Mayors of our city, had but one thought in mind when they advocated the bonding of our city for millions of dollars to give to San Francisco a supply of water at a price that would invite manufacturers and residents to locate in San Francisco and allow the home surroundings of this great city to be beautified by the planting of trees, shrubs and flowers. Golden Gate Park was once a great sand dune—look at it now!

With the welfare of San Francisco in mind this committee assures you that if the appropriation is made available for its use, a report will be submitted to the Board of Supervisors and transmitted to His Honor the Mayor substantiating in every particular the purpose of the motion of September 20, 1937, and that will justify His Honor the Mayor in requesting the Public Utilities Commission to put into effect a substantial reduction in water rates, eliminate meters, and sell water on a flat rate basis that will allow the people of San Francisco to buy and use much larger quantities of water than is now possible under the present high rates and meter charges.

The Committee trusts that you will allow the appropriation requested, so that San Francisco may advertise to the world that it is no longer the city that is charging more for water than any other city of comparable size in the United States.

Very truly yours,

Special Committee to Investigate Water Rates,  
By James B. McSheehy, Chairman,

**Opinion of City Attorney Re Cases in Which the Board of Supervisors May Act by Motion and Not by Ordinance or Resolution.**

Thereupon, the following was read by the Clerk:

September 27, 1937.

Dear Sir: I have your request that I advise you as to whether or not a so-called motion made by Supervisor McSheehy at a meeting of the Board of Supervisors held on April 20, 1937, which said motion was adopted by a four to five vote, there being only nine members of the Board present at the meeting, is a motion or a resolution.

I understand the purpose of your inquiry to be that, if the matter is a resolution, it must be adopted by a majority vote as provided by the Charter.

The preamble of the so-called motion consists of some eight clauses introduced by "Whereas" setting forth conditions which the mover of the motion alleges to exist in regard to the water supply of San Francisco. These are followed by the "Resolves" which set forth the action to be taken by the Board. These read as follows:

"Resolved, That the President of this Board appoint a committee of three Supervisors to request from the Chief Administrative Officer the sum of two thousand (\$2,000) dollars for the purpose of employing engineers to ascertain the amount of water now in storage; the amount of water daily consumed in San Francisco; the amount of water flowing daily into the Pacific Ocean; the amount of money collected monthly for the use of meters, and such other information as may be required to present to his Honor the Mayor, showing that (a) reduction of water rates should be made; (b) meters should be taken out and water should be paid for at a 'flat rate' instead of at the present rates with added meter charge, so that citizens would be encouraged to use water more freely, to plant trees, shrubs and otherwise beautify their homes; (c) San Franciscans should receive a preference to the use of water now allowed to flow into the Pacific Ocean, and should receive the advantage of the greatly reduced rates offered



to the residents of San Jose and Palo Alto by the Manager of Utilities, which rates are in fact only one-third of the rates now charged in San Francisco; and through such reduction in rates San Francisco will be enabled to advertise to the world that this City is selling water for less than the  $17\frac{1}{3}$  cent rate per 1,000 gallons emblazoned on the Los Angeles float in the Admission Day parade at Santa Monica, instead of suffering through comparison between our present  $34\frac{1}{2}$  cent rate per 1,000 gallons and the rate of  $17\frac{1}{3}$  cents announced by the City of Los Angeles; and be it further

"Resolved, That this Committee report back its findings to the Board of Supervisors within thirty (30) days, and that they be transmitted to his Honor the Mayor for such action as he deems best."

It is quite true that the mover of the motion took particular care to label his action as a motion made in open Board, the minutes of the Board showing the following actions taken by the Supervisors to move the adoption of the so-called motion:

"SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Members of the Board, I have here a motion that I will make. I want you to read it rather slowly, Mr. Dunnigan, and I have copies struck off for every member of the Board. I would ask you to follow it if you please. (Motion read by Clerk.)

"SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. President, as you will notice, and members of the Board, this is in the form of a motion, and I trust that I will receive a second. (Seconded by Supervisor Reilly.)

"SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT said, 'I will also second the motion.'

"THE PRESIDENT: It was regularly moved and seconded that the motion be adopted. Are you ready for the question, Mr. McSheehy? (Mr. McSheehy spoke.)"

#### *Opinion.*

I approach the matter from two standpoints:

First: Was the motion made by Supervisor McSheehy a motion or a resolution; and

Second: Has the Board of Supervisors the power to accomplish the purposes outlined in the so-called motion?

Giving consideration to the first question I direct attention to Section 13 of the Charter, which provides that:

"Action by the board of supervisors shall be by ordinance or resolution in writing introduced by a member or by committee of said board and passed or adopted by a majority of all the members of the board at each reading."

It should be noted that the section does not state that "legislative" action by the Board should be by ordinance or resolution. Therefore, it is not necessary to determine whether the motion made is a legislative act.

It appears to me that it demands action by the Board for the reason that it authorizes the President to appoint a committee to do certain things: First, to request of the Chief Administrative Officer an allowance of \$2,000 for the purpose of employing engineers, which engineers are to make certain investigations and certain reports, I presume to the Committee mentioned although this is not definitely set forth in the so-called motion, and the Committee is then to report back its findings to the Board of Supervisors and the Board of Supervisors is to transmit these findings to the Mayor. I am therefore of the opinion that the motion or resolution demands definite action by the Board. This being the case the action should be taken either by ordinance or by resolution adopted by a majority vote of all the members of the Board.

The document presented by Mr. McSheehy has all the earmarks of a resolution. It has the usual number of "whereases" followed by the "Resolved" of the Board. While I will admit that there is no set form for a resolution, Roberts, in his "Rules of Order," says:

"If it is desired to give the reasons for the resolution they are usu-

ally stated in the preamble each clause of which constitutes a paragraph beginning with 'Whereas.' The preamble is always amended last as changes in the resolution may require changes in the preamble. In moving the adoption of the resolution the preamble is not usually referred to as it is included in the resolution."

It would therefore appear from the action taken by Mr. McSheehy that, while he put his act in the form of a motion, he really moved the adoption of a resolution and as the motion did not receive the requisite six votes, in my opinion, it failed passage.

There are many cases in the law books dealing with the actions of legislative bodies and most of them hold that, except for the purpose of regulating procedure, there is little difference between a motion and a resolution. In *El Paso Gas and Electric Company v. City of El Paso*, 54 S. W. 798, the Supreme Court of Texas said:

"A motion is a proposition made to the house by a member which, if adopted, becomes the resolution, vote or order of the house."

Continuing, the Court said: "Although the word 'resolution' or 'resolved' was not used by the common council in taking this proceeding, it is none the less a proceeding, by whatever name it may be called. The requirements of the charter cannot be evaded in a matter of such importance nor the mayor deprived of his prerogative by the mere change of word by calling what is resolved to be done a motion and not a resolution."

In *Monroe v. Pearson*, 157 N. W. 849, the Supreme Court of Iowa said: "It is further agreed that the proceedings of the council were not in the form of a resolution. The form of the proceedings was a motion duly entered of record and carried. This motion was the equivalent of a resolution."

In *Commonwealth et al. v. Bither*, 144 Atl., at page 733, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania held: "It is true the act of 1923 prescribes that the appointment of a tax collector to fill a vacancy shall be by a 'resolution,' and that the declaration of the council in naming Tarnier is couched in the form of a motion. It is nevertheless a carefully worded councilmanic act, and in substance and purpose carries the weight and legal significance of a resolution; the effect being the same as if the word 'resolution' had been used, instead of 'motion.' . . . The substance of the councilmanic deliverance and the intention of those who formally voted for it must govern."

I am therefore of the opinion that, notwithstanding the fact that Supervisor McSheehy labeled his act as a motion, in reality it is a resolution and as it required some positive action to be taken by the Board it required six votes to make it effective.

As to the second point:

I believe the form of the action is of far less importance in the instant case than is the substance thereof. It appears to me that the Board, in endeavoring to enact the legislation submitted to me, is going far afield and attempting to take action on matters which are beyond its jurisdiction.

In the first place, the Chief Administrative Officer has no funds which could be allocated for the purpose which you mention and if he had any surplus funds under his jurisdiction, they could only be appropriated upon the recommendation of the Mayor.

Secondly, the Public Utilities Commission, under the provisions of the Charter, has charge of the construction, management, supervision, maintenance, extension, operation and control of all public utilities and the Board of Supervisors, except in the matter of concurring in rates, has no right to suggest any action whatsoever in regard to the management, supervision or conduct of the utility and the doing so would be a violation of Section 22 of the Charter.

True, I note from the form of the so-called motion that any information obtained is not to be sent to the Public Utilities Commission



but rather to the Mayor. I can find nothing in the Charter that authorizes your Board to make an independent investigation of any matter within the jurisdiction of the Public Utilities Commission, even if the purpose of said investigation is to lay certain facts before the Mayor in the hope that he will transmit them to the Commission. The only time that the Board would have any power over such matters as are set forth in the so-called motion would be at the time of approving rates in conformity with the provisions of Section 130 of the Charter, and if any such information as is called for in the so-called motion is desired at that time the information should be obtained, not through the hiring of an independent engineer, but through the Manager of the Utilities. It must be borne in mind that, while the Board of Supervisors might differ as to how any particular utility should be conducted, the Public Utilities Commission, and not the Board, is charged with its conduct. The purpose of Section 22 of the Charter is that as long as persons occupy their respective positions as members of the Public Utilities Commission they should exercise their sound discretion unhampered by action of the Board of Supervisors except when such action is provided for by the Charter.

In view of the foregoing, you are advised that, in my opinion, Mr. McSheehy's so-called motion is not effective and, furthermore, even if it had received the requisite number of votes, its provisions could not be legally carried out.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN J. O'TOOLE,  
City Attorney.

**Requesting Mayor to Prevent Removal of Panama Pacific Line  
and the Grace Line as San Francisco Commercial Carriers.**

(Code No. 5.49)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 3577, as follows:

Whereas, a percentage of the business of this community develops from Ocean-borne commerce; and

Whereas, the City of San Francisco has established itself as the gateway between the east coast and Pacific ports to the west in the trans-shipment of passengers and cargo; and

Whereas, the Panama Pacific Line and the Grace Line provide the only "luxury" type passenger vessels between New York and the Port of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the Port of San Francisco provides berthing facilities of the finest type anywhere in the country; and

Whereas, the removal of one or both of these lines would provide a serious set-back in the development of ocean-borne commerce to this port; and

Whereas, hotels, stores, travel agencies and the prestige of the port would suffer tremendous losses; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors file its protest against the removal of either the Panama-Pacific Line or the Grace Line, or both of them from the intercoastal trade route between New York and San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor be requested to take whatever action he considers most effective to stop the removal of these companies as San Francisco commercial carriers; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor be requested to communicate by wire with the Maritime Commission to the end that this service be continued as in the past.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee to Welcome Viggo Christensen, Father of Social Security in Denmark.**

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 3578, as follows:

Whereas, the Commissioner of Public Welfare of Copenhagen, Viggo Christensen, is the father of social security in Denmark; and

Whereas, the representative of the Danish Colony in San Francisco is desirous of making the occasion of the visit of the Commissioner of Public Welfare of Copenhagen a notable and memorable one; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor appoint a representative citizens' committee to assist his Honor in welcoming Viggo Christensen, Commissioner of Public Welfare of Copenhagen on his arrival in San Francisco on or about the 20th day of October.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Leave of Absence—Chief Charles J. Brennan, Member Fire Department.**

(Code No. 4.053)

The following recommendation of the Mayor was taken up:

Resolution No. 3579, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Chief Charles J. Brennan, a member of the Fire Department, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of twenty (20) days, commencing October 14, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**In Memoriam—P. M. Shoaf.**

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 3580, as follows:

Whereas, San Franciscans mourn the passing of Mr. P. M. Shoaf, a citizen whose efforts were all directed toward the progress of his beloved city; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors mourns the passing of P. M. Shoaf and directs the Clerk to extend to the family of the deceased its heartfelt condolences.

*Adopted unanimously by rising vote.*

**Committee to Attend Redwood Empire Association Convention.**

Supervisor Ratto presented communication from Redwood Empire Association, asking that committee be appointed to attend annual convention at Glen Ellen, October 8 and 9, 1937.

Whereupon, President Shannon appointed all members of the Streets Committee, together with all Directors of the Redwood Empire Association, to attend.

**Report on Funston Avenue Approach to Golden Gate Bridge.**

Col. Jno. Skeggs, at the invitation of the Board, through Supervisor Ratto, reported on progress of Funston Avenue Approach to the Golden Gate Bridge.



Supervisor McSheehy requested that the Clerk prepare, for distribution to the members of the board, the entire history of the Funston Avenue Approach project.

**Request for Report Re Institutional Help at San Francisco Hospital and Laguna Honda Home.**

Supervisor Reilly requested the Clerk to obtain from the Superintendent of Laguna Honda Home, information relative to inmate help, particularly as to hours, shifts and salaries of inmate help, also institutional help at San Francisco Hospital.

**Invitation to Meeting of Greater Excelsior Improvement Club.**

Supervisor Shannon presented invitation to members of Board, to be present at meeting of Greater Excelsior Improvement Club, October 8, 1937. *Copies ordered sent to each member of the Board.*

**Relative to Curtailment of W. P. A. Projects.**

Relative to "White Collar" W. P. A. projects, Supervisor Uhl moved that the Board instruct the Clerk to get exact data from Dr. Gray, Public Welfare Department, and send telegram to the proper authorities in Washington, appealing to them that such persons be restored to the W. P. A. rolls as early as possible.

**Meetings Announced.**

Joint Committee, Streets and Building, October 13, 2:30 p. m.

Streets Committee, October 6, 10 a. m.

Building Committee, October 7, 11 a. m.

Public Welfare Committee, October 7, 10 a. m.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business the Board of Supervisors at 5:35 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, October 11, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, October 11, 1937

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.



THE HISTORY OF THE  
CITY OF BOSTON

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

---

MONDAY, OCTOBER 11, 1937, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 11, 1937,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri,  
Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

Supervisor Colman was noted present at 2:45 p. m.

Supervisor Meyer was noted present at 2:50 p. m.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of October 4, 1937, was  
considered read and approved.

### SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of Northeast Corner Nineteenth Ave-  
nue and Ulloa Street.

Hearing of appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commis-  
sion denying application to rezone from First Residential District to  
Commercial District, property located at the northeasterly corner of  
Nineteenth avenue and Ulloa street.

### Privilege of the Floor.

Harry Caulfield, attorney of appellants, was heard in opposition to  
the decision of the City Planning Commission.

R. C. Ramsey and Ray Shiller, president Parkside Merchants, were  
heard in support of the City Planning Commission in its decision  
against rezoning Nineteenth avenue and Ulloa street for oil station.

### City Planning Commission Sustained.

Thereupon, the roll was called on the following resolution and the  
Commission *sustained* by the following vote:

Disapproving Decision of City Planning Commission in Denying  
Application to Rezone from First Residential District to Com-  
mercial District, Property Located at Northeast Corner Nine-  
teenth Avenue and Ulloa Street.

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 3583, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission by its  
Resolution No. 1679, dated September 2, 1937, denying application to  
rezone from First Residential District to Commercial District, property



located at the northeasterly corner of Nineteenth avenue and Ulloa street, is hereby disapproved.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Meyer—1.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up:

#### Supplemental Appropriation of \$637,840.89 to Complete Enlargement of O'Shaughnessy Dam.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1447, Ordinance No. 9.051422, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$637,840.89 out of the surplus existing in the 1933 Hetch Hetchy Dam Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 94.900.00 to provide funds for the completion of the enlargement of O'Shaughnessy Dam.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$637,840.89 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the 1933 Hetch Hetchy Dam Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 94.900.00 for the purpose of providing funds for the completion of the enlargement of O'Shaughnessy Dam.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

### NEW BUSINESS.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Sunset Reservoir—Land Purchase.

(Code No. 12.17152)

Resolution No. 3581, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Christine M. Stack to Lots 13 and 14, Assessor's Block 2109, San Francisco, required for Sunset Reservoir, and that the sum of \$1,459.22 be paid for said land, \$159.22 from Appropriation No. 93.905.05-57, and the balance of \$1,300 from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 262443.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

#### Refund of Erroneous Payment of Taxes.

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 3582, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amount be and is hereby authorized

to be paid to the following, being refund of erroneous payment of taxes:

*From General Fund—Appropriation No. 60.969.00.*

1. Jerry Galindo, per Vol. 16, page 159, line 20, Unsecured Personal Property Rolls, over assessment .....\$4.85

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

#### Re-referred.

The following recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee was *referred to the Streets Committee*:

#### Establishing Set-Back Lines on Army Street, Nineteenth Avenue and Junipero Serra Boulevard.

(Code No. 13.03)

Resolution No. 3558, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolutions Nos. 1675, 1676 and 1689, establishing set-back lines on certain streets, are approved as follows:

Resolution No. 1675, westerly side of Junipero Serra boulevard from Sloat boulevard to Nineteenth avenue;

Resolution No. 1676, Nineteenth avenue, Sloat boulevard to Junipero Serra boulevard;

Resolution No. 1689, Army street from Bryant street to San Jose avenue.

(The foregoing resolutions are available at the office of the City Planning Commission.)

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

#### Prohibiting Parking on Market Street at Certain Hours.

(Code No. 11.02)

Bill No. 1456, Ordinance No. 11.0235, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith," by amending Section 37 (e), Article V, "Parking Prohibited in Certain Areas During Specified Hours," and repealing Ordinance No. 11.0232 and all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 37 (e), Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 37 (e). It shall be unlawful for the driver of any vehicle to stop or park the same for a longer period of time than is necessary for the actual loading or unloading and delivering of passengers or materials between the hours of 8:00 a. m. and 5:30 p. m. (Sundays and holidays excepted), at any place within the following described area:

Upon the north side of Market street between the westerly line of Battery street and the easterly line of Hyde street; also on the south side of Market street between the westerly line of First street and the easterly line of Eighth street.

It shall be unlawful for the driver of any vehicle to stop the same or park for a longer period of time than is necessary for the actual loading or unloading and delivering of passengers or materials between the



hours of 8 a. m. and 9:30 a. m., and 4:30 p. m. and 5:30 p. m., at any place within the following described areas:

Upon the north side of Market street from the westerly line of the Embarcadero to the easterly line of Battery street;

Upon the south side of Market street from the westerly line of the Embarcadero to the easterly line of First street;

Upon the north side of Market street from the westerly line of Hyde street to the easterly line of Franklin street;

Upon the south side of Market street from the westerly line of Eighth street to the easterly line of Twelfth street.

It shall be unlawful for the driver of any vehicle to stop the same or park for a longer period than forty (40) minutes between the hours of 9:30 a. m. and 4:30 p. m. upon Market street west of Eighth street, and upon Market street west of Hyde street, and upon Market street east of Battery street, and upon Market street east of First street.

This section shall not be applicable to duly authorized public vehicle stands for the use of public passenger carrying vehicles for hire.

Section 2. Ordinance No. 11.0232 and all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith, are to the extent of such conflict, hereby repealed.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

### Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance was presented by the Finance Committee and *finally passed*:

#### Authorizing Appropriation of \$3,250 Out of Emergency Reserve Fund for the Paying of Compensation of Visiting Judges.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1457, Ordinance No. 9.051426, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$3,250 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation Number 721.900.00 for the purpose of paying the compensation of visiting judges, an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,250 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation Number 721.900.00, for the purpose of paying the compensation of visiting judges.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists in order to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Superior Court, as this department is without funds to compensate visiting judges essential to its conduct.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Meyer—1.

*and not vice versa* **In Memoriam—Ogden L. Mills.**

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Brown presented:

Resolution No. 3584, as follows:

Whereas, our Heavenly Father has summoned the Honorable Ogden L. Mills to the Hall of Eternal Peace; and

Whereas, this eminent gentleman, scion of a wealthy and pioneer family, comported himself and his affairs with a spirit of democracy and consideration for his fellowman worthy of the highest commendation; and

Whereas, Mr. Mills was a man prominent in the affairs of the Republican Party and one-time Secretary of the Treasury under President Hoover, which office he conducted with high efficiency; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors notes with sincere regret the passing of Mr. Mills and takes this opportunity to, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, express to his bereaved family, its sincere and heartfelt condolences; and be it

Further Resolved, That when this Board of Supervisors adjourns this day, it does so out of respect to the memory of the late Ogden L. Mills; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be and is hereby authorized and requested to have copies of this resolution properly engrossed and presented to the family of the late Ogden L. Mills.

*Adopted* unanimously by rising vote:

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3585, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, Honorable Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence of six (6) days from and after October 11, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Board of Supervisors Endorses Application for Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity for Railway from Grants Pass to Crescent City.**

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 3586, as follows:

Whereas, there is to be held in the City of Portland on October 13th of the present year a hearing by the Interstate Commerce Commission for the purpose of considering an application for the construction and operation of a railway between the City of Grant's Pass in the State of Oregon and Crescent City in the State of California; and

Whereas, it appears to this Board of Supervisors that the construction and operation of said railway will be of benefit to the City and County of San Francisco by affording water transportation for the products of northern California and southern and eastern Oregon to the City and County of San Francisco and will increase trade and commerce between said points and the said City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby request the Interstate Commerce Commis-



sion to issue a certificate of public convenience and necessity for the construction of the aforesaid railway.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Committee to Attend Tournament of Roses.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Mead presented:

Resolution No. 3587, as follows:

Resolved, That the President of this Board be and he is hereby authorized and requested to appoint a committee consisting of himself as Chairman and three other members of this Board to attend and represent the City and County of San Francisco at the Tournament of Roses to be held in Pasadena, California, early in January, 1938.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Appointment of Citizens' Committee for Reception to San Francisco Baseball Players.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 3588, as follows:

Whereas, Joseph Di Maggio, Frankie Crosetti, Tony Lazzeri, Vernon Gomez and Myril Hoag have brought distinction and honor to their native city of San Francisco and the San Francisco Bay area as members of the New York Yankees Baseball Club this year; and

Whereas, they have attained further fame and glory, reflecting on San Francisco during the recent World Series Baseball Games; and

Whereas, they will shortly return to their homes in San Francisco and the San Francisco Bay area; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor appoint a Citizens' Committee to arrange an appropriate reception and homecoming for Joseph Di Maggio, Frankie Crosetti, Tony Lazzeri, Vernon Gomez and Myril Hoag upon their arrival in San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

#### **Increased Compensation for Election Officers.**

(Code No. 3.02)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 3589, as follows:

Whereas, on November 2d, of this year, a general election will be held in and for the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the election officers, inspectors and judges, who will serve the voting public at that time will be required to work many monotonous hours; and

Whereas, the compensation for this type of work has been fixed by this Board at the small sum of seven and one-half (\$7.50) dollars for a working day of from twelve to fourteen hours; and

Whereas, this amount of money is very meager for the type of service performed by the people who actually conduct our elections; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize and request its Finance Committee to consider this matter and to, before

the impending election, devise ways and means whereby the election officers who will serve at the election to be held on November 2d shall be compensated at the rate of ten (\$10) dollars per day, the maximum permitted under the law of the State of California.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**Mayor Requested to Protest to Works Progress Administration  
Against Proposed Discontinuance of the Nutrition Unit in San  
Francisco.**

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 3590, as follows:

Whereas, the Supervisor of all Women's WPA Projects in San Francisco has ordered the discontinuance of the Nutrition Unit of the Works Progress Administration House Keeping Project; and

Whereas, the elimination of this project will adversely affect the health and nutrition of several thousand ailing children and expectant mothers, as well as patients suffering from diabetes, tuberculosis, anemia, and other diseases in which proper feeding is of vital importance; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco go on record respectfully requesting His Honor the Mayor to send a telegram to the Works Progress Administration in Washington, D. C., protesting against the proposed discontinuance of the Nutrition Unit in San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**President of Board of Supervisors to Appoint Committee to Attend  
California State Conference at Los Angeles.**

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that the President appoint a committee of three members of the Board, the President to be Chairman and the Controller, to attend sessions of California State Conference, in Los Angeles, October 28 and 29, 1937.

*Motion carried. ~~Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Reilly appointed.~~*

**State Conference of Northern Counties—Bridge Highway  
Situation.**

Supervisor Schmidt called out of Public Utilities Committee, Resolution No. 3513, requesting the Mayor to appoint a committee of San Francisco City Officials for calling conference of official delegates to be chosen from Boards of Supervisors of 45 northern California Counties to discuss the Bridge-Highway situation. Requested consideration of resolution be made a special order of business for October 18, 1937, at 2:30 p. m.

**Reduction of Bridge Toll to Twenty-five Cents.**

Supervisor Schmidt called out of Committee, Joint Streets and Finance, Resolution No. 3018, endorsing plan of San Francisco Chronicle to fix Bay Bridge Toll at 25 cents.

**Inquiry Re Transfer of Golden Gate Bridge to California  
State Toll Bridge Authority.**

Supervisor Schmidt moved that inquiry be made of Attorney-General Webb as to legal procedure and legislative action necessary to be taken and in what manner the same should be initiated in order to transfer the properties, control and management of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District from the Board of Directors of that District to the California State Toll Bridge Authority.



**Motion Lost.**

Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved reference of motion to the appropriate Committee.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto—4.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

**Motion Carried.**

Whereupon, the foregoing motion of Supervisor Schmidt was *adopted without objection*.

**History of Funston Avenue Approach.**

Supervisor McSheehy requested complete history of the Funston Avenue approach to the Golden Gate Bridge. Said information to be obtained without cost to the City.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that the Clerk of the Board be authorized to procure from official stenographer the information requested.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

No—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Supervisor Colman moved that the information be procured with cost to City.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Reilly, Roncovieri—4.

**Inmate Help Laguna Honda Home.**

Supervisor Reilly objected to reply received from Mr. Wollenberg regarding inmate help at the Laguna Honda Home, and repeated his request for information, asking that his request be properly answered.

**Charge for Water Meters.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that Mr. Cahill be asked how the charge of 70 cents for 5/8-inch water meters is arrived at.

*So ordered.*

**Mayor to Appoint Committee.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Mayor be requested to appoint a committee to take care of the necessary celebration of the launching of new destroyer at high tide in November, about November 21. He expressed the desire, if it be in order, that Hon. Richard J. Welch be appointed as permanent chairman of the committee.

*So ordered.*

**Manager Cahill Requested to Answer Queries of Supervisor Uhl.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that Mr. Cahill be requested to answer letters requesting information.

Motion *carried*.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business the Board of Supervisors at 4:40 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, October 18, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.





Monday, October 18, 1937

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco





J

2

en

Se

pr

rec

wa

A

F

V

Sta

bet

cis

wa

V

am

Fre

lar

pet

V

in

fin

Er

atin

cop

V

the

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

---

MONDAY, OCTOBER 18, 1937, 2 P. M.

---

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 18, 1937,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Shannon—3.

Supervisor Shannon was noted present at 2:35 p. m.

Supervisor Colman was noted present at 3:40 p. m.

Quorum present.

President Shannon being absent, Supervisor Meyer was elected to preside.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of October 11, 1937, was considered read and approved.

### SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

The following matter called out of Committee by Supervisor Schmidt was taken up:

**Appointment of Committee to Call Conference of Delegates from  
Boards of Supervisors of the Forty-five Counties of Northern  
California.**

(Code No. 5.31)

Resolution No. 3513, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to an order of the Railroad Commission of the State of California, the privately-owned ferry companies operating between San Francisco and East Bay points, and between San Francisco and Sausalito, have reduced their fares to thirty cents for a one-way trip and fifty cents for a round trip; and

Whereas, an appreciably reduced income is already apparent in the amount of tolls collected upon the Golden Gate Bridge and the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, which reductions in income can, in a large measure, be attributed to the greatly reduced fares of the competing privately owned ferries; and

Whereas, this reduction in revenues will continue, and may increase in seriousness, working to the detriment of the political subdivisions financially responsible for the successful operation of the Golden Gate Bridge and to the detriment of the State Toll Bridge Authority operating the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, unless steps are taken to cope with the situation; and

Whereas, it is unreasonable to expect that automobilists will prefer the bridges as a means of transportation across the bay at much higher



tolls than are offered by the privately-owned competing ferry companies; and

Whereas, the forty-five northern counties of the State, comprising highway group number one, contribute to the maintenance of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge out of their share of the State Highway Maintenance Fund; and

Whereas, the nine counties comprising the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District have bound themselves together to guarantee the payment of any deficits from the operation of that bridge, and are therefore vitally interested in its financial success; and

Whereas, the forty-five northern counties of California, comprising State Highway group number one, and the counties comprising the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District have a vital interest in meeting this thirty-cent competition; and

Whereas, it seems apparent that a practical way to meet said ferry competition would be to reduce bridge tolls to twenty-five cents, which reduction would also lower the barrier to the ready movement of northern county residents as well as affording their agricultural and manufactured products freer access into the great markets of the San Francisco Metropolitan Bay area; and

Whereas, a twenty-five-cent toll would also make more accessible the counties of Northern California to not only the residents of, but also the tourists visiting, the San Francisco Metropolitan Bay area; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco be respectfully requested to appoint a committee of San Francisco City Officials, whose duty it shall be to call a conference of official delegates to be chosen from the Boards of Supervisors of the forty-five counties of Northern California, including the nine counties of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, to discuss the Bridge-Highway situation and to devise ways and means to cope with the condition and take such cooperative steps as may be deemed necessary to protect and conserve the mutual interests of all concerned; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to his Excellency the Governor, the State Highway Commission, the State Toll Bridge Authority, the Board of Directors of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District and the Boards of Supervisors of the said forty-five counties of Northern California.

#### Resolution Adopted.

Supervisor Schmidt moved the adoption of the foregoing resolution.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Meyer, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Supervisor Reilly suggested that State Senators and Assemblymen be invited to sit in at the conference.

#### Action Rescinded.

Subsequently, during the proceeding Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, moved that the foregoing action be rescinded.

#### Point of Order.

Supervisor McSheehy raised the point of order that the motion to rescind is out of order. Chair ruled point of order *not well taken*.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the motion to rescind and the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Action Deferred.**

Thereupon, further action on the foregoing resolution was *deferred until October 31, 1937, and made a Special Order of Business for 2:30 p. m.*

**Endorsing "Chronicle" Plan to Fix Bay Bridge Toll at 25 Cents.**

(Code No. 12.112)

The following matter called out of Committee by Supervisor Schmidt was taken up:

Resolution No. 3018, as follows:

Whereas, it is only fair and equitable that posterity bear some part of the financial burden of the cost of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, and in pursuance of that thought, the San Francisco Chronicle has proposed a refinancing plan whereby the present tolls may be reduced from sixty-five cents to twenty-five cents on each passenger automobile; and

Whereas, the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge is only another great unit connecting up the State system of highways, it is proposed that it be financed out of gas tax funds in the same manner as those other great highway projects of the State of California, the Redwood Highway and the Feather River Canyon Highway by an allocation of gas tax funds for the amortization of the bonds; and

Whereas, it is deemed practical that this may be done on the basis proposed, to-wit: that the annual \$2,000,000 bond amortization charges be met from gas tax funds and that the maintenance charges be met, as now, from gas tax supplied highway maintenance funds, and that the \$2,000,000 annual interest charges on the bonds be met from the proposed twenty-five cent toll certain to be sufficient because of the increased traffic anticipated; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco goes on record as heartily in favor of the plan proposed by the San Francisco Chronicle and urges that the State Highway Commission proceed at once to put it into effect.

**Action Deferred.**

On motion of Supervisor Schmidt the foregoing resolution was *laid over until October 25, 1937, and made a Special Order of Business for 2:30 p. m.* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

No—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS.****Final Passage.**

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up:

**Appropriation \$225, from Emergency Reserve Fund, for Payment of Vacation and Sick Leaves, Telephone Operators of Department of Public Works.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendations of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1448, Ordinance No. 9.051423, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$225.00 from Emergency Reserve Fund, App. No. 702.900.00 to the credit of App. No. 736.102.00 for the payment of vacation and sick leave of telephone operators in the Department of Public Works.



Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$225.00 is hereby set aside from Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 702.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 736.102.00, for the payment of vacation and sick leave of telephone operators for the fiscal year 1937-1938.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund, as it is necessary to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Telephone Exchange, and insufficient money was set up in the Salary Ordinance for the fiscal year 1937-1938 to provide for vacation and sick leave.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Shannon—3.

### Amending Salary Ordinance, Assessor—Storekeeper.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1451, Ordinance No. 9.053113, as follows:

An ordinance amending section 5 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 by adding thereto item 16½, 1 B352 Storekeeper (part time) at \$79.50.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 5 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

#### Section 5. ASSESSOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Assessor . . . . .	\$ 666.66
2	1	B52	Research Assistant . . . . .	250
3	1	B120	Supervisor, Accounts and Records Assessor's Office . . . . .	300
4	2	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	250
5	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	200
6	1	B418	Confidential Secretary (Ex. Sec. 28) . . . .	200
7	8	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	190
8	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	300
9	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	190
10	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	200
11	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	300
12	1	B235	Director of Service . . . . .	250
13	1	B242	Blockbook Draftsman . . . . .	225
14	1	B302	Addressing Machine Operator . . . . .	155
15	1	B310a	Tabulating Alphabetic Key Punch Operator . . . . .	155
16	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator . . . . .	165
16½	1	B352	Storekeeper (part time) . . . . .	79.50
17	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	200
18	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	200
19	2	B454	Telephone Operator . . . . .	150
20	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	190
21	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	175
22	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman . . . . .	200
23	1	G4	Supervising Land Appraiser . . . . .	300
24	1	G5	Chief Land Appraiser . . . . .	330
25	4	G10	Supervising Building Appraiser . . . . .	300
26	1	G11	Chief Building Appraiser . . . . .	330
27	5	G16	Supervising Personal Property Appraisers . . . . .	300
28	1	G17	Chief Personal Property Appraiser . . . .	330

## Section 5.- ASSESSOR (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
29	1	G20	Chief Assistant Assessor .....	400
30*	11	B222	General Clerk .....	160
31*	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	150
32			Seasonal Clerical Services and other temporary services at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.	

\*Occupants of these positions are paid from appropriations for temporary services and have acquired permanent status under the rule of the Civil Service Commission adopted pursuant to Section 148 of the Charter.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Shannon—3.

## NEW BUSINESS.

## Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

## Authorizing the Issuance of Tax Anticipation Notes.

(Code No. 9.033)

Resolution No. 3591, as follows:

Whereas, Ordinance No. 9.0339, heretofore enacted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, authorized the issuance of certain Tax Anticipation Notes, the same to be issued as needed for the immediate requirements of the City and County in order to meet and pay the appropriations heretofore made for the current fiscal year, as authorized by the Charter of the City and County, which appropriations will become due and payable prior to June 30, 1938, and which may be paid in advance of receipt of the income for said fiscal year; and

Whereas, in order to meet the said immediate requirements of the said City and County it is necessary to forthwith issue and offer for sale Tax Anticipation Notes as authorized by said ordinance above mentioned to the amount of one million five hundred thousand (\$1,500,000) dollars; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, the Controller of said City and County, and the Treasurer thereof, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and issue for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, the aforesaid Tax Anticipation Notes, to the amount of one million five hundred thousand (\$1,500,000) dollars, and that said notes be offered for sale by this Board of Supervisors; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be and he is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco a notice of the sale of said Tax Anticipation Notes to the amount of one million five hundred thousand (\$1,500,000) dollars, and that sealed bids or offers for all or any part of said notes will be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, the 25th day of October, 1937, and that said Tax Anticipation Notes will be sold to the bidder offering to accept and pay for said note or notes so sold at the lowest net interest cost to the City and County of San Francisco computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to December 21, 1937.

Further Resolved, That Orrick, Palmer & Dahlquist, attorneys at



law, are employed to furnish legal opinion on validity of the above mentioned Tax Anticipation Notes authorized by Ordinance No. 9.0339, the fee therefor to be \$500.00.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Recommended by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Shannon—3.

### Cancellation Tax Sales and Assessments, State Property.

(Code Nos. 9.0411 and 9.0412)

Also, Resolution No. 3595, as follows:

Whereas, the Tax Collector has reported that the following property now recorded in the name of the State of California, was sold to the State for unpaid taxes. Being State property these sales and assessments should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel the following sales and assessments in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code.

Lot	Block	Assessed to	Sale No.	1935 Tax	Del. Penalty	Cost
7	2478	H. Christensen .....	1526	\$ 33.14	\$ 2.33	\$ .50
20	3209	A. M. Hagarty .....	1877	14.92	1.04	.50
				<hr/> \$ 48.06	<hr/> \$ 3.37	<hr/> \$1.00

Lot	Block	Assessed to	Sale No.	1936 Tax	Del. Penalty	Cost
18A	558	F. A. O'Connell.....	145	\$263.36	\$18.83	\$1.00
1C	1473	Meyer Bros. ....	643	87.42	6.12	.50
45	1666	I. and H. A. Fried.....	806	94.22	6.58	.50
12	1673	Jack Forman .....	810	99.14	6.98	1.00
7A	1785	H. Doelger Builder.....	894	26.48	1.86	.50
7B	1785	H. Doelger Builder.....	895	26.48	1.86	.50
7D	1785	H. Doelger Builder.....	896	26.48	1.86	.50
7E	1785	H. Doelger Builder.....	897	26.48	1.86	.50
22C	1785	H. Doelger Builder.....	898	26.48	1.86	.50
22F	1785	H. Doelger Builder.....	899	26.48	1.86	.50
9B	1790	M. D. & M. A. Hardiman.	905	92.70	6.49	.50
1C	1814	Henry Doelger .....	928	15.14	1.07	.50
22	1831	E. L. Stoneson .....	946	24.60	1.72	.50
25	1868	R. E. and O. M. Clark...	972	20.82	1.45	.50
7A	1871	Carl Gellert .....	974	81.36	5.69	.50
11	1871	Carl Gellert .....	975	77.58	5.42	.50
38	1874	Albert E. Galvin .....	979	41.62	2.90	1.00
33	1875	H. Doelger Builder.....	980	88.92	6.22	.50
11	1876	Carl Gellert .....	984	14.76	1.03	.50
4	1878	H. Doelger Builder.....	985	87.04	6.10	.50
18	1889	Carl Gellert .....	993	13.62	.94	.50
18B	1889	Carl Gellert .....	994	13.62	.94	.50
18C	1889	Carl Gellert .....	995	13.62	.94	.50

Lot	Block	Assessed to	Sale No.	1935 Tax	Del. Penalty	Cost
18V	1889	M. T. Altvater .....	996	15.14	1.07	.50
18W	1889	M. T. Altvater .....	997	15.14	1.07	.50
1-O	1912	J. H. and J. J. Turner...	1009	52.22	3.65	.50

Lot	Block	Assessed to	Sale No.	1935 Tax	Del. Penalty	Cost
9	1913	Carl Gellert .....	1012	15.14	1.07	.50
25	1913	Carl Gellert .....	1013	11.36	.79	.50
25A	1913	Carl Gellert .....	1014	11.36	.79	.50
42	1913	H. Doelger Builder, Inc..	1015	41.62	2.90	.50
10B	1917	C. T. Lindsay .....	1020	35.94	2.52	.50
10D	1917	C. T. Lindsay .....	1021	35.94	2.52	.50
21C	1917	Frank A. Laux .....	1022	17.02	1.20	.50
1B	2025	F. J. and E. M. Shannon.	1057	84.00	5.88	.50
22	2027	J. M. & N. E. De Curtioni	1059	41.62	2.90	1.00
1	2053	R. and A. M. Bode.....	1075	140.00	9.80	.50
3	2059	J. B. Keenan; G. Musto.	1080	13.24	.93	.50
4	2059	J. B. Keenan; G. Musto.	1081	13.24	.93	.50
5	2059	J. B. Keenan; G. Musto.	1082	13.24	.93	.50
37	2059	L. and A. Costello.....	1085	64.32	4.49	.50
2M	2118	H. Doelger Builder, Inc.	1123	59.40	4.16	.50
2N	2118	H. Doelger Builder, Inc.	1124	57.52	4.02	.50
13D	2319	J. W. Orr and N. M. Orr.	1221	35.94	2.52	.50
9	2322	C. L. Wold .....	1226	43.52	3.04	1.00
23	2322	Carl Gellert .....	1229	17.02	1.20	.50
23A	2322	Carl Gellert .....	1230	17.02	1.20	.50
37	2334A	James A. Arnott .....	1236	91.96	6.44	.50
20	2335A	W. E. and H. L. Tweedie	1240	18.16	1.27	.50
1	2343	North. Cos. T. Ins. Co..	1246	115.04	8.06	.50
21	2346	A. M. and B. Boe.....	1251	43.52	3.04	.50
30	2346	E. H. and F. Moore.....	1252	71.90	5.04	.50
1E	2358	J. F. and A. M. Schou...	1254	93.84	6.57	.50
5-I	2419	H. M. and L. M. Sipe...	1318	51.08	3.58	.50
25B	2430	G. W. and L. E. Ritchie.	1330	28.00	1.96	1.00
31	2464A	H. and L. Horn.....	1350	24.60	1.72	.50
19	2465A	Residential Dev. Co. ...	1351	14.76	1.03	.50
20	2465A	Residential Dev. Co. ...	1352	33.68	2.37	.50
23	2473	Land Development Co..	1356	13.62	.94	.50
47	2475	Meyer Bros. ....	1363	71.90	5.04	.50
1	2480	F. V. and R. C. Gantner.	1366	105.20	7.37	.50
24	2528	Parkside Realty Co. ...	1384	22.32	1.55	.50
7	2541	Frank Tripp .....	1385	102.16	7.15	.50
16A	2642	G. S. and R. I. Peachey.	1448	124.50	8.72	.50
14	2890	R. and C. Porta .....	1567	102.92	7.20	.50
7	2901C	American Trust Co. ....	1576	15.14	1.07	.50
26	2901E	American Trust Co. ....	1577	14.38	1.02	.50
44	2914	J. V. Campbell .....	1584	82.12	5.74	.50
14	2945A	A. J. and M. C. Kelly...	1593	74.56	5.22	.50
9	2957A	Meyer Bros. ....	1595	80.60	5.64	.50
15	2958	Meyer Bros. ....	1596	83.26	5.83	.50
12	2960	Meyer Bros. ....	1597	84.38	5.92	.50
10	2971	Meyer Bros. ....	1600	88.54	6.20	.50
27	2985	Meyer Bros. ....	1617	83.24	5.83	.50
35	3118	Harold B. Barber .....	1671	70.76	4.95	.50
3	5071	Crocker Estate Co. ....	2308	37.46	2.62	.50
21	5803	E. R. and A. V. Houston.	2573	100.66	7.05	.50
9	5839	J. P. and J. C. Biniarz..	2589	78.70	5.51	.50
19	5927	Joe Blum .....	2634	43.52	3.04	.50
1M	6307	Arthur J. Mangan.....	2857	36.32	2.53	.50
1N	6307	The Ruegg Co. ....	2858	9.84	.69	.50
14A	6798	Erina E. Bukicevich....	3204	26.48	1.86	.50
15	6921	W. and A. F. Poor.....	3220	181.82	13.38	1.00
27	7028A	Baldwin & Howell .....	3319	12.10	.84	.50
7E	7032A	Baldwin & Howell .....	3323	10.98	.76	.50
15	7032A	H. and E. L. Stoneson...	3324	12.48	.88	.50
20	7032A	H. and E. L. Stoneson...	3325	30.66	2.15	.50
39	7044B	G. B. and E. R. Murrin..	3335	27.24	1.91	.50
17	7101A	Lorenzo Besio .....	3393	80.60	5.64	.50



Lot	Block	Assessed to	Sale No.	1935 Tax	Del. Penalty	Cost
11	7205	W. L. and J. M. McCollum	3463	57.52	4.02	.50
6	7210	Fernando Nelson & Sons.	3470	102.54	7.18	.50
				<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
				\$4,794.44	\$336.69	\$48.50

Very truly yours,

HAROLD J. BOYD,  
Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Shannon—3.

**Extending Time of Furnishing Water to Land of Annie E. Murphy.**  
(Code No. 15.03)

Also, Resolution No. 3596, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, under authority of Resolution No. 29551 (New Series), of this Board, accepted a certain deed dated October 23, 1928, from Annie E. Murphy, et al., to an easement for a portion of the Coast Range Tunnel of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct through their land in Alameda County, California; and

Whereas, said deed provides a time limit for the ascertainment of the amount of damage, if any, which may be caused by the disappearance or diminution of the natural flow of water in any springs or wells on the land of the Grantors as a result of the construction of said tunnel; and

Whereas, by Resolution No. 2866, adopted by this Board on October 19, 1936, said time limit was extended to November 1, 1937; and

Whereas, said Annie E. Murphy, et al., have requested an extension of said time limit to November 1, 1938; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission has recommended the further extension of said time limit to November 1, 1938; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a written agreement with Annie E. Murphy, et al., extending said time limit to November 1, 1938, and providing for the furnishing of water to said land until said time, subject to all conditions contained in said deed, except as expressly modified by said agreement.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Shannon—3.

**Authorizing Agreement with Edwin T. Jasper, et al.**  
(Code No. 15.03)

Also, Resolution No. 3597, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco under authority of Resolution No. 24746 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors accepted a certain deed dated November 21, 1925, from Edwin T. Jasper, et al., to a sub-surface easement for a portion of the Foothill Tunnel of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct in Tuolumne County, California; and

Whereas, said deed provides that under certain conditions if the natural flow of water in any springs on the land of the Grantors shall disappear or diminish, the City shall furnish to the Grantors a continuous supply of water sufficient for the present domestic, irrigation and stock watering requirements of the Grantors; and

Whereas, said deed provides a time limit for the ascertainment of the amount of any damage which may be caused by the disappearance or diminution of the natural flow of water in said springs; and

Whereas, said time limit was extended to October 1, 1937, by Resolution No. 2941 of this Board; and

Whereas, said Edwin T. Jasper, et al., have requested an extension of said time limit to October 1, 1938, and the Public Utilities Commission has recommended the further extension of said time limit; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a written agreement with Edwin T. Jasper, et al., extending said time limit of said deed to and until October 1, 1938, subject to all conditions contained in said deed, except as expressly modified by said agreement.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Shannon—3.

#### **Authorizing Agreement with Veranus Ellinwood.**

(Code No. 15.03)

Also, Resolution No. 3598, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, under authority of Resolution No. 25673 (New Series), of this Board, accepted a certain deed dated August 10, 1926, from Veranus Ellinwood, et ux., to an easement for a portion of the Foothill Tunnel of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct in Tuolumne County, California; and

Whereas, said deed provides that under certain conditions, if the natural flow of water in any springs on the land of the Grantor shall disappear or diminish, the City shall furnish to the Grantor a continuous supply of water sufficient for the present domestic, irrigation and stock watering requirements of the Grantor; and

Whereas, said deed provides a time limit for furnishing said water and for the ascertainment of the amount of any damage which may be caused by the disappearance or diminution of the natural flow of water in said springs; and

Whereas, this Board by Resolution No. 2797 extended said time limit to October 1, 1937; and

Whereas, said Veranus Ellinwood has requested a further extension of said time limit to October 1, 1938, and the Public Utilities Commission has recommended the extension of said time limit; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a written agreement with Veranus Ellinwood, et ux., extending said time limit of said deed to and until October 1, 1938, subject to all conditions contained in said deed, except as expressly modified by said agreement.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Shannon—3.

#### **Authorizing Agreement with Thomas M. Hughes.**

(Code No. 15.03)

Also, Resolution No. 3599, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco under authority of Resolution No. 25094 (New Series) of this Board accepted a certain deed dated February 16, 1926, from Thomas M. Hughes, et ux., to certain property including an easement for a portion of the Foothill



Tunnel of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct in Tuolumne County, California; and

Whereas, said deed provides that under certain conditions, if the natural flow of water in any springs on the land of the Grantor shall disappear or diminish, the City shall furnish to the Grantor a continuous supply of water sufficient for the present domestic, irrigation and stock watering requirements of the Grantor; and

Whereas, said deed provides a time limit for furnishing said water and for the ascertainment of the amount of any damage which may be caused by the disappearance or diminution of the natural flow of water in said springs; and

Whereas, said time limit was extended to October 1, 1937, by Resolution No. 2928 of this Board; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission has recommended a further extension of said time limit to October 1, 1938; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a written agreement with Thomas M. Hughes, et ux., extending said time limit of said deed to and until October 1, 1938, subject to all conditions contained in said deed, except as expressly modified by said agreement.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieiri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Shannon—3.

**Authorizing Deed to County of Alameda for Road Easement Over  
Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Property Near Irvington.**

(Code No. 15.034)

Also, Resolution No. 3600, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, be and are hereby authorized to execute a deed for the conveyance of a right of way easement to the County of Alameda, for a public highway, subject to the terms and conditions incorporated therein, over and across all that portion of the lands of the City and County of San Francisco situated in the County of Alameda, State of California, included within a strip of land 40 feet in width and being 20 feet on each side of a center line described as follows:

Commencing at a point in the center of County Road No. 49, commonly known as Mowry avenue, at the point of intersection of the direct production southeasterly of the center line of County Road No. 2724, commonly known as Blacow road, with the direct production southwesterly of the northwesterly line of that certain 50.552-acre piece or parcel of land conveyed to Rose A. Martin by "Order and Decree of Final Distribution" in the matter of the Estate of John F. Martin, deceased, dated October 15, 1931, and recorded in Liber 2694 of Official Records at page 119 thereof, Records of Alameda County, California, said point of intersection being south 33 degrees 12 minutes 45 seconds west, 3.30 feet from the most westerly corner of said 50.552-acre parcel conveyed to Rose A. Martin (the bearing of the northwesterly line of said 50.552-acre tract being taken as south 33 degrees 12 minutes 45 seconds west for the purpose of making this description, and all bearings herein contained are referred thereto) and running thence south 57 degrees 12 minutes 15 seconds east, 2650.18 feet to a Sandstone Monument at the most southerly corner of that certain 41.5-acre piece or parcel of land conveyed by Manuel Selvira de Quadres to Antone Oliveira and John Oliveira by deed dated April 26, 1910, and recorded in Liber 1694 of Deeds at page 459 thereof, Records of Alameda County, California; thence south 57 degrees 08 minutes 00 seconds east, 2646.44 feet to a Sandstone Monument at the north-

westerly line of County Road No. 1697 commonly known as P. G. & E. road, and on the southwesterly line of that certain 42.76-acre piece or parcel of land conveyed by Sophia Blacow to Frederick W. Blacow, by deed dated October 1, 1903, and recorded in Liber 916 of Deeds, at page 430 thereof, Records of Alameda County, California; thence continuing south 57 degrees 08 minutes 00 seconds east, 25.00 feet to a point in the center of said County Road No. 1697.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Shannon—3.

### Wage Scale—Private Employment on Public Contract.

(Code No. 9.092)

Also, Resolution No. 3601, as follows:

Resolved, That the highest general prevailing rate of wages paid in private employment to various crafts in the City and County of San Francisco, including wages paid on holidays and for overtime work, is hereby determined and declared to be as herein set forth. Except as herein otherwise specifically provided, the wages herein fixed are based on an eight-hour day, five days per week.

#### DIVISION 1—BUILDING TRADES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Asbestos Workers .....	\$1.25	Double time after 8 hours.
Bricklayers (6-hour day)...	1.75	Double time after 6 hours.
Bricklayers' tenders and hod carriers (6-hour day).....	1.25	Double time after 6 hours.
Carpenters & cabinet makers	1.12½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Cement Finishers .....	1.12½	Double time after 8 hours.
Concrete Laborers .....	.79	Time and one-half first 4 hours, after 8 hours; double thereafter
Electrical Workers (including Fixture Hangers) .....	1.37½	Double time after 8 hours
Elevator Constructors .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Elevator Constructors' Helpers	1.05	Double time after 8 hours
Engineers:		
Compressor operators (on steel erection) .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Derricks and Asphalt Plant.	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Building Material Hoists..	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Pile Drivers, Locomotive Cranes .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Roller Engineers, Dragline and Clamshell Operators, Concrete Mixers and Mechanical Finishers, Asphalt Burners and Concrete Busters .....	1.43	Double time after 8 hours
Trench Machine .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Firemen (Asphalt Plants).	1.10	Double time after 8 hours
Truck Crane Engineers....	1.66⅔	Double time after 8 hours
Caterpillar Engineer, 50 h.p. and Over .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Operators of Power Shovels and/or Other Excavating Equipment with Power Shovel Control When Used on Excavating Operations (6-hour day) .....	2.00	Double time after 6 hours



<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Shovel Firemen, Watchman Oiler (6-hour day) .....	1.33⅓	Double time after 6 hours
Glass Workers, Including Art Glass .....	1.21	Time and one-half first 2 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Gunite Workers:		
Nozzle Men .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Rod Men .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Finishers .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Ground Wire Men .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Gun Men .....	.90	Double time after 8 hours
Mixer Men .....	.87½	Double time after 8 hours
Rebound Men .....	.75	Double time after 8 hours
Foremen (\$1 per day above highest craftsman) .....		Double time after 8 hours
Hardwood Floormen .....	1.12½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Housesmiths:		
Reinforced Concrete .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Iron Workers:		
Bridge, Structural, Rigger. ....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Derrick Engineers .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Bronze and Ornamental (in- cluding erection of steel and iron fences) .....	1.25	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Laborers:		
.....	...	Overtime after 8 hours; and Sat- urday morning time and one- half after the first 4 hours; dou- ble thereafter

Hours of Work for Laborers: Hours of work for laborers shall not exceed eight hours in any one day, said eight hours to commence at 8:00 a. m. and to continue for eight continuous hours thereafter, provided, however, that where shift work is necessary the following conditions shall prevail:

First shift shall commence at 8:00 a. m. and continue for eight hours of continuous work, and the second shift shall commence at 5:00 p. m. and continue for eight hours continuous work. Provided further, that the time not exceeding one hour allowed for meals during any one shift shall not be deemed to break the continuity of work. Where more than two shifts are employed, each shift shall work seven hours of continuous work.

Compensation for all service between 8:00 a. m. and 5:00 p. m., 75 cents per hour. Where second shift only is used, 75 cents per hour. Where three shifts are used, eight hours' pay at 75 cents per hour for seven hours' work, except in shift work all time before 8:00 a. m. and after 5:00 p. m. overtime rate shall prevail.

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Laborers—Contd.		
Construction and General..	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Mixer Operators .....	.87½	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Lathers (6-hour day) wood and/or metal .....	1.60	Double time after 6 hours
Linoleum and Carpet Layers.	1.12½	Double time after 8 hours
Marble Trades:		
Shopmen and Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Polishers .....	.78¾	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Bed Rubbers .....	.84 $\frac{3}{8}$	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Cutters, Copers, Carborun- dum Men .....	.90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Carvers .....	1.02 $\frac{1}{2}$	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
*Masons and Setters.....	1.31 $\frac{1}{4}$	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
*On bonafide contracts as approved by Joint Arbitration Board rate shall be \$1.25 hr. until December 1, 1937.		
Mosaic and Terazzo Workers.	1.12 $\frac{1}{2}$	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Mosaic and Terazzo Workers' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Painters . . . . .	1.21 $\frac{3}{7}$	Time and one-half after 7 hours
Painters, Structural Iron Work .....	1.37 $\frac{1}{2}$	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Painters, Varnishers and Pol- ishers .....	1.10	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Pile Drivers .....	1.40	Double time after 8 hours
Plasterers (6-hour day).....	1.66 $\frac{2}{3}$	Double time after 6 hours
Plasterers' Tenders and Hod Carriers (6-hour day).....	1.40	Double time after 6 hours
Plumbers and Gas Fitters (including pipe calking) ..	1.37 $\frac{1}{2}$	Double time after 8 hours; Sat- urday morning at straight time on existing installations.
Ornamental Plasterers:		
Casters (6-hour day) .....	1.50	Double time after 6 hours
Model Makers (6-hour day)	1.50	Double time after 6 hours
Modelers (6-hour day).....	2.00	Double time after 6 hours
Roofers and Waterproofers...	1.12 $\frac{1}{2}$	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Sheet Metal Workers .....	1.25	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Sprinkler Fitters .....	1.25	Double time after 8 hours
Steam Fitters .....	1.37 $\frac{1}{2}$	Double time after 8 hours
Stone Cutters:		
Soft and Granite.....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Stone Setters:		
Soft and Granite, including granite curbs .....	1.50	Double time after 8 hours
Stone Derrickmen .....	1.12 $\frac{1}{2}$	Double time after 8 hours
Tile Setters .....	1.37 $\frac{1}{2}$	Double time after 8 hours
Tile Setters' Helpers .....	.87 $\frac{1}{2}$	Double time after 8 hours
Upholsterers .....	1.00	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Dump Truck Drivers (7-hour Day)		
2 yards or less, water level per day.....		\$ 7.00
3 yards, water level per day .....		7.50
4 yards, water level per day .....		8.00
5 yards, water level per day .....		8.00
6 yards, water level per day .....		8.50
7 yards or over, water level per day.....		9.00



**Truck Drivers of Concrete Mixer Trucks (7-hour Day)**

2 yards or less per day.....	\$7.50
3 yards per day .....	8.00
4 yards per day .....	8.50
5 yards per day.....	8.50
6 yards per day .....	9.00

**Tractor Driver (up to 35 h.p.)**

(6-hour day) .....	8.00	Time and one-half after 6 hours
--------------------	------	---------------------------------

**Tractor Driver (over 35 h.p. to**

50 h.p.) (6-hour day).....	9.00	Time and one-half after 6 hours
----------------------------	------	---------------------------------

(Working time for truck drivers, tractor drivers and all engineers shall be reckoned by half day and full day. Overtime for truck drivers at time and a half after seven hours.)

**DIVISION 2—BUILDING TRADE—SHOP RATES**

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>
Cabinet Workers, Millmen, Machine and Bench Hands (shop) . . . . .	\$1.10
Varnishers and Polishers (shop) .....	1.10

**DIVISION 3—FURNITURE TRADES**

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Carpet Layers, Cutters and Measurers—		
from Aug. 1, 1937, to Jan. 1, 1938 .....	\$9.50	Double time after 8 hours
from Jan. 1, 1938, to July 31 1938 .....	10.00	
Carpet Seamstresses (large machines)—		
from Aug. 1, 1937, to Jan. 1, 1938 .....	6.30	Double time after 8 hours
from Jan. 1, 1938, to July 31, 1938 .....	6.60	
Carpet Seamstresses (small machines)—		
from Aug. 1, 1937, to Jan. 1, 1938 .....	5.75	Double time after 8 hours
from Jan. 1, 1938, to July 31, 1938 .....	6.05	
Carpet Layers' Apprentices:		
1st 6 months out .....	5.00	Double time after 8 hours
2d 6 months out .....	5.50	Double time after 8 hours
3d 6 months out .....	6.25	Double time after 8 hours
4th 6 months out .....	7.00	Double time after 8 hours
5th 6 months out .....	8.00	Double time after 8 hours
6th 6 months out .....	9.00	Double time after 8 hours
Shade and Drapery Makers and Hangers (including Venetian Blinds)—		
from Aug. 1, 1937, to Jan. 1, 1938 .....	8.40	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
from Jan. 1, 1938, to July 31, 1938 .....	8.80	
Upholsterers—		
from Aug. 1, 1937, to Jan. 1, 1938 .....	8.40	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
from Jan. 1, 1938, to July 31, 1938 .....	8.80	

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
<b>Furniture Handlers, Packers and Strippers—</b>		
from Aug. 1, 1937, to Jan. 1, 1938 .....	6.30	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
from Jan. 1, 1938, to July 31, 1938 .....	6.60	
<b>Drapery Seamstresses—</b>		
from Aug. 1, 1937, to Jan. 1, 1938 .....	4.90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
from Jan. 1, 1938, to July 31, 1938 .....	5.10	

## DIVISION 4—METAL TRADES—FIELD RATES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Blacksmiths .....	1.10	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Boilermakers .....	1.37½	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Boilermakers' Helpers .....	1.10	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Machinists .....	1.10	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Machinists' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half after 8 hours
Machinist (maintenance) ...	1.12½	Time and one-half after 8 hours

## DIVISION 5—METAL TRADES—SHOP RATES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Pattern Makers (based on 7- hour day) .....	1.25	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 7 hours; double thereafter
Molders and Coremakers.....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Blacksmiths .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Blacksmiths' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Boilermakers .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Boilermakers' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Machinists .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Machinists' Helpers .....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Structural and Ornamental Ironworkers (shop) .....	.90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Toolmaker .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter

## DIVISION 6—STREET WORK

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Timberman (tunnel) .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Mucker .....	.90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Asphalt Rakers and Ironers..	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Asphalt Shovelers .....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Cribbers .....	1.10	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Laborers, street work.....	.75	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter
Jackhammer Men .....	.90	Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter



## DIVISION 7—MISCELLANEOUS TRADES

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>
Well Drillers .....	1.10
Well Drillers (hand tool foremen) .....	1.10
Diamond Drillers .....	1.10
Powderman .....	1.10
Washers, Polishers and Greasers (garagemen) .....	.75

## DIVISION 8—DREDGE BOATS

(8-hour day—4-hour Saturday)

*Per Month*

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Dredge Captain .....	\$270	Double time after 8 hours
Leverman . . . . .	245	Double time after 8 hours
Fireman . . . . .	170	Double time after 8 hours
Deckhand . . . . .	170	Double time after 8 hours
Bargeman . . . . .	170	Double time after 8 hours

## DIVISION 9—CULINARY WORKERS

(8-hour day—5-day week)

<i>Craft</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Overtime Rate</i>
Head Cook .....	\$37.50	\$1.50 hour
Other Cooks .....	32.50	\$1.50 hour
Cooks' Helpers .....	25.00	\$1.50 hour (Short shifts, not less than 3 hours, \$1 hour)
Waiters .....	21.00	\$0.75 hour
Waitresses .....	21.00	\$0.75 hour
Dishwasher and Vegetable Man (straight shift).....	17.50	\$0.50 hour
Dishwasher and Vegetable Man (broken shift).....	20.00	\$0.50 hour

Wherever welding processes are involved the rate paid for such shall be as herein fixed for the crafts performing the work.

Saturdays and holiday work at double time. Holidays are New Year's Day, Decoration Day, Fourth of July, Labor Day, Admission Day, Thanksgiving and Christmas.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Shannon—3.

## Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Reilly, the following matters were laid *over one week*:

## Appropriation of \$500, Part-Time Physician, Water Department.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1463, Ordinance No. 9.051427, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$500 out of the surplus existing in the funds of the Water Department to the credit of Appropriation No. 762.101.00 for the purpose of creating a position for a Part-Time Physician to investigate requests for leaves of absence of Water Department employees on account of illness.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$500 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the funds of the Water Department to the credit of Appropriation No. 762.101.00 for the purpose of creating a position for a Part-Time Physician to investigate requests for leaves of absence of Water Department employees on account of illness, which physician shall be subject to call at any time of the day or night.

Approved as to form, Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended, E. J. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.

Approved, Forrest Gibbon, Secretary Public Utilities Commission.

Funds available, H. J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved, Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

#### **Appropriation of \$2,000, Part-Time Physician, Municipal Railway.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1464, Ordinance No. 9.051428, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$2,000 out of the surplus existing in the funds of the Municipal Railway to the credit of Appropriation No. 762.101.00 for the purpose of creating a position for a part-time physician to investigate requests for leaves of absence of Municipal Railway employees on account of illness.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the funds of the Municipal Railway to the credit of Appropriation No. 762.101.00 for the purpose of creating a position for a part-time physician to investigate requests for leaves of absence of Municipal Railway employees on account of illness, which physician shall be subject to call at any time of the day or night.

Approved as to form, Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended, E. J. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.

Approved, Forrest J. Gibbon, Secretary Public Utilities.

Funds available, H. J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved, Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

#### **Amendment, Section 69, Annual Salary Ordinance, Creating Part-Time Physician for Public Utilities Commission.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1465, Ordinance No. 9.053117, as follows:

An ordinance amending Ordinance No. 9.053101 by adding item 11½ to Section 69 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 69 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

#### **Section 69. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION — GENERAL OFFICE**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5		Commissioners .....	\$ 100
2	1		Manager of Utilities .....	1,000
3	1	B22	Asst. Supervisor, Bureau of Accounts, Utilities Commission .....	410
4	1	B60	Secretary, Public Utilities Commission..	300
5	1	B53	Director of Public Relations .....	400
6	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165



**Section 69. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—GENERAL  
OFFICE (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
9	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
10	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
11	1	G106	Claims Adjuster .....	350
11½	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	250
12	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	225
13	1	S112	Inspector, Municipal Railway .....	210
14	1	S112	Inspector, Municipal Railway .....	200

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Amending Salary Ordinance, Recreation Department.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1466, Ordinance No. 9.053118, as follows:

An ordinance amending section 16 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 by correcting the rate fixed for item 59 to conform to the amount appropriated in the annual appropriation ordinance, which is the rate heretofore paid the employee.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 16 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 16. RECREATION DEPARTMENT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	2	A154	Carpenter at \$9 per day.....	
2	1	A158	Sub-Foreman Carpenter at \$10 per day.	
3	1	A354	Painter at \$9 per day.....	
4	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	\$ 185
5	1	B185	Business Manager, Recreation Dept....	300
6	2	B222	General Clerk .....	155
7	2	B222	General Clerk .....	175
8	1	B351	Supervisor of Recreation Supplies and Equipment .....	200
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
11	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
12	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	150
13	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	235
14	1	F304	Supervisor of Playground Construction and Maintenance .....	350
15	10	J4	Laborer .....	150
16	1	J12	Labor Foreman .....	175
17	49	J72	Playground Caretaker .....	155
18	2	J72	Playground Caretaker .....	145
19	3	O1	Chauffeur .....	160
20	4	O58	Gardener .....	145
21	4	O58	Gardener .....	155
22	1	O62	Superintendent of Grounds, Recreation Department .....	200
23	1	R2	Secretary and Supervisor of Spec. Ac- tivities .....	250
24	1	R3	Assistant Superintendent, Rec. Dept....	260
25	1	R4	Superintendent, Rec. Dept. ....	400
26	14	R56	Playground Director (part time).....	75

## Section 16. RECREATION DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
27		R56	Playground Director (part time).....	65
28	1	R56	Playground Director .....	185
29	4	R56	Playground Director .....	175
30	20	R56	Playground Director .....	160
31	22	R56	Playground Director .....	155
32	4	R56	Playground Director .....	145
33	1	R56	Playground Director (9½ mo.) .....	185
34		R56	Playground Director, 65c per hour.....	
35	1	R105	Supervisor of Athletics .....	225
36	1	R106	Supervisor of Dramatics .....	225
37	1	R107	Supervisor of Women's Activities.....	225
39	1	R108	Supervisor of Music .....	210
40	2	R112	Matron, Swimming Pool—7 mo. ....	110
41	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo. ....	145
42	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo. ....	190
43		R114	Swimming Instructor—5 mo. (same as Item 42) .....	155
44	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo. ....	145
45	1	R116	Supervisor of Swimming .....	195
46			Pianist (as needed) .....per call	2.50
46a		R112	Matron, Swimming Pool, 65c per hour..	
46b		R114	Swimming Instructor, 65c per hour.....	

## CAMP MATHER SEASONAL EMPLOYMENT, AS NEEDED

—All maintenance provided shall be charged for and deduction made from salaries in accordance with schedule specified herein.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
47		A154	Carpenters at \$9 per day .....	
48		A354	Painter at \$9 per day .....	
49		A404	Plumber at \$9 per day.....	
50	1	B4	Bookkeeper (less B. R. & L.) .....	139
51		B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	150
52		B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	150
53		E154	Lineman at \$9 per day .....	
54		I8	Head Baker (deduct R. & L.).....	137.50
55		I12	Cook (deduct R. & L.) .....	162.50
56		I16	Chef (deduct R. & L.).....	210.50
57		J4	Laborer (less room) .....	150
58		L352	Interne .....	102.50
59	1	*O1	Chauffeur (deduct R.) .....	185
60		P102	Registered Nurse .....	102.50
61			Team Hire for Camp, at rates specified in Purchasers' Contract .....	
62			Camp Guide (P. T.), less than \$80.....	
63			Life Guard (P. T.), less than \$80.....	
64	1	R56	Playground Director 2½ mos. (less B. R. & L.).....	210
65		R101	Camp Assistant (part time), less than \$80 .....	
66	1	R102	Camp Manager (9½ mos. at \$225; 2½ mos. at \$260 less B. R. & L.) .....	

\*Effective July 1, 1937.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Shannon—3.



**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

**Establishing Set-Back Lines on Army Street and 19th Avenue.**

(Code No. 13.03)

Resolution No. 3558, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolutions Nos. 1676 and 1689, establishing set-back lines on certain streets are approved as follows:

Resolution No. 1676, 19th avenue, Sloat boulevard to Junipero Serra boulevard;

Resolution No. 1689, Army street from Bryant street to San Jose avenue.

(The foregoing resolutions are available at the office of the City Planning Commission.)

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Shannon—3.

**Intention to Close and Abandon Dorland Street Between Dolores and Church Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0621)

Also, Resolution No. 3592, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of Dorland street be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Dorland street, lying between the westerly line of Dolores street and the easterly line of Church street.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Dorland street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost, and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this Resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Dorland street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Shannon—3.

**Extension of Time—Eaton and Smith—Completion of Carolina Street Between 22nd and 23rd Streets. (Wherenot.)**

(Code No. 12.0612)

Also, Resolution No. 3593, as follows:

Resolved, That Eaton and Smith be and are hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after October 8, 1937, within which to complete the improvement of Carolina street between 22nd and 23rd streets.

Contractor has been delayed by the contention of the Public Utilities Commission that it is not liable for the cost of the improvement.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Shannon—3.

**Approving Map of Subdivision No. 3, Geneva Terraces; Accepting Certain Streets Therein and Approving and Accepting Bond for Taxes in Connection Therein.**

(Code No. 12.0821)

Also, Resolution No. 3594, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain map entitled "Subdivision No. 3, Geneva Terraces, San Francisco, California, composed of 4 sheets", approved the 6th day of October, 1937, by Director of Public Works Order No. 7,025, be and is hereby approved and adopted as the official map of Subdivision No. 3, Geneva Terraces, San Francisco, California, and all those portions of land designated thereon as Naglee avenue, Modoc avenue, Foote avenue, Ottawa avenue, Rome street, Cayuga avenue, Delano avenue and Mount Vernon avenue, not heretofore accepted for dedication, are hereby accepted on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco and declared to be open public streets or highways dedicated to public use, to be known by the aforementioned names as they appear on said map; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain deed dated the 28th day of August, 1937, from Residential Development Company of San Francisco, to 21 parcels of land comprising a portion of the aforementioned streets as delineated upon said map; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain deed dated the 10th day of September, 1937, from Residential Development Company of San Francisco, to one parcel of land comprising a portion of the aforementioned streets as delineated upon said map; and be it

Further Resolved, That that certain bond in the sum of \$2,500.00, executed the 10th day of August, 1937, between the Residential Development Company of San Francisco, as principal, and John M. Punnett and G. Neaves Perez, as sureties, running to the City and County of San Francisco, conditioned for the payment of all taxes or special assessments collected as taxes which are at the time of filing said map, a lien against the land or any part thereof as shown upon said map but not yet payable, be and is hereby approved and accepted, the said sum of \$2,500.00 being an estimate by the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco of the amount of taxes which are a lien upon the property described in said map, and which are not yet payable.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Shannon—3.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of the Streets Committee were taken up:

**Ordering the Construction of a Sewer in Duncan Street Between Diamond and Castro Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Bill No. 1458, Ordinance No. 12.061189, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifica-



tions therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, September 27, 1937, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Duncan street between Diamond street and Castro street and of a Proposed Diagonal Street between the southwest corner of 27th and Castro streets and the north line of Duncan street, by the construction of the following items:

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>Item</i>
1.	8-inch vitrified clay pipe sewer, in place
2.	12-inch vitrified clay pipe sewer, in place
3.	Brick manholes, complete
4.	8-inch x 6-inch "Y" branches, in place
5.	12-inch x 6-inch "Y" branches, in place.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered respectively as:

Lot 1 and Lot 2 of Assessor's Block 6589 as these lots are shown on the Assessor's Block Book for 1937, including in these said lots those portions of 27th street, Duncan street, Newburg street, now closed, and excluding from said Lot 1 that portion of the proposed diagonal street, a plan of which is on file in the City Engineer's Office; Lots 1, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40 and 41 of Block 6604, and all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Shannon—3.

**Designating the Director of Public Works as "The Advisory Agency" Charged with the Duty of Passing Upon New Subdivisions.**

(Code No. 12.01)

Also, Bill No. 1459, Ordinance No. 12.012, as follows:

Designating the Director of Public Works as "The Advisory Agency" as the official charged by this ordinance with the duty of making investigations and reports on the design and improvement of proposed subdivisions of real estate in the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to the provisions of subdivision (i) of Section 2 of Chapter 670 of the chaptered laws of the State of California for the year 1937, the Director of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby designated by this ordinance "The Advisory Agency" of said City and County and charged hereby with the duty of making investigations and reports on the design and improvement of proposed subdivisions of real estate within the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The Director of Public Works may call upon the City Engineer or the City Planning Commission or any other official of the City and County of San Francisco, to aid him in making the necessary investigations and to make such reports as may be required on the design and improvement of any proposed subdivision of real estate in the City and County of San Francisco, and before the Director of Public Works shall approve or disapprove of any tentative map, as defined by said Chapter 670 of the chaptered laws of the State of California for the year 1937, said map or report shall be submitted to the City Engineer and to the City Planning Commission for their investigation and report upon the design and improvement of said proposed subdivision, which report shall be made in writing by said City Planning Commission and by said City Engineer to the said Director of Public Works, who may approve or disapprove said tentative map and make report thereon as provided in Section 7 of said Chapter 670 of said chaptered laws, directly to the subdivider.

Section 3. Before any final map or record of survey map of any subdivision is submitted to the Board of Supervisors for its approval, the same shall be approved by the City Engineer and by the Director of Public Works and the certificate of said City Engineer shall be attached thereto in conformity with the laws of the State of California; and upon said map being presented to the Director of Public Works, duly approved by said City Engineer and containing the certificate and information provided for by the laws of the State of California, the Director of Public Works shall approve the same and transmit the same to the Board of Supervisors for its approval.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Shannon—3.

**Creating Underground District, Third Street Between Jerrold Avenue and Williams Avenue.**

(Code No. 11.12)

Also, Bill No. 1460, Ordinance No. 11.1222, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for Placing Wires and Conduits Underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section YYY.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Order No. 214 (Second Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding a new section to be known as Section YYY.

Section YYY. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after the permanent improvement by physical widening of Third street between Jerrold avenue and Williams avenue, is hereby designated, to-wit:



Underground District No 91, Third street between Jerrold avenue and Williams avenue.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Shannon—3.

**Accepting the Roadway of Thirty-seventh Avenue Between Judah and Kirkham Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1461, Ordinance No. 12.081132, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Thirty-seventh avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Thirty-seventh avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets, including the curbs.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Shannon—3.

**Amending Parking Ordinance to Prohibit Parking on Certain Streets.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Also, Bill No. 1462, Ordinance No. 11.0236, as follows:

Amending Section 37 (a), Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 37 (a), Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto, the following words:

East side of Rondel place between 16th and 17th streets.

East side of Hoff street between 16th and 17th streets.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Shannon—3.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Mayor was taken up:

Leave of Absence—William J. Quinn, Chief of Police.

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3602, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, William J. Quinn, Chief of Police, is hereby granted a

leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing October 20, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Streets of Major Importance Improved by W. P. A. Funds.

(Code No. 5.31)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 3603, as follows:

Whereas, the following named streets have been, or will be, widened and repaved by funds partially provided by the Works Progress Administration, to-wit:

Seventeenth street—Market to Harrison streets.

Third street—Bayshore Highway to Islais Creek.

Fifteenth street—Beaver to Buena Vista.

Columbus avenue—The Embarcadero to Beach.

Bay street—The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue.

Valencia street—Market to Mission streets.

and

Whereas, the work done upon said streets in such that the same would not have been performed out of normally budgetary funds for the reason that such funds were not, and will not be, available for said purpose; and

Whereas, the said work done, and to be done, on said streets was in no way for the special benefit of the property fronting on said streets, but was done in order to make said streets more available for the carrying of vehicular traffic from all parts of the city, and, therefore, the same was for the benefit of the public generally.

Now Therefore, Be It Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby find and declare that the above mentioned streets, and all other streets heretofore similarly improved by funds provided by the Works Progress Administration, are streets of major importance, and that the improvements made and being made thereon are not made for the benefit of fronting property, but are being made for the purpose of facilitating general vehicular traffic within the City, and that it would be unfair that any part of the cost of said improvements should be made a charge against the owners of the property fronting on said streets.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Advertising Charges.

Supervisor Uhl requested opinion from the City Attorney as to responsibility of charges for advertising, by Commission and City departments.

*So ordered.*

### Handball Court at Balboa Park Fire House.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Park Commission be asked if it would not be desirable to turn over to the Fire Department sufficient land to the north of the fire house (Balboa Park) for a handball court. Prior to 1901 the land belonged to the Fire Department.

*So ordered.*



MONDAY, OCTOBER 18, 1937.

**Meeting Announced.**

Health Committee, Friday, October 22, 10:30 a. m.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business the Board of Supervisors at 5 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, October 25, 1937.


Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, October 25, 1937


# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1937, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 25, 1937, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

Supervisor Reilly was noted present at 3 p. m.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of October 18, 1937, was considered read and approved.

### SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Hearing of protests against assessment for cost of improvement of Bemis street, between Castro and Mateo streets, by grading, sewer-  
ing, etc., done by Piombo Brothers & Co.

No protest being filed, the Clerk was directed to so notify the De-  
partment of Public Works.

### SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

The following matter was called out of Committee by Supervisor Schmidt:

**Endorsing "Chronicle" Plan to Fix Bay Bridge Toll at 25 Cents.**

(Code No. 12.112)

Resolution No. 3018, as follows:

Whereas, it is only fair and equitable that posterity bear some part of the financial burden of the cost of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, and in pursuance of that thought, the San Francisco Chronicle has proposed a refinancing plan whereby the present tolls may be reduced from sixty-five cents to twenty-five cents on each passenger automobile; and

Whereas, the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge is only another great unit connecting up the State system of highways, it is proposed that it be financed out of gas tax funds in the same manner as those other great highway projects of the State of California, the Redwood Highway and the Feather River Canyon Highway by an allocation of gas tax funds for the amortization of the bonds; and

Whereas, it is deemed practical that this may be done on the basis proposed, to-wit: that the annual \$2,000,000 bond amortization charges be met from gas tax funds and that the maintenance charges be met, as now, from gas tax supplied highway maintenance funds, and that the \$2,000,000 annual interest charges on the bonds be met from the pro-



posed twenty-five cent toll certain to be sufficient because of the increased traffic anticipated; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco goes on record as heartily in favor of the plan proposed by the San Francisco Chronicle and urges that the State Highway Commission proceed at once to put it into effect.

#### Action Deferred.

Supervisor Schmidt moved that the foregoing Resolution No. 3018, and Resolution No. 3513 as well, which come up next Monday, be laid over until November 8, 1937, and be made a Special Order of Business for 2:30 p. m. on that day.

*So ordered.*

#### SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

##### Sale of \$1,500,000 of Tax Anticipation Notes.

Sealed bids for the purchase of Tax Anticipation Notes issued by the City and County of San Francisco, pursuant to Ordinance No. 9.0339, in the amount of one million five hundred thousand dollars (\$1,500,000) were received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, October 25, 1937, and opened by said Board at said time.

The Tax Anticipation Notes are described as follows, to-wit:

Notes in the amount of one million five hundred thousand dollars (\$1,500,000) in denominations of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) each to be dated as of the day of delivery thereof and to be payable to bearer on December 21, 1937, and issued under authority of Ordinance No. 9.0339 and payable exclusively out of taxes levied by said City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1937-1938 without preference or priority of any one note over any other note. All of said notes shall constitute a first lien and charge against said taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year 1937-1938, in which said money represented by said notes respectively shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes and before any part thereof is used for any other purpose. Any of said notes not paid at maturity shall nevertheless be paid out of moneys received from the taxes for said fiscal year 1937-1938 irrespective of the date the same shall be so received.

Said notes shall bear interest at the rate or rates not to exceed six (6) per cent per annum as shall be named by the bidder, said interest to be paid at maturity of said notes.

The said notes will be sold and awarded to the bidder or bidders offering to purchase the same at the lowest rate or rates of interest computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to December 21, 1937. If two or more bidders offer to purchase said notes at the same lowest rate or rates of interest, the Board of Supervisors shall determine which bid shall be accepted. Interest shall be computed on the basis of three hundred sixty-five (365) days per year.

The right is reserved by the Board of Supervisors to reject any and all bids.

All proposals for the purchase of said notes shall be accompanied by a deposit of five (5) per cent of the amount of the bid in lawful money of the United States, or by the deposit of a certified check for said five (5) per cent payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, provided that no deposit exceed the sum of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000); which deposit of money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the notes bid for by him if his bid is accepted.

The approval of Messrs. Orrick, Palmer & Dahlquist, attorneys at law, San Francisco, California, as to the legality of the aforesaid notes, will be furnished to the successful bidder or bidders for said notes without cost.

### Bids.

The following bids were received, opened, read and *referred to the Finance Committee*:

Bankamerica Company, American Trust Company, Anglo California National Bank, by A. K. Brown. For \$1,500,000 par value Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco, California; of the denomination of \$10,000 each; said Notes to be dated as of the day of delivery thereof and to be payable to bearer on December 21, 1937; said Notes to bear interest at the rate of sixty one hundredths of one per cent (.60%) per annum; said interest to be paid at maturity of said Notes.

R. H. Moulton & Company, Weeden & Co. For \$1,500,000 City and County of San Francisco Tax Anticipation  $\frac{3}{4}$  of 1% Notes of the denomination of \$10,000 each to be dated October 26, 1937, and maturing December 21, 1937, interest payable at maturity at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, we will pay you \$1,500,000, being the par value of said notes, together with a premium of \$151.

Wells Fargo Bank & Union Trust Co., by R. H. Rebele, Assistant Cashier. For all, but not for any part less than all, of one million five hundred thousand dollars (\$1,500,000) legally issued City and County of San Francisco Tax Anticipation Notes, dated as of the day of delivery thereof, and maturing December 21, 1937, we bid you the sum of one million five hundred thousand dollars (\$1,500,000) face value, plus a premium of sixty-eight and 99/100 dollars (\$68.99), said notes to bear interest at the rate of one-half of one per cent (.50%) per annum from the date of delivery to December 21, 1937.

### Resolution of Award.

Subsequently, during the proceedings, the Finance Committee reported the following resolution, which was *adopted* by the following vote:

#### Sale of \$1,500,000 of Tax Anticipation Notes.

(Code No. 9.033)

Resolution No. 3511, as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given as provided by Ordinance No. 9.0339 that sealed proposals for the purchase of one million five hundred thousand (\$1,500,000) dollars Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco would be received and considered up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, October 25, 1937; and

Whereas, three bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the bid of Wells Fargo Bank & Union Trust Co., by R. H. Rebele, Assistant Cashier, is hereby accepted as follows:

For all, but not for any part less than all, of one million five hundred thousand dollars (\$1,500,000) legally issued City and County of San Francisco Tax Anticipation Notes, dated as of the day of delivery thereof, and maturing December 21, 1937, we bid you the sum of one million five hundred thousand dollars (\$1,500,000) face value, plus a premium of sixty-eight and 99/100 dollars (\$68.99), said notes to bear interest at the rate of one-half of one per cent (.50%) per annum from the date of delivery to December 21, 1937.

In accordance with your published notice and the terms of the bid, we enclose herewith our Cashier's Check No. B-36515, in the sum of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), same to be applied against the purchase price of the notes in case we are awarded them; otherwise to be returned promptly to us.

In the event that the notes are awarded to us, we are to receive,



without cost, certified copy of the approving opinion of Messrs. Or-rick, Palmer & Dahlquist as to the legality of these notes.

Respectfully submitted,

WELLS FARGO BANK & UNION TRUST CO.,

By R. H. REBELE, Assistant Cashier.

The Clerk is directed to return checks to the unsuccessful bidders.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendation of Streets Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up:

### Prohibiting Parking on Market Street at Certain Hours.

(Code No. 11.02)

Bill No. 1456, Ordinance No. 11.0235, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith," by amending Section 37 (e), Article V, "Parking Prohibited in Certain Areas During Specified Hours," and repealing Ordinance No. 11.0232 and all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 37 (e), Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 37 (e). It shall be unlawful for the driver of any vehicle to stop or park the same for a longer period of time than is necessary for the actual loading or unloading and delivering of passengers or materials between the hours of 8:00 a. m. and 5:30 p. m. (Sundays and holidays excepted), at any place within the following described area:

Upon the north side of Market street between the westerly line of Battery street and the easterly line of Hyde street; also on the south side of Market street between the westerly line of First street and the easterly line of Eighth street.

It shall be unlawful for the driver of any vehicle to stop the same or park for a longer period of time than is necessary for the actual loading or unloading and delivering of passengers or materials between the hours of 8 a. m. and 9:30 a. m., and 4:30 p. m. and 5:30 p. m., at any place within the following described areas:

Upon the north side of Market street from the westerly line of the Embarcadero to the easterly line of Battery street;

Upon the south side of Market street from the westerly line of the Embarcadero to the easterly line of First street;

Upon the north side of Market street from the westerly line of Hyde street to the easterly line of Franklin street;

Upon the south side of Market street from the westerly line of Eighth street to the easterly line of Twelfth street.

It shall be unlawful for the driver of any vehicle to stop the same or park for a longer period than forty (40) minutes between the hours of 9:30 a. m. and 4:30 p. m. upon Market street west of Eighth street, and upon Market street west of Hyde street, and upon Market street east of Battery street, and upon Market street east of First street.

This section shall not be applicable to duly authorized public vehicle stands for the use of public passenger carrying vehicles for hire.

Section 2. Ordinance No. 11.0232 and all ordinances or parts of ordi-

nances in conflict herewith, are to the extent of such conflict, hereby repealed.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

### NEW BUSINESS.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

#### **Appropriation of \$500, Part-Time Physician, Water Department.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1463, Ordinance No. 9.051427, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$500 out of the surplus existing in the funds of the Water Department to the credit of Appropriation No. 762.101.00 for the purpose of creating a position for a Part-Time Physician to investigate requests for leaves of absence of Water Department employees on account of illness.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$500 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the funds of the Water Department to the credit of Appropriation No. 762.101.00 for the purpose of creating a position for a Part-Time Physician to investigate requests for leaves of absence of Water Department employees on account of illness, which physician shall be subject to call at any time of the day or night.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by E. J. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.

Approved by Forrest Gibbon, Secretary Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

#### **Appropriation of \$2,000, Part-Time Physician, Municipal Railway.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1464, Ordinance No. 9.051428, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$2,000 out of the surplus existing in the funds of the Municipal Railway to the credit of Appropriation No. 762.101.00 for the purpose of creating a position for a part-time physician to investigate requests for leaves of absence of Municipal Railway employees on account of illness.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the funds of the Municipal Railway to the credit of Appropriation No. 762.101.00 for the purpose of creating a position for a part-time physician to investigate requests for leaves of absence of Municipal Railway employees on account of illness, which physician shall be subject to call at any time of the day or night.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by E. J. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.

Approved by Forrest J. Gibbon, Secretary Public Utilities.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.



**Amendment, Section 69, Annual Salary Ordinance, Creating Part-Time Physician for Public Utilities Commission.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1465, Ordinance No. 9.053117, as follows:

An ordinance amending Ordinance No. 9.053101 by adding item 11½ to Section 69 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 69 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 69. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—GENERAL OFFICE.**

Item	No. of No. Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5		Commissioners .....	\$ 100
2	1		Manager of Utilities .....	1,000
3	1	B22	Asst. Supervisor, Bureau of Accounts, Utilities Commission .....	410
4	1	B60	Secretary, Public Utilities Commission.	300
5	1	B53	Director of Public Relations .....	400
6	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
9	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
10	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
11	1	G106	Claims Adjuster .....	350
11½	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	250
12	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	225
13	1	S112	Inspector, Municipal Railway .....	210
14	1	S112	Inspector, Municipal Railway .....	200

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Nineteenth Avenue Widening Land Purchase.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Resolution No. 3605, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Mary A. McCann to a portion of Lot 5, Assessor's Block 1834, San Francisco, California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, and that the sum of \$1,426 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project 5-A.

The above sum includes damages in full to the improvements now located on the property; said improvements to be relocated by the grantor within thirty days after recording the deed to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named party for a particular description of said parcel of land.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

**Land Purchase—Nineteenth Avenue Widening.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3606, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from W. Fields to a portion of Lot 42, Assessor's Block 1835, San Francisco, California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, and that the sum of \$1,500 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project No. 5-A.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named party for a particular description of said parcel of land.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

**Land Purchase—Nineteenth Avenue Widening.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3607, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Jennie M. Giles to a portion of Lot 6, Assessor's Block 1834, San Francisco, California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, and that the sum of \$1,450 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project No. 5-A.

The above sum includes damages in full to the improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantor within thirty days after recording the deed to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named party for a particular description of said parcel of land.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.

**Cancellations, Tax Sales and Assessments, State Property.**

(Code Nos. 9.0411 and 9.0412)

Also, Resolution No. 3608, as follows:

Whereas, the Tax Collector has reported that the following property now recorded in the name of the State of California, was sold to the State for unpaid taxes. Being State property these sales and assessments should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; now therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel the following sales and assessments in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code:

Lot	Block	Assessed to	Sale	Year	Tax	Del. Penalty	Costs
17	2108	P. D. Tyler...	974	1928	\$ 7.92	\$ .40	\$ .50
				1929	7.88	....	....
				1930	16.16	....	....
				1931	16.16	....	....



MONDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1937.

Lot	Block	Assessed to	Sale	Year	Tax	Del. Penalty	Costs	
				1932	11.88	....	....	
				1933	10.44	....	....	
				1934	11.60	....	....	
					<u>\$82.04</u>	<u>\$ .40</u>	<u>\$ .50</u>	
22	2621	F. C. Bryan..	1949	1931	\$ 8.48	\$ .84	\$ .50	
				1932	8.32	....	....	
				1933	7.30	....	....	
				1934	2.70	....	....	
				1935	2.58	....	....	
				1936	2.64	....	....	
					<u>\$32.02</u>	<u>\$ .84</u>	<u>\$ .50</u>	
1 Ptn.	6650	C. B. Eton.	Ptn.5684	1933	3.84	.27	.50	
Lot	Block	Assessed to	Sale	Year	Tax	Del. Penalty	Costs	1936 Tax
13	2107	M. O. E. Free-						
		man .....	1299	1935	\$ 2.76	\$ .08	\$ .50	\$ 5.68
13	6098	A. J. Bell et al.	2946	1935	.74	.02	.50	1.52
5	6142	C. I. Nye.....	2962	1935	3.68	.27	.50	.....
10	6266	R. Schwartz...	3072	1935	7.36	.22	.50	15.14
9-10	6474	H. Newburgh.	3150	1935	30.93	.93	.50	63.58
					<u>\$45.47</u>	<u>\$1.52</u>	<u>\$2.50</u>	<u>\$85.92</u>
Lot	Block	Assessed to	Sales	Year	Tax	Del. Penalty	Costs	
28	3761	H. & E. Matzger...	3096	1934	\$ 15.45	\$ .46	\$ .50	
				1935	27.62	.....	.....	
				1936	28.38	.....	.....	
					<u>\$ 71.45</u>	<u>\$ .46</u>	<u>\$ .50</u>	
95	3762	M. Matzger .....	3099	1934	\$ 28.01	\$ .84	\$ .50	
				1935	53.38	.....	.....	
				1936	54.86	.....	.....	
					<u>\$136.25</u>	<u>\$ .84</u>	<u>\$ .50</u>	
63-A & 64	3763	M. Matzger .....	3106	1934	\$ 66.26	\$ 1.99	\$ .50	
				1935	126.28	.....	.....	
				1936	129.80	.....	.....	
					<u>\$322.34</u>	<u>\$ 1.99</u>	<u>\$ .50</u>	
48	3749	L. H. Nishkian ....	2138	1935	\$160.90	\$11.26	\$ .50	
				1936	84.00	.....	.....	
					<u>\$244.90</u>	<u>\$11.26</u>	<u>\$ .50</u>	
Lot	Block	Assessed to	Sales		1936 Tax	Del. Penalty	Costs	
16	3718	Krogh Pump & Ma-						
		chine Co. ....	1941	\$	968.70	\$ 67.81	\$ .50	
3	3719	L. D. Foster.....	1942		446.52	31.26	.50	
2/3	3720	St. Francis Inv. Co..	1943		10,463.90	732.48	.50	
4	3720	E. B. De Surville...	1944		3,036.66	212.57	.50	
8	3720	M. W. Heffernan et al.	1945		611.12	42.78	.50	
6	3721	A. Downey .....	1946		465.06	32.56	.50	
7	3721	S J. Goodfellow et al.	1947		473.38	33.14	.50	

Lot	Block	Assessed to	Sales	1936 Tax	Del. Penalty	Costs
8	3721	E. D. Jennings et al.	1948	406.02	28.42	.50
62	3721	A. Downey .....	1949	139.62	9.76	.50
63	3721	H. P. Scanlon et al.	1950	521.82	36.53	.50
65/66	3721	A. Downey .....	1951	253.52	17.74	.50
90	3736	H. Rumpf .....	1983	48.44	3.40	.50
103/104	3736	H. Rumpf .....	1984	669.20	47.12	1.00
33/34	3764	J. J. O'Brien .....	2005	56.00	3.92	.50
26-G	85	Title Ins. & Guaranty Co. ....	15	6.44	.46	.50
17-A	925	J. Rossi .....	278	102.16	7.15	1.00
18	925	Felix Pedrini .....	279	35.95	1.08	.50
19-A	925	St. George Holden....	280	128.28	8.97	1.00
20-A	925	L. M. Wilhelmsen....	281	60.35	1.81	.50
21	925	Blanche Omizzolo ...	282	88.16	6.17	.50
2-A	932	O. W. and M. H. Tuttle	287	68.87	2.07	.50
3-A	932	Fletcher Baker .....	288	66.22	1.99	1.00
4	932	Ida Appleford .....	289	88.92	2.67	.50
5-L	932	Hibernia Savings & Loan Society .....	292	251.26	17.59	1.00
5-M	932	G. B. and A. Podesta.	293	172.18	12.05	1.00
5-N	932	Ida Biancalana .....	291	150.79	4.52	1.00
6	932	Carl E. and Ruth L. Wilding .....	294	56.76	1.70	.50
7-A	932	R. J. and A. M. Olivi.	295	95.17	2.86	1.00
5-A	934	A. and A. Arata .....	296	105.76	3.17	1.00
9-G	934	J. and F. Collins.....	298	171.80	12.03	.50
9-H	934	J. B. Rothschild ....	299	107.84	7.55	1.00
16	2031	Martha A. Solopok...	1063	22.51	.68	.50
1	2107	Angelo and Louisa Traina .....	1103	12.86	.89	.50
6-6-E	2107	S. A. Tarantino et al.	1104	45.40	3.18	.50
9	2107	Augusta Fell .....	1105	5.68	.41	.50
17-17-A	2107	S. A. Tarantino et al.	1107	15.14	1.07	.50
19-19-E	2107	S. A. Tarantino et al.	1108	45.40	3.18	.50
22-27	2107	S. A. Tarantino et al.	1109	52.98	3.70	.50
2	2108	Sol Getz and Sons....	1110	7.56	.52	.50
11	2109	Schley E. Huddleston.	1112	7.56	.52	.50
44	2109	Walter M. Welfitt ...	1114	7.56	.52	.50
4-5	2110	John F. Cross.....	1115	5.68	.17	.50
6	2110	Lacaze's Burlingame F. L. ....	1116	5.68	.41	.50
14-15	2110	Frank R. Nelson....	1117	11.36	.79	.50
1	2144	Isabella L. Lawler et al.....	1136	9.84	.69	.50
4	2144	Mary L. Flemming..	1137	5.68	.41	.50
6-7	2144	Stella M. Bryant....	1138	17.02	1.20	.50
12-13	2144	Gertrude Eibeschutz et al .....	1139	11.36	.79	.50
18	2144	Frederika Fox .....	1140	49.58	3.46	.50
1	2145	Franklin T. and Chas. Hittell .....	1141	299.70	20.99	.50
1	2146	Sol Getz and Sons....	1142	10.02	.83	1.00
1-AB	2146	Sol Getz and Sons....	1143	5.68	.41	1.00
2	2146	Sol Getz and Sons....	1144	4.92	.34	.50
3	2146	Sol Getz and Sons....	1145	4.92	.34	.50
4	2146	Sol Getz and Sons....	1146	4.92	.34	.50
5	2146	Sol Getz and Sons....	1147	5.68	.41	.50
6	2146	Sol Getz and Sons....	1148	5.68	.41	.50
7	2146	Sol Getz and Sons....	1149	5.68	.41	.50
8	2146	Sol Getz and Sons....	1150	5.68	.41	.50
9	2146	Sol Getz and Sons....	1151	5.68	.41	.50
10	2146	Sol Getz and Sons....	1152	5.68	.41	.50



Lot	Block	Assessed to	Sales	1936 Tax	Del. Penalty	Costs
11	2146	Sol Getz and Sons...	1153	5.68	.41	.50
12	2146	Sol Getz and Sons...	1154	5.68	.41	.50
13	2146	Sol Getz and Sons...	1155	5.68	.41	.50
14	2146	Sol Getz and Sons...	1156	5.68	.41	.50
15	2146	Sol Getz and Sons...	1157	5.68	.41	.50
16	2146	Sol Getz and Sons...	1158	5.68	.41	.50
17	2146	Sol Getz and Sons...	1159	5.68	.41	.50
18	2146	Sol Getz and Sons...	1160	5.68	.41	.50
19	2146	Sol Getz and Sons...	1161	5.68	.41	.50
20	2146	Sol Getz and Sons...	1162	5.68	.41	.50
22	2146	F. J. & Maye Brick- wedel .....	1163	149.84	10.49	.50
1	2147	Wells Fargo Bank & Union Tr. ....	1164	299.70	20.99	.50
14-A	2199	Kenneth & Marion Evers .....	1202	51.46	3.60	.50
39	2478	Maurice & Sig. Salo- mon .....	1365	15.14	1.07	.50
16-22	2614	Consolidated Securi- ties Co. ....	1393	6.05	.18	.50
24	2614	Florence G. Perine...	1394	1.90	.14	.50
30, 32-36	2614	Consolidated Securi- ties Co. ....	1395	10.41	.31	.50
37-41	2614	Jean De F. & De F. Prichard .....	1396	9.84	.30	.50
76-78	2614	Consolidated Securi- ties Co. ....	1399	25.73	.77	.50
1-14	2615	Consolidated Securi- ties Co. ....	1401	17.78	.53	.50
1-5	2620	Consolidated Securi- ties Co. ....	1409	21.19	.64	.50
1-8	2621	Heber V. Tilden....	1413	63.58	4.44	.50
17-19	2621	Consolidated Securi- ties Co. ....	1414	3.78	.11	.50
23	2621	Consolidated Securi- ties Co. ....	1415	1.51	.05	.50
29-34	2621	Walter H. Linforth (Adm.) .....	1417	41.24	2.89	.50
38	2621	Consolidated Securi- ties Co. ....	1419	.95	.03	.50
41	2621	Capital Co. ....	1420	1.70	.05	.50
21	2622	Consolidated Securi- ties Co. ....	1422	3.59	.11	.50
23/24	2622	Consolidated Securi- ties Co. ....	1423	8.89	.27	.50
26-32	2622	Consolidated Securi- ties Co. ....	1424	30.27	.91	.50
13	2694	Leo A. & Mary T. Morch .....	1466	47.30	3.31	.50
15	2694	Peter B. & Anne Mur- phy .....	1467	43.90	3.08	.50
17	2694	Patrick J. & Chris- tina Neils .....	1468	53.74	3.77	.50
17-A	2694	Lucille McKeever ....	1469	61.30	4.29	.50
18	2694	John Lynch .....	1470	86.28	6.03	.50
21	2694	Emma M. Muhlker....	1473	49.76	1.49	.50
14	3577	Julia C. Austin et al..	1830	62.44	4.38	.50
7	3579	Fernand Domergne et al .....	1831	35.38	1.06	.50
8	3579	Charles J. and Emilia J. Kern .....	1832	22.51	.68	.50
9	3579	A. F. Rossum .....	1833	22.89	.69	.50
10	3579	Muriel Cauley .....	1834	21.00	.63	.50

Lot	Block	Assessed to	Sales	1936 Tax	Del. Penalty	Costs
12-13	3579	James H. C. and Wm. R. Caley .....	1835	91.95	2.76	.50
14	3579	Richard Speckter ....	1836	180.88	12.66	.50
15	3579	Charles T. and Aurora Brignardelle .....	1837	52.22	3.65	.50
16	3579	Edith I. Lennon.....	1838	26.49	.79	.50
17	3579	Edith Lennon .....	1839	7.28	.22	.50
18	3579	Edith I. Lennon.....	1840	5.78	.17	.50
19	3579	Edith I. Lennon.....	1841	16.65	.50	.50
32-C	3579	Earl W. Wilson.....	1843	83.06	2.49	.50
32-A	3579	Bartholomeo and Luisa Crosetti .....	1842	36.70	2.57	.50
7-D	4336	Joseph A. and An- toine Phillipp .....	2137	92.71	2.78	.50
7-G	4336	Moritz Ittig .....	2138	110.68	3.32	.50
7-J	4336	Lawrence Poitz; Elin Poitz .....	2136	64.52	1.94	.50
9	5310	Albina Quirk .....	2342	22.70	1.59	.50
9-A	5310	William Quirk .....	2343	16.08	.48	.50
10-12	5310	Wells Fargo Bank & Union Trust Co.....	2344	118.06	8.26	.50
12-B	5310	Eric and Josephine Thyssen .....	2345	24.60	.74	.50
14	5310	Louise Bousquet .....	2346	13.24	.40	.50
2	5412	Joseph Smith .....	2383	1.90	.14	.50
11	5614	Harry Epstein .....	2452	1.14	.09	.50
16	5614	Florence G. Perine....	2453	1.52	.10	.50
8	5620	Florence G. Perine....	2465	2.28	.15	.50
12	5627	Florence G. Perine....	2468	1.90	.14	.50
7	5629	Florence G. Perine....	2473	1.52	.10	.50
4	6288	Edward P. Hodnett...	2846	2.28	.15	.50
6	6474	Peter Lutzi .....	2935	9.65	.29	.50
7	6474	Sam and Emma Sout- ter .....	2936	7.38	.22	.50
10	6638	Fannie A. McKinnon..	3077	19.87	.60	.50
12	6638	Mary A. Preston.....	3078	81.36	5.69	.50
21	6638	Clarence B. Eaton....	3080	28.38	2.00	.50
23	6638	Henry, Richard and Eva Anglasay .....	3081	42.76	2.99	.50
34	6638	Alys M. Atkinson....	3082	52.22	3.65	.50
37	6638	Richard and Annie Jaap .....	3083	29.52	2.06	.50
39	6638	Mary A. Murrin.....	3084	14.76	.44	.50
41	6638	John and Nancy Cat- tich .....	3085	13.81	.41	.50
				<hr/> \$5,063.70	<hr/> \$228.07	<hr/> \$66.50

## REASSESSMENT

Lot	Block	Assessed to	Sales	1935 Tax	Del. Penalty	Costs
1	2146	Sol Getz & Sons.....	1198	\$ 7.00	\$ .50	\$ .50

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Reilly—1.



**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Mayor Requested to Appoint Committee, Education Week.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 3609, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor is hereby requested to appoint a Committee of Citizens to arrange participation in American Education Week being sponsored by the National Education Association, American Legion, and Boards of Education throughout the United States, November 7 to 13, 1937, and that Mr. W. L. Kuser, president of the West of Twin Peaks Public Schools Association, be designated as Chairman.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Mayor Requested to Appoint Citizens' Committee for Appropriate  
Welcome to Duke and Duchess of Windsor.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Brown presented:

Resolution No. 3610, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor is respectfully requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee for an appropriate welcome on behalf of the City of San Francisco to the Duke and Duchess of Windsor upon their arrival in our city.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board of Supervisors at the hour of 3:40 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 1, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

**Vol. 32**

**No. 44**

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

**Monday, November 1, 1937**


# **Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors**

**City and County of San Francisco**



**The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.**





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 1, 1937, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 1, 1937,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

President Shannon being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of October 25, 1937, was considered read and approved.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up:

#### Amending Salary Ordinance, Recreation Department.

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1466, Ordinance No. 9.053118, as follows:

An ordinance amending section 16 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 by correcting the rate fixed for item 59 to conform to the amount appropriated in the annual appropriation ordinance, which is the rate heretofore paid the employee.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 16 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

#### Section 16. RECREATION DEPARTMENT

Item	No. of	Class		Maximum
No.	Employees	No.	Class Title	Monthly Rate
1	2	A154	Carpenter at \$9 per day.....	
2	1	A158	Sub-Foreman Carpenter at \$10 per day.	
3	1	A354	Painter at \$9 per day.....	
4	1	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	\$ 185
5	1	B185	Business Manager, Recreation Dept.....	300
6	2	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	155
7	2	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	175
8	1	B351	Supervisor of Recreation Supplies and Equipment . . . . .	200



## Section 16. RECREATION DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
11	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
12	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	150
13	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	235
14	1	F304	Supervisor of Playground Construction and Maintenance .....	350
15	10	J4	Laborer . . . . .	150
16	1	J12	Labor Foreman .....	175
17	49	J72	Playground Caretaker .....	155
18	2	J72	Playground Caretaker .....	145
19	3	O1	Chauffeur . . . . .	160
20	4	O58	Gardener . . . . .	145
21	4	O58	Gardener . . . . .	155
22	1	O62	Superintendent of Grounds, Recreation Department . . . . .	200
23	1	R2	Secretary and Supervisor of Spec. Activities . . . . .	250
24	1	R3	Assistant Superintendent, Rec. Dept....	260
25	1	R4	Superintendent, Rec. Dept. ....	400
26	14	R56	Playground Director (part time).....	75
27		R56	Playground Director (part time).....	65
28	1	R56	Playground Director .....	185
29	4	R56	Playground Director .....	175
30	20	R56	Playground Director .....	160
31	22	R56	Playground Director .....	155
32	4	R56	Playground Director .....	145
33	1	R56	Playground Director (9½ mo.) .....	185
34		R56	Playground Director, 65c per hour.....	
35	1	R105	Supervisor of Athletics .....	225
36	1	R106	Supervisor of Dramatics .....	225
37	1	R107	Supervisor of Women's Activities.....	225
39	1	R108	Supervisor of Music .....	210
40	2	R112	Matron, Swimming Pool—7 mo. ....	110
41	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo. ....	145
42	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo. ....	190
43		R114	Swimming Instructor—5 mo. (same as Item 42) .....	155
44	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo. ....	145
45	1	R116	Supervisor of Swimming .....	195
46			Pianist (as needed) .....per call	2.50
46a		R112	Matron, Swimming Pool, 65c per hour..	
46b		R114	Swimming Instructor, 65c per hour.....	

## CAMP MATHER SEASONAL EMPLOYMENT, AS NEEDED

—All maintenance provided shall be charged for and deduction made from salaries in accordance with schedule specified herein.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
47		A154	Carpenters at \$9 per day .....	
48		A354	Painter at \$9 per day .....	
49		A404	Plumber at \$9 per day.....	
50	1	B4	Bookkeeper (less B. R. & L.) .....	139
51		B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	150
52		B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	150
53		E154	Lineman at \$9 per day .....	
54		I8	Head Baker (deduct R. & L.).....	137.50
55		I12	Cook (deduct R. & L.) .....	162.50

## Section 16. RECREATION DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
56		I16	Chef (deduct R. & L.).....	210.50
57		J4	Laborer (less room) .....	150
58		L352	Interne .....	102.50
59	1	*O1	Chauffeur (deduct R.) .....	185
60		P10	Registered Nurse .....	102.50
61			Team Hire for Camp, at rates specified in Purchasers' Contract .....	
62			Camp Guide (P. T.), less than \$80.....	
63			Fire Guard (P. T.), less than \$80.....	
64	1	R56	Playground Director 2½ mos. (less B. R. & L.).....	210
65		R101	Camp Assistant (part time), less than \$80 .....	
66	1	R102	Camp Manager (9½ mos. at \$225; 2½ mos. at \$260 less B. R. & L.) .....	

\*Effective July 1, 1937.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

### Ordering the Construction of a Sewer in Duncan Street Between Diamond and Castro Streets.

(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1458, Ordinance No. 12.061189, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, September 27, 1937, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Duncan street between Diamond street and Castro street and of a Proposed Diagonal Street between the southwest corner of 27th and Castro streets and the north line of Duncan street, by the construction of the following items:



*Item No.**Item*

1. 8-inch vitrified clay pipe sewer, in place
2. 12-inch vitrified clay pipe sewer, in place
3. Brick manholes, complete
4. 8-inch x 6-inch "Y" branches, in place
5. 12-inch x 6-inch "Y" branches, in place.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered respectively as:

Lot 1 and Lot 2 of Assessor's Block 6589 as these lots are shown on the Assessor's Block Book for 1937, including in these said lots those portions of 27th street, Duncan street, Newburg street, now closed, and excluding from said Lot 1 that portion of the proposed diagonal street, a plan of which is on file in the City Engineer's Office; Lots 1, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40 and 41 of Block 6604, and all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Designating the Director of Public Works as "The Advisory Agency" Charged with the Duty of Passing Upon New Subdivisions.**

(Code No. 12.01)

Also, Bill No. 1459, Ordinance No. 12.012, as follows:

Designating the Director of Public Works as "The Advisory Agency" as the official charged by this ordinance with the duty of making investigations and reports on the design and improvement of proposed subdivisions of real estate in the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to the provisions of subdivision (i) of Section 2 of Chapter 670 of the chaptered laws of the State of California for the year 1937, the Director of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby designated by this ordinance "The Advisory Agency" of said City and County and charged hereby with the duty of making investigations and reports on the design and improvement of proposed subdivisions of real estate within the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The Director of Public Works may call upon the City Engineer or the City Planning Commission or any other official of the City and County of San Francisco, to aid him in making the necessary investigations and to make such reports as may be required on the design and improvement of any proposed subdivision of real estate in the City and County of San Francisco, and before the Director of Public Works shall approve or disapprove of any tentative map, as defined by said Chapter 670 of the chaptered laws of the State of California for the year 1937, said map or report shall be submitted to the City Engineer and to the City Planning Commission for their investigation and report upon the design and improvement of said proposed subdivision, which report shall be made in writing by said City Planning Commission and by said City Engineer to the said Director of Public Works, who may approve or disapprove said tentative map and make report thereon as provided in Section 7 of said Chapter 670 of said chaptered laws, directly to the subdivider.

Section 3. Before any final map or record of survey map of any subdivision is submitted to the Board of Supervisors for its approval,

the same shall be approved by the City Engineer and by the Director of Public Works and the certificate of said City Engineer shall be attached thereto in conformity with the laws of the State of California; and upon said map being presented to the Director of Public Works, duly approved by said City Engineer and containing the certificate and information provided for by the laws of the State of California, the Director of Public Works shall approve the same and transmit the same to the Board of Supervisors for its approval.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Creating Underground District, Third Street Between Jerrold Avenue and Williams Avenue.**

(Code No. 11.12)

Also, Bill No. 1460, Ordinance No. 11.1222, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for Placing Wires and Conduits Underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section YYY.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Order No. 214 (Second Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding a new section to be known as Section YYY.

Section YYY. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after the permanent improvement by physical widening of Third street between Jerrold avenue and Williams avenue, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No 91, Third street between Jerrold avenue and Williams avenue.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Accepting the Roadway of Thirty-seventh Avenue Between Judah and Kirkham Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1461, Ordinance No. 12.081132, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Thirty-seventh avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Thirty-seventh avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets, including the curbs.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.



### **Amending Parking Ordinance to Prohibit Parking on Certain Streets.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Also, Bill No. 1462, Ordinance No. 11.0236, as follows:

Amending Section 37 (a), Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 37 (a), Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto, the following words:

East side of Rondel place between 16th and 17th streets.

East side of Hoff street between 16th and 17th streets.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

### **NEW BUSINESS.**

#### **Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were **taken up**:

#### **Corona Heights Playground—Land Purchase.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Resolution No. 3617, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Hearst Estate, Inc., a corporation, Lots 39 and 40, Assessor's Block 2621, San Francisco, California, required for the Corona Heights Playground, for the sum of \$400, payable from Appropriation No. 713.600.12. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

#### **Confirming Sale of City Land on Bay Shore Boulevard to Lars P. Christiansen.**

(Code No. 12.1721)

Also, Resolution No. 3612, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17211, Bill No. 94, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids would be received by him on October 11, 1937, for the sale of the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of Bay Shore Boulevard and the northerly line of Lot 5, Block 2, "Golden City Tract," as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "G," page 15, Records of the City and County of San Francisco and running thence easterly along said northerly line 7.574 feet to the northeasterly line of Lot 5, of said Block 2; thence southerly along said northeasterly line 25 feet to the southerly line of Lot 5; thence westerly along said southerly line 6.756 feet to the northeasterly line of Bay Shore Boulevard; thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line, being a curve to the left, having a radius of 3062.5 feet and a central angle of

0 degrees 28 minutes 05 seconds, a distance of 25.018 feet to the northerly line of Lot 5 and the point of beginning.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Lars P. Christiansen offered to purchase said land for the sum of \$250 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said sum of \$250 is more than ninety per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being \$250; and

Whereas, Lars P. Christiansen has paid the sum of \$50 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Department of Public Works has recommended the sale of said land; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said land to Lars P. Christiansen.

The buyer shall pay the balance of the purchase price within thirty days after approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantee upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

#### Upper Noe Playground—Land Purchase.

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 3613, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with recommendation of the Recreation Department, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from William Sicotte, et ux., to Lot 20-A, Assessor's Block 6638, San Francisco, required for Upper Noe Playground, and that the sum of \$5,500 be paid for said lot from Appropriation No. 713.600.02.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

#### Nineteenth Avenue Widening—Land Purchase.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3614, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Hugh F. McMahon a portion of Lots 12 and 13, Assessor's Block 1731, San Francisco, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, and that the sum of \$4,514 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project No. 5-A.

The above sum includes damages in full to improvements now located on the property. Said improvements to be relocated by the grantor within thirty days after recording the deed to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above-named party for a particular description of said parcel of land.



The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Approval of Supplemental Recommendations, Public Welfare Department, for November, 1937.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3615, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Public Welfare Department, containing the additional names of persons and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security, Blind Pensions and Widows' Pensions for the month of November, 1937, and also denials, are hereby approved, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to transmit this approval to the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Islais Creek Reclamation District Warrants.**

(Code No. 12.04)

Also, Resolution No. 3616, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District, No. 806, to Director of Works for \$334.05; No. 807, to The San Francisco News for \$8; No. 808, to Geo. H. Lux, Jr. and Annette T. Lux for \$230.97; No. 809, to Coldwell, Cornwall & Banker for \$73.10; No. 810, to Director of Works for \$150, and No. 811, to M. H. Levy for \$42.30, payable out of the funds of said District, be and the same are hereby approved; and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Re-referred.**

The following matter was *re-referred* to the Finance Committee:

**Amending Section 20 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), "License Ordinance," by Increasing Fee for Boxing and Wrestling Exhibitions to \$40.**

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 1283, Ordinance No. 3.04170, as follows:

Amending Section 20 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled "Imposing License Taxes on Certain Businesses, Callings, Trades or Employments Within the City and County of San Francisco," in effect July 1, 1920, by increasing the fee for boxing and wrestling exhibitions from \$10 to \$40 for each such exhibition.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 20 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 20. Boxing and Wrestling Exhibitions. Every person, firm or corporation conducting, carrying on or managing a boxing or wrestling exhibition, shall pay a license fee of \$40 for each such exhibition.

Provided that no license shall be exacted from bona fide athletic organizations where boxing or wrestling exhibitions are given for the entertainment of the members thereof and to which no admission fee is charged, directly or indirectly, or where a boxing or wrestling exhibition is given, the proceeds of which are to be devoted to charitable purposes.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Appropriation of \$4,000 in Connection With Raker Act Litigation.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1467, Ordinance No. 9.051429, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$4,000 out of the surplus existing in the Hetch Hetchy Operative Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 767-604-00 to defray expenses in connection with Raker Act litigation.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$4,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 767-604-00, for the purpose of providing funds to defray expenses in connection with litigation now pending before the Federal Court in the case of *United States of America v. City and County of San Francisco*, in Equity No. 4173-R, relative to provisions of the Raker Act.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.

Approved (P. U. C. Resolution No. 2257) by Forrest B. Gibbons, Secretary, Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Dr. Jacques P. Gray, Director of Public Welfare.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3618, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Dr. Jacques P. Gray, Director of Public Welfare, is



hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing November 11, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Leave of Absence—James B. McSheehy, Member, Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3619, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. James B. McSheehy, a member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing November 8, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**Leave of Absence—Alfred Roncovieri, Member, Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3620, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Alfred Roncovieri, a member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of forty days, commencing November 11, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**In Memoriam, Richard W. Barrett.**

November 1, 1937.

The following occurred in the Board of Supervisors, Monday, November 1, 1937:

On motion of Arthur M. Brown, Jr., seconded by Supervisor Colman, the Board of Supervisors by rising vote, *adopted* the following:

The Board of Supervisors learns with great sorrow the passing of Richard W. Barrett. Mr. Barrett was one of the foremost citizens of San Francisco, who devoted his time and talents to betterment and to welfare of the community at large. In his student days and in his professional life he gained and held the respect, esteem and affectionate regard of all with whom he came in contact. His ideals were high, his actions were noble, his accomplishments commendable.

As a mark of respect the Board of Supervisors adjourns today out of respect to the memory of the late Richard W. Barrett, and extends to his bereaved family its sincerest sympathy.

**West Portal Branch Library.**

Supervisor Reilly presented communication from F. A. Cellarius, Vice-President, San Francisco Bank, urging the completion of the West Portal Branch Library.

*Referred to Library Trustees.*

**Owl Service Municipal "N" Line**

Supervisor Uhl presented petition signed by residents of the Sunset District, requesting Owl Service on Municipal "N" Line.

*Clerk to acknowledge and refer to Public Utilities Commission.*

**Livestock Exposition.**

Supervisor Uhl read news item from Pacific Rural Press, re appointment of manager and assistant manager to Livestock Exposition.

*Referred to Public Welfare Committee.*

**Supervisor Brown Excused.**

Supervisor Brown requested to be excused from meeting of the Board, November 8, 1937.

*So ordered.*

**Meeting Announcements.**

Public Welfare Committee, November 5, 1937, 10:30 a. m.

Health Committee, November 4, 1937, 10:30 a. m.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board of Supervisors at 2:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 8, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.





Vol. 32

No. 45

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Monday, November 8, 1937

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 8, 1937, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 8, 1937,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

President Shannon being absent, Supervisor Reilly was elected to preside.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of November 1, 1937, was considered read and approved.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Hearing protests of persons interested against assessment for costs of improvements on Kirkwood avenue between Phelps and Quint streets by construction of sewers. Eaton and Smith, contractors.

No protestant appearing and no protest filed, the Clerk was directed to so notify the Department of Public Works.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

### Action Deferred.

The following matters were, on motion of Supervisor Schmidt, *laid over one week*:

The following matters were called out of Committee by Supervisor Schmidt:

**Appointment of Committee to Call Conference of Delegates from  
Boards of Supervisors of the Forty-five Counties of Northern  
California.**

(Code No. 5.31)

Resolution No. 3513, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to an order of the Railroad Commission of the State of California, the privately-owned ferry companies operating between San Francisco and East Bay points, and between San Francisco and Sausalito, have reduced their fares to thirty cents for a one-way trip and fifty cents for a round trip; and

Whereas, an appreciably reduced income is already apparent in the amount of tolls collected upon the Golden Gate Bridge and the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, which reductions in income can, in a



large measure, be attributed to the greatly reduced fares of the competing privately owned ferries; and

Whereas, this reduction in revenues will continue, and may increase in seriousness, working to the detriment of the political subdivisions financially responsible for the successful operation of the Golden Gate Bridge and to the detriment of the State Toll Bridge Authority operating the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, unless steps are taken to cope with the situation; and

Whereas, it is unreasonable to expect that automobilists will prefer the bridges as a means of transportation across the bay at much higher tolls than are offered by the privately-owned competing ferry companies; and

Whereas, the forty-five northern counties of the State, comprising highway group number one, contribute to the maintenance of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge out of their share of the State Highway Maintenance Fund; and

Whereas, the nine counties comprising the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District have bound themselves together to guarantee the payment of any deficits from the operation of that bridge, and are therefore vitally interested in its financial success; and

Whereas, the forty-five northern counties of California, comprising State Highway group number one, and the counties comprising the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District have a vital interest in meeting this thirty-cent competition; and

Whereas, it seems apparent that a practical way to meet said ferry competition would be to reduce bridge tolls to twenty-five cents, which reduction would also lower the barrier to the ready movement of northern county residents as well as affording their agricultural and manufactured products freer access into the great markets of the San Francisco Metropolitan Bay area; and

Whereas, a twenty-five-cent toll would also make more accessible the counties of Northern California to not only the residents of, but also the tourists visiting, the San Francisco Metropolitan Bay area; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco be respectfully requested to appoint a committee of San Francisco City Officials, whose duty it shall be to call a conference of official delegates to be chosen from the Boards of Supervisors of the forty-five counties of Northern California, including the nine counties of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, to discuss the Bridge-Highway situation and to devise ways and means to cope with the condition and take such cooperative steps as may be deemed necessary to protect and conserve the mutual interests of all concerned; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to his Excellency the Governor, the State Highway Commission, the State Toll Bridge Authority, the Board of Directors of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District and the Boards of Supervisors of the said forty-five counties of Northern California.

**Endorsing "Chronicle" Plan to Fix Bay Bridge Toll at 25 Cents.**

(Code No. 12.112)

Resolution No. 3018, as follows:

Whereas, it is only fair and equitable that posterity bear some part of the financial burden of the cost of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, and in pursuance of that thought, the San Francisco Chronicle has proposed a refinancing plan whereby the present tolls may be reduced from sixty-five cents to twenty-five cents on each passenger automobile; and

Whereas, the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge is only another great unit connecting up the State system of highways, it is proposed that it be financed out of gas tax funds in the same manner as those other great highway projects of the State of California, the Redwood

Highway and the Feather River Canyon Highway by an allocation of gas tax funds for the amortization of the bonds; and

Whereas, it is deemed practical that this may be done on the basis proposed, to-wit: that the annual \$2,000,000 bond amortization charges be met from gas tax funds and that the maintenance charges be met, as now, from gas tax supplied highway maintenance funds, and that the \$2,000,000 annual interest charges on the bonds be met from the proposed twenty-five cent toll certain to be sufficient because of the increased traffic anticipated; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco goes on record as heartily in favor of the plan proposed by the San Francisco Chronicle and urges that the State Highway Commission proceed at once to put it into effect.

### Adopted.

The following was presented:

### Canvass of General Municipal Election of Tuesday, November 2, 1937.

(Code No. 3.02)

Resolution No. 3621, as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Section 1278 of the Political Code of the State of California, 1937, the Registrar of Voters is directed to immediately proceed to canvass the returns of the general municipal election held on Tuesday, November 2, 1937, and that the following clerks are designated to serve on said canvass:

Eunice Egan, Sophie M. Witchie, Bessie McWhirter, Mary A. Hause, Elva Benner, Marie I. Hayes, Lillian van der Wal, Florence L. Carrasco, Alice Remley, Gretchen Siemens, Margarette Richardson, Edna H. Leigh, Myrtle Bloch, Carlotta S. Steele, Henryetta J. Levy, Alice Garnett, Bernice R. Perkins, Nan O'Connell, Lula N. Knapp, Ethel Gray, Wm. E. Monahan, Lester Stern, Earle Sheble, Joseph Dawson, E. R. Faucompre, Jos. P. McElligott, Philip Herkomer, A. L. Christopherson, Thos. G. Brewer, Alex. Sommers, Max Newstat, Francis J. Collins, Jas. G. Carmody, Kathleen M. Butler, A. Doherty, Mary E. O'Connor, May Conway, Hazel F. Walsh.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up and discussed with Health Director, who disclaimed jurisdiction and said it was a matter for the Civil Service Commission:

### Appropriation of \$500, Part-Time Physician, Water Department.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1463, Ordinance No. 9.051427, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$500 out of the surplus existing in the funds of the Water Department to the credit of Appropriation No. 762.101.00 for the purpose of creating a position for a Part-Time Physician to investigate requests for leaves of absence of Water Department employees on account of illness.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$500 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the funds of the Water Department to the credit of Appro-



priation No. 762.101.00 for the purpose of creating a position for a Part-Time Physician to investigate requests for leaves of absence of Water Department employees on account of illness, which physician shall be subject to call at any time of the day or night.

**Appropriation of \$2,000, Part-Time Physician, Municipal Railway.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1464, Ordinance No. 9.051428, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$2,000 out of the surplus existing in the funds of the Municipal Railway to the credit of Appropriation No. 762.101.00 for the purpose of creating a position for a part-time physician to investigate requests for leaves of absence of Municipal Railway employees on account of illness.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the funds of the Municipal Railway to the credit of Appropriation No. 762.101.00 for the purpose of creating a position for a part-time physician to investigate requests for leaves of absence of Municipal Railway employees on account of illness, which physician shall be subject to call at any time of the day or night.

**Amendment, Section 69, Annual Salary Ordinance, Creating Part-Time Physician for Public Utilities Commission.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1465, Ordinance No. 9.053117, as follows:

An ordinance amending Ordinance No. 9.053101 by adding item 11½ to Section 69 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 69 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 69. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—GENERAL OFFICE.**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5		Commissioners .....	\$ 100
2	1		Manager of Utilities .....	1,000
3	1	B22	Asst. Supervisor, Bureau of Accounts, Utilities Commission .....	410
4	1	B60	Secretary, Public Utilities Commission.	300
5	1	B53	Director of Public Relations .....	400
6	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
9	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
10	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
11	1	G106	Claims Adjuster .....	350
11½	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	250
12	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	225
13	1	S112	Inspector, Municipal Railway .....	210
14	1	S112	Inspector, Municipal Railway .....	200

**Motions.**

Moved and *carried* that Supervisors Schmidt and Uhl appear before Civil Service Commission with respect to proposed amendment to the ordinance.

Moved and *carried* that the Clerk ascertain the number of employees of the Public Utilities Commission and the Board of Public Works who

were away on sick leave during the months of September, October and November.

**Action Deferred.**

Whereupon, the foregoing matters were *laid over one week*.

**NEW BUSINESS.**

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Refunds of Taxes, Erroneous Payments.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Resolution No. 3622, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid to the following named; being refunds of erroneous payments of taxes:

*From Duplicate Tax Fund—Appropriation 905.*

- |   |          |
|---|----------|
| 1. Phil C. Katz, Administrator, Estate Annie Cassels, per Vol. 11, Bill 1311, Lot 6, Block 1564, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936 . . . . . | \$ 29.89 |
| 2. John Kirby, per Vol. 19, Bill 1379, Lot 6, Block 2656, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1936 . . . . .  | 15.14    |
| 3. Urban Realty Improvement Co., per Vol. 20, Bill 1085, Lot 29A/30, Block 2861, 1st Installment, fiscal year 1936 . . . . .                | 57.00    |
| 4. Bank of America, per Vol. 19, Bill 2599B, Lot 1B, Block 2747, 1st Installment, fiscal year 1936 . . . . .                                | 3.41     |
| 5. Bank of America, per Vol. 3, Bill 1441, Lot 13, Block 473, 1st Installment, fiscal year 1936 . . . . .                                   | 108.98   |
| 6. City Title Insurance Co., per Vol. 17, Bill 1545, Lot 3, 1st Installment, fiscal year 1935 . . . . .                                     | 11.13    |
| 7. Home Owners' Loan Corp., per Vol. 7, Bill 2042, Lot 16, Block 1157, Both Installments, fiscal year 1936 . . . . .                        | 277.36   |
| 8. Ramsey Accessories Manufacturing Corp., per Vol. 4, Page 2, Line 13, Personal Property Taxes, 1937 . . . . .                             | 42.19    |

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

**Action Deferred.**

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

**Nineteenth Avenue Widening—Agreement With Market Street Railway Company.**

(Code No. 12.064)

Resolution No. 3623, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, be and are hereby authorized and directed to execute that certain written agreement, dated September 20, 1937, with the Market Street Railway Company, a corporation, relative to the relocation of the railway tracks on Nineteenth avenue, San Francisco, California, between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard. To defray the cost of said work the City shall pay the company the sum of \$4,517 from Appropriation No. 951.909.02, Project No. 5-B.

Reference is hereby made to said agreement on file in the office of the Director of Property for all particulars contained therein.

Approved by the Director of Property.



**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Land Purchase—Tiffany Avenue and Valencia Street.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Resolution No. 3624, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Market Street Railway Company, a corporation, portion of Lot 1, Assessor's Block 6596, San Francisco, situated at the southwest corner of Valencia street and Tiffany avenue, required for street purposes, and that the sum of \$100 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 748.925.17. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Authorizing Claim of Anna Hansen in the Sum of One Hundred and Twenty-Five Dollars (\$125.00).**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Bill No. 1468, Ordinance No. 6.022231, as follows:

Authorizing claim of Anna Hansen in the sum of one hundred and twenty-five dollars (\$125).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended the settlement of the action of Anna Hansen against the City and County of San Francisco for the recovery of damages for personal injuries sustained as a result of a fall on a public sidewalk on Shotwell street between the intersection of Eighteenth and Nineteenth streets with said Shotwell street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on September 29, 1936, which suit is No. 273,089, in the Superior Court, said City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle said pending litigation by the payment of the sum of one hundred and twenty-five (\$125) dollars, and the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to draw his warrant for said sum.

Recommended and approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended and approved by Wm. J. Worden, Director of Public Works.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

**Appropriation of One Thousand Dollars for the Improvement of Twenty-ninth Avenue Between Pacheco and Quintara Streets, City Aid.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1469, Ordinance No. 9.051430, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of one thousand dollars (\$1,000) out of the surplus existing in Appropriation 748.900.00 to

the credit of Appropriation 748.921.00, for City aid necessary for the improvement of Twenty-ninth avenue between Pacheco and Quintara streets, said work to be performed under the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of one thousand dollars is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation 748.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation 748.921.00, for City aid necessary for the improvement of Twenty-ninth avenue between Pacheco and Quintara streets. Said additional amount being necessary to meet cost over and above maximum amount of assessment.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of San Francisco.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

#### Cancellation, Tax Sales and Assessments, State Property.

(Code Nos. 9.0411 and 9.0412)

Resolution No. 3625, as follows:

Whereas, the Tax Collector has reported that Lot 2, Block 202, now recorded in the name of the State of California, was sold to the State for unpaid taxes. Being State property, these sales and assessments should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel the following sales and assessments in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code:

Lot	Block	Assessed to	Sale	Tax Del. 1933	Pen.	Tax Cost	1934
75 (1/6)	3751	Margaret Meehan (Ptn.)	4027	\$4.93	.15	.50	\$10.82
73 (1/6)	3753	Margaret Pubh .. (Ptn.)	4039	2.20	.07	.50	4.83

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

#### Appropriation of \$14,000 for Sewers in the Hunters Point District.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1470, Ordinance No. 9.051431, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of fourteen thousand (\$14,000) dollars out of surplus existing in Appropriation No. 748.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 748.927.00, for City aid necessary for grading; and the construction of sewers in the Hunters Point District. said work being performed under the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934.



Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of fourteen thousand (\$14,000) dollars is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 748.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 748.927.00, for City aid necessary for grading; and the construction of sewers in the Hunters Point District.

Under Section 111 of the Charter no street assessment authorized paid in installments over a period of ten years shall exceed twenty-five per cent of the assessed value of the land on which the assessment is levied; therefore, this appropriation is necessary to make the assessment valid.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land for the Widening of Army Street.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Resolution No. 3626, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Army street, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 777.924.17:

James P. Herlihy, et ux., portion of Lot 9, Assessor's Block 4337 .....	\$3,475
Austin Kelly, et ux., portion of Lot 9-A, Assessor's Block 4337 .....	4,650
Antone Varesco, et ux., portion of Lot 9-B, Assessor's Block 4337 .....	3,432
Walter T. Moldenhauer, et ux., portion of Lot 9-C, Assessor's Block 4337 .....	4,050
John Anderson, et ux., all of Lot 16, Assessor's Block 4338 .....	6,750

In order to facilitate a possible exchange, title to the portion of said lot 16 outside the road right of way shall be taken in the name of the City Title Insurance Company.

The above sums include damages in full to the improvements now located on the property.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above-named parties for a particular description of said parcels of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

**Land Purchases—Nineteenth Avenue Widening.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3627, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following named parties to certain parcels of land situated in San Francisco, California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, and that the sums set forth below be paid for said property from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project No. 5-A:

Harry A. Marks, et ux., portion of Lot 1-M, Assessor's Block 1834 .....	\$3,770
Susie Stadtegger, portion of Lot 11, Assessor's Block 1834 .....	102
Clara C. Norman, portion of lot 13, Assessor's Block 1834 .....	75

The above sums include damages in full to improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within thirty days after recording the deeds to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above-named parties for particular description of said parcels of land.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

**Land Purchase—Nineteenth Avenue Widening.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3628, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Hanrahan Company a portion of Lot 1-E, Assessor's Block 1834, San Francisco, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, and that the sum of \$486.60 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project No. 5-A.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above-named party for a particular description of said parcel of land.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

**Land Purchase—Army Street Widening.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3629, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named owners certain land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Army street, for the sum set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 777.924.17:

Nicola Scrivani, et ux., portion of Lot 12, Assessor's Block 4338 .....	\$2,525
---	---------



The above sum includes damages in full to the improvements now located on the property.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above-named parties for a particular description of said parcel of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

### Ordering the Improvement of Innes Avenue and Ingalls Street.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Bill No. 1471, Ordinance No. 12.061190, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, October 19, 1937, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Innes avenue, between Hawes and Ingalls streets; of the crossing of Innes avenue and Ingalls street, and of Ingalls street, between Innes and Jerrold avenues, by grading to official line and sub-grade.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Lots 2A, 3, 3A, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 of Block 4647-A;

Lots 1 and 2 of Block 4648-A;

Lots 1, 2, 19, 20 and 21 of Block 4651; and

Lots 1, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 and 17 of Block 4652;

all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of

the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 2630, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with request of his Honor the Mayor, his Honor, Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, be and is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing November 11, 1937, with permission to leave the State, for the purpose of attending the annual meeting of the United States Conference of Mayors, to be held in Washington, D. C., commencing November 15th.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

**Citizens' Committee, United Thanksgiving Service, Auditorium.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 2631, as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco is to have a united Thanksgiving service this year in the Civic Auditorium, to be participated in by members of the Jewish faith, under the direction of Rabbi Rudolf I. Coffee; the Protestant faith, under the direction of Dr. Paul H. Buchholtz, and the Catholic faith, under the direction of Rev. Father Burke; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to assist in the preparation of arrangements for this most happy event.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

**Leave of Absence—Honorable Matthew Brady, District Attorney.**

(Code No. 4.053)

The following recommendation of his Honor the Mayor was taken up:

Resolution No. 2632, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, that Matthew Brady, District Attorney, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ten days, commencing November 10, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Shannon—3.



**Approving Annual County Road Fund Report.**  
(Code No. 9.062)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 2633, as follows:

Resolved, That the annual report of the receipts and expenditures of the County Road Fund for the year ended June 30, 1937, as prepared by the Controller, is hereby approved. It is further directed that the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, through the Controller, transmit a copy of this report to the California Highway Commission, Sacramento, California, together with a certified copy of this resolution.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

**Paving Between Tracks on Market Street.**

Supervisor Mead moved that the Clerk be instructed to inquire, from the Department of Public Works, what is contemplated regarding pavement between the tracks on Market Street and on Valencia street by the Market Street Railway Company.

*Motion carried.*

**Removal of Car Tracks on Howard Street and Van Ness Avenue South**

Supervisor Ratto moved that the Public Utilities Committee be requested to investigate the feasibility of removal of car tracks on Howard street and on Van Ness avenue South, and report back to the Board its findings.

*Motion carried.*

**Water Rates.**

Supervisor Uhl presented Bulletin from East Bay Municipal Utility District, regarding water system in Oakland, and moved that it be referred to Director of Utilities, with request that he check the number of water meters in San Francisco; also, answer to "Why is there a 70c meter charge here where there is only a 50c meter charge in Oakland?"

*So ordered.*

**Supervisor McSheehy Felicitated.**

Supervisor Colman moved and it was *carried*, that the Board of Supervisors extend its congratulations to Supervisor McSheehy, who, while on his way as City's representative to Conference of Mayors at Washington, D. C., was married to Mrs. Helen Butler Cain at Santa Barbara last week.

**Supervisor Colman Excused.**

Supervisor Colman requested to be excused from the Board meeting on November 15, 1937.

*So ordered.*

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business the Board of Supervisors at 3 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 15, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.





Vol. 32

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 46

Monday, November 15, 1937

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

---

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 15, 1937, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 15, 1937,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

President Shannon presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of November 8, 1937, was considered read and approved.

## President Warren Shannon Appointed Acting Mayor.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
MAYOR'S OFFICE  
200 City Hall

November 10, 1937.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: On Thursday, November 11th, at 11 a. m., I am leaving for Washington, D. C., to attend the United States Conference of Mayors. In accordance with Section 25 of the Charter, I designate Honorable Warren Shannon, President of the Board, to act as Mayor during my absence.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

The following matters heretofore called out of Committee by Supervisor Schmidt were taken up:

Appointment of Committee to Call Conference of Delegates from Boards of Supervisors of the Forty-five Counties of Northern California.

(Code No. 5.31)

Resolution No. 3513, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to an order of the Railroad Commission of the State of California, the privately-owned ferry companies operating between San Francisco and East Bay points, and between San Francisco and Sausalito, have reduced their fares to thirty cents for a one-way trip and fifty cents for a round trip; and

Whereas, an appreciably reduced income is already apparent in the amount of tolls collected upon the Golden Gate Bridge and the San



Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, which reductions in income can, in a large measure, be attributed to the greatly reduced fares of the competing privately owned ferries; and

Whereas, this reduction in revenues will continue, and may increase in seriousness, working to the detriment of the political subdivisions financially responsible for the successful operation of the Golden Gate Bridge and to the detriment of the State Toll Bridge Authority operating the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, unless steps are taken to cope with the situation; and

Whereas, it is unreasonable to expect that automobilists will prefer the bridges as a means of transportation across the bay at much higher tolls than are offered by the privately-owned competing ferry companies; and

Whereas, the forty-five northern counties of the State, comprising highway group number one, contribute to the maintenance of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge out of their share of the State Highway Maintenance Fund; and

Whereas, the nine counties comprising the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District have bound themselves together to guarantee the payment of any deficits from the operation of that bridge, and are therefore vitally interested in its financial success; and

Whereas, the forty-five northern counties of California, comprising State Highway group number one, and the counties comprising the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District have a vital interest in meeting this thirty-cent competition; and

Whereas, it seems apparent that a practical way to meet said ferry competition would be to reduce bridge tolls to twenty-five cents, which reduction would also lower the barrier to the ready movement of northern county residents as well as affording their agricultural and manufactured products freer access into the great markets of the San Francisco Metropolitan Bay area; and

Whereas, a twenty-five-cent toll would also make more accessible the counties of Northern California to not only the residents of, but also the tourists visiting, the San Francisco Metropolitan Bay area; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco be respectfully requested to appoint a committee of San Francisco City Officials, whose duty it shall be to call a conference of official delegates to be chosen from the Boards of Supervisors of the forty-five counties of Northern California, including the nine counties of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, to discuss the Bridge-Highway situation and to devise ways and means to cope with the condition and take such cooperative steps as may be deemed necessary to protect and conserve the mutual interests of all concerned; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to his Excellency the Governor, the State Highway Commission, the State Toll Bridge Authority, the Board of Directors of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District and the Boards of Supervisors of the said forty-five counties of Northern California.

#### Endorsing "Chronicle" Plan to Fix Bay Bridge Toll at 25 Cents.

(Code No. 12.112)

Resolution No. 3018, as follows:

Whereas, it is only fair and equitable that posterity bear some part of the financial burden of the cost of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, and in pursuance of that thought, the San Francisco Chronicle has proposed a refinancing plan whereby the present tolls may be reduced from sixty-five cents to twenty-five cents on each passenger automobile; and

Whereas, the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge is only another great unit connecting up the State system of highways, it is proposed that it be financed out of gas tax funds in the same manner as those other great highway projects of the State of California, the Redwood

Highway and the Feather River Canyon Highway by an allocation of gas tax funds for the amortization of the bonds; and

Whereas, it is deemed practical that this may be done on the basis proposed, to-wit: that the annual \$2,000,000 bond amortization charges be met from gas tax funds and that the maintenance charges be met, as now, from gas tax supplied highway maintenance funds, and that the \$2,000,000 annual interest charges on the bonds be met from the proposed twenty-five cent toll certain to be sufficient because of the increased traffic anticipated; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco goes on record as heartily in favor of the plan proposed by the San Francisco Chronicle and urges that the State Highway Commission proceed at once to put it into effect.

**Referred.**

The following substitute resolution offered by Supervisor Schmidt in lieu of the two foregoing resolutions, was *referred to the Finance Committee*:

**Appointment of Committee to Call Conference of Delegates From  
Boards of Supervisors of the Forty-five Counties of Northern  
California.**

(Code No. 5.31)

Resolution No. 3644, as follows:

Whereas, the two great San Francisco bridges are toll bridges for the use of which tolls are exacted from all who traverse the same; and

Whereas, the exaction of tolls tends to diminish and discourage motorists of all classes, including tourists and commercial motorists, from freely using such bridges as highways leading into and from the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the said bridges are in fact units in the system of highways that makes California famous throughout the world; and

Whereas, the said bridges are not included in the State Highway System nor operated by the State, but are in fact operated by the officials of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District and by the officials of the State Toll Bridge Authority; and

Whereas, an appreciably reduced income is already apparent in the amount of tolls collected upon the Golden Gate Bridge and the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge; and

Whereas, this reduction in revenues may increase in seriousness, working to the detriment of the political subdivisions financially responsible for the successful operation of the Golden Gate Bridge and to the detriment of the State Toll Bridge Authority operating the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, unless steps are taken to cope with the situation; and

Whereas, were the two great bridges to become a part of the State Highway System, operated by the State of California and maintained out of gas tax revenues in whole or in part, the reduction or abolishment of tolls would result in much freer use of the bridges to the mutual benefit of San Francisco and the cities and counties to the north and east of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco be respectfully requested to appoint a committee of San Francisco City officials, whose duty it shall be to call a conference of official delegates, to be chosen from the Boards of Supervisors of the forty-five counties of northern California, including the nine counties of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, to discuss the bridge highway situation and to devise ways and means to cope with the condition and take such cooperative steps as may be deemed necessary to protect and conserve the mutual interests of all concerned; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to his Excellency the Governor, the State Highway Commission, the State Toll



Bridge Authority, the Board of Directors of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, and the Boards of Supervisors of the said forty-five counties of Northern California.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee heretofore passed for second reading and laid over from last meeting were taken up:

#### Appropriation of \$500, Part-Time Physician, Water Department.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1463, Ordinance No. 9.051427, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$500 out of the surplus existing in the funds of the Water Department to the credit of Appropriation No. 762.101.00 for the purpose of creating a position for a Part-Time Physician to investigate requests for leaves of absence of Water Department employees on account of illness.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$500 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the funds of the Water Department to the credit of Appropriation No. 762.101.00 for the purpose of creating a position for a Part-Time Physician to investigate requests for leaves of absence of Water Department employees on account of illness, which physician shall be subject to call at any time of the day or night.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

#### Appropriation of \$2,000, Part-Time Physician, Municipal Railway.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1464, Ordinance No. 9.051428, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$2,000 out of the surplus existing in the funds of the Municipal Railway to the credit of Appropriation No. 762.101.00 for the purpose of creating a position for a part-time physician to investigate requests for leaves of absence of Municipal Railway employees on account of illness.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the funds of the Municipal Railway to the credit of Appropriation No. 762.101.00 for the purpose of creating a position for a part-time physician to investigate requests for leaves of absence of Municipal Railway employees on account of illness, which physician shall be subject to call at any time of the day or night.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

#### Amendment, Section 69, Annual Salary Ordinance, Creating Part-Time Physician for Public Utilities Commission.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1465, Ordinance No. 9.053117, as follows:

An ordinance amending Ordinance No. 9.053101 by adding item 11½ to Section 69 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 69 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 69. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—GENERAL OFFICE.**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5		Commissioners .....	\$ 100
2	1		Manager of Utilities .....	1,000
3	1	B22	Asst. Supervisor, Bureau of Accounts, Utilities Commission .....	410
4	1	B60	Secretary, Public Utilities Commission.	300
5	1	B53	Director of Public Relations.....	400
6	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	200
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	175
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	165
9	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	155
10	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	175
11	1	G106	Claims Adjuster .....	350
11½	1	L360	Physician (part time) .....	250
12	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	225
13	1	S112	Inspector, Municipal Railway.....	210
14	1	S112	Inspector, Municipal Railway.....	200

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

**Appropriation of \$4,000 in Connection With Raker Act Litigation.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1467, Ordinance No. 9.051429, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$4,000 out of the surplus existing in the Hetch Hetchy Operative Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 767-604-00 to defray expenses in connection with Raker Act litigation.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$4,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 767-604-00, for the purpose of providing funds to defray expenses in connection with litigation now pending before the Federal Court in the case of *United States of America v. City and County of San Francisco*, in Equity No. 4173-R, relative to provisions of the Raker Act.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

**NEW BUSINESS.**

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Sewer Easement—Golden Gate Heights.**

(Code No. 12.1011)

Resolution No. 3634, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco ac-



cept that certain deed from Ruth P. Aley, dated September 30, 1937, to a sewer easement over Lot 15, Assessor's Block 2050-A, San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Appropriation of \$65,000 as City's Contribution Toward Improvement of Third Street, Bayshore Boulevard to Burke Street.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1474, Ordinance No. 9.051432, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$65,000 out of surplus existing in the Unappropriated County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 748.804.00, being San Francisco's contribution toward the improvement of Third street from Bayshore boulevard to Burke street, by the reduction of sidewalk widths on the easterly and westerly sides by five feet. This work to be prosecuted by the Works Progress Administration.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$65,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of surplus existing in the Unappropriated County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 748.804.00, being San Francisco's contribution toward the improvement of Third street from Bayshore boulevard to Burke street, by the reduction of sidewalk widths on the easterly and westerly sides by five feet. This work to be prosecuted by the Works Progress Administration.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of San Francisco.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

**Appropriation of \$36,000 for Payment of Sick Leave of Municipal Railway Per Diem Men.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1475, Ordinance No. 9.051433, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$36,000 out of the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Funds to the credit of Appropriation No. 765-103-50 to provide for payment of sick leave of Municipal Railway per diem men in accordance with Ordinance No. 4.0521.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$36,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Funds to the credit of Appropriation No. 765-103-50 for the purpose of providing funds for pay-

ment of sick leave of Municipal Railway per diem men in accordance with Ordinance No. 4.0521.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by Edward J. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.

Approved (Resolution No. 2284) by John J. Sharon, Assistant Secretary of the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

**Appropriation of \$35,280.65 for the Payment of Taxes of Water Department in San Mateo County.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1476, Ordinance No. 9.051434, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$35,280.65 out of the surplus existing in the Water Revenue Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 766-812-00 taxes, to provide funds for the payment of taxes upon Water Department properties in San Mateo County, Santa Clara County and Alameda County.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$35,280.65 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Water Revenue Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 766-812-00 taxes, to provide funds for the payment of taxes upon Water Department properties in San Mateo County, Santa Clara County and Alameda County; said taxes are now ascertained to amount to \$240,280.65 and the amount appropriated for said purpose in the annual appropriation ordinance for the fiscal year 1937-38 is \$205,000.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by Edward J. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.

Approved by John J. Sharon, Assistant Secretary of the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

**Amending Salary Ordinance, Interdepartmental Foreman Carpenter.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1477, Ordinance No. 9.053119, as follows:

An ordinance amending Ordinance No. 9.053101 by adding Item 7½ to Section 43, creating a classification of Foreman Carpenter to be used as needed. Compensation heretofore provided in appropriation for interdepartmental and/or repairs to public buildings.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 43 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:



Section 43. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR**

Employments as required on miscellaneous repair of public buildings, including schools, as provided in Section 95 of the Charter. Number of employments is enumerated wherever the employee has attained permanent civil service tenure in this department. The employments are *not* established as continuing positions but "as needed" when the services are required and the funds are provided.

**INTERDEPARTMENTAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title		
3		A58	Marble Setter's Helper.....day	\$	6
4		A60	*Marble Setter .....day		10
5		A62	Tile Setter .....day		10
6	21	A154	Carpenter . . . . .day		9
7		A158	Sub-Foreman Carpenter .....day		9.50
7½	1	A160	Foreman Carpenter .....day		10
8		A162	Lather . . . . .day		10
9		A153	Hardwood Floorman . . . . .day		10
10	10	A202	Cement Finisher Helper .....day		8
11	3	A204	Cement Finisher . . . . .day		9
12	2	A252	Glazier . . . . .day		8.80
13	1	A252	Glazier . . . . .day		9.50
14	1	A302	Locksmith . . . . .day		9
15	1	A302	Locksmith . . . . .per month		200
16	27	A354	Painter . . . . .day		9.75
17		A380	Paper Hanger . . . . .day		10
18	1	A392	Plasterer . . . . .day		12
19	24	A404	Plumber . . . . .day		10
20	11	A456	Sheet Metal Worker . . . . .day		10
21	1	A458	Sub-Foreman Sheet Metal Worker.day		10.50
22	10	A504	Steamfitter . . . . .day		10
23	1	A551	Apprentice . . . . .day		7
24	1	A551	Apprentice . . . . .day		6.50
25	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .day		7
26	1	C152	Watchman . . . . .per month		145
27	1	C202	Window Cleaner . . . . .per month		155
28	1	E108	Electrician . . . . .per month		225
29	6	E108	Electrician . . . . .day		10
30	1	J4	Laborer . . . . .day		6

Teams and trucks at rates established by purchaser's contract.

\*Maximum wage is \$9.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

**Nineteenth Avenue Track Relocation—Agreement With Market Street Railway Company.**

The following resolution was taken up:

(Code No. 12.064)

Resolution No. 3623, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, be and are hereby authorized and directed to execute that certain written agreement, dated September 20, 1937, with the Market Street Railway Company, a corporation, relative to

the relocation of the railway tracks on Nineteenth avenue, San Francisco, California, between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard. To defray the cost of said work the City shall pay the company the sum of \$4,517 from Appropriation No. 951.909.02, Project No. 5-B.

Reference is hereby made to said agreement on file in the office of the Director of Property for all particulars contained therein.

Approved by the Director of Property.

**Communication From Public Utilities Commission.**

The following was read by the Clerk:

November 13, 1937.

Subject: Reconstruction of tracks, Nineteenth avenue between Wawona and Sloat.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: It has come to my attention that there is pending before your honorable Board Resolution No. 3623, which proposes an appropriation of \$4,517 for the expense of reconstruction and relocation of certain street railway tracks on Nineteenth avenue between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard.

May I call your attention to the fact that these tracks, which are now operated by the Market Street Railway Company, were originally constructed under a franchise granted to the Parkside Transit Company by the Board of Supervisors on October 17, 1907, by Ordinance No. 288 (New Series). The length of the franchise was 25 years. Therefore, this franchise expired on October 17, 1932.

All franchises granted under Ordinance No. 288 were specifically excluded from the provision of Charter Section 131, which permitted private street railway companies to surrender their franchises and obtain certificates entitling them to a 25-year extension. The Market Street Railway Company did not surrender this franchise as it was prohibited from doing so by the Charter section, and the franchise, therefore, finally expired on October 17, 1932.

This franchise provided that upon expiration the trackage and overhead construction were to revert to and become the property of the City. The company has, therefore, been operating its No. 17 line over Nineteenth avenue between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard since October 17, 1932, purely by sufferance of the City, and has been operating over City property which, if it were utilized by the City, would constitute an extension of the Municipal Railway.

I understand from your proposed Resolution No. 3623 that it is now proposed to reconstruct and relocate those tracks. I take this opportunity to call your attention to the fact that the tracks in question, together with their overhead construction, cannot now and never will be utilized as a portion of the Municipal Railway. Under no circumstances would the Public Utilities Commission authorize operation of the Municipal Railway over these tracks. If the City should decide to operate a line on approximately the route now used by the No. 17 line of the Market Street Railway Company, the particular two blocks of track on Nineteenth avenue between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard would not be utilized.

Very truly yours,

E. G. CAHILL, Manager of Utilities.

**Statement of Chief Administrative Officer Alfred J. Cleary.**

MR. PRESIDENT AND GENTLEMEN OF THE BOARD: I was advised today that your Board had under consideration a recommendation from the Director of Public Works, Mr. Worden, to the effect that the City contribute to the replacement of the single track on Nineteenth avenue between Sloat boulevard and Wawona street.



PRESIDENT SHANNON: May I tell you that that matter has been voted down?

MR. CLEARY: Well, I simply want to make it a matter of record that at the time the recommendation was made the Manager of Utilities, Mr. Cahill, on April 29, 1937, advised the Director of Public Works, Mr. Newton, of the Market Street Railway, Colonel Skaggs, Chief Engineer of the Highway Commission, City Engineer Casey, Mr. Ohman, and myself, that the Utilities Commission was not in a position to guarantee any transportation whatever to the people of that district if the Market Street Railway removed its tracks or refused to operate. On that assumption, a recommendation was made by the Director of Public Works and concurred in by myself, that the City allow the State to take out of the gas tax fund a certain proportion of the cost of removing and replacing that track. I had a meeting with Mr. Cahill this morning and he advised me to the effect that if and when it became necessary for the Utilities Commission to provide transportation to that district he was in a position to say that it could be done. That, therefore, places the matter in a different light. However, the cost of removing the track will be an extra on the contract and will have to be borne by the City.

#### Refused Passage.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Resolution No. 3623 and the same was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisor Brown—1.

Noes—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

Appropriation of \$2,000 to the Credit of Sheriff's Stores Revolving Fund.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1479, Ordinance No. 9.051435, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$2,000 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund by virtue of the increase in revenue from the sale of merchandise in the County Jails to the credit of Appropriation No. 707.966.01, Sheriff's Stores Revolving Fund, for the purposes provided for in Ordinance No. 7.061.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the General Fund by virtue of the increase in revenue from the sale of merchandise in the County Jails to the credit of Appropriation No. 707.966.01, Sheriff's Stores Revolving Fund, for the purposes provided for in Ordinance No. 7.061.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved by Warren Shannon, Acting Mayor.

Recommended by D. C. Murphy, Sheriff.

Approved as to funds available by H. J. Boyd, Controller.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

**Closing and Abandoning Portions of Mendell Street, Carroll Avenue, Bancroft Avenue, Armstrong Avenue, Yosemite Avenue, Shoup Avenue and an Unnamed Street.**

(Code No. 12.0622)

Resolution No. 3636, as follows:

Whereas, on the 8th day of February, 1937, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 3079, Code No. 12.0621, which resolution was presented to his Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 11th day of February, 1937, said resolution being in words and figures as follows:

*Intention to Close and Abandon Portions of Mendell Street, Carroll Avenue, Bancroft Avenue, Armstrong Avenue, Yosemite Avenue, Shoup Avenue and an Unnamed Street.*

Resolution No. 3079, Code No. 12.0621.

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portions of Mendell street, Carroll avenue, Bancroft avenue, Armstrong avenue, Yosemite avenue, Shoup avenue and an unnamed street be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all those portions of Mendell street, Carroll avenue, Bancroft avenue, Armstrong avenue, Yosemite avenue, Shoup avenue and an unnamed street, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

*Mendell Street.*

Parcel 1. All that portion of Mendell street lying between the easterly line of Shoup avenue and the westerly line of a strip of land 60 feet in width deeded to the City and County of San Francisco for street purposes by the Southern Pacific Company, recorded February 28, 1905, in Book 2103 of Deeds, at page 194, Records of aforesaid City and County, said westerly line being also the easterly line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way.

Excepting therefrom a 10-foot sewer easement lying 5 feet on each side of the center line of Mendell street.

Parcel 2. All that portion of Mendell street lying between the northeasterly line of Carroll avenue and a line parallel with and distant 60 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Shoup avenue.

Excepting therefrom a 10-foot sewer easement lying 5 feet on each side of the center line of Mendell street.

*Carroll Avenue.*

Parcel 1. All that portion of Carroll avenue (as it exists for approximately 200 feet northwesterly from Shoup avenue) lying between the northwesterly terminal line of Carroll avenue and a line parallel with and 60 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Shoup avenue.

Excepting therefrom a 10-foot sewer easement lying 5 feet on each side of the center line of Mendell street produced southwesterly.

Parcel 2. All that portion of Carroll avenue lying between the southerly prolongation of the westerly line of Shoup avenue and the southerly prolongation of the westerly line of a strip of land 60 feet in width deeded to the City and County of San Francisco for street purposes by the Southern Pacific Company, recorded February 28, 1905, in Book 2013 of Deeds, at page 194, Records of aforesaid City and County, said last-named line being also the easterly line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way.



*Bancroft Avenue.*

Parcel 1. All that portion of Bancroft avenue bounded on the northwest by the southeasterly line of Mendell street and the easterly line of Shoup avenue and on the southeast by the westerly line of a strip of land 60 feet in width deeded to the City and County of San Francisco for street purposes by the Southern Pacific Company, recorded February 28, 1905, in Book 2013 of Deeds, at page 194, Records of aforesaid City and County, said westerly line being also the easterly line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way.

Parcel 2. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Bancroft avenue with a line parallel with and 60 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Shoup avenue, said point of beginning being distant on said southwesterly line 60.30 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Mendell street; thence northwesterly along said line of Bancroft avenue 14.70 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 26.25 feet to aforesaid parallel line; thence deflecting 150 degrees 44 minutes 44 seconds to the right and running southerly along said parallel line, 30.08 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 3. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of Bancroft avenue and a line parallel with and 60 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Shoup avenue; thence southerly along said parallel line, 45.85 feet; thence deflecting 119 degrees 15 minutes 16 seconds to the right and running northwesterly 67.30 feet to the northwesterly terminal line of Bancroft avenue; thence at right angles northeasterly along said terminal line, 31.69 feet to the southerly line of Carroll avenue; thence deflecting 70 degrees 40 minutes 15 seconds to the right and running easterly along last-named line, 25.11 feet to the northeasterly line of Bancroft avenue; thence deflecting 19 degrees 19 minutes 45 seconds to the right and running southeasterly along last-named line, 21.20 feet to the point of beginning.

*Armstrong Avenue.*

All that portion of Armstrong avenue lying between the northwesterly line of Mendell street and the easterly line of Shoup avenue.

*Yosemite Avenue.*

All that portion of Yosemite avenue lying between the westerly line of Shoup avenue produced northerly and a line parallel with and 16 feet at right angles northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Mendell street.

*Shoup Avenue.*

All that portion of Shoup avenue lying between the southwesterly line of Yosemite avenue and the northeasterly line of Carroll avenue.

Excepting therefrom a 10-foot sewer easement lying 5 feet on each side of the center line of Bancroft avenue produced northwesterly, and extending from the westerly line of Shoup avenue to the center line of Mendell street produced southwesterly.

*Unnamed Street.*

All that portion of a strip of land 60 feet in width deeded to the City and County of San Francisco for street purposes by the Southern Pacific Company, recorded February 28, 1905, in Book 2103 of Deeds, at page 194, Records of said City and County, lying between the southwesterly line of Yosemite avenue and a line parallel with and 16 feet at right angles northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Mendell street.

Said closing and abandonment of said portions of Mendell street, Carroll avenue, Bancroft avenue, Armstrong avenue, Yosemite avenue, Shoup avenue and an unnamed street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portions of Mendell street, Carroll avenue, Bancroft avenue, Armstrong avenue, Yosemite avenue, Shoup avenue and an unnamed street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted* by the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, February 8, 1937.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer—2.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, February 11, 1937.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

And whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution and the said Department of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 3079, Code No. 12.0621; and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that those portions of Mendell street, Carroll avenue, Bancroft avenue, Armstrong avenue, Yosemite avenue, Shoup avenue and an unnamed street, described in Resolution No. 3079, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered, that the portions of Mendell street, Carroll avenue, Bancroft avenue, Armstrong avenue, Yosemite avenue, Shoup avenue and an unnamed street specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 3079, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing said portions of Mendell street, Carroll avenue, Bancroft avenue, Armstrong avenue, Yosemite avenue, Shoup avenue and an unnamed street, as described in Resolution No. 3079, Code No. 12.0621, shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco as proposed and provided in Resolution No. 3079, Code No. 12.0621; and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of the portions of said streets described in Resolution No. 3079, Code No. 12.0621, shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works and that the Department of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter



as required by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

**Ordering the Improvement (Wherenot) of Sweeney Street Between  
Boylston and Bowdoin Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Bill No. 1472, Ordinance No. 12.061191, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, November 8, 1937, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of:

Sweeny street (northerly one-half) between Boylston street and 100 feet west of Boylston street;

Sweeny street (northerly one-half) between Bowdoin street and 65.25 feet east of Bowdoin street;

Sweeny street (southerly one-half) between Boylston street and 97.15 feet west of Boylston street;

By the construction of the following:

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>Item</i>
1	6-inch V. C. P. side sewers.
2	Unarmored concrete curb.
3	Asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 4-inch asphaltic concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.
4	Water services installed.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Lots 2, 3, 4, 5, 23 and 24 of Block 5860; and

Lots 1, 44 and 45 of Block 5877;

all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

**Establishing Grades on Ottawa Avenue, Delano Avenue, Foote Avenue, Cayuga Avenue and Rome Street.**

(Code No. 12.071)

Also, Bill No. 1473, Ordinance No. 12.07113, as follows:

Establishing grades as per map entitled, "Grade Map Showing the Proposed Establishment of Grades on Ottawa Avenue, Between Alemany Boulevard and the Westerly Line of Otega Avenue; on Delano Avenue, Between Mt. Vernon Avenue and Ottawa Avenue; on Foote Avenue, Between Alemany Boulevard and the S. P. R. R. Right of Way; on Cayuga Avenue, Between Mt. Vernon and Foote Avenues; on Rome Street, Between Mt. Vernon Avenue and the S. P. R. R. Right of Way."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on streets as shown on map entitled: "Grade Map Showing the Proposed Establishment of Grades on Ottawa Avenue, Between Alemany Boulevard and the Westerly Line of Otega Avenue; on Delano Avenue, Between Mt. Vernon Avenue and Ottawa Avenue; on Foote Avenue, Between Alemany Boulevard and the S. P. R. R. Right of Way; on Cayuga Avenue, Between Mt. Vernon and Foote Avenues; on Rome Street, Between Mt. Vernon Avenue and the S. P. R. R. Right of Way," are hereby established at points and elevations above City base as shown on said map, approved by Director of Public Works' Order No. 7168, made October 27, 1937, and filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors, November 1, 1937.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Committee on Streets and Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning was taken up:

**Establishing Setback Lines on Junipero Serra Boulevard.**

(Code No. 13.03)

Resolution No. 3635, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1675, establishing setback lines on Junipero Serra boulevard, from Sloat boulevard to Nineteenth avenue, be and is hereby approved.

(The foregoing resolution is available at the office of the City Planning Commission.)

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.



**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police was taken up:

**Investigation of Fires.**

(Code No. 11.05)

Bill No. 1478, Ordinance No. 11.058, as follows:

Providing for the investigation of the cause, origin and circumstances of fires occurring in the City and County of San Francisco, and the taking of testimony, examination of buildings and property, and safeguarding of property in connection therewith; authorizing the granting, denying and revoking of permits involving explosives, flammable liquids, combustible substances and other substances that are dangerous to life, limb or property as well as the inspection of buildings and property where such substances are kept; and providing a penalty for the wilful hinderance or obstruction of the carrying out of the provisions of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation, or his duly authorized representative, shall have power to investigate the cause, origin and circumstances of every fire occurring in the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of ascertaining whether the fire was accidental or of criminal origin. He shall keep a record of all fires, together with all the facts, statistics and circumstances, relating to the same as may be determined by such investigations.

The Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation, or his duly authorized representative, shall, when in his opinion such action is necessary, take or cause to be taken the testimony, under oath, of any person or persons believed to have knowledge of any of the facts or circumstances pertaining to the matter under investigation, and may cause the same to be reduced to writing.

In all cases where there is reason to believe that fires are the result of crime or that crime has been committed in connection therewith, he shall be empowered to cause such person or persons to be arrested and charged with such offense, and shall furnish a report in writing of the same to the District Attorney together with the names of witnesses and all information obtained by him, or his duly authorized representative, including a copy of all pertinent and material testimony taken in the case.

Section 2. The Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation, or his duly authorized representative, shall have the power to enter in or upon and examine any building or property wherein or whereon a fire has occurred and any other building or property adjoining or adjacent to the same, at any time of the day or night, for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 3. The Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation, or his duly authorized representative, shall have the power to prohibit the disturbance or removal of any material, substance or device in or upon any building or property wherein or whereon a fire has occurred until completion of the investigation as herein provided.

Section 4. The Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation, or his duly authorized representative, shall have the power to take charge of all moneys, jewelry or other valuables of similar nature that may be found upon the premises of any fire.

In case the lawful owner of such property does not make claim therefore within twenty-four (24) hours the Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation, or his duly authorized representa-

tive, shall turn over the same to the Property Clerk of the Police Department and receive his written receipt for the same.

Section 5. The Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation, or his duly authorized representative, shall have the power to grant, deny or revoke permits for the manufacture, storage, keeping, handling, sale, use and transportation of explosives, inflammable liquids, combustible substances or other like substances that are dangerous to life, limb or property, and any equipment, apparatus, appliance or device in connection with which any of these substances are used. He shall likewise enforce all laws, ordinances and regulations in relation to these subject matters.

Section 6. The Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation, or his duly authorized representative, shall have power to make inspections of buildings and property in or upon which is, or is to be, manufactured, stored, kept, handled, sold or used, any explosives, inflammable liquids, combustible substances and other like substances that are dangerous to life, limb or property, or any equipment, apparatus, appliance or device in connection with which any of these substances are used, to determine whether or not compliance is being had with all laws, ordinances and regulations governing the same.

Section 7. In addition to the powers, duties and responsibilities hereinbefore set forth, the Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation shall, in the absence of an appointment or functioning of a Fire Marshal of the City and County of San Francisco, exercise and discharge all of the powers, duties and responsibilities of the Fire Marshal as well, and any reference to "the Fire Marshal" or to "the Fire Marshal of the City and County of San Francisco" in any ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco shall be considered, in the absence of the appointment or functioning of a Fire Marshal, as referring to the Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation.

Section 8. Any person who shall wilfully hinder or obstruct the Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation, or his duly authorized representative, in the lawful discharge of his duties, shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not more than five hundred (500) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six (6) months or by both such fine and imprisonment.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee was taken up:

#### Fixing Date of Hearing, Rezoning of Northwest Corner of Fulton Street and Twenty-fifth Avenue.

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 3637, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission denying an application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the northwest corner of Fulton street and Twenty-fifth avenue, is hereby set for Monday, November 22, 1937, at 2 o'clock p. m.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.



### Acceptance of Permits to Construct Palo Alto Pipe Line in Certain Public Streets.

(Code No. 15.0241)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. 3638, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, that the City and County of San Francisco hereby accepts the following permits to construct the Palo Alto Pipe Line across and along certain streets in San Mateo County, California, subject to the conditions contained in said permits:

1. Permit from County of San Mateo as set forth in resolution adopted by its Board of Supervisors on July 7, 1937.
2. Permit from City of Menlo Park as set forth in resolution adopted by its City Council on June 29, 1937.
3. Permit from Town of Atherton as set forth in resolution adopted by its Town Council on June 29, 1937.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

Leave of Absence—Dr. J. C. Geiger, Health Officer, November 22, 1937, to December 8, 1937, Inclusive, to Attend Convention of Southern Medical Association at New Orleans, La.

(Code No. 4.053)

On recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor.

Resolution No. 3639, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, Honorable J. C. Geiger, M. D., Director of Public Health, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence from November 22, 1937, to December 8, 1937, inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

### ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Favoring Establishment of Naval Supply Base in San Francisco.

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 3640, as follows:

Whereas, the State Harbor Commission has offered the United States Navy Department a 139-acre site at India Basin, within the San Francisco City limits, for use as a naval supply base; and

Whereas, the site is admirably suited, both by location and in the fact that it is available for immediate use, for the purposes of such a base; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco would benefit materially and economically from the establishment of such a base within its limits; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby place itself on record as approving the offer of the State Harbor Commission and

favoring its acceptance by the Navy Department, and recommending that every legal step be taken to have the proposed Naval Supply Base established in San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

### Regulating and Licensing Pool Rooms.

(Code No. ———)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Bill No. ———, Ordinance No. ———, as follows:

Regulating and licensing persons, firms and corporations engaged in the business of accepting and transmitting bets and wagers on horse races at race tracks in the State of California, and providing for the issuance of permits for the purpose of conducting and carrying on the business of accepting and transmitting bets and wagers on horse races at race tracks in the State of California, and providing for a license to defray the cost of the regulation of said business and fixing the amount thereof, and providing a penalty for the violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, partnership, corporation or association to own, maintain, operate, conduct or carry on in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, any business which, in whole or in part, or whether for gain, hire, reward or gratuitously or otherwise, is devoted to the acceptance of bets or wagers on horse races for transmission to any race track in the State of California, without having first obtained a permit from the Chief of Police of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to so do.

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for any agent, employee or servant of any such person, firm, partnership, corporation or association as is mentioned in Section 1 of this ordinance to engage or assist on behalf of such person, firm, partnership, corporation or association as is mentioned in Section 1 of this ordinance in the transmission of bets or wagers from any place in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to a race track in the State of California without having first obtained a license so to do from the Chief of Police of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Section 3. Written application for a permit under this ordinance shall be filed with the Board of Police Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, by every person, firm, partnership, corporation or association which owns, maintains, operates, conducts or carries on in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, any business which, in whole or in part, is devoted to the acceptance of bets or wagers on horse races for transmission to any race track in the State of California, or who desires to engage in said business, and such written application shall contain the following information: The name and address of the applicant and the location of the premises in which the applicant intends to do said business; the name and address of each and every person whom the applicant intends to or has in his employ in the City and County of San Francisco and elsewhere in the State of California as an agent, employee or servant in accepting or transmitting or in any way assisting in the acceptance or transmission of any bets or wagers on horse races to any race track in the State of California.

Section 4. Written application for a license to act as agent, employee or servant of any such person, firm, partnership, corporation



or association as is mentioned in Section 1 of this ordinance shall be filed with the Board of Police Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and shall contain the following information: The name and address of the applicant for the license in this section mentioned, together with such further information as said Board of Police Commissioners shall require.

Section 5. The Chief of Police may deny any such application for a permit or license as in this ordinance mentioned if, upon investigating the matter, he determines that it would be injurious to the public peace, property, health, safety, welfare or morals of the people of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to operate or maintain such business mentioned in Section 1 of this ordinance, or to engage in such employment mentioned in Section 2 of this ordinance.

Section 6. The permit fee for any such permit as is mentioned in Section 1 of this ordinance shall be twelve hundred dollars (\$1,200) per year for each separate permit mentioned in Section 1 of this ordinance, and said sum shall be payable in advance and at the time of the application mentioned in Section 3 of this ordinance, and each such written application as is mentioned in Section 3 of this ordinance shall be accompanied by a certified or cashier's check in the sum of twelve hundred dollars (\$1,200) payable to the Tax Collector of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Section 7. All permits and licenses issued under the provisions of this ordinance shall be issued on the following conditions, the failure to observe any of which shall constitute a violation of this ordinance and shall constitute in and of itself sufficient ground for the revocation of such license:

(a) The permittee and licensee shall not permit any minor on the premises wherein said permittee is doing business and shall not accept from any minor any bet or wager for transmission to any race track in the State of California;

(b) The permittee or licensee will not violate nor permit the violation of any law of the State of California or of any ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco in or upon the premises wherein said permittee is doing business;

(c) The permits and licenses in this ordinance mentioned shall be revocable by said Chief of Police, and if said Chief of Police shall determine that any permittee or licensee licensed under the terms of this ordinance shall have violated any of the terms or conditions of this ordinance or that the continued operation of such business would be injurious to the public peace, property, health, safety, welfare or morals of the people of the City and County of San Francisco, said Chief of Police may and shall revoke any permit or license issued under the terms of this ordinance, and said Chief of Police may of his own volition, or upon the complaint of any citizen, forthwith and immediately and in advance of any hearing suspend any permit or license issued under the terms of this ordinance until he shall finally determine whether to revoke such permit or license.

Section 8. Any person, firm, partnership, corporation or association violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall, upon conviction thereof, be punished by a fine not exceeding five hundred dollars (\$500), or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period not exceeding six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 9. If any word, phrase, sentence, paragraph or part of this ordinance shall be unconstitutional or otherwise invalid, such unconstitutional or invalid part or portion thereof shall be severable from the balance of this ordinance and shall not render said balance thereof invalid, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that it would have enacted said balance of said ordinance which is not unconstitutional or invalid.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**President to Appoint a Committee—Supervisors' Convention.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 3641, as follows:

Resolved, That the President of this Board be and is hereby authorized and requested to appoint a committee of Supervisors to attend the State Supervisors' Convention to be held in San Diego in March, 1938.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

**Mayor to Appoint a Citizens Committee for Observance of Tercentenary of Swedish Participation in American Life on the 27th of June, 1938, and Reception to His Majesty King Gustaf V of Sweden.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Resolution No. 3642, as follows:

Whereas, three centuries ago two Swedish ships from the City of Kalmar were upon the Atlantic Ocean under sail for America carrying a valiant group of Swedish men and women seeking their fortunes on the new continent; and

Whereas, the two ships reached the shores of America in the month of June, 1637, after successfully completing their venturesome voyage; and

Whereas, descendants of those who arrived at that time in America and many other Americans of Swedish descent have played an important part in the cultural and material advancement and enrichment of our great country; and

Whereas, plans are being made for nation-wide observance of the tercentenary of Swedish participation in American life, and on the 27th of June, 1938, His Majesty King Gustaf V of Sweden, or their Royal Highnesses Crown Prince Gustaf Adolf and Crown Princess Louise of Sweden will arrive in America on a Swedish warship to participate in such observance of the tercentenary; and

Whereas, a fitting celebration and observance of the occasion is being planned to take place in San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor Mayor Angelo J. Rossi be requested to appoint a citizens committee to arrange for observance of the tercentenary of that most important event; and be it

Further Resolved, That his Honor Mayor Angelo J. Rossi be requested to transmit a cablegram to His Majesty King Gustaf V and to their Royal Highnesses the Crown Prince and Princess of Sweden urgently inviting them to come to San Francisco and participate in the local celebration.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

**City Attorney to Suggest to Railroad Commission of the State of California Rates to Be Charged for Transporting Automobile and Passengers on Southern Pacific-Golden Gate Ferries, and on Golden Gate Bridge, Between Sausalito and San Francisco.**

(Code No. 12.11)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 3643, as follows:

Whereas, the decrease in rates granted to Southern Pacific-Golden



Gate Ferries, Ltd., has seriously affected the revenues of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District; and

Whereas, any reduction in the revenues of said bridge and highway district will place an added burden on the taxpayers of the City and County of San Francisco, who are responsible for 87 per cent of the liabilities of said bridge and highway district; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That in the pending proceedings before the Railroad Commission of the State of California in the matter of rates to be charged by Southern Pacific-Golden Gate Ferries, Ltd., for transportation of motor vehicles, the City Attorney be and is hereby directed to suggest to said commission the propriety of said commission fixing a rate for the carrying of an automobile and passengers from San Francisco to Sausalito by ferry not lower than that fixed by the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District for the same service over the Golden Gate Bridge.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

#### **In Memoriam: Mrs. Marie Uhl.**

President Shannon presented:

The Board of Supervisors learns with the greatest regret of the passing of Mrs. Marie Uhl, beloved mother of Supervisor Adolph Uhl. The Board extends to Supervisor Uhl and his family sincerest sympathy, and as a mark of respect adjourns this day in memory of the late Mrs. Marie Uhl.

Motion to adjourn out of respect to the memory of Mrs. Marie Uhl was *adopted* unanimously by a rising vote.

#### **Citizens Committee to Consider Plans of Rapid Transit.**

Supervisor Brown moved that it be recommended to the Mayor that a citizens committee be appointed for the purpose of considering all plans proposed for rapid transit, said committee to be empowered to recommend to the Mayor the solution it considers best.

*Motion carried.*

#### **Amendment to Gasoline Supply Station Ordinance.**

Supervisor Uhl presented draft of proposed amendment to Gasoline Supply Stations Ordinance, to provide that no gasoline supply station shall be established on any street where more than a majority of the frontage thereof is in the First or Second Residential Zone.

*Referred to Fire, Safety and Police Committee.*

#### **Uhl Plan for Rapid Transit.**

Supervisor Uhl requested that his own plan for rapid transit be made a special order of business for Monday, November 22, 1937, at 2:30 p. m.

*So ordered.*

#### **Meeting Announced.**

Fire, Safety and Police Committee, November 18, 10 a. m.

#### **Proposed New Curfew Ordinance.**

Supervisor Mead presented draft of proposed new curfew ordinance.

*Referred to Fire, Safety and Police Committee.*

#### **ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board of Supervisors, at 3:45 p. m., adjourned.


J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 22, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.





Vol. 32


SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT

No. 47

Monday, November 22, 1937


# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

---

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 22, 1937, 2 P. M.

---

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 22, 1937,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of November 15 was considered read and approved.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning Northwest Corner of Fulton Street and Twenty-fifth Avenue.

Hearing of appeal from decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1693, dated October 7, 1937, denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the northwest corner of Fulton street and Twenty-fifth avenue.

## Privilege of the Floor.

Earl G. Lloyd, representing protestants, was heard in opposition.

Chas. Brennan, attorney representing applicant, requesting that action be deferred for as long a period as possible.

## Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the foregoing matter was *laid over until 2 p. m. Monday, November 29, 1937.*

## SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

### Consideration of Supervisor Uhl's Rapid Transit Bus Plan.

The following was presented by Supervisor Uhl and read by him to the Board:

Plan herewith submitted is supplemental to Municipal Railway operations. It is not proposed to abandon operation of any of the lines of the Municipal Railway.

Bus Route No. 11 is the only line traversing Market street, from the



Perry to Castro street. Bus Route No. 4 merely extends from Valencia street to Second street on the south side of Market street; returns via Mission street to Valencia street. No other bus line on Mission street.

Express bus operation at the peak hours will result in a saving of at least ten minutes; and exceeds the saving in time proposed by Mr. Cahill in his Subway Plan. It is proposed—except on the Feeder Routes—that there will be a two-minute headway at peak hours—suitable headway on other than peak hours to meet the demand.

Only on peak hours will Express Service be provided on Route No. 21. At other than peak hours, service seems generally satisfactory.

With parking regulations there will be no congestion of traffic due to bus operation. Evidence of this fact is noted on Mission street where the Greyhound buses traverse Mission street from Fifth to the County line at the peak hour. At 5:15 and 5:30 p. m. four Greyhound buses can be seen leaving the Greyhound bus terminal and following each other out Mission street, two going to San Jose via Bayshore and the other two continuing out Mission street to the County line. The Greyhound bus at peak hour covers a distance from Fifth and Mission to County line in from 25 to 29 minutes. The San Mateo street car covers the same distance in from 41 to 49 minutes, a saving via bus of from 15 to 20 minutes. Certainly operation of the Greyhound buses on Mission street will convince anyone with an open mind that buses are practical.

Advantages of bus operation are as follows:

1. Flexibility of the bus. Routes can be changed as required. In case of fire can detour.
2. Bus operation will not impair pavement as is the case with rails. It will eliminate expensive repair of pavement between car tracks and at intersections.
3. Elimination of noise.
4. Loading and unloading at curb.

In 30 months 140 miles of trolley tracks were removed in the City of New York and buses substituted for trolley cars. Whereas revenue from street car operation on Madison avenue was \$60,000 a month, revenue has increased through bus operation to \$110,000 per month. It is felt that many persons who were using their automobiles because of poor street car service now take the bus because of superior service.

The bus routes proposed are along natural routes. For example, you cannot get east or west of Third street. You cannot go west of Alemany boulevard. You cannot go east or west of bus route on Market street over Upper Market, Portola, Sloat boulevard to the Ocean. Howard street, Van Ness avenue South is a natural bus arterial, and with the removal of the tracks will make a perfect bus arterial. A route over Twenty-fifth avenue across the Park on south side of Nineteenth avenue is a natural one, you cannot very well go east or west of Nineteenth avenue. Nineteenth avenue is one of the bus routes proposed by Mr. Cahill. Likewise, Mr. Cahill proposed a bus feeder on Noriega evidencing the fact that the bus and not the trolley car is the best transportation available today. I am merely enlarging upon thirteen bus feeder proposals submitted by Mr. Cahill.

Following are the bus routes proposed at this time:

ROUTE NO. 1: From County line along Third street to Market, returning via Market, Second, Howard and Third streets to County line. At the morning peak hours buses will load passengers only between County line and Evans avenue. At the afternoon peak hours passengers will load at Third and Market, Second and Market, Second and Mission; no loading after leaving Second and Mission until reach Evans avenue. Local traffic will be picked up by street cars.

ROUTE NO. 2: County line, thence via San Jose avenue, Alemany boulevard, Bayshore, Potrero, Brannan and Fifth to a terminal at Mint avenue. Returning via the same route. At the morning peak hour passengers loaded between County line and Silver avenue. In the afternoon peak hour passengers will load at Mint avenue, Howard

street; no further stop until arriving at Silver avenue. Local traffic will be taken on by either the present street rail facilities or local bus service.

ROUTE NO. 3: From Embarcadero via Howard, Van Ness avenue South, Army, Mission, Ocean Avenue to terminal at Nineteenth avenue and Sloat boulevard, returning same route. At morning peak hour passengers loaded between Nineteenth avenue and Sloat boulevard to Army and Mission. In afternoon peak hour passengers loaded between The Embarcadero and Sixth street, making first stop at Silver avenue.

ROUTE NO. 4: Sloat boulevard at Nineteenth avenue via St. Francis boulevard, Santa Clara, Monterey, San Jose, Twenty-second street, Valencia, Market to Second; returning via Mission, McCoppin, Valencia, Twenty-second, San Jose, Monterey, Santa Clara, St. Francis boulevard to Nineteenth avenue and Sloat boulevard. At morning peak passengers loaded between Nineteenth avenue and Sloat boulevard, and San Jose and Monterey. Afternoon passengers loaded at Third and Market, Second and Market, Second and Third at Mission. No passengers loaded until arriving at San Jose and Monterey boulevard. Present street car facilities take care of passengers between Embarcadero and San Jose and Monterey boulevard.

ROUTE NO. 5: From Forty-eighth avenue via Lincoln way, Stanyan, Oak, Divisadero, Ellis, Fourth, Townsend to Third street depot. Returning over same route. Express bus service on morning peak hour between Lincoln way and Stanyan. At the evening peak hour passengers loaded between Third and Townsend and Fourth and Market, making first stop for passengers at Stanyan and Lincoln way.

ROUTE NO. 6: From Forty-eighth avenue via Cabrillo, Arguello, Turk, Leavenworth, Eddy to Market. Returning via Market, Golden Gate avenue, Parker, Turk, Arguello and Cabrillo. At morning peak hour passengers loaded between Forty-eighth avenue and First avenue. Evening peak hour passengers loaded on Market at Eddy, at Turk, at Golden Gate avenue. No further stops to load passengers until arriving at First avenue and Cabrillo.

ROUTE NO. 7: From Thirty-second avenue via California, Presidio, Bush to Market. Returning Market, Sansome, Bush, Lyon, California to Thirty-second avenue. Morning peak hour passengers loaded between Thirty-second avenue and First avenue. Afternoon peak hour passengers loaded on Bush at Sansome, Montgomery and Kearny. No further stops to load passengers until reaching First avenue.

ROUTE NO. 8: From Lyon and Chestnut via Chestnut, Scott, Bay, Cervantes, Beach, Columbus avenue, Montgomery, Pine, Brannan, Fremont, Howard; returning via Beale, California, Montgomery, Columbus avenue, Beach, Cervantes, Bay, Scott. At morning peak hour passengers loaded between Lyon and Fillmore. At afternoon peak hour passengers loaded on Beale, and at Mission, Market and California; on California at Montgomery, and on Montgomery at Bush. No further stops to load passengers until Fillmore street.

ROUTE NO. 9 (Bus Feeder): At Thirtieth street via Noe, Army, Third to Nineteenth street, returning same route. This route is a bus feeder.

ROUTE NO. 10 (Bus Feeder): From Diamond via Jersey, Chattanooga, Twenty-second, Bryant, Seventeenth, Third to Nineteenth; returning same route. This route is a bus feeder.

ROUTE NO. 11: From Forty-eighth avenue via Sloat, Portola drive, Upper Market and Market to Embarcadero; returning same route. At morning peak hours passengers loaded between Forty-eighth avenue and Miraloma avenue. At afternoon peak hour passengers loaded on Market, skip stop between Embarcadero and Grant, and at Sansome, Montgomery, Fourth, Fifth and Sixth streets. No further passengers loaded until Castro street. A bus feeder should be provided to take care of local traffic between Castro and Miraloma avenue.

ROUTE NO. 12: From Lake street via Twenty-fifth avenue across



Golden Gate Park, Nineteenth avenue, Ocean avenue, Junipero Serra boulevard, Worcester, Randolph, Farallones, Plymouth to Alemany boulevard; returning same route.

ROUTE NO. 14 (Bus Feeder): From Mission via Geneva, Schwerin, Sunnyvale, Rutland, Raymond, Bayshore, San Bruno, Alemany, Cortland to San Jose avenue; returning same route. This route is a bus feeder.

ROUTE NO. 15 (Bus Feeder): From Donohue via Innes, Hunters' Point boulevard, Evans avenue, Newhall street, Oakdale, Bayshore to Army and Potrero, which will provide transferring to either Route No. 2 or "H" line. Returning via same route.

ROUTE NO. 16 (Bus Feeder): On Forty-eighth avenue between Balboa and Sloat boulevard.

ROUTE NO. 17 (Bus Feeder): Between Lincoln way over Eighth avenue to Laguna Honda Depot.

ROUTE NO. 18: From California street via Tenth avenue across Golden Gate Park to Seventh avenue, Laguna Honda boulevard, Portola, Miramar, Plymouth, Sickles, Acton, Brunswick, Naples, Brazil, San Juan, Havelock, Edna, Judson, Ocean, Miramar, Portola, Laguna Honda boulevard, Seventh avenue across Golden Gate Park via Tenth avenue to California street.

ROUTE NO. 19 (Bus Feeder): From Forty-eighth avenue via Noriega, Twentieth avenue, Sloat boulevard, Nineteenth avenue, Noriega to Forty-eighth avenue.

ROUTE NO. 20 (Bus Feeder): Void.

ROUTE NO. 21: From Thirty-eighth avenue via Geary, Presidio, Post, Market, O'Farrell, Scott, Geary to Thirty-eighth avenue. At morning peak hour passengers loaded between Thirty-eighth avenue and Arguello boulevard. At afternoon peak hour loadings on Market at Post, Geary, O'Farrell. No further loadings until reaching Arguello boulevard.

ROUTE NO. 22 (Bus Feeder): Telegraph Hill to Union and Columbus avenue; return same route.

ROUTE NO. 23 (Bus Feeder): From Ocean avenue via Faxon, Grafton, Garfield, Bixbee, Urbano drive, Moncada, Junipero Serra boulevard, to St. Francis boulevard. Return same route.

ROUTE NO. 24 (Bus Feeder): From Sanchez and Duboce, Castro, Roosevelt way, Clayton to Upper Market. Return by same route.

Only at peak hours will express bus service be operative.

Following is an excerpt from the Saturday, May 1, 1937, issue of the *Automotive Daily News*:

*"New Bus Equipment Ups Chicago Passenger Traffic."*

"Chicago—Increases up to 40 percent in passenger traffic, increase in safety as measured by reduced number of accidents, decreased driver fatigue, and maintenance of schedule with greater ease, are some of the immediate results following on the purchase by Chicago Motor Coach Co. of new equipment, consisting of 194 new coaches, both double deck and single deck. These coaches have now piled up a total of nearly four million miles of routine service.

"Every line on which new equipment has been placed in operation has shown increases in traffic far beyond those of Chicago's other transportation system. That the new equipment is in a large measure responsible for this increase is indicated by the fact that the few lines still operating with the older equipment have shown a very small increase.

"None of the lines are as yet equipped 100 per cent with new equipment. The largest increase in passengers (40 per cent) is on the line with the greatest number of new buses, while the other lines have increases virtually in direct proportion to the number of new units, ranging down to about 29 per cent above last year."

The following is data obtained from the City of New York on the subject at hand:

City Omnibus Corporation is the largest single transportation substitution in all history.

"676 motor coaches replaced 585 street cars which had been operating over 140 miles of track.

"The first of the changeovers took place February 1st, 1935, when the Madison Avenue line, 11 miles along, was motorized. The coach service proved sensationally popular with the public, and riding increased 90 per cent during the first year of operation.

"During the first year of operation revenues increased 87.29 per cent.

"Madison avenue, once snarled in traffic due to slow moving street cars, overnight became a boulevard. On close check the riding time of motor coaches along the route was equal to that of private cars. The substitution of coaches was enthusiastically acclaimed by the press, the public and the merchants, and brought the statement from Mayor La Guardia, "Madison avenue, since the substitution of buses for trolleys, has become one of the show boulevards of the world."

The street cars on Madison avenue operated at three miles per hour; in peak service about six cars per block were used.

After modernizing the avenue and removing car tracks, the bus speed is seven miles per hour during the peak and the buses operate on a one-half minute headway. This applies to the congested district during the peak hour. Note, the buses in this particular district travel over twice as fast as the old street cars.

Following is an actual record covering Madison avenue supplied by the operator:

Number of coaches per day—typical week.....	104
Total miles operated—typical week day.....	9,448
Number of passengers per week day.....	124,342
Maximum number of passengers per hour-peak service...	10,020

Next came the motorization of 8th and 9th avenues. Seventy-five Yellow coaches replacing street cars on 9½ miles of route. From the first day of operation these lines proved highly successful, it being necessary to add 25 more coaches to take care of increased riding. Revenue for both lines increased 82.61 per cent.

Then followed motorization of the following:

Broadway, 7th avenue, Lexington avenue, 34th street, 116th street, Spring-Delancy street, 14th street, 79th street, 86th, 96th streets.

Since February 1, 1935.

Statistics reported by the Transit Commission of New York for the third quarter of 1936 says in part "The street surface railway lines combined carried a total of 133,821,000 passengers during the quarter, a decrease of 23,823,000 (18 per cent) fewer than September, 1935.

The total bus traffic of the City of New York reported for the quarter ended September 30, 1936, amounted to 139,923,000 passengers, representing an increase of 46,091,000 passengers or 49.1 per cent over the corresponding period of 1935.

#### Equipment—

Approximate equipment needed:

400	41-passenger buses at \$12,500 .....	\$5,000,000
40	25-passenger buses at \$7,000 .....	250,000
40	21-passenger buses at \$4,000 .....	160,000
		<hr/>
		\$5,510,000
10 per cent .....		551,000
		<hr/>
		\$4,959,000
Garages .....		1,000,000
Trackless Trolley "H" Line.....		500,000
		<hr/>
Total .....		\$6,459,000



Should be financed by either of following:

1. Ten-year bond issue; or
2. Purchase agreement covering ten-year annual payment of \$645,900.

Not less than 500 employees will be required in bus operations, shops, etc.

Whether these buses can be operated at a profit (and I believe they can), is beside the question. Service and not dollars is the paramount issue. To meet the East Bay Rapid Transportation one year hence is our problem. Buses can be provided within six months after funds are available.

*P. S.*—Serious consideration should be given the matter of underpassing Market at Sixth, and at Tenth street. These underpasses will positively speed up traffic.

(Blueprints delineating the various proposed routes were also furnished each member.)

#### Referred.

On motion of Supervisor Colman, the foregoing matter was ordered *referred to the Public Utilities Commission for consideration and report in a reasonable time—December 6, 1937, and notification if it cannot be done at that time.*

#### Certification of Registrar of Voters As to Result of Election of November 2, 1937.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk and *ordered inserted in the record:*

November 18, 1937.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall.

Gentlemen: I hereby certify that pursuant to the provisions of Resolution No. 3621 of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, dated November 8, 1937, I proceeded to conduct the canvass of all votes cast at General Municipal Election held in said City and County on the 2d day of November, 1937, and of Special Elections called and consolidated with said General Municipal Election pursuant to provisions of Ordinances Nos. 15.0941, 15.0512, 12.1232 and 12.1224.

I further certify that in conducting such official canvass I proceeded immediately to canvass the returns from Precinct 1, Assembly District 20, and proceeded in orderly manner each day as required by law, taking each precinct in numerical order until each and every voting precinct returns were thus canvassed, finishing with Precinct 154 of Assembly District 28; that, as a result of such official canvass and a tabulation made of all votes, I herewith present a complete record set forth in full in volume entitled "Statement of Votes of General Municipal Election, City and County of San Francisco, November 2, 1937" and bearing identifying letters A-V; that said volume contains the number of votes cast for each candidate, and for and against each proposition in each voting precinct in said City and County; and

Further shows that the total number of votes cast is 176,200; the total number cast for each candidate for each respective office; and "yes" and "no" on each proposition; and for confirmation of candidates for Member of Board of Education is as follows:

### Candidates.

<i>Supervisors—</i>	<i>Vote</i>	<i>Treasurer—</i>	<i>Vote</i>
James B. McSheehy.....	95,662	Duncan Matheson .....	110,850
John M. Ratto.....	79,199	M. Jas. McGranaghan.....	22,163
George R. Reilly.....	85,187		
Adolph E. Schmidt.....	73,766	<i>Judge Municipal Court No. 1—</i>	
Adolph Uhl .....	81,416	Frank W. Dunn.....	111,377
George R. Andersen.....	14,607	Raine Ewell .....	22,328
Lloyd H. Berendsen.....	39,344		
Edward F. Braunschweiger.	8,285	<i>Judge Municipal Court No. 2—</i>	
Donald J. Bruce.....	8,440	Thomas M. Foley.....	106,809
Richard T. Cain.....	7,831		
John A. Foley.....	37,043	<i>Judge Municipal Court No. 3—</i>	
Andrew J. Gallagher.....	69,297	George M. Schonfeld.....	73,840
Marjorie January.....	15,845	Peter J. Mullins.....	73,725
Wesley McKenzie .....	11,424		
Herbert Nugent .....	9,399	<i>Judge Municipal Court No. 4—</i>	
Walter G. Remley.....	9,411	Twain Michelsen .....	93,691
Joseph C. Sharp.....	50,433	Elizabeth Cassidy .....	9,970
E. Jack Spaulding.....	31,579	Frank B. Lorigan.....	35,718
John J. Starke.....	6,165		
Anna C. Wellbrock.....	4,587	<i>Member Board of Education—</i>	
Anita Whitney .....	9,850	C. Harold Caulfield..	Yes 87,612
J. R. Zion.....	3,284		No 22,270
		Mrs. Edwin R. Sheldon.	Yes 78,976
			No 25,287
<i>City Attorney—</i>			
John J. O'Toole.....	118,232		

### Propositions.

<i>Proposition No. 1—</i>		<i>Vote</i>
Rapid Transit Bonds, 1938. To incur a bonded debt in the sum of \$49,250,000 for constructing subways and acquiring a rapid transit system.	Yes	68,834
	No	95,246
<i>Proposition No. 2—</i>		
Airport Bonds, 1938. To incur a bonded debt in the sum of \$2,850,000 for the enlargement and improvement of San Francisco Airport in San Mateo County.	Yes	108,573
	No	46,683
<i>Proposition No. 3—</i>		
Hospital Bonds, 1938. To incur a bonded debt in the sum of \$1,600,000 for improving the Hassler Health Home, Laguna Honda Home, the San Francisco Hospital and increasing the X-Ray facilities to aid in the care of their inmates.	Yes	109,229
	No	44,061
<i>Proposition No. 4—</i>		
Sewer Bonds, 1938. To incur a bonded debt in the sum of \$5,000,000 for constructing new and reconstructing old sewers within the City and County.	Yes	90,570
	No	60,289
<i>Proposition No. 5—</i>		
Referendum—Do you favor Ordinance No. 17.194 enacted by the Board of Supervisors providing for the removal of Laurel Hill Cemetery?	Yes	82,983
	No	65,920



*Proposition No. 6—*

Declaration of Policy—Shall the Board of Supervisors be directed to submit to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to issue Bonds in an amount not to exceed \$2,000,000 for the extension of Fifth street diagonally across Market Street to the intersection of Mason and Eddy streets?

Yes	60,243
No	84,253

*Proposition No. 7—*

Declaration of Policy—Shall the Board of Supervisors be directed to submit to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to issue Bonds in an amount not to exceed \$4,700,000 for the projection of Grant Avenue across Market street to Brannan street?

Yes	55,570
No	86,841

*Proposition No. 8—*

Initiative—Shall an initiative ordinance declaring picketing unlawful, and providing a penalty for violation thereof, be adopted?

Yes	74,012
No	88,891

*Proposition No. 9—*

Charter Amendment No. 1—Public Inspection of civil service examination papers. Adds new section to the charter providing that civil service examination papers, marks and grades shall be open to public inspection.

Yes	94,275
No	50,377

Respectfully submitted,

C. J. COLLINS,

*Registrar of Voters.*

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Authorizing Claim of Anna Hansen in the Sum of One Hundred and Twenty-five Dollars (\$125.00).**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Bill No. 1468, Ordinance No. 6.022231, as follows:

Authorizing claim of Anna Hansen in the sum of one hundred and twenty-five dollars (\$125).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended the settlement of the action of Anna Hansen against the City and County of San Francisco for the recovery of damages for personal injuries sustained as a result of a fall on a public sidewalk on Shotwell street between the intersection of Eighteenth and Nineteenth streets with said Shotwell street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on September 29, 1936, which suit is No. 273,089, in the Superior Court, said City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle said pending litigation by the payment of the sum of one hundred and twenty-five (\$125) dollars, and the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to draw his warrant for said sum.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

**Appropriation of One Thousand Dollars for the Improvement of  
Twenty-ninth Avenue Between Pacheco and Quintara Streets,  
City Aid.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1469, Ordinance No. 9.051430, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of one thousand dollars (\$1,000) out of the surplus existing in Appropriation 748.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation 748.921.00, for City aid necessary for the improvement of Twenty-ninth avenue between Pacheco and Quintara streets, said work to be performed under the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of one thousand dollars is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation 748.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation 748.921.00, for City aid necessary for the improvement of Twenty-ninth avenue between Pacheco and Quintara streets. Said additional amount being necessary to meet cost over and above maximum amount of assessment.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

**Appropriation of \$14,000 for Sewers in the Hunters Point District.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1470, Ordinance No. 9.051431, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of fourteen thousand (\$14,000) dollars out of surplus existing in Appropriation No. 748.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 748.927.00, for City aid necessary for grading; and the construction of sewers in the Hunters Point District, said work being performed under the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of fourteen thousand (\$14,000) dollars is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 748.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 748.927.00, for City aid necessary for grading; and the construction of sewers in the Hunters Point District.

Under Section 111 of the Charter no street assessment authorized paid in installments over a period of ten years shall exceed twenty-five per cent of the assessed value of the land on which the assessment is levied; therefore, this appropriation is necessary to make the assessment valid.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

**Ordering the Improvement of Innes Avenue and Ingalls Street.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1471, Ordinance No. 12.061190, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.



Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, October 19, 1937, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Innes avenue, between Hawes and Ingalls streets; of the crossing of Innes avenue and Ingalls street, and of Ingalls street, between Innes and Jerrold avenues, by grading to official line and sub-grade.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Lots 2A, 3, 3A, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 of Block 4647-A;

Lots 1 and 2 of Block 4648-A;

Lots 1, 2, 19, 20 and 21 of Block 4651; and

Lots 1, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 and 17 of Block 4652;

all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

Finally passed by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

### Votes Cast at General Municipal Election, November 2, 1937.

(Code No. 3.02)

Resolution No. 3649, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors on Monday, November 8, 1937, adopted Resolution No. 3621, Code No. 3.62, as follows:

"Resolved, That pursuant to Section 1278 of the Political Code of the State of California, 1937, the Registrar of Voters is directed to immediately proceed to canvass the returns of the general municipal election held on Tuesday, November 2, 1937;" and

Whereas, as directed, the Registrar did proceed to canvass the returns, and on the 18th day of November, 1937, certified the result of the canvass of all the votes cast at the general municipal election held in San Francisco on Tuesday, the 2nd day of November, 1937; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Record Book marked "A-V" Statement of Votes polled at General Municipal Election held in the City and County of

San Francisco, State of California, November 2, 1937, be and the same is hereby constituted the record of the official canvass of the General Municipal Election held in said City and County on said day, and that the statement shows the whole number of votes cast in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on Tuesday, November 2, 1937, and in each voting precinct therein; the names of the persons voted for, and the number of votes given in each voting precinct to each of such persons, and the total number of votes given in the City and County to each of such persons, also, such statement shows the number of votes given in each voting precinct for and against each question and proposition submitted, and the total vote given in said City and County for and against each of said questions and propositions.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

### Canvass of Votes Cast at Special Election November 2, 1937.

(Code No. 3.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3650, as follows:

Whereas, a consolidated general municipal and special election was held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, November 2, 1937; and

Whereas, the vote and returns of said election have been duly canvassed and the results thereof duly ascertained; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That the Record Book marked "A-V," containing a statement of the votes cast at said special election held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, November 2, 1937, be, and the same is hereby, adopted as and the same shall constitute the record of the official canvass of said special election. That said statement shows the whole number of votes cast in said City and County, the propositions voted upon at said special election, the number of votes given at each precinct for and against each of said propositions, and the total number of votes given in said City and County for and against each of such propositions voted upon.

Section 2. That the propositions voted upon at said special election and the total number of votes cast for and against each of said propositions were as follows:

<i>Propositions Voted Upon</i>	<i>Total Voted For Each Proposition</i>	<i>Total Voted Against Each Proposition</i>
Rapid Transit Bonds, 1938 (election called pursuant to Ordinance No. 15.0941)...	68,834	<b>95,246</b>
Airport Bonds, 1938 (election called pursuant to Ordinance No. 15.0512).....	<b>108,573</b>	46,683
Hospital Bonds, 1938 (election called pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.1232).....	<b>109,229</b>	44,061
Sewer Bonds, 1938 (election called pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.1224).....	90,570	<b>60,289</b>

Section 3. That more than two-thirds (2/3rds) of all of the votes cast on each of the propositions designated "Airport Bonds, 1938," and "Hospital Bonds, 1938," respectively, were in favor of each of said propositions and each of said propositions is hereby declared adopted. That each of the propositions designated "Rapid Transit Bonds, 1938," and "Sewer Bonds, 1938," failed to carry.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.



**Referred.**

The following matter was *referred to the Finance and Streets Committee*:

**Appointment of Committee to Call Conference of Delegates From  
Boards of Supervisors of the Forty-five Counties of Northern  
California.**

(Code No. 5.31)

Resolution No. 3644, as follows:

Whereas, the two great San Francisco bridges are toll bridges for the use of which tolls are exacted from all who traverse the same; and

Whereas, the exaction of tolls tends to diminish and discourage motorists of all classes, including tourists and commercial motorists, from freely using such bridges as highways leading into and from the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the said bridges are in fact units in the system of highways that makes California famous throughout the world; and

Whereas, the said bridges are not included in the State Highway System nor operated by the State, but are in fact operated by the officials of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District and by the officials of the State Toll Bridge Authority; and

Whereas, an appreciably reduced income is already apparent in the amount of tolls collected upon the Golden Gate Bridge and the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge; and

Whereas, this reduction in revenues may increase in seriousness, working to the detriment of the political subdivisions financially responsible for the successful operation of the Golden Gate Bridge and to the detriment of the State Toll Bridge Authority operating the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, unless steps are taken to cope with the situation; and

Whereas, were the two great bridges to become a part of the State Highway System, operated by the State of California and maintained out of gas tax revenues in whole or in part, the reduction or abolishment of tolls would result in much freer use of the bridges to the mutual benefit of San Francisco and the cities and counties to the north and east of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco be respectfully requested to appoint a committee of San Francisco City officials, whose duty it shall be to call a conference of official delegates to be chosen from the Boards of Supervisors of the forty-five counties of Northern California, including the nine counties of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, to discuss the Bridge Highway situation and to devise ways and means to cope with the condition and take such cooperative steps as may be deemed necessary to protect and conserve the mutual interests of all concerned; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to His Excellency the Governor, the State Highway Commission, the State Toll Bridge Authority, the Board of Directors of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District and the Boards of Supervisors of the said forty-five counties of Northern California.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Refunds, Erroneous Payments of Taxes.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Resolution No. 3646, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid to the following named, being refunds of erroneous payments of taxes:

*From General Fund—Appropriation No. 60.969.00*

- (1) Per Vol. A, page 86, line 15, J. Hecht, erroneous payment...\$ 3.78
- (2) Ruth Hammond, per Vol. 21, Lot 13, Block 3098, Bill 2727,  
erroneous assessment of personal property..... 44.04
- (3) Gerber Products Co., per Vol. 2, page 56, line 12, 1937 Un-  
secured Personal Property Roll, erroneous payment..... 84.99

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

**Claim for Refund, Side Sewer Construction, James G. B. DeMartini.**

(Code No. 6.05)

Also, Resolution No. 3647, as follows:

Resolved, That the claim for refund made by James G. B. DeMartini for \$154.26 for money deposited for the construction of a side sewer due to the faulty installation of high pressure pipe in Columbus avenue, be, and the same is, hereby approved.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

**Retaining Orrick, Dahlquist, Neff & Herrington as Bond Attorneys.**

(Code No. 6.06)

Also, Resolution No. 3648, as follows:

Resolved, That the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors is directed to retain Orrick, Dahlquist, Neff & Herrington, attorneys of San Francisco, to render opinions upon the legality of the bonds \$2,850,000 Airport and \$1,600,000 Hospital, authorized at the election November 2, 1937, the fee for said opinions to be two thousand (\$2,000) dollars.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Appropriation of \$20,000 for Office and Field Engineering for the Airport Project.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1480, Ordinance No. 9.051436, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$20,000 from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 702-900-00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 99.900.00—1938 Airport Bond Fund to provide funds for office and field engineering for the proposed airport project and for supervision of W. P. A. work at the Airport pending the receipt of funds from the sale of 1938 Airport Bonds.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$20,000 is hereby appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 702-900-00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 99.900.00—1938 Airport Bond Fund, to provide funds for office and field engineering for the proposed airport project and for supervision of W. P. A. work at the Airport pending the receipt of funds from the sale of 1938 Airport Bonds.

Section 2. The moneys so appropriated as above outlined shall be returned to the said Emergency Reserve Fund out of proceeds from



said sale of 1938 Airport Bonds, and shall not be used until said bonds are validated by legal opinion.

Approved as to form by Dion R. Holm, Assistant City Attorney.

Recommended by Edward A. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.

Approved (Resolution No. 2286) by John J. Sharon, Assistant Secretary, Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

**Appropriation of \$7,000 for Sanitary and Storm Sewer in Laguna Honda Boulevard.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1481, Ordinance No. 9.051437, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of seven thousand (\$7,000) dollars from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 702.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 740.214.00 for the construction of a sanitary and storm sewer in Laguna Honda boulevard between Lawton street and Plaza street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of seven thousand (\$7,000) dollars is hereby set aside from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 702.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 740.214.00 for the construction of a sanitary and storm sewer in Laguna Honda boulevard between Lawton street and Plaza street.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Citizens Committee to Arrange for Opening Exposition.**

(Code No. 5.95)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 3645, as follows:

Whereas, the Golden Gate International Exposition, commemorating the completion of the two great Bay bridges, will be opened in 1939; and

Whereas, this will be an epochal event in the history of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested to appoint a Citizens Committee to arrange for the proper observance, by San Francisco, of this historical triumph.

*Referred to the Exposition Committee.*

**Communication From Public Utilities Commission Re Water Rates.**

The Clerk read the following:

November 16, 1937.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: I acknowledge letter of your Clerk under date of November 8th, reading as follows:

"Herewith plan re East Bay Municipal Utility District, which Supervisor Uhl requests you to read, and give your views thereon. The Supervisor also requests that you check the number of water meters in San Francisco, and also to answer 'Why is there a 70c meter charge in San Francisco where there is only a 50c meter charge in Oakland?'"

I have carefully examined the enclosed bulletin issued by the East Bay Municipal Utility District and submit herewith my comments thereon.

There is no question but that the East Bay Municipal Utility District is an efficient organization, deserving of the praise bestowed upon it in the article quoted and by any other engineers who have examined its operations.

Naturally, in quoting Mr. Plowman of Denver, the district did not go out of its way to further quote the reference in the article in question from the Engineering News-Record, as follows:

"The ideal water utility structure, from this standpoint of centralized and efficient organization, is the utility district. Such agencies function in Omaha, San Antonio, San Francisco, Oakland, and the Maryland suburbs of Washington, D. C., with success comparable to the best practice of private business."

\* \* \*

"There are other well-managed municipal water utilities. To mention a few: those serving San Francisco, Los Angeles, San Antonio, Denver, Omaha, Des Moines, Louisville, Detroit, Washington (Maryland suburban district), and metropolitan Boston, where efficient management is powerfully backed by a more or less independent water board organization."

The author of the article quoted was making studies of the organizations of various water systems with a view of recommending the best form of organization for Denver. The East Bay District is wholly integrated and self-contained, with power to fix rates, levy taxes and control its funds without reference to any Board of Supervisors or other legislative body—it has its own purchasing department, its own attorneys, its own civil service department, and is, in short, a self-contained organization. All responsibility is vested in its board of directors and no legislative body has power to interfere with its action in any way or to divert water revenues for other purposes.

Granting that the East Bay District may be more ideally organized to control all of its functions and that San Francisco is denied a completely integrated public utility organization under the existing Charter, with which Mr. Uhl should be familiar, nevertheless we do not feel that the showing of the San Francisco Water Department under municipal ownership suffers by comparison with the showing made by the East Bay District.

With regard to water rates, the bulletin transmitted over the signature of the board of directors of the East Bay District lays stress on a comparison of bills in San Francisco of \$1.74 as compared with a bill of \$1.42 for the same amount of water sold in the East Bay. This comparison is between bills on the basis of water rates, but let us go into the matter further and see how other factors alter this picture. It should be remembered that in the East Bay District taxes are levied to support the water system and to provide service to consumers, and that these taxes are in addition to the water bills rendered, while in San Francisco our Water Department provides funds



from its surpluses to reduce taxes. In the East Bay District, in addition to the revenue collected from water sales, the district levies a tax to help defray its expenses. This tax levy, which formerly was 50 cents per \$100 of valuation, has been recently reduced so that in the last year it was 25 cents per \$100 of assessed valuation of the property within the district. This tax for water service, in addition to the rates charged, brought in a total of \$1,113,125. If the district were to abandon this policy of taxing for water costs, it would have to increase all of its water rates an average of approximately 20 per cent. We should not forget that in San Francisco our Water Department has contributed to the General Fund for the past six years a total of \$6,105,110 for the reduction of taxes, which is an average of more than \$1,000,000 per year.

Let us compare the cost to the consumer of the same amount of water. If San Francisco taxpayers paid 25 cents in their tax rate for the support of the Water Department, this would amount to approximately \$2,000,000. To this amount there should be added the average of \$1,000,000 per year which is contributed to tax reduction by the San Francisco Water Department. If we followed the East Bay District policy this \$3,000,000 would reduce our water rates approximately 43 per cent and the consumer's bill quoted, that is \$1.74, would then be 99 cents, in comparison with the East Bay bill of \$1.42.

The publicity pamphlet transmitted by Supervisor Uhl does not mention that our industrial and commercial rates are lower than those in the East Bay. For example, a new industry or business coming to San Francisco, whose bill under our rates would amount to \$1,283.35, under East Bay rates would amount to \$1,707.31.

While the Supervisor is disparaging the results of the operation of the San Francisco Water Department, let him not overlook the fact that in addition to the \$1,000,000 per year cash which is contributed to the General Fund by the San Francisco Water Department, that department is furnishing free water to San Francisco which amounted to \$421,000 in the last fiscal year.

The East Bay District collects from each municipality served within its area full scheduled rates for all water served. It also collects for fire service. The revenue to the district is based on the amount and size of pipe installed for fire protection services. In San Francisco the Water Department bases its charges on the number of hydrants in service at the rate of \$3 per month per hydrant. This \$3 per month hydrant charge in San Francisco is not actually collected by the department, but is merely set up on the books of the department for accounting purposes, as the department furnishes water to other City departments free of charge. If the charge actually collected by the East Bay Municipal Utility District were reduced to a hydrant basis, as is used in San Francisco it would receive \$5 per month for each hydrant. Thus we find that the fire protection service of the San Francisco Water Department is rendered at a cost of \$3 per month per hydrant, which the department never receives, in contrast with \$5 per month per hydrant which is actually collected by the East Bay District. If the San Francisco Water Department collected this revenue on the basis of the charges actually collected by the East Bay Municipal Utility District, this would increase the value of free water furnished to San Francisco municipal departments from the \$421,000 above mentioned to about \$570,000 per year.

Supervisor A. Uhl asks that we check the number of water meters in San Francisco. As of June 30, 1937, there were 115,645 active services as compared with 125,546 for the East Bay District. The pamphlet transmitted refers to an increase of 3545 meters in the district in the last year. This compares with an increase of 2171 meters in the San Francisco system. This increase in water services installed is partially due to normal resumption of services discontinued during the depression, but we should not overlook that the East Bay has been spending vast sums of money to attract new population and that

the completion of the San Francisco Bay Bridge has already started to draw some people from San Francisco to the East Bay.

The question is raised as to why there is a 70c meter charge in San Francisco and a 50 cent charge in Oakland. In the first place, this is not a meter charge. It is a service charge. The basic schedule in San Francisco was designed to produce the required revenue by charging the various consumers on a schedule of rates which would equitably distribute the cost of rendering that service. It was found under our conditions of cost of supply and distribution that a service charge of approximately 70 cents, combined with the usage charge set up in our rate schedule, most equitably distributed these costs. If we were to reduce our service charge for small residences from 70 cents to 50 cents, we would reduce the Water Department's revenue by approximately \$200,000, which we would then be required to add to our usage charge, and this would increase the rate per unit of water consumed. It is evident that the East Bay District has considered it preferable to fix a lower service charge and make up the difference in revenue by increased charges for water usage and by taxing property owners.

Let us consider a typical case: A small residence assessed at \$4,000 in the East Bay District pays, in addition to its city and county and other taxes, a tax to the East Bay Municipal Utility District amounting this year to \$10, which is equal to 83 cents per month. This tax is paid regardless of the amount of water consumed. It is, therefore, the equivalent of a service charge of 83 cents per month, plus the 50 cents per month included in the water bill for a service charge, or a total of \$1.33 per month, whether or not any water is used. I contrast this with the 70 cents per month service charge paid by the average residential consumer in San Francisco, together with the fact that the Water Department contributes approximately \$1,000,000 per year for reduction of taxes here.

If we apply the \$1,000,000 annually paid to the General Fund for reduction in taxes in San Francisco on a comparable basis with the East Bay and consider it a part of the San Francisco service charge, the owner of the residence assessed at \$4,000 in San Francisco would receive a reduction of \$4.70 per year in his taxes, which is equivalent to 39 cents per month, hence the net charge for service in San Francisco is reduced from 70 cents per month to 31 cents per month, in contrast to the \$1.33 per month charged for service and in taxes in the East Bay area.

It is also interesting to note that during the fiscal year 1935-1936 the East Bay Municipal Utility District paid in taxes a total of \$22,473.24, while during the same fiscal year the San Francisco Water Department paid in taxes to San Mateo, Santa Clara and Alameda counties a total of \$201,278.31 in taxes.

I trust that the information herein will fully answer the question propounded by Supervisor A. Uhl.

Very truly yours,

E. G. CAHILL, Manager of Utilities.

**Muir Wood Road.**

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Communication from Gail Apperson, Supervisor of Marin County, urging matter of improvement of Muir Wood road.

*Referred to Joint Committee on Finance and Streets.*

**Bus Transportation, Twin Peaks District.**

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Communication from A. O. Graalfa re transportation by bus to the upper Market street-Twin Peaks district.

*Ordered filed.*



**In Memoriam—Anthony G. Knight.**

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, the following was *adopted* unanimously by a rising vote.

The Board of Supervisors learns with regret of the death of Anthony G. Knight, connected with the office of the Registrar of Voters for over thirty-seven years. During these many years he served the people of San Francisco faithfully and conscientiously.

The members of the Board tender to his family their expressions of sympathy and condolence, and in adjourning today do so out of respect to his memory.

**Recreation Center at Old Lowell High School Site.**

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Communication from S. T. Auerbach, owner of Majestic Hotel, suggesting that the site of the old Lowell High School on north side of Sutter street between Gough and Octavia be developed as a park and recreational center for the people of the district.

*Referred to the Board of Education.*

**Water Supply for Exposition.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Public Utilities Commission inform the Board as to what its arrangement is with the Exposition Company for supplying water.

*So ordered.*

**Petitions for Rapid Transit.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that Mr. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, be requested to furnish the Board with copies of petitions and requests that have been made to the Commission by various improvement clubs and others for transportation.

**Meetings Announced.**

Fire, Safety and Police Committee, November 26, 10:00 a. m.

Joint Committee on Finance and Streets, December 3, 2:30 p. m.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 4:15 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 29, 1937.


Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, November 29, 1937

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 29, 1937, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 29, 1937, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

The Clerk explained that President Shannon was detained in the Mayor's office, but would be in attendance presently.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Mead was elected to preside.

President Shannon was noted present at 2:35 p. m.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of November 22 was considered read and approved.

### SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning Northwest Corner of Fulton Street and Twenty-fifth Avenue.

Hearing of appeal from decision of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1693, dated October 7, 1937, denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the northwest corner of Fulton street and Twenty-fifth avenue.

Charles Brennan, attorney, representing King & Rasmussen, requested permission to withdraw application and being informed that that was not possible under the law, submitted the matter to the Board without hearing.

### Refused Adoption.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *refused adoption* by the following vote:

Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning Northwest Corner of Fulton Street and Twenty-fifth Avenue.

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 3673, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1693, dated October 7, 1937, denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the northwest corner of Fulton street and Twenty-fifth avenue, is hereby disapproved.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Reilly, Roncovieri—3.



**UNFINISHED BUSINESS.****Final Passage.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Appropriation of \$65,000 as City's Contribution Toward Improvement of Third Street, Bayshore Boulevard to Burke Street.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1474, Ordinance No. 9.051432, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$65,000 out of surplus existing in the Unappropriated County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 748.804.00, being San Francisco's contribution toward the improvement of Third street from Bayshore boulevard to Burke street, by the reduction of sidewalk widths on the easterly and westerly sides by five feet. This work to be prosecuted by the Works Progress Administration.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$65,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of surplus existing in the Unappropriated County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 748.804.00, being San Francisco's contribution toward the improvement of Third street from Bayshore boulevard to Burke street, by the reduction of sidewalk widths on the easterly and westerly sides by five feet. This work to be prosecuted by the Works Progress Administration.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

**Appropriation of \$36,000 for Payment of Sick Leave of Municipal Railway Per Diem Men.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1475, Ordinance No. 9.051433, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$36,000 out of the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Funds to the credit of Appropriation No. 765.103.50 to provide for payment of sick leave of Municipal Railway per diem men in accordance with Ordinance No. 4.0521.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$36,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Funds to the credit of Appropriation No. 765.103.50 for the purpose of providing funds for payment of sick leave of Municipal Railway per diem men in accordance with Ordinance No. 4.0521.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

**Appropriation of \$35,280.65 for the Payment of Taxes of Water Department in San Mateo County.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1476, Ordinance No. 9.051434, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$35,280.65 out of the surplus existing in the Water Revenue Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 766.812.00 taxes, to provide funds for the payment of taxes upon Water Department properties in San Mateo County, Santa Clara County and Alameda County.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$35,280.65 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Water Revenue Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 766.812.00 taxes, to provide funds for the payment of taxes upon Water Department properties in San Mateo County, Santa Clara County and Alameda County; said taxes are now ascertained to amount to \$240,280.65 and the amount appropriated for said purpose in the annual appropriation ordinance for the fiscal year 1937-38 is \$205,000.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

### **Amending Salary Ordinance, Interdepartmental Foreman Carpenter.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1477, Ordinance No. 9.053119, as follows:

An ordinance amending Ordinance No. 9.053101 by adding Item 7½ to Section 43, creating a classification of Foreman Carpenter to be used as needed. Compensation heretofore provided in appropriation for interdepartmental and/or repairs to public buildings.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 43 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

#### **Section 43. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR**

Employments as required on miscellaneous repair of public buildings, including schools, as provided in Section 95 of the Charter. Number of employments is enumerated wherever the employee has attained permanent civil service tenure in this department. The employments are *not* established as continuing positions but "as needed" when the services are required and the funds are provided.

#### **INTERDEPARTMENTAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title		
3		A58	Marble Setter's Helper.....day	\$	6
4		A60	*Marble Setter .....day		10
5		A62	Tile Setter .....day		10
6	21	A154	Carpenter . . . . .day		9
7		A158	Sub-Foreman Carpenter .....day		9.50
7½	1	A160	Foreman Carpenter .....day		10
8		A162	Lather . . . . .day		10
9		A153	Hardwood Floorman .....day		10
10	10	A202	Cement Finisher Helper .....day		8
11	3	A204	Cement Finisher .....day		9
12	2	A252	Glazier . . . . .day		8.80
13	1	A252	Glazier . . . . .day		9.50
14	1	A302	Locksmith . . . . .day		9
15	1	A302	Locksmith . . . . .per month		200
16	27	A354	Painter . . . . .day		9.75
17		A380	Paper Hanger . . . . .day		10
18	1	A392	Plasterer . . . . .day		12
19	24	A404	Plumber . . . . .day		10
20	11	A456	Sheet Metal Worker .....day		10
21	1	A458	Sub-Foreman Sheet Metal Worker.day		10.50
22	10	A504	Steamfitter . . . . .day		10
23	1	A551	Apprentice . . . . .day		7



Section 43. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR (Continued)  
INTERDEPARTMENTAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	
24	1	A551	Apprentice . . . . .day	6.50
25	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .day	7
26	1	C152	Watchman . . . . .per month	145
27	1	C202	Window Cleaner . . . . .per month	155
28	1	E108	Electrician . . . . .per month	225
29	6	E108	Electrician . . . . .day	10
30	1	J4	Laborer . . . . .day	6

Teams and trucks at rates established by purchaser's contract.

\*Maximum wage is \$9.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

**Appropriation of \$2,000 to the Credit of Sheriff's Stores Revolving Fund.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1479, Ordinance No. 9.051435, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$2,000 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund by virtue of the increase in revenue from the sale of merchandise in the County Jails to the credit of Appropriation No. 707.966.01, Sheriff's Stores Revolving Fund, for the purposes provided for in Ordinance No. 7.061.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the General Fund by virtue of the increase in revenue from the sale of merchandise in the County Jails to the credit of Appropriation No. 707.966.01, Sheriff's Stores Revolving Fund, for the purposes provided for in Ordinance No. 7.061.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

**Investigation of Fires.**

(Code No. 11.05)

On recommendation of Fire, Safety and Police Committee.

Bill No. 1478, Ordinance No. 11.058, as follows:

Providing for the investigation of the cause, origin and circumstances of fires occurring in the City and County of San Francisco, and the taking of testimony, examination of buildings and property, and safeguarding of property in connection therewith; authorizing the granting, denying and revoking of permits involving explosives, flammable liquids, combustible substances and other substances that are dangerous to life, limb or property as well as the inspection of buildings and property where such substances are kept; and providing a penalty for the wilful hinderance or obstruction of the carrying out of the provisions of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and In-

vestigation, or his duly authorized representative, shall have power to investigate the cause, origin and circumstances of every fire occurring in the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of ascertaining whether the fire was accidental or of criminal origin. He shall keep a record of all fires, together with all the facts, statistics and circumstances, relating to the same as may be determined by such investigations.

The Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation, or his duly authorized representative, shall, when in his opinion such action is necessary, take or cause to be taken the testimony, under oath, of any person or persons believed to have knowledge of any of the facts or circumstances pertaining to the matter under investigation, and may cause the same to be reduced to writing.

In all cases where there is reason to believe that fires are the result of crime or that crime has been committed in connection therewith, he shall be empowered to cause such person or persons to be arrested and charged with such offense, and shall furnish a report in writing of the same to the District Attorney together with the names of witnesses and all information obtained by him, or his duly authorized representative, including a copy of all pertinent and material testimony taken in the case.

Section 2. The Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation, or his duly authorized representative, shall have the power to enter in or upon and examine any building or property wherein or whereon a fire has occurred and any other building or property adjoining or adjacent to the same, at any time of the day or night, for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 3. The Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation, or his duly authorized representative, shall have the power to prohibit the disturbance or removal of any material, substance or device in or upon any building or property wherein or whereon a fire has occurred until completion of the investigation as herein provided.

Section 4. The Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation, or his duly authorized representative, shall have the power to take charge of all moneys, jewelry or other valuables of similar nature that may be found upon the premises of any fire.

In case the lawful owner of such property does not make claim therefore within twenty-four (24) hours the Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation, or his duly authorized representative, shall turn over the same to the Property Clerk of the Police Department and receive his written receipt for the same.

Section 5. The Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation, or his duly authorized representative, shall have the power to grant, deny or revoke permits for the manufacture, storage, keeping, handling, sale, use and transportation of explosives, inflammable liquids, combustible substances or other like substances that are dangerous to life, limb or property, and any equipment, apparatus, appliance or device in connection with which any of these substances are used. He shall likewise enforce all laws, ordinances and regulations in relation to these subject matters.

Section 6. The Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation, or his duly authorized representative, shall have power to make inspections of buildings and property in or upon which is, or is to be, manufactured, stored, kept, handled, sold or used, any explosives, inflammable liquids, combustible substances and other like substances that are dangerous to life, limb or property, or any equipment, apparatus, appliance or device in connection with which any of these substances are used, to determine whether or not compliance is being had with all laws, ordinances and regulations governing the same.

Section 7. In addition to the powers, duties and responsibilities



hereinbefore set forth, the Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation shall, in the absence of an appointment or functioning of a Fire Marshal of the City and County of San Francisco, exercise and discharge all of the powers, duties and responsibilities of the Fire Marshal as well, and any reference to "the Fire Marshal" or to "the Fire Marshal of the City and County of San Francisco" in any ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco shall be considered, in the absence of the appointment or functioning of a Fire Marshal, as referring to the Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation.

Section 8. Any person who shall wilfully hinder or obstruct the Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation, or his duly authorized representative, in the lawful discharge of his duties, shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not more than five hundred (500) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six (6) months or by both such fine and imprisonment.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

#### **Ordering the Improvement (Wherenot) of Sweeny Street Between Boylston and Bowdoin Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1472, Ordinance No. 12.061191, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, November 8, 1937, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of:

Sweeny street (northerly one-half) between Boylston street and 100 feet west of Boylston street;

Sweeny street (northerly one-half) between Bowdoin street and 65.25 feet east of Bowdoin street;

Sweeny street (southerly one-half) between Boylston street and 97.15 feet west of Boylston street;

By the construction of the following:

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>Item</i>
1	6-inch V. C. P. side sewers.
2	Unarmored concrete curb.
3	Asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 4-inch asphaltic concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.
4	Water services installed.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those **certain** lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Lots 2, 3, 4, 5, 23 and 24 of Block 5860; and

Lots 1, 44 and 45 of Block 5877;

all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

### **Establishing Grades on Ottawa Avenue, Delano Avenue, Foote Avenue, Cayuga Avenue and Rome Street.**

(Code No. 12.071)

Also, Bill No. 1473, Ordinance No. 12.07113, as follows:

Establishing grades as per map entitled, "Grade Map Showing the Proposed Establishment of Grades on Ottawa Avenue, Between Alemany Boulevard and the Westerly Line of Otega Avenue; on Delano Avenue, Between Mt. Vernon Avenue and Ottawa Avenue; on Foote Avenue, Between Alemany Boulevard and the S. P. R. R. Right of Way; on Cayuga Avenue, Between Mt. Vernon and Foote Avenues; on Rome Street, Between Mt. Vernon Avenue and the S. P. R. R. Right of Way."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on streets as shown on map entitled: "Grade Map Showing the Proposed Establishment of Grades on Ottawa Avenue, Between Alemany Boulevard and the Westerly Line of Otega Avenue; on Delano Avenue, Between Mt. Vernon Avenue and Ottawa Avenue; on Foote Avenue, Between Alemany Boulevard and the S. P. R. R. Right of Way; on Cayuga Avenue, Between Mt. Vernon and Foote Avenues; on Rome Street, Between Mt. Vernon Avenue and the S. P. R. R. Right of Way," are hereby established at points and elevations above City base as shown on said map, approved by Director of Public Works' Order No. 7168, made October 27, 1937, and filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors, November 1, 1937.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

### **NEW BUSINESS.**

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

#### **Land Purchase—Palo Alto Pipe Line.**

(Code No. 12.17152)

Resolution No. 3653, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, that the City and County of San Francisco ac-



cept deeds from the following named owners for certain land in San Mateo County, California, required for the Palo Alto Pipe Line, and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid for said land from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Mateo County, Superior Court Case No. 27646:

Margaretha McNally, all of Lot 49 as designated on the map entitled "The Dominga Tract," filed November 15, 1895, in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County, State of California, in Liber "B" of Maps, page 49, excepting the northeasterly 25 feet thereof conveyed by Elena Selby, et al., to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company by deed recorded October 9, 1901, in said Recorder's Office in Liber 85 of Deeds, page 498...\$1,150  
 George F. Durham, et ux., and Elizabeth L. Barkley, all of Lot 6, Block 53 as designated on "Map No. 1 Dumbarton Oaks," filed January 20, 1908, in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County, State of California, in Liber 5 of Maps at page 56..... 250

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

#### **Palo Alto Pipe Line Easement.**

(Code No. 15.0241)

Also, Resolution No. 3654, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Bertha M. Kuck and Matilda C. Welsh, to a right of way easement over a 40-foot strip of land in the northeasterly portion of property described in deed recorded May 20, 1916, Liber 254 of Deeds, page 428, San Mateo County Records, San Mateo County, California, required for the Palo Alto Pipe Line, for the sum of \$3,000, payable from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Mateo County, Superior Court Case No. 27646.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

#### **Purchase of Easements for the Palo Alto Pipe Line.**

(Code No. 15.0241)

Also, Resolution No. 3655, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, that the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following named owners to right of way easements over certain lands in San Mateo County, California, required for the Palo Alto Pipe Line, and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid for said easements from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Mateo County, Superior Court Case No. 27646:

Myrtie E. Dayton, 15-foot easement over northeasterly portion of Lot 25, Block 1, "Lloyd Park, Atherton, California" .....\$ 350

California Pacific Title & Trust Company, 30-foot easement over northeasterly portions of Lots 11 to 21, inclusive, Block 1, "Lloyd Park, Atherton, California," and 15-foot easement over northeasterly portion of Lots 22, 23, 24, Block 1, "Lloyd Park, Atherton, California" .....	6,360
Charles Hugh Smith, et al., 40-foot easement over northeasterly portion of Lot 44, "Dominga Tract".....	110
Mathieu Benech, 40-foot easement over northeasterly portion of Lot 40, "Dominga Tract".....	110
Myrtle M. Herrguth, 40-foot easement over northeasterly portion of Lot 35, "Dominga Tract".....	175
Anna Ekholm, 40-foot easement over northeasterly portion of Lots 33 and 34, "Dominga Tract".....	220
Harry Albrecht, et ux., 40-foot easement over northeasterly portion of Lot 43, "Dominga Tract".....	110
John Kellerhals, et ux., 40-foot easement over northeasterly portion of Lot 42, "Dominga Tract".....	110
Americo Ciardella, 40-foot easement over northeasterly portion of Lot 45, "Dominga Tract".....	110
Menlo Park Elementary School District, et al., 40-foot easement over northeasterly portion of lands bounded by Glenwood avenue, Southern Pacific Railroad Company right of way, property of B. M. E. Kuck and the O'Keefe Tract .....	2,250
Mrs. E. J. Hoff, 40-foot easement over northeasterly portion of Lot 41, "Dominga Tract".....	120
A. W. Congdon and Jennie M. Congdon, 40-foot easement over northeasterly portion of lands bounded by center line of Encinal avenue, Southern Pacific Railroad Company right of way, Dominga Tract and the northwesterly production of the northeasterly line of Selby place .....	1,000
Roger Reynolds, 40-foot easement over northeasterly portion of subdivision entitled "Map of Villa Lots at Fair Oaks" .....	4,000
The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.	

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

### Land for Grattan School Playground.

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 3656, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from C. R. Leichenring, et ux., Lot 12, Assessor's Block 1283, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Grattan School Playground, for the sum of \$6,750, payable from Appropriation No. 713.600.11. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.



**Land Purchase—Corona Heights Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 3657, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Augusta Kollman Rehfield, et vir., Lot 20, Assessor's Block 2621, San Francisco, California, required for Corona Heights Playground, for the sum of \$250, payable from Appropriation No. 713.600.12. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

**Land Purchase—Corona Heights Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 3658, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Henry H. Shed, et ux., Lot 21, Assessor's Block 2621, San Francisco, California, required for the Corona Heights Playground, for the sum of \$250, payable from Appropriation No. 713.600.12. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

**Eminent Domain Proceedings—Roosevelt Junior High School.**

(Code No. 6.0211)

Also, Resolution No. 3659, as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Palm avenue, distant thereon 257 feet 7 inches northerly of the northerly line of Geary boulevard; running thence northerly along the westerly line of Palm avenue, 26 feet 5 inches; thence at right angles westerly 120 feet; thence at right angles southerly 26 feet 5 inches; thence at right angles easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Palm avenue and the point of beginning.

Be It Further Resolved, That said land is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the use of the City and County of San Francisco for school purposes in connection with the Roosevelt Junior High School. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken to said parcel of land.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owner of said parcel of land and of any and all interest therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

**Refunds, Duplicate Payments of Taxes.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 3660, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid to the following named, being refunds of erroneous payments of taxes:

*From Duplicate Tax Fund—Appropriation 905.*

- |  |         |
|--|---------|
| (1) G. R. Maas, per Vol. 14, Bill 1279, Lot 44, Block 1824, both installments, fiscal year 1936 .....                                    | \$34.06 |
| (2) S. F. Federal Savings and Loan Association, per Vol. 40, Bill 1900, Lot 16-17, Block 6650, first installment, fiscal year 1935 ..... | 26.70   |
| (3) Bernard A. Smith, per Vol. 36, Bill 1913, Lot 1, Block 6087, second installment, fiscal year 1936.....                               | 8.51    |
| (4) Frank L. Rottig, per Vol. 27, Bill 84, Lot 24, Block 4036, both installments, fiscal year 1936.....                                  | 52.22   |

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

**Approval of Recommendations, Public Welfare Department, for December, 1937.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3661, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Public Welfare Department, containing the additional names of persons and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security, Blind Pensions and Widows' Pensions for the month of December, 1937, and also denials, are hereby approved, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to transmit this approval to the Controller; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors declares an extension of time as necessary in certain cases as requested by the Public Welfare Department.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

**Nineteenth Avenue Track Relocation—Agreement With Market Street Railway Company.**

(Code No. 12.064)

The following resolution, which was refused adoption on November 15, 1937, is re-submitted to the Board for reconsideration by Acting Mayor Warren Shannon:

Resolution No. 3623, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, be and are hereby authorized and directed to execute that certain written agreement, dated September 20, 1937, with the Market Street Railway Company, a corporation, relative to the relocation of the railway tracks on Nineteenth avenue, San Francisco, California, between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard. To defray the cost of said work the City shall pay the company the sum of \$4,517 from Appropriation No. 951.909.02, Project No. 5-B.

Reference is hereby made to said agreement on file in the office of the Director of Property for all particulars contained therein.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Correspondence relating thereto to be read.



MONDAY, NOVEMBER 29, 1937.

## Communication from Acting Mayor Shannon.

November 25, 1937.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: At the regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors on November 15, 1937, you refused to give approval to Resolution No. 3623 authorizing the City and County of San Francisco to enter into a contract with the Market Street Railway Company relative to the relocation of railway tracks on Nineteenth avenue between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard.

I am enclosing a letter received from the Chief Administrative Officer, as well as a copy of the opinion of the City Attorney upon this matter.

In view of the suggestions of the Chief Administrative Officer and the opinion of the City Attorney, by virtue of the authority vested in me by Section 14 of the Charter, I hereby request that the aforesaid resolution be reconsidered by your Board. My reasons for requesting said reconsideration being that the facts relative to this matter are set forth in the opinion of the City Attorney and that said facts may not have been fully before the Board at the time of the original consideration of the resolution.

Yours very truly,

(Signed) WARREN SHANNON,  
Acting Mayor.

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER  
San Francisco  
California.

November 24, 1937.

Subject: Removal of Nineteenth Avenue Car Tracks.

Honorable Warren Shannon, Acting Mayor, City and County of San Francisco.

Dear Mr. Shannon: I am transmitting herewith an opinion from City Attorney John J. O'Toole on the subject of the relocation of tracks on Nineteenth avenue between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard.

In view of the facts recited in the opinion of the City Attorney, I request that, under the authority vested in the Mayor by Section 14 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, you request the Board of Supervisors to reconsider action taken on Resolution No. 3623 at its meeting November 15, 1937, and to the end that, in view of subsequent developments, the Board of Supervisors may take such action as it may deem advisable.

Sincerely yours,

(Signed) ALFRED J. CLEARY,  
Chief Administrative Officer.

*Opinion of City Attorney in re Allowance to Market Street Railway Company for Removal of Railway Tracks on Nineteenth Avenue.*

November 24, 1937.

Dear Sirs: I have your request that I advise you as to the propriety of your making a recommendation for the approval of a contract between the Market Street Railway Company and the City and County of San Francisco relative to the removal of street railway tracks on Nineteenth avenue between Sloat boulevard and Wawona street.

I understand that Nineteenth avenue is being improved out of the one-quarter-cent gas tax fund and, therefore, the expenditures for the improvement of the street must receive the approval of the divisional engineer of the Department of Public Works of the State of California.

In other words, while the work is being done by the City, it is really under the jurisdiction of the State Highway Department.

See: *Southern Cal. Roads Co. v. McGuire et al.*, 2 Cal. (2d) 115.

It further appears that on Nineteenth avenue between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard the Market Street Railway Company is maintaining a double-track street railway constructed some years ago under a franchise granted to the Parkside Railway Company. This franchise has expired by limitation of time and pursuant to a provision in the franchise the rails, poles and trolley lines and all other physical property on the street has reverted to the City.

#### *Opinion.*

As a condition precedent to the approval of the work by the engineer for the State Department of Public Works, it was agreed between the City Engineer and the Highway Division of the Department of Public Works of the State of California that the double line of railway tracks on Nineteenth avenue between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard would be removed and a single track installed in their place, with the exception of a very short space where a double track was necessary to complete the connection with the street car line on Sloat boulevard. As a matter of fact, it was the wish of the State Highway Department that the tracks should be entirely eliminated from Nineteenth avenue and the installation of a single track was a compromise in order to permit the continuation of railway service over Nineteenth avenue and Twentieth avenue.

I also understand that several conferences were held between the representatives of the City, the State Highway Department and the Market Street Railway Company, and that it was finally agreed between all parties that the double tracks should be removed and the single track installed upon the following conditions, to-wit: That the total expense thereof would be approximately \$6,676; that the Market Street Railway Company would contribute to this expense the sum of \$2,159, and that with the approval of the State Highway Department, the City would contribute the remainder of said expense, to-wit, the sum of \$4,517, the last mentioned sum to be paid out of the so-called one-quarter-cent gas tax allotted for the improvement of state highways within the boundaries of San Francisco.

While the terms of this agreement were never definitely set forth, in so far as the obligations of the Market Street Railway Company are concerned, I have before me an agreement entered into under authorization of the Board of Supervisors on September 13, 1937, which provides for the program for the biennium commencing July 1, 1937, for the improvement of highways in San Francisco out of the one-quarter-cent gas tax. This agreement sets forth the various highways in San Francisco which are to be improved, among which is Nineteenth avenue.

In the contract this project is referred to as Project 5A and 5B. 5A provides that the City shall acquire an additional thirty-foot right of way along Nineteenth avenue, and 5B provides that the City shall grade and pave two 38-foot roadways on Nineteenth avenue from Sloat boulevard to Taraval street at a cost of \$4,600, which sum is to be paid from the one-quarter-cent gas tax fund.

While, as I have said, the Market Street Railway Company is not a party in any way to the agreement, from records submitted to me by the Engineering Department of San Francisco, it is evident that the representatives of the Market Street Railway Company were present at the conferences leading to the agreement between the City and the State relative to the improvement of Nineteenth avenue. For instance, I have before me a memorandum of a meeting in your office on April 26th of the present year at which were present with you, Mr. Cahill and Mr. Ost of the Public Utilities Department, Mr. Newton of the Market Street Railway Company, Colonel Skeggs, District Engineer for the State Highway Department, Messrs. Hester, Casey and



Ohmen of the Department of Engineering of the City. At that time Mr. Newton stated that as long as the Market Street Railway Company had no franchise and could be stopped from operating its tracks over Nineteenth avenue and Twentieth avenue at any time, that it would not be willing to expend any amount in the relocation of the tracks. Thereupon, Colonel Skeggs, representing the State Highway Department, said that the State would contribute out of the one-quarter-cent gas tax for the purpose of relocating the tracks on a single-track basis on the avenue between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard an amount sufficient to defray the cost of labor, ballast and paving if the Railway Company would furnish all track materials, ties, poles and rails and superintend their installation. Mr. Newton thereupon stated that he would take the offer to his superiors and in due time advise the City Engineer, as well as the State Highway Department, as to whether or not the suggestion would be accepted. Finally, after considerable negotiations, and prior to the 13th day of September, 1937, the Railway Company accepted the suggestion of Colonel Skeggs and it was tacitly agreed that the cost of removing the tracks and installing the single track would be carried out on the financial basis above set forth, that is, that the Railway Company would pay \$2,159 and the remaining \$4,517 would be made a charge against the one-quarter-cent gas tax.

Thereafter, and on the 13th day of September, 1937, under resolution of the Board of Supervisors, a memorandum of agreement was entered into between the Chief Administrative Officer of San Francisco, the Director of Public Works and the State of California Department of Public Works covering the work to be done in San Francisco for the present biennium out of the one-quarter-cent gas tax.

Subsequently a tentative agreement was prepared between the City and the Market Street Railway Company which practically carried out the conditions regarding the removal of the tracks which had been theretofore agreed upon between the City, the State and the Market Street Railway Company. This agreement was submitted to me for approval. I found that the agreement did not cover certain conditions which I thought should be re-written therein and after conferring with the attorneys for the Railway Company these conditions were agreed to and I re-drafted the agreement and approved it as to form. The main change which I made in the agreement was that by reason of the City contributing or permitting the removal and installation of the railway tracks, the Company would not gain any right to operate its railway tracks over Nineteenth avenue or Twentieth avenue which it did not have at the date of the execution of the agreement. In other words, the expiration of the franchise would not be waived. In this form I approved the agreement and returned it to the Director of Property. I understand that subsequently the agreement was signed by all interested parties under the misapprehension that the proper resolution of the Board of Supervisors had been passed authorizing its execution.

From the foregoing the following conclusions appear to me:

That it was agreed between the State Highway Department of the State of California, the Director of Public Works of San Francisco, and the representatives of the Market Street Railway Company, that the railway tracks on Nineteenth avenue between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard should be re-located; that the Company should pay an agreed amount to defray a portion of the expense of removal and that the remainder of said expense should be met out of the one-quarter-cent gas tax as a cost incident to the improvement of Nineteenth avenue; that thereafter a memorandum of agreement was, under authority of the Board of Supervisors, entered into between the State Department of Public Works of the State of California and the City, which provided for the improvement of Nineteenth avenue and definitely set aside the sum of \$4,600 to cover the cost of the city's work on Nineteenth avenue between Sloat boulevard and Taraval street, which included the relocation of the tracks of the Market

Street Railway Company. This agreement was ratified by the Board of Supervisors, and although the Market Street Railway Company was not a party to it, it was understood between all of the parties, including the Market Street Railway Company, that the tracks should be relocated on the basis hereinbefore set forth; that the Director of Works, the City Engineer and the Director of Property, acting upon this agreement, proceeded to enter into the necessary agreement with the Market Street Railway Company to carry out the terms heretofore agreed upon; that while this agreement was signed, no authority of the Board of Supervisors was ever given for its execution.

You are, therefore, advised that in view of the fact that the tracks in question are owned by the City and are at the present time being used for the accommodation of the public generally, and that the State Department of Public Works has approved the improvement of Nineteenth avenue, the plans for which improvement included the payment of the necessary amount to defray the City's contribution to the cost of the removal and relocation of said railway tracks, the Board of Supervisors has full power to authorize an agreement between the City and the Market Street Railway Company which will carry out the conditions tentatively agreed upon between the City and the Company, which includes the payment of \$4,517 to defray the City's portion of the cost of relocating the tracks. It is needless to say that this is a matter, like the approval of any other contract, which lies within the sound discretion of the Board of Supervisors, and if that body deems any other course, as to the handling of these tracks, more beneficial, it may refuse to approve the agreement.

If you should desire to ask further consideration of this matter at the hands of the Board, my suggestion is that you request the Acting Mayor that he request, pursuant to the provisions of section 14 of the Charter, reconsideration of Resolution No. 3623, which was refused passage on November 15th.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN J. O'TOOLE,  
City Attorney.

#### Motions.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the vote by which Resolution No. 3623 was *refused passage* be reconsidered.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Mead, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

Supervisor Reilly moved reference of the matter to Public Utilities and Finance Committee jointly. Motion *carried*.

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved to rescind action on reference to committee. No objection and *so ordered*.

#### Adopted.

Supervisor Colman moved adoption of Resolution No. 3623.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Mead, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

#### Motion to Reconsider.

Before the result of the foregoing ballot was announced, Supervisor Uhl changed his vote from *no* to *aye* and moved for reconsideration at next meeting.



**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Land Acquisition—Nineteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Resolution No. 3662, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco hereby accepts that certain deed from Title Insurance and Guaranty Company, dated November 9, 1937, to portions of Lots 10-E, 10-F and 10-G, Assessor's Block 1925, San Francisco, California, acquired in connection with the widening of Nineteenth avenue.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

**Closing and Abandoning Dorland Street Between Dolores and Church Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0622)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 3663, as follows:

Whereas, on the 18th day of October, 1937, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 3592, Code No. 12.0621, which resolution was presented to his Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 19th day of October, 1937, said resolution being in words and figures as follows:

*Intention to Close and Abandon Dorland Street Between Dolores and Church Streets.*

Resolution No. 3592, Code No. 12.0621.

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of Dorland street be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Dorland street lying between the westerly line of Dolores street and the easterly line of Church street.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Dorland street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this Resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Dorland street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted* by the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, October 18, 1937.

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Shannon—3.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, October 19, 1937.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

And Whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution and the said Department of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 3592, Code No. 12.0621; and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that Dorland street between Dolores and Church streets, as described in Resolution No. 3592, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered that Dorland street between Dolores and Church streets, as specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 3592, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing Dorland street between Dolores and Church streets as described in Resolution No. 3592, Code No. 12.0621, shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco as proposed and provided in Resolution No. 3592, Code No. 12.0621; and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of Dorland street between Dolores and Church streets, as described in Resolution No. 3592, Code No. 12.0621, shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works and that the Department of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

### Acceptance of Williams Avenue Between Third Street and Newhall Street.

(Code No. 12.0811)

Bill No. 1482, Ordinance No. 12.081133, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Williams avenue between Third street and Newhall street (west line produced), including the intervening intersections, and including the conform work in the intersection of Williams avenue and Third street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City



Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Williams avenue between Third street and Newhall street (west line produced), including the intervening intersections, and including the conform work in the intersection of Williams avenue and Third street.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

### Adopted.

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

### Intention to Change and Establish Grades on San Bruno Avenue and Other Streets.

(Code No. 12.0721)

Resolution No. 3664, as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above City base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Order No. 7301 of the Director of Public Works, dated November 19, 1937, making written recommendation of said action, filed with said Board, November 24, 1937, to-wit:

Recommendation for the changing and the establishing of grades as follows:

<i>San Bruno Avenue:</i>	<i>Feet</i>
On a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, 68.46 feet northeasterly from Hilton street easterly line.....	9.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
On a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, at Hilton street easterly line .....	9.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Northwesterly curb line of, 243.29 feet northeasterly from Tompkins avenue (measured along the curb line).....	9.00
Easterly curb line of, at Dickinson street.....	9.00
Westerly curb line of, 113.39 feet northerly from Tompkins avenue (measured along the curb line).....	10.00
Westerly curb line of, 83 feet northerly from Alemany boulevard .....	10.00
Northerly end of the easterly curb return to Alemany boulevard .....	9.00
Northerly end of the westerly curb return to Alemany boulevard .....	9.00
Southerly end of the westerly curb return to Alemany boulevard .....	9.00
Southerly end of the easterly curb return to Alemany boulevard .....	9.00
8 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 69.47 feet southerly from Alemany boulevard .....	9.00
8 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 1.12 feet southerly from Perasto street .....	9.00
On a line at right angles to the center line of, 140 feet northerly from the first angle northerly from Rickard street .....	10.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	

### *Jarboe Avenue:*

San Bruno avenue northwesterly line.....	9.00
--	------

*Jarboe Avenue (Continued)*

On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, at San Bruno avenue northwesterly line.....	9.00
Holladay avenue northeasterly corner.....	52.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Holladay avenue southeasterly corner.....	47.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*Hilton Street:*

Easterly line of, at San Bruno avenue northwesterly line...	9.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Westerly line of, 47.83 feet northerly from San Bruno avenue .....	9.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Westerly line of, at San Bruno avenue northwesterly line...	9.00

*Holladay Avenue:*

Jarboe avenue, southwest corner .....	52.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Jarboe avenue, southeast corner .....	47.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at San Bruno avenue northwesterly line.....	9.00
Westerly line of, at San Bruno avenue northwesterly line..	9.00

*Tompkins Avenue:*

Northerly line of, at San Bruno avenue westerly line.....	10.00
Northerly line of, at Peralta avenue easterly line.....	10.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*Crescent Avenue:*

At right angles to the northwesterly line of, at Peralta avenue westerly line .....	10.00
At right angles to the northwesterly line of, at Bradford street easterly line .....	10.80
(The same being the present official grade.)	

*Peralta Avenue:*

Westerly line of, at Tompkins avenue southerly line.....	10.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Westerly line of, at Crescent avenue northwesterly line...	10.00

*Dickinson Street:*

Abolish grades between San Bruno avenue and a point 100 feet southeasterly from Marengo street.....

On San Bruno avenue between the Bay Shore boulevard and a line at right angles to the center line of, 140 feet northerly from the first angle northerly from Rickard street; on Jarboe avenue between San Bruno avenue and Holladay avenue; on Hilton street between San Bruno avenue and a line at right angles to the westerly line of, and 47.83 feet northerly from San Bruno avenue; on Holladay avenue between Jarboe avenue and San Bruno avenue; on Tompkins avenue between San Bruno avenue and Peralta avenue; on Crescent avenue between San Bruno avenue and a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, at Bradford street easterly line; on Peralta avenue between Tompkins avenue and Crescent avenue, and on Dickinson street between San Bruno avenue and a point 100 feet southeasterly from Marengo street be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change



or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

**Fixing Time for Hearing Appeal, Rezoning of North Side of Grove Street, 87 Feet 6 Inches East of Webster Street.**

(Code No. 13.02)

On recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.

Resolution No. 3665, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1698, dated October 21, 1937, granting application to rezone from Second Residential District to Light Industrial District, property located at the north side of Grove street, 87 feet 6 inches east of Webster street, is hereby set for Monday, December 6, 1937, at 2 p. m.

Filed in Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, November 19, 1937.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

**Fixing Time for Hearing Appeal, Rezoning of Southwest Corner of Buchanan and Fulton Streets.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3666, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1699, granting application to rezone from Second Residential District to Light Industrial District, property located at the southwest corner of Buchanan and Fulton streets, is hereby set for Monday, December 6, 1937, at 2 p. m.

Filed in Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, November 20, 1937.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Leave of Absence—Ruth Turner, Member of Welfare Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

On recommendation of Acting Mayor Warren Shannon.

Resolution No. 3667, as follows:

Resolved, That Ruth Turner, a member of the Welfare Commission, be, and she is hereby granted permission to leave the State of California for a period not exceeding thirty (30) days from and after the first day of December, 1937, for the purpose of attending a meeting of the American Public Welfare Association to be held in the city of Washington on December 10th, 11th and 12th of the present year,

and that said attendance at said meeting be held a part of the duties of said Ruth Turner and that the expense of attending said meeting be made a charge against the funds of the Public Welfare Department.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

**Leave of Absence—Supervisor Jesse C. Colman.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3668, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of Hon. Warren Shannon, Acting Mayor, Hon. Jesse C. Colman, Member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ten days, commencing November 29, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

**Expression of Thanks to Bernstein Family for Thanksgiving Dinner for the Poor, Each Year.**

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 3669, as follows:

Whereas, the spreading of cheer to the unfortunates of a city on such an occasion as Thanksgiving has become impersonal through the exigencies of community organization; and

Whereas, the true significance of such a holiday is only imparted through a spirit of genuine hospitality and friendliness; and

Whereas, for twenty years a unique observance has been conducted in this City by one of its notable business enterprises, namely, the policy established by the late Maurice Bernstein who in 1907 first closed the doors of his restaurant to the general public that he might at his own expense serve a Thanksgiving Dinner to many of our citizens who otherwise would be unable to partake of the holiday's spirit; and

Whereas, since the death of said Maurice Bernstein this sympathetic gesture has been continued uninterruptedly by his widow, Jessie Bernstein, and his son, Joseph Bernstein, so that year in and year out some 3500 men, women and children, have been afforded an opportunity to fully participate in the significance of this occasion without regard to race, color or creed; and

Whereas, the conduct of this Thanksgiving Dinner on Thursday, November 25, 1937, marked the 20th anniversary of this event at Bernstein's Fish Grotto, in the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, on behalf of the citizens do hereby express their appreciation to the Bernstein family; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to Mrs. Jessie Bernstein.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.



**Appointment Citizens' Committee—Lions Club Baby Contest.**

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 3670, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to assist the Lions Club in their contest to select, in San Francisco, the baby most perfect in health.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

**Free Tolls for American Intercoastal Vessels Through Panama Canal.**

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 3671, as follows:

Whereas, two large American steamship companies whose steamships, flying the American flag, are engaged regularly in transporting freight and passengers to and from the port of San Francisco and other Pacific coast ports and other American ports on the Atlantic coast, have made application to the federal government for permission to withdraw such steamships from said intercoastal trade; and

Whereas, the withdrawal of such "luxury liners" will be a serious blow to the port of San Francisco, resulting in loss of business and prestige to California in general and to San Francisco in particular; and

Whereas, said steamship companies and the federal governmental agencies controlling the operations of the same have announced that said steamships have been operated at a loss due, in great part, to the payment by said steamships of many thousands of dollars, to the United States Government, each time any of said steamships passes through the Panama Canal; and

Whereas, the Panama Canal was constructed solely by the government of the United States, with the money of American taxpayers, primarily for the use and benefit of the American people; and

Whereas, the imposition of heavy tolls on American vessels engaged in intercoastal trade, by the United States government, is inconsistent with the policy of the American people in developing an American merchant marine; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, That we respectfully petition the government of the United States, including the President and the Congress, to forthwith cause appropriate legislation to be enacted at the coming session of the Congress to relieve all American vessels engaged entirely in intercoastal trade from the imposition of any tolls while passing through the American-owned Panama Canal; be it

Further Resolved, That copies hereof be forwarded by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to His Excellency, the President of the United States, to the Senate and the House of Representatives of the United States, and to all members of Congress from California.

*Referred to Exposition Affairs and Commercial Development Committee.*

### Condition of Market Street Railway Tracks.

The following was read by the Clerk and ordered *filed*.

November 26, 1937.

Mr. W. H. Worden, Director, Department of Public Works, City and County of San Francisco.

Dear Sir: Pursuant to instructions received on November 15, 1937, I have endeavored to appraise the work performed by the Market Street Railway Company on its tracks during the interim between the time our survey report was submitted on September 1, 1936, and the present date. On account of the lack of time and funds, we found it impossible to conduct as close an inspection as might be desirable. The Market Street Railway Company was requested to furnish a list of its operations on its trackage, but this was not forthcoming, and hence it became necessary to conduct an independent examination.

It was found that where trackage has been improved by the Market Street Railway Company, only sufficient work, such as **shimming and** partial restoration, was performed to eliminate most of the wear and tear on its rolling stock. The crossings needed rebalasting or shimming, and low spots were in evidence along the rails. The pavement adjacent to the rails was repaired in the worst spots, but little attention was given to the problem as a whole.

It is our opinion that whatever benefit might be derived from such patching is offset by the further deterioration of pavement along the line of tracks. Only where material improvement has been evidenced has credit been given.

The repair of track areas on Howard street between The Embarcadero and Thirteenth street, and on Van Ness avenue South between Thirteenth and Twenty-sixth streets, also on what is known as the Parkside Franchise, was included in the estimate previously submitted, as the company was operating over said lines, but as said franchises have expired and have not been renewed, credit for these repairs is also listed.

Estimate of cost of restoration, September 1, 1936.....	\$1,118,171
Twentieth avenue, Lincoln way to Wawona street:	
Wawona street, Nineteenth to Twentieth avenues; Nineteenth avenue, Wawona street to Sloat boulevard .....	\$ 161,362
(Parkside Franchise, expired)	
Howard street, The Embarcadero to Thirteenth street; Van Ness avenue South, Thirteenth to Twenty-sixth street .....	22,141
(Franchise expired)	
Haight street, Baker street to Central avenue.....	232
California street, Parker avenue to Presidio avenue .....	7,915
Mission street, Fifth to Sixth streets.....	987
San Jose avenue, Ocean to Santa Ynez avenue...	447
Chenery street, Diamond to Randall street.....	224
Fillmore street, Filbert to Marina.....	1,229
Estimate of repairs that should be made by the Market Street Railway Company in the restoration of trackage and pavements within its right of way .....	923,634
	<hr/>
	\$1,118,171

---

\$1,118,171

It is our opinion that the amount necessary for repairs in order to restore the Market Street Railway Company's tracks to a satisfactory condition is \$923,634.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN J. CASEY,  
City Engineer.



## MARKET STREET RAILWAY COMPANY

San Francisco, California

Office of Samuel Kahn, President

November 27, 1937.

Mr. Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, Civic Center, San Francisco.

Dear Mr. Cleary: We acknowledge receipt of your letter of Nov. 16, enclosing copy of a report from Mr. Worden, dated Sept. 1, 1936, relating to the tracks and pavement adjacent to the tracks of this company.

With respect to the obligations of this company we believe we are complying and will continue to comply with the same. The schedule incorporated herein shows the work the company has done upon its tracks and the pavement in keeping its system in condition for its operation and service to the public.

While dated September 1, 1936, the report, enclosed with your letter, indicates that the City's survey began July 2, 1936; therefore, in making our study we have compiled data relating to our activities for the months of July, 1936, to September, 1937, inclusive. During this period we spent the sum of \$591,986 on the tracks of this company and paving, as follows:

<i>Street</i>	<i>Amount</i>	<i>Street</i>	<i>Amount</i>
Brannan .....	\$ 3,633	Mission .....	95,891
Broadway .....	322	Mission So. Co. Line.....	21,251
Bryant .....	11,466	Masonic avenue .....	1,304
Bush .....	1,685	Monterey boulevard .....	1,553
California .....	14,826	Nineteenth avenue .....	1,040
Carl .....	1,040	Ninth street .....	3,048
Castro .....	3,824	Oak .....	2,403
Chenery .....	2,769	Ocean avenue .....	23,955
Church .....	484	O'Farrell .....	2,550
Clay .....	3,722	Onondaga avenue .....	1,099
Clayton .....	5,116	Page .....	1,187
Clement .....	6,237	Parnassus avenue .....	1,465
Cortland .....	2,681	Parker avenue .....	806
Diamond .....	1,245	Polk .....	6,740
Divisadero .....	1,685	Post .....	571
Eddy .....	1,568	Powell .....	5,421
Eighth avenue .....	1,363	Precita avenue .....	1,055
Eighth street .....	440	Presidio avenue .....	3,224
Ellis .....	5,378	Sacramento .....	20,748
The Embarcadero .....	2,066	Sansome .....	3,355
Ferry Loop .....	3,971	San Bruno avenue .....	1,714
Fillmore .....	15,107	San Jose avenue .....	2,173
Fifth street .....	982	Second street .....	996
Folsom .....	43,132	Seventeenth street .....	410
Fourth .....	6,799	Sixth street .....	6,702
Forty-eighth avenue .....	3,111	Sixteenth street .....	7,092
Frederick .....	2,183	Sixth avenue .....	2,975
Fulton .....	34,448	Stanyan .....	3,619
Geneva avenue .....	5,029	Sutter .....	6,843
Guerrero .....	7,517	Taylor .....	9,104
Haight .....	11,942	Third .....	17,173
Hayes .....	8,513	Twentieth avenue .....	1,099
Harrison .....	733	Twenty-fourth street ....	5,744
Howard .....	7,026	Twenty-sixth .....	425
Jackson .....	5,509	Twenty-ninth .....	4,015
Judah .....	44	Thirtieth .....	366
Kearny .....	5,553	Turk .....	7,121
Lake .....	2,667	Valencia .....	6,359
Larkin .....	762	Washington .....	615
Lincoln way .....	923	Van Ness avenue South..	396
Market .....	55,288		
McAllister .....	14,931		
Mason .....	659		
			<hr/>
			\$591,986

We have an organization of more than 200 men whose activities are devoted to such work.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) SAMUEL KAHN,  
President.

**Clerk to Communicate with Market Street Railway re Repairs to  
Pavement Adjoining Tracks.**

(Code No. 15.091)

Supervisor Mead presented:

Resolution No. 3672, as follows:

Whereas, much of the street surface adjacent to the tracks of the Market Street Railway Company is in a deplorable state of disrepair; and

Whereas, this condition constitutes a hazard and a menace to the safety and comfort of pedestrians as well as automobilists in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, officials of the City and County of San Francisco have repeatedly importuned the Market Street Railway Company to comply with the plain provision of its franchises and operating permit which requires the Company to pave and keep in good condition the street area between and for a distance of two feet outside its rails and tracks, to the satisfaction of the Department of Public Works; and

Whereas, the Market Street Railway Company has failed and neglected to comply with said provision of its franchises and operating permit; and

Whereas, no assurance has been forthcoming from the Market Street Railway Company that it will endeavor to properly fulfill its obligations; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize and instruct its Clerk to communicate with the Market Street Railway Company, calling attention to its laxity in this respect and requesting the Company to inform this Board, within fifteen days thereafter, of its position and attitude in this matter and what it proposes to do by way of complying in this regard with its obligations under its franchises and operating permit; and be it

Further Resolved, That unless within the aforementioned period of time, this Board of Supervisors receives definite assurance from the Market Street Railway Company to the effect that it will immediately embark upon a comprehensive program of street repair and reconstruction, as required by its franchises and operating permit, which program shall be satisfactory to the Department of Public Works and this Board and convinces them of an earnest desire on the part of the Company to properly and expeditiously fulfill its obligations, then and in that event the City Attorney shall be authorized and instructed to immediately institute such proceedings as are necessary to compel the Market Street Railway Company to perform the paving and reconstruction work required to be done by the Company under its franchises and operating permit.

*Referred to Public Utilities and Streets Committee.*

**Federal Aid for State Highways.**

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Communication from Redwood Empire Association urging the adoption of resolutions providing for the sending of a telegram to President Roosevelt requesting that there be no curtailment of federal aid for highway construction in California.

*Referred to the Finance Committee.*



**In Memoriam—Kenneth R. Kingsbury.**

The Board of Supervisors of San Francisco, on motion of Supervisor Colman, expresses deepest regret in the untimely passing of Kenneth R. Kingsbury. Mr. Kingsbury was foremost among the patriotic citizens of San Francisco, and strove at all times to make this City a more desirable place in which to live. In his business relations and in the conduct of his great company, he displayed even-handed justice and humanitarian impulses in dealing with all his associates; and those who were in the employ of the Standard Oil Company remember with lasting gratitude his fairness in dealing with them and their problems.

As a Trustee of the War Memorial, he made the project an outstanding civic success.

An enduring monument to his memory will be the gratitude of all with whom he came in contact.

The Board of Supervisors expresses to his widow its deepest sympathies and adjourns out of respect to the memory of the late Kenneth R. Kingsbury.

*Adopted unanimously by a rising vote.*

**In Memoriam—David Wooster Taylor.**

On motion of Supervisor Colman:

It is with great sorrow the Board of Supervisors learned of the passing of David Wooster Taylor, one of the most lovable and kindly newspaper men in San Francisco journalistic history. For many years Mr. Taylor was associated with the officials of San Francisco, both as a newspaper man and as a confidential associate of the late Mayor Rolph.

He made and held the friendship of all with whom he came in contact. His loss is truly a great one and the Board of Supervisors extends to his family its sincerest sympathy.

As a mark of respect the Board adjourned out of respect to the memory of the late David Wooster Taylor.

*Adopted unanimously by a rising vote.*

**In Memoriam—Anthony F. (Tony) Freitas.**

On motion of Supervisor Colman:

The Board of Supervisors learned with deep regret of the passing of Tony Freitas, one of the trusted and faithful employees of the San Francisco Water Department. The members of the Board held an extreme, personal friendship for him and the years which they knew him were full of kindest and friendliest associations.

His unfailing courtesy endeared him to everyone. The Board extends to his family its deepest sympathy and as a mark of respect, adjournment is had this day in memory of Tony Freitas.

*Adopted unanimously by a rising vote.*

**In Memoriam—Charles J. Collins, Jr.**

On motion of Supervisor Reilly:

It is with great sorrow the Board of Supervisors learns of the sudden and untimely death of Charles J. Collins, Jr. The passing of this young man is a shock to his great number of friends and a terrible blow to his family.

To his father and to his family the Board extends its sincerest sympathy and as a mark of respect adjourns in memory of the late Charles J. Collins, Jr.

*Adopted unanimously by a rising vote.*

**Public Utilities Commission to Furnish Information Regarding  
New Bus Line on Tenth Avenue.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that Public Utilities Commission be requested to furnish the following information regarding the new bus line on Tenth avenue; also on the Municipal cars:

*Maintenance*

Supervision	Outside Repairs
Wages of Mechanics	Accident Repairs
Other Garage Labor	Other Garage Expense
Material and Parts	Total Maintenance

*Transportation*

Supervision	Tires and Tubes
Wages of Drivers	Other Transportation Expense
Gas, Oil and Grease	Total Transportation

*General and Administration Expense*

Supervision	Legal Expense
Clerical Salaries	Insurance, PL & PD
Office Expense	Insurance, Other
Stationery Expense	Traffic Promotion
Telephone, etc.	Station Expense
Travel Expense	Rent, Light and Heat
Other Miscellaneous Expense	Total Administration

*Provision for Reserves*

Depreciation—coaches

Total Expense.

Supervisor Uhl requests this information by December 6, 1937.

**Palo Alto Pipe Line Purchases.**

Supervisor Uhl requested information as to City's purchases of land and easements for Palo Alto Pipe Line.

**Transfer of Balboa Park Property to Fire Department for Handball  
Court.**

The following was read by the Clerk:

November 25, 1937.

To Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, California.

Following, for your information, is an extract from the minutes of a Park Commission meeting, held November 19, 1937:

"There was next presented a communication from the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors written at the instigation of Supervisor Uhl, stressing the desirability of transferring to the Fire Department sufficient land for a handball court, to the north of the Balboa Park fire house.

"Unanimously denied, both for the reason that no funds are available for the construction of a court and that the transfer to other departments of land dedicated for park purposes is contrary to Charter provisions."

(Signed) B. P. LAMB,  
Secretary.

Supervisor Uhl requested:

Following reading of communication from the Secretary of the Park Commission, regarding transfer of Balboa Park property to the Fire Department for a handball court, that the Clerk ask the Park Commission if it would be agreeable, without title passing, and the cost



of same not to be an obligation of the Park Commission, for the construction of handball court to the north of the Balboa Park fire-house, that court to be available to the boys and girls of San Francisco when not occupied by members of the Fire Department.

#### Use of Palace of Fine Arts for a Museum of Science and Industry.

The following was read by the Clerk:

November 25, 1937.

To Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, California.

Following, for your information, is an extract from the minutes of a meeting of the Board of Park Commissioners, held November 19, 1937:

"The Secretary then read a resolution of the Board of Supervisors, seeking an expression of opinion from the Commission as to the availability of the Palace of Fine Arts for the establishment of a San Francisco Museum of Science and Industry.

"Secretary instructed to notify the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors that compliance with the suggestion outlined in the resolution, would be contrary to the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco."

(Signed) B. P. LAMB,  
Secretary.

#### Meeting of State Highway Commission.

Supervisor Ratto announced a meeting of the State Highway Commission, at Watsonville, on December 3, 1937, on Ocean Shore Highway, District No. 9, and requested authorization of the Board to attend. Supervisor Ratto is President of District, so no authorization needed.

#### Supervisor Brown Excused.

Supervisor Brown requested to be excused from next Monday's meeting. On motion of Supervisor Colman.

#### Funston Avenue Approach to Golden Gate Bridge.

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Communication from Civic League of Improvement Clubs re Funston avenue approach to the Golden Gate Bridge.

*Referred to Col. Skeggs, State Highway Engineer.*

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at 4:40 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 6, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

**Vol. 32**

**SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.**

**No. 49**

**Monday, December 6, 1937**


# **Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors**

**City and County of San Francisco**



**The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.**





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

---

MONDAY, DECEMBER 6, 1937, 2 P. M.

---

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 6, 1937,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of November 29, 1937, was  
considered read and approved.

## Referred.

The following matter was *referred to the Public Utilities Committee,*  
*meeting Friday, December 10, 1937, at 11 a. m.:*

### Water Rate Schedule.

*(Filed with Board of Supervisors November 30, 1937, at 9:41 a. m.)*

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION.

GENERAL OFFICE,  
287 CITY HALL.

November 30, 1937.

The Honorable, The Board of Supervisors, City Hall:

Gentlemen: Herewith please find certified copy of Resolution No.  
2331 adopted at meeting of Public Utilities Commission held Monday,  
November 29, 1937, covering revised schedule of rates to be charged  
by San Francisco Water Department for water service for commercial,  
industrial and general uses in San Francisco and suburban districts,  
which is submitted to your Honorable Board for approval.

Very truly yours,

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

(Signed) FORREST B. GIBBON,  
Secretary.

Resolution No. 2331:

Resolved, That pursuant to notice of intention to revise the schedule  
of rates to be charged by San Francisco Water Department for water  
served for commercial, industrial and general uses in San Francisco  
and suburban districts, heretofore published as is required by the



charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and after public hearing being held in accordance with the aforesaid notice, the following schedule of rates to be charged for water service to domestic, commercial, industrial and other uses in San Francisco and suburban districts be, and the same is hereby adopted:

*General Uses—Metered Service.*

Applying to Domestic, Commercial, Industrial and General Uses in San Francisco and Suburban Districts.

First: A monthly service charge based on the sizes of meters. On batteries of meters the charge is to be based on the size of single meter of equivalent capacity.

$\frac{5}{8}$ " (Standard residential and small commercial service) 70c per month.

$\frac{3}{4}$ "—\$1.10	$1\frac{1}{2}$ "—\$2.70	3"—\$ 8.65	6"—\$27.00
1"—1.60	2"—4.85	4"—13.50	8"—43.20

Second: For water delivered, based on monthly readings:

For the first.....	3,300 cubic feet—25.9 cents per 100
For the next .....	30,000 cubic feet—22.7 cents per 100
For the next .....	300,000 cubic feet—18.0 cents per 100
For all over .....	333,300 cubic feet—10.0 cents per 100

Except that when the volume of water delivered to a consumer in any monthly period is in excess of 20,000,000 cubic feet, the rate shall be \$6.065 cents per 100 cubic feet for all water delivered to said consumer during that month, provided, however, that if the application of the scheduled rates for delivery of less than 20,000,000 cubic feet during the month shall result in a charge in excess of the charge for 20,000,000 cubic feet, then the total charge shall be reduced to equal that for 20,000,000 cubic feet, and also except that service from the Palo Alto pipe line will in general be by special contract or agreement, under which the consumer will be required to pay a proportionate cost of the pipe line based on the maximum demand, in addition to the regular monthly service and water charges on basis of the rates above scheduled for "General Uses—Metered Service." For all other water service from the Palo Alto pipe line there shall be a monthly service charge based on the size of meter in accordance with the foregoing schedule, together with a usage charge for water delivered on the basis of the following schedule:

For the first.....	3,300 cubic feet—28.9 cents per 100
For the next.....	30,000 cubic feet—25.7 cents per 100
For the next.....	300,000 cubic feet—21.0 cents per 100
For all over.....	333,300 cubic feet—13.0 cents per 100

*Docks and Shipping Supply.*

For regularly metered service—"GENERAL USE" charges to apply. For special shipping service—including hose cart and other special service from open docks through common hydrants where delivery is not through a service and meter for which the taker is responsible.

For the first.....	1,300 cubic feet—\$1.08 per 100
For the next.....	2,000 cubic feet— .259 per 100
For the next.....	30,000 cubic feet— .227 per 100
For the next.....	300,000 cubic feet— .18 per 100
For all over.....	333,300 cubic feet— .10 per 100

Minimum charge for each delivery, trip or monthly use, \$2.70.

*Builders' and Contractors' Supply.*

Metered service—Through permanently metered services or through temporary services, the cost of which temporary service installation is to be paid by the contractors—"GENERAL USE" charges to apply.

Service through Fire Hydrants and other unmetered services—(The

contractor to obtain permission for use of Fire Hydrants from the Board of Fire Commissioners.)

For water required for concrete, brick, rubble or other masonry construction, per cubic yard.....	11.0 cents
For water required for each barrel of cement or lime for any purpose .....	11.0 cents
For water required for grading streets, including water used by steam rollers, per 100 sq. ft.....	8.6 cents
For water required for settling earth fills, grading and back-filling trenches, including water required by steam roller, per cubic yard of earth.....	4.3 cents
For water required for small steam or gas engine used on construction work, per 8-hour day.....	43.0 cents

*Automatic Fire Sprinkler Service.*

Applicable only to straight automatic fire sprinkler service to which no connections for other purposes are allowed and which are regularly inspected by the Underwriters having jurisdiction and are connected to an alarm system and installed according to specifications of the San Francisco Water Department and are maintained to the satisfaction of said Department and are protected against theft, leakage, or waste of water.

First: A monthly service charge on all sizes of meters, \$3 per month.

Second: For water delivered, based on monthly readings, "GENERAL USE" charges to apply.

The above schedule for sprinkler service applies only to installations in San Francisco which were made subsequent to October 26, 1932, or installations made prior to said date, when the consumer shall have paid for a period of three years or more a monthly service charge on the following schedules:

4" meter—\$ 9.00 per month	6" meter—\$15.00 per month
8" meter—\$24.00 per month.	

*Public Uses.*

**Fire Hydrants—**

Monthly charges for each municipal fire hydrant attached to the system of the San Francisco Water Department, \$3.

Public Buildings, Parks and other metered service—"GENERAL USE" charges to apply.

**Street: Sprinkling and flushing—**

Quantities to be computed from records of tank wagons. All such water to be taken as one amount although drawn from various hydrants and charged for at "GENERAL USE" rates. No service charge is to be applied.

Be It Further Resolved, That the foregoing Schedule of Rates be submitted to the Board of Supervisors for approval and when approved by that Board, said rates shall go into effect commencing the first day of the month following action by the Board of Supervisors.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Public Utilities Commission at its meeting of November 29, 1937.

FORREST B. GIBBON,  
Secretary.

**HEARING OF APPEAL—2 P. M.**

**Improvement of California Street Near Tenth Avenue.**

Hearing of appeal of property owners against assessment for cost of improvement of south one-half of California street between Tenth avenue and a point 107 feet 6 inches easterly and at certain other locations.



**Privilege of the Floor.**

E. E. McCarthy, representing the City Engineer's Office, denied all the allegations of the appeal filed and declared that all notices were posted as required by law.

**Adopted.**

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

**Denying Appeal From Assessment for Improvement of Locust Street  
Between Clay Street and 102 Feet 8 Inches South.**

(Code No. 12.0613)

Resolution No. 3679, as follows:

Resolved, That the appeal of the United Capital Corporation, by A. Copeland, assistant secretary, from the assessment recorded by the Department of Public Works on November 17, 1937, for the improvement of Locust street (west one-half) between Clay street and 102 feet 8 $\frac{1}{4}$  inches south, be and the same is hereby denied, and the assessment confirmed.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.**

**Rezoning of North Side of Grove Street 87 Feet 6 Inches East  
of Webster Street.**

Hearing of appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1698, dated October 21, 1937, granting application to rezone from Second Residential District to Light Industrial District, property located at the north side of Grove street, 87 feet, 6 inches east of Webster street.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

Wm. G. Weiss, 290 Thirtieth avenue, representing Jewish charities and educational organizations, was heard in opposition to the proposed rezoning permitting expansion of Acme Brewing Company's activities opposite the school and homes on Grove street.

Annie Casey, 769 Grove street, owner of flats, declared noise of brewing industry was driving out tenants.

Orin E. Frost, 743 Grove street, owner of apartment house, complained noise destroyed sleep of her tenants and trucking vans were parked all day and night in front of her property.

Norman E. Eisner, attorney for Acme Brewery; K. F. Shuster, president of the Acme Brewery; Wm. Merriam, representing Industrial Department, Chamber of Commerce, and Jno. O'Connell, representing San Francisco Labor Council, were heard in support of the recommendation of the City Planning Commission granting the request of the Acme Brewery to rezone—light industrial, thereby retaining in San Francisco this five million-dollar industry.

**Appeal Denied and City Planning Commission Sustained.**

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *refused adoption* by the following vote:

**Rezoning North Side of Grove Street, 87 Feet 6 Inches East of Webster Street.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 3680, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1698, dated October 21, 1937, granting an application to rezone from Second Residential District to Light Industrial District, property located at the north side of Grove street, 87 feet 6 inches east of Webster street, is hereby disapproved.

Ayes—None.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.****Rezoning of Southwest Corner of Buchanan and Fulton Streets.**

Appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1699, dated October 21, 1937, granting application to rezone from Second Residential District to Light Industrial District, property located at the southwest corner of Buchanan and Fulton streets.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

Geo. Hoffman, 105 Montgomery street, representing property owners at southwest corner of Buchanan and Fulton streets, also opposed application of Acme Brewery for change of zone to light industrial.

**Appeal Denied and City Planning Commission Sustained.**

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *refused adoption* by the following vote:

**Rezoning of Southwest Corner of Buchanan and Fulton Streets.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 3681, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1699, dated October 21, 1937, granting an application to rezone from Second Residential District to Light Industrial District, property located at the southwest corner of Buchanan and Fulton streets, is hereby disapproved.

Ayes—None.

Noes—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy—3.

(Supervisors Colman and McSheehy appeared later and declared that if they had been present they would have voted "no" on the foregoing resolution.)

**MOTION TO RECONSIDER.**

The following matter was taken up on motion of Supervisor Uhl to reconsider:

**Nineteenth Avenue Track Relocation—Agreement With Market Street Railway Company.**

(Code No. 12.064)

Resolution No. 3623, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board



of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, be and are hereby authorized and directed to execute that certain written agreement, dated September 20, 1937, with the Market Street Railway Company, a corporation, relative to the relocation of the railway tracks on Nineteenth avenue, San Francisco, California, between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard. To defray the cost of said work the City shall pay the company the sum of \$4,517 from Appropriation No. 951.909.02, Project No. 5-B.

Reference is hereby made to said agreement on file in the office of the Director of Property for all particulars contained therein.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Correspondence relating thereto to be read.

November 29, 1937—*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Mead, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

Before the result was announced, Supervisor Uhl changed his vote from *No* to *Aye* and moved for reconsideration at this meeting.

The vote now stands:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Noes—Supervisors Mead, Schmidt—2.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

#### Motion.

Supervisor Uhl moved reconsideration of the vote whereby Resolution No. 3623, providing \$4,517 for relocation of street railway tracks on Nineteenth avenue between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard was adopted at last meeting.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Ray Schiller, 2422 Twenty-fifth avenue, president of the Parkside Improvement Club.

Ed. J. Durkin, 1511 Ninth avenue, representing Parkside District Club; Carl Dietrich, 1647 Twentieth avenue, representing 150 signed petitioners on Twentieth avenue opposed the appropriation for relocating tracks on Nineteenth avenue and urged removal of same and those on Twentieth avenue which are in such poor state that vibration of street cars is destroying plaster in houses on Twentieth avenue.

Mr. Gorder, ——— Twentieth avenue, was also heard at length regarding transportation for the Sunset District.

B. R. Thompson, representing Transportation Committee, Central Council of Civic Clubs, opposed the appropriation for track relocation.

Edw. J. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, was heard as to what the Utilities Commission could do to afford transportation on the Twentieth avenue line in case Market Street Railway discontinued service.

Jos. Phillips, Director of Property, was heard with regard to the agreement made by the City to appropriate \$4,517 toward the work of track relocation on Nineteenth avenue between Wawona and Sloat boulevard.

#### Motion to Postpone.

Thereupon, Supervisor McSheehy moved to postpone action one week.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Reilly—2.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Reconsideration.**

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Uhl's motion to reconsider and same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

No—Supervisor Colman—1.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Refused Passage.**

Thereupon, the roll was called on Resolution No. 3623 and the same was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Aye—Supervisor Colman—1.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS.****Final Passage.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

**Appropriation of \$20,000 for Office and Field Engineering for the Airport Project.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1480, Ordinance No. 9.051436, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$20,000 from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 702,900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 99,900.00—1938 Airport Bond Fund to provide funds for office and field engineering for the proposed airport project and for supervision of W. P. A. work at the Airport pending the receipt of funds from the sale of 1938 Airport Bonds.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$20,000 is hereby appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 702,900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 99,900.00—1938 Airport Bond Fund, to provide funds for office and field engineering for the proposed airport project and for supervision of W. P. A. work at the Airport pending the receipt of funds from the sale of 1938 Airport Bonds.

Section 2. The moneys so appropriated as above outlined shall be returned to the said Emergency Reserve Fund out of proceeds from said sale of 1938 Airport Bonds, and shall not be used until said bonds are validated by legal opinion.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Appropriation of \$7,000 for Sanitary and Storm Sewer in Laguna Honda Boulevard.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1481, Ordinance No. 9.051437, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of seven thousand (\$7,000) dollars from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 702,900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 740,214.00 for the construction of a sanitary and storm sewer in Laguna Honda boulevard between Lawton street and Plaza street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:



Section 1. The sum of seven thousand (\$7,000) dollars is hereby set aside from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 702,900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 740,214.00 for the construction of a sanitary and storm sewer in Laguna Honda boulevard between Lawton street and Plaza street.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Land Purchase—Lafayette Park, Parcel 4.

(Code No. 12.1713)

Resolution No. 3674, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Park Department, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Coast Bay Company to Lot 3, Assessor's Block 625, San Francisco, required for Lafayette Park, and that the sum of \$20,000 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 712,600.02. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Land Purchase—Fleishhacker Playfield, Parcel 3.

(Code No. 12.1713)

Also, Resolution No. 3675, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Park Department, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Wells Fargo Bank & Union Trust Company, et al., as trustees for the estate of Agnes Bourn, deceased, to a portion of Lot 41, Assessor's Block 7201, San Francisco, required for Fleishhacker Playfield, and that the sum of \$18,779.88 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 712,600.01. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Approval of Supplemental Recommendations, Public Welfare Department, for December, 1937.

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3676, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Public Welfare Department, containing the additional names of persons and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security, Blind Pensions and Widows' Pensions for the month of December, 1937, and also denials, are hereby approved,

and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to transmit this approval to the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### **Authorizing Sale of Building.**

(Code No. 12.1727)

Also, Resolution No. 3677, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Health, that the Director of Property be and is hereby authorized to sell the old City-owned building located at the north-west corner of Twenty-second street and San Bruno avenue, San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### **Providing for Issuance and Redemption of 1938 Airport Bonds.**

(Code No. 15.051)

Also, Resolution No. 3651, as follows:

Providing for the issuance and redemption of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco authorized by special election held November 2, 1937, for the improvement and enlargement of the San Francisco Municipal Airport in San Mateo County.

Resolved: Section 1. That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued in accordance with the result of the special election held in said City and County on the 2nd day of November, 1937. Resolution No. 3650 of this Board shows the following bond proposition received more than two-thirds affirmative votes at said election in favor of incurring bonded indebtedness of \$2,850,000 for the improvement and enlargement of the San Francisco Municipal Airport in San Mateo County.

Section 2. That bonds with coupons attached thereto, of the City and County of San Francisco, are to be issued, lithographed and the bonds are to contain the facsimile signature of the Mayor and to be signed by the Treasurer of the City and County and countersigned by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, and the signature of the Treasurer to the coupons of said bonds shall be by facsimile.

The bonds authorized to be issued and the amounts are: \$2,850,000 "Airport Bonds 1938" and shall be issued in conformity with Ordinance No. 15.0512.

Section 3. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

Bond No. ———

### **CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

#### **Airport Bond 1938**

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of January, —, the sum of one thousand dollars (\$1,000), together with interest thereon at the rate of — per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in



lawful money of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and Statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or Statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and Statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by the Mayor and the Treasurer of said City and County and countersigned by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and has caused the interest coupons attached thereto to be signed by its Treasurer, and the bond to be dated the 1st day of January, 1938, the signature of the Mayor to said bond and the signature of the Treasurer to said coupons attached thereto being by facsimile.

.....  
Mayor.

.....  
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

*Coupon*

On ....., 19...., the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder,

at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York,.....dollars (\$.....) in lawful money of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its Airport Bond, 1938, dated January 1, 1938, number.....

.....  
Treasurer.

*Form of Registration*

San Francisco,....., 19....

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of..... and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....  
Treasurer.

Section 4. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time of fixing the next general tax levy; provided, however, that such tax shall be levied and collected at the time and in the manner aforesaid annually each year, sufficient to pay the interest on such indebtedness as it falls due, and also to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal thereof on or before maturity.

Section 5. The Board of Supervisors shall sell said bonds at such times and in such amounts as it may determine. The proceeds arising from the sales of said bonds shall be placed in the Treasury to the credit of, "1938 Airport Bond Fund," which fund is hereby created, and shall be used exclusively for the purposes for which such bonds were issued.

Section 6. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Providing for Issuance and Redemption of 1938 Hospital Bonds.**

(Code No. 12.123)

Also, Resolution No. 3652, as follows:

Providing for the issuance and redemption of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco authorized by special election held November 2, 1937, for enlarging and remodeling the Hassler Health Home, Laguna Honda Home and the San Francisco Hospital and increasing the quarters for the use of X-ray.

Resolved: Section 1. That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued in accordance with the result of the special election held in said City and County on the 2nd day of November, 1937. Resolution No. 3650 of this Board shows the following bond proposition received more than two-thirds affirmative votes at said election in favor of incurring bonded indebtedness of \$1,600,000 for enlarging and remodeling the Hassler Health Home, Laguna Honda Home and the



San Francisco Hospital and increasing the quarters for the use of X-ray.

Section 2. That bonds with coupons attached thereto, of the City and County of San Francisco, are to be issued, lithographed and the bonds are to contain the facsimile signature of the Mayor and to be signed by the Treasurer of the City and County and countersigned by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, and the signature of the Treasurer to the coupons of said bonds shall be by facsimile.

The bonds authorized to be issued and the amounts are: \$1,600,000 "Hospital Bonds 1938" and shall be issued in conformity with Ordinance No. 12.1232.

Section 3. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

Bond No. \_\_\_\_\_

### CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

#### Hospital Bond 1938

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of January, —, the sum of one thousand dollars (\$1,000), together with interest thereon at the rate of — per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in lawful money of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and Statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or Statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and Statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the principal of and the interest on this bond as the same fall due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by the Mayor and the Treasurer of said City and County and countersigned by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and has caused the interest coupons attached thereto to be signed by its Treasurer, and the bond to be dated the 1st day of January, 1938, the signature of the Mayor to said bond and the signature of the Treasurer to said coupons attached thereto being by facsimile.

.....  
Mayor.

.....  
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

*Coupon*

On....., 19...., the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York,.....dollars (\$.....) in lawful money of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its Hospital Bond, 1938, dated January 1, 1938, number.....

.....  
Treasurer.

*Form of Registration*

San Francisco,....., 19....

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of..... and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....  
Treasurer.

Section 4. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time of fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 5. The Board of Supervisors shall sell said bonds at such times and in such amounts as it may determine. The proceeds arising from the sales of said bonds shall be placed in the Treasury to the credit of, "1938 Hospital Bond Fund," which fund is hereby created, and shall be used exclusively for the purposes for which such bonds were issued.

Section 6. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.



### Refunds of Erroneous Payments of Taxes.

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 3678, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid to the following named, being refunds of erroneous and duplicate payments of taxes:

*From Duplicate Tax Fund—Appropriation 905*

1. V. H. Dutcher, per Vol. 17, Bill 2047, Lot 33, Block 2355, 2d Installment, Fiscal Year 1936.....	\$19.30
2. Elise L. Gorham, Per Vol. 18, Bill 1503, Lot 27, Block 2430, Both Installments, Fiscal Year 1936.....	76.82
3. Adolph H. Waldeck, per Vol. 38, Bill 1388, Lot 14, Block 6414, Both Installments, Fiscal Year 1936.....	64.32
4. Carl Gellert, per Vol. 18, Bill 3145, Lot 24, Block 2541, First Installment, Fiscal Year 1936.....	13.24

*From General Fund—Appropriation 60.969.00*

5. Jessie A. Silva, Secured Personal Property, per Vol. 8, Bill 2531, Fiscal Year 1936.....	5.68
6. J. M. Batori, per Vol. 37, Bill 869, Lot 4, Block 6195, Fiscal Year 1936 .....	11.62

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Re-referred.

The following recommendation of Committee on Exposition Affairs and Commercial Development was taken up and on motion *re-referred to said Committee*.

### Golden Gate International Exposition Building Ordinance.

(Code No. 5.95)

Bill No. 1483, Ordinance No. 5.951, as follows:

An ordinance to be known as the "Golden Gate International Exposition Building Ordinance" governing the erection, use, repair, demolition and control of buildings and structures, and the installation, use, repair and control of plumbing, sewerage, water, gas, steam, and other pipes, and the installation, use, repair and control of electric light, power and other wires, conduits and other apparatus, and the erection and maintenance of steam boilers, steam, gas and other engines and other apparatus and governing other matters of building construction or repair, necessary, proper or expedient for the safety, health, convenience and welfare of the public within that portion of the City and County of San Francisco located on Yerba Buena shoals now in course of reclamation selected as the site of the Golden Gate International Exposition and now held under a lease heretofore granted to the San Francisco Bay Exposition, a non-profit corporation by the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. This ordinance shall be known as the "Golden Gate International Exposition Building Ordinance" of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. This ordinance shall apply to and govern the erection, use, alteration, repair, demolition and control of buildings and structures of every nature and description; the installation, use, repair and control of plumbing, water, gas, steam, sewerage and other pipes and other instrumentalities; the installation, use, repair and control of electric light, power and other wires, conduits and apparatus; the

erection and maintenance of steam boilers, steam, gas and other engines and other apparatus; and governing any and every other matter of building construction or repair necessary, proper or expedient for the safety, health, convenience and welfare of the public, within that portion of the City and County of San Francisco located on Yerba Buena shoals now in course of reclamation, selected as the site of the Golden Gate International Exposition, hereinafter referred to as the "Exposition", and now held under lease by the San Francisco Bay Exposition, a non-profit corporation, hereinafter referred to as the "Exposition Company," which is sponsoring said Exposition, the said lease having been made by the City and County of San Francisco as lessor and said Exposition Company as lessee under date of August 22, 1936, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.173544, Bill No. 1064, of the City and County of San Francisco, approved August 4, 1936.

Section 3. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby declares that this ordinance is necessary in the public interest. It hereby finds that the site of said Exposition in, on and to which this ordinance will apply is a portion of the City and County of San Francisco separated from the mainland thereof and entirely surrounded by the waters of the Bay of San Francisco except as it is connected with Yerba Buena Island by a Causeway approximately three hundred (300) yards in length; that said site is now being improved by the City and County of San Francisco out of funds supplied by the Federal Government and said Exposition Company with certain buildings, structures and other improvements of a permanent character now in course of construction intended for municipal use in the operation of a public municipal airport on said site after the close of said Exposition; that said site is now being improved and will be further improved by or for said Exposition Company with certain buildings, structures and other improvements of a temporary character for the use of said Exposition in the conduct of said Exposition, which by the terms of said lease are to be demolished and removed by the Exposition Company following the close of said Exposition and on or before the 31st day of December, 1940; that by reason of the location and surrounding of said site and by reason of the temporary character of the buildings, structures and improvements to be placed thereon and subsequently removed by the Exposition Company, this site and the temporary use and improvement thereof for Exposition purposes present problems which differ in character from those concerned with the mainland and which can be adequately provided for in the interest of public safety, health, convenience and welfare by standards of construction, maintenance and operation different from those prevailing on the mainland and more suitable to the intended temporary use of said site for Exposition purposes; and that the Exposition Company has issued and published certain "Rules and Regulations for Grounds and Buildings," approved by it on May 11, 1937, duly certified copies of which have been transmitted to and filed with the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County, which "Rules and Regulations for Grounds and Buildings" prescribe certain and definite standards for works of construction on said site, and for the maintenance and operation thereof, which, if duly observed, will provide for safe and sanitary buildings and structures and for proper protection against fire hazard and will adequately provide for the public safety, health, convenience and welfare.

Section 4. (a) The Exposition Company may erect, use, alter, demolish and control buildings and structures of every nature and description, including therein all provisions for plumbing, water, gas, steam, sewerage and other pipes and instrumentalities, and electric light, power and other wires and conduits in or used in connection with any building or structures erected, used, altered or controlled under the provisions hereof, on the condition that electrical distribution system, wiring, installation, apparatus and electrical equipment



shall be subject to the inspection and approval of the Chief of the Department of Electricity of the City and County of San Francisco, and on condition that the plans and specifications for the erection of any such building or structure which said plans and specifications shall show the provisions made for the installation of plumbing, pipes and appliances and the scheme of electric wiring shall first be submitted to and approved in writing by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety before works of construction thereunder shall be commenced and provided that any plans and specifications for alterations and repairs to any such building or structure estimated to exceed one thousand dollars (\$1,000) in cost shall likewise be so submitted and approved before such alterations or repairs are commenced.

(b) During or after the erection of any building or structure under the provisions hereof, the same or any portion thereof, may be altered, used or demolished by said Exposition Company, and it may erect, use, maintain and remove booths of every description, railings, cases and shelving for exhibitors, and may install in any such building, structure or improvement any plumbing, water, gas, steam, sewerage and other pipes and instrumentalities, electric light, power and other wires and conduits, steam boilers, steam, gas and other engines and apparatus subject to inspection and approval as hereinbefore provided in subparagraph (a) of this section.

(c) The Exposition Company may install, use and control any and all plumbing, water, gas, steam, sewerage and other pipes and instrumentalities which it shall determine to be necessary, proper or expedient for the safety, convenience and welfare of the public within the site of said Exposition.

(d) The Exposition Company may install, use and control all electric light, power and other wires, conduits, generating, transmitting and other apparatus which it shall deem to be necessary, proper or expedient for the safety, convenience and welfare of the public within the said site subject to inspection and approval as hereinbefore provided in subparagraph (a) of this section.

(e) The Exposition Company may erect, maintain and use all boilers, steam, gas and other engines and apparatus which it shall deem to be necessary, proper or expedient for the safety, convenience and welfare of the public within the said site.

(f) The Exposition Company may erect such buildings and install such appliances for the storage and use of and may store and use such crude oil, gasoline and similar substances, as it shall determine to be necessary, proper or expedient for the safety, convenience and welfare of the public within the said site.

(g) The Exposition Company may establish, maintain and use such emergency hospitals and make such provision for the sanitation of that portion of the City and County of San Francisco within the said site as it shall determine to be necessary, proper or expedient for the safety, convenience and welfare of the public within the said site, provided that in matters affecting the public health the Exposition Company shall cooperate with and be subject to the inspection and approval of the health officer of the City and County of San Francisco.

(h) The Exposition Company may erect, maintain and operate such places of amusement, including theatres and moving picture shows as it shall determine to be necessary, proper or expedient for the safety, convenience and welfare of the public within the said site.

(i) The Exposition Company may maintain and operate concessions for restaurants, sales of curios, pictures and any and all classes and kinds of goods, wares and merchandise.

Section 5. All work hereinabove in Section 4 of this ordinance provided for may be done by, and all privileges therein given to the Exposition Company shall inure to the benefit of, the employees, contractors and licensees of the Exposition Company, provided that no general powers or privileges therein given to the Exposition Company shall be delegated by it, and that it shall not by its own act be relieved

of any of its obligations hereunder, and provided, further, that all of the work to be done and all of the privileges to be exercised hereunder shall be in accordance with said "Rules and Regulations for Grounds and Buildings."

Section 6. The Exposition Company shall save free and harmless the said City and County of San Francisco and its officers and employees of and from the claims and demands of any and all persons for injuries or damages of every nature and description, claimed or growing out of any of the work or things for which provision is herein made. And the City and County of San Francisco, in recognizing the existence of the Exposition Company and any rights or authority granted hereunder to it does not assume any responsibility or obligation for any claim or demand of any person for injuries or damages of any nature or description growing out of any of the work or things for which provision is herein made.

Section 7. Save and except as herein provided, no permit or license shall be required for the doing of any of the work or things herein provided for by said Exposition Company, its employees, contractors or licensees, and no charge or fee shall be made against or required or demanded from the said Exposition Company, its employees, contractors or licensees, for the doing of any of the work or things herein provided for, except that the Exposition Company shall pay to the City and County of San Francisco the direct cost of electrical inspection within the limits of the site of the Exposition.

Section 8. All ordinances and regulations or parts thereof in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance shall, so long as the provisions of this ordinance are in full force and effect, be of no force and effect in that portion of the City and County of San Francisco located on Yerba Buena Shoals, now in course of reclamation, selected as the site of said Exposition, and now let and demised to said Exposition Company under and by authority of the lease thereof, hereinabove described, or with regard to any works of construction or repair or any installations on said site or the maintenance, operation or control thereof, but with regard to all such matters the provisions of this ordinance shall alone be in force and effect during the effective period of this ordinance.

Section 9. The provisions of this ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after the effective date hereof until January 1, 1941.

Section 10. If any section, sentence, clause or part of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or in conflict with or violative of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, such decision shall not affect the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, sentence, clause and part thereof despite the fact that one or more sections, sentences, clauses or parts thereof be declared unconstitutional or in conflict with or violative of said Charter.

## **ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Mayor Requested to Appoint Citizens' Committee for Beautification  
of City Streets and Buildings Prior to 1939 Exposition.**

(Code No. 13.04)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 3683, as follows:

Whereas, there is to be held in the City and County of San Francisco the Golden Gate International Exposition in 1939, which will be attended by millions of visitors; and

Whereas, it is desirable that the city make the best possible impres-



sion on its visitors, to the end that many will choose either to lengthen their stay or settle permanently in our midst; and

Whereas, San Francisco property owners and businessmen as well as the city government should do everything possible to increase the beauty and attractiveness of San Francisco by cleaning, painting and remodeling their properties; and

Whereas, improved properties, clean streets and the creation of beauty spots will not only increase the pride of San Franciscans in their city, but will also act as added tourist attractions; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco respectfully request his Honor the Mayor to appoint a citizens' committee composed of men and women best qualified to direct and conduct a city-wide educational cleanup and improvement campaign which will arouse the interest of all of our citizens in the desirability and advantage of enhancing our city's renowned natural beauties.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Memorializing Congress to Provide for the Continuance of Federal Aid Highway Funds by Providing That the Highway Act of June 16, 1936, Remain Unchanged.**

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 3682, as follows:

Whereas, the State of California has been apportioned annually by the U. S. Government under the terms of the Hayden-Cartwright Act for Federal Aid Highways a sum which is less than one-half of that which is now actually collected by the Federal Government from the motorists of this State; and

Whereas, a recommendation has now been made to Congress to take immediate steps to cancel the 1939 authorization for Federal Aid Highways prior to January 1, 1938, which allocation for expenditures would aggregate \$238,000,000 for each of the fiscal years 1938 and 1939, and it has been further proposed to reduce the aforementioned appropriations to approximately \$100,000,000 for each of the next two years, which action would deprive California and other states that have promptly utilized their Federal Aid Funds, from obtaining any Federal aid for the ensuing two years; and

Whereas, the State of California will actually stand to lose \$20,000,000 in the next biennium, together with the possible cancellation of approximately \$10,000,000 in highway projects already authorized, which will cause an irreparable loss to labor who would ordinarily receive from these highway projects the highest prevailing rate of wages, while agriculture, in the shipment of farm products over farm-to-market roads, must again be hindered by lacking or costly transportation facilities, and the whole construction industry and its affiliated material groups, as well as the motorists who pays the bill, will all suffer immeasurably; and

Whereas, the recommended reduction of Federal Aid Funds would completely destroy any possibilities for advance planning of the Federal highway program and instead of stimulating employment which has again been lessened through the present industrial recession, and if curtailment of highway construction is now attempted a most detrimental and depressing situation will undoubtedly follow to affect labor and industry alike; and

Whereas, the terms of the Hayden-Cartwright Act have previously provided a most beneficial protection against gas tax diversion throughout the several states by reason of its mandatory provisions of reduc-

ing by one-third the Federal highway allotments of states participating in the diversion of gas tax funds and any reduction or discontinuance of the present Federal Aid highway appropriations would react most unfavorably against all future highway construction if the present proposal to advocate diversion by governmental sanction is now tolerated; therefore, be it

Resolved, That all members of Congress and the United States Senate from the State of California and all other Western States be and they hereby are requested to exert every effort to insure the continuance of the Federal Aid highway program by permitting the Highway Act of June 16, 1936, to remain absolutely unchanged.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

### **Sales Tax for the Benefit of Aged.**

(Code No. 5.3)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 3684, as follows:

Whereas, inasmuch as our aged citizens receiving State aid should not be forced into the position of paupers by being paid in the form of "aid" or "relief", but in consideration of their lives of labor and service as citizens of the State, should be entitled to be considered as worthy pensioners of the State; and

Whereas, as a result of the liberalization of State aid to the aged of the State of California, the burden of taxation on the general property taxpayer of the counties of the State of California has been greatly increased; and

Whereas, some counties are now unable to raise their portion of the tax with the result that the aged living in those counties are not receiving aid which they need and in other counties the aged are seriously worried because of their feeling of uncertainty and insecurity as to how long their county will be able to stand its portion of the tax under the present method of taxation which imposes an unfair burden on property owners; and

Whereas, the benefits of old age aid are enjoyed by all classes and therefore taxes should be borne by a broad tax such as the sales tax; and

Whereas, the sales taxes of the several counties of California have increased from \$40,000,000 a year to \$60,000,000 for the second year, \$73,000,000 for the third year, estimated \$95,000,000 for the fourth year and for the last two months will total \$12,000,000 a month or approximately \$145,000,000 for the twelve-month period which is greatly in excess of the amount necessary for the purpose intended; and

Whereas, this amount will be greatly in excess of that necessary for the purposes to which this tax was originally intended to be applied and it is highly desirable that this load of taxation be not placed on the shoulders of the already heavily overburdened general property taxpayer; and

Whereas, attempts are being made to divert this tax or abolish it entirely; and

Whereas, no better way of handling this excess can be considered than to apply it to the benefit of those who pay it; and

Whereas, this would reduce the tax burden of the general property taxpayer and at the same time assure the permanent payment of aid to the aged; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That His Excellency, the Governor of the State of California, be requested to use his good offices and best endeavors to have this excess applied to Old Age Relief, thereby removing the burden of taxation now borne by the general property taxpayer of the State of California.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*



**Unemployment Relief Fund of 1933.**

On motion by Finance Committee, the Clerk was directed to inform the State Controller that San Francisco desires loan received from State Unemployment Relief Fund of 1933 to be repaid, one quarter from each of four apportionments of gas tax during calendar year 1938.

**Estimate of Cost of Gore at Duboce Avenue and Market Street for Direct Connection of Dolores and Buchanan Streets.**

Supervisor Schmidt requested that the Clerk inquire from Director of Public Works what steps should be taken and the cost involved to acquire small piece of property at gore corner of Duboce avenue and Market street in order that direct connection from Dolores street to Duboce and to Buchanan can be had.

**Market Street Railway Company Asked to Discontinue Service on Twentieth Avenue.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Market Street Railway Company be directed to abandon service on Twentieth avenue on or before January 1, 1938.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

**Supervisor Uhl's Bus Plan—Special Order 2:30 P. M., December 13, 1937.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that his bus proposal be made a Special Order of Business for Monday, December 13, 1937, at 2:30 p. m.

**Meetings Announced.**

Fire, Safety and Police Committee, December 10, 1937, 10 a. m.

Public Utilities Committee, December 10, 1937, 11 a. m.

Health Committee, December 14, 1937, 10 a. m.

Education, Parks and Recreation Committee, December 10, 10 a. m.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board of Supervisors at 6:35 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 13, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 32

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 50

Monday, December 13, 1937

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



**The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company**  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

---

MONDAY, DECEMBER 13, 1937, 2 P. M.

---

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 13, 1937,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri—2.

Supervisor Mead was noted present at 2:45 p. m.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of December 6, 1937, was considered read and approved.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

### Supervisor Uhl's Bus Plan.

Bus transportation proposal, presented by Supervisor Uhl.

### Motions.

Supervisor Uhl moved that within the week members of the Labor Council, Building Trades Council, Chamber of Commerce and all improvement clubs be invited to sit with the Board of Supervisors to discuss his bus plan of rapid transit, and that the matter be made a special order of business for next Monday at 3 p. m.

Supervisor Brown raised the point of order that the motion is out of order since on his (Supervisor Brown's) motion two weeks ago the Mayor was authorized to appoint a Citizens Committee to consider all plans for rapid transit.

Chair: "Point of order well taken."

### Referred.

Thereupon, on motion of Supervisor Reilly, Supervisor Uhl's plan was referred to the Public Utilities Committee.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendation of Streets Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up:



### Acceptance of Williams Avenue Between Third Street and Newhall Street.

(Code No. 12.0811)

Bill No. 1482, Ordinance No. 12.081133, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Williams avenue between Third street and Newhall street (west line produced), including the intervening intersections, and including the conform work in the intersection of Williams avenue and Third street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Williams avenue between Third street and Newhall street (west line produced), including the intervening intersections, and including the conform work in the intersection of Williams avenue and Third street.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri—2.

### NEW BUSINESS.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Cancellation of Tax Sale.

(Code No. 9.0412)

Resolution No. 3685, as follows:

Whereas, the Tax Collector has reported that taxes on Lot 3, Block 4098-4133, fiscal year 1936-1937 have been paid, but through error payment was not credited on the Assessment Roll and that the property was sold to the State on June 25, 1937, under Sale No. 2076; that this sale should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be authorized to cancel this sale in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri—2.

#### Land Purchase—Upper Noe Valley Playground.

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 3686, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Recreation Department, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Anna Guinn and William J. Guinn, her husband, to Lot 35, Assessor's Block 6638, San Francisco, required for the Upper Noe Valley Playground, and that the sum of \$7,075 be paid for said property from Appropriation No. 713.600.02.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri—2.

### Land Purchase—Grattan School Playground.

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 3687, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Recreation Department, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Alexander V. Lukashevich and Eufalia F. Lukashevich, his wife, to Lot 23, Assessor's Block 1283, San Francisco, required for the Grattan School Playground, and that the sum of \$4,500 be paid for said lot from Appropriation No. 713.600.07.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri—2.

### Release From Damage Claim, Judah Street Grade Change, Account Nineteenth Avenue Widening.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3688, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the sum of \$1,800 be paid to Mabel C. Fauser from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project 5-A, for a release from all claims of damage caused or to be caused to her land and building at the hereinafter mentioned location by changing the grade of Judah street in connection with the widening of Nineteenth avenue:

Said building is known as No. 1336 Judah street, San Francisco, California, and is situated on Lot 9, Assessor's Block 1773.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri—2.

### Nineteenth Avenue Widening—Land Purchase.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3689, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain parcels of land situated in San Francisco, California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, and that the sums set forth below be paid for said property from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project No. 5-A:

Pearl M. Carter, portion of Lot 10-A, Assessor's Block 1773 .....\$2,440

J. J. Parker and Elaine Parker, portion of Lot 10, Assessor's Block 1773 ..... 2,650

The above sums include damages in full to improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within thirty days after recording the deeds to the City.



Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above-named parties for particular descriptions of said parcels of land.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri—2.

#### Land Purchase—LaSalle Avenue Extension.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3690, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Frederick Rufer, et ux., portion of Lot 5, Assessor's Block 5291, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the opening of LaSalle avenue, for the sum of \$190, payable from Appropriation No. 748.930.17. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above-named parties for a particular description of said parcel of land.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri—2.

#### Land Purchase—Portola Drive Widening.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3691, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from J. D. Hart to Lot 16, Assessor's Block 2832, San Francisco, required for the widening of Portola drive, and that the sum of \$1,350 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 777.934.17.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri—2.

#### Refund Erroneous Payment of Taxes.

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 3693, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amount be and is hereby authorized to be paid from General Fund, Appropriation 60.969.00, to the following named; being refund of erroneous payment of taxes:

Samuel Leonard Abbot, Jr., per Assessor's Receipt No. 30498, erroneous assessment of personal property, \$56.76.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri—2.

**Memorializing Congress to Provide for the Continuance of Federal Aid Highway Funds by Providing That the Highway Act of June 16, 1936, Remain Unchanged.**

(Code No. 5.2)

Also, Resolution No. 3682, as follows:

Whereas, the State of California has been apportioned annually by the U. S. Government under the terms of the Hayden-Cartwright Act for Federal Aid Highways a sum which is less than one-half of that which is now actually collected by the Federal Government from the motorists of this State; and

Whereas, a recommendation has now been made to Congress to take immediate steps to cancel the 1939 authorization for Federal Aid Highways prior to January 1, 1938, which allocation for expenditures would aggregate \$238,000,000 for each of the fiscal years 1938 and 1939, and it has been further proposed to reduce the aforementioned appropriations to approximately \$100,000,000 for each of the next two years, which action would deprive California, and other states that have promptly utilized their Federal Aid Funds, from obtaining any Federal aid for the ensuing years; and

Whereas, the State of California will actually stand to lose \$20,000,000 in the next biennium, together with the possible cancellation of approximately \$10,000,000 in highway projects already authorized, which will cause an irreparable loss to labor who would ordinarily receive from these highway projects the highest prevailing rate of wages, while agriculture, in the shipment of farm products over farm-to-market roads, must again be hindered by lacking or costly transportation facilities, and the whole construction industry and its affiliated material groups, as well as the motorist who pays the bill, will all suffer immeasurably; and

Whereas, the recommended reduction of Federal Aid Funds would completely destroy any possibilities for advance planning of the Federal Highway Program and instead of stimulating employment which has again been lessened through the present industrial recession, and if curtailment of highway construction is now attempted a most detrimental and depressing situation will undoubtedly follow to affect labor and industry alike; and

Whereas, the terms of the Hayden-Cartwright Act have previously provided a most beneficial protection against gas tax diversion throughout the several states by reason of its mandatory provisions of reducing by one-third the Federal highway allotments of states participating in the diversion of gas tax funds and any reduction or discontinuance of the present Federal Aid highway appropriations would react most unfavorably against all future highway construction if the present proposal to advocate diversion by governmental sanction is now tolerated; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That all members of Congress and the United States Senate from the State of California and all other western states be, and they hereby are, requested to exert every effort to insure the continuance of the Federal Aid Highway Program by permitting the Highway Act of June 16, 1936, to remain absolutely unchanged.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri—2.

**Sales Tax for the Benefit of Aged.**

(Code No. 5.3)

Also, Resolution No. 3684, as follows:

Whereas, inasmuch as our aged citizens receiving State aid should not be forced into the position of paupers by being paid in the form of "aid" or "relief," but in consideration of their lives of labor and



service as citizens of the State, should be entitled to be considered as worthy pensioners of the State; and

Whereas, as a result of the liberalization of State aid to the aged of the State of California, the burden of taxation on the general property taxpayer of the counties of the State of California has been greatly increased; and

Whereas, some counties are now unable to raise their portion of the tax with the result that the aged living in those counties are not receiving aid which they need and in other counties the aged are seriously worried because of their feeling of uncertainty and insecurity as to how long their county will be able to stand its portion of the tax under the present method of taxation which imposes an unfair burden on property owners; and

Whereas, the benefits of old age aid are enjoyed by all classes and therefore taxes should be borne by a broad tax such as the sales tax; and

Whereas, the sales taxes of the several counties of California have increased from \$40,000,000 a year to \$60,000,000 for the second year, \$73,000,000 for the third year, estimated \$95,000,000 for the fourth year and for the last two months will total \$12,000,000 a month of approximately \$145,000,000 for the twelve-month period which is greatly in excess of the amount necessary for the purpose intended; and

Whereas, this amount will be greatly in excess of that necessary for the purpose to which this tax was originally intended to be applied and it is highly desirable that this load of taxation be not placed on the shoulders of the already heavily overburdened general property taxpayer; and

Whereas, attempts are being made to divert this tax or abolish it entirely; and

Whereas, no better way of handling this excess can be considered than to apply it to the benefit of those who pay it; and

Whereas, this would reduce the tax burden of the general property taxpayer and at the same time assure the permanent payment of aid to the aged; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That His Excellency, the Governor of the State of California, be requested to use his good offices and best endeavors to have this excess applied to Old Age Relief, thereby removing the burden of taxation now borne by the general property taxpayer of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to each Board of Supervisors of the several counties of the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri—2.

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

#### Appropriation of \$87.50 for a Temporary Morgue Ambulance Driver.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1484, Ordinance No. 9.051438, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$87.50 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 757.102.00 for the purpose of providing a temporary morgue ambulance driver for the Coroner's office; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$87.50 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No.

757.102.00 for the purpose of providing a temporary morgue ambulance driver for the Coroner's office.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, as immediate action is necessary to provide for the uninterrupted operation of this department as the regular morgue ambulance driver is sick and an immediate replacement is necessary.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Recommended by T. B. W. Leland, Coroner.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri—2.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

#### Claim of Irene Strauss.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1485, Ordinance No. 9.051439, as follows:

Authorizing claim of Irene Strauss in the sum of two hundred and fifty dollars (\$250).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended the settlement of the action of Irene Strauss v. Edith McD. Chamberlain, Selah Chamberlain, Jr., Anthony Modico, Anthony Shoe Repairing Shop and City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, for the recovery of damages for personal injuries sustained as a result of a fall on a public sidewalk on Sutter street between Powell and Stockton streets, more particularly described as being in front of a store located at 484 Sutter street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on June 8, 1936, which suit is numbered 269185, in the Superior Court. Said City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle said pending litigation against the City and County of San Francisco by the payment of the sum of two hundred and fifty dollars (\$250), and the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to draw his warrant for said sum.

Recommended and approved by the City Attorney.

Recommended and approved by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri—2.

### Adopted.

The following recommendation of His Honor the Mayor was taken up:

#### Leave of Absence—Edward G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3692, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mr. Edward G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, is hereby granted



permission to leave the State on official business for a period of sixty days, commencing Tuesday, December 28, 1937.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

No—Supervisor Uhl—1.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**Fixing Date of Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of Lyon Street  
and Marina Boulevard.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Supervisor Brown presented:

Resolution No. 3694, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission denying application to rezone from First Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the easterly line of Lyon street, 132.122 feet southerly from the southerly line of Marina boulevard, is hereby set for Monday, January 3, 1938, at 2 p. m.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri—2.

**Citizens' Committee, Observance of National Civil Service Week.**

(Code No. 23.04)

Supervisor Mead presented:

Resolution No. 3695, as follows:

Whereas, there will be observed during the week January 16-22, 1938, National Civil Service Week, commemorating the 55th anniversary of the adoption of the merit system by the government of the United States of America; and

Whereas, the merit system in civil service is deserving of the intelligent and emphatic support of all citizens and taxpayers of this country; and

Whereas, a considerable number of Nevadans and Californians, who are interested in improvement in public service, will attend observances in San Francisco during this week; therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor of San Francisco be authorized to appoint a Citizens' Committee to cooperate with other committees in making the observance of National Civil Service Week in Northern California the success that it should be.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri—2.

**Directing the Market Street Railway Company to Discontinue  
Street Car Service on Nineteenth Avenue from Wawona Street  
to Sloat Boulevard.**

(Code No. 15.091)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 3696, as follows:

Whereas, the franchise, under and by virtue of which the Market

Street Railway Company is operating street cars on Nineteenth avenue from Wawona street southerly to Sloat boulevard has expired; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco in conjunction with the State of California has inaugurated the widening and improvement of Nineteenth avenue from Sloat boulevard northerly to Lincoln way; and

Whereas, in order to provide for the proper improvement of said Nineteenth avenue it is necessary and expedient that the railway tracks now used by the Market Street Railway Company on Nineteenth avenue between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard should be removed; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company be and is hereby directed to discontinue street railway service on Nineteenth avenue between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

No—Supervisor Colman—1.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

#### **Baseball Bleachers for San Francisco Playgrounds.**

Communication from Northern California Baseball Managers' Association, urging the erection of more bleachers for San Francisco playgrounds, addressed to Supervisor McSheehy, was *referred to Education, Parks and Recreation Committee*.

#### **Street Cleaning at Post and Steiner.**

Communication from Fillmore Merchants and Improvement Association, addressed to Supervisor Schmidt, requesting cleaning of street at Post and Steiner, cleaned on Sundays, was *referred to the Department of Public Works*.

#### **Curb Parking at Gasoline Stations.**

Supervisor Uhl called out from committee, for presentation to Board on December 20, 1937, ordinance providing for regulation of parking at the curb in front of or around gasoline supply stations.

#### **Employment for Masters, Mates and Pilots.**

Supervisor McSheehy presented resolution adopted by National Organization of Masters, Mates and Pilots, and requested the Board immediately to take action to provide some employment for members in distress and to give relief right away to those in need of it.

Whereupon, Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Board authorize the committee (Masters, Mates and Pilots) to appear before the Public Welfare Department and ask that they give proper consideration in the matter.

Motion *carried*.

#### **Congress to Retain "Luxury" Liners on Pacific Coast.**

Supervisor Uhl moved and it was *carried* that the Board telegraph the following to Congressman Welch backing his fight for the "Luxury" liners:

"Hon. Richard J. Welch, M. C., House Office Building, Washington, D. C.

"The Board of Supervisors of San Francisco unanimously and earnestly requests support for legislation by Congress to retain the Coastwise Steamer service to the port of San Francisco. This service is vital for economic, financial and protective reasons. The public mind of San Francisco and California is unanimous in its desire to have these boats remain in service."



MONDAY, DECEMBER 13, 1937.

**Meetings Announced.**

Public Utilities Committee, December 14, 11 a. m.

Health Committee, Tuesday, December 14, 10 a. m.

Health Committee, Friday, December 17, 10:15 a. m. (trailer camps).

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business the Board of Supervisors at the hour of 5:05 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, December 20, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, December 20, 1937

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, DECEMBER 20, 1937, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 20, 1937,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Supervisor Brown was noted present at 2:30 p. m.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of December 13, 1937, was considered read and approved.

## Parking at Curbs at Gasoline Supply Stations.

December 13, 1937—Supervisor Uhl called out of Committee ordi-  
nance regulating parking at curbs at gasoline supply stations.

## Motion.

On motion of Supervisor Uhl the foregoing matter was *laid over  
one week*.

## Water Rate Schedule.

The following recommendation of the Public Utilities Committee  
was taken up:

*(Filed with Board of Supervisors November 30, 1937, at 9:41 a. m.)*

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.  
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION.

GENERAL OFFICE,  
287 CITY HALL.

November 30, 1937.

The Honorable, The Board of Supervisors, City Hall:

Gentlemen: Herewith please find certified copy of Resolution No.  
2331 adopted at meeting of Public Utilities Commission held Monday,  
November 29, 1937, covering revised schedule of rates to be charged  
by San Francisco Water Department for water service for commercial,  
industrial and general uses in San Francisco and suburban districts,  
which is submitted to your Honorable Board for approval.

Very truly yours,

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

(Signed) FORREST B. GIBBON,  
Secretary.



## Resolution No. 2331:

Resolved, That pursuant to notice of intention to revise the schedule of rates to be charged by San Francisco Water Department for water served for commercial, industrial and general uses in San Francisco and suburban districts, heretofore published as is required by the charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and after public hearing being held in accordance with the aforesaid notice, the following schedule of rates to be charged for water service to domestic, commercial, industrial and other uses in San Francisco and suburban districts be, and the same is hereby adopted:

*General Uses—Metered Service.*

Applying to Domestic, Commercial, Industrial and General Uses in San Francisco and Suburban Districts.

First: A monthly service charge based on the sizes of meters. On batteries of meters the charge is to be based on the size of single meter of equivalent capacity.

$\frac{5}{8}$ " (Standard residential and small commercial service) 70c per month.

$\frac{3}{4}$ "—\$1.10	$1\frac{1}{2}$ "—\$2.70	3"—\$ 8.65	6"—\$27.00
1"— 1.60	2" — 4.85	4"— 13.50	8"— 43.20

Second: For water delivered, based on monthly readings:

For the first.....	3,300 cubic feet—25.9 cents per 100
For the next .....	30,000 cubic feet—22.7 cents per 100
For the next .....	300,000 cubic feet—18.0 cents per 100
For all over .....	333,300 cubic feet—10.0 cents per 100

Except that when the volume of water delivered to a consumer in any monthly period is in excess of 20,000,000 cubic feet, the rate shall be \$0.065 cents per 100 cubic feet for all water delivered to said consumer during that month, provided, however, that if the application of the scheduled rates for delivery of less than 20,000,000 cubic feet during the month shall result in a charge in excess of the charge for 20,000,000 cubic feet, then the total charge shall be reduced to equal that for 20,000,000 cubic feet, and also except that service from the Palo Alto pipe line will in general be by special contract or agreement, under which the consumer will be required to pay a proportionate cost of the pipe line based on the maximum demand, in addition to the regular monthly service and water charges on basis of the rates above scheduled for "General Uses—Metered Service." For all other water service from the Palo Alto pipe line there shall be a monthly service charge based on the size of meter in accordance with the foregoing schedule, together with a usage charge for water delivered on the basis of the following schedule:

For the first.....	3,300 cubic feet—28.9 cents per 100
For the next.....	30,000 cubic feet—25.7 cents per 100
For the next.....	300,000 cubic feet—21.0 cents per 100
For all over.....	333,300 cubic feet—13.0 cents per 100

*Docks and Shipping Supply.*

For regularly metered service—"GENERAL USE" charges to apply. For special shipping service—including hose cart and other special service from open docks through common hydrants where delivery is not through a service and meter for which the taker is responsible.

For the first.....	1,300 cubic feet—\$1.08 per 100
For the next.....	2,000 cubic feet— .259 per 100
For the next.....	30,000 cubic feet— .227 per 100
For the next.....	300,000 cubic feet— .18 per 100
For all over.....	333,300 cubic feet— .10 per 100

Minimum charge for each delivery, trip or monthly use, \$2.70.

*Builders' and Contractors' Supply.*

Metered service—Through permanently metered services or through temporary services, the cost of which temporary service installation

is to be paid by the contractors—"GENERAL USE" charges to apply.

Service through Fire Hydrants and other unmetered services—(The contractor to obtain permission for use of Fire Hydrants from the Board of Fire Commissioners.)

For water required for concrete, brick, rubble or other masonry construction, per cubic yard.....	11.0 cents
For water required for each barrel of cement or lime for any purpose .....	11.0 cents
For water required for grading streets, including water used by steam rollers, per 100 sq. ft.....	8.6 cents
For water required for settling earth fills, grading and back-filling trenches, including water required by steam roller, per cubic yard of earth.....	4.3 cents
For water required for small steam or gas engine used on construction work, per 8-hour day.....	43.0 cents

*Automatic Fire Sprinkler Service.*

Applicable only to straight automatic fire sprinkler service to which no connections for other purposes are allowed and which are regularly inspected by the Underwriters having jurisdiction and are connected to an alarm system and installed according to specifications of the San Francisco Water Department and are maintained to the satisfaction of said Department and are protected against theft, leakage, or waste of water.

First: A monthly service charge on all sizes of meters, \$3 per month.

Second: For water delivered, based on monthly readings, "GENERAL USE" charges to apply.

The above schedule for sprinkler service applies only to installations in San Francisco which were made subsequent to October 26, 1932, or installations made prior to said date, when the consumer shall have paid for a period of three years or more a monthly service charge on the following schedules:

4" meter—\$ 9.00 per month	6" meter—\$15.00 per month
8" meter—\$24.00 per month.	

*Public Uses.*

**Fire Hydrants—**

Monthly charges for each municipal fire hydrant attached to the system of the San Francisco Water Department, \$3.

Public Buildings, Parks and other metered service—"GENERAL USE" charges to apply.

**Street: Sprinkling and flushing—**

Quantities to be computed from records of tank wagons. All such water to be taken as one amount although drawn from various hydrants and charged for at "GENERAL USE" rates. No service charge is to be applied.

Be It Further Resolved, That the foregoing Schedule of Rates be submitted to the Board of Supervisors for approval and when approved by that Board, said rates shall go into effect commencing the first day of the month following action by the Board of Supervisors.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Public Utilities Commission at its meeting of November 29, 1937.

FORREST B. GIBBON,

Secretary.

**Minority Report of Supervisor McSheehy.**

San Francisco, California,  
December 20, 1937.

The following minority report re water rates by Supervisor James B. McSheehy was read to the Board:

Gentlemen: Assistant City Attorney Dion Holm, who is assigned to the handling of legal matters for the Water Department of the



Public Utilities Commission, stated at a meeting of the Public Utilities Committee held on Tuesday, December 14, 1937, that Section 130 of the charter *would not permit* the Board of Supervisors to amend water rates recommended by the Public Utilities Commission; but that by a two-thirds vote of the full membership of the Board, of Supervisors we could reject such schedule of water rates and send it back to the Public Utilities Commission, stating our reasons for its rejection.

As a member of the Public Utilities Committee, I ask that we return to the Public Utilities Commission its Resolution No. 2331, "Schedule of Water Rates," dated November 29, 1937, for the following reasons:

1. We are now paying more for water than any other large city in the United States of comparable size.

2. Seventy million gallons of water daily are permitted to flow into the ocean.

3. When the purchase of the Spring Valley Water Company was consummated we gave a solemn promise to the people to reduce water rates.

4. For sixty years previous to the year 1921 the flat rate was the base rate charged the consumer here in San Francisco, and this was figured on the number of square feet in the house and also the number of toilets, baths, basins, etc. A shortage of water existed in San Francisco in 1921, and in that year the State Railroad Commission allowed the Spring Valley Water Company to raise the rates 20 per cent, and to install meters throughout the city.

5. Senator Phelan and Governor Rolph, who were largely instrumental in the development of Hetch Hetchy and Spring Valley, were both enthusiastically in favor of low water rates for the beautification of the city by the planting of trees, shrubs and flowers, for the reason that San Francisco has less trees on its streets than any city of comparable size in the United States.

6. Arrangements are soon to be made to sell water to San Jose for 6½ cents per 100 cubic feet, and it will cost the City of San Jose to distribute this water to *all* consumers about 6½ cents additional, making a total of 13 cents per 100 cubic feet, delivered. *While 80 per cent of the consumers here in San Francisco are paying 30 cents per 100 cubic feet, which is over twice the charge to the ultimate consumer in San Jose.*

7. Eighteen telegrams were sent to 18 of the largest cities in the United States and the answers to these telegrams show that the average cost of water per 100 cubic feet for these cities is 10 cents, and that the average daily consumption of water per person in these cities is 140 gallons; while the average daily consumption of water per person in San Francisco is 80 gallons.

8. Hetch Hetchy water was brought into San Francisco on October 28, 1934, and today the Spring Valley Lakes and the Calaveras Reservoir contain more water than at any other time in their history.

9. We use about 52,000,000 gallons of water daily, and we have in storage in our lakes today a three years' supply. Hetch Hetchy reservoir contains a five years' supply, which gives us sufficient for eight years. On top of this enormous supply, we are allowing 70,000,000 gallons of water daily to waste itself by flowing into the Pacific Ocean.

10. We can increase our receipts by making attractive rates to a great many consumers of water here in San Francisco who are now pumping water from wells, to the amount of 9,000,000 gallons daily.

11. Our sister cities to the south (San Mateo, Palo Alto, San Jose), have made no investment in either Spring Valley or Hetch Hetchy. Why, therefore, enter into twenty-year contracts to furnish them with water at less than half the cost to residents of San Francisco who are bonded to the extent of over \$130,000,000.

12. The San Francisco Examiner of December 15, 1937, carried the story that a contract is about to be entered into for the sale of Hetch

Hetchy water to Atherton, Menlo Park, Stanford University, and Moffett Field, for a period of twenty years.

13. The only reason given by the Public Utilities Commission for not reducing the water rates in San Francisco is that the water department will be confronted with a loss of revenue which, they claim, will have to be reflected in the tax rate. Nothing could be further from the truth. An analysis will show that the large taxpayer is the sole recipient of the benefits accruing from the profits of the water department reflected in the tax rates. The Russ Building, for example, pays annually for water \$3,186; a saving of 20 per cent therefore would amount to \$637.20. Whereas the small home owner, of which there are some 77,000, pays annually \$36 a year for water; a saving of 20 per cent therefore would amount to \$7.20.

The Russ Building pays annually for taxes \$122,212; the small home owner pays annually \$120 for taxes. Thus it can be seen at a glance that the large property holder receives far more savings in the reduction of his taxes than the small home owner. *It might be said at this point that this plain statement of facts is the crux of my whole argument.*

14. The following profits have been reflected in the tax rate for the past six years, showing an increase in consumption in water here in San Francisco:

Year	Amount	Average
1930-31 .....		
1931-32 .....\$	273,000	
1932-33 .....	808,000	
1933-34 .....	901,410	
1934-35 .....	1,459,239	
1935-36 .....	1,255,477	
1936-37 .....	1,407,984	\$1,017,519

15. The history of large cities throughout the United States shows that whenever a cut is made in water rates the increased use of water more than offsets any loss anticipated by such reduction.

#### *Conclusion.*

Is San Francisco going to grow? Is she going to induce people to live here or is she going to drive them away from San Francisco? She will do so if she is going to continue to charge more for water than any other city in the United States and carry out a policy of creating profits for the purpose of reducing tax rates rather than reducing water rates to the home owners and giving them a chance to use the surplus water now going to waste.

As I have stated there are less trees in San Francisco than any other city of comparable size in the United States, and you will find that there are less gardens where shrubs and flowers grow in this city than in any other city in the United States. This is entirely due to the high cost of water. We have had several water famines here in San Francisco which necessitated the bonding of our city to the extent of \$130,000,000 to bring Hetch Hetchy water into San Francisco, so as to assure us of an adequate water supply.

If we pass the schedule of water rates recommended by the Public Utilities Commission we simply tell the people of San Mateo and Santa Clara Counties that they have the first call on our Hetch Hetchy water supply; and we tell the people of San Francisco that we are setting up a schedule of water rates that is twice what the people have to pay in San Mateo and Santa Clara Counties, for the purpose of creating a huge profit in the water department that will reflect itself in a reduction of taxes to the *large* holders of real estate and improvements thereon in the downtown section who, themselves, use very little water as they have their own wells. Or in other words, gentlemen of the Board, if we pass this schedule of water rates it simply means a reduction in the tax bill of the large property owner and the continuing of over 100,000 water rate payers paying the highest rate for



water here in San Francisco of any city in the United States and giving the entire benefit of the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply to the residents of San Mateo and Santa Clara Counties.

The charter is very clear in reference to water rates. The Public Utilities Commission has the sole supervision of the water department, and the rates as called for in this schedule will remain in force until such time as the Public Utilities Commission desires to change them. This might be one year, five years, or ten years hence. The only power that this Board has, is to reject this schedule of water rates, which I am by this means requesting that you do, and request the Public Utilities Commission to eliminate all monthly meter charges except the 8-inch monthly charge of \$43.20, and flat rate bill for each rate payer, including the meter charge compiled on the average that the rate payer has paid for the last 12 months, less 20 per cent of said average. I am not asking that you concur with this statement in toto, but I do ask that you reject the rates as proposed, and issue a statement to the Public Utilities Commission as to your reasons therefor.

Enclosed you will find a map showing the Spring Valley System, and you will notice that the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct runs into the Spring Valley System, and the water that does not run into the Spring Valley Water System from the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir, except the amount used by the Modesto and Turlock Irrigation Districts, as called for in the Raker Act, runs into the Tuolumne River, and then into the San Joaquin River, and finally into the Pacific Ocean.

By eliminating the meters this water can be used by the people of San Francisco and we will also have millions of gallons to sell to the people of San Mateo and Santa Clara Counties.

Think it over and do not vote for Resolution No. 2331, "Schedule of Water Rates." Send it back to the Public Utilities Commission.

Remember that if we agree to these rates they will remain in effect indefinitely, and that millions of gallons of water will run into the Pacific Ocean that could be used by the people of San Francisco.

Respectfully submitted,

JAMES B. McSHEEHY,

Supervisor.

(Member, Public Utilities Committee.)

#### Action Deferred.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved that the subject matter be *laid over one week*.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Ratto—3.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

None.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Amendment of Juvenile Court Salary Ordinance for Part-Time Position as Required by Civil Service Commission.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1489, Ordinance No. 9.053120, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 27 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 by changing Item 2 to a part-time position at \$70.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 27 of Ordinance No. 9.053101 is hereby amended to read as follows:

### Section 27. JUVENILE COURT—DETENTION HOME

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	C104	Janitor .....	\$ 155
2	1	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R.) part-time .....	70
3	1	I12	Cook (deduct for R.) .....	130
4	1	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for R. & B.).	135
5	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 2 M.).	161.50
6	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 2 M.).	135
7	2	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 2 M.).	145
8	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 1 M.).	145
9	6	T4	Woman Attendant (deduct for R. & B.).	122.50
10	1	T4	Woman Attendant (deduct for R.) .....	100
11	1	T10	Assistant Superintendent (deduct for R. & B.) .....	175
12	1	T12	Superintendent, Juvenile Det. Home (deduct for R. & B.) .....	207.50

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Supplemental Appropriation of \$1,924 for Completion of Drainage System Along Arguello Boulevard Side of Rossi Playground—A WPA Project.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1490, Ordinance No. 9.051440, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$1,924, the surplus existing in the Recreation Fund Appropriation No. 713.600.03, to the credit of Appropriation No. 713.500.00 to accommodate completion of drainage system, including gutters and catch basins along Arguello boulevard side of Rossi Playground, as a WPA project.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,924 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the Recreation Fund Appropriation No. 713.600.03, to the credit of Appropriation No. 713.500.00 to accommodate completion of drainage system, including gutters and catch basins, along Arguello boulevard side of Rossi Playground, as a WPA project.

Recommended by J. D. Randall, Department Head.

Approved by Rosalie M. Stern, Commission.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:



## Authorizing Lease of Building From American Trust Company for Branch Library.

(Code No. 12.1739)

Resolution No. 3705, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Library Department, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, as lessee, are hereby authorized to enter into a written lease with American Trust Company, as lessor, for a branch library, of the premises at Nos. 7 and 9 San Juan avenue and No. 8 Ocean avenue, San Francisco, for a term of one year, beginning November 1, 1937, at a rental of \$45 per month, payable from Appropriation No. 714.809.00.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved by Joseph J. Phillips, Director of Property.

Approved as to funds available by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved as to form by Reynald Bianchi, Assistant City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

## Cancellation of Tax Sale.

(Code No. 9.0412)

Also, Resolution No. 3701, as follows:

Whereas, the Tax Collector has reported that taxes on Lot 29, Block 3123, fiscal year 1936-1937, have been paid, but through error, payment was not credited on the Assessment Roll and that the property was sold to the State on June 25, 1937, under sale No. 1771; that this sale should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be authorized to cancel this sale in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

## Nineteenth Avenue Widening—Land Purchases.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3702, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain parcels of land situated in San Francisco, California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, and that the sums set forth below be paid for said property from Appropriation No. 951.908.57, Project No. 5-A:

Grace Moody, portion of Lot 11, Assessor's Block 1773..\$2,925

Hans Borge and Petra Borge, portion of Lot 32, Assessor's Block 1835 ..... 3,553

Erene L. Hansen and H. H. Hansen, portion of Lot 1-L, Assessor's Block 1834 ..... 3,571

The above sums include damages in full to improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within thirty days after recording the deeds to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above-named parties for particular descriptions of said parcels of land.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### **Authorizing Temporary Transfer of Funds by Treasurer.**

(Code No. 9.021)

Also, Resolution No. 3703, as follows:

Resolved, That pursuant to the provisions of Section 21 of Article IV of the Constitution of the State of California, the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby authorized and directed to make after the 1st day of January, 1938, such temporary transfers from funds in his custody as may be necessary for meeting the obligations incurred for the maintenance of the City and County functions of said City and County of San Francisco, from the 1st day of January, 1938, until the second installment of taxes for the fiscal year 1937-1938 are collected, or are delinquent; that such temporary transfers of said funds shall not exceed eighty-five (85%) per cent of the second installment of taxes to accrue to the City and County for said fiscal year, and said sums so transferred shall be replaced to the funds from which the same were transferred on or before June 30, 1938, and before any other obligation of the said City and County is met from such taxes.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### **Palo Alto Pipe Line Easement.**

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 3706, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Gertrude M. Whitehead, Charles Whitehead and Alice Reid, to a right of way easement over a 40-foot strip of land over the northeasterly portion of Lot 36, "Dominga Tract," San Mateo County, California, required for the Palo Alto Pipe Line, for the sum of \$135, payable from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Mateo County, Superior Court Case No. 27646.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### **Palo Alto Pipe Line—Easement Purchase.**

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 3707, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Myrtie E. Dayton to a 15-foot easement over the northeasterly portion of Lot 25, Block 1, "Lloyd Park, Atherton, California," and that the sum of \$350 be paid for said easement, \$45 from Appropriation No. 66.977.57 and the balance of \$305 from the money



on deposit with the County Clerk of San Mateo County, Superior Court Case No. 27646. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

That portion of Resolution No. 3655, adopted by this Board on November 29, 1937, relative to the acquisition of said easement from Myrtle E. Dayton is hereby repealed.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Sunset Reservoir—Land Purchase.

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 3708, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Thomas J. Hicks and Emma A. Gates to Lot 14, Assessor's Block 2144, San Francisco, required for Sunset Reservoir, and that the sum of \$390 be paid for said lot, \$65 from Appropriation No. 93.905.05-57, and the balance of \$325 from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 262443.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Accepting Offer of Visitacion Valley Community Center to Deed Property to City on Condition WPA Constructs Building for Health and Recreation Center Thereon, City to Permit Visitacion Valley Community Center to Occupy Premises.

(Code No. 12.1719)

On recommendation of Committee on Education, Parks and Recreation.

Resolution No. 3704, as follows:

Resolved, That the Visitacion Valley Community Center offer to convey to the City and County of San Francisco, free of all encumbrances, that certain lot, tract or parcel of land situate, lying and being in the City and County of San Francisco described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northeasterly line of Raymond avenue, distant thereon 337½ feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Alpha street; running thence southeasterly and along said line of Raymond avenue 62½ feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 62½ feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 100 feet to the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Lots 14, 15 and 16, Block No. 65 of Reis Tract.

Said conveyance to be made upon the condition that the Works Progress Administration shall, as one of its projects, construct upon said above described property a building suitable for a health and recreation center and the City and County of San Francisco shall permit the Visitacion Valley Community Center to occupy the aforesaid premises and building so long as said Center uses said premises and said building for, and maintains therein, a recreation and health center, but in no event to exceed the period of twenty years; and be it

Further Resolved, That upon the Board of Supervisors accepting the conveyance of the aforesaid property under the conditions herein set forth, the President and Secretary of this corporation be, and they

are, hereby authorized, empowered and directed to make, execute and deliver for and on behalf of this corporation a good and sufficient deed conveying to the City and County of San Francisco the aforesaid real property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Closing and Abandoning Portions of Mendell Street, Carroll Avenue, Bancroft Avenue, Armstrong Avenue, Yosemite Avenue, Shoup Avenue and an Unnamed Street.**

(Code No. 12.0622)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 3697, as follows:

Whereas, on the 8th day of February, 1937, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 3079, Code No. 12.0621, which resolution was presented to his Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 11th day of February, 1937, said resolution being in words and figures as follows:

*Intention to Close and Abandon Portions of Mendell Street, Carroll Avenue, Bancroft Avenue, Armstrong Avenue, Yosemite Avenue, Shoup Avenue and an Unnamed Street.*

(Code No. 12.0621)

Resolution No. 3079, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portions of Mendell street, Carroll avenue, Bancroft avenue, Armstrong avenue, Yosemite avenue, Shoup avenue, and an unnamed street be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all those portions of Mendell street, Carroll avenue, Bancroft avenue, Armstrong avenue, Yosemite avenue, Shoup avenue, and an unnamed street, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

*Mendell Street.*

Parcel 1. All that portion of Mendell street lying between the easterly line of Shoup avenue and the westerly line of a strip of land 60 feet in width deeded to the City and County of San Francisco for street purposes by the Southern Pacific Company, recorded February 28, 1905, in Book 2103 of Deeds, at page 194, Records of aforesaid City and County, said westerly line being also the easterly line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way.

Excepting therefrom a 10-foot sewer easement lying 5 feet on each side of the center line of Mendell street.

Parcel 2. All that portion of Mendell street lying between the northeasterly line of Carroll avenue and a line parallel with and distant 60 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Shoup avenue.

Excepting therefrom a 10-foot sewer easement lying 5 feet on each side of the center line of Mendell street.

*Carroll Avenue.*

Parcel 1. All that portion of Carroll avenue (as it exists for approximately 200 feet northwesterly from Shoup avenue) lying between the northwesterly terminal line of Carroll avenue and a line parallel with and 60 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Shoup avenue.

Excepting therefrom a 10-foot sewer easement lying 5 feet on each side of the center line of Mendell street produced southwesterly.



Parcel 2. All that portion of Carroll avenue lying between the southerly prolongation of the westerly line of Shoup avenue and the southerly prolongation of the westerly line of a strip of land 60 feet in width deeded to the City and County of San Francisco for street purposes by the Southern Pacific Company, recorded February 28, 1905, in Book 2013 of Deeds, at page 194, Records of aforesaid City and County, said last-named line being also the easterly line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way.

*Bancroft Avenue.*

Parcel 1. All that portion of Bancroft avenue bounded on the northwest by the southeasterly line of Mendell street and the easterly line of Shoup avenue and on the southeast by the westerly line of a strip of land 60 feet in width deeded to the City and County of San Francisco for street purposes by the Southern Pacific Company, recorded February 28, 1905, in Book 2013 of Deeds, at page 194, Records of aforesaid City and County, said westerly line being also the easterly line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way.

Parcel 2. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Bancroft avenue with a line parallel with and 60 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Shoup avenue, said point of beginning being distant on said southwesterly line 60.30 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Mendell street; thence northwesterly along said line of Bancroft avenue 14.70 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 26.25 feet to aforesaid parallel line; thence deflecting 150 degrees 44 minutes 44 seconds to the right and running southerly along said parallel line, 30.08 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 3. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of Bancroft avenue and a line parallel with and 60 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Shoup avenue; thence southerly along said parallel line, 45.85 feet; thence deflecting 119 degrees 15 minutes 16 seconds to the right and running northwesterly 67.30 feet to the northwesterly terminal line of Bancroft avenue; thence at right angles northeasterly along said terminal line, 31.69 feet to the southerly line of Carroll avenue; thence deflecting 70 degrees 40 minutes 15 seconds to the right and running easterly along last-named line, 25.11 feet to the northeasterly line of Bancroft avenue; thence deflecting 19 degrees 19 minutes 45 seconds to the right and running southeasterly along last-named line, 21.20 feet to the point of beginning.

*Armstrong Avenue.*

All that portion of Armstrong avenue lying between the northwesterly line of Mendell street and the easterly line of Shoup avenue.

*Yosemite Avenue.*

All that portion of Yosemite avenue lying between the westerly line of Shoup avenue produced northerly and a line parallel with and 16 feet at right angles northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Mendell street.

*Shoup Avenue.*

All that portion of Shoup avenue lying between the southwesterly line of Yosemite avenue and the northeasterly line of Carroll avenue.

Excepting therefrom a 10-foot sewer easement lying 5 feet on each side of the center line of Bancroft avenue produced northwesterly, and extending from the westerly line of Shoup avenue to the center line of Mendell street produced southwesterly.

*Unnamed Street.*

All that portion of a strip of land 60 feet in width deeded to the City and County of San Francisco for street purposes by the Southern Pacific Company, recorded February 28, 1905, in Book 2103 of Deeds, at page 194, Records of said City and County, lying between the southwesterly line of Yosemite avenue and a line parallel with and 16 feet

at right angles northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Mendell street.

Said closing and abandonment of said portions of Mendell street, Carroll avenue, Bancroft avenue, Armstrong avenue, Yosemite avenue, Shoup avenue and an unnamed street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost, and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portions of Mendell street, Carroll avenue, Bancroft avenue, Armstrong avenue, Yosemite avenue, Shoup avenue, and an unnamed street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted*—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, February 8, 1937.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovi-eri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Meyer.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, February 11, 1937.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

And Whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution and the said Department of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 3079, Code No. 12.0621; and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that portions of Mendell street, Carroll avenue, Bancroft avenue, Armstrong avenue, Yosemite avenue, Shoup avenue, and an unnamed street, as described in Resolution No. 3079, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered, that the portions of Mendell street, Carroll avenue, Bancroft avenue, Armstrong avenue, Yosemite avenue, Shoup avenue, and an unnamed street specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 3079, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned, subject to the hereinafter mentioned conditions:

In accordance with agreement between the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and the Southern Pacific Company, dated November 23, 1936, authorized by Resolution No. 2902, adopted by this Board on November 16, 1936, it is understood that said company shall deed certain real property to the City required for street purposes in exchange for the street areas closed by this resolution. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a quitclaim deed for conveying said street areas to the Southern Pacific Company. The Di-



rector of Property shall deliver said quitclaim deed to the grantee upon receipt of the necessary deed to the City, and is hereby authorized to accept and record the latter deeds; and be it

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing said portions of Mendell street, Carroll avenue, Bancroft avenue, Armstrong avenue, Yosemite avenue, Shoup avenue and an unnamed street, as described in Resolution No. 3079, Code No. 12.0621, shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco as proposed and provided in Resolution No. 3079, Code No. 12.0621; and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of the portions of said streets described in Resolution No. 3079, Code No. 12.0621, shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works and that the Department of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of the Streets Committee were taken up:

#### Changing Sidewalk Widths on Cuvier Street at Bosworth Street.

(Code No. 12.0731)

Bill No. 1485, Ordinance No. 12.0731113, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Eight Hundred and Seventeen (817) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office December 1, 1937, by amending Section Eight Hundred and Seventeen (817) thereof to read as follows:

Section 817. The width of sidewalks on Cuvier street between Bosworth street and a point 181 feet southerly therefrom shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Cuvier street (the easterly side of), between a point 181 feet southerly from Bosworth street and a point 11.80 feet southerly from the second angle point southerly from Bosworth street, shall be the width between the property and curb lines; said curb line shall be a reverse curve connecting 10-foot curb lines at said points.

The width of sidewalks on Cuvier street (the westerly side of), between a point 181 feet southerly from Bosworth street and a point 16.70 feet southerly from the second angle point southerly from Bosworth street, shall be the width between the property and curb lines; said curb line shall be a reverse curve connecting 10-foot curb lines at said points.

The width of sidewalks on Cuvier street between the second angle point southerly from Bosworth street and Cayuga avenue shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map showing the location of

street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks on Cuvier street between Cayuga avenue and the first angle point northerly, and on Cayuga avenue between Milton street and Alemany boulevard." Dated November, 1937.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Establishing Sidewalk Widths on Cayuga Avenue Between Alemany Boulevard and Milton Street.**

(Code No. 12.0731114)

Also, Bill No. 1486, Ordinance No. 12.0731114, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and One (1201).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office December 1, 1937, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and One (1201), to read as follows:

Section 1201. The width of sidewalks on Cayuga avenue between Alemany boulevard and Milton street shall be as shown on that certain map, entitled "Map showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks on Cuvier street between Cayuga avenue and the first angle point northerly, and on Cayuga avenue between Milton street and Alemany boulevard." Dated November, 1937.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

**Approving Grade Map Showing Grades on Ottawa Avenue and Certain Other Streets.**

(Code No. 12.071)

Resolution No. 3698, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Grade map showing the proposed establishment of grades on Ottawa avenue, between Alemany boulevard and the westerly line of Otega avenue; on Delano avenue between Mt. Vernon avenue and Ottawa avenue; on Foote avenue, between Alemany boulevard and the S. P. R. R. right of way; on Cayuga avenue, between Mt. Vernon and Foote avenues; on Rome street, between Mt. Vernon avenue and the S. P. R. R. right of way," approved by Director of Public Works Order No. 7168, October 27, 1937, be and is hereby approved and made official.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.



**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

**Accepting the Roadway of Bright Street Between Garfield Street and Holloway Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Bill No. 1487, Ordinance No. 12.081134, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Bright street between Garfield street and Holloway avenue, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Bright street between Garfield street and Holloway avenue, including the curbs.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Accepting the Roadway of Funston Avenue Between Taraval and Ulloa Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1488, Ordinance No. 12.081135, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Funston avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Funston avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets, including the curbs.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of His Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence, Honorable Mrs. Sigmund Stern, Member of Recreation Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3699, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Mrs. Sigmund Stern, member of the

Recreation Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence of six weeks from and after December 10, 1937, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Leave of Absence, Honorable Philip L. Bush, Member of Board of Education.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 3700, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Philip L. Bush, member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period December 18, 1937, to January 3, 1938, inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Purchase of Gore at Market and Duboce Streets for Direct Connection with Dolores Street.**

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Communication from W. H. Worden, Director, Department of Public Works, submitting estimate of \$2,000 for acquisition of land at gore of Market and Duboce streets for direct connection with Dolores street.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**Salary Standardization.**

(Code No. 4.03)

Supervisor Reilly presented:

Resolution No. 3710, as follows:

Resolved, That the Finance and Civil Service Committees of the Board of Supervisors be instructed and authorized to proceed with consideration of the report on salary standardization to be submitted to this Board by the Civil Service Commission.

*Referred to Finance and Civil Service Committee.*

**Congress to Amend Merchant Marine Act for Retention of Inter-Coastal Ships on Pacific Coast.**

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 3709, as follows:

Whereas, it will be a financial loss, as well as a loss in prestige to San Francisco, in the event that the fast coastal liners cease operation between San Francisco and New York; and

Whereas, there is now before Congress a bill by Senator McAdoo, which provides for a subsidy for inter-coastal lines operating between San Francisco and New York City; and

Whereas, on January 17, 1938, there will be a hearing of Senator McAdoo's bill in Washington, D. C.; therefore, be it



Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors memorialize Congress to take immediate steps to amend the Merchant Marine Act of 1936 providing that all American ships engaged in inter-coastal trade maintaining a regular established fast express and passenger service on constant schedules between American and foreign ports en route between American terminals and not at present eligible for operating differential subsidy may, upon showing, be granted contracts similar to those previously in effect for carrying mail between American and foreign ports, or such relief as may be necessary to maintain this regular established service; and be it further

Resolved, That the President of this Board shall appoint two members of the Board of Supervisors to represent San Francisco at the aforesaid meeting, and/or other subsequent meetings; and be it further

Resolved, That the various Boards of Supervisors of our California counties be communicated with, and that they be requested to take similar action and endorse similar recommendations.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Harvey Toy, Dr. Howard McKinley and Geo. Allen were heard in support of the resolution.

Subsequently President Shannon appointed Supervisor Uhl and Supervisor Mead to represent San Francisco at aforesaid meeting.

#### Meetings Announced.

Public Utilities Committee, Tuesday, January 4, 1937.

Finance Committee, Thursday, December 23, 1937, 2:30 p. m.

Exposition Affairs Committee, Thursday, December 23, 1937, 4 p. m.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board of Supervisors at 5 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 27, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 32

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 52

Monday, December 27, 1937


# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company  
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, DECEMBER 27, 1937, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 27, 1937,  
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:  
Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, McSheehy, Ratto, Reilly, Ronco-  
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Supervisor Brown was noted present at 2:25 p. m.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of December 20, 1937, was considered  
read and approved.

## Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued one week*:

### Parking at Curbs at Gasoline Supply Stations.

December 13, 1937—Supervisor Uhl called out of Committee ordi-  
nance regulating parking at curbs at gasoline supply stations.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

The following recommendation of Public Utilities Committee laid  
over from last meeting was again taken up:

### Water Rate Schedule.

(Filed with Board of Supervisors November 30, 1937, at 9:41 a. m.)

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.  
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION.

GENERAL OFFICE,  
287 CITY HALL.

November 30, 1937.

The Honorable, The Board of Supervisors, City Hall:

Gentlemen: Herewith please find certified copy of Resolution No.  
2331 adopted at meeting of Public Utilities Commission held Monday,  
November 29, 1937, covering revised schedule of rates to be charged  
by San Francisco Water Department for water service for commercial,  
industrial and general uses in San Francisco and suburban districts,  
which is submitted to your Honorable Board for approval.

Very truly yours,

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
(Signed) FORREST B. GIBBON,  
Secretary.



## Resolution No. 2331:

Resolved, That pursuant to notice of intention to revise the schedule of rates to be charged by San Francisco Water Department for water served for commercial, industrial and general uses in San Francisco and suburban districts, heretofore published as is required by the charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and after public hearing being held in accordance with the aforesaid notice, the following schedule of rates to be charged for water service to domestic, commercial, industrial and other uses in San Francisco and suburban districts be, and the same is hereby adopted:

*General Uses—Metered Service.*

Applying to Domestic, Commercial, Industrial and General Uses in San Francisco and Suburban Districts.

First: A monthly service charge based on the sizes of meters. On batteries of meters the charge is to be based on the size of single meter of equivalent capacity.

$\frac{3}{8}$ " (Standard residential and small commercial service) 70c per month.  
 $\frac{3}{4}$ "—\$1.10                       $1\frac{1}{2}$ "—\$2.70                      3"—\$ 8.65                      6"—\$27.00  
 1"— 1.60                      2" — 4.85                      4"— 13.50                      8"— 43.20

Second: For water delivered, based on monthly readings:

For the first.....	3,300 cubic feet—25.9 cents per 100
For the next .....	30,000 cubic feet—22.7 cents per 100
For the next .....	300,000 cubic feet—18.0 cents per 100
For all over .....	333,300 cubic feet—10.0 cents per 100

Except that when the volume of water delivered to a consumer in any monthly period is in excess of 20,000,000 cubic feet, the rate shall be \$0.065 cents per 100 cubic feet for all water delivered to said consumer during that month, provided, however, that if the application of the scheduled rates for delivery of less than 20,000,000 cubic feet during the month shall result in a charge in excess of the charge for 20,000,000 cubic feet, then the total charge shall be reduced to equal that for 20,000,000 cubic feet, and also except that service from the Palo Alto pipe line will in general be by special contract or agreement, under which the consumer will be required to pay a proportionate cost of the pipe line based on the maximum demand, in addition to the regular monthly service and water charges on basis of the rates above scheduled for "General Uses—Metered Service." For all other water service from the Palo Alto pipe line there shall be a monthly service charge based on the size of meter in accordance with the foregoing schedule, together with a usage charge for water delivered on the basis of the following schedule:

For the first .....	3,300 cubic feet—28.9 cents per 100
For the next .....	30,000 cubic feet—25.7 cents per 100
For the next .....	300,000 cubic feet—21.0 cents per 100
For all over .....	333,300 cubic feet—13.0 cents per 100

*Docks and Shipping Supply.*

For regularly metered service—"GENERAL USE" charges to apply. For special shipping service—including hose cart and other special service from open docks through common hydrants where delivery is not through a service and meter for which the taker is responsible.

For the first .....	1,300 cubic feet—\$1.08 per 100
For the next .....	2,000 cubic feet— .259 per 100
For the next .....	30,000 cubic feet— .227 per 100
For the next .....	300,000 cubic feet— .18 per 100
For all over .....	333,300 cubic feet— .10 per 100

Minimum charge for each delivery, trip or monthly use, \$2.70.

*Builders' and Contractors' Supply.*

Metered service—Through permanently metered services or through temporary services, the cost of which temporary service installation is to be paid by the contractors—"GENERAL USE" charges to apply.

Service through Fire Hydrants and other unmetered services—(The contractor to obtain permission for use of Fire Hydrants from the Board of Fire Commissioners.)

For water required for concrete, brick, rubble or other masonry construction, per cubic yard.....	11.0 cents
For water required for each barrel of cement or lime for any purpose .....	11.0 cents
For water required for grading streets, including water used by steam rollers, per 100 sq. ft.....	8.6 cents
For water required for settling earth fills, grading and back-filling trenches, including water required by steam roller, per cubic yard of earth.....	4.3 cents
For water required for small steam or gas engine used on construction work, per 8-hour day.....	43.0 cents

*Automatic Fire Sprinkler Service.*

Applicable only to straight automatic fire sprinkler service to which no connections for other purposes are allowed and which are regularly inspected by the Underwriters having jurisdiction and are connected to an alarm system and installed according to specifications of the San Francisco Water Department and are maintained to the satisfaction of said Department and are protected against theft, leakage, or waste of water.

First: A monthly service charge on all sizes of meters, \$3 per month.

Second: For water delivered, based on monthly readings, "GENERAL USE" charges to apply.

The above schedule for sprinkler service applies only to installations in San Francisco which were made subsequent to October 26, 1932, or installations made prior to said date, when the consumer shall have paid for a period of three years or more a monthly service charge on the following schedules:

4" meter—\$ 9.00 per month	6" meter—\$15.00 per month
8" meter—\$24.00 per month.	

*Public Uses.***Fire Hydrants—**

Monthly charges for each municipal fire hydrant attached to the system of the San Francisco Water Department, \$3.

Public Buildings, Parks and other metered service—"GENERAL USE" charges to apply.

**Street: Sprinkling and flushing—**

Quantities to be computed from records of tank wagons. All such water to be taken as one amount although drawn from various hydrants and charged for at "GENERAL USE" rates. No service charge is to be applied.

Be It Further Resolved, That the foregoing Schedule of Rates be submitted to the Board of Supervisors for approval and when approved by that Board, said rates shall go into effect commencing the first day of the month following action by the Board of Supervisors.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Public Utilities Commission at its meeting of November 29, 1937.

FORREST B. GIBBON,

Secretary.

*December 14—Public Utilities Committee recommended approval.*

*Ayes—Supervisors Meyer and Colman; No—Supervisor McSheehy.*

*Supervisor McSheehy to file a minority report.*



## Motion.

Supervisor Brown moved that the minority report be not accepted. Chairman Supervisor Shannon ruled that there was no minority report before the Board.

After discussion the roll was called and the water rate schedule approved by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

## Explanation of Votes.

Gentlemen: The following are my reasons for voting no on Resolution No. 2331, Schedule Water Rates, dated November 29, 1937.

Assistant City Attorney Dion Holm, who is assigned to the handling of legal matters for the Water Department of the Public Utilities Commission, stated at a meeting of the Public Utilities Committee held on Tuesday, December 14, 1937, that Section 130 of the charter *would not permit* the Board of Supervisors to amend water rates recommended by the Public Utilities Commission; but that by a two-thirds vote of the full membership of the Board of Supervisors we could reject such schedule of water rates and send it back to the Public Utilities Commission, stating our reasons for its rejection.

As a member of the Public Utilities Committee, I ask that we return to the Public Utilities Commission its Resolution No. 2331, "Schedule of Water Rates," dated November 29, 1937, for the following reasons:

1. We are now paying more for water than any other large city in the United States of comparable size.

2. 70,000,000 gallons of water daily are permitted to flow into the ocean.

3. When the purchase of the Spring Valley Water Company was consummated we gave a solemn promise to the people to reduce water rates.

4. For sixty years previous to the year 1921 the flat rate was the base rate charged the consumer here in San Francisco, and this was figured on the number of square feet in the house and also the number of toilets, baths, basins, etc. A shortage of water existed in San Francisco in 1921, and in that year the State Railroad Commission allowed the Spring Valley Water Company to raise the rates 20 per cent, and to install meters throughout the City.

5. Senator Phelan and Governor Rolph, who were largely instrumental in the development of Hetch Hetchy and Spring Valley, were both enthusiastically in favor of low water rates for the beautification of the City by the planting of trees, shrubs and flowers, for the reason that San Francisco has less trees on its streets than any city of comparable size in the United States.

6. Arrangements are soon to be made to sell water to San Jose for 6½ cents per 100 cubic feet, and it will cost the city of San Jose to distribute this water to *all* consumers about 6½ cents additional, making a total of 13 cents per 100 cubic feet, delivered. *While 80 per cent of the consumers here in San Francisco are paying 30 cents per 100 cubic feet, which is over twice the charge to the ultimate consumer in San Jose.*

7. Eighteen telegrams were sent to 18 of the largest cities in the United States and the answers to these telegrams show that the average cost of water per 100 cubic feet for these cities is 10 cents, and that the average daily consumption of water per person in these cities is 140 gallons; while the average daily consumption of water per person in San Francisco is 80 gallons.

8. Hetch Hetchy water was brought into San Francisco on October 28, 1934, and today the Spring Valley Lakes and the Calaveras Reservoir contain more water than at any other time in their history.

9. We use about 52,000,000 gallons of water daily, and we have in storage in our lakes today a three years' supply. Hetch Hetchy reservoir contains a five years' supply, which gives us sufficient for eight years. On top of this enormous supply, we are allowing 70,000,000 gallons of water daily to waste itself by flowing into the Pacific Ocean.

10. We can increase our receipts by making attractive rates to a great many consumers of water here in San Francisco who are now pumping water from wells, to the amount of 9,000,000 gallons daily.

11. Our sister cities to the south (San Mateo, Palo Alto, San Jose), have made no investment in either Spring Valley or Hetch Hetchy. Why, therefore, enter into twenty-year contracts to furnish them with water at less than half the cost to residents of San Francisco who are bonded to the extent of over \$130,000,000.

12. The San Francisco Examiner of December 15, 1937, carried the story that a contract is about to be entered into for the sale of Hetch Hetchy water to Atherton, Menlo Park, Stanford University, and Moffett Field, for a period of twenty years.

13. The only reason given by the Public Utilities Commission for not reducing the water rates in San Francisco is that the water department will be confronted with a loss of revenue which, they claim, will have to be reflected in the tax rate. Nothing could be further from the truth. An analysis will show that the large taxpayer is the sole recipient of the benefits accruing from the profits of the water department reflected in the tax rate. The Russ Building, for example, pays annually for water \$3,186; a saving of 20 per cent therefore would amount to \$637.20. Whereas the small home owner, of which there are some 77,000, pays annually \$36 a year for water; a saving of 20 per cent therefore would amount to \$7.20.

The Russ Building pays annually for taxes \$122,212; the small home owner pays annually \$120 for taxes. Thus it can be seen at a glance that the large property holder receives far more savings in the reduction of his taxes than the small home owner. *It might be said at this point that this plain statement of facts is the crux of my whole argument.*

14. The following profits have been reflected in the tax rate for the past six years, showing an increase in consumption in water here in San Francisco:

Year	Amount	Average
1930-31	....	
1931-32	\$ 273,000	
1932-33	808,000	
1933-34	901,410	
1934-35	1,459,239	
1935-36	1,255,477	
1936-37	1,407,984	Average \$1,017,519

15. The history of large cities throughout the United States shows that whenever a cut is made in water rates the increased use of water more than offsets any loss anticipated by such reduction.

#### *Conclusion:*

Is San Francisco going to grow? Is she going to induce people to live here or is she going to drive them away from San Francisco? She will do so if she is going to continue to charge more for water than any other city in the United States and carry out a policy of creating profits for the purpose of reducing tax rates rather than reducing water rates to the home owners and giving them a chance to use the surplus water now going to waste.

As I have stated there are less trees in San Francisco than any other city of comparable size in the United States, and you will find that there are less gardens where shrubs and flowers grow in this city than in any other city in the United States. This is entirely due to the high cost of water. We have had several water famines here in



San Francisco which necessitated the bonding our city to the extent of \$130,000,000 to bring Hetch Hetchy water into San Francisco, so as to assure us of an adequate water supply.

If we pass the schedule of water rates recommended by the Public Utilities Commission we simply tell the people of San Mateo and Santa Clara Counties that they have the first call on our Hetch Hetchy water supply; and we tell the people of San Francisco that we are setting up a schedule of water rates that is twice what the people have to pay in San Mateo and Santa Clara counties, for the purpose of creating a huge profit in the water department that will reflect itself in a reduction of taxes to the *large* holders of real estate and improvements thereon in the down town section who, themselves, use very little water as they have their own wells. Or in other words, gentlemen of the Board, if we pass this schedule of water rates it simply means a reduction in the tax bill of the large property owner and the continuing of over 100,000 water ratepayers paying the highest rate for water here in San Francisco of any city in the United States and giving the entire benefit of the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply to the residents of San Mateo and Santa Clara counties.

The charter is very clear in reference to water rates. The Public Utilities Commission has the sole supervision of the water department, and the rates as called for in this schedule will remain in force until such time as the Public Utilities Commission desires to change them. This might be one year, five years, or ten years hence. The only power that this Board has, is to reject this schedule of water rates, which I am by this means requesting that you do, and request the Public Utilities Commission to eliminate all monthly meter charges except the 8-inch monthly charge of \$43.20, and flat rate bill for each ratepayer, including the meter charge compiled on the average that the ratepayer has paid for the last 12 months, less 20 per cent of said average. I am not asking that you concur with this statement in toto, but I do ask that you reject the rates as proposed, and issue a statement to the Public Utilities Commission as to your reasons therefor.

Enclosed you will find a map showing the Spring Valley System, and you will notice that the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct runs into the Spring Valley System, and the water that does not run into the Spring Valley Water System from the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir, except the amount used by the Modesto and Turlock Irrigation Districts, as called for in the Raker Act, runs into the Tuolumne River, and then into the San Joaquin River, and finally into the Pacific Ocean.

By eliminating the meters this water can be used by the people of San Francisco and we will also have millions of gallons to sell to the people of San Mateo and Santa Clara counties.

Think it over and do not vote for Resolution No. 2331, "Schedule of Water Rates,"—send it back to the Public Utilities Commission.

Remember that if we agree to these rates they will remain in effect indefinitely, and that millions of gallons of water will run into the Pacific Ocean that could be used by the people of San Francisco.

JAMES B. MCSHEEHY,

Supervisor, Member Public Utilities Committee.

Supervisor Schmidt explained his vote in part as follows: I feel that Mr. Cahill has given us a promise that in the near future consideration will be given to the smaller users of water in our city and in our industries. I believe in subsidizing industries. If we do we will have more of them. I feel that if the income of the water department increases that the smaller user in our community will be given consideration at the earliest possible date. One half a loaf is better than no loaf at all. The questions of the low rate to San Jose—that should be made clear to the people that it is no discrimination against them. They should be given the information that we are

building up a surplus income that we would not be able to get if that rate was not given to San Jose.

Supervisor Uhl explained his vote in effect as follows: I am in a peculiar position. Revenues come in from outside counties. Now to vote against that, you are on the spot and against saving the taxpayers 15 cents on the rate. I regret that there is no consideration to the small user on the question of service or meter charge, which I think is wrong. I am going to vote *aye*, but I am doing so under protest. The Public Utilities Commission has not given consideration to the interests of the small user of water together with the larger users of water.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

#### Claim of Irene Strauss.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1485, Ordinance No. 9.051439, as follows:

Authorizing claim of Irene Strauss in the sum of two hundred and fifty dollars (\$250).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended the settlement of the action of Irene Strauss v. Edith McD. Chamberlain, Selah Chamberlain, Jr., Anthony Modico, Anthony Shoe Repairing Shop and City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, for the recovery of damages for personal injuries sustained as a result of a fall on a public sidewalk on Sutter street between Powell and Stockton streets, more particularly described as being in front of a store located at 484 Sutter street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on June 8, 1936, which suit is numbered 269185, in the Superior Court. Said City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle said pending litigation against the City and County of San Francisco by the payment of the sum of two hundred and fifty dollars (\$250), and the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to draw his warrant for said sum.

Recommended and approved by the City Attorney.

Recommended and approved by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Sale of \$2,000,000 Tax Anticipation Notes.

(Code No. 9.033)

Resolution No. 3711, as follows:

Whereas, Ordinance No. 9.0339, heretofore enacted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, authorized the issuance of certain Tax Anticipation Notes, the same to be issued as



needed for the immediate requirements of the City and County in order to meet and pay the appropriations heretofore made for the current fiscal year, as authorized by the Charter of the City and County, which appropriations will become due and payable prior to June 30, 1938, and which may be paid in advance of receipt of the income for said fiscal year; and

Whereas, in order to meet the said immediate requirements of the said City and County it is necessary to forthwith issue and offer for sale Tax Anticipation Notes as authorized by said ordinance above mentioned to the amount of two million (\$2,000,000) dollars; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, the Controller of said City and County, and the Treasurer thereof, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and issue for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, the aforesaid Tax Anticipation Notes, to the amount of two million (\$2,000,000) dollars, and that said notes be offered for sale by this Board of Supervisors; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board be and he is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco a notice of the sale of said Tax Anticipation Notes to the amount of two million (\$2,000,000) dollars, and that sealed bids or offers for all or any part of said notes will be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, the 3rd day of January, 1938, and that said Tax Anticipation Notes will be sold at the lowest net interest cost to the City and County of San Francisco computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to May 15, 1938; and be it

Further Resolved, That Orrick, Palmer & Dahlquist, attorneys at law, are employed to furnish legal opinion on validity of the above mentioned Tax Anticipation Notes authorized by Ordinance No. 9.0339, the fee therefor to be \$600.

Recommended by Harold J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Refunds, Erroneous Payments of Taxes.

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 3712, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized to be paid to the following named, being refunds of erroneous payments of taxes:

#### *From Duplicate Tax Fund—Appropriation 905*

- |  |         |
|--|---------|
| 1. R. A. Bray, per Vol. 7, Bill 1632, Lot 18, Block 1136, 1st Installment, Fiscal Year 1937.....     | \$25.55 |
| 2. Paul P. Smith, per Vol. 33, Bill 2029, Lot 33, Block 5619, 1st Installment, Fiscal Year 1937..... | 10.84   |

#### *From General Fund—Appropriation 60.969.00*

- |   |      |
|---|------|
| 3. Tobias Israel, per Vol. A, Page 177, Line 19, Unsecured Personal Property Rolls, Fiscal Year 1937..... | 5.68 |
|---|------|

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Authorizing Lease of Certain Water Department Land Opposite Belmont Pump Station.**

(Code No. 12.17352)

Bill No. 1492, Ordinance No. 12.173525, as follows:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 93 of the Charter, and in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for leasing a certain tract of San Francisco Water Department land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, which land is a portion of Parcel No. 35, San Mateo County Lands as per deed from Spring Valley Water Company to City and County of San Francisco, recorded March 3, 1930, in Volume 491, page 1, San Mateo County Records, said tract of land being more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northwesterly boundary line of said Parcel No. 35 with the southwesterly line of El Camino Real; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line 90 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 110 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 88 feet more or less to the southwesterly line of El Camino Real; thence northwesterly along last named line 112 feet more or less to the point of commencement. Together with the existing buildings located on said land. Right reserved to construct, maintain, operate and repair pipe lines and other water works structures on the above described property.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$250 for Purchase of Stationery and Supplies, Jail Stores.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1493, Ordinance No. 9.051441, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$250, out of the surplus existing in the General Fund by virtue of the increase in revenue from the sale of merchandise in the county jails, to the credit of Appropriation No. 707.300.04 for the purpose of providing funds for the purchase of stationery and supplies required for the operation of the jail stores.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$250 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the General Fund by virtue of the increase in revenue from the sale of merchandise in the county jails, to the credit of Appropriation No. 707.300.04 for the purpose of providing funds for the purchase of stationery and supplies required for the operation of the jail stores.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by Daniel C. Murphy, Sheriff.

Funds available: H. J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Passed for second reading by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.



**Final Passage.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$5,000 from Emergency Reserve Fund for Compensation of Visiting Judges.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1494, Ordinance No. 9.051442, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$5,000 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 721.900.00 for the purpose of paying the compensation of visiting judges, an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$5,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 721.900.00, for the purpose of paying the compensation of visiting judges.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists in order to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Superior Court, as this department is without funds to compensate visiting judges essential to its conduct.

Recommended by Thomas S. Mulvey, Superior Court.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Funds available: H. J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Finally passed by the following vote:*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Supplemental Appropriation of \$10,000 for Holding Civil Service Examination.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1495, Ordinance No. 9.051443, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of \$10,000 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 771.102.01, for the purpose of providing funds for the Civil Service Commission for holding examinations for certain Civil Service classes of employments, the creation of the resulting Civil Service lists being essential to the uninterrupted service of the several departments of the city and county government.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$10,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 771.102.01, for the purpose of providing funds for the Civil Service Commission for holding examinations for certain Civil Service classes of employments, the creation of the resulting Civil Service lists being

essential to the uninterrupted service of the several departments of the city and county government:

Recommended by Jas. J. Maher, Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Funds available: H. J. Boyd, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Adopted.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was *adopted*:

### Refund of Deposit of \$109.14 for Construction of Broken Side Sewer.

(Code No. 9.058)

Resolution No. 3713, as follows:

Resolved, That the claim for refund made by the American Trust Co., trustee, for \$109.14 for money deposited for the construction of a side-sewer which was broken by the Main Sewer Department Crew in Washington street east of Drumm, be and the same is hereby approved. Moneys are available in Appropriation No. 743.901.00.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Action Deferred.

### Wage Scale—Private Employment on Public Contracts.

The wage scale recommendation of the Civil Service Commission was adopted by the Board and subsequently rescinded to permit grouping of crafts of Building Trades in one classification as requested by James Ricketts representing the Building Trades Council.

*Re-referred to Civil Service Commission.*

### Final Passage.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

### Supplemental Appropriation of \$7,185.07 for Accident and Damage Claims Charged Against County Road Fund, Fiscal Year 1936-37.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1496, Ordinance No. 9.051444, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation in the amount of \$7,185.07, out of the surplus existing in Appropriation 600.000.00, General Fund, to the credit of Appropriation 660.705.00, for the purpose of accommodating street and sidewalk accident and damage claims which were charged during the fiscal year 1936-37 against the County Road Fund, and which, based upon an opinion of the Attorney-General, were rejected by the State Department of Public Works from the County Road Fund Report accounting, as reported by the Board of Supervisors, an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$7,185.07 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation 600.000.00, General Fund, to the credit of Appropriation 660.705.00, for the purpose of



accommodating street and sidewalk accident and damage claims which were charged during the fiscal year 1936-37 against the County Road Fund, and which, based upon an opinion of the Attorney-General, were rejected by the State Department of Public Works from the County Road Fund Report accounting, as reported by the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, as the State of California will not release County Road Fund moneys which have accrued to the benefit of San Francisco until this adjustment is made.

Approved as to form by Dion R. Holm, Assistant City Attorney.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Funds available: by H. J. Boyd, Controller.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

#### Land Purchase—Proposed McLaren Park.

(Code No. 12.1713)

Resolution No. 3715, as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Park Department, that the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following named parties to certain land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the proposed McLaren Park, and that the sums set forth below be paid for said property from Appropriation No. 712.600.03.

George W. Steele, et ux., Lot 6, Assessor's Block 6185..\$100.00

E. J. Lyons, et ux., Lot 5, Assessor's Block 6001..... 700.00

Henry Kissling, et ux., Lots 34 and 35, Assessor's Block  
6212 . . . . . 276.00

City Title Insurance Company, Lot 7, Assessor's Block  
6185 . . . . . 100.00

Lena Pardini, et vir., and Louise Pardini, et vir., one-half interest in Lot 1, Assessor's Block 6182..... 250.00

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Land Purchase—Proposed McLaren Park.

(Code No. 12.1713)

Also, Resolution No. 3716, as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Park Department, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Isabelle Brekke and Lawrence Brekke, her husband, to Lot 8,

Assessor's Block 6184, San Francisco, required for the proposed McLaren Park, and that the sum of \$100.00 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 712.600.03. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said land.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing Condemnation Proceedings for Land Required for San Francisco Airport Purposes.**

(Code No. 6.0211)

Also, Resolution No. 3717, as follows:

Resolved, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described parcels of real property situated in the county of San Mateo, state of California:

The northeast  $\frac{1}{4}$  of the northeast  $\frac{1}{4}$  of the southeast  $\frac{1}{4}$  of section 35 and the west  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the southwest  $\frac{1}{4}$  of section 36, in township 3 south, range 5 west, Mount Diablo base and meridian.

Be it Further Resolved, that said lands are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the construction, operation and maintenance of the San Francisco Airport. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken to said lands.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Approved by Public Utilities Commission.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Approval of Recommendations, Public Welfare Department, for January, 1938.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3718, as follows:

Resolved, that the recommendations of the Public Welfare Department, containing the additional names of persons and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security, Blind Pensions and Widows' Pensions for the month of January, 1938, and also denials, are hereby approved, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to transmit this approval to the Controller.

Further Resolved, that the Board of Supervisors declares an extension of time as necessary in certain cases as requested by the Public Welfare Department.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Reilly, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.



**Action Deferred.**

The following recommendations of Public Health Committee were taken up and *laid over two weeks*:

**An Ordinance Defining Classes of Meats, Retail Cuts of Meat and Regulating the Advertisement Thereof, Defining Offenses and Providing Penalties for the Violation Thereof.**

(Code No. 17.04)

Bill No. 1497, Ordinance No. 17.046, as follows:

An ordinance defining classes of meats, retail cuts of meat and regulating the advertisement thereof, defining offenses and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Every advertisement offering meat for sale at retail shall state the class of meat so offered (in type not less than one-half the size of type used to name the particular cut advertised). Any portion or "cut" of meat which is defined in this ordinance, must conform to such definition if advertised for sale at retail. Terms such as those used by the United States Department of Agriculture to establish grades of meat, such as "Prime", "A-1", or "Choice", shall not be used in advertising meat for sale at retail unless such meat is in fact of such grade. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, co-partnership, association or corporation selling meat at retail, to misrepresent the classes of meat in their advertising or placards, or in any manner whatsoever.

Section 2. For the purposes of this ordinance, the classes of meat are defined as follows:

(A) "BEEF" is defined as flesh from animals of the bovine species, divided into the following classes:

(1) "Baby beef" is from steers or heifers aged from eight to eighteen months at the time of slaughter, showing finished fed condition, excellent conformation and quality.

(2) "Steer beef" is from a male that was castrated before he advanced far enough toward maturity to make reproduction possible. The animal must also have progressed beyond the veal or calf stages. The term "steer beef" shall not be applied to heifer, cow, stag, or bull beef.

(3) "Heifer beef" is from a female that has passed beyond the veal or calf stages, but has never had a calf, and has not reached advanced pregnancy.

(4) "Cow beef" is from a mature female that has had one or more calves, or was advanced in pregnancy at time of slaughter.

(5) "Veal" or "Calf" is from a bovine animal less than eight months of age at time of slaughter. "Milk Veal" is from a calf that has not been weaned.

(B) "Lamb" is defined as the flesh of animals of the sheep family or ovine species, not over twelve months of age at time of slaughter.

(1) "Spring Lamb" is from lambs less than six months of age at the time of slaughter. This term shall not be used in describing lambs offered for sale after October 1st, in any year, nor until the new crop of lambs arrives on the market about March 1st, in any year.

(2) "Fed Lambs" or "Lamb" is from lambs which have been weaned and which are sold for slaughter at from six months to twelve months of age.

(3) "Yearling Mutton" is defined as the flesh of animals of the sheep or ovine family which are from twelve to twenty months of age at time of slaughter. Such animals must be designated and sold as "Yearling mutton".

(4) All animals of this species which have passed the "Yearling mutton" stage must be designated and sold as "mutton", and shall not be sold or offered for sale as "lamb". Ewes, which are hereby defined as mature females of the ovine species, must be designated and sold as "Ewe mutton".

(C) "Pork" is from animals of the porcine species. Boars or sows over eighteen months of age shall not be advertised or sold as "Young Pork" or "Pig Pork".

(D) In all cases of doubt arising under these definitions, the standards prescribed by the United States Department of Agriculture shall prevail.

Section 3. The following terms, used in describing the various retail cuts of meat shall be used only as defined herein, as follows:

(A) In naming the ribs of the carcass, the first or Number One rib shall be that which is closest to the neck, the other ribs being counted from that point.

(B-1) "Prime rib roast" shall consist of from the eighth to thirteenth ribs inclusive, of a beef carcass. "Short cut" shall be used only when the rib bone is not more than five inches in length from the junction of the eye and the rib. "Long cut" shall have the plate removed at a point parallel to the Chine-bone and making a twelve-inch wing.

(2) "Beef round" shall consist of the buttocks cut to include the section from the socket bone to the gambrel or hock.

(3) "Round steak" shall be cut from the round, including top and bottom with or without bone. In advertising any cut or round steak, the particular kind of round as defined herein must be stated.

(A) "Top round steak" shall be cut from the upper or inside portion of the round with or without bone.

(B) "Bottom round steak" shall be cut from the under or outside portion of the round without bone.

(C) "Heel of round" shall be the lower portion of the round, triangular in shape.

(C-1) "Forequarter of lamb" shall consist of not less than thirteen ribs, shoulder, breast, shank and neck.

(2) "Shoulder of lamb" shall consist of the shoulder in one piece including not less than three ribs, and may include the neck and shank. "Shoulder of lamb, Boston style" shall not be used with the term "leg" or "leg of lamb". Its use shall be confined to shoulders of lamb as herein defined.

(3) Any part of the shoulder of lamb cut to contain less than three ribs shall be described as "Yoke of lamb". Any yoke of lamb cut with the major part of the breast attached must be advertised and sold as "Yoke of lamb with breast".

(D-1) "Pork loin" shall be cut at the leg end at a point two and one-half inches above the Aitch-bone on the pork leg, and shall include thirteen ribs and no further portion of the shoulder, and the belly shall be removed at a point not to exceed five inches from the Chine-bone at the center part of the loin.

(2) "Pork loin roast" shall consist of not less than half the loin.

(3) "Pork loin chops" shall be cut from the fifth rib to the hip-bone to the Aitch-bone inclusive.

(4) "Pork leg" shall consist of the hind leg, with foot removed through the hock-joint; the butt shall be rounded and the tail removed. "Leg pork roast" shall consist of not less than half a leg.

Section 4. Whenever a conflict arises in the definitions as set out herein, the standards of the United States Department of Agriculture shall prevail and conform in the operation of this ordinance.

Section 5. Any person violating any provision of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and each day's continuance of such violation shall constitute a separate offense.

Section 6. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would



have passed this ordinance and each section, sub-section, sub-division, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, sub-sections, sub-divisions, sentences, clauses or phrases is declared unconstitutional or invalid for any reason.

**An Ordinance Providing for the Regulation of Meat Cutters and Meat Salesmen in Retail Meat Markets, for the Licensing of Meat Cutters, Meat Salesmen in Retail Meat Markets: for the Examination and Inspection of Meat Cutters, Meat Salesmen in Retail Meat Markets: Defining Offenses and Providing Penalties for the Violation Thereof.**

(Code No. 17.04)

Also, Bill No. 1498, Ordinance No. 17.047, as follows:

An ordinance providing for the regulation of meat cutters and meat salesmen in retail meat markets, for the licensing of meat cutters, meat salesmen in retail meat markets: for the examination and inspection of meat cutters, meat salesmen in retail meat markets: defining offenses and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. (A) Every person desiring to act as a meat cutter or meat salesman in a retail meat market in the City and County of San Francisco shall first make application to the Department of Public Health and shall pay thereto a fee of Five Dollars (\$5.00) to cover examination of applicant by the said Department. Each applicant shall at such time and place and before the Examining Board hereinafter provided for submit to such examination covering his competency as a meat cutter or meat salesman as the said Department may determine. Such examination shall have for its purpose proof of the applicant's ability to recognize the various classes and cuts of meat, his ability to prepare retail cuts of meat as herein described and his ability by the senses to recognize in meat, decomposed and putrid conditions, rendering it unfit for human consumption. The examination shall be conducted in accordance with such reasonable rules as the Department of Public Health may from time to time prescribe.

(B) Upon successfully passing such examination and upon certification thereof by the Department of Public Health, the applicant shall be issued a serial numbered certificate of competency by the Department of Public Health and such certificate shall be valid until revoked for cause or until it expires under the terms of this ordinance.

(C) All meat cutters or meat salesmen so engaged at the time this ordinance shall take effect shall apply for and take the examination above set forth in order to qualify under the terms hereof, but no fee therefor shall be charged them for said application or examination. Meat cutters and meat salesmen so engaged at the time this ordinance shall take effect are those who submit to the Department of Health satisfactory evidence of employment as qualified meat cutters or meat salesmen.

(D) The license provided for herein must be renewed annually, without further examination, or the payment of any fee for such renewal to one who has a valid unrevoked certificate for the immediately preceding term.

(E) An apprentice permit may be issued by the Board without payment of any fee to an applicant who shows that his primary occupation will be that of an apprentice meat cutter or meat salesman and shall be valid for three years unless sooner revoked. The application for such permit shall show the name of the employer and the address of the establishment, and the name of the certified meat cutter or meat salesman under whose supervision the applicant is to be placed.

(F) No person shall act as a meat cutter or meat salesman in a retail meat market unless he holds a valid certificate of competency; except that apprentices holding apprentice permits may so act under the immediate supervision and control of a holder of such certificate of competency.

Section 2. The Director of the Department of Public Health is hereby directed and authorized to carry out all the provisions of this ordinance and to enforce the same. The Director of Public Health after due and proper hearing shall have the power to suspend, revoke or cancel any certificate of competency if in his opinion it is deemed necessary for the protection of public health.

Section 3. Every retail meat market in the City and County of San Francisco shall pay an annual fee of Ten Dollars (\$10.00) to cover the costs of inspection and administration required to secure compliance with all the terms of this ordinance.

Section 4. The examination referred to herein shall be conducted by an Examining Board consisting of a representative of the Department of Public Health, an employing retail meat market owner and an employee of a retail meat market, which Board shall be appointed by the Chief Administrative Officer and shall serve at his pleasure. Said Board shall have full power to determine the competency of meat cutters and meat salesmen in accordance with the rules, regulations, definitions and standards promulgated by the United States Department of Agriculture and the State of California Department of Agriculture, and the laws and ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco and the rules and regulations pursuant thereto with respect to all animal flesh carcasses and parts thereof of the bovine, ovine or porcine species, designed or intended for human consumption.

Section 5. Every license issued hereunder shall be plainly posted in a conspicuous place for the public to see on the premises of the retail meat market at which the licensee is engaged in working.

Section 6. Deliveries of meat to retail markets shall be limited to carcasses or portions thereof bearing the inspection stamp showing inspection by Federal, State or local inspectors.

Section 7. The term "retail meat market" as used herein shall include every establishment or department of any establishment, where uncured or uncooked meats are sold at retail for human consumption. The term "meat" as used herein shall mean all uncured or uncooked meats sold for human consumption. The term "meat cutter" as used in this ordinance shall be deemed and construed to mean and include all natural persons cutting, or cutting and selling or disposing of uncooked meat to consumers. The term "meat salesman" as used in this ordinance shall be deemed and construed to mean and include all natural persons selling or disposing of uncooked meat to consumers.

Section 8. Any person preparing meat for sale at retail, or selling meat at retail for human consumption without possession of a certificate of competency as herein provided shall be guilty of a misdemeanor. Any person violating any provision of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and each day's continuance of such violation shall constitute a separate offense. Any person employing, engaging or allowing another to prepare or to sell meat at retail, on his behalf, when such employee does not possess the certificate required by this ordinance, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor. Any person convicted of any violation of this ordinance or of any other ordinance governing the sale of meat at retail shall be liable to forfeiture of his certificate of competency at the discretion of the Court, in addition to such other penalties as the court may lawfully impose.

Section 9. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, sub-section, sub-division, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, sub-sections, sub-divisions, sentences, clauses or phrases is declared unconstitutional or invalid for any reason.



ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Board of Supervisors to Inquire of Public Utilities Commission if the Water Now Unconsumed and Wasted Might Not Be Used by San Franciscans at a Rate Not to Exceed the Average Paid During the Past Calendar Year.

(Code No. 15.022)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 3719, as follows:

Whereas, there will be held at San Francisco in 1939 the Golden Gate International Exposition to which will come from 10,000,000 to 15,000,000 visitors from all over the world and from all parts of our own country and of whom hundreds of thousands will be attracted to our beautiful city with thoughts of residing here permanently and perhaps also going into business or starting industries; and

Whereas, the beauty and attractiveness of our City is enhanced by the colorful flowers and shrubs and verdant trees and lawns that adorn its hills and dales, which, together with our wonderful climate, makes San Francisco one of the most desirable cities of all the world to live in; and

Whereas, our home owners and property owners would be greatly encouraged to plant more trees, shrubs, lawns and flowers if at little or no extra cost they could use all the water they wanted to between now and 1939, thereby rendering our City more beautiful and more alluring to the 10,000,000 to 15,000,000 visitors who will come to the Golden Gate International Exposition; and

Whereas, there is at the present time in storage in the municipally-owned reservoirs 118,200 millions of gallons of water, of which 110,000,000 gallons flow daily into the City's local distributing system although only 55,000,000 gallons daily are consumed and paid for so that 55,000,000 daily flow goes into the ocean as a wilful and wanton waste or is otherwise not made use of; and

Whereas, the Municipal Water Department is making a big net profit of millions of dollars yearly, of which \$1,407,984 in cash was recently transferred to the City's general fund; and since it is evident home owners and property owners are using as much water as they feel they can pay for; and

Whereas, if the unused 55,000,000 gallons daily inflow of water were made available to home owners and property owners without extra cost they would be encouraged to and would plant many more trees, shrubs, flowers and lawns, and many more thousands in 1939 would be allured into remaining to become residents and build homes to the enduring benefit of labor, business and industry; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors inquire of the Public Utilities Commission if the said commission cannot arrange a system whereby home owners and residential property owners can use the now unconsumed and wasted 55,000,000 gallons daily supply of water without any additional cost from July 1, 1937, to and including 1939, upon payment of the average of their water bills as same were paid during the past year so that our City will become more beautiful and alluring as a City of permanent residence for the millions of visitors who will come to the Exposition.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

**Joint Highway District—Shore Line Highway.**

(Code No. 12.051)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 3720, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in regular session assembled, this 27th day of December, 1937, express and it does hereby express its desire to form a joint highway district of the State of California, including the City and County of San Francisco, and the counties of Marin, Sonoma, Mendocino and Humboldt, for the construction of the shore line highway, beginning at State Highway No. 101 in Marin County and extending to said State Highway No. 101 in Humboldt County; and, be it

Further Resolved, That certified copies hereof be forwarded to the above-mentioned counties.

*Referred to Streets and Finance Committee.*

**In Memoriam—John I. Bradley.**

Supervisor Shannon presented the following:

The Board of Supervisors learns with deep sorrow and regret of the unfortunate and untimely death of John I. Bradley, for more than twenty-five years a loyal, efficient and faithful public servant.

In his service as a Probation Officer for five years, followed by twenty-one years in the Auditor's and Controller's office, Mr. Bradley was always faithful to his trust, efficient in his service, kindly in his demeanor, and made countless friends who mourn his passing. It is unfortunate that he met death shortly before he would have retired on a well-earned and deserved pension.

The Board extends to his widow its sincerest and deepest condolence, and adjourns out of respect to the memory of John I. Bradley.

*Adopted unanimously by rising vote.*

**In Memoriam—Benjamin Levey.**

Supervisor Schmidt presented the following:

The Board of Supervisors learns with deep regret of the unfortunate and untimely death of Benjamin Levey who, for twenty-five years, was a faithful and loyal public servant in the office of the Recorder.

During the many years of his service he became widely known and respected by the many citizens with whom he came in contact. He gained and held the affection and friendship of all the city employees who knew him.

The Board of Supervisors adjourned out of respect to his memory and in sympathy for his family.

*Adopted unanimously by a rising vote.*

**Check-up on Sidewalk Conditions.**

Supervisor Uhl moved in view of City's responsibility that the proper department be requested to make a check-up of sidewalk conditions, and make weekly reports to the Board of Supervisors thereon.

*So ordered.*

**Employment of San Francisco Men at Airport.**

On motion by Supervisor Reilly, matter of employment of San Francisco men on work at San Francisco Airport, was referred to Public Welfare Committee.



### Inauguration of Newly Elected Members.

Pursuant to motion by Supervisor McSheehy, the President appointed Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri and Reilly to make proper arrangements for inauguration of newly elected members of the Board on January 8th.

### Telegram to Federal Authority—Urging Appropriation for Coast Guard Air Base at San Francisco.

Congressman Havenner requested that Board send telegram to the President and to the Director of the Budget, urging federal appropriation of \$650,000 for proposed Coast Guard Air Base at San Francisco Airport, whereupon Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Reilly, moved that the Board go on record as suggested by Congressman Havenner and send telegram requesting that this appropriation be made. At the suggestion of Supervisor McSheehy, and accepted by Supervisors Colman and Reilly, the President of the Board appointed Supervisors McSheehy, Colman and Reilly as committee of three who drafted the following telegram, to-wit:

4:20 p. m.

San Francisco, December 27, 1937.

The President,  
The White House,  
The Director of the Budget,  
Treasury Department,  
Washington, D. C.

The voters of San Francisco last month approved a bond issue in the amount of \$2,850,000 for improvements to our Municipal Airport. A large part of this amount has been specifically set aside for the purpose of preparing and donating to the Federal Government a suitable site for the construction of a Coast Guard Air Station as authorized by H. R. 4893, passed by Congress and approved by the President on June 15, 1937. Additional money is also provided by this bond issue to pay San Francisco's half of the cost of dredging and constructing a sea plane channel and harbor at the airport, which was authorized by the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1937. This work will be undertaken in the immediate future, and the seaplane harbor when completed will become the Pacific Coast terminal of the Trans-Pacific Airpassenger and mail service for the Pan-American Airways, which is now being operated under a temporary permit at the Alameda Airport recently taken over by the Federal Government as the site for a Naval Airbase.

Coast Guard officials agree with us in the opinion that important economies can be effected if the construction of the Coast Guard Air Station can be carried on concurrently with the dredging of the Sea Plane Channel and Harbor and a preparation of the sites to be donated to the Coast Guard.

San Francisco's officials feel that our people, who in good faith have voted the full amount of money required to fulfill all the obligations imposed upon them by H. R. 4893 and by the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1937, are entitled to definite assurance that the Coast Guard Air Station will actually be established upon the site to be prepared and donated for that purpose. Such definite assurance can only be given by the appropriation of the money required to construct the station. The Board of Army Engineers has stipulated that Federal participation in the dredging of the Sea Plane Channel and Harbor shall be conditioned upon the donation by San Francisco of the site for the Coast Guard Station.

May we respectfully urge that budgetary approval be given at this time to an appropriation by Congress of the amount of money required to construct the Coast Guard Station at the San Francisco Airport.

The estimated cost of this construction, as prepared by the Commandant of the United States Coast Guard and presented to the Director of the Budget and Appropriations Committee of the House of Representatives by Congressman Tolan, is \$650,000. The amount of money to be expended by San Francisco in preparing and donating a site to the Coast Guard is estimated at more than \$650,000. This money is now available, and San Francisco is ready to go ahead with the work.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, SAN FRANCISCO.  
SUPERVISOR JAMES B. McSHEEHY.  
SUPERVISOR JESSE C. COLMAN.  
SUPERVISOR GEORGE R. REILLY.

**Meeting Announced.**

Public Welfare Committee, Thursday, December 30th.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business the Board of Supervisors at 4:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 3, 1938.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



K w



















